

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A 772

AD-A172

MRC Technical Summary Report #2954

THE CHINESE REMAINDER PROBLEM AND POLYNOMIAL INTERPOLATION

Isaac J. Schoenberg

Mathematics Research Center University of Wisconsin—Madison 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53705

August 1986

FILE COPY

(Received August 13, 1986)

Approved for public release Distribution unlimited

ELEC 0ct 8

10

86

B

1986

**16** 

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709

## UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

### THE CHINESE REMAINDER PROBLEM AND POLYNOMIAL INTERPOLATION

#### Isaac J. Schoenberg

Technical Summary Report #2954 August 1986

#### ABSTRACT

The Chinese Remainder Theorem is as follows: Given integers  $a_i$ (i = 1,2,...,n) and corresponding moduli  $m_i$ , which are pairwise relatively prime, than the n congruences

(1)  $x \equiv a_i \mod m_i \quad (i = 1, ..., n)$ 

have a unique solution x mod m, where  $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_n$ .

Sometimes in the 1950s the late Hungarian-Swedish mathematician Marcel Riesz visited the University of Pennsylvania and told us informally that the above theorem is an analogue of the unique interpolation at n distinct data by a polynomial of degree n - 1.

It follows that (1) can be solved in two different ways:

1. By an analogue of Lagrange's interpolation formula.

2. By an analogue of Newton's solution by divided differences.

This analogy gives sufficient insight to furnish a proof of the theorem that  $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_1\mathfrak{m}_2...\mathfrak{m}_n) = \varphi(\mathfrak{m}_1)\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_2)...\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_n)$ , where  $\varphi(\mathfrak{m})$  is Euler's function.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 10A10, 41A10

Key Words: Chinese Remainder Theorem, Polynomial Interpolation

Work Unit Number 3 (Numerical Analysis and Scientific Computing)

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041.

## SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

The Chinese Remainder Theorem is one of the most important results of elementary Number Theory as it was used by Kurt Gödel in one of his most fundamental papers in Logic. The paper uses the analogy with the theorem of polynomial interpolation to solve it in two different ways.



Accession For  $1 \le 1$ NT D71 ÷  $\square$ Und  $\mathbf{J}^{1}$ • - - -30 Disti Average association of comes sector and or Sec. 21. Dist

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

## THE CHINESE REMAINDER PROBLEM AND POLYNOMIAL INTERPOLATION

#### Isaac J. Schoenberg

For given integers  $a_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  and positive integers  $m_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  that are pairwise relatively prime, the Chinese Remainder Problem (abbreviated to C.R.P.) may be stated as follows:

# The Problem. To find an integer x satisfying the congruences

$$x \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}, (i = 1, 2, ..., n)$$
 (1)

If we have found one solution x then clearly all solutions of (1) belong to a residue class modulo  $M = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$ .

Sometimes in the 1950's the late Hungarian-Swedish mathematician Marcel Riesz visited the University of Pennsylvania and told us informally that the C.R.P. (1) can be thought of as an analogue of the interpolation by polynomials: Given real values  $y_i$  ( $1 \le i \le n$ ) and distinct real values  $x_i$ , to find a polynomial P(x) of degree  $\le n - 1$  such that

$$P(x_{i}) = y_{i}, \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., n)$$
 (2)

We can solve (2) by Lagrange's formula

$$P(x) = \sum_{1}^{n} y_{i}L_{i}(x) , \qquad (3)$$

where the fundamental functions

$$L_{i}(x) = \prod_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n} \frac{x-x_{j}}{x_{i}-x_{j}}$$

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041.

are such that they satisfy the equations

$$L_{j}(x_{j}) = \delta_{jj}, \quad (i, j = 1, ..., n)$$
 (4)

Here the  $\delta_{ij}$ , called the Kronecker deltas, are defined by

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad i = j, \\ 0 & if \quad i \neq j. \end{cases}$$
(5)

To solve the C.R.P. suppose that we proceed similarly, letting the integers  $a_i$  be the analogues of the  $y_i$ , and defining integers  $b_i$  such that

$$b_{i} \equiv \delta_{ij} \pmod{m_{j}}, \quad (i, j = 1, ..., n),$$
 (6)

as the analogues of the functions  $L_{i}(x)$ . This leads to

Theorem 1. A solution of the system (1) is given by

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}$$
 (7)

Indeed, as the  $b_i$  satisfy (6), we find from (7) that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}b_{i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\delta_{ij} \equiv a_{j} \pmod{m_{j}} \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Example 1. To find x satisfying

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}, x \equiv 6 \pmod{7}, x \equiv 5 \pmod{11}$$
. (8)

We are to solve (6) which in our case is

$$b_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$
,  $b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ ,  
 $b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ ,  $b_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ ,  $b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ ,  
 $b_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ ,  $b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ ,  $b_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ ,

from which we obtain that

$$b_1 \equiv 231, \quad b_2 \equiv 330, \quad b_3 \equiv 210$$
.

By (7) we find that all solutions of (8) are given by

 $x \equiv 27 \pmod{385}$ , where  $385 = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ .

-2-

The solution (7) of the C.R.P. (1) is essentially the solution as given by G. E. Andrews in [1], and by E. Grosswald in [2], without mentioning the analogy with Lagrange's formula. My colleague Richard Askey tells me that Riesz' remark is well known to computer scientists, but apparently not to mathematicians.

Besides recording Riesz' remark, the author's contribution is the following remark: Newton solves the interpolation problem (2) using successive <u>divided differences</u>  $c_i$  to obtain

$$P(x) = c_1 + c_2(x - x_1) + c_3(x - x_1)(x - x_2) + \cdots + c_n(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_{n-1}),$$
(9)

where the coefficients c; are obtained by solving

$$y_{1} = c_{1}$$

$$y_{2} = c_{1} + c_{2}(x_{2} - x_{1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_{n} = c_{1} + c_{2}(x_{n} - x_{1}) + c_{3}(x_{n} - x_{1})(x_{n} - x_{2})$$

$$+ \cdots + c_{n}(x_{n} - x_{1})(x_{n} - x_{2}) \cdots (x_{n} - x_{n-1}) \cdot (10)$$

Applying Newton's idea to the solution of the C.R.P. (1), we consider the  $m_i$  to be the analogues of the  $x - x_i$  and seek to determine the integer  $d_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) from the system of congruences

$$d_{1} \equiv a_{1} \pmod{m_{1}}$$

$$d_{1} + d_{2}m_{1} \equiv a_{2} \pmod{m_{2}}$$

$$d_{1} + d_{3}m_{1}m_{2} \equiv a_{3} \pmod{m_{3}}$$
(11)

 $d_1 + d_2m_1 + d_3m_1m_2 + \cdots + d_nm_1m_2 \cdots m_{n-1} \equiv a_n \pmod{m_n}$ 

In this way we obtain

**Theorem 2.** A solution of the C.R.P. (1) is obtained as follows: We first determine the integers  $d_i$  as solutions of the congruences (11), and then a solution of (1) is given by

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{d}_1 + \mathbf{d}_{2^{\mathbf{m}_1}} + \mathbf{d}_{3^{\mathbf{m}_1 \mathbf{m}_2}} + \cdots + \mathbf{d}_{n^{\mathbf{m}_1 \mathbf{m}_2}} \cdots \mathbf{m}_{n-1}$$
(12)

Indeed, notice that by (11), the x given by (12), satisfies all congruences (1): For any k,  $1 \le k \le n$ , from (12) we get that

$$x \equiv d_1 + d_{2m_1} + \cdots + d_{km_1m_2} \cdots m_{k-1} \pmod{m_x}$$

and therefore, by the k-th congruence (11), we have that  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}$ .

Example 2. Let us solve the C.R.P. (8) by the Newton approach. For (8) we have n = 3,  $a_1 = 2$ ,  $a_2 = 6$ ,  $a_3 = 5$ ,  $m_1 = 5$ ,  $m_2 = 7$ ,  $m_3 = 11$ . As we can always choose  $d_1 = a_1 = 2$ , the remaining n = 1 = 2 congruence (11) are

 $2 + 5d_2 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$ ,

$$2 + 5d_2 + 35d_3 \equiv 5 \pmod{11}$$

The first has the solution  $d_2 = 5$  and the second now becomes 2 + 25 + 35d<sub>3</sub> = 5 (mod 11) whose solution is  $d_3 = 0$  (mod 11). From (12), for n = 3 we obtain that x = 27 is a solution of (8).

A consequence of Theorem 1, or of Theorem 2, is the following

**Corollary 1.** The Chinese Remainder Problem (1) has always a unique solution x, mod M, where  $M = m_1 m_2 \dots m_n$ .

Moreover, either of the theorems gives a method of finding this unique solution.

Let us keep fixed the n pairwise relatively prime moduli  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$ . How many Chinese Residue Problems (1) correspond to them? Evidently their number is M for we may restrict the  $a_i$  to assume the values of a residue system mod  $m_i$ , for instance

and the second states and the second se

- Children P

$$a_i = 0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$
 (13)

For every choice of the n-tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  there corresponds a unique

-4-

solution x of (1) which assumes one of the values

 $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, M-1\}$   $(M = m_1, \dots, m_n)$ . (14)

**Corollary 2.** There is a one-to-one correspondence between the n-tuples  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , subject to (13), and the M possible values (14) of x.

For if two distinct n-tuples

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \neq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$
 (15)

lead to equal x's: x = x' we would get from (1) that

$$a_{i} \equiv a_{i}^{\prime} \pmod{m_{i}}, \quad (i = 1, ..., n),$$

in contradiction to our assumption (15).

**Example 3.** We choose the simplest possible example: Let n = 2,  $m_1 = 2$ ,  $m_2 = 3$ , hence M = 6. Here, by (13) we may choose  $a_1 = 0, 1$  and  $a_2 = 0, 1, 2$ . Denoting by  $x_r$  the solutions of the 6 C.R.Ps. we find these C.R.Ps to be

(a)	$x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$	(b) $x_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$	(c) $x_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
	$x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$	$x_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$	$x_3 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ (16)
(a)	$x_4 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$	(e) x <sub>5</sub> ≡ 1 (mod 2)	(f) $x_6 = 1 \pmod{2}$
	$x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$	$x_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$	$x_6 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

Their solutions are easily found to be

$$x_1 = 0, x_2 = 4, x_3 = 2, x_4 = 3, x_5 = 1, x_6 = 5,$$
 (17)

which indeed form a residue system modulo M = 6.

We wish to close our note with an elementary application of the one-toone mapping expressed by Corollary 2. For this we need

Corollary 3. In the Chinese Remainder Problem (1) we have

$$(a_{i},m_{i}) = 1$$
 for all  $i = 1,...,n$  (18)

if and only if for the solution x of (1) we have

$$(\mathbf{x}_{n_{1}m_{2}},..,m_{n_{n_{1}}}) = 1$$
 (19)

Indeed, by (1) we see that (18) holds iff  $(x,m_i) = 1$  for all i, which is equivalent to (19).

As usual we denote by  $\varphi(\mathbf{m})$  the Euler function giving the number of positive numbers  $\leq \mathbf{m}$  which are relatively prime to m. The application we had in mind is

# Corollary 4. For the pairwise relatively prime m, we have

 $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_1\mathfrak{m}_2\cdots\mathfrak{m}_n) = \varphi(\mathfrak{m}_1)\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_2)\cdots\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_n) . \qquad (20)$ 

Because the left side is = number of solutions x of (1) satisfying (19), while the right side gives the number of C.R.Ps. (1) satisfying the conditions (18).

**Example 4.** For the moduli  $m_1 = ?$  and  $m_2 = 3$  of Example 3 only two C.R.Ps. (e) and (f) satisfy the conditions (18). Also notice that their solutions  $x_5 = 1$  and  $x_6 = 5$  indeed form a reduced residue system mod 6 as they should.

**Remarks.** 1. The second Newton approach is slightly more economical then the first approach: while the first requires to determine the n integers  $b_i$  (i = 1,2,...,n), the Newton approach requires only to find the n - 1 integers  $d_i$  (i = 2,3,...,n).

2. I owe to Gerald Goodman the reference [3] in which Ulrich Oberst shows that appropriate abstract formulations of the Chinese Remainder Problem can be made the basis of much of Modern Algebra including the main theorems of Galois theory.

3. My colleague Stephen C. Kleene informs me that Kurt Gödel uses the solution of the Chinese Remainder Problem (without its name) in his fundamental paper "On formally undecidable propositions of Principia Mathematica and related systems 1" in [4], 145-195, especially Lemma 1 on page 135. See also Footnote i on page 136.

-6-

4. Originally I wrote this note very briefly, even tersely. I owe to the Editor an expanded version of this note which I found very helpful in casting it in the present form.

Section 1

5.263

È

5. In a sequel to the present paper it will be shown how to apply the Chinese Remainder theorem to obtain indices for moduli which do not admit primitive roots. These indices will be vectors.

### REFERENCES

- 1. G. E. Andrews, Number Theory, W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 1971.
- 2. Emil Grosswald, Topics from the Theory of Numbers, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1966.
- Ulrich Oberst, Anwendungen des chinesischen Restsatzes, Expositiones Mathematicae, vol. 3 (1985), 97-148.
- 4. Kurt Gödel, Collected Works, volume 1, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- 5. I. J. Schoenberg, On the theory and practice of indices mod m, to appear.

IJS:scr

REPORT DOCUMENTA	TION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
2954	AD-4172	172
I. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		Summary Report - no specific
THE CHINESE REMAINDER PROBLEM	reporting period	
INTERPOLATION		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR()		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Isaac J. Schoenberg		DAAG29 - 90 - C - 00.41
-		DAAG29-80-C-0041
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A	DORESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT, TASK
Mathematics Research Center,	University of	ARÉA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
610 Walnut Street	Wisconsin	Numerical Analysis and
Madison, Wisconsin 53705		Scientific Computing
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRE	\$\$	12. REPORT DATE
U. S. Army Research Office		August 1986
P.O. Box 12211		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Research Triangle Park, North	Carolina 27709	88
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(1)	dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		154 DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect	istribution unlimited.	SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract	istribution unlimited.	SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	istribution unlimited.	SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece	istribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, 11 different fro	SCHEDULE
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; di</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed)</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece</li> <li>Chinese Remainder Theorem</li> </ol>	istribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, if different fro	SCHEDULE
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; di</li> <li>7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>8. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece</li> <li>Chinese Remainder Theorem</li> <li>Polynomial Interpolation</li> </ol>	istribution unlimited. entered in Block 20, 11 different fro	SCHEDULE
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; di</li> <li>Approved for public release; di</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract</li> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece</li> <li>Chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> </ol>	istribution unlimited.	SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece The Chinese Remainder Theorem	entered in Block 20, 11 different for severy and identify by block number,	SCHEDULE
<ul> <li>6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; di</li> <li>7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece The Chinese Remainder Theorem</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece The Chinese Remainder Theorem</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece The Chinese Remainder Theorem</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece The Chinese Remainder Theorem</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece) The Chinese Remainder Theorem</li> </ul>	istribution unlimited.	SCHEDULE SCHEDULE Schedule Schedu
<ul> <li>6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; di</li> <li>7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nece Chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if nece The Chinese Remainder Theorem (i = 1,2,,n) and corresponder prime, than the n congruence</li> </ul>	istribution unlimited.	SCHEDULE SCHEDULE Scheport) Given integers a <sub>i</sub> ich are pairwise relatively
<ul> <li>6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; di</li> <li>7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necession in the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolation</li> <li>9. ABSTRACT (Continue on the chinese Remainder Theorem Polynomial Interpolynomial Interpolynom</li></ul>	istribution unlimited.	SCHEDULE SCHEDULE Schedule Schedu

1.1.1.1

variantes, variantes

١

20. ABSTRACT - cont'd.

**3**368-3

ACCULATE MANAGEMENT SCHOOL STREET

Sometimes in the 1950s the late Hungarian-Swedish mathematician Marcel Riesz visited the University of Pennsylvania and told us informally that the above theorem is an analogue of the unique interpolation at n distinct data by a polynomial of degree n - 1.

It follows that (1) can be solved in two different ways:

1. By an analogue of Lagrange's interpolation formula.

2. By an analogue of Newton's solution by divided differences.

This analogy gives sufficient insight to furnish a proof of the theorem that  $\varphi(\mathbf{m_1}\mathbf{m_2}...\mathbf{m_n}) = \varphi(\mathbf{m_1})\varphi(\mathbf{m_2})...\varphi(\mathbf{m_n})$ , where  $\varphi(\mathbf{m})$  is Euler's function.

