



CROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

Mar al



DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

			REPORT DOCU	MENTATION	PAGE		
A REPORT SE	CURITY CLASSIFICA	TION FD		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3 DISTRIBUTION	/ AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT		
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE							
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) REL 86-01			5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NUM	veris)	
			REL-86-01				
64. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 66. OFFICE SYMBOL			7a. NAME OF MO	ONITORING OR	GANIZATION		
US AMC Ma	ateriel Read	iness	(If applicable)	Same as 66			-
SUDDORT	Activity	Code)	AFIATID-EL	7b ADDRESS (Cit	v State and Z	IP Code:	
Lexingt	on, KY 4051	1-5101			y, state, and z		
Ū				Same as 6c.	•		
Ba NAME OF I	FUNDING , SPONSO	RING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT		IDENTIFICATION	I NUMBER
ORGANIZA	tion Headquar	ters	(If applicable) AMCOA-ET			· · · · •	
Army Mate	riel Command	(10 5011955 05			
5001 E	conhouse Ave			PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT
Alexand	ria. VA ·223	33-0001		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO
12. PERSONAL JIM W. 13a. TYPE OF	AUTHOR(S) CRABTREE REPORT	13b. TIME FROM	COVERED	14. DATE OF REPO 860800	DRT (Year, Mont	th, Day) 15. PA 18	AGE COUNT 1
2. PERSONAL JIM W. Ja. TYPE OF	AUTHOR(S) CRABTREE R EPORT NTARY NOTATION	13b. TIME FROM	COVERED TO	14. DATE OF REPO 860800	DRT (Year, Mont	th, Day) 15. P/ 18	AGE COUNT 1
12. PERSONAL JIM W. JA. TYPE OF 16. SUPPLEMEN	AUTHOR(S) CRABTREE REPORT NTAFY NOTATION	13b. TIME FROM	TO	14. DATE OF REPO 860800	PRT (Year, Mont	th, Day) 15. P/ 18 and identify by	AGE COUNT 1 block number)
12. PERSONAL JIM W. J3a. TYPE OF 16. SUPPLEMEN 17 FIELD	AUTHOR(S) CRABTREE REPORT NTARY NOTATION COSATI COD	13b. TIME FROM FROM ES SUB-GROUP	TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS OSAMM, LOGAM, Logistics L	14. DATE OF REPO 860800	PRT (Year, Mont e if necessary a , Reliabil	th, Day) 15. PA 18 and identify by lity Versu	AGE COUNT 1 block number) s Cost,
12. PERSONAL JIM W. JIA W. 13a. TYPE OF 16. SUPPLEMEN 17 FIELD 19. ABSTRACT	AUTHOR(S) CRABTREE REPORT NTARY NOTATION COSATI COD GROUP (Continue on reve	13b. TIME FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM	TO 18 SUBJECT TERMS OSAMM, LOGAM, Logistics, L y and identify by block	14. DATE OF REPO 860800 Continue on reverse Reliability ogistics Mod	PRT (Year, Mont e if necessary a , Reliabil els	th, Day) 15. PA 18 and identify by lity Versu	AGE COUNT 1 block number) s Cost,
12. PERSONAL JIM W. JIM W. 13a. TYPE OF 16. SUPPLEMEN 17 FIELD 19 ABSTRACT) The Reli Reliabil study in support) guidelin four mai Maintena off logi allocati around t utilizin reliabil execute 20 Distribut CUNCLASS 224 NAME OF	AUTHOR(S) CRABTREE REPORT NTARY NOTATION COSATI COD GROUP (Continue on reve ability Versus ity versus (ity versus (ity versus (ity versus (cost versus es for perfe n objectives ance Model ((stics cost v on methods (he baseline ig maintenanc ity curves. OSAMM and L(TON/AVAILABILITY SIFIED/UNLIMITED F RESPONSIBLE INC	13b. TIME FROM FROM FROM SUB-GROUP S	TOTO 18 SUBJECT TERMS OSAMM, LOGAM, Logistics, L y and identify by block Using OSAMM and Force. The rep computer model lity studies. A gistics cost ver study. The firs lithe Logistics liability. The the logistics liability. The the logistics liability. The o the logistics liability allocation. oply support opt th objective wa he early life cy RPT □DTIC USERS	14. DATE OF REPO 860800 Continue on revers Reliability ogistics Mod number) LOGAM Study ort that was s for perfor lso, the rep sus reliabil t was to det Analysis Mod second was t cost versus The third w imization ha s to investi cle phases. 21. ABSTRACT SE UNCLASSI 225. TELEPHONE	e if necessary a , Reliabil els was conduc generated ming logis ort establ ity trade- ermine if el (LOGAM) o determin reliabilit as to dete s on the l gate input All these CURITY CLASSI	th, Day) 15 PA 18 and identify by 1ity Versu cted as pa d as a resistics (mail 1ishes and offs. The the Optime) can be u ne feasible ty envelopermine the logistics t data requered to objective FICATION	AGE COUNT 1 block number) s Cost, rt of the AMC ult of the ntenance and recommends ere were um Supply and sed to trade- e reliability e of curves impacts that cost versus uired to es were E SYMBOL
12. PERSONAL JIM W. JIM W. 13a. TYPE OF 16. SUPPLEME. 17 FIELD 19. ABSTRACT) The Reli Reliabil study in support) guidelin four mai Maintena off logi allocati around t utilizin reliabil execute 20. DISTRIBUT CUNCLASS 224. NAME OF	AUTHOR(S) CRABTREE REPORT NTARY NOTATION COSATI COD GROUP Continue on reve ability Versus ity versus (vestigates to cost versus es for perfe nobjectives ence Model ((stics cost versus on methods to he baseline ity curves. OSAMM and LO NON/AVAILABILITY SIFIEO/UNLIMITED F RESPONSIBLE INC CRABTREE	13b. TIME FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM FROM Sub-GROUP S	TOTO 18 SUBJECT TERMS OSAMM, LOGAM, Logistics, L y and identify by block Jsing OSAMM and Force. The rep computer model lity studies. A gistics cost ver study. The firs the Logistics liability. The othe logistics liability. The othe logistics lity allocation. oply support opt th objective wa he early life cy RPTDTIC USERS	14. DATE OF REPO 860800 (Continue on reverse Reliability ogistics Mod number) LOGAM Study ort that was s for perfor lso, the rep sus reliabil t was to det Analysis Mod second was t cost versus The third w imization ha s to investi cle phases. 21. ABSTRACT SE UNCLASSI 22b TELEPHONE (606) 293-	e if necessary a , Reliabil els was conduc generated ming logis ort establ ity trade- ermine if el (LOGAM) o determin reliabilit as to dete s on the l gate input All these CURITY CLASSI FIED Include Area Co 3985	th, Day) 15 PA 18 and identify by 1ity Versu cted as pa d as a res stics (mai lishes and offs. The the Optime) can be u ne feasible ty envelope ermine the logistics t data reque e objective FICATION additioned 22c. OFFIC AMXI	AGE COUNT 1 block number) s Cost, rt of the AMC ult of the ntenance and recommends ere were um Supply and sed to trade- e reliability e of curves impacts that cost versus uired to es were TE SYMBOL MD-EL

R

19 ABSTRACT cont'd

)

いいとう

الاختلافية

SCAN SOR

1.52.50

accomplished using actual data from a weapon system already fielded (M65 Airborne TOW Missile System).

1212 S. 11

4

Ł

PREFACE

The area of trading-off logistics (maintenance and support) cost versus reliability is being given emphasis not only in recent revision to policy documents such as AR-702-3 but within the command staff as well. This emphasis is most evident by the establishment of the AMC Reliability Versus Cost Task Force.

This report was prepared jointly, as part of the AMC Task Force, by the U.S. Army Missile Command (MICOM) and the USAMC Materiel Readiness Support Activity (MRSA). The study team consisted of Mr. Joe Nordman (MICOM), Mr. Bud Carroll (MICOM), Mr. Les Karenbauer (MRSA), Ms. Betty Clarke, Typist (MRSA), and team leader, Mr. Jim Crabtree (MRSA).

The study team would like especially to thank Mr. Charles Plumeri, U.S. Army Communications and Electronics Command (CECOM) and Mr. Alan Kaplan, U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity-Inventory Research Office (AMSAA-IRO) for their efforts/ assistance.

This report investigates viable computer models for performing logistics cost versus reliability studies. Also, this report establishes and recommends guidelines for performing logistics cost versus reliability trade-offs.

This report is to be consolidated and incorporated into a final report developed by the task force as a group. The AMC Reliability Versus Cost AMC task force Report is to be submitted to HQ AMC (Oct 86). Thus, the content of this report represents the views, conclusions, and recommendations of the Commanders, MICOM and MRSA and do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Department of the Army or HQ AMC. The examples and data contained in this report are used for illustrative purposes only and should not be used without first consulting MICOM or MRSA.

Comments and/or questions concerning this report may be directed to the Commander, MICOM, ATTN: AMSMI-OR-SA, Redstone Arsenal, Al 35898-5000, AUTOVON 746-3625, commercial (205) 876-3625 or Commander, MRSA, ATTN: AMXMD-EL, Lexington, KY 40511-5101, AUTOVON 745-3985, commercial (606) 293-3985.

i

Distribution Statement A is correct for this report. Per Mr. Jim W. Crabtree, AMCMRSA/AMXMD-EL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TOPICS	PARAGRAPH	PAGE
Preface Purpose/Objectives Background Model Descriptions OSAMM LOGAM Weapon System Description Model Scenarios Logistics Costs Omitted Logistics Costs Considered Adjustments Reliability Allocation Scenarios Study Results Maintenance and Support Optimization Concept/Development Phase Input Data Lessons Learned Conclusions/Recommendations Summary	1.0 2.0 3.0 3.1 3.2 4.0 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 11.0 12.0	i 1 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 2 4 9 2 4 2 6
APPENDIX A Study Briefing B OSAMM Input Files C OSAMM Output Files D LOGAM Input Files E LOGAM Output Files F OSAMM Fixed Versus Optimized Maint Policy Data G OSAMM Input Data Descriptions H LOGAM Input Data Descriptions I OSAMM and LOGAM Input Data Compari J Acronyms List	cenance ison	A - 1 B - 1 C - 1 D - 1 E - 1 F - 1 G - 1 H - 1 J - 1
TABLE1OSAMM and LOGAM Output Report Corr2OSAMM and LOGAM Costs Comparison	relation	8 19
FIGURE 1 OSAMM and LOGAM Curves Comparison 2 OSAMM Optimized Cost vs Reliabilit	cy Curves	16 21
EXAMPLE 1 Adjusting-LOGAM Output 2 Method for Psuedo Module Developme 3 Baseline Proration Method 4 Unit Price Proration Method 5 ARINC Proration Method 6 Inverse Unit Price Proration Method	ent Od	9 10 12 13 13 14

8

125.22

CARLO HAR AND

. X

Reliability Versus Cost Using Optimum Supply and Maintenance Model (OSAMM) and Logistics Analysis Model (LOGAM)

1.0 PURPOSE/OBJECTIVES. There are four main objectives of this study. The first is to determine if OSAMM and LOGAM can be used to trade-off logistics cost versus reliability. The second is to determine feasible reliability allocation methods to develop the logistics cost versus reliability envelope of curves around the baseline reliability allocation. The third is to determine the impacts that utilizing maintenance and supply support optimization has on the logistics cost versus reliability curves. The fourth objective is to investigate input data required to execute OSAMM and LOGAM in the early life cycle phases. These objectives were to be accomplished using actual data from a weapon system already fielded.

2.0 BACKGROUND. The U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity (AMSAA) was tasked by HQ AMC on 3 Dec 84 to assume lead role in establishing an AMC Task Force to develop a methodology and model which will investigate life cycle costs versus reliability trade-offs and establish guidelines and training for the major subordinate commands (MSCs). The task force split this problem into two areas. One area is to relate deployment and operational phase costs to the initial fielded system reliability. The other area is to relate the pre-deployment phase costs (i.e., Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) costs) to achieve an initial fielded system reliability. AMSAA addressed the RDT&E costs versus reliability issue while MRSA and MICOM addressed the deployment and operational phase costs versus reliability issue. The deployment and operational phase costs were narrowed to those costs that are effected by the reliability of a system which are the maintenance and support costs (hence referred to as logistics cost for simplicity). The following paragraphs depict the events and viewpoints made to investigate the logistics cost versus reliability area and thus focus on actions and efforts relative to that area. Some of the meetings outlined below covered the RDT&E costs versus reliability area but that area will be discussed in another part of the consolidated AMC Task Force Report. Therefore, the RDT&E costs versus reliability area is not covered in this report or in the events outlined below.

2.1 The initial meeting (25-28 Feb 85) of the AMC Task Force was more of a round table discussion to establish the task force and discuss the experience of MSC attendees in analyzing/trading-off cost versus reliability. Also, the focus of the task force was discussed. The focus at that time was to develop new methodologies and models to be used in analyzing cost versus reliability trade-offs.

2.2 The second meeting (1-3 May 85) redefined the focus of the tasks force to utilize existing computer model(s) to develop a methodology on cost versus reliability in the time frame allotted

by HQ AMC (Jan 85 - Aug 86). The second meeting established the models to be reviewed and a checklist against which a models applicability could be judged.

The third meeting (26-27 Jun 85) of the AMC Task Force 2.3 primarily reviewed the models that were evaluated using the checklist established during the second task force meeting. During the third meeting, it was decided that the models to be given closer scrutiny, in regard to logistics cost versus reliability, were the LOGAM, OSAMM, and the AVSCOM Maintenance Operating and Support Cost (AMOS) model. Closer scrutiny of these three models was to be accomplished through case studies using two weapon systems from each MSC, preferably systems that have used one of the models. Each system's data was to be input to each of the three models and evaluated for differences in output results. However, it was found that AMOS was not a documented model and was lacking in support by a proponent. Therefore, it was dropped from further consideration. Also, the MSCs did not provide weapon systems from which case studies could be made and evaluated. Therefore, MRSA was requested by AMSAA, in Nov 85, to pursue the evaluation of OSAMM and LOGAM using fictitious data until actual weapon system data could be provided.

2.4 The fourth meeting of the task force (16-18 Dec 85) centered on the detailed review of OSAMM and LOGAM conducted by MRSA using fictitious data. MRSA's conclusion in the review was that either model (OSAMM or LOGAM) could be used for logistics cost versus reliability trade-offs. The task force felt that since this result was based on fictitious data it should be proven on real workd weapon system data. MICOM suggested that they work with MRSA using data on the Tube-Launched Optically-Tracked Wire-Guided (TOW) Missile system to execute each model and plot the logistics cost versus reliability curves which result to confirm the initial MRSA findings. This was agreed to by the group.

2.5 MRSA made two trips to MICOM in support of the efforts initiated during the fourth task force meeting. The first visit to MICOM (26-29 Mar 86) was made to provide MICOM a brief overview of OSAMM and establish an OSAMM data file on the M65 Airborne TOW using the data input into LOGAM. The second visit to MICOM (4-5 Jun 86) was made to correlate findings of the study using the M65 Airborne TOW data. Also, MRSA and MICOM jointly developed a briefing for presentation to the fifth AMC Task Force meeting (see appendix A).

2.6 The fifth meeting of the AMC Task Force (10-12 Jun 86) centered on the results of MRSA's and MICOM's efforts in utilizing OSAMM and LOGAM with M65 Airborne TOW data. The findings confirmed MRSA's initial findings that either model could be used for logistics cost versus reliability trade-off studies. It was requested during this meeting that the study be formally documented. Thus, this report was developed. A preliminary opinion of the task force, as a result of MICOM'S and MRSA's briefing, was that since cost versus reliability trade-offs would be conducted early in the life cycle that it was more advantageous to have maintenance and supply support optimization capability which OSAMM provides. However, the task force opinion is to be finalized as a result of this report. The task force requested that the report address the effects that utilizing maintenance and supply support optimization has on the logistics cost versus reliability curves. Also, the task force requested that the report address input data required to execute OSAMM and LOGAM in the concept/demonstration life cycle phases.

3.0 MODEL DESCRIPTIONS. The two models being analyzed as part of this study are the OSAMM and LOGAM models which are described below.

OSAMM. The proponent for OSAMM is the U.S. Army Communica-3.1 tions and Electronics Command (CECOM), AMSEL-PL-SA (Charles Plumeri), Ft. Monmouth, NJ 07703-5004, AUTOVON 992-5170, commercial (201) 532-5170. A proponent is defined as an organization that maintains configuration control of the software program and documentation providing access/copies of that type information upon request and provides technical assistance in the application and use of the model. OSAMM is designed to simultaneously optimize support and maintenance policies for a new equipment while achieving a given operational availability target at least cost. The model can be applied during all phases of a materiel system's life cycle. However, it should be noted that inputs to the model are limited to the types of information that should be available early in development when the maintenance concept is being formulated. OSAMM describes where to remove and replace components (i.e., LRUs) and modules, place test equipment and skilled manpower, and where to stock spare parts and how much. OSAMM uses a mixed integer linear program to optimize and determine the best multiechelon stockage, test equipment, and maintenance policy decisions. Maintenance policies considered in the optimization can be constrained from a group of 25 that are available. All or most can typically be considered in a single execution of the model which includes split level maintenance policies. If the maintenance policy is fixed, the evaluator mode of OSAMM can be used to determine the costs, operational availability, etc. associated with that maintenance policy. When executing the evaluator mode alone the mixed integer linear program optimizer is by-passed. OSAMM computes a steady state cost which is converted to present value and assumes a symmetrical support structure. Selected Essential-Item Stockage for Availability Method (SESAME) algorithms are used in OSAMM to optimize supply which is the AMC_approved model for provisioning determination. OSAMM is not designed to replace SESAME. The OSAMM model is designed to be used early in development to help establish a maintenance concept when detailed data on a new equipment is unknown. OSAMM can consider 30 different pieces of test equipment and personnel together. OSAMM looks at four levels of maintenance (organizational, Direct Support (DS), General Support (GS), and Depot) along with a discard option to optimize three levels of hardware indenture within an end item (components, modules and piece parts). Since detailed piece part data is not generally available in early development, the piece parts are considered only in an aggregate manner. OSAMM is based on applications (or failure modes) which lends well to the reliability program. This gives

OSAMM greater flexibility than most models. Commonality within an end item can be considered. The maintenance decisions made by OSAMM are output by application. The model will describe what should be done when the end item fails due to the failure of a certain module in a certain component. The model will also determine which components and modules should be thrown away instead of repaired. This information is ultimately used to develop the maintenance task distributions (MTDs) and replacement task distributions (RTDs) for individual components and modules. Occasionally there are parts or groups of parts that do not fit exactly into the indenture level structure. These parts or groups can be designated as pseudo components or pseudo modules. One example of a pseudo component would be a component that contains no modules and has a washout rate of one (e.g., a cable harness). The difference between a pseudo component and a pseudo module lies more in how repair is accomplished rather than in the actual hardware construction. For example, if an engine is considered a component the spark plugs would be a pseudo module. Because by definition of a module you would have to remove and replace the component before you could remove and replace the The pseudo module would allow you to replace the spark module. plugs (a module) without removing the engine (a component). Execution of OSAMM was accomplished through the CDC commercial time-sharing service since this is the most available source from which to access the model. Efforts are underway to have OSAMM available to government agencies through a government type timesharing service in addition to the commercial service.

3.2 LOGAM. The proponent for LOGAM is the U.S. Army Missile Command (MICOM), AMSMI-OR-SA (Joe Nordman), Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000, AUTOVON 746-3625, commercial (205) 876-3625. LOGAM is a tool used to evaluate alternate logistic postures for systems and equipments on the basis of cost and availability. The model is a deterministic type model and does not directly have an optimization feature like OSAMM. However, optimization can be accomplished by multiple runs of the model and manual comparison of results. Although LOGAM is operating and support cost oriented, acquisition costs including development, production and nonrecurring production costs can be throughput into the model to provide the DA PAM 11-4 formats along with the DCA-P-92(R) Baseline Cost Estimate format. The model can be applied during all phases of a materiel system's life cycle. The logistic and maintenance support system possibilities, which may be considered, comprise 20 basic maintenance policies. Also, the analyst can split maintenance policy and stock locations for LRUs. Four possible levels of maintenance and inventory support can be considered; organization (equipment), DS, GS, and Depot along with a discard possibility. LOGAM assumes a homogeneous (or symmetrical) deployment of the support and supply echelons (i.e., workload is equally distributed between maintenance facilities deployed at a particular echelon and supply parts are equally distributed to the number of supply points located at each echelon). LOGAM assumes a constant deployment such that the operational costs are the same for each year during the operation and support phase. Five types of test equipment, along with five types of manpower, can be modeled per LRU. The five types of

test equipment include: Automatic Test Equipment (Field or Depot, Type I); Special Depot Test Equipment (Type II); Calibration Sets in the Field (Type III); Contact Support Teams and Test Sets (Type IV); and Built-in-Test Equipment (Type V). The test equipment is aggregated into these five types. In other words, two pieces of automatic test equipment (Type I) would be lumped together with no distinction between the two (which was the case LOGAM looks at three levels of hardware ingenfor this study). ture within the end item (LRU, modules, and piece parts). LOGAM aggregates the modules and piece parts which precludes a detailed accounting for failures to specific modules. For example, if an LRU has 12 modules they would be lumped together with an average failure rate and average unit price for each module with no other specific distinction between the 12 modules. Execution of LOGAM was accomplished for this study through the use of MICOM's CDC mainframe at Huntsville, Al. However, access can also be obtained through installation of the program on a similar mainframe. It is being considered to have LOGAM on a similar type time-sharing service as OSAMM in order to preclude delays in debugging and installation of LOGAM on other computer systems. This would also allow better configuration control and access to LOGAM.

SEELES STATES SEELES

WEAPON SYSTEM DESCRIPTION. In order to satisfy the study 4.0 objectives, data was used from the M65 Airborne TOW Missile system. The TOW was selected due to several reasons: MICOM's performance of other analysis using LOGAM with the TOW; the TOW being a deployed system; the relative simplistic size and configuration of the TOW; and, the information available locally from the MICOM project manager. The briefing contained at Appendix A gives a pictorial view and description of the hardware system along with its support structure. Basically, the TOW is broken down into 8 Line Replaceable Units (LRUs or components) with a total of 47 modules. A hypothetical deployment theater was considered having a total of 230 M65 Airborne TOW's deployed. This was done in order to simplify the study. The system life was taken to be 20 years along with a system MTBF of 137 hours. Since the system is deployed, an attempt was made to match the support structure and maintenance policy presently in use on the TOW (see appendix A for details). Only two pieces of test equipment to maintain the TOW were considered. This was done to simplify the study since the two considered were the main items in use to support test and repair of the TOW LRU's. One piece of test equipment was already in existence at the DS, GS, and Depot levels (so it was considered common at those levels); but at the organizational level it was not available and had to be procured (so it was considered peculiar at that level). The other piece of test equipment was already in existence and used only at the GS and Depot levels (so it was considered common). These two pieces of TMDE were input (lumped) as Type I TE in LOGAM and broken out separately in OSAMM for this study. The maintenance personnel were already in place at all support levels and were being used to support other systems as well as the TOW. Therefore, they were considered as being shared with the TOW at a fraction of the annual cost for personnel. A split level maintenance policy by LRU was modeled due to the policy already being

Interested investigated interaction productor interests included interaction production production interview included interview.

in existence on the TOWs fielded (see appendix A for details). In addition to the fixed maintenance policy for LRU #8 (Sight Unit), there was an additional policy of screening for false no-go's at the DS level. Field information indicated that it was common to have a false no-go rate of 15 percent for all LRUs (i.e., 15 percent of the time a repair was attempted the LRU was good). Since LRU #8 is screened at DS no unfailed modules or LRUs are evacuated to GS or Depot for repair (i.e., the 15 percent false no-go's of LRU #8 are found at the DS level before they are evacuated).

5.0 MODEL SCENARIOS. This paragraph addresses how the models were used in this study: what costs were considered; what costs were omitted; and input and output adjustments which had to be made in order to compare the models and meet all the study objectives.

5.1 LOGISTICS COSTS OMITTED. There were certain cost categories that were considered unnecessary in order to achieve the study objectives and retain consistency and compatibility between the model scenarios. Some costs were also omitted due to: the nature of the system being analyzed; cost areas not actually being incurred against the system; or, the way the system's deployment scenario was being modeled. Therefore, these cost categories were for the most part zeroed out of the models in order to better meet the study objectives.

a. The cost of publications for the TOW was not readily available and was not considered in this study. This did not effect the purpose of the study which was to see if the OSAMM and LOGAM logistics cost versus reliability curves were comparable and compatible. The publications costs could have been included if they had been available.

b. Training costs were not used because the personnel were already available before the system was fielded. Therefore, training was considered a sunk cost. The training costs could have been modeled but due to the small costs involved and for the sake of simplicity of the analysis it was left out.

c. OSAMM does not consider salvage costs and Modification Work Order (MWO) costs. Therefore, they were taken out of LOGAM to have closer correlation in the cost curves. MWO costs would not have a great effect (if any) on this study or trading-off logistics cost versus reliability.

d. Scheduled maintenance costs were not considered due to the nature of the TOW system. The information available for scheduled maintenance was considered to be for the entire helicopter (i.e., approximately 8 hours per week per helicopter) and was not applicable to the TOW portions due to its stand-by static nature. Also, it should be noted that scheduled maintenance could not be directly input into OSAMM and in order to consider scheduled maintenance, adjustments would have been required to the OSAMM input file. If the scheduled maintenance was labor intensive, which in this study it would have been, it could be put into OSAMM as a pseudo component that costs a penny with an MTBF equal to the operating time per week (44 hours per week for this study) with an MTTR equal to the scheduled maintenance time (8 hours per week for this study) and be associated with TMDE used for the scheduled maintenance (there was no TMDE associated with scheduled maintenance for this study).

Manpower repair costs were omitted in OSAMM. This was e. done to force closer TMDE utilization compatibility between OSAMM The TMDE for the TOW is only used in the testing and LOGAM. mode--not in the repair mode. OSAMM uses a Mean Time to Repair (MTTR) factor to compute TMDE requirements. This fact only allows one time (manpower repair time or test time) to be put into OSAMM. Since this was the case and the TMDE was used only for testing, the test time was put into OSAMM's MTTR factor. Tf repair time would have been added to the MTTR factor in OSAMM, it would have estimated a higher amount of TMDE than was done by LOGAM without this adjustment. This drawback in OSAMM is being corrected in a new release scheduled for later this year. The manpower repair costs were left in LOGAM to see what the cost difference in manpower would be. This difference ended up to be \$1.8 million more cost in LOGAM's results at the baseline MTBF of 137 hours. Upon closer scrutiny of the way OSAMM and LOGAM handles manpower requirements it was determined that manpower productivity factors or crew sizes input into LOGAM were incorrect for purposes of this study. However, no change was made in LOGAM due to time constraints and because of the discrepancy in OSAMM and LOGAM manpower costs already described above. One LOGAM run was made to determine the impact of putting the correct factors or size crews into LOGAM. Thus, the manpower cost difference noted above would have been reduced to approximately \$1 million. Also, LCGAM's TMDE maintenance cost was reduced by approximately \$25,000 which made it closer to OSAMM's cost figures. This reduction in LOGAM TMDE maintenance cost was due to a reduction in the fraction of manpower demand added for support of the TE. The LOGAM input variable involved is called "FI." In essence, a fraction of manpower demand cost is added onto the TMDE maintenance support cost. Thus, when manpower costs go down the TMDE maintenance support cost goes down.

5.2 LOGISTICS COSTS CONSIDERED. There were six major categories of logistics costs considered in this study which included: Manpower, Initial Spares, Consumption Spares, Transportation, TMDE, and Miscellaneous. These categories were chosen because of the way the costs are shown on the output reports of both models. It should be noted that the logistics cost category titled, "Miscellaneous" consists of those areas dealing mainly with administrative type costs. This was done to simplify the study and because of the difficulty in correlating some OSAMM cost outputs to LOGAM cost outputs. Table 1 contains a summary of the OSAMM and LOGAM output report cost titles related to each of the six major categories of costs outlined above.

MANPOWER Initial spares	REPAIR COST®	MAINTENANCE MANPOWER®®	
INITIAL SPARES	INITIAL SPARES		
	COST	TOTAL PROVISION (SUPPLY MATERIEL - SUPPLIES	
CONSUMPTION SPARES	CONSUMPTION Spares COST	SUPPLIES	
TRANSPORTATION	TRANSPORTATION COST	SHIPPING	
TMDE	TOTAL TEST EQUIPMENT/ REPAIRMAN COST***	TEST EQUIPMENT TEST EQUIPMENT SPACE	
MISCELLANEOUS	INVENTORY HOLDING COST REQUISITIONING COST CATALOGING COST BIN COST BACKORDER COST	INVENTORY MANAGEMENT REORDERING MATERIEL STORAGE COST TO ENTER NSNS INTO INVENTORY (SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION- INVENTORY MANAGEMENT)****	

ZUUUUU PAAAAN JEUUUU HINNAN KUUUU INN

LOGAM's Cost to Enter HSNs Into Inventory is obtained by taking the Inventory Management cost and subtracting it from the Supply Administration cost.

TABLE 1. OSAMM and LOGAM Output Report Correlation.

ADJUSTMENTS. There were several adjustments that needed to 5.3 be made or that were made to OSAMM and LOGAM input and output data that are worth noting. These adjustments are described in The adjustments described below are only intendthis paragraph. ed to reflect adjustments which were needed or made in order to have consistency of model scenarios. If an adjustment is descriptive to a particular model it only implies that it was simpler to adjust that particular model and does not mean that a similar adjustment could not be made to the other model. It should be noted that the adjustments which could not be reflected in the study results will be reflected in the Comparative Analysis Report, MRSA COMA 86-01, to be completed in Nov 86 that will address the use of OSAMM versus LOGAM in conducting Level of Repair Analysis (LORA) studies.

5.3.1 The most important adjustment made was to present LOGAM's logistics cost categories in the same present value terms as OSAMM. The adjustment done by hand made it possible to compare OSAMM and LOGAM by the cost categories listed in Table 1. OSAMM discounts recurring costs on mid-year tables at a fixed rate of 10 percent as described in DODI 7041.3, Economic Analysis and Program Evaluation for Resource Management, Oct 72. This means

that OSAMM assumes uniform spending of money throughout the year. LOGAM discounts recurring costs using end-of-year tables but an arbitrary discount (or inflation) rate can be input. However. the LOGAM discounting is only reflected in the end total logistics costs and not by the categories listed above. The use of end-of-year tables means that LOGAM assumes spending of money at the end-of-the year. Therefore, discounting to present value of LOGAM's logistics cost categories was accomplished externally (i.e., by hand) from the LOGAM model. This was done by entering a zero into LOGAM's input variable titled, "FINT" which is the discounting or inflation rate. Then the recurring costs that LOGAM outputs is divided by 20 years since that was used as the system life. The division was done because the figures LOGAM outputs are accumulated recurring costs for the 20 years and the division gives the annual recurring cost. Next, from a table of mid-year present value factors 8.933 was obtained for a 10 percent discount rate and a 20 year life cycle. Finally, the annual recurring cost was then multiplied by the 8.933 to bring LOGAM's recurring cost to the present value method that OSAMM uses. The specific costs that were adjusted are titled on LOGAM's output report as follows: Inventory Management, Materiel Storage, Reordering, Maintenance Manpower, Test Equipment (TE) Space, TE Maintenance, Shipping, and Supplies. The cost that did not undergo this adjustment (because they are already in terms of present value by the nature of the cost area) included: TE Procurement Cost (i.e., Test Equipment minus TE Maintenance), Total Provision, and Cost to Enter NSN's Into the Inventory (i.e., Supply Administration minus Inventory Management). Example 1 shows how the logistics cost category titled "Miscellaneous" were obtained and adjusted for LOGAM.

LOGAM MISCELLANEOUS CATEGORY	UNA DJUS TE D VALUE (UV)	YEARS Adjustment (YA) (UV/20 Years)	PRESENT VALUE FACTOR ADJUSTMENT (YA * 8.933)	PRESENT VALUE
Inventory Mgmt	\$2,450,000	\$122,500	\$1,094,000	\$1,094,000
Reordering	\$98,000	\$4,900	\$44,000	\$44,000
Mtl Storage	\$231,000	\$11,550	\$103,000	\$103,000
Cost Enter NSNs (Supply Admin Inventory Mgmt)	\$68,000 (\$2,518,000 - \$2,450,000)	No Adjustment preser	needed already nt value.	\$68,000
- TOTAL				\$1,309,000*
*This is the valu titled "Miscell	e shown at Table aneous".	2 for LOGAM's lo	ogistics cost ca	ategory

Example 1. Adjusting LOGAM Output.

5.3.2 An important adjustment made to OSAMM's input data was the requirement to add a psuedo module to handle removal of the false-no-go's for LRU #8 at DS level. This was necessary because in the actual field environment screening takes place at that maintenance level for LRU #8. Presently, this type screening of LRU's cannot be directly modeled in OSAMM so an adjustment was made to OSAMM's input to allow for the above scenario. This adjustment consisted of increasing the MTBF for the modules in LRU #8 by 15 percent which is the false-no-go rate and adding a

pseudo module to LRU #8 which costs a penny. The pseudo module also had a restricted maintenance policy which was removal and replacement at DS Level. The failure rate for the psuedo module compensates for the decrease in failure rates of the other modules in LRU #8. Example 2 depicts the method and equation used to determine the failure rate of the pseudo module.

> X = LRU * [FNG/(1 + FNG)] LRU -- Failure Rate of the LRU before decreasing it for the percentage of false-no-go's (.0000364). FNG -- False-no-go percentage rate (.15). X -- Failure rate of the Psuedo Module (.0000048). 1 -- MTBF of the Psuedo Module (208,333 hours). X = .0000364 * [.15/(1 + .15)] * This is the value used in this study for the psuedo module failure rate included in LRU #8.

EXAMPLE 2. Method for Pseudo Module Development.

If this adjustment to OSAMM had not been made there would have been a slight impact on the logistics cost output. However, the adjustment did allow the TOW system and logistics support to be modeled correctly. This type adjustment will not be necessary in the future since the new release of OSAMM allows for screening of false-no-go's.

5.3.3 The second adjustment to OSAMM was insertion of the input variable titled, "Inventory Holding Cost Percentage" which was a matter of inputting the correct percentage rate to coincide with LOGAM's percentage rate of Materiel Storage to Total Provision. In preliminary execution of LOGAM this percentage was approximately two percent. Thus, two percent was used in OSAMM for the variable titled, "Inventory Holding Cost Percentage". Upon closer scrutiny of the way both models calculate holding cost and refinements in the LOGAM supply support pipelines it was revealed that the percentage rate should have been less than one percent. This finding was primarily due to the diverse methods used by the models to calculate inventory holding cost. OSAMM's Inventory Holding Cost (Materiel Storage in LOGAM) category is estimated by a percentage rate of the initial spares cost. The Inventory Holding Cost Percentage input into OSAMM includes three factors obsolescence, loss, and storage of the spares. The percentages for these three factors used in OSAMM are based on historical information from the Commodity Command Standard System Materiel Management Decision File. In contrast, LOGAM uses the quantity of spares stocked and their volume in cubic feet times a cost per cubic foot for storage space to calculate Materiel Storage cost. Also, LOGAM does not calculate obsolescence and loss as part of

Materiel Storage Cost. In this study LOGAM's cost per cubic foot for storage space was too low or OSAMM's holding cost percentage had to be adjusted to compensate for the above factors. No change was made in either of these figures due to time constraints and the diverse way holding costs are considered in the models. However, one OSAMM run was made to determine the impact of putting one percent instead of two percent. The one percent would have reduced the OSAMM Inventory Holding Cost category by \$1.8 million and thus been closer to the cost obtained in LOGAM for the category titled, "Materiel Storage." 5.3.4 The third adjustment to OSAMM was insertion of the input called TE work space cost. Since OSAMM does not provide for a direct input for TE work space costs (like LOGAM) it was necessary to include it either in the OSAMM input variable titled "ETC" (Other One Time Initial Costs of TE) or "CF" (TE Annual Maintenance Cost Factor). The TE work space cost (input into LOGAM) was input into the OSAMM variable titled, "ETC" in order to keep visibility between the TE annual maintenance costs and TE work space costs. In preliminary execution of LOGAM the TE work space cost was \$30,000. Thus, since 10 pieces of TE were required for the system in OSAMM, \$3,000 was input into OSAMM. Later it was discovered that the \$30,000 needed to be converted to OSAMM's present value terms before it was input into OSAMM. This was necessary since "ETC" is input into OSAMM as a one time cost and the LOGAM cost was an annual cost. Also, refinements in LOGAM input data reduced the TE work space cost to \$24,000. Adjusting the \$24,000 to present value, as shown earlier, and dividing by the TE requirement computed in OSAMM yields \$1,072 which should have been input into OSAMM instead of \$3,000. No adjustment to OSAMM was made due to the very small contribution that this had to the overall logistics cost and because it does not effect the accomplishment of the study objectives. However, one OSAMM run was made using \$1,072 which reduced OSAMM cost for TMDE work space by \$20,750. Thus, making OSAMM TMDE costs more compatible to LOGAM TMDE costs.

5.3.5 The fourth adjustment to OSAMM that will be discussed has to deal with insertion of the input called, "TE Annual Maintenance Cost Factor" (CF). This adjustment was a matter of inputting the correct percentage rate of TE procurement cost that should be used to calculate the TE annual maintenance cost. The annual cost for support of TE is an input to LOGAM as the variable titled, "CRI." In preliminary execution of LOGAM the percentage rate for TE maintenance cost was one percent of the TE procurement cost. Thus, one percent was used in OSAMM for the variable titled, "CF." Upon closer scrutiny of the way both models calculate TE annual maintenance cost and refinements in the LOGAM input data it was revealed that the percentage rate should have been two and a half or three percent. No change was made in the OSAMM input due to time constraints and the very small contribution that this cost had on the overall logistics cost. However, one OSAMM run was made to determine the impact of putting three percent instead of one percent. The three percent would have increased the OSAMM TE maintenance costs by \$65,000 and thus been very close to the cost obtained in LOGAM.

6.0 RELIABILITY ALLOCATION SCENARIOS. One of the more important study objectives was to evaluate and determine the reliability allocation methods that would yield a wide logistics cost versus reliability envelope. This envelope provides a high and low cost bound (about a baseline cost) that could be incurred by reallocation of the LRU failure rates while still achieving the same system MTBF. This study objective was accomplished through the investigation of four different failure rate allocation methods: Baseline Proration; Unit Price Proration; ARINC Proration; and, Inverse Unit Price Proration. The first three allocation methods were agreed to at the fourth AMC Task Force meeting held in Dec 85. It was determined from the initial study efforts that another method was needed to provide the lower logistics cost versus reliability curve. This led MRSA to develop and suggest the Inverse Unit Price Proration method. Each of the four proration methods are described in this paragraph.

6.1 BASELINE PRORATION METHOD. The Baseline Proration method simply uses the historical LRU failure rates to determine the logistics cost involved. Example 3 contains some actual failure rate data input into the two models.

	LRU	J#	Mod	FR*	MTBF (1/FR*)	MTBF* (MTBF * Mod)
LRU LRU	#1 #2		12 2	.00259 .00041	386 2,439	4,633 4,878
LRU#	F	- Line	Replacea	ble Unit (LRU)	Number.	
FR*		- Hist LOGA	crical fa	ilure rate for	the particula	ar LRU used in
MTBF	7	- Mean part	I Time Bet	ween failure (' JR.	MTBF) in hours	s for a
MTBF	? *	- Mean part	: Time Bet [,] Licular mo	ween Failure () dule in the pa	MTBF) in hours	₃ for a used in

EXAMPLE 3. Baseline Proration Method.

Note that in Example 3 FR* is the actual failure rate value input into LOGAM (variable "E") for the LRU shown. OSAMM uses the MTBF* which is to the module (or application) level (variable "FAIL(I)"). The approach used in OSAMM (i.e., multiplying MTBF by Mod) to find MTBF* means that the system is in series configuration which implies that if a module fails then the LRU fails. Thus, each of the 12 modules in LRU #1 has an MTBF* of 4,633 hours input into OSAMM. Likewise, each of the two modules in LRU#2 has an MTBF* of 4,878 hours input into OSAMM. These values are reflected on the input files at Appendix B for OSAMM and Appendix D for LOGAM.

6.2 UNIT PRICE PRORATION METHOD. The Unit Price Proration method takes the percentage rate contribution of the LRU unit prices to the end item unit price and uses those percentages as the percentage rate contribution per LRU to the system failure rate which was 1/137 hours or .007288. Contained at Example 4 is this method in equation form.

FR* = (UP/UPS)* FRSPer FR* MTBF MTBE* LRU# Mod UP (UP/UPs) (Per * FRs) (1/FR*)(Mod * MTBF) .001443 LRU#1 12 \$110,628 .198 693 8,316 LRU#2 2 \$ 25,298 .045 .0003279 3,050 6,100 LRU# Line Replaceable Unit (LRU) Number. Mod Number of modules in the particular LRU. Unit Price of a particular LRU. UP Per Percentage rate contribution of a particular LRU's unit price to the system unit price. FR* Failure Rate for the particular LRU (input into LOGAM) for this method. MTBF Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) in hours for a particular LRU. MTBF* Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) in hours for a particular module in the particular LRU (input into OSAMM) for this method. Unit Price of the end item or system (\$558,541). UPs FRs Failure rate of the end item or system (.007288). Note that the values shown reflect the system being used in this study.

ESSENTE PROVIDE RECEVER PROCEEDE

8822558

12220200

EXAMPLE 4. Unit Price Proration Method.

6.3 <u>ARINC PRORATION METHOD.</u> The ARINC Proration method takes the percentage rate contribution of each LRU's failure rate to the system failure rate and multiples it by the system Required Operational Capability (ROC) failure rate which was 1/200 hours or .005. Contained at Example 5 is this method in equation form.

LRU#	Mod	FR	Per (FR/FRs)	FR* (Per * FRr)	MTBF (1/FR*) (N	MTBF* Iod * MTBF
LRU#1	12	.00259	.356	.00178	562	6,744
LRU#2	2	.00041	.056	.00028	3,571	7,142
LRU#	-	Line Repla	aceable Uni	t (LRU) Numb	er.	
Mod	-	Number of	modules in	h the particu	lar LRU.	
FR	-	Historica:	l failure m	ate for the	particular	LRU.
Per	-	Percentage LRU's hist	e rate cont torical fai	ribution of lure rate to	a particula the histor	ir ical
FR*	-	Failure ra LOGAM) for	te for the this meth	particular	LRU (input	into
FRs	-	Historical	l failure m	ate for the	system (.00	7288).
FRr -	-	Failure ra Capability	ate specifi y (ROC) for	ed in the Re the system	quired Oper (.005).	ational
MTBF	-	Mean Time particular	Between Fa r LRU.	ilure (MTBF)	in hours f	or a
mtbf*	-	Mean Time particular into OSAM	Between Fa r module in 4) for this	ilure (MTBF) the particu method.	in hours f lar LRU (ir	or a put

EXAMPLE 5. ARINC Proration Method.

6.4 **INVERSE UNIT PRICE PRORATION METHOD.** The Inverse Unit Price Proration method takes the percentage rate contribution of each LRU's unit price to the end item unit price and then ranks the LRU's by this percentage rate from high to low. Next the percentages are inversed in ranking while the LRU nomenclatures are held as is. Thus, the LRU that had the highest percentage contribution to unit price now has the lowest percentage contribution to the system failure rate. The percentages are then multiplied by the system failure rate. Contained at Example 6 is an example of this method.

		LRU# 1 \$110,628 .198 LRU# 4 \$ 2,773 .005 LRU# 7 \$ 4,000 .907
		LRU# 8 \$314,863 .564
		Perr IPER
		(ranked (Inverse
311#	Mod	Per High Perr FRT MIDE MIDET to low) Order) (IPER TERs) (1/FRT) (Mod TMIDE)
<u></u>		
LRU#8	13	.564 .005 .0000364 27,472 357,143
LRU#1	12	.195 $.007$ $.000051$ $19,608$ $235,294$
LKU#/ 1911#L	1	-007 -195 -001443 593 593 -005 -564 0041104 243 243
LRU#	-	Line Replaceable Unit (LRU) Number.
UP	-	Unit price of a particular LRU.
UFS Der	-	Unit price of the end item or system (\$550,541).
rei	-	unit price to the system unit price.
Mod	-	Number of modules in the particular LRU.
Perr	-	This is the same as Per. However, the percentages
		are ranked in order from high to low.
IPER	-	This is the inverse rank of Perr holding the LRU#
F 0#	_	numbers the same.
r n-	-	LOGAM) for this method.
FRs	-	Failure rate for the end item or system (.007288).
MTRE	-	Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) in hours for a
		particular LRU.
		Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) in hours for a
MTBF*	-	
MTBF*	-	particular module in the particular LRU (input

EXAMPLE 6. Inverse Unit Price Proration Method.

6.5 Using each of the above four allocation methods shown, a sensitivity analysis was performed (varying the system MTBF or failure rate) in order to obtain enough data points to plot out a curve for each allocation method. Four different data points were generated for each failure rate allocation method using the sensitivity analysis features of the models.

7.0 <u>STUDY RESULTS.</u> This paragraph addresses the findings on the two main objectives of this study. The first objective was to

determine if OSAMM and/or LOGAM can be used to trade-off logistics cost versus reliability. The second objective was to determine feasible reliability allocation methods that would develop a wide logistics cost versus reliability envelope around the baseline reliability allocation.

The final results from the OSAMM and LOGAM analysis were 7.1 very similar. However, sources contributing to differences between the two models were identified on the sample problem studied and are discussed below. The logistics cost versus reliability curves derived as a result of executing OSAMM and LOGAM using M65 Airborne TOW data is contained at Figure 1. The input data files and the output files for each model are contained at Appendix B through Appendix E. It is impractical to show the input and output files for each curve along with files for each data point on a curve. Therefore, Appendixes B-E contain only the input and output files for the baseline curve at the data point of 137 hours system mean time between failure This point was chosen because 137 hours were taken as (MTBF). the baseline MTBF. It should be noted that the LOGAM output files contained at Appendix E were manually adjusted to get the same present value that OSAMM provides. Therefore, the output file costs shown at Appendix E for LOGAM are not identical to the cost plotted on Figure 1. The adjustment process for LOGAM output is discussed in paragraph 5.3.1. The ARINC Proration curves are not shown on Figure 1 because in theory they should be exactly the same as the Baseline Proration curves when plotted as a function of MTBF. The study results indicate this to be the However, due to round-off errors and graphical accuracy case. the ARINC Proration curves were not exactly identical to the Baseline Proration curves (they are extremely close). Thus, the ARINC Proration method is not a viable method to use in order to achieve a wide logistics cost versus reliability envelope. However, it is a viable proration method for determining the Baseline proration curve. Also, the ARINC Proration method provided a check to ensure accuracy of the model's computations. The ARINC Proration curves for OSAMM and LOGAM are shown at Appendix A.

The percentage cost difference between the OSAMM and LOGAM 7.2 Baseline Proration curves ranges from approximately 2 to 17 percent with approximately 11 percent at the baseline MTBF of 137 This percentage range would have been even smaller if the hours. corrections noted earlier in paragraphs 5.1 and 5.3 could have been implemented before the study had been completed. The indication is that at 137 hours MTBF the percentage difference In studying the curves it would have been less than 9 percent. becomes apparent that some points are above or below the curve line drawn especially on the LOGAM curves. The reason the points do not make an exact smooth line is because the rounding methodology for stockage locations for LOGAM, at a given MTBF, could result in more or fewer spares procured and distributed. Thus, the point could jump above or below the curve because of spares stockage round-off. The next few paragraphs describe the reasons behind four anomalies that are apparent on Figure 1.



ŝ

7.2.1 ANOMALY A. LOGAM'S Unit Price, Baseline, ARINC, and Inverse Unit Price curves slope upward at a steeper rate than OSAMM's curves. The reasons are:

a. LOGAM recycles improperly repaired items for depot rework (for a lower system MTBF more items ar. recycled and a steeper curve results for LOGAM).

b. OSAMM washes-out parts at the level where repair occurs. Thus, no transportation cost is incurred to evacuate the washed-out parts. In LOGAM washout was designated to occur at the depot for this study which means transportation costs were incurred. At lower system MTBF's the LOGAM curve slopes up more steeply because there is more wash-outs and thus more transportation costs than OSAMM would have.

c. OSAMM repairs a small percentage of false no-go's and LOGAM does not. At a large system MTBF the quantity of false no-go's repaired is less, therefore, a less steep curve results for OSAMM.

7.2.2 ANOMALY B. LOGAM'S Unit Price curve is higher than OSAMM'S Unit Price curve, but not higher for the Baseline and ARINC curves. This is almost entirely due to initial provisioning differences brought about by the recycling of improperly repaired items at the depot which becomes more prevalent on the Unit Price curve since more failures of the high dollar items occur on this curve thus more cost is incurred because of the recycling.

7.2.3 ANOMALY C. OSAMM'S Baseline curve (over most of the MTBF range) and ARINC curve is higher than LOGAM's. The reason for this is:

a. OSAMM washes-out and repairs a small percentage of false no-go's resulting in higher costs for consumption spares and manpower.

b. OSAMM's Inventory Holding Cost (Materiel Storage in LOGAM) category is estimated by a percentage rate of initial spares cost and includes percentages for storage but also obsolescence and loss costs. In contrast LOGAM uses stockage volume times the cost per cubic foot for storage space to calculate materiel storage cost and does not consider obsolescence and loss. Thus this diverse way to calculate inventory holding cost contributed to OSAMM's higher curves.

c. The differences in initial provisioning among the models contributed to this anomaly. The differences in initial provisioning calculations had to do with a lack of LOGAM having the Standard Initial Provisioning (SIP) retail stockage criteria. LOGAM drove to the availability target without regard to the regulatory minimum stockage criteria which OSAMM incorporates. In this study the requirement to add stockage (i.e., optimize stockage above SIP) was not necessary since the operational availability (Ao) achieved with SIP requirements was well above the target Ao. Thus, OSAMM's stockage optimization feature was not utilized. It must be noted that SIP is the lowest stockage allowed by Army regulation. The SIP criteria can be found in DARCOM-P 700-18 and the regulation which requires SIP usage is AR 700-18. LOGAM achieved the Ao target with less stockage than OSAMM which achieved slightly above the Ao target using SIP. Thus, this contributed to LOGAM's Baseline and ARINC curves being below the OSAMM Baseline and ARINC curves.

7.2.4 ANOMALY D. LOGAM'S Inverse Unit Price curve is higher than OSAMM's. The reasons for this are:

a. OSAMM generates lower back order costs since this is a curve whose high dollar items has a low failure rate.

b. Repair time omitted in OSAMM to force closer test equipment compatibility (giving lower manpower costs).

7.3 The effects of the anomalies are considered minor when performing logistics cost versus reliability studies, since all the curves reach their point of diminishing returns at about the same MTBF which was, for this study, approximately 240 hours system MTBF.

7.4 It must be pointed out that the curves derived using the Unit Price and Inverse Unit Price Proration methods are not the maximum and minimum logistics cost versus reliability curves that can be obtained. However, they do appear to provide a wide bound (i.e., heuristic bound). In other words the methods provide a means for guiding reductions in logistics cost through improvements in reliability during system design. The key to whether these curves are realistic and bound the Baseline Proration curve is the accuracy of the data used to develop the curves. If data is uncertain, then sensitivity analysis on that data should be conducted using the models before any logistics cost versus reliability curves are constructed. The area of input data is further discussed at paragraph 9.0.

7.5 This report does not include all the cost figures by individual logistics cost category derived for each point on the curves shown at Figure 1. However, Table 2 shows the set of costs derived from the Baseline Proration curve at a system MTBF of 137 hours. Table 2 also contains remarks which explain the major reasons for the cost differences shown between OSAMM and LOGAM for a given logistics cost category. It should be pointed out that the LOGAM costs shown on Table 2 have been adjusted to present value using the method outlined earlier. Another point which must be made is that time constraints did not permit adjustments noted in paragraphs 5.1 and 5.3 which would have reduced the cost differences between the models and permitted closer correlation of the costs indicated on Table 2. The logistics cost categories which would have been effected by these adjustments are shown with a * on Table 2.

		1.2.4.14	I
CATEGORY	COSTS	COSTS	REMARKS
Manpower	\$ 644,000	\$ 2,468,000 m	Repair time omitted in USAMM to force TMDE compat- ability.
Initial Spares	\$20,997,000	\$19,379,000	DSAMM used the DIP which was the minimum stockage allowed by regulation to meet the Ao. However, LOGAM irove to Ao which allowed less stockage than SIP allows,
Consumption Spares	\$16,918,300	\$15,118,000	OSAMM washes-out false no- go's which consumes more spares. Thus OSAMM has a slightly higher cost.
Transportation	\$ 176,000	\$ 291,000	LOGAM charges for distrib- tion of initial spares. Transportation cost for wishouts not calculated in OSAMM since they are dis- posed of at the field site.
THDE	\$ 429,000 *	\$ 509,000 m	Charges input for TMDE Maintenance Support and Work Space Cost were not exactly consistant. LOGAM also procured one more TE set due to differences in TE Ao. The recycling of depot rework in LOGAM generated more TE require- ments.
MISCELLANEOUS	\$ 4,832,000 .	\$ 1,309,000	The difference is due to inventory holding costs. OSAMM uses a percentage of the initial provisioning cost. LOGAM uses stockage volume times a cost factor. The OSAMM percentage inclu- des obsolescence and loss.
TOTAL	\$43,996,000	\$39,074,000	

TABLE 2. OSAMM and LOGAM Costs Comparison.

8.0 MAINTENANCE AND SUPPLY SUPPORT OPTIMIZATION. This paragraph addresses the study objective of determining the impacts that utilizing maintenance and supply support optimization has on the logistics cost versus reliability curves.

8.1 One complaint in the past with utilization of logistics cost versus reliability curves has been that there is no consideration that a given design alternative may permit (or demand) a different supply or support environment. The advent of maintenance and supply support optimization has relieved this complaint. However, it should be noted that the greatest benefit of maintenance and supply support optimization can be realized in the early acquisition phases of a weapon system when it is possible to influence design and support structure development. In order to satisfy the study objectives and to illustrate the potential benefits of maintenance and supply support optimization OSAMM was executed on the M65 Airborne TOW data with its optimization feature active. OSAMM was chosen (over LOGAM) due to its built-in optimization feature. LOGAM could have been used; however, the optimization would have required a manual manipulation and comparison of numerous computer runs in order to determine the optimum maintenance and supply support structure for a given system MTBF. Thus, for purposes of this study OSAMM was the least time consuming of the two models when it came to optimization.

8.2 The OSAMM optimization analysis was accomplished using 12 feasible maintenance policies chosen from the 25 available in OSAMM. The 12 policies were based on the maintenance concept for the M65 Airborne TOW of having no GS repair (only screening at GS for LRU#8), no end item repair above DS, no discarding of the end item, and no module repair below depot. Thus, the optimizer was executed with these 12 policies to choose from along with the possibility of split level maintenance policies and possible discard of LRUs and modules. No other changes were made from the basic data base used to derive the OSAMM curves at Figure 1. Shown at Figure 2 are the logistics cost versus reliability curves derived as a result of executing OSAMM using the optimizer to select a maintenance policy versus using the fixed maintenance policy originally used in Figure 1. The maintenance policies derived by the OSAMM optimizer for each of the points on the three curves along with other details are contained at Appendix F. It is important to notice in Figure 2 the large drop in logistics cost (i.e., \$19 million) at 137 hours system MTBF for the Baseline Proration curves when using an optimized versus fixed maintenance policy. The largest contribution to this decrease in logistics cost was the reduction in initial spares costs and in inventory holding cost. However, there was a very slight increase in repair costs. For each of the four points on each of the three curves a different maintenance policy was selected by the OSAMM optimizer. This was expected since the system MTBF was changed and proration of the MTBF among the LRUs was changed in order to develop the three proration curves. Thus, variations in MTBF and proration methods required a variation in the maintenance policy to yield the least logistics cost at the availability required. The most predominant maintenance policy selected by the OSAMM optimizer (for this study) was repair of the end item and components at DS; module repair at the Depot; and no split level maintenance. This maintenance policy was selected over most of the MTBF range. However, the maintenance policy selection tended toward repair of the end item and component at Organizational level; module repair at the Depot; and no split level maintenance at a system MTBF of 41 hours. These optimum maintenance policies are in sharp contrast to the fixed policy which was utilized in this study to compare OSAMM and LOGAM.

8.3 The above analysis was only a demonstration of the OSAMM optimizer to dramatize the effects on logistics cost when an optimized maintenance policy is considered versus utilizing a fixed predetermined maintenance policy. The above analysis was limited in scope. Thus, the optimum maintenance policy decisions derived by OSAMM, as a result of this study, should not be used to restructure the present M65 Airborne TOW maintenance and supply support structure. In the case of TOW there are sunk costs that were not considered in this analysis and non-economic considerations that would eliminate the economic benefits of changing the maintenance and supply support structure that already exists. A much more detailed effort would be required in order to consider all the implications of changing the established TOW maintenance and supply support structure.



8.4 In general, the use of the OSAMM optimization feature does allow the analyst to quantify (i.e., cost-out) the decision to diverge from the optimum (i.e., the least cost solution to achieve an availability requirement) maintenance and supply support structure. Thus, the optimization feature provides a good measure to allow the decision maker to make a more informed and intelligent decision when it is necessary to diverge from the optimum support structure.

9.0 <u>CONCEPT/DEVELOPMENT PHASE INPUT DATA</u>. This paragraph discusses the last study objective which was to address the input data required to execute OSAMM and LOGAM in the early life cycle phases.

The collection and validation of data to be used in execu-9.1 tion of models is the single most labor intensive task in conducting an analysis. Thus, it is appropriate that the data requirements of OSAMM and LOGAM be addressed in this study. list of input data elements along with descriptions is contained at Appendix G for OSAMM and Appendix H for LOGAM. In an attempt to compare OSAMM and LOGAM input data requirements Appendix I is Also included provided by the data categories described below. at Appendix I is a one for one comparision of OSAMM and LOGAM It must be noted that the OSAMM mnemonics and input data inputs. elements provided at the appendixes are for the current version of OSAMM used in this study. The OSAMM mnemonics will be markedly changed from what is shown when the new release of OSAMM is available later this year.

9.2 There are five different categories of input data that the models utilize: Common Data (government and contractor furnished); System Peculiar Data (government and contractor furnished); and Program Control Data (analyst furnished). Each of these data categories is described below:

a. Category 1 - Common Data (government responsibility). This category consists of those data elements which are generally considered government furnished information (GFI) and for which standard data is available or can be developed and is not peculiar to the weapon system under analysis.

b. Category 2 - Common Data (contractor responsibility). These are data elements which are generally considered contractor furnished information (CFI) and which are considered specific to the system under analysis. There are standard reference values for data elements in this category. For instance, training costs will depend on the contractor's proposed training plan, however, data is available on current MOS training costs. This data might be used as a baseline if no other information is available.

c. Category 3 - System Peculiar Data (government responsibility). These are data elements which are considered GFI but for which there are no standard data available. This category includes data which is peculiar to the operation and deployment of the system under analysis. d. Category 4 - System Peculiar Data (contractor responsibility). These are data elements which are considered CFI but for which there is no standard data. This category includes data, such as, unit price of hardware, reliability values, maintainability values, etc., peculiar to the system under analysis.

e. Category 5 - Program Control Data (analyst responsibility). These variables are used to control outputs and program run modes of the models themselves. These are left (for the most part) to the analyst to decide and input.

9.3 In Concept phase a more macro approach must be taken in utilizing the models both from a standpoint of data inputs and weapon system hardware breakdown. In other words, it is necessary to utilize estimates or common data values for inputs and the hardware breakdown will usually be to the LRU or black box level. Thus, values available for the Category 1 and 2 data inputs should be utilized. The Category 1 values are available from the Logistic Parameters Library developed by MRSA for the purpose of providing validated input data to support logistic The MRSA Logistic Parameters Library currently conmodeling. tains data elements for input to both OSAMM and LOGAM. The Parameters Library is computerized and is organized by the mnemonics of the models. Thus, if a value is needed for a Category 1 input to OSAMM it can be retrieved from the library by typing in the OSAMM mnemonic. It should be noted that validated sources for the data values are also contained in the Parameters Library. The computerized Parameters Library is contained on MRSA's HP 3000 and may be accessed by government personnel only. Data obtained from the library may be released to a contractor only after screening and approval by the appropriate program manager. It is anticipated that additional models will be added to the library along with Category 2 type data. More detailed information on the Logistic Parameters Library can be obtained from the USAMC Materiel Readiness Support Activity, ATTN: AMXMD-EL. Lexington, KY 40511-5101, AUTOVON 745-3985 or commercial (606) 293-3986. Also, values are available for the Category 1 and 2 type data from the proponents of OSAMM and LOGAM. These values provided by CECOM and MICOM are contained at Appendix G and H, however, these values are not fully validated with sources The categories of data that are most important for their origin. in concept/development phases are the Category 3 and 4 data. However, even estimates for many of these two categories can be utilized. The data which is critical to an analysis in Concept or in any life cycle phase include: the unit price of items, failure rates or MTBF of items, TMDE utilization time and prices, operating life of the system, deployment quantity, operating time per day, availability target, and to a less extent MTTR of items, and the overall maintenance concept. If uncertainty exists in any of these data elements a sensitivity analysis can quantify and assist in determining the benefit of designing for more reliability versus the potential effects on logistics cost. Refinements in inputs to the models can be made as design progresses and more information and details are available. OSAMM and LOGAM both allow this type approach.

10.0 LESSONS LEARNED.

10.1 In this study there were a few input data areas that were difficult to input due to the nature of this study which was to utilize OSAMM and LOGAM with the same inputs and scenarios. These categories include: calibration manpower (or test manpower); contact team manpower (or repair manpower); scheduled maintenance; and initial spares pipelines. Initially, these categories created large differences in the outputs of the two models for the M65 Airborne TOW data. Upon closer review, those categories that could not be easily or directly input into OSAMM for evaluation were eliminated from both models or not considered in OSAMM. Also, inaccurate or overlooked LOGAM input variables or output results were adjusted as required. Those data categories eliminated, not considered, or adjusted are explained in paragraphs 5.1 and 5.3. It should be noted that a prime difficulty was the interpretation of initial spares pipelines. This area was given particular attention to ensure these pipelines were compatible in both models.

10.2 The most important lesson learned is that a skilled analyst who is a logistician should be available for review and consultation on LOGAM or OSAMM studies. It is very easy, even for the skilled analyst, to improperly interpret inputs and outputs.

11.0 CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS.

11.1 OSAMM and LOGAM produce very similar logistics cost versus reliability envelopes. The models give reasonably close logistics cost (2-17 percent difference) for a range of reliability values over various failure rate proration methods (i.e., Unit Price, Baseline, ARINC, and Inverse Unit Price Prorations). This comparability was demonstrated using the M65 Airborne TOW on a fixed set of maintenance policies for both models. Thus, it is concluded that OSAMM and LOGAM will produce similar results for logistics cost versus reliability trade-offs, when exercised without optimizing maintenance policies. Either model is acceptable for use when maintenance policy optimization is not required.

11.2 OSAMM is a more preferred model than LOGAM because of OSAMM's optimization features. Supply and maintenance policy optimization is a significant attribute in early Concept and Demonstration phase studies. It is envisioned that the cost versus reliability studies would be conducted in the Concept and Demonstration phases. - OSAMM with the optimization feature can automatically consider a large set of potential solutions for stockage quantities; maintenance policy selection; and placement and purchase of TMDE to achieve a system availability target at a reduced cost. Also, stockage quantities are determined by SESAME algorithms which are AMC approved.

11.3 LOGAM does have some advantages in given situations because more detailed data inputs are required for the logistics structure and because every input can vary by LRU. The model can estimate total Operation and Support Costs with DA PAM 11-4 and DCA P-92(R) formats and can manipulate individual LRU target availabilities to reduce initial spares cost. Also, LOGAM can vary any input for sensitivity analysis. It appears that LOGAM concentrates more on details of the logistics system than on the actual hardware system and test requirements details. In contrast, it appears OSAMM concentrates more on details of the actual hardware system and its test requirements with a slightly less amount of detail on the logistics system than LOGAM.

11.4 It is obvious from the logistics cost versus reliability envelopes generated in this study that logistics cost are sensitive to the method used to allocate reliability. Also, maximum improvement in logistics cost is attributed to reducing the failure rate of high unit cost items. The Inverse Unit Price Proration method shows a large improvement in logistics cost by reducing the failure rate of high unit price items.

The Unit Price Proration and Inverse Unit Price Proration 11.5 methods produce a very good (i.e., wide) logistics cost versus reliability envelope around the Baseline Proration method. The ARINC Proration method is not adequate to provide a good (i.e., wide) logistics cost versus reliability envelope. It must be pointed out that the Inverse Unit Price Proration method has a drawback. This drawback is that if LRU unit prices are uniform (i.e., each LRU percentage contribution to system unit price is relatively equal) then the cost versus reliability envelope would be a very narrow band around the Baseline Proration curve. However, having uniform unit prices for LRUs is highly unlikely. Another point which must be stated is that the proration methods do not address the issue of whether the reallocated failure rates for a particular LRU are realistic or feasible. This is something that a skilled analyst must determine when conducting the cost versus reliability study. Also, these methods do not give the maximum and minimum logistics cost versus reliability curves. These methods do provide a wide bound around the baseline curve given the input data is realistic and feasible.

11.6 Using the Unit Price Proration and Inverse Unit Price Proration methods early in the life cycle will bound the logistics cost for a given predicted system reliability. In other words, even if you do not know the actual baseline reliability allocation, it can be realistically concluded that the Baseline Proration Curve will fall between the other two curves.

11.7 Important to note is that each of the logistics cost versus reliability proration curves in this study reaches its point of diminishing returns at about the same system MTBF. In other words, at a certain point, no matter how much you increase the reliability of the system, it will not significantly reduce logistics cost. For this study that point was approximately 240 hours system MTBF.

11.8 There have been discussions as to the feasibility of requiring the use of both OSAMM and LOGAM in conducting logistics cost versus reliability studies. It is impractical and costly to execute both models to conduct a logistics cost versus

reliability study since either model is adequate to accomplish the requirement and both models provide comparable results. The use of either model is recommended but not both on one weapon system study. If both models were used, as in this study, adjustments to both OSAMM and LOGAM input data and output would be required to ensure compatibility of the models results. This would be costly if contracted out and create needless work since either model produces compatible results.

12.0 <u>SUMMARY</u>. In summary, for performing logistics (maintenance and support) cost versus reliability studies the following conclusions are:

a. Either OSAMM or LOGAM is acceptable.

b. OSAMM is preferred, if maintenance policy optimization is required, but LOGAM will suffice for analysis of a small number of maintenance policies.

APPENDIX A

AS PART OF THE AMC RELIABILITY VERSUS COST TASK FORCE MRSA AND MICOM JOINTLY THE STUDY WAS TO INVESTIGATE THE POTENTIAL OF THE MODELS TO CONDUCT LOGISTICS cost versus reliabil'ity trade-offs. The following briefing is a summary of OPTICALLY TRACKED WIRE-GUIDED (TOW) MISSILE SYSTEM. THE MAIN OBJECTIVE OF CONDUCTED A STUDY OF THE OPTIMUM SUPPLY AND MAINTENANCE MODEL (OSAMM) AND THE LOGISTICS ANALYSIS MODEL (LOGAM) USING THE M65 AIRBORNE-TUBE LAUNCHED MRSA'S AND MICOM'S EFFORTS AND RESULTS.

APPENDIX A STUDY BRIEFING


THIS SLIDE GIVES YOU A PICTORIAL VIEW OF THE MG5 AIRBORNE TOW'S EIGHT LINE REPLACEABLE UNITS WHICH WERE THE FOCUS OF THE STUDY.

Sec. States

Telescopic Sight Unit



Amplifiers and Power Supply



STABILIZATION CONTROL AMPLIFIER



ELECTRONIC POWER SUPPLY



MISSILE COMMAND AMPLIFIER

Aircrew Controls and Displays



TOW Missile Launcher







THIS SLIDE SHOWS THE EIGHT LRU'S AND ALSO GIVES YOU THE NUMBER OF MODULES WHICH MAKE UP THE LRU'S OF THE MG5 AIRBORNE TOW.

Ŗ



PROBLEM DESCRIPTION :

A-6

A HYPOTHETICAL DEPLOYMENT THEATER WAS CONSIDERED HAVING A TOTAL OF 230 M65 LEVELS (SO IT WAS CONSIDERED COMMON). THE MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL WERE ALREADY LEVEL. TEST EQUIPMENT AND MANPOWER AT EACH LEVEL. WE CONSIDERED TWO PIECES OF TEST EQUIPMENT WAS ALREADY IN EXISTENCE AND USED ONLY AT THE GS AND DEPOT IN PLACE AT ALL SUPPORT LEVELS AND WERE BEING USED TO SUPPORT OTHER SYSTEMS of test equipment to maintain the TOW. This was done to simplify the study REPAIR OF THE TOW LRU'S. ONE PIECE OF TEST EQUIPMENT WAS ALREADY IN EXIST-ENCE AT THE DS. GS. AND DEPOT LEVELS (SO IT WAS CONSIDERED COMMON AT THOSE THE SYSTEM LIFE WAS TAKEN TO BE 20 YEARS. SINCE THE SYSTEM IS DEPLOYED WE **WITH** USE ON THE TOW. THIS SLIDE SHOWS THE NUMBER OF SUPPORT UNITS PER SUPPORT TRIED TO MATCH THE SUPPORT STRUCTURE AND MAINTENANCE POLICY PRESENTLY IN PROCURED (SO IT WAS CONSIDERED PECULIAR AT THAT LEVEL). THE OTHER PIECE ΒE SINCE THE TWO CONSIDERED WERE THE MAIN ITEMS IN USE TO SUPPORT TEST AND AIRBORNE TOW'S DEPLOYED. THIS WAS DONE IN ORDER TO SIMPLIFY THE STUDY. AS WELL AS THE TOW. THEREFORE. THEY WERE CONSIDERED AS BEING SHARED LEVELS BUT AT THE ORGANIZATION LEVEL IT WAS NOT AVAILABLE AND HAD TO THE TOW AT A FRACTION OF THE ANNUAL COST FOR PERSONNEL

A-7

a *					MANPOWER	SHARED	SHARED SHARED	(TS BIN)
	17'D) :	30 SYSTEMS			TMDE	PECULIAR COMMON	COMMON	
	CRIPTION (CON	EPLOYMENT: 2:	20 YEARS	RT STRUCTURE :	NO. SUPPORT UNITS	6 «		
	PROBLEM DES	IVPOTHETICAL DI	SYSTEM LIFE :	OGISTICS SUPPO	SUPPORT LEVEL	ORG DS	GS DEPOT	

A-8

Contraction of

SINCE THE TOW WAS FIELDED THE MAINTENANCE POLICY WAS FIXED FOR THIS STUDY. THIS SLIDE DEPICTS THE SPLIT LEVEL MAINTENANCE POLICY BY LRU. IN ADDITION. (THIS MEANS THAT 15 PERCENT OF THE TIME A REPAIR WAS ATTEMPTED THE LRU WAS EVACUATED TO GS OR DEPOT FOR REPAIR (I.E. THE 15 PERCENT FALSE NO-GO'S ARE SCREENING FOR FALSE NO-GO'S AT THE DS LEVEL. FIELD INFORMATION INDICATED THAT IT WAS COMMON TO HAVE A FALSE NO-GO RATE OF 15 PERCENT FOR ALL LRU'S TO THE FIXED REPAIR POLICY FOR LRU #8 THERE WAS AN ADDITIONAL POLICY OF GOOD). SINCE LRU #8 IS SCREENED AT DS NO UNFAILED MODULES OR LRUS ARE FOUND AT THE DS LEVEL BEFORE THEY ARE EVACUATED).



NO-GO'S UNLESS PRECEEDED BY CHECK-OUT AT DS.

ALL LRU REPAIR INCLUDES 15% DETECTION FOR FALSE-

FALSE-NO-GO'8.

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION (CONT'D) :



FIXED MAINTENANCE POLICY :

POLICY POLICY	LRU REPAIR MODULE REPAIR	25% ORG DEPOT	65% DS DEPOT	10% DEPOT DEPOT	25% DS* DEPOT	65% GS GS	10% DEPOT DEPOT	
PERCENT		25%	65%	10%	25%	65%	10%	
URJ		1 - 7	1 - 7	1 - 7	8	80	80	

ORDER TO ACHIEVE THE STUDY OBJECTIVES AND RETAIN CONSISTENCY AND COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN MODEL SCENARIOS. SOME COSTS WERE ALSO OMITTED DUE TO: THE NATURE OF THE SYSTEM BEING ANALYZED: COST AREAS NOT ACTUALLY BEING INCURRED AGAINST THE SYSTEM: OR. THE WAY THE SYSTEM'S DEPLOYMENT SCENARIO WAS BEING MODELED. FHERE WERE CERTAIN COST CATEGORIES THAT WE CONSIDERED NOT NECESSARY IN

THE COST OF PUBLICATIONS FOR THE TOW WAS NOT READILY AVAILABLE AND WAS NOT CONSIDERED IN THIS STUDY. IT WAS FELT THIS WOULD NOT EFFECT THE PURPOSE OF THE STUDY WHICH WAS TO SEE IF THE OSAMM AND LOGAM RELIABILITY VERSUS COST CURVES WERE COMPARABLE AND COMPATIBLE. THE PUBLICATIONS COSTS COULD HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IF THEY HAD BEEN AVAILABLE

AND FOR THE SAKE OF SIMPLICITY OF THE ANALYSIS IT WAS LEFT OUT. TRAINING COSTS WERE NOT USED BECAUSE THE PERSONNEL WERE ALREADY AVAILABLE BEFORE THE SYSTEM WAS FIELDED. THEREFORE. TRAINING WAS CONSIDERED A SUNK COST. THE TRAINING COSTS COULD HAVE BEEN MODELED BUT DUE TO THE SMALL COSTS INVOLVED

OSAMM DOES NOT CONSIDER SALVAGE COSTS AND MWO COSTS, AND IT WAS FELT BETTER TO TAKE THEM OUT OF LOGAM TO HAVE CLOSER CORRELATION IN THE COST CURVES. IT WAS ALSO FELT THAT MWO COSTS WOULD NOT HAVE A GREAT EFFECT (IF ANY) ON THIS STUDY OR TRADING-OFF RELIABILITY VERSUS LOGISTICS COST.

THE PER IIS SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE COSTS WERE NOT CONSIDERED DUE TO THE NATURE TOW SYSTEM. IT WAS FELT THAT THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE FOR SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE WAS FOR THE ENTIRE HELICOPTER (I.E. APPROXIMATELY 8 HOURS WEEK PER HELICOPTER) AND WAS NOT APPLICABLE TO THE TOW PORTION DUE TO STAND-BY STATIC NATURE.

MANPOWER REPAIR COSTS WERE OMITTED IN OSAMM. THIS WAS DONE TO FORCE CLOSER TMDE UTILIZATION COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN OSAMM AND LOGAM. THE TMDE IS ONLY USED IN THE TESTING MODE NOT IN THE REPAIR MODE. OSAMM USES A MTTR FACTOR TO COMPUTE TMDE REQUIREMENTS AND WOULD ONLY ALLOW ONE MAN-POWER REPAIR OR TEST TIME. SINCE THIS WAS THE CASE AND THE TMDE WAS USED FOR TESTING ONLY. WE PUT ONLY TEST TIME INTO OSAMM'S MTTR FACTOR. THIS DRAWBACK IN OSAMM IS BEING CORRECTED IN A NEW RELEASE SCHEDULED FOR LATER THIS YEAR. THE MANPOWER REPAIR COSTS WERE LEFT IN LOGAM TO SEE WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IN MANPOWER WOULD BE. COST

COMPARISON ANALYSIS





ાંહ

Cyst

COST CATEGORY

COMPUTER MODEL

	OSAMM	LOGAM
PUBLICATIONS	×	×
TRAINING	×	×
SALVAGE	×	×
SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE	×	×
OWM	×	×
MANPOWER REPAIR	×	

* REPAIR TIME WAS OMITTED IN OSAMM TO FORCE CLOSER TMDE UTILIZATION COMPATIBILITY.



*

SOME OSAMM COSTS TO LOGAM COSTS. THE MISCELLANEOUS CATEGORY IN OSAMM IS MADEUP and Backorder. The Miscellaneous category in LOGAM is madeup of the following THIS SLIDE SHOWS THE LOGISTICS COST CATEGORIES THAT WERE CONSIDERED IN THE STUDY. AN ACTUAL COST COMPARISON BETWEEN OSAMM AND LOGAM IS SHOWN ON THE NEXT COSTS: INVENTORY MANAGEMENT, REORDERING, MATERIEL STORAGE, AND COST TO ENTER slide. It should be noted that the logistics category titled 'MISCELLANEOUS" OF THE FOLLOWING COSTS: INVENTORY HOLDING, REQUISITIONING, CATALOGING, BIN, WAS DONE TO SIMPLIFY THE STUDY AND BECAUSE OF THE DIFFICULTY IN CORRELATING NSNS INTO THE INVENTORY.

THE BOTTOM LINE SHOWN ON THIS SLIDE IS THAT THE COST VARIANCE BETWEEN OSAMM AND LOGAM WAS ONLY 11 PERCENT.



COSTS CONSIDERED :

DIFFERENCE IN BASELINE BOTTOM LINE :

requisitioning, storage, etc.)

(SUPPLY ADMIN., REORDERING,

MISCELLANEOUS

×



costs obtained = APPROXIMATELY 11%.

THIS SLIDE SHOWS THE ACTUAL COSTS DERIVED FROM BOTH MODELS FOR THE BASE-LINE ALLOCATION METHOD AT A SYSTEM MTBF OF 137 HOURS.

THAT OSAMM OUTPUTS. LOGAM DISCOUNTS RECURRING COSTS USING END OF YEAR TABLES. COMPARISON, LOGAM'S COST FIGURES HAD TO BE HAND ADJUSTED TO GET PRESENT VALUE OSAMM DISCOUNTS RECURRING COSTS ON MID-IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT IN ORDER TO GET THIS LOGISTICS COST CATEGORY THE ADJUSTED COSTS ARE SHOWN BY A *. YEAR TABLES

DIFFERENT BETWEEN OSAMM AND LOGAM IN A GIVEN LOGISTICS COST CATEGORY. ALSO, INCLUDED ON THIS SLIDE ARE REMARKS WHICH EXPLAIN THE MAJOR REASONS COSTS ARE

FOR MANPOWER. TMDE. AND MISCELLANEOUS CATEGORIES. IF THESE ADJUSTMENTS HAD BEEN MADE. THE PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OSAMM AND LOGAM WOULD HAVE BEEN REDUCED PARTICULAR INPUT VARIABLES NOT BEING ADJUSTED AFFECTED THE OUTPUT COSTS SHOWN MANPOWER AND TMDE. HOWEVER. THESE ADJUSTMENTS WERE NOT MADE DUE TO TIME CON-COST CATEGORIES TITLED TMDE AND MISCELLANEOUS AND LOGAM COST CATEGORY TITLED BEYOND THE ADJUSTMENT NOTED ABOVE, ADJUSTMENTS WERE NEEDED TO THE OSAMM STRAINTS. THE ADJUSTMENTS INVOLVED INPUT VARIABLES OF THE MODELS. THESE FROM 11 PERCENT TO 9 PERCENT. **COMPARISON ANALYSIS**

Contractor Albert

LOGISTICS COST CATEGORY	OSAMM COSTS	LOGAM COSTS	REMARKS
MANPOWER	\$ 644,000	\$ 2,468,000 *	REPAIR TIME OMITTED IN OSAMM TO FORCE TMDE COMPATABILITY.
INITIAL SPARES	\$20,997,000	\$19,379,000	OSAMM USED SIP WHICH WAS THE MINIMUM STOCKAGE ALLOWED BY REG- ULATION TO MET THE A0. HOWEVER, LOGAM DROVE TO A0 WHICH ALLOWED LESS STOCKAGE THAN SIP ALLOWS.
CONSUMPTION SPARES	\$16,918,000	\$15,118,000*	OSAMM WASHES- OUT FALSE NO-GO'S WHICH CONSUMES MORE SPARES. THUS OSAMM HAS A SLIGHTLY HIGHER COST.
TRANSPORTATION	\$ 176,000	\$ 291,000 *	LOGAM CHARGES FOR DISTRIBUTION OF INITIAL SPARES. TRANSPORTATION COST FOR WASHOUTS NOT CALCULATED IN OSAMM SINCE THEY ARE DISPOSED OF AT THE FIELD SITE.
TMDE	\$ 429,000	\$ 509,000 *	CHARGES INPUT FOR TMDE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT AND WORK SPACE COST WERE NOT EXACTLY CONSISTANT. LOGAM ALSO PROCURED ONE MORE TE SET DUE TO DIFFERENCES IN TE A0'S. THE RECYCLING OF DEPOT REWORK IN LOGAM GENERATED MORE TE REQ'MTS.
MISCELLANEOUS	\$ 4,832,000	\$ 1,309,000*	THE DIFFERENCE IS DUE TO INVENT- ORY HOLDING COSTS. OSAMM USES A PERCENTAGE OF THE INITIAL PROVI- SIONING COST. LOGAM USES STOCKAGE VOLUME TIMES A COST FACTOR. THE OSAMM PERCENTAGE INCLUDES OBSOL- ECENCE AND LOSS LOGAM DOESNOT.
TOTAL	\$43,996,000	\$39,074,000*	

LOOKING AT MAINTENANCE AND SUPPLY SUPPORT COSTS AS A FUNCTION OF RELIABILITY. THIS SLIDE STARTS THE MAIN TWO POINTS OF THE STUDY. ONE MAIN POINT WAS TO DETERMINE IF OSAMM AND LOGAM GIVE COMPARABLE AND COMPATIBLE RESULTS WHEN THE OTHER POINT WAS TO DETERMINE DIFFERENT RELIABILITY ALLOCATION METHODS MAXIMUM AND MINUMUM COSTS THAT COULD BE INCURRED BY REALLOCATION OF THE THAT WOULD YIELD A COST VERSUS RELIABILITY ENVELOPE THAT REPRESENTS THE LRU FAILURE RATES IN THE SYSTEM TO ACHIEVE THE SAME SYSTEM MTBF.

OTHER THREE METHODS INVESTIGATED CAME FROM DISCUSSIONS DURING THE FOURTH AMC THE STUDY CENTERED ON THE FOUR ALLOCATION METHODS SHOWN ON THIS SLIDE. THE OR 200 HOURS MTBF. THE INVERSE UNIT PRICE PRORATION METHOD WHICH WAS A NEW ALLOCATION METHOD WHICH CAME FROM MRSA (MR. JIM CRABTREE). THE The baseline system failure rate ($m{\lambda}$) was .007258 or 137 hours MTBF. ROC SYSTEM FAILURE RATE USED IN THE ARINC PRORATION METHOD WAS .005 RELIABILITY VS COST TASK FORCE MEETING (16-18 DEC 85)



ZZZZZZE KOOSZA BISIOSE PIRZICE PIRZIERE PISOZZE PISOZZE PISOZZE PISOZZE PISOZZE PISOZZE PISZIERE PISZIERE PISZ

RELIABILITY VERSUS LOGISTICS COST CURVES

SHOWN ON THE LAST SLIDE. FOR EACH OF THE FOUR ALLOCATION METHODS SHOWN WE THIS SLIDE SHOWS THE LOGISTICS COST VERSUS RELIABILITY CURVES DERIVED USING THE OSAMM MODEL ALONG WITH THE FOUR FAILURE RATE ALLOCATION METHODS CONDUCTED A SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS BY VARYING THE SYSTEM MTBF AND OBTAINING (FEATURE) IN ORDER TO DRAW THE FOUR CURVES SHOWN. NOTICE THAT THE ARINC THE DERIVED MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT COSTS (I.E. LOGISTICS COST) FROM THE EACH FAILURE RATE ALLOCATION METHODS, BY USING THE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS MODEL (IN THIS CASE OSAMM). WE PRODUCED FOUR DIFFERENT DATA POINTS FOR PRORATION CURVE IS EXTREMELY CLOSE TO THE BASELINE PRORATION CURVE AND THUS THE ARINC CURVE IS NOT A VIABLE METHOD TO USE IN ORDER TO YIELD WIDE COST VERSUS RELIABILITY ENVELOPE ABOUT THE BASELINE CURVE.



THIS SLIDE SHOWS THE CURVES DERIVED USING THE LUGAM MODEL ALONG WITH THE FOUR FAILURE RATE ALLOCATION METHODS. THESE CURVES WERE DERIVED THE SAME WAY AS THOSE DERIVED USING OSAMM.

METHOD IS NOT A VIABLE METHOD TO USE IN ORDER TO YIELD THE COST VERSUS RELIA-THAN FOR OSAMM. TO THE BASELINE PRORATION CURVE. THUS, THE ARINC PRORATION NOTICE AGAIN THE ARINC PRORATION CURVE IS EXTREMELY CLOSE. EVEN MORE BILITY ENVELOPE.



CONSIDERED VIABLE AND FOR THE SAKE OF CLARITY WE DID NOT PUT THEM ON THIS CHART. THIS SLIDE COMBINES THE PREVIOUS TWO SLIDES TO MAKE AN EASIER COMPARISON BETWEEN THE TWO SETS OF CURVES. SINCE THE ARINC PRORATION CURVES WERE NOT

THE CURVE LINE DRAWN) FOR LOGAM. THE PRIMARY REASON THAT SOME POINTS ARE NOT I WILL NOW DISCUSS SOME OF THE ANOMALIES THAT ARE APPARENT ON THE CURVES SMOOTH WELL FITTING CURVES (I.E., WHY SOME OF THE POINTS ARE ABOVE OR BELOW EXACTLY ON THE CURVE DRAWN IS BECAUSE THE ROUNDING METHODOLOGY FOR STOCKAGE BELOW THE CURVES. FIRST, I WANT TO POINT OUT WHY SOME OF THE CURVES ARE NOT EXACTLY LOCATIONS. IN LOGAM. AT A GIVEN MTBF COULD RESULT IN MORE OR FEWER SPARES THEMSELVES ALONG WITH ANOMALIES OF THE OSAMM CURVES COMPARED TO THE LOGAM PROCURED AND DISTRIBUTED. THUS, THE POINT COULD JUMP UP ABOVE OK CURVE BECAUSE OF SPARES STOCKAGE ROUND-OFF.

TO GO INTO BECAUSE THE ANAMOLIES EFFECTS ARE CONSIDERED MINOR WHEN PERFORMING STEEPER RATE. THERE ARE OTHER REASONS FOR THE ANAMOLIES WHICH I DO NOT WISH THESE ANOMALIES IS THAT LOGAM RECYCLES IMPROPERLY REPAIRED ITEMS FOR DEPOT REWORK. THUS, AT HIGHER FAILURE RATES LOGAM COST CURVES SLOPE UPWARD AT A 9 SLOPE UPWARD AT A STEEPER RATE THAM OSAMM'S CURVES. A PRIMARY REASON FOR COST VERSUS RELIABILITY STUDIES. SINCE ALL THE CURVES REACH THEIR POINT THE NEXT SET OF ANOMALIES I WANT TO DISCUSS IS WHY THE LOGAM CURVES DIMINISHING RETURNS AT ABOUT THE SAME MTBF

A-23



THIS SLIDE IS JUST A SUMMARY OF DATA POINTS USED TO PLOT THE COST VERSUS RELIABILITY CURVES SHOWN EARLIER USING OSAMM.

.

24455245

SUMMARY OF DATA USED FOR OSAMM CURVES

20120-02020202020202020

A REPORT

100000

тнор	INVERSE UNIT PRICE	#00 07E 071	4/0'C/7'07¢	\$13,592,972	\$ 7,565,074	\$ 4,977,046
PRORATION ME	BASELINE	4101 000 JET	105,829,1214	\$ 77,644,581	\$ 43,995,825	\$ 26,724,067
	UNIT PRICE		\$204,161,355	\$126,785,695	\$ 66,233,603	\$ 37,540,185
MTBF	(HRS)		41	69	137	274

MTBF	PRORATION METHOD
(HRS)	ARINC
40	\$127,720,802
60	\$ 86,363,696
100	\$ 56,645,996
200	\$ 33,067,950
400	\$ 20,828,016



REE



THIS SLIDE IS JUST A SUMMARY OF DATA POINTS USED TO PLOT THE COST VERSUS RELIABILITY CURVES SHOWN EARLIER USING LOGAM.

1000000000

[
\$ 6,340,000	\$ 22,103,000	\$ 36,399,000	274
\$12,867,000	\$ 39,074,000	\$ 72,208,000	137
\$18,046,000	\$ 79,429,000	\$142,807,000	69
\$24,170,000	\$113,690,000	\$220,335,000	46
INVERSE UNIT PRIC	BASELINE	UNIT PRICE	(HHS)
THOD	PRORATION ME		MTBF
LOGAM CURVE	TA USED FOR	MMARY OF DA	SU

MTBF (HBC)	PRORATION METHOD
	ARINC
66	\$79,806,000
100	\$53,475,000
200	\$29,871,000
400	\$16,933,000







METHOD USED TQ ALLOCATE RELIABILITY AND THAT MAXIMUM IMPROVEMENT IN LOGISTICS VERY GOOD COST VERSUS RELIABILITY ENVELOPE AROUND THE BASELINE PRORATION. COST IS ATTRIBUTED TO REDUCING THE FAILURE RATE OF HIGH UNIT COST ITEMS THUS. THE UNIT PRICE AND INVERSE UNIT PRICE PRORATION METHODS PRODUCE A ALSO, EACH ALLOCATION CURVE REACHES ITS POINT OF DIMINISHING RETURNS AT IN CONCLUSION, IT IS FELT THAT LOGISTICS COSTS ARE SENSITIVE TO THE ABOUT THE SAME SYSTEM MTBF.

ELLING

A FINAL CONCLUSION IS THAT OSAMM AND LOGAM PRODUCE VERY SIMILIAR RESULTS A FUNCTION OF WHEN COMPARING MAINTENANCE AND SUPPLY SUPPORT COSTS AS RELIABILITY.

シアクロシャンショー

Participation (Second) becaused

AMC RELIABILITY VERSUS COST TASK FORCE

CONCLUSIONS :

- IMPROVEMENT IN LOGISTICS COST DUE TO REDUCING INVERSE UNIT PRICE PRORATION SHOWS MAXIMUM FAILURE RATE OF HIGH UNIT COST ITEMS.
- **OSAMM AND LOGAM PRODUCE COMPATIBLE RESULTS WHEN** COMPARING MAINTENANCE AND SUPPLY SUPPORT COST AS A FUNCTION OF RELIABILITY.





APPENDIX B

APPENDIX B OSAMM INPUT FILES

Sector Co



0.2500 0.6500 0.1000 0.2500 0.2500 0.2500 0.2500 0.2500 0.6500 0.1000 0.2500 0.1000 0.2500 0.6500 0.1000 0.1000 0.2500 0.6500 2500 6500 1000 6500 1000 .6500 .2500 6500 000 500 .6500 500 000 0.2500 5 0 0 ō 0 0 ō ं 0 ō 0 ō ō 17144 18114 18114 19114 19114 19114 19114 20114 1114 1144 33144 124 124 32124 13124 Ň

Ş,

E CASE

34124
0.6500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

35124
0.2500

37134
0.1000

38134
0.6500

38134
0.6500

38134
0.2500

38134
0.2500

38134
0.2500

38134
0.6500

38134
0.6500

40133
0.6500

40133
0.6500

41124
0.1000

41134
0.1000

41133
0.6500

41133
0.6500

41133
0.6500

41133
0.6500

41133
0.6500

41144
0.1000</td

12.2

12225

SAL.

STATES I DESCRIPTION REPORTED IN LEVEL OF

لمشتعد لالمعالمة

.0001 1265500 1265500 1265500 1265500 1265500 1265500 1265500 1265500 1265500 1265500 1265500 21518 21518 10 5100. 0 .01 10 52 3800 01 20 950 30 50 01 0 0 0 0 0 0 330 -----~ -2 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 9 2000 .75 2.30 .75 1.35 42. 2.20 1.001 2.90 .0011.425 02 11 22 6. 15. 55. 77. 944 944 9443261 .001 .001 .001 .001 92 99 .001 .001 $\begin{array}{c} & \circ \\ & & \circ \\ & &$ 300 3000 0 ----414. 1 156. 58. 17. 42. 49. 14. 2. 20 375. 01 01 2773. CMD AMPLIF110628. 45551. LAUNCH UNI 31000. SIGHT UNIT314863. 25298. 4000. 24428. 558541 615. 20 20 1 20 STEER UNIT PUW SUPPLY SYS AMPLIF CNTL UNIT CTL 34800 .01 SIGHT CUBR 9 18 21 22 23 23 23 0105 6666 6666 TOW 08 02 0 1 ò

6 6 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
E = E = E = E = E = E = E = E = E = E =	
	0
\$	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
6883 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68332 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68352 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 68552 685552 685552 685552 685555 6855555 68555555 685555555555	0 000000000000000000000000000000000000
	ω
<u>๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛๛</u>	999 999 999 999 999 999 999 999 999 99
ようろう ちょうしつん おようご すこう よつしん およう こう ちょうろう ちょうしょう ちょうしょう ちょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょうしょう しょう	66666666666666666666666666666666666666

000	000	0000	0000	00000	00000
0 1 1 0 1 0 1	222	2222	27 27	27 27	27227
000	000	0000		201 00 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	
オオオ	त्र ज न	****	. <i></i> .	****	বর্বর্থ
			- ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	1 N N N N N	1 N N N N <del>-</del>
6101 6101	7079 7079 7079	7079 1079 1079	9589 9589 9589 9589	9589 9589 9589 9589 9589	9589 9589 9589 9589 4919.

1.00

						_												КE	
25 26 27 28	29 30	÷.	;	34	35	36	37	38	39	70	41	42	43	17 11	45	46	47	MA	6
0000	050	05	00	01	08	08	08	08	08	08	08	08	08	08	08	08	08	08	666
## APPENDIX C

RSC 3 æ 0 AVAILABILITY GOAL .950 IVSYS D ŝ S PROCE ; 0PSL 5.30.30. ы FALSE REMOVAL .15 œ ۵. د MODE MTR 3.30 84/06/28 CTDEL 4.0 86/05/28. ENANCE PLT 77.0 200.0 MTBF ш A T 55.0 ш A T Δ Н OPERATING HOURS 300.0 N I ۵ 15.0 z 0 4 OST s I Σ z ∍ 6.0 ж Э Ж AND LIFE (YEARS) 20.0 > DENSITY 230. ۲ Ь Г 558541. UNIT COST ۵. AVERAGE OUPS 23.0 115.0 230.0 : 0 S POLICIES ALLOWED CLMNTS 2. Σ OPTIMU vwavoree5555555556586020838 END ITEM TOW CUBR 10.

### APPENDIX C OSAMM OUTPUT FILES

0.000

i.

C-1

IAGE RAT Loaded)	31	0RG 15.88	DSU 15.88	GSU 13.69	DEPC 47.2	10 10 10						
SHIFT HC	JURS	.11	11.	11.	8.	_						
AYS/WEE	εK	. 17	ч.	<b>.</b> ц	5.							
SHIFT RA	110	1.000	1.000	1.000	•	606						
RANSPOF ORG-DS	TATION C SU DSU-G	COST PE ISU GS 06	ER POUND SU-DEPOT •38									
OT COST PEH NIN COST HOLDING COST PEH FECH DOC	THER COST R NEW NSN C COST PER COST PER REQUISI C PER PAG	C (PRE 36 36 36 36 3 3 CENT TION 2 TION 2 TEQUI	SENT VA 09.26 115.74 112.59 212.59 Pment D	LUE) Ata								
NUMBER 1 5	PRIC 3480	щ оо	USEFUL LIFE 20.0 20.0	MAINT FACT 01	COST OR	CC ONE-	-TIME )ST 000. 0.		PRESENT VALUE 40911.	AVAIL .92 .99	COM ABOV	MON
PECIAL	REPA I RME	z										
NUMBER	AVAIL	A CO	BOVE	NOT ALL( BELOW	OWED		ORG		DSU	GSU	DEPO	oT
COMP ON EN	IT INFORM	ATION										
REF ID	NAME		PRICE	WGT	ESS	WASH	EQUIP	PAGE	NSN	LABOR TIME		
8-1655 FW 2- 000 000 000 000 000 00 00 00 00 00 00	CMD AMP CNTL UN SYS AMP SIGHT C POW SUP LAUNCH STEER U	LLIF 11 LLIF 2 LLIF 2 TL 4 PLY 4 UNI 3 NNIT 31	0628.00 5298.00 4428.00 2773.00 5551.00 5551.00 1000.00 4863.00	58.00 58.00 56.00 56.00 55.00 55.00 55.00		000000000000000000000000000000000000000			00000000	2.20 2.30 2.30 1.35 2.20 2.20		

NOT ALLOWED Below 1 2

C-2

ω

NUMBER OF COMPONENTS=

	LABOR TIME	(HOURS)	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	.75	. 75	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25	.75	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	04.1	00.1		.75	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	04.5	3.50 2.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
	NSN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	00	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	5 0	5 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	-		> 0	0	0
	PAGE		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		0	•			0	•	.0	.0		0		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••					•	•	•	•	••	•	••	50		; .		
	EQUIP	COUNT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	) C	0	0	0	0	00	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	5 0	5 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	5 0	- c	» o	0	0
	PARTS PRICE	PER REPAIR	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	2655.00	1518.00	1518.00	734.00	734.00	734.00	734.00	734.00	734.00	734.00	734.00	734.00	111.00	1367.00	1367.00	1367.00	1367.00	1367.00	1367.00	1267 00	030.00	240.00	5689.00	5689.00	5689.00	5689.00	5689.00	5689.00	5689.00	00.4000	5689.00	5689.00	5689.00	5689.00
	NEW PARTS		-		÷	-	-	-	-	-		-	.0	••			-	-	-	.[	<u> </u>		-	-	.0	9	-	-	-	:		<u>.</u> .	<b>:</b> .	- a		-	-	-	-	-	-		<u>.</u> .		:	-	
		DEP	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	2 <b>4</b> .	24.	24.	24.	24.	24	24.	24.	24.	24.	24	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	2 <b>H</b> .			- 40	24.	157.	157.	157.	157.	157.	157.	157.		157.	157.	157.	157.
	HELF	0SU	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•		.0			0	•	•	.0		0		•	•	•	•	•	•	0	••					44.	44.	44.	44.	- 11 1	. 11	- 11	+ + +	• •	- 77 77	. 44	44.
	ARR-SI	n su	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	8	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.				18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	•••	0 4	.8	18.	18.
	-	ORG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	_	-			-		-	-	-	-	-	-	÷.	<b>.</b>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>		: _:	2.	۲ <b>.</b>	ۍ•	2 <b>.</b>	2.	~. ~		<b>.</b>	N 0		~	<b>2</b> •
	WASH		.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	080.	.080	080	.080	.080	.080	.080	080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	000.	000.	080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	080.	000.	080	.080	.080	.080
	ESS		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-			-	-	-		-		-	-	-	-	- 0	-	- 0			- •			-	-	-	-	-		- •				-	-
	WGT		2.0	2.0(	2.0(	2.0(	2.0(	2.0	2.0(	2.0(	2.0(	2.0(	2.00	2.0(	2.0	20.0	2.0(	2.0(	2.0(	2.0(	2.0(	2.01	2.0(	2.0(	2.0	5.0°	2.0(	2.0(	2.0(	2.0(	200				1.0	15.0(	15.0(	15.0(	15.0(	15.0(	15.0(	15.00			15.00	15.00	15.0(
	PRICE		11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	11062.00	15178.00	15178.00	3257.00	3257.00	3257.00	3257.00	3257.00	3257.00	3257.00	3257.00	3257.00	521.00	6832.00	6832.00	6832.00	6832.00	6832.00	0032.00	00.52.00	00.0000	750.00	26988.00	26988.00	26988.00	26988.00	26988.00	26988.00	26988.00	00.00402	26988.00	26988.00	26988.00	26988.00
<b>ATION</b>	NAME		-	2	m	1	5	6	7	8	6	10	1	12		1	15	16	17	18	19	02	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	00	- 00	1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	10	35	36	37	38	39	40		- -	ь 1 г с	4D	46	L 11
VEORM	ID		5	22	č	7	5	90	7	98	60	10	-	12			5	16	17	18	61	0	1	22	~	1	35	56	Lč	28	6.6	2.5		4.5	1	35	36	37	38	39	0	<u> </u>	ų ç	ດ.ສ	5	16	17
е Ч	10D	MUN	-	~	m	 ≉	5 S	9	7 0	8	6	10	=	12	<u>~</u>	1	15	10	17	18	6	20	12	22	23		25	26 2	27 2	28	500			1. 		32	36	37	38	66		 		 	12 12	191	47 1
MODUL	REF	4	6	10	:	12	ĩ	74	15	16	17	18	19	2 Ó	21	22	23	54	25	26	27	28	59	30	- <del>-</del>	32	ŝ	34	35	36	37	00	204		42	43	77	45	911	2.4	8 1	6 d		- 0 0 U	, r ,	5	55

×,

8

ŝ

PSEI		10DULE	ŝ				
REF	MUM	ID	NAME	AVERAGE Price	WGT ESS T	OTAL NATS PI	LEW ARTS
56	148	MAKE	UP08	.01	.010		
NUME	JER (	JF MOD	0ULES= 48				
APPI	LCA1	LION I	NF ORMATION				
REF	APP	COMP	MOD	FAILURES	ARR DBC DCU	-SHELF	050
57			110	FER TEAR		0,00	10.
- 85	- ∾	50	02	.648E-01	]. 1		10.
59	m.	0	03	.648E-01	- t		10.
3	- <b>7</b> L	66	10	.648E-01	= = ,		0.
	n v	55	50	.0405-U1	* = 		
9.0		50	07	.6485-01			10.
64	• 00	10	08	.648E-01	1. 4	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	10.
65	6	01	60	.648E-01	- <del>-</del>		10.
66	10	10	10	.648E-01		••	10.
67	= :	56	= :	.648E-01		•••	0
	22	50	2 4	.0405-UI	• •		
505	27	202	0.37	.615E-01	•		.01
	5	03	15	.373E-01	1. 4		10.
72	16	03	16	.373E-01	1. 4		10.
73	2	03	17	•373E-01			10.
14	18	60	18	•373E-01	₽ . -		10.
5 - 2	61	00	19	.373E-01	≠ - - •	•••	2
01	2	200		.3/3E-UI	* - •		29
78	22	56	22	.373E-01	• - •		
79	23	03	53	.373E-01	1. 4		10.
80	24	10	24	-510E-01	1. 4		10.
8 1 1 0	ŝ	0. 7	25	.424E-01	न - 		0.0
2 0 0	0 7	5	07	.424E-01	• -		
6	- 82	050	28-	.4245-01	r =r 		.01
85	59	02	29	.424E-01	1. 4		10.
86	80	05	30	.424E-01	1. 4	•	10.
87	31 M	05	31	.424E-01	1. 1		10.
80 0	200	02	32	.424E-01		•••	0
000	24	0.0	50	.1805-01			20
20	۲ ۳	08	1 1 7 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	.3135-01	די  		127.
92	36	08	36	.313E-01	5. F		127.
6.6	37	08	37	.313E-01	2. 5	. 30.	127.
<del>1</del> م	38	08	38	.313E-01	2. 4	. 30.	127.

0.53

C-4

				48	LICATIONS=	OF APF	ER ER	NUMBI
- 7	÷.	۶.	-	.610E-01	MAKE	08	48	104
127.	30.	7	2 <b>.</b>	.313E-01	47	08	47	103
127.	30.	न	2 <b>.</b>	.313E-01	46	08	45	102
127.	30.	7	۶. ۲.	.313E-01	45	08	5	101
127.	0 0	ק	2.	.313E-01	44	08	1 1 1	100
127.	30.	7	2.	.313E-01	ut 3	08	۳ ۲	66
127.	90.	<b>.</b>	2.	.313E-01	42	08	42	98
127.	00	<b>.</b>	2.	.313E-01	41	08	1	97
127.	30.	7	ۍ. ۲.	.313E-01	40	08	01	96
127.	8	- -	5 <b>.</b>	.313E-01	39	08	6 6	95

NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS=

THERE ARE 2.19 END ITEM FAILURES PER YEAR THE DERLVED MTBF IS 137. HOURS THE INPUT MTBF IS 200. HOURS

# EQUIPMENT STACK

INF ORMATION																													
EQUIPMENT	1.001378	1.001378	1.001378	1.001378	1.001378	1.001378	1.001378	1.001378	1.001378	1.001378	1.001378	1.000356	1.000356	1.001093	1.001093	1.001093	1.001093	1.001093	1.001093	1.001093	1.001093	1.001093	1.000356	1.000641	1.000641	1.000641	1.000641	1.000641	1,000641
COM/MOD/APP	- 84	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	:66	67	68	69	70	11	72	73	74	75	76	11	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ 

	5.00097
1.00064 1.000064 1.0000677 1.000106777 1.001005777 1.001005777 1.001004555 1.001004555 1.001004555 1.001004555 1.001004555 1.001004555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.00104555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.0010555 1.00105555 1.00105555 1.000105555 1.000105555 1.000105555 1.000105555 1.000105555 1.000105555 1.0001055555 1.0001055555 1.00010555555 1.0001055555 1.0001055555 1.00010555555 1.000105555555555	1.001045
88889999999999999999999999999999999999	104

Ø,

1.202

 $(\cdot, \cdot)$ 

8.6.5

5.00

MAINTENANCE POLICIES BY APPLICATION

	PROMOTED																													
THUUUUU	rencent	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	. 100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650
1010	LEVEL MOD	DEP																												
1 01 10.	COMP	ORG	DSU	DEP	ORG	DS 11																								
20	EI	ORG																												
	NAME	-	-	-	2	2	2	ŝ	m	Υ	ħ	4	7	5	5	5	9	6	6	7	7	7	ø	8	8	6	6	6	10	10
THOMON	INUNENT	AMPLIF	AMPL, IF																											
	5	CMD																												
	NUM	-	-	-	~	2	N	m	m	m	7	7	4	ŝ	Ś	ŝ	9	9	9	7	2	٢	8	ω	æ	6	6	6	10	ç

C-6

.100	062.	100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.050	.100	650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	060.	. 100		0001		.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	049.	. 100	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	.100	.250	.650	. 100
DEP	DEP	DE P DF P	DEP	DEP	DEP	DEP	DEP	DEP	157	DEF DFP	DC1		157 175 175	DEP	720	DEP	DEF																														
DEP		DEP	ORG	DSU	DEP	ORG		DEP OBC	n Su	DEP	ORG	DSU	DEP	ORG						nsu	DEP	ORG	DSU	DEP	ORG	DSU	DEP	080	020		DSU	DEP	ORG	DSU	DEP	ORC	DSU	UEL									
ORG	540	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	OHG	040	ORC	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	540					ORG	040		ORG	OHC																							
10	= =		12	12	12	<u> </u>	2	201	17	14	15	15	15	16	01	17			- 8	28	18	19	19	19	20	20	20	21			22	22	23	23	23	24	24	24	25	25	25	26	26	26	27	27	21
AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	L UNIT		LINU .			AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF		AMPLIE	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	HT CTL	HT CTL	HT CTL	SUPPLY	SUPPLI							
CMD		CMD	CMD	CMD	CMD	CNTI			E NO	CNTI	SYS	SYS	SYS	SYS	212	010 0	2 0 0 0	212		SYS	212	2012	SIS	SYS	SYS	SYS	SYS	SIG	SIG	SIG	POW	POW	POM	POM	POW	POW	POW	POW	F C M								
10	= ;	: =	12	12	12	ŝ	2;	<u>n</u> 4	1 =	1 #	15	15	15	19	24	2 10			- «	2 00	8	19	6	19	20	20	20	5.		- 6	25	22	23	ŝ	53	54	24	24	25	25	52	26	26	29	27	2.7	N

MANAZZA DAGOZOGY DOMANAN MANAZZARA DANANA

Same and

ŝ

C-7

.650	1001	.650	.100	227		.250	.650	101.	.650	.100	. 250		.250	.650	101.	.650	.1001	.250	. 650	. 250	.650	.100	- 250	001.	.250	.650	100	. 650	.100	.250	.650	. 10		.100	.250	.650	.100	1670	.100	.250
DE P DE P	DEP	DEP	DEP	DE P	DEP	DEP	DEP	7.90	DEP	DEP	DEP	DEP	DEP	DEP	157 D50	uer GSU	DEP	DEP	CSU DFP	DEP	csu	DEP	DEP	DEP	DEP	GS U	DEP	UEF GSU	DEP	DEP	0SU	7.1 7.1 7.1	120	DEP	DEP	<u>cs u</u>	DEP	UEP SSII	DEP	DEP
ORC DSU	DEP	DSU	DEP	5 10	DEP	ORG	DSU	190	DSU	DEP	540	DEP	ORG	DSU		csu csu	DEP	DSU	CSU DFP	DSU	csu	DEP	DSU	DEP	DSU	GSU	DEP		DEP	DSU	CSU CSU		esu	DEP	DSU	GSU	DEP		DEP	DSU
ORG ORG	ORG ORG	ORG	ORG		ORG	ORG	ORG		ORG	ORG	DHO	ORG	ORG	ORG		080	ORG	ORG	040	ORG	ORC	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	0HC	0 H C	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	ORG	0BG	ORG	ORG
28 28	8 0 0 0	29	29	200	20	<u>.</u>			18	32			at m	an a M	1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>ج</u>	35	9ê	\$~	2.5	5	37	χ Ω α	<u>م</u> م	68	39	66	00	017			 -	1 CJ	17	6 <del>1</del>	с т	m =	न न न न	1	45
лерс Ү Иррс Ү	JPPLY IPPLY	JPPLY	JPPLY	וחסוע	JPPLY	JPPLY	JPPLY	IPPLY	JPPLY	YPPLY			UNIT	TINU	1 T NO	LINU	UNIT	TINU	TINU	TINU	UNIT	UNIT	TINU	LINU	UNIT	UNIT	TINU	LIND	UNIT	TINU	LIND		UNIT	UNIT	UNIT	UNIT	TINU	LINI	UNIT	UNIT
POW SI	POW SI	POW SI	POW SU		POW SI	POW SI	POW SI		POW SI	POW SI	LAUNCI	LAUNCI	STEER	STEER	010010	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	STGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT	STGHT	SIGHT	SIGHT
8 8		5	6	20	20	-	5.	- 0		22	<u></u>	<u>.</u>	1	<del>.</del>	+ u	ۍ م	5	90	<u>م</u> م	25		37	Ωa	2 8	6	39	68		0			- ^	i Ci	i ₽	m T	m	<u>m</u> =		17	5

Ì

		16 0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		DEP		001.	. 100	.100	.100	100	.100	.100	. 100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	001.	001.		.100	.100
		пър Д.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	CSU CSU			0000.0	0.000	000.0	0000.0	0.000	0.000	0000	0.000	0.000	0000.0	0.000	0.000				0.000	0.000
		R1 Dett	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	RTC	DSU	000.	000.	.650	.650	.650	.650	. 650	.650	000.	. 650	.650	.650	.650	. 650	000.	000.	1010. 1010	.650	.650
		0 B C	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		ORG	002.	0020	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	062.	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	, 20U	062.	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.250	.250
		050	.133	.133	• 133	.133	.133 .087		DEP	026.	026.	020	.920	.920	.920	.920	.920	020	.920	.920	.920	.920	.920	026.	020	120 020	.920	.920
9 4 4 9 9 4 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		TD CSII	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000 .695	ΓD	GSU 0000		n	0000.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	000.0	0.000	000.0	0000.0	0.000	0.000	000.0	0000	0.000	0.000	0.000
242242242		N IV	.866	.866	.866 966	.866	.217	Σ	D3U			0000.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		000.0		0.000	0.000
			0000.0	0.000	000.0	0000.0	0.000		ORG			0000.0	0000.0	000.0	000.000	0000.0	0000		0000.0	000.0	000.0	0000	000.0				.000	0000.0
00000000000000000000000000000000000000		WASH	100.	.000	100.	100.	.001	MASH				.080	.080	.080	080.	.080	080.		.080	.080	.080	.080	080.			080	.080.	.080. 080.
11 45 11 45 11 45 11 44 11 441		APONENT JAME	AMPLIF	AMPLIF	HT CTL	ICH UNI	ER UNIT HT UNIT	<b>10DULE</b>	NAME																			
	IJ	CO	CMD	SYS	SICE		STEE	2	•	- ~	9 0	n <del></del>	ŝ	9	~ «	6	2;	= :	<u>1</u> –	14	<u>ئ</u>	10	17 1	<u> </u>	5 6	2 1 C	22	24 24
5100 5100 5100 5100 5100 5100 5100 5100	AND R	ONENT	, 1 1 1 1 1	~ ~	ש דב	60	7 8	DULE	JMBER	- (	2 0	∩ <del>-1</del>	ŝ	6	۲- œ	o 6	0	- 0	1 m	1	5	9	- 0	0 0	<u>م</u>	<u> </u>	- 2	m =
8444666666	4TD	COMF	5					ň	N											-	-	-			-	y n	1 (1	

Ŕ

227

3.2.5

22222

C-9

.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	. 100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	.100	0.000
0.000	0000.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	1.000
.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.650	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	000.0
.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	.250	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
.920	.920	.920	.920	.920	.920	.920	.920	.920	.920	.322	.322	.322	.322	.322	.322	.322	.322	.322	.322	.322	.322	.322	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	.598	.598	.598	.598	.598	.598	.598	.598	.598	.598	.598	.598	.598	0.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00.0	0.00.0
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	.080	1.000
25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	. 39	017	11	42	41.0	77	45	46	47	UP08
25	26	27	28	29	30	Ē	32	33	3 <b>f</b>	35	36	37	38	39	017	41	42	۳ ۲	17 17	45	116	47	48

STATES A

2012/12/2

YAS" .

10.0

SPECIAL TEST EQUIPMENT/REPAIRMAN REQUIREMENTS

PECULIAR EQUIPMENT/REPAIRMAN

				10
				PECULIAR =
				WHERE
	COST	•		NEEDED
	TOTAL (	(P.V.	409110	REPAIRMAN
NUMBER 1	QUANTITY	PER SHOP	-	IS EQUIPMENT/
I RMAN				OF TH
MENT/REPA	CHELON		ORG	QUANTITY
EQUIF	ы			TOTAL

ഹ EQUIPMENT/REPAIRMAN NUMBER ECHELON QUANTITY PER SHOP

DSU 1 DSU 1 TOTAL QUANTITY OF THIS EQUIPMENT/REPAIRMAN NEEDED WHERE PECULIAR = TOTAL CUST (P.V.)

 $\sim$ 

409110. TOTAL PRESENT VALUE OF PECULIAR SPECIAL TEST EQUIPMENT/REPAIRMEN = SPECIAL TEST EQUIPMENT/REPAIRMEN COMMON AT HIGHER ECHELONS

					COMMON
					WHERE
L COST	)	628.	1679.	618.	REQUIRED
TOTA	÷	-			EPA I RMAN
IMBER 1 COLREMENT	CR SHOP	. 17	60.	.06	EQUIPMENT/R
MAN NU RE	PE				THIS
A I RI					ОР
PMENT/REP/ CHELON		DSU	GSU	DEP	QUANTITY
EQUIF EQUIF					OTAL

.49

					41
					COMMON
					WHERE
	L COST		.0	.0	REQUIRED
	TOTA	( P			IRMAN
BER 5	<b>UIREMENT</b>	SHOP	.08	.01	QUIPMENT/REPA
MUN	REQ	PER			S
MAN					THI
AIR					OF
MENT/REP.	CHELON		GSU	DEP	QUANTITY
EQUIF	E				TUTAL

.10

19925. TOTAL PRESENT VALUE OF EQUIPMENT/REPAIRMEN WHERE COMMON =

429035. TOTAL PRÉSENT VALUE OF SPECIAL TEST EQUIPMENT/REPAIRMEN REQUIRED =

LOGISTICS COSTS

LOGISTICS COSTS FOR COMPONENTS

### SPARES

CONSUMPTION SPARES	(PRESENT VALUE) 152431	5518.	14542	251.	27386.	4123.		348234.
INITIAL SPARES COST	(101AL) 2655072.	101192	415276	8319.	774367.	93000.	4000.	11649929.
LA IMANT	0.01	2.	ŕ		, en		÷	.7
PER C	0.0	0	0	.0	0	.0	.0	14.
ANCES	, <del>1</del>	-	د. ۲	-	2.	-	•	÷
ALLOW		0.	-	.0	-	.0	••	-
COMPONENT NAMF	CMD AMPLIF	CNTL UNIT	SYS AMPLIF	SIGHT CTL	POW SUPPLY	LAUNCH UNI	STEER UNIT	SIGHT UNIT
NUMBER	-	2	m	ন	5	9	7	æ

OTHER LOGISTICS COSTS FOR COMPONENTS IN TERMS OF PRESENT VALUE

Ň

ő

HOLDING TRANSPORTA	474687. 18421. 18092. 855. 74245. 5763. 1487. 5763. 1487. 6791. 138445. 4783. 715. 129001. 2082833. 129001.	IODULES	ALLOWANCES PER CLAIM. ORG DSU GSU DEPOT 0. 33. 0. 66. 0. 44. 0. 22. 0. 44. 0. 23. 0. 44. 0. 24. 0. 25. 0. 44. 0. 25. 0. 44. 0. 44.
VTION REQUISITION	29908. 5883. 16057. 2439. 16217. 3587. 3861.		INITIAL SPARES COST44 COST44 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 132744 1326056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056 26056
CATALOGING	3609. 3609. 3609. 3609. 3609. 3609.		CONSUMFTION CONSUMFTION COST(F.V.) 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 135349. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 22957. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 2207. 220
BIN	н 105. 105. 105. 105. 105. 105. 105. 105.		INITIAL PARTS 2051 2055 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 13275 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326 1326
REPAIR	101247. 4145. 34693. 1719. 20566. 4802. 41531.		CONSUMPTION PARS P.V.) 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 373580 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 59496 595495 59555 59555 59555 59555 59555 59555 59555 595555 595555 5955555 5955555 59555555

ለርብ

 $\{ \}$ 

125895.	125895.	125895.	151574.	9388.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	386831.	•0	
5468.	5468.	5468.	7440.	960.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	28445.	.0	
54713.	54713.	54713.	28345.	2551.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	159573.	-	
54656.	54656.	54656.	26000.	3000.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	161928.	••	
ч.	ч.	4.	7.	2.				÷.	÷.	÷.	۳	m		m	• •		 	• 7	
••	••	.0	••	•	÷	-	-		<u>.</u> -	-	-	-	-		-		1.	÷.	
• ເ]	2.	∿.	÷	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	
•0	••	••	••	•	••	•	••	.0	••	•	•	•0	••	••	••	••	••	••	
30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	UP08	
õ		32	ŝ	74	35	<u></u> 86	37	8	65	0	1	12	ŝ	14	5	16	17	8	

CALABRE SISTEMA

UNIO O

LUE
٧A
PRESENT
95 GF
TERMS
NT
MODULES
FOR
COSTS
LOGISTICS
OTHER

PARTS Bako	2644.	2644.	2644.	2644.	2644.	2644.	2644.	2644.	2644.	2644.	2644.	2644.	3767.	3767.	733.	733.	733.	733.	733.	733.	733.	733.	733.	304.	827.
PARTS BIN	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	631.	631.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	316.	1894.	316.
PARTS Req	••	•	•		••	•	•	•	•	•	••	•	.0	•	••	.0	••	.0	•	.0	.0	•	•	.0	•
PARTS HOLD	2373.	2373.	2373.	2373.	2373.	2373.	2373.	2373.	2373.	2373.	2373.	2373.	1628.	1628.	394.	394.	394.	394.	394.	394.	394.	394.	394.	119.	978.
BAKO	10162.	10162.	10162.	10162.	10162.	10162.	10162.	10162.	10162.	10162.	10162.	10162.	3675.	3675.	1448.	1448.	1448.	1448.	1448.	1448.	1448.	1448.	1448.	309.	3430.
REPAIR	19960.	19960.	19960.	19960.	19960.	19960.	19960.	19960.	19960.	19960.	19960.	19960.	4740.	4740.	4791.	4791.	.191.	4791.	4791.	4791.	4791.	4791.	4791.	3930.	6532.
BIN	947.	947.	947.	.742	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	947.	.749	947.	· 146
CATL	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	3609.	3609.	10828.	10828.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	7219.	3609.	25265.	7219.
REQ	4183.	4183.	4183.	4183.	4183.	4183.	4183.	4183.	4183.	4183.	4183.	4183.	3973.	3973.	2410.	2410.	2410.	2410.	2410.	2410.	2410.	2410.	2410.	3295.	2738.
TRANS	235.	235.	235.	235.	235.	235.	235.	235.	235.	235.	235.	235.	223.	223.	136.	136.	136.	136.	136.	136.	136.	136.	136.	185.	154.
рлон	23733.	23733.	23733.	23733.	23733.	23733.	23733.	23733.	23733.	23733.	23733.	23733.	24422.	24422.	4658.	4658.	4658.	4658.	4658.	4658.	4658.	4658.	4658.	745.	9772.
MODULE NAME	-	2	ſ	4	5	6	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	77	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
MUN	-	2	m	7	ŝ	9	7	æ	6	10	:	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25

C-13

8827 8827 8827 8827 8827 8827 8827 8827
2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
978. 978. 978. 978. 978. 978. 978. 978.
2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 2000.00 200
0.000000000000000000000000000000000000
99 447 74 74 74 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75
7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219. 7219.
2738. 2738. 2738. 2738. 2738. 2738. 2738. 970. 970. 970. 970. 970. 2551.
9772. 9772. 9772. 9772. 9772. 9772. 9772. 9772. 9772. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 28950. 200. 200. 200. 200. 200. 200. 200. 2
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
NNNNMMMMMMMMMMAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

Ņ

5

-0

Ъ

6

×1.8

YNX

	LOGISTICS TOTALS	
	INITIAL SPARES COST	20997200.
	CONSUMPTION SPARES (PRESENT VALUE)	16917652.
	INVENTORY HOLDING COST (PRESENT VALUE)	3753984.
	TRANSPORTATION COST (PRESENT VALUE)	175780.
	REQUISITION COST (PRESENT VALUE)	247737.
	CATALOGING COST (PRESENT VALUE)	4:18674.
	BIN COST (PRESENT VALUE)	93459.
	REPAIR COST (PRESENT VALUE)	643885.
	BACKORDER COST (PRESENT VALUE)	318419.
•••	rotal Logistics cust	43566790.

TOTAL COST FOR THIS MAINTENANCE CONCEPT IN TERMS OF PRESENT VALUE TOTAL LOGISTICS COST

104243

SIME LANCE

43500790.	COST 429035	43995825.
	EQUIPMENT/REPAIRMAN	
	TEST	
	TOTAL	 OTAL

OPERATIONAL AVAILABILITY ACHIEVED .9858

LEXERENE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CON

### APPENDIX D

Ŕ

Interesteral levers serve

The second second

An=-uo, ARD=-Z0, AYZP=1-99361, CALMAN=23760, CALPUB=0, CALSET=0, CEND=0, GFTD=1, CII=0, CII=0, CDIST=06, CDODE=06, CDOI=-06, CEND=0, GFTD=1, CII=0, CII=0, CRID=35, CKUD=-85, CKPL=-85, CKPL=-96, CFRAD=0, CFRA œ ED=10, EDS=10, EE=23, REPEAT=1, OTF=.0342, STAT=20, CDDI=.38, CDID=.38, CCALP=0, ZO=0, ZI=0, FNGF=.2, FNSP=.2, CKIT=5773, WTKIT=10, YMW0=.05, TDMW=5.5, TMDD=10, .001 OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE P=12, PP=50, WU=58, WM=2, WP=1, SME=0, SMI=0, SMO=0, SMD=.08, SMF=0, THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS COMMAND AMPLIF. H=0,1,0,1, AMSMI-OR-SA E=.00259, 1986 LRU 1 TOTAL

APPENDIX D LOGAM INPUT FILES

CUP=25298, CMP=15178, CPP=1518, CUBEU=1.04, CUBEM=.05, CUBEP=.01, P=2, PP=20, WU=17, WM=2, WP=1, ČUP=24428, CMP=3257, CPP=734, CUBEU=3.27, CUBEM=.08, CUBEP=.01, P=9, PP=40, WU=42, WM=2, WP=1, E=.001119, G(11)=.25, G(14)=.65, G(20)=.10, H=0,1,0,1, FTM=15, FTP=15, FTU=10, CALSET=0, CCALP=0, OTF=.0342, FNGF=.2, CKIT=1716, WTKIT=10, YMW0=.05, TDMW=1.5, TMDD=3, EACAL=1, TC=-5, TE=1.8, TER=1.5, TF=1.8, TFR=1.5, TD=1.8, TDR=1.5, TMDR=3.5, TD=1.8, TDR=1.6, TMDR=3.5, TRC=2.8, T1=1.8, TIR=1.5, YMW0=0.0, YP=0, CUP=2773, CMP=521, CPP=111, CUBEU=1.04, CUBEM=.02, CUBEP=.01, TOMW=0, TMOD=0, TIMW=0, TMID=0, TC=.5, TE=2, TER=2.5, TF=2, TFR=2.5, TMO=0, TMOR=0, TRC=3., TI=2, TIR=2.5, TMI=0, TMIR=0, TD=6, TDR=10, TMD=3, TMDR=6, YMW0=0.0, YP=0, H=0,1,0,1, OTF=.0342, FNGF=.15, EACAL=1, SMF=0, YMW0=0.0, YP=0, AYZP=1.99361, RDD=90, AYZP=1.988249, TUMO=0, TUMI=0, TUMD=120, H=1,1,0,1, TEO=60, TOE=60, TOI=10, TIO=10, TID=0, TDI=0, SYSTEM AMPLIFIER LRU 3 SIGHT CONTROL CONTROL UNIT H=1,1,0,1, H=1,1,0,1, LRU 2 LRU 4 ר גיי

CUP=45551, CMP=6832, CPP=1367, CUBEU=3.88, CUBEM=.30, CUBEP=.02, P=8, PP=40, WU=49, WM=2, WP=1, TD=4, TDR=1.5, TMDR=4, E=.00113, G(14)=.65, G(20)=.1, H=0,1,0,1, TC=.5, TER=1.5, TF=.5, TFR=1.5, TC=.5, TEL=5, TIR=1.5, TC=.5, TIL=5, TIR=1.5, CKIT=1883, WTKIT=10, YMW0=.05, TDMW=.75, TMDD=3, CALSET=0, CCALP=0, EACAL=1, FNGF=.2, OTF=.0455, SMF=0, YMW0=0.0, YP=0, CUP=31000, CMP=2000, CPP=930, CUBEU=19.75, CUBEM=.50, CUBEP=.15, P=1, PP=40, WU=156, WM=1, WP=.5, REPEAT=1, TD=.25, TDR=.75, TMD=.8, TMDR=2.5, TC_.5, TE_1, TER=1.8, TF=1, TFR=1.8, TRC=3.5, TL=1.0, TLR=1.8, CKTT=500, WTKIT=10, YMW0=.05, TDMW=1.1, TMDD=1.75, CALSET=0, EE=92, EACAL=1, FNGF=.10, OTF=.0342, SMF=0, CCALP=0, YMW0=0.0, YP=0, CUP=4000, CMP=750, CPP=240, CUBEU=3.93, CUBEM=.05, CUBEP=.01, P=1, PP=20, WU=7, WM=1, WP=.5, EE=23, H=0,1,0,1, FTM=10, FTP=10, FTU=12, CALSET=0, CCALP=0, OTF=.0342, QMM=10, QMP=50, QMU=2, FNGF=.05, EACAL=1, SMF=0, CKTT=148, WTKTT=1, YMW0=.05, TDMW=1.1, TMDD=1, TC=-5, TE=.25, TER=.75, TF=.25, TFR=.75, TC=2.5, TI=.25, TIR=.75, TMDR=1.5, YMW0=0.0, YP=0, AYZP=1.999, E=.00025, G(11)=.25, G(14)=.65, G(20)=.10, P=1, PP=30, WU=14, WM=2, WF=1, E=.00017, G(11)=.25, G(14)=.65, G(20)=.1, AYZP=1.99361, STEERING UNIT POWER SUPPLY H=0,1,0,1, H=1,1,0,1, H=1,1,0,1, LAUNCH UNIT H=1,1,0,1, LRU 6 LRU 5 LRU 7

E=:001559; TRC=4.4, TI=1.5, TIR=4, TMI=3.5, TMIR=12, FTM=15, FTP=15, FTU=10, DI=1, DIS=1, DTI=30, QMM=5, QMP=20, QMU=1, CALSET=0, CCALP=0, EACAL=1, ZI=1, TAT=2.4,30.127, OST=6.15,45.60, STAT=90, OD=2, ODS=2, TAT=2.4,30.127, OST=6.15,45.60, STAT=90, OD=2, ODS=2, OFF=.0342, FNGF=.25, SMF=0, ETI=1, EVET=0, CUP=314863, CMP=26988, CPP=5689, CUBEU=55.64, CUBEM=.5, CUBEP=.05, P=13, PP=40, WU=414, WM=15, WP=1, TC=.5, TE=1, TER=3.5, TF=1, TFR=3.5, TD=8, TDR=19.5, TMD=3.5, TMDR=12, CKIT=7226, WTKIT=30, YMWO=.05, TDMW=0, TMDD=0, TIMW=5.5, TMID=10, G(14)=.25, G(15)=.65, G(17)=.10, vALUE 3.0, 2.0, 1.5, 0.66667, 0.5, 0.4
\$L SENSY=1.,6.,4.,91.,3.0,2.0,1.5,0.66667,0.5,0.4,IFLAG=0\$ TC=:5, TE=.25, TER=.75, TF=.25, TFR=.75, TD=.25, TDR=.5, TMD=.75, TMDR=1.5, CKIT=148, WTKIT=1, YMW0=.05, TDMW=.5, TMDD=1.5, TRC=2.5, TI=.75, TIR=.75, CALSET=0, CCALP=0, EACAL=1, FNGF=.15, OTF=.0342, SMF=0, YMW0=0.0, YP=0, G(11)=.25, G(14)=.65, G(20)=.1, REO=0, ROI=10, RID=35, SMI=0.052, SMD=0.028, TUMO=0, TUMI=240, TUMD=1440, SENSY ON FAILURE RATE S=1, NU=-3, IO=3, TEO=72, TOE=72, TOI=15, TIO=15, TID=75, TDI=75, (MWO=0.0, YP=0, H=1,1,1,1,1, H=0,1,0,1, H=0,1,1,1,1, H=1,1,0,1, SIGHT UNIT LRU 8 \$L NU=-4, \$ RDD=135, E=.00006 STOP 1 STOP 2 ר ¢

### APPENDIX E

OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE ;

DATE - MAY 1986

131

01050A0

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

100000

UNIT - COMMAND AMPLIF. LRU 1

LOGAM PROVISIONING QUANTITIES

***	*********	** LRUS ***	*******	1ngow ******	******* S3'	RASSES PAR	TS ########
	COMPUTED	REQUIRED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED
	9.13	27.13	30.00	.64	10.00	0.00	0.00
	1.53	1.53	2.00	9.24	10.00	0.00	0.00
• • • • • •	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.86	1.86	2.00	6.71	7.00	50.05	51.00
1					5 4 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		
	12.52	30.52	34.00	16.59	27.00	50.05	51.00

*** COLUMN 2 ABOVE IS THE QTY OF LRUS REQUIRED TO MEET AN OPER. AVAIL. OF .988249 ***

.10 .10 

**=::::**:::

STOCK POINTS 10.0 2.0 1.0

E-1

-2-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

DATE - MAY 1986

UNIT - COMMAND AMPLIF. LRU 1

PRESENT V	ALUE COST	TOTALS	I N	THOUSANDS	OF DOLLAR	S		AVAILABIL	.ITY= .9	HNI 60006	ERENT=	.999681	
PRIME 0.	T EQ TE. 23.	SPACE 12.	FLDMPW 385.	DEPMPW 1897.	PROV 4195.	RECSU 11947	P FLDTNG	DEPTNG 0.	ORDER 30.	STORE 10.	SHIP 81.	S <b>a</b> dni 661.	TOTAL 19242.
UNITS Modules Parts	■ INS ■ EQU	TALLED# IPMENT 230.	TINI * Provi	IAL** SIONS 34. 27. 51.	REORDER ACTIONS 0. 54.	교고 * *	EORDER** 01S 1. 75.	* REORDER* QUANITY 0. 270. 3375.	≌ *	ONSUMED# TOCK 0. 3416.	* RES I STOC	LDUAL# 2K 34. 10.	
• TEST EQP • PER HOUR	PER MAIN PER MAIN Each Cum Cum	IR CHANNI TENANCE I LRU CASI FOR LRU ( FOR ALL L	EL MMH,S LOCATION E CASES 1- LRU CASES	* * ~	#*ORGANIZA TEST •0022 •0022	TION** REPAIR •0013 •0013 •0013	*****DIF TEST .0170 .0170 .0170	RECT**** REPAIR 0164 0164 0164	***G TEST 0.0000 0.0000	ENERAL*** REPAI 0.000 0.000	* * * * *	*****DEPO TEST 0724 0724	[**** REPAIR •1380 •1380 •1380
TYPE I TE: COST OF IN UNIT PART	ST EQP PO. VITIAL PR	STED FOR DIRE DIRE GENEJ GENEJ DIRECT 221. 111. 0.	LRUS 1- RCANIZATI ECT SUPPC RAL SUPPC RAL SUPPC DEF GEN	. 1 00N 01 01 0. 0.	** TEST HRS 008 00102 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.010 0.71 135.		PAIR HRS** .0013 .0013 .0164 0.0000 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .1380 .13800 .13800 .13800 .13800 .13800 .13800 .13800 .13800 .13800 .138000 .138000 .138000 .138000 .1380000 .138000000000000000000000000000000000000	***TEST EQ .09 .114 0.00 0.00 .32 .32 .3720. 28.	P * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*TEST MEN* 0917 1106 0.0000 .3285	33 ** *	2PAIR MEN** 0481 1251 0.0000 .5798	
PRESENT VA Expected va	LUE COST:	5 5 1 1 2	JTALS 19242. 19242.	CUM 19242. 19242.	MANPOWER 2216. 2216.	DELTA -0.							

E-2

-3-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

DATE - MAY 1986

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

60 K

UNIT - CONTROL UNIT LRU 2

LOGAM PROVISIONING QUANTITIES

STOCK PUINTS 10.0 0.0 0.0
TS ************************************
******* PART COMPUTED 0.00 0.00 0.00 5.31 5.31
JES ************************************
******* MODUL COMPUTED 1.46 0.00 .84
DISTRIBUTED 10.00 2.00 0.00 1.00 13.00
** LRUS *** REQUIRED 90 000 0.00 0.00
COMPUTED COMPUTED 1.39 23 0.00 .28 0.00 .28
ED

E-3

*** COLUMN 2 ABOVE IS THE QTY OF LRUS REQUIRED TO MEET AN OPER. AVAIL. OF .993610 ***

.10 

±.....

-4-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE DATE - MAY 1986

ŝ

NAS S

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - CONTROL UNIT LRU 2

	ТОТАL 2244.		POT*** REPAIR .0048 .0048 .0048	*		
• 939956	SA DM 166.	SIDUAL OCK 13. 14.	******** DEI TEST .0026 .0026	REPAIR MEI -002 -0060 0.0000		
HERENT=	SHIP 4.	■ RE ST	* H 0 0 0 0	*		
INI 8681	STORE 1.	NISUMED" COCK 0. 542.	NERAL **	TEST MEN ¹ .0084 .0073		
1TY= .95	ORDER 4.	*	* * * GF TEST 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	18 18 18 18		
AVAILABIL	DEPTNG 0.	* REORDER* QUANITY 0. 40.	ECT#### HEPAIR .0008 .0008 .0172	**TEST EQ • 000 • 000 • 000	SIDUAL 327. 89. 22.	
	FLDTNG 0.	RDER** S 10. 50.	TEST TEST .0009 .0179	IR HRS** .0001 .0008 0.0000 0.0048		
	RECSUP 1442.	# LOT	001 0013 0013 0013		TOTA 329 197 9	DELTA -0.
OF DOLLARS	PROV 535.	*REORDER** ACTIONS 0. 11.	* ORGANIZATI TEST REI 0002 0002	TEST HRS*** .0002 .0009 0.0000	DEPOT 25. 15. 9.	MANPOWER 86. 86.
THOUSÂNDS	DE PMPW 67.	TIAL <b>**</b> Istons 13. 13. 6.	* · · · *	- 2 10N 0RT 0RT POT	NERAL 0. 0.	CUM 21486. 21486.
NI	FLDMPW 23.	INI *	NEL MMH,S Lucation Se Cases 2 Lru case:	R LRUS 2 ORGANIZAT RECT SUPP EHAL SUPP DE	GE	TOTALS 2244. 2244.
TOTALS	SPACE 0.	TALLED* IPMENT 230.	IR CHAN TENANCE LRU CA. FOR LRU FOR ALL	STED FO	DIRECON DIRECON 30 30 30 0	TS TS
ALUE COST	T EQ TE 1.	E QU *	AND REPA PER MAIN EACH CUM CUM	ST EQP PO	NTITAL РИ 253. 152.	ALUE COST VALUE COS
PRESENT V	PRIME 0.	UNITS MODULES PARTS	** TEST EQF ** PER HOUF	TYPE I TE	COST OF DECODE	PRESENT V EXPECTED

E-4





-5-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE DATE - MAY 1986

12.22

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - SYSTEM AMPLIFIER LRU 3

LOGAM PROVISIONING QUANTITIES

**	********	HA LRUS HAN	******	NOOM ******	LES ******	************************************	***************************************	STOCK
	COMPUTED	REQUIRED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	POINTS
ED	3.95	9.95	10.00	.28	10.00	00.0	00.0	10.0
0D	.66	.66	2.00	3.99	4.00	0.00	00.0	2:0
DI	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
DD	.80	.80	1.00	2.90	3.00	21.63	22.00	1.0
TOTALS	5.41	11.41	13.00	7.17	17.00	21.63	22.00	

*** COLUMN 2 ABOVE IS THE QTY OF LRUS REQUIRED TO MEET AN OPER. AVAIL. OF .993610 ***

.10 .10 いいなないの

12.02.20.21

24 P2202020 22222420 [BALAURA | 2222222

**≖**:::::

-6-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE DATE - MAY 1986

. A CANAGANA ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - SYSTEM AMPLIFIER LRU 3

PRESENT	VALUE	COST TOTA	LS IN	THOUSAN	DS OF	DOLLARS			AVAILABILI	TY= .9	93407 ]	[NHERENT=	. 999871	
PRIME 0.	Т ЕQ 6.	TESPACE 2.	FLDMI 132	W DEPM	Р <b>и</b> 2.	PROV 389.	RECSUP 1455.	FLDTNG 0.	DEPTNG 0.	ORDER 21.	STORE 3.	SHIP 26.	SADM 511.	TOTA1 2938
UNITS Modules		INSTALLE EQUIPMEN 230.		NITIAL## 10v Isions 13. 17. 22.	*	IEORDER# CTIONS 0. 23. 46.	• REOR LOTS	DER** 1. 32.	* REORDER** QUANITY 0. 115. 1472.	ο ω •	CONSUMED ⁴ TOCK 129. 1481.	* * *	CSIDUAL COCK 13. 13.	
TEST E(	DR PER DR PER	REPAIR CH MAINTENAN EACH LRU CUM FOR LI CUM FOR AI	ANNEL MMI CE LOCATI CASE RU CASES LL LRU CA	1, 6 00 ** 3 - 3 1565	* TES • 000 • 000	CANIZATIO T REP 9 9 .0 3 .0	NA IR A IR 003 016 016	<pre>**** DIRE TEST .0068 .0068 .0247</pre>	CT**** REPAIR • 0043 • 0043 • 0214	TEST 7EST 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	ENERAL##	*** •AIR 0000 0000	******DEP TEST .0128 .0128 .0128	JT##### REPAIR .0309 .0309 .1738
TYPE I ' COST OF	FEST EQ	P POSTED G	FOR LRUS ORGANIS DIRECT SI ENERAL SI ON	3-3 ATION JPPORT JPPORT DEPOT	13 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ST HRS## • 0010 • 0074 0.0000 • 0138	# # REPA I 0	R HRS <b>**</b> • 0003 • 00043 • 00000 • 0309	***TEST EQP .038 .056 0.000	* * *	* TEST ME • 00.00	EN*** 880 562 579	REPAIR MEN 0125 0326 0.0000 0.1299	
UNIT Module Part	EQPT. 244. 33.	DIR	ECT 49. 13.	GENERAL 0. 0.		DEPOT 24. 10. 16.	TOTAL 318. 55. 16.	RES	:IDUAL 314. 11. 10.					

DELTA -0.

MANPOWER 509. 509.

CUM 24424. 24424.

TOTALS 2938. 2938.

> PRESENT VALUE COSTS EXPECTED VALUE COSTS

> > STATES AND A

-7-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

200222222

**WAXEN** 

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - SIGHT CONTROL LRU 4

DATE - MAY 1986

<i>v</i> ,
Ω.
н
F
÷н
H
ż
Ξ.
5
$\overline{a}$
<u> </u>
2
¥.
<u></u>
Ξ.
ž.
0
н
>
0
æ.
Δ.
Σ
<
0
Ó
5
_

STOCK POINTS 10.0 2.0 1.0
5 ************************************
***** PART COMPUTED 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.20 2.20
ES ************************************
****** MODUL COMPUTED .04 .61 .61 .35 .35
DISTRIBUTED 10.00 2.00 0.00 1.00 1.00
LRUS REQUIRED 1.52 009 0.00 11
COMPUTED .52 .52 0.00 .11 .11
ED

= <u>- - - -</u> - -

*** COLUMN 2 ABOVE IS THE QTY OF LRUS REQUIRED TO MEET AN OPER. AVAIL. OF .999000 ***

.10 GN GO GP GQ GR GS •65 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 

-8-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

DATE - MAY 1986

٩.

ĥ

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - SIGHT CONTROL LRU 4

	TOTAL 256.		775844 REPAIR 0020 0020 0020	-	
.999985	SA DM 138.	SIDUAL# OCK 13. 27.	*****DEP( TEST .0011 .00888	REPAIR MEN' - 0010 - 0025 0-0000 - 0084	
HERENT=	SHIP 1.	* STE	• H000	*	
98562 INH	STORE 1.	DNSUMED* TOCK 20. 226.	ENERAL*** REPAJ 0.0000 0.0000	TEST MEN .0032 0.0028 0.0028 0.0049	
LITY= .99	ORDER 2.	ວັກ *	* * GE TEST 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0078 0322 0028 049 049	
AVAILABI	DEPTNG 0.	* REORDER ⁴ QUANITY 0. 10. 250.	CCT*** REPAIR • 0003 • 0218 • 0218	***TEST EC 00.00.00 51DUAL 36.22 3.	
	FLDTNG 0.	)RDER <b>**</b> 'S 2. 10. 50.	****DIRE TEST •0003 •0251	IR HRS** 0000 0.0003 0.0000 0.0020 LL RES	
	RECSUP 33.	* REC LOT	* * 1 R 0 0 0 7 C	** REPA TOTA 7 0	DELTA -0.
OF DOLLARS	PROV 43.	*REORDER* ACTIONS 0. 1.	*ORGANIZATION TEST REPA 0001 .000 0034 .000	*TEST HHS*** 0001 0.0004 0.0004 0.0012 0.0012 3. 3. 1.	MANPOWER 36. 36.
THOUSANDS	DE PMPW 28.	TIAL** ISIONS 13. 13.	* * * * * * * - * * * - ! 0	- 4 DRT DRT DRT POT POT 0. 0.	CUN 24680. 24680.
N	FLDMPW 9.	P ROV	INEL MNH,S LOCATION SE I CASES 4 LRU CASE:	R LRUS 4 ORCT SUPA RECT SUPP ERAL SUPP ERAL SUPP DE: CT GE.	TOTALS 256. 256.
T TOTALS	ESPACE 0.	ISTALLED# NIPMENT 230.	AIR CHAN NTENANCE H LRU CA FOR LRU FOR ALL	OSTED FO DI GEN GEN PIREC 6 0 0	TS
NALUE COS	T EQ 0.	2 U II II #	PER MAI R PER MAI Eac Cum Cum	EST EQP P INITIAL P 2P1. 5.	VALUE COS VALUE COS
PRESENT V	PRIME 0.	UNITS MODULES PARTS	** TEST EQ ** PER HOUI	TYPE I TH COST OF CONT UNIT	PRESENT V EXPECTED

-9-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

1000

DATE - MAY 1986

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-0R-SA

UNIT - POWER SUPPLY LRU 5

LOGAM PROVISIONING QUANTITIES

*	********	*** LRUS ***	*******	TODOM ######	******* S3'	4 V 4 *******	*******	STOCK
	COMPUTED	REQUIRED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	POINTS
ED	5.30	4.27	10.00	.37	10.00	00.00	0.00	10.0
0D	. 89	00.	2.00	5.36	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.2
DIId	00.0	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
DD	1.08	.01	1.00	3.06	4.00	19.46	20.00	1.0
TOTALS	7.27	4.27	13.00	8.80	20.00	19.46	20.00	

*** COLUMN 2 ABOVE IS THE QTY OF LRUS REQUIRED TO MEET AN OPER. AVAIL. OF .993610 ***

.10 .10 GN GO GP GQ GR GS .65 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 

*::::

-10-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

DATE - MAY 1986

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - POWER SUPPLY LRU 5

	TOTAL 5875.		0T#### REPAIR • 0472 • 0472 • 2230	:		
.999803	SA DM 466 .	sibuaL# ock 13. 31.	*****DEP TEST • 0227 • 0227 • 1115	REPAIR MEN .0168 .0437 0.0000		
NHERENT=	.04 40.	* 8.1 1. 2	* ¥ 1 K * 1 K * 0 0 0 0			
993154 I	STORE 4.	CONSUMED [#] STOCK 0. 173. 1989.	GENERAL# T REP 0 0 0 0 0	**TEST ME 0.03 0.000		
LITY= .	ORDER 17.	* *	* 1000 * 1000 * 0000 * 0000 * 0000	.029*** ** 1352 1346 1029 029		
AVA ILAB I	DEPTNG 0.	# REORDEH QUANITY 0. 160. 2000.	ECT##### REPAIR .0057 .0057 .0275	# TEST # # TEST * 0 • 0 • 0 • 0	SIDUAL 582. 48. 43.	
	FLDTNG 0.	RDER** S 10. 50.	*****DIRI TEST •0042 •0042 •0293	IR HRS** .0004 .0057 0.0000 0.0000	ш ж ч	
	RECSUP 3827.	+ REO LOT:	NI # # AIR 0004 021	# #REPA	T0TA 592 137 27	DELTA 0.
OF DOLLARS	PROV 756.	*REURDER** ACTIONS 0. 16.	*ORGANIZATIO TEST REP 00009 0 0009 0 0043 0043	**TEST HRS*** .0009 .0045 0.0000 .0245	DEPOT 46. 27. 27.	MANPOWER 732. 732.
THOUSANDS	DE PMPW 629 •	TIAL** ISIONS 13. 20.	*** ···	- 5 ION ORT POT POT	NERAL 0. 0.	CUM 30555. 30555.
IN	FL DMPW 124 .	PROV	NEL MMH,S Location Se Cases 5 Lru case	NR LRUS 5 Organizat Rect supp Beral supp De	 	TUTALS 5875. 5875.
ST TOTALS	TESPACE 4.	NSTALLED* QUIPMENT 230.	PAIR CHAN INTENANCE CH LRU CA M FOR LRU M FOR ALL	POSTED FO DI GEN PROVISION	DIREC 91 41	STS 0STS
VALUE CO.	T EQ 7.	₩ £1 ₩	2P AND RE JR PER MA EA CU CU	FEST EQP Initial	2001. 456. 68.	VALUE CO VALUE CO
PRESENT	PRIME 0.	UNITS Modules Parts	• TEST E( • PER HOI	TYPE I COST OF	UNIT Module Part	P RESENT Expected

12121

ACTIVITY TAGEORY INTERNAL TAGEORY SACTORED INVITATION

ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND ALL AND AND ALL AND AND ALL AND A

E-10  -11-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

DATE - MAY 1986

Service of

453465

25.228

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT + LAUNCH UNIT LRU 6

LOGAM PROVISIONING QUANTITIES

*	*******	** LRUS ***	**********		ES #######	AAAAAAAA	*******	STOCK
	COMPUTED	REQUIRED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	POINTS
ED	3.23	00.	0.00	.25	10.00	0.00	00.0	10.0
0D	•54	00.	2.00	3.57	4.00	0.00	0.00	2.0
DI	00.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.0
DD	•66	.00	1.00	2.04	3.00	12.95	13.00	0.1
TOTALS		00.	3.00	5.85	17.00	12.95	13.00	

*** COLUMN 2 ABOVE IS THE QTY OF LRUS REQUIRED TO MEET AN OPER. AVAIL. OF .993610 ***

.10 .10 

•

. . .

ß

Ş

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

DATE - MAY 1986

UNIT - LAUNCH UNIT LRU 6

	TOTAL 2152.		0T**** REPAIR 0195 0195 2425	:	
196666.	SA DM 124 .	SIDUAL [®] JCK 3. 36.	***** DEP TEST •0067 •1182	REPAIR MEN 0135 0.0000 0.0000 0.0820	
HERENT=	SHIP 73.	* STC:	* * 11 0 0 0 * 1	ສັ ພິທິດີ 4 ສຸ ສຸ	
5894 IN	STORE 8.	NSUMED# 0CK 115. 1327.	NERAL*** HEPA 0.000 0.000 0.000	TEST MEN .025 .032 0.0030	
TY= .99	ÖRDER 11.	* CO	****GE TEST 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	* のいつ ゴ * *	
AVAJLABILI	DEPTNG 0.	<pre># REORDER## QUANITY 0. 100. 1350.</pre>	SCT**** REPAIR .0046 .0046 .0321	***TEST EQP .025 .032 0.000 0.000 .030 .030	34 •
	FLDTNG 0.	DER <b>**</b> 2. 50.	••••••DIRE TEST •0039 •0039 •0332	R HRS** • 0004 • 0004 • 0000 • 0195 RES	
	RECSUP 1456.	* REOR LOTS	* H 2001 2007 2017	**REPAI 0 TOTAL	34. 34. 12. 12. 06. -0.
OF DOLLARS	PROV 139.	*REORDER** ACTIONS 0. 10. 27.	** ORGANIZATION TEST REPA •0006 •00 •0006 •00 •0006 •00	*TEST HRS*** .0007 .0043 0.0000 .0072 .0072 .072	6. 12. 328. 328.
HOUSANDS	DE PMPW 235.	IAL** SIONS 3. 17.	* * *	. 6 BRT OR OT ERAL	0. 0. 32707.
L T	FLDMPW 102.	* INIT PROVI	EL MMH,S LOCATION S CASES 6- LRU CASES	LRUS 6- RGANIZATI ECT SUPPO AL SUPPO AL SUPPO DEP	DTALS 2152. 2152.
UE COST TOTALS I	SPACE 1.	ralled" Ipment 920.	ITR CHANNE ITENANCE L I LRU CASE FOR LRU C FOR ALL L	STED FOR DIRE GENEI DVISION DIRECT	Se 0.85
	T EQ TE: 4.	E QU	PER MAIN PER MAIN Each Cum Cum	T EQP PO: ITIAL PR: T.	20. LUE COST
PRESENT VA	PRIME 0.	UNITS Modules Parts	•• TEST EQP •• PER HOUR	TYPE I TES COST OF IN EQP	MODULE Part Part Present va Expected v.

E-12

and a second and a second s

ر ۸
-13-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

DATE - MAY 1986

1990 A.

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - STEERING UNIT LRU 7

## LOGAM PROVISIONING QUANTITIES

*	********	** LRUS ***	*******	NDOM ******	LES *******	******* PARJ	******** SI	STOCK
	COMPUTED	REQUIRED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	POINTS
ED	20	00.	0.00	.01	10.00	0.00	0.00	10.0
0D	03	00.	2.00	.21	2.00	0.00	00.0	2.0
DI	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.0
DD		.00	1.00	.12	1.00	.78	1.00	1.0
TOTALS	28	00.	3.00	.35	13.00	.78	1.00	

±-----

*** COLUMN 2 ABOVE IS THE QTY OF LRUS REQUIRED TO MEET AN OPER. AVAIL. OF .993610 ***

GT .10 .65 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 cs GQ GR GР GN GO 
CA
CB
CC
CD
CE
CF
CG
CH
CI
CJ
CK
CL
CM
CN
CO
CO
CO
CO
CD
CD
CS
CH
CN
CO
CN
CN<

and the second

-14-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE DATE - MAY 1986

10111111

84444 878

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - STEERING UNIT LRU 7

ALUE COST T	OTALS IN	THOUSANL	JS OF DOLLAR	S		AVAILABIL	. 1TY= .9	04781 IN	HERENT=	466666.	
	ACE FLDM 0.	PW DEPMP 3. DEPMP	W PROV	RECSUP 24.	FLDTNG 0.	DEPTNG 0.	ORDER 1.	STORE 1.	SHIP 0.	SADM 91.	T0T/
A P V	LLED# MENT 30.	INITIAL** ROVISIONS 3. 13.	* REORDER ACTIONS 0. 2.	- LO -	ORDER <b>**</b> TS 2. 50.	* REORDER* QUANITY 0. 100.	* *	ONSUMED" Tock 0. 80.	■ STC	si DUAL* 3CK 3. 21.	
REJOC	CHANNEL MM NANCE LOCAT RU CASE R LRU CASES R ALL LRU C	H, S * * * ION * * * ASES	*** ORGANIZA' TEST • 0000 • 0000 • 0049	TION## REPAIR .0000 .0000 .0025	*****DIR TEST • 0001 • 0333	ECT**** REPAIR .0001 .0322	***G TEST 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	ENERAL#### REPA: 0.000 0.000	* * 0000	****** DEP TEST • 0004 • 0004 • 1186	0007 REPAIF 0007 0007 0007 0007 0007 0007
T N	ED FOR LRUS ORGANI DIRECT S GENERAL S ISION	7 - 7 ZATION UPPORT DEPOT DEPOT	***TEST HRS* 0000 0000000000000000000000000000000	* # #EP	AIR HRS** • 0000 • 0001 • 0000 • 0000	**TEST EQ •000 •000 •000	P### ## 112 110 00 17	TEST NEN 0011 0.00010 0.00000	* * *	REPAIR MEN -0003 -0009 0.00000 0.0000	•
	DIRECT 8. 2. 0.	GENERAL 0. 0.	DEPOT 4. 1.		AL RE: 2 0.	SIDUAL 12. 5. 5.					
S	TOTALS 153 153	CUM 32860. 32860.	MANPOWER 13. 13.	DELTA 0.							

-15-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

DATE - MAY 1986

1.2.2.2.2.1.

errire.

No.

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - SIGHT UNIT LRU 8

## LOGAM PROVISIONING QUANTITIES

*	*******	** LRUS ***	*********	INDOM ******	****** SE	FREESES PART	*******	STOCK
	COMPUTED	REQUIRED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	COMPUTED	DISTRIBUTED	POINTS
ED	. 7.38	19.38	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10-0
0D	4.59	4.59	6.00	2.32	4.00	00.0	0.00	2.0
DI	5.74	5.74	6.00	14.49	15.00	19.94	20.00	1.0
DD	. 6.71	6.71	7.00	7.68	8.00	30.36	31.00	1.0
TOTALS	24.42	36.42	39.00	24.49	27.00		51.00	

*----

*** COLUMN 2 ABOVE IS THE QTY OF LRUS REQUIRED TO MEET AN OPER. AVAIL. OF .993610 ***

GQ GR GS GT .10 0.00 0.00 0.00 GO GP •65 0•00 CA CB GC GD GE GF GG CH GI GJ GK GL GM CO 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.25 -16-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE DATE - MAY 1986

Sector of the se

ċ

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

UNIT - SIGHT UNIT LRU 8

PRESENT V	ALUE CO	ST TOTALS	NI	THUUSANDS	OF DOLLAR	S		AVAILABILI	ΓY= .9	94692 INF	HERENT=	101666.	
PRIME 0.	T EQ 432.	TESPACE 4.	FLDMPW 718.	DЕРМРW 925.	PROV 13298.	RECSU 13665	P FLDTNG	DEPTNG 0.	) R D E R 13.	STORE 202.	SHIP 427.	SA DM 361.	T0TAL 30047.
UNITS MODULES PARTS	⊶ ⊡ ≢	NSTALLED [®] QUIPMENT 230.	LINI #	TIAL** ISIONS 39. 51.	* REORDER ACTIONS 0. 30.	د ن * *	EORDER <b>**</b> 0TS 1. 69.	*REORDER** QUANITY 0. 2070.	රි න #	DNSUMED* FOCK 0. 2081.	* STOS	.I DUAL# 0CK 39. 40.	
• TEST EQP • PER HOUK	AND RE PER MA EA CU CU	PAIR CHANN INTENANCE CH LRU CAS M FOR LRU M FOR ALL	JEL MNH, S LOCATION SE CASES 8- LRU CASES	★ ★ ∞ ★ ★ ↓ /0	**ORGANIZA TEST •0008 •0008	TION** REPAIR 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000	*****DI TEST .0054 .0054 .0387	RECT**** REPAIR .0053 .0053 .0375	****GI TEST •0422 •0422 •0422	SNERAL####	* • E 6 6 6	***** DEP( TEST • 0261 • 0261 • 1447	T**** REPAIR 0772 0772 .0772
TYPE I TE	ST EQP NITIAL	POSTED FOR C DIR GENE	R LRUS 8- DHGANIZATJ RECT SUPPC SRAL SUPPC	- 8 LON OHT OHT OT	**TEST HRS .000 .005 .045	★ ∞ ∞ ∩ <i>∪</i> ★ ★ ₩ ₩	PAIR HRS** 0.0000 053 .1219 .0772	**TEST EQP* 10.0000 .0141 .1735		TEST MEN 0316 0441 1739		iepair men ⁴ 0.0000 0408 .4655 .3243	•
UNIT EQ MODULE	PT. 297. 0.	DIRECT 1889. 108. 0.	GE	NERAL 1889. 405. 114.	DEPOT 2204. 216. 176.	T0 122 2 2	TAL 80. 29. 90.	SSIDUAL 12209. 73. 225.					
PRESENT V Expected	ALUE CO VALUE CO	T STS OSTS	rotals 30047. 30047.	CUM 62907. 62907.	MANPOWER 1608. 1608.	DELTA 0.							

-17-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

2

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

DATE - MAY 1986

## CASE TUTAL

## ******* TEST AND REPAIR MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS

	MAN-E	EQUIP	DIRECT	<b>GE NE RA L</b>	DEPOT
HRS PER YR ALL MAINT LO	ç				
TEST EQUIPMENT	454.620	540.207	732.392	399.186	1370.269
REPAIR	4091.584	216.980	657.709	1068.678	2809.281
NO OF MEN PER ANY TIME					
UNIT ALL MAINT LOC					
TEST EQUIPMENT	. 198	.471	.638	. 348	.919
REPAIR	1.782	. 189	.573	.931	1.884
HRS PER YR PER MAINT LO	J	ı	<b>I</b>	8	
TEST EQUIPMENT	45.462	54.021	366.196	399.186	1370.269
REPAIR	409.158	21.698	328.855	1068.678	2809.281
NO OF MEN PER ANY TIME		<b>x</b>		•	
UNIT PER MAINT LOC					
TEST EQUIPMENT	.020	.047	.319	. 348	.919
REPAIR	.178	.019	.286	.931	1.884

####SYSTEM/SUBSYSTEM AVAILABILITIES ####

CAY2= .953354

CAYZI= .998964

NO:

-18-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE DATE - MAY 1986

K K

Ì,

222-2023

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

			CASE TOTAL				
COST	TUTALS, COST IN THOUSANDS OF	DOLLARS			RECUR	RING	COSTS
	INSTALLED EQUIPMENT	.0.				1	
	IEST EQUIPMENT	• / 70			I.E. MAINTENANU	Ľ,	222
	TEST EQUIPMENT SPACE	24.			DEPOT SPACE/UTILITIE	S	54
	MAINTENANCE MANPOWER	5527.	FIELD	1445.	DEPOT 4082. TOTA	۹L	5527.
			TRAINING FIELD	.0	DEPOT 0. TOTA	٩L	0
	SUPPLY MATERIAL	53228.			SUPPLIE	S	33849.
	REORDERING	98.			REORDERIN	07	98.
	MATERIAL STORAGE	231.			MATERIAL STORAC	Э	231.
	SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION	2518.			INVENTORY MANAGEMEN	E Z	2450.
	SHIPPING AND HANDLING	653.			SHIPPIN	NG	653.
	GRAND TOTAL COST	.62907			TOTAL RECURRIN	5	13065.
	PRESENT VALUE				COST OF INITIAL	PROV I:	NOIS
	DEVELOPMENT	••			LIND	ſS	17421
	ACQUISITION	19842.			MODULE	S	1467.
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	43065.			PART	S	491.
	END LIFE SALVAGE	•0			TOTAL PROVISIC	N	19379.
	GRAND TOTAL	62907.					
2022	Maines TA Subodram Suita AT	TOTALA THO					

.

EXPECTED VALUE MANPOWER AT EQUIPMENT DIRECT AND GENERAL

MAINTENANCE MANPOWER GRAND TOTAL COST	5527. 62907.			
PRESENT VALUE Operation and maintenance Grand total.	43065. 62907.	DELTA	Ċ	PV DELTA

. ? -19-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

SAMARA REALESS MANARA

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

DATE - MAY 1986

## CASE TOTAL

01
÷
S
0
Ũ
ы
~
-
~
<u> </u>
-
S
ω
C
ž
2
-
<u> </u>
E
z
H
4
Σ
Σ
ω
-
in
- 22
0

PERCENTAGE 100.00 100.00	.60 1.40 0.00 0.00 97.99 .02	0.00 3.47 0.00	20.84 0.00 0.00	9.70 57.82 .34 0.00	0.00 6.60 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.22 100.00
COST 0.00 0.00	118.53 276.56 0.00 19378.66 19776.73	0.00 1496.54 0.00	8990.08 0.00 0.00	4183.43 24938.30 145.81 0.00	2847.52 2847.52 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 129.92 43129.92
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING	INVESTMENT COST NON-RECURRING INVESTMENT PRODUCTION DATA TRAINING SERVICES AND EQUIPMENT INITIAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS TRANSPORTATION	OPERATING AND SUPPORT COST MILITARY PERSONNEL CREW PAY AND ALLOWANCES MAINTENANCE PAY AND ALLOWANCES INDIRECT PAY AND ALLOWANCES INDIRECT PAY AND ALLOWANCES	CUNSUMPTION REPLENISHMENT SPARES PETROLEUM, OIL AND LUBRICANTS UNIT TRAINING AMMUNITION AND MISSILE	DEFUT TAINTENANCE LABOR MATERIEL TRANSPORTATION MODIFICATIONS MATERIAL OTHER DIRECT SUPPORT ODERATIONS	MAINTENANCE, CIVILIAN LABOR MAINTENANCE, CIVILIAN LABOR OTHER DIRECT INDIRECT SUPPORT OPERATIONS PERSONNEL REPLACEMENT FRANSIENTS, PATIENTS AND PRISONERS QUARTERS, MAINTENANCE AND UTILITIES MEDICAL SUPPORT OTHER INDIRECT
1.000 1.010 TOTAL	2.000 2.010 2.020 2.050 2.080 2.090 2.1900 707AL	3.010 3.010 3.012 3.012 3.012 3.012 3.012 3.012 3.012 3.012 3.012 3.010	3.022 3.022 3.022 3.022 3.022		TOTAL TOTAL

energy and the second products in the second second second in the second product in the second product in the second

62906.64

**GRAND TOTAL** 

-20-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

Ş

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

20202020

DATE - MAY 1986

### CASE TOTAL

# SYSTEM MAINTENANCE SUPPORT COSTS DCA-P-92(R) FORMAT

PERCENTAGE	100.00	02.	1.43	0.00	97.95 100.00	0.00		0.00	.60	99.40	0.00	0.00			17.30	3.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0
COST	0.00	39.51	276.56	0.00	19282.94	0.00		00.0	2.98	490.80	0.00	0.00			7459.55	1530-53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DEVELOPMENT	ENGINEERING	PRODUCTION INT PROD FACIL ("PF)	MANUFACTURING	DATA DATA	INITIAL SPARES	MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	FIELDING	SYSTEM TEST AND EVAL TRAIN SERV AND FO	TRANSPORTATION	INITIAL REPAIR PARTS	SYS SPEC BASE OP SPT	OTH O\$M FUND FIELD	SUSTAINMENT	REPLENISHMENT	REPL REPAIR PARTS	REPL SPARES	WAR RES REPAIR PARTS	WAR RESERVE SPARES	PETR,OIL,AND LUB (POL) Amminition	TRAINING AMMO/MISL	WAR RES AMMO/MISL DEPOT MAINTENANCE
1.0	1.011 TOTAL	2.0	2.021	2.04	2.07 TOTAL	3.0 Total	4.0	4.01	4.03	ħ0.4	4.05	4.06 TUTAL	5.0	5.01	5.011	5.012	5.013	5.014	5.02	5.031	5.032 5.04

Υ.

										ES					(S)								T
CIVILIAN LABOR	MATERIEL (OM)	MATERIEL (PROC)	MAINT SUPPORT ACTIV	FIELD MAINT CIV LAB	TRANSPORTATION	SYS SPEC REPL TRAINING	AMMO/MSLE/EQUIP	SERVICES	MILITARY PERSONNEL	CREW PAY AND ALLOWANC	MAINT PAY AND ALLON	SYS SPEC SUPT P/A	TRAINEE/TRAINER P/A	SYS/PROJ MGMT P/A	PERM CHG OF STA (PC	OTH MPA FUND SUST	SYS/PROJ MGMT (CIV)	MODIFICATIONS/KITS	OTHER SUSTAINMENT	OTH O/M FUND SUST	OTH PROC FUND SUST		TOTAL LIFE CYCLE COS
5.041	5.042	5.043	5.044	5.05	5.06	5.07	5.071	5.072	5.08	5.081	5.082	5.083	5.084	5.085	5.086	5.087	5.09	5.10	5.11	5.111	5.112	TOTAL	

10000 M

9.70 5.78 5.78 0.04 0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	.05 6.60 100.00
4183.43 2493.83 22444.47 0.00 650.41	0.00	1496.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	23.64 2847.52 413129.92 62906.64

يلادين والمردان والم

and a state of the s

-21-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

ľ

CENT OF

Sec. C

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

000

DATE - MAY 1986

			GRAND TOTAL				
OST	TOTALS, COST IN THOUSANDS OF INSTALLED FOULDMENT	F DOLLARS				RECURRING	COSTS
	TEST EQUIPMENT	627.			T.E. MA	A INTENANCE	232
	TEST EQUIPMENT SPACE	24.			DEPOT SPACE/	/UTILITIES	24
	MAINTENANCE MANPOWER	5527.	FIELD	1445.	DEPOT 40	D82. TOTAL	5527
			TRAINING FIELD	•	DEPOT	0. TOTAL	0
	SUPPLY MATERIAL	53228.				SUPPLIES	33849
	REORDERING	98.			L.	REORDERING	98
	MATERIAL STORAGE	231.			MATERIA	AL STORAGE	231
	SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION	2518.			INVENTORY P	MANA GEMENT	2450
	SHIPPING AND HANDLING	653.				SHIPPING	653
	GRAND TOTAL COST	62907.			TOTAL	RECURRING	43065
	PRESENT VALUE				COST OF	INITIAL PHOVI	SION
	DEVELOPMENT	0.				UNITS	17421
	ACQUISITION	19842.				MODULES	1467
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	43065.				PARTS	491
	END LIFE SALVAGE	.0			TOTAL	PROVICION	19379
	GRAND TOTAL	62907.					

EXPECTED VALUE MANPOWER AT EQUIPMENT DIRECT AND GENERAL

MAINTENANCE MANPOWER	5527.				
GRAND TOTAL COST	62907.				
PRESENT VALUE					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	43065.				
GRAND TOTAL	62907.	DELTA	.0.	PV DELTA	٩

000000000

-22-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

DATE - MAY 1986

### **GRAND TOTAL**

	SYSTEM MAINTENANCE SUPPORT COSTS	COST	PERCENTAGE
.000 .010 0TAL	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT Development Engineering	0.00	100.00
.000 .010 .020 .050 .080 .090 .090 .07AL	INVESTMENT COST NON-RECURRING INVESTMENT PRODUCTION DATA TRAINING SERVICES AND EQUIPMENT INITIAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS TRANSPORTATION	118.53 276.56 0.00 19378.66 2.98 19776.73	
000. 0100 012 012 012 012 012	OPERATING AND SUPPORT COST MILITARY PERSONNEL CREW PAY AND ALLOWANCES MAINTENANCE PAY AND ALLOWANCES INDIRECT PAY AND ALLOWANCES PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION	0.00 1496.54 0.00	0.00 3.47 0.00
.021 .021 .022 .023	CONSUMPTION REPLENISHMENT SPARES PETROLEUM, OIL AND LUBRICANTS DUIT TRAINING AMMUNITION AND MISSILE	80.08 0.0 0.0	20.84 0.00 0.00
030 031 033 040 033	DEPOT MAINTENANCE LABOR MATERIEL MODIFICATION MODIFICATIONS MATERIAL	4183.43 24938.30 145.81 0.00	9.70 57.82 .34
.050 .051 .052 .060	OTHER DIRECT SUFFORT UPERATIONS Maintenance, civilian Labor Other direct Indirect support operations	0.00 2847.52	0.00
.061 .062 .063 .064 .064 07AL	PERSONNEL REPLACEMENT TRANSIENTS, PATIENTS AND PRISONERS QUARTERS, MAINTENANCE AND UTILITIES MEDICAL SUPPORT OTHER INDIRECT	0.00 0.00 0.00 528.24 43129.92	0.00 0.00 0.00 1.22 10.00
RAND TOT	AL	62906.64	

.,

٢

-23-OPERATIONAL SYSTEM SAMPLE CASE 1 THEATER SCENARIO BASELINE

State State

ANALYSIS - AMSMI-OR-SA

DATE - MAY 1986

### **GRAND TOTAL**

# SYSTEM MAINTENANCE SUPPORT COSTS DCA-P-92(R) FORMAT

-	DEVELODMENT	COST	PERCENTAGE
1.011	ENGINEERING	0.00	100.00
TOTAL		0.00	100.00
2.0	P RODUCTION		
2.011	INT PROD FACIL (IPF)	39.51	.20
2.021	MANUFACTURING	276.56	1.43
2.022	RECURRING ENG	79.02	.41
2.04	DATA	0.00	0.00
2.07	INITIAL SPARES	18887.86	97.95
TOTAL		19282.94	100.00
3.0	MILITARY CONSTRUCTION		
TOTAL		00.00	0.00
<b>л.</b> 0	FIELDING		
4.01	SYSTEM TEST AND EVAL	0.00	00.0
4.02	TRAIN, SERV AND EQ	00.00	0.00
4.03	TRANSPORTATION	2.98	.60
n 0 - h	INITIAL REPAIR PARTS	490.80	04.99
4.05	SYS SPEC BASE OP SPT	0.00	0.00
4.06	OTH O\$M FUND FIELD	0.00	0.00
TOTAL		493.78	100.00
5.0	SUSTAINMENT		
5.01	REPLENISHMENT		
5.011	REPL REPAIR PARTS	7459.55	17.30
5.012	REPL SPARES	1530.53	3.55
5.013	WAR RES REPAIR PARTS	0.00	00.0
5.014	WAR RESERVE SPARES	00.00	0.00
5.02	PETR, OIL, AND LUB (POL)	0.00	00.00
5.03	AMMUNITION		
5.031	TRAINING AMMO/MISL	0.00	0.00
5.032	WAR RES AMMO/MISL	0.00	0.00
5.04	DEPOT MAINTENANCE		

Sector States of the

4183.43	2493.83	22444.47	0.00	0.00	650.41		0.00	0.00		0.00	1496.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		23.64	2847.52	43129.92	62906.64
CIVILIAN LABOR	MATERIEL (OM)	MATERIEL (PROC)	MAINT SUPPORT ACTIV	FIELD MAINT CIV LAB	TRANSPORTATION	SYS SPEC REPL TRAINING	AMMO/MSLE/EQUIP	SERVICES	MILITARY PERSONNEL	CREW PAY AND ALLOWANCES	MAINT PAY AND ALLOW	SYS SPEC SUPT P/A	TRAINEE/TRAINER P/A	SYS/PROJ MGMT P/A	PERM CHG OF STA (PCS)	OTH MPA FUND SUST	SYS/PROJ MGMT (CIV)	MODIFICATIONS/KITS	OTHER SUSTAINMENT	OTH O/M FUND SUST	OTH PROC FUND SUST		TOTAL LIFE CYCLE COST
5.041	5.042	5.043	5.044	5.05	5.06	5.07	5.071	5.072	5.08	5.081	5.082	5.083	5.084	5.085	5.086	5.087	5.09	5.10	5.11	5.111	5.112	TOTAL	

.05 6.60 100.00

and the sector colorer and the "estimate with

200503665

9.70 5.78 52.04 0.00

TOTAL LIFE CYCLE COST

2022/034 | 1466/2684 | 3522/2544 | 526/2006 | 1466/

21.7

<b>a o o o o o o o o o</b> S S S S	<b>1</b> 0 <b>0</b> 0 <b>0</b> 0 <b>0</b> 0 <b>0</b> 0 <b>0</b> 0 <b>0</b> 0 <b>0</b> 0 <b></b>		CKU 885 885 885 885 885 885 885 885 885 88	CP 11 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0
С Ч С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С	2000000 2000000 2000000000000000000000	CGRMAN 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760.	0 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	CPI 34800. 34800. 34800. 34800. 34800. 34800. 34800.
90000000000000000000000000000000000000	CDMAN 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760.	CGMAN 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760.	64 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	а Соосоосоо С
	CDIST .066 .066 .066 .066 .066 .066 .066	5	64 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	CONTCT 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.0
CALSET 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	CD10 066 066 066 066 066 066 066 066	CERMAN 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	CONMAN 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760.
CALPUB 0. 0. 0. 0.	CD10 0.03888888 0.03888888 0.038888888 0.038888888 0.038888888 0.038888888 0.038888888 0.03888888 0.03888888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.03888 0.038888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.03888 0.038888 0.03888 0.038888 0.038888 0.03888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.038888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.0388888 0.03888888 0.03888888 0.0388888 0.03888888 0.03888888 0.03888888 0.038888888 0.038888888 0.03888888888 0.038888888888	CEND 000000000000000000000000000000000000	6KM 885 885 885 885 855 855 855 855 855 85	CMP 15178. 15178. 3257. 521. 6832. 2000. 26988.
CALMAN 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760.	CDFD 066 006 006 006 006 006	Geografia 6150 6150 6150 6150 6150 6150 6150 6150	СК 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	CMODPG 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
CAD 375. 375. 375. 375. 375. 375.	CDE0 066 066 066 066 066 066 066 066 066 0	CEMAN 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760.	CK 88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88. 88	CLRUPG 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
A Y Z P 4 Y 2 P 4 Y 2 P 4 P 99 7 P 90 7 P 90	CDD1 338 338 338 338 338 338 338 338 338 33	CDRMAN 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760. 23760.	CKIT 5773. 148. 148. 148. 148. 5500. 7226.	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2
A RA D 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0	# • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	CDPRMN 74610. 74610. 74610. 74610. 74610. 74610. 74610. 74610.	I	0 2 8 8 8 8 9 9 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
< ० ० ० ० ० ० ० ० ० ४ न न न न न न न न द • • • • • • • • • • • •	CC SS CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC CC C	CDPMAN 74610. 74610. 74610. 74610. 74610. 74610. 74610.		5 5 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 4 SOVER SUPPLY 5 POWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT	1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 POWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT	1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 POWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT

6

K

È.

Ż

Ś

CSDEP CSDEP 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	20000000000000000000000000000000000000		ETE 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	8 
••••••••• ••••••••• *	CTRSPT 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	N	EKEL 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	¥
CRU 299. 299. 299. 299. 299.	CTRII 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	S	ພ <i>ຕ</i> ຕຕຕຕດ ເ	EVET 
CRP 299. 2999. 2999. 2999. 2999.		<u>a</u>	EDS 100. 100. 100.	6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
CRM 2999. 2999. 2999. 2999. 2999.	CTRCA CTRCA CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO CO	DA0QL 955 955 955 955 955 955 955	ED 10. 10. 10.	
CWI CWI CWI CWI CWI CWI CWI CWI CWI CWI	CTTRA 00000000000000000000000000000000000		EACSP 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	EVDT
С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С. С.	CTRA 00.000 000000000000000000000000000000	CUP CUP CUP CUP CUP COP CUP COP COP CUP CUP CUP CUP CUP CUP CUP CUP CUP CU	EA CAL 0. 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	EVD
сь СР СР	CT CP UB 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	900000000 90000000 900000000 9000000000	E 002590000 000410000 001119000 001170000 001130000 001130000 001130000 0001559000 001559000	EV DM
CPUBV 0.0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	CS GS U 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 25	CUBEU 3.0400 3.0400 3.2700 3.2700 3.2700 3.2800 3.8800 3.9300 3.9300 55.640	0	ETII 00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.000.000
CPUBII 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000	CSESU 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 25	CUBEP 010 010 010 010 010 010 020 010	00.00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00 00 0	Ц
CPP 2655 1518 7348 111 1367 930 240	CSDSU 255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	CULE 0070 0070 0070 0070 0070 0070 0070 00	901 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	ETEI 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
ICOMMAND AMPLIF. 2CUNTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT

E-27

A Carlo Carlos

1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 4 SIGHT CONTROL 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT
LI	N	FUI 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000		
EVOM	FNGF 205 205 25 25 25 25 25	FU0 FU0 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU000 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU00 FU0 FU	6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	H(2)
EV OR	FNSP 200 200 200 200 200 200	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		() , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
FE 0.000	FSA 160.00 160.00 160.00 160.00 160.00 160.00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	W 000000000000000000000000000000000000	( <del>7</del> )) H = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
1. 1. 2.0. 2.0. 2.0. 2.0. 2.0. 2.0. 2.0.	FTI 150.0 150.0 150.0 150.0 150.0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
F11 600000000000000000000000000000000000	FT11 150.0 150.0 150.0 150.0 150.0		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
FINT 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	FTM 10. 10. 10.	CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE C	00000000 00000000 00000000000000000000	
FLA • 00000000 • 000000000 • 000000000 • 0000000000	717 717 700 700 700 700 70	65 000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000 00000000 00000000000000000000	
FMD FMD FMD FMD FMD FMD FMD FMD FMD FMD	FTU 10. 12. 12. 12.	5000000000 500000000000000000000000000	GR 000000000000000000000000000000000000	
FWI 1.000 1.000 1.000	<u> </u>	000000000	00000000	
<b>N</b> <b>N</b> <b>N</b> <b>N</b> <b>N</b> <b>N</b> <b>N</b> <b>N</b>	00000000000000000000000000000000000000		Si S	
	FUE 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	61 000000000000000000000000000000000000	61 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

ļ

ŝ

RF 90 90 90 90 a 2200-00--m 05T(4) 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. RDD 900. 900. 900. 00000000 05T(2) 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 00000000 05T(1) 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. IFLAG 04M 70.01 70.01 70.01 70.01 001(4) 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. 90. NB -0L(3) 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. ۲. OL(2) 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. JTED 2000. 2000. 2000. CTME ¥-----1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 POWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT 1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTHOL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 POWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT 1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 POWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNER UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT 1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY 6LAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT 1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 POWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT

		TALMAN 0. 0. 0. 0.		
		× < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <		TATZ(10) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
		20000000000000000000000000000000000000		TAY2(9)
	> 	х v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v		TAYZ(8) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
		SVE 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.		TAYZ(7) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
SL(4) 30. 30. 30. 30.		00000000000000000000000000000000000000		TAYZ(6) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
SL(3) 55. 55. 55. 55. 55. 57. 57. 57. 57. 57.		LUS 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0	ш Натататата Ч Н	TAY2(5) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
SL(2) 55. 55. 55. 56. 57. 56.	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	SUE 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	TAT(4) 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 127.	TAYZ(4) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
st(1) 00.00 00.00 00.00	SMF 5.000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.000000	DNS 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	TAT(3) 0. 0. 0. 0. 30.	TAY2(3) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
10 00000000 00000000000000000000000000	N 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	STAT 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20.	ТАТ(2) 4 с. 4 с. 4 с. 4 с. 4 с. 4 с. 4 с.	TAY2(2) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
иго 00.000.00 00.00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00	N 2000000000 888888880000000000000000000	S	TAT(1) 	TAY2(1) 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 FOWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT	1COMMAND AMPLIF. 2CONTROL UNIT 3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4SIGHT CONTROL 5POWER SUPPLY CLAUNCH UNIT 7STEERING UNIT 8SIGHT UNIT	1 CUMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIEA 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 POWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT	1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 POWER SUPPLY 6 LAUNCH UNIT 7 STEERING UMIT 8 SIGHT UNIT	1 COMMAND AMPLIF. 2 CONTROL UNIT 3 SYSTEM AMPLIFIER 4 SIGHT CONTROL 5 POWER SUPPLY 5 FOWER UNIT 7 STEERING UNIT 8 SIGHT UNIT

COMMAND AMPLIF. CONTROL UNIT SYSTEM AMPLIFIER SIGHT CONTROL POWER SUPPLY LAUNCH UNIT STEERING UNIT SIGHT UNIT	COMMAND AMPLIF. CCONTROL UNIT SISYSTEM AMPLIFIER SISYSTEM AMPLIFIER SIGHT CONTROL POWER SUPPLY STEERING UNIT SIGHT UNIT	ICOMMAND AMPLIF. ECONTROL UNIT SSYSTEM AMPLIFIER JSIGHT CONTROL SPOWER SUPPLY 5LAUNCH UNIT SSIGAT UNIT	ICOMMAND AMPLIF. CONTROL UNIT SSYSTEM AMPLIFIER ASIGHT CONTROL SPOWER SUPPLY 5LAUNCH UNIT STEERING UNIT 331GHT UNIT	ICOMMAND AMPLIF. PCONTROL UNIT SSYSTEM AMPLIFIER SSIGHT CONTROL SIGHT CONTROL SFOWER SUPPLY SIGHT UNIT SSIGHT UNIT
н С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С	TDR 10.00 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 .50	TGMAN 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	TMI 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	TUMI 6. 0. 0. 0. 240.
8 . 255 0055 8 . 255 8 . 255	TDRMAN 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	TGRMAN 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	TMIR 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	л мисосососососососососососососососос оставляются и пределение и оставляются и пределение и пределе
TD1 0. 0. 75.	TE 2.00 1.80 1.00 1.00	TI 2.00 1.80 1.80 1.80 1.50 1.50	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
TDMAN 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.0	TEMAN 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000	11D 0. 0. 0. 75.	T 000000000000000000000000000000000000	
TDMW 5.50 1.50 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10	TENMAN 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	11MH 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	THOR 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000000	ж Дооооооооо Жалалалала Жалалалала
TDPMI 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40	TEO 600. 600. 72.	710 100. 100. 100. 100.	10E 600. 72. 72.	
1 04 1 40 1 40	TER 2.50 75 1.50 1.50 1.80 3.50 3.50	TIR 2.50 1.75 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	101 100. 100. 150.	Х Шаладалал Заладалала З
TDPRI 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40	TERMAN 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	TMD 3.00 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 1.25 3.50	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	С
TDPRII 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.40	TF 2.00 1.80 1.00 1.00	TMDD 10.00 3.000 1.50 1.75 0.00	TONMAN 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 2020 20	
	TFR 2.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.80 3.50 3.50	TMDR 6.00 3.50 1.50 2.50 2.50 1.50	TRC 2.450 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.	∑ Чаазазаа Зазазазаз
		TMI 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3.50	TUMD 120. 120. 120. 120. 120. 120.	С.,,,,,,,,, Нааваава Зававаава Зававаава

ł	2	o (	j e	5	0.	Ö	o	ċ	, ,	5																			
F 4 2	141	00000	0,000	0,000	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000		00000	ZM( † )	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	.00000	00000	*****	66666.									
5		00.00		42.00	14.00	49.00	156.00	00.7			ZM(3)	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	. 7 7 7 7 7	66666.									
LT V T T				.0.	-	10.	10.		200	• 00	ZM(2)	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	. 7 7 7 7 7	66666.	ZU(4)	66666.	66666.	66666.	.99999	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.
97				1.00	1.00	1.00	.50	05.		00.	ZM(1)	00000	00000	00000.	00000	00000	00000	00000		66666.	ZU( 3)	66666.	66666.	.99999	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.
aum		• • = • =		• • •	44.	44.	44.	44.	44	• r	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		00.1	ZU(2)	66666.	66666.	.99999	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.
NOM				• • •		44.	. 44.	44.	. 67	•	ZFL	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1-0000		1.00000	ZU(1)	66666.	66666.	.99999	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.
NO N	11	• <b>न</b> न		• r =	44.	44.	44.	. 44	. 11 1		ΥZ	.0	•0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0		•	ZP(3)	66666.	66666.	.99999	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.
LMT	ПП		n n	•	- 11-	44.	. 44.	44.	44.		ΥR	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.		• 0 >	ZP(2)	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.
WMR	. 4.4	- 77	11.11			. 44.	44.	. 44.	44.		ΥP	••	.0	••	••	.0	.0	•0		•	ZP(1)	66666.	.99999	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.	66666.
Σ3	2.00	2-00	2.00		Z•00	2.00	1.00	1.00	15.00		VMMO	00.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0000	00.0	20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0
	1 COMMAND AMPLIF.	2 CONTROL UNIT	<b>3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER</b>			DRUMEN SUPPLY	DELAUNCH UNIT	7STEERING UNIT	BSIGHT UNIT			ICOMMAND AMPLIF.	2 CONTROL UNIT	<b>3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER</b>	4 SIGHT CONTROL	5POWER SUPPLY	6LAUNCH UNIT	7 STEERING UNIT	<b>BSIGHT UNIT</b>			1 COMMAND AMPLIF.	2 CONTROL UNIT	<b>3SYSTEM AMPLIFIER</b>	4 SIGHT CONTROL	5POWER SUPPLY	6LAUNCH UNIT	7STEERING UNIT	BSIGHT UNIT

1.00

2555

22.22

#### APPENDIX F

#### APPENDIX F

#### OSAMM FIXED VERSUS OPTIMIZED MAINTENANCE POLICY DATA

1. Logistics Cost For The Optimized Maintenance Policies.

dist La

MTBF		PRORATION METHON	)
( 11'5)	UNIT PRICE	BASELINE	INVERSE UNIT PRICE
41 69 137 274	\$120,784,124 \$72,865,515 \$36,485,295 \$19,205,069	\$81,220,085 \$49,059,864 \$24,733,255 \$12,978,727	\$14,620,471 \$9,163,944 \$5,109,614 \$3,291,415

2. Logistics Cost For The Fixed Maintenance Policy.

MTBF (Hrs)		PRORATION METHOD	)
	UNIT PRICE	BASELINE	INVERSE UNIT PRICE
41 69 137 274	\$204,767,359 \$126,785,695 \$66,233,603 \$37,540,185	\$121,829,357 \$77,644,581 \$43,995,825 \$26,724,067	\$20,275,874 \$13,592,972 \$7,565,074 \$4,977,046

3. Maintenance Policies Considered For Optimization.

OSAMM POLICY NUMBER	END ITEM REPAIR	COMPONENT REPAIR	MODULE REPAIR
4 5 9 13 14 15 18 19 23 24 25	1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 4 4 5 2 2 4 4 5	4 5 4 5 4 5 5 4 5 4 5 5 5 5

1 = ORGANIZATIONAL 2 = DIRECT SUPPORT 3 = GENERAL SUPPORT 4 = DEPOT 5 = THROWAWAY

(i.e., DISCARD)

#### 4. Optimum Policy Selection By Proration Method.

#### SYSTEM MTBF (HOURS) OSAMM POLICY NUMBER 2 8 9 13 14 15 19 23 24 3 Total No. Applications (or modules) in System

#### a. Unit Price Proration Method.

#### b. Baseline Proration Method.

OSAMM		SYSTEM MI	[BF (HOURS)	
NUMBER	41	69	137	274
4 5 8 9 13 14 15 18 19 23 24 25	14 33 1	47 1	47 1	35 1 12
Total No. Applications (or modules) in System	48	48	48	48

#### **F-**2

OSAMM	SYSTEM MTBF (HOURS)			
NUMBER	41	69	137	274
4 5 8 9 13 14	31			
15 18 19 23 24 25	14 1	47 1	47 1	45 3
Total No. Applications (or modules) in System	48	48	48	48

c. Inverse Unit Price Proration Method.

5. OSAMM Optimum Maintenance Policy Data Files. The following is an example of how to read the OSAMM policy files. Select two policies from chart 5a titled "Unit Price Proration Method". Using a system MTBF of 41 hours the policies are 1114 1.0000 (Example 1) and 48225 1.0000 (Example 2) which will be used in the example below. Reading the policy from left to right the maintenance policy would be as follows:

	APPLICATION (or Module) NUMBER	END ITEM REPAIR	COMPONENT REPAIR	MODULE REPAIR	PERCENTAGE OF TIME THIS POLICY APPLIES
EXAMPLE 1	1	1 (Org.)	1 (Org.)	4 (Depot)	1.0000 (100%)
EXAMPLE 2	48	2 (DS)	2 (DS)	5 (Discard)	1.0000 (100%)

To further explain Example 1 is put into the following words. If Application or Module #1 fails the end item will be repaired at Organizational level by replacement of the component. The component will be repaired at Organizational level by replacement of the module. The module will be repaired at Depot level by replacement of piece parts. This Application or Module #1 maintenance policy will be in effect for module #1 100% of the time.

SYSTEM MTBF (HOURS)			
41	69	137	274
1114 $1.0000$ $2114$ $1.0000$ $3114$ $1.0000$ $4114$ $1.0000$ $5114$ $1.0000$ $6114$ $1.0000$ $7114$ $1.0000$ $8114$ $1.0000$ $9114$ $1.0000$ $10114$ $1.0000$ $12114$ $1.0000$ $12114$ $1.0000$ $12114$ $1.0000$ $15114$ $1.0000$ $15114$ $1.0000$ $15114$ $1.0000$ $16114$ $1.0000$ $20114$ $1.0000$ $21114$ $1.0000$ $21114$ $1.0000$ $21114$ $1.0000$ $21114$ $1.0000$ $21114$ $1.0000$ $21114$ $1.0000$ $21114$ $1.0000$ $21114$ $1.0000$ $21114$ $1.0000$ $31114$ $1.0000$ $31114$ $1.0000$ $31114$ $1.0000$ $32114$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ <t< td=""><td>1224$1.0000$$2224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$5224$$1.0000$$6224$$1.0000$$7224$$1.0000$$7224$$1.0000$$9224$$1.0000$$10224$$1.0000$$10224$$1.0000$$1224$$1.0000$$1224$$1.0000$$1224$$1.0000$$1224$$1.0000$$15224$$1.0000$$15224$$1.0000$$15224$$1.0000$$16224$$1.0000$$19224$$1.0000$$20224$$1.0000$$21224$$1.0000$$21224$$1.0000$$23224$$1.0000$$24115$$1.0000$$25224$$1.0000$$26224$$1.0000$$28224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$4224$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c} 1224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 2224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 3224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 4224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 5224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 6224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 6224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 9224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 10224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 10224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 1224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 1224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 13224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 13224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 15224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 15224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 15224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 15224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 13224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 20224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 20224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 23224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 23224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 23224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 23224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 24225 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 25224 &amp; 1.0000 \\ 35224 &amp; 1$</td><td>1224$1.0000$$2224$$1.0000$$3224$$1.0000$$4224$$1.0000$$5224$$1.0000$$5224$$1.0000$$7224$$1.0000$$8224$$1.0000$$9224$$1.0000$$10224$$1.0000$$10224$$1.0000$$12244$$1.0000$$12244$$1.0000$$12244$$1.0000$$12244$$1.0000$$15224$$1.0000$$15224$$1.0000$$162244$$1.0000$$192244$$1.0000$$202244$$1.0000$$202244$$1.0000$$212244$$1.0000$$232244$$1.0000$$242455$$1.0000$$252244$$1.0000$$292244$$1.0000$$312244$$1.0000$$322244$$1.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$3222441.0000$$322241.0000$$322241.0000$$322241.0000$$322241.0000$$322241.0000$$322241.0000$$322241.0000$$422241.0000$$422241.0000$$422241.0000$$422241.0000$$422241.0000$$422241.0000$$422241.0000$$422241.0000$$422241.0000$$42$</td></t<>	1224 $1.0000$ $2224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $5224$ $1.0000$ $6224$ $1.0000$ $7224$ $1.0000$ $7224$ $1.0000$ $9224$ $1.0000$ $10224$ $1.0000$ $10224$ $1.0000$ $1224$ $1.0000$ $1224$ $1.0000$ $1224$ $1.0000$ $1224$ $1.0000$ $15224$ $1.0000$ $15224$ $1.0000$ $15224$ $1.0000$ $16224$ $1.0000$ $19224$ $1.0000$ $20224$ $1.0000$ $21224$ $1.0000$ $21224$ $1.0000$ $23224$ $1.0000$ $24115$ $1.0000$ $25224$ $1.0000$ $26224$ $1.0000$ $28224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $4224$	$\begin{array}{c} 1224 & 1.0000 \\ 2224 & 1.0000 \\ 3224 & 1.0000 \\ 4224 & 1.0000 \\ 5224 & 1.0000 \\ 6224 & 1.0000 \\ 6224 & 1.0000 \\ 9224 & 1.0000 \\ 10224 & 1.0000 \\ 10224 & 1.0000 \\ 1224 & 1.0000 \\ 1224 & 1.0000 \\ 13224 & 1.0000 \\ 13224 & 1.0000 \\ 15224 & 1.0000 \\ 15224 & 1.0000 \\ 15224 & 1.0000 \\ 15224 & 1.0000 \\ 13224 & 1.0000 \\ 20224 & 1.0000 \\ 20224 & 1.0000 \\ 23224 & 1.0000 \\ 23224 & 1.0000 \\ 23224 & 1.0000 \\ 23224 & 1.0000 \\ 24225 & 1.0000 \\ 25224 & 1.0000 \\ 25224 & 1.0000 \\ 25224 & 1.0000 \\ 25224 & 1.0000 \\ 25224 & 1.0000 \\ 25224 & 1.0000 \\ 25224 & 1.0000 \\ 25224 & 1.0000 \\ 25224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1.0000 \\ 35224 & 1$	1224 $1.0000$ $2224$ $1.0000$ $3224$ $1.0000$ $4224$ $1.0000$ $5224$ $1.0000$ $5224$ $1.0000$ $7224$ $1.0000$ $8224$ $1.0000$ $9224$ $1.0000$ $10224$ $1.0000$ $10224$ $1.0000$ $12244$ $1.0000$ $12244$ $1.0000$ $12244$ $1.0000$ $12244$ $1.0000$ $15224$ $1.0000$ $15224$ $1.0000$ $162244$ $1.0000$ $192244$ $1.0000$ $202244$ $1.0000$ $202244$ $1.0000$ $212244$ $1.0000$ $232244$ $1.0000$ $242455$ $1.0000$ $252244$ $1.0000$ $292244$ $1.0000$ $312244$ $1.0000$ $322244$ $1.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $3222441.0000$ $322241.0000$ $322241.0000$ $322241.0000$ $322241.0000$ $322241.0000$ $322241.0000$ $322241.0000$ $422241.0000$ $422241.0000$ $422241.0000$ $422241.0000$ $422241.0000$ $422241.0000$ $422241.0000$ $422241.0000$ $422241.0000$ $42$

a. Unit Price Proration Method.

2

R

F-4

#### b. Baseline Proration Method.

1000

Service Services

100

575-54580

c. Inverse Unit Price Method.

2

6. OSAMM Fixed Versus Optimized Maintenance Policy, Cost Versus Reliability Curves Comparison.



F-7

#### APPENDIX G

5.5.5.5.5.5.5. (5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.

555-553 J. 1997-999

STATES STATES

#### APPENDIX G OSAMM INPUT DATA DESCRIPTIONS

í.

ç

MNEMONIC	VARTABLE NAME	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
INCHIONIC	VARIADED MAIN	01110	1.1.1.0.1.07
AVAIL (J)	Availability of Special Test		
	Equipment or Special Repairman		.65/.70
AVTAR	Availability Target		
CF	Annual Maintenance Cost Factor		• 27
COSBIN	Annual Cost to Maintain Item in ASL	\$/yr	30.00
COSBINI	One Time Cost to Add a Line to ASL	\$	187.00
COSHOL	Inventory Holding Cost		
	Percentage		.03
COSNSI	First Year Cataloging Cost	\$	555.00
COSNSR	Subsequent Years Cataloging Cost	\$/yr	138.00
COSREQ	Cost per Requisition	\$	20.20
COSTD	Cost of Technical Documentation	\$/page	200.00
CPM (J)	Transportation Cost per Pound-	\$/10-m1	.017.000297
0000	Mile (Urg-DS, DS-GS, GS-Depot)	dour	•0003
CTDEL	Contact leam Delay lime	days	
DIST(J)	Distance Between (Org-DS, DS-GS,	milee	7/250/2500
	Dave in Wankyook (Ong DS CS	milles	(125015500
DWR(J)	Days III WOLKWEER (OFg, DS, GS,	dave	7/5/5/5
5 TD 1 5 TD 2	End Item Identification	uays	
EIDI,EIDZ	Highest Fahelon at which a TF/		
EQTEC	Repairman is Peculiar		Ц
FOPLA	Lowest Echelon at Which a TE/		•
	Repairman Can Be Placed		1
ERR	Erroneous Removal Rate		. 1
ETC	Other One Time Initial Costs		• •
	of TE	\$/TE	0
ETIME(J)	Time Required for TE/Repairman	<b>*</b> · · - <b>-</b>	-
	J to Repair the (a) End Item		
	When a Component Fails,(b) Com-		
	ponent When a Module Fails	hours	
EUP	Unit Price of TE (excluding R&D		
	Costs)	\$/TE	
FAIL(I)	MTBF for a)Representative Part I		
	in the Pseudo Component/ Psuedo		
	Module, b) Module I in a Component	hours	
FL	Salary Loading Factor for Special		
	Repairman (Military, Civilian)		.682/.45
ID(I)	Component, Module, Psuedo Component,		
	Psuedo Module Identification		
IESS(I)	Essentiality Code for Component/		
	Module/Pseudo Component/Pseudo		
	Module I		
IPOL(X)	Indicator to Specify Whether		
	Maintenance Policy X of 25 is to		<u>^</u>
	be considered (O=No)		U

🕐 🖓 🖓

MNEMONIC	VARIABLE NAME	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
IRSC IVSYS	Retail Stockage Criteria SESAME Support System Indicator		~~~~
	(V=Vertical, D=Direct Exchange, N=Nonvertical)		
MIL	Military/Civilian Indicator for Special Repairman (1=Military,		
	2=Civilian)		1
MTBE	MTBF of the End Item	hours	
MULT	Mean lime to Repair the End Item Indicates Multiple Cost Cards for Special Repairman (O=one set of	nours	•5
NEQ	costs for all echelons) Number of special TEs for a		0
	Component or Module		0
NNSN	New NSN indicator for a Com- ponent/Module (1=NSN Exists,		_
NDED	O=NO NSN EXISTS) Number of Special Repairmon for		0
NILL	a Component or Module		0
NSPEC	Indicator That Special Test Equipment/Repairman is Needed to Repair End Item Associated		-
	With Specific Components	****	0
NSTACK(I)	Number of Special TE/Repairmen Associated With an Application		0
NSTK1	Number of Special TE/Repairmen		0
NSTK4(X)	Number of Special TE/Repairmen for Component Repair Associated		U.
NSTKT	With Specific Module/Application I Identification Number of TE/Repair- men J Needed to Repair the End Item		0
	When a Specific Component Fails		
ОН	Operating Hours of the End Item	hours/yr	
OLIFE OPSL(J)	Operating Life of the End Item Operating Safety Level for Stock	years	
OST(J)	at a level (Org, DS, GS) Order Ship Time Between levels (Org-	days	
,	DS, DS-GS, GS-Depot)	days	
OST(4)	Procurement Lead Time	days	
OUPS(J)	Number of Maintenance/Supply Shops at each level (Org, DS, GS )		
OUPS(4)	Total Number of End Items Fielded (Density)	~	
PAGE(I)	Number of Pages of Technical Documentation for Component/ Module I		
PARTSN	Number of Parts in Pseudo Module or		
	Pseudo Component Needing a New NSN		

Š

MNEMONIC	VARIABLE NAME	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
PARTSP	Average Price of Piece Parts in a Module per Repair Action	\$	
PARTSR	Number of Piece Parts in a Module Which Need a New NSN		
PARTST	Total Number of Parts Grouped Together to Form a Pseudo		
RATL(X)	Common Repairman Labor Rate at Each Level (Org, DS, GS, Depot)	\$/hr/man	6.00/9.00/ 17.25/17.25
RTR	Turnover period for Special Repairmen (Military & Civilian)	yrs/man	2.5/5.0
SAL Shours(j)	Annual Salary of Special Repairmen Shift hours at each level (Org, DS, GS. Depot)	\$/yr/man hrs/day	16/12/8/8
STK1(J)	Identification Number of Special TE/Repairman J Needed to Repair End Item		
STK2(J)	Identification Number of Special TE/Repairmen J Needed to Repair Component		
STK3(J)	Identification Number of Special TE/Repairmen J Needed to Repair		
STK4(J)	Module Identification Number of Special TE/Repairmen J Needed For		
TAT(I,J)	Application Average Elapsed Time From Turn-In of a Failed Item I(module or appl- ication) at Maintenance Facility J (Org., DS, GS, Depot) Until the		
TBFACT TMTR	End Item MTBF Multiplier Mean Time To Repair Component I	days	1
TRMOS	or Module I Training Cast for Special Repairmen	hours t/man	•25
UL UP(I)	Useful Life of Special TE Unit Price of a) Component or Module I, b) Parts Consumed per Average Repair Action For Pseudo	years	OLIFE
	Component or Pseudo Module I	\$	
UPEI WASH(I)	End Item Unit Price Washout Rate for Component or	\$	~ ~ ~ ~ ~
WGT(I)	Module I Weight of a) Component/Module I, b) Parts Consumed per Average		
	ponent/Pseudo Module I	pounds	~ ~ ~ ~ ~

ľ.

12 P. 1 S. 19

*Indicates that the value shown will be used in OSAMM if no value is given by the analyst on the input cards.

#### APPENDIX H

S-20053

المستخدفكم

1000000

Server .

#### APPENDIX H LOGAM INPUT DATA DESCRIPTIONS

MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
ARA	Annual Manpower Turnover		
	Fraction		.4(0)
ARAD	Annual Manpower Turnover		
	Fraction at Depot		.2(0)
AYZP	Control Variable to Specify		
	Method for Initial Pro-		
	visioning Calculations		(1)
CAD	Annual Cost to Retain an Item		
	in Supply System	\$/yr	1576(0)
CALMAN	Cost for Calibration Manpower	\$/yr/man	25526(0)
CALPUB	Cost of Technical Data for Type		( <b>)</b>
	III TE	\$	(0)
CALSET	Number of Type III Test Sets and		( ~ )
	Teams		(0)
CCAL	Cost to Develop Type 111 TE	\$	(0)
CCALP	Cost to Procure Type III TE	\$	(0)
CCALR	Support Cost of Type III TE	\$/yr	(0)
CCSP	Cost to Develop Type IV IL	\$	(0)
CCSPP	Cost to Produre Type IV TE	\$ \$	(0)
CDDI	Support Cost of Type IV IE Shipping Cost From Donat to CS	\$/yr \$/15/toin	(0)
CDEO	Shipping Cost From Depot to US	$\varphi/10/crip$	• 37 • 21(0)
CDED	Shipping Cost From Contractor to	\$/10/cl.ib	•05(0)
CDID	Denot	\$/lb/trin	21(0)
CDID	Shipping Cost From GS to Depot	$\frac{1}{10}$	.3/.21(0)
CDIO	Shipping Cost From GS to DS	\$/lb/trip	.1(0)
CDIST	Shipping Cost to Distribute Initial	••••••	
	Provisioning	\$/item/lb	(0)
CDMAN	Cost for Test Man at DS	\$/yr/man	25526(0)
CDOE	Shipping Cost From DS to Org.	\$/lb/trip	.05(0)
CDOI	Shipping Cost From DS to GS	\$/1b/trip	.1(0)
CDPMAN	Cost For Test Man at Depot	\$/yr/man	61409(0)
CDPRMN	Cost For Repairman at Depot	\$/yr/man	61409(0)
CDRMAN	Cost For Repairman at DS	\$/yr/man	25526(0)
CEMAN	Cost For Test Man at Org	\$/yr/man	(0)
CEN	Cost to Enter Item Into Supply System	\$	2585(0)
CEND	Cost to Develop an LRU	\$	(0)
CERMAN	Cost For Repairman at Org	\$/yr/man	(0)
CFTD	Cost for Floor Space at Depot For TE	\$/SqFt/Mo	1.00(0)
CGMAN	Cost For Test Man at GS	\$/yr/man	25526(0)
CGHMAN	Cost for Repairman at GS	\$/yr/man	25526(0)
	Cost to Develop Type I TE	\$	(0)
	LOST TO DEVELOP TYPE II TE	\$	(0)

H-1

MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
CKIT **CKMD	Cost For Modification Kit Safety Stock Coefficient for Module	\$	(0)
**CKME	Stock at Depot Safety Stock Coefficient for Module		.85(0)
**CKMI	Stock at Org Safety Stock Coefficient for Module Stock at CS		(0) 85(0)
**CKMO	Stock at US Safety Stock Coefficient for Module		•05(0)
<b>* *</b> CKP D	Stock at DS Safety Stock Coefficient for Part		.05(0)
**CKPI	Stock at Depot Safety Stock Coefficient for Part		·05(U)
**CKP0	Stock at US Safety Stock Coefficient for Part		•05(U)
* * CKUD	Stock at DS Safety Stock Coefficient for LRU Stock at Dopot	~	•05(U)
**CKUE	Stock at Depot Safety Stock Coefficient for LRU		•05(U) 85(D)
**CKUI	Stock at org Safety Stock Coefficient for LRU Stock at CS		•05(U)
**CKUO	Stock at 05 Safety Stock Coefficient for LRU		•05(U)
CLRUPG	Cost for Technical Data for Type I TE for LBU Repair	 ¢	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
CMODPG	Cost for Technical Data for Type I TE for Module Repair	¢ Å	(0)
СМР	Average Cost for Spare or Replacement Module	¢.	(0)
CONMAN CONTCT	Cost for Contact Support Team Number of Contact Support Sets and	\$/yr/man	25526(0)
CPE	Teams Nonrecurring Production Cost for		(0)
CPI	An LRU Cost to Procure Type I TE	\$ \$	(0) (0)
CPP	Average Cost for a Spare or Replace-	\$ \$	(0)
CPUBII CPUBV CPV CRI CRII CRM CRP CRU CRV	Cost of Technical Data for Type II TE Cost of Technical Data for Type V TE Cost to Procure Type V TE Cost to Support Type I TE Cost to Support Type II TE Cost per Module for Reorder Action Cost per Piece Part for Reorder Action Cost per LRU for Reorder Action Annual Cost to Set Up Training for	\$ \$ \$/yr \$/yr \$/Module \$/Part \$/LRU	(0) (0) (0) (0) 1254(0) 1254(0) 1254(0)
CSDEP	Type V TE Storage Cost at Depot	\$/yr \$/CuFt/Mo/	(0)
		Item	1.00(0)

والمتكلف والموالي للموالي

 $\mathbf{y}$ 

MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
CSDSU	Storage Cost at DS	\$/CuFt/Mo/	
<b>2</b> 2524		Item	.25(0)
CSESU	Storage Cost at Org	\$/CuFt/Mo/	2F(0)
CSGSU	Storage Cost at GS	\$/CuFt/Mo/	•25(0)
		Item	.25(0)
CTCPUB	Cost of Technical Data for Type IV TE	\$	(0)
CINA	Personnel	\$/man	(0)
CTRAD	Training Cost for Depot Maintenance	¥ ·	
CTRCAL	Personnel	\$/man	(0)
CIRCAL	for Type III TE Teams	\$	(0)
CTRI	Nonrecurring Cost to Set Up Training	¥	(0)
	for Type I TE	\$	(0)
CIRII	for Type II TF	¢	(0)
CTRSPT	Nonrecurring Cost to Set Up Training	Ψ	(0)
6 <b>6</b> 6 7 1	for Type IV TE	\$	(0)
CTRV	Nonrecurring Cost to Set Up Training	¢	( <b>0</b> )
CUBEM	Storage Volume for a Module	φ Cu.Ft.	(0)
CUPEP	Storage Volume for a Part	Cu.Ft.	(0)
CUBEU	Storage Volume for an LRU	Cu.Ft.	(0)
CUCE	Cost for Org Preventive Maintenance	\$/vr	(0)
CUP	Cost for the LRU (Development, Pro-	+· J -	
	curement and Provision Cost)	\$	(0).
CV	Cost to Develop Type V TE	\$	(0)
DAOQL	Fraction of Depot Workload Good When		
סס	Number of Depot Level Maintenance		•95(1)
00	Locations		(1)
DDS	Number of Depot Level Support Points		(1)
DI	Number of GS Maintenance Locations		(1)
DIS	Number of GS Supply Locations		(1)
DTE	Number of Days Delay Expected for		
	Evacuation of Repairables From Org	days	10(0)
DTI	Number of Days Delay Expected for		00(0)
סדם	Number of Days Delay Expected for	days	30(0)
DIO	Evacuation of Repairables From DS	davs	30(0)
E	Failure Rate of LRU		(0)
EACAL	Control Variable Posts Out One Time		
	Costs for Type III TE and Manpower		(0)
EACSP	Control Variable Posts Out One Time		( )
E D	Number of Deployment Installations		(0)
	Number of Org Supply Locations		(1)
E E	Number of Systems at a Deployment		
	Installation		(1)
EREI	Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated(0)		× • •
	or Shared (1) Org Test and Repair Men		(1)

H-3
MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
ETE	Control Variable Posts Accumulated Work Demands for Men and Type V TE		(1)
ETEI	Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated		(1)
ETI	Control Variable Posts Accumulated		(1)
ETII	Control Variable Posts Accumulated		(1)
EVDM	Depot Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated		
_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(0) or Shared (1) Test Manpower at Depot		1(1)
EVDR	Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated (0) or Shared (1) Repair Manpower		
EVDT	at Depot Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated		1(1)
	(0) or Shared (1) Test Equipment at Depot		0(1)
EVEM	Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated (0) or Shared (1) Test Manpower at		
EVER	Org Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated		1(1)
<b>- W - M</b>	(0) or Shared (1) Repair Manpower at Org		1(1)
EVET	(0) or Shared (1) TE at Org		0(1)
EVIM	(0) or Shared (1) Test Manpower		1(1)
EVIR	Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated		
EVIT	at GS Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated		1(1)
EVOM	(0) or Shared (1) TE at GS Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated		0(1)
	(0) or shared (1) Test Manpower at DS		1(1)
EVOR	Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated (0) or Shared (1) Repair Manpower		
EVOT	at DS Control Flag to Indicate Dedicated	*	1(1)
FE	(0) or Shared (1) TE at DS Fraction of Type V TE Manpower	~	0(1)
FI	Demand Added for Self Support Fraction of Type I TE Manpower Demand	~~~~	(0)
FII	Added for Self Support Fraction of Type II TE Manpower Demand		.08(0)
	Added for Self Support		.08(0)

Į,

Ø,

Į,

MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
ETNT	Voanly Interest Pate to Compute		
L TH I	Present Value		0(0)
FLM	Fraction of Type IV TE Support Costs That Are for Civilian Labor		(1)
FMD	Fraction of Modules Repaired at Depot (Remainder Scrapped)		1(1)
FMI	Fraction of Modules Repaired at GS		
FMO	(Remainder Scrapped)		1(1)
rno	(Remainder Scrapped)		1(1)
FN	Number of Identical LRUs in System		
	whose Failure Does not Effect Avail- ability		O(0)
FNGF	False-No-Go Factor (Ratio of False		0(0)
5.V.0.5	to True Failures)		.2(0)
FNSP	fraction of Parts Which are Non-		
	Administration		(1)
FSA	Field Supply Administration Cost	\$/yr/item/	
		supply	160 (0)
FTI	Type I TE Work Space at Depot	Sa FT	1500(0)
FTIT	Type II TE Work Space at Depot	Sa Ft	1500(0)
FTM	Time Required to Reprocure a Module		
	(Factory Start-Up Time Between Place-		
	ment of Order and Delivery)	Wks	38(0)
FTP	Time Required to Reprocure a Piece		
	Part (Same Definition as FTM)	Wks	20(0)
FTU	Time Required to Reprocure an LRU	Ul a	64(0)
FUD	(Same Definition as FIM) Enaction of LRUs Repaired at Depot	WKS	64(0)
FUD	(Remainder Scrapped)		1(1)
FUE	Fraction of LRUs Repaired at Org		
	(Remainder Scrapped)		1(1)
FUI	Fraction of LRUs Repaired at GS		
5.4.0	(Remainder Scrapped)		1(1)
FUO	(Remainder Senapped)		1(1)
$G(\mathbf{T})$	Maintenance Policies Utilized (20		
0(1)	Different Possible Policies)		(0)
H(I)	Control Flag to Authorize Stockage at		( )
	Org, DS, GS, Depot		(1)
HPM	Discretionary Procurement Holding		- / .
	Time for Modules	days	30(0)
нрр	Time for Bigge Barts	dove	20(0)
нрп	Discretionary Procurement Holding	uays	20101
	Time for LRUs	days	30(0)
IBG	Control Flag Which Prints Out Values	-	
	of Internal Variables		(0)

ومعارف فالمنافع فللمنافع

the second second

inita.

MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT <u>VALUE(S)</u> *
IFLAG	Control Flag that Sums Up LRU Cases That are Common in TWO or More		
TME	Theaters Control Variable that Reads in Data		(1)
THE	From the MACRIT Data Tape		(0)
INHIB	dual LRU Output		(0)
10	Input Files		(0)
IOPER	Control Variable to Allow Adding of TOE Costs to LOGAM Output.		(0)
IS	Control Variable for Resetting Various Accumulators		(0)
JTED	Control Variable to Designate Type and Location of Test Equipment		(1)
N A	Control Variable to Control Number of System Availability Modes Being		
NB	Tallied Control Variable to Initialize		(1)
N II	Default Values Control Variable That Handles Printout		(0)
NO	of Various Outputs		(0)
OD	Number of DS Maintenance Locations		(1)
0 DS	Number of DS Supply Points		(1)
OL(I)	Operating Level of Supply for Consum-		
097(1)	ables at Org, DS, GS, Depot	days	(0)
051(1)	and Depot	davs	(0)
OTF	Fraction of Real Time That Deployed	<b>y</b>	< -
•	Equipment Operates		(1)
Р	Number of Different Modules per LRU		(1)
PMR	Production Rate for Modules		(0)
PP	Number of Different Piece Parts per		
	LRU		(1)
PPR	Production Rate for Piece Parts		(0)
PUR	Production Rate for LRUs		(0)
* * QMM	Minimum Reorder Quantity for Modules		(1)
* * QMP	Minimum Reorder Quantity for Piece		(
	Parts		(1)
**QMU	Minimum Reorder Quantity for LRUs		(1)
**QTD	Total LRU Stock Quantity for All		(0)
	Total IRU Stock Quantity for All Org		(0)
	Total LRU Stock Quantity for All Org		(0)
	Total Module Stock Quantity for All US		
	Depots		(0)
**QTME	Total Module Stock Quantity for All		
	Org		(0)
**QTMI	Total Module Stock Quantity for All GS		(0)
<b>**</b> QTMO	Total Module Stock Quantity for All DS		(0)

K

No.

ĥ

٦A

MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
**QTO **0TPD	Total LRU Stock Quantity for all DS Total Piece Part Stock Quantity for		(0)
**OTPI	All Depot Total Piece Part Stock Quantity for		(0)
**OTPO	All GS Total Riege Part Stock Quantity for		(0)
חתפ	All DS Delay Time Between Request for an URU		(0)
R D D	at Depot and Handling Request at	davs	127(0)
**REO	Difference in Days of Supply Allowed for Condemned Modules and Parts and Days of Supply for Repairable LRUs		
REPEAT	and Modules at Org Number of LRUs in End Item	days	5(0) (1)
RF	The Fraction of Org MTTR That is Devoted to LRU Removal and Replace- ment Excluding Fault Isolate and		
**RID	Retest Time Difference in Days of Supply Allowed for Condemned Modules and Parts and		(•9)
** POT	Days of Supply for Repairable LRUs and Modules at GS	days	15(0)
101	for Condemned Modules and Parts and Days of Supply for Repairable LRUs		
	and Modules at DS	days	15(0)
SENSY(X) SL(I)	Safety Level of Supply for Consumables	<b></b>	(0)
SMD	Module Soran Fraction at Depot	days	(0)
SME	Module Scrap Fraction at Depot		.00(0)
SME	Scheduled Maintenance Fraction at Org		(0)
SMI	Module Scrap Fraction at GS		-08(0)
SMO	Module Scrap Fraction at DS		.08(0)
SPE	Fraction of End Item Cost That is a Sunk Cost (0 = No Cost, 1 = Full		
SPEV	Cost of End Item) Fraction of Initial Provision Cost		(0)
	That is a Sunk Cost		(1)
SPEVR	Fraction of Reordered Materiel That		( • )
STAT	Shipping Turn-Around Time for an LRU or Module From a Field Maintenance		(1)
	Unit to Depot and Return	days	60/20(0)
SUD	LRU Scrap Fraction at Depot		(0)
SUE	LRU Scrap Fraction at Org		(0)
SUI	LRU Scrap Fraction at GS		(0)
SUO	LRU Scrap Fraction at DS		(0)

22

 $\mathcal{A}(i)$ 

1

4242424

+3.5

H-7

1.11

MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
SVE	Salvage Fraction of Cost for LRUs at End of Program Life		(0)
SVR	Salvage Fraction of Cost for Consumed Materiel at End of Program Life		(0)
SVT	Salvage Fraction of Cost for Test Equipment at End of Program Life		(0)
SVV	Salvage Fraction of Cost for Residual Inventory at End of Program Life		(0)
	Array		(0)
TALMAN	Crew Tunn Anound Time for Maintenance at		2(0)
TATE TAYZ(I)	Org, DS, GS, and Depot Number of Days of Stock at Org	days days	(0) (0)
TC	ties to be Collected for Subsystems if They Exist Mean Test Time to Checkout an LBU for		(1)
TD TD	False-No-Go's Test Time for LRU Checkout at Depot	hours hours	(0) (0)
TDMAN	able LRUs and Modules at GS Manpower Productivity Factor or Num-	days	15(0)
TDMW	ber of Men per Test Crew at DS Mean Time Spent in Test Position at		2(0)
TDPMI	Depot per Test Sequence for MWO's Manpower Productivity Factor or Num- ber of Men per Test Equipment Crew at	hours	(0)
TDPMII	Depot for Type I TE Manpower Productivity Factor or Num- ber of Men per TE Crew at Depot for		1.4(0)
TDPRI	Type II TE Manpower Productivity Factor or Num- ber of Men per Repair Crew at Depot		1.4(0)
TDPRII	for Type I TE Manpower Productivity Factor or Num- ber of Men per Repair Crew at Depot		1.4(0)
T DR T DRMAN	for Type II TE Repair Time to Repair an LRU Manpower Productivity Factor or Num-	hours	1.4(0) (0)
TE	ber of Men per Repair at DS Test Time for LRU at Org	hours	2(0) (0)
	ber of Men per Test at Org		2(0)
TEO TER	at Org Pipelength Between Org and DS Repair Time for an LRU at Org	hours hours	2(0) 7(0) (0)

9

Sections:

H-8

**DOUC** 

MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
TERMAN	Manpower Productivity Factor or Num-		
1 2	ber of Men per Repair at Org		2(0)
TF	Mean Time to Test an LRU at DS	hours	(0)
TFR	Repair Time for an LRU at DS	hours	(0)
TGMAN	Manpower Productivity Factor or Num-		
	ber of Men per Test Crew at GS		2(0)
TGRMAN	Manpower Productivity Factor or Num-		
	ber of Men per Repair Crew at GS		2(0)
TI	Test Time for an LRU at GS	hours	(0)
TID	Number of Days of Supply for Repair-		15(0)
TT T MALT	able LRUS and Modules at GS	days	15(0)
T Thim	at CS pan Tast Sequence for MUOIs	hours	(0)
ΤΙΟ	Number of Days of Supply for Repair-	nour s	(0)
110	ed or Condemned LBUs and Repairable		
	Modules at DS	davs	15(0)
TIR	Repair Time of an LRU at GS	hours	(0)
TMD	Test Time of a Modules at Depot	hours	(0)
TMDD	Time Required to Install Modification		
	Kit at Depot	hours	(0)
TMDR	Repair Time of a Module at Depot	hours	(0)
TMI	Test Time of a Module at GS	hours	(0)
TMID	Time Required to Install Modification		
	Kit at GS	hours	(0)
TMIR	Repair Time of a Module at GS	hours	(0)
TMO	Test Time of a Module at DS	nours	(0)
IMOD	vit at DS	hours	( <b>0</b> )
TMOR	Ritat DS Pennin Time of a Module at DS	hours	(0)
TOF	Pipelength Between DS and Org or Ex-	nours	
TOL	pected Time for Obtaining a Spare	hours	7(0)
TOI	Number of Days of Supply for Repaired		1(0)
	or Condenmed LRUs and Repairable		
	Modules at DS	days	15(0)
TOMW	Mean Time Spent in Test at DS per	-	
	Test Sequence for MWO's	hours	(0)
TONMAN	Number of Men per Contact Support		
	Crew for Type IV TE		2(0)
TRC	Down-Time (MTTR) per Service Demand		( )
	at Org	hours	(0)
TUMD	Module Supply Time at Depot to Cover		
	lime Between Removal Until Repaired	houng	168(0)
TIMT	Module Supply Time at CS to Cover Time	liour 5	100(0)
1001	Between Removal Until Repaired and		
	Returned	hours	168(0)
TUMO	Module Supply Time at DS to Cover Time		
2000	Between Removal Until Repaired and		
	Returned	hours	168(0)
WD	Scheduled Work Week for TE at Depot	hours	40(168)

N.S.

H-9

N. S. Maria

MNEMONIC	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	DEFAULT VALUE(S)*
UDM	Cohodylad Namk Nack for Tost Chaus at		
WDM	Depot	hours	40(168)
WDR	Scheduled Work Week for Repair Crews	nours	40(100)
<i>NDR</i>	at Depot	hours	40(168)
WE	Scheduled Work Week for TE at Org	hours	44(168)
WEM	Scheduled Work Week for Test Crews		
	at Org	hours	44(168)
WER	Scheduled Work Week for Repair Crews	h	hh( 4( 0)
	at Org	nours	44(168)
WL	Scheduled Work Week for TE at GS	nours	44(100)
WIM	at CS	hours	<u>ии(168)</u>
WTR	Scheduled Work Week for Repair Crews	nour 5	44(100)
N I N	at GS	hours	44(168)
WM	Shipping Weight of a Module	pounds	(0)
WMR	Scheduled Work Week for Repair Men	•	
	at Org	hours	44(48)
WMT	Scheduled Work Week for Type V TE	hours	44(48)
WO	Scheduled Work Week for TE at DS	hours	44(168)
WOM	Scheduled Work Week for Test Crews	h =	111/160
HOD	at US Schodylad Work Work for Poncin Croup	nours	44(100)
WUR	at DS	hours	<u>44(0)</u>
WP	Shipping Weight of a Piece Part	pounds	(0)
WTKIT	Shipping Weight of a Modification Kit	pounds	(0)
WU	Shipping Weight of an LRU	pounds	(0)
YAT	Annual Attrition Fraction for LRUs		.001(0)
ΥD	Length of Development Phase for the		
	System	years	(0)
YMWO	Number of Different MWUs to be Applied	#/yr/LRU	(0)
IP	Length of Production or Acquisition	10000	(1)
Y B	Iength of Operation and Maintenance	years	
1.10	Phase	vears	(10)
ΥZ	Control Flag to Indicate the Starting	<b>j</b>	
	Year of Present Value Computation		
	(Can Be Positive or Negative)	years	(0)
ZFL	Round-Off Rule Used in Computing		(
~ <b>.</b>	Service Channel Quantities		(.9999)
Δ1 7Μ(Τ)	Fraction of MWUS installed at GS Bound Off Encotions for Modulos at		(0)
24(1)	Org DS CS and Depot Supply Points		( 0000)
2.0	Fraction of MWOs Installed at DS		(0)
$\overline{ZP}(I)$	Round-Off Fractions for Piece Parts at		<b>\ \ \</b>
( -/	DS, GS, and Depot Supply Points		(.9999)
ZU(I)	Round-Off Fractions for LRU at Org,		
	DS, GS, and Depot Supply Points		(•9999)

H-10

1921 335 X W

*Indicates that the values shown are recommended by MICOM. The value in ( ) is what would be used in LOGAM if no value was input by the analyst for that mnemonic.

38988 B 888 G

******Indicates variables that are utilized only when AYZP = 0 which means LOGAM supply rules are to be used to calculate stockage. These variables are very seldom used.

## APPENDIX I

Sec. 8.

and the second

#### APPENDIX I

#### OSAMM and LOGAM INPUT DATA COMPARISON

1. Category 1 - Common Data (Government Responsibility).

	OSAMM	MNEMONICS	
AVAIL (Repairmer *COSBIN *COSBINI *COSHOL *COSNSI *COSNSR *COSREQ *CPM *DIST DWK	1)	*FL IRSC OPSL OST *RATL *RTR *SAL SHOURS TAT	

		LOGAM MNE	MONICS			
ARA ARAD CALMAN CDDI CDEO CDID CDIO CDMAN CDOE CDOI CDPMAN CDPRMN	* CDRMAN * CEMAN * CEMAN * CERMAN * CGMAN * CGMAN * CKMD * CKMD * CKMI * CKMI * CKMO * CKPD * CKPI * CKPO	* CKUD * CKUE * CKUI * CKUO * CONMAN * CRM * CRP * CRU CS DE P CS DS U CS ES U CS GS U CUCE	DTE DTI DTO *FINT *FSA FTM FTP FTU HPM HPP HPU OL OST	RDD REO RID ROI SL STAT *TALMAN *TAT TATE TDI *TDMAN *TDPMI *TDPMI *TDPMII	* TDPRI * TDPRII * TDRMAN * TEMAN * TENMAN TEO * TERMAN * TGMAN * TGRMAN TID TIO TOE TOI * TONMAN TUMD	TUMI TUMO WD WDM WDR WE WE WE WI WI WI WI WMR WMT WO WOM

*Indicates Data for this mnemonic is presently in the MRSA Logistic Parameters Library.

2. CATEGORY 2 - Common Data (Contractor Responsibility).

OSAMM	LOGAM
MNEMONICS	MNEMONICS
COSTD TRMOS	* CDFD CDIST CFTD CTRA CTRAD

3. CATEGORY 3 - System Peculiar Data (Government Responsibility).

OSAMM MNEMONICS	
AVAIL(Test AVTAR CTDEL	Equipment)
EQPLA(TE & IPOL IVSYS MIL OH OLIFE OUPS UL	Repairman)

----

LOC MNEMC	GAM DNICS	
AYZP CALSET CONTCT DD DDS DI DIS ED EDS EE G H OD	ODS OTF SPE SPEV SPEVR T TAYZ YD YP YR YZ ZFL ZM	ZP ZU

*Indicates Data for this mnemonic is presently in the MRSA Logistic Farameters Library.

4. CATEGORY 4 - System Peculiar Data (Contractor Responsibility).

OS	AMM MNEMON	ICS	
CF EQPEC(TE & Repairmen) ERR ETC ETIME EUP FAIL ID IESS MTBF	MTR NEQ NNSN NREP NSPEC NSTACK NSTK1 NSTK4 NSTKT	PAGE PARTSN PARTSP PARTSR PARTST STK1 STK2 STK3 STK4	TBFACT TMTR UP UPEI WASH WGT

ļ,

1

		LOGAM M	NEMONICS				
CALBUB C CCAL C CCALP C CCALR C CCSPP C CCSPP C CCSPR C CEND C CI C CII C CLI C CLII C CLRUPG C CMODPG C CMP C CPE C CPI C	PPCUPPUBIICVPUBVDAOQIPVERIEREIRIETEIRVEVDMTCPUBEVDRTRCALEVDTTRIEVEMTRIIEVEMTRIIEVENTRVEVIMUBEMEVIRUBEUEVOM	EVOR EVOT FE FI FLM FMD FMI FMO FN FNGF FNSP FTI FTII FUD FUE	FUI FUO JTED P PMR PP PPR PUR QMM QMP QMU QTD QTE QTI QTMD QTME	QTMI QTMO QTO QTPD QTPI QTPO REPEAT RF SENSY SMD SME SME SMF SMI SMO SUD SUE	SUI SUO SVE SVR SVT SVV TC TD TDMW TC TD TDR TE TER TF TFR TI TIMW	TIR TMD TMDD TMDR TMI TMID TMIR TMOD TMOR TMOR TOMW TRC WM WP WTKIT WU YAT	YMWO ZI ZO

5.	CATEGORY 5 -	Program	Control	Data	(Analyst	Respon	sibility)
	OSAMM MNEMONICS				LOG/ MNEMOI	AM NICS	
	EIDI EID2 MULT				EACAL EACSL ETE ETL	L P	
					ETII IBG IFLAC IMF	G	
					INHII IO IOPEI IS	B R	
					NA NB NU		

6. OSAMM and LOGAM Mnemonics Comparison. The following paragraphs are an attempt to equate OSAMM and LOGAM inputs on a one for one basis in the same units of measure. Paragraph a contains several expressions for the sole purpose of achieving the same units of measure. It should be noted however, that paragraphs b and c are not directly related in the same units of measure because it was not possible.

a. Directly Related Inputs.

1555

	LOGAM MNEMONIC	OSAMM MNEMONIC
	ARA, ARAD	1/RTR(I)
*	AYZP #1.	AVTA R
	CAD	COSNSR + COSBIN
	CALMAN, CDMAN, CDPMAN, CDPRMN, CDRMAN, CEMAN, CERMAN, CGMAN, CGRMAN, CONMAN	RATL(X)* SHOURS(I)* DWK(I)* FL* 52 wks/yr or SAL(I) * FL #2.
	CALPUB, CLRUPG, CMODPG, CPUBII, CPUBV, CTCPUB	COSTD * PAGE(I)
*	CCALP, CCSPP, CPI, CPII, CPV	EUP(I)
	CCALR, CCSPR, CRI, CRII	CF * EUP(I)

I-4

	LOGAM MNEMONIC	OSAMM MNEMONIC	
	CDDI, CDID	CPM(3) * DIST(3) #3.	
	CDEO, CDOE	CPM(1) * DIST(1) #3.	
	CDIO, CDOI	CPM(2) * DIST(2) #3.	
	CEN	COSBINI + COSNSI	
ł	CMP, CUP	UP(I)	
	CPP	PARTSP	
	CTRA, CTRAD	TRMOS	
	DAOQL	1.0	
	DD, DDS	1.0	
ł	DI, DIS	OUPS(3)	
ŧ	1/E	FAIL(I) (psuedo component)	
ł	(1/E)/ P	FAIL(I) (module/ psuedo module	
F	1/ sum of all E's	MTBF	
ł	ED * EE	OUPS(4)	
F	ED, EDS	OUPS(1)	
	FINT	0.1	
	FNGF	ERR	
	FNSP * (PP/P)	PARTSR (modules) PARTSN (psuedo modules)	
	FNSP * PP	PARTSN (psuedo components)	
	FSA	COSREQ	
ł	FTM + HPM, FTP + HPP, FTU + HPU	OST(4) / 7 days per wk	
ŧ	G(X)	IPOL(X)	
ł	OD, ODS	OUPS(2)	
ł	OST(I) (supply flow)	OST(I) (supply flow)	
	أأحجب المستجدا والمتعار والمستجد المستجدة والمستجد والمستجد والمتعاد والمستجد والمستج		

Ì

I-5

	LOGAM MNEMONIC	OSAMM MNEMONIC
*	OTF	OH / 8766 hrs in a yr
	PP	PARTST(I) (psuedo component)
	PP / P	PARTST(I) (psuedo module)
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	WASH(I)
	SUO or [ (1 - FUO) + SUO ]	
	TALMAN, TDMAN, TDPMI, TDPMII, TDPRI, TDPRII, TDRMAN, TEMAN, TENMAN, TERMAN, TGMAN, TGRMAN, TONMAN	<pre>1/ AVAIL(J) (special repairmen)</pre>
*	TDR, TER, TFR, TIR, TMDR, TMIR, TMOR	TMTR(I)
*	TAȚ(I), TATE	TAT(I) + OST(I) (maintenance flow)
*	TC, TD, TE, TF, TI, TMD, TMI, TMO	ETIME(J)
¥	[(TEO + TOE)  or  OL(1)] + SL(1)	OPSL(1)
¥	[(TDI + TID)  or  OL(3)] + SL(3)	OPSL(3)
*	[(TOI + TIO) or OL(2)] + SL(2)	OPSL(2)
	TEO	CTDEL <b>*</b> 24 hrs per day
¥	TRC	MTR
	WD, WDM, WDR	DWK(4) * SHOURS(4)
	WE, WEM, WER, WMR	DWK(1) * SHOURS(1)
	WI, WIM, WIR	DWK(3) * SHOURS(3)
	WO, WOM, WOR	DWK(2) * SHOURS(2)
	WM, WP, WU	WGT(I)
*	YR	OLIFE

1-6

ACC:

4

57773

Ś

13 J. J. J. S. S.

Sec.

33

į

- #1. In LOGAM the product of all the LRU'S AYZP is equal to AVTAR in OSAMM. It should be noted that only the fractional part of each LRU'S AYZP should be used in the multiplication.
- #2. If FL = .9 (which is the OSAMM default value) then the OSAMM expression is equal to the LOGAM mnemonics for the input area of manpower salary.
- #3. If DIST = 1 mile then the OSAMM expression is equal to the LOGAM mnemonics for the input area of transportation costs.
- b. Indirectly Related Inputs.

1000000000

r.

4	LOGAM MNEMONIC	OSAMM MNEMONIC
	CSDEP, CSDSU, CSESU, CSGSU, CUBEM, CUPEP, CUBEU	COSHOL
¥	EREI, ETEI, EVDM, EVDR, EVDT, EVEM, EVER, EVET, EVIM, EVIR, EVIT, EVOM, EVOR, EVOT	EQPEC, EQPLA
¥	H(I)	IVSYS

S20000 Matterial (V20000 Baasaan Sabadan Sebadah Sebadah Y200000 Nebadah (M20000)

* -- Indicates inputs that are critical in the early life cycle phases.

### c. None Related Inputs.

		LOGAM MNEMONIC		
CALSET CCAL CCSP CDFD CDIST CEND CFTD CI CKIT CKMD CKME CKMI CKMO CKPD CKPI CKPO CKUD CKUE CKUI CKUO CCNTCT CPE CRM	CRP CRU CRV CTRCAL CTRI CTRII CTRSPT CUCE CV DTE DTI DTO EACAL EACSP EREI ETE ETI ETI FE FI FII	FLM FN FTI FTII IBG IFLAG IMF INHIB IO IOPER IS JTED NA NU PMR PPR PUR QMM QMP QMU QTD QTE	QTI QTMD QTME QTMI QTMO QTO QTPD QTPI QTPO RDD REO REPEAT RF RID ROI SENSY(X) SMF SPE SPEV SPEVR STAT SVE SVR SVR	SVV T(X) TAYZ TDI TDMW TIMW TOMW TMDD TMDD TMDD TMDD TUMD TUMD TUMI TUMO WMT WTKIT YAT YD YMWO YP ZI ZO ZM(I) ZP(I) ZU(I) ZFL

¢

	MI	OSAMM NEMONIC	
AVAIL (special EID1 EID2 ETC ID(I) IESS(I) IRSC MIL MULT NEQ NNSN NREP NSPEC	test	equipment)	NSTACK(I) NSTK1 NSTK4(X) NSTKT STK1(J) STK2(J) STK3(J) STK4(J) TBFACT UL UPEI

A description of the mnemonics can be found at Appendix G for OSAMM and Appendix H for LOGAM.

# APPENDIX J

### APPENDIX J

555

-

00000

C. LAN

3

## ACRONYMS LIST

AMC	U.S. Army Materiel Command
AMOS	AVSCOM Maintenance Operating and Support
	Cost Model
ΔΜSΔΔ	II.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis
	Activity
AVSCOM	ACCIVICY U.S. Annu Aviation Systems Command
	D.S. Army Aviation Systems command
BCE	Baseline Cost Estimate
CDC	Control Data Corporation
CECOM	U.S. Army Communications and
	Electronics Command
DA PAM 11-4	Operation and Support Cost Guide for Army
	Materiel Systems, Apr 76
DCA = P = 92(R)	Instructions for Reformatting the BCE/ICE.
	May 8li
DODI 7011 2	Foonomic Analysis and Program Evaluation
DODI 7041.5	for Becourse Management Oct 72
DC	Dineet Support
<i>DS</i>	Direct Support
GS	General Support
HQ	Headquarters
ICE	Independent Cost Estimate
LOGAM	Logistics Analysis Model
LRUS	Line Replaceable Units
MICOM	U.S. Army Missile Command
MRSA	U.S. AMC Materiel Readiness
	Support Activity
MSCa	Majan Subandinata Commanda
	Major Suborulhate Commands
MIBr	Mean lime Between Fallure
MTDS	Maintenance Task Distributions
MTTR	Mean Time To Repair
MWO	Modification Work Order
M65	Airborne TOW Missile System
NSN	National Stock Number
OSAMM.	Optimum Supply and Maintenance Model
RDT&F	Research, Development, Test and Evaluation
	Research, Development, Tebb and Evaluation Required Openational Canability
	Required Operational Capability Replacement Task Distributions
RIDS	Replacement lask Distributions
SESAME	Selected Essential-Item Stockage for
	Availability Method
TE	Test Equipment
TMDE	Test, Measurement, and Diagnostic Equipment
TOW	Tube-Launched Optically-Tracked Wire-Guided
vs	Versus

