



. . . .

17 T F

Т

. . . .

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Contract N00014-83-K-0470 Task No. NR 359-718 TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 36

Electrochemical Features of the Ferric Sulfate Leaching of ${\rm CuFeS}_2/{\rm C}$ Aggregates

By

R. Y. Wan J. D. Miller J. Foley Stanley Pons

Prepared for Publication in

Proc. Electrochem. Soc.

University of Utah Department of Chemistry Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

November 28, 1984



Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

84 12 12 045

חוב הערא

AD-A148 66

	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FOR
I. REPORT	NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO). J. HECIPILN I'S CATALOG NUMBER
	41)_A 198 66	>¥
Flectro	we sublided the second state should be the second sulfate Leach	ING
of CuFes	$S_{\rm c}/C$ Aggregates	Technical Report # 36
	2	6. PERFORMING DAG. REPORT NUMB
7. AUTHON	(2)	8. CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER()
R. Y. Wa	an; J. D. Miller; J. Fole <u>y</u> ; Stanley Pons	N00014-83-K-0470
9. PERFOR	NING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, T
Uni Depa	versity of Utah artment of Chemistry	Task No NR 359-718
Salt	t Lake City, UT 84112	
11. CONTRO	OLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
0ffi	ce of Naval Research	November 28, 1984
Chem	istry Program - Chemistry Code 472	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Ar] 1	ngton, Virginia 22217 RING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dilloront from Controlling Offico)	20 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
		15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADI
		SCHEDULE
1		•
17. DIST RIB	UTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent li	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB	UTION STATEMENT (al the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent fo	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB	UTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent l	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB	UTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent in	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB 18. SUPPLE	UTION STATEMENT (al the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent fo MENTARY NOTES	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB	UTION STATEMENT (al the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent fi MENTARY NOTES	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB	UTION STATEMENT (of the obstract ontored in Block 20, 11 different in MENTARY NOTES	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB	UTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 dillerent fi MENTARY NOTES	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB 18. SUPPLE 19. KEY WO	UTION STATEMENT (al the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in MENTARY NOTES RDS (Continue on severae olde 11 noceeoory and identify by block numbe	rom Report)
17. DISTRIE 18. SUPPLE 19. KEY WO Chalco	UTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in MENTARY NOTES RDS (Continue on severae aide if necessary and identify by block numbe pyrites, Electrochemical etching	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB 18. SUPPLE 19. KEY WO Chalco	UTION STATEMENT (al the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in MENTARY NOTES RDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block numbe pyrites, Electrochemical etching	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB 18. SUPPLE 19. KEY WO Chalco	UTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in MENTARY NOTES RDS (Continue on severae elde if necessary and identify by block number pyrites, Electrochemical etching	rom R=port)
17. DISTRIB 18. SUPPLE 19. KEY WO Chalco 20. ABSTRA	UTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in MENTARY NOTES RDS (Continue on severee elde if necessary and identify by block number pyrites, Electrochemical etching CT (Continue on severee elde if necessary and identify by block number	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB 18. SUPPLE 19. KEY WO Chalco 20. ABSTRA	TUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in MENTARY NOTES RDS (Continue on severee elde if necessary and identify by block number pyrites, Electrochemical etching ET (Continue on severee elde if necessary and identify by block number	rom Report)
17. DISTRIE 18. SUPPLE 19. КЕУ WO Chalco 20. ABJTRA	THENTARY NOTES RDS (Continue on severee elde if necessary and identify by block number pyrites, Electrochemical etching CT (Continue on severee elde if necessary and identify by block number	rom Report)
17. DISTRIE 18. SUPPLE 19. КЕУ WO Chalco 20. ABSTRA	THENTARY NOTES THENTARY NOTES RDS (Continue on reverse eide il necessary and identify by block number pyrites, Electrochemical etching CT (Continue on reverse eide il necessary and identify by block number	rom Report)
17. DISTRIB 18. SUPPLE 19. KEY WO Chalco 20. ADSTRA	WTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in MENTARY NOTES RDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block number pyrites, Electrochemical etching CT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block number	rom Report)

3.7

Synner Proceeding

ELECTROCHEMICAL FEATURES OF THE

FERRIC SULFATE LEACHING OF CuFeS2/C AGGREGATES

R. Y. Wan and J. D. Miller J. Foley and S. Pons

Subject Index

page

1 chalcopyrite electrochemistry, chalcopyrite electrodes 3 ferric sulfate leaching, elemental sulfur insulator, electron chalcopyrite/carbon aggregates, electrical conductivity 4 4 sulfur/carbon composites 5 5 x-ray diffraction, sulfur morphology electrochemical behavior, anodic polarization 6 intermediate phase, defect structure 6 metal-deficient polysulfide, passivation 7 leaching experiments, chac) pyrite samples electrochemical equipment, potentiostat 8 9 10 chalcopyite electrodes, surface area 11 initial kinetics, activation energy 12 half-cell reaction, polarization curves mixed potential 13 galvanic couple, chrogoamperometry 14 anodic polarization, temperature, dependence, FTIR 15

- 16 in-situ spectroelectrochemistry
- 17 sulfur-sulfur bonds

Accession For		-45	•
NTIS GRA&I	X		1
DTIC TAB		\mathbf{M}	7 .)
Unannounced	[]	X	
Justification.			
By			
Distront 1/			
Avet - 14	dade s	İ	
· · · · · · · · ·	/or		
Dist il	-		
		}	
 			
Kalan		·	

FEED AT A CONTRACT SET AS A CONTRACT SET AND CONTRACT SE AND CONTRACT SET AND CONTRACT S

ELECTROCHEMICAL FEATURES OF THE

FERRIC SULFATE LEACHING OF CuFeS₂/C AGGREGATES

R. Y. Wan and J. D. Miller Department of Metallurgy and Metallurgical Engineering

> J. Foley and S. Pons Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

115

:4 15 16

. -

25

26

27.

25

22

30. 31

37

33

۲.

Abstract

The rate of chalcopyrite dissolution by ferric sulfate solution can be enhanced by the presence of conductive carbon particles. The addition of carbon has been found to increase the leaching rate of chalcopyrite by as much as a factor of four. The importance of carbon in the enhanced leaching of chalcopyrite has been discussed in previous publications. The same rate increase has been found to prevail for the initial reaction kinetics. To further evaluate the effect of carbon during the initial stage of reaction, the results from initial rate experiments are discussed in conjunction with polarization and spectroelectrochemical measurements.

The initial rate of the ferric sulfate leaching of $CuFeS_2/C$ aggregates was found to be one-half order with respect to ferric concentration and inversely proportional to the initial particle diameter, with an apparent activation energy of 38.1 kJ/mole (9.1 kcal/mole). These initial rate characteristics are similar to those found for ferric sulfate leaching of chalcopyrite without carbon and suggest that in both cases the initial reaction rate is controlled by an electrochemical surface reaction. However, the initial rate is three times faster in the presence of carbon.

Electrochemical measurements further support these results. The corrosion current for the anodic reaction of CuFeS₂/C aggregate electrodes was found to be about five times greater than that for chalcopyrite electrodes without carbon addition. Satisfactory agreement exists between electrochemical measurements and the initial leaching rate. It is evident from the polarization curves that the galvanic coupling between carbon and chalcopyrite eliminates to some extent the passivating effect that has been observed by many investigators. These findings suggest that in the absence

of carbon the anodic and cathodic half-cell reactions do not experience the same potential because of the resistance of a passivating film. Finally, in-situ Fourier Transform Infrared spectroelectrochemical measurements have been made in an attempt to identify the surface reaction product during the initial stages of reaction.

CANES AND A MARKED STREET 11

INTRODUCTION

The acid ferric sulfate leaching of chalcopyrite has been studied extensively because of its significance in dump leaching practice and its potential for the hydrometallurgical processing of copper sulfide concentrates. However, the reaction kinetics are extremely slow and seem to be significantly influenced by the nature of the reaction product.

Ferric Sulfate Leaching Studies i : .

1

ę ,' :

2

÷....

:t

15. · • •

214

79

1.4

Many researchers have attempted to explain the leaching behavior of chalcopyrite, but there is not complete agreement in the interpretation of the reaction kinetics. The ferric sulfate leaching reaction for chalcopyrite follows the equation

$$CuFeS_{2} + 4Fe^{3+} = Cu^{2+} + 5Fe^{2+} + 2S^{0}$$
(1)

One of the most significant features of the reaction is the formation of a dense, tenacious sulfur layer. Some investigators believe that transport through this layer limits the reaction rate and explains the slow leaching rate of chalcopyrite. The very slow leaching kinetics of chalcopyrite in ferric sulfate solution has been established by 26many researchers (1-6). The significance of the elemental sulfur product layer in the leaching reaction can be appreciated from the experimental results shown in Figure 1. In these experiments the reaction was interrupted at 40% conversion and the sulfur removed by distillation under N₂ atmosphere below 500°C. When the leaching reac-31. tion was started again, after the sulfur product had been removed, 32. the original initial reaction kinetics were obtained. The results . . in Figure 1 give further evidence that the protective sulfur reaction 12 product forms a diffusion barrier and the dissolution rate becomes limited by transport through this insulating layer. This hypothesis is substantiated by other results which show that ferric sulfate leaching of chalcopyrite has an inverse second-order dependence on chalcopyrite particle size, a high activation energy, and an independence on stirring speed. These rate data have been successfully described using Wagner's theory of oxidation, which relates the electrical conductivity of elemental sulfur to the rate of reaction. Analysis of the ferric sulfate leaching system in this context suggests that the rate-limiting process is as depicted schematically in Figure 2.

If the reaction kinetics for ferric sulfate leaching of chalcopyrite are limited by electron transport in the elemental sulfur reaction product layer, modification of this reaction product layer to increase its conductivity should increase the rate of reaction.

It is expected that the electrical conductivity of an insulator phase (such as sulfur) may be altered by the addition of dispersed.....

conductors (7). Recently, Simkovich et al. (8) found that small additions of carbon black to elemental sulfur increased the electrical conductivity of the system by about 8 to 12 orders of magnitude. Assuming that carbon particles can be dispersed in the sulfur product layer and act in a similar manner, the dissolution rate of chalcopyrite in ferric sulfate solution would be expected to increase. In fact such an effect has been established and these results are summarized below.

Ferric Sulfate Leaching of CuFeS₂/C Aggregates

The extremely slow reaction kinetics of ferric sulfate leaching of chalcopyrite can be enhanced by the formation of CuFeS₂/C aggregates with particulate carbon (9). The ferric sulfate leaching of chalcopyrite from these aggregates has been found to increase by 400-600% (after 10 hours' leaching), depending upon the electrical conductivity of carbon and the carbon particle size, as shown in Figure 3. The attached carbon particles accelerate the chalcopyrite leaching rate, which may be explained in part by the improved transport of electrons through the sulfur reaction-product layer. The increase in the copper dissolution rate with respect to carbon type correlates with an increase in the electrical conductivity of the corresponding carbon/sulfur composites presented in Table 1. Also, the enhanced reaction rate is dependent upon the particle size and probably upon the number of carbon particles in contact with a chalcopyrite surface. The importance of compaction between carbon and chalcopyrite particles has been established by the experimental results shown in Figure 4. Although the leaching rate of the mixture of chalcopyrite and carbon particles (without pressing) is enhanced, it certainly is not as high as the compressed $CuFeS_2/C$ pellets. It is interesting to note that the increased leaching rate is achieved at lower agitation speeds, as shown in Figure 4, presumably an indication that the aggregate integrity must be preserved. Also, it has been found that aggregates of chalcopyrite compacted with non-conductive particles such as talc, silica, or alumina do not leach at an enhanced rate. These results support the position that the conductive carbon particles change the conductivity of the reaction product layer and such a phenomenon accounts for the increase in leaching rate.

In addition to improved conductivity, the sulfur product layer has been found to have a more botryoidal, less protective character. As shown in Figures 5 and 6, the morphology of the elemental sulfur formed during the leaching of $CuFeS_2/C$ aggregates ($CuFeS_2/Monarch$ 800 = 25/1) differs significantly from the dense, tenacious sulfur which forms on the chalcopyrite surface in the absence of carbon.

Partially reacted samples of $CuFeS_2/C$ aggregates were characterized by x-ray diffraction (XRD). Figure 7 indicates that the chalcopyrite peak decreases as the leaching reaction proceeds and the appearance of the elemental sulfur can be distinguished. From the data

THE FEATURE PERMITS NOT INCOME. CAN SHOW TO AN AN ANY AND AND AND AND AND

shown in Figure 7, after 13 hours and 58% reaction, the elemental sulfur peak becomes guite evident at 20 angles of 23.1. 25.8. and 27.8 degrees, and the CuFeS₂ peak has decreased considerably. In the absence of carbon, the elemental sulfur could not be detected by XRD. These results are similar to the results of previous investigators (1)who have had difficulty in identifying the elemental sulfur product by: XRD and have described the sulfur as being amorphous. It seems that the presence of carbon promotes the growth of crystalline sulfur, and in fact it has been found that liquid sulfur (120°C) wets a carbon surface completely (5). The carbon particles would appear to be ideal sites for sulfur nucleation and growth.

Electrochemical Behavior of Chalcopyrite Electrodes

1 -

. · :

••

21

2:

24

25

In addition to these leaching studies, considerable effort has been directed toward the electrochemical behavior of chalcopyrite electrodes in acid sulfate solution. Of course, this research, by design, has been limited to initial reaction behavior and does not necessarily describe rate control phenomena for a leaching reaction as it goes to completion.

Biegler and Swift (10) studied the anodic behavior of chalcopyrite in 1 M H_2SO_A and 1 M HCl at room temperature by linear sweep voltametry and potentiostatic electrolysis. For example, they found 26 in one case that the anodic reaction involved 6.7 ± 0.3 F per mole of 27. chalcopyrite. From this data, it was calculated that 86% of the 78. sulfide sulfur was oxidized to the elemental form. Chalcopyrite 29 dissolution occurred at localized sites, the number of which depended 20 strongly on potential. The anodic dissolution of chalcopyrite in 31. general was found to be a non-uniform process giving rise to pits and 32 crevices on the electrode surface. At potentials about 0.76-0.86 volt 33 vs. SCE, the sulfur formed was insoluble in carbon disulfide and was suggested to be an amorphous plastic form of sulfur. After standing over a period of days, the sulfur transformed to the rhombic form. No intermediate solid products were detected at the electrode surface. . It was suggested that the physical properties of plastic sulfur may allow it to form the dense tenacious layer found in leaching experiments (1).

The results from other electrochemical studies at low temperatures indicate that the rate-limiting step for the anodic reaction is : a surface reaction or diffusion through intermediate passive sulfide layers. Jones (11) carried out potentiostatic experiments in which he observed a decrease in current with time at low constant potentials. Other investigators (10,12) have presented similar decay curves. The continued current decay indicates that a progressively thickening passive film is formed. But the passive nature of the film was found to diminish as the potential was increased.

(1) The Contract of the Contract States of

Warren et al. (13) studied the passive and transpassive anodic behavior of chalcopyrite in acid solutions at low temperature. Based on current and mass balance measurements, two intermediate phases, S_1 and S_2 , appeared to form in the sequence

 $CuFeS_2 + S_1 + S_2$

27. 24.

: <

In the first step of the reaction, ferrous ions are released much more rapidly than cupric ions, forming an intermediate structure according to the reaction

 $CuFeS_2 + Cu_{1-x} Fe_{1-y} S_{2-z} + xCu^{2+} + yFe^{2+} + zS^0 + 2ze^-$ (3)

(2)

where y > x initially. The compound or defect structure, $Cu_{1-x}Fe_{1-z}S_{2-z}$, was suggested to be an intermediate phase which, mixed with sulfur, forms an electron-conducting passive layer on the mineral surface. This sulfide film is referred to as S_1 . Parker et al. (14) also refers to the intermediate product as a polysulfide having semiconducting properties. It was suggested that the first intermediate product S_1 decomposes to form a second intermediate S_2 , releasing equal quantities of copper and iron, according to the following reaction:

The $CuS_{(n-s)}$ represents a second, nonstoichiometric, intermediate phase S_2 which then decomposes to elemental sulfur at low potentials:

 $CuS_{(n-s)} + Cu^{2+} + S^{0} + 2e^{-}$ (5)

The growth of the passivation layer results in a rapid decay of current at low voltage. Similarly, Hillrichs et al. (15) concluded that in the transpassive potential range, the surface is mostly free of sulfur and the current density is mainly controlled by a thin passive layer.

Parker et al. (16) studied the electrochemical behavior of the oxidation leaching of chalcopyrite at elevated temperature (70-90°C) within the 0.2-0.6 volt (vs. SCE) region. They suggested that a semiconductor surface film, thought to be a metal-deficient polysulfide, forms on the chalcopyrite surface during anodic polarization. The metal-deficient polysulfide is thermally unstable and slows transport THE FERRIC CONTRACTOR STATISTICS AND A AND D A REPAIR FOR THE AREA REPAIR.

of ions and slows electron transfer to oxidants. They concluded that this film accounts for the electrochemical and kinetic aspects of chalcopyrite leaching and that product sulfur is of little kinetic consequence in the leaching of chalcopyrite. Previously cited evidence would not support this conclusion. Importantly, it should be recognized that these electrochemical studies and the aforementioned conclusions must be limited, strictly speaking, to initial reaction behavior, and results from electrochemical studies do not necessarily account for leaching reaction kinetics when the reaction goes to completion.

To summarize, the overall leaching behavior of chalcopyrite is not necessarily explained by electrochemical measurements. Nevertheless, electrochemical measurements could explain the initial reaction kinetics, and in this regard such measurements are useful. Research efforts have been made to explain the increase in the initial rates of E ferric sulfate leaching by the addition of conductive carbon particles to the system. In order to further evaluate the initial reaction kinetics, polarization curves for massive and particulate chalcopyrite electrodes in the presence and absence of carbon were determined. Finally, in-situ Fourier Transform Infrared spectroelectrochemical measurements have been made to determine if polysulfide intermediates 73 I can be detected.

EXPERIMENTAL

28. Experiments included leaching experiments of chalcopyrite in 29. ferric sulfate solution, electrochemical measurements, and FTIR 30. spectroelectrochemical analysis. 31.

33 Leaching Experiments 7.1

۰. $1 \ge 1$

<u>.</u> 25 26.

27.

32.

2

The effect of particulate carbon additions on the initial reaction kinetics for ferric sulfate leaching of CuFeS₂ was studied. An experimental technique was developed to obtain confact between chalcopyrite particles and carbon particles. This technique involved intimate mixing of the particles and compression of the mixture to form a pellet which contained $CuFeS_2/C$ aggregates. Chalcopyrite and carbon particles were mixed thoroughly and pressed at 20,000 lbs into pellets' of 1.3 cm in diameter. The thickness of the pellet depends upon the amount of sample used, but most samples were 0.24 cm thick. The compression and porosity of the pellet depend on the particle size and the proportion of chalcopyrite to carbon.

The chalcopyrite used in these leaching experients was obtained from a Pima flotation concentrate. Monosize chalcopyrite samples were prepared from the concentrate by wet screening and sizing with a Warman Cyclosizer. The chemical analysis of each monosize sample is shown in Table 2.

THE HERITIC STREET AT 计计算机 · `` . . . 1

9

29

Chemical Analysis for Prepared Monosize Chalcopyrite Samples					
	Chemical /	Chemical Analysis			
(microns)	Cu 🕱	Fe 💈			
4.6	29.95	26.27			
12	30.49	26.35			
28	30.75	27.25			
38	31.58	27.73			
	ibed previously (9).				
Electrochemical Measu	ibed previously (9). urements				
Electrochemical Measu Electrochemical electrode system with larization studies (a studies), a platinum erence electrode. A this study, Princeton current converter and dynamic polarization of the half-cell read were carried out at c means of a magnetic	ibed previously (9). urements measurements were obtained of h a chalcopyrite working electrode a graphite working electrode, and a conventional electrochemica h Model 173 potentiostat Mode d Model 175 potential sweep experiments were designed to ction. Some of the electroche elevated temperature. Stirr stirrer.	using a typical the ctrode for anodic p was used for catho saturated calomel p l system was used i el 376 logarithmic generator. Potenti o examine the natur hemical experiments ing was achieved by			

filled with Polyscience's Spurr low-viscosity embedding resin and cured under vacuum. The Spurr low-viscosity embedding resin has an exceptional penetration property, and thus excellent electrodes were prepared which could be polished with ease.

MERCHARD STREAM REPORT OF A SERVICE AND A S A SERVICE AND A SERVICE A Alter Manufacture Character Proposi-tions

In all cases, the electrode surface was carefully polished by standard metallographic techniques. The experimental procedure was found to be essential to minimize spurious time-dependent effects due to the presence of superficial oxidation products.

The active surface area of chalcopyrite for particulate or aggregate electrodes was measured by image analysis. The assumption is made for this image analysis that all particles are randomly positioned and oriented in the prepared specimen. Thus, a simple way of characterizing the area of chalcopyrite is by sequentially scanning the projected image of the whole electrode surface. The area percent of chalcopyrite is recorded for each field. The area percent distribution obtained from scanning of the electrodes is shown in Figures 8 and 9. The mean area percentage of $CuFeS_2$ for a typical $CuFeS_2$ particulate electrode is 70.6% while for a typical CuFeS₂/C aggregate $(CuFeS_2:C = 10:1 \text{ wt. ratio})$ is 41%.

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopic Analysis

.

11

15

11

32 33

34 12

3%

In addition to the electrochemical measurements, the reaction surface was examined by infrared spectroscopy. A digital Qualimatic Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer was used. The preliminary 24. Istudy with FTIR spectroelectrochemistry was to analyze the composition 25 (and structure of thin reaction product layer formed on chalcopyrite 26electrode surface. An in-situ measurement was selected because it is 27. a more appropriate method for studying the electrode interface and is $\frac{28}{28}$ able to monitor processes at the electrode interface as the reaction 29. 1 occurs. The details of FTIR spectroelectrochemical measurements are 30 described in the section on Experimental Results. 31.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

(6)

The anodic dissolution of chalcopyrite in acidic ferric sulfate solution can be described by the half-cell reaction,

 $CuFeS_2 + Cu^{2+} + Fe^{2+} + 2S^0 + 4e$

forming elemental sulfur. It has been reported that initially a defect chalcopyrite structure forms prior to passivation by the layer of elemental sulfur. Also, some sulfur may be oxidized to sulfate, but the kinetics of sulfate formation in acid ferric sulfate solution are quite slow, and most of the sulfur is present as elemental sulfur. In any case, the formation of a dense and tenacious sulfur layer passivates the reaction and slows the leaching rate to unacceptably low levels. As discussed in the Introduction, the addition of carbon particles reduces passivation to a certain extent and significantly increases the leaching rate, extending the time for surface reaction control.

THE FLECTED AND ALL DEPENDENCES OF AND A DEPENDENCES.

Initial Kinetics of Ferric Sulfate Leaching of CuFeS₂/C Aggregates

4

٩.

:

1.

18

During the initial stage of reaction, transport through the reaction-product layer cannot be rate-controlling. The reaction must be controlled by surface chemical reaction and/or transport of reactants. In this study, initial rates were determined by fitting a second-order polynomial regression curve to the rate data and taking the limit of the derivative $(d\alpha/dt)$ as time t approaches zero. This approach provides only an estimate of the initial rates. The initial rate of CuFeS₂/C aggregate is three times faster than that of CuFeS₂ in the absence of carbon (Figure 10). These initial rate data will be compared to electrode reaction rates in the next section as an indication of their significance.

The temperature effect on the initial leaching kinetics of 38-16 µm chalcopyrite particles with the addition of carbon was studied. 15 Initial rate data from experimental results were plotted in the form • • of an Arrhenius plot as shown in Figure 11, and an activation energy of 38.1 kJ/mole (9.1 kcal/mole) was obtained. This activation energy <u>_</u>11. is close to the activation energy for the initial kinetics of chalco-. . pyrite leaching without carbon addition, which was found to be 33.5-50: kJ/mole (8-12 kcal/mole) (1,17). Figure 12 shows the effect of ferric 24. sulfate concentration on the initial kinetics. From the plot of iniitial reaction rate versus ferric ion concentration, the order of reac-26 tion with respect to ferric concentration seems to approach one-half. 27. typical of many surface reaction-controlled electrochemical reactions. 28

20. The chalcopyrite particle size effect has been studied, and the 30. beneficial effect of carbon addition seems to be more pronounced for 31. coarse chalcopyrite particles (5). The significance of the breakage 32. of coarse chalcopyrite particles during compaction of the aggregate 33. has been observed, but such breakage is not significant for the 34. smaller chalcopyrite particles. Nevertheless, a linear relationship between the initial reaction rate and inverse particle diameter (after compression) is obtained as shown in Figure 13. Thus, this supports the notion that a surface reaction may be the initial rate-controlling step.

The kinetic aspects of ferric sulfate leaching of CuFeS₂/C aggregates are complicated. More than one rate process may be involved (9). From the initial kinetic studies, the apparent initial rate of reaction approaches one-half order with respect to ferric concentration, which in conjunction with the particle size dependence and an activation energy of 38.1 kJ/mole (9.1 kcal/mole) suggests that the initial reaction rate is controlled by an electrochemical surface reaction similar to that which occurs in the absence of carbon particles. Further, in the presence of carbon particles, this surface reaction limitation has greater kinetic significance than in the absence of carbon particles. In the absence of carbon particles, the surface reaction resistance can be ignored and the reaction rate explained

(FUP TELEFICE) FEINDERS STELEVENCE (CAMPRA 30, 817 (2019), DVEDGE DORMEN

satisfactorily by parabolic kinetics alone. On the other hand, in the presence of carbon particles, the rate is under mixed control and the surface reaction must be considered to adequately describe the reaction kinetics.

Electrochemical Measurements

:

8 9

:...

11

• •

•••

Electrochemical measurements provide additional data for the analysis of initial reaction kinetics. The initial leaching rate with carbon was found to be three times faster than the initial rate without carbon addition. These results may be indicative of a change in the mechanism of the controlling half-cell reaction or may relate to other aspects of the leaching reaction. The electrochemical data will be presented to demonstrate the effect of carbon on the initial kinetics.

18 The polarization curves for the anodic half-cell reaction of 1.00 massive chalcopyrite, particulate chalcopyrite, and CuFeS₂/C aggregate 20. electrodes are compared in Figure 14. The shape of the polarization • ! curves for the massive chalcopyrite and particulate chalcopyrite elec-22 trode are similar; however, the passivation region of the massive 23 chalcopyrite electrode is more significant. The CuFeS₂/C aggregate 24. electrode did not exhibit this passivation effect during anodic 25 polarization and gave an anodic current significantly greater than the 26. corresponding current for chalcopyrite without carbon in the passive 27. region. This observation may help to explain the observed increase of 28. the initial leaching rate. 29.

30 A convenient way to think about electrochemical reaction kinetics 31. is to plot the current/potential curves for the respective half-cells 32. involved in the leaching reaction. Electrochemical processes are unique in that the solid may assume a uniform potential throughout, 33.1 34. provided ohmic resistance is negligible. The potential may directly . . affect the kinetics of the reaction if slow discharge is involved and imay also serve to stabilize intermediate solid phases. If one or more electrode reactions occur simultaneously, a mixed potential may exist. The current/potential curves for the massive chalcopyite electrode and the CuFeS₂/C aggregate electrode are presented in Figures 15 and 16 both in the presence and absence of ferric sulfate. The corrosion cell which results in the anodic oxidation of chalcopyrite and the cathodic reduction of ferric ion is as follows:

$$CuFeS_2 + Cu^{2+} + Fe^{2+} + 2S^0 + 4e$$

or the intermediate anodic reaction,

 $\underline{\text{CuFeS}_{2} + \text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_{1-y}\text{S}_{2-z} + x\text{Cu}^{2+} + y\text{Fe}^{2+} + z\text{S}^{0} + 2z\text{e}^{-} \qquad (2)$

(6)

 $F_{a}^{3+} + e + F_{a}^{2+}$

and

The polarization curves for the cathodic half-cell reactions are calculated and plotted as dashed lines in these figures. Also in the case of the CuFeS₂/C electrode (Figure 16), the cathodic polarization curve for reduction of ferric ion was determined experimentally using a graphite electrode and is seen to be in good agreement with the calculated curve.

For chalcopyrite dissolution, the mixed potential (or the potential of zero current) is a corrosion potential and the corrosion current represents the rate of chalcopyrite dissolution. Three electrochemical processes can contribute to the currents during the corrosion of chalcopyrite in ferric sulfate solution. These are the oxidation of chalcopyrite, the reduction of ferric ion on the corroding CuFeS₂ surface, and the oxidation of ferrous ion on the corroding chalcopyrite surface. Because of the passive product film formed on the chalcopyrite surface, the anodic currents are remarkably insensitive to changes of potential between 0.3-0.6 v (vs. SCE) as shown in Figure 14. The corrosion current is limited under these circumstances due to the passivating film. This behavior should be contrasted to the behavior of the $CuFeS_2/C$ electrode as shown in Figure 16 in which there is no evidence of passivation. In this regard, it should be noted that the scale of current density in Figure 16 is five times larger than that in Figure 15.

When chalcopyrite is in electrical contact with carbon particles, galvanic interaction may occur which can cause an enhanced corrosion of the electrochemically coupled reactions. Chalcopyrite with the lower rest potential will react anodically, whereas graphite or carbon which possesses a higher rest potential will react cathodically. The galvanic coupling between graphite and chalcopyrite within the leaching environment will establish a mixed potential or corrosion potential as shown in Figure 16. Under this condition, graphite is an inert electrode, the ferric oxidant is discharged cathodically at the graphite surface, and the galvanic leaching mechanism can be depicted in Figure 17 showing the electron transfer from the anodic chalcopyrite particle, resulting in the discharge of oxidant species at the graphite surface.

Other electrochemical results were obtained from chronoamperometry experiments. At constant potential, a plot of current versus time represents the rate of oxidation. The cumulative quantities of charge calculated according to the measured current and the corresponding time are plotted as a function of time in Figure 18 for different types of chalcopyrite electrodes. Both the particulate chalcopyrite electrode and CuFeS₂/C aggregate electrode show the current decay with time but are not as significant as that observed for the massive chalcopyrite (12). At 82°C, 500 mv, copper is produced at a rate of

(7)

 1.58×10^{-10} moles/cm²-sec for the particulate chalcopyrite electrode after 60 minutes. Under the same conditions, the CuFeS₂/C aggregate electrode gave a dissolution rate of 9.2×10^{-10} moles/cm²-sec. These results and the dissolution rate calculated from the corrosion currents at the mixed potential for the CuFeS₂/C aggregate electrode indicate that the anodic dissolution rate is five times faster than for chalcopyrite electrodes in the absence of carbon. Fair agreement is achieved between the rate for potentiostatic electrochemical oxidation and the leaching reaction rate. As mentioned previously the initial leaching rate for CuFeS₂/C aggregates is three times faster than the initial rate for chalcopyrite particles without carbon.

The anodic polarization curves for the CuFeS₂/C aggregate electrode in 1 M H_2SO_4 at different temperatures were traced with the potentiostat as shown in Figure 19. The anodic current increased significantly as temperature increased. At the mixed potential the corresponding currents for different temperatures were determined and plotted against the inverse absolute temperature to determine the activation energy. An activation energy of 46 kJ/mole (11 kcal/mole) is consistent with that determined from initial leaching rate experiments.

Some of the difference between the leaching data and the electrochemical measurements is explained because the estimate of the initial rate from leaching data is limited due to the experimental technique. In the case of the estimate of the initial rate from leaching data, the reaction has proceeded to a greater extent and the passivation is more significant than that observed from electrochemical data. However, it seems in both cases that the mechanism is the same because of the similar activation energies and reaction orders.

FTIR Spectroelectrochemistry

The electrochemical methods for studying dissolution kinetics include galvanostatic and potentiostatic measurements which have been supplemented in some cases by potentiodynamic studies, a-c impedence investigations, and coulometry. These methods have been useful in characterizing the anodic behavior of electrodes, but the electrochemical techniques do not provided unambiguous information about the chemical composition and structure of anodically produced surface films. Nonelectrochemical techniques used thus far have also been of limited value. Electron diffraction investigations require that the specimen be removed from its environment, and reasonable objections to the interpretation of the results can be raised because of possible changes in composition of the specimen surface, such as dehydration. Although ellipsometry is a highly surface-sensitive technique and is applicable to in-situ studies, the technique only measures film thickness. In-situ FTIR spectroscopy offers the possibility of obtaining direct compositional and structural information about thin anodic

films. Research has been initiated to evaluate the emission IR spectra for in-situ chemical characteristics of reaction intermediates at a chalcopyrite electrode under anodic bias.

Chalcopyrite itself contains infrared active bonds which should appear below 400 cm⁻¹ (18). Reaction products such as polysulfide films or elemental sulfur would be expected to contain infrared active S-S bonds, baving vibrational frequencies between 460-480 cm⁻¹ (29-21) and 663 cm⁻¹ (see Figure 21). In this regard, FTIR measurements may provide further information about the reaction product intermediate.

The spectroelectrochemical experiment is a reflectance experiment, in which infrared radiation is reflected off the surface of a polished electrode sitting in an electrochemical cell (see Figure 20). and the reflected light is detected.

The resulting single-beam spectrum contains absorption bands due to absorbing species, in solution and on the electrode surface, but also contains the wavenumber dependence of instrumental energy throughput, detector sensitivity, and electronic response. To remove the latter contributions, it is usual to present difference spectra, which show only changes in absorption caused by a change in some variable, such as electrode potential.

Spectroelectrochemical experiments were carried out as follows: A polished chalcopyrite electrode was inserted into a cell containing an aqueous solution of 0.1 M HClO₄. The solution was degassed by bubbling helium through it for about 15 minutes. The working electrode was then pushed against an infrared-transparent ZnSe window. This left a layer of solution about 1-10 µm thick between electrode and window, minimizing absorption of the radiation by the water. In order to detect the very small signals due to changes at the electrode surface, an FTIR spectrometer (Digilab Qualimatic) was used which allows rapid collection of many spectra. These spectra can be averaged to increase the signal/noise ratio. Typically, one set of spectra was collected over a 10-minute period with the electrode at open circuit. A second set was then collected with the electrode at a controlled potential where a reaction intermediate was expected to form. The average of the second set was divided by the average of the first set to give what is essentially a difference spectrum. Peaks pointing up correspond to species present at open circuit which are destroyed at the controlled potential, and peaks pointing down correspond to peaks not present at open circuit but which are formed at the controlled potential. The spectra of the electrode surface can therefore be monitored both as a function of potential and of time at constant potential.

Spectra were obtained over a potential range of 450 mV to 1200 mV (SCE), and at times varying from a few minutes to several hours. An example is presented in Figure 21. Some peaks are found in the range of the S-S bonding frequency but did not change in a very systematic

manner with potential or time. Nevertheless, these results are preliminary and further research is in progress.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The very slow dissolution rate of the ferric sulfate leaching of chalcopyrite can be improved by the addition of carbon to form aggregates. In the presence of carbon, the leaching rate increases substantially and initial rate control by an electrochemical surface reaction becomes evident.
- 2. The initial rate of the ferric sulfate leaching of CuFeS₂/C aggregates was found to be one-half order with respect to ferric concentration, and inversely proportional to the initial particle diameter, with an apparent activation energy of 38.1 kJ/mole (9.1 kcal/mole). These results suggest that the initial reaction rate is controlled by surface reaction.
- 3. Electrode measurements both in the presence and absence of carbon support electrochemical analysis of the initial reaction kinetics. The galvanic coupling between carbon and chalcopyrite eliminates the ohmic resistance of passive reaction product films and as a result higher current densities are realized. The rate of potentiostatic electrochemical oxidation for the CuFeS₂/C aggregate electrode is five times faster than the rate for the particulate CuFeS₂ electrode.
- 4. In-situ Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopic measurements have been made to detect the nature of the initial surface reaction product at the chalcopyrite surface. The preliminary results have not been conclusive but have demonstrated the potential utility of in-situ FTIR spectroscopy for sulfide electrodes in the further study of leaching reaction mechanisms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research activity has been supported by funds from the Mineral and Primary Materials Processing Program, National Science Foundation Grant No. 81-07763. Our grateful acknowledgement is made to Prof. I. M. Ritchie, Dr. R. Woods, and Prof. M. E. Wadsworth for their interest and helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

 P. B. Munoz, J. D. Miller, and M. E. Wadsworth, "Reaction Mechanism for the Acid Ferric Sulfate Leaching of Chalcopyrite," Met. Trans. B, vol. 10B, 149-158 (1979). 2. D. E. Lowe, "The Kinetics of the Dissolution Reaction of Copperand Copper-Iron Sulfide Minerals Using Ferric Sulfate Solutions," Ph.D. Thesis, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona (1970).

- 3. L. W. Beckstead et al., "Acid Ferric Sulfate Leaching of Attritor-Ground Chalcopyrite Concentrates," Extractive Metallurgy of Copper, vol. 2, AIME, 611-632 (1976).
- 4. J. E. Dutrizac, "The Dissolution of Chalcoyrite in Ferric Sulfate and Ferric Chloride Media," Met. Trans. 12B, 371-378 (1981).
- 5. R. Y. Wan, J. D. Miller, H. J. Wei, and G. Simkovich, "The Significance of Carbon Properties in the Enhanced Ferric Sulfate Leaching of CuFeS₂/C Aggregates," submitted for publication.
- 6. Jose Raul Fernandez, "Continuous Leaching of Attritor-Ground Chalcopyrite in Acid Ferric Sulfate Solution," Ph.D. Thesis, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, 1978.
- C. Wagner, "The Electrical Conductivity of Semi-Conductors Involving Inclusions of Another Phase," J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 3B, 1051 (1972).
- 8. G. Simkovich et al., "The Electrical Conductivity Behavior of Monarch 1100 Carbon Plus Sulfur from 308 to 368 K," in preparation for publication.
- 9. R. Y. Wan, J. D. Miller, and G. Simkovich, "Enhanced Ferric Sulfate Leaching of Copper from CuFeS₂/C Particulate Aggregates," Proceedings of Mintek 50 -- An International Conference on Recent Advances in Mineral Science and Technology, South Africa, March 1984.
- 10. T. Biegler and D. A. Swift, "Anodic Electrochemistry of Chalcopyrite," Journal of Applied Electorchemistry, 9, 545-554 (1979).
- 11. D. L. Jones, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Metallurgy, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., 1974.
- 12. G. W. Warren, "Electrochemical Oxidation of Chalcopyrite," Ph.D Thesis, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, December, 1978.
- G. W. Warren, M. E. Wadsworth, and J. M. El-Raghy, "Passive and Transpassive Anodic Behavior of Chalcopyrite in Acid Solutions," Met. Trans. B, vol. 13B, 571-579 (1982).
- 14. P. J. Parker, R. L. Paul, and G. P. Power, "Electrochemical Aspects of Leaching Copper from Chalcopyrite in Ferric and Cupric Salt Solutions," Aust. J. Chem., 34, 13-34 (1981).

- 15. E. Hillrichs, H. Geulich, and R. Bertram, "Investigations of the Electrochemical Dissoluton of Sulfide Ores in Sulfuric Acid Solutions," Hydrometallurgy Research, Development and Plant Practices, edited by K. Osseo-Asare and J. D. Miller, 277, 1983.
- A. J. Parker, R. L. Paul, and G. P. Power, "Electrochemistry of the Oxidative Leaching of Copper from Chalcopyrite," J. Electroanalytical Chemistry, 118, 305-316 (1981).
- J. P. Baur, H. L. Gibbs, and M. E. Wadsworth, "Initial Stage Sulfuric Acid Leaching Kientics of Chalcopyrite Using Radiochemical Techniques," U.S.B.M. RI 7823 (1974).
- A. Miller, A. MacKinnon, and D. Weaire, "The Chalcopyrite and Related Semiconducting Compounds," Solid State Physics, vol. 36, p. 150 (1981).
- 19. A. W. Herlinger et al., Inorganic Chemistry, vol. 12, 2661 (1969).

- 20. K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, 1978, John Wiley and Sons, inc.
- 21. L. J. Bellamy, The Infrared Spectra of Complex Molecules, 1960.

<u> </u>	°1*	σ [*] 2	Fraction of Cu
	5% C/S Composite	15.9% C/S Composite	Reacted from CuEeSo/C
Carbon Type	(ohm-cm) ⁻¹	(ohm-cm) ⁻¹	Aggregates after 10 hrs
Pearl 2000	2.6x10 ⁻²	2.2x10 ⁻¹	0.560
Monarch 800	1.05x10 ⁻³	-	0.524
Monarch 1100	9.0x10 ⁻⁴	· •	0.488
Lonza KS-2.5	5.4x10 ⁻⁴	1.6x10 ⁻¹	0.322
Spheron 6	2.2x10 ⁻⁴	-	0.314
Fisher 38	-	6.2x10 ⁻²	0.316
Cerac Pure	-	9.1x10 ⁻²	0.281
Calgon Activated Carbon	-	2.4x10 ⁻³	0.209
Chalcopyrite (n Pure Sulfur	<u>Con</u> o carbon)	<u>ductivity (ohm-cm</u> - 10 ⁺¹ - 10 ⁻¹³	<u>)-1</u> 0.067

Table 1. The Conductivity of C/S Composites for Different Types of Carbon and the Leaching Response of CuFeS₂/C Aggregates.

 $\star\sigma_1$ and σ_2 are measured at 5 vol. % and 15.92 vol. % carbon, respectively, in pure sulfur at 90°C.

......



•:

enter a la calenter de la calenter d

5.5**5555655**55

V





۲.











77

~~~~~~

...

and the second state of th





Figure 21 FTIR In-Situ spectra for  $CuFeS_2$  at 450 mv (vs SCE).



# FILMED

1-85

DTIC