



CROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A DOT/FAA/CT-86/22

FAA TECHNICAL CENTER Atlantic City Airport N.J. 08405

An Investigation of the FAA Vertical Bunsen Burner Flammability Test Method

Patricia Cahill

August 1986

Final Report

This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.



Federal Aviation Administration



11

3

056

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report.

1. Report No. 2. Government Accession No. 3. Received No. DDT/PAA/CT=86/22 10-,1/73 #60 3. Respect Date 4. Title and Subtrifie 10-,1/73 #60 5. Respect Date AN INVESTIGATION OF THE FAA VERTICAL BUNSEN BURNER 5. Respect Date AN INVESTIGATION OF THE FAA VERTICAL BUNSEN BURNER 6. Performing Organization Code 7. Author's) Pat Cahill 0. Vertoming Organization Report No. 9. Performing Organization Report No. 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) Pederal Aviation Administration 10. Work Unit No. Technical Center Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 11. Contract or Grant No. 13. Type of Resport and Period Covered 13. Type of Resport and Period Covered 14. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Resport and Period Covered 15. Supplementary Nermi 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Aburset 15. Supplementary Nermi 16. Aburset 11. Contract or Grant No. 17. Server (City Airport, New Jersey 08405 13. Type of Resport and Period Covered 16. Aburset 11. Contract or Grant No. 17. Server (City Airport, New Jersey 08405 14. Sponsoring Agency Code <td< th=""><th>1. Report No.</th><th></th><th></th></td<>	1. Report No.		
4. Trils and Subtric A. Report Date AN INVESTIGATION OF THE FAA VERTICAL BUNSEN BURNER A. Report Date FlahMABILITY TEST METHOD C. Performing Organization Code 7. Auhorist Pat Cahill 9. Performing Organization None and Address. DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 9. Performing Organization Administration Technical Center Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) 12. Separation Agency None and Address. 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) 12. Separation Agency None and Address. 11. Contract of Grant No. 13. Supplementary News and Address. 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 14. Sponsoring Agency None and Address. 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 15. Supplementary News and Address. 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary News 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 16. Aburdet The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix P of the PR 15. Supplementary Nets 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 16. Aburdet The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in order to update and clarify coprotein site and clarify coprotein site and clarify coprotein site and severe evaluation or specifien or shows and address. 16. Aburdet The vertical Burne thel, flame temperature specification is meaningless witho		2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
4. Trils and Subtril: A. NUVESTIGATION OF THE FAA VERTICAL BUNSEN BURNER A. Rugust 1986 AN INVESTIGATION OF THE FAA VERTICAL BUNSEN BURNER A. Rugust 1986 PLAMMABILIT TEST METHOD 6. Performing Organisation Code 2. Authorist Pat Cahill DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 9. Performing Organisation Name and Address. 10. Wask Unit No. (TRAIS) Pederal Aviation Administration 11. Contract of Grant No. 12. Spennering Agency News and Address. 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 13. Supplementary News and Address. 14. Spennering Agency News and Address. 14. Spennering Agency News and Address. 14. Spennering Agency Code 15. Supplementary News Jersey 08405 15. Supplementary News 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 16. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix P of the Priod Tract of the Priod Period Covered 16. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in order to update and clarify co 17. Sees, (2) a miniam flame temperature specification is meaningless without of the Priod Statement specification is meaningless without of the Priod Statement specification is meaningless without of specimen's flammability properties. 19. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 10. Ver Words 19. Distribution Statement	DOT/FAA/CT-86/22	10-1173860	
AN INVESTIGATION OF THE PAA VERTICAL BUNSEN BURNER 6. Performing Organization Code PLANMABILITY TEST METHOD Acharisi ?. Awharisi Pat Cahill ?. Awharisi DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 ?. Spensoring Agency Nome and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 12. Spensoring Agency Nome and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 12. Spensoring Agency Nome and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 12. Spensoring Agency Nome and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 13. Type of Report and Periad Covered The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the FA 14. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the FA 14. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in sequence or altert to Brgas, (2) a minimum flame temperature and flame placement or altert to Brgas, (2) a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without a fying thermocouple wire thickness, and (3) placing the flame at the midpoint of lower edge of the front face results in a more		4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	5. Report Date
AN INVESTIGATION OF THE PAA VERTICAL BUNSEN BURNER 6. Performing Organization Code PLANMABILITY TEST METHOD Acharisi ?. Awharisi Pat Cahill ?. Awharisi DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 ?. Spensoring Agency Nome and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 12. Spensoring Agency Nome and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 12. Spensoring Agency Nome and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 12. Spensoring Agency Nome and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 13. Type of Report and Periad Covered The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the FA 14. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the FA 14. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in sequence or altert to Brgas, (2) a minimum flame temperature and flame placement or altert to Brgas, (2) a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without a fying thermocouple wire thickness, and (3) placing the flame at the midpoint of lower edge of the front face results in a more			
7. Author's) 8. Performing Organization Name and Address 9. Performing Organization Name and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 9. Performing Organization Name and Address DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) Performing Organization Name and Address Pederal Aviation Administration 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 11. Centract or Grant No. 13. Supplementation Administration Pinal Report 14. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Pinal Report 15. Supplementation Administration Pinal Report 16. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 17. Sequementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 18. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 19. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 16. Abstract 15. Supplementary Notes 17. Key Words 12. Imme theme temperature specification is mean ingless without a specification code as a replacement or alterry to Bragas, (2) a minium flame temperature specification is mean ingless without a specimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the U.S. publithrough the National Technical Informa	AN INVESTIGATION OF THE FA	AA VERTICAL BUNSEN BURNER	
Pat Cahill DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. (TRAS) Federal Aviation Administration 11. Centract or Grant No. Technical Center 11. Centract or Grant No. Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 12. Spentering Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 14. Spentering Agency Code 14. Abstract 15. Supplementary Notes 15. Supplementary Notes 14. Spenter for the Period Covered in order to update and clarify corporation Regulations - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify corporation areas. Burner fuel, flame temperature and flame placement were investig it was determined that (1) methane gas can be used as a replacement or altert to B-gas, (2) a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without of flame at the midpoint of Dower edge of the front face results in a more realistic and severe evaluation corporations. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 18. Down Words 19. Document is available to the U.S. puble through the National Technical Informa Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 18. Provide Freest 20. Security Classif. of the segent 19. Securit Classif. Alt his report 20. Security Classif. of the segent <t< td=""><th>FLAMMABILITY TEST METHOD</th><td></td><td>ACT-350</td></t<>	FLAMMABILITY TEST METHOD		ACT-350
Pat Cahill DOT/FAA/CT-86/22 ?. Performing Organization Nome and Address ID. Wark Unit No. (TRAIS) Federal Aviation Address ID. Wark Unit No. (TRAIS) Technical Center Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 12. Sponsong Agency Nome and Address ID. Wark Unit No. (TRAIS) 12. Sponsong Agency Nome and Address ID. Wark Unit No. (TRAIS) 13. Type of Report and Period Covered ID. Wark Unit No. (TRAIS) 7. Sopharment of Transportation Final Report 14. Sponsong Agency Nome and Address ID. Wark Unit No. (TRAIS) 7. Sopharment of Transportation Final Report 15. Supplementary Notes Id. Sponsong Agency Code 16. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the For Aviation Regulations - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify or problem areas. Burner fuel, flame temperature as pecification is meaningless without of fying thermocouple wire thickness, and (3) placing the flame at the midpoint of lower edge of the front face results in a more realistic and severe evaluation of specimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words IB. Distribution Statement 18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Informa Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 17. Key Words IB. Seconty Clastit, Isf Was report 20. Secon			8. Performing Organization Report No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Address 10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS) Pederal Aviation Administration 11. Contract or Grant No. Technical Center 11. Contract or Grant No. Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Center 14. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Final Report Technical Center 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 16. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 17. Key Works 19. Adstract 18. Abstract 19. Adstract 19. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 19. Adstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 10. Marc		_	
Federal Aviation Administration Technical Center Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 12. Sponsoning Agency Name and Address 12. Sponsoning Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Coverce 14. Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abstract 17. Seventeen to firm function 18. Abstract 19. Abstract 10. Abstract 10. Abstract 11. Contract or Grant No. 13. Type of Report and Period Coverce 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abstract 17. Seventeen to first functions - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify control to repace and clarify con			
Technical Genter 11. Contract of Grant No. Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 12. Sponsoning Agency New and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 14. Sponsoning Agency Code 14. Sponsoning Agency New and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 15. Supplementary News 14. Sponsoning Agency Code 15. Supplementary News 14. Sponsoning Agency Code 16. Abstract 14. Sponsoning Agency Code 17. Kay Moda 15. Supplementary News 18. Abstract 19. Optimized in order to update and clarify cover to appendix P of the Fermination of the ferminatio			10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 14. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 16. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 17. Key Words 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 17. Station Regulations - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify code 18. Abstract 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abstract 16. Abstract 17. Wes determined that (1) methane gas can be used as a replacement or alterrist to B-gas, (2) a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without a lower edge of the front face results in a more realistic and severe evaluation or specimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement Flammability Tests Document is available to the U.S. publither on the competition of the rough the National Technical Informa Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 18. Secury Classifield Fire Safety 20. Secury Classifield this segel 21. No. of Pages 22. Price		Cation	11 Contract on Grant No.
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address 13. Type of Report and Period Covered 13. Supplementary Name and Address 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 16. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 17. Secondary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 18. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 19. Abstract 15. Supplementary Notes 10. Abstract 16. Abstract 11. Type of Report and Period Coverest 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 10. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 11. Specification Regulations - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify comproblem areas. Burner fuel, flame temperature specification is meaningless without a fying thermocouple wire thickness, and (3) placing the flame at the midpoint of lower edge of the front face results in a more realistic and severe evaluation of apecimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 17. Key Words 19. Distribution Statement 17. Key Words 19. Distribution Statement 18. Distribution Statement Information and action and		Jersey 08405	
12. Spensoring Agency Name and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration Technical Center Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abstract 16. Abstract 16. Abstract 17. Key Words 18. Supplementary Notes 19. Supplementary Notes 10. Abstract 10. Abstract 11. Abstract 12. Provide State		a actocy adams	13. Type of Report and Pariod Covered
U.S. Department of Transportation Final Report Pederal Aviation Administration 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 16. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 17. Supplementary Notes 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 18. Abstract 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 19. Abstract 15. Supplementary Notes 10. Abstract 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abstract 16. Abstract 17. Key Words 11. Methane Egas can be used as a replacement or alterrist to Brags, (2) a minimum flame temperature apecification is meaningless without of flame thermocouple wire thickness, and (3) placing the flame at the midpoint of lower edge of the front face results in a more realistic and severe evaluation of specimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement Flammability Tests Document is available to the U.S. publithrough the National Technical Informa Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 Aircraft Fire Safety 20. Security Clastif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price	12. Spansoring Agency Name and Address	s	
Federal Aviation Administration Final Report Technical Center 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abstract 16. Abstract 16. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the Fe Aviation Regulations - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify comproblem areas. Burner fuel, flame temperature and flame placement were investig It was determined that (1) methane gas can be used as a replacement or alterr to B-gas, (2) a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without a flame the thickness, and (3) placing the flame at the midpoint of lower edge of the front face results in a more realistic and severe evaluation of specimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 17. Key Words 19. Distribution Statement 18. Distribution Statement Comment is available to the U.S. puble through the National Technical Informa Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 19. Security Clastification (last this report) 20. Security Clastification the page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price			
Technical Center 14. Sponsoring Agency Code Atlantic City Airport, New Jersey 08405 14. Sponsoring Agency Code 15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abstract 16. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the Ford Aviation Regulations - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify or problem areas. Burner fuel, flame temperature and flame placement were investig It was determined that (1) methane gas can be used as a replacement or alterrito B-gas, (2) a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without a lower edge of the front face results in a more realistic and severe evaluation of specimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 18. Distribution Statement 19. Document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Informa Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 19. Security Clastif, for this report 20. Security Clastif, for this page! 21. No. of Pages 22. Price			Final Report
15. Supplementary Notes 16. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the Fa Aviation Regulations - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify or problem areas. Burner fuel, flame temperature and flame placement were investig it was determined that (1) methane gas can be used as a replacement or alterr to B-gas, (2) a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without a fying thermocouple wire thickness, and (3) placing the flame at the midpoint or specimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 19. Key Words 19. Distribution Statement 10. Key Words 10. Distribution Statement 11. Key Words 11. Distribution Statement 12. Key Words 12. No. of Pages 13. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. of this spage! 21. No. of Pages 22. Proce	Technical Center		
16. Abstract The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the Fed Aviation Regulations - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify or problem areas. Burner fuel, flame temperature and flame placement were investig it was determined that (1) methane gas can be used as a replacement or altern to B-gas, (2) a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without of fying thermocouple wire thickness, and (3) placing the flame at the midpoint of lower edge of the front face results in a more realistic and severe evaluation of specimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement 19. Key Words 19. Distribution Statement 19. Key Words 19. Distribution Statement 19. Key Words 10. Distribution Statement 19. Key Words 10. Distribution Statement 10. Security Classif. (a) this report) 20. Security Classif. (a) this page! 21. No. of Pages 22. Price	Atlantic City Airport, New	√ Jersey 08405	
The vertical Bunsen burner test method, as specified in appendix F of the Fe Aviation Regulations - Part 25, was evaluated in order to update and clarify comproblem areas. Burner fuel, flame temperature and flame placement were investig. It was determined that (1) methane gas can be used as a replacement or alterrito B-gas, (2) a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without a fying thermocouple wire thickness, and (3) placing the flame at the midpoint of lower edge of the front face results in a more realistic and severe evaluation appecimen's flammability properties. 17. Key Words Il. Distribution Statement 17. Key Words Il. Distribution Statement 17. Key Words Il. Distribution Statement 18. Distribution Statement Il. Distribution Statement 19. Key Words Il. Distribution Statement 19. Key Words Il. Distribution Statement 10. Key Words Il. Distribution Statement 11. Key Words Il. Distribution Statement 12. Key Words Il. Distribution Statement 13. The temperature Document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Informa Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 14. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price	15. Supplementary Notes		
17. Key Words 18. Distribution Statement Flammability Tests Document is available to the U.S. puble Burner Fuel Document is available to the U.S. puble Flame Temperature through the National Technical Informations Flame Placement Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 Aircraft Fire Safety 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fu It was determined that ()	rt 25, was evaluated in ord el, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati chickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati chickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati chickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati chickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati chickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati chickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati chickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Flammability TestsBurner FuelFlame TemperatureFlame PlacementAircraft Fire Safety19. Security Classif. (of this report)20. Security Classif. (of this page)21. No. of Pages22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that () to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f	rt 25, was evaluated in ordel, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- he flame at the midpoint of the
Burner Fuel Document is available to the U.S. puble Flame Temperature through the National Technical Information Flame Placement Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 Aircraft Fire Safety 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page)	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that (I to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front for specimen's flammability pr	rt 25, was evaluated in ord el, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- the flame at the midpoint of the stic and severe evaluation of the
Flame Temperature Information for the state of the	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that (I to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front for specimen's flammability pr	rt 25, was evaluated in ord el, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative on is meaningless without speci- the flame at the midpoint of the stic and severe evaluation of the
Flame Placement Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 Aircraft Fire Safety 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page)	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that (I to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front for specimen's flammability pr 17. Key Words Flammability Tests	rt 25, was evaluated in ord el, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative for is meaningless without speci- the flame at the midpoint of the stic and severe evaluation of the
Aircraft Fire Safety 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that (I to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f specimen's flammability pr 17. Key Words Flammability Tests Burner Fuel	rt 25, was evaluated in ord el, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative for is meaningless without speci- the flame at the midpoint of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe evaluation of the
19. Security Classif. (of this report) 20. Security Classif. (of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that (I to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f specimen's flammability pr 17. Key Words Flammability Tests Burner Fuel Flame Temperature	rt 25, was evaluated in ord el, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative for is meaningless without speci- the flame at the midpoint of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe avaluation of the stic available to the U.S. public National Technical Information
Unclassified Unclassified	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that (I to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f specimen's flammability pr 17. Key Words Flammability Tests Burner Fuel Flame Temperature Flame Placement	rt 25, was evaluated in ord el, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative for is meaningless without speci- the flame at the midpoint of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe avaluation of the stic available to the U.S. public National Technical Information
Unclassified Unclassified	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that (I to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f specimen's flammability pr 17. Key Words Flammability Tests Burner Fuel Flame Temperature Flame Placement Aircraft Fire Safety	rt 25, was evaluated in ord el, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative for is meaningless without speci- the flame at the midpoint of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe avaluation of the stic and severe avaluation of the stic and severe avaluation of the stic available to the U.S. public available to the U.S. public statement available to the U.S. public available to the U.S. public statement available to the U.S. public available to the U.S. public available to the U.S. public available to the U.S. public
	Aviation Regulations - Pa problem areas. Burner fur It was determined that (I to B-gas, (2) a minimum f fying thermocouple wire t lower edge of the front f specimen's flammability pr 17. Key Words Flammability Tests Burner Fuel Flame Temperature Flame Temperature Flame Placement Aircraft Fire Safety 19. Security Classif. (of this report)	rt 25, was evaluated in ord el, flame temperature and fl l) methane gas can be used lame temperature specificati thickness, and (3) placing t ace results in a more realis coperties.	er to update and clarify certain ame placement were investigated. as a replacement or alternative for is meaningless without speci- the flame at the midpoint of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe evaluation of the stic and severe avaluation of the stic and severe avaluation of the stic and severe avaluation of the stic available to the U.S. public available to the U.S. public statement available to the U.S. public statement available to the U.S. public available to the U.S. public statement available to the U.S. public statement available to the U.S. public available to the U.S. public

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

10.55

ł

Reproduction of completed page authorized

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	rage
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	v
INTRODUCTION	1
Purpose Background	1 1
DISCUSSION	2
Burner Fuel Flame Temperature Flame Placement	2 4 6
SUMMARY OF RESULTS	8
CONCLUSIONS	9
REFERENCES	9

APPENDIX

1.1

.

C Ì,

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
1 B-Gas Flames	6
2 Methane Gas Flames	7
3 Average Flame Temperatures	8
4 Effect of Flame Placement on Composite Burn Results (Thickness 1/8, 1/4 Inch)	9
5 Effect of Flame Placement on Composite Burn Results (Thickness 3/8, 1/2 Inch)	10
6 Effect of Flame Placement on Composite Burn Results (Thickness 3/4, 1 Inch)	11
7 Effect of Flame Placement on Composite Burn Results (Front Face, Back Face)	12
8 Effect of Flame Placement on Foam Burn Results	13

12. A.S.

DTIC TAS Unannoute Justifi. ----By..... Distribution Availabilis Avail consta Spuricl Dist A

Accession For NTIS GRADE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report contains the results of an evaluation of the vertical Bunsen burner test method as specified in appendix F of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 25. Burner fuel, flame placement, and flame temperature were evaluated.

The currently used burner fuel, B-gas, was compared to methane gas. Test results show that burn length and flame time are similar for both gases when the gases are fresh. However, a chemical reaction occurs with aging in B-gas between carbon monoxide and the iron in the steel cylinder, making it difficult to consistently regulate flame height. This problem is eliminated with the use of methane gas.

Flame placement was evaluated for test specimens ranging up to 1 inch in thickness. It was found that placing the flame at the midpoint of the lower edge of the front face resulted in a more realistic and severe evaluation of the test specimen's flammability properties. This applies to all specimens regardless of thickness. With the exception of foam, burn results show that it is necessary to test both front and back faces of test specimens 1/2 inch and greater to ensure a realistic assessment of surface flammability.

Flame temperature experiments were carried out using various thermocouple gauge sizes. Test results show that an inverse relationship exist between flame temperature and thermocouple gauge size. Therefore, a minimum flame temperature specification is meaningless without specifying the thermocouple gauge size.

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE.

STATES STATES

The purpose of this report is to present the results of an investigation of the vertical Bunsen burner test method as specified in appendix F of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 25.

BACKGROUND.

In 1951, Federal Specification CCC-T-191b, "Textile Test Methods" was issued for government procurement purposes. It included a horizontal flammability test for cloth, Method 5906, and a vertical flammability test for cloth, Method 5902, whose general apparatus and procedures were eventually used in Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations.

In 1967, Amendment 15 for FAR Part 25 was issued by the FAA. This Amendment did not reference the CCC-T-191b methods, but instead, documented the test procedure with reference to Method 5902 in appendix F of FAR 25.

In 1968, Federal Test Method Standard (FTMS) 191 replaced CCC-T-191b. Method 5903 replaced Method 5902 which was dropped at the time of this change. Method 5903 differed from Method 5902 in that it specified a particular gas mixture for use as burner fuel.

The vertical Bunsen burner test method has evolved into a certification and quality control flammability test for a wide variety of aircraft interior materials (honeycomb, composites, plastic sheets, foams, fiberglass insulation, etc). It has been proven to be an effective and convenient test procedure. Nonetheless, over the years, a number of problem areas have arisen, some traceable to the originial test procedure being intended for cloth materials, that create inconsistencies in data. This report presents an investigation of several of the most pertinent problem areas with the aim of producing a more clear and concise test procedure that will reduce the likelihood of ambiguous or inconsistent data.

DISCUSSION

BURNER FUEL.

Appendix F of FAR Part 25 specifies a particular gas mixture for use as Bunsen burner fuel by reference to FTMS-191 - Method 5903, "Flame Resistance of Cloth; Vertical." This specific gas mixture is commonly referred to as B-gas. However, it is sold by different trade names, depending on the gas producer. As an example, Matheson Gas Products call the gas mixture "Flame Resistance of Cloth Test Gas." Components of the gas mixture are specified as follows:

> Hydrogen $55 \pm 1\%$ Methane $24 \pm 1\%$ Ethane $3 \pm 1\%$ Carbon Monoxide $18 \pm 1\%$

Many testing facilities have stated that B-gas produces inconsistent flame characteristics from cylinder to cylinder. Furthermore, this inconsistency is reflected in variable test results. Evaluation of the B-gas has verified that inconsistent flame characteristics do indeed exist from cylinder to cylinder. Figure 1, photographs a, b, and c, show actual B-gas flames from three different cylinders of various ages (age listed under each photograph). The characteristics of the three B-gas flames are vividly different. Photograph c shows an especially elongated and intensely orange-spiked flame while a double cone formation is shown in photograph b. Laboratory evaluation of both flames confirmed the presence of an iron oxide compound (Fe₂ O₃) emanating from the flame. Fe₂ O₃ is a product of the reaction:

2 Fe (CO)₅ + 6 0_2 ---> Fe₂ 0_3 + 9CO₂ + CO

Iron pentacarbonyl is a colorless to yellow oily liquid and is formed by the reaction of carbon monoxide (CO) with iron (Fe). The primary source of iron is the steel cylinder in which the B-gas is contained. In effect, what is seen in photograph c of figure l is the atomic spectrum of iron, while photograph b depicts an intermediate stage. As the cylinder ages, more iron pentacarbonyl is formed, ultimately resulting in the flame characteristic seen in photograph c. The formation of a spike or double cone in the burner flame makes it difficult to adjust the burner to give a flame of l 1/2 inches in height as specified in appendix F of FAR, Part 25. More importantly, as the flame characteristics are altered with age, the intensity of the flame and the resulting material sample burn characteristics will also change.

Methane gas of 99 percent purity was tested as a substitute for B-gas. Referring to figure 2, note the well defined diffusion flame of the methane gas. The blue reaction zone can be seen on the outside of the luminous carbon zone, and reaches to the top of the flame. Flame height is well defined and easily regulated as a result of this well defined flame tip. In order to compare B-gas with methane, various materials were tested. Referring to table 1, it can be seen that burn lengths and flame times are very similar for both gases.

FLAME TEMPERATURE.

Appendix F of FAR, Part 25 requires that the minimum flame temperature in the center of the flame be at least 1550° F for the vertical burn test. This temperature minimum is also required for the horizontal and 45-degree tests. The 60-degree test specifies a minimum temperature of 1750° F in the hottest portion of the flame. A calibrated thermocouple pyrometer is specified for temperature measurement. However, no particular thermocouple gauge size is required.

CCC 1.123

A number of experiments, employing five different gauge size thermocouples, were performed in order to examine flame temperature in the center of the flame. Flame height (1 1/2 inches), gas delivery pressure (2 1/2 \pm 1/4 pounds psi), and all other requirements were followed according to the rule. An in-line needle valve was used to regulate gas flow. The Bunsen burner base had a 1.5 mm orifice diameter. Other bases with orifice diameters as small as 0.67 mm were evaluated with no significant test result differences. However, the base with the 1.5 mm orifice produced a conical flame with complete flame impingement around the burner mouth and, therefore, was used in all testing. The thermocouples were inserted into the flame horizontally. For comparison, B-gas and methane flame temperatures were evaluated. From figure 3, it can be seen that flame temperature and thermocouple gauge size are inversely proportional to each other. The 36-gauge Chromel Alumel thermocouple reflects the highest average temperature for both gases while the lowest average temperature is seen with the 20-gauge thermocouple.

TABLE 1. VERTICAL BURN TEST RESULTS

いいとう

1100 JULY 110

				e of 3 Samp	
	NECONT NETON	Flame Tin			<u>gth (in.)</u>
SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION	Methane	B-gas	Methane	B-gas
Carpet	90/10	2.7	2.2	1.3	1.2
	Wool Nylon				
	Warp Dir.*				
Carpet	90/10	2.4	1.9	1.2	1.2
-	Wool Nylon				
	Weft Dir.*				
Carpet	85/15	6.1	7.0	2.4	2.7
	Wool Nylon				
	Warp Dir.				
Carpet	85/15	3.6	4.0	2.1	2.3
-	Wool Nylon				
	Weft Dir.				
Upholstery	90/10	1.0	0.7	2.1	1.6
. ,	Wool Nylon				
Drapery	35/65	0	0	3.3	2.7
brapery	Wool Synthetic	Ū	Ū	5.5	2
Ceiling Panel	Tedlar Finish	0	0	3.3	3.2
Gerring raner	Bpoxy/Fiberglass Facings Phenolic/Nomex Core	v	U	J•J) . L
Sidewall Panel		0	0	3.4	3.8
	Tedlar Finish Epoxy/Fiberglass Facings				
	Phenolic/Nomex Core				
Partition	Tedlar <u>Finish</u> Epoxy/Fiberglass <u>Pacings</u>	0	0	1.9	1.6
	Phenolic/Nomex Core				
Stowbin Shelf	Tedlar <u>Finish</u> Epoxy/Fiberglass Facings	0	0	1.5	1.1
	Phenolic/Nomex Core				
Flooring	Carbon/Epoxy Facings	0	0	1.6	2.1
	Nomex/Phenolic <u>Core</u>				

* Tested in warp direction - yarns extended lengthwise

* Tested in weft direction - crossing yarns

It is known that wire (in this case, thermocouple wire) will normally be cooler than the flame gases, owing to heat losses by radiation, conduction, and convection. Thus, as the thickness of the thermocouple wire is decreased, the closer the measurment to the real flame temperature. There is obviously a limit set by the mechanical strength of the wire for the smallest diameter thermocouple wire that can be practically utilized; therefore, nothing finer than 36-gauge wire was tested.

Referring to figure 3, both the B-gas and methane flames show an average temperature of 2300° F in the center of the flame when measured with the 36-gauge thermocouple. According to Hassian and Russel, theoretical flame temperature for methane exceeds 3000° F (reference 2), thus, the measurement was reasonable and, perhaps, still below the true flame temperature.

FLAME PLACEMENT.

According to appendix F of FAR Part 25, the flame for the vertical burn test must be applied to the center line of the lower edge of the specimen. This is an ambiguous statement since it does not specify a point, but a line.

While initially a cloth materials test, the vertical burn test now encompasses interior aircraft composite materials such as class partitions which can range over one inch in thickness. For this reason, flame placement must be clearly identified.

Interior ceiling panels, wall panels, cabinet walls, structural flooring, etc., are generally sandwich composites. The composites are fabricated by bonding resin systems such as epoxies or phenolics to a honeycomb core material with an adhesive. While core materials such as balsa, glass, and aluminum are used in certain applications, core material used in aircraft composite panels is primarily an aromatic polyamide sold under the trade name of Nomex[™]. Testing with the Bunsen burner has shown Nomex honeycomb core to be self-extinguishing.

At the present time, the burner is positioned under the geometric center of the bottom surface of the test specimen. This is common practice in most test facilities. However, testing has shown that placing the flame on the midpoint of the lower edge of the front face produces test results that best represent the specimen's overall flammability properties. Figures 4, 5, and 6 depict various panels of different thicknesses burnt with the flame placed in the geometric center of the bottom surface and also on the midpoint of the lower edge of the front face.

No distinguishable difference in burn length can be seen for the thin specimens shown in figure 4. However, the differences are obvious when viewing the thicker panels shown in figures 5 and 6. For samples 1/2 inch and greater, geometric center flame placement does not give test results representative of the material's total flamability properties. This is due to flame impingement primarily on the self-extinguishing honeycomb core.

Referring to figure 7, note the absence of flame impingement on the back face of the panel. While flame placement on the midpoint of the lower edge of the front face gives more realistic test results, it was found that it is necessary to test the back face as well for samples 1/2 inch and greater. One test specimen, however, may be used for both front and back face testing. According to appendix F of FAR, Part 25 thick foam parts, such as seat cushions, must be tested in 1/2-inch thickness. Referring to figure 8, flame placement on the midpoint of the lower edge of the front face resulted in a melting away of the material. However, the burn length is the same as the sample with geometric center flame placement. For foam test specimens, it is not necessary to separately test front and back faces. Unlike composite materials or carpets with different backings, polyurethane foams are homogeneous.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

1. Burn length and flame time values are similar for both B-gas and methane when used as burner fuel if the B-gas flame characteristics have not been altered by aging. It appears that the change in the flame characteristics of B-gas with aging is a result of chemical reactions with the iron in steel storage cylinders.

2. Flame height is much easier to regulate with methane as opposed to B-gas due to methane's reproducible flame characteristics.

3. Placing the flame on the midpoint of the lower edge of the front face of composite test specimens produces more realistic and severe test results than placing the flame in the geometric center of the bottom face.

4. With the exception of foam, flammability properties of test specimens 1/2 inch and greater in thickness are best represented by testing the back face in addition to the front face (one test specimen may be used for both tests).

5. An inverse relationship exists between the measured flame temperature and thermocouple gauge size.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Methane gas can be used as a replacement or alternative to B-gas as Bunsen burner fuel because it produces similar flame characteristics and does not experience an alteration in flame characteristics as a result of storage cylinder aging effects.

2. Placement of the burner flame at the geometric center of the specimens bottom edge does not give a realistic and severe assessment of surface flammability for composite materials.

3. With the exception of foam, all test specimens 1/2 inch and greater in thickness should be tested on both front and back faces in order to asses surface flammability.

4. A minimum temperature specification is unnecessary and meaningless without a thermocouple gauge size specified.

REFERENCES

1. Guastavino, T., Federal Aviation Administration Analytical Chemistry Laboratory, Quality Control Notebook, Page 17, 1986.

2. Hasian, R., and Russel, R., <u>Fuels and Their Combustion</u>, McGraw Hill, New York, 1929.



A. 1 MONTH



B. 6 MONTHS





FIGURE 1. B-GAS FLAMES



FIGURE 2. METHANE GAS FLAMES

:XXXX

ł,

ATLANTIC OTV HER JERSEY

. Sa 1

Sec. West

1.11.1.1.1

86-0642

6-98K

 (\hat{M})



FIGURE 3. AVERAGE FLAME TEMPERATURES

(1. **1800)** Shirkenet

5° * 18° * 18° *



GEOMETRIC CENTER FLAME PLACEMENT



MIDPOINT-LOWER EDGE-FRONT FACE FLAME PLACEMENT

SIDEWALL PANEL THICKNESS-1/8 INCH



GEOMETRIC CENTER FLAME PLACEMENT



MIDPOINT-LOWER EDGE-FRONT FACE FLAME PLACEMENT

CEILING PANEL THICKNESS-¼ INCH

FIGURE 4. EFFECT OF FLAME PLACEMENT ON COMPOSITE BURN RESULTS (THICKNESS 1/8, 1/4 INCH)



GEOMETRIC CENTER FLAME PLACEMENT



MIDPOINT-LOWER EDGE--FRONT FACE FLAME PLACEMENT

FLOORING THICKNESS - ¾ IN.





GEOMETRIC CENTER FLAME PLACEMENT

R MIDPOINT-LOWER EDGE-FRONT FACE FLAME PLACEMENT STOWBIN SHELF THICKNESS - 1/2 IN.

FIGURE 5. EFFECT OF FLAME PLACEMENT ON COMPOSITE BURN RESULTS (THICKNESS 3/8, 1/2 INCH)



GEOMETRIC CENTER FLAME PLACEMENT



MIDPOINT-LOWER EDGE-FRONT FACE FLAME PLACEMENT

CEILING PANEL THICKNESS-%IN.



GEOMETRIC CENTER FLAME PLACEMENT



MIDPOINT-LOWER EDGE-FRONT FACE FLAME PLACEMENT

CEILING PANEL THICKNESS-1 INCH

(F1) A Structure of FERENCE (Second Article Park Control of Control of Second Article Control of Control of



GEOMETRIC CENTER FLAME PLACEMENT



MIDPOINT-LOWER EDGE-FRONT FACE FLAME PLACEMENT



GEOMETRIC CENTER FLAME PLACEMENT



MIDPOINT-LOWER EDGE-FRONT FACE FLAME PLACEMENT

CEILING PANEL BACK FACE

CEILING PANEL FRONT FACE

FIGURE 7. EFFECT OF FLAME PLACEMENT ON COMPOSITE BURN RESULTS (FRONT FACE, BACK FACE)



GEOMETRIC CENTER-FLAME PLACEMENT



MIDPOINT-LOWER EDGE-FRONT FACE

POLYURETHANE FOAM THICKNESS-1/2 INCH

FIGURE 8. EFFECT OF FLAME PLACEMENT ON FOAM BURN RESULTS

APPENDIX A

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Civil Aviatic Aviation Hous 129 Kingsway London WC2B 6			America	AEU-500 (5) in Embassy York, NY 09667
ATTN: NASA R P.O. Box 8757 Baltimore, MD Northwestern Trisnet Repos	ache Ye. NW OC 20036 Tech. Info FAC (1) Wep. BWI Airport 21240 University (1) itory n Center Library		Service Transp 412 McL Berkely British Civil A 3100 Ma Washing Directo Navigat	ity of California (1) Dept Institute of ortation Standard Lib aughlin Hall , CA 94720 Embassy (1) ir Attache ATS ss Ave. NW ton, DC 20008 r DuCentre Exp DE LA (1) ion Aerineene ly, France
ANE-40	(2)	ACT-624	(2)	ASW-53B (2)
ASW-52C4	(2)	AAL-62	(2)	AAC-44.4 (2)
APM-13 Nigro	(2)	M-493.2 Bldg. 10A	(5)	ACE-66 (2)
AEA-66.1	(3)	APM-1	(1)	ADL-1 (1)
ADL-32 North	(1)	APA-300	(1)	ALG-300 (1)
AES-3 ANM-60	(1) (2)	AGL-60	(2)	ACT-8 (1)

FAA, Chief, Civil Aviation Assistance Group (1)	Al Astorga (1)		
Madrid, Spain	Federal Aviation		
c/o American Embassy	Administration (CAAG) American Embassy, Box 38		
APO-New York 09285-0001			
	APO-New York 09285-0001		

Dick Tobiason (1) ATA of America 1709 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006

0

1.3

10. N. S. S.

Constant Instances

MR. JOHN A. LELAND DEFT E-29 DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT CO. 35-14 3855 LAKEWOOD BLVD. LONG BEACH CA. 9084

COMMANDER U.S. ARMY AVSCOM ATTN: DRSAV-EI(MR. JOHN P. DOW) 4300 GOODFELLOW REVD. ST. LOUIS, MO. 33120

MR. STAN AMES FIRE RESEARCH STATION BOREHAMWOOD HERTFORDSHIRE WD6 2BL ENGLAND

MR. L.C. VIRE CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY BARBAZON HOUSE REDHILL SURREY RH1 1SQ ENGLAND

MR ARTHUR G. THORNING CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY CAA HOUSE 45-59 KINGSWAY LONDON WC28 6TE ENGLAND

MR. RAY YOUNG ENGINEERING AND AIR SAFETY DEP'T AIRLINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION 1625 MASSACHUSETTS AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

MR, LEE HOYT WEBER AIRCRAFT CO. 2820 ONTARIO ST. BURBANK, CALIF. 91505

DR. CALYTON E. HATHAWAY MONSANTO COMPANY 800 N. LINDBERG BLVD.MAJL ZONE P3B ST. LOUIS, MISSOUR(63166

JULIA M. BAER CELANESE FIBERS MARKETING COMP. P.O. BOX 32414 CHARLOTTE, N.C. 28232

DR. LEO P. PARTS MONSANTO RESEARCH CORP. 1515 NICHOLAS POAD DAYTON, OHIO 45407

MR. JAMES D. PRICE HEATH TECNA COPP. 19819 84TH AVE . SOUTH KENT, WASHINGTON 98031 MR. RICHARD M. HARRISON CUSTOM PRODUCTS CO. P.O. BOX 699 SUN VALLEY, CALIF, 91352 MR. BILL MARTINEZ, MOR. DATA SERV. AMI INDUSTRIES, INC. F. D. BOX 370 COLORADO SPRINGS, CALIF. 80901 MR. T. E. WATERMAN IIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE 10 WEST 357H ST CHICAGO, ILLINGIS 60616 MR. J. J. BRENNEMAN FIRE PROTECTION ENGINEER UNITED AIRLINES, INC. P.O. BOX 66100 CHICAGO, ILLINDIS 50565 MR. HENRI BRANTING FAA HEADQUARTERS AWS-120 800 INDEPENDENCE AVE, S.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20591 MR. EDWARD L. LOPEZ LOCKHEED AIRCRAFT CORF. DEPT. 74-75: BLDG. 229-6 BOX 551 BURBANK, CALIF, 91503 DR. JAMES E. MIELKE SCIENCE POLICY RESEARCH DIV. CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICES LIBRARY OF CONGRESS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530 DR. D. KOURTINES CHEMICAL RESEARCH CENTER NASAZAMES RESEARCH CENTER MOFFETT FIELD+ CALLES - 94935 MR. THOMAS MARGUICK BRITISH AREOSPACE Files AIRCRAFT GROUP WEYBRIDGE-SRISTOL DIVISION FILTON HOUSE BRISTOL, BS99 ZAR ENGLAND

222222

South & State & South & State & State

NESSESSION

MR. C. HAYDEN LEROY TE-10 BLDG, 10-A NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

MR, JOSEPH L, BUCKLEY FACTORY MUTUAL SYSTEM 1151 BOSTON-PROVIDENCE TURNPIKE NORWOOD, MASS. 02042

Mr. Gary Killion ANM-110 17900 PACIFIC HIGHWAY SOUTH C-68966 SEATTLE, WA 28168

MR. JOHN HOFFMANN PORT OF NEW YORK & NEW JERSEY AUTHORITY ONE PATH PLAZA (4TH FLOOR) JERSEY CITY: N.J. 07302

DR. CHARLES R. CRARE FAA, CAMI, AAC-114 F.O. BOX 25082 OKLAHOMA, UKLA. 23125

MR& ROBERT E. KRAUS Rayomen Lorf. 300 Constitution Drive Menlo Park, Calif. 94025

MR. REGINALD UITING THE BOEING C.. COMMERICAL AIFFLAME GROUP, 242 DIV. P.O. BOX 3702 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98124

MP, JOHN A. DUATH MANAGER, STANDAROU E.I. DUPONT SOMEMOURS & CH.:PP+R (HESTNUT FUN WILMINGTON, DFL. 19890

05. JOSEPH F. REED E.I. DUPORT GO MEMOURS & CO. PLASTICS DEFT. FLUDEND CF. NY: DIVISION GITMINGTON: DEC. TPEPS

MRA CALTER AL REPORTA FERFILE CATERA GREETA FAIS DEPORTAGE DEPORTA BENING FOR A DETABORE A VESSE MR. GEORGE M. JOHNSON CHIEF CHEMIST PAN AMERICAN AIRWAYS, INC. BLDG. 208 RM. 2228 J F KENNEDY INT'L AIRPORT JAMAICA, NEW YORK 11430

DR. C. PERRY BANNSTON ENERGY AND MATERIALS RESEARCH SEC. JET PROPULSION LABORATORY 4800 OAK GROVE DR. PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91103

DR. LLOYD H. BACK ENERGY AND MATERIALS RESEARCH SEC. JET PROPULSION LABORATORY 4800 OAK GROVE DRIVE PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91103

MR. JOSEPH A. KRIST ATHOL MANUFACTURING CORPORATION 1350 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10013

DR. A. CARLOS FERNANDEZ-PELLO MECHANICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELT BERKELY, CALIFORNIA 94720

MR. W.G. DYC DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING FAIRCHILD BURNS CC. 1455 FAIRCHILD DRIVE WINSTON SALEM. N.C. 27023

MR. A. F. TAYLOR COLLEGE OF AERONAUTICS CRANFIELD INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY CRANFIELD, BEDFORD MK30AL ENDLAND

DR. ROBERT KEITH LABORATORY INDUSTRIAL MEDICINE EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO. KINGSPORT. TENN. 37662

DR. H.R. DVORAK WESSON AND ASSUCIATES, INF. 510 SOUTH WERSTER POSTAL BOX 1082 NORMAN, OKLAHOMA - 23020

MR. SENTON D. WAGNER PURITAN DENMET AURU STATEN, CO. Tordo PFLUMM Rada TENEXA, FANSAS SALLS MR. ERICH FELDKIRCHNER AIRBUS INDUSTRIE HEADQUARTERS, BP NO 33 31700 BLAGNAC, FRANCE

MR. GEORGE VERYIOGLOUSYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY STAFFMR. WALTER T. CLARK, JR.BOEING COMMERCIAL AIRPLANE CO.CLARK ENGINEERING SERVICEP.O. BOX 3707, MS 77-70312 E. MAIN STREETLANSCARTER- TEXAS 75146 MR. GEORGE VERYIOGLOU SEATTLE, WA 98124

MS. DIANE BOULAVSKY AMERICAN TEXTILE MEDRO: INGILIER 1101 CONNECTICUT AVE, N.W. OF AMERICA, ING. SUITE 350 1725 DESALES STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 AMERICAN TEXTILE MFGRS. INSTITUTE AEROSPACE INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION

MR. GREGORY SMITH B.F. GOODRICH TECHNICAL CENTER COMMANDING GENERAL U.S. ARMY TEST & EVALUATION P.O. BOX 122 AVON LAKE, OHIO 44012

MR. MATTHEW FINUCANE AVIATION CONSUMER ACTION PROJECT P.D. BOX 19029 WASHINGTON, DC 20036

DR. JAMES G. OUINTIERE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS BLDG. 224, RM. B-356 WASHINGTON, DC 20234

MR. LEO FISHER CREST FOAM 100 CAROL PLACE MOONACHIE, NJ 07074

MR. STAN MARTIN & ASSOC. 860 VISTA DRIVE REDWOOD CITY: CALLE: 94062

DAK RIDGE, TN 37930

MR. A. L. BRIDGMAN GENERAL ELECTRIC CO. PLASTICS TECHNOLOGY DEPT. 1 PLASTICS AVENUE PITTEFIELD, MA 01201

MR. JAMES A. MILKE DEPARTMENT OF FIRE PROTECTION ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742

LANSCASTER, TEXAS 75146

MR. JOHN P. REESE

COMMAND Attn:DRSTE-AD-A (D. CONLEY) ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD. 21005

MR. WILLIAM R. KANE SYSTEM ENGINEERING GROUP ASD/ENFEF WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OHIO 45433

WM. KIRKHAM, PHD.,MD, AAC-114 DOT/FAA CAMI AERONAUTICAL CENTER P.O. BOX 25082 OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73125

MR. A.J. CHRISTOPHER ROYAL AIRCRAFT ESTABLISHMENT MATERALS DEPT. South Earnbordugh SOUTH FARNBOROUGH HANTS, ENGLAND

MR. PHILIF J. DINENNO PROFESSIONAL LOSS CONTROL, INC. P.O. BOX 444 LANCASTER, PA, 17604

> MANAGER FLIGHT ATTENDANT TRAINING & WESTERN AIRLINES 6060 AVION DRIVE LOS ANGELES: CALIF, 90009 FLIGHT ATTENDANT TRAINING & STANDARD

> > MR. JOHN ED RYAN NATIONAL FOREST PRODUCTS ASSOC. SO NORTH FRANKLIN TURNPIKE P.O. BOX 314 HOHOKUS, NEW JERSEY 07423

MR. EVERETT A. TUSTIN BOEING COMMERICAL AIRPLANE COMPANY FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION P.O. BOX 3707, M/S 6F-26 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98124

C.M. SLIEPCEVICH FLAME DYNAMICS LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA 1215 WESTHEIMER ST. NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73069

MR. R.G. CLODFELTER AFWAL/POSH WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OHIO 45433

MR. LOUIS FRISCO WIRE & CABLE DIVISION RAYCHEM CORP. 300 CONSTITUTION DRIVE MENLO PARK, CALIF, 94025

DR. EDWIN SMITH OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY 140 W. 19TH AVE. COLUMBUS, OHIO 43214

BERNARD GRENDAHL, MGR. TECH. SERV. AERDSPACE DIVISION UNIVERSAL OIL PRODUCTS CO. BANTAM, CONN. 06750

MR. WILLIAM SNODDY AMERICAN AIRLINES P.O. BOX 51009 MAIL STOP #10 TULSA, OKLAHOMA 74151

A. TEWARSON FMRC 1151 BOSTON-PROVIDENCE TYPKE NORWOOD, MASS, 02062

MRS. CHARLOTTE GEBHART ROHM & HAAS CO. INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST PHILADELPHIA, PA. 19105

DR. ROSALIND C. ANDERSON ARTHUR D. LITTLE, INC. ACORN PARK CAMBRIDGE, MAST. 00140

MR. FRED JENKINS, ANM-1301 4344 DONALD DOUGLAS DRIVE LONG BEACH, CALIFURNIA 90808

MR. MATTHEW M. McCURHICK NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BD. BUREAU OF TECHNOLOGY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20594

MR. DAN GROSS B-66 TECHNOLOGY BUILDING NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20234

MR. A. DELMAN THE WOOL BUREAU, INC. TECHNICAL SERVICES CENTER 225 CROSSWAYS PARK DREVE WOODBURY, L.I., NEW YORK 11797

DR. JAMES M. PETERSON THE BOEING CO. MS/73-43 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98124

DR. L. BENISEK INTERNATIONAL WOOL SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL CENTER, VALLEY DRIVE ILKLEY, WEST YORKSHIRE, LS29 8PB ENGLAND

DR. JOHN O. PUNDERSON E.I. DUPONT De NEMOURS P.O. BOX 1217 PARKERSBURG, W. VA. 26102

DR. FUMIHARU SAITO BUILDING RESEARCH INSTITUTE MINISTRY OF CONSTRUCTION TATEHARA-1 OHO-MACHI TSUKUBA-GUN IBARAKI PREFECTURE, JAHAN

MR. PETER MEINLEM CIVIL AIR ATTACH'S(SAFETY) BRITISH EMBASSY 3100 MASSACHUSEITS AVE. NW WASHINGTON, D.C. POOCE

T.F. LAUGHLIN, JR. LOCKHEFT-CALLEORNIA CH. 11/98-01, B/90-3, A-1 F.O. BOX 551 HURBONE . COLIFURING - PIS,00

Iscourse Kannag Pearsage Kanada たいたいため 12-12-12-1

DR. CHARLES P. LAZZARA US BUREAU OF MINES PGH. RESEARCH CENTER P.O. BOX 18070 PITTSBURG, PA. 15236

KIRKE COMSTOCK MANAGER OF INTERIOR ENGINEERING UNITED AIRLINES MAINT, OPER, CENTER ENGINEERING DEPT, SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF, 94128

DR. JOHN LEVERTON CIVIL REGULATIONS MANAGER CIVIL BUSINESS GROUP WESTLAND HELICOPTERS, LTD. YEOVIL, BA20 2YB SOMERSET, ENGLAND

D.A. RADICE MANAGER, FLEXIBLE SALES CPR DIVISION OF UPJOHN CO. 555 ALASKA AVE. TORRENCE, CALIF. 90503

DR. DALE G. ONDERAK JOHN SCHNELLER 3 ASSOCIATES 6019 POWDERMILL ROAD KENT, OHIO 44240

MR, MICHEAL TYLER AVIATION SAFETY BUREAU TRANSFORT CANADA OTTAWA, ONTARIO, CANADA FIADN8

MR. MINE BAUCCIO U.S. ARMY AVIATION R&0 COMMAND AVSCOM/DRSAV-05 4300 GOODFELLOW BLVD. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURT 63126

MR. CHARLES W. MCGUIRE DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION 400 7TH STREET S.W. WASHINGTON 0 F. 20590

MR. VYTO BABRAUSNAS MATIONAL EUREAU OF STANDARDS BLDG, 224, ROOM A-345 WASHINGTON D.C. 20234

MR. A.T. PEACOCK DOUGLAS AIRCEAFT COMPACY INTERNAL MAIL CODE 38-91 3855 LANEWOOD OLVO. LONG BEACH, CA. 90846 MR, PASCAL DRANITSARIS ONTARIO RESEARCH FOUNDATION SHERIDAN PARK RESEARCH COMMUNITY MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO, CANADA L5K1B3

MR ERIC W. SIMMONS ONTARIO RESEARCH FOUNDATION SHERIDAN PARK RESEARCH COMMUNITY MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO, CANADA L5K1B3

MR. V.W. BALLENGER DIRECTOR, ENGINEERING AND MAINT. AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION 1709 NEW YORK AVE., NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

MR. JAMES H. KEELER GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY ONE PLASTICS AVE. PITTSFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS 01201

MR. WILLIAM K. GREER GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY ONE PLASTICS AVE. PITTSFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS 01201

MR. JIM BROWN GENERAL DYNAMICS ELECTIC BOAT DIV. STATION C62 EASTERN POINT ROAD GROTON, CONN. 06340

MR. CHARLES MACALUSS U.S. TESTING 5555 TELEGRAPH RD. L.A., CA. 90040

MR. JOHN R. POWERS DELTA AIRLINES, INC HARTSFIELD ATLANTA INTERNATIONAL AIRPUPT ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30320

MR. STEVE WALDRIP REPUBLIC AIRLINES 7500 AIRLINE DRIVE MINNEAPOLIS, MINNEBUTA 55450

MR. S.M. HOOPER EASTERN AIRLINES MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIPEDRY MIAMI, FL. 33145

