



....

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
EPORT NUMBER 2. COVT	CESSION NEC. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TITLE (and Sublitio) AN INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN, NORTH DAKOTA	
Sheyenne River Valley from Baldhill Dam Red River of the North, North Dakota.	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Author(.) Laurence L. Falk, Primary Investigator William Nelson	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Jay Leitch Wayne Becker	DACW37-77-C-0100
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Paul 1135 USPO & Custom House	September 30, 1977 13. NUMBER OF PAGES
St. Paul, MN 55101 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Contr	rolling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
• • • • • • •	Unclassified
	) UNCLASSIFIED
	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distributio	SCHEDULE
	schEDule
Approved for public release; distributio	schEDule
Approved for public release; distribution	schEDule
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify b	schedule on unlimited. , If different from Report)
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify b Water Resources	schedule on unlimited. , if different from Report) DTIC CTE CTE
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify b	schedule on unlimited. , if different from Report) DTIC CTE CTE
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify b Water Resources Sheyenne River Land use ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by	schedule on unlimited. , If different from Report) DTIC CTE Jul 1984 E
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by Water Resources Sheyenne River Land use ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by The general purpose of this report is to the feasibility of water planning altern	schedule on unlimited. , If different from Report)
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Water Resources Sheyenne River Land use ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by The general purpose of this report is to the feasibility of water planning altern Two questionnaires were designed for use obtain profiles of 54 organizations relation	schedule on unlimited. , If different from Report)
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Water Resources Sheyenne River Land use ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by The general purpose of this report is to the feasibility of water planning altern Two questionnaires were designed for use obtain profiles of 54 organizations rela- resource management in the lower Sheyenn	schedule on unlimited. , If different from Report)
Approved for public release; distribution DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20) SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by Water Resources Sheyenne River Land use ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by The general purpose of this report is to the feasibility of water planning altern Two questionnaires were designed for use obtain profiles of 54 organizations relation	schedule on unlimited.

4

Ľ.

9

•

Ø

0

I

# UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

perceived water problems and proposed solutions. Laws relating to water and related land resource management are discussed. Copies of instruments, computer codes, research methodology and related information are included in this report.



## INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS FOR THE LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN, NORTH DAKOTA: SHEYENNE RIVER VALLEY FROM BALDHILL DAM TO THE RED RIVER OF THE NORTH, NORTH DAKOTA

4

-0

•

Primary Investigator:

Dr. Laurence L. Falk Concordia College

## Co-Researchers:

Dr. William Nelson North Dakota State University

Mr. Jay Leitch North Dakota State University

Research Assistant:

Mr. Wayne Becker North Dakota State University

Acces	sion For	·····
DTIC Unann	GRA&I TAB Sunced fication	
R**	intion/	
	oblity ( oblity ( oblity ( obligational obligational	/or
A-1		

Contract No. DACW37-77-C-0100 Department of the Army St. Paul District, Corps of Engineers

September 30, 1977

RETHRN TO U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT TECHNICAL LIB & RY ST. PAUL, MN, 55104

PROPERTY OF THE U.S. GOODERNALINT

### FOREWORD

-

This institutional analysis for the Lower Sheyenne River Basin, North Dakota, was done in conjunction with our Phase I General Design Memorandum studies for flood control and related purposes for the Sheyenne River, North Dakota. The Phase I General Design Memorandum studies consist of a reevaluation of the water resource problems and needs of the basin and a reformulation of alternatives to meet these needs.

To assist in this process, the St. Paul District contracted with Concordia College, Moorhead, Minnesota, to conduct an analysis of organizations involved in water resources and related issues of the lower Sheyenne River basin. The intent of this analysis was to develop a readily understandable description and display of the issues, capacities, and relations among organizations involved in working with the water resources of the basin. This analysis was designed as a working document to assist persons involved in the resolution of basin water resources issues.

Mr. Charles Simpkins and Mr. David Miller of the St. Paul District were instrumental in the development of the Scope of Work for this contract and in working with the contractor, Concordia College, in its accomplishment. Their work responds to a challenge from study manager William Spychalla to develop a sound staff support function in the interest of increasing planning efficiency and speed.

By the efforts of these members of the St. Paul District and the work of the investigators at Concordia College and North Dakota State University, I am pleased to present you with this institutional analysis of the Lower Sheyenne River Basin.

tower Day T

FORREST T. GAY III Colonel, Corps of Engineers District Engineer

## ABSTRACT

The general purpose of this report is to provide information for determining the feasibility of water planning alternatives in the Sheyenne River basin to meet Reformulation Study requirements for the St. Paul District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Two questionnaires were designed for use in this survey. The first was used to obtain profiles of 54 organizations related to water and related land resource management in the lower Sheyenne River basin. Results of these profiles are included in the report. The second questionnaire was used to obtain more detailed information on 39 organizations also related to water and land use. Information is provided about these organizations' goals and activities, their perceived water problems and proposed solutions on their and other organizations. Laws relating to water and related land resource management are discussed. Copies of instruments, computer codes, research methodology and related information are included in the report.

ii

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

)

7

<u>ر</u>

Þ

		Page
ABSTR	ACT	11
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	111
LIST	OF TABLES	v
LIST (	OF FIGURES	viii
CHAPT	ER	
1.		1
	Definition of Institutional Analysis	1
	The Purpose and Authority of the Study	2
	Brief Description of the Study Area Encompassed by the	
	Lower Sheyenne River Basin	3
	Introduction and Overview of the Narrative	3
	Research Style and Assumptions	22
	General Methodology	22
2.	DESCRIPTIVE INVENTORY OF ORGANIZATIONS	23
	Classification of Organization	25
	Selected Characteristics of Organization	28
	Organization Activities and Goals.	30
	Sources of Funds	33
		36
	Contact Among Organizations	20
3.	SYNOPSIS OF WATER AND RELATED LAND USE LEGISLATION	38
	Local Government Rules and Regulations	38
	Summary.	46
	State Government Rules, Regulations and Legislation	47
	Other State Laws	51
		51
	Federal Legislation.	52
		54
		54
	Conclusion	74
4.	ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND OBJECTIVES	55
	Organizations and Respondents	55
	General Purpose of the Organizations	59
		60
	CIICULCIC,	00
5.	ORGANIZATIONAL PERCEPTIONS	64
	Problems and Causes	64
	Effect of Solutions on Own Organizations	66

CHAPT	ER										Page
6.	ASSESSMENT	s of other	ORGANIZAT	IONS .	•••	• •	••	•	•	•	71
7.	ORGANIZATI	ONAL RELATI	ONSHIPS .		• •	• •	••	•	•	•	75
	Participat	ion in Corp	s Flood C	ontrol	Study		••	•	•	•	79
8.	SUMMARY AN	ID CONCLUSIO	NS	• • •	• •	• •	• •	•	•	•	82
		nal Analysi									82
		stics of Pr									83
	Water and	Related Lan	d Use Leg	islatio	n.		• •	•	٠	•	85
	General Pu	rpose of Or	ganizatio	n				•	•	•	86
		Causes and									87
		Solutions o									88
											89
	Organizati	lonal Interr	elation .	• • •	• •	• •	•••	٠	•	•	07
		• • • • •									91
APP	ENDIXES		• • • • •	• • •	• •	••	• •	•	•	•	94
	A. Data B	ased Tables	ł								
	B. Questi	lonnaires									
	C. A Meth	odological	Discussion	n							
	Their	lption of Pu Regulations ed Land Use Basin	About Wa	ter Use	and	์ (lua	lity	y			
	E. Citize	ens Input Wo	rkshop								
		of Work for e Lower Shey						ot	<b>A</b>		

iv

# LIST OF TABLES

>

i

I

TABLE		Page
1.	SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF ORGANIZATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WATER USE, QUALITY AND RELEVANT LAND USE IN FREQUENCIES	6
2.	ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES RELATIVE TO WATER RESOURCES AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED LAND USE IN FREQUENCIES	7
3.	STATED ACTIVITIES OF PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS IN FREQUENCIES	8
4.	STATED GOALS OF PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS BY FREQUENCIES	9
5.	MAJOR AND MINOR SOURCES OF FUNDING OF ORGANIZATIONS PROFILED	9
6.	KINDS OF ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED BY PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS AND FREQUENCY THE ORGANIZATIONS ARE MENTIONED	10
7.	GENERAL PURPOSE OF ORGANIZATIONS BY ORGANIZATIONAL CATEGORIES	15
8.	RESPONDENTS' ESTIMATES OF THEIR ORGANIZATIONS' IMPORTANCE TO CLIENTELE GROUPS AND DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE.	16
9.	SOURCES OF FUNDS FOR ACTIVITIES OF 39 ORGANIZATIONS	17
10.	PERCEIVED EFFECTS OF SOLUTIONS AS GAINS OR LOSSES ON ACTIVITIES, GEOGRAPHIC AREA, VALUE OF PROPERTY, REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES	19
11.	KINDS OF INTERACTION AMONG ORGANIZATIONS AND FREQUENCY OF KIND OF CONTACT.	21
12.	OFFICE OF RESPONDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS PROFILED IN THE SURVEY BY NUMBER	26
13.	SUMMARY OF SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF ORGANIZATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WATER AND RELATED LAND USE BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION IN FREQUENCIES.	29
14.	ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES RELATIVE TO WATER RESOURCES AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED LAND USE IN FREQUENCIES BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION	31

v

ł

G

0

1

•

•

ABLE		Page
15.	STATED ACTIVITIES AND GOALS, IN FREQUENCY, OF PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION	34
16.	SUMMARY OF MAJOR AND MINOR SOURCES OF FUNDING OF PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS IN FREQUENCY	35
17.	KINDS OF ORGANIZATION INDICATED AS HAVING CONTACT WITH THE RESPONDENT'S ORGANIZATION IN FREQUENCIES	37
13.	LSRB TOWNSHIPS WITH ZONING ORDINANCES AS OF AUGUST 1, 1977	39
19.	MUNICIPALITIES IN THE LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN	42
20.	WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS IN THE LSRB	44
21.	PRIMARY PURPOSE OF ORGANIZATIONS	59
22.	RESPONDENTS' ESTIMATES OF THEIR ORGANIZATIONS' IMPORTANCE TO CLIENTELE GROUPS AND DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE	61
23.	FREQUENCY OF OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION	63
24.	PERCEIVED WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS AND THEIR CAUSES IN FREQUENCY BY LEVEL OF ORGANIZATION	65
25.	TOTAL FREQUENCIES OF PERCEIVED WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS AND THEIR CAUSES	66
26.	PERCEIVED WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS AND THEIR SOLUTIONS IN FREQUENCY BY LEVEL OF ORGANIZATION	68
27.	PERCEIVED WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS AND THEIR SULUTIONS IN FREQUENCY TOTALS	69
28.	PROPOSED SOLUTIONS TO WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS AND ORGANIZATIONS WITH INCREASED FUNCTIONS FOR MOST FREQUENTLY MENTIONED SOLUTIONS.	73
29.	TOTAL OF INCREASED ACTIVITIES FOR MOST FREQUENTLY MENTIONED ORGANIZATIONS BY KIND OF SOLUTION	74
30.	COMMUNICATION NETWORK AND KINDS OF INTERACTION AMONG ORGANIZATIONS BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION AND FREQUENCY OF KIND OF CONTACT	76
31.	FREQUENCY OF FORMAL AND INFORMAL COMMUNICATION BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION	77

vi

TABLE

- A-1 SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF ORGANIZATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WATER USE, QUALITY AND RELEVANT LAND USE
- A-2 ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES RELATIVE TO WATER RESOURCES AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED LAND USE
- A-3 STATED ACTIVITIES AND GOALS OF PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS
- A-4 MAJOR AND MINOR SOURCES OF FUNDING OF PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS
- A-5 ORGANIZATIONS NAMED AS HAVING CONTACT WITH PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS
- A-6 ORGANIZATIONAL OBJECTIVES, ASSOCIATED ACTIVITIES AND PROPORTION OF TIME AND BUDGET, IN PERCENT, FOR THE ACTIVITIES AND SOURCES OF FUNDING FOR ACTIVITIES BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION
- A-7 MAJOR WATER PROBLEMS AND THEIR CAUSES BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION
- A-8 WATER PROBLEMS, THEIR PROPOSED SOLUTIONS, EFFECT ON OWN ORGANIZATION OF SOLUTIONS RELATIVE TO ACTIVITIES, GEOGRAPHIC AREA, VALUE OF PROPERTY, REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
- A-9 PROPOSED SOLUTIONS OF PROBLEMS AND ESTIMATIONS OF INCREASED OR DECREASED FUNCTIONS FOR OTHER ORGANIZATIONS
- A-10 COMMUNICATION NETWORK AND KINDS OF INTERACTION AMONG ORGANIZATIONS BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION AND FREQUENCIES

## LIST OF FIGURES

Ľ

G

1

FIGURE		Page
1.	MODEL FOR ASSESSING WATER PROBLEMS, THEIR CAUSES, THEIR SOLUTIONS AND EFFECT OF SOLUTIONS ON OWN ORGANIZATION AND OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AS PERCEIVED BY ORGANIZATIONAL REPRESENTATIVES	14
2.	YEARS RESPONDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS PROFILED WERE WITH THE ORGANIZATION	27
3.	YEARS RESPONDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS PROFILED WERE IN THEIR PRESENT POSITION	27
4.	LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN AREA IN NORTH DAKOTA	39
5.	TOWNSHIPS IN THE LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN	40
6.	YEARS RESPONDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS INTERVIEWED IN- DEPTH WERE WITH THE ORGANIZATION	58
7.	YEARS RESPONDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS INTERVIEWED IN- DEPTH WERE IN THEIR PRESENT POSITION	58
8.	AFFECT OF SOLUTIONS ON OWN ORGANIZATION	67
9.	AFFECT OF SOLUTIONS ON OTHER ORGANIZATION	71
10.	CCMMUNICATION NETWORK: REPORTING OBLIGATION TO OTHER ORGANIZATIONS BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION	78
11.	COMMUNICATION NETWORK BY GEOGRAPHIC OVERLAP AND SIMILAR ACTIVITY BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION	80
12.	ORGANIZATIONS ANTICIPATING DIRECT AND INDIRECT PARTICIPATION IN ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS STUDY OF LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN	81

.

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF FINDINGS

Definition of Institutional Analysis

6

In a general sense, "institution" encompasses at least two conceptual levels. In its broadest sense, institution refers to the major social categories such as the economic, political, education, religious, etc. (Hertzler, 1961, 77). At another level, "institutions" refers to those organizations within these broader social categories that more specifically organize human life around goals and values (Hertzler, 1961, 94). This study focused on the second level of institutional concepts.

Organizations have at least three basic dimensions which are 1) goals, 2) means of goal implementation, and 3) patterns of social interaction (Scott in Harris, Handbook 1964, 490). This general definition does not exclude voluntary associations from the study. Voluntary associations include goals, means and social systems with the added qualification that persons join the organizations voluntarily. Such organizations have long been recognized as part of the public decision-making process (McMahon and Camilleri, 1975). Specifically, the "Scope of Work" (Appendix F) stated that "the inventory will include informal voluntary associations and interest groups, as well as formalized organizations." In sum, this study defined institutions as including voluntary associations and those organizations and agencies interested in water resources in the lower Sheyenne River basin.

This study analyzed organizational goals, functions and objectives as indicated in the organizations' legal documents and as perceived by its officers. It also examined the officers' perceptions of other organizations and the officers' perceptions of their organization's interaction with other groups. The study examined organizations with interests in flood control, water supply, water quality, land use, floodplain regulation, fish and wildlife and other related natural resources in the lower Sheyenne River basin.

The Purpose and Authority of the Study

1

l

ſ

lo

This study is part of a Reformulation Study by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers of water problems in the lower Sheyenne River basin. An institutional analysis is required as part of this study, and the steps are defined in ER 1105-2-200, <u>Multiobjective Planning Framework</u>, and ER 1105-2-22, <u>Planning Urban Studies Program</u>. This requires 1) a list of organizations (in the interest areas indicated); 2) a description of (a) legal authorities, (b) policies, and (c) programs; and 3) indication of impediments and constraints set by organizations, political arrangements and customs.

Several criteria are stated for establishing feasibility of implementation. According to the "Scope of Work" these include 1) capability of existing institutions to meet plan requirements; 2) acceptability of changes in local arrangements and procedures involving functions or organizations and inter-organizational relations; 3) financial, legal, and technological feasibility; and 4) political and social acceptability. The purpose of this study is to provide

3

information for the application of these criteria to water interest organizations in the lower Sheyenne River basin. Research is under the auspices of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District, Contract No. DACW37-77-C-0100.

Brief Description of the Study Area Encompassed by the Lower Sheyenne River Basin

The Sheyenne River is about 250 miles long beginning near the center of North Dakota and flowing generally eastward in an inverted arch until it joins the Red River of the North north of Fargo. The LSRB is primarily agricultural with 88 percent of the land being cultivated. Most of the remaining land is woodland, marshland and grassland. Only 1.6 percent is urban.

The population in the river basin between Bald Hill Dam and the Red River was estimated to be 24,637 in 1974. The incorporated and unincorporated cities in the Lower Sheyenne River Basin are, in the order of their size: Valley City, West Fargo, Lisbon, Kindred, Horace, Harwood, Kathryn, Fort Ransom, Rogers, Hastings, Elliott, Berea and Anselm. Seventy-three percent of the lower basin residents live in cities (Falk, Attitudes and Social Characteristics of Farm and Rural Non-Farm Persons Living Adjacent to the Sheyenne River of North Dakota, 1977: 1).

The major portion of the research area lies in four counties. These are Barnes, Cass, Ransom and Richland Counties. The Census County Divisions that are partly included in the lower Sheyenne River basin are Northwest Barnes, Valley City East, Valley City West, Litchville, Southeast Barnes, Lisbon West, Lisbon-Enderlin Rural, Sandhills, Northwest Richland, Northeast Richland, Casselton South, Fargo South and Fargo North.

Introduction and Overview of the Narrative

The narrative reports on three portions of the institutional analysis. The first portion profiles 54 organizations involved in

water and related land resources in the lower Sheyenne River basin. The second portion examines water regulations effecting the basin. The third portion deals with 39 organizations profiled in depth that were involved in water and related land resources. The body of this report divides these portions into six chapters as follows: (Chapter 2) descriptive inventory of the 54 organizations profiled, (Chapter 3) a review of water resources and related land use legislation, (Chapter 4) organizational responsibilities, (Chapter 5) organizational perceptions, (Chapter 6) assessments of other organizations, and (Chapter 7) organizational interrelations. Here follows an overview of each of the chapters including summary tables of the findings.

Descriptive Inventory of Organizations. This chapter (2) examines the information gathered by the first instrument (Appendix B), mainly through phone interviews, of 54 organizations concerned with water and related land use. Because of the nature of the institutional assessment, a majority of the organizations surveyed were county and local governments. The kinds of organizations and number of each kind are independent government units (3), agency of the state government (1), agencies of the federal government (10), professional organizations (4), environmental groups (5), recreational group (1), county or local governments (25), and voluntary associations of government (5). All of these organizations agreed that they had a responsibility about water and related land use management in the lower Sheyenne River basin as prescribed by the "Scope of Work."

The organizations included both paid and voluntary workers. Thus, these organizations frequently had automatic linkages with other organizations or economic units through their workers'other vocations. Thirty-eight persons reported having occupational responsibilities in addition to those in the reporting organization. The persons reporting for their organizations were primarily officers in their organizations and had been with the organizations two or more years. More than 40 percent were with their organizations ten or more years. An important portion of the work in these organizations was done by voluntary staff. Some of these organizations, while not classified as such, are voluntary associations in practice.

The major purpose for profiling the 54 organizations was to learn the kinds of responsibilities and areas of responsibilities in water and related land use resources. Other information gathered included the relationship of the respondent's organization to other organizations, availability of charters, budgets, size of clientele and related information. Tables one and two contain this information.

Quite a number of the respondents said their organization did not have a charter (24 of 54). Also, a large number do not have published goals for their organizations (34 of 54). Twenty-two of the 54 respondents said that last year's budget was not available. Almost all of the respondents consider their organizations to be permanent (52 or 54). Thirty-four are subunits of larger organizations, and five have jurisdiction over other subunits. A majority of the organizations are subject to specific water and resource management regulations (40 of 54). The organizations have formal and legal ties as well as informal ones to other organizations and governmental units.

		Characteristics									
	Has Charter	Published Goals	Pull-time Staff	Voluntary Staff	Specific Regulations	Organization Permanent	Organization a Sub-unit	Jurisdiction Other Units	Contact other Organizations	Available Budget	
Total For All Fifty-Four Organizations	30	20	20	44	40	38	34	5	53	32	

## TABLE 1: SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF ORGANIZATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WATER USE, QUALITY AND RELEVANT LAND USE IN FREQUENCIES

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977.

Table 2 summarizes the kinds of responsibilities that these organizations have and whether the responsibility is in areas of quality, supply, land and water use, wildlife and flooding. No organization considered their primary relation to water use and related land use to be financial. The most frequent activity was planning (33 of 54) followed by resource use and control (23 of 54). About a fourth of the organizations saw their primary activities as regulation, public education, or lobbying. Nine were involved in research. Only three said a primary activity was legislation.

A majority of all organizations were involved in areas of quality, use, supply, flooding and related land use. About two-thirds of the respondents said their primary activities were quality (34),

6

6

lo

C

water use (37), water supply (37) and flooding (39). The lowest category of activity was in wildlife with 23 of the 54 respondents considering this their primary area of activity. One concludes from this that there appears to be much less overlap in kind of responsibility than there is in area of responsibility. That is, even though these organizations have overlapping concerns in water and related land use resources, most of their responsibilities seem to be specialized. One exception to that is in planning.

TABLE 2:	ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES RELATI	IVE TO WATER RESOURCES
	AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED LAND	D USE IN FREQUENCIES

	Water ResourceFunctional ResponsibilityInterests	
Total For All	Financial Regulation Implementation Operation Planning Legislative Research Fublic Education Lobbying Resource Use And Control Quality Use Supply Flooding Wildlife Land Use	
Fifty-Four Organizations	0 13 10 6 33 3 9 15 12 23 34 37 37 39 23 46	)

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977.

Organizational respondents reported on the specific goals and activities of their organization (Tables 3 and 4). The most frequently stated specific activities of organizations is in planning and zoning. The next most frequently stated activity is resource management, closely followed by public education. Implementation, regulation and policy

development are given as activities about equally in just over a fourth of the organizations.

		Activities						
	Education	Planning	Policy	Development	Manag <i>e</i> men t	Legal- Political	General Water and Land Use	
Total For All Fifty-Four Organizations	18	31	15	14	19	10	14	

TABLE 3: STATED ACTIVITIES OF PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS IN FREQUENCIES

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977.

Activities and goals are not always closely parallel. However in many cases, goals and activities are not easily distinguishable. Some of the goals relate to mediation and coordination and seem to emerge from the historical experiences of the organizations. However, some of the smaller governments see their goals as similar to other smaller governments.

Funding sources are classified into major and minor (Table 5). Funding closely reflects the kind of organization. Six of the organizations have federal funds as their primary source, and three have state funds. However, local taxes predominate as a source of funds (27 organizations). Private sources are primary for 18 organizations. Federal funds are more likely than other sources to be mentioned as a minor source of funds (13 organizations).

8

).

•

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977.

		Sources						
	Federal Appropriation	Federal Grants	State Appropriation	Local Tax	Private Gifts Memberships	Private Grente	Other Sources	
Major Source for All Organisations	3	3	3	27	17	1	8	
Minor Source for All Organisations	10	3	4	2	1	1	1	

TABLE 5: MAJOR AND MINOR SOURCES OF FUNDING OF ORGANIZATIONS PROFILED

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977.

Organizational networks are obviously important in the decisionmaking process. The profiled organizations most frequently have contact with county organizations (Table 6) (frequencies indicate the sum of

9

Goals

**Development** 

13

Conservation

9

Legal and Political

29

Management

18

TABLE 4: STATED GOALS OF PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS BY FREQUENCIES

Policy

2

Education

7

Total For All Fifty-Four

Organizations

Planning

22

İ

í

times different organizations in the category of organizations was mentioned). State and voluntary organizations were mentioned as contacts about equally. The frequency of contact closely reflects both the level of organizations surveyed and the kinds of organizations surveyed.

TABLE 6:	KINDS OF	ORGANIZATIONS	CONTACTED BY PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS AND
		FREQUENCY THE	ORGANIZATIONS ARE MENTIONED

		Kind of Contacted Organization						
	Federal	State	Regional	County	Voluntary	Association of Countles	Business and Industrial Development	
Total Times Type of Organiza tion Mentioned	a-			-	-		-	
as Contact	28	43	16	66	42	1	1	

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977.

Only the total of the characteristics of profiled organizations are indicated in this introduction. The analysis divided the profiled organizations into five categories. The kind of organizations and the number in each category are as follows:

Non-Government State Level	(11)
Non-Government Regional Level	(9)
Regional Governments	(3)
County Governments	(18)
Local Governments	(13)

ъ

ł

)

The characteristics differentiated by kind of organization are discussed in the body of this report.

Water and Related Land Use Legislation. Chapter three provides a synopsis of water and related land use legislation. Three levels of government have jurisdiction over water and related land use in the hower Sheyenne River basin. They are federal, state, and local governments. The federal governmend's legislation covers broad areas of water and related land resources use. The state government, especially the Water Commission and Department of Health, has specific regulations as well as legislation concerning water in general. Local governments are concerned with specific water and related land use rules and regulations only within jurisdictional boundaries.

Townships may influence water and related land resources through comprehensive zoning regulations. However, enforcement is possible only through court action. From those township ordinances reviewed, it is apparent that township zoning has been done primarily to preserve agricultural areas and the orderly placement of utility lines.

Municipalities have statutory authority to enact rules and regulations in areas such as in implementing land use or zoning ordinances, and promoting health and general welfare of citizens. They may contract to construct public works projects relative to pollution, water supply, conservation and control, and for sewage disposal and drainage.

There are several county boards, commissions, and districts with responsibility for regulating water and related land use management. County Park Boards have authority to regulate, supervise, control, and manage any water or land area for which the county has jurisdiction for park or recreational purposes. All of the four LSRB counties

(Barnes, Cass, Ransom and Richland) have park boards. Water Management Districts are the local organizations with the most authority for water and related land use decision making. They may sue and be sued, have the power of eminent domain and regulate water use.

I

Irrigation Districts and Flood Irrigation Districts may sue and be sued, may contract for construction, and have the power of eminent domain. Soil Conservation Districts may exercise the powers ordinarily exercised by a governmental subdivision of the state and have authority to institute land use regulations for conserving soil and water resources. County Superintendents of Public Health and Local Boards of Health have the authority to enforce all laws pertaining to life and health in the county. However, most county regulations are not concerned with water as an issue. Regional Planning Commissions have the same powers as are granted to counties, municipalities, or organized townships in planning and zoning. They have been active in assisting townships and other political subdivisions in preparing ordinances.

The two most prominent regulating agencies of the state are the State Water Commission and the State Department of Health. Others include the Outdoor Parks and Recreation Agency, Game and Fish Department, Geological Survey, and the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District. The State Water Commission is the primary agency of state government with nearly complete authority over and responsibility for water resources. The State Department of Health is responsible for regulating the quality of water throughout the state. The Outdoor Park and Recreation Agency is the planning and coordinating agency for related programs on all governmental levels. The Garrison Diversion Conservancy District was

established to develop and utilize land and water resources to enhance the economic welfare and prosperity of the people of North Dakota. The State Game and Fish Department promulgates rules and regulations regarding hunting and fishing in the state. The State Geological Survey is responsible for studying, mapping, monitoring, and analyzing the geologic resources of the state including its ores, waters and other useful materials. The State Planning Division serves in an advisory capacity to local and regional planning agencies.

Most water and related land use decisions in North Dakota can be made without reference to Federal legislation. In some instances, decision makers must consider federal statutes. Relevant legislation includes The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, The National Environmental Policy Act, The Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, The Safe Drinking Water Act, the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act and the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act.

Organizational Responsibility and Objectives. Chapter four of the narrative begins the discussion of the analysis of organizations interviewed in depth. Interviews were conducted with representatives of 39 organizations associated with water and related land use resources. The interviewer elicited responses about organizational activities and objectives for water and related land uses, their perceptions of water use and related problems, their solutions to these problems, the implications of these problems and solutions for other organizations, and their kinds of relationships with other organizations. Figure 1 provides a diagram of the model for assassing these perceived problems and solutions. The body of the report includes a detailed analysis of the responses.

13

Ĺ

1

Ĺ

FIGURE 1: MODEL FOR ASSESSING WATER PROBLEMS, THEIR CAUSES, THEIR SOLUTIONS AND EFFECT OF SOLUTIONS ON OWN ORGANIZATION AND OTHER ORGANIZATIONS AS PERCEIVED BY ORGANIZATIONAL REPRESENTATIVES

Ċ

C

52

Ĭ•



The general purposes of the 39 organizations is indicated in table 7. Seven of the organizations were alone in their category. Seven other organizations were in the category of managing water and land resources. Two other categories have four organizations each in

regional planning and in assisting townships. The remaining cateportes contain two or three organizations each. As would be expected from the major purpose of this institutional analysis, the highest concentration of organizations is in management of water-land resources.

TABLE 7: GENERAL PURPOSE OF ORGANIZATIONS BY ORGANIZATIONAL CATEGORIES

-1

1.

51

Purpose of Organization	Number of Organizations In Category
Statewide Planning-Coordination	1
Souris-Rainy-Red Planning-Coordination	1
Regional Planning	4
City Planning	3
Assisting Townships	4
Environmental Education	3
Encourage Political Participation	1
Administer-Develop Conservation	1
Manage Water-Land Resources	7
Regulate and Control Environment	3
Water Problems in Red River Basin	3
Provide Water to Rural Areas	1
City Government Services	3
Manage Fish and Wildlife	2
Manage Recreation Resources	1
Conserve Wildlife Resources	1
TOTAL	39

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

The respondents ranked the importance of various clientele groups for their organizations, and the general public ranked highest as the clientele group (See table 8). These are followed, in the order of their magnificate, by agriculturalist, recreationalist, small business and large business. The differences in emphasis on clientele do reflect the difference purposes of the organizations.

TABLE 8: RESPONDENTS' ESTIMATES OF THEIR ORGANIZATIONS' IMPORTANCE TO CLIENTELE GROUPS AND DEGREE OF IMPORTANCE

.

Í

Categories of Clientele Groups	Mean Rank*
General Fublic	4.77
Agriculturalist	4.21
Small Business	3.45
Large Business or Industry	3.32
Recreationalist	3.76

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977. \*Responses are 1 = not important to 5 = very important

Organizational objectives and activities were often not distinguishable among the responses. However, management-maintenance were the most frequently stated objectives and were closely followed by planning. Education-information and development were two objectives mentioned about equally. Enforcement and stabilization were infrequently stated as objectives. The frequency of kind of organizational activity varied somewhat from the frequency of the objectives since

several activities may implement one objective. The most frequently stated activity was development-improvement. This is followed in the order of their magnitude by planning, management-maintenance, education-information, and regulation.

The source of funds for these activities closely reflected the kind of organization the respondent represented. Sources of funds for the activities are in table 9. State level organizations are primarily funded by state and federal funds. Non-governmental organizations are funded by private sources. Regional organizations are funded by state and federal sources though federal funds are the primary sources. City organizations are supported by local funds and county-township governments by local funds with additional support by the federal government.

TABLE 9: SOURCES OF FUNDS FOR ACTIVITIES OF 39 ORGANIZATIONS

Source of Funds	Frequency of Source*
Federal	41
State	28
Local	17
Private	30
License Fees	<b>4</b>
No Response	14

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

\*The 39 respondents indicated a total of 137 activities of their organizations though these are not all different activities. Sources of funding was indicated for each activity. In some cases more than one source was indicated and in other cases no source was indicated.

Organizational Perceptions. Perceptions about water problems and their causes were elicited from respondents in the 39 organizations and chapter 5 discusses these perceptions. Respondents suggested flooding, water supply and drainage about equally often as problems. Forms of pollution ranked fourth in frequency followed by water quality, environmental and recreational problems, conflict of interest and proper resource management. Problems in land use ranked highest as a perceived cause of these problems. Other frequently mentioned causes were characteristics of rivers, natural causes, agricultural practices, and amount of water use. Other suggestions were problems in regulation and governmental coordination, and general ecosystem mismanagement. Several specifically mentioned the proposed Kindred Dam as a problem cause.

The respondents suggested 39 different solutions to the problems they perceived. The solution most often suggested was impoundment. Other frequently suggested solutions included better zoning, better draining regulations, control of draining with gates and small dams, more study and opportunity given to citizen participation, diking, and better soil conservation practices.

The research model attempted to assess respondents' perceptions of the effect of solutions on their own organization and on other effected organizations (table 10). Few saw the solutions (if implemented) changing their jurisdictional area. However, they saw 88 of their solutions increasing their activities. Only one respondent thought their proposed solution would decrease their activities.

18

1

6

ío

Fifty-eight of the solutions were seen as increasing the value of property and none as reducing property values. Similarly, they felt that 40 of their solutions would increase revenue and none would decrease them. Also, 53 of the solutions were seen as increasing expenditures and none as decreasing them.

## TABLE 10: PERCEIVED EFFECTS OF SOLUTIONS AS GAINS OR LOSSES ON ACTIVITIES, GEOGRAPHIC AREA, VALUE OF PROPERTY, REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

Kind of Effect	Gains or Losses				
	Gains	Losses	No Effect	Unknown	
Organizational Activities	88	1		1	
Geographic Jurisdiction	3	0	119	2	
Value of Property	58	0	52	14	
Revenues	49	0	6.7	8	
Expenditures	53	0	66	5	

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

<u>Assessments of Other Organizations</u>. In addition to stating their own activities and perceptions of activities relative to proposed solutions, respondents indicated how such solutions would effect other organizations. These assessments are discussed in chapter 6.

Very few of the proposed activities were seen as decreasing the activities for other organizations. Only three organizations were indicated in this category and these were the Army Corps of Engineers, Sheyenne Valley Association and private contractors and developers.

However, almost all proposed activities were seen as increasing activities for at least one other organization. The most frequently indicated organization in this category was the Army Corps of Engineers. Mentioned nearly as frequently was the Water Resource Council. Two other frequently mentioned organizations with perceived increased activities were Water and Drain Boards and the U.S. Soil Conservation Service. Other groups mentioned more than ten times was Bureau of Reclamation, State Health Department, and U.S. Forest Service. These respondents perceived that proposed changes will mean increased activities for some other organizations and for a few a good deal more. Few activity efficiences are seen in the form of decreased activities for other organizations.

Twenty-three of the 39 organizations anticipated involvement in a flood control study by the Army Corps of Engineers. Twenty-one said they would be involved directly and none said they definitely would not be involved either directly or indirectly. An important share of indirect involvement would come through the Lower Sheyenne Citizens Advisory Committee.

Organizational Interrelations. The last chapter of the narrative (Chapter 7) portrays the relationship of 39 organizations with other organizations. These 39 respondents reported having contact with 72 other organizations (in some cases individual organizations were combined into a category and count as one organization). The least frequent reason given for relationships with other organizations is reporting obligation (table 11). The most frequent kind of relationship is formal communication. Most organizations with formal

20

4

5

lo

6

communication also communicate informally. Legally defined overlapping boundaries is rather frequently stated as a reason for interacting with other organizations. However, more organizations with overlapping boundaries interact by practice than by law. Even fewer interact because of similar activities as legally defined. Somewhat more organizations interact with other organizations because they have similar activities in practice. The communication network seems to be due more to practicality and interest than to some law defining the associations.

TABLE 11:	KINDS	OF	INTERACT	ION	AMONG	ORGANIZATIONS	AND
		FF	LEQUENCY	OF	KIND OF	CONTACT	

Kind of Relationship	Frequency
Reporting Obligation	43
Formal Communication	235
Informal Communication	246
Geographic Overlap by Law	186
Similar Activities by Law	71
Similar Activities by Practice	89

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

Total number of contact organizations is 261 (These are not all different organizations).

The 39 organizations are subdivided into kinds of organization and analyzed more fully in the body of the report. The kinds of organization and the number in each category are as follows:

Organizations at state level	(11)
Non-government organizations	(10)
Regional Governments	(5)
City Governments	(7)
County and Township Governments	(6)

These categories constitute the primary basis of analysis of chapters 4-7.

## Research Style and Assumptions

This research followed principles of investigation established by the social sciences. Research instruments are based on previous empirical research investigating attitudes and practices related to water use and quality. Responses are quantified where possible and analyzed through standard statistical techniques. The model for reporting is the <u>American Sociological Review</u>, the primary publication of the American Sociological Association.

## General Methodology

The research procedure includes field surveying through phone and personal interviewing. It also included the acquisition of secondary sources, particularly legal documents, organizational charters, laws and regulations relative to water and related resources in the lower Sheyenne River basin. Agencies and organizations contacted were governmental (those serving local and regional areas) and voluntary associations with interests in the defined areas of research. Sampling of organizations was not random. Rather, organizations and associations were interviewed on the basis of their apparent and defined interests in water and land resources in the lower Sheyenne River basin. A detailed statement of methodology is in Appendix C.
## **CHAPTER 2**

## DESCRIPTIVE INVENTORY OF ORGANIZATIONS

Two separate instruments were used in the two-part study. The first instrument, the organizational profile, was used with 54 organizations. The second questionnaire for analysis of organizations was used in 39 interviews. A portion of the profiled organizations were interviewed in depth. The instruments were constructed to facilitate the integration of responses from the two parts of the study. Interviews were completed for 100 percent of the organizations sought for in-depth interviewing. Interviews were completed for 89 percent of the organizations sought for profiling (54 of 61). In a few cases, the interviewer was unable to arrange an interviewing time. Others were dropped from the profiling list when it was learned that the organizations' characteristics were not sufficiently unique or relevant to warrant profiling. Appendix B includes copies of the questionnaires. The methodology for the survey is discussed in Appendix C.

This chapter reports the findings of 54 organizations interviewed with the profile instrument. All of these organizations responded affirmatively when asked if they had concern or responsibility about water resource management and related land use (Q. 11). Thirty of the 54 were contacted by phone and the remainder were contacted through personal interviews. A list of the organizations profiled follows:

Audubon Society (AUDB) North Dakota Wildlife Federation (NDWF) Sierra Club (SIERR) League of Women Voters (LWV) North Dakota Stockman's Association (NDSA) Natural Science Society (NSS) North Dakota Farmers Union (NDFU) North Dakota Farm Bureau (NDFB) North Dakota Association of Soil Conservation Districts (NDASC) Greater North Dakota Association (GNDA) North Dakota League of Cities (NDLC) Minn-Dak Farmers Flood Control Association (MDFFC) Shevenne Valley Association (SVA) Sheyenne Valley Grazing Association (SVGA) Southeast Cass Rural Water Users (SECRWU) Barnes County Wildlife Federation (BCWF) Tri-County Irrigation District (TCID) Fargo Wildlife Club (FWC) Kindred Gun and Wildlife Club (KGWC) Lower Sheyenne Citizens Advisory Committee (LSCAC) Lake Agassiz Regional Council (LARC) Red River Regional Council (RRRC) South Central Regional Council (SCRC) Cass County Soil Conservation Service (CCSC) Cass County Health Department (CCHD) Southeast Cass Water Management Board (SEWMB) Cass County Drain Board (CCDB) Cass County Park Board (CCPB) Cass County Planning Commission (CCPC) Richland County Township Association (RICTA) Richland County Park Board (RICPB) Richland County Planning Commission (RICPC) Ransom County Water Management Board (RACWMB) Ransom County Park Board (RACPB) Ransom County Planning Commission (RACPC) Barnes County Water Management Board '(BCWMB) Barnes County Planning Commission (BCPC) Cass County Township Officers Association (CCTOA) Richland County Township Officers Association (RICTOA) Ransom County Township Officers Association (RACTOA) Barnes County Township Officers Association (BCTOA) Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (FMHCG) Fargo Planning Commission (FPC) West Fargo Planning Commission (WTPC) Kindred City Government (KCG) Horace City Government (HCG) Lisbon City Government (LCG) Valley City Planning Commission (VCPC) Reed Township (RT) llarwood Township (HT) Barnes Township (BT) Stanley Township ( (ST) Warren Township (WT) Normanna Township . (NT)

Classification of Organizations

The organizations profiled were categorized by area of jurisdiction. The categories and frequency in each category were:

State	(9)				
Regional	(9)				
County	(19)				
Township	(6)				
Municipal	(9)				
Multi-state, Regional	(2)	(this category interviewing)	emerged	from	the
Total	(54)	-			

Because of the nature of the survey, county organizations were most frequently interviewed and include over a third of the organizations. Except for the emergent category, the remaining organizations are fairly evenly distributed among the other categories.

Almost half of the organizations were local governments when classified by primary interest. About a fifth of the organizations were civic or service and another tenth were environmental groups. Only one was primarily recreational. Four were professional organizations and the remainder were some other form of governmental unit.

The organizations surveyed included paid as well as unpaid workers. Consequently, respondents may have positions in the organization other than their primary occupation. Table 12 lists the office of the respondent relative to the organization included in the survey.

Office	Number
President, Chairperson, or Director	35
Vice President	2
Secretary	6
Treasurer	1
Non-officer	1
Designated Spokesperson*	9
Total	54

### TABLE 12: OFFICE OF RESPONDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS PROFILED IN THE SURVEY BY NUMBER

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977. \*In nine instances officials preferred that other non-officers speak for the organization since they had special information about the organizations.

#### Characteristics of Respondents

An important portion of the respondents have vocations other than their position in the organization surveyed. Nearly half of this group were farmers. A variety of other occupations were indicated such as business manager, banker and engineer. In all, the respondents had fifteen different occupations.

An indirect way of ascertaining respondent's knowledge and reliability about an organization is to determine the length of time the respondent has been with the group. Figure 2 indicates the length of time the respondent was with the organization and Figure 3 the length of time in his/her present position. Almost all respondents were with their organization two or more years and 21 were with their organization ten or more years. However, almost one-fourth were in their present position one year and an additional one-fifth two years. A large portion of the respondents are relatively new to their present position but have had a good deal longer time with the organization.



# FIGURE 2: YEARS RESPONDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS PROFILED WERE WITH THE ORGANIZATION



FIGURE 3: YEARS RESPONDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS PROFILED WERE IN THEIR PRESENT POSITION





Selected Characteristics of Organizations

As previously stated, there are numerous ways of classifying organizations (For instance, see Hawley, 1950, Hertzler, 1961, Loomis, 1960, and Sanders, 1958). Given the distribution of organizations in this survey, the team decided to combine geographical jurisdictional dimensions with governmental and non-governmental aspects. The following analysis of organizational profiles includes these categories and number in each category.

Non-government state level	(11)
Non-government regional level	(9)
Regional governments	(3)
County governments	(18)
Local governments	(13)

These categories are as much a consequence of the practical requirements of the survey as they are theoretical applications. The remaining analysis of the profiled organizations utilizes this pragmatic classification of organizations.

Table 13, summarizes selected characterics of the profiled organization by kind of organizations. Table A-1 in Appendix A, provides these characteristics by organization.

Quite a number of respondents thought their organization did not have a charter (24 of 54). Also, a large number do not have published goals of their organization (34 of 54). Twenty-two of the 54 respondents said that last year's budget was not available.

SUCRARY OF SELECTED COMMANDERISTICS OF OROANIZATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WATER AND RELATED (2000) TABLE LO

•

Ľ

3

1.

ļ.

1

11

•

	suo					Charac	Character1stics	S			
Kind of Organization	tjætnegiO iedæuV	Наз Сһатtет	elsod be <b>feildu9</b>	Full-time Staff	fled? Yisdnulo <sup>V</sup>	Specific Specific	jn <del>snan</del> ent notjesingsiC	noisatingatu Sinu-du2 e	Jurisdiction Dther Units	rentic jother enolisitusio	aldslievA Budget
Non-Government State	11	11	6	80	2	9	11	ß	4	11	-7
Mon-Covernment Regional	6	Ŋ	'n	2	6	4	ω	e	0	6	ς
Regional Governments	e	÷	e	e	0	÷	2	0	0	e	м
County Covernments	18	'n	2	e	17	14	18	18	0	17	14
Local Governments	13	Q	e	4	11	13	13	ŝ	1	13	œ
Total	54	30	20	20	44	40	52	34	Ś	53	32

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977.

•

(

An important portion of the work in all five categories of organizations is done by voluntary staff. In fact, only twenty of the organizations indicate having full-time staff. What emerges in this survey is the sizeable portion of organizations, though not classified as voluntary associations in its traditional use, that nonetheless are continued and operated by voluntary work. This is true in all categories of government here with the exception of regional governments (it is noted that only three of the organizations are in this category).

Almost all of the respondents consider their organization to be permanent with two not certain if their organization is permanent or not. Thirty-four of the organizations said they were sub-units of a larger organization. Five said they had jurisdiction over other subunits. Forty of the respondents said their organization's activities are subject to specific regulations about water resources management and related land use. The regulations and their sources is discussed in the next chapter.

### Organization Activities and Goals

ľ

Respondents were asked if their organization had any concern or responsibility about water resource management and related land use. All organizations included in the survey answered the question affirmatively as they would not be included in the survey otherwise. This question was followed by asking what was their primary area of activities relative to water and related land one. Table 14 summarizes the organizations' kinds of responsibilities and areas of interest. Detailed information on organizations' kinds and areas of responsibility are in table A-2 of Appendix A.

K.

1

			Func	Functional		Responsibility	astb	111t			-	Wa	ter	Resor	Water Resource Concerns	Conce
Kind of Organization	Μυπαρει τυ Category	Financial	Regulation	Implementation	Operation	8ainn <b>e</b> 19	Svijsisje.	Кевевтсћ	Public Education	gutyddol	Resource Use and Control	Quality	əeU	۲ddus	Flooding	ellister esU basi
Non-Government State Level	11	0	0	н	0	1	0	ø	Ø	٢	œ	10	10	10	6	80
Non-Covernment Regional Level	6	0	0	0		ñ	0	0	4	ŝ	œ	ø	œ	ŝ	4	7
Regional Governments	e	0	0	H	0	ŝ	7	0	2	1	2	ς.	e	'n	ŝ	2
County Governments	18	0	7	Ŷ	4	14	H	1	1	1	2	6	10	6	13	6 15
Local Governments	13	0	9	2	1	12	0	0	0	0	ŝ	4	9	2	10	0 11
Total	54	0	13	10	9	33	ñ	6	15	12	23	34	37	37	39 23	e

rrom phone and personat Source:

No organization considered their primary relation to water use and quality and related land-use to be financial. The most frequent activity was planning (33) followed by resource use and control (23). About a fourth of the organizations saw their activities as regulation, public education, or lobbying. Nine are involved in research. Only three said a primary activity was legislation.

Relative to the areas of responsibility, about two-thirds of the respondents said their primary activities were related to quality, use, supply and flooding (table 14). The highest proportion (46) reported water related land-use as their primary activity. The lowest category of activity was in wildlife with 23 of the 54 respondents considering this their primary area of activity. Local and county governments reported fewer activities associated with water quality, use and supply than did other levels of government.

The respondents were asked to state the goals and activities of their organizations. Questions were open-ended, and the consequent categories are summarized in table 15. Detailed goals and activities by organization are in table A-3 of Appendix A. Planning was the most frequently stated activity and occupied over half of the organizations. Education and management were activities of about a third of the organizations, and development and policy making were activities in about a fourth of the organizations. Legal-political activities were activities of about one-fifth.

Goals and activities appeared to be somewhat distinct entities though activities and goals were more closely paralled in local governments than they were in the other four categories of governments.

32

.

Some of the emergent goals relate to mediational and coordinating kinds of functions. This was particularly true of regional governments which likely reflected the historical process and development of regional governments. However, some of the county governments also saw these kinds of functions is their goal.

### Sources of Funds

lo

Funding sources were classified into major and minor. Respondents were provided with a list of possible funding sources. Respondents used the same list for both major and minor sources and could indicate more than one source in each classification. A summary of the responses are in Table 16. Sources by organization are in Table A-4 of Appendix A.

The responses were reflective of government and non-government organizations. Non-government state level organizations were primarily funded by private gifts and memberships. Only one received federal funds (Table 9). Non-government regional organizations were also mostly funded by private gifts and memberships. Two received state or federal funds. Regional governments received funding from the various levels of government. County governments were almost entirely funded by local taxes as were local governments. However, local governments were likely to report federal appropriations as a minor source of funds.

.

•

**Y** 

L

•

•

ŀ

þ

•

				Act	Activities	es					Goals	S			
Kind of Organization	Munber	Education	gninnsiq	Policy	Development	JnamageneM	Legal- Political	Ceneral water and Land Use	Education	8nînns19	Policy	Development	JnamageneM	Conservation	Legal- Political
.jon-Government State	11	ω	2	æ	2	e	8	0	9	1	1	0	0	7	10
Evi-devernment Regional	6	4	e	ŝ	٣	÷	0	0	1	2	ы	0	ę	2	e
keetonal Government	°	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	e	0	0	9
County Government	18	4	٦	Ч	4	10	2	7	0	5	0	ñ	6	0	ø
Local Government	13	0	12	1	S	e	0	7	0	14	0	٦	9	0	2
Total	54	18	31	15	14	19	10	14	7	22	2	13	18	6	29

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977.

.

•

t

¢

(

I	~	Major	Source	c of	Funding	ou			Minor		Source	of	Funding	зu.	
Kind of Organization	Federal notistigoiqaA	Federal Grants	State anoitatiqoiqaA	kel lesol	Private Gifta Memberships	Private Granta	Other Sources	Isisbel mottstragraga	Appropriation Federal Grants	State	Appropriation	Local Tax	Private Gifts Memberships	Private Grants	seorces Other
Northford Tament State	0	Ċ	ر	0	11	1	2	Н		0		0	O	0	0
<sup>™</sup> cu-Gov⊌rnment Regional	0	Н	П	0	9	0	e	0	0	0		0	Ч	1	C
Regional Governments	Н	5	Г	-	0	0	0	0	0		• •	<b></b> i	c	C,	С
County Governments	ļ	0	0	14	0	c	e.	0	-	0		0	0	0	Ч
Local Governments	1	0	1	12	0	0	0	6	1	m		1	0	0	C
Total	Ś	e.	ĉ	27	17	Ц	8	10	3	4		2		П	<b></b>

Contact Among Organizations

Respondents were asked "Does your organization have contact with other organizations as a part of its activity?" A summary of the kinds of organizational contacts are in Table 17 and in Table A-5 of Appendix A. Table A-5 gives the organizations profiled and the organizations with which they have contact. Quite obviously, some organizations have broader contact than others, and this varies by type of organization. County governments are the most frequently contacted organizations. They are closely followed by the State Water Commission. Other frequently mentioned organizations are the State Health Department, Lake Agassiz Regional Council, County Water Drain Boards, City Governments, and the Army Corps of Engineers. Twenty-two of the organizations were mentioned only once as contact organizations.

. E.

TABLE 17: KINDS OF ORGANIZATIONS INDICATED AS HAVING CONTACT WITH THE RESPONDENT'S ORGANIZATION IN FREQUENCIES

1

į

I

-

)

Ĩ

ŕ

)

)

)

1

ł				Kin	Kind of Contact Organization	tact Orga	nization	!
Kind of Respondent's Organization	יני <b>mber</b>	Federal	State	Івпоідэ.	County	Voluntary	notastoossA satanuod to	Bueiness and Industrial Development
ion-Government State	11	œ	15	7	0	24	0	Q
Non-Government Regional	6	و	ω	e.	6	10	0	C
Regional Government	£	1	7	0	6	0	0	6
County Government	18	٢	15	Ś	23	ور	1	0
Local Government	13	9	33	9	28	2	0	1
Total	54	28	53	16	99	42	1	1

## CHAPTER THREE

# SATA ADDA ANTER AND RELATED LAND USE LEGISLATION\*

Three levels of government have jurisdiction over water and reliced lawings in the Lower Sheyenne River Basin (LSRB). They are the federal, state, and local governments. The federal government's legislation covers broad areas of water and related land resources saw. The state povernment, especially the Mater Commission and Department of Health, has specific regulations as well as legislation successing stater in general. Local government entities are concerned with specific water and related land use rules and regulations only officie their furisdictional boundaries. For a general location of all LSD (see Figure 4.

### concernment Rules and Regulations

د ان

Ì

1

1. M. <u>Government</u>. Townships may influence water and related beac inservices through their authority to establish comprehensive contag representations (NDCC 58-03-11).\*\* Enforcement, however, is there only throw's court action (NDCC 58-03-14) leaving townships with at bolk e powers for their zoning ordinances. Land use zoning can be as important tool in flood damage prevention as well as for controlling runoff. As of this date, twenty-nine townships in the LSRB have enacted zoning ordinances (Table 12) with several more in the process of drafting ordinances. Townships are indicated in Figure 5.

"This proposes was compiled following discussions with Mr. Paray Sapapeen, Special Assistant Attorney General, State Water Commissions and Mr. Robert Conklin, Lake Agassiz Regional Council. Sevenue conty lity, and township officers were also contacted controling the laput in this section.

"New reserves the Mart Cleasta Centery Code.





The Lower Sheyenne River Basin in North Dakota:

 $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$  = Maple River Subbasin

 $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$  = Lower Sheyenne River Subbasin

**;** .

.

TABLE 18: LSRB TOWNSHIPS WITH ZONING ORDINANCES AS OF AUGUST 1; 1977

.

· · · ·

County	Township <sup>a</sup>
Cass	<u>Harwood, Reed, Barnes</u> , Mapleton, <u>Stanley</u> , <u>Warren</u> , Pleasant, Normanna
Barnes.	<u>Thordenskjold, Oak Hill, Norma, Nelson, Cuba, Marsh, Alta, Valley City, Hobart, Getchell, Stewart, Ashtabula, Rogers</u> Edna, Dazey
Richland	Viking, Garborg, Sheyenne, Freeman, <u>Helendale</u> , Barrie
Ransom	NONE (County zoning)

 $^{\mathrm{a}}$  Underscored townships are those which the Sheyenne River transverses.



i

Several township zoning ordinances were reviewed for their content. From those reviewed it is apparent that township zoning has been primarily to preserve agricultural areas and allow for orderly placement of utility transmission lines.<sup>1</sup>

٠.

م في

<u>Municipal Government</u>. Municipalities have statutory authority to enact rules and regulations in several areas related to water and related land use. They may implement land use or zoning ordinances (NDCC 40-05-02.13) to promote health and general welfare of their populace. Of the eight incorporated cities in the LSRB, four--Kindred, Lisbon, Valley City and West Fargo--have enacted zoning ordinances. (For a list of municipalities and their populations see Table 13). Elliott is zoned by Ransom County's ordinance. Municipal zoning is done primarily to promote orderly development. It came into existence in part because of the Federal Insurance Administration's <u>Flood</u> <u>Disaster Protection Act of 1973</u> requiring flood plain zoning to be eligible for federal flood insurance.

Municipalities may contract to construct public works projects to prevent pollution of their water supply, for water conservation, for flood control, for sewage disposal, or for drainage (NDCC 40-05-01). This must be carried out in a manner consistent with the rules and regulations of the State Department of Health and the State Water Commission, which are discussed later.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Discussions with Mr. Robert Conklin, Lake Agassiz Regional Council, whose office assisted in preparation of many of the township zoning ordinances confirmed the hypothesis that zoning has been accomplished mainly to protect agricultural areas.

42

TABLE 19+	UNICIPALITIES	II TH	E LOVER	SHEYENNE	RIVER	BASI!'

Cunicipality	Popula <b>ti</b> on	Form of Government
Valley City	7,843	Commission
Vest Fargo	6,437	Commission
Lisbon	2,090	Mayor/Council
Kindred	495	Mayor/Council
Horace	400	Mayor/Council
Harwood	165	Mayor/Council
Kathryn	110	Mayor/Council
Fort Ransom <sup>a</sup>	100	Informal
Rogers	96	Mayor/Council
Hastings	60	Informal
Elliott	50	Mayor/Council
Berea <sup>a</sup>	10	None
Anselm <sup>a</sup>	6	None

<sup>a</sup>Unincorporated

-

The city's health officer has the power and responsibility to enforce the health ordinances of the city, the State Department of Health, the city board of health, and the health laws of the state ("DCC 23-04-05). Of particular significance are the "Solid Maste Management Regulations" <sup>1</sup> (R23-29-01, R23-01-07), and the "Regulations for Public Water Supply Systems <sup>2</sup> (R61-28.1).

Appendix D, North Dakota State Department of Health, <u>Solid</u> Maste Management Regulation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Argendix D, Morth Dakota State Department of Health, Regulations for Public Unter Supply Systems.

<u>County Government</u>. There are several county boards, commissions, and districts with responsibility for regulation or coordination of water and related land use resource management. The board of county commissioners is given the power to regulate the use of property through zoning ordinances (NDCC 11-33-01) and the authority to plan the orderly development of the county by creating county planning commissions (NDCC 11-33-04). Ransom County is the only county with county instituted zoning, while all four LSRB counties have planning commissions and comprehensive plans.

<u>County Park Boards</u> have authority to regulate, supervise, control, and manage any water or land area for which the county has jurisdiction for park or recreational purposes (NDCC 11-28). Their responsibilities are similar to those of the water management district in their involvement in recreational activity (DeKrey, 1977:46).

Rules and regulations of county park boards, inside parks and within a  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile radius, are enforced by the police, constables and sheriff (NECC 11-28-05.7). County park boards have the power of eminent domain which permits them to acquire necessary property to establish park areas (NECC 11-28-05.11). All four LSRB counties have park boards.

Water Management Districts are the local political entity with the most authority for water and related land use decision making. Water management district boundaries usually conform with county boundaries. The Southeast Cass County Water Management District is an exception--its jurisdiction is the Southeast portion of Cass County. Barnes, Ransom, and Richland Counties all have county-wide districts (Table 13).

41

TABLE 20:	WATER	MANAGEMENT	DISTRICTS	IN	THE	LSRB	
-----------	-------	------------	-----------	----	-----	------	--

Name	Date Created	Jurisdiction
Southeast Cass County No. 720	July 1960	SE corner of Cass Co.
Barnes County No. 1360	Sept. 1964	Barnes County
Ransom County No. 1529	Aug. 1970	Ransom County
Richland County No. 715	Aug. 1958	Richland County

Source: Ayers and Beck, 1972; DeKrey, 1977.

Some of the powers and duties of the board of commissioners of water managment districts are similar to other local political subdivisions. They may sue and be sued in their name (NDCC 61-16-11.1), have the power of eminent domain (NDCC 61-16-11.2), and may regulate water and related land use (NDCC 61-16-11.8). They may levy a tax (not to exceed 3 mills), own property, and issue warrants to finance construction. Construction projects of water management districts may be for water conservation and supply, flood control, drainage, sewage treatment, or any combination thereof. They must resort to court action for enforcement of their rules and regulations.

Water management districts work closely with several other institutions dealing with water and related land resources. They work most closely with the State Water Commission on projects involving drainage and flooding.<sup>1</sup> Ayers and Beck (1972,380) commented on the cooperative efforts of water management districts: "While there may be ten to twenty institutions that deal with water in North Lakota in a given area, the water management district is able to cooperate with these institutions and solve its water problems."

The responsibilities of most county <u>Drain</u> <u>Boards</u> were assumed by water management districts pursuant to NDCC 61-16-11 and 61-21-65 which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See DeKrey, 1977, for a discussion of the activities of water management districts in North Dakota.

allowed then to consolidate. Although some drain boards still exist, most have been consolidated with water management districts. Richland and Dansom County's water management districts have formally taken control of all drains and drainage work within these counties (Beck and Bohlman, 1971). Barnes County's Drain Board and Water Management District have consolidated, while Cass County still has an active drain board.

Irrigation Districts (NDCC 61-05-07) and Flood Irrigation Districts (NDCC 61-12) are formed by interested land owners in any geographical area. The districts need not be coincident with existing political boundaries. They possess all the powers and duties usual to corporations organized for public purposes (NDCC 61-07-01.6). And, as such may sue and be sued (NDCC 61-07-01.3), may contract for construction (NDCC 61-07-01.4), and have the power of eminent domain (NDCC 61-07-01.6). The Tri-County Irrigation District organized in April, 1968, consists of landowners of 88,000 acres in Cass, Ransom, and Richland Counties and is the only one in the LSRB.

Soil Conservation Districts, authorized by NDCC 4-22, may exercise the powers ordinarily exercised by a governmental subdivision of the State. They have the specific authority to institute land use regulations (NDCC 4-22-30) for conserving coll and water resources. They are limited in their activity, however, since they are without taxing, bonding, or assessment powers (DeKrey, 1977). Soil conservation districts are organized on county boundaries. The four LSRB counties each have organized districts. None of which have instituted land use regulations, however.

<u>County Superintendents of Public Health</u> and <u>Local Boards of Health</u> have the authority to enforce all loss, rules, and regulations pertaining to the life and health of the people of the county (NDCC 23-03-07 and 23-05-01). Cass County, for example, has enacted a set of ten rules and regulations regarding health and welfare. Numbers six and ten deal with

45

Î

٠,

ľ•

sewage dispose b and are aimed at preventing health hazards.<sup>1</sup> Host county and city health regulations cover  $r_{eee}$  ine matters and are not concerned with waver as an issue.

Regional Planning Commissions have the same powers as are granted to counties, municipalities, or organized townships in matters of planning and zoning (NDCC 11-35-01). There are two state planning regions in the LSRB-the Lake Agassiz Regional Planning Council, of which Cass, Ransom, and Richland Counties are a portion; and the South Central Regional Planning Council, of which Barnes County is a part--and the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments. Serving primarily as clearinghouses for planning activities, these three agencies have not enacted any zoning rules or regulations. They have been active in assisting townships and other political subdivisions in preparing ordinances.

### Summary

Local government in the LSR5--township, municipal, and county--is concerned primarily with issues of more local significance than water and related land uses as a general facue. Local governments become involved with water and related land use policy primarily through their powers to zone. They are not actively involved in regulating water and related land use except through water management districts and to some extent local health boards. The state agencies--the Water Commission and the Department of Health--have enacted sufficiently comprehensive rules and regulations that it apparently has not been necessary for their local counterparts to enact further rules or regulations.

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix D, Cass County Board of Health, <u>Health Department</u> <u>Regulations</u>.

State Government Rules, Regulations, and Legislation

**(** 

٠.

[•

6

There are several agencies of state government whose powers and duties entail regulating or coordinating water and related land use management. The two most prominent regulating agencies are the State Water Commission and the State Department of Health. Others include the Outdoor Parks and Recreation Agency, Game and Fish Department, Geological Survey, and the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District. The State also has some general laws that apply to water and related land resources.

<u>Regulatory Agencies</u>. Wise use and re-use of water in North Dakota is the primary concern of the <u>State Water Commission</u>, the primary agency of state government with nearly complete authority over and responsibility for water resources. NDCC 61-02 establishes the Water Commission as the agency empowered to manage water statewide in North Dakota. Subsection 61-02-01 states:

Water conservation, flood control, and abatement of stream pollution declared a public purpose. -- It is hereby declared that the general welfare and the protection of the lives, health, property, and the rights of all the people of this state require that the conservation and control of waters in this state, public or private, navigable or unnavigable, surface or subsurface, the control of floods, and the regulation and prevention of water pollution, involve and necessitate the exercise of the sovereign powers of this state and are affected with and concern a public purpose. It is declared further that any and all comprise of covering powers of this state in investigating, concernating, maintaining, regulation, supervising, and controlling any system of works involving such subject matter embraces and concerns a single object, and that the state water conservation commission in the exercise of its powers, and in the performance of all its official duties, shall be considered and construed to be performing a governmental function for the benefit, welfare, and prosperity of all the people of this state.

North Dakota has--since the first statewide plan for water resource conservation in 1937--been managing its water resources on a statewide basis as reflected in the present <u>North Dakota Interim State Water</u> <u>Resources Development Plan</u>. This may explain why local governments have not enacted water and related land use regulations.

The basis for the state's rules and regulations regarding water is <u>North Dakota Water Laws</u><sup>1</sup> (State Water Commission, 1973, with 1975 changes). The <u>Water Laws</u> contain those portions of the <u>North Dakota Century Code</u> that pertain to water and related land resources.

Pursuant to NDCC Chapter 61 the Water Commission enacted <u>Rules and</u> <u>Regulations of the State Water Commission moverning the Drainage of Water</u> <u>From Ponds, Sloughs, or Lakes Having Watersheds of 40 Acres or Larger<sup>2</sup></u> to regulate drainage through a permit system. The administration of this system is carried out in cooperation with local water management districts. When matters of drainage affect more than one district, would be of statewide significance, or locally unresolvable legal questions arise, the Water Commission actempts to resolve the problem.

A permitting system also exists for appropriation of water as authorized by NDCC 61-04. The flate Engineer (head of the Water Commission) has the authority to approve or deny water use permits. A set of regulations on appropriation of water is currently being prepared by the Water Commission.

The <u>State Department of Health</u> is responsible for regulating the quality of water throughout the state (NDCC 61-28). It can formulate standards for water quality, make rules and regulations to enforce those standards, and initiate court action to enforce their rules and regulations.

The principal water quality regulation is <u>Stendards of Water Quality</u> for <u>State of North Dakota</u>,<sup>3</sup> issued April 28, 1977, by the State Department of Health. The <u>Standards</u>, adopted pursuant to NDCC 61-28-02, are intended to maintain and improve the quality of waters in the state and to maintain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Appendix D, North Dakota State Water Commission, North Dakota Water Laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Appendix D, North Dakota State Water Commission, <u>Rules</u> and <u>Regulations of the State Water Commission</u>.

See Appendix D, North Dakota State Department of Health, <u>Standards</u> of <u>Water Quality for State of North Dakota</u>.

and protect existing water uses. The benchmark water quality is that in existence when the first <u>Standards</u> were established in 1967, or that of a later date if water quality improved. The <u>1977 Standards</u> set general requirements and conditions for all unters in the state and specific standards for designated classes of waters in the state. Individual river basins, subbasins, and tributaries, as well as individual lakes are classified.

Within the LSRB, the Sheyenne River and Clausen Spring are classified IA:

- 02.602 <u>Class IA Streams</u> The quality of this class of waters shall be such that its uses shall be the same as those identified for Class I, except that treatment for municipal use may also dequire softening to meet the chemical requirements of the North Dakota State Department of Health. The physical and chemical criteria shall be those for Class I, with the following exceptions: . . .
- 02.601 <u>Class I Streams</u> The quality of waters in this class shall be such as to permit the propagation and/or life of resident fish species and shall be suitable for boating swimming, and other water recreation. The quality shall be such that after treatment consisting of coagulation, settling, filtration, and chlorination, or equivalent treatment processes, the treated water shall meet the bacteriological, physical, and chemical requirements of the State Health Department for municipal use. The quality of water shall be such as to permit its use for irrigation, stock watering, and wildlife use without injurious effects.

The discharge of pollutants into the waters of North Dakota is regulated by the Health Department through its <u>Rules and Regulations for</u> <u>The North Dakota Pollutant Discharge Elimination System R61-28-100 through</u> <u>129</u>.<sup>1</sup> The enactment of this set of rules is required pursuant to the provisions of Section 402(b) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FMPCA). The rules generally set  $u_P$  a system of permitting and monitoring pollutant discharge.

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix D, North Dakota State Department of Health, <u>Rules</u> and <u>Regulations for the Pollutant Discharge</u>.

49

5

L

The Guidelines for The Control of Pollution From Certain Livestock Enterprises<sup>1</sup> were enacted by the Health Department pursuant to NDCC 61-28-C1 to protect the state's waters from concentrated feeding or feedlot operations runoff. An approval system is used along with a set of standards.

Regulations for Public Mater Supply Systems, Regulation  $R61-28.1^2$ of the Health Department sets standards for public water supply systems as well as guidelines for their siting, construction, and operation.

<u>Planning, Coordinating, or Other Interested Agencies</u>. The <u>Outdoor</u> <u>Park and Recreation Agency</u> is the planning and coordinating agency for related programs on all governmental levels, encouraging the full development of existing and future acquisition of outdoor recreation areas (NDCC 53-07-01). Although this agency's function is not regulatory, its input is important in water and related land use management decisions. The Agency has a <u>Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Than</u> which is updated every five years.

The <u>Garrison Diversion Conservency District</u> was established by NDCC 61-24 to develop and utilize land and water resources to enhance the economic welfare and prosperity of the people of North Dakota. They do not have any rules or regulations regarding water or related land use outside of their own organization. To implement their district's irrigation plans they have the power of eminent domain, can sue or be sued, and can levy a tax.

The <u>State Game and Fish Department</u>, created by NCDD 20-02, promulgates rules and regulations regarding hunting and fishing in the state. They do not have any regulatory authority over water and related land resources in general but have a keen interest in its use as it relates to their function.

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix D, North Dakota State Department of Health, <u>The</u> <u>Guidelines for the Control of Pollution From Certain Livestock</u>.

<sup>2</sup>See Appendix D, North Dakota State Department of Health, <u>Regulations</u> for <u>Public Water Supply</u>.

ر ز

The <u>State Geological Surver</u>, created by NDCC 15-11, is responsible for studying, mapping, monitoring, and analyzing the geologic resources of the state including its ores, waters, and other useful natural materials. It has no regulations concerning water or related land resource use, but cooperates with the State Water Commission and the State Department of Health by providing them with necessary geological information.

The <u>State Planning Divison</u> (NDCC 54-34.1) serves in an advisory capacity to local and regional planning agencies. It provides assistance in planning/zoning functions, and although it has authority to enact its own zoning regulations, has not done so.

## Other State Laws

The "Environmental Law Enforcement Act of 1975"<sup>1</sup> (NDCC 32-40) permits "... any state agency, with the approval of the Attorney General; any person; or any county, city, township, or other political subdivision, aggreived by the violation of any environmental statute, rule or regulation ..." the right to initiate court action.

The power of eminent domain, granted to most political subdivisions, is the right to "... acquire for a public use any property or right existing then found necessary for the application of water to beneficial uses, ... " (NDCC 61-01-04). Eminent domain may be used by almost any political or private institution in the LSRB to acquire necessary property to implement public water projects (NDCC 32-15).

#### Summary

The <u>North Dakota Water Laws</u> administered primarily by the State Water Commission (quantity) and the State Department of Health (quality) constitute the majority of significant water and related land use

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix D, <u>The Environmental Enforcement Act of 1975</u>.

legislation at the state level. They are also the backbone and authority behind local government regulations, with the exception of local government's authority to zone. Local government rules and regulations have been kept to a minimum due to the comprehensive mat is of state water laws in North Dakota.

#### Federal Legislation

Most water and related land use decisions in North Dakota can be made without reference to Federal legislation. However, in some instances, such as navigable waters, decision makers must consider and abide by Federal statutes. A different complement of statutes may apply to each situation depending on the conditions.

A study report<sup>1</sup> issued by the Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Commission lists 250 Federal statutes bearing on water and related land resources enacted between 1789 and 1970. Needlass to say, in the 7 years hence scores of statutes have been enacted. Several of those important to water and related land resources in the LSRB will be discussed briefly here.

<u>General Legislation</u>. The <u>Federal Water Pollution Control Act</u> (PL 92-500) establishes the following goals: 1) the discharge of pollutants into navigable waters be eliminated by 1935; 2) wherever possible, a national water quality safe for recreation, fish, and wildlife, be achieved by July 1, 1983; 3) the discharge of toxic pollutants be prohibited; 4) federal assistance be provided to construct waste treatment works; 5) arenwide waste treatment planning be developed to assure control of sources of pollutants in each state (208 planning); and 6) a major effort be made to develop new technology to eliminate pollutant discharge into the

<sup>1</sup>See <u>Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comprehensive Study</u>, Volume 7, <u>Appendix M: Legal and Institutional Environmental</u>, 1972, for a discussion of federal, state, and local laws affecting development of water and related land resources, as well as a discussion of key court cases.

nation's waters. The State Department of Health in North Dakota has developed a work plan for implementing 208 planning throughout the state.<sup>1</sup>

The <u>National Environmental Policy Act</u> (NEPA) of 1969 (PL 91-190) declares a national policy to encourag: productive and enjoyable harmony between man and his environment; to promote efforts which will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and biosphere and stimulate the health and welfare of man; to enrich the understanding of the ecological systems and natural resources important to the Nation; and to establish a Council on Environmental Quality. NEPA also mandates an environmental impact statement (EIS) be prepared if a new development requires a federal permit, loan guarantee, or financing, or is undertaken by a federal agency. The EIS outlines the expected impacts or the environment, alternatives to the proposed action, an examination of tradeoffs involved, and an examination of any irreversible commitments of resources.

The <u>Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973</u> (PL 93-234) was created to expand the national flood insurance program by increasing limits of coverage and total amount of authorized outstanding insurance, and by requiring flood-prone communities to participate in the program. Floodprone communities receiving federal funds such as the Land and Water Conservation Fund are required to carry flood insurance.

The <u>Safe Drinking Water Act</u> (PL 93-523) establishes safe drinking water standards that apply to all public water supplies serving 15 connections or 25 individuals.

The <u>Wild and Scenic Rivers Act</u> (PL 90-542) establishes a policy that certain selected rivers of the nation which, with their immediate environments, possess outstandingly remarkable scenic, recreational, geologic,

North Dakota State Wide 208 Water Quality Management Work Plan, Bismarck: North Dakota State Department of Health, 1976.

fish and wildlife, historic, cultural, or other similar values, shall be preserved in free-flowing condition, and that they and their immediate envicomment thall be protected for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations. The Act prescribes methods and standards by which river parts may be added to the system. Segments of the LSRB have been nominated to be preserved under the provisions of this Act. However, as of this writing mone have been classifed as either wild, scenic, or recreational pursuant to the Act.

Public Law 87-732 ammends the <u>Soil Conservation and Domestic Allot-</u> <u>ment Act</u> to specify that the Secretary of Agriculture shall not provide financial assistance for wetland drainage if the Secretary of Interior has made a finding that wildlife preservation will be materially harmed on the drained area and such land in its undrained status will materially contribute to wildlife preservation.

#### Summary

Ş

٣Í

Individual instances of water and related land use management decisions would have to be examined to determine if their actions would require federal involvement. Generally, if federal funding or agency involvement is part of the project then some federal statutes will apply.

# Conclusion

Due to the comprehensive nature of State <u>Water Laws</u> as administered by the State Water Commission and the State Department of Health, local governmental agencies/subdivisions have not found it necessary to enact further rules or regulations regarding water and related land use. The only significant activity of local political subdivisions in this regard is zoning ordinances. Even these have not had water or related water land use management as their goal. Federal legislation may bear on decisions involving water and related land use depending on the circumstances surrounding each case.

#### CHAPTER FOUR

### ORGANIZATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND OBJECTIVES

In-depth interviews were conducted with representatives of 39 organizations associated with water and related land use resources. The organizations contacted were selected on the basis of several inputs. In part, they were pre-selected by the "Scope of Work" (Appendix F). Decisions to include or exclude depended on the organization's relation to water concerns and its level of responsibility.

A copy of the interview schedule is in Appendix B. The schedule elicited responses about organizational activities and objectives for water and related land use, their perceptions of water use and related problems, their solutions to these problems, the implications of these problems and solutions for other organizations, and their kind of relationships with other organizations. (For a diagrammatic. presentation of these dimensions see Figure 1 in the Introduction). The chapters and sections that follow present and discuss the results of the interviews.

#### Organizations and Respondents

K

1

The classification of organizations in the in-depth part of the analysis varies from the classification of profiled organizations. Analysis of this second group of organizations suggested that the classification be changed because of the inclusion of some federal offices in North Dakota with services affecting the LSRB and the reduction in the number of non-government organizations. Also,

organizations are classified by functional jurisdiction rather than by source of authority. The re-classification of organizations that follows provides a more equitable distribution of organizations for the analysis of this second group of organizations.

# State Level Organizations

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service State Committee (ASCSSC) Bureau of Reclamation (BR) United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFNS) Environmental Protection Agency-Bismarck Office (EPA) United States Soil Conservation Service-Bismarck Office (SCSB) State Water Commission (SWC) State Department of Health (SDH) Worth Dakota Game and Fish (NDGF) North Dakota State Parks and Out door Recreation (NDSPOR) North Dakota State Planning (NDSP) Garrison Diversion Conservancy District-Carrington Office (GDCDC)

#### Non-Government Organizations

North Dakota Wildlife Federation (NDWF) Sierra Club (SIERR) Audubon Society (AUDB) League of Women Voters (LWV) Min-Dak Farmers Flood Control Association (MDFFC) Lower Sheyenne Citizens Advisory Committee (LSCAC) Sheyenne Valley Association (SVA) Sheyenne Valley Grazing Association (SVGA) Southeast Cass Rural Water Users (SECRWU) Barnes County Wildlife Federation (BCWF)

## Regional Organizations

Souris-Red-Rainy River Committee Upper Mississippi Commission (SRRRC) Lake Agassiz Regional Council (LARC) South Central Regional Council-Jamestown Office (SCRC) Red River Regional Council (RRRC) United States Forest Service-Lisbon Office (USFSL)

#### City Organizations

Fargo Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments (FM4CG) Fargo Planning Commission (FPC) West Fargo Planning Commission (WFPC) Valley City Planning Commission (VCPC) Lisbon City Government (LCG) Kindred City Government (RCG) Horace City Government (NCG)

# County and Township Organizations

N

Cass County Soil Conservation Service (CCSOS) Cass County Health Department (CCHD) Cass County Township Officers Association (CCTOA) Richland County Township Officers Association (RICTPA) Bansom County Township Officers Association (RACTOA) Barner County Township Officers Association (BCTOA)

As with the profile, respondents were asked their length of time in the organization and in their present position and to indicate their primary occupation if not in the organization. A majority of persons were with their organizations longer than in their present positions (Figures 6 and 7). Eleven of the respondents had been in their present position about a year. However, only two had been in the organization that short a time. About half of the respondents were in their present position three or more years, and about half of the respondents were with their organization six or more years. Sixteen of the respondents gave their primary occupation as different than in the organization they were representing. The predominant occupation outside the organization was that of farmer or rancher.



# FIGURE 6: YEARS RESPONDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONS INTERVIEWED IN-DEPTH WERE WITH THE ORGANIZATION



6

1

.





Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977. \*One person did not respond.
General Purpose of the Organizations

The 39 respondents were asked to state the primary purpose of their organizations. The responses were placed into 16 categories (Table 21). The general purposes included management and conservation of resources, public education in use of resources, and the provision of advisory services in resource use and development.

TABLE 21: PRIMARY PURPOSE OF ORGANIZATIONS

rpose of Organization	Organization
atewide Planning, Coordination	NDSP
nning and Coordination of Water Problems in Red River Basin	SRRRC
cional Planning	LARC, SCRC, RRRC, FM1CG
y Planning	FPC, WFPC, VCPC
isting Townships	CCTOA, RICTOA, RACTOA, BCTOA
ironmental Education	NDWF, SIERR, AUDB
ourage Political Participation	LIJV
Inister, Develop Conservation	ASCSSC
ge Land, Water Resources	BR, SCSB, SWC, GDCDC, SVGA, USFSL, CCSCS
late and Control Environment	EPA, SDH, CCHD
r Problems of Red River Basin	MDFFC, LSCAC, SVA
vide Rural Water Supplies	SECRIV
y Covernment Services	LCG, KCG, HCG
ge Fish and Wildlife	USFW, NDGF
age Recreation Resources	NDSPOR
serve Wildlife Resources	BCWF

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

Planning and coordination were the primary purposes of nine organizations and their jurisdictional areas were the essential factor differentiating among these organizational purposes. Management of resources was the primary purpose of thirteen organizations. Voluntary associations (non-governmental) were more interested in public education and the fostering of public participation in resource decision-making. Seven of the organizations were primarily interested in providing services for townships and cities in water and related land resources.

### Clientele

1

57

The respondents assessed the importance of their organization for the pre-selected set of clientele groups. The clientele groups are ranked for each organization in Table 22. The total mean ranks and the mean ranks by organizational type are in Table 8 of the Introduction. Some volunteer clientele groups are also included in Table 22.

Forty-three percent of the total responses of the pre-selected clientele groups were ranked very important and mean ranks varied by type of organization. The general public was ranked the highest. The public is followed, in order of magnitude, by agriculturalist, recreationalist, small business and large business. The differences in emphasis among the organization types indicate that these organizations tend to serve somewhat different clientele. The second ranked "agriculturalist" clientele reflects the importance of agriculture in the lower Sheyenne River basin. The most frequently "volunteered" clientele group was other government units and this ranked high among those organizations giving it as a clientele group.

				· · •				·····
Organizatio Type	ğ Organization	<b>General</b> Public	Agricul- turalist	S.all <sup>A</sup> usines	Large Business or Industry	Recrea- tionalist	Other Govern- ment Units**	Other Responses
State Level								
	ASCSSC BR USFW EPA SCSB SUC SDII NDGF	5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 4 5 5 5 5 5	5 4 5 3 2 3 5	5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 2	5 4 5 4 5 5 5 5 5	5 5 4	5 (counties)
	NDSPOR	5	ĩ	1	2	5	-	5 (tourists)
	MDSP GDCDC	<b>5</b> 5	5 5	4 5	4 5	4 5		
Non-Governm	ent							
	NDWF	5	4	4	4	5		
	SIERR	2	2	2	2	3		
	AUDB	5	5	5	5	5		
	LWV	5	-	-	-	-		
	IDFFC	5	5	4	3	3		
	LSCAC	5	5	4	3	5		
	SVA	5	5	3	2	4		4(environmentalists
	SVGA	4	5	4	2	4		5 (org. members)
	SECRWU		4	2	1	1		- /
D / 1	BCTF	5	3	3	1	5		5 (org. members)
Regional Le		2	2	2	2	2	-	
	SRRRC	3	3	3	3	3	5	
	LARC SCRC	5 5	2 3	2 3	3 3	3 3	5 5	
	RRRC	5	3 4	2	2	4	5	
	USFSL	5	5	3	2	4	J	5 (ranchers)
Cities	03131	2	5	J	J	-		J (lanchels)
CILIES	FNDICG	5	3	2	2	2	5	
	FPC	5	2	4	4	4	3	4
	WFPC	5	4	4	4	4	2	•
	VCPC	Ś	3	4	4	4		
	LCG	5	5	5	3	3		
	KCG	5	5	5	4	4		
	HCG	5	5	4	4	3		
County and	Townshi	Р						
	ccscs	5	5	4	4	5		
	CCIID	5	3	3	3	3		
	CCTOA	4	5	3	3	1	5	
	RICTOA		5	3	3	2	5	
	RACTOA		5	4	4	4	5	
	BCTOA	5	5	2	2	2	5	

# TABLE 22: RESPONDENTS' ESTIMATES OF THEIR ORGANIZATIONS' IMPORTANCE TO CLIENTELE GROUPS AND DEGREE OF INPORTANCE\*

\*Responses are 1 = not important to 5 = very important. \*\*These two categories were volunteered in response to "other."

1

1

Ľ

l

C

1

•

When mean ranks were examined by type of organization, the general public ranked highest among all five types. Agriculturalist ranked second among state level, non-government, and county and township organizations. It ties for second with recreationalist clientele among regional governments. Small businesses ranked second among city organizations. Recreationalist clientele ranked lowest among city, county and township level organizations. Small businesses ranked lowest as clientele among state level and regional level organizations. Large businesses ranked lowest among non-government organizations.

Respondents were asked to indicate the kinds of activities used to carry out their objectives. Also, they were asked to indicate the proportion of time and budget alloted for these activities. A follow-up mailing was used to acquire additional budget information. Objectives and their corresponding activities by organizational level are found in Table 23. This information with budget information is included in Table A-6 in Appendix A. It is noted that budget information must be viewed only as general approximations.

The activities coincide closely with objectives and in some cases are similarly stated. The most frequently stated activities were planning for water supply and quality, planning land uses and zoning, data collection and research, and coordination and support of planning. Totals of these and other activities are in Table 23.

		·										
	Preserve and Protect Natural Resources	Provide Water Supplies	Flood and Drain- age Control	ce Water Sy	atural	ctive din tion	a Information, Edu- cation and Citizen Participation	p and Pre- Wildlife	Habitat Monitor Profects	nate Govt. ties		
Activities by Type of Organizations	Preserve Protect N Resources	Provide Supplies	Flood and D age Control	Enhance Quality	Develop N Resources	Develcp Recreation	Inforu cation Partic	Develop and Serve Wildl	Monitor	Coordinate Activities	Other	Total
Number of Organization	14	9	9	7	8	6	9		4 3	3	9	
Planning for Water Supply and Quality	1-R		1-R	1-L	2-R	2-S					2	9
Data Collection and research	n	1~S 1-C		<b>2-</b> S	1-R		1-N				2	8
<pre>Planning land use, zoning</pre>	1-N				1-R 2-C	1-S 1-R	1-N			1-L	1	9
Coordinate and Support Plann Planning	i 1-S 1-R 1-N		1-N		4-R						0	8
Develop R <b>ec-</b> reation sites	1-N				1-S	1-S 2-C	1-S				1	7
Advocate Con- servation Practices	2-5 1-R 1-L			1-s							1	6
Assist in funding and funding priorities	1-R		1-R 1-C			מ_ ו		1.6				ſ
Develop Impour ments & stock ponds		1-N	1-S 2-N		1-C	1-R		1-5			1	6 6
Diking & bank Stabilization			1-S 1-N 2-C					1-S			1	6
Promote meetin & discussions	gs		£-"L	1-S			1-L 1-N		1-N 1-L	1-L	0	6
Provide Information					1-N		2-N		1-N			4
Other	3	7	4	5	4	0	4	7	2	4		

TABLE 23: FREQUENCY OF OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION

Ţ

"

Ľ.

•

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

CHAPTER FIVE

#### ORGANIZATIONAL PERCEPTIONS

Problems and Causes

5

An important portion of the survey dealt with respondents' perceptions of major problems related to water use and quality, causes of these problems and potential solutions. Specifically, respondents were asked "From the perspective of your organization, what are the major problems related to water resources management and related land use in the Lower Sheyenne River Eusin?" and "From the perspective of your organization, what are the major causes of this problem?" Table A-7 (Appendix A) provides the proposed problems and their causes for each of the problems suggested by each respondent and categorized by the respondent's kind of organization.

Flooding, water supply, and drainage are suggested about equally as problems. Forms of pollution ranked fourth in frequency followed by water quality, environmental and recreational problems, conflict of interest, management and the "proposed project." In proposing causes of these problems, problems in land use was followed by stream characteristics and natural causes, agricultural practices, and water use. Other suggestions were problems in regulation and governmental coordination, and general ecosystem mismanagement. Four specifically mentioned suthorization of the Kindred Dam as cause.

The problems were combined into general categories. Table 24 summarizes the resultant frequencies of problems by causes. Natural sources (including natural causes and stream characteristics) becomes the most frequently stated cause particularly for flooding and water supply.

			Cause	es			
"roposed Problems by Organizational Level	Natural Sources	Laproper Land Use	Increased Water Use	Poor Management	Ecosystem Destruction and Concern	Specific Pollution	None Pronced
State Level							
Flooding	2	2					1
Water Quality						8	
"ater Supply	3		3				
Erosion	3	3	2	1			
Wildlife-Recreation		1	1		1	1	
Management		1		1			
Non-Government							
Flooding		1					
Water Quality						3	
Water Supply	1	1	1		-		
Erosion	1	3		2	1		
Wildlife-Recreation				2			
Management				2			
Regional							
Flooding	1	4	1			_	
Water Quality	2					3	
Water Supply		•	3				
Erosion	•	1			<b>`</b>		
Wildlife-Recreation	1	1 1	1		2		
lanagement		T	T				
City		-		-			
Flooding	4	5		1		•	
Water Quality	٤		c			1	
Water Supply	6 1		5				
Erosion Wildlife-Recreation	1						
Management							
County-Township	_						
Flooding	1		-				
Water Quality			1			1	
Water Supply	1	•	2				
Erosion	1	2					
Wildlife-Recreation Management							

# TABLE 24: PERCEIVED WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS AND THEIR CAUSES IN FREQUENCY BY LEVEL OF ORGANIZATION

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

65

D

5

G

Improper land use was frequently stated as a cause of flooding and other water related problems. Increased water use was a frequent reason for water supply problems. Specific kinds of pollution were given as reasons for poor water quality. Table 25 provides totals of proposed causes.

				Cau	ses			
Froposed Problems	Nacural Sources	Improper Land Use	Increased Water Use	Poor Man≏gement	Ecosystem Destruction and Concern	Specific Pollution	None Proposed	Total
Flooding	8	12	ï	1	0	0	1	23
Water Quality	2	0	0	0	0	16	0	18
Mater Supply	11	1	14	0	0	0	0	26
Erosion	6	9	2	3	1	0	0	21
Wildlife-Recreation	1	2	1	0	3	1	0	8
Management	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	6
Total	28	26	19	7	4	17	1	102

TABLE 25: TOTAL FREQUENCIES OF PERCEIVED WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS AND THUIR CAUSES

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

## Effect of Solutions on Own Organizations

أدخ

In addition to suggesting problems and causes, respondents of the 39 organizations suggested solutions to the problems and how these solutions might effect their organizations with specific reference to

activities, geographic area, value of property, revenues and expenditures (See Figure 8). These responses are indicated by organization in Table A-8 in Appendix A.

FIGURE 8: AFFECT OF SOLUTIONS ON OWN ORGANIZATION

1



Table 26 summarizes the perceived problems and their proposed solutions by level of organization. Only the most frequently suggested solutions are individually indicated and problems are grouped into major categories. Table 27 summarizes the solutions to proposed problems.

					Solut:	lons					
Prop∩sed Problems By Organizational Level	Study, Citizen . Participation	Flood Plain Zoning	<b>ùiking</b>	Better Zoning	Cover Crops, Tillage	Drainage Regulation	Control Drainage	Diversion	Stop Wetland Damage	Other	Nome Proposed
State Level Flooding Water Quality Water Supply Erosion Wildlife-Recreati Management	1 on	3 1	1	6	1 1	1 2	1	1 4	1 2 1	2 5 1 2 2	
Non-Government Flooding Water Quality Water Supply Erosion Wildlife-Recreati Management	1 1 on 3	1	2			2	2			1 1 1 1	1 1
Regional Flooding Water Quality Water Supply Erosion Wildlife-Recreati Management	on 1	2		1	1	1		1		2 4 4 2 1	1
<u>City</u> Flooding Water Quality Water Supply Erosion Wildlife-Recreation Management	on	2	3	1		1	2	1		4 1 5 1	1
County-Township Flooding Water Quality Water Supply Erosion Wildlife-Recreation Management	on				1 2		1			1 2 1	2

# TABLE 26: PEACEIVED WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS AND THEIR SOLUTIONS IN FREQUENCY BY LEVEL OF ORGANIZATION

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

Ľ

-

6

h

•

[•

.

				So]	tions	5						-
Proposed Problems	Study, Citizen Participation	Flood Plain Zering	Dikine	Better Zoning	Cover Crops, Tillage	Drainage Regulation	Control Drainage	Diversion	Stop Wetland Damage	Other	None Proposed	Total
Flooding	1	7	4		1	2	4	1		9		29
Mater Quality				8	2					12	2	24
Water Supply	1	1						6	1	13	2	24
Erosion	1	1	2		3	5	4			5	3	24
Wildlife-Recreation									2	4		6
Management	4	1							1	2		8
Total	7	10	6	8	6	7	8	7	4	45	7	115

TABLE 27:	PERCEIVED	WATER	A::D	RELATED	PROBLEMS	AND	THEIR	SC LUTIONS	
			IN I	FILLOUENCY	TOTALS				

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

1

ľ

I

Flood plain zoning was the most frequently mentioned specific solution and was most frequently mentioned as a solution to flooding. Zoning predominates as a proposed solution when flood plain zoning and better zoning are combined. Drainage regulation and stopping wetland drainage, when combined, were the next most frequently mentioned solutions. These were proposed primarily for other than flooding problems. More study and citizen participation was proposed most frequently for water management problems. Other solutions mentioned about equally

were diking, diversion, and use of cover crops and better tillage practices in agriculture. Diversion was most frequently mentioned as a solution to water supply proclems.

The respondents saw little effect of solutions on the geographic area of their organizations with only three mentioning that it would increase (See Table 10 in the Introduction). However, they saw a majority of their solutions increasing their activities. They saw about forty percent of their colutions increasing the value of property, revenues and expenditures. None saw their solutions reducing property values, decreasing revenues or expenditures.

# CHAPTER SIX

### ASSESSMENTS OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

This institutional analysis obtained respondents' perceptio of the effect of proposed solutions on other organizations as well on their own. Each respondent of the 39 organizations was asked to state what other organizations would be effected by their proposed solutions and whether the action would decrease that organization's functions if implemented. (Figure 9 provides a diagram of the rela tionship of problems, solutions, and related factors examined in th part of the analysis). Table A-9 (Appendix A) provides the propose solutions, indicates the organizations perceived as affected and whether the solutions will increase or decrease the organizations' activities.

### FIGURE 9: AFFECT OF SOLUTIONS ON OTHER ORGANIZATIONS



Very lew of the proposed activities were seen as decreasing the activities for other organizations. Only six organizations were indicated in this category and these were the Almy Corps of Engineers, Sheyenne Valley Association, U.S. National Guard, Flood Insurance Agency, State Highway Department and private contractors and developers. liouever, almost all proposed activities were seen as increasing activities for at least one other organization. Table 28 lists those solutions proposed six or mor- times by respondents, and indicates the frequency with which the more frequently mentioned organizations are seen as having increased activities. In this partial summary table, the Army Corps of Engineers is indicated most frequently as having increased activities. The State Health Department, Water Drain Boards and Local and County Plauning Commissions are frequently perceived as having increased activities. There is some disparity among organizational levels in how frequently they mentioned solutions. Local level organizations were less prone to suggest solutions and when they did they tended to differ from higher level organizations. Table 29 summarizes frequencies by organization and solution.

It is apparent that these respondents perceived that proposed solutions will mean increased activities for some other organizations and for a few a good deal more thin for others. Few activity efficiencies are seen in the form of decreased accivities for other organizations.

Proposed Solution			s			ы		
by Organizational Level	ACC	BR	USSCS	CHS	NDGP	SRRRC	WDB	LCPC
State Leve'								
Study, Citizen Participation	1							
Flood Plain Zoning	2							5
Diking	1						1	
Better Zoning	1	1		5	3		2	
Cover Crops, Tillage								
Drainage Regulation			1	1			2	
Control Drainage			1	1				
Diversion	3	3	1	1	2		2	
Non-Government								
Study, Citizen Participation	5		1	2	1	2	2	
Flood Plain Zening	1		-	-	-	-	-	3
Diking	2			2			1	
Better Zoning	-			-			-	
Cover Crops, Tillage								
Drainage Regulation	3	1		4			3	1
Control Drainage	1	ī	1	i			ĭ	-
Diversion	-	-	-	-			•	
Regional								
Study, Citizen Participation							1	
Flood Plain Zoning				1	1		1	1
Diking					-		-	-
Better Zoning				1			1	2
Cover Crops, Tillage			1				-	-
Drainage Regulation			-	1			1	
Control Drainage							_	
Diversion	1	1		1				
City								
Study, Citizen Participation								
Flood Plain Zoning	1							
Diking	3							
Better Zoning					1		1	1
Cover Crops, Tillage								
Drainage Regulation				1		1		
Control Drainage	1		1	2		-	1	
Diversion		1	-	1			-	
County-Township								
Study, Citizen Participation	1							
Flood Plain Zoning								
D!king								
Better Zoning								
Cover Crops, Tillage							1	
Drainage Regulation							-	
Control Drainage	1						1	
Diversion							-	

# TABLE 28: PRCFOSED SOLUTIONS TO WATER AND RELATED PROBLEMS AND ORGANIZATIONS WITH INCREASED FUNCTIONS FOR MOST FREQUENTLY MENTIONED SOLUTIONS

.

3

 TABLE 29:
 TOTAL OF INCREAJED ACTIVICIES FOR MOST FREQUENTLY MENTIONED

 ORGANIZATIONS BY KIND OF SOLUTION

_	Organization with Increased Functions								
Proposed Solutions	ACE	BR	USSUS	SHD	NDCF	SRRRC	WDB	LCPC	TOTAL
Study, Citizen Participation	7	0	1	2	1	2	3	0	16
Frood Plain Zoning	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	9	16
Diking	6	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	10
Better Zoning	1	1	0	6	4	0	4	3	19
Cover Crops, Tillage	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Drainage Regulation	1	1	1	7	0	1	6	1	18
Control Drainage	3	1	ĉ	4	0	0	3	ŋ	14
<sup>¬</sup> iversion		5	]	3	2	0	2	0	17
Total	26	3	7	25	8	3	22	13	112

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

74

1

....

•

•

•

#### CHAPTER SEVEN

# ORGANIZATIONAL RELATIONSHIPS

Communication networks in most human communities are complex. This survey attempted to determine kinds of relationships the 39 organizations had with other organizations. Categories were formed for determining kinds of contacts and underlying reasons for contacts among the organizations. The following taxonomy was used:

> Reporting obligation Formal communication Informal communication Geographic area overlap by law Geographic area overlap by practice Similar activities by law Similar activities by practice

"Formal" and "informal" refer to the kinds of contacts occurring among the organizations. The other five categories refer to underlying reasons for the contacts. These make distinctions between having contacts because they are required and having contacts because it is convenient or functionally advantageous to do so. Obligatory relations are reporting obligation, geographical area overlap by law and similar activities by law. Functionally advantageous categories are geographic area overlap by practice and similar activities by practice.

Table A-10 in Appendix A shows most organizations in contact with the surveyed organizations and their kinds of relationships. The least frequent reason given for relationships with other organizations is reporting obligation. The most frequent <u>kind</u> of relationship stated by respondents is informal communication. However, on examining Table 30,

				ind of	Relati	Lonship	s	
Respondent's Kind of Organ- ization (# in Category)	Total Number of Contact Organizations	Reporting Obligation	<sup>v</sup> ormal Communication	Informal Communication	Geographic Over- lap by Law	Geographic Over- lap by Practice	Similar Activities by Law	Similar Activities by Practice
State Level 11	108	19	105	96	100	105	38	39
Non-Government 10	55	4	51	52	3	48	2	18
Regional 5	40	9	ĴĴ	40	29	33	14	15
City 7	32	5	24	32	30	30	9	9
County and Township 6	26	5	19	26	24	26	8	8
Total 39	261	42	235	24ú	186	242	71	89

 TABLE 30:
 COMMUNICATION NETWORK AND KINDS OF INTERACTION AMONG ORGANIZATIONS

 BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION AND FREQUENCY OF KIND OF CONTACT

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

it is apparent that most organizations with informal communication also communicate formally. Also, formal communication is the second most frequent <u>kind</u> of relationship among the organizations indicated in this survey. Table 31 shows the frequency of formal and informal relations among the kinds of organizations. Most frequent formal and informal contacts are among state and federal organizations.

76

C

•

л.

			Kind of Cr	ganizati	on Communicating	With
Kind of Responding Organization	Federal	State	Regional	Local	Non-Government	Total
State						
Formal	37	39	4	15	10	105
Informal	34	36	4	13	9	96
Non-Government						
Formal	12	14	5	6	14	51
Informal	12	14	5	4	17	52
Regional						
Formal	14	12	2	5	3	36
Informal	14	12	2	7	5	40
City						
Formal	5	4	4	11	0	24
Informal	6	7	5	13	1	32
County-Township	P					
Formal	4	4	1	6	4	19
Informal	4	6	1	10	5	26
Total		-				
Formal	72	73	16	43	31	235
Informal	70	75	17	47	37	246

# TABLE 31: FREQUENCY OF FORMAL AND INFORMAL COMMUNICATION BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

Legally defined overlapping boundaries is rather frequently stated as a reason for interacting with other organizations. The reporting obligation network is in Figure 10. However, more organizations



78 FIGURE 10 COMMUNICATION NETWORK REPORTING OBLIGATION TO OTHER ORGANIZATIONS BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION

with overlapping boundaries interact by practice than by law. Even fewer interact because of similar activities as legally defined. Somewhat more organizations interact with other organizations because they have similar activities in practice. The communication network by geographic overlap is in Figure 11.

The communication system, as it seems to operate among the organizations, is more due to practicality and interest than to some law defining the associations and there is some variation by kind of organization. For instance, non-governmental organizations are less likely to have legally defined associations than are governmental agencies. It is important to note that formal and informal relations are pervasive in all categories of organizations.

### Participation in Corps Flood Control Study

٦,

A part of the survey examined the anticipated involvement of these 39 organizations in a study of flood control by the Army Corps of Engineers. It assessed the likelihood of involvement with the corps, whether directly or indirectly, and if indirectly, through what other organizations. The responses to this portion of the survey are summarized in Figure 12. Respondents' groups are categorized by kind of organization.

Twenty-three of the 39 organizations said they would be directly involved in the study and 21 said they would be indirectly involved. Ten respondents said they would not be directly involved and 7 said they would not be indirectly involved. The remainder were uncertain if they would be either directly or indirectly involved. None of the total groups said they definitely would not be involved either directly or indirectly. In sum, a firm majority anticipated involvement, either directly or indirectly, in the flood control study by the Army Corps of Engineers. A goodly share of indirect involvement would be through the Lower Sheyenne Citizens Advisory Committee.



Arrows indicate some degree of geographic overlap and performance of similar activities overlap by organizations. Arrows point from the responding organization to the organization mentioned.

80

).

# FIGURE 11: COMMUNICATION NETWORK BY GEOGRAPHIC OVERLAP AND SIMILAR ACTIVITY BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION



н)



e

Solid lines indicate direct pathicipation and broken lines indicate indirect participation through another organization.

### CHAPTER EIGHT

# SUTEMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Institutional Analysis Purpose and Procedures

This institutional analysis of the lower Sheyenne River basin in North Dakota is part of a reformulation study of the St. Paul district of the Army Corps of Engineers as stipulated in ER 1105-2-200 and ER 1105-2-22. Specifically, the purpose of the institutional analysis is to ascess how institutions in the area will be affected by changes, to determine the organizational and political acceptability of changes and to assess the organizations' financial, legal and technological feasibility of participating in planned changes. The focus is on problems related to water and related land use resources.

In order to obtain information relative to planned changes in water and related land use, this analysis examined organizations with interests in flood control, water supply, water quality, land use, floodplain regulation, fish and wildlife and other natural resources in the lower Shevenne River basin. It analyzed organizational goals, functions and objectives as indicated in the organizations' legal documents as perceived by their officers. It also examined the officers' perceptions of other organizations and of their organizations' interaction with other groups. Fifty-four organizational representatives were interviewed in the profile portion of the survey and 39 were interviewed in the detailed survey. Organizations-were selected on the basis of their apparent and defined interests in water and related land resources in the lower Sheyenne River basin. The analysis

utilized two sets of organizational types. In the profile part of the institutional analysis, these vice non-government state, non-government regional, regional, county and local. In the in-depth part of the analysis these were scate level, regional level, city, and countytownship government and non-government.

# Characteristics of Profiled Organizations

Nost of these respondents (of the profiled organizations) considered their organization to be permanent and an important portion of the work in all five categories of organizations was done by voluntary staff. No organization considered their primary relation to water and related land resources to be financial. The most frequent activity of these organizations was planning and zoning, followed by resource use and control. One-fourth of the respondents stated their activities as regulation, public education or lobbying. Some were involved in research and legislation. About two-thirds said primary objectives were related to water quality, use, supply and flooding.

Funding sources were reflective of differences between government and non-government organizations. There was variation in amount of contact with other organizations by kind of organization. County Governments were the most frequently contacted organizations.

The characteristics of profiled organizations lead to some conclusions about organizational in planned changes in water and related lead use. Since an important portion of the respondents work in these organizations columnarily, their personal

acceptance of planned changes is important. If planned changes are not personally acceptable to these volunteers, their personal efforts in their present organizational position may be non-supportive of planned changes. Also, these persons have involvement and some influence in their other occupational areas.

Implementation of changes could take place through a relatively stable and established organizational structure since the existing organizations are almost all permanent. The personnel may change within these organizations since many are voluntary and since almost half have been in their organizations two or less years (this may indicate a degree of fluidity gaong personnel).

The organizations interviewed were more oriented toward planning and knowledge facilitation than toward funding activities. An important portion of funding comes from non-governmental sources. If it is true that the profiled organizations are similar to the in-depth organizations (and there is some overlap), most perceived changes would enhance rather than diminish organizational activities and costs. If additional funding comes from present sources it would require expansion of funding from a variety of sources including an important increase from private sources. Otherwise, federal funding would need to supplement a variety of other funds.

Various interests are fairly well represented by the organizations profiled in this group. However, wildlife interests had the least amount of representation among these organizations and may need to receive special attention.





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A Water and Related Land Use Legislation

1

ê

ļe

1

Federal activities in water and related land resources will involve North Dakota state regulations and some local rules. The North Dakota Water Laws, administered primarily by the State Water Commission and the State Department of Health, constitute the majority of significant water and related land use legislation at the state level. They are also the backbone and authority behind local government regulations, with the exception of local government's authority to zone. Special regulations exist for water quality of the Sheyenne River and Clousen Spring within the lower Sheyenne River basin. Other agencies with interests in water regulations are Outdoor Park and Recreation Agency, Garrison Diversion Conservancy District, State Game and Fish Department, the State Geological Survey and the State Plenning Division.

The state water laws, as administered by the State Water Commission and the State Department of Health, are fairly comprehensive. For this reason, local governmental agencies and subdivisions have not found it necessary to enact additional water and related land use rules and regulations. Local government is concerned primarily with issues of more local significance and become involved with water and related land use policy primarily through their powers to zone. They are not actively involved in regulating water and related land use except through water management districts and to some extent local health boards they are concerned about water supply and use. Thus, proposed changes would primarily effect local governments through changes in land use.

General Purpose of Organization

As previously indicated, 39 organizations were interviewed to determine organizational purpose, perceived water and related land use problems, their causes, solutions and effect of solutions on their and other organizations. The purpose of the organizations were placed into 16 categories indicating diversity of purpose. The general purposes included management and conservation of resources, public education in the use of resources, and providing advisory services in resource use and development. Planning and coordination were the primary purposes of nine organizational activities. Management of resources was the primary purpose of thirteen. Voluntary associations were more interested in public education and public involvement in decisionmaking. Seven of the organizations were primarily interested in providing services in townships and cities.

The general public ranked highest when these organizations ranked the importance of their clientele groups. In order of magnitude, the public is followed by agriculturalist, recreationalist, small business and large business. There were differences in emphasis by type of organization. Interests in clientele beyond the general public reflects the importance of agriculture in the lower Sheyenne River basin. Businets interests, particularly large business, ranks generally lower among the interests. Although "large business" was not clearly defined in this survey, these interests likely reflect the economic interests of the area. Lurge businesses do exist in the area, but the proportionste involvement of the public would be less

in this than in other clientele categories. It may be concluded that these 39 organizations represent interests roughly proportionate to the interests of the general public in the lower Sheyenne River basin.

The source of funds for these organizations closely reflects their purposes and kind of organizations. State level organizations were primarily funded by state and federal funds. Non-governmental organizations were funded by private sources. Regional organizations were funded by state and federal sources though federal predominate. City organizations are supported by local funds and county-township governments by local funds with some additional federal funds. The implications for funding water projects as discussed in the section on profiled organizations would appear to apply to these organizations as well. Water resource changes, as viewed by these respondents, would tend to increase their activities and also the need for additional funds. These funds would have to come from the present array of sources or be supplemented by federal funds if organizational expansion takes place.

### Problems, Causes, and Solutions

1

.

2

ŀ

[

The respondents recognized an array of water related problems. Flooding, water supply, and drainage ranked equally high as problems. Forms of pollution ranked fourth followed by water quality, environmental and retreational problems, conflict of interest, management and "the proposed project." Respondents proposed both causes and solutions to their perceived problems. Can I use ranked highest as a proposed

cause followed by stream characteristics and natural causes, agricultural practices and water use. Other suggestions were problems in regulation and governmental coordination, and general ecosystem mismanagement. Four specifically mentioned the proposed Kindred Dam as cause. Those interviewed suggested a variety of solutions including impoundment, better zoning, better draining regulations, controlled drainage, more citizen involvement, diking and better agricultural conservation practices.

It is doubtful that this array of water related problems and causes could be ameliorated by one major water-land project. Interest in probleme and their causes is sufficiently diverse that segmental change may be necessary (i.e., a series of limited projects) either underwaken independently or coordinated into some lower basin plan. It any case, it appears that integrated planning is implied from the combined perceptions of these respondents. The diversity would seem to indicate the need for organizationally integrated activities. The diversity also suggests that integrated organizational planning will not be easily accomplished since there is conflict among causes and solutions as perceived by these respondents.

## Effect of Solutions on Organizations

4

į,

C

The effect of perceived solutions on the respondents' own organization is similar to the effect perceived on other organizations. Solutions were seen as either not affecting the organization or as increasing the consessed dimensions. About 70 percent of the solutions were seen as increasing activities in their own organization. These

rolutions were seen as increasing the value of their property and as increasing their revenues. About 44 percent saw the solutions as increasing their expenditures. Since expenditures usually precede revenues, increased funding would be necessary in an important portion of the perceived solutions. Implications for funding were discussed earlier.

Very few of the proposed activities were seen as decreasing the activities for other organizations. The most frequently indicated organization perceived as having more activities was the Army Corps of Engineers. Mentioned nearly as frequently was the Water Resource Council. In all, few activity efficiences are seen in their solutions in the form of decreased activities and either their own or other organizations.

### Organizational Interrelations

The 39 organizations had an extensive communication network with other organizations. The most frequent kind of relationship with other organizations was informal communication though most organizations with informal communication also communicated formally. An analysis of the kinds of communication suggested that the informal system parallels the formal one. Also, analysis of the communication network suggested that much of the communication derives from geographic overlap by both law and practice, though practice is the more frequently stated reason. Fower interact because their activities were legally defined as similar. More organizations interacted because they had similar activities in practice.

The communication system is more due to practicality and interest than to some law defining the associations, and there is some variation by kind of contact and kind of organization. This cursory analysis seems to indicate a healthy communicational system since formal social networks function more efficiently when supplemented by informal ones. As stated earlier, organizations tended to be permanent, have somewhat unique goals and jurisdictions and participate in an extensive communication network. Any planned action in water and related land use would touch on an array of existing intervelated systems. There is some communication clustering by special interest.

j.

A majority of the organizations anticipated involvement, either directly or indirectly, in the flood control study by the Army Corps of Engineers. An important share of anticipated indirect involvement would be through the Lower Sheyenne Citizens Advisory Committee.

### **91** REFERENCES

Ayers, Kent E. 1972 "Water Management Characteristics in North Dakota." North Dakota Law Review 40.

Bard, Dean F. and Robert E. Back

1970 "An Institutional Overview of the North Dakota State Water Conservation Commission: Its Operation and Setting." North Dakota Law Review 46:31-82.

Bec't, Robert E.

1974 Ownership and Use Rights in Water Within North Dakota. Fargo, Marth Dakota: North Dakota State University Water Resources Research Institute Report No. WI-221-025-74.

Beck, Robert E. and Bruce E. Bohlman

1971 "Drainage Law in North Dakota: An Overview," North Dakota Law Review 47:471-513.

- Beck, Robert E. and John C. Hart 1974 "The Nature and Extent of Rights in Water in North Dakota," North Dakota Law Review 51:249-314.
- Center for Environmental Studies 1975 Environmental Assessment of Lake Lac Qui Parle. Fargo and Moorhead: Tri-College University.
- Center for Environmental Studies 1975 Environmental Assessault of Lake Orwell, Minnesota. Fargo and Moorhewd: Tri-College University.

Center for Environmental Studies

- 1976 Environmental Assessment of the Fargo City Drains 3 and 10. Fargo and Moorhead: Tri-College University.
- Center for Environment Studies 1975 Environmental Assessment of Lake Traverse. Fargo and Moorhead: Tri-College University.

Corps of Engineers

1968 Interim Survey Report--Sheyenne River, North Dakota, Red River of the North Basin for Flood Cortrol and Related Purposes. St. Paul District Corps of Engineers.

Corps of Engineers 1976 Plan of Study, Sheyenne Giver, North Daketa. St. Paul District Corps of Engineers.

DeKrey, Allan R.

1977 "Water Management Districts. Unpublished paper. Fargo, North Dakota: North Dakota State University, Department of Community and Regional Planning.

Devine, Richard P. and Balk, Laurence L.

1972 Social Surveys: A Research Strategy for Social Scientists and Students. Morristo. .: Gener 1 Learning Corporation. Fairweather, George W. 1967 Methods for Experimental Social Innovation. New York: John Wiley. Falk, Laurence L. 1977 Attitudes and Social Characteristics of Farm and Rural Non-Farm Persons Living Adjacent to the Sheyenne River of North Dakota. Fargo, North Dakota: North Dakota Water Resources Research Institute and North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, Report No. WI-312-001-77. Falk, Laurence L. 1977 A Population Profile of the Lower Sheyenne River Basin in North Dakota. Fargo, North Dakota: North Dakota Water Resources Research Institute and North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station, Report No. WI-312-003-77. Falk, Laurence L. and Jerome Johnson 1974 "Social Attitudes Toward Weather Modification," in The Effects of Added Rainfall During the Growing Season in North Dakota: 147-163. Gerlach, Luther P. 1973 Mobilization and Participation of Citizens Groups in Improving The Quality of Water Resources Environments, Minneapolis: Water Resources Research Center, University of Minnesota. Hawley, Amos H. 1950 Human Ecology: A Theory of Community Structure. New York: Ronald Press. Hertzler, J. O. 1961 American Social Institutions, Boston: Allyn and Bacon. League of North Dakota Municipalities 1970 Municipal Laws of North Dakota. Bismarck, North Dakota: League of North Dakota Municipalities. Leitch, Jay A. and William C. Nelson 1976 Economic Value of Wildlife in the Lower Sheyenne River Basin, Agricultural Economics Report No. 114. Fargo: North Dakota State University. Leitch, Jay A. and William C. Nelson 1976 Environmental Trade-Offs in the Lower Sheyenne Valley, Agricultural Economics Report No. 116. Fargo: North Dakota State University. Loomis. Charles P. 1960 Social Systems. Princeton, N.D.: D. Van Nostrand. Ludwig, James P. (ed.) 1972 A Survey of Attitudes Towards the Mississippi River as a Total Resource in Minnesota. Minneepolis: Water Resources Research Center, University of Minnesota. McMahon, Anne M. and Santo F. Camilleri 1975 "Organizational Structure and Voluntary Participation in Collective-Good Decisions," American Sociological Review 40:616-644.
	93
	11liam C. and Rodney J. Ehni Land Use and Nonpoint Pollution in the Sheyenne Valley," North Dakota Farm Research 34 (NovDec.):25-26.
	ship Governmental Manual for the State of North Dakota Wahpeton, North Dakota: Globe-Gazette Printing Company.
Ransom Co 1974	unty Ransom County (North Dakota) Zoning Ordinance.
	R. E., Tichenor, P. J., Donohue, G. A. and C. E. Olien Role of the Scientists Technician in Water Policy Decisions at the Community Level. Minneapolis: Water Resources Research Center, University of Minnesota.
Sanders, 1958	Irwin T. The Community: An Introduction to a Social System. New York: The Ronald Press
Scott, W. 1964	Richard "Theory of Organizations," in Robert E. Faris (ed.) Handbook of Modern Sociology, Chicago: Rand McNally. 485-529.
	artment of Health Standards of Surface Water Quality for State of North Dakota. Bismarck, North Dakota: State Department of Health.
	rary Commission North Dakota State Government: The Princ aties of the Various Agencies of Our State Government, arck, North Dakota, An occasional publication of the Stace Library Commission.
	l Conservation Committee North Dakota Soil Conservation Districts Law. Bismarck, North Dakota, State Soils Conservation Committee.
	er Commission Rules and Regulations of the State Water Commission Governing the Drainage of Water from Ponds, Sloughs, or Lakes Having Water- sheds of 40 Acres or Larger. Bismarck, North Dakota: State Water Commission.
	nne River Basin Research Team Lower Sheyenne River Basin. Fargo: North Dakota State University.
Thomlinso 1965	n, Ralph Sociological Concepts and Research. New York: Random House.
Tomeh, A1 1973	
	e of Representatives Laws of the United States Relating to Water Pollution Control and Environemntal Quality (2nd ed.), Washington D.C.: Committee on Public Works.
Waelti, J	Surface Water Quality in Minnesota: The Translation of Goals
1975	and Policies into Results, Minneapolis: Water Resources Research Center, University of Minnesota.

. 93

ĺ

1

#### APPENDIX A

DATA BASED TABLES

(Organizations and Acronyms not Indicated Elsewhere Are Found After A-10)

1

l.

í

[

k

					÷	dharacharach.						
Eind - the standard -	* * . * * . * 4			e l'actual de la compara d Compara de la compara de la c	Number Medder v	Number Servel	Specific Reputations	Organization Permanation 	Organization a Sab-unit	listsdactant defer "nates	tion of the second s	A test to the
a secondaria da secondaria								:				
			·			045. 14., 14	*	××	* *			
	× -			τ.,	7 .		×	××	×		۰.	
计算机分析 化乙基乙酸 化乙基乙酰基乙基乙酰基乙酸 化乙酰基 化乙基乙基乙酰基	• •		:		•		×	×			,	
	.,		4.				×	* * 3	к,		•••	
er en la entre entre aureaux. Area de la esta de la constanta de la esta de la constanta de la	××	<b>.</b> . :					× ,	( x )	< ×		•••	
is a subject of the s	× ×	×	• .		4				,			
te e estates Reguerantes est												
「「「」」の「「「」」」「「」」」の「「」」」、「」」、「」」、「」」、「」」、	×	v.			·. •	-	××	×			· •	
化二乙酸盐 化化乙酸盐 化化乙酸盐 化化乙酸盐 化乙酸盐 化乙酸盐 化乙酸盐 化乙酸	< × >		• •		•	• • •	*	* *	×			
·····································	< ×			· .	:.			×	×			
teria - successional de la construcción de				۰.		۰.		× ×				
- 1999年、19995855555555	×	ĸ		•		-	,	××	×			
Me a substantia da antica da A												
	,						,					
LEE AVAILS REPAILS AND AVAILS AND AVAILS	· × >		~ 1			1818.	* *	××				
and a state of the												
n						1191		×	×			
aks control of the second of t	×			-		1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1	• • •	× × ×	* * *		•••	
oass County Park Boart Case County Planning Commicsion				- <b>7</b>		1	×	××	××			
Richland County Township Association 				•		7101		×ĸ	××			
erentated economy name prants 19. hiard Ferning Commission 19. herson County Hafer Manayement Bostd				• -			• •	* *	* ×			
Raesim Tivueri Park Board Kaesim Tivueriy Flanning Commussium	×	·		• •		-	×	* *	* *		.,	
10mmにある。 10mmによる。「「「」」」の「「」」の「」」」の「」」の「」」。 10mmによう。 10mmによう。「」」の「」」。				-			* *	* #	* *		۰.	
SAFTER - TOTA FLANDING - SHOLEKEND See State - Tompating Officers Sectionary 	×			c = :			**	. * *	* *		× 7	
an state communication of a state of a state of a state of a state of the state of	* *			÷		÷		. <b>K</b> X	* *			
notes and an an an annual statement of a statement of the statement of th				-								
раки. М. кледа Мекскоройская состай. П. Сооренская							×	×				
Burger Burgersen (1971) - Bargersen (1971) Bener Profestioner (1971) - Statistiken (1971)	. #	*	×.	et			. ·	* *	* *	×		
A DATE OF A	۲			•		•	-	* 1				
				•			• * •	< * )			•	
21	* *			~ ~		- - 	· • .	( x )	*			
				.• .			• •	с <b>)</b>			- ,	
		·		r			*	< ×			<b>.</b> .	
						•	*	,				

1 4

6

•1

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

.

Merg meritikg tert. – Patie Resource Par tert. – Peratie Labraise and curred quality Dae Supply Handise And V tert. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \* \* \* \* . . . \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* , . . . × • \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* × × \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* × \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* • \* K \* \* \* \* \* × × × × \* \*\*\*\*\* ×× . and the state of the × × , . . \* \* \* × \* \* \* \* ۲ × × ×× × × ×× × • ÷ × × • \* \* \* \* \* • 1 . . . states a substance . , • × • . . . . . \* \* . • . . . • . . • . . . . . . . . -: •

		TARLE A	-			A A C A C A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	RUARLIAT (200			
				¥	× • · · · · · · ·					
- 1 - V- 1 - E - E - V-	ká vec v Po			e barre frankere		legal someral Water Filteral Jaco	karten 1. Mediantaria	् हिंदी के बिंद के	- Platitu	-
Kom un ownerstration of which is const						1				
Althon monterio Version can bellatte Pederacion Version can be composite contra canada contra canada Versiona Societario Amministro	* * *		* *	۰.	×	××	×	< x		
Martin L. LEDLER V. C. M. 1995 North D. L. M. Harmerk, J. 1995 North Data M. Harmerk, J. 2007 North Data M. Martin, Barran, C. M. 1996 North Data M. Martin, Martin, L. M. 1996 Martin Data M. Martin, Martin, L. M. 1996 Martin Data M. Martin, Martin, L. M. 1997 Martin, Data M. Martin, Martin, L. M. 1997 Martin, Martin,					,	× , ×	× ×	, x		
<pre>Ann Langer Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne Anne An</pre>	¥ x	y X X	x = ×	×	* *				×	×
Færgo Wildlife (15). Mindred Gun and Wildlite (14) Lower Shevenne (111/ens Advisory Committee	×		×		×			×	×	
Regional unvernments Lues Aussistic Regional Council Red Style Regional Council Forth Central Perional Criscil	~	××I								
Lowick unvertight Conservation Service Lass Cunty Soil Conservation Service Cass Conty Health Department Southess: Cas Ward Management Buard Cass Cunty Deals Board	×	* *		* *	x x x	* *	×			
Gass County Park Board Cass County Varhing Commission Kichland County Towmhip Associations Richland County Park Board		×			x x x	* *			×	
Richland Councy Planning Commission Ranson Councy Water Management Board Ranson Councy Planning Commission Rannens Councy Planning Commission		** *		×	××	××			N X	
Barnes Crunty Water Management Board Barnes County Panning Commission Cass County Township Officers Association Richard County Township Officers Association Ransom County Township Officers Association Bannes County Township Officers Association Bannes County Township Officers Association	<b>K 1</b> K	×	×		×	×	×		×	
udes overseens Fargo Planning Comuncil of Government Fargo Planning Communication Veet Fargo Planning Communication Kindred City Government Kindred Firy Government		* ***	×	×	* *	* *			****	
Lishun Cirk Government Valley Yiry Planning Commission Mareovof Townsip		* * *		* *		×			: жж ж	
Barnes Tremship Staniev Tremship Marren Tremship Normanna Tremship		* * * *				* * * *			* * *	

\* \* \*

×

x < x

ſ

legal Platical

\*\* \*\*

- x

> ×

\* \* \*

, , , ,			×		** x	× -	¥ ¥/	,			
****	~ ~ * * *	× × ×	****	***	×× ×	¥ * * *	× × × ×	x x ? *			
<ul> <li>N. S. Landstrein C. M. M. Barton, A. L. M. S. S. Martin, S. M. S. /li></ul>	4 	Tit-CLATY TETRATION FUNCTION Fare of wildlife Club Kindred Cun and Ailoliir Clun Lower Nevenne Clitzens Advisorv Regional Government Lake Apassiz Regional Council Red River Regional Council Such Central Regional Council	County Governments Gass County Soil Conservation berviou Gass County Health Department Southear Cass Warer Management Buri Gass County Para Doard Cass County Para Doard	Cass County Planning Commission Richland County Tommship Association Richland County Park Boar Richland County Planning Commission Richland County Water Management Roard Ransom County Park Board Ransom County Park Board	Burnes County Vater Management Board Barnes County Planning Commission Gass Centry Thomabile Officers Association Ruchiand County Township Officers Association Barnes County Township Officers Association Barnes County Township Officers Association	Local Government Fargo-Monread Werry Council of Guvernment Fargo-Yanning Commission Read Farging Commission Kindred (ry Guvernment Hadar Liry Guvernment	Lishun (12 Konstminen) Lishun (12 Konstminen) mussiyi Laslev (12 Markus) Haravid Tienskis	Biarthean Themachill Straits of Versition examined Theory of Versition Normations Theory of Versition	(a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b	•	

1

G

C.

TABLE A-5: ORGANIZATIONS NAMED AS HAVING CONTACT WITH PROFILED ORGANIZATIONS

Contact Organizations

Ċ,

AUDB, MDFFC, LCAC, RRRC, SECWMB, FPC, WFPC, LCG AUDB, TCID Army Corps of Engineers Bureau of Reclamation U.S. Geological Survey U.S. Fish and Wildlife AUDB AUDB, NDWF, FWC, CCSC NDWF, NDFB, NDASC, CCSC, CCDB, RCWMB, BCMMB SVA, SVGA, RACTOA U.S. Dept. of Agriculture (SCS)(ASCS) U.S. Forest Service Environmental Protection Agency WFPC Federal Housing Authority WFPC Housing and Urban Development WFPC State Government Units (All Concerned) SIERR AUDB, LWV, NDFU, MDFFC, SVGA, SECRWU, TCID, RRRC, SECWMB, CCDB, RCTA, RCWMB, BCWMB, FMMCG, LCG, WFPC LWV, NDSA, NDFU, NDLC, LARC, SCRC, CCHD, CPC, WFPC, LCG AUDB, NDWF, FWC, CCSC, CCPD, RCPD, RACTOA State Water Commission State Health Department North Dakota Game and Fish State Tax Department NDSA, HCG NDASC, MDFFC NDASC, CCHD ASCS State Committee Extension Service of Universities Garrison Diversion Conservancy District GNDA NDLC North Dakota Legislative Council Minnesota Department of Natural Resources MDFFC, FMMCG SVA, RCPD, RCPB LARC, RICTOA, RCG LARC, SCRC State Parks and Outdoor Recreation State Planning Department State Highway Department State Employment Bureau LARC Lake Agassiz Regional Council LWV, LCAC, CCTOA, RICTOA, RACTOA, FPC, HCG, VCPC, HT NT, RCWMB, RCPD NDFU, MDFFC Souris-Red-Rainy River Basin Commission Red Lake River Basin Planning Commission (MN) MDFFC KCG South Central Regional Council CPC County Health Department SECRWU, SCRC, CCHD, RCTA, RCPB, RCPC, BCPC, FMMCG, WFPC, RT, HT, BT, ST, WT, RCWMB, BCTOA SVGA, SECRWU, LCAC, RRRC, CCSC, SECWMB, CCDB, WFPC HCG, LCG, VCPC, MDFFC, CCTOA, RACTOA County Governments County Water and Drain Boards County or City Park Board RCPB, BCWF Fargo-Moorhead Metro. Council LCAC, LARC, CCHD, CPC, FPC, HT, WFPC of Government County-City Planning Commissions LCAC, LARC, CCHD, FMMCG, FPC, KCG, HT, BT, ST County SCS SCRC City Governments LCAC, SCRC, SECWMB, BCPC, FMMCG, WFPC, RT, HT, BT, ST Soil Conservation Districts **C**CSC Township Governments Sierra Club SECWMB, RCPC, BCPC, WFPC AUDB, NDWF, SVA AUDB, SIERR, BCWF, FWC, KGWC North Dakota Wildlife Federation Committee to Save North Dakota AUDB Audubon Society NDWF, SIERR, SVA National Rifle Association -- United Sportsmen NDWF Northern Environmental Council (Duluth) NSS Ducks Unlimited FWC Local Branch of Recreational Organization BCWF AUDB, GNDA Farmers Union AUDB, NDSA, GNDA Farm Bureau NDSA, GNDA, SVGA Local units of service organizations NDSA Service organizations to ranching industry GNDA, BCWF, RCTA Water Users Association Greater North Dakota Association NDL.C North Dakota League of Cities GNDA, RICTOA Watershed Districts MDFFC State Township Officers Association Association of Counties CCTOA, RICTOA, RACTOA, WT, NT AC. Business and Industrial Development Department KCG

Source: From phone and personal interviews completed September, 1977.

ORGANIZATIONAL OBJECTIVES, ASSOCIATED ACTIVITIES AND PROPORTION OF TIME AND BUDGET, IN PERCENT, FOR THE ACTIVITIES AND SOURCE OF FUMDING FOR ACTIVITIES BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION TABLE A-6

le

1

Kind of Organization	Objective	Kind of Activity	% Budget	əmiT %
ASCSSC NR*	Preserve & Control lanc-water resources	Advocate-assist conservation practices $\star$		*
BR Deve 24,200,000***	Develop land and water resources 000***	Irrigation development * Developing wetlands & wildlife habitat * Developing recreational areas *		* * *
ILISO	Preservation protection of wild- life reconness	Preserve and protect wetlands and wild- life habitat		*
NR.		Manage fish and wildlife resources 65 Sponsor recreational-educational		*
		programs Develop funding priorities for fish		* *
	Enforce and the radial articles	and wildlife programs		ĸ *
EFA NR	cuiotce waret frantry regularizations			*
	Develop basic data-impact studies	Data collection-research *		*
SCSB NR	Preserve and control land-water resources	practice (tillage) practice (cover		ς,
				30
	Maintain and enhance water quality	Manage grassland (assist and control) 12 Advocate conservation practices(tillage) 4		م بھ
		Irrigation development		Ē
SUC	Water for human and livestock consumption	Provide water for human consumption 25 Maintain water musiity on apricultural		25
2,/00,000		land		25
	Assist irrigation development	permit or license system 1		15
		ation	. LO	5

A-6(1)

source**	2	2	2	7	*	1,5		1-5	1-5		1-5		*	2	7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		7	7	2	7	7	2	
% 9mlT	10	15	*	×	ł	S		-	Ś		-		7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	15	*	*	<b>«</b>	*	
Budget X	10	15	*	*	*	ŝ	e	10	9		10		*	*	#	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	15	*	*	ĸ	*	
Kind of Activity	Small impoundments, stock ponds	Diking and bank stablization	Regulate water quality	Data collection and research	Environmental education	Data collection and research	Acquire and develop wetlands-wildlife	habitat	Small impoundments	Acquire and develop wildlife upland	habitat	Assist development conservation	(cover, trees)	Enforce drainage regulations	Operate park systems	Data collection-research	Meet to discuss issues-problems	Planning water use, supply, quality	Develop state parks-scenic rivers	Develop recreational areas	Planning water use, supply, quality	Manage wetlands areas	Planning-land use, zoning (recrea-	tional)	Coordinate and support planning	Irrigation development		Develop recreational areas	Irrigation development	
Objective	Assist in flood control nanagement		Provide water for humans and livestock		Maintain or enhance water quality		lianage wetlands area	3		lfanage dryland habitat	•			Protect natural shorelines-waterways	Maintain-enhance water quality			Planning recreation (land & water)	Lobby or develop legislation	Develop recreation sites		Control drainage system	Develop recreation sites		Plan asst. protection land-water use	Assist in irrigation development	Stabilization of economy through develop.			
Kind of Organization			BDB	546.000	NDCF	3,187,286								NDSPOR	1,280,103	•									NDSP	GDCDC	587.338	•		Man a second a second

Sponsor education-recreational programs Public education on environmental issues Non-government IDWF NR

4

4:

\*

t

¢

ł

t

ł

, **1**' -

ŀ

1

6

¢

C

source <sup>★★</sup>	4	r -7		4	4	-	t ~	<b>t</b> •	t		t	4	4	4	4		4	4	4	4		t -	<b>t</b> ·	4	Ч	1	
<u>%</u> Эпі.Т	*	*		¥	*	4	< -1	¢ -	ĸ	4	ĸ	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	•	к -)	4	*	*	*	
Budget	*	*		×	¥	4	•	ĸ ·	ĸ	•	ĸ	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	-	K -	ĸ	¥	*	er *	
kind of Activity	Provide information to govt. and	public Douvlor wetlands_wildlife habitat	Meeting to discuss issues and	problems	Environmental education	Lobbying, resolving, developing	legislation	Promote planning on resource 18sues	Environmental education	Provide information to povt. and	public	Environmental education	Manage fish and wildlife resources	Provide information to govt. and	puulie Data collection-research	Planning water use. zoning,	recreation	Provide information to govt.& public	Meeting to discuss issues and	problems	Stop of restrict drainage	Promote planning on resource issues	Diking and Bank stabilization	Small structural controls	Assist citizens Advisory councils	Propose alternative solutions to water problem	
Objective		-	Develop wetlands areas Monitor projects proposals, regulations		protect and preserve natural resources				Monitor projects, proposals, regulation	•		Destort and preserve matural regources		Planning asst. development of land-water	and a set of the set o	Provide public education on environment Later an Jamaian landalarian	roody of develop tegratation	Provide information to govt. and public	Conduct or attend meetings	:	Planning-solving flood problems		Arelst in flood control management	ASSIST ALL LITUOU CONCLUST MANAGE	Provinces officer narricination		
Kind of Organization	ı				CIEDD	NR			AUDB	NR						LWV 512	<b>4,</b> 514		NDFFC	1300					1 5 5 4 5	NR	

A-6(3)

0

	Kind of Organization		Objective		Kind of Activity
	SVA None	Protect and	Protect and preserve natural resources	al resources	Attempt to stop Kindred Dam Planning landuse, zoning, rec Develop state park, scenic riv Sponsor recreational-education
		Oppose spec Oppose indi	Oppose specific land use Oppose indiscriminate drainage	lnage	Programs Meeting to discuss issues and Lobbying, resolving, developin legislation
	SVGA 156,786	Control dra Provide wat	Control drainage systems Provide water for humans and livestock	nd livestock	Small impoundments, stockpon Small impoundments, stockpond
	SECRWV	Preserve la Provide wat	Preserve land and water resources Provide water for humans and livestock	ssources and livestock	Manage or assist in grasslands Control water supply through
	BCWF 10,000	Protect or	Protect or preserve matural resources	al resources	Favor Garrison Diversion
	Regional				
	SRR RC 108,600	Develop nat	Develop natural resources		Inter-organizational coordina plans Prepare basin-wide plan Recommend priorities for data collection-analysis Foster studies requiring planu
	LARC 148.327	Develop hou	Develop housing in region		Organize housing authority Development of regional plan
		Planning as water	Planning asst. for protection of land- water	tion of land-	Funding assistance-developing priorties Planning, water use, supply,
		Stabilize e	Stabilize economy through development	development	Coordinate planning at lower ( Funding assistance, developin priorities
•	•	٠	٠	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•
	•			(	•

source<sup>‡‡</sup> 4 \* 4 4 4444 st. 44 % % 9mlT \* \* 20 \* -14 \* \* gnq8e¢ % ğ \* \* \* \* ponds kponds \*nds control id problems Ding screational ivers lonal

.

•

-

1,2 1,2 1,2 1,2 10 53 17 8 10 17 8 ation of nning æ 80

, quality r govt. level ing funding

A-6(4)

•

Source <sup>**</sup>	-	i -	A-	6(5)	1	н -		• ~				Ч		e	
% 9miT	60				*	* *	к <del>-</del> К	*	- <b>a</b> c - i	K -K	*	*		63	
Kind of Activity &	Funding assistance, developing funding priorities Planning-land use, zoning, recrea- tional	Funding assistance, developing funding priorities Planning-land use, zoning, recreational	Coordinate planning a lower govt. level Planning-land use, zoning, recreational	Advocate conservation practices (tillage)	Development of region-wide plan * Coordinate planning at lower govt.		Pevelop basic data research * Provide information to govt. and *	il governments with		Planning-water use, supply, quality * Manage & acciet in graceland control *	diffe reso	Maintain water quality on agricultural tand		Coordinate and support planning lower govt. 80	
Objectives	Develop recreational sites	Planning, solving flood problems	Developing comprehensive water-land use plan	Protect and preserve natural resources	Develop comprehensive water-land use plan Planning assistance for protection		Develop basic data, research Provide information to povt. and public	Develop comprehensive water-land use plan		Decomposition [ ] and end as the second	LESSIVE-LUILIN INNUT 10 LESSIF			Coordinate govt. process in water-land use Coordinate and support planning lower govt.	
Kind of Organization					SCRC 34.284			RRRC 32,847		34311	50,000		City	Freacc 232,221	
-		•			. •	-	-	-	•	-		-		-	-

A-6(5)

-

I

Í

Ĩ

Kind of OrganizationObjectiveKind of ActivityMade will bud bud bud bud bud bud bud bud bud bud bud bud	<b>\$ол</b> гсе <b>*</b> * %	<b>ന</b> നന	ი ი ი *	A-6(6) ***^^^	<b></b> *	*
ObjectiveKind of ActivityIop area-vide land-water use planAttempt to join metro-area water supplesIop area-vide land-water use planAttempt to join metro-area water supplesIng: recreation (water and land)Attempt to join metro-area water supplesRg: planning for development Doping comprehensity water-land planPlanning, land use, zoning regulationsSmall improvements Small improvementsSteckpondsComparisonSterain improvements Small improvementsAttempt to join metro-area water 		* 25	າ ທີ່ທີ່ * * 6	* * * * * * * * *	* <sup>2</sup> 60	*
Objective lop area-vide land-water use plan ning recreation (water and land) ng, planning for development loping comprehensive water-land plan ng, planning, development loping comprehensive water-land plan ng, planning, development loping comprehensive water-land plan ng, planning, development ng, planning, development ide water for humans and livestock ning, solving flood problems ning, solving flood problems ning, solving flood problems ning, solving flood problems ning, solving flood problems tide water for humans and livestock erve and control land-water resources tain or enhance quality tain or enhance water quality tree vater quality regulations	298buð X	* v.v.	у у х у у х у у х	* * * * 0 * * * *	* 2 0 <del>6</del> 0 * 5	*
Kind of OrganizationObjectiveFPCDevelop area-vide land-water use plan 119,884Develop area-vide land-water use plan nanting recreation (water and land) WFPCWFPCZoning, planning for development Zoning, planning, development MRDevelop area-vide land-water land plan vcPCWFPCZoning, planning, development Zoning, planning, development ECGDevelop recreation (water and land) hrestock MRWFPCZoning, planning, development Zoning, planning, development Bevelop recreation sites MRDevelop recreation sites solving flood problems Hrestock MRHCGPlanning, solving flood problems MRPlanning, solving flood problems msHCGPlanning, solving flood problems MRPlanning, solving flood problems msHCCPlanning, solving flood problems MRProvide water for humans and livestock msHCGPlanning, solving flood problems MRProvide water for humans and livestock msHCGPlanning, solving flood problems flood problems MRProvide water for humans and livestock msHCGPlanning, solving flood problems flood problems flood problemsProvide water for humans and livestock flood problemsHCGPlanning, solving flood problems flood problems flood problemsProvide water for humans and livestock flood problemsHCGPlanning, solving flood problems flood problems flood problemsProvide water for humans and livestock flood problemsHCGPlanning, solving flood problems flood problemsPlanning, solving flood problems flood problems <td< td=""><td>Kind of Activity</td><td>) join metr 1 land use,</td><td></td><td>Provide water for humans and livestock Maintain streams and drains Develop recreational areas Provide water for humans and livestock Data collection, research, study Diking and bank stabilization Apply fed. funds for sewage, drainage Diking and bank stabilization</td><td>Advocate and assist conservation (tillage) Advocate and assist conservation (cropping) Diking and bank stabilization Maintain water quality on Agricultural land</td><td>rtanning water use, suppry, quarter Regulate water quality</td></td<>	Kind of Activity	) join metr 1 land use,		Provide water for humans and livestock Maintain streams and drains Develop recreational areas Provide water for humans and livestock Data collection, research, study Diking and bank stabilization Apply fed. funds for sewage, drainage Diking and bank stabilization	Advocate and assist conservation (tillage) Advocate and assist conservation (cropping) Diking and bank stabilization Maintain water quality on Agricultural land	rtanning water use, suppry, quarter Regulate water quality
Kind of Organization FPC 119,884 UFPC NR NR VCPC NR NR LCG NR KCG NR NR COUNTY and Tow CCCHD	Objective	Develop area-wide land-water use plan	and) and	Provide water for humans and livestock Control drainage systems Develop recreation sites Provide water for humans and livestock Planning, solving flood problems Planning, solving flood problems Provide water for humans and livestock	nship Preserve and control land-water resources Preserve and control land-water resources Maintain or enhance quality	daintain or enhance water quality Enforce water quality regulations
	Kind of Organization	19,884		LCG LCG NR HCG MR	County and Town CCSCS	CCHD 40,000

į

1

Ì

E	blems * * * * * wnship * *	* 5 blems * 20 over	blems + 25	
Kind of Activity	Meeting to discuss issues and problems Land use zoning regulation Assist in obtaining bridges on township roads	Lobbying, resolving, developing legislation Meeting to discuss issues and problems Coordinate and support planning lower	govt. Reeting to discuss issues and problems	
Objective	land	Review and advise on water issues Coordinate sovi, processes water-land	d meetings	
Kind of Organization		RICTOA Revi 3ACTOA Coor		None

Kind of Organization	Problem	Proposed Causes
State Level		
ASCSSC	Agricultural pollution	Agricultural runoff
	Soll erosion	Intensive agricultural use
BE	General flooding	Natural causes
ļ	Stream flow variation	Natural causes, increased water use
USUF	Poor watershed management	Poor coordination among govt. units. Intensive
		agricultural use
		Excessive drainage, Improper development
	Flood plain development	None proposed
	Unrestricted drainage	Excessive drainage
	Poor water quality	Feedlots, Point-source pollution
EPA	Agricultural pollution	Feedlots, Agricultural runoff
SCSB	Stream bed erosion	Water movement eroding streams
	Stream flow variation	
	Human pollution	Point-source pollution, Feedlots
SWC	Concern for future supplies	Increased water use, Low stream flows
	General flood problems	Flood plain development, Excessive drainage,
		restrictions
	Channel obstructions	Flow restrictions, Flood plain development
	Unrestricted drainage	Lack of enforcement of drainage regulations
	Stream flow variation	Natural causes, Increased water use
	Lack of adequate recreation	Increased water use
HOS	Poor water quality	Agricultural runoff, Point-source pollution
NDGF	Human pollution	Point-source pollution
	Agricultural pollution	Feedlots
	Unrestricted drainage	Intensive Agricultural use
	Effects of procosed protect	None pronosed

ł

1

1

A-7(1)

Wildi Land NDSPOR Agrid Unres Unres Chan IIDSP None GDCDC Gener Non-Governmental Fife SIERR Agrid Human	Wildilfe habitat destruction Land use conflicts Agricultural pollution Unrestricted Drainage	
	d use conflicts icultural pollution estricted Drainage	Authorization of Kindred Dam
	icultural pollution estricted Drainage	Authorization of Kindred Dam Bodat course colliction Foodlote
		roinc-source pollucion, reequots Natural causes
	Channel obstructions	Natural causes
		- - - -
	General environmental issues	Excess concern by environmental groups, keturn 110ws
œ		
æ	Unrestricted drainage	Intensive Agricultural use, Not. enforcing drainage
		regulations
	Effects of proposed project	None proposed
Hum	icultural pollution	Feedlots
:	Human pollution	Point-source pollution
Cha	Channel obstructions	Natural causes, Intensive agricultural use
Eff	Effects of proposed project	Authorization of Kindred Dam
AUDB Sol	Solutions not basin-wide	Decision-making too complex
LWV None	le Ie	
C.	Unrestricted drainage	Not enforcement of drainage regulations, Lack of
		govt. coordination
	Solutions not basin-wide	Unequal political balance, Lack of govt. coordination
C	le	
SVA Flo	Flood plain čevelopment	Improved development
Uar	Unrestricted drainage	Intensive agricultural use
So1	Solutions not basin-wide	Lack of govt. coordination
SVGA Gro	Ground water conditions	Excessive drainage
Unr	Unrestricted drainage	Destruction of the ecosystem
SECRIVV Gro	Ground water conditions	Limited supply
BCUF Poo	Poor water quality	Agricultural runoff
Str	Stream flow variation	Natural causes
Regional		
SRINC Gen	General flood problems	Natural causes, excessive drainage

A-7(2)

i

ł

Kind of Problem Organization Poor water cuality Concern for future supplies LARC General flocd probl Poor water cuality Land use conflicts Land use conflicts Unrestricted draina		
		Proposed Causes
	ruture water flicts d problems uality flicts f drainare	
Flood plain developmentSCRCPoor water cualityFlood plain developmentGround water conditionsGeneral environmental 1RRCSolutions are not basinUSFSLVehicle damage by recreLand use confiletsGround water conditions	Flood plain development Poor water cuality Flood plain development Ground water conditions General environmental issues Solutions are not basin-wide Vehicle damage by recreation Land use confilcts Ground water conditions	urban sprawt, wurat water utsetted Agricultural runoff, Point-source pollution Urban sprawl Limited supply Destruction of ecosystem, Increased popularity of scenic areas Diking, Excessive drainage Natural causes, Destruction of ecosystem Increased popularity of scenic areas Excessive irrigation
City FraitCG FPC Concern for future supply Concern for future supply Flood plain development General flood problems Concern for future supply Land use conflicts Flood plain development Concern for future supply Concern for future supply LCG Concern for future supply Concern fo	Concern for future supplies General flood problems Concern for future supplies Flood plain development, zoning General flood problems Concern for future supplies Land use conflicts Flood plain development Concern for future supply Concern for future supply General flood problems	Increased water use, Limited supply Intensive Agricultural use, decision-making too complex Increased water use, general flood problems Improper development Excessive drainage, stream characteristics Increased water use, limited supply Flood plain management Poor flood plain definition Stream characteristics, Present and future needs, Increased use Increased use Natural causes, Flow restrictions Feedlots

Proposed Causes	ems Excessive drainage, Stream characteristics Stream characteristics Natural causes			ems Natural causes Intensive agricultural use, Natural causes			osed project Authorization of Kindred Dam	ge Intensive Agricultural use	ions Excessive irrigation	
Problem	General flood problems Stream bed erosion Stream flov variation	General flood problems	msh1p	General flood problems Soll erosions	Stream flow variations Poor water quality	None	Effects of the proposed project	Unrestricted drainage	Ground water conditions	None
Kind of O. <sub>G</sub> unization	ксс	NCC	County and Township	ccscs	CCHD	CCTOA	RICTOA	RACTOA		BCTOA

Û

1

(

6

•

A-7 (4)

Causes are listed for each respondent's proposed water problem.

)

ŧ

WATER PROBLEMS, THEIR PROPOSED SOLUTIONS, EFFECT OF OUR ORGANIZATION OF SOLUTIONS RELATIVE TO ACTIVITIES, GEOGRAPHIC AREA, VALUE OF PROPERTY, REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES TABLE A-3.

L

ļ

1

5

•

0

Ø

Kind of Organization	Problem	Solution Proposed	Activi- ties fioperty Froperty Froperty Froperty Froperty	сеоята- рhic	ναίμε οf Ρτορεττy	seunevea	-puədx3
State ASCSSC	Agricultural pollution	Feedlot holding lagoons	0	0	0	0	0
	cn i	Cover crops, better tillage,					
		sodded waterways	0	0	0	0	0
BR	General flooding	Study-citizens' participation	0	0	0	c	0
	Stream flow variation	Diversion of water to increase flow	0	0	0	0	0
USUF	Poor watershed management	Stop wetland drainage	+	+	0	0	0
		Develop greenways for recreation					
		& wildlife	+	+	~	ç.	¢.
	Urban flooding Wildlife habitat	Law enforcementMore laws	0	0	0	0	0
	destruction	Stop wetland drainage	+	+	+	0	0
	Flood plain development	Flood plain zoning	0	0	0	0	0
		Better drainage regulation	0	0	0	0	0
	Poor water quality	Better zoning	0	0	0	0	0
EPA	Agricultural pollution	Better management practices	0	0	0	0	0
		Better zoning	+	0	+	+	+
		Use of cover crops, better tillage,					
		sodding	÷	0	+	+	+
SCSB	Stream bed erosion	None					
	Stream flow variation	Build small reservoirs	+	0	0	0	0
		Diversion of water to increase flow	+	0	0	0	C
		None necessary					
	Human pollution	Better zoning	+	0	0	+	+
SWC	Concern for future						
		Titul and succeedence and the	-	c	4	4	+

A-8 (1)

Gains and Losses on Organization

le

1

1

1

C

1			A8	(2	)														
-tbnsqrI tures	0++•	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	00
gevenues	0++·	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	• +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	00
Value of Property	0++-	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	• +	+	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	0~
Ceographic	0000	0 0	00	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00
Activitica	0++•	+ +	+ +	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	<b>•</b> +
Solution Proposed	Build impoundments Diversion of water to increase flow Impoundments		Better drainage regulation Diking	Diversion	Snagging and cleaning Channelization	Flood plain zoning	Better drainage regulation	Build impoundments	Diversion of water to increase flow	Small impoundments	Control agricultural pollution	Implement best management practices	Better zoning	Better zoning	Stop wetland drainage	Flood plain zoning	Stop wetland drainage	Change land use so flood area lived in	Implement best management practices Better zoning
lon Problem	General flood problems				Channel obstructions		Unrestricted drainage	Stream flow variation		Lack of adequate recreation	Poor water quality		Human pollution	Agricultural pollution	Unrestricted drainage	Effects of proposed project Wildlife habitat	destruction	Land use conflicts	Agricultural pollution
Kind of Organization											HUS		NDCF						NDSPOR

A--8 (2)

Gains and Losses on Organization

Ĩ

٠.

Ľ

[•

G

.

6

Kind of Organization	Problem	Solution Proposed	Activities	Geographic Value of	Property	sənuəvəA
	Unrestricted drainage	Control drainage by gates, small	4	c	c	4
	Channel obstructions	uauus Create minimal passage areas along streams	+ +	0		⊦ +
RDSP GDCDC	None General environmental issues	Build, monitor and adjust Garrison Diversion	0	0	0	0
Non-governmental	ital					
NDUF	Unrestricted drainage	Diking	+	0	+	+
			+	0	+	+
		Better drainage regulation	+	0	+	+
	Effects of proposed project	Study, citizen participation	+	0	+	+
SIERR		Educate those who are polluting	+	0	~•	+
	Human pollution	Educate those who are polluting	+	0	ç.	+
	Channel obstruction	Snagging and cleaning	+	0	0	<i>.</i> .
	Effects of proposed froject		+	0	+	0
		Flood plain zoning	+	0	+	0
AUDB LWV	Solutions, not basin-wide Wone	Study, citizen participation	+	0	0	<b>c</b> .
IDFFC	Unrestricted drainage	Better drainage regulation	+	0	~	0
		Control drainage with gates,				
		small dams	+	0	<b>د</b> .	0
		Impoundment	+	0	<b>~</b> .	0
	Solutions not basin-wide	Better project criteria	+	c	<b>~</b> ·	0
		Study, citizen participation	+	0	<b>c</b> ·	0
LSCAC	None Flood alots devalopment	Flood nlain zonine	C	0	0	0

A-8 (3)

Kind of Organization	Problem	Solution Proposed	-tvtjoA setj	ритс Сео⊾та-	Value of Ρτοηεττγ	gevenues	-bn9qx3 Expend-
		Develop residences outside flood					
		area	0	0	0	0	C
5VA	Unrestricted drainage	Better drainage regulation	+	0	+	+	+
220	-	Study, citizen participation	+	0	0	0	C
CIIC A	۰.	Study. citizen participation	0	0	0	0	c
2002							
			0	0	0	0	0
			0	C	0	С	0
		study citizen narticination	0	0	c	0	0
	Crossed seter conditions	Revijation of water use	0	0	C	0	0
SLUNIV							
BCIT	Poor water quality Stream flow variation	None					
Regional							
	•						
SRRC	General flood problems	Flood plain management, land use,	+	C	0	0	C
		JELVOILS, CHAMIELISACTON ;			C	С	0
	Poor water quality	Land use, waste treatment		>	>	)	•
	Concern for future water	Impoundments, diversion, ground		Ċ	ć	c	¢
		water development	+	Э	5	с -	<b>)</b>
	Lend use conflicts	Better zoning, legislation	+	0	0	0	0
1.ARC	General flood problems	None					
	Door notor and thu	lige of cover crops. tillage.					
	root varet yuartey	conditions and a second s	0	0	С	0	0
			+	С	0	0	0
		WDLE LUNDS IOT LEALMENT PLANTS	•			-	4
	Land use conflicts	Proper planning	÷	C	>	+ 1	+ (
	lirvectricted drainage	Better drainage regulation	0	0	0	C	Ð
	The state of the second s		+	0	0	0	0
	riou piana ucalita	Bottor Jonino	0	0	0	C	0
SURG	LOUI WALEL HUALILY	at a the star star and lifting	-	C	С	C	•

A-8 (4)

•

Gains and Losses on Organization

l

7

•

ľ.

ţ.

0

.

							A	8	(5)	)																	
-breqx∃ tures	+	0	0	Ċ	D	c	>	+	• +	0		0		+		0	0		0	0	¢.	¢		ċ	0	0	c.
сэнцэхэд	+	C	0	<	5	C	>	+	· +	0		0		۰.		C	0		0	0	۰.	ç.		۰.	0	0	c۰
<b>να</b> ίμε οί Ρεοροείχ	+	+	+		+	c	>	+	. 0	+		0		0		+	+		+	+	+	+		+	0	0	+
. гулатково	С	0	C	c	0	c	>	C	0	0		0		0		0	0		0	c	0	С		0	0	0	0
saitivitoA	+	+	+		+	4	•	+	• +	+		0		+		0	0		0	0	+	+		+	+	÷	+
Solution Proposed			Regulation of water use		Froper planning	Study ritizen nartirination		Repulate recreational use	Proper planning	Regulation of water use		Diversion of water to increase flow	Thorough study of population,	industrial need	Control drainage with pates,	small dams	More authoritarian decision-making		Regulation of water use	Proper planning	Diking	Flood plain zoning	Control drainage with gates, small		s Find more groundwater supplies	Use of river water	Flood rlain zoning
Problem	Flood plain development	Ground water conditions		General environmental		outucions are not pasin- wide	Vehicle damage by		Land use conflicts	Ground water conditions		Concern for future	supplies-		General flooding problems			Concern for future water	supply	Flood plain development	General flooding problems	. )			Concern for future supplies		Land use conflicts
Kind of Organization					Juga	LANC	IISFSI.				Citv	FMMCG			FPC						WFPC						

Gains and Losses on Organization

G

.

6

5

					A-8	6)	)								
-bnoqxA serutt	0	0	+ -	+ +	+ +	00	+	0	0	0	-	+ + •	+ + -	+ + +	+
Ϗͼ៱ͼϼͷͼ	0	0	+ •	+ -	+ +	00	c	0	С	0		+ + •	+ + •	+ + +	+
7алие об Рторетсу	+	+	+ -	+ +	+ +	00	+	0	c	> <b>+</b>		+ +	+ + 4	5 ~ ~	+
Ceographic	0	ć	00	0 0	00	00	۰.	0	c	0		00	000	000	0
aststvtsoA	I	+	+ •	+ -	+ +	00	+	0	C	) <b>e</b> .		+ +	+ +	+ + +	+
Solution Proposed	Flood plain zoning	Use of river water	Build small reservoirs	New city water system	Diking Snacoing and cleaning	Better zoning Possive bolding lagoons for feedlots	Neture Notating tessoons for reference	Snapging and cleaning	None	better drainage regulation Impoundment		Use of cover crops, tillage, sodding Control drainage with gates, small dams	Use of cover crops, tillage, sodding Control drainage with gates, small dams	Build small reservoirs Increased enforcement of regulations Educate those who are polluting	None Effects of proposed project Study, citizen participation
Problem	Flood plain development	Concern for future water supply	Concern for future water supply	•	General flood proflems	Agricultural pollution		General Ilood propress Stream bed erosion	Stream flow variation	General flood problems	ownship	General flood problems	Soll erosion	Stream flow variations Poor water quality	None Effects of proposed proj
Kind of Organization	VCPC		LCG					XCG		нсс	County and Township	CCSCS		CCHD	CCTOA RICTOA

•

ſ

(

Oreanization 5 Gains and losses

Solution Proposed solution Proposed e of cover crops, tillage, sodding + Activiti ug drains where land should not be drained gulation of water use gulation of water use September, 1977.	Solution Proposed Activiti f cover crops, tillage, sodding + 0 ? Value of drains where land should not + 0 ? Value of drained + 0 ? ? drained tater use + 0 ? ?
Solution Proposed solution Proposed e of cover crops, tillage, sodding + Activitie be drained sulation of water use spitember, 1977. September, 1977.	rainage       Solution Proposed       Activitie         rainage       Use of cover crops, tillage, sodding       +       0         Plug drains where land should not       +       0       Geographi         views completed September, 1977.       >       <
Solution Proposed Activitie e of cover crops, tillage, sodding + ug drains where land should not + be drained state use + gulation of water use + September, 1977.	Solution Proposed Activities rainage Use of cover crops, tillage, sodding + Plug drains where land should not be drained be drained the Regulation of water use + views completed September, 1977. ses
Solution Proposed e of cover crops, tillage, sodding ug drains where land should not be drained gulation of water use September, 1977.	Solution Proposed rainage Use of cover crops, tillage, sodding Plug drains where land should not be drained onditions Regulation of water use views completed September, 1977. ses
Solution Proposed e of cover crops, tillage, ug drains where land should be drained gulation of water use September, 1977.	Solution Proposed rainage Use of cover crops, tillage, Plug drains where land should be drained be be b
	Problem Unrestricted Drainage Ground water conditions None i personal interviews compi no gains or losses gains losses unknown

#### A-9 (1)

### TABLE A-9: PROPOSED SOLUTIONS OF PROBLEMS AND ESTIMATIONS OF INCREASED OR DECREASED FUNCTIONS FOR OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

1

)

I

l

1

Kind of		Other Organization
Organization	Froposed Solution	Increased or Decreased Function
State Level		
ASCSSC	Feedlot holding lagoons	USSCS
	Cover crops, better tillage, sodding waterways	FR
BR	Study citizens' participation	ACE
	Diversion of water to increase	
	flow	ACE, SWC, WDB, WUA, RWD, SGS, ID USSCS, SWC, SFS (ACE)
USWF	Stop wetland drainage Develop greenways for recreation	
	and wildlife	NDGF, USSCS, USFS
	Flood plain zoning	ACE, LCPC
	Stop wetland drainage	USSCS, SWC, SFS
	Flood plain zoning	ACE, LCPC
	Better drainage regulation	USSCS, SWC
	Better zoning	EPA, SWC USSCS
EPA	Better management practices	ACE, BR, SWC, WDB, ID
	Better zoning	ACE, SK, SHO, HOD, ED
	Use of cover crops, better tillage sodding	UES
SCSB	None	
5000	Build small reservoirs	BOR, PCD
	Diversion of water to increase	
	flow	None
	None necessary	-
	Better zoning	EPA, SHD
SWC	Find more groundwater supplies	ACE, BR, CG
	Build impoundments	ACE, BR
	Diversion of water to increase	BR, GDCD
	flow	ACE
	Impoundments Flood plain contro	LCPC
	Flood plain zoning Controlled drainage (gates,	2010
	small dams)	USSCS
	Better drainage regulation	1JDB
	Diking	ACE, WDB
	Diversion	ACE, BR
	Snagging and cleaning	USSCS
	Channelization	ACE
	Flood plain zoning	SPC, LCPC
	Better drainage regulation	WDB
	Build impoundments	ACE, USFWS, SHD, NDGF, WDB
	Diversion of water to increase	ACE, BR, SHD, WDB
	flow Small impoundments	SPOR, NDGF, WDB
	Small impoundments	

A-9 (2)

Ĩ

Other Organization

Rind of		other organization
Preanization	n Proposed Solution	Increased or Decreased Functions*
BMI	Control agricultural pollution Implement best management	USSCS
	practices	USSCS, CC, LCPC
NDGF	Better zoning	SUC, SHD
	Better zoning	S <sup>LI</sup> C, SHD
	Stop wetland drainage	USSCS, USFUS
	Flood plain zoning	HUD, SPD, LCPC
	Stop wetland drainage	USSCS, SWC, USFWS
	Change land use so flood area	
	lived in	USSCS, SPC, LCPC
NDSPOR	Implement best management	,,
	practices	USSCS, FU, FB
	Better zoning	SWC, WDB, CG, TG
	Control drainage by gates, sma	
	dams	SWC
	Create minimal passage areas	540
	along streams	_
NDSR	None	-
GDCDC	Build, monitor and adjust	
(Telebe	Garrison Diversion	USSCS, SHD
	Gallison Diversion	03503, 500
Mon-Governm	ental	
NDUF	Diking	ACE, SVC
	Flood plain zoning	LCPC
	Better drainage regulation	MDB, SWC
	Study, citizen participation	ACE
SIERR	Educate those who are polluting	
	Educate those who are polluting	g SHD, NDGF, SPOR
	Snagging and cleaning	ACE, USSCS, FR
	Study, citizen participation	ACE
	Flood plain zoning	ACE, LCPC, CG
AUDB	Study, citizen participation	ACE, SIERR, SVA, FU, FB, USSCS,
		EPA, SHD, SRRRC (SVA)
TAA A	None	
DFFC	Better drainage regulation	SWC, WDB
	Control drainage with gates,	
	small dams	ACE, USSCS
	Impoundment	ACE
	Better project criteria	ACE, SMC, SRRRC, WDB, (ACE)
	Study, citizen participation	ACE, SVC, LARC, SRRRC, WDB,
LSCAC	None	LSCAC, (ACE)
SVA	Flood plain zoning	SPD, RPC, LCPC
	Develop residences outside flo	
	area	SPD, RPC, LCPC
	Better drainage regulation	SWC, CC, WDB
	Study, citizen participation	-
SVGA	Study, citizen participation	ACE, BR, SWC, MDB, (ACE, SVA)
	Control drainage with gates,	
	small dams	BR, SWC, WDB, (ACE, SVA)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

### A-9 (3)

		Other	Organization
--	--	-------	--------------

Kind of		
Ormanizati	•	Increased or Decreased Functions*
	Diking	ACE. SUC, UDB, (ACE, SVA)
	Study, citizen participation	ACE, SUC, MDB, (ACE, SVA)
3ECERA	Regulation of water use	SUC, CC, UDB
BCUT	lone	
	None	
Regional		
SRERC	Flood plain management, land use, reservoirs, channeliza- tion, diking	SCD, CSCS, MPS, BR, ASCS, ACE, USFWS, SMC, NDGF, SCSSC, MDNR, SPOR, (USNG, FIA, SHID)
	Land use, treatment plants	ASCS, PNS, EPA, SHD, SCD
	Impoundment, diversion, ground water development	ACE, BR, USGS, SWC, SHD, SGS
	Zoning legislation	HUD, PSC, CC, LCPC, CG, TG
LARC	None	hbb, 150, 00, 2010, 00, 10
LANC	Use of cover crops, tillage,	
	sodding	USSCS, UES
	Nore funds for treatment plants	
	Proper planning	SPOR, SPD, SHID, RPC, LCPC
	Better drainage regulation	SWC, UDB
	Flood plain zoning	SWC, NDB, SHID
SCRC	Setter zoning	SWC, JDB, LCPC
t ont	Educate those that are polluting	
	Flood plain zoning	SHD,LCPC
	Rural water districts	PCD, RID
	Regulation of vater use	SWC, WDB
	Proper planning	USSCS, NDGF, CC, TC, (PCD)
RFRC	Study, citizen participation	SRRRC, RPC
USESL	Regulate recreational use	CS, FR
	Proper planning	RC
	Regulation of water use	ACE, USGS, SVC
City	х. <sup>-</sup>	
F121CG	Thorough study of population,	
	industrial need	ACE, SWC, UES, MDNR
FPC	Control drainage with gates,	
	small dates	SWC, NDGF, SPOR, UDB
	Hore authoritarian decision-	
	making	SNC, UDB
	Regulation of water use	SWC, SHD, HDGF, MDB
	Proper planning	LCPC
UFPC	Diking	ACE, LCPB
	Flood plain zoning	CG, PCD
	Control drainage with gates,	
	small dams	ACE, USSCS, SUC, CG
	Find more groundwater supplies	SUC, SHD, CG
	Use of river water	ACE, BR, CG
ICBC	Flood plain zoning	CG, PCD
VCPC	Flood plain zoning	ACE, FIA
	Use of river water	CG, ID

•

		other organization
Kind of ganization	Proposed Solution	Increased or Decreased Functions
LCG	Build small reservoirs	ACE
	New city water system	FHA, HUD, LARC
	Diking	ACE, USNG
	Snagging and cleaning	USNG, BR
	Better zoning	SHD, WDB, LCPC
	Require holding lagoons for	, .
	feedlots	USSCS, SHD
KCG	Diking	ACE
	Snagging and cleaning	ACE, USSCS
	None	
1.1CG	Better drainage regulation	SWC, SRRRC
	Impoundment	ACE
county and	Township	
CCSCS	Use of cover crops, tillage, sodding	-
	Control drainage with gates,	
	small dams	ACE, WDB
	Use of cover crops, tillage,	
	sodding	TG, FR
	Control drainage with gates,	
	small dams	TG, PCD, FR
CCHD	Build small reservoirs	ACE, WDB, SHD (FR)
	Increased enforcement of	
	regulations	SHD
	Educate those who are polluting	SHD
CCTOA	None	
RICTOA	Study, citizen participation	AC, NDLC, ACE, LARC
RACTOA	Use of cover crops, tillage,	
	sodding	WDB, CC
	Plug drains where land not	
	to be drained Regulation of water use	WDB, CC, USFWS

A-9 (4)

Other Organization

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

\*decreased functions are enclosed with parentheses.

			Kinds of Relationships								
Respondent's Organization	Organization	Reporting Obligation	Formal Communication	Informal Communication	Geographic Over- lap by Law	Geographic Over- lap by Practice	Similar Activ- ities by Law	Similar Activ- itles by Practice			
State Level	··			····							
ASCS	USSCS USFS SWC SHD UES		x x x x x	x x x x	x x x x	x x x x		x			
BR *	BN ACE USGS USFW		x x x x	x x x x x	x x x x x	x x x x x x	x	x			
	USCSS SWC SHD UES SPOR	x x	x x x x x	x x x x x x	x x x x x	x x x x	x	x			
USF!!	SPD WRC ACE BR	x	x x x x	x x x x x	x x x x x	x x x x x	x	x			
	USSCS USFS EPA SWC		x x x x	x x x x	x x x	x x x x	x x	x x			
	NDGF GDCD SHID	x x	x x	x x	x x x	x x x	x x x	x x			
	PSC LARC SIERR		x	x x	x x x	x x	x	x			
	NDWF AUDB LSCAC		x x x x	x x x	x x x x	x x x	x x x	x x x			
EPA	DLAB BL:' PHS		x x x	x x x	x x x	x x					

ł

í

## TABLE A-10: COMMUNICATION NETWORK AND KINDS OF INTERACTION AMONG<br/>ORGANIZATIONS BY KIND OF ORGANIZATION AND FREQUENCIES

A-10 (1)

٠

### A-10 (2)

## Kinds of relationship

Respondent's Organization		Reporting Obligation	Formal Communication	Informal Communication	Geographic Over- lap by Law	Geographic Over- lap by Practice	Similar Activ- Ities by Low	Similar Activ- Itles by Practice
	ACE							
	USGS		x	x	x	x		
	SWC		x x	x x	x	x		
	SHD		x	x	x x	x x	v	
	ĽDGГ	x	x	x	x	x	x x	x
	PSC	••	x	x	x	x	~	x
	CG		x	x	л	А		
SCSB	ASCS	x	x		x	x	х	x
	ACE		x	x	x	x	x	x
	SVIC	x	х		х	x		
	SCSSC	х	x		x	x		
	UES		х		x	x		
	LARC	х	x		х	x		
	WDB	х	х		x	x		
6110	SCD	x	х		x	x		
SWC	ACE		х	х	x	х	х	x
	BR		x	x	x	х	x	x
	USFWS		x	x	х	х	х	x
	USCS		x	x	x	x	x	x
	NDGF		x	x	х	х	x	x
	SHID GDCD		x	x	x	x	x	x
	WDB GDCD		x	x	x	x	x	x
	CG		x	x	x	x	x	x
SDH	USSCS		x	x	x	x	x	x
	EPA		x x	x x	x x	x		
	SWC		x	x	x	x x	v	v
	NDGF		x	x	x	x	х	x
	SPD		x	x	x	x		x
	SGS		x	x	x	x		
	CHD		x	x	x	x		
	LCPC		x	x	x	x		
NDGF	ASCS		x	x	x	x	x	x
	ACE	x	х	х	x	x		
	BR	x	х	х	x	x		
	USFWS	х	x	x	x	x	x	x
	USSCS		x	х	x	х	х	x
	USFS		x	х	х	x	x	x
	S'IC		x	x	x	x		
	SHD		х	X	х	x		

A-10 (3)	
----------	--

### Kinds of Relationships

Respondent's Organization	Organization	Reporting Collgation	Formal Communication	Informal Communication	Geographic Over- lap by Law	Geographic Over- lap by Practice	Similar Activities by Law	Similar Activities by Practice
	SPOR		x	x	x	x		
	SPD	x	x	x	x	x		
	SEID		x	х	x	х		
	NRC	х	x	х	х	x		
	<b>WDB</b>		x	x	x	x		
	LCPB		x	х	x	x		
VIDCOOD	SCD		x	х	х	х		
NDSPOR	NPS		x	x	x	x	x	x
	BOR	x	x	х	x	х	x	х
	ACE. USFWS		x		x	х		
	SORAB		x		x	x	x	x
	NRC	х	x	x	x	x	x	x
	LARC		x x	x	x	x		
	LCPB		x	x x	x x	x	x	x
	SIERR		x	x	~	x x		
	NDWF		x	x		x	x x	x
	LSCAC		x	x		x	~	x
NDSP	NRC		x	x	x	x		
	SCRC		х	x	x	x		
	REAP		x	x	x	x	x	x
	LCPC		х	х	x	x	••	~
GDCDC	BR	х	х	х	x	x		
	USFWS		x	x	x	х		
	USSCS		x	x	x	х		
	SVC		x	x	x	х		
	SHD		х	x	x	x		
	NDGF		х	x	x	x		
	UES		x	x	x	x		
	CC WDB		x	x	x	x		
	SCD		x	x	x	x		
	WVA		x	x		X		
	GNDA		x	x		x		
0	SUDA		x	x		x		
n-Government								
NDWF	USFUS		x	x		x		
	USSCS		x	x		x		
	NDGF		~					
	SIERR		x	x		х		х

1

1

•

### A-10 (4)

# Kinds of Relationships

Forpondent's Prinication	Organization	Reporting Obligation	Formal Communication	Informal Communication	Geographic Over- lap by Law	Geographic Over- lap by Practice	Similar Activities by Law	Similar Activities by Practice	-
	NDVF	x	x	x		x		x	
	AUDB		x	x		ʻ x		x	
	MRA-US		х	х		x		x	
SIERR	USFNS		x	х		х			
	USSCS		x	x		x			
	SWC		x	x		x			
	SHD NDGF		X	x		x			
	SPOE		x	x		x			
	NDWF		x	x x	x	x x		7	
	AUDR			x	~	x		x x	
	ACE		x	x		x		~	
	BR		x	x		x			
	USGS		x	x		х			
	USFVS		х	x		x		x	
	S <sup>r</sup> ?C		х	х		x			
	NDGF		х	x		х		x	
	SIERR		x	x		x		x	
	L'DWF		х	х		х		x	
	CSND		x	х		x		x	
	NDFU		x	х		х		x	
	NDFB		x	х		х		x	
i	SWC SHD			x		x			
	LARC		x	x		x			
YTTFC	ACE		x x	x		x			
	SWC		x	x x		×			
	SCSSC		x	x		x x			
	MDNR		x	x		x			
	UMRC		x	x		x			
	RLRBPC		x	x		x			
	LRR <b>VI IB</b>		x	x		x		x	
	CC		x	x		x			
	WDB		х	x		x			
	LVD		x	x		x			
LOCAC	ACE	х	х	х	х	x			
	LARC	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	
C11.5	LSCAC	x	х	х	х	x			
911A	USFS		x	x		x			
	SPOR SIEPR		x	x		х			
	SIDUK		x	x		x			

ſ

ā

[•

e

				÷ .					
			Kind	s of R	elation	nships			
	Organization	Reporting Obligation	Formal Communication	Informal Communication	Geographic Over- lap by Law	Geographic Over- lap by Practice	Similar Activity by Law	Similar Activity by Practice	
Respondent's	วัสเ		ĔĒ	۲ <u>و</u>	5	E d			
Organization	Jr.	[g]	Formal Commun	In D	Geo Laj	550 Iaj	Sim Act Law	S In Act	
SVGA	AUDB USFS SWC NDB		x x	x x x	<u> </u>	x x x		x	
SECRIV	AGRI SUC CC UDB		x x x x x	x x					
BCWF	WDB		х	х					
	EWC		x	x					
Regional									
SRRRC	ACE		x	x	x	x			
	USG <b>S</b> USSCS		x	x	x	x			
	EPA		x x	x x	x x	x x			
	SWC		x	x	x	x			
	MDNR		x	x	x	x			
	RPC		x	x	x	x			
	<b>WDB</b>			x	x	x			
	SVA			x		x		х	
	LSCAC		х	х		x			
	LIAWMC		х	x		х			
LARC	ACE	х	х	x	x	x	х	x	
	USSCS	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
	USFS	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	
	SWC SHD		x	x	x	x	x	x	
	SPD	x x	x x	x	x	X	x	X	
	WDB	~	~	x x	x x	x x		x	
	CG		х	x	x	x	x	x	
	LSCAC		x	x	x	x	x	x	
	SVGA			x		x			
SCRC	SHD	x	x	x	x	x			
	SPD	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
	CC	х	x	х	х	х	x	x	
	CSCS		x	x	х	x			
	CC	x	х	х	x	x			
RRDC	ACE		x	х	x	x			
	SWC		x	х	x	x			
	<b>WDB</b>		x	x	x	x			

A-10 (5)

Ľ

1

Respondent's Organization	Organization	Reporting Obligation	Formal Communication	Informal Communication	Geographic Over- lap by Lav	Geopraphic Over- lap by Practice	Similar Activity by Law	Similar Activity by Practice	
PETSL	ASCSSC ACE USFUS USSCS		x x x x	x x x x	x x	x x			
	USFS FHA	х	x x	x x	x	x	x	x	
	SNC		x	x			х	x	
	NDGF		х	x			x	х	
	SFS		x	x			x	x	
	PSC		х	x					
	LARC		х	х	х	х			
City									
FICICG	SUC		x	х	x	х			
	<b>`ID</b> IIR		х	х	x	х			
	LAPC		x	x	x	х	х	x	
	CG	x	х	х	х	x			
FPC	ACE		x	х	х	x			
	LARC		х	х	х	x	х	x	
	LCPC		x	x	x	х	х	x	
tene	FIDICG		х	x	х	х	х	х	
MEPC	ACE		x	x	x	x			
	EPA		x	х	x	x			
	FHA	x	X	x	x	x			
	HUD	x	x	x	x	x			
	SORAB	-	х	х	х	x			
	SHD CC	x	x	x	x	x			
	UC MDB		x	x	x	x	x	x	
	FML!CG		x	x	x	x			
	CG		x	x	x	x	x	x	
	TG			X			x	x	
VCPC	SPD			x	v				
<b></b>	SCRC			X	x	x	x	x	
	LCPC		x	x x	x	x			
	CG	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
	BIDD	^	^	x	x x	x			
LCG	LAPC		х	×	x	x x			
	"DB		x	X	x	x			
KCG	ACE			x	x	x			
	SUC			x	x	x			
	SIID			x	x	x			
	VDB		x	x	x	x			

### A-10 (6)

#### Kinds of Relationships

, A' a

A-	1	0	(	7	)

Kinds of Relationships

Respondent's Organization	Organization	Reporting Obligation	Formal Communication	Informal Communication	Georraphic Over- lap by Law	Geographic Over- lap by Practice	Similar Activity by Law	Similar Activity by Practice
HCG	LARC WDB		x x	x x	x x	x x		
ounty and Town	ship							
CCSCS	ASCSSC		x	x	x	x ′		
	USFWS		х	x	x	x		
	NDGF		x	х	x	x		
	WDB		x	x	х	x		
	SCD		х	х	x	x		
CCHD	SHD			х	x	x	x	x
	UES			х	x	x		
	СС	х	х	х	x	x		
	LCPC			x	x	x		
	FMMCG		х	х	х	x		
CCTOA	WDB			х	x	x		
	STOA			х	x	х	x	x
	LSCAC		x	х	x	х		
RICTOA	USSCS		х	х	х	x		
	SWC		х	х	х	x		
	SPD		x	x	x	x	x	x
	LARC		x	х	x	x	x	x
	CC		x	x	x	x	x	x
	TG	x	x	х	x	x	x	x
	STOA		x	x	x	x	x	x
RACTOA	USFS	x	x	х	х	x		
	NDGF	х	х	x	x	x		
	WDB			x		x		
	STOA	x	x	x	x	x	x	х
	LSCAC		x	x	x	x		
BCTOA	WDB			х		x		

Source: From personal interviews completed September, 1977.

4

ŀ

\*BR has additional relationships with organizations not reported here because they were received too late for enclosure.
#### ORGANIZATIONS AND ACRONYMS NOT INDICATED ELSEMMENT

Agribusiness (AGRI) Association of Counties (AC) Sureau of Land Management (BLH) Bureau of Mines (BM) Survau of Outdoor Recreation (BOR) Ensiness and Industrial Development Department (BIDD) City Governments (CG) Committee to Save North Dakota (CSND) County Commissioners (CC) County Health Departments (CHD) County Sheriff (CS) County Soil Conservation Services (CSCS) Devils Lake Advisory Board (DLAB) Ducks Unlimited (DU) Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Erie Wildlife Club (EWC) Farmers-Ranchers (FR) Federal Housing Authority (FHA) Flood Insurance Agency (FIA) Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Irrigation Districts (ID) Legislative Council (LC) Local and County Park Boards (LCPB) Local and County Planning Commissions (LCPC) Local Watershed Districts (LWD) Lower Red River Mater Management Board (LRRMTB) Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) Moorhead Area Mater Management Committee (MANNIC) National Park Service (NPS) National Rifle Association-United Sportsmen (NRA US) Natural Resources Council (NRC) Northern Environmental Council (NEC) Private Contractors and Developers (PCD) Public Health Service (PHS) Public Service Commission (PSC) Red Lake River Basin Planning Commission (RLRBPC) Regional Environmental Assessment Program (REAP) Regional Planning Councils (RPC) Rural Water Districts (RWD) Soil Conservation Districts (SCD) State Forest Service (SFS) / State Geological Survey (SGS) State Highway Department (SHID) State Outdoor Recreation Advisory Board (SORAB) State Planning Division (SPD) State Tax Department (STD) State Township Officers Association (STOA) Township Governments (TG) United States Geological Survey (USGS) United States National Guard (USNG) Iniversities and Extension Services (UES) Water Drain Boards (WDB) Water Resource Council (WRC) Water Users Association (WUA)

ſ

QUESTIONNAIRES

APPENDIX B

ſ

•1

•

ŀ

C

		STUDY O	F WATER PROBLEMS AN SHEYENNE RIVEF					IE LOWER		
			Confidential Analysis c	Questionn of Organiza		for				
Gen	eral Ind	formation								
L.	Organiz	zation:								<u></u> -
		···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	Addre	ess:				<u></u> .		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	******		<u> </u>							
2.	Respond		1: Name:							
			Position:							
			Elected							
			Address:							
			Phone:	_					address &	
			is not available	e)						
3.	What is	s the genera	is not available		n?					
3.	What is	s the genera			n?					
			l purpose of your c	organizatio.						
	How imp	portant is y	l purpose of your o	organizatio	ving	clie	ntele	e groups?		
	How im 1) ran	portant is y	l purpose of your of your organization to is of 5, very impor	organizatio o the follo ctant, to l	ving	clien impo	ntele orta	e groups? nt.		
	How imp 1) ran a) (	portant is y k on the bas	l purpose of your of your organization to is of 5, very impor	organizatio o the follo ctant, to l	ving , not 2	clien impo 3	ntele ortar 4	e groups? nt.		
	How imp 1) ran a) ( b) ,	portant is y k on the bas General publ	l purpose of your of your organization to is of 5, very impor ic ist	organizatio o the follo ctant, to 1 1	ving , not 2	clien impo 3 3	ntele ortar 4 4	e groups? nt. 5 5		
	How imp 1) ran a) ( b) . c) ;	portant is y k on the bas General publ Agricultural Small Busine	l purpose of your of your organization to is of 5, very impor ic ist	organizatio o the follo ctant, to 1 1 1	ving , not 2 2	clier impo 3 3 3	ntele ortan 4 4 4	e groups? nt. 5 5 5		
	How imp 1) ran a) ( b) . c) . d) .	portant is y k on the bas General publ Agricultural Small Busine	l purpose of your of rour organization to is of 5, very impor ic ist essman ess or industry	organizatio o the follo rtant, to 1 1 1 1	ving , not 2 2 2	clier impo 3 3 3 3	ntele ortan 4 4 4 4	e groups? nt. 5 5 5 5		

D

7

Lui a

No.	
N.J.	

22.		Wettives and Activities of the Organization
	1.	What are the objectives of your organization pertaining to water resource management and related land use?
		Obj. No. 1:
•		
		Obj. No. 2:
		Chj. No. 3:
)		
<b>.</b>		Obj. No. 4:
		Cbj. No. 5:
		Cbj. No. 6:
		9bj. No. 7:

2

	Activity No:	
<ul> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>Antivity No:</li> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>a) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>a) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>a) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>d) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>g) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>g) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> </ul>		
<pre>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity? f Activity No</pre>		•
Activity No	b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?	¥.
<ul> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li></ul>	c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?	<i>%</i>
<pre>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</pre>	Antivity No:	•
<pre>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</pre>		
<pre>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</pre>	a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?	67 Ke
Activity No		-
<ul> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>Activity No.</li> <li>:</li> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> </ul>	c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?	%
<ul> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>Activity No.</li> <li>:</li> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>f</li> </ul>	Activity No:	<u></u>
<ul> <li>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>a) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>Activity No.</li> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>a) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>b) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> </ul>		•
<ul> <li>a) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>Activity No.</li> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> <li>c) what is the source of funds to support this activity?</li> </ul>	a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?	<b>%</b>
Activity No	b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?	%
<ul> <li>a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?</li></ul>	a) what is the source of funds to support this activity?	<u> </u>
b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity? % c) what is the source of funds to support this activity? % Artivities may include funding obligations, regulations, enforcement, implementation, failutenance, education, research, lobbying, etc.)	Activity No	
b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity? % c) what is the source of funds to support this activity? % Artivities may include funding obligations, regulations, enforcement, implementation, failutenance, education, research, lobbying, etc.)		
a) what is the source of funds to support this activity? %	a) what percent of budget is allocated to this activity?	%
Activities may include funding obligations, regulations, enforcement, implementation, salutenance, education, research, lobbying, etc.)	b) what percent of time is allocated to this activity?	%
raintenance, education, research, lobbying, etc.)	a) what is the source of funds to support this activity?	<b>%</b>
(Pepeat if necessary)	Artivities may include funding obligations, regulations, enforcement, implementat maintenance, education, research, lobbying, etc.)	ion, •
	(Repeat if necessary)	
•		•

	Nc.,
aninatio	n Coordination
	ganizations do you have coordination and communication with relative to wated management and related land use?
Org. No	». 1:
Org. No	o. 2:
Org. Nc	p. 3:
	o. 4:
Org. No	o. 5:
Org. No	o. 6:

4

....

2. What form of coordination and/or communication concerning water resources (Card ?) management and related land use does your organization have with organization No.\_\_\_\_:

No.\_\_\_\_

.



a. reporting obligation

b. formal communication

c. informal communication

d. geographic area overlap

1) by law

1

L

2) in practice

e. similar activities

1) by law

2) in practice

(Repeat if necessary)

	and the second s
wittland of Water Related Problems	
En to the peropective of your organization, what are the major pr water recourses management and related land use in the Lower the	ublems relatel to yenne River Lasini
Problem No. 1:	
Problem No. 2:	
Problem No. 2:	······
Frooten No. 5:	
Problem No. 4:	
	······
Problem No. 5:	
Problem No. 6:	·
	······································
Problem No. 7:	
	Front the tempective of your organization, what are the major or

6

İ

ľ

<pre>Frist the perspective of your organization, what are the major causes of this problem Cause No</pre>	Cause No
Cause No:	Cause No:
Cause No:	Cause No:
Cause No	Cause No:
Cause No	Cause No:
Cause No:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cause No:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cause No:	·
Cause No:	
From the perspective of your organization, what are potential solutions to this problem?  Colution No	Cause No:
From the perspective of your organization, what are potential solutions to this problem?  Solution No	
From the perspective of your organization, what are potential solutions to this problem?  Solution No	
From the perspective of your organization, what are potential solutions to this problem?  Solution No	
<pre>From the perspective of your organization, what are potential solutions to this problem? Solution No</pre>	
problem?           Solution No.           Solution No.	
Sclution No. :	problem?
Sclution No. :	Solution No:
Sclution No. :	
Solution No	Sclution No:
Solution No:	
Solution No:	
	Solution No:
(Repeat if Necessary)	

)

Ï

ş

					8			Net	
1.1	, <b>`</b> ,-1	85. <u>:</u>							
		n h:							
2	ส่วนไ	ld the effect of Soluti n relative to the organ	ion No.	be					
	a)	Activities	+	-	0	?	:		
	Ъ)	Geographic area	+	-	0	?	:		
	e )	Value of property	+	-	0	?	:		
	년)	Revenues							
	e)	Expenditures							
5.		t other organizations a							
	Org.	. No. 1:							
					-				- <u></u>
	Org.	. No. 2:							
		. No. 2:							
	Οr <sub>ξ</sub> .	. No. 3:							
	Org.								
	Org.	. No. 3:							

¦(

Ľ

þ

Í.

ļ

(

1

•

	9 No
· ·	:
	er organizations will have decreased functions or activities if the solution is implemented?
ng, No.	1:
	2:
	3:
rd. No.	4:
	5:
er. No.	б:
nt. No.	7:
	8:
r	o:

L

3

Ι.

4

10 No.\_\_\_\_\_ Participation in Corps of Engineers' Flood Control Planning Study 1. Will this organization participate in the Flood Control Planning Study of the Lower Sheyenne River Basin by the Corps of Engineers a) directly? Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ Unknown \_\_\_\_\_ If yes, how?\_\_\_\_\_ b) Indirectly via other organizations or representatives? Yes No Unknown If yes, what organizations and how?\_\_\_\_\_ Organization Form of Participation 1.\_\_\_\_\_ 2.\_\_\_\_\_ 3.\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 4. c) If organization will not participate, why? 

Ì.

•			۲
		11 No	
Cha	iract	ceristics of Respondent	-
	1.	Length of time in current position:years	•
	2.	Length of time in current organization:years	
	3.	If this position is part time, what is your regular occupation?	-
	4.	In addition to this organization, what other governmental boards, committees, councils, and agencies are you a member of?	-
			-
		······································	-
			_
			- • -
		-	•
			•
			•
			•
•	,		•

.

		PROBLEM	
No. 1			
		CAUSES	
1	2	3	
		SOLUTIONS	
1	2	3	
		EFFECT	
Activities G. Area V. of Prop. Revenues Expenditures	+ - 0 ?	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Other Org.	1	_ 1	_ 1
W. Positive	2	2	
	3	3.′	3
	4	4	_ 4
	5	_ 5	5
Other Org.	1.	1.	1.
W. Negative	2	2	2
	3		3
	4		
	5		_

Ŀ

2

•

•

Ì

	QUE	Interview Number STIONNAIRE: AN INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN (ORGANIZATIONAL PROFILE)
lode	Date	e of Contact:Recall Time
) 12		contacted? 0) phone 1) personal 2) mail
		erviewer:
		Respondent's name:
		Official title of organization and unit of organization that the respondent represents?
	3.	Official address of organization:
	4.	Phone number of organization:
	5.	What kind of organization is it?
		Independent Government UnitProfessional OrganizationAgency of State GovernmentEnvironmental GroupAgency of Federal GovernmentRecreational GroupCivic or Service Organization
0123_4	46.	What is the jurisdictional level of the organization? 0) state 1) regional 2) county 3) township 4) municipal
	7.	What geographical area do you serve (if not apparent from above)?
- <u></u>	8.	What is your official position in the organization?
	9.	How long have you held this position?
	10.	Respondent's phone number if organization is not available
) 1	11.	Does your organization have any concern or responsibility about water resource management and related land use? 0) no 1) yes
		<ul> <li>a. if no, thank respondent and terminate interview</li> <li>b. if yes, is it <u>primarily</u></li> </ul>
		GovernmentNon-GovernmentFinancialOperationResearch

ľ

ľ

-1

Ľ

1

.

	<pre>c. also if yes, relative to (indicate any that apply): quality</pre>
	d. specifically, what are these activities?
10	
12.	Does your organization have a charter? 0) no 1) yes a. if yes, where may a copy of it be obtained?
13.	Are there other publications available that describe the goals of your organization? 0) no 1) yes
	a. if yes, where?
14.	What are the primary goals the organization?
	1)
	2)
	3)
	4)
15.	How many staff members in your organization are fulltime? (or unit of the organization)?number fulltime •
	a. number paid?
16.	How many staff members of your organization are voluntary?
17.	In addition to staff, how many members of your organization are there? (ask only if voluntary organization)
18.	Who are the clientele for your organization (e.g., all persons in the county interested persons in the area, ets.)?
19.	How many percond doed your organization serve?
20.	Are there operific regulations about water resources management and related land use that your organization deals with in its activities? O) no $(1)$ yes
	a. if yes, where are they available?

ŧ

1

2

[•

Ċ

k

•

b. (continued)

.

ľ

4

Ľ

.

C	1	21. Is your organization temporary or permanent? 0) temporary 1) permanent a. if temporary, when does it terminate?
C	1	22. Is your organization a sub-unit of a larger organization? 0) no 1) yes a. if yes, what organization(s) are these?   1)   2)   3)   4)
C	1	23. Does your organization have jurisdiction over other units? o) no 1) yes a. if yes, what are these?   1)   2)   3)   4)
C	1	24. Does your organization have contact with other organizations as a part of its activity? 0) no 1) yes a. if yes, what are these?   1)   2)   3)   4)
С	1	25. Is last year's budget available? O) no 1) yes a. if yes, from where?
		26. What was the major source of funds for your last fiscal year's budget? federal appropriations federal grants state appropriations local taxes
		private gifts and memberships

4

28. What is your occupation (if different from position in organization)?

29. Review list of materials to be sent by respondent and give respondent mailing address.

organizational charter 0) no 1) yes other documents on structure and purpose of organization 0) no 1) yes laws and regulations about water resources management 0) no 1) yes organizational budget 0) no 1) yes

30. If follow-up interview is indicated for organizational analysis, set up appointment time:

Comments:

0 1

0 1

0 1

APPENDIX C

1

7

<u>ان</u>

A METHODOLOGICAL DISCUSSION

## C-1 APPENDIX C

#### A METHODOLOGICAL DISCUSSION

Social scientific research traditionally follows general steps. These are: 1) selection of a research problem; 2) formulation of hypotheses. 3) determination of research methodology and operationalization of the hypotheses; 4) sampling; 5) data analysis; and 6) writing the report (Thomlinson, 40-42). Fairweather delineated similar steps in research oriented toward decision-making and policy formulation. These steps, somewhat modified, are: 1) choosing a problem; 2) obtaining administrative commitments; 3) forming a research team; 4) functionally defining a social system. 5) defining the population; 6) obtaining the sample; 7) developing concepts and procedures of measurement; 8) selecting appropriate methods for studying social relationships; 9) data collection; 10) data analysis; and 11) writing the report. This research generally follows the steps outlined by Fairweather.

## Research problem

The research problem, as defined in the "Scope of Work" and stated in "the purpose and authority for the study" section of this report is to "conduct an institutional analysis . . . for a study of water problems and related land use in the lower Sheyenne River Basin of North Dakota."

## Administrative Commitment

Administrative commitment. followed when Concordia College signed a research contract with the Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District on 6-20-77. Other institutions and agencies with interests in this area of research were contacted and informed about the study. Two of these were the Lake Agassiz Regional Council and the North Dakota State Water Commission.

#### Research Team

The research team was selected because of their prior experience as members of multi-disciplinary research teams and their prior research of the lower Sheyenne River basin (See publications in the bibliography by Falk, Leitch, Nelson and Center for Environmental Studies).

0 2

## The Social System

Loomis describes a social system as including systems of persons interacting through "the definition and mediation of a pattern of structured and shared symbols and expectations" (Loomis 1960, 4). These patterns of interaction may be formal and legally defined or informal and consensually understood (Sanders 1958, 109-118). This study used these general assumptions of social systems and focused primarily on formal groups but not in the strictest sense. In this study a group is defined as having:

1) a shared goal, interest or perspective; 2) mutual awareness among members, and 3) active association in communication or cooperative behavior, whether or not actually meeting. Accordingly, the inventory will include informal voluntary associations and interest groups, as well as formalized organizations (Scope of Work, 4).

Relevant to this kind of study, Tomeh (1973, 89) suggests that the voluntary association "may be interpreted as an organization invention that aids in the continuing transition process of urbanization by combining blends of primary and secondary social experiences." It is in this sense that voluntary associations are examined in this study.

The social system analyzed here consisted of those groups with interests in flood control, water supply, water quality, land use, floodplain regulation, and fish and wildlife in the lower Sheyenne River basin.

#### Population

The population or subjects for this study were those

organizations other than state or federal agencies, with interests in flood control, water supply, water quality, land use, floodplain regulations and fish and wildlife in the lower Sheyenne River basin. The lower Sheyenne River basin was defined as that portion of the drainage basin area between Bald Hill Dam and the Red River of the North. A rather complete list of these organizations was formed and discussed by the research team and judgments made about their appropriateness for inclusion in the study.

## Sample

The "Scope of Work" stated that representatives of approximately thirty-five of these organizations be interviewed though additional organizations might be contacted for their organizational profiles. In this step, preliminary determination was made on which organizations would be profiled and which interviewed in depth. The final determination of this division was made at the time of interviewing and in response to specific questions in the research instrument. Fifty-four agencies were profiled and thirty-nine (including some of the 54) were interviewed in depth.

#### Developing Instruments and Survey Techniques

General methodology for the research project was established before developing the instruments. However, in developing the research instruments, additional questions were raised about survey techniques. Two instruments were formulated, the first an organizational profile and the second a confidential questionnaire for analysis of organization (See appendix B). Various publications were used in formulating these instruments (See Army Corps Studies, Center for Environmental Studies, Research Team, Waelti, Rickson, et. al., Ludwig, and Falk.). Preliminary copies of the two instruments were sent to the corps 6-14-77 for suggestions and revisions. Final formulation of the instruments was made at a meeting with Army Corps of Engineers representatives 7-7-77.

C-3

The organizational profile focused primarily on the objectives and responsibilities of the selected organizations. The second instrument assessed organizational objectives and functions (as viewed by one or more of the organization's primary representatives), assessments of perceptions of other organizations' objectives and functions that are involved with the Lower Sheyenne River basin, and assessments of interrelationships among these organizations. The two instruments were integrated so that information derived from one was supplemental of the other.

## Data Collection

Face-to-face and phone interviewing was done in accordance with the research plan. Secondary sources were used where available for confirmation and supplementation of interview data. Laws, regulations and ordinances relative to the research interests and the lower Sheyenne River basin were collected conjointly with the interviewing. Legal document summaries pertinent to the research are included in Appendix D. Interviewing was completed 9-9-77.

#### Data Analysis

Fixed response and open ended question responses were coded and translated into appropriate form for data analysis. Computer analysis was used for tabulation and presentation of data. Findings and conclusions of the analysis constitute the major portion of the narrative portion of this report.

#### Reporting

4

This report constitutes the reporting document as prescribed in the "Scope of Work."

C-4

## APPENDIX D

1

# DESCRIPTION OF PUBLICATIONS CONTAINING LAWS AND THEIR REGULATIONS ABOUT WATER AND LAND USE IN THE LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN

#### APPENDIX D

TESORIPTION OF PUBLICATIONS CONTAINING LAWS AND THEIR REGULATIONS ABOUT WATER AND LAND USE IN THE LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN

County Board of Health, <u>Health Department Regulations</u>, Fargo, North Dakota, 1975, 21 pages.

Garbage Disposal adopted February 15, 1972 (requirements for minimum standards of storage, collection, transpertation and final disposal) Frivate Haulers Permit adopted May 2, 1973 Fire Land regulation adopted January 17, 1975

the Control of Pollution for Certain Livestock Enterprises, standardk, North Dakota (no date), 9 pages.

These cuidelines are to assist in solving waste handling problems connected with livestock operations and to present intermation on possible methods that can be utilized by the perator. The State Department of Health or the State Water collution Control Board does not require any <u>particular</u> method is treatment or control of wastes for livestock operations. The problem of control or treatment of wastes will vary with each installation due to differences in location, terrain, soil inditions, kinds and numbers of animals, operational practices and ther factors. Because of these differences, any method which provides proper control of wastes and protections of the limit is waters will be considered by the Department.

The publication covers sections 61-28-01 through 61-28-05.

- -02 Definitions
- -03 Operations requiring approval
- -04 Approval procedures and requiring approval
- -05 Departmental inspection

Secta State Department of Health, <u>Regulations for Public Water</u> Supply System, Bismarck, North Dakota (Pre-final regulations), Supust, 1977, 18 pages.

The publication covers Regulation R 61-28.1 for public water supply systems. The sections are as follows: ...100 Responsibility

- .200 Definitions
- in a commercial
- . HOL Coverage

3

.400 Insignated responsible individuals

```
D-2
```

Ľ

.500 Maximum contaminant levels .600 Inorganic chemical sampling and monitoring requirements .700 Organic chemical sampling and monitoring requirements .800 Turbidity sampling and analytical requirements .900 Radioactivity sampling and monitoring requirements .1000 Microbiological contaminant sampling and analytical requirements .1100 Monitoring of consecutive water systems .1200 Reporting, public notification, and record keeping .1300 Record maintenance .1400 Variances and exemptions .1500 Siting .1600 Plans and specifications .1700 Operation and maintenance North Dakota State Department of Health, Rules and Regulations for the North Dakota Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, Bismarck, North Dakota (no date), 33 pages. This publication covers regulations governing pollutant discharge R 61-28-100 through R 61-28-129. The sections are as follows: R-28-100 Authority 101 Scope and purpose 102 Definitions 103 Application for an NPDES Permit 104 Receipt and use of federal data 105 Transmission of data to the regional administrator 106 Identity of signatories to NPDES forms 107 Formulation of tentative determinations and draft NPDES permit 108 Public notice 109 Fact sheets 110 Notice to Government agencies 111 Public access to information 112 Hearings and notice 113 Prohibited discharges 114 Application of effluent standards and limitations, water quality standards, and other requirements 115 Effluent limitations in issued NPDES permits 116 Schedules of compliance in issued NPDES permits 117 Other terms and conditions of issued NPDES permits 118 Transmission to Regional administrator of proposed NPDES permits 119 Transmission to Regional administrator of issued NPDES permits 120 Duration 121 Reissuance of NPDES permits 122 Monitoring 123 Recording of monitoring activities and results 124 Reporting of monitoring results 125 Receipt and follow-up of notifications and reports 126 Modification, suspension and revocation of NPDES permits 127 Control of disposal of pollutants into wells 128 Conflicts of interest 129 Appeal

f

rth Jakota State Department of Health, Solid Waste Management Regulation, Bismarck, North Dakota, July 1, 1976, 16 pages.

The publication gives regulations 23-29-01 through 23-29-15 relative to solid waste management in North Dakota. The sections are as follows:

23-29-01 Finding of necessity

02 Declaration of purpose

03 Definitions

04 Powers and duties of the department

05 Municipal ordinances

06 Regional solid waste management

07 Permits

08 Inspections

09 Notice

10 Administrative procedure and judicial review

11 Injunction proceedings

- 12 Penalties
- 13 Plats
- 14 Exemption
- 15 Short title

## Yorth Dakota State Department of Health, <u>Standards of Water Quality for</u> <u>State of North Dakota</u>, Bismarck, North Dakota, April 28, 1977, 20 pages.

The stated purpose of the regulation R61-28-02 contained in this publication is to "maintain and improve the quality of waters in the State and to maintain and protect existing water uses." The sections are as follows:

- 02.100 Declaration of Policy
  - .200 Definition of terms used

.300 Variances

- .400 General requirements
- .500 General conditions
- .600 Specific standards of quality for designated classes of waters of the state
- .700 Miscellaneous provisions
- .800 Stream classification (In this section the Sheyenne River is classified I A which is: "The quality of this class of waters shall be such that its uses shall be the same as those for Class I, except that additional treatment may be required over that noted in Class 1 to meet the drinking water requirements of the North Dakota State Department of Health." Class I is defined as "The quality of waters in this class shall be such as to permit the propagation and/or life of resident fish species and shall be suitable for boating, swimming, and other water recreation. The quality shall be such that after treatment consisting of coagulation, settling, filtration, and chlorination, or equivalent treatment processes, the treated water shall meet the bacteriological, physical, and chemical requirements of the State Health Department for municipal use. The quality of water shall be such as to permit its use for irrigation, stock watering, and wildlife use without injurous affects.") .900 Lake classification

11-4

The most comprehensive publication bringing together the water laws of the state of North Dakota is one compiled by the North Dakota State Water Commission. The sections are as follows:

COUNTY PARKS AND RECREATIONAL AREAS

ſ

11-28-01 Board of county park commissioners--Appointment by county commissioners--number.

- 02 Eligibility for appointment--Term--Vacancy--Compensation
- 03 County auditor, county treasurer, and state's attorney shall serve board.
- 04 Organization of board--Quorum, meetings
- 05 Powers and duties of the board of park commissioners
- 06 Tax levy by board of county commissioners
- 07 Auditing and payment of bills
- 08 Publication of rules, regulations, and proceedings
- J9 Violation of any rule or regulation a misdemeanor--Penalty--Injunction
- 10 Police, constables, sheriff to enforce chapter
- 11 Declaration of power--Saving clause
- 12 Joint county park district
- 13 Compensation--Vacancy--Meetings.
- 14 Secretary and treasurer.
- 15 Organization--Quorum.
- 16 Power and duties of board.
- 17 District budget--Tax levy--Election
- 18 Auditing and payment of bills
- 19 Publication of rules, regulations, and proceedings
- 20 Violation of rules--Penalty
- 21 Police officer to enforce act
- 22 Declaration of power

#### COUNTY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICTS

- 11-28-01 Board of county park commissioners may establish service districts.
  - 02 Plans and specifications required--Approval
  - 03 Hearing--Notice
  - 04 Protest against establishing service district--Hearing to determine sufficiency--When protest a bar to proceeding
  - 05 Assessment of expenses
  - 06 Assessment list to be prepared--Contents--Certificate attached to assessment list
  - 07 Publication of assessment list and notice of hearing of objections to list
  - 08 Alteration of assessments at hearing--Limitations
  - 09 Confirmation of assessment list after hearing--Filing list
  - 10 Publication of notice of confirmation of assessment list and meeting for action upon assessments
  - 11 Aggrieved person may file notice of appeal
  - 12 Board of county commissioners to hear and determine appeals and objections to assessments--Altering assessments--Limitations
  - 13 Confirmation of assessment list by governing body--Certifying list--Filing
  - 14 Use of collections of assessments
  - 15 Board of county park commissioners may contract--Contents
  - 16 Service assessment funds and the disbursements thereof

•

#### TATER DELL CONTRACTORS

43-35-01 Declaration of policy

- 02 Definitions
  - 03 State board of water well contractors--Members' appointment--Qualification
  - 04 Appointive members to qualify--Terms of office--Filling vacancy
  - 05 Officers--Office
  - 06 Secretary-treasurer bond
  - 07 Compensation and reimbursement of expenses
  - 08 Office, administrative, and technical personnel--Compensation
  - 09 Deposit of fees--Use and appropriation of funds
  - 10 Powers and duties of board
  - 11 Certificate required
  - 12 Examination--When held--Notice
  - 13 Certificate--How obtained--Fee--Bond
  - 14 Bond required
  - 15 Certification of persons engaged in contracting at at effective date of chapter
  - 16 Display of certification
  - 17 Renewal of certificate
  - 18 Firm engaged in water well work to employ certified water well contractor--Exception
  - 19 Standards for well drilling--Reports required
  - 20 Revocation or suspension of certificate--Grounds for--How reinstated
  - 21 Certification to nonresidents--Reciprocity
  - 22 Contracting for well construction without certification Penalty.

#### PROPERTY

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

47-01-13 Ownership of land includes water--Repealed

- 14 Land below high watermark--Regulated by federal or state law
  - 15 Banks and beds of streams--Boundary of ownership

## SERVITUDES

ło

47-05-01 Easements attached to other lands 02 Servitudes not attached to land

## REAL ESTATE TITLE BY OCCUPANCY AND ACCESSION

- 47-06-05 Riparian accretions
  - 06 Avulsion--Title--Reclamation by original owner --Limitations
  - 07 Ancient stream bed taken by owners of new course as demnity
  - 08 Islands and relicted lands in navigable streams belong to state
  - 09 Islands and relicted land in nonnavigable streams
  - 10 Island formed by dividing stream--Title

#### SPORTS AND ALLISEMENTS

## OUTDOOR RECREATION AGENCY

53-07-01 Outdoor recreation agency--Composition--Functions.

02 Expenditures through existing departments or agencies03 Adoption of rules and regulations

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS, STATE OFFICERS

- 54-06-09 Mileage and travel expense of state officers and employees 09.1 Certification of unlawful expense and traveling
  - account--Penalty--Action for violation.

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 61-01-01 Waters of the state--Public waters
  - 01.1 Priority of water rights-~Definitions
    - 02 Right to use water--Basis--Waters appropriated for irrigation purposes--Priority in time.

## WATERS

- 61-01-03 Claims to the use of water initiated prior to and after March 1, 1905.
  - 04 Eminent domain--Who may exercise
  - 05 Reclaiming waters turned into natural or artificial watercourse.
  - 06 Watercourse--Definition

- 07 Obstruction of watercourses--Penalty
- 08 Obstructing navigation--Penalty.
- 09 Destruction of dams--Penalty
- 10 Interference with piers or booms--REPEALED
- 11 Removing or injuring piles--Penalty--REPEALED
- 12 Fouling waters with gas tar or other refuse--REPEALED
- 13 Fouling public waters with dead animals or other refuse--Penalty--REPEALED
- 14 Fouling public water--What included--REPEALED
- 15 Riparian owners of land lying adjacent to nonnavigable streams--REPEALED
- 16 Erection of guards when cutting ice--Penalty for failure to do so
- 17 Lawful to boom logs in navigable rivers
- 18 State or municipalities may join water users' associations--Fee for recording articles by register of deeds--REPEALED
- 19 Right of way granted
- 20 When special assessments shall become a lien
- 21 Sale of property where only special assessment is delinquent.
- 22 Permit to drain waters from certain ponds, sloughs, or lakes into a watercourse or natural drainway--Penalty
- 23 Removal of obstructions in channel of nonnavigable streams
- 24 "Mouse" official name of river
- 25 Penalty
- 26 Declaration of state water resources policy.

## MATER CONSERVATION CONTINSION

# 61-02-01 Water conservation, flood control, and abatement of

- stream pollution declared a public purpose.
- 02 Definitions
- 03 Apportioning or allocating water rights by commission
- 04 State water conservation commission--Members--Terms --Qualifications

	D-7			:
05	Chairman of commission.	₽	•	•
06	Principal and branch offices of commission			
	QuorumWhat constitutes			
	Neetings of commission Commission a public corporationAgency of state			
	Commission to have sealJudicial notice			
	Commission may adopt rules and regulationsRecord kept by commissionInspection	₽	•	•
12				
13	Employment of assistants, fixing compensationClaims for compensation and expenses			
14	Powers and duties of the commission	₽	•	
15	Provisions of chapter not to limit or deprive health departments of authority			
16	Preference is given to individual farmer or irrigation district when planning or constructing irrigation projects			
17	Records, accounts, and statements of works and projects undertakenFiled with secretary of state	●	•	
13	Application for irrigation projectFees to accompany Surveys made			
19	Works of commission may include preparation of land for irrigation when project undertaken by commission			
20		●	•	ļ
21	Sewage and waste disposal or dischargeWater supply plantApproval of commission required			
22	Acquisition of necessary property and power of condemnation			
	Actions to acquire property rights			•
	Co-operation and co-ordination with all existing agencies	•	•	•
	1 Co-operation and participation of political subdivisions			
45	Duties of state agencies acting through interstate			
26	compacts or agreements Duties of state agencies concerned with intrastate			
20	use or disposition of waters	•	•	
27	Proposals with respect to use or disposition of	•	•	
	waters to be presented to commission			
28	Plans, investigations, and surveys concerning use of			
29	watersSpecial powers of commission Commission to have full control over unappropriated			
29	public waters of state			
30	Commission acquiring water rights and administering	۲	٠	•
	provisions of chapterDeclaration of intention			
	Priority of water right dates from when			
	Modification of plans by commission regarding project to appropriate watersFiling declaration of intention			
33	Commission to file declaration of completion of appropriation with state engineer	•	•	•
	Declaration of intention to appropriate or release waters or completion of appropriation as evidence			
	When right of commission to waters attachesContinu- ation of authority and jurisdiction			
36	Natural streams employed as a means of diversion of waterAdopting methods to determine natural flow	●	•	•
37	Headgates and measuring devices maintained by appro- priators of natural streamsCommission adopting rules preventing diversion of water.	-		_

38	Holder of water right on natural stream may turn
	control over to commission
39	Commission may adjust plans and operation of project
	to obtain financial aid from United States
40	Authority of commission to extend and be applied to
	natural waters of state
41	Commission may enter to make surveys for the diversion
	of waters
42	Commission to take into consideration decrees of court
72	adjudicating waters of natural stream
12	
43	Commission may hold hearings relating to rights of
	claimantsNoticeFindings made
44	Controlling natural flow of stream deemed police power
	Water commissioners not to deprive commission
45	Commission may divert at any place on stream after
	impounding or acquiring the right of appropriation
46	Commission may issue bonds for acquiring lands for
	irrigationLimitationPrincipal and interestHow
	paid
47	When bonds to matureCallable before maturity
48	Commission to determine interest rate, form, denomina-
	tion, and execution of bonds
49	Officers whose names are on bonds ceasing to be
	officers before delivery of bondsValidity of bonds.
50	Bonds issued are negotiable
51	How bonds may be secured
52	Commission may provide for registration of bonds
53	Issuance and sale of bondsProceeds from saleUse
54	Resolution providing for issuance of bondsSeparate
94	series of bonds
55	
	Issuance of temporary bonds in lieu of definitive bonds
56	Guarantying and insuring the payment of interest and
	principal of revenue bondsMethod
57	Moneys appropriated to pay interest and principal of
	bonds available as a revolving fund
58	Lien upon bond proceeds
5 <del>9</del>	
60	Trust indenturesWhere filedFiling constitutes
	constructive notice
61	Resolution or indenture may contain provisions
	protecting bondholdersExpenses incurred in
	carrying out indenture
62	Powers of commission in issurance of bonds
63	Mortgage of commissionContentsPurchaser at
	foreclosure saleRights
64	Funds created by commissionDepository
64.	1 Contract fundPurposeReimbursements to be
	deposited with the state treasurer
65	Commission to have complete system of accounting
	Contents
66	Construction fundContentsDisbursementsSurplus
	remaining
67	Revenue bond payment fundContents
68	State treasurer to pay interest on bondsRedemption
	of bonds
69	
70	Property of commission exempt from taxation
70	Expenses paid from administrative fundREPEALED
/1	Commission may accept and receive appropriations and
	contributions

-

1

]

r a

.

2

ſ

- 72 Revenue bonds of commission are legal and valid investments of financial institutions 73 Construction of chapter 74 Certain moneys to be deposited in general fund 75 Hearing witnesses--Subpoena--Oath--Fees STATE ENGINEER 61-03-01 State engineer--Appointment--Qualifications--Term Salary--Engaging in private practice 02 Oath and bond of state engineer 03 Auditing of claims 04 Report of state engineer to governor and secretary of state--Contents 05 Fees of state engineer 06 Records of state engineer--Open to public--Contents Certified copies as evidence 07 Investigations and reports for board of university and school lands Duty of state engineer to cooperate with boards of 08 county commissioners when requested 09 State engineer's duties in construction of bridges and culverts 10 Custodian of government plats. 11 Furnishing copies Attorney general and state's attorney advisars of 12 state engineer Rules and regulations made by state engineer--Modifi-13 cation 14 Modification of rules and regulations of engineer voted upon only upon appeal from engineer 15 Hydrographic surveys and investigations made by state engineer--Co-operating with federal agencies Suit for adjudication of water rights 16 17 Parties to and costs of suit for adjudication of water rights 18 Hydrographic survey fund--Use--Payments 19 Decree adjudicating water rights--Filing--Contents 20 State engineer to co-operate with United States geological survey in making topographic maps 21 State engineer may require plan of operation--Adequate structure APPROPRIATION OF WATER 61-04-01 Petitions, reports, surveys, and other documents filed in office of state engineer Application for beneficial use of water required 02 03 Application to acquire right to waters--Contents--Maps and field notes to accompany 04 Filing and correction of application 05 Publication of notice of application--Contents--Proof--Failure to file satisfactory proof. 06 Approval of application--Endorsing approval--Contents 07 Rejection of applications--Appeal to district court 08 Prosecution of work--state engineer may approve
  - another application upon failure of original applicant to complete--Exception--REPEALED 09 Application to beneficial use--Inspection--Perfected water permit--Inspection by others than state

engineer.

D-9

- 10 Certificate of construction issued when works found in satisfactory condition--Contents--REPEALED
- 11 Inspection of works
- 12 Use of unsafe works a misdemeanor--Duty of state's attorney
- 13 Application of water to beneficial use--Inspection REPEALED
- 14 Extending time for application to beneficial use
- 15 Assignment or transfer of conditional or perfected water permit--Regulations governing
- 16 Referee or referees appointed in water suits--Duties
- 17 Surplus water to be delivered to persons entitled to beneficial use--Charges--Compelling delivery
- 18 Appropriation of water from minor stream of agricultural use--REPEALED
- 19 Filing of location certificate--Contents--REPEALED
- 20 Approval of state engineer--Rights of claimant--Procedure--REPEALED
- 21 Amount of water allowed--REPEALED
- 22 Prescriptive water right
- 23 Forfeiture of water rights--Inspection of works
- 24 Forfeiture of water rights--Notice--Contents
- 25 Forfeiture of water rights--Hearing--Appeal
- 26 Register, of deeds to record order canceling water right.

ORGANIZATION OF IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

#### 61-05-01 Definitions

- 02 Proposals for irrigation district--Electors required
- 03 Votes of electors--Number permissible
- 04 Fiduciary must file proof of authority--Appointment of agent
- 05 Co-cwners of land in irrigation district--Who may vote
- 06 Private or public corporation may designate agent to vote
- 07 Petition for a proposed irrigation district--Where filed--Signed by whom--Contents
- 08 Petition accompanied by map--Contents--Scale
- 09 Petition accompanied by bond--Approval of bond--Certified copy of petition filed
- 10 Hearing on petition--Notice--Report prepared by state engineer on feasibility--Copy of report filed --Submitted to electors
- 11 Amendment of plan of irrigation--Adjournment of hearing by state engineer
- 12 State engineer may make order denying petition--Filing
- 13 State engineer to make order establishing irrigation district--Calling election--Dividing district--Contents of order
- 14 Notice of election by state engineer--Contents--Publication
- 15 Form of notice of election
- 16 State engineer to appoint clerk and two judges of election--Filling vacancies on board
- 17 Conduct of election--Votes canvassed by board and state engineer--Retaining ballots
- 18 Election governing organization of district--Filing record of election--Certificates of election to directors.

19	State engineer to file order with secretary of state Secretary of state to make and record certificate Evidence
20	Appeal to district court from orders and decisions of the state engineerTimeUndertaking
21	Validating organization and acts of irrigation districts
GOV	ERREENT OF IRRIGATION DISTRICTS
61-06-01	Board of directors of irrigation districtTerms Vacancies
02	Directors elected subsequent to organization assume officeTimeTerm
03	Oath and bond of boards of directorsFiling
04	Meeting of directorsOrganizationOfficers QuorumTerm of officers.
05	Official bonds of assessor, district treasurer, and other employeesApproval and filing of bonds
06	District organized under provisions of chapter
00	appointed fiscal agent of the United States
07	Form of official bonds provided for in chapter Obligee in bond
08	Officers or employees bonded in state bonding fund
00	Premium paid by whom
00	Regular election of irrigation districts
09	
10	Notice of election after district is organized ContentsFormFailure to give
11	Board of election of irrigation districtFailure of member of election board to be present
12	Candidates at electionFiling names
13	Ballot at irrigation district electionsContents
14	Oath required of members of election boardChairman of election board to administer
15	Opening and closing hours of polls at irrigation district elections
16	Canvass of ballots after closing pollsDelivery of materials to directors
17	Compensation of members of election board
18	Return and canvass of votes by board of directors
19	Secretary of board of directors to declare result of electionContents
20	
	Secretary to issue certificates of election
21	Meetings of boardRegular and specialQuorum Records of boardPublication of proceedings
21.	1 Transactions of irrigation districts made public recordsGrounds for removal of director of officer
22	Directors and officersSalary, mileage, and expenses
23	Officers not to be interested in contractPenalty
P	OWERS OF IRRIGATION DISTRICTS
61-07-01	Powers and duties of irrigation district
02	Legal title to property acquired in corporate name
03	Powers and duties of board of directors
04	Construction across streams, highways, railroads, and ditchesRight of way
05	Purchase of land for unpaid and delinquent taxes or
	assignment of tax sale certificates to protect dis- tricts.

Ì

ís.

۲

ľ

b

Û

L

1

•

	<i>D</i> -1.
06	Contracts entered into by districtContracts for
00	materialsReservations in contracts
07	Board to formulate general plan of operationContents
08	Surveys, examinations, and plans made to determine
	cost of construction in distristState engineer
	to prepare report
09	Advertising for bidsLetting contractBond required
10	Expense of purchasing and acquiring property and con-
	structing irrigation worksInsufficiency of bonds
11	District entering into agreements with others for
	payment of cost of establishing or constructing works
12	
13	Agreement by board to conform to laws of various
	departments or agencies to secure financial aid
14	Irrigation districts may accept acts of Congress
	Contracting with United StatesProvisions of
	section not a limitation
15	District may obtain financial aid from United States
16	Irrigation district may provide for proper
	drainage of landsPayment
17	Apportionment of water when supply insufficient
18	Duty of board to keep ditches flowing continuously
19	Petition for specific orders or changes in canals Methods
20	Provisions of title not to take away vested rights
21	
	chapter prohibitedException
22	Commencement of special proceedings to confirm
	contracts, special assessment, or other action
23	Petition by board for court to examine and approve
	contracts or assessmentsContents of petition.
24	Hearing of petitionNotice of filing and hearing
25	Answer to petitionDefense by person interested
26	
27	Conclusion of hearingFindingsDecreeCosts of
20	hearingFiling copies of findings
28	Procuring water supply from district outside of state
20	Validity and legality
29	
30	PaymentSource Contract for payment for supply of waterAssessments
30	
31	may be made against lands Contract for supply of water extending over one year
71	approved at electionRegulations governing election
32	Liability for failure to deliver water
33	Appeal to district courtTimeNoticeUndertaking
	requiredDocketing
FISCA	L AFFAIRS OF IRRIGATION DISTRICTS
61-08-01	Resolution to institute initial proceedings for bondsContentsAdoption
02	Adopting initial resolutions by boardDate of
02	electionContents of resolutionConduct of election
03	Notice of electionContentsPosting and publication

- 03 Notice of election--Contents--Posting and publication 04 Ballot for election--Contents--Spoiled or blank
- ballots not counted
- 05 Majority of ballots favoring issuance of bonds-Duty of board
| D-1 | 3 |
|-----|---|
|-----|---|

	1 - 1.5
06	Maturity of bonds issued-~When installment of principal falls dueAmount
07	Bonds pavable to whomInterest coupons -Rate of interestNumbering
38	Denominations of bondsPayable in lawful money of United States
99	Execution of bonds and interest couponsValidity of bonds not impaired by change in officers.
10	Registration of bond by secretaryContentsCopy filed with county auditorSecretary to endorse
11	Cancellation of bonds authorized but not paid Destruction of bondsAffidavit of destruction Filing
12	Advertising required before district sells issue of bondsContents of noticeWho to receive notice
13	Opening bids for bond issuesRecord of bids kept Bids accompanied by check
14	Awarding sale of bond issueRejecting bids Purchasing irrigation works already constructed
15	Officer of irrigation district accepting commission or compensation in regard to bondsMisdemeanor
16	Registration of "bearer" bondsTransfer must be recorded in registerRegistration not to affect negotiability of coupons
17	When issuance of district improvement warrants permissible
18	Use of district improvement warrantsAssessments levied to raise funds to pay improvement warrants
19	Improvement warrantsAmountWhen payableMaturity
20	Interest on warrantsSignaturesContents
21	Registration of warrants by secretaryFiling copy of record in county auditor's office
22	Bonds and district improvement warrants may be secured by trust indenturePowers vested in trustee
23	Where money received from bond issue or improvement warrants placedLien on money
24	Board may appoint fiscal agentWho may be
25	Bonds, improvement warrants, and contracts payable from assessments of real property and from water charges
26	County treasurer to be custodian of funds
27	District treasurer to remit moneys to county treasurerCrediting proper fund
28	Payment of bonds and warrants dueRedemption of bonds and warrantsNotice of redemptionContentsBids Opening
29	Claims paid by district treasurerInsufficient funds Verification of claims
10	Board may withdraw funds from district and deposit with county treasurerDuty of treasurer
31	County treasurer to make report to board monthly
12	District treasurer to make monthly report to board
33	District treasurer to keep warrant registerContents How warrants payable
34	Refunding irrigation district bondsNegotiating new bonds
35	Procedure used in issuing refunding bonds

4

(

(

(

(

D-14

36	Refunding bondsWhen	payableInterestDenominations
	Interest coupons	

37 Refunding bonds may be exchanged or sold

### ASSESSMENTS IN IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

- 61-09-01 District assessor to examine tracts of land to fix annual assessments levied thereon
  - 02 District assessor to make list or prepare map to show apportionment of assessments--Filing
  - 03 Assessments spread in proportion to benefits received--Property subject to assessment for deficiency
  - 04 Assessment of property not in name of owner not to invalidate assessment
  - 05 Assessor to determine amounts payable to United States' agencies and other persons or districts.
  - 06 When assessment roll completed--When board to equalize assessments
  - 07 Meeting of board of equalization--Duties--Secretary to be present to note changes
  - 08 Board to levy assessment against lands of district-Amount--How determined
  - 09 Board may levy assessment for "general fund"--Contents
  - 10 Secretary to enter sum assessed against each tract for each fund--Certifying to county auditor--Duty of auditor

  - 12 Refusal or failure of board to cause assessment to be made
  - 13 Board may borrow additional funds if levy of annual assessment is insufficient for district--Limitations
  - 14 Warrants in excess of ninety per cent of levy prohibited --Additional levy permissible--Transfer of balance in fund
  - 15 Assessment made to be general tax--When due and delinquent--Tax sale lien to be preferred lien
  - 16 Payment of assessments under protest--When refunding taxes or assessments
  - 17 Abatement of assessments--Exception
  - 18 Board may call special election to determine if special assessment shall be levied
  - 19 Special election--Notice--Ballots
  - 20 How rate of special assessment determined--Entering upon assessment roll--Payment of assessment money when collected.

## CHANGING BOUNDARIES OF IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

61-10-01 Change of district boundaries--Effect.

- 02 Petition for inclusion of land in district--Contents of petition
- 03 Notice of petition to incluie land in district--Contents --Time required by notice--Cost--REPEALED
- 04 Hearing of petition on proposed change in coundaries--Assent of parties--REPEALED
- 05 Payment of share of original cost by petitioners required
- 06 Power of board to reject or grant petition for inclusion of land--Survey required--REPEALED

7	Objections to changeResolution adopting change- Contents of resolutionREPFALED
1.14	Ordering of electionNoticeContentsBallots ContentsKTPEALED
1.54g	Result of election-Foty of Febri-REPEALED
11	<pre>Seture = electrone = Graduation = Herrichally Suprovide = rder charging boundaries filed with regi-</pre>
	of deedsFftertREPEALED
11	Petition to be recorded by secretaryEvidenceR
1.	Authority of guardians, executors, and administra proposal to change boundaries of district
<u> </u>	When redivision of district into divisionsDirec lected fromREPEALED
14	Exclusion of land from districtPetition forCo
	Description of lands inAcknowledgingREPEA
15	Notice of patition for exclusion of landsConten
	Publishing or postingTime specified in notice
16	Hearing petition and objections theretoAssent o
17	Power of board to deny or grant petition for excl of landsREPEALED
18	Bonds or improvement warrants outstandingResolu
	excluding from districtAssent toAcknowledge REPEALED
19	Election ordered to determine exclusion of lands-
	ing and postingForm of ballotsConductingR
20	Result of electionSurvey ordered by boardREPE
21	Filing copy of ordersEffectREPEALED
22	Effect of change on office of director upon exclu
	of landsVacancyHow filled
23	Redivision of districtREPEALED
24	Refunding assessments to owners of lands excluded
25	Notice of filine of petition and hearing thereof- of proceedings
26	Hearing of petitionAssent of parties
27	Board may include lands in district
28	Electors may object to inclusion of landsBoard call an election
29	Ordering of electionNoticeConduct
30	Result of electionDuty of the board and secrets
31	Redivision of district into divisions
32	Petition for exclusion of land from irrigation di
	BondContents
33	Notice of hearing of petition
34	Board may grant or denv petition for exclusion of
35	Outstanding bonds or improvement warrants or cont
	obligationsOrder excluding landsAssent
36	Election to determine exclusion of landNotice c
00	election-Form of ballotConduct of election
37	Result of electionOrder excluding lands
38	Redivision of district
D	ISSOLUTION OF IRRIGATION DISTRICTS
61-11-01	Petition to board of directors for dissolution of
	districtRequirementsAdoption of resolution
	calling special election
J2	Notice of election for dissolution of district-
• •	Bublication Destine Blacking III - A. L. L.

Publication--Posting--Flection--When to be held Ballots to be provided--Form

•

•

.

Ŀ.

.

٠

ff.

Ĺ

3

•

•

ľ.

, ,●		
	04	0 1 0
	05	of election. Procedure when election favors dissolutionNotice file claims against districtWhat claims barree
ú	05	
	07	
	08	Sale of district property authorizedAppraisers appointedOathCompensation
	09	Appraisal of property by appraisersReport to bo; Advertising property for saleOpening of bids
	10	TermsProceeds of sale
	11	
<b>[.</b>	12	
	13	riparian rights continue
	14	Sale does not affect or release assessment liens- Duty of county treasurer
	15	Report of dissolution whenWhere filedContents Recording of in office of register of deeds
•	16	
		FLOOD IRRIGATION PROJECTS
	61-12-01	When improvements may be constructed
	02	Board of flood irrigationHow appointedFilling vacanciesOffice
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	03	
	04	
	05	U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U
-	06	
	07	
	08	Appointment of engineer. Bond of petitionersWhen required
	09	
		Reports and plans of engineerCopies filed with
	11	county auditor.
	11 12	
•		Contents of notice
	14	
	15	S 1
	16	0
	17 18	······································
•	18	Issue placed on court calendarJudgmentCosts
	20	Rights of way
	21	DamagesHow paid
	22	
	23	· · · J · · ·
•	24 25	Return of assessment of benefits Notice of constructionLetting of contracts
-	26	Computation of costsContents
	27	Apportionment and enforcement of taxes
	28	Collection of flood irrigation taxesPayment of
• • •	• •	

D-17

- 29 Additional assessments--When necessary
- 30 Board of flood irrigation may contract for pur --Assessment for maintenance
- 31 Joint Powers of flood irrigation boards in two counties--Apportionment of cost
- 32 Tax or assessment not void
- 33 New proceedings--When
- 34 Liability of members of flood irrigation board
- 35 Compensation of members of the board
- 36 Power of board of flood irrigation to administ
- 37 Bonds--Issuance--Payment
- 38 Interest rate of bonds
- 39 Payment of entire assessment by landowner
- 40 Notice of issue of bonds--Given by county aud: 41 Sinking fund
- 42 Bonds issued on amortization plan
- 43 Regulations concerning issuance of bonds under
- 44 Levy of tax for interest--Separate sinking fur liable for bonds
- 45 Assessment of omitted property--Additional as:
- 46 State engineer to assist county board of floor
  - 47 Assessment for drainage
  - 48 Transfer of sinking fund to maintenance fund-treasurer

#### ORGANIZATION OF CORPORATIONS FOR IRRIGATION PURPOSI

- 61-13-01 Corporations may be organized for irrigation :
  - 02 Powers of corporations organized under chapter
    - 03 Articles of incorporation or bylaws may restr: stockholders--When stock to become appurten Sale of water to others
    - 04 Assessments may be levied upon capital stock

### MATER CONSERVATION

- 61-15-01 Definitions
  - 02 Control of water and wildlife conservation prostate
  - 03 Water and wildlife conservation projects--Supe
  - 04 Easements to United States of America for wat: conservation
  - 05 Recording or filing fees for documents require States or state for water or wildlife conset --REPEALED
  - 06 Board of university and school lands empowered easements for water and wildlife conservation
  - 07 Water and wildlife conservation projects not 1 value of land--REPEALED
  - 08 Drainage of meandered lake--Penalty
  - 09 Conservation of lakes and streams of Turtle Me 10 Permitting municipal corporations to dam Red 1
    - North

#### WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS

- 61-16-01 Definitions
  - 02 REPEALED
  - 03 REPEALED
  - 04 REPEALED Establishment of WMD-area to be inc district

D-1. 06 Order establishing water management district 07 Board of Commissioners--Appointment and number OS Eligibility for appointment to board--Term of office--Filling vacancies--(oppensation of commissioners 09 Oath of office--Organization of board of commissioners--Appointment of employees--Meetings 10 Bonds of treasurer and appointive officers 11 Powers and duties of board of commissioners 12 District budget--Tax levy--Financing by special assessment 13 District may issue warrants in anticipation of taxes levied to pay current expenses 14 County treasurer to collect and remit taxes to district treasurer--Deposit of district funds 15 Construction and repair of dam--Proposals for--Presented to whom--Hearing proposals 16 Commission and board of commissioners shall encourage construction of dams and other water control devices 17 Dams constructed within a district shall come under control of board of commissioners. 18 When dams constructed by federal agency under joint control of board of county commissioners and commission 19 May contract with federal and state governments--Local districts, persons and corporations--Acquire property in adjoining states 19.1 Contracts for construction or maintenance of project 20 Exemption of federal agencies from provisions of chapter--Purpose of chapter 21 Financing project through special assessments or partly through special assessments--Apportionment of benefits 22 Financing of special improvements--Procedure 23 Resolution of board to include provision for protesting and refusing authority to make general tax levy in certain cases--Election to be held 24 When assessments may be made 25 Assessment lists 26 Assessment list to be prepared--Contents--Certificate attached to assessment list -- Preparation of assessment list and notice of hearing of objection to list--Alteration of assessments at hearing--Limitations--Confirmation of assessment list of board certifying list--Filling 26.1 Reassessment of benefits 27 Correction of errors, and mistakes in special assessments--Regulations poverning 28 Certification of assessments to county auditor 28.1 Removal of obstructions to drain--Notice and hearing--Appeal -- Injunction 29 Extension of special assessments on tax lists--Collection--Payment to water conservation and flood control district 30 Lien of special assessment 31 Sale of property when general and special assessment taxes are delinquent 32 Warrants--Issuance--When payable--Amounts--Interest--Interest coupons 33 Warrants may be used in making payments on contract--Warrants payable out of fund on which drawn--May be used to pay special assessments 34 Refunding special assessment warrants--Purposes for which such warrants may be issued--Payment of warrants 35 Financial reports--Liability for deficiencies

D-19

- 36 Appeal from decision of commission or board of commissioners--Undertaking--Jurisdiction
- 37 Appeal from decision of commission or board of commissioners --How to be taken
- 38 Time for taking appeal from commission or board of commissioners.
- 39 Filing appeal--Docketing and hearing appeals--Final judgment and sending back
- 40 State's attorney and attorney general to assist boards--Employment of counsel
- 41 Construction of bridges and culverts--Cost--REPEALED
- 42 How district may be dissolved or boundaries altered
- 43 Proceedings to judicially confirm contracts, special assessments and other acts
- 44 Penalty for violation of chapter
- 45 Validating organization and acts of water conservation and flood control districts
- 46 Drains along and across public roads and railroads
- 47 Construction of bridges and culverts--Costs
- 48 Consolidation of water management districts
- 49 Division of a district

#### REVETCENT MORKS

61-19-01 Revetment work--Definition

- 02 Revetment work--When constructed
- 03 Establishment of revetment project--Petition--Security
- 04 Revetment work--Action by board of county commissioners--Appointment of engineer
- 05 Engineer's report--Hearing on petition--Notice
- 06 Protest of landowners--Construction may be ordered in absence of protest
- 07 Advertisement for bids specify interest on warrants--Rate limited--Opening bids
- 08 Bids to be accompanied by check--Forfeiture
- 09 Bonds

1

- 10 Contracts must state time for completing work
- 11 Contractor--How paid--Retention of ten per cent until completion
- 12 Work may be done by other methods
- 13 Assessment funds--Warrants
- 14 Assessments--How made--Collection
- 15 Deficiency in assessment fund paid by general tax--Surplus used for repairs
- 16 Assessment payable any time--Interest ceases
- 17 Powers of boards of county commissioners in two or more counties

#### ARTESIAN WELLS

61-20-J1 Valve or valves required on artesian well--Flow permitted from artesian wells--Preventing flow

- 02 Drilling artesian or flowing well--Requirements--Valve below frost level
- 03 Well clogged to be left open--Application of chapter--Wild wells
- 04 Penalty for violation of provisions of chapter
- 05 Township and county assessors shall list all artesian and flowing wells annually--Forwarding data to state water commission
- 06 Duties of state water commission

D--20

07	Enforcement of chapter by state water commissionAppeal
08	DeputyAppointment by state geologistRemoval
	SalaryREPEALED

-1

•

C

e

C

•

ŕ

	DRAINAGE PROJECTS
01	Definitions
02	Watercourses, ditches and drains may be constructed,
02	
~ ~	maintained, repaired, improved, or extended
03	Board of drainage commissionersAppointmentTerm
	RemovalCompensation
04	State and county officers not eligible as drain
	commissionersMatters of personal interest to
	drain commissioners
05	Powers of board
06	Board's report to board of county commissioners
	ContentsInspectionLiability of drain commissioner
	on bond
07	Oath and bond filed by drain commissionersOrganiza-
	tion of boardQuorum
08	Office, records, clerk, and employment of personnel
09	Levy for administrative expensePayment of commis-
••	sioners' salaries and overhead expense
10	
IU	Petition for construction of drainPurposes of drain
	Signers to petition
11	Bond required from petitioners
12	Examination of line for drainDesignation of surveyor
	SpecificationsCost estimates
13	Hearing on petition to establish drain and surveyor's
	reportNoticeContents
14	Conduct of hearing on petition to establish drain
15	Denying or making order establishing drainCosts when
10	
16	petition denied
10	Voring right or nower of landormore
	Voting right or power of landowners
17	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal
	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district court-TimeUndertakingHearing
17	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district court-TimeUndertakingHearing
17 18	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages
17 18	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants
17 18 19 20	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain
17 18 19 20 21	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place
17 18 19 20	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer
17 18 19 20 21	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees
17 18 19 20 21 22	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing, maintaining, and improving drainWarrants issued
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing, maintaining, and improving drainWarrants issued Additional assessment to meet deficit or additional
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing, maintaining, and improving drainWarrants issued Additional assessment to meet deficit or additional expense
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing, maintaining, and improving drainWarrants issued Additional assessment to meet deficit or additional expense Drains along and across public roads and railroads
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing, maintaining, and improving drainWarrants issued Additional assessment to meet deficit or additional expense Drains along and across public roads and railroads Construction of bridges and culvertsCost
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing, maintaining, and improving drainWarrants issued Additional assessment to meet deficit or additional expense Drains along and across public roads and railroads Construction of bridges and culvertsCost Boards of drain commissioners of two or more counties
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing, maintaining, and improving drainWarrants issued Additional assessment to meet deficit or additional expense Drains along and across public roads and railroads Construction of bridges and culvertsCost Boards of drain commissioners of two or more counties may construct drains through counties
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing, maintaining, and improving drainWarrants issued Additional assessment to meet deficit or additional expense Drains along and across public roads and railroads Construction of bridges and culvertsCost Boards of drain commissioners of two or more counties may construct drains through counties
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Notice of order establishing drain and period for appeal Appeal to district courtTimeUndertakingHearing Right of wayHow acquiredAssessment of damages Issuance of warrants Assessing cost of constructing and maintaining drain Assessment subject to reviewNotice of time and place Hearing on assessmentAppeal to state engineer Correction of assessmentsRelocating drainFees of state engineer Recording assessment Notice of letting of contracts Letting of contracts for drains Extension of time to contractorsReletting unfinished part of contract Apportionment and taxation of costs Collection of drain taxes Payment of costs and expenses of locating, constructing, maintaining, and improving drainWarrants issued Additional assessment to meet deficit or additional expense Drains along and across public roads and railroads Construction of bridges and culvertsCost Boards of drain commissioners of two or more counties

D-21

3

Ľ



		•	•	
	D-22	P	•	٦
	Garrison Diversion Conservancy District created			
	Election of directors of the Garrison Diversion Conservancy District			
	1.1 Filling vacancy of director on general election ballot	•	•	4
	Compensation of directors Term of office of directorsOath of officeBonds	•	-	
	• Term of office of directorsOath of officebonds • Meetings of the boardQuorumBoard to adopt rules,			
	regulations and by-laws.			
07	Attorney general shall act as legal adviserChief engineer			
	of state water conservation commission to assist board Employment of counsel and engineers	D	•	
	Powers and duties of the district board of directors			
09	District budgetDetermination of amount to be levied			
10	Adoption of levyLimitation ) Certified copies of levy and budget sent to county auditors			
11	County auditors to extend tax levy			
	2 County treasurers to collect and remit district taxes	₽	•	•
13	B District may enter into contract for the construction, operation and maintenance of works			
	When contract is approved			
15	Proceedings to confirm contract			
16	5 County may be excluded from conservancy district if not benefited	-	-	
	Appeal from orders of district board	₽	•	•
18	3 Contracting for Roads			
19	9 Easements for Public Lands			
	CONTROL, PREVENTION, AND ABATEMENT OF			
	POLLUTION OF SURFACE WATERS	D	•	•
61-28-01	l Statement of policy	-	-	
02	2 Definitions			
03	3 State water pollution prevention agencyBoard			
-	4 Powers and duties 5 Rules, regulations and standards			
	5 Prohibitions	Ð	•	
0	7 Proceedings			
08	B PenaltiesInjunctions			
	LITTLE MISSOURI STATE SCENIC RIVER ACT			
	L Title	Ð	•	•
	2 Intent			
	3 Definitions 4 Administration			
0	5 Powers and duties of commission			
	5 Management			
		₽	٠	•
	akota State Water Commission, <u>Rules and Regulations of the State</u> Ater Commission Governing the Drainage of Water from Ponds,			
SI	loughs, or Lakes Having Watersheds of 40 Acres or Larger,			
	Ismarck, North Dakota, September, 1976, 25 pages.			
T	ais publication states those regulations deriving authority from		•	-
Se	ection 61-01-22 of the North Dakota century code. The regula-	- •	-	
	lons cover the following topics:			
		-	•	_

Ì

I

```
D-23
R61-01-22.1 Intent of rules and regulations
         .2 Definitions
         .3 Permit required--am_ndments--exemption
         .4 Filing application
         .5 Criteria for determining area of watershed
         .6 Referral of applications to the appropriate water
               management district
         .7 Applications to drain ponds, sloughs, or lakes of state-
               wide or interdistrict significance
         .8
             Hearing--Exception
         .9 Hearing notice
         .10 Action by board of commissioners following hearing
         .11 Criteria to determine whether drainage will flood or
               adversely affect drainage of lower landowners
         .12 Return of applications of statewide or interdistrict
               significance to state engineer-etermination of state
               engineer
         .13 Requirements for a valid permit to drain
         .14 Void permits
         .15 Procedure upon complaint of violation
         .16 Ditches or drains existing for 10 years or more
 The North Dakota Environmental Law Enforcement Act of 1975.
         The sections establishing this act are as follows:
   32-40-01 Short title
         02 Legislative intent and purpose
                    'The legislative assembly of North Dakota enacts
               this Environmental Law Enforcement Act in recognition
               of the vital role played by environmental laws in
               maintaining the health, safety, and general welfare
               of the state's citizens; the need to maintain a sound
               system of law, order and justice; and the need to
               provide relief to those aggrieved by a failure of
               others to abide by or enforce the state's environ-
               mental laws."
         03 Definitions
        04 Cumulative remedies
        05 Enforcement powers of attorney general
        06 Who may sue--Defendants--Exception to recovery of damages
        07 Notice to be provided
        08 Bond
        09 Intervention in action
        10 Costs
        11 Relief granted
```

N

•

1.

APPENDIX E

# CITIZENS INPUT WORKSHOP

### APPENDIX E

### CITIZENS INPUT WORKSHOP

On June 27, 1977, the Lake Agassiz Regional Council held Input Workshop on the Sheyenne River valley and flood control. problems were identified and ranked in the order of their priori (H), Medium (M) and Low (L). The results of this workshop are 11 follows:

111

Problem or Need Provincia. Flood Plain Development, Residential Commercial Uncontrolled Stream Drainage Water Release Problems from Baldhill Dam Overall Drainage Problems in the Harwood Area Need for a Hydrology Study of Drainage Areas Flooding in Red River Valley and Basin ц Farmland Drainage How to Control It Н Overflowing of Cass County Drains No. 45, 21 & 13 West Fargo, Commercial & Residential Flooding Agriculture Flooding--Kindred to Red Maple River Contribution to Flooding N١. Erosion, Agricultural Rush River--Contribution to flooding Erosion, Stream Bank, West Fargo Erosion, Road and Bridge Harwood and Surrounding Area Flood Problems, Residential, Agriculture, Road and R.R. Argusville Drain No. 13 Overflowing Need for Hydrology Study of Entire Sheyenne Basin Need for Hydrology Study of Road System Urban Flooding of Kindred Urban Flooding of Horace Urban Flooding of Lisbon Urban Flooding of Valley City Urban Flooding of Fort Ransom Urban Flooding of Argusville Township Flooding of Norman, Cass Township Flooding of Freeman, Richland Development in Floodplain Reducing Stream Flow Capacity Overland Flooding in Sheyenne Basin Problem with High Water Table in Richland and Ransom Counties

E-2 Problem or Need Priority Need to Determine the Effect of Urbanization on Sheyenne Flooding 11 How Can We hold Water Back in the Headwaters to Recharge Ground Water М Protecting Development in Flood Plain М Culvert Opening too Large in Roads and Drains М High Water in Shevenne Renders Drainage System Ineffective and Allows Backflows Μ Pollution of Wells Ł Urban Flooding of Fargo L Urban Flooding of Kathryn L Township Flooding of Helendale L Flood Damages to Wildlife Habitat L Determine Feasibility of Increasing Holding Capacity of Baldhill Dam L Social Impacts (caused by flooding) L Recreational Losses L Health Problems (insect, well contamination) L Impact of Future Economic (property values, rural, urban) and Urban Development L STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES Diking in flood plain area when it has no detrimental effects elsewhere. Construction of Kindred Dam. Diking around West Fargo. Diversion of water around West Fargo. Divert Sheyenne into Wild Rice three miles east of Kindred. Raise the elevation of Baldhill Dam. Divert Sheyenne to Bear Creek which flows into the James River. Retention structures, dams above valley. Channel improvement on Sheyenne and Maple. Small retention dams on Maple River. Enlarge drains 21, 13, and 45 in Cass County (control gates & maintenance). Install retention control structures on drains Snagging and cleaping of rivers and tributaries. Rebuild structures (such as highways and bridges) found to affect flooding. Increase storage capacity of wetlands to retain water. Divert Sheyenne River into the James River in the Lisbon area. Construction of storage reservoirs above and below Enderlin on Maple River. Dams in the coulees to the north of Sheyenne Delta escarpment. Construct dams on tributaries of Baldhill Creek to stabilize flows. Diversion of Shevenne into Rose Coulee Diversion of Sheyenne into Wild Rice's lower reaches. Re-evaluate and open the natural by-pass channels NON-STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES Flood plain zoning and enforcement. Relocation of most affected structures. No replacement of obsolete h mes in flood plain. Plan for development outside of flood plain. Study the effects of large reservoirs on water tables.

•

E-3

Stricter enforcement of drainage laws. Development of basin-wide drainage plan. Study of other rivers (than Sheyenne) causing flood problems. Create a regional or basin water planning approach. A program with financial incentives to retain water on farmland.

Study the effects of the Maple River on flooding. Fall release of water from Baldhill Dam or better management. Public purchase of land in flood plain and establish green belts. Flood plain zoning township level Study the effects of drainage.

Better legislation to control drainage. Need basic geological survey to determine drainage problems. Hydrological study of entire Red River Basin. Economic study of basin. Sociological study of basin.

Programs or legislation to encourage small retention dams. Evacuation of developments of flood plain. Provide flood insurance in flood plain. Hydrological study on the effects of drainage. Better land use planning. Eliminate flood insurance for future construction.

### IDENTIFIED PROBLEMS AND NEEDS: RECREATION, WILDLIFE, HISTORICAL

Problem or Need

#### Priority

Preservation of Sandhill Environment H Wildlife Habitat Preservation н Preservation of Prairie Chicken Habitat н Preservation of Duck Habitat Н Preserve Woodland of Sheyenne Basin H Need to Reduce Conflicts Between Recreation and Multiple Purpose Uses H Private Landowners and Liable for Accidents on Private Land and are not Compensated for Recreational Use Н Need to Determine Value of Small Springs coming into Sheyenne H Need to Determine Economic Value of Recreation to Area H Need to Maintain Unique Areas Н Need to Improve Trapping Н Need for Land Based Recreation in Sandhills М Preserve Scenic Values of Shevenne Basin Μ Wildlife Habitat Preservation (of deer) Μ Need for Improved Forestry Management Μ Need for Preservation at Little Yellowstone Fort Ransom Area Μ Study, Identify and Preserve Archeological and Historical Resources M Develop Suitable Areas for Off-Road Recreation Vehicles in Sandhills Area M Obstructions in River Prevent Canoeing Μ Need to Develop Hiking Trails м

i:-4		₽	•
Problem or Need	Priority		
Need to Determine Value of Natural Vegetation in Sheyenne Basin	M		
Need for Better Recreational Planning in the Area	М		
Need to Improve Hunting	M	•	•
Need to Improve Fishing	M	_	-
Need to Develop Water Based RecreationSheyenne Basin	L		
Public Acquisition & Preservation of Selected Lands for Recreational Use	L		
Better Identification of Public Areas with Signs	L		
Need for More Camping Facilities	L	•	•
Need to Develop Fort Ransom Park	L		
Preserve Mirror Pool Area	L		
Need to Determine Possible Effect of North Country Trail	L		
SUGGESTED ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS: RECREATION WILDLIFE, HISTORICAL		•	•
Develop more refuges and game management areas.			
Restrict drainage of wetlands			
Reactivate a soil bank program.			
Expand wetland easement program.		•	•
Develop a program to preserve unique areas.			
Develop a deer management plan for Sheyenne Basin. Publicize existing recreational areas.			
Construct small water impoundments for water recreation. Clean up existing water areas.			
Leave things as they are.		₽	٠
Development of primitive campsite areas.			
Designation of Sheyenne as a scenic river.			
Support programs for wildlife preservation.			
Restore Fort Ransom historical sites.		•	•
		•	•
IDENTIFIED PROBLEMS AND NEEDS: WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND OTHER			
Problem or Need	Priority	-	•
Drainage Contributing to Degradation of Water Quality in		•	•
Lower Sheyenne	H		
Need to Stabilize Flow	8		
Need for Improved Sewage Treatment Systems	H		
Up-Stream Polluters Have No Concern for Downstream Neighbors	н		
Need to Determine Causes for Poor Water Quality	H	₽	٠
Dead Animals Dumped into River	Н		
Feedlot Runoff into Sheyenne River	Н		
Fort Ransom Dumping Sewage into River	н		
Increased Residential Building in Rural Areas adding to			
Lowering Water Quality	Н	• •	•
Need to Preserve Natural Purification Areas in the Sheyenne Basin	н		
NG01H			





ļ

١

ļ

ł

1

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Problem or Need	Priority	
Need to Coordinate Garrison and Sheyenne River Planning	н	
High Water Tables Cause Problems	н	
Need to Retain Surface Water Supplies	н	ĩ
Declining Underground Water Supplies	Н	•
Bad Effects on Fish from Dissolved Solids in Lake Ashtabula	H	
Inadequate Urban Water Supply	М	
A. Fargo	M	
B. Moorhead	M	-
C. West Fargo	M	•
Need to Improve Water Quality in Lake Ashtabula	М	
Need to Raise Water Quality Standards for Streams	M	
Poor Water Quality in Lower Sheyenne River and Tributaries	M	
Excessive Use of Fertilizers	M	
Problem in Meeting Canada's Quality Standards	M	
Limit Economic Growth to Amount of Available Water	М	2
4-H Camp Dumping Sewage into River	M	
Inadequate Rural Water Supplies	М	
Aquifer Contamination from Irrigation Runoff	М	
Poor Water Quality Adversely Affects Soil and Livestock	M	
SUGGESTED ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS: WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY, & OTHER		•
Water Quality Alternatives		
Enforcement of water quality laws; Education program to inform people of causes of poor water Preservation of natural land filters. Improvement of municipal sewage treatment facilities. Management programs for feedlot control. Improve agricultural land management.	quality.	, ,
Water Quantity Alternatives		•
Place restrictions on amount of water used by Fargo-West Fargo-West Fargo to prevent the city using water supply as a source of revenue. Develop holding basins to retain surface water supplies. Develop new low level dams along river and tributaries.		•
		•

E-5

### APPENDIX F

9

2

ŀ

1

9

# SCOPE OF WORK FOR AN INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN, NORTH DAKOTA

### APPENDIX F

### SCOPE OF WORK FOR AN INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE LOWER SHEYENNE RIVER BASIN, NORTH DAKOTA

#### 1. Introduction

1.01 The Contractor will conduct an institutional analysis, as defined in this scope of work, for a study of water problems and related land use in the lower Sheyenne River Basin of North Dakota. The St. Paul District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is engaged in a Reformulation Study of water problems of the study area concerning 1) flood control, 2) water supply, 3) water quality, 4) land use, 5) floodplain regulation, 6) fish and wildlife, and 7) other related natural resources. The accomplishment of an institutional analysis is stipulated in planning regulations and guidance as a necessary and required step in the planning study process.

1.02 The planning regulations and guidance requiring an institutional analysis make explicit the defining operations of this analytical step in the planning process. The steps in the planning and study processes of the institutional analysis are given in ER 1105-2-22, Planning: Urban Studies Program. Accordingly, the analysis performed by the Contractor will consist of: 1) list of organizations; 2) description of (a) legal authorities, (b) policies, and (c) programs; 3) indication of impediments and constraints set by organizations, political arrangements and customs.

1.03 The purposes of the institutional analysis are represented in the several functions which it provides to the selection and design of a planning alternative which can actually be implemented. The implement-ability of a plan is evaluated by the following criteria: 1) capability of existing institutions to meet plan requirements; 2) acceptability of changes in local arrangements and procedures involving functions of organizations and inter-organizational relations; 3) financial, legal, and technological feasibility; 4) political and social acceptability.

1.04 The research approach of the Contractor will be empirical and quantitative to the fullest extent consistent with the general research design suggested by this scope of work, as may be amended by the contract negotiation process. No assertion of fact will be made without supporting evidence based on primary observation or documented secondary data.. Where speculation about possible states or futures are necessary, probabilities will be explicitly estimated. Qualitative information and value issues should be rigorously and precisely treated to the maximum extent permitted by the subject matter. An unstructured style, unsupported by points of evidence, is unacceptable.

1.05 The extent and character of the work to be accomplished by the Contractor will be subject to the general supervision, direction, control, and approval of the Contracting Officer.

### •

•

•

#### 2. General Performance Specifications

1

6

2.01 Interview Technique for Primary Data. The collection of primary data on the characteristics of organizations, groups, and associations and on their collective perceptions, preferences, and behavioral dispositions will be done by means of direct interviewing. Those interviewed will be the key officers, leaders, or representatives of highest accessible rank. The interviews will be conducted with a highly structured printed interview schedule form. To the maximum extent possible, each item will be constructed with closed answer categories so that only those items requiring probes for information to clarify an initial answer will be "open-ended." The answer categories will be at the highest level of measurement made possible by the question, to facilitate a precise interpretation of the data. In no case, excepting refusal of face-to-face meeting, or consent of the Contracting Officer, will the interview form be administered by mail. In cases of refusal, reason for the refusal will be documented.

2.02 Validation by Secondary Data. The Contractor will make a best effort to check and validate primary interview data by consulting published sources for factual and/or logical congruence. Examples of such sources are government documents, reference works and newspaper archives. When secondary data are not available, the use of expert informants or consultants may be appropriate. In such cases, informants will be named, their expertise documented, organizational ties specified, and any vested interests indicated.

2.03 Regional Information Source Contacts. Upon initiation of work for this contract, two organizations having comprehensive scope of surveillance and responsibility for water and other natural resources in the study area will be contacted. These organizations are: 1) The Lake Agassiz Regional Council, and 2) The North Dakota State Water Commission. Contact at a minimum, will consist of: 1) informing the officers of these organizations of the study intended by the Contractor, and 2) requesting lists of relevant organizations and inventories of law which may be a systematically organized part of the information base of these two organizations. The Contractor will also explicitly ask the continuing consultation, advice and cooperation of these two organizations in the conduct of this study.

2.04 Data Analysis. The presentation of information will be quantitative to the full extent made possible by having earlier met the specifications of this scope of work on the topics of measurement and interview item construction. The objective of this study is to provide information of a descriptive kind which will be a complete and reliable basis for planning decisions. It is also the expectation of the Contracting Officer that the Contractor will develop an analysis and interpret any apparent causal relationships perceived in the data which might, in the Contractor's professional judgement, have bearing on decision-making.

F-2

# \_

2.05 Data Presentation Format. Data will be presented in numerical and/or graphic display formats accompanied by rigorously interpretive text meeting the standards of professional journals. Examples applicable to the content of this study are: the <u>Administrative Science Quarterly</u>, the American Sociological Review, and the Journal of Applied <u>Psychology</u>.

### 3. <u>Report Requirements</u>

3.01 Structure of the Report. The study report will consist of: an abstract, table of contents, an introduction, six substantive sections, summary and conclusions section, a bibliography, and appendices. The six substantive sections will be: 1) Descriptive Inventory of Organizations, 2) Review of Water Resources and Related Land Use Legislation, 3) Organizational Responsibility and Objectives, 4) Organizational Perceptions, 5) Assessments of Other Organizations, and 6) Organizational Interrelations. The following sections contain a rough lay-out of the substantive content and framework of the major tasks.

3.02 The Abstract. The abstract will be a synopsis of the report stating the scope of work and the general conclusions which have emerged from the study.

3.03 The Table of Contents. The table of contents will present all major sections and subsections in outline format with both sections and page numbers appropriate to the introduction, report body, and appendices.

3.04 The Introduction. The introduction will include, but need not be limited to the following: 1) definition of institutional analysis, 2) the purpose and authority for the study, 3) a brief description of the study area encompassed by the lower Sheyenne River Basin, 4) a short characterization of the content and purpose of each major substantive section clarifying the rationale for its contribution to the general purposes of the study, 5) a statement of the research style and practices of the principal investigator and any pertinent assumptions unique to this particular investigation, and 6) a statement of the general methodological orientation spanning the work of all sections. A statement will direct readers to find the explanation of specific applied methods in each substantive section.

3.05 Descriptive Inventory of Organizations. An organizational inventory incorporating a number of descriptors will be compiled. This descriptive inventory will include all organizations, groups, and associations having jurisdiction, interest, or other potential for involvement in water resources planning within the geographic boundary of the study area.

The definition of the term "organization", for the purposes of this study, is to be more inclusive than the restrictive one denoted by the modifier "formal" as it is understood by contemporary practitioners of organizational systems and behavior research.

Criteria of definition for the more restricted category of "formal organizations" would include instances of institutionalized human association having nue or more of the following attributes: 1) collective name, 2) legal existence, 3) written charter, 4) list of membership, 5) set of officers, 6) an administrative support system, 7) physical equipment, 8) occasions of assembly, or 9) a set of explicit goals. In addition to these instances of clearly structured institutionalized behavior the investigation mandated by this scope of work will encompass any instance of collective behavior which meets the following minimal criteria. A "group" need only be a group in the strict sociological sense of having conjointly: 1) a shared goal, interest or perspective; 2) mutual awareness among members, and 3) active association in communication or cooperative behavior, whether or not actually meeting. Accordingly, the inventory will include informal voluntary associations and interest groups, as well as formalized organizations.

Suggested organizational categories might include but not be limited to the following:

-Governmental agencies	-Professional societies		
-Civic groups	-Occupational associations		
-Environmental groups	-Issue groups		
-Recreational associations	-Co-op organizations		
-Businesses	-Service organizations		

Characteristics of local and regional organizations which will benefit planning include the following:

-Staff expertise (incl. consultants) -Scope of jurisdiction -Project specific or permanent -Size (1) by membership, (2) by budget -Funding (source) -Organizational chart and officers (displayed in appendix) -Voluntary or paid relationship of members to the organization (if voluntary, is there a paid permanent staff? How many?) -Physical location

It is suggested that this first section for organizational inventory of the study area consist primarily of crosstabular display accompanied by commentary on the general institutional/organizational characteristics of the study area.

The following are the suggested minimum of organizations to be interviewed. The maximum number of organizations to be interviewed should not exceed approximately thirty-five. The final set of organizations to be interviewed will be subject to the prior approval of the Contracting Officer.

Barnes County Township Association Barnes County Water Management Board

**F-4** 

Į

Ľ

D

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation Cass County Township Association Cass County Water Management Board City of Fargo City of Lisbon City of West Fargo Environmental Interests Lake Agassiz Regional Council Lower Sheyenne Flood Control National Audubon Society North Dakota Soil Conservation Committee North Dakota State Game and Fish Department North Dakota State Park Service North Dakota State Planning Agency North Dakota State Water Commission North Dakota Wildlife Federation Ransom County Township Association Ransom County Water Management Board Red River Regional Council Richland County Township Association Richland County Water Management Board Shevenne Valley Association Sheyenne Valley Grazing Association Souris-Red-Rainy, Minnesota Souris-Red-Rainy, North Dakota S. Central Dakota Regional Council Valley City Water Users Association, Fargo and West Fargo

3.06 Review of Water Resources and Related Land Use Legislation. An inventory and analysis of water resources and related land use legislation pertaining to the study area will be developed. Laws, regulations and ordinances reviewed will include all those having jurisdiction in the study area and originating from municipal, county, State, and Federal authority. These enactments will be displayed by their content in the categories indicated in the headings of the table given in figure 3.1 of the Institutional Analysis Appendix, Binghamton Wastewater Management Study, Corps of Engineers, June 1976.

An appendix to the study report will consist of the official summary statements of the law. The body of the report will contain annotative explanations of laws, cited by title. These explanations will include summary, originating authority, enforcement provisions, and any implications for design considerations of the study. The emphasis and detail of this task will be directed toward State and local statutes.

Advice on sources for compiling this inventory of laws, regulations, and ordinances will be sought first from the Lake Agassiz Regional Council and From the North Dakota State Water Commission.

Care will be taken to devise criteria for quickly identifying only that subset of Federal and State enactments which directly apply to the study area and its specific water and other natural resource problems as addressed in the context of this study.

3.07 Organizational Responsibilities and Objectives. This section of the report should contain a description of how organizations interpret the legal obligations profiled in the immediately preceding section. Their customary functions, with special focus on how these functions are translated as goals and policy orientations, should also be described.

At a minimum, this section should include measurement and analysis of the organizational attributes and behavioral dispositions indicated by the outline of variables shown below.

- A. Perceived water resources responsibilities
  - 1. Funding obligations
  - 2. Regulatory functions
  - 3. Implementation support
  - 4. Maintenance

I

L

B. Policy orientations

From these measurements of organizational perceptions, responsibilities, and preferences the Contractor will be responsible for presenting conditions which will set the contributions and constraints of participating organizations in the area to the planning study. The tasks of this section will be accomplished by the direct administration of a structured interview form to key officers of organizations. In all possible instances interview data will be validated by documents and other secondary sources.

3.08 Organizational Perceptions. Information on organizationally perceived opportunities and costs involved in area problems and potential solutions is requested below.

- A. From the perspective of your organizational responsibilities and interests, identify the water resource and related problems in this area.
- B. What are the potential effects of possible solutions to each of these problems in terms of outcomes for your organization?
  - 1. Gain of new function, property, revenue
  - 2. Loss of function, property, revenue
    - s. Absolute
    - b. Compensated
    - c. Fair and equitable
  - 3. No effect

3.09 Assessments of Other Organizations. The purpose of this research task is to discover the perspective of each organization toward other organizations in the context of their potential roles in the study and resolution of problems relating to water and other natural resources in the lower Sheyenne River Basin.

The rationale for the items suggested below is to measure and assess the perceptions of organizational representatives concerning the institutional environment in which they function.

- A. List of other organizations considered significant to the study and resolution of area water resource and related problems.
- B. Perception of effects on other organizations arising from probable solutions to each problem.
  - 1. Loss of function, property, revenue
  - 2. Gain of function, property, revenue
  - 3. No effect on other organizations

3.10 Organizational Interrelations. The substance of this section will be designed to assess the network of responsibility and participation of all organizations in water and related land resource decision-making activities. Interrelations among the organizations identified in 3.05 will be developed on the basis of the partial list of suggested criteria below.

- A. List of major organizational functions/tasks
  - 1. For each function; to which of the other significant organizations does this organization have a reporting obligation?
  - 2. With which of the other organizations does this organization maintain ongoing communication for the purpose of coordination in particular functions?
  - 3. For each major function/task of this organization which other organizations have responsibility for the same function/task within the same jurisdictional boundaries, in whole or in part?
    - a. In law
    - b. In practice
- B. Will participation by this organization in the planning study consist primarily of direct contact with the Corps, or of indirect relations with the Corps, through other organizations? (If primarily indirect, which organizations will mediate?)

3.11 The final part of the body of the report will be the Summary and Conclusions section. In addition to implied content, it will contain

any recommendations which the Contractor may consider helpful to the best use and development of area institutional arrangements for water resources study and planning in the lower Sheyenne River Basin.

3.12 Bibliography. The bibliography will present all secondary data sources utilized in the execution of this contract study. The bibliography will also contain references to source works supporting research design, methods, and data analysis for the purpose of documenting compliance with accepted practices in contemporary organizational research. The method of presentation will be in accord with that of professional research journals. Examples can be found in the publications specified in 2.06.

3.13 Appendices. The appendices will contain: 1) a copy of the interview form, 2) a coding document, 3) a methodological discussion, and, 4) official summaries of laws inventoried.

#### 4. Format and Materials Specifications

2

4.01 Text materials will be typed on bond paper, 8.5 inches by 11.0 inches, with a 1.5-inch margin on the left side, 1-inch margins on the top and right and 1.5-inch margin at the bottom.

4.02 Information will be presented in textual, tabular, and graphic forms, whichever is most appropriate, effective and advantageous to communicate the necessary information.

4.03 The title page of the report will carry an appropriate inscription indicating the source of funds used to conduct the work, the contract number, the name of the principal investigator, and the date.

4.04 All figures must be readily reproducible by standard xerographic equipment.

4.05 The Contractor will furnish the labor, supplies and equipment needed to complete the study and to produce the report on the reconnaissance as outlined in this scope of work.

4.06 The Contractor will submit 10 copies of a draft report. The Contractor will submit one original and 15 copies of the final report which will include appropriate revisions in response to the Contracting Officer's comments, within 30 days of receipt of those comments.

4.07 Neither the Contractor nor his/her representatives will release or publish any sketch, photograph, report, or other material of any nature obtained or prepared under this contract without specific written approval of the Contracting Officer.



		25		Y	ł.,
		V			Ч. #
			Transfer of		E
2 4		K \$			
	erre e l'anne				Υ.,