

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Technical Report ARLCD-TR-84022 AD-AI49424	
4. TITLE (and Subtitio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVE
ELECTROMAGNETIC BRAKING OF A METALLIC PROJECTLE	1 - 31 August 1983
IN FLIGHT	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMB
I Bennett U I Kolkort	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
T. Gora	
P. J. Kenney	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T
ARDC, LCWSL	
Applied Science Division (SMCAR-LCA-G)	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
ARDC, TSD	December 1984
STINFO Div (SMCAR-TSS)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Dover, NJ 07801-5001	18
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlin	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlin 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fo	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE nited.
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlin 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fo	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE nited.
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlin 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 different fo	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE aited.
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlin 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 different for 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE aited.
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlin 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different for 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES W. J. Kolkert of the Prins Maurits Laboratorium The state of the Prins Maurity Laboratorium The state of the Pring Maurity Laboratorium The state of the state of the Pring Maurity Laboratorium The state of the pring Maurity Laboratorium The state of the state of the pring Maurity Laboratorium The state of the state of the pring Maurity Laboratorium The state of th	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE nited. Dom Report) NO, The Netherlands, served
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlin 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, 11 different for 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES W. J. Kolkert of the Prins Maurits Laboratorium These a Special Project Officer at ARDC. 	Unclassified ^{15.} DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULZ nited. ^{0001 Report}) NO, The Netherlands, served
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlin DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different for SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES W. J. Kolkert of the Prins Maurits Laboratorium Thas a Special Project Officer at ARDC. 	Unclassified ^{15.} DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE aited. our Report) NO, The Netherlands, served
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unline 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different for 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES W. J. Kolkert of the Prins Maurits Laboratorium Thas a Special Project Officer at ARDC. 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number 	Unclassified ^{15.} DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE nited. ⁰⁰⁸⁸ Report NO, The Netherlands, served
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlin 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different it 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES W. J. Kolkert of the Prins Maurits Laboratorium Thas a Special Project Officer at ARDC. 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elds if necessary and identify by block number Deceleration Railgun Magnetic field Flux compression 	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULZ nited. 2007 Report) 10, The Netherlands, served)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unline DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different for the superior of the Prins Maurits Laboratorium Thas a Special Project Officer at ARDC. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Deceleration Railgun Magnetic field Flux compression Field diffusion Joule heating 	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE nited. Com Report) NO, The Netherlands, served
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unline 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in Block 20, if different in Block 20, if different	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE nited. No, The Netherlands, served
 Market and the statement (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlined in public release; distribution is unlined in public statement (of the ebstract entered in plock 20, 11 different for the statement of the prins Maurits Laboratorium These a Special Project Officer at ARDC. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Deceleration Railgun Magnetic field Flux compression Field diffusion Joule heating Induction Liner Sliding contact 3 Theta current 	Unclassified 15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE nited. NO, The Netherlands, server
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unlined in public release; distribution is unlined in public statement (of the obstract entered in plock 20, if different is a Special Project Officer at ARDC. 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number project of flux compression field diffusion flux compression field diffusion flux compression field diffusion flux compression flux compression fluction flux compression fluction flux compression flux compressi	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE nited. No, The Netherlands, serve
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unline DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different for SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES W. J. Kolkert of the Prins Maurits Laboratorium Thas a Special Project Officer at ARDC. Key works (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) Deceleration Railgun Magnetic field Flux compression Field diffusion Joule heating Induction Liner Sliding contacts Theta current A simple model for the electromagnetic deceler 	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE nited. NO, The Netherlands, served) eration of a moving metall:
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unline 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in the state of the Prins Maurits Laboratorium The as a Special Project Officer at ARDC. 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) Deceleration Railgun Magnetic field Flux compression Field diffusion Joule heating Induction Liner Sliding contact 3 Theta current C. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) A simple model for the electromagnetic decele projectile is described. This model incorporates 	Unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD scheDulz nited. 10. The Netherlands, served 10. The Netherlands, served 2. Served 3. Served
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unline 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different in Block 20, if different	Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULZ aited. 10, The Netherlands, served 2) eration of a moving metalling the influence of magnetic and device geometry to inte that a visble oversise
 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution is unline 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different 20, if different 20, if different 20, if different	Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRAD SCHEDULE anited. 0007 Report) 100, The Netherlands, served 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20

e

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Modeling of Braking Action	1
Calculated Results	4
Discussion	5
Conclusions	6
References	7
Distribution List	13



4

.

•. •

 ۰.

•.

Accession For							
NTIS	GRA&I						
DTIC	тав	\square					
Unannounced							
Justification							
By Distr	ibution/						
Availability Codes							
	Avail and/o	r					
Dist	Special						
A-1							

.....



INTRODUCTION

It has long been known that solid objects (e.g. projectiles) can be accelerated by electromagnetic (EM) forces (ref 1). Typically, currents are required to flow either in the projectile or in an arc behind it. These currents can be supplied through sliding contacts, induced during the acceleration process, or pre-established (persistent) (ref 2).

Deceleration of a moving projectile is possible by operating an EM acceleration system in the reverse mode. Such schemes involve a degree of flux compression such as that incorporated in the inverse railgun concept recently espoused by Marshall (ref 3), which accomplishes projectile deceleration as a means of transferring electrical energy to a separate load.

The subject of this report is the passive electromagnetic braking of a moving projectile where kinetic energy is directly converted into heat. The phenomenon has an intrinsic conceptual appeal, and potential applications include the controlled "soft" catch of appropriately configured projectiles in flight.

A simple model was conceived to obtain some feeling for the magnitude of parameters involved in the electromagnetic braking process and constraints imposed upon the design of a braking device. The model considers the motion of a cylindrical, metallic projectile in an axial magnetic field uniformly distributed in a space confined by a fixed, resistive, cylindrical liner which is coaxial with the moving projectile.

Field compression in the gap between the moving projectile and the liner causes ∂ -currents to rise along the projectile surface and the adjacent segment of the liner surface by field diffusion in both conducting materials. These currents give rise to resistive Joule heating caused by the time dependence of field diffusion and the motion of the projectile. The induced currents, especially in the liner, show a nonuniform distribution in the relatively short time interval during which the projectile moves along over its own length. The net force resulting from the interacting current distributions on the projectile and the adjacent liner segment is anticipated to be nonvanishing and will counteract the projectile's motion. In this way, the projectile is losing its kinetic energy while at the same time, resistive Joule heating is generated in the projectile and the liner.

MODELING OF BRAKING ACTION

The model described is illustrated in figure 1. A cylindrical metallic projectile with mass m_p , radius r_o , length L_p , conductivity σ_p , and permeability μ_p moves with velocity v in an axial uniform magnetic field B_o . The space is confined by a fixed resistive liner with inner and outer radii r_1 and r_2 , respectively, conductivity σ_1 , and permeability μ_p (fig. 1). The field is assumed to be compressed discontinuously in the gap between the projectile surface and the adjacent liner surface (radii r_0 and r_1 , respectively) at axial positions z_1 and z_2 .

The motion of the projectile is hypothesized to be such that magnetic energy is conserved throughout the braking process. Neglecting mechanical work associated with nonaxial forces on components, conservation of energy gives

$$m_{\rm p} v \frac{\delta v}{\delta t} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta t}$$
(1)

where H is the resistive Joule heating generated in the projectile and the liner during braking.

The magnetic field in the gap between the projectile and the liner is of induction

$$B_{z} = B_{o} \left[\frac{r_{1}^{2}}{r_{1}^{2} - r_{o}^{2}} \right]$$
(2)

for $r_0 < r < r_1$ and $z_1 < z < z_2$.

It is assumed that B_z is a constant during the braking process and that its value is independent of the location on the surfaces of bordering projectile and liner.

For radial diffusion of the magnetic field in the liner segment adjacent to the moving projectile, the equation (ref 4)

$$\frac{\delta^2 \mathbf{B}_z}{\delta \mathbf{r}^2} - \mu_L \sigma_L \frac{\delta \mathbf{B}_z}{\delta \mathbf{t}} = 0$$
(3)

where

í

$$B_z(t = 0) = 0$$
 and $B_z = B_z - B_0$

is valid.

Equation 3 leads to

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{z}} (\mathbf{r}_{1}) \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{L}\sigma_{L}}{4t}} (\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{1}) \\ 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \mathbf{e}^{-i\omega} di\omega \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

The induced 9-currents in the liner are given by

$$J_{L} = -\frac{1}{\mu_{L}} \frac{\delta B_{z}}{\delta r}$$
(5)

Equations 4 and 5 give;

$$J_{L} = \frac{B_{z}(r_{1})}{\mu_{L}} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{L}\sigma_{L}}{\pi t}} e^{-\frac{\mu_{L}\sigma_{L}}{4t}(r-r_{1})^{2}}$$
(b)

Similar results are obtained for the induced currents in the projectile where B_z is the magnetic field at the conductor surface. The heat generated per unit time, $\frac{\delta H}{\delta t}$, in the conducting surfaces of projectile and liner bordering the gap between them, is expressed by:

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta t} = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \left[\frac{2\pi r_1}{\sigma_L} \int_{r_1}^{\infty} J_L^2 dr + \frac{2\pi r_0}{\sigma_p} \int_{-\infty}^{r_0} J_p^2 dr \right] dz$$
(7)

where J_1 and J_p are the currents induced in the liner and projectile, respectively, and where $z_2 - z_1 = L_p$.

Equation 7 leads to

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta t} = \frac{2\pi r_1}{\sigma_L} \left[\frac{\tilde{B}_z(r_1)}{\mu_L} \right]^2 \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_L \sigma_L}{2\pi t}} dz + \frac{2\pi r_o}{\sigma_p} \left[\frac{B_z(r_o)}{\mu_p} \right]^2 L_p \sqrt{\frac{\mu_p \sigma_p}{2\pi t}}$$
(8)

In performing the integration over z in equation 8, the time scale in the liner segment covered by the moving projectile is running from zero to L_p/v , while the time scale for the generation in the projectile is running from the beginning towards the end of the braking process (accumulation). The dynamics mentioned here cause the nonuniform distribution of θ -currents (eq 6) in the liner segment adjacent to the moving projectile and are the source for a net braking force on the projectile.

Combining equations 1 and 8 gives the analytical expression

$$m_{p}v \frac{\delta v}{\delta t} = - \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{L} v^{1/2} + \beta_{p}t^{-1/2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

describing the braking action in the chosen setup.

In equation 9, β_{L} and β_{p} are

$$\beta_{\rm L} = \frac{2\pi r_1}{\sigma_{\rm L}} \left[\frac{B_z(r_1)}{\mu_{\rm L}} \right]^2 \sqrt{\frac{2\mu_{\rm L}\sigma_{\rm L}L_p}{\pi}}$$

$$\beta_{\rm p} = \frac{2\pi r_0}{\sigma_{\rm p}} \left[\frac{B_z(r_0)}{\mu_{\rm p}} \right]^2 L_p \sqrt{\frac{\mu_p\sigma_p}{2\pi}}$$
(10)

CALCULATED RESULTS

To obtain some feeling for the magnitude of parameters involved in electromagnetic braking, an example involving the motion of a cylindrical steel projectile in an axial magnetic field in a space confined by a copper liner (fig. 1) is analyzed. Solving equation 9 numerically with a steel projectile mass $m_p - 6$ kg, length $L_p = 0.508$ m, radius $r_0 = 0.0508$ m, $\mu_p = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ Wb/A m, $\sigma_p = 0.38 \times 10^7$ mho/m, and a copper liner with radius $r_1 = 0.05334$ m, $\mu_L = 12.57 \times 10^{-7}$ Wb/A m, and $\sigma_L = 5.8 \times 10^7$ mho/m gives the data of table 1 when braking action starts at a projectile velocity $v_0 = 600$ m/s.

The length, ΔL , and time, Δt , involved in braking the projectile to a final velocity of zero m/s and the overall heat generated in the liner, ΔH_{L} , and the projectile, ΔH_{p} , are given in table 1. ΔL and Δt are calculated with equation 9 (V,t - history), and ΔH_{L} and ΔH_{p} are calculated with equation 10.

Table 1. Electromagnetic braking action parameters for a steel projectile and copper liner

Magnetic field,	Length,	Time,	Liner heat,	Projectile heat,
В _о (Т)	`L (m)	Δt (s)	ΔΗ _L (J)	(J)
1	12.9	34.5×10^{-3}	1.079×10^{6}	5(14
2	3.1	8.7 x 10^{-3}	1.079×10^{6}	1.01×10^3
3	1.4	3.9×10^{-3}	1.078×10^{6}	1.5×10^3

Keeping other parameters constant, the influence of gap-width $(r_1 - r_0)$ on braking length, Λ L,time, Λ t, and heat generated in the projectile, Λ H, is shown in figures 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

If other materials for projectile and liner are considered (e.g., changing only μ and σ with respect to values mentioned above), substantial changes in braking length and time are calculated. If, for example, a tungsten projectile and copper liner are taken, braking lengths and times are reduced by a factor of about 2 to 3 and heat generated in the projectile is increased by a factor of about 1000. Taking a steel liner and a steel or tungsten projectile increases braking lengths to many tens of meters and times to many seconds.

Although not indicated here numerically, the influence of projectile mass on braking length and time appears to be almost proportional.

DISCUSSION

The model presented here contains a number of limitations. For instance, a practical device for electromagnetic braking of a metallic projectile would have a finite length. Braking the motion of the projectile on entering the magnetic field, present in the setup depicted in figure 1, is substantial and is not modeled here. During compression of the magnetic field in the gap between the moving projectile and the liner, the change in magnetic induction is continuous [not discontinuous as assumed here (fig. 1)] and slightly different at the front and the rear of the projectile. Although current distributions on liner and projectile surfaces will then be more complicated, as will force distributions, it is not anticipated that calculated results will change drastically (i.e., not more than a factor of two).

A more substantial limitation of the model is the assumption that B_z (magnetic induction) in the gap is a constant during braking. As a result of diffusion of the B-field in the projectile and adjacent liner surfaces, B_z on these surfaces will change not only in going from z_1 toward z_2 (fig. 1) but also during the whole braking process. Leakage of the compressed field from the gap causes less braking action than calculated. However, depending on the magnitude, the braking time, Δt , leakage will have a minor influence on calculated parameters when the associated skin depth [$\delta (\delta = \pi \Delta t/(\mu \sigma))$] is smaller than the gap width $(r_1 - r_0)$ considered. Application of the model presented here implies, therefore, that braking times in the order of a few milliseconds or less have to be considered. In addition, the effectiveness of the model may be further enhanced by restricting attention to ferromagnetic projectiles. Apart from the limitations mentioned above, the calculated results show that with realistic values for initial magnetic induction and gap width, metallic projectiles with a mass and initial velocity of interest can be decelerated over an acceptable length.

In designing a device, the mechanical strength constraints related to the construction of the projectile to be decelerated, the liner and the B-field generating coil have to be considered. In the gap between the moving projectile and

the adjacent liner segment, mechanical stresses on both materials can rise as high as 4 to 8 kbar with B_0 and $(r_1 - r_0)$ values of several tesla and mm, respectively. Temperature rises in the projectile and liner surface layers caused by resistive Joule heating are minor for the B_0 and $(r_1 - r_0)$ values.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the constraints of the model, calculated results showed that with values for the magnetic induction B_0 of several tesla and a gap width between projectile and liner of several mm's, a steel projectile with a mass of 6 kg and an initial velocity of 600 m/s can be slowed down to rest over a length of several meters without excessive stress-loading or heating of components.

REFERENCES

- 1. 1980 Conference on Electromagnetic Guns and Launchers, IEEE, <u>Trans. on</u> <u>Magnetics</u>, vol 18, 1982, pp 3-216.
- 2. T. Gora and P J. Kemmey, IEEE, Trans. on Magnetics, vol 19, 1983, p 1569.
- 3. R. A. Marshall, Second Symposium on Electromagnetic Launch Technology, Boston, MA, 10 - 13 October 1983, p 27.
- 4. J. D. Jackson, <u>Classical Electrodynamics</u>, second edition, J. Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, 1975.

Magnetic field configuration around a metallic projectile moving in an axial field confined by a resistive liner (axis-symmetric part is shown) Figure 1.



9



Figure 2. Braking length ΔL as a function of gap-width for a steel projectile and copper liner, B = 1, 2, and 3T.







DISTRIBUTION LIST

Commander Armament Research and Development Center U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: SMCAR-LCA-G (5) SMCAR-TSS (5) SMCAR-SF Dover, NJ 07801-5001 Commander U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: AMSMC-GCL(D) AMSMC-QAR-R(D)Dover, NJ 07801-5001 Administrator Defense Technical Information Center ATTN: Accessions Division (12) Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314 Director U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: DRXSY-MP Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 Commander/Director Chemical Research and Development Center U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: SMCCR-SPS-I SMCCR-RSP-A APG, Edgewood Area, MD 21010-5423 Director Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: AMX BR-OD-ST Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 Chief Benet Weapons Laboratory, LCWSL Armament Research and Development Center U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: SMCAR-LCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-5000 Commander U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: AMSMC-LEP-L

Rock Island, IL 61299-6000

Director U.S. Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ATTN: DARPA-TTO, H. D. Fair, Jr. 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22317

Commander Ballistic Missile Defense System Command ATTN: BMD/ATC-M, Darrell Harmon P.O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807

Center of Electromechanics ATTN: W. Weldon Taylor Hall 227 University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX 78712

Commander HQ, AFSC/DLWA ATTN: CPT R. Reynolds Andrews Air Force Base, MD 20334

Commander Naval Sea Systems Command ATTN: LCDR, Joseph R. Costa Washington, DC 20362

Commander Air Force Armament Technology Laboratory ATTN: DLDG, Timothy Aden Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32542