AD A156 748	NATIO STILL	NAL PRI WATER I NGLAND	DGRAM F RESERVO DIV J	OR INS IR. (U	PECTION	N OF NO 5 OF EP	DN - FEDE IGINEER	RAL DA	NS Ham ma	1/2	,		
UNCLASSIFIED								F/G 1	3/13	NL	_		
	د دی د					-							
			_										
					-					ļ		ļ	
			•										
													نیسے

		~
1.0	2.8	2.5
	5-0 3-15 1-1 1-1 3-5	2.2
1.1	112 112 112 114 114 114 114 114 114 114	2.0
		1.8
1.25	1.4	1.6
		1111

ı

T

Ø



REPORT DOCUMENTATIO	ON PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	J. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
RI 03101		
TITLE (and Subtitie)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
Stillwater Reservoir Dam		INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION C	F NON-FEDERAL	5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		B. CONTRACT OR GRANT HUMBER(+)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDR	ESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASH AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGIN	IFFRS	12. REPORT DATE Janusry 1981
VEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
24 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02		45
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dill	erent from Controlling Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST		IS. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST		<u> </u>
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed once Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I Insp however, the official title of th	red in Black 20, 11 different fro pection Report, National Static	nal Dam Inspection Program
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed onto Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I Insp however, the official title of th Non-Federal Dams; use cover date KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessor DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY,	vection Report, National is: National Section date of report	nal Dam Inspection Program onal Program for Inspection o
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed onto Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I Insp however, the official title of th Non-Federal Dams; use cover date	vection Report, National is: National Section date of report	nal Dam Inspection Program onal Program for Inspection o
APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DIST DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the observed onto Supplementary notes Cover program reads: Phase I Insp however, the official title of th Non-Federal Dams; use cover date KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds // necessor DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Narragansett Bay Basin Smithfield Rhode Island	ved in Block 20, if different be pection Report, Nation perform is: Nation for date of report y and identify by block number	nal Dam Inspection Program onal Program for Inspection c

ρ

1.1

.

,

Stor A set

1. ·

.

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

. . .

٦

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.



1

ł

1

1

1

7

2

ł

Ł

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

NEW ENGLAND DIVISION. CORPS OF ENGINEERS 424 TRAPELO ROAD WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02254

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF: NEDED

JUL 2 1 1981

Honorable J. Joseph Garrahy Governor of the State of Rhode Island State House Providence, Rhode Island 02903

Dear Governor Garrahy:

Inclosed is a copy of the Stillwater Reservoir Dam (RI-03101) Phase I Inspection Report, prepared under the National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report is based upon a visual inspection, a review of past performance, and a preliminary hydrological analysis.

The visual inspection of Stillwater Pond Dam has revealed a number of serious maintenance problems that could affect the stability of the dam. Of greatest concern is the deterioration of the spillway, the spillway channel and the low level outlet. In addition to these concerns, the preliminary hydrologic analysis indicates that the spillway capacity would likely be exceeded by floods greater than 13 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Our screening criteria specifies that a dam classified as high hazard with a spillway capacity insufficient to discharge fifty percent of the PMF be judged as having a seriously inadequate spillway. Because of the concerns with the stability of the dam and the serious inadequacy of the spillway, the dam is assessed as unsafe until corrective measures can be completed.

It is recommended that upon receipt of this report that the owner of the dam engage the services of a qualified registered professional engineer to:

1. perform a detail structural investigation and recommend rehabilitation of the spillway and spillway channel

2. determine the stability of the low level outlet retaining wall and the downstream slope of the dam.

In addition to the above recommendations, the engineer should within 12 months perform a detailed hydrologic and hydraulic investigation to assess further the potential of overtopping the dam and the need for and means to increase project discharge capacity. In the interim, a detailed emergency operation plan and warning system should be promptly developed and round-the-clock surveillance be provided during periods of heavy precipitation of high project discharge.

NEDED Honorable J. Joseph Garrahy

3

d

•

I approve the report and support the findings and recommendations described in Section 7, with qualifications as noted above. I request that you keep me informed of the actions taken to implement these recommendations since this follow-up is an important part of the program.

Copies of this report have been forwarded to the Department of Environmental Management and to the owner, Woonasquatucket Reservoir Co, Esmond, RI. Copies will be available to the public in thirty days.

I wish to thank you and the Department of Environmental Managment for your cooperation in this program.

Sincerely,

Coli.

C. E. EDGAR, III Colonel, Corps of Engineers Commander and Division Engineer

ŧ

1

1.1.1

Accession For NTIS GRA&I DTIC TAB \Box Unannounced Justification By_ DYIC Distribution/ OCAY Availability Codes NSPECTED Avail and/or . Special 23 2

NARRAGANSETT BAY BASIN SMITHFIELD, RHODE ISLAND STILLWATER RESERVOIR DAM RI 03101

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM, MASS. 02154

JANUARY 1981

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

1.1.1

, i

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF DAMS

STILLWATER RESERVOIR DAM Name of Dam: Inventory Number: 03101 RHODE ISLAND State: PROVIDENCE County: SMITHFIELD Town: WOONASQUATUCKET RIVER Stream: WOONASQUATUCKET RESERVOIR CO. Owner: OCTOBER 9, 1980 and NOVEMBER 20, 1980 Date of Inspection: PETER M. HEYNEN, P.J. Inspection Team: THEODORE STEVENS TIMOTHY KAVANAUGH HECTOR MORENO, P.E.

FRANK SEGALINE

The dam, completed in 1910, is a concrete gravity wall with an earth embankment on its downstream side. The dam is approximately 20 feet in height and 670 feet in length, including a 100 foot long broad-crested concrete spillway at the right abutment. An earth embankment dike (left dike) adjacent to the left end of the dam has a height of approximately 8 feet and a length of approximately 462 feet. A second dike (right dike), located about 300 feet to the right of the spillway, is an earth embankment approximately 10 feet high and 590 feet long. The upstream slopes of both dikes are protected with hand placed riprap to the top of the embankments. Outlet facilities consist of two 3 foot by 3.5 foot culverts located approximately at the center of the dam and individually controlled by manually operated sluice gates. The handwheel stands, which operate the gates, are located in a concrete gatehouse which was constructed about 1940. The storage of the reservoir is approximately 3600 acre-feet with the reservoir level to the first point of overtopping of the project.

Based upon the visual inspection at the site and past performance, the project is judged to be in poor condition. There are items which require immediate maintenance and/or evaluation such as undermining of the spillway, deteriorated concrete, erosion of embankments and extensive brush and tree growth. In accordance with Army Corps of Engineers' guidelines, Stillwater Reservoir Dam is classified as a high hazard, intermediate size project. The test flood is the full Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Peak inflow to the reservoir at the PMF is 15,700 cubic feet per second (cfs); peak outflow is 13,800 cfs with the dam overtopped by 2.3 feet. The combined spillway capacity to the low point of the left dike is 1800 cfs, which is equivalent to 13% of the routed test flood outflow. 1.1.1

٦

It is recommended that the owner retain the services of a registered professional engineer to perform a more detailed nydraulic/hydrologic analysis of the existing project discharge capacity. Other items of importance are restoration of the spillway, repair of deteriorated concrete, filling and grading of eroded areas and removal of brush and tree growth.

The above recommendations and the remedial operation and maintenance procedures presented in Section 7.3 should be implemented within one year of the owner's receipt of this report, or as otherwise noted.

For M Hermon



Peter M. Heynen, P.E. Project Manager - Geotechnical Cahn Engineers, Inc.

C. Michael Horton, P.E Chief Engineer Cahn Engineers, Inc.



This Phase I Inspection Report on Stillwater Reservoir Dam has been reviewed by the undersigned Review Board members. In our opinion, the reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations are consistent with the <u>Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of</u> <u>Dams</u>, and with good engineering judgement and practice, and is hereby submitted for approval.

amey M. Tezian

CARNEY M. TERZIAN, MEMBER Design Branch Engineering Division

JOSEPH W. FINEGAN, JR., MEMBER Water Jontrol Branch Engineering Division

Uma D

ARAMAST MAHTESIAN, CHAIRMAN Geotechnical Engineering Branch Engineering Division

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

JOE B. FRYAR Chief, Engineering Division

1

ι

1.1.1

PREFACE

This report is prepared under quidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from Investigations. the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspection. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam would necessarily represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions will be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions there of. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as neccessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential. The Phase I Investigation does not include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespass and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project for compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

The information contained in this report is based on the limited investigation described above and is not warranted to indicate the actual condition of the dam. The integrity of the dam can only be determined by a means of a monitoring program and/cr a detailed physical investigation. The accuracy of available data is assumed where not in obvious conflict with facts observable during the visual inspection.

t

TABLE OF CONTENTS

i, ii iii iv, v vi-vili ix x 1.1 <u>General</u> 1-1 1.2 Description of Project 1-2 Description of Dam, Dikes and Appurtenances Size Classification Hazard Classification

Ownership e. Operator Í. Purpose of Dam g. Design and Construction History h. Normal Operational Procedures i. 1.3 Pertinent Data 1-4 Drainage Area a. b. Discharge at Damsite Elevations с. Reservoir Length Reservoir Storage d. e. Reservoir Surface f. Dam and Dikes q. Diversion and Regulatory Tunnel h. Spillway i. Regulating Outlets j. SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

2.1	Design Data	2-1
2.2	Construction Data	2-1
2.3	Operation Data	2-1

vi

t

1

Letter of Transmittal

a.

b.

c.

a.

b. c.

d.

Brief Assessment Review Board Signature Page Preface Table of Contents Overview Photo Location Map

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

Authority

Location

Purpose of Inspection Program Scope of Inspection Program

Page

Left Dike

Top of Dike - The top of the dike is irregular and neat the edges it is overgrown with trees and brush. There is an approximately 3 foot wide path along the centerline where vegetation is sparse or absent, due to trespassing.

Upstream Slope - There are many large trees growing on the upstream slope. The riprap slope protection has been displaced by tree growth and by erosion, contributing to an approximately 8 foot by 8 foot by 3 foot deep depression on the slope (Photo 8).

Downstream Slope - The downstream slope is overgrown with brush and large trees. There is some erosion near the top of the slope. The ground is wet at the toe of the slope with severaareas of standing water. This made it impossible to locate points of seepage.

Right Dike

Top of Dike - The top of the dike is overgrown with brush and many moderate sized trees. Ground cover is sparse or absent on the surface along the centerline of the dike, due to crespassing.

Upstream Slope - Many large trees and brush are growing on the upstream slope. The riprap slope protection is in fair condition but has been displaced at a few isolated locations by the ree growth and erosion (Photo 9).

Downstream Slope - The downstream slope is overgrown with many large trees and brush. There are areas of minor erosion long the slope and a few uprooted trees, leaving voids of up to 2 teet deep. The soil at the toe of the slope is saturated with areas of standing water (Photo 10). Seepage points could not be located tecause of the depth of the standing water.

c. <u>Appurtenant Structure</u> - The concrete masonry gatehouse is n fair condition. The concrete base is spalled. The two handwheel edestal lifts which operate the low-level outlets are in good ondition and well-lubricated. The outlet structure is in poor ondition. The concrete retaining wall is badly spalled, cracked nd deteriorated. The two wingwalls are deteriorated and spalled Photos 11 and 12).

d. <u>Reservoir Area</u> - The area surrounding the reservoir is generally wooded and sparsely developed. There are some lakefront houses on the west and south shores and paved roads bordering the reservoir.

SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

a. <u>General</u> - The condition of the project is poor, based upon our visual inspections on October 9, 1980 and November 20, 1980. The inspection revealed several areas requiring maintenance and monitoring. At the time of the inspections, the pond level was at elevation 201.9 and 2(3.1 respectively, i.e. 9.5 ft. and 7.9 ft. below the top of the dam, with water flowing through the left lowlevel outlet. The reservoir level is presently maintained below the spillway crest elevation of 207.0, possibly reducing seepage rates that might be observed at higher water levels.

b. Dam and Dikes

<u>Top of Dam</u> - A path up to 12 inches deep and 18 inches wide has been worn into the earth section of the top of dam from trespassing. At several locations along this path, erosion has carved ditches which are approximately 2 feet wide and as deep as 3 feet. These ditches are as much as 27 feet in length along the downstream side of the concrete section (Photo 1). The top of the concrete is badly spalled and decomposed.

<u>Concrete Wall</u> - The upstream face of the concrete wall is severely cracked and spalled, exposing the aggregates in the concrete (Photos 2 and 4). Deterioration has left impressions up to 6 inches deep and 12 inches wide along the construction joints (Photos 3 and 4).

Downstream Slope - The entire slope is overgrown with brush and trees of up to approximately 10 inches in diameter (Photo 5). Ditches, to depths of 3 feet, extend from the ditches at the top of the dam toward the low-level outlet discharge channel. Large wet areas are present along the toe of the slope. Because of the depth of water at these wet areas it was impossible to locate seepage points or monitor their flow.

Spillway - The spillway is in very poor condition. The training walls are spalled, cracked and deteriorated. The spillway apron appears to have been undermined, probably by water seeping under the concrete spillway crest. This has caused collapse of large portions of the apron, creating crater-like depressions (Photo 6). Many small trees, mostly 2 to 3 inches in diameter are growing at the edge of the spillway crest, in the approach channel, and through the concrete apron. Much debris, including many stumps of up to 5 feet in diameter, is resting at or near the spillway crest. Several small seeps approximately 1-3 qpm each were located at the nownstream end of the apron. Water in all seeps was flowing clear and collecting in small pools. From the edge of the apron there is a sharp drop of approximately 2 to 3 feet to the downstream channel, exposing the gravel and cobble subbase of the apron (Photo 7), and it appears that any sand content of the subbase has been transported away by seepage. The downstream spillway channel is vegetated with many trees of up to 6 inches in diameter.

t

SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

2. DESIGN

a. Available Data - The available data consists of construction photographs; a Yearly Report by the Commissioners of Dam and Reservoirs dated 1911; several inspection reports dated between 1940 and 1970; assorted correspondence dated between 1939 and 1979; a bathymetric map; and a "Dam Inventory Report" prepared by The State of Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION DATA

Approximately seven construction photographs are on file at The State of Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management located at 83 Park Street in Providence, Rhode Island.

2.3 OPERATIONS DATA

No operation records are known to exist.

2.4 EVALUATION OF DATA

a. <u>Availability</u> - Existing data was provided by The State of Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management. The owner made the project available for visual inspection.

b. Adequacy - There was no detailed engineering data available; therefore, the final assessment of this project must be based on visual inspection, performance history, hydraulic computations of spillway capacity, and hydrologic judgements.

c. Validity - A comparison of record data and visual observations reveals no significant discrepancies in the record data. However, drawings of the project dated July 28, 1940 show the left dike n a position different from that observed in the field. It is thought that the dike was repositioned sometime after 1940, perhaps for improvement and/or realignment of a nearby road.

9. Grout curtain:	N/A
10. Other:	N/A
h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel -	N/A
i. <u>Spillway</u>	
l. Type:	Broad crested concrete weir of trapezoidal cross-section
2. Length of weir:	100 ft.
3. Crest elevation:	207.0
4. Gates:	N/A
5. Upstream channel:	Shallow sand and gravel bottom
(. Downstream channel:	Sand and gravel spillway to river channel 400 feet from dam
7, General:	Concrete-paved spillway apron
j Regulating Outlets	
Twin Low-Level Outlets	
1. Invert:	192.0
2. Size:	3 ft. wide by 3.5 ft. high
3. Description:	Rectangular concrete culverts.
4. Control mechanism:	Manually operated sluice gates. Con- trolled independently
5. Other:	N/A

1-7

'

g. Dam and Dikes	
1. Type:	
Dam:	Masonry core section with earth empankment slopes.
Left Dike:	Earth embankment
Righ: Dike:	Masonry core eartn embankment (See Sheet B-1)
2. Length:	
Dam:	573 ft.
Left Dike:	462 IT.
Righ Dike:	590 Ít.
3. Height:	
Dam:	20 ft.
Left Dike:	8 <u>+</u> ft.
Right Dike:	10 <u>+</u> ft.
4. Top widtl:	
Dam:	7 <u>+</u> ft.
Left Dike:	15.0 <u>+</u> ft.
Right Dike:	15.0 <u>+</u> ft.
5. Side Slopes:	
Dam:	2.0 H to 1 V (Upstream) 2.0 H to 1 V (Downstream
Left Dike:	2.0 H to 1 V (Upstream) 2.0 H to 1 V (Downstream)
Right Dike:	1.5 H to 1 V (Jpstream) 1.5 H to 1 V (Downstream,
6. Zoning:	N/A
7. Impervious core:	N/A
8. Cutoff:	
Dam:	Concrete corewall
Left Dike:	N/A
Right Dike:	Concrete corewall (Shown on Sheet B-1. Was not observed in the field)

1-6

7

ł

8. Top of dam:	211.0
Top of left dike:	Irregular, varies from 210.5+ to 211.0+
Top of right dike:	211+
9. Test flood surcharge:	212.8
d. Reservoir Length	
1. Normal pool:	3000 ft.
2. Flood control pool:	N/A
3. Spillway crest pool:	3000 ft.
4. Top of dam pool:	3100 ft.
5. Test flood pool:	3100+ ft.
e. Reservoir Storage	
1. Normal pool:	1500 acre-ft.
2. Flood control pool:	N/A
3. Spillway crest pool:	2400 acre-ft.
4. Top of project pool:	
water level to low point of left dike (el. 210.5): to top of dam (el. 211.0):	3600 acre-ft. 3900 acre-ft.
5. Test flood pool:	4700 acre-ft.
t. Reservoir Surface	
1. Normal pool:	240 acres
2. Flood control pool:	N/A
3. Spillway crest pool:	300 acres
4. Top of project pool:	
water level to low point of left dike (el. 210.5): to top of dam (el. 211.0):	370 acres 380 acres
5. Test flood pool:	410 acres

1-5

7

t

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

•

. . .

ł

a. <u>Drainage Area</u> - The drainage area is 26.2 square miles or mostly wooded flat and costal terrain located in the Narragansety Bay Basin.

b. <u>Discharge at Damsite</u> - Discharge is over the spillway and through the twin low-level outlets.

1. Outlet works

for each of the 3 ft. wide by 3.5 ft. high culvert low-level outlets:	175 ofs - (pond level at top of dam)
2. Maximum known flood at damsite:	Not known
3. Ungated spillway capacity @ low point of left dike el. 210.5:	1800 cís
4. Ungated spillway capacity @ test flood el. 212.8:	3800 ;fs
5. Gated spillway capacity @ normal pool:	N/A
6. Gated spillway capacity @ test flood:	N/A
7. Total spillway capacity @ test flood el. 212.8:	3800 cfs
8. Total project discharge @ test flood el. 212.8:	13,800 cfs
c. Elevations - (NGVD based on assumed See Sheet B).	spillway elevation,
1. Streambed at toe of dam:	191 <u>+</u>
2. Bottom of cutoff:	N/A
3. Maximum tailwater:	N/A
4. Normal pool:	(Assumed) 203.5 <u>+</u>
5. Full flood control pool:	N/A
6. Spillway crest (ungated):	207.0
7. Design surchage (original design):	Unknown

ł.

1

c. <u>Size Classification</u> - INTERMEDIATE - The dam impounds 3600 acre-feet of water with the reservoir level to the low point of the left dike, which at elevation 210.5, is 20 feet above the down-stream channel at the toe of the dam. According to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Recommended Guidelines, a dam with a storage capacity between 1,000 and 50,000 acre-feet is classified as intermediate in size.

d. <u>Hazard Classification</u> - HIGH - If the dam were breached, there is potential for the loss of more than a few lives and extensive property damage to industrial buildings and numerous houses downstream of the dam.

- e. <u>Ownership</u> Woonasquatucket Reservoir Co. Mr. William Garriety, Secretary Treasurer P. O. Box 5078 Esmond, RI Tel: (401) 231-6000 (Office) (401) 231-5725 (Home)
- f. <u>Operator</u> Mr. Ivan Elfgren P. O. Box 5078 Esmond, RI Tel: (401) 231-4500 (Office) (401) 647-7069 (Home)
- g. Purpose Industrial water supply and recreation.

h. Design and Construction History - The following information is believed to be accurate based on the plans and correspondence available. The dam was constructed in 1910 for, and is still owned by, the Woonasquatucket Reservoir Company, which is an association of businesses including Worcester Textile, Narragansett Foundry and others, for the purpose of manufacturing and processing. The reservoir is also used for recreation. A concrete gatehouse was built about 1940 to shelter the already existing gate mechanisms. It appears as though the alignment of the left dike has been changed sometime after 1940.

There is no record of repairs or other alterations other than the addition of the gatehouse, the extension of the retaining wall to each side of the low-level outlet and the realignment of the dike.

i. Normal Operational Procedures - The following operational procedures were described during an interview with the owner. The water level in the reservoir is maintained below the spillway crest to prevent flow through the spillway because of its deteriorated condition. The left low-level sluice gate maintains flow from the reservoir to the Woonasquatucket River to provide an adequate supply of water to the factories downstream. The right sluice gate remains in the closed position unless demand requires it be opened. Both gate lifts are well lubricated and operable.

1-3

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Location - The dam is located on the Woonasquatucket River in a rural area of the Town of Smithfield, County of Providence, State of Rhode Island. The dam is shown on the Georgiaville USGS Quadrangle Map having coordinates latitude N $41^{\circ}54.5'$ and longitude W $71^{\circ}32.5'$.

b. Description of Dam, Dikes and Appurtenances - As shown on Sheet B-1, the approximately 20 foot high dam consists of a concrete wall upstream face with a downstream earth embankment. The dam is approximately 670 feet long, including the 100 foot long spillway; which is located at the right end of the dam. The dam has a base width of approximately 35 feet and a top width of approximately 7 feet. A concrete gatehouse is located near the center of the dam on the upstream side.

Adjacent to the left end of the dam there is an earth embankment dike (designated as the left dike) which is approximately 8 feet in height and 462 feet long. The dike consists of a riprap protected upstream slope with a grass covered top and downstream slope. The dike has a base width of approximately 30 feet and a top width of 15 feet.

Approximately 300 feet to the right of the spillway, separated from the spillway by a natural knoll, there is a second dike (designated as the right dike) which is approximately 10 feet high and 590 feet in length. It has a maximum base width of 80 feet and a top width of 15 feet. This dike, like the left dike, is an earth embankment with a riprap protected upstream slope and grass protection at the top and on the downstream slope. Drawings of the project indicate that the right dike contains a concrete corewall.

The 100 foot long spillway, having a crest elevation of 207.0, is a broad-crested concrete weir of trapezoidal crosssection. A sand and gravel approach channel slopes up at an approximate inclination of 6 horizontal to 1 vertical to meet the concrete spillway crest and a concrete-paved apron slopes downstream for a distance of approximately 30 feet at an approximate inclination of 7 horizontal to 1 vertical. The spillway channel connects with the original river channel approximately 400 feet downstream of the dam.

A concrete gatehouse is located near the center of the dam. Two individual 3 foot by 3.5 foot low-level conduits intake through the foundation of the gatehouse, pass through the earth embankment, and discharge into the original streambed from a concrete retaining wall located at the toe of the downstream slope. Flow through the low-level outlets is regulated by two manually operated sluice gates.

1-2

t

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

STILLWATER RESERVOIR DAM

SECTION I - PROJECT INFORMATION

1

1.1 GENERAL

a. <u>Authority</u> - Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Cahn Engineers, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Rhode Island. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to Cahn Engineers, Inc. under a letter of April 14, 1980 from William E. Hodgson, Jr., Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-80-C-0052 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.

b. <u>Purpose of Inspection Program</u> - The purposes of the program are to:

- 1. Perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-federal dams to identify conditions requiring correction in a timely manner by non-federal interests.
- 2. Encourage and prepare the States to quickly initiate effective dam inspection programs for non-federal dam.
- 3. To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.

c. <u>Scope of Inspection Program</u> - The scope of this Phase I inspection report includes:

- 1. Gathering, reviewing and presenting all available data as can be obtained from the owners, previous owners, the state and other associated parties.
- 2. A field inspection of the facility detailing the visual condition of the dam, embankments and appurtenant structures.
- 3. Computations concerning the hydraulics and hydrology of the facility and its relationship to the calculated flood through the existing spillway.
- 4. An assessment of the condition of the facility and corrective measures required.

1.

It should be noted that this report passes judgment only on those factors of safety and stability which can be determined by a visual surface examination. The inspection is to identify those visually apparent features of the dam which evidence the need for corrective action and/or further study and investigation.

1-1



Ø

1.1.1



1.1.1

SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS & REMEDIAL MEASURES 7.1 Dam Assessment 7-1 a. Condition Adequacy of Information b. Urgency c. 7.2 Recommendations 7-1 7.3 <u>Remedial Measures</u> 7-2 Operation and Maintenance Procedures a. 7.4 <u>Alternatives</u> 7-2

APPENDICES

Page

APPENDIX A:	INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1 to A-6
APPENDIX B:	ENGINEERING DATA AND CORRESPONDENCE	
	Dam Plan, and Sections List of Existing Plans Summary of Data and Correspondence Data and Correspondence	Sheet B-1 B-1 B-2, B-3 B-4 to B-21
APPENDIX C:	DETAIL PHOTOGRAPHS	
	Photograph Location Plan Photographs	Sheet C-l C-l to C-6
APPENDIX D:	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS	
	Drainage Area Map Dam Failure Impact Area Map Computations Preliminary Guidance for Estimating	Sheet D-1 Sheet D-2 D-1 to D-12
	Maximum Probable Discharges	i to viii
APPENDIX E:	INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS	E-l

viii

. . .

1.

1.1.1

2.4	Evaluation of Data	2-1
SECTION 3	: VISUAL INSPECTION	
3.1	Findings a. General b. Dam and Dikes c. Appurtenant Structures d. Reservoir Area e. Downstream Channel	3-1
3.2	Evaluation	3-3
SECTION 4	: OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	
4.1	Operational Procedures	4-1
	a. General b. Description of Warning System in Effect	
4.2	Maintenance Procedures	4-1
	a. General b. Operating Facilities	
4.3	Evaluation	4-1
SECTION 5	EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES	
5.1	<u>General</u>	· 5-1
5.2	Design Data	5-1
5.3	Experience Data	5-1
5.4	Test Flood Analysis	5-1
5.5	Dam Failure Analysis	5-2
SECTION 6	EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
6.1	Visual Observations	6-1
6.2	Design and Construction Data	6-1
6.3	Post Construction Changes	6-1
6.4	Seismic Stability	6-1

vii

t

1.

1.1.1

e. <u>Downstream Channel</u> - The downstream channel from the lowlevel outlet is the natural streambed of the Woonasquatucket River. It is 40 to 80 feet wide and unopstructed. A man-made channel from the spillway converges with the original streambed approximately 400 feet downstream of the dam. The spillway channel is vegetated with some small to medium-sized trees which could cause some obstruction of flow.

3.2 EVALUATION

Based upon the visual inspection, the project is assessed as being in poor condition. The manner in which the features identified in Section 3.1 could affect the future condition and/or stability of the project is as follows:

- 1. Continued trespassing along the top of the dam and dikes will cause further erosion to the embankments.
- 2. The ditches present on the top and slopes of the dam will continue eroding.
- 3. Continued spalling, cracking and deterioration of the concrete structures could weaken the dam.
- 4. Additional deterioration along the concrete wall construction joints will weaken the wall as well as make it more prone to freeze-thaw attack.
- 5. Trees on the embankments could cause seepage along their root systems and could cause extensive damage to the embankments if trees are uprooted.
- 6. The wet areas along the toe of the dam and the toes of the two dikes embankments may be signs of excessive seepage.
- 7. The spillway apron has been severely undermined. Should a storm cause water to flow through the spillway, accelerated undermining of this section could occur.
- 8. Trees growing through the spillway apron and in the spillway channel will cause additional damage to the spillway if they are left to grow or are uprooted by wind or flood water.
- 9. The trees and erosion which are displacing the riprap on the upstream slopes of the dikes will promote additional erosion.
- 10. Additional deterioration of the low-level outlet structure could cause the retaining wall to fail which may result in sloughing of the dam's downstream embankment and possibly lessen the stability of the dam.

t

3-3

SECTION 4: OPERALIGNAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

a. <u>General</u> - Operational procedures performed by the operator consist of maintaining in adequate flow of water for manufacturing to the factories downstream. The water level of the reservoir is maintained below the spin way to prevent flow over the spillway. When unusually severe storms are predicted the gates are opened and the reservoir level howered in order to try to prevent flow over the spillway.

b. <u>Description of Any Warning System in Effect</u> - No formal warning system is in effect.

4.2 MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

a. <u>General</u> - There is no formal program of maintenance or inspection at the dam.

b. <u>Operating Facilities</u> - No formal program for maintenance of operating facilities is in effect.

4.3 EVALUATION

Operation and maintenance procedures are not performed. A formal program of operation and maintenance procedures should be implemented, including documentation to provide complete records for future reference. Also, a formal downstream warning system should be developed and implemented within the time frame indicated in Section 7.1.c. Remedial operation and maintenance recommendations are presented in Section 7.3

1

SECTION 5: EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES

5.1 GENERAL

The Stillwater Reservoir Dam watershed is 26.2 square miles of flat and coastal wooded terrain, typically containing large swamps and impoundments (Waterman and Slack Reservoirs) which contribute to the sluggish runoff characteristics of the watershed (See Sheet D-1).

The dam is a concrete and earthfill dam with a concrete crest and cemented stone apron spillway, and two earth dikes. The available storage reduces the outflow from a Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) of 15,700 cubic feet per second (cfs) to 13,800 cfs and the $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF outflow from 7,850 cfs to 6,200 cfs.

Both dikes are densely wooded and have irregular top profiles with elevations varying from 210.5 to 211.0 at the left dike and from 210.7 to 211.4 at the right dike. The spillway apron is in very poor condition and there are many trees, stumps and brusn at both sides of the spillway crest. The reservoir water level is maintained low because of the deterioration of the spillway. The water level is controlled by operation of the low-level outlets.

5.2 DESIGN DATA

No computations could be found for the original design of the dam.

5.3 EXPERIENCE DATA

No information is available.

5.4 TEST FLOOD ANALYSIS

Based upon the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers "Preliminary Guidance for Estimating Maximum Probable Discharges" dated March, 1978; the watershed classification (Flat and Coastal), and the watershed area of 26.2 square miles, a PMF of 15,700 cfs or 600 cfs per square mile is estimated at the damsite. In accordance with the size (intermediate) and hazard (high) classification, the test flood is the PMF. The reservoir level at the start of the test flood is considered to be 3.5 feet below the spillway crest elevation 207.0. The peak outflow for the test flood is estimated at 13,800 cfs and this flow will overtop the dam by 2.3 feet. Based on hydraulics computations, the spillway capacity to the first point of overtopping of the dam/dikes (elevation 210.5) is 1,800 cfs which is equivalent to 13% of the routed test flood outflow. The peak outflow for the ½ PMF is estimated at 6200 cfs, with the project overtopped by 1.3 feet (Appendix D-6).

5.5 DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS

An approximately 15,000 foot reach along the Woonasquatucket River, extending downstream from Stillwater Reservoir would be in case of failure of Stillwater Reservoir Dam. affected Stillwater Pond Dam, Capron Pond Dam and Georgiaville Pond Dam are located within this reach at distances from Stillwater Reservoir Dam of approximately 4,500, 6,300, and 12,000 feet, respectively. The backwaters of each of these dams extend to the toe of the dam immediately upstream of each. Adjacent to the downstream face of Stillwater Pond Dam, the first floor of a large industrial building is approximately 10 feet below the normal water level of Stillwater Pond and 5.7 feet above the normal backwater level of Capron Pond. Five or more houses on the shore of Georgiaville Pond have first floors between 3 and 4.5 feet above the normal pond water level, and several other homes have first floors between 6 and 9 feet above the normal river level (See Sheet D-2). Approximately 500 feet downstream of Stillwater Reservoir Dam, there are two industrial buildings with first floors 12 and 13 feet above normal water level; however, the dam failure analysis indicated that these would not be affected by a failure of the dam.

The dam failure analysis is based on the April, 1978 Army Corps of Engineers "Rule of Thumb Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs". With the reservoir level at the first point of overtopping of the dam/dikes, peak outflow before failure of the dam would be about 1,800 cfs and the peak failure outflow from the dam breaching would total about 26,400 cfs.

prior to failure of Stillwater Reservoir Dam, the depth of flow spillways at Stillwater Pond, Capron Pond, and over the Georgiaville Pond would be 3.1 feet, 3.7 feet, and 3.1 feet respectively, and the depth of water in the channel downstream from Georgiaville Pond Dam would be approximately 3 feet. At this prefailure flow; the first floor of the industrial building just downstream of Stillwater Pond Dam will be approximately 2 feet above the backwater level of Capron Pond; the houses along the shore of Georgiaville Pond will be from 0 to 1.5 feet above the pond water level; and the homes downstream of Georgiaville Pond will be 3 to 6 feet above the river water level. A breach of the dam would result in rapid 4.6 to 7.3 foot increases in water levels throughout the impact area (Appendix D-10), to depths of 7.7, 10.7, and 7.8 feet over the spillways at Stillwater Pond Dam, Capron Pond Dam and Georgiaville Pond Dam, respectively and to a depth of 10.3 feet in the channel downstream of Georgiaville Pond. This sudden outflow will cause innundation of the industrial building and several homes by as much as 5 feet, potentially resulting in loss of more than a few lives and substantial economic loss. Based on the dam failure analysis, Stillwater Reservoir Dam is classified as a high hazard dam (Appendix D-11).

SECTION 6: EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

The visual inspections revealed a series of maintenance and repair related problems which, if not corrected, could compromise the stability of the dam. In summary, these include: 1) excessive erosion of the top and downstream slope of the dam and some erosion of the dikes, 2) growth of large trees on the embankments, 3) undermining of the spillway apron 4) deterioration of concrete, 5) the possibility of excessive seepage in the vicnity of the wet areas at the toe of the dam and dike embankments.

6.2 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION DATA

The drawings and data available and listed in Appendix B were not sufficient to perform an in-depth stability analysis of the dam. To engineering assumptions, data or calculations could be found for the original design of the dam.

6.3 POST-CONSTRUCTION CHANGES

Post-construction changes of the project consisted of constructing the concrete gatehouse, realignment of the left dike, and the extension of the concrete retaining wall to each side of the low-level outlet.

6.4 SEISMIC STABILITY

•

The project is in Seismic Zone 2 and according to the Recommended Guidelines, need not be evaluated for seismic stability.

1.

Ł

SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. <u>Condition</u> - Based upon the visual inspection of the site and past performance, the project appears to be in poor condition. There are areas which require maintenance, repair and monitoring.

Based upon the Army Corps of Engineers' "Preliminary Guidance for Estimating Maximum Probable Discharges" dated March, 1978, the watershed classification and hydraulic/h/drologic computations, peak inflow to the lake at the test flood is 15,700 cubic feet per second (cfs); peak outflow is 13,800 c s with the dam overtopped by 2.3 feet. Based upon hydraulic computations, the spillway capacity to the low point of the left dike is 1800 cfs, which is equivalent to approximately 13% of the routed test flood outflow.

b. Adequacy of Information - The information a ailable is such that an assessment of the condition and stability of the project must be based solely on visual inspection, past performance and sound engineering judgement.

c. <u>Urgency</u> - It is recommended that the measures presented in Section 7.2 and 7.3 be implemented within one year of the owner's receipt of this report, except for Recommendations 1 and 2 and Remedial Measure 1, all of which should be implemented upon the owner's receipt of this report.

7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that further studies, pertaining to the following items be made by a registered professional engineer qualified in dam design and inspection. Recommendations made by the engineer should be implemented by the owner.

- 1. A detailed structural investigation and rehabilitation of the spillway and spillway channel.
- 2. Determination of the stability of the low-level outlet retaining wall and downstream slope of the dam.
- 3. Determination of the origin and significance of the wet areas at the toe of the dam and dike embankments.
- 4. Removal of all trees and tree stumps from the dam and dike embankments, from the spillway channel, and from within 25 feet of the toe of the embankments. This should include removal of root systems and proper backfilling.
- 5. A detailed hydraulic/hydrologic analysis to more accurately determine the adequacy of the existing project discharge and overtopping potential.

7-1

ŧ

- 6. Backfilling with suitable material of the erosion ditches and footpaths on the top and slopes of the dam and dikes and any other visible erosion. Replacement of any displaced riprap slope protection.
- 7. Evaluation of the condition of the concrete wall of the dam and necessary repairs.
- 8. Inspection and evaluation of the low-level outlets, conduits and sluice gates.

7.3 REMEDIAL MEASURES

a. <u>Operation and Maintenance Procedures</u> - The following measures should be undertaken by the owner within the length of time indicated in Section 7.1.c, and continued on a regular basis.

- Round-the-clock surveillance should be provided during periods of heavy precipitation or high project discharge. A formal downstream warning system should be developed to be used in case of emergencies at the dam.
- 2. A formal program of operation and maintenance procedures should be instituted and fully documented to provide accurate records for future reference. The maintenance procedures should include a monthly inspection by the owner or owner representative.
- 3. A comprehensive program of inspection by ε registered professional engineer qualified in dam inspection should be instituted on an annual basis.
- 4. All brush should be removed from the tops and slopes of the dam and two dikes, and from the spillway and spillway channel.
- 5. Protective vegetation such as grass, should be established and maintained on all bare areas.

7.4 LITERNATIVES

. . .

This study has identified no practical alternatives to the above recommendations.

t

APPENDIX A

7

1.N.

.

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

١

1.
VISUAL INSPECTION CHECK LIST PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT Children RESCA	CHR DAM	DATE: / / 32	<u> </u>
1		TIME: AFTER MORTH	C AFTERNON
		WEATHER : JANNY, FA	SUNALY DU CNGLOND
		W.S. ELEV. <u>46, /</u>	U.S DN.S
PARTY:	INITIALS:	DISCI	PLINE:
1. P THE HORNER	<u>r"</u> +		TECH INTRE
2. 7 <u>~0 175v</u> Ext	<u> </u>	<u></u>	THE LEAS
S. TIM KAVAN 903H	<u> </u>	<u> 460</u>	TECH VICAL
4. <u>H </u>	HM	** CDRA	il in the second se
5. TPANK SCUALINE	F 5		(<u>=</u> Y
ό			
PROJECT FEATURE		INSPECTED BY	REMARKS
1. PAN EMGANXMEN	Γ	CH. T.T. TK. HMD	4
2. RIGHT DIK		TH F TK, HM	
3. 1SFT DIKE		H, T.J. TK, HM	
4. Spiceway	Pi	TT, TK, HM	
5. AUTL T STRUCTOR	E PH.	TS T: HM	
б	······································		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			1
12			·
			1
			A-1

ł

÷

į

· · · · · · · ·

PERIODIC INS	PECTION CHECK LIST Page A
FROJECT TELETATION	CARRY ZALLAN DATE COSTOR
PROJECT FEATURE DO THE PART	THE THE FRANKING BY THE TRANKING
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
DAM EMBANKMENT	
Crest Elevation	211.0
Current Pool Elevation	= 203.1
Maximum Impoundment to Date	
Surface Cracks	and the man
Pavement Condition	N/A
Movement or Settlement of Crest	N/A None observed
Lateral Movement	
Vertical Alignment	Appears good
Horizontal Alignment	
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Heavy brush and tree = up to 200
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	None observed
Trespassing on Slopes	topof dam.
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	or Top Stilling Eresion ditche s in 23 Jeep mais much es 27 leg
Rock Slope Protection-Riprap Failures	N/A
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or Near Toes	Nor- con- and
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	ramp at i e at containkment
Piping or Boils	None our ed
Foundation Drainage Features	N/A
Toe Drains	When originated
Instrumentation System	Nore

A-2

PERIODIC INSI	PECTION CHECK LIST Page A-S
	<u>2017 (CAA)</u> DATE <u>77-30 - 50</u> BY
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIO
DIKE EMBANKMENT	+ 2/1 0 - /10
Crest Elevation	±211.0 Encyclor
Current Pool Elevation	± 203.1
Maximum Impoundment to Date	
Surface Cracks	and brands
Pavement Condition	N ia
Movement or Settlement of Crest	at many the covert
Lateral Movement	
Vertical Alignment	Appenes Secul
Horizontal Alignment	
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Abetment: covered with brush and trees up to 18" \$
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	κ/A
Sloughi:g or Erosion of Slopes or Abutmen s	some the share of the soul of the
Rock Slope Protection-Riprap Failures	and a start of a strange to the start of a second start of the sec
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or Near Toos	Nor short to
Unusual Embankment or D-wnstream Seepage	Remoted water the at dike no sound
Piping r Boils	Wine man ed
Foundation Drainage Features	Nore
Toe Drains	Hore.
Instrumentation System	Nonc
Trespassing on Slopes	thereasy

A-3

t

, ,

PERIODIC INSPECTION CHECK LIST Page A-4		
PROJECT THE ATER AS A CONTRACTOR DATE IN THE PROJECT		
PROJECT FEATURE		
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION	
DIKE EMBANKMENT	± 211.0 Irregular	
Crest Elevation		
Current Pool Elevation	± 203,1	
Maximum Impoundment to Date		
Surface Cracks	None observed	
Pavement Condition	N/A	
Movement or Settlement of Crest 7	None abserved	
Lateral Movement		
Vertical Alignment	Apprars good	
Horizontal Alignment		
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	Left abutment covered with brush	
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	None GOSTIVED	
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	From topot dike.	
Rock Slope Protection-Riprap Failures	A, ropon de stope insplaced	
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or Near Toes	None observed	
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	when the character company counts	
Piping or Boils	Nine cherved	
Foundation Drainage Features	None	
Toe Drains	Nerre.	
Instrumentation System	Nont	
Trespassing on Slopes	Non + Hervy	

A-4

		SPECTION CHECK LIST Page
	2:00.30P	
	PROJECT PEATURE	ВҮ
	AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
our	LET WORKS-SPILLWAY WEIR, APPRO/CH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS	
رد	Approach Channel	
	General Condition	lery Vicer
	Loose Rock Overhanging Channe	
	Trees Overhanging Channel	i
	Figor of Approach Channel	any the
נכ	Weir and Training Walls	
	Jeneral Condition of Concrete	Vergensel
	Rust or Staining	
	Spalling	
	Any Visible Reinforcing	
	Any Seepage or Efflorescence	the state of the second second
	Drain Holes	
• •	(asonarge Channel	
	emeral Condition	
	Loose Rock Overhinging Channel	х. / С
	Trees Overhanging Channel	
	Floor of Channel	Very port
	Other Obstructions	Prove there is have the second

(

7

A-5

PERIODIC 15	ISPECTION CHECK LIST Page /
PROJECT NEW PROPERTY A	DAME HERE
PROJECT FEATURE CARACTER	BY BY
AREA EVALUATED	CONDITION
OUTLET WORKS-OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL	
General Condition of Concrete	have to prove
Rust or Staining	the solut of alling and deteries the
Spalling	Survey test wall budly determined. Supposed is meantally and spallet.
Erosion or Cavitation Visible Reinforcing	None Incrued
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	None served
Condition at Joints	Form
Drain Holes	None of cost -
Channel	Fint
Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel	Ner C
Condition of Discharge Channel	$\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{x}}$
	•

A-6

, ,

DET WORKS - CONTROL STAUCHSKE (COL .) CONCREME CONDITION TOUNDATION conc (spalling, scouring, visible reinforcing, rusting/staining) DOORS, ROOF, ETC. stal door, freshly painted (concrete roof OTHER GATES: TYPE unknown / door locked CONDITION there they - left gate open and passing lang. OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE & OUTLET CHANNEL GENERAL CONDITION OF CONCRETE walls spalling badly CONDITION OF CHANNEL/SLUICEWAY generally fair condites LOOSE ROCK / VERHANGING TREES more at shuceway. OBSTRUCTIONS N DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL overgrown in channel w/ small shruh - + vegetation. SPILLWAY WEIR APPROACH CHAN EL - OBSTRUCTIONS stone embedded approach ommen OVERHANGING T'EES / ROCKS organing trees on both sides of spill FLOOR OF APPRUACH CHANNEL lines w/ stone TRAINING / WING WALLS concrete spalled & secured but not as TYPE - stone w/ somerate crest. CONDITION (GENERAL) (spallig, scouring, visible signs of reinforcing, rusting/staining) CONDITION & ABUTMENT WALLS over caoun w/small & med trees CONDITION OF APRON Gomplete broken wreck OBSTRUCTIONS IN DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL overgrown up shale & Trees In great need of overall repair. compare to o'd photos. B-12 1



F STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTML MANAGEMENT

JAM INSPECTION REPORT

DATE: Qug 31, 78 DAM NO.: 108 DAM NAME: Millwater Built 1910 DAM/DIKE EMBANKM NT East en with concrete core TYPE POOL ELEVATION very low GENERAL CONDITION: SLOPES avoin approx 150 M. of cone. wall on dike . w/ Trees. small of de stelouse washing lown CREST ich er downsteam outlet shannel from go Tur Q ABUTMENTS & CONCRETE STRUCTURES along face and type. 6"- 12" at phase - worce con RIP - RAP none . struction jointy s at co INDICATIONS OF LEEKAGE/SEEPAGE no signs of any signy this krea wet area believed dike probably caused by seapon OTHER Poorly m OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE STRUCTURE APPROACH CHANNEL - OBSTRUCTIONS, ETC. clear unobstructed INTAKE STRUCTURE: too low pin water to be viseble WING WALLS TRASH RACK not able to abaence. CONDITION OF CONCRETE spalling along water line (spalling, scouring, visible reinforcing, rusting/staining) OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL STRUCTURE concrete well constructeon hid/stable condition TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION GENERAL CONDITION 2.

B-11

t

DIVISION OF HARBORS AND RIVERS	
SURVEY OF DAMS IN RHODE ICLAND	
Woonasquatucket Hiver Basin	108 LULLANCER
Drainage Area at the Dam 26.2 Sq. Mi.	
February 1948	
Spillway - 100' x 4' deep, capacity -	2777 c.f.s.

Estimated extreme freshet 1127 c.f.s.

Fr. 1. 11. 1943

7

•

. . .

. ł.

B-10

ŧ

1

, 1

R. I. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS **DIVISION OF HARBORS AND RIVERS**

DAM NO. LOH

5/ 1/45

1

SPECIAL INSPECTION REPORT INSPECTED BY TOWN - SWITHFIELD 00000 RIVER WCONASQUATUCKET HIVER ICA NAME STILLWATER RESERVOIR 0N HOONABQUATUCKET RESERVOIR CO. 52 VALLEY STREET, IROVIDENCE, R I C/C MR. HOLDSWORTH, FREST. PROV. D. 8. & C. CC REPAIRS INSPECTION ONLY REPORT ON -NEW CONSTRUCTION APPROVED CONTRACTOR INSPECTION REPORT BY JOHN V. KEILY REASON ROUTINE DATE 11/12/46 EMERGENCT: - SIL ING. TRUST BLDG. suderon 1. A. W. ANDERBERN, RLS. 90 ANDUALE RD. CRANSTON. TEL. W 2023. MUS. FIDEL. & UAL. UCA ga . _____ 2. HENRY A. FULLER, GREENVILLE (SMAKE HILL ROAD, GLOCESTER) TEL. SOIT. 4315 3.

TYPE CONDITION

Ĵ

AM NO

VNER

IDRESS

ANS BY

CKLER

ILLWAY

AW-OFF GATES

NUMBER CONDITION

TRENCHES & WHEELS

11, 21/84 ALL IN GOOD CONDITION. LONG EMBANKMENTS PROTECTED BY 4" GRAVITY-SECTION CONCRETE WALL ON POND SIDE. ONLY BLIGHT SCALING VISIBLE ON CONCRETC: WEDNES WELL GRASSED AND RECENCLY TRIVICL NEW GATEHOUSE, SPILLWAY CLEAR, SLIGHT SCALING ON CONCRETE GROUT ON COUBLES ON APRON. FEW TREES ON EMBANKMENT ON POND SIDE IN GROUTED RHRRAP SHOULD BE GUT BEFORE TOD LARGE, SOUTHER'S SECTION OF EMBANKNENT OVERGROWN WITH BRUSH AND TREES; NEEDS CUTTING AT UNCE. SO PROVIDED BY TH. ANGENSI

PANEMENT 11/24/47 YPE CONDITION FAIR. BOOTH EMEANWHENT STILL NEEDS SOTTING. ALSO NORTH EMBALKMENT, SOME RIPRAP CONDITION DISPLACED. READING GAGE INSTALLED ON SUUTH SIDE OF GATE HOUSE READS 52 FEET TUDAY. RESERVOIR APPROACHES VERY LOW.

BRUSHES & TREES RESERVOIR FULL AFTER RAINY SPRING- GATE GLOSED- 4" TO 5" OVER SPILLWAY TOUAY.

RIPRAP

EROSION

PE SENT USE

W > CONTROLS

WHO CONTACTED AT SITE

TRUCTIONS LEFT

IN EMPRGENCY GALL

- 40 - 140-4

B - 9

Ł

DIVIDION OF LARGER AND REVERS BURNON OF STATE DAMS. 1

Woonasquatucket Drainage Area.
H2 Stillwater Deserveir
Lrainage area at the dam 25.52 sq. mi.
Spillway 1001 long
41 Deep
Spillway capacity 2331 ofs.
Extreme freshet 1122 ofs.
Area of the Reserveir 330 acres.
Capheiby about 100,000,000 cd.
Waterman, Sprague Coper and Lower, Slack, Hountaindale ond Higking are all above Stillmanville and control the Clow at freshet time to such extent that 1056fs would not reach stillmanville and control the Stillmanville and stillm

See Comrissioner of Dams Reports 1911-15

August 16, 1940.

۰.

. . .

t.

BIVISION OF BARBORT AND RIATES LETTER TO C. ROJERT LIPOR, CLEPP GI DIT LEFOR FLOW JCHN P. LANDTORTY I. AFE. TO LETTER MARCH ED. 1999

these two are apparently the same, the Sourtaindale Pond - # /2 4" is controlled by the "connegatuaket Reservoir co. of which I an Pressure: We also control

> # /// Websznan Roservoir Built ? & po Upper Syrague " ? # //5 Elsek Roservoir " ? # /0? Stillmeter Roservoir 19007/10 # /~/ Lower Syrague " 7

All of these dans have been kept in the boot repair pess blo. He As We Anderson, Circuit Road, Edgewood, is our engineer (WI 2005) and George Sireh in Greenville hat sharps of pates.

My com address is 107 Prospect Street, vol. Contestions 652

/s/ 'oha ". Farmeworth

All other dans on the river under control of individual illa. Will be glad to ecoperate in any may.

192

Original of the letter i Dave # 125

B - 7

t

Hr: acquacted 2-State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS STATE OFFICE DUILDING OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR Waterman URECTOR DIVISION OF ROACT AND BRIDGES DIVISION OF PUBLIC BUILD'N 35 DIVISION OF STATE AT PORTS RECEIVED DIVISION OF HARBORS & RIVERS DIVISION OF HARD DRY AND RIVERS PROVIDENCE, March 28, 1939 Woo sus weather Firm was Mn-Goorgo such company to My provides Woonasquatucket Water Works ηo Smithfield, R. I.

Dear Sir:-

Will you kindly furnish this office with any date or olans you may have; also the name, address and telephone number, if any, of the person in charge of the Stillwater Reservoir dam or gates located on the Woonasquatucket River at Smithfield, Rhode Island in order that we may notify him in case of any emergency.

Kindly return this letter with the information thereon as a means of identification.

If possible, also furnish us with date when said dam or gates were built or rebuilt.

Skillwater Reservis part of War Jesquaturer Roservir in

Pond it Stander R. I controlled by herter Wuster To

(only operated by)

Very truly yours,

Rolan Bynch

B-6

1.

CHIEF DIVISION HARBORS & RIVERS.

CRL/T

.



COPY OF FULL REPORT AS CONTATIONS TO MALINE REPORTS

110

OF COMMISSICHTRS OF DAME AND RESERVEIRS.

1011 - The Woonasquatucket Water Company have completed a star in the town of Omithfield near the village of Stillwater from which village the reservoir formed will take its note. The dam is composed of three sections and is an earthen for with a concrete obre and concrete rate of ambers and still vig. It is some 2100 feet in length and 10 feet at its prest of is the The reservoir will cover an area of 350 across and it is the timated that it will store about 100,000,000 cubic first of water, approximately 900,000,000 callons. In construction whe reservoir it was found necessary to raise the stade of a of the town roads and build new and substantial bridges. Plans and specifications are to be found in this report.

1932 - Mentioned in report.



PAGE	B-15	B-16	B-17	3-18
SUBJECT	Request for copies of dam repair plans	Dam Inspection Report	Bathymetric map of Stillwater Reservoir	Dam Inventory Sheet
FROM	Earle F. Prout, Jr. Dept. of Environmental Management Dam Section	State of Rhode Island Dept. of Envionrmental Management		State of Rhode Island, Dept. of Environmental Management
	Mr. Arthur Winsor Winsor Construction Co.	File		
DATE	Feb. 8, 1979	Aug. 31, 1979		

7

., ° •

3

B-3

•

¢

. .

,

1.

ł

	1		PAGE	B-4	B-5	B - 6	B-7	B-8	B-9	B-10	B-11	B-13
		NCE	SUBJECT	Copy of full report as contained in Yearly Reports of Commissioners of Dams and Reservoirs	Dam Construction Photo- graphs	Request for plans and data pertaining to Stillwater Reservoir Dam	Reply letter to the re- quest for plans and data	Survey of State Dams	Special Inspection Report	Survey of Dams in Rhode Island	Dam Inspection Report	Dam Inspection Report
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y OF DATA AND CORRESPONDENCE	FROM			C. Robert Lynch State of Rhode Island Department of Public Works. Division of Harbors and Rivers	John F. Farnsworth Woonasguatucket Water Works	Division of Harbors and Rivers	Division of Harbors and Rivers	Division of Harbors and Rivers	State of Rhode Island, Dept. of Environmental Management	State of Rhode Island Dept. of Environmental Management
		SUMMARY	<u>10</u>			Mr. George Birch Moonasquatucket Water Works	C. Robert Lynch State of Rhode Island, Dept. of Public Works, Division of Harbors and Rivers.	File	File	File	File	File
•	3 5 6		DATE	1161	1910	March 28, 1939		Aug. 16, 1940	Nov. 12, 1946	Feb. 1948	Aug, 31, 1978	Aug, 31, 1978
	1						D 2					

.

ł

1

B-2

STILLWATER RESERVOIR DAM

1.1

EXISTING PLANS

"Stillwater Reservoir" Plan Number 108 July 28, 1940 Rhode Island Department of Public Works Division of Harbors and Rivers By the Works-Projects Administration





ŧ

•

 $\langle N \rangle$:

> •••

APPENDIX B

ENGINEERING DATA AND CORRESPONDENCE

1.

.

t

Ş

1 1

.

• • · · • •

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND A ID PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT MANAGEME Enance to Call Mour DAM INSPECTION REPORT RIVER: Woonasquatice ket R. WITERSHED: ("comasquatuchet DATE: Que 31, 1970 AM: 108 TOWN: In called INSPECTED by: AME: Stillwater Kes. Earle 7 Prost y Woonasquatucket Res. Co. OTHER INTERESTED PARTY: c/o MA. Raymond S. Gregson, Record at P.O Box 507B Esmand, R. 4. 02912 **MEASON FOR INSPECTION:** N.P.S. I. D. - Digration t Antermedeate Mara is annual Anserter General: Dam built in 1910 1947 Inspection regost refers to gatelone as meurile t de in-Current Pool Elevation: apprix. 3's Indour real of april uni Can Enlankment: Earther dans embardinent er ferreret extending northward from spilling approx bou! Conrete wall an pond side of taking a staining wall and down the wall scope drope 12-15' @ 2:1 in most places. Downstroam s'ope is showing signs of serious encien adjacent to gatchance (also appears to have become non pethony to I channel. However, there are provenent sing I af a support. perpage / lea "nge through en bankiment. L'abge leter d'alle The af atom interaction the states Allest of deconstrain slopes are heavily overgrown with meetium and large in the gates: approach to gate structure is clear and unobstructure. The trash rack was too far a des water to be observed. The concrete foundation and walks of gatchause and in good

steel don the appears to have been recently printed and bocked (suggesting entire structure has then completel abandonet). Left gate is emerally open and passing large volume of water (note turbulence in photo 3) The walls of the outlet structure are spalled bracking photo "3 Spalling of the concrete is also very bad in many area along the concrete wall of the embankments the concrete relaining wall is spalled pecoured 6"-12" deep in some places - the works condition being at the construction nonts. The discharge channel from outlet structure is our geouse -with small shube and other vegation. spillway: The approach to the concrete spillway is / completely checked overgoown with trees and brush and abstructed w/ stumps strewn about the entire crest (1. h.t. 4). Both sides of spillway at consiste abutomer twalks are heavily rear and with overhanging trees. The abut meet / training walks are specified and served, but not as trading as main retaining with main a min Olthough west is completely overgroun with treas & should at appears to be in fairly good condition. A wever, the spillwar up on is a complete broken-up wreck with large areas electer away. The downstream discharge channel is over cown with sould and trees. Comments + Recommendations -

B-14



TATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS

Department of Environmental Management DIVISION OF LAND RESOURCES 83 Park Street Providence, R. I. 02903

February 9, 1979

Mr. Arthur Winsor c/o Winsor Construction Company 243 Angell Road Lincoln, Rhode Island 02865

Re: Woonasquatucket Reservoir Dam, R.I. Dam #108 (also known locally as Stump Pond Dam)

Dear Mr. Winsor;

This letter has reference to our phone conversation of this date relative to your anticipated repairs to the Woonasquatucket Reservoir Dam, R.I. Dam #108.

As mentioned in our conversation, it is requested that you furnich this office two file copies of the enclosed Application for the Approval of Plans & Specifications (the third copy is to be retained by the owner), along with a description of the proposed scope of work which details the extent of the project and the manner it is to be accomplished, prior to the commencement of any remedial work.

Thank you for contacting this office. If we can be of further assistance, do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours:

trout, Jr.

Earle F. Prout, Jr. Dams Section Division of Land Resources

8-15



; •• | _ _ _



CODING SHEET	DAM INIT JORY	Card #1 Page #1
INTERINT DATA:		,
]. Dan number	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.0108
2. City/town		
3. U.S.G.S. quad sheet num	oer	08
4. Owner/operator	•••••	9
5. Water rights owner	••••••	
6. Type of ownershippond	•••••	
7. Type of ownershippubl	ic access	
8. Type of public access .	•••••	[]
9. Designed purpose of dam	••••	²⁰
10. Current use of dam	•••••••	21
WATERSHED DATA:	-	20
ll. Drainage basin	•••••	$\dots \mathcal{W} \varphi$
12. Stream name	•••••	
13. Area of watershed (nea	rest tenth sq. mi.)	
14. Design storm frequency	•••••	
15. S.C.S, Hydrologic curv	e number	
16. Peak discharge rate of	watershed (C.F.S.)	35
	(OVER)	

B-18

÷.,

CODING SHELT	DAL DIV. DTONY	Card #1 Page #2
POOL DATA:		40
17. Elevation normal	water level of pool	2070
18. Elevationpool b	ottom ? dike (1/10 ft.) .	.034.0
19. Elevationdnstro	an channel bed (3 dike	<u>034</u> .0
20. Area of pool surf	sce (nearost acre)	0312
21. Normal storage ca	p. of pool (nrst acre ft.)	03370
22. Water quality of	pool	
SPILLWAY DATA:		63
23. Type of spillway	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. <u>R</u>
24. Type of material	in spillway	
25. Elevationcrest	of spillway (1/10 ft.)	<u>050</u> .0
26. Hax. safe depth o	of flow over spillway	4.0
27. Width of spillway	(nearest ft.)	1/00
28. liax. flow capacit	y of spillway (C.F.S.)	02777
29. Condition of spil	llway	
ID:		80
Card number	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

1.1

B-19

CODING SHEET	DAM INVENTORY	Card #2 Page #1
ID:		
30. Dam number	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0100
WASTE WATER OUTLET DAT	<u>A</u> :	
31. Type of waste wat	er outlet	<u>C</u>
32. Waste water outla	t size (sq. ft.)	022
33. Max. flow cap. of	waste water outlet (C.F.S.)	
34. Condition of wast	e water outlet	
DIKE DATA:		
35. Elevation top of	dike (1/10 ft.)	 054.0
36. Length of dike (e	xcl. spillway) (nearest ft.)	23
37. Top width of dike	e (nearest ft.)	
38. Type of construct	tion of dike	Z
39. Type of material	in dike	
40. Condition of dike	*** • • ¹ • • • • • • • • • •	•• 🖵
FLOOD CONTROL DATA:		
41. Elevationexpec	ted high water (1/10 ft.)	
42. Flood control st	prage capacity (nrst acre ft.	
43. Maxistora discha	x90 cap. of dam (C.F.S.)	43
44. Flood control at	ructuretype	· · · • •i
	(OVER)	
	B -2 0	
e e companya and an and an		and the structure of a
The second second	1	

CODING SHEET	DAM INVENTORY	Card #2 Page #2	ł
DATA ON ASSOCIATEL STRUCTUR	RES:	44	
45. Drain valve type			
		45	
46. Drain valve size (sq.	ft	•••	
47. Drain valve location	(sta. on C/L of dam)	•••••	
48. Draw down valve type			
		<u>51</u>	
49. Draw down value size	(sq. ft.)		
	× .	⁵³	
50. Draw down valve locat:	ion (sta. on C/L of d		
• •			
51. Fish ladder elevation	1 OI ILOOT @ dam (1/1	0 £t.)	
52. Fish ladder rise (nea:	rest ft.)		
		62	
53. Fish ladder width (nea	arest ft.)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		63	
54. Fish ladderdesign d	epth of flow (nrst ft	64	
55. Fish laddergeneral	location		
		65	
56. Fish laddertype of	fish		
GENERAL STATUS CE DAM:		66	
57. Year dam built			
,			
58. Date last podificatio	n completed (mo./yr.)		
59. Date of last inspecti	on (mo /vr)	105,48	
are made of tast the bacts		78	
60. General condition of	dam		
		79	
61. Note or recark			
<u>ID:</u>		HQ I	
62. Card number	•••••		
	B-21		

•

¢

3

ŷ

L

1.1.1

ł

APPENDIX C

DETAIL PHOTOGRAPHS

.

Ł



r I

ł

1 1

:

•



.....

ţ

1



t



L

1.15

ahn Engineers Inc.	Consulting Engineers
Project LON TEDERAL DAM'S INSPECTION	Sheet of
Computed By Cnecked By Cnecked By Checked By Check	Date 12/3/80
Field Book Ref Other Refs. 2 2 7 27-784	Revisions

"THE WATER RESERSOM DAM

1) DONNSTREAM FAILURE HAZARD

1) POTENTIAL JUACT AREAS THREE DAMS (JULGMIER, JURNIN AND GEORGIAVILLE PENDS) ARE LOCATED WITHIN 2.5 ^{MIDIS} FROM THE JULGMIEN RESERVOIR DAM, IN THE VOONAS-GUATUCRET RIVER. TWO JUDGTRIAL BUNCHASS WITH FIRST FLOORS (1)12' AND 13' ABOVE THE CHANNES AND LOCATED JUST R. (1500') FROM THESTUDY DAM THE FIRST FICOR OF A LARGE INDUSTRIAL BUNCHASS IT STICLUMTER POND DAM IS (2) 10' BECOW THE POND'S W.C. FIVE ON MODE HOUSES ON THE SHORE OF GEORGIAVICLE BOND HAVE FIRST FLOORS BETWEEN (0)3' AND 4.5' ABOVE THE FOND'S W.L. AND SEVENAL OTHER HOMES DIS OF D.TH. CAPRON AND SECU-GIAVILLE POND DAMS HAVE FIRST FLOORS BETWEEN (3) AND 9' ABOVE THE RIVEN CHANNEL. THESE STRUCTURES CONSTITUTE THE POTENTIAL JURNET AND IN CHSE OF FAILURE OF JULCUATER REIEN 'ON DAM.

2) FAILURE AT STILLWATER RES. DAM.

ASSUME SURCHARGE TO FIRST POINT OF OVERTOPPING (LEFT DIRE), ELEV. 21. S. NOOD

a) HEIGHT OF DAM: HAWE 3 20' (CE. MEASONE "19 EL 192 NEW) TO MATE (1925) MEES FURT, ASSUME STREAMBED (2) 1'BELOW. THIS MALENSION ACCESS AND STENS ON THE R.J. DEPARTMENT OF PUCLIC WORKS STILLWATEN RESERVOIR "HISSING

O) MID- HEIGHT LENGTH " C= 432'

C) BREACH WIDTH (SEE NED ACE % DAAN FAILURE GUIDELINES)

W=0.4 × 432'= 173' ASSUME W: 170'

d) ASSUMED WATER DEVIN AT TIME OF FAILURE : Yo: 19.5 (EC.2:0.5 TO EL. 141)

0-7

* FROM CE MEASSUREMENTS ON 10/1, 10 BY WE E.E.

Cahn Engineers Inc. **Consulting Engineers** Project MAR FEDERAC DOMAS JAPECTIUN _____ Sheet _____ of ____2 ____ Date ____ 80 ير دې. ن Computed By _____ Checked By_ Other Refs CE # 27-785-HB Revisions Field Book Ref _ (0) PEAK OUTFLOWS (OR & Q') Q= 13800 H3 = 5.8' (ELEN 312.8' NEVD) Q' = 6200 CAS H' = 4.8' (ELEV. 211.8' NAVD) (DETENMINED * ON THE OUTFLOW RATING ORVE (P. D-4), BY USING THE APPROX. ROUTING NED-ACE GUIDELINES "SURCHARGE STORAGE ROUTING" ALTERNATE METHOD AND 19" HAY PROBABLE R.O. IN NEW ENGLAND).

3) SPILLWAY CAPACITY RATIO TO PEAK OUTFLOWS

CAPACITY H	1	1	SPILLWAT CAPACITY (CFS)	SPILLUNAY CAPACITY AS % OF PEAK CUTPLOWS.	
	H (FT)			Ql3 (13800 ^{CH})	Q'B (6200 ^{CS})
LOW POINT	3,5	210.5	1800	13	29
Toi of DAM	4.0	211.0	2200	16	3.5
1. PMF	4.8	211.8	2800		45
PMF	5.8	2/2.8	3800	28	

* UN CHARGE ABOVE STALWAN GERT (ELEV. 207'NEVD). NORMAL POUL ASSUMED 35' BELOW "TI'LWAN (LECT (ELEN. 2035'NEVD - SEE P. P.S). - SURCH. STORAGE TO SPRIY. AV. 750, 450, 457 ** L. W. POINT AT LEFT DIKE (SEE PROFILE P. D-2)

*SEE EXAMPLE BELOW


A-AREA: MEASURED ON THE R.I. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGENE IT "WOONAGRUATUCKET RESERVOIR" (DAY #108. STILLWATER RES) BATHYMETRIC MAP, SCALE 1"-88%" ASSUMING ALC. AT THOM LINE ELEV. 207 EQUAL TO A20., = 304 AC. (AT CONTOUR "O" ON MAP).

S- AREAS MEASURED ON USES GEORGIAVILLE, 2.1. QUAVILANGLE SHEET (REV. 1970/75) * SEE NOTE P. D-2

UI) ASSUME NORMAL POOL AT ELEV. 203.5 NOVO CE, 3.5 BELOW STRUMENT CLEST AS RECORDED BY THE R.I. DEPARTHENT ON ENVIOLMMENTAL MANAGENTAT DURING THE INSPECTIONS OF AUGUST 1778 AND 1979. THE LAKE WL ±5.1 BROW THE SPILLING CLEST OBSCRIVED BY C.E. ON 10/9/3 > 35 THEREFORE, CONTDERSU BELOW NORMAL POOL. (THE POOL IS KEPT LOW RECOVE OF THE R. OR CWLI-TION OF THE SPILLINGY)

D-5



"I WAITINGE U.A. = 26.2 " NOR (SEE D. D. I)

0-4

1.

Cann Engineers Inc.	Consulting Engineers
Project NUN- FEDERAC DAM'S INSPECTION	Sheet of
Computed By Checked By 643	Dote 13/1 20
Field Book Ref Other RefsOther Refs.	-17 AS Revisions

$$\frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial s$$

4') SPILLWAY (SECTION G.H)

5) SECTIONS JJ, KC & M.N

$$\mathcal{P}_{JJ,KL,MN}^{*} = 0.4 \left(13 + 50 + 4 \right) \times 2 \left(H - 4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 53.6 \left(H - 4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

6) SECTION LM (EKHT DIKE & ROAD):

$$Q_{14} = 2 \times 634 (H - 4)^{3/2} = 1270 (H - 4)^{3/2}$$

THE TOTAL OVERFLOW IS APPROXIMATED BY THE JUM OF ALL THE APPLICACLE FOR-MULLE ON ITEMS (1) THE (6'):

THE COLLEG VONDING OVERFLOW RATING WEVE IS RUTTED ON P. D-4 AND MEGGE. -THE FROM THEOREM THE BOTTOM GATED OUTLET.

*NOTE FROM OVER SCORE SECTION: BY APPLICATION OF FORMULA SWEW to P THE USIS ON: "ME SUREMENT OF PEAK DISCHAHAG AT DAMS BY TWOIRECT METHODS" BY H. HULSING (SPILICATIONS OF HYDRAMICS):

WHERE: &= DISCH.; G= DISCH. COEFFICIENT; b= LENETH; hawhy = STATIC HEAD REFERED TO HICH AND LOW ENDS OF WEIR, RECPECTIVELY.

0-3

Cahn Engineers Inc.	Consulting Engineers
roject NON FEDERAL DAMS INSPECTION	Sheet of
Computed By HU Checked By 54 ield Book Ref Other Refs. CE#27	13 Date 11/20/80
ield Book Ref Other Refs. CE#27.	- 785-HB Revisions

WIL IS KEPT LOW - ASSULTED NORMAL POOL ELE . 203.5 NOVD - (SEE P. D-5)

ASSUME C= 2.7 FUR THE SMILLINGY AND DAM OVERFLOW AND C= 2.0 FOR THE DIRES AND ADJACENT TEREAM OVERFLOW.



NOTE: DATA FEOU S.E. OBSCRIATIONS ON 10/9/80 BY HE & F.S.

> (1) THEREFORE. THE OVERFILM RATING CUEVE FOR JECHARGES 'H) ABOVE THE SPILLINAP SEGST CAN BE APPROXIMATED AS FOLLOWS:

> > 1') SECTION AB: QAR = 0.4 × 21 × 2 (H-3.5) = 16.8 (H-3.-) =

2') SESTION BC (LEFT DIKE). $(4_{BC})_{i} = 0.4 \times 4^{42} / 0.5 \times 2 (1'-3.5)^{4/2} = 73? (H-35)^{5/2} H=4'$ $(0_{BC})_{2} = 739 \left[(H-3.5)^{5/2} - (H-4)^{5/2} \right] \qquad H=4'$

*NOTE WS ELEVATION 207' MSL ON THE USES SEDEGUAVILLE, R.I. QUADRANGLE SHEET (HEN. 1970/20) IS ASSUMED TO BE THE SPILLWAY CLEST ELEVATION ON NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM (NGYD) D-2

Project Nord CTIDN OF	NON-FEDERAL DAM. TUNE	WENFILAND	Sheet of2
Computed By	Checked By Other Refs	GARS	Date1/14/80
Field Book Ref	Other Refs	77-785-NB	Revisions
HYDROLDGIC	HYDRAULIC JASPECTUR		
THEWATE	K RESERVOIR DAM, . W.	17 H FIELL, R.I.	
I) PERFORM	ANCE AT PEAK FLOOD ?	SNDITION.	
I) PROBAC	BLE MAXIMUM FUDD FU	()	
2) WATE	RSHED CLASSIFIED AS FR	TAT AND GASTAL	", TYPICALLY SNTAINING LA
WA.	AND JUPOUNDMENTS (WATERMAN IND	CACK RESERVOINS
O) WATE	LINED AKES: D.A. = 26.	2 Sq. mi	
	Note: D.A. FROM R.I. DEPA	ATHENT OF PUBLI	C WORKS DIVISION OF HARSO
			IND "DATED TED. 1948. REFVILUS
	REPORT, AUG. 1940, 5	IVES D.A. = 25.50	2 Sq. Mi. (USE LATER FIGURE).
C) PEAK	FLOODS (FROM NED-ACE ;		WOF CURVES FOR PHF)
	i) FROM GUIDE JURVES	- 11 = 600	CFS/ mi.
	(1) PMF = 26.2 × 500 =	15705 CFS	
	(u)/2PHF= 1850 CFS		
2) VRCHI	RGE AT PEAK INFLOWS (1	MAT IND 1/2 PUF	J
2) JUTE	LOW KATING CURVE		
c) J	PILLINAY AND INCREASING /	PEOFRE OF DAY:	
مرک	PLIMAY 100'LONG IN VENT	FOOL SENDITIONS	A HON DE TROM AND AST
bx	WSH GROWTH & AND & OF THE (-)	WIDE "PILLINAY	REST) AT ELEN 207'NGV. D.
			DED) EXTEND THE OVERTHIN !
# 1	LE (SEE P. D-2). BECAUSE	SF THE SPILLE	NAY CONDITION, THE RETER
* FROM C	S.G.S. GEORGIAVILLE, P.J. G.	VAD THEET (SEE NO	(DTE P. D-2) D-1
	·····		

1.1.3







APPENDIX D

. . . .

٦

HYDRAULICS/HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

• }

.

.

t

1

ş

·· · · •



Photo 11 - Low level outlet structure. Note deterioration of concrete, (11/20/80).



Photo 12 - Low level outlet structure. Note deterioration of concrete. Note erosion of downstream slope in upper right, (11/20/80).

US ARMY ENGINEER DIV. NEW ENGLAND CORPS OF ENGINEERS WALTHAM. MASS.	NATIONAL PROGRAM OF	<u>Stillwater Reservoir Dam</u> Woonasquatucket River
	INSPECTION OF	Smithfield, R.I.
CAMN ENGINEERS INC. Wallingford, conn. Ensimeer	NON- FED. DAMS	<u>CE#27785KG</u> DATE_Jan.1981PAGE_C-6

5

٠.

.

• •

ŧ



•

I.



1

7

. . .

Ł

.

Project NON- TENERAL	DAILS IN, PECTION	Sheet of
Computed By HCA	Checked By <u>583</u> Other Refs. <u>687</u> <u>678</u>	Date 12/4/80
Field Book Ref.	Other Refs CE#27-783	-HB Revisions
e);;	PILLWAY DISCHARGE AT TIME OF	FAILURE . Q5= 1800 CHI (Gap. D
4°) Ba	CEACH OUTFLOW (SEE NED-ACE	GUIDELINE;):
	Ab= = W V9 Y = 2460	⁶ F.' O
(J) Fe	FAR FAILURE OLTALONS (Sp.) TO D	UDONAS QUATUCKET A IVER
	2p = 9 + 6 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
3) F200	D DEPTH * TAMEDIATECY 🎶 FR	Con Daan:
	4 = 0.00 40 = 5.6' * (FROM RETREATING	WAVE THEORY APPLIES TO DAM FAILLER,
4) ESTIA	HATE OF MI FAILURE CONDITIONS	AT POTENTIAL JUMICT AREAS
l	SEE NED-ACE GUIDELINES FOR F.	TIMATING & FAILURE HADROSEAFMS,
- ,	THE WL. IN THE (*) ISUDO' LONG & TO BEORGIAVILLE FOND J: JONTR	EACH FROM STICLWATER RESERVON OLIED BY THE FOLLOWING DAWS.
	A (1) 120 LONG PILLUMY, THE DAA, (1) 630 LONG AND (1) 3.8 AT BOTH ENDS BY (1) 50" TO	AN OVERFLOW FROFICE FORMED BY FOR AND ABUTTING TERNAIN OF THE ABOVE THE SPWY CLEST, AND COUSED IN SCOPING TENCAIN. THE ANDERAC DATA FROM CE.FIELD SUMM
	ASSUMING C= 2.8, THE OVER	FLOW CAN BE APPROXIMATED BY.
	Q= 336 H 32 + 1900 [H	(-3,8) ³ +112(H-3,8) ^{5/2}
	(i) CAPRON POND DAM WITH AN ON	
ł		NAND ABUTTING TENLAN 3= THE ABUVE THE SPILLING CREST AND LOSED MOVE THE SPILLING CREST AND LOSED
	-	

NON-FE	DERAC DAM. INSPECTION	
Computed By	Checked By Checked By Other Refs CE # 27-76	Date 12/4/83
Field Book Ref.	Other Refs 7 21 10	
	AT BOTH ENDS BY (+) 9", 1" - CHARGE POND ARED JS A. = 1.	LOVING TERRAIN. THE AVENAGE SR- SAC: [DATA FROMCE, FIELD SURVEY
	ASSUMING C= 2.8, THE OVENER	LOW "AN BE APPREXIMATED DU.
	$Q_{c_{f}} = 252 H^{3_{2}} + 728(1)$	(H-4) ^{3/2} + 20.2 (H-4) ^{5/2}
	UI) GEORGIAVILLE POND DAM THE ACE PHASE I SWSPECTAN DATED APRIL 1979.	DATA ON THIS DAM IS PUBLICHED in LEVENT "GEORGIAU'NLE DAM"-RI 93108
2	6) THE CHANNEL "IS FROM GEORGIAU JH CROSS SECTIONS WITH (*) 200' BA THE AVERAGE REACH SCORE JS (*) 0.	HILLE, BOND IS APPROXIMATELY TRAVESOID ASE AND (1) 6 HAND 17 "TO 1" SIDE SLOVE 2% ASSUME N=0.050.
	C) LESER VOUR STORAGE AT TIME OF	FAILURE
	J= 3650 Ac +	T (TO FIRST PT. OF OVERTOPPING) - SEE P. D.S
	d) APPROXIMATE STAGE AT POTENTIAC	: JAIPACT AILEAS
	() 1 TREACH. "Is FRUM . THE WATE	R BND DAM:
	BY APPROXIMATE ROUTING (- FRILURE CUTPLON D.: :	EE NED-ACE GUIDEUNICS)"HE F.A.L
<i>i</i> .	(4p), = Op, (1- 1-) = 24	(800 CFS; (H3); = 7.7' (AT SILL MATER PON)
and the second s	(1) 2 NO REACH . "IS FROM CAPRON PO.	NO DAM
	By APPAOXIMATE LOUTING.	
	(4p) = = 23800 CFS; (H	13) = 10.7' (A: CAPRON FOND)
		D-9
- France		and the second

÷

٠,

Cahn Engineers Inc. Consulting Engineers Project NON FEDERAL DAME INCRECTION _____ Sheet ______ 0-10 of _____ Date 12/4/80 JARY Computed By _ Checked By ___ Other Refs CE # 27-785-HB Field Book Ref. UI) 3 to REACH V/S FROM GEORGIAVILLE RAD DOM: FRAM THE "PILLWAY RATING CURVE (APPENDIX D-9) AND THE STORAGE DATA (OR A=130° (APP. D-5) OF THE ACE GEORGIAVILLE POND DAY PHASE I REPORT, BY APPROXIMATE ROUTING, (QP) 3 = 17200 CFS ; (H3) = = 7.8' (AT GEORGIAVILLE POND) (0) 4-" REACH. CHONNEL D'S FROM GEORGIAVILLE POND DAM. (Qp) = 17200 " ; " = 10.4 ; V, = 115 Nert = 5 (ON RENEN OF (2)1500 ; " n=0.050) · Ap = 16700 CFS; 42=10.3'; V2=112 KFT; V=114 KFT; (Up) = 16700 CFS (ap) = 16700 crs; 13 = 10.3' . 184 (1) APPROXIMATE STAGE BEFORE FAILURE: i) 15 REACH: (Hs), = 3.1' (45 = 1800 CAS JEE P. D. - 6 x D-S) (i) 240 REACH: (He) = 3.7' NOTE: THE DAMS ARE NOT IVENICHED AT Ge= 1800 CFS (1) 3 " PEACH . (H) = 3.1' (1-) 1th KTACH 45 = 3.0' E) RAISE IN FAGE to FROM STILLWATER RESERVOIR DAMA: () 1st REICH: (BH), = 4.6' ("Is FROM STILLWATER POND DAW) (1) 2NO KEDCH: (AH) = 7.0' ("/S FROM CAPAUN POND DOM) (4) 3 BO REACH . (2H) = 4.7' (1/5 FROM GEOLGANILLE BUD DAN) W) A TH REACH . SY = 7.3' (= 1500' % FROM GEARGING ALE PAN DAW) D-10

Project VUN-TEDE	MAC DAM. J.	NSPECTION	Sh	eet of
Computed By Hill	Chec	ked By Charty	De	12/4/80
Field Book Ref.	Othe	r Refs	Re Re	wisions
- ILL W	ATEN RESERVE	OR DI: M		
III) SELL	ECTIUN DE TES	T FLOUD		
1) Cer	SSIFICATION O	F DAM Accord	να το ΝΈΡ-Λ	CE GUIDECINES
-1) c)IZC : *STOENGE * HEIGHT ((MAR) = 3877 AC (MAR) = 20'	Fr (1900 2 3 (H 2 25	; = NO 000 K+ F)
	TORAGE	E P. D-5; HEIG.	AT : SEE P.D.	7
	Size Cl	ASSIFICATIC	N: INTER	MEDIATE
e) (d	IN VIEW OF TH DAM MAY HAN	C: AS & RESULT HE JAIRACT THAT, GE CH THE POTENT,	FAILURE OF S	TILLUATEN RESI
	TS CLASSIFIED	AS BOUING: ASSIFICATION	HIGH	
\			•	
2) [E	ST TLOOD : PK	$HF = \frac{15700}{100} CF.$	J	
		IUN IS BASED O D CCASSIFICATIO		s of THE PARTIO
				D-11

	C DANIS INSPECTION	Sheet 2-12 of 12
ield Book Ref	Checked By <u>5243</u> Other Refs. <u>27427-789-</u>	HB Revisions
STILLUMTER	KESERVOR DALI	
II) SUMMA	KY	
	000 = PHF = 15700 cr.	
	ILLEL COMPUTATIONS HAVE BEEN IN OSUMMAILIZED BECON)	ADE FOR 12 PHF = 7550 th ANL
2) PERFORM	MANCE AT PEAK, FLOOD CONDITION	rs.
a) PEA	K INFLOURS : Ap = PMF = 15700°	AS Q' = 1/2 PMF = 7550 CA
b) PEA	K OUTFLOWS : Up = 13800 CFS	Q' = 6200 CRS
(C) (C)	ILWAY CAPACITY: (SEE TABLE)	P.D-6)
d) PER	FORMANCE	
	C) AT TEST FLOOD : OVERTOPPED (C) AT 1/2 P44F : OVERTOPPED (
3) DOWNSTA	REAM FAILURE CONDITIONS:	
	KTAILURE OVIFLOW QP = 26400	
	DO DEPTH JAMEDIAIELY % FROM D	
	DITIONS the FROM GEORG AVINCE POI	
	() TAGE BEFORG FAILURE HS; I'A	
	(1) STAGE AFTER FAILURE H3 = 18' A	
	IN, RAISE SAI STAGE AFTER TAILURE : L	
	10, TIONS DIS FROM GEORGIAVICLE PA	
	() STAGE BEFORE FRICURE YS 5 3.C	-
	1) STACE AFTER TAILURE 43 = 10.3	
1	U)RAISE IN STANE AFTER FAILURE:	89 = 7.5
Here. As	110 1 Farmer of Summer of	- Des Contractor Barro Deserve
	O, UPON FAILURE OF STILLWATER LES	-
0760	ETOPPED (±)3.9'; CAPRON POND DAY, U) ©. I ; AN Y, TUKUAVILE ILIN U LINU,

- -

ŀ

•

.

• ..

ŧ

PRELIMINARY GUIDANCE

FOR ESTIMATING

MAXIMUM PROBABLE DISCLARGES

IN

PHASE I DAM SAFETY

INVESTIGATIONS

New England Division Corps of Engineers

March 1978



 t

Ŀ

	NED RESERVOIRS			
	Project	Q (cfs)	<u>D.A.</u> (sq. mi.)	MPF cfs/sq. mi.
1.	Hall Meadow Brook	26,600	17.2	1,546
2.	East Branch	15,500	9.25	1,675
3.	Thomaston	158,000	97.2	1,625
4.	Northfield Brook	9,000	5.7	1,580
5.	Black Rock	35,000	20.4	1,715
6.	Hancock Brook	20,700	12.0	1,725
7.	Hop Brook	26,400	16.4	1,610
8.	Tully	47,000	50.0	940
9.	Barre Falls	61,000	55.0	1,109
10.	Conant Brook	11,900	7.8	1,525
11.	Knightville	160,000	162.0	987
12.	Littleville	98,000	52.3	1,870
13.	Colebrook River	165,000	118.0	1,400
14.	Mad River	30,000	18.2	1,650
15.	Sucker Brook	6,500	3.43	1,895
16.	Union Village	110,000	126.0	873
17.	North Hartland	199,000	220.0	904
18.	North Springfield	157,000	158.0	994
19.	Ball Mountain	190,000	172.0	1,105
20.	Townshend	228,000	106.0(278 to:	al) 820
21.	Surry Mountain	63,000	100.0	630
22.	-	45,000	47.0	957
23.	Birch Hill	88,500	175.0	505
24.		73,900	67.5	1,095
25.	Westville	38,400	99.5(32 net)) 1,200
26,	West Thompson	85,000	173.5(74 net)) 1,150
27.		35,600	31.1	1,145
28.		36,500	26.5	1,377
29.		125,000	159.0	786
30.		26,000	28.0	928
31.	Franklin Falls	210,000	1000.0	210
32.		66,500	128.0	520
33.		135,000	426.0	316
34.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	68,000	64.0	1,062
35.	MacDowell	36,300	44.0	825

MAXIMJM PROBABLE FLOOD INFLOWS NED RESERVOIRS

. .

ji

.

ł

.

n an - 194

•

1.

 $\mathbf{1}_{1,1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

.

4 \

ż

.....

- ° *****•

.

.

MAXIMUM PROBABI.	E FLOWS
BASED ON TWIC	E THE
STANDARD PROJEC	T FLOOD
(Flat and Coasta	l Areas)

1.1

	River	$\frac{SPF}{(cfs)}$	<u>D.A.</u> (sq. mi.)	(cfs/sq. mi.)
1.	Pawtuxet River	19,000	200	190
2.	Mill River (R.I.)	8,500	34	500
3.	Peters River (R.I.)	3,200	13	490
4.	Kettle Brook	8,000	30	530
5.	Sudbury River.	11,700	86	270
6.	Indian Brook (Hopk.)	1,000	5.9	340
7.	Charles River.	6,000	184	65
8.	Blackstone River.	43,000	416	200
9.	Quinebaug River	55,000	331	330

iii

t

1.



- STEP 1: Determine Peak Inflow (Qp1) from Guide Curves.
- STEP 2: a. Determine Surcharge Height To Pass ''Qp1''.
 - b. Determine Volume of Surcharge (STOR1) In Inches of Runoff.
 - c. Maximum Probable Flood Runoff In New England equals Approx. 19'', Therefore:

$$Qp_2 = Qp_1 \times (1 - \frac{STOR_1}{19})$$

STEP 3: a. Determine Surcharge Height and ''STOR2'' To Pass ''Qp2''

> b. Average ''STOR1'' and ''STOR2'' and Determine Average Surcharge and Resulting Peak Outflow ''Qp3''.

> > iv



5

. . .

1.1.2

A

ł

£

SURCHARGE STORAGE ROUTING SUPPLEMENT

STEP 3: a. Determine Surcharge Height and ''STOR2'' To Pass ''Qp2''

> b. Avg ''STOR1'' and ''STOR2'' and Compute ''Qp3''.

c. If Surcharge Height for Qp3 and ''STORAVG'' agree O.K. If Not:

STEP 4: a. Determine Surcharge Height and ''STOR3'' To Pass ''Qp3''

> b. Avg. "Old STORAVG" and "STOR₃" and Compute "Qp4"

c. Surcharge Height for Qp4 and ''New STOR Avg'' should Agree closely

vi

SURCHARGE STORAGE ROUTING ALTERNATE

$$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} \times \left(1 - \frac{STOR}{19}\right)$$
$$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} - Q_{p1} \left(\frac{STOR}{19}\right)$$

FOR KNOWN Qp1 AND 19" R.O.



"RULE OF THUMB" GUIDANCE FOR ESTIMATING DOWNSTREAM DAM FAILURE HYDROGRAPHS



- **STEP 1:** DETERMINE OR ESTIMATE RESERVOIR STORAGE (S) IN AC-FT AT TIME OF FAILURE. **STEP 2:** DETERMINE PEAK FAILURE OUTFLOW (Q_{01}) .
 - $Qp_{1} = \frac{\theta_{37}}{27} W_{10} \sqrt{g} Y_{0} \frac{3}{2}$

£

- ₩_D= BREACH WIDTH SUGGEST VALUE NOT GREATER THAN 40% OF DAM LENGTH ACROSS RIVER AT MID HEIGHT.
- $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{O}}$ = TOTAL HEIGHT FROM RIVER BED TO POOL LEVEL AT FAILURE.
- **STEP 3:** USING USGS TOPO OR OTHER DATA, DEVFLOP REPRESENTATIVE STAGE-DISCHARGE RATING FOR SELECTED DOWNSTREAM RIVER REACH.
- **STEP 4:** EST: ATE REACH OUTFLOW (Q_{p2}) USING FOLLOWING ITERATION.
 - A. APPLY Q_{p1} to stage rating, determine stage and accopmanying volume (V_1) in reach in ac-ft. (note: if V_1 exceeds 1/2 of s, select shorter reach.)
 - B. DETERMINE TRIAL Q_{D2}.
 - $Qp_{2}(TRIAL) = Qp_{1}(1 \frac{V_{1}}{5})$
 - C. COMPUTE V_2 USING Q_{p2} (TRIAL).
 - D. AVERAGE V₁ AND V₂ AND COMPUTE Q_{p2} .

$$Qp_2 = Qp_1 \left(1 - \frac{V_{\text{med}}}{S}\right)$$

STEP 5: FOR SUCCEEDING REACHES REPEAT STEPS 3 AND 4.

APRIL 1978

7

viii

APPENDIX E

ł

1

•

γ,

1.1.1

7

. 1

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS





۰.



. .

Ø

10

T

.

