



2

Ā

3

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A

LUNITY CLASSIFICATION OF THE PAGE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	- PORT DOCUM	ENTATION PAGE			
	<u></u>	15. RESTRICTIVE MAR	KINGS	· · · ·	
AD-A160 189		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT			
		Distribution unlimited			
		5 MONUTORING ORGA	MIZATION R		1)
AFOSR 82-0167 Tech. Report No. 10		AFOSR-TR- 85-0868			
A NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	AIRFORCE OFFI	CE OF SC	IZATION	SEARCH
University of Massachusetts					
c. ADDRESS (City, Since and ZIP Code) Dept. of Mathematics/Statistic	AFOSR/NM BUILD	ING 410	le j		
LEDERLE GRADUATE TOWERS AMHERST, MA 01003	BOLLING AFB, D	.C. 2033	2-6448		
NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING	S. PROCUREMENT INS	TRUMENT ID	ENTIFICATION N	UMBER	
AIRFORCE OFFICE OF SCI. RES.		AFOSR-82-0167			
E. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code) AEASD /N M PUTI DING ATA		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.			
BOLLING AFB D.C. 20332-6448		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	NO.
1 1171 8 / Sectordo Security Abreaktions		61102F	2304	▲5	}
Construction of Exponential M	artingales For (Counting Process	ies		
2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	PANT7				
34 TYPE OF REPORT	COVERED	14. DATE OF REPORT	(Yr., Mo., Day	15. PAGE C	OUNT
TECHNICAL FROM 1	5 May '84014 May'	85 1985 April 3		10	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if neces	wary and identi	ly by block numbe	r)
7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if neces	wary and identi	ify by block numbe	r)
7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary a	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if neces	wary and identi	ity by block numbe	r)
7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary e	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if neces	wary and identi	ify by block numbe	r)
7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary e Let N(t) be a counting distable processor. If F(conf)	nd identify by block number process with co	Continue on reverse if neces sr; nttnuous A(t)	and f(t) a bounde	ed pre-
 COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB.GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary endowed) Let N(t) be a counting dictable process. If E(exp(18. SUBJECT TERMS (nd identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ (t	Continue on reverse if neces r; ntfnuous A(t) and E(exp(2(1 + ct	and f(t • exp[f])) a bounde A(t))) <∞	" ed pre- then
7. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. P. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary endowed) Let N(t) be a counting dictable process. If E(exp() it is shown that z(t) = exp{-	18. SUBJECT TERMS (nd identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $\int_{0}^{t} f(u)dN(u) =$	Continue on reverse if neces r_{r} , nt1nuous A(t) and $E(exp(2(1 + \int_{0}^{t} [exp(-f(u))] - f(u)))$	and f(t exp[f]) 1]dA(u)) a bounde A(t))) < ∞ } 1s a mart	" ed pre- then tingale.
 COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary endowed) Let N(t) be a counting dictable process. If E(exp(int is shown that z(t) = exp{ 	18. SUBJECT TERMS (nd identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $-\int_{0}^{t} f(u)dN(u) =$	Continue on reverse if neces mt1nuous $A(t)$ and $E(exp(2(1 + \int_{0}^{t} [exp(-f(u)) - 0])$	and f(t exp[f]); 1]dA(u)) a bounde A(t))) < ∞ } 1s a mart	" ed pre- then tingale.
Z COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. P. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary endower) Edit N(t) be a counting Let N(t) be a counting dictable process. If E(exp(interaction of the shown that z(t) = exp{interaction of the shown that z(t) = exp{interaction of the shown of the stension of the stensio	18. SUBJECT TERMS (nd identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $-\int_{0}^{t} f(u)dN(u) =$ f a theorem of K	Continue on reverse if neces minimuous $A(t)$ and $E(exp(2(1 + \int_{0}^{t} [exp(-f(u)) - 0])$ abanov, Liptser,	and f(t • exp[f]) • 1]dA(u) • Shiryae) a bounde A(t))) < ∞ } 1s a mar ⁴ v (1980) who	" ed pre- then tingale. o assumed
Z.COSATI CODESFIELDGROUPSUB. GR.FIELDGROUPSUB. GR.P. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary endowerse)Let $N(t)$ be a countingdictable process.IfE(exp())it is shown that $z(t) = exp()$ This is a partial extension of $A(t) \leq c$ but did not assume	18. SUBJECT TERMS (and identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $-\int_0^t f(u)dN(u) -$ f a theorem of K A(t) is contin	Continue on reverse if neces f(t) and $E(exp(2(1 + \int_0^t [exp(-f(u)) - 0])$ abanov, Liptser, uous.	and f(t exp[f]) 1]dA(u) Shiryae) a bounde A(t))) < ∞ } 1s a mart v (1980) who	" ed pre- then tingale. o assumed
COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. P. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary a Let N(t) be a counting dictable process. If $E(exp(t))$ it is shown that $z(t) = exp{(t)}$ This is a partial extension of $A(t) \leq c$ but did not assume	18. SUBJECT TERMS (nd identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $-\int_0^t f(u)dN(u) -$ f a theorem of K A(t) is contin	Continue on reverse if neces f(t) and $E(exp(2(1 + \int_0^t [exp(-f(u)) - 0])$ abanov, Liptser, uous.	and f(t exp[f]) 1]dA(u) Shiryae) a bounde $A(t))) < \infty$ } 1s a mart v (1980) who	" ed pre- then tingale. o assumed
COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB.GR. EVEND ADDITIONAL OF TRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary of Let $N(t)$ be a counting dictable process. If $E(exp(t))$ it is shown that $z(t) = exp(t)$ This is a partial extension of $A(t) \le c$ but did not assume TTP: FILE COP	18. SUBJECT TERMS (nd identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $-\int_0^t f(u)dN(u) -$ f a theorem of K A(t) is contin	Continue on reverse if neces f(t) and $E(exp(2(1 + \int_0^t [exp(-f(u)) - 0])$ abanov, Liptser, uous.	and f(t exp[f]) 1]dA(u) Shiryae	(ry by block number) a bounder $A(t))) < \infty$ } 1s a mart v (1980) who	" ed pre- then tingale. b assumed DTIC ELECT
$\frac{cosati codes}{rieLD} \\ \hline cosati codes} \\ \hline rieLD \\ \hline anour \\ \hline sub: GR: \\ \hline continue on reverse if necessary end \\ \hline contains a partial extension on \\ \hline contains a partial extension \\ \hline co$	18. SUBJECT TERMS (nd (dent()) by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $-\int_0^t f(u)dN(u) -$ f a theorem of K A(t) is contin	Continue on reverse if neces f(t) and $E(exp(2(1 + \int_0^t [exp(-f(u)) - 0])$ abanov, Liptser, uous.	and f(t exp[f]) 1]dA(u) Shiryae) a bounde $A(t))) < \infty$ } 1s a mart v (1980) who CATION	" ed pre- then tingale. o assumed DTIC ELECT OCTI19
Z COSATI CODES PIELD GROUP SUB. GR. P. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary of Let N(t) be a counting dictable process. If $E(exp(t))$ it is shown that $z(t) = exp(t)$ it is shown that $z(t) = exp(t)$. This is a partial extension of A(t) \leq c but did not assume DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT (COP) O DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT (NCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED D)	18. SUBJECT TERMS (nd identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $-\int_0^t f(u)dN(u) -$ f a theorem of K A(t) is continn (ACT CB DTIC USERS []	Continue on reverse if neces minimuous A(t) and E(exp(2(1 + f [exp(-f(u)) - abanov, Liptser, uous. 21 ABSTRACT SECURI Unclassified	and f(t • exp[f]); • 1]dA(u) • Shiryae) a bounde $A(t))) < \infty$ } 1s a mart v (1980) who cation	" ed pre- then tingale. o assumed DTIC ELECT OCT118
Z. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. P. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary end to be a counting dictable process. If $E(exp(notable process. If E(exp(notable protable process. If E(exp(notable process. I$	18. SUBJECT TERMS (and identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $-\int_0^t f(u)dN(u) -$ f a theorem of K A(t) is contin	Continue on reverse if neces rr; ntfnuous A(t) and E(exp(2(1 + f [exp(-f(u)) - 0 abanov, Liptser, uous. 21 ABSTRACT SECURI Unclassified 22b TELEPHONE FJM	and f(t exp[f]) 1]dA(u) Shiryae	in by block number) a bounder $A(t))) < \infty$ } 1s a mart v (1980) who cation 22c. OFFICE SYM	" ed pre- then tingale. D assumed DTIC ELECT OCT118
COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. I. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary enclashing dictable process. If E(exp() it is shown that $z(t) = exp()$ it is shown that $z(t) = exp()$. This is a partial extension of $A(t) \leq c$ but did not assume Image: String L'ION(AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT) O DISTRIGUTION(AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT) Image: String L'ION(AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT)	18. SUBJECT TERMS (and identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ f f(u)dN(u) = f a theorem of K A(t) is contin Act S otic users	Continue on reverse if neces minimuous A(t) and E(exp(2(1 + f [exp(-f(u)) - abanov, Liptser, uous. 21 ABSTRACT SECURI Unclassified 22b TELEPHONE FUM (Include Are Code) (202)767-5025	and f(t exp[f]) 1]dA(u) Shiryae) a bounde $A(t))) < \infty$ } 1s a mart v (1980) who cation 22c. OFFICE SYN AFOSR/NM	" ed pre- then tingale. o assumed DTLC ELECT OCT119
Z COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB. GR. B. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary of Let N(t) be a counting dictable process. If E(exp() it is shown that z(t) = exp{ It is shown that z(t) = exp{ This is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is shown that z(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is shown that z(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is shown that z(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is shown that z(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is a partial extension of A(t) ≤ c but did not assume It is but is a partis but is a partial extensi	18. SUBJECT TERMS (and identify by block number process with co $2 f N(t)) < \infty$ $\int_{0}^{t} f(u)dN(u) =$ f a theorem of K A(t) is contin (ACT EDITION OF 1 JAM 73	Continue on reverse if neces minimuous A(t) and E(exp(2(1 + f [exp(-f(u)) - abanov, Liptser, uous. 21 ABSTRACT SECURI Unclassified 22b TELEPHONE NUM (Include Are Coder (202)767-5025 HS OBSOLETE.	and f(t exp[f]). 1]dA(u) Shiryae TY CLASSIFI) a bounde A(t))) < ∞ } 1s a mart v (1980) who v (1980) who 22c. OFFICE SYN AFOSR/NM assified	" ed pre- then tingale. o assumed DTIC ELECT OCT119

AFOSR-TR. 0 3 - 0 8 6 8

and the second secon

Construction of Exponential Martingales

for Counting Processes

by

Walter A. Rosenkrantz⁽¹⁾

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003

Abstract

Acces	sion For]
NTIS DTIC Unann Justi	GRA&I	
By Distr	ibution/	
Avai	lability Codes	
Dist A_/	Avail and/or Special	
A-1		

Approvation public relate; distribution unlimited.

(1) Research supported by AFOSR Grant #82-0167

85 10 11 128

Abstract

Let N(t) be a counting process with continuous compensator A(t) and f(t) a bounded predictable process. If $E(\exp(2|f|N(t))) < \infty$ and $E(\exp(2(1 + \exp/(f/)A(t))) < \infty$ then it is shown that $z(t) = \exp\{-\int_0^t f(u)dN(u) - \int_0^t [\exp(-f(u)) - 1]dA(u)\}$ is a martingale. This is a partial extension of a theorem of Kabanov, Liptser, Shiryaev (1980) who assumed A(t) < c but did not assume A(t) is continuous.

zero en la compañía de la compañía de la compañía de la compañía. A compañía de Contra Friderica de propinsión

- The state of the second s

AIR FOR THIS STORED BE CONSIDERED TO CONSIDER COMPANY

harres . Chief, Factors in the Andrian 1. Introduction

いまつんたなぶくらの

If p(t) is a standard Poisson process of unit intensity with $P(p(t) = j) = exp(-t)t^{j}/j!$, j = 0,1,... then it is easy to see that

(1.1) $z(t) = \exp\{-\lambda p(t) - (e^{-\lambda} - 1)t\}$ is a martingale for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Formula (1) suggests that if f is bounded and predictable with respect to the filtration $F(t) = \sigma(p(s), 0 \le s \le t)$ then

(1.2)
$$z(t) = \exp\{-\int_0^t f(u)dp(u) - \int_0^t [exp(-f(u)) - 1]du\}$$

is a martingale also. Note that by putting $f(u) \equiv \lambda$ in (1.2) we obtain (1.1). More generally Kabanov-Liptser-Shiryaev (1980) (henceforth abbreviated to K-L-S) have proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 1: Let N(t) denote a counting process with continuous compensator A(t) satisfying the condition $A(t,\omega) \leq c$ and let $f(t,\omega)$ denote a bounded predictable process with respect to the filtration $F(t) = \sigma(N(s), 0 \leq s \leq t)$. Then

(1.3)
$$z(t) = \exp\{-\int_0^t f(u) dN(u) - \int_0^t [\exp(-f(u)) - 1] dA(u)\}$$

is a martingale.

Remarks: (i) K-L-S use the martingale z(t) to give a very nice proof of a Poisson limit theorem for point processes due to T. Brown (1978).

(ii) If we recall that the compensator of the Poisson process A(t) = t then we see at once that the condition $A(t) \leq c$ is too restrictive since it excludes the Poisson process! These remarks suggest that a more natural condition to impose on A(t) in order for the process z(t)defined by (1.3) above to be a martingale is the following one:

(1.4)
$$E(exp(cA(t))) < \infty$$
, $E(exp(dN(t)) < \infty$

for non-negative constants c and d which may depend on |f|.

It is the purpose of this paper to give a statement and proof of just such an extension to Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2: Let N(t) denote a counting process with continuous compensator A(t) and let $f(t,\omega)$ denote a bounded predictable process.

(i) If A(t) satisfies condition (1.4) with c = 2(1 + exp(|f|)) and d = 2|f| then the process z(t) (defined at (1.3)) is a martingale.

(ii) If in addition $f(t,\omega) \ge 0$ and A(t) satisfies condition (1.4) with c = 1 and d = 0 then z(t) is a martingale.

When the hypothesis that A(t) be continuous is dropped K-L-S (1980) have shown that

(1.8)
$$z(t) = \exp\{-\int_0^t f(u)dN(u) - \int_0^t [\exp(-f(u)) - 1]du - \sum_{s \le t} \phi(\exp(f(s)) - 1)\Delta A(s)\}$$

is a martingale provided $A(t) \le c$ where $\Phi(x) = \ln(1 + x) - x$. We conjecture that (1.6) remains true under the less restrictive condition (1.4); the proof of this result however has so far escaped us.

2.

Outline of the Proof: We first prove Theorem 2 in the special case where N(t) = p(t). The general case is then reduced to this one via a random time change. A similar method is used by Ikeda-Watanabe in their proof of a Theorem of Novikov's cf. IKEDA-WATANABE (1981) Theorem 5.3 pp 142-144. NOTATION: Whenever convenient we will drop the ω and write f(t) for $f(t,\omega)$, A(t) for $A(t,\omega)$ etc.

2. Proof of Theorem 2:

Recall the setting of the introduction: p(t) is a standard Poisson process of unit intensity and $F(t) = \sigma(p(s); 0 \le s \le t)$.

LEMMA 1: If $X(\omega)$ is F(s) measurable and bounded then

 $E(\exp(-X(\omega)[p(t) - p(s)]|F(s)) = \exp([t - s](\exp(-X(\omega)) - 1)).$

This is a consequence of the following lemma, the proof of which is left to the reader.

LEMMA 2: Let h(x,y) be a bounded Borel measurable function and suppose $X(\omega)$ and $Y(\omega)$ are random variables such that $X(\omega)$ is G measurable. Then

 $E(h(X,Y)|G) = g(X(\omega),\omega) \quad \text{where}$ $g(x,\omega) = E(h(x,Y)|G).$

LEMMA 3: Let $f(t,\omega)$ be a bounded F(t) adapted process with left continuous paths (and hence predictable). Then

$$exp(-\int_0^t f(u)dp(u) - \int_0^t [exp(-f(u)) - 1]du)$$
 is a martingale.

Proof: Assume f is a simple function i.e.

(2.1)
$$f(u,\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(t_i,\omega)I(t_i,t_{i+1})(u) \text{ where }$$

0 = $t_0 < t_i < \ldots < t_n$. It suffices to show that

(2.2)
$$E(exp(-\int_{s}^{t} f(u)dp(u) - \int_{s}^{t} [exp(-f(u)) - 1]du)|F(s)) = 1 \quad 0 \le s < t.$$

Assume
$$t_i \le s < t \le t_{i+1}$$
 so $\int_s^t f(u)dp(u) = f(t_i,\omega)(p(t) - p(s))$ and
 $\int_s^t [exp(-f(u)) - 1]du = (t - s)(exp(-f(t_i,\omega)) - 1)$ which is F(s) measurable.
Consequently by Lemma 1

$$E(exp(-\int_{s}^{t} f(u)dp(u))|F(s)) = E(exp(-f(t_{i},\omega)(p(t) - p(s))|F(s)))$$

= exp{(t - s)[exp(-f(t_{i},\omega)) - 1]} which yields (2.2).

If $s < t_{i+1} < t$ then we can reduce it to the case just considered by successively conditioning on $F(t_{i+1})$ and then F(s) etc.

For the next step we invoke Lemma 5.3 on p. 175 of Liptser-Shiryaev V.1 (1977) which asserts that sample functions of the form (2.1) are dense in the class of predictible functions satisfying the condition

(2.3)
$$E\left(\int_{0}^{t} (f(u,\omega))^{2} dA(u)\right) < \infty.$$

Here density is of course understood to be with respect to the norm $E(\int_{0}^{t} (f(u,\omega) - g(u,\omega))^2 dA(u))^{1/2}$.

Bring in the square integrable martingale M(t) = p(t) - t and recall that the compensator of $(M(t))^2$ is t. Let $f_n(t,\omega)$ denote a sequence of simple functions of the form (2.1) satisfying the conditions $|f_n| \le |f|$ and

(2.4)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E\left(\int_{0}^{t} (f_{n}(u,\omega) - f(u,\omega))^{2} du\right) = 0, \text{ i.e. set } A(u) = u \text{ in } (2.3);$$

It then follows that

(2.5)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\int_0^t f_n(u,\omega)dM(u) - \int_0^t f(u,\omega)dM(u)\right|^2\right) = 0.$$

Applying Schwarz's inequality and (2.4) we see that

$$(2.6) \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t |f_n(u) - f(u)| du\right) \leq \sqrt{t} \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t |f_n(u) - f(u)|^2 du\right) = 0.$$

In addition the condition $|f_n| \le |f|$ implies that

$$|\int_{0}^{t} \exp(-f_{n}(u)) du - \int_{0}^{t} \exp(-f(u)) du| \le K \int_{0}^{t} |f_{n}(u) - f(u)| du;$$

thus

(2.7)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E(|\int_0^t \exp(-f_n(u)) du - \int_0^t \exp(-f(u)) du|) = 0$$

Next we observe that
$$\int_{0}^{t} f_{n}(u)dp(u) + \int_{0}^{t} [exp(-f_{n}(u)) - 1]du \approx$$
$$\int_{0}^{t} f_{n}(u)dM(u) + \int_{0}^{t} exp(-f_{n}(u))du \text{ and that}$$

5.

(2.8)
$$\left|\int_{0}^{t} f_{n}(u)dp(u) + \int_{0}^{t} [exp(-f_{n}(u)) - 1]du\right| \le |f|p(t) + t(1 + exp(|f|)).$$

Consequently

(2.9)
$$|\exp\{-\int_{0}^{t} f_{n}(u)dp(u) + \int_{0}^{t} [\exp(-f_{n}(u)) - 1]du\}| \le \exp(|f|p(t) + t(1 + \exp|f|))$$

which is obviously an integrable function. It is clear we can now extract a subsequence $f_{n}(u)$ such that

$$(2.10) \begin{cases} (a) \lim_{\substack{n' \to \infty}} \int_0^t f_{n'}(u) dM(u) = \int_0^t f(u) dM(u) \quad a.s. \\ (b) \lim_{\substack{n \to \infty}} \int_0^t \exp(-f_{n'}(u)) du = \int_0^t \exp(-f(u)) du \quad a.s. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand we've already shown for simple functions f_n , that

(2.11)
$$E(exp\{-\int_{s}^{t} f_{n'}(u)dp(u) - \int_{s}^{t} exp(-f_{n'}(u)) - 1)du\}|F(s)) = 1.$$

The bound (2.9) and the existence of the limits in (2.10) now permit us to pass to the limit in (2.11) and deduce that (2.2) remains valid for bounded predictable f. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 4: Let N(t) be a counting process with a continuous strictly increasing compensator A(t) satisfying condition (1.4) with c = 2(1 + exp|f|)and d = 2|f| (or c = 1, d = 0 if $f(t) \ge 0$). Then

(2.12)
$$z(t) = \exp\{-\int_0^t f(u)dN(u) - \int_0^t [\exp(-f(u)) - 1]dA(u)\}$$

is a martingale.

Proof: Bring in the random time change $A^{-1}(t) = \inf\{u:A(u) > t\}$ and note that $A^{-1}(t)$ is also continuous and strictly increasing. It is easy to see that $N(A^{-1}(t))$ is again a counting process with compensator $A(A^{-1}(t)) = t$ and therefore $N(A^{-1}(t)) = p(t)$ is a Poisson process relative to the filtration $F'(t) = F(A^{-1}(t))$. Assume f is left continuous which implies that $f(A^{-1}(t))$ is predictable and therefore by Lemma 3

(2.13)
$$\exp\{-\int_0^t f(A^{-1}(u))dN(A^{-1}(u)) - \int_0^t [exp(-f(A^{-1}(u)) - 1]du\} = v(t)$$

is a martingale. Now A(t) is a stopping time relative to the filtration $F'(t) = F(A^{-1}(t))$ and so Doob's optimal stopping theorem implies $v(t \land A(s))$ is also a martingale. Let us assume that $f(t) \ge 0$ which, combined with the fact that $N(A^{-1}(u))$ is monotone increasing, implies the inequality

(2.14)
$$-\int_{0}^{t\wedge A(s)} f(A^{-1}(u)dN(A^{-1}(u)) - \int_{0}^{t\wedge A(s)} [exp(-f(A^{-1}(u)) - 1]du \leq t \wedge A(s).$$

Consequently $0 \le v(t \land A(s)) \le exp(t \land A(s)) \le exp(A(s))$. We may now apply the dominated convergence to conclude $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t \land A(s)) = v(A(s))$ in L_1 and hence v(A(s)) itself is a martingale. Now

(2.15)
$$v(A(s)) = exp\{-\int_{0}^{A(s)} f(A^{-1}(u))dN(A^{-1}(u)) - \int_{0}^{A(s)} [exp(-f(A^{-1}(u)) - 1)du\}$$

= $exp\{-\int_{0}^{s} f(u)dN(u) - \int_{0}^{s} [exp(-f(u)) - 1]dA(u)\}$
= $z(s)$ is a martingale.

We have thus proved (ii) of Theorem 2, at least in the case where f is continuous and A(t) is strictly increasing. It is easy to extend this

result to simple functions of the form (2.1) by means of the following device: for each i construct a sequence of non-negative continuous functions $\phi_{k,i}(t)$, with compact support, such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \phi_{k,i}(t) = I_{(t_i,t_{i+1}]}(t)$. Set $f_k(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(t_i, \omega) \phi_{k,i}(t)$ and note that we can arrange matters so that $f_k(t)$ is F(t) adapted as well. Clearly $\lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(t) = f(t)$ in the sense of bounded pointwise convergence and from this it is easy to see that (ii) of Theorem 2 remains valid for non-negative simple functions of the form (2.1). The extension to arbitrary non-negative bounded predictable processes via the methods used in deriving (2.4)-(2.11) is left to the reader.

If we assume that f is bounded then inequality (2.14) is replaced by

$$(2.16) \qquad |\int_{0}^{t \wedge A(s)} f(A^{-1}(u)) dN(A^{-1}(u)) + \int_{0}^{t \wedge A(s)} [exp(-f(A^{-1}(u)) - 1] du] \leq |f| p(A(s)) + (1 + exp(|f|))A(s) = |f| N(s) + KA(s).$$

By Schwarz's inequality a sufficient condition for the integrability of exp(|f|N(s) + KA(s)) is given by condition (1.4) with c = 2K = 2(1 + exp(|f|)) and d = 2|f|. The proof of Theorem 2 is now complete, at least in the case where A(t) is strictly increasing.

To complete the proof of Theorem 2 we drop the assumption that A(t) be strictly increasing. It is still true however that $p(t) = N(A^{-1}(t))$ is a standard Poisson process with the property that p(A(t)) = N(t) except possibly for an evanescent set and moreover matters can be arranged so that A(t) is independent of p(t) - see T. Brown (1981) Theorem 2 on

8.

Š

p. 308. Bring in the natural (strictly) increasing process $A_{\varepsilon}(t) = A(t) + \varepsilon t$ and note that $A_{\varepsilon}(t)$ decreases to A(t) as ε decreases to 0 and therefore $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} p(A_{\varepsilon}(t)) = p(A(t))$ since p is right continuous ~ in particular $p(A_{\varepsilon}(t))$ converges weakly to p(A(t)). We observe that $N_{\varepsilon}(t) = p(A_{\varepsilon}(t))$ is again a counting process with strictly increasing compensator $A_{\varepsilon}(t)$. By Lemma 4 then

$$z_{\varepsilon}(t) = \exp(-\int_{0}^{t} f(u)dp(A_{\varepsilon}(u)) - \int_{0}^{t} [exp(-f(u)) - 1]dA_{\varepsilon}(u))$$

is a martingale for every $\varepsilon > 0$. In order to pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \neq 0$ we first assume f is continuous and then use the weak convergence of $p(A_{\varepsilon}(u))$ to p(A(u)) to conclude

(2.17)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^t f(u) dp(A_{\varepsilon}(u)) = \int_0^t f(u) dp(A(u))$$
$$= \int_0^t f(u) dN(u) \quad a.s.$$

Similarly it is easy to check that

(2.18)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^t [\exp(-f(u)) - 1] dA_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_0^t [\exp(-f(u)) - 1] dA(u) \quad a.s.$$

Clearly this implies that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} z_{\epsilon}(t) \approx z(t)$ is a martingale at least when f(t) is continuous. Proceeding as we did just after (2.15) it can be shown that z(t) is a martingale for step functions of the form (2.1) and finally the proof for arbitrary bounded predictable f is carried out by means of the standard approximation procedure used in (2.4)-(2.11). The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

- [1] T. Brown (1978), A martingale approach to the Poisson convergence of simple point processes, Ann. Prob. 6, pp. 615-628.
- [2] T. Brown (1981), Compensators and Cox convergence, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 90, pp. 305-319.
- [3] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe (1981), Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes, North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam -Oxford - New York.
- [4] Y. M. Kabanov, R. SH. Lipster, A. N. Shiryaev (1980), Some limit theorems for simple point processes (a martingale approach), Stochastics, Vol. 3, pp. 203-216.

FILMED

EN

11-85

DTIC