



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

NTHC FILE COPY

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH CONTRACT NO. N00014-86-K-0305 TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 14

Thermionic Emission Model for the Initial Regime of Silicon Oxidation

E.A. Irene and E.A. Lewis Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27514



87 6 15

006

in

Applied Physical Letters

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

	REPORT DOCUME	INTATION PAGE	E		
1. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		16. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT			
20. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUM	BER(S)	S. MONITORING OR	GANIZATION RE	PORT NUMBER(S)	
Technical Report #14					
64 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL	7. NAME OF MONI	TORING ORGANI	ZATION	
UNC Chemistry Dept.		Office of N	aval Resear	ch (Code 413	() ()
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		76. ADDRESS (City.	State and ZIP Cod	e)	
11-3 Venable Hall 045A		Chemistry Program			
Chapel Hill, NC 27514		800 N. Quín	cy Street	7 1 2 1 7	
. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING	BD. OFFICE SYMBOL	AFTINGLON,	VITGINIA 2	ENTIFICATION NU	MRER
ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research	(If applicable)	Contract #N00014-86-K-0305			
Bc. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF FUR	NDING NOS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Chemistry Program 800 N. Quincy, Arlington, VA	22217	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) A THE	PRATONIC FAILSSI	TNT .]
MODEL FOR THE INITIAL REGIME O	F SILICON OXIDAT	ION			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	and F A Lewis		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	4	
134 TYPE OF REPORT 136 TIME C	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPOI	BT (Yr. Mo. Davi	15 PAGE CO	
Interim Technical FROM	to	June 3, 198	7	16	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
Prepared for publication in ,	Applied Physical	Letters			
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (C	ontinue on reverse if ne	ecessary and identi	fy by block number)	
FIELD GHOOF SUB GH	4				
19. ASTACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and	d identify by block number	•/			• • <u>-</u> •
The very early stage of	the thermal oxid	lation of sing	le crystal	Si has been	the
subject of continual study for	or the last two	decades. In	the light o	of very recen	it .
experimental oxidation data	on the initial r	egime, we rep	ort that a	simple therm	lionic
importance of electrons for	the oxidation ki	netics has al	so been att	ested to in	several
recent experimental studies.	Thus a consist	ent model is	presented f	for the initi	lal
oxidation regime based on the	e electron flux	as the rate 1	imiting ste	2p.	
				5	
				' \\	
	1:512			1.	
	y de				
<i>a</i>	-				
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRA	CT	21 ABSTRACT SEC	URITY CLASSIFI		
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 🗟 SAME AS APT 🖼 DTIC USERS 🗆		Unclassified			
22. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		226 TELEPHONE N	UMBER	220 OFFICE SYME	10 L
Dr. David L. Nelson		(202) 696-	-4410		

A Thermionic Emission Model for the Initial Regime of Silicon Oxidation

Ъу

E.A. Irene and E.A. Lewis Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27514



Accesion For				
NTIS	CRA&I TAB			
Unannounced				
By Distribution /				
Availability Codes				
Dist	Avail_and/or Special			
A-1				

21

Abstract

The very early stage of the thermal oxidation of single crystal Si has been the subject of continual study for the last two decades. In the light of very recent experimental oxidation data on the initial regime, we report that a simple thermionic electron flux from Si into SiO_e closely agrees with the SiO_e film growth rate. The importance of electrons for the oxidation kinetics has also been attested to in several recent experimental studies. Thus a consistent model is presented for the initial oxidation regime based on the electron flux as the rate limiting step.

The kinetics for the formation of SiO_e films on Si hag received considerable attention both from the technological and scientific viewpoint (see refs. 1-5 and refs. therein). Many models have been proposed (see refs. 1,4,5 and references therein) based on new phenomena incorporated into the Linear-Parabolic, L-P, model proposed in the 1960's(6-8). This latter model considers only the processes of transport of oxidant and reaction of oxidant and silicon in a steady state and as kinetically simple processes. However, a growing body of studies(1,4,5 and references therein) now show that the simple L-P model is inadequate and further elucidation of what was thought to be simple processes is necessary. Of particular relevance are a number of studies on the initial oxidation regime which have implicated the interface reaction as the dominating process(9-17) for SiO. films thinner than 100nm.

The purpose of this letter is to show that a key step in the interface reaction process is the emission of electrons from Si to SiO₂. This idea was suggested in a number of earlier studies(14,17-20), but quantification has not been possible because the appropriate experimental oxidation data has only recently become available.

Calculations comparing the maximum diffusion flux of oxygen with oxidant flux obtained from the experimental oxidation rates have shown that transport is not rate limiting below at least 100nm(10,18-20). Random walk calculations and a consideration of the thermodynamic potentials for transport and the interface

З

reaction further support that the interface reaction must be considered in the Si oxidation process(18-20). A steady state between these two processes likely occurs with the interface reaction having the smaller maximum value. This steady state situation is complex and treated in more detail elsewhere(20).

Recently, there has been substantial experimental evidence supporting the kinetic importance of the interface reaction in the initial regime of oxidation. The very recent extensive oxidation data of Lewis et al. (10,12) using five Si orientations is concordant with previous studies(9,13) that show the oxidation rate order parallels the Si atom areal density for the initial oxidation regime. Perhaps more significant is the new finding the the rates of oxidation are orientation dependent even well beyond 100nm SiO_e growth(10).

Two recent studies have strongly suggested the importance of the availability of electrons to the oxidation mechanism. The study of photon enhanced oxidation by Young and Tiller(14) shows that there are three regimes of photon energy that enhance the oxidation rate. Photon energies above 3eV show large enhancements of more than ten fold compared with lower energies. The 3eV and higher energy photons likely excite electrons over the Si-SiO₂ barrier. The 5eV and greater energy radiation decomposes O₄ to atomic oxygen with a resulting enhancement compared to the 3eV energies. The recent work by d'Heurle et al.(15) and Frampton et al.(16) shows that different metal silicides, that are oxidized under conditions which preserve the silicide film and form only

 $S_{i}O_{e}$, display oxidation rates in the order dictated by the availability of free electrons on the silicide surface. This finding supports previous studies by Cros(17) which strongly suggested that the higher density of electrons at the Fermi level was influential in determining the Si oxidation rate.

The control of the oxidation kinetics at the Si-SiO_e interface, plus the requirement for electrons enables the following formulation of a new Si oxidation model based on thermionic emission of electrons from Si into SiO_e. Thermionic emission of electrons in terms of the electron flux, F_{et} , is governed by the Richardson-Dushman equation which may be written as:

$$F_{et} = AT^{e} \exp(-\chi_{e}/kT) \qquad (2)$$

where A is the Richardson constant, T is absolute temperature, and χ_s is the barrier height which for metals extends from the Fermi energy to vacuum for thermionic emission to vacuum. For the case of emission from Si to SiO₂, we modify the situation by considering electron emission from levels in Si that have sufficient electrons for the oxidation reaction. From the band diagram in Figure 1, several options are seen for the promotion of an electron from Si to the SiO₂ conduction band. The emission from the densely populated Si valence band requires about 4.25eV, from the intrinsic Fermi level of Si about 3.70eV, and from the Si conduction band about 3.15eV is required.

The model assumes that the electron flux into SiO₂ is rate limiting and governed by the Richardson equation. We show first that this choice for the rate limiting flux is reasonable, and then the specific mechanism steps are presented. Depicted in Figure 2 are the fluxes now considered. It was previously shown(10,18-20) that the maximum diffusive flux of oxidant, F(D), is larger than the experimental flux of O₂, F(exp). Before we focus on the assumed rate limiting __ep, F.., the electron flux to the Si surface, F., must be considered. This flux can be estimated from the relationships:

 $F_{\bullet} = D_{\bullet} N_{\bullet} / L_{\bullet} \quad (3)$

 $D_{e} = u_{e}(kT/e)$ (4)

where D. and N. are the electron diffusivity and concentration, respectively, μ_{\bullet} is the electron mobility, and L. is the distance into the bulk Si away from the oxidized surface. Using literature values for μ_{\bullet} and N., it is found that even at L. values greater than 10³ nm the electron flux is 10⁶ times larger than the oxidation rate. Thus, with the three flux situation in Figure 2, only the electron flux over the barrier, F., remains for evaluation.

In order to establish that $F_{\bullet,\bullet}$ is a possible rate limiting flux, the values of χ_{\bullet} that yield values for $F_{\bullet,\bullet}$ which are identical to the experimental oxidation flux, F(exp) are

calculated. The χ_{\circ} values required for the equivalency of F.: with F(exp) are shown in Table 1 for several oxidation temperatures. Several points are made from this Table. First, and most important, is the finding that at all temperatures the calculated value for χ_{\bullet} corresponding to the very initial SiO₂ growth regime is within about 0.2eV of the barrier of 3.15eV from the bottom of the Si conduction band. While this result seems impressive, it should be remembered that a value of 0.2eV alters the electron flux, $F_{\bullet, t}$, by about 10^t. The agreement could be physically significant, however, considering that the position of the SiO_e band edge has at least tenths of an eV uncertainty at room temperature, and at the higher temperatures is more uncertain. Based on experiments on the Al-SiO₂ interface(22) in which it is observed that the barrier is apparently lowered at elevated temperatures, it is quite likely that the Si-SiO₂ barrier is also lowered at higher temperatures. However, it is also known that the Si band gap decreases at elevated temperatures(21), thereby populating higher levels in the Si conduction band. Thus the precise definition of the band edges is uncertain to at least several tenths of an eV. Next is the result that the (110) orientation, the fastest oxidizing Si surface in the initial regime consistently displays the lowest χ_o . It is clear that this difference is forced by the requirement of the equivalence of the fluxes. An independent measurement of the barrier on different orientations would provide confirmation for the proposed model. There is the general result that for all

temperatures and orientations there is an apparent increase in χ_* for the larger film thicknesses. This is most noticeable for the higher oxidation temperatures where, due to experimental difficulties, there is no reliable data available for SiO₂ less than 5nm. This effect is also likely an artifact due to the growing importance of other kinetically significant processes such as transport of oxidant, film stress or the reduction of the oxidant concentration at the interface.

The question whether there are sufficient electrons in the Si conduction band to permit F., to control the rate is answered affirmatively for any temperature above room temperature. At room temperature there is near equivalence at about 10¹ cm⁻² for both the number of electrons required considering one per O_{2} molecule and actually present. Another question arises from the observation that even at room temperature, a lnm native oxide grows rapidly on the Si surface and then oxidation is slow up to 2nm where oxidation essentially stops unless impurities are present or the temperature is raised. The question is how does this oxide grow without sufficient electrons (or at least marginally sufficient) and then why does it stop? This problem is understood if we consider that a restricted number of electrons are available in defect states on the Si surface, ie. so called surface states. Such states are known to trap electrons(23), and thus provide a limited source of electrons other than by emission over the barrier (perhaps by a tunneling mechanism for example). In order to form inm of SiO₂ per cm²

requires about 2 x 10¹⁵ electrons at one electron per SiO₂ molecule. This number is approximately equal to the experimentally determined number of surface states per cm² on a freshly cleaved Si surface(24). Thus an initial native oxide about 1nm thick would have sufficient electrons available to form rapidly and without high temperatures, as is observed. It follows that once the 1nm SiO₂ forms, the surface states are reduced to below the 10¹⁰ cm⁻² level, the barrier is formed, and the emission process over the barrier is rate limiting.

With the flux of electrons as the rate limiting step, the oxidation mechanism is envisioned to have the following four main steps with step two being rate limiting:

1. In the Si conduction band:

Si \rightarrow Si[•] + e⁻

2. At the Si-SiO_e barrier:

 $e^{-}(Si C.B.) \rightarrow e^{-}(SiO_{e} C.B.)$

3. In SiO₂:

 $0_{e} + e^{-} \rightarrow 0^{-} + 0$

4. The interfacial reaction:

 $Si^* + 0^- + 0 \rightarrow Si0_{a}$

Accordingly, both the formation and reaction of atomic O is important in this mechanism. The electron attachment reaction to O₂ is not only a favored thermodynamical process, but also the resulting molecular ion, O₂-, has a lower dissociation energy thereby producing more atomic O species(25). The importance of the reaction of atomic oxygen with Si has been discussed by Ghez and van der Meulen(26) to explain the pressure dependence of the oxidation rate, by Blanc(27) to explain the unusually fast initial oxidation regime and by Irene(28) to explain the curvature of Arrhenius plots of the initial regime rate constant.

With this thermionic model, several perplexing facts about Si oxidation in the very initial oxidation regime become obviated. The observed sensitivity of the oxidation kinetics to impurities and indeed to the cleaning process for the Si wafers(29,30) is understood in the context of recent studies that shows that changes in barrier heights of tenths of an eV are measured for nickel silicides on silicon when interfacial defects and common impurities such as C are present(31). The rapid formation of an initial oxide of about lnm on Si with the subsequent decrease in rate to low values requiring high temperatures for oxidation to proceed measurably is also explained.

The authors gratefully acknowledge useful discussions with W.A. Tiller and F.M. d'Heurle. This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research (ONR).

References

, F

日日日日日日日日

194 194 1

4

64

1.	E.A. Lewis and E.A. Irene, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A, 4, 916 (1986).
2.	S. Rigo, "Instabilities in Silicon Devices: Silicon Passivation and Related Instabilities," Eds. G. Barbotten and A. Vapaille, Elsevier (1986) Chapter 1, "Silica Films on Silicon."
3.	A. Atkinson, "Transport Processes during the Growth of Oxide Films at Elevated Temperatures," Rev. Mod. Phys., <u>57</u> , 439 (1985).
4.	E.A. Irene, Semiconductor International, p. 99, April 1983, and p. 92, June 1985.
5.	E.A. Irene, "Passivity of Metals and Semiconductors," Ed. Michel Froment, Elsevier (1983), p. 11.
6.	B.E. Deal and A.S. Grove, J. Appl. Phys., <u>36</u> , 3770 (1965).
7.	W.A. Pliskin, IBM J. Res. Dev., <u>10</u> , 198 (1966).
8.	A.G. Revesz, K.H. Zaininger and R.J. Evans, Appl. Phys. Lett., <u>8</u> , 57 (1966).
9.	H.Z. Massoud, J.D. Plummer and E.A. Irene, J. Electrochem. Soc., <u>132</u> , 745, 2685, 2693 (1985).
10.	E.A. Lewis and E.A. Irene, J. Electrochem. Soc., in press (1987).
11.	W.A. Tiller, J. Electrochem. Soc., <u>127</u> , 625 (1980).
12.	E.A. Lewis, E. Kobeda and E.A. Irene, "Semiconductor Silicon 1986," Eds. H.R. Huff, T. Abe and B. Kolbesen, p. 416.
13.	E.A. Irene, H.Z. Massoud and E. Tierney, J. Electrochem. Soc., <u>133</u> , 1253 (1986).
14.	E.M. Young and W.A. Tiller, Appl. Phys. Lett., <u>42</u> , 63 (1983); <u>50</u> , 80 (1987).
15.	F.M. d'Heurle, A. Cros, R.D. Frampton and E.A. Irene, Phil. Mag. B, <u>55</u> , 291 (1987).
16.	R.D. Frampton, E.A. Irene and F.M. d'Heurle, J. Appl. Phys., submitted for publication 1987.
17.	A. Cros, J. Physique, <u>44</u> , 707 (1983).
18.	E.A. Irene, Phil. Mag. B, <u>55</u> , 131 (1987).
19.	E.A. Irene, presented at Oct. 1986 Electrochemical Soc. Meeting, Abs. 364.
20.	E.A. Irene and R. Ghez, Applied Surface Science, in press (1987).
21.	S.M. Sze, "Physics of Semiconductor Devices," Wiley, 1981.

DOWN

- 22. M. AvRon, M. Shatzkes, T.H. Di Stefano and R.A. Gdula, J.Appl. Phys., 52, 2907 (1981).
- E.H. Poindexter, P.J. Caplan, J.J. Finnegan, N.M. Johnson, D.K. Bieglsen and M.D. Moyer, in "Physics of MOS Insulators," G. Lucovsky, S.T. Pantelides and F.L. Galeener, Eds., Pergamon, N.Y., 1980, p. 326.
- 24. E.H. Nicollian and J.R. Brews, in "MOS (Metal Oxide Semiconductor) Physics and Technology," Wiley, 1982.
- 25. L.M. Chanin, A.V. Phelps and M.A. Biondi, Phys. Rev., 128, 219 (1962).
- 26. R. Ghez and Y.J. van der Meulen, J. Electrochem. Soc., 119, 1100, (1972).
- 27. J. Blanc, Appl. Phys. Lett., 33, 424, (1978).
- 28. E.A. Irene, Appl. Phys. Lett., 40, 74 (1982).

- F.N. Schwettman, K.L. Chiang and W.A. Brown, 153rd," Electrochemical Soc. Meeting, Abs. 276, May 1978.
- 30. G. Gould and E.A. Irene, J. Electrochem. Soc., (1987).
- 31. M. Liehr, P.E. Schmid, F.K. LeGoues and P.S. Ho, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A, 4, 855, (1986).

List of Tables

..

4 5 1,4 Table 1. The barrier heights, χ_{\circ} , yielding a thermionic electron flux, F., equal to the experimental O₂ flux, F(exp). Data from references 9 and 10.

HARRINA

0500000000000000000000

List of Figures

•

Figure 1. Energy band diagram for Si-SiO.

2

Figure 2. The fluxes for the thermionic emission model: F(D), the diffusive flux; F., the electron flux; and F., the thermionic emission flux of electrons.

Tab	le	1
-----	----	---

.

2

.

Oxidation Temperature	Si Orientation	Oxidation Rate	SiO _e Thickness Range	X۰
(°C)	ana na mangana mangana mang kika minakan sa kika minakan sa kika minakan sa sa kika sa sa sa sa sa sa	(nm/min)	(המ)	(eV)
600	(100)	0.0004	2-3	2.87
	(110)	0.0012	2.5-6	2.79
650	(100)	0.014	2.5-7	2.95
	(110)	0.041	2.5-10	2.86
700	(100)	0.014	2.5	2.92
		0.0057	10	3.00
		0.0043	20	3.02
	(110)	0.033	2.5	2.85
		0.014	10	2.92
		0.0094	20	2.96
750	(100)	0.028	5	3.02
		0.024	10	3 . 03
		0.019	20	3. 0 6
	(110)	0.057	5	2.96
		0.035	10	3.00
		0.024	20	3.04
1000	(100)	1.79	7	3.35
		0.9	20	3.43
		0.27	100	3.56
	(110)	3.45	9	3.28
		1.74	20	3.35
		0.4	100	3.52

Si-SiO. Band Diagram





-



 $F_{et} = AT^{e} exp(-\chi_{e}/kT)$

