



$$\begin{split} & \Psi \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{A}} = - \mathcal{W} \Psi = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{$$

AD-A170 368

AD_____

EVALUATION OF PHARMACOLOGIC AGENTS TO SUPPRESS INTRAOCULAR CELLULAR PROLIFERATION FOLLOWING TRAUMA

ANNUAL REPORT

September 1983 (Revised July 1986)

Michael T. Trese, M.D. Hedwig A. Seski Murphy, M.D. Gary L. Blanchard, D.V.M.

SUPPORTED BY

U. S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND

Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012

Contract No DAMD17-82-C-2264

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF HUMAN MEDICINE DEPARTMENT OF SURGERY

East Lansing, Michigan 48824-1315

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized document.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	
A h + (70)	3-X
I. TITLE (and Subilite)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Evaluation of Pharmacologic Agents to	Annual Report Revised
Suppress Intraocular Cellular Proliferatio	oh 1983
Following Trauma	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)
Gary Blanchard, D.V.M.	
Michael T. Trese, M.D.	DAMD17-82-C-2264
Hedwig A. Seski Murphy, Ph.D.	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Michigan State University	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
College of Human Medicine, Dept. of Surge	ery
East Lansing, MI 48824-1315	61102A.3M161102BS10.CF.2
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Medical Research & Dev. Command	July 1986
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701-5012	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
	29
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aberract entered in Block 20, 11 different fi	rom Report)
	rom Report)
	rom Report)
	rom Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fi	rom Report)
	rom Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fi	rom Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fi	rom Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fi 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fo 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block numbe	
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fills) 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block numbe 5-FU indomethacin 	
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observation of the obse	r)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observation of the observa	r) C
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different for a state of the state of the	" e mental conditions
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on fored in Block 20, 11 different for the superior of the superior	" mental conditions logic results
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed in Block 20, If different for the superior of the superio	" mental conditions logic results
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in Block	e mental conditions logic results detachment using both
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observent ontered in Block 20, if different in Block	e mental conditions logic results detachment using both thelial cells, we deter-
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on the second of the secon	e mental conditions logic results detachment using both thelial cells, we deter- e most effective currently
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed on the second of th	e mental conditions logic results detachment using both thelial cells, we deter- e most effective currently
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observent ontered in Block 20, if different in Block	e mental conditions logic results detachment using both thelial cells, we deter- e most effective currently

Block 20: Abstract

Following trauma to the eye intraocular cellular proliferation is the most common cause of tractional retinal detachment and subsequent loss of the globe if the eye survives the initial trauma. This study has been designed to test various pharmacologic agents to suppress intraocular cellular proliferation and reduce tractional retinal and ciliary body detachment and subsequent loss of the eye form either retinal detachment or cyclitic membrane formation. The course of year's activity centered around evaluation of the model induced tractional retinal detachments in both control eyes and eyes treated with the pharmacologic agents (triamcinolone, dexamethasone prostaglandin PGEl, methyltrexate, 5-FU and colchicine). The eyes were studied in a gross and light microscopic fashion in addition to transmission electron microscopy, Previously we have studied colchicine and although it has a very appealing mechanism involving the microtubular systems of dividing cells, it also affects the microtubular system of nondividing cells and although effective in a very low dose, its toxic dose is very close to its therapeutic dose. Of the eyes examined to date, it appears that the 5-FU group of eyes shows the most effective reduction in cellular proliferation with the least amount of retinal damage and we presume therefore the best functional result. All of these drugs were used in an intravitreal fashion although possibly subconjunctival administration of some of the drugs may be effective although this was not tested in this study. We feel at this time that based upon our review of these drugs from a histologic and clinical standpoint that 5-FU appears to be the most promising intravitreal pharmacologic agent with the safest range between toxic and therapeutic dose.

AD_____

EVALUATION OF PHARMACOLOGIC AGENTS TO SUPPRESS INTRAOCULAR CELLULAR PROLIFERATION FOLLOWING TRAUMA

ANNUAL REPORT

September 1983 (Revised July 1986)

Michael T. Trese, M.D. Hedwig A. Seski Murphy, M.D. Gary L. Blanchard, D.V.M.

SUPPORTED BY

U. S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND

Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701-5012

Contract No DAMD17-82-C-2264

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF HUMAN MEDICINE DEPARTMENT OF SURGERY

East Lansing, Michigan 48824-1315

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized document.

SUMMARY

Since the original descriptions of Gonin, the emphasis on repair of retinal detachment and trauma involving the posterior pole of the eye has been centered on the development of mechanical devices and the techniques to eliminate forces which dislodge the retina from its normal anatomic position. The techniques of scleral buckling and more recently, vitreous surgery, membrane peeling and divisions of subretinal strands, have rapidly proliferated and developed to a very sophisticated level. Even with these highly sophisticated vitreo-retinal techniques, still many eyes each year are lost to proliferative vitreoretinopathy and fibrous ingrowth secondary to trauma. The pioneering work of Machemer and Laqua demonstrated to us that these tragic ocular events were due to proliferating cells throughout the vitreous scaffolding or along the anterior and posterior retinal surfaces.¹ Based on the concept of a surgical and chemotherapeutic approach to cellular proliferation elsewhere in the body, it has been suggested by many that adjunctive pharmacologic therapy be used to suppress intraocular cellular proliferation. To this end, both dexamethasone and triamcinolone have been suggested and tested under different experimental techniques. Other drugs, such as methyltrexate, d-penicillamine, colchicine, prostaglandin PGE1, and indomethacin, have been suggested.^{2,3,4} No study has to date made an attempt to test all of these drugs under identical experimental conditions. Both the clinical suppression of retinal detachment as well as the relative toxic features of each pharmacologic agent is assessed in this study.

-6-

FOREWORD

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (DHEW Publication NO. (NIH) 78-323, Revised 1978.) TABLE OF CONTENTS

Front Cover	1
Report Documentation	3
Title Page	5
Summary	6
Foreword	7
Table of Contents	8
Body	9
References	27
Glossary	28
Distribution List	29

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ninety-nine Dutch belted rabbits weighing between 2 and 3 kg were used for this study. Nine rabbits (18 eyes) were used for harvesting of retinal pigment epithelial cells. This was achieved by standard techniques. The eyes following harvesting, had the anterior segment removed, including the lens. This left a posterior eye cup. The vitreous and retina were removed under sterile conditions under a laminar flow hood. Quarter percent Trypsin was irrigated into the eye cup following removal of the vitreous and neurosensory retina. The cells were then placed in Hamm's F-10 media with 10% fetal calf serum. Following this, the cells were inspected by inverted microscopy and placed in an incubator. The cells from two eyes were labeled with tritiated thymidine for labeled retinal pigment epithelial cell injection. Following this, animals were divided into groups of ten for injection of pharmacologic agents as well as control groups. The animals underwent a lensectomy, vitrectomy using a mini-nibbler vitreous instrument under sterile conditions in the surgical center of the Veterinary School of Michigan State University. At the end of the surgical procedure, the control groups received injection of 75,000 unlabeled retinal pigment epithelial cells, to a group of five eyes. The same procedure, including injection of retinal pigment epithelial cells, was then performed into eight other groups of five eyes. To one eye in each group of five labeled retinal pigment epithelial cells were injected as opposed to unlabeled as in the other four eyes of each group. Immediately following injection of retinal pigment epithelial cells in this

-9-

group, pharmacologic agents were injected. The following dosages were used: dexamethasone 2 mg, triamcinolone 2 mg, 5-FU 2 mg, methyltrexate 2 mg, prostaglandin PGEl 2 mg, d-penicillamine 2.5 mg, 50 ug colchicine and 1 mg of indomethacin. Each of these doses were prepared without preservatives and at stable pH and delivered in a 0.1 cc volume. The animals were followed initially at the first four hours with hourly indirect ophthalmoscopy and following that they were followed at daily intervals of one week and then weekly intervals until sacrificed. Animals in the control group received the same observation schedule. The animal with labeled cells was sacrificed at one week in this group. The other animals were sacrificed at two weeks. four weeks, six weeks and three months. The eyes were removed for light microscopic as well as scanning and electron microscopic study and autoradiography. The second phase of this study involved identical surgical procedures and injection of cells. However, drugs were injected in the same dosage at one week following injection of retinal pigment epithelial cells in an attempt to determine if a particular drug may be more effective at the one week interval when cells which can cause tractional retinal detachment have already achieved some footing. ERG's were done preoperatively as well as at two week intervals postoperatively until the animal was sacrificed as an index to drug toxicity.

Following fixation and prior to embedding, each eye was opened and studied in a gross fashion to determine the gross appearance of

-10-

-11-

retinal position, areas of cellular proliferation. These eyes have been submitted for light scanning and transmission electron microscopy to assess the morphologic integrity of the neurosensory retina as well as the membranous material as an index of both drug toxicity and drug efficacy. The results of the clinical examinations on both control and drug injected animals are presented in Tables 1-9.

-13-

. . LABLE L

			CONTR	01. <u>5</u>				
animal	2 day post op uveitis	pharm. agent	Clini	cal Tra				
ų,	(1-4)	or control	1 wk	2 wk	4 wk	6 wk	12 wk	Gross Exam**
Innedi	ate injectio							
1	2	С	+	/ 5	ACR.	IF1¢	ED/	+
2	l	С	+	+	//	//	//	+
3	2	С	+	+	+	//	//	+
4	2	С	+	+	+	+	11	+
Ë	Blood	С	NO	VIE	W			Total detach. Vit. bleed
One we	ek later inj	ection of d	rug					
6	2	С	+	11	//		11	+
7	Corneal Haze 3	С	+	+	11	11	11	+
8	2	C	+	+	+	11	11	+
9	1	С	+	+	+	+	//	+
1()	ز	С	+	+	+	+	+	+

Legend:

Γ

í

l i

()

- * Clinical Detachment included puckering of medullary ray and peripheral detachmen weeks after drug injection
- ****** Done following enucleation

1.1.01.1.0

-	1	4	-	
---	---	---	---	--

5.	-FU	12	mg	

	2 day post	pharm.	Clini	cal Tra				
animal ∦	op uveitis (1-4)	agent or control	1 wk	2 wk	4 wk	6 wk	12 wk	Gross Examan
Immedi	ate injectio	n of drug						
11	3	5-FU	-	/ S	ACR	1 F 1 C	ED/	-
12	1	5-FU	Corn. Haze	-	11	//	//	-
13	1	5-FU	-	_	-	//	//	_
14	1	5-FU	Corn. Haze	Corn. Haze	_	-	//	-
15	2	5-FU	Corn. Haze	-	+	+	+	+ Retinal Hole
One we	ek later inj	ection of d	rug			t		
16	2	5-FU	Corn. Haze	11		//	11	-
17	2	5-FU	-	-	11	11	//	-
18	2	5-FU	+	+	+	11	11	+
19	3	5-FU	+	+	+	+		+
20	3	5-FU	-	-	-	-	-	-

Legend:

- * Clinical Detachment included puckering of medullary ray and peripheral detachment weeks after drug injection
- ****** Done following enucleation

Ń

-]	15	-
-----	----	---

			TABLE	3				
		METH	YLTREX	ATE 2 m	<u>ıg</u>			
anim∡ ∦		pharm. agent or control	Clini 1 wk	cal Tra 2 wk	T	1 Detacl 6 wk	nment* 12 wk	Gross Exam**
Imme	ediute injectio	n of drug						<u></u>
21	2	М	-	/ S	ACR	IFIC	ED/	-
22	1	М	-	-	11	//	//	-
23	3	М	-	-	-	//	11	-
24	1	м	-	-	-	-	//	-
25	1	м	-	-	_	-	-	-
One	week later in:	ection of d	rug					
26	3	М	No View	11	11	//	11	-
27	2	м	Corn. Haze	-	11	11	11	-
28	1	М	-	-	+	11	11	+
		1	1			I		

Corn.

Haze

ΝO

М

М

ΝÖ

VIEW

VIEW

Legend:

29

30

,

* Clinical Detachment included puckering of medullary ray and peripheral detachmen weeks after drug injection

11

+

** Done following enucleation

2

<i>,</i> _	2 day post							
animal #	op uveitis (1-4)	agent or control	1 wk	2 wk	4 wk	6 wk	12 wk	Gross Exam**
Immedi 31	ate injectic 3	n of drug C	Orange Reflex	/ S A	CRI	FIC	ED/	Retival Necrosis
32	3	С	Orange Reflex		No View	11	11	Retinal Necrosis
33	3	С	Orange Reflex	No View	No View	//	//	Retinal Necrosis
34	3	С	Orange Reflex		VIE	W	//	Retinal Necrosis
35	3	С	Orange Reflex		NO	VIE	W	Retinal Necrosis
One we	ek later inj 3	ection of d	rug Orange Reflex	/ S A	CRI	FIC	ED/	Retinal Necrosis
37	2	С	Orange Reflex		11	11	11	Retinal Necrosis
38	2	С	ΝO	VIE	W	11	11	Retinal Necrosis
39	3	С		NO	VII	e W	11	Retinal Necrosis
40	2	С			NO	VIE	W	Retinal Necrosis

COLCHICINE 50 mg

Legend:

- * Clinical Detachment included puckering of medullary ray and peripheral detachmen weeks after drug injection
- ** Done following enucleation

-17-TABLE 5

· .•

.

INDOMETHACIN 1.0 mg

	2 day post	pharm.	Clini	cal Tra				
animal ∦	op uveitis (1-4)	agent or control	1 wk	2 wk	4 wk	6 wk	12 wk	Gговв Ехаш**
Immedi	ate injectio	n of drug						
41	3	I	No View	/ S	ACR	1 F I C	ED/	+
42	3	I	No View	+	//	//	//	+
43	4	I	NO	VIEW	+	11	//	-
44	3	I	No View	+	+	+	//	+
45	3	I	No View	+	+	+	+	+
One we	ek later inj	ection of d	rug					
46	ΑΝΕ	STHETI	с	DEA	ТН			
47	3	I	No View	11	11	11	//	+
48	3	I	NO	VIEV	. //	11	11	+
49	3	I	No View	+	+	+	11	+
50	4	I	No View	+	+	+	+	+

Legend:

- * Clinical Detachment included puckering of medullary ray and peripheral detachme weeks after drug injection
- ****** Done following enucleation

TABLE 6

D-PENICILLAMINE 2.5 mg

	2 day post	pharm.	Clini	cal Tra	ment*			
animal ∦	op uveitis (1-4)	agent or control	1 wk	2 wk	4 wk	6 wk	12 wk	Gross Exam ^a *
Immedi	ate injectio	n of drug	No					
51	3	DP	View	/ 5	ACR	1 F 1 C	ED/	+
52	3	DP	N O	VIE	1 //	11	//	-
53	2	DP	N	0 V I I	e w	//	11	Coagulation Necrosis
54	3	DP	NO	VIE	N +	+	11	+
55	3	DP	No View	<u>+</u>	+	+	+	+
One we	ek later inj	ection of d	rug					
56	3	DP	View	/ S	ACR	LFIC	ED/	+
57	2	DP	No View	+	11	11	11	-
58	3	DP	N	o VI	E W	11	11	-
59	3	DP	No View	<u>+</u>	-	-	//	-
60	3	DP		NO	VIE	e W	+	+

Legend:

* Clinical Detachment included puckering of medullary ray and peripheral detachment weeks after drug injection

****** Done following enucleation

.

TABLE 7

DEXAMETHASONE 2 mg

	2 day post		Clinical Tractional Detachment*					
animal ∦	(1-4)	agent or control	1 wk	2 wk	4 wk	6 wk	12 wk	Gross Ехац**
Immedi	ate injectio	n of drug						
61	l	D	-	/ S .	CR	FIC	ED/	-
62	1	U	-	-	11	//	11	-
63	l	D	-	-	Ŧ	11	//	+
64	DIE	D POST	OP					
65	1	D	_	+	+	+	+	+
One we	ek later inj	ection of d	rug					
66	2	D	+	/ S	ACR	IFIC	ED/	+
67	3	D	+	+	11	//	11	+
68	3	D	-	-	-	11	11	-
69	2	D	+	+	+	+	11	+
70	3	D	+	+	+	+	+	+

Legend:

 Clinical Detachment included puckering of medullary ray and peripheral detachmen weeks after drug injection

****** Done following enucleation

-	2	υ	-

TRIAMCINOLONE 2 mg

, ,	2 day pust	pharm.	Clinic	al Tra				
animal ∦	op uveitis (1-4)	agent or control	1 wk	2 wk	4 wk	6 wk	12 wk	Gross Exam**
Immedi	ite injection	n of drug						
71	0	T	-	/ S /	ACR	LFIC	ED/	-
72	0	Т	-	-	//	//	//	-
73	0	т	-	+	+	11	//	+
74	0	Т	-	-	÷	+	11	+
75	0	Т	-	ŧ	+	+	+	+
One we	ek later inj	ection of d	rug					
76	2	Т	+	/ S	ACR	IFIC	ED/	+
77	3	Т	+	+	11	11	11	-
78	2	Т	+	+	+	11	11	+
79	1	т	-	-	-	-	11	-
80	2	Т	+	+	+	+	+	+

Legend:

* Clinical Detachment included puckering of medullary ray and peripheral detachment weeks after drug injection

****** Done following enucleation

• • •

-21-

فستقسره

TABLE 9

PROSTAGLANDIN PGEL 2 mg

	2 day post		Clinical Tractional Detachment*					
animal ∦	(1-4)	agent or control	1 wk	2 wk	4 wk	6 wk	12 wk	Gross Ехаш ^{##}
Innedi	ste injectio	n of drug						
81	2	-	-	/ S	A C R	IFIC	ED/	-
82	l	Р	-	+	//	11	//	_
83	2	Р	-	÷	+	11	//	+
84	l	Р	+	+	+	+	//	+
85	ANE	STHET	C D	ЕАТН				
One we	ek later inj	ection of d	rug					
86	2	р	_	/ S	ACR	IFIC	ED/	-
87	3	Р	+	+	11	11	11	+
88	3	Р	+	+	+	11	11	+
89	2	Р	-	-	-	-	11	-
90	3	Р	_	-	-	-	-	-

Legend:

- Clinical Detachment included puckering of medullary ray and peripheral detachmeters
 weeks after drug injection
- ****** Done following enucleation

DISCUSSION

With the advent of the sophisticated techniques of retinovitreal surgery, many eyes which would have been previously lost have been saved. However, still a large number of eyes succumb to intraocular cellular proliferation following chronic retinal detachment and trauma. For this reason, pharmacologic therapy has been investigated by several authors. The mode of pharmacologic action is widely diversified in the approaches of many investigators. The experimental models have been dissimilar and therefore, it has been very difficult to compare in a side-by-side fashion which pharmacologic agent represents the best pharmacologic alternative. Most of these studies have been done in rabbit models with a medullary ray and avascular retina. The purpose of our study was to analyze each pharmacologic agent in terms of its mode of operation and to test it side-by-side with the other available pharmacologic agents to see which had the most marked clinical response as well as which caused the least tissue damage. We used for our model an injected bolus homologous retinal pigment epithelial cells. These cells were grown in tissue culture and in some cases, for each drug labeled with tritiated thymidine. When the eyes were evaluated clinically, it became apparent that the one drug, colchicine, was very toxic to the eye and caused an extensive tissue necrosis in a majority of eyes, whether injected (at the time of injection of retinal pigment epithelial cells) or late (one week following injection to retinal pigment epithelial cells). Two to the drugs, 5-FU and methyltrexate, showed an excellent clinical response with the vast

-23-

majority of eyes injected with both of these drugs not undergoing clinical detachment, but seeming to be more effective when injected immediately after injection of retinal pigment epithelial cells than the one week after the injection of cells. Prostaglandin PGE1 and d-penicillamine showed a more favorable response than other drugs when injected at one week following injection to retinal pigment epithelial cells. These drugs have a comparable effect at that point with methyl_rexate and 5-FU.

Dexamethasone and triamcinolone showed quieting of uveitis when compared with control groups. However, they did not show a significant reduction in clinical detachment. Indomethacin was like colchicine, totally ineffective.

When we explore the mode of operation of each of these pharmacologic agents these results are reasonable. The direct cytotoxic effect of 5-FU and methyltrexate could be anticipated to be most active in the proliferating cells immediately following injection of the r tinal pigment epithelial cell bolus. The 5-FU and methyltrexate might continue to have an effect when given to the one week post cell injection eyes due to the fact that there will be a number of cells at that point which should still be proliferating. This seems to fit well with our observation that methyltrexate and 5-FU suppressed clinical detachment more effectively in the immediate injection than it did at the one week injection group although it still showed good clinical response at one week post injection. If we then examine the effects of

-25-

prostaglandin PGE1 which we have anticipated would block the contraction of myofibroblasts, we find that this drug is more effective when given at the one week post injection interval. We can suggest that this is due to an effect on myofibroblasts which take some time to develop within the cell bolus following injection. In previous studies with injection of retinal pigment epithelial cells, we have identified myofibroblasts and, therefore, it seems reasonable that prostaglandin PGEL may have an effect on that cell population. D-penicillamine which is suggested to interact with cell-to-cell junctions might also be anticipated to have a larger effect in the eyes in which the drug is injected one week after the retinal pigment epithelial cells. Indomethacin showed no difference in the rate of clinical detachment than our controls alone. Dexamethasone and triamcinolone showed a better response in the eyes in which the drugs were given immediately after cell injection than at the one week interval. However, neither drug was clinically as effective as 5-FU and methyltrexate. Colchicine was tolerated worst by the rabbit eyes. Its anti-microtubial effect seemed to cause a generalized intraocular necrosis which was very marked, even in a very small dose. This drug seems to have no role in terms of clinical suppression of intraocular cellular proliferation.

Clinical observations showed that a transient corneal opacification was present with the use of 5-FU. The cornea became cloudy and then cleared after forty-eight hours generally and in no eye was lasting corneal opacity present, and by the time of

-24-

harvesting of eyes even at the one week interval, the cornea had regained clarity. The electroretinogram was performed in representative animals in each group. Difficulty of interpretation of rabbit electroretinography is well known and therefore, for that reason, an electroretinogram was termed abnormal only if a flat line ERG was generated. The only animals post injection to develop such a flat line ERG were the colchicine eyes which showed clinical toxicity far greater than any other study drug. Our data indicates that dexamethasone and triamcinolone, although showing an excellent quieting effect on the initial uveitis and initial suppression of detachment does not give a lasting suppression of detachment.

From our clinical results, both 5-FU and methyltrexate seem to be the drugs of choice certainly if administered very close to the time of trauma. It may be, however, that the consideration of a multi-pharmacologic bolus injection is more applicable to the clinical situation in humans. In the clinical circumstance where a patient experiences trauma, a cell bolus is immediately made available to the vitreous cavity. The eye initially undergoes anterior segment or scleral repair. Ryan and Cleary have suggested a period of one week to two weeks during which time the eye becomes more amenable to vitreous surgery.⁵ This allows maturation of this cell bolus. It may be therefore, in the clinical setting as trauma is cared for today, that a cell bolus which attacks not only immediately dividing cells with a cytotoxic agent, such as methyltrexite and 5-FU, but also attacks the

-25-

myofibroblast contraction and breaking down of cellular junctions may be most beneficial.

It is always a concern to interpret rabbit clinical data and apply this to the primate or human condition. For that reason, further clinical study on an animal model would seem reasonable, but in this evaluation it would make sense that a broad spectrum of pharmacologic activity may be needed to attack the multiplicity of cellular circumstances within the offending intravitreal proliferating bolus of cells. The results of our study would suggest that such a bolus of methyltrexate or 5-FU as a cytotoxic agent in addition to prostaglandin PGE1 or d-penicillamine as an agent to suppress myofibroblastic contraction and cell attachment may be the most effective combination chemotherapy to suppress intraocular cellular proliferation following trauma.

REFERENCES

- Machemer R, Lagua H. Pigment epithelial proliferation in retinal detachment (massive periretinal proliferation). Am J Ophthalmol 80: 1:22, 1975.
- Tano Y, Chandler DB, McCuen BW, Machemer R. Glucocortico steroid inhibition of intraocular proliferation after injury. Am J Ophthalmol 91:184, 1981.
- Tano Y, Chandler DB, Machemer R. Treatment of intraocular proliferation with intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide. Am J Ophthalmol 90:810, 1980.
- 4. Blumenkranz M, Ophir A, Claflin A. A pharmacologic approach to non-neoplastic intraocular proliferation. Bascom-Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami, School of Medicine, Miami, Florida. Supplement to Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 20(3):300, March 1981.
- 5. Cleary PE, Ryan SJ. Experimental posterior penetrating injury in the rabbit. Method of production and natural history. Br J Ophthalmol 63:306-311, 1979.

-27-

GLOSSARY

Hypocellular gel contraction: Hypocellular gel contraction involves the cell small in number having an effect on the large amount of vitreous collagen organizing this gel and showing an effective contraction of collagen and subsequent retinal detachment assume a tight adhesion between the collagen and underlying neurosensory retina.

-28-

DISTRIBUTION LIST

4	copies	Commander Letterman Army Institute of Research (LAIR) Building 1110 ATTN: SGRD-ULZ-RC Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129-6815
4	copies	Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command ATTN: SGRD-RMS Fort Detrick, Frederick Maryland 21701-5012
12	copies	Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) ATTN: DTIC-DDAC Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
1	сору	Dean School of Medicine Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences 4301 Jones Bridge Road Bethesda, MD 20814-4799
1	сору	Commandant Academy of Health Sciences, U.S. Army ATTN: AHS-CDM Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234-6100

