



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



COMPUTER-AIDED STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING (CASE) PROJECT

INSTRUCTION REPORT K-84-2

USER'S GUIDE: COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR OPTIMUM DYNAMIC DESIGN OF NONLINEAR METAL PLATES UNDER BLAST LOADING (CSDOOR)

by

John M. Ferritto

Civil Engineering Laboratory

U. S. Navy Construction Battalion Center Port Hueneme, Calif. 93043

Robert M. Wamsley U. S. Army Engineer Division, Huntsville Huntsville, Ala. 35807

Paul K. Senter Automatic Data Processing Center U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station P. O. Box 631, Vicksburg, Miss. 39180



January 1984 Final Report

Approved For Public Release; Distribution Unli

APR 1 1 1984

E

Prepared for Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Army Washington, D. C. 20314 **24** 04 10 108 Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

This program is furnished by the Government and is accepted and used by the recipient with the express understanding that the United States Government makes no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the information and data contained in this program or furnished in connection therewith, and the United States shall be under no liability whatsoever to any person by reason of any use made thereof. The program belongs to the Government. Therefore, the recipient further agrees not to assert any proprietary rights therein or ', represent this program to anyone as other than a Government program.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

and the second second

PROGRAM INFORMATION

Description of program

CSDOOR called X0057 in the <u>Conversationally Oriented Real-Time</u> <u>Programming System (CORPS) library, is useful to perform rapid design of metal</u> plates used to form the sides and roofs of blast cells and of metal used as doors--regular and built-up. The program may be used for any structural materials for which the material properties are known, but steel is the most commonly used. The program may be used, with limitations, to optimize the design by finding the least-cost structures that satisfy all the design constraints.

Coding and data format

CSDOOR is written in FORTRAN and is operational on the following systems:

- <u>a.</u> U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) Honeywell DPS/1.
- b. Office of Personnel Management Honeywell 6000 Series at Macon, Ga.

<u>c</u>. Control Data Corporation CYBERNET System, CYBER 170/760. Data can be input either interactively from the user's terminal or from a prepared data file with line numbers. When data are input from the terminal during execution, the program provides prompting messages to indicate the type and amount of input data to be provided. Output can be obtained at the terminal, written to a permanent file for listing at the terminal at a

later date, or directed to a mainframe line printer.

How To use CSDOOR

A short description of how to access the program on each of the three systems is provided below. It is assumed that the user knows how to sign on the appropriate system before trying to use CSDOOR. In the example initiation of execution commands below, all user responses are underlined, and each should be followed by a carriage return.

WES DPS/4 and Macon systems

After the user has signed on the system, the two system commands FORT and NEW get the user to the level to execute the program. Next, the user issues the run command

RUN WESLIB/CORPS/X0057,R

to initiate execution of the program. The program is then run as described in this user's guide. The data file should be prepared prior to issuing the RUN command. An example of initiation of execution is as follows, assuming a data file had previously been prepared:

HIS SERIES 600 ON 10/17/83 AT CHANNEL 5647 USER ID - RØKACASEMP PASSWORD -SYSTEM? FORT NEW

0364200

COEWES HIS TIMESHARING ON 10/17/83 AT 10.328 CHANNEL 2244 TS1

USER ID --ROKAOMP PASSWORD --

USERS = 043 TSS=145K MEM-USED=38 SYS=0128K PRO=2 000-WAIT-000K

*FORT NEW

READY

*RUN WESLIB/CORPS/X0057,R

CYBERNET System

The log-on procedure is followed by a call to the CORPS procedure file

OLD, CORPS/UN=CECELB

to access the CORPS library. The file name of the program is used in the command

BEGIN, CORPS, X0057

to initiate execution of the program. An example is:

83/10/17. 11.07.05. AA313IA EASTERN CYBERNET CENTER SN487 NOS 1.4/531.281/18AD FAMILY: KOE USER NAME: CEROOO

TERMINAL: 515, NAMIAF RECOVER/CHARGE: CHARGE,CEROXXX,CEROOO \$CHARGE,CEROXXX,CEROOO

07.05.42. WARNING

ALL KOE USERS PLZ TYPE EXPLAIN, RBF, CONFIG.

2

Ł

C>OLD, CORPS/UN=CECELB C>BEGIN,, CORPS, X0057

How To Use CORPS

The CORPS system contains many other useful programs which may be catalogued from CORPS by use of the LIST command. The execute command for CORPS on the WES and Macon systems is:

RUN WESLIB/CORPS/CORPS,R ENTER COMMAND (HELP,LIST,BRIEF,MESSAGE,EXECUTE,OR STOP) *?LIST

on the Boeing system, the commands are:

OLD, CORPS/UN=CECELB ENTER COMMAND (HELP, LIST, BRIEF, MESSAGE, EXECUTE, OR STOP) *?LIST

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Instruction Report K-84-2	k0053
. TITLE (and Subtitle)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
USER'S GUIDE: COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR OPTIMUM	Final report
DYNAMIC DESIGN OF NONLINEAR METAL PLATES UNDER BLAST LOADING (CSDOOR)	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(*) John M. Ferritto, Robert M. Wamsley, and Paul K. Senter	5. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10 PROCEAM EL ENENT PROJECT TAEL
civil Engineering Laboratory, U. S. Navy Con-	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
93043; U. S. Army Engineer Division, Huntsville,	Computer-Aided Structural Engineering (CASE) Project
Huntsville, Ala. 35807; and Automatic Data Pro-	
Experiment Station P. O. Per 621 Michaelung	January 1984
Miss. 39180.	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	55
Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Army	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Washington, D. C. 20314	Unclassified
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
Approved for public release; distribution unlimite	d.
Approved for public release; distribution unlimite 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different fr	en Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimite 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Black 20, 11 different in 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Information Serv This report was prepared under the Computer-Aided Project. A list of published CASE reports is prin back cover. 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alds 11 necessary and identify by block number Blast Impulse Computation Objective Function CSDOOR Plates Dynamic Response Equation Structural Optimiza Finite Element Analysis Unconstrained Minim Maximum Reaction (REA)	d. an Report) rice, Springfield, Va. 22151 Structural Engineering (CAS) ited on the inside of the) tion ization Approach
Approved for public release; distribution unlimite 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different in 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Available from National Technical Information Serv This report was prepared under the Computer-Aided Project. A list of published CASE reports is prin back cover. 5. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde 11 necessary and identify by block number Blast Impulse Computation Objective Function CSDOOR Plates Dynamic Response Equation Structural Optimiza Finite Element Analysis Unconstrained Minim Maximum Reaction (REA) 4. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse adds N measures and identify by block number) CSDOOR called X0057 in the Conversationally Programming System (CORPS) library, is useful to p plates used to form the sides and roofs of blast c doors-regular and built-up. The program may be us rials for which the material properties are known used. The program may be used, with limitations, funding the least-cost structures that satisfies a	d. an Report) rice, Springfield, Va. 22153 Structural Engineering (CAS) ited on the inside of the content of the content of the content of the the the content of the con

t

C

PREFACE

6

This user's guide documents a computer program called CSDOOR that can be used for optimum dynamic design of nonlinear metal plates subjected to blast (pressure-time) loading. CSDOOR is a modified version of a program called SDOOR that was written by Mr. John M. Ferritto, Civil Engineering Laboratory (CEL), U. S. Navy Construction Battalion Center, Port Hueneme, Calif. The SDOOR program was modified to include peak gas pressures used by the Huntsville Division (fig. 3-9 described in HNDM-1110-1-2) and to allow it to execute in a time-sharing mode with free-field input. The program is useful to perform rapid design of metal plates which function as sides and roofs of blast cells and those which serve as doors--regular and built-up. Detailed analysis and the fine points of design should be considered by other means. For example, design of connections should be in accordance with Huntsville Division Report HNDM-1110-1-2, "Suppressive Shields Structural Design and Analysis Handbook."

Funds for modification of the SDOOR program and preparation of this user's guide were provided to the Automatic Data Processing (ADP) Center, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), by the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE), under the Computer-Aided Structural Engineering (CASE) Project.

The program was tested and recommended for Corps of Engineers' use by the CASE Task Group on Structures Subject to Explosion:

Mr. Robert Wamsley, Huntsville Division (Chairman)
Mr. Dennis Bellet, Sacramento District
Mr. John M. Ferritto, U. S. Navy Construction Battalion Center
Mr. Byron Foster, South Atlantic Division
Mr. William Gaube, Omaha District
Mr. William Hill, Middle East Division
Dr. Paul F. Mlakar, WES

Major parts of this user's guide are taken directly from Mr. Ferritto's original report on SDOOR (CEL Technical Note TN-1518). Mr. Wamsley and Mr. Paul K. Senter, ADP Center, WES, were responsible for the program modifications and wrote those parts of the report pertaining to the modifications. Dr. N. Radhakrishnan, Chief, ADP Center, WES, monitored the work, assisted by Mr. Senter. Mr. Seymour Schneider and, later, Mr. George Matsumura, Military Programs Directorate, were the OCE points of contact.

Commanders and Directors of WES during the period of development were COL Nelson P. Conover, CE, and COL Tilford C. Creel, CE. Technical Director was Mr. Frederick R. Brown.

CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE	1
LIST OF FIGURES	4
CONVERSION FACTORS, U. S. CUSTOMARY (NON-SI) TO METRIC (SI)	
UNITS OF MEASUREMENT	5
PART I: INTRODUCTION	6
Computer Program CSDOOR	6
Background of Original Computer Program (SDOOR) Development •••	6
PART II: ANALYTICAL METHODS AND LIMITATIONS	9
PART III: STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION	10
PART IV: APPROXIMATE COMPUTATION OF DOOR REACTION	16
PART V: THE COMPUTER PROGRAM	24
Subroutines	24
Program Input	25
Example Problems	32
REFERENCES	33
APPENDIX A: EXAMPLE PROBLEM 1, ANALYZE STEEL DOOR	A1
APPENDIX B: EXAMPLE PROBLEM 2, ANALYZE STEEL PLATE	B1
APPENDIX C: EXAMPLE PROBLEM 3, ANALYZE STEEL WALL	C1
APPENDIX D: SAMPLE DATA GUIDE	D1

Access	lon For	
NTIS	GRA&I	X
DTIC T	18	
U nanno	ುಗಾಂತರ	
Justif	leation_	
By	1. + : on /	
Dist	· · · /	
Ava D	110 C 1 C 17	Codes
	Avn L - 4	i/or
Dist	Spelia	1
A-/		
YLL		

Contraction and the

1;

C

Section Section

o Tic

3

1

and the second

LIST OF FIGURES

No.		Page
1	Logic diagram for interior penalty function technique	12
2	Step process, Powell method	13
3	Logic diagram for minimization of $\phi(X)$	14
4	One-dimensional minimization algorithm	15
5	Displacement history of 4- by 4-ft plate	17
6	Deflection of center, 10-ft beam	18
7	Shear in plate	19
8	Geometry slab with door	20
9	Deflection of door center	21
10	Door reaction	22
11	Equivalent pressure loading	25
12	Plate geometry	30
13	Wall geometry with opening	32

5

فلأخترج

_)

Sec. Sec. La

CONVERSION FACTORS, U. S. CUSTOMARY (NON-SI) TO METRIC (SI) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

U. S. customary (NON-SI) units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

i.

C

Multiply	Ву	To Obtain
cubic feet	0.02831685	cubic meters
Fahrenheit degrees	5/9	Celsius degrees*
feet	0.3048	meters
inches	2.54	centimeters
pounds (force) per inch	1.75126850	newtons per centimeter
pounds (force) per square inch	6.89475789	kilopascals
pounds (mass)	0.45359237	kilograms
square feet	0.09290304	square meters

* To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit (F) readings, use the following formula: C = (5/9) (F - 32).

USER'S GUIDE: COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR OPTIMUM DYNAMIC DESIGN OF NONLINEAR METAL PLATES UNDER BLAST LOADING (CSDOOR)*

PART I: INTRODUCTION

Computer Program CSDOOR

1. Program CSDOOR was developed to perform rapid design of metal plates used to form the sides and roofs of blast cells and of metal plates used as doors. The program includes provisions for the use of plastic section modules for built-up doors, but optimization of such doors should not be performed because the weight-strength function is not defined. Nonhomogeneous door sections are not usually thought of as plates. However, for simplification, the term "plate" will be used throughout this guide to denote these sections, whether they be sides, roofs, walls, or built-up doors. Also, the program may be used for any structural material for which the material properties are known; however, because steel is more commonly used in construction, the word "steel" will be used in this guide.

Background of Original Computer Program (SDOOR) Development

2. The Department of Defense (DOD) has numerous facilities in which various types of explosives and munitions used by military services are produced. In most cases the production of ammunition utilizes assembly line procedures. Projectiles pass through various stages of preparation: filling with explosive, fuzing, marking, and packing. Hazardous operations, such as the filling of the projectile case with an explosive in a powder form and the compaction of the powder by hydraulic press, are accomplished in protective cells that are intended to confine the effects of an accidental explosion.

ó

^{*} CSDOOR is designated X0057 in the <u>Conversationally Oriented Real-Time</u> <u>Program-Generating System (CORPS) library.</u> Three sheets entitled "Program Information" have been hand-inserted inside the front cover of this report. They present general information on the program and describe how it can be accessed. If procedures used to access this and other CORPS library programs should change, recipients of this report will be furnished a revised version of the "Program Information."

3. Most of the existing production facilities were built in the 1940's. With few exceptions, the manufacturing technology and existing equipment represent the state of the art at that time. The production equipment was operated extensively during World War II, again during the Korean conflict, and recently during the Southeast Asia war. Much of this equipment and many of the structures housing it have been operating beyond their designed capacities.

4. DOD is conducting an ammunition plant modernization program intended to greatly enhance safety in the production plants by protective construction and automated processing and to reduce the number of personnel involved in hazardous operations (Mendolia 1973).

5. In 1969 Technical Manual 5-1300 (Departments of the Army, Navy, and Air Force 1969) was published to provide guidance to structural designers of munition plants. Specific objectives of the manual were to establish design procedures and construction techniques to (a) prevent propagation of explosions from one building (or part of a building) to another, (b) prevent mass detonations, and (c) protect personnel and equipment. The manual establishes blast-load parameters for designing protective structures and provides methods for calculating the dynamic response of steel plates. The design method accounts for close-in effects of a detonation with its associated high pressures and nonuniformity of loading on protective barriers.

6. A detailed method for assessing the degree of protection afforded by a protective facility did not exist prior to this manual's publication; consequently, the manual represents a significant improvement in design methods. The simplifications made in the development of the design procedures are presented in the manual. The analysis of a structure using the design procedure will generally result in a conservative estimate of the structure's capacity; therefore, structures designed using these procedures will generally be adequate for blast loads exceeding the assumed load conditions.

7. Even with the simplifications presented in TM 5-1300, the computational procedures are complex and time-consuming. An automated procedure was needed to enable structural designers to perform rapid analyses of the structural safety of blast-resistant walls and doors. The design parameters interact in a complex way since the procedure is both nonlinear and dynamic. From a design point of view, an optimization procedure was needed to minimize cost and maximize safety since blast-resistant construction has been reported

7

to cost three to five times as much as conventional construction. Therefore, the first objective was to automate the analysis procedures for determining the structural response of steel plates having a bilinear stiffness representation and subjected to blast shock and gas pressures. Plates are the basic elements forming sidewalls, roofs, floors, and doors of cells designed to confine the effects of accidental explosions. The second objective was to provide an optimum design procedure that will automatically produce a leastcost design for a given geometry as well as material properties and explosive weight for both feasible and nonfeasible starting points.

8. To meet these objectives, the U. S. Navy Construction Battalion Center developed a program SDOOR that automated the analysis procedures and optimized the design procedures (Ferritto 1977). However, additional modifications to the program were needed to include peak gas pressures, which are used by the U. S. Army Engineer Division Huntsville in design, and to allow the program to execute in a time-sharing mode with free-field input. Personnel of the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, the Huntsville Division, and the U. S. Navy Construction Battalion Center collaborated to modify SDOOR--hence CSDOOR.

PART II: ANALYTICAL METHODS AND LIMITATIONS

9. In general, the analytical methods used in computer program CSDOOR follow those in TM 5-1300; consequently, the accuracy of both is the same. The methods are discussed in detail in TM 5-1300, TN 1494 (Ferritto 1977) and WES Instruction Report K-81-6, neither of which will be presented here. The CSDOOR solution of the dynamic response equation of motion has been found to agree very closely with the response chart of TM 5-1300. Additionally, the solution covers a wider range; thus it is more accurate in the areas not defined by the response chart. When the loading is less than one twentieth of the natural period, the response is determined by impulse equilibrium. The basic dynamic model in TM 5-1300 is limited to the primary response mode and does not consider higher modes.

10. The blast impulse computation is restricted to a wall geometry in which the height-to-length ratio is greater than 0.2. A modification was made by the Naval Surface Weapons Center to the original Picatinny Arsenal Program to remove several minor problem areas, such as the location of the charge. The blast impulse has all the limitations associated with the original Picatinny programs that are caused by limitations in the test data. It assumes the charge is an equivalent sphere of TNT. Shape effects, explosive equivalence, and explosive casings are considered, but only in an empirical manner as a result of limited available data.

PART III: STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION

11. The optimization problem consists of finding the least-cost structure that satisfies all the design constraints; or, stated in optimization terms, it consists of finding \vec{X} such that $M(\vec{X})$ is a minimum and

$$g_{i}(\vec{x}) \leq 0$$
 $i = 1, 2, N$

where

 \vec{X} = vector of design variables

- N = number of design constraints
- g = vector of design constraints
- M = objective function

Specifically for this problem, the design variable selected is thickness of steel plate or section properties for a nonhomogeneous section. The design constraints are the flexural and shear limits. The objective function is the cost of the steel.

- 12. The fixed variables are
 - W = explosive weight
 - H = height
 - EL = length
 - h = height of explosive above floor
 - l = distance of explosive from left side of wall
 - R_{a} = distance of explosive from wall
 - I = reflection code
 - f = dynamic yield stress
 - μ = ductility
- 13. The design parameter X is
 - X = t (thickness of plate)
- 14. The constraints g(X) are
 - $\delta(X) = \delta(\theta)$, maximum deflection
 - $t \ge 0.05$, minimum that is
 - $t \leq 20$, maximum thus

15. The methodology sele he unconstrained minimization

approach (Fox 1971 and Pope 1971 \rightarrow problem is converted to an unconstrained minimization by constructing a function ϕ of the general form

$$\phi(\vec{X},r) = M(\vec{X}) + P[g_1(\vec{X}), ..., g_n(\vec{X}), r]$$

For this problem the interior penalty function technique was selected. This methodology is suitable when gradients are not available, and, because the method uses the feasible region, a usable solution always results. The objective function is augmented with a penalty term that is small at points away from the constraints in the feasible region but increases rapidly as the constraints are approached. The form is as follows:

$$\phi(\vec{X},r) = M(\vec{X}) - r \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_j(\vec{X})}$$

where M is to be minimized over all \vec{X} satisfying $g(\vec{X}) < 0$, j = 2, ...,N. Note that if r is positive, then, since at any interior point all of the terms in the sum are negative, the effect is to add a positive penalty to $M(\vec{X})$. As the boundary is approached, some $g(\vec{X})$ will approach zero, and the penalty will increase rapidly. The parameter r will be made successively smaller in order to obtain the constrained minimum of M.

16. As stated above, the objective function (F) is the cost of the steel. Or

$$Cost = F = H \cdot EL \cdot t \cdot C$$

where C is the volumetric cost of material.

$$\phi = F + r \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{g_j(\vec{x})}$$

where r is the penalty parameter.

Ł.

17. The program requires a starting point in the feasible region before optimization can proceed. The program can automatically determine the starting point by incrementing the design variables until a feasible point is reached.

18. An algorithm which comprises the steps most commonly used is as follows:

<u>a</u>. Given a starting point X_0 , satisfying all $g_1(\vec{X}) < 0$,

and an initial value for r , minimize $\,\phi\,$ to obtain X_{min} .

- <u>b</u>. Check for convergence of X_{\min} to the optimum.
- c. If the convergence criterion is not satisfied, reduce r by r + rc, where c < 1.
- <u>d</u>. Compute a new starting point for the minimization, initialize the minimization algorithm, and repeat from step a.

The logic diagram for the interior penalty functions technique is shown in Figure 1.

19. The minimization for $\phi(\vec{X},r)$ shown in Figure 1 is accomplished by a method developed by Powell using conjugate directions (Fox 1971). Powell's method can be understood as follows: Given that the function has been minimized once in each of the coordinate directions and then in the associated pattern direction, discard one of the coordinate directions in favor of the pattern direction for inclusion in the next m minimizations, since this is likely to be a better direction than the discarded coordinate direction. After the next cycle of minimizations, generate a new pattern direction and again replace one of the coordinate directions. This process is illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 1. Logic diagram for interior penalty function technique





20. Figure 3 is a logic diagram for the unconstrained minimization algorithm. The pattern move is constructed in block A, then used for a minimization step (blocks B and C), and then stored in S_n (block D) as all of the directions are up-numbered and S_1 is discarded. The direction S_n will then be used for a minimizing step just before the construction of the next pattern direction. Consequently, in the second cycle, both X and Y in block A are points that are minima along S_n , the last pattern direction.

13

€



Figure 3. Logic diagram for minimization of $\phi(X)$

This sequence will impart special properties to $S_{n+1} = X - Y$ that are the source of the rapid convergence of the method.

21. Figure 3 shows a block requiring a one-dimensional minimization of α^* of the function $\phi(\vec{X} + \alpha^*S_q)$. The one-dimensional minimization uses a four-point cubic interpolation. It finds the minimum along the direction S_q , where \vec{X} is the coordinate of the previous minimum. By trial and error it finds three points with the middle one less than the other two. It makes a quadratic interpolation and, then, a cubic interpolation. If the actual function evaluated at the new interpolated point is not sufficiently close to that of the preceding point, or if it is not sufficiently close to the interpolated function, then another cubic interpolation is made. The logic for this algorithm is shown in Figure 4.



AND THE WARD OF COMPANY

O

satisfies $F_3 > F_1 > F_2$ or $F_1 > F_3 > F_2$



15

PART IV: APPROXIMATE COMPUTATION OF DOOR REACTION

22. It should be emphasized that this program is intended to assist in rapid approximate design and not detailed analysis. The basic procedures in TM 5-1300, TN-1494 and used herein have been found to be sufficiently accurate for simple geometries of beams and slabs without openings. Figures 5 and 6 compare deflections for a plate fixed on four sides and for a beam; the approximate solutions and the finite element solutions agree within about 10 percent. However, Figure 7 shows that the static shear procedures suggested in TM 5-1300 are substantially below dynamic shears; this is a limitation of the approximate procedures and is under current investigation.

23. A steel door attached to a concrete wall was examined using a finite element technique. Figure 8 shows the slab and door; Figure 9 shows the deflection of the door by the approximate procedure developed herein and the finite element procedure. There is some disagreement in deflection, especially when one considers the deflecting top support. It should be particularly noted that the deflecting support condition for actual doors on slabs (modeled correctly by finite element and assumed rigid by approximate solution) absorbs significant amounts of energy by rigid-body/door motion. Thus, the resulting center door deflection is reduced. The resulting dynamic shear around the door (transferred to the wall) is reduced from what would be computed for a nondeflecting plate using approximate dynamic plate theory (Figure 10). The alternatives are to use finite element analysis procedures or to modify dynamic plate theory. Finite element analysis is certainly the better approach; however, it is basically an analysis technique and is more difficult and expensive to use than the simpler approximate procedure. It is suggested that the shear calculated from approximate plate theory be adjusted by a constant for use as a door reaction required for input to wall design (Ferritto 1977).

24. The maximum reaction (REA) occurs at the moment the slab first reaches yield. At this point the combination of load and resistance is maximum. Table 1 gives maximum dynamic reaction for a simply supported plate. For the case of one side free and three sides simply-supported, the b-dimension doubled may be used. The values of pressure P and resistance



€,

C



R should correspond to time of yielding. The reaction values should be adjusted for support deflection. The value of 1.0 is suggested for nondeflecting supports and 0.5 for full deflecting supports as approximate factors. Once design has been finalized it is suggested that results be analyzed using a finite element analysis.

17

l





t



Ł

Figure 7. Shear in plate

تر

ł



Figure 8. Geometry slab with door



(_______)

Figure 9. Deflection of door center

 $s' \in \mathbb{R}^n$

.....



Figure 10. Door reaction

	Tal	ole l	
Four	Sides,	Uniform	Load*

		SIMPLE SUPPORT b LONG SIDE	
Strain		Dynamic Re	actions**
Range	<u>a/b</u>	VA′B	^V _B ^{/ a}
Elastic	1.0	0.07P + 0.18R	0.07P + 0.18R
	0.9	0.06P + 0.16R	0.08P + 0.20R
	0.8	0.06P + 0.14R	0.08P + 0.22R
	0.7	0.05P + 0.13R	0.08P + 0.24R
	0.6	0.04P + 0.11R	0.09P + 0.26R
	0.5	0.04P + 0.09R	0.09P + 0.28R
Plastic	1.0	0.09P + 0.16R	0.09P + 0.16R
	0.9	m = 0.08P + 0.15R	m = 0.09P + 0.18R
	0.8	m 0.07P + 0.13R	m = 0.10P + 0.20R
	0.7	m = 0.06P + 0.12R	m = 0.10P + 0.22R
	0.6	m 0.05P + 0.10R_	m 0.10P + 0.25R_
	0.5	$0.04P + 0.08R_{m}$	$0.11P + 0.27R_{m}$

 * Based on information from Norris (1959).
 ** P = pressure at time of yield, psi R = elastic resistance, psi R = plastic resistance, psi

£,

23

1

PART V: THE COMPUTER PROGRAM

25. The CSDOOR program is composed of four areas:

- a. Blast load determination.
- b. Structural analysis parameters.
- c. Dynamic response.
- d. Optimization.

Subroutines

Blast load determination

26. The blast-load determination is accomplished by subroutines BLA, PIC, SGRID, HBA, RATIO, GRID, GAS INTERP, EQUIV, HEDATA, ARDC, SHOCK, and TNT. The subroutines read the explosive weight and type and cell geometry. The equivalent spherical weight of TNT and the equivalent pressure loading is computed based on the geometry of the wall and charge location. Both the shock pressure and its duration and the gas pressure and its duration are calculated. Using the duration and pressure data for both shock and gas, the program computes an equivalent triangular pressure loading for each part and adds both to produce the resultant shown in Figure 11. The total impulse is then determined.

Structural analysis

27. The structural analysis is accomplished by subroutines SSTIFF, DOOR1, DOOR2, DOOR3, DOOR4, and DOOR5. These routines compute the stiffness, resistance, and equivalent mass of the plate using input material properties as in TM 5-1300. Both flexure and shear are considered. Openings in plates are allowed.

Dynamic response

28. The dynamic response calculation is accomplished in subroutine RESP. The program determines the response of the plate modeled as an equivalent dynamic single-degree-of-freedom system with bilinear stiffness and the pressure loading shown in Figure 11. The solution technique is based on a Newmark iteration method.

Optimization

29. The optimization of an initial design is accomplished in subroutines OPT, MINIMZ, PMINZ, DMINZ, GETE, SUMRY, TLEFT, and GCOMP. The



Figure 11. Equivalent pressure loading

methodology used is that of a penalty function with individual minimization sequences being accomplished by the Powell method.

Program Input

Data input guide

30. The following sections describe the data input phase of CSDOOR and the various options available. A data input guide was prepared to aid the user in data preparation. This guide, with appropriate entries, is presented in Appendix A with each example problem. Also, a blank copy of the guide is presented at the back of this report. Illustrative results are presented for the following example problems:

- a. Analyze steel door.
- b. Analyze steel plate.
- c. Analyze steel wall.

Data groups

C

31. Defining a problem involves specification of 7 basic data groups

composed of about 48 variables. The program can be run by making use of an existing data file having sequence numbers at the start of each line. As an alternative mode of input, an interactive phase is also provided which assists the user in defining data for a particular problem. All data are entered in free-field format with commas or blanks used to separate the successive numbers. All values can be input with or without decimal points (for instance, FLAG1 = 1 can be input either as 1. or as 1). If the user so desires, data input interactively can be saved into a permanent file with line numbers. The output from a problem can be written to the terminal or into a permanent file to be either scanned with an editor or sent to a line printer.

32. The user should be aware that data saved in a file may not coincide exactly with the values input interactively. The data are written to a file using field widths adequate for practical situations. For instance, most variables are written using two digits past the decimal point. In the event that greater accuracy is needed in the recorded data, the data file can be edited accordingly.

33. The different data groups with names of the variables for each one as used in the program are as follows:

<u>a</u> .	Data Grou	<u> 1p 1Heading (HDG):</u>
	HDG	- Alphanumeric heading for problem identifica- tion; 68 characters maximum
<u>b</u> .	Data Grou FLAG4, FI	<u>1p 2Program Control (FLAG1, FLAG2, FLAG3, LAG5, PC, COST):</u>
	FLAG1*	- Set = 1 for optimization; otherwise = 0
ĩ	FLAG2	- Set = 0 to calculate gas pressure; set = 1 to input gas pressure
;	FLAG3	- Set = 0 for plate thickness (TS); set = 1 for section modulus (Z) and moment of inertia (I)
	FLAG4	- Set = 1 for impulse grid; otherwise = 0
	FLAG5	- Set = 1 for door/window reaction present; otherwise = 0
	PC	- Set = 0 for standard printout = 1 for print response time-history

* Optimization cannot be used if a composite door is used (FLAG3 = 1) or if a door is present (FLAG5 = 1).

	COST	- Cost of steel per dollars per lb* (default = 0.60)
<u>c</u> .	Data Grou APAMB, TA	up 3Load Parameters (WLB, ANUM, RLOD, CASE, AMB, ALTKFT):
	WLB	- Weight of actual explosive including safety factor, 1b
	ANUM	- Explosive number used to compute explosive equivalence (see Table 2 for list of explosives)
	RLOD	- Explosive length to diameter ratio (default = 1)
	CASE	 Projectile case weight to explosive weight ratio (use 0 for conservative analysis)
	APAMB	- Ambient air pressure, psia (default = 14.69)
	TAMB	- Ambient temperature, °C (default = 20°C)
	ALTKFT	- Altitude, 10 ³ ft (when APAMB and TAMB not specified)
<u>d</u> .	Data Gro	up 4Geometry:
	(1) <u>When</u> (RA, i = 1	gas pressure is calculated (FLAG2 = 0) input H, EL, HLIT, ELLIT, AV, AC, ICODE(i), where 1, 2, 3, and 4):
		- Distance from charge to wall, ft
	н	- Wall height, ft
	EL	- Wall length, ft
	HLIT	- Height of charge, ft
	ELLIT	- Distance of charge to left boundary, ft
	AV	- Cell volume for gas pressure, ft ³
	AC**	- Cell vent area for gas pressure, ft ²
	ICODE(1)	<pre>- Set = 1 for floor reflection; otherwise set = 0</pre>
	ICODE(2)	<pre>- Set = 1 for roof reflection; otherwise set = 0</pre>
	ICODE(3)	<pre>- Set = 1 for left wall reflection; otherwise set = 0</pre>
	ICODE(4)	<pre>- Set = 1 for right wall reflection; otherwise set = 0</pre>

* A table of factors for converting U. S. customary (NON-SI) units of measurement to metric (SI) units is presented on page 5.
 ** CSDOOR will not solve for gas pressure if vent area = 0.

C

Constant and the second se

Ĩ

Explosive Number	Explosive Name and Composition
1	INT
2	TNETB
3	EXPLOSIVE D
4	PENTOLITE (PETN/TNT 50/50)
5	PICRATOL (EXPLOSIVE D/TNT 52/48)
6	CYCLOTOL (RDX/TNT 70/30)
7	COMP B (RDX/TNT/WAX 59.4/39.6/1.0)
8	RDX/WAX (98/2)
9	COMP A-3 (RDX/WAX 91/9)
10	TNETB/AL (90/10)
11	TNETB/AL (78/22)
12	TNETB/AL (72/28)
13	TNETB/AL (65/34)
14	TRITONAL (TNT/AL80/70)
15	RDX/AL/WAX (88/10/2)
16	RDX/AL/WAX (89/20/2)
17	RDX/AL/WAX (74/21/5)
18	RDX/AL/WAX (74/22/4)
19	RDX/AL/WAX (62/33/5)
20	TORPEX II (RDX/TNT/AL 42/40/18)
21	H6 (RDX/TNT/AL/WAX 45/29/21/5)
22	HBX-1 (RDX/TNT/AL/WAX 40/38/16/5)
23	HBX-3 (RDX/TNT/AL/WAX 31/29/35/5)
24	TNETB/RDX/AL (39/26/35)
25	ALUMINUM
26	WAX
27	RDX
28	PETN
29	TETRYL

l.

and the second secon

Table 2List of Explosives

T

(2) When gas pressure is input (FLAG2 = 1) input (TOTIM, H, EL, FPRES, TO, PG, TG, ICODE(i), where i = 1, 2, 3, and 4): TOTIM - Total impulse, psi-msec Н - Wall height, ft - Wall length, ft EL FPRES - Peak pressure, psi то - Duration of peak pressure, msec PG - Gas pressure, psi TG - Gas pressure duration, msec ICODE(1) - Set = 1 for floor reflection; otherwise set = 0ICODE(2) - Set = 1 for roof reflection; otherwise set = 0ICODE(3) - Set = 1 for left wall reflection; otherwise set = 0ICODE(4) - Set = 1 for right wall reflection; otherwise set = 0Data Group 5--Strength Parameters (FDY, TS, SN, DH, DEL, e. U, E): FDY - Steel dynamic design strength, psi TS* - Door thickness, in. SN - Support code (see Figure 12): = 1, bottom fixed = 2, bottom and 1 side fixed = 3, bottom and 2 sides fixed = 4, 4 sides fixed = 5, beam simple supports top and bottom = 6, beam fixed top and bottom = 7, beam, simple support top, fixed bottom = 13, three sides simple supports, bottom free = 14, four sides simple support DH* - Door height, ft DEL* - Door width, ft - Allowable ductility limit for optimization U Е - Modulus of elasticity, psi f. Data Group 6--Door Properties (ZHOR, ZVERT, IAVG, WDR): ZHOR - Plastic horizontal section modulus/in., $in.^3/in.$

X

* Door and wall are synonymous when door height and width equal wall height and width.



Figure 12. Plate geometry

	2VERT	- Plastic vertical section modulus/in., in. ³ /in.
	IAVG	- Average moment of inertia/in., in. ⁴ /in.
	WDR	- Total door weight, 1b
<u>g</u> .	Data Grou ure 13) w	p 7Opening (Panel) Parameters* (see Fig hen FLAG5 = 1 input (H2, WT, B, REA, RD1, H1):
	Н2	- Opening height, ft
	WT	- Opening width, ft
	В	- Distance from left side to opening, ft
	REA	- Opening reaction, 1b/in. (3 sides supported)
	RD1	 Resistance for calculating opening reaction, 1b (3 sides supported)

Hl - Distance to floor, ft (for window only)

34. The explosive number (Data Group 3) refers to the list of explosives in Table 2. This number is used to compute explosive equivalence. The length/diameter ratio for an explosive sphere is 0.0, which gives a shape factor of 1.0. For an uncased explosive the case explosive weight ratio is 0.0. For sea level calculations, the ambient air pressure P_{amb} , temperature T_{amb} , and altitude can be left blank and will default to 14.69 psi and 20°C. If FLAG2 in Data Group 2 is set to 1, the impulse, duration, and pressure will be read on Date Group 4. If FLAG2 is set to zero, the charge to wall distance, charge height, and distance from the left side will be read. If SN is zero, the program will sum the number of reflecting sidewall surfaces specified in Data Group 4. The separate use of SN is helpful when a frangible wall is present, which creates a shock reflection but does not provide any support.

35. The SN (see Figure 12) conditions 1 through 4 are intended to be used to represent steel cell walls and roofs; SN conditions 5 through 7 are steel plates spanning in one direction. The SN conditions 13 and 14 are specifically intended to represent typical steel plate doors and passthrough windows.

* When an opening (panel) is present, the program analyzes the wall as a door. The panel reaction must be provided either as a resistance (lb/in.) along the edges of the panel or as a resistance (psi) over the entire panel. This case seldom occurs in practice.

31



WALL THREE SIDES SUPPORTED WITH OPENINJ



WALL FOUR SIDES SUPPORTED WITH OPENING

Figure 13. Wall geometry with opening

Example Problems

36. Three example problems are presented in Appendix A. In examples 1 and 2, data were entered from a data file. In example 3, data were entered interactively.

REFERENCES

X

Gill, J. O., et al. 1973. "Preliminary Report on the Modernization of the Naval Ordnance Production Base and Application of Hazard Risk Analysis Technique," paper presented at the Fifteenth Explosive Safety Seminar, Department of Defense Explosive Safety Board, San Francisco, Calif.

Mendolia, Arthur. 1973. "A New Approach to Explosives Safety," paper presented at the Fifteenth Explosive Safety Seminar, Department of Defense Explosive Safety Board, San Francisco, Calif.

Departments of the Army, Navy, and Air Force. 1969. "Structures to Resist the Effects of Accidental Explosions," TM 5-1300, NAVFAC P-397, AFM 88-22, Washington, D.C.

Ferritto, John M. 1977. "Optimum Dynamic Design of Nonlinear Reinforced Concrete Slabs Under Blast Loading," TN-1494, Civil Engineering Laboratory, Port Hueneme, Calif.

Fox, R. L. 1971. Optimization Methods for Engineering Design, Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass.

Pope, G. and Schmit, L. H., eds. 1971. "Structural Design Applications of Mathematical Programming Techniques," AGARD No. 149, Advisory Group for Aerospace Research and Development, North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Neuilly-sur Seine.

Norris, Charles H., et al. 1959. <u>Structural Design for Dynamic Loads</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York.

APPENDIX A: EXAMPLE PROBLEM 1, ANALYZE STEEL DOOR

Given

```
Geometry as shown

Charge Wt. = 10.0 lb Comp B

(uncased)

Floor, roof, left wall and

right wall deflection

FDY = 48000 psi

Three sides simply supported

bottom free

Allowable ductility \mu = 3
```

Required

Analyze steel door

Assume

Plastic section modulus horiz. = $1.77 \text{ in.}^3/\text{in.}$ Plastic section modulus vert. = $1.51 \text{ in.}^3/\text{in.}$ Average moment of inertia = $3.495 \text{ in.}^4/\text{in.}$ Door weight = 920 lbs Example Problem 1



A2

CSDOOR DALA FOITHER - DOOREX1

	HEADING (JOB DESCRIPT)	ION)									
Line l	EXAMP	LE PROBLEM O	NE								
	OPTIONS										
	T.AG1	FLAG2	FLAG3	FLAG4	FLAGS	24			1503		
_	0 = Analyze I = Optimize	0 = Calc. Blast Load 1 = Input p-t History	0 = Input Door TS 1 = Input Door Z	0 = Omit Grid 1 = Calculate Grid	0 = No Opening in Door 1 = Opening in Door	0 = Standard Printout 1 = Print Response-Time	History	ġ	fault.	0.60	
Line 2	Ð	0	-	0	0	0			0		
	61 1	ANUM (Default = 1.0)	RLOD (Default - 1.0)	CASE	APANG, paia (Defauit = 14.69)	TANG, °C (Default = 20.0)	ALTEFT 10 ³ ft				
If PLAG2 = 0, Line 3	10	~	-	0	0	0	0				
	2	Ŧ	6	HLIT	ELLIT	AV	AC.		ICOL	E.	
-	It.	ft	z	ft	ft	ft ³	ft ²	•	×	-1	~
If FLAG2 = 0, Line 4s	M	10	12	6	مر	1200	15	-	-	-	-
-	TOTIM		a	PRES	ę	£	ß		ICOL	E.	
	ps1-asec	IJ	z	pst	TBCC	pet	msec.	•	æ		~

E, paí (Default = 29,000,000)

Þ

DEL, ft DEL, ft

۲ ۲ 6

NS

5 i

If PLAG2 - 1, Line 4b

A3

0

e

4

<u>۾</u> 920

13 IAVG In.⁴/In.

0 zvert in.³/in.

ZHOR 1n. ³/1n. 48000 No. 1

Line 5

1.77 로는

If FLAG3 = 1, Line 6

If FLAGS = 1, Line 7

1

z ۲

19 T

REA Ib/in.

<u>ہ</u> ت 3.495

52 1.51

For time-sharing applications, all entries (including O) must be made for each required line of data. Optimization cannot be umed if TLAG3 = 1 or TLAG3 = 1. Door and wall are synonymus when DM = K and DEL = KL. TLAG5 = 1 can only be used when SM = K = 2, 1, or 4. NOTE:

T T

I.,

 1000
 E X A M P L E
 P R O B L E M
 O N E

 1010
 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
 0
 0
 0

 1020
 10 7 1 0 0 0 0
 0
 0
 0

 1030
 3 10 12 3 5 1200 15 1 1 1 1
 1
 1

 1040
 48000 0 13 6 4 3 0
 0
 0
 0

 1050
 1.77
 1.51
 3.495 920
 0

 C>X0057
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

THIS PROGRAM SHOULD BE USED ONLY BY ENGINEERS WHO ARE EXPERIENCED IN BLAST DESIGN AND ARE THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH METHODS OF ANALYSIS DESCRIBED IN TH 5-1300 'STRUCTURES TO RESIST THE EFFECTS OF ACCIDENTAL EXPLOSIONS.' CONNECTIONS AND DETAILS MUST BE CAREFULLY DESIGNED TO ACHIEVE THE DEGREE OF FIXITY THAT IS ASSUMED IN THE PROGRAM

INPUT NAME OF DATA FILE IN 7 CHARACTERS OR LESS HIT A CARRIAGE RETURN IF DATA TO COME FROM TERMINAL. I>DOOREX1

INPUT NAME OF FILE FOR OUTPUT TO BE WRITTEN TO. HIT A CARRIAGE RETURN IF OUTPUT TO TERMINAL I>

E X A N P L U P R O B L E N O N E COMP B (RDX/TNT/WAX,59.4/39.6/1.0) EXPLOSIVE PROPERTIES....CHARGE WEIGHT(LB) = 10.00 NUMBER EQWT EFORM EXPLOSIVE COMPOSITION BY WEIGHT KCAL/G C H N O AL 7 1.100 .004330 .252 .026 .298 .424 0.000

PAMB(PSIA) = 14.69 TAMB(C) = 20.00

SHOCK WAVE CALCULATION

INPUT PARAMETERS

CHARGE WEIGHT ADJUSTMENTS

CHARGE WEIGHT(LB)	=	10.00	ADJUSTED WT(LB TNT)	=	11.00
EXPLOSIVE NUMBER	×	7	HE ENERGY FACTOR	=	1.100
L/D RATIO	=	1.000	CHARGE SHAPE FACTOR	=	1.000
CASE/CHARGE WT RATIO	=	0.	CASE WEIGHT FACTOR	=	1.000

CHANBER PRESSURE(PSIA) = 14.69 PRESSURE SCALE FACTOR= 1.000 CHAMBER TEMP(C) 20.00 DISTANCE SCALE FACTOR= .4496 ALTITUDE (KFT) ≈ ٥. TIME SCALE FACTOR -.4535 NORMAL REFL' FACTOR = 7.526 DESIRED DISTANCE (FT) = 3.000 (CM) = 91.44 TIME AFTER TIME AFTER INCIDENT NORM REFL EXPLOSION SHOCK ARR OVERPRESS **OVERPRESS** (FSI) (MSEC) (MSEC) (PSI) .2615 ٥. 497.2 3742. .3698 .1083 156.8 1180. .4240 .1625 98.81 743.6 .4782 .2167 64.16 482.9 .5324 .2708 41.97 315.9 .5865 .3250 27.05 203.6 .3792 .6407 125.4 16.66 .6949 .4334 9.259 69.68 .7490 .4875 29.39 3.905 0. .8032 .5417 0. IMPULSE (PSI.MSEC) ---INCIDENT = 53.86 REFLECTED= 405.3CAUTION--CNTACT SURFACE HAS ARRIVED. DATA ARE CRUDE BEYOND T(MSEC) AFTER SHOCK ARRIVAL= 7,5617E-02 DISTANCE OF CHARGE FROM BLAST WALL FT. 3.00 CHARGE WEIGHT LBS. 11.00 BLAST WALL HEIGHT FT. 10.00 BLAST WALL LENGTH FT. 12.00

HEIGHT OF CHARGE ABOVE GROUNDFT.3.00MIN. DIST. BETWEEN CHARGE + ADJ. WALLFT.5.00REFLECTION CODE111

1

TOTAL IMPULSE 440.28 PSI-NS VENT AREA 15.00 FT2 CELL VOLUME 1200.00 FT3

GAS PRESSURES CALCULATIONPEAK GAS PRESSURE68,85PSIGAS DURATION91,84MSECGAS IMPULSE3161,46PSI-MSECTOTAL IMPULSE3299,79PSI-MSEC

The second se

():

A5

DURATION OF LOAD	5.7	2156	MSEC	
FICTITIOUS PEAK P	RESSURE		153.90	PSI
EFFECTIVE IMPULSE			3299.79	PSI-MSEC
FS DYNAMIC	48000.00	PSI		
PLATE THICKNESS	0.00	IN		
SUPPORT CODE	13.00			
DOOR HEIGHT	6.00	FT		
DOOR LENGTH	4.00	FT		
PLASTICITY (MU)	3.00			
HORIZONTAL Z	1.77	IN3/	'IN	
VERTICAL Z	1.51	IN3/	IN	
I AVERAGE	3.50	IN4/	IN	
DOOR WEIGHT	920.00	LBS		

HEIGHT	72.00	IN	LENGTH	48.00 IN
POSITIVE	VERTICAL MON	ENT	72480.00	IN-LBS/IN WIDTH
NEGATIVE	VERTICAL MOM	ENT	72480.00	IN-LBS/IN WIDTH
POSITIVE	HORIZONTAL H	DMENT	84960.00	IN-LBS/IN WIDTH
NEGATIVE	HORIZONTAL M	DMENT	84960.00	IN-LBS/IN WIDTH

THREE SIDES SIMPLY SUPPORTED

1

X	24.0000	IN		
Y	32.7453	IN		
RU	417.4331	PSI		
W1	423.3625	PSI		
W2	405.5744	PSI		
XE	.2909	IN		
κ	1435.13	LB/	IN/IN2	
MASS	469.15	LB-	MSEC2/IN/IN2	
ALLOWABLE	MAX DEFLECT	ION	•8726	IN
MASS	469.	150	LB-MSEC2/IN/I	N2
LOAD	153.	902	PSI	

LOAD	153.902	PSI	
DURATION	5.722	MSEC	
RESISTANCE	417.433	PSI	

A6

I

STIFFNESS	1435.131	LB/IN/IN2	
GAS PRESSURE	68.85	PSI	
DURATION	91.84	MSEC	
MEMBRANE YIELD	DEFLECTION	1.518582	IN
ELASTIC DEFLECT	TION LIMIT	.290868	IN
MAXIMUM DEFLEC	TION	.181843	IN
NATURAL PERIOD		3.592439	MSEC
TIME TO MAXIMU	M DEFLECTIO	N 1.678216	MSEC
DURATION/NATUR	AL PERIOD	25.565389	
LOAD/RESISTANCI	E De Evecutio	-368687	
INZAN OF SECON	DO EXECUTIO	N 1111C+	

C>

0.00

C

Given

Geometry as shown Charge wt = 10 lbs T.N.T. Explosive length to diameter ratio 2.5 Case to explosive ratio 1.2 Floor, left wall and right wall reflection Four sides simply supported FDY = 48000 psi Allowable ductility $\mu = 5$

Required

Analyze steel plate

Assume

C

Plate thickness 0.75 in.

Example Problem 2







ELEVATION

C

5-1 | ||

CSDOOR DALA FORMAL FILINUME - DOOREX2 HEADING (JOB DESCRIPTION)

		(m)							
L set l	EXAMP	LE PROBLEM T	Ň						
	OPTIONS								
	FLAG1	FLAG2	FLAG3	FLACA	FLAG5	ž		COST	
	0 = Analyze 1 = Optimize	0 = Caic. Blast load 1 = Input p-t History	0 = laput Door TS 1 = laput Door Z	0 = Omit Grid 1 = Calculate Grid	0 = No Opening in Door 1 = Opening in Door	0 = Standard Printout 1 = Print Response-Time	History	(Default = 0.60)	
Line 2	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	
	9 9	ANNUM (Default = 1.0)	RLOD (Default = 1.0)	CASE	APAMB, psis (Default = 14.69)	TAMB, "C (Default = 20.0)	АГПСТ 10 ³ ft		
If FLAG2 = 0, Line 3	10	F	2.5	1.2	14.69	20	0		
	\$	Z	đ	HLIT	LITIZ	AV	¥	ICODE	
	z	۳	ť	æ	ť	rt ³	ft ²	-1 24	*
If FLAG2 = 0, Line 4a	•	10	12	M	ŵ	0	0	- 0	-
	NITOT	P	턻	PRES	2	2	Ŗ	ICODE	
	psi-masc	z	ft	psi	takec	pe1	196 C	F R L	~
tf FlaG2 - 1, Line 4b									
	707 201	22 tř	NS	舌ど	DEL, ft If ∳ EL	P	<pre>E, ps1 (Default = 29,000,000)</pre>		
Line 5	48000	0.75	*	1.33	•	Q	0		
	2HOR in. ³ /in.	ZVERT 10. ³ /1n.	lavc in. ⁴ /in.	N0N 41					
If FLAC3 = 1, Line 6									
	42 4	52	B ft	REA lb/in.	101 Ped	RI Fr			
If PLAGS = 1, Line 7									

WOTE: For time-shuring applications, all entries (including 0) must be made for each required line of data. Operatation manue be used if FALG) = 1 or FALG) = 1. Door and well are synonymous when NH = N and DEL = EL. FLAGS = 1 can only be used when SN = 2, 3, or 4.

.

•

ے

ł

ŧ

B3

1000 EXAMPLE PROBLEM TWO 1010 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1020 10 1 2.5 1.2 14.69 20 0 1030 4 10 12 3 5 0 0 1 0 1 1 1040 48000 0.75 14 1.33 4 5 0 C>X0057

THIS PROGRAM SHOULD BE USED ONLY BY ENGINEERS WHO ARE EXPERIENCED IN BLAST DESIGN AND ARE THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH METHODS OF ANALYSIS DESCRIBED IN TH 5-1300 'STRUCTURES TO RESIST THE EFFECTS OF ACCIDENTAL EXPLOSIONS.' CONNECTIONS AND DETAILS MUST BE CAREFULLY DESIGNED TO ACHIEVE THE DEGREE OF FIXITY THAT IS ASSUMED IN THE PROGRAM

INPUT NAME OF DATA FILE IN 7 CHARACTERS OR LESS HIT A CARRIAGE RETURN IF DATA TO COME FROM TERMINAL. I>doorex2

INPUT NAME OF FILE FOR OUTPUT TO BE WRITTEN TO. HIT A CARRIAGE RETURN IF OUTPUT TO TERMINAL I>

•

E X A M P L E P R D B L E M T W O TNT EXPLOSIVE PROPERTIES.....CHARGE WEIGHT(LB) = 10.00 NUMBER EQWT EFORM EXPLOSIVE COMPOSITION BY WEIGHT KCAL/G C H N O AL 1 1.000 -.078400 .370 .022 .185 .423 0.000

PAMB(PSIA) =14.69TAMB(C) =20.00....Charge share correction is crude. PSI exceeds range of experimental data.....Case weight correction is crude. PSI exceeds range of experimental data.

INPUT PARAMETERS	SHOC	K WAVE	CALCULATION Charge Weight Adjustments
CHARGE WEIGHT(LB)	=	10.00	ADJUSTED WT(LB TNT) = 21.41
EXPLOSIVE NUMBER	Ξ	1	HE ENERGY FACTOR = 1.000
L/D RATIO	=	2.500	CHARGE SHAPE FACTOR = 3.012

B4

CASE/CHARGE CHAMBER PRE CHAMBER TEN ALTITUDE (P DESIRED DIS	E WT RATIO = SSURE(PSIA)= MP(C) = (FT) = STANCE (FT) = (CM) =	1.200 14.69 20.00 0. 4.000 121.9	CASE WEIGH Pressure S Distance S Time Scale Normal Ref	T FACTOR Cale Factor Cale Factor Cale Factor Factor Lº Factor	7109 1.000 .3601 .3632 7.307
TIME AFTER EXPLOSION (MSEC) .3687 .5129	TINE AFTER Shock Arr (NSEC) 0. .1442 .2143	INCIDENT Overpress (PSI) 437.8 138.1 97.00	NDRM REFL Overpress (PSI) 3199. 1009.		
.6571 .7292 .8013 .8733 .9454 1.018 1.090 IMPULSE (PS INCIDEN REFLECT CAUTI(.2884 .2884 .3605 .4326 .5047 .5767 .6488 .7209 SI.MSEC) IT = 63.11 IED = 461.1 DNCNTACT SU	56.49 36.96 23.82 14.67 8.152 3.438 0. RFACE HAS ARR	412.8 270.0 174.0 107.2 59.57 25.12 0.		
DAT DISTANCE OF Charge Wei(Blast Wall	A ARE CRUDE Charge From Bht Height	BEYOND T(MSEC) Blast Wall	AFTER SHOCK Ft. LBS. Ft.	ARRIVAL=	.1163 4.00 21.41
BLAST WALL Height of (Min. Dist. Reflection	LENGTH Charge Above Between Char Code	GROUND GE + ADJ. WALI	FT. FT. L FT.	1 0	12.00 3.00 5.00 1 1
70 DL	DTAL IMPULSE	542 Ad 5.04	.80 PSI-MS 4033 MSEC		
FI	CTITIOUS PEA Fective inpu	K PRESSURE LSE	215.38 542.80	PSI PSI-MSEC	

FS DYNANIC 48000.00 PSI

2

(

ļ

.

.

PLATE THICKNESS	.75	IN
SUPPORT CODE	14.00	
DOOR HEIGHT	1.33	FT
DOOR LENGTH	4.00	FT
PLASTICITY (MU)	5.00	

HEIGHT	15.96 IN	LENGTH	48.00 IN
POSITIVE VER	TICAL NOMENT	6750.00	IN-LBS/IN WIDTH
NEGATIVE VER	TICAL MOMENT	6750.00	IN-LBS/IN WIDTH
POSITIVE HOR	IZONTAL HOHEN	T 6750.00	IN-LBS/IN WIDTH
NEGATIVE HOR	IZONTAL MOMEN	T 6750.00	IN-LBS/IN WIDTH

FOUR SIDES SIMPLY SUPPORTED

X	11.42	IN
Y	7.98	IN
RU	310.50	PSI
XE	.2165	IN
ĸ	1434.05	LB/IN/IN2
MASS	379.42	LB-MSEC2/IN/IN2

ALLOWABLE MAX DEFLECTION 1.0826 IN

MASS	379.423	LB-MSEC2/IN/IN2
LOAD	215,382	PSI
DURATION	5.040	MSEC
RESISTANCE	310.501	PSI
STIFFNESS	1434.052	LB/IN/IN2
GAS PRESSURE	0.00	PSI
DURATION	0.00	MSEC

MEMBRANE VIELD DEFLECTION	.504928	IN
ELASTIC DEFLECTION LIMIT	.216520	IN
NAXIMUM DEFLECTION	.260201	IN
NATURAL PERIOD	3.231908	MSEC

TIME TO YIELD	1.13059537	NSEC
CALCULATED DIF FOR FDY	1.500000	
LOAD/RESISTANCE	• 693661	
DURATION/NATURAL PERIOD	1.559551	
TIME TO MAXIMUM DEFLECTION	1.588217	MSEC

1.434 CP SECONDS EXECUTION TIME.

C>

والمتحفظ والمحمد والمواجعة والمعادية والمعادية والمتحافظ والمحادث والمحادث والمتحاد والمتحفظ والمحافظ والمحمد والمحمد والمحمد

.....

APPENDIX C: EXAMPLE PROBLEM 3, ANALYZE STEEL WALL

Given

Geometry as shown Pressure-time history Bottom and two sides fixed Allowable ductility $\mu = 3$ No reflecting surfaces FY = 48000 psi

Required

Analyze steel wall

Assume

Wall properties as given

Note

•

Data input from terminal

C1

Example Problem 3

1







WALL PROPERTIES: $I_a = 9.72 \text{ IN.}^4/\text{IN.}$ $Z_{HOR} = 3.67 \text{ IN.}^3/\text{IN.}$ $Z_{VERT} = 1.42 \text{ IN.}^3/\text{IN.}$ WDR = 7368 LB

CSDOOM Data Pormat

6

•

۹ ۲.

	NEADING (JOB DESCRIATION	M)							1
Line 1	EXAMP	LE PROBLEM T	HREE						
	OPTIONS								
	PLAG1	PLAG2	FLAG3	PLAG4	FLAGS	æ		COST	
	0 - Analyze 1 - Optimize	0 = Calc. Blast Load 1 = Input p-t History	0 • Input Door TS 1 = Input Door 2	0 = Omit Grid 1 = Calculate Grid	0 = No Opening in Door 1 = Opening in Door	0 = Standard Printout 1 = Print Response-Time	History	%/15 (Default = 0.60)	
Line 2	0	+	1	0	0	¢		0	
	819 1	ANUM (Default = 1.0)	RLOD (Default = 1.0)	CASE	APAMB, paia (Default = 14.69)	TAMB, *C (Default = 20.0)	АLTKPT 10 ³ ft		
If FLAC2 = 0, Line 3									
	2	Ŧ	៨	HLIT	ELLIT	W	ΥC	ICODE	
	z	ų	ų	z	ų	^{رر} ¹	ft ²	F R L R	
If FLAG2 = 0, Line 4a									
	TOTIM	×	1	FRES	£	2	ę	ICODE	
	pa1-meec	IJ	ţt	psi	BSEC	psi	Bec	F R L B	
If FLAG2 = 1, Line 4b	4126	10	12	343.2	4.69	110.3	68.1	0 0 0	
	YOY Pad	13 In.	Su	He Li	DEL, Ét If¢EL	n	E, pai (Default = 29,000,000)		
Line 5	48000	0	m	0	0	m	0		
	ZHOR 1n. ³ /1n.	ZVERT In. ³ /In.	IAVG in. ⁴ /in.	808 41					
If FLAG3 = 1, Line 6	3.67	1.42	9.72	7368					
	H2 ft	52	8 ft	REA 1b/in.	RD1 Pet	HI Ct			
If FLAGS = 1, Line 7									

WOTE: For time-sharing applications, all entries (including ()) must be made for each required line of data. Operatescion cannot be used if FLAG3 = 1 or FLAG3 = 1. Door and wall see synonymous when DH = H and DEL = EL. FLAG5 = 1 can only be used when SH = 2, 2, 3, or 4.

Í

••••

. . . .

And in case of

ł

+

ł

د.

C3

THIS PROGRAM SHOULD BE USED ONLY BY ENGINEERS WHO ARE EXPERIENCED IN BLAST DESIGN AND ARE THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH METHODS OF ANALYSIS DESCRIBED IN TH 5-1300 'STRUCTURES TO RESIST THE EFFECTS OF ACCIDENTAL EXPLOSIONS.' CONNECTIONS AND DETAILS MUST BE CAREFULLY DESIGNED TO ACHIEVE THE DEGREE OF FIXITY THAT IS ASSUMED IN THE PROGRAM

******************CAUTION*****************

INPUT NAME OF DATA FILE IN 7 CHARACTERS OR LESS HIT A CARRIAGE RETURN IF DATA TO COME FROM TERMINAL. I>

ENTER CONVERSIONAL MODE FOR DATA INPUT

INPUT NAME OF FILE DATA IS TO BE WRITTEN TO. HIT A CARRIAGE RETURN IF YOU DO NOT WANT THIS FILE. I>Doorex3

INPUT A QUESTION MARK (?) IF MORE INFORMATION IS NEEDED

INPUT HEADING (HDG): I> EXAMPLE PROBLEM THREE

INPUT PROGRAM CONTROL (FLAG1,FLAG2,FLAG3,FLAG4,FLAG5,PC,COST): I>0,1,1,0,0,0,0

INPUT GEOMETRY (TOTIN, H, EL, FPRES, TO, PG, TG, ICODE(I), WHERE I=1,2,3,4); I>4126,10,12,343,2,4.69,110,3,68.1,0,0,0,0

INPUT STRENGTH PARAMETERS (FDY,TS,SN,DH,DEL,MU,EC): I>48000,0,3,0,0,3,0

PANEL PROPERTIES (ZHOR, ZVER, IAVG, WDR): I>3.67,1.42,9.72,7368

INPUT NAME OF FILE FOR OUTPUT TO BE WRITTEN TO. HIT A CARRIAGE RETURN IF OUTPUT TO TERMINAL I>dogrot3 .086 CP Seconds Execution Time. C>OLD,DOOROT3 C>LIST

1 ·

C

EXAMPLE PROBLEM THREE

Bi Bl	AST WALL HEIGHT AST WALL LENGTH	10.00	FT FT	
DL	JRATION OF LOAD	4.69000	MSEC	
F) Ef	ICTITIOUS PEAK P FECTIVE IMPULSE	RESSURE	343.20 4126.00	PSI PSI-MSEC
FS	DYNAMIC	48000.00 PSI		

O DIRMITE	700000000	F 9 T
LATE THICKNESS	0.00	IN
SUPPORT CODE	3.00	
DOOR HEIGHT	0.00	FT
DOOR LENGTH	0.00	FT
PLASTICITY (MU)	3.00	
IORIZONTAL Z	3.67	IN3/IN
VERTICAL Z	1.42	IN3/IN
I AVERAGE	9.72	IN4/IN
DOOR WEIGHT	7368.00	LBS

POSITIVE VERTICAL MOMENT 68160.00 IN-LBS/IN WID	TH
NEGATIVE VERTICAL MOMENT 68160.00 IN-LBS/IN WID	TH
POSITIVE HORIZONTAL MOMENT 176160.00 IN-LBS/IN WID	TH
NEGATIVE HORIZONTAL MOMENT 176160.00 IN-LBS/IN WID	ТΗ

SUPPORT ON 3 SIDES

LOCATION YIELD LINE LENGTH	72.00	IN
LOCATION YIELD LINE HEIGHT	60.69	IN
ULTIMATE LOAD CAPACITY RU	185.0344	PSI
SHEAR LOAD AT HORIZ SUPPORT	10869.66	LB/IN WIDTH
SHEAR LOAD AT VER SUPPORT	6738.18	PSI

LOAD NA	ASS I Ass	FACTOR	•6568 724•79	LB-MSEC2/IN/IN2

FIRST YIELD POINT AT PT3		
ELASTIC LINIT RE PSI	65.20	
ELASTIC DEFLECTION XE	.2967	IN
SECOND YIELD AT PT 2		
ELASTO PLASTIC LIMIT	93.35	PSI
ELASTO-PLASTIC DEFLECTION	.6349	IN
ULTIMATE RESISTANCE	185.03	PSI
PLASTIC DEFLECTION	2.1888	IN

ULTIMATE RESISTANCE RU	185.03	PSI
ELASTIC DEFLECTION LIMIT XE	1.6455	IN
STIFFNESS KE	112.45	LB/IN/IN2
ALLOWABLE MAX DEFLECTION	4,9364	IN

MASS	724.795	LB-MSEC2/IN/IN2
LOAD	343.200	PSI
DURATION	4.690	MSEC
RESISTANCE	185.034	PSI
STIFFNESS	112.450	LB/IN/IN2
GAS PRESSURE	110.30	PSI
DURATION	68,10	MSEC

MEMBRANE YIELD DEFLECTION	3.796454	IN
ELASTIC DEFLECTION LIMIT	1.645479	IN
NAXIMUM DEFLECTION	3,665975	IN
NATURAL PERIOD	15.951698	MSEC
TINE TO MAXIMUM DEFLECTION	9.037378	MSEC
DURATION/NATURAL PERIOD	4,269138	

ę.

1

X

€

<u>.</u>

LOAD/RESISTANCE	1.854790	
CALCULATED DIF FOR FDY	1,447980	
TIME TO YIELD	3.28172933	MSEC

C>

C7

APPENDIX D: SAMPLE DATE GUIDE

Ţ

{]

CSDOOR Data Pormat

DESCRIPTION)
8 0()

. ...

1									T
	offices								-
	T.M.T	FLAC2	FLAG3	FLACK	FLAGS	Ā		COST	T
	0 = Amalyse 1 = Optimize	0 = Calc. Blast Load 1 = Input p-t History	0 = Input Door TS 1 = Input Door Z	0 = Omit Grid 1 = Calculate Grid	0 = No Opening in Door 1 = Opening in Door	0 = Standard Printout 1 = Print Response-Time	History	\$/1b (Default = 0.60)	
Line 2									
	B a	ANNUM (Default = 1.0)	RLOD (Default = 1.0)	Crise	APAMB, psia (Default = 14.69)	TAMES, °C (Default = 20.0)	1J EOL		r
18 PLAC2 - 0, Line 3			- - -						
	3 2	=4	e e	HLIT ft	ELLIT ft	vv Eri	AC ft ²	ICODE F R L R	r +
18 PLAG2 - 0, Line 44									T
	TOTIK pet-meec	= z	1 2	PRES	01 10	AC Pat	TC	ICODE	
12 FLAC2 - 1, Line 4b								4 4 4	
	104 Pad	5 4	NS NS	困じ	DEL, Ét DEL, Ét	э	E, peí (Default = 29,000,000)		-
Line 5									
	2008 1a. ³ /1a.	zvent in. ³ /in.	IAVG In. ⁴ /1n.	MDR 1b					
8 mail .1 - Cauly 11									
	K2 K	53	B ft	REA Ib/in.	100 Yaq	HI ft			
If PLAGS = 1, Line 7									

Por time-sharing applications, all entries (including () must be made for each required line of data. Reinfastion cannot be used if TAAGS = 1. Door and will are synownu when SH = H and DLL = EL. TAAGS = 1 can only be used when SH = 2, 1, or 4. HOTE:

t

1 -

ŧ

" Comit

WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION REPORTS PUBLISHED UNDER THE COMPUTER-AIDED STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING (CASE) PROJECT

	Title	Date
Technical Report K-78-1	List of Computer Programs for Computer-Aided Structural Engineering	Feb 1978
Instruction Report O-79-2	User's Guide: Computer Program with Interactive Graphics for Analysis of Plane Frame Structures (CFRAME)	Mar 1979
Technical Report K-80-1	Survey of Bridge-Oriented Design Software	Jan 1980
Technical Report K-80-2	Evaluation of Computer Programs for the Design/Analysis of Highway and Railway Bridges	Jan 1980
Instruction Report K-80-1	User's Guide: Computer Program for Design/Review of Curvi- linear Conduits/Culverts (CURCON)	Feb 1980
Instruction Report K-80-3	A Three-Dimensional Finite Element Data Edit Program	Mar 1980
Instruction Report K-80-4	A Three-Dimensional Stability Analysis/Design Program (3DSAD) Report 1: General Geometry Module Report 3: General Analysis Module (CGAM) Report 4: Special-Purpose Modules for Dams (CDAMS)	Jun 1980 Jun 1982 Aug 1983
Instruction Report K-80-6	Basic User's Guide: Computer Program for Design and Analysis of Inverted-T Retaining Walls and Floodwalls (TWDA)	Dec 1980
Instruction Report K-80-7	User's Reference Manual: Computer Program for Design and Analysis of Inverted-T Retaining Walls and Floodwalls (TWDA)	Dec 1980
Technical Report K-80-4	Documentation of Finite Element Analyses Report 1: Longview Outlet Works Conduit Report 2: Anchored Wall Monolith, Bay Springs Lock	Dec 1980 Dec 1980
Technical Report K-80-5	Basic Pile Group Behavior	Dec 1980
Instruction Report K-81-2	User's Guide: Computer Program for Design and Analysis of Sheet Pile Walls by Classical Methods (CSHTWAL) Report 1: Computational Processes Report 2: Interactive Graphics Options	Feb 1981 Mar 1981
Instruction Report K-81-3	Validation Report: Computer Program for Design and Analysis of Inverted-T Retaining Walls and Floodwalls (TWDA)	Feb 1981
Instruction Report K-81-4	User's Guide: Computer Program for Design and Analysis of Cast-in-Place Tunnel Linings (NEWTUN)	Mar 1981
Instruction Report K-81-6	User's Guide: Computer Program for Optimum Nonlinear Dynamic Design of Reinforced Concrete Slabs Under Blast Loading (CBARCS)	Mar 1981
Instruction Report K-81-7	User's Guide: Computer Program for Design or Investigation of Orthogonal Culverts (CORTCUL)	Mar 1981
Instruction Report K-81-9	User's Guide: Computer Program for Three-Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems (CTABS80)	Aug 1981
Technical Report K-81-2	Theoretical Basis for CTABS80: A Computer Program for Three-Dimensional Analysis of Building Systems	Sep 1981
Instruction Report K-82-6	User's Guide: Computer Program for Analysis of Beam-Column Structures with Nonlinear Supports (CBEAMC)	Jun 198 2
Instruction Report K-82-7	User's Guide: Computer Program for Bearing Capacity Analysis of Shallow Foundations (CBEAR)	Jun 1982

(Continued)

Sec. 20

WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION REPORTS PUBLISHED UNDER THE COMPUTER-AIDED STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING (CASE) PROJECT

1

(Concluded)

	Title	Date
Instruction Report K-83-1	User's Guide: Computer Program With Interactive Graphics for Analysis of Plane Frame Structures (CFRAME)	Jan 1983
Instruction Report K-83-2	User's Guide: Computer Program for Generation of Engineering Geometry (SKETCH)	Jun 1983
Instruction Report K-83-5	User's Guide: Computer Program to Calculate Shear, Moment, and Thrust (CSMT) from Stress Results of a Two-Dimensional Finite Element Analysis	Jul 1983
Technical Report K-83-1	Basic Pile Group Behavior	Sep 1983
Technical Report K-83-3	Reference Manual: Computer Graphics Program for Generation of Engineering Geometry (SKETCH)	Sep 1983
Technical Report K-83-4	Case Study of Six Major General-Purpose Finite Element Programs	Oct 1983
Instruction Report K-84-2	User's Guide: Computer Program for Optimum Dynamic Design of Nonlinear Metal Plates Under Blast Loading (CSDOOR)	Jan 1984



