



-

مرجا رواد

. ....

i

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



DEC 2 7 1983

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



CONTRACTOR REPORT

FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF A DUAL-PROBE DIGITAL SAMPLING (DPDS) TECHNIQUE FOR MEASURING FLOW FIELDS IN ROTATING MACHINES

> F. Neuhoff BDM Corporation P.O. Box 2019 Monterey, CA 93940

> > September 1982

Contractor Report

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

83 12 27

80

Prepared for: Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943

TIC FILE COPY

350

9 8 8

T

#### NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California

Rear Admiral J. J. Ekelund Superintendent D. A. Schrady Provost

The work reported herein was carried out for the Naval Postgraduate School by BDM Corporation under Contract Number N00014-79-C-0088. The work was part of a program to develop improved methods for transonic turboaerodynamics and was funded in part by the Office of Naval Research, Project SQUID.

This report was prepared by:

F. NEUHOFF

EXOTECH, Inc. Pruneyard Towers 1, Suite 337 1901 S. Bascom Avenue Campbell, CA 95008

Publication of the report does not constitute approval of the sponsor for the findings or conclusion. It is published for information and for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

Reviewed by:

R. P. SHREEVE, Director Turbopropulsion Laboratory

Approved by:

D. M.

D. M. LAYTON, Acting Chairman Department of Aeronautics

Released by:

Dean of Research

i.

REPORT DO	CUMENTATION PAGE	READ DISTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION M	A ACTALOG NUMBER
NPS67-82-01CR	AD- A1362	50
. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Contractor Report
Further Development	of a Dual-Probe Digital	January 1982-December 1982
Fields in Rotating	Machines	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
F. NEUHOFF		N00014-79-C-0088
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME AND ADDRESS	16. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
BDM Corporation		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
P. O. Box 2019		
Monterey, CA 93943		
Naval Postgraduate	AND ADDRESS School	Sentember 1982
Monterey, CA 93943		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		209
A MONITORING AGENCY NAME	& ADDRESS(II dillorent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
Approved for public	of this Report) release, distribution unlimit of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different f	ted.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( Approved for public  OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Final Report. Princ Laboratory, Code 67:	of this Report) release, distribution unlimit of the abstract entered in Block 20. If different i cipal Investigator, Dr. R. P. Sf, Naval Postgraduate School	Shreeve, Turbopropulsion ., Monterey, CA 93943.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( Approved for public  OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Final Report. Print Laboratory, Code 67:	of this Report) release, distribution unlimit of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different f cipal Investigator, Dr. R. P. Sf, Naval Postgraduate School	Shreeve, Turbopropulsion , Monterey, CA 93943.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( Approved for public OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Final Report. Print Laboratory, Code 67: KEY WORDS (Continue on rever Compressor Measurement	of this Report) release, distribution unlimi of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different i cipal Investigator, Dr. R. P. Sf, Naval Postgraduate School on elde if necessary and identify by block number ents	Shreeve, Turbopropulsion , Monterey, CA 93943.
Approved for public Approved for public OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Final Report. Princ Laboratory, Code 67: KEY WORDS (Continue on rever Compressor Measurement High Response Probe:	of this Report) release, distribution unlimit of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different i cipal Investigator, Dr. R. P. Sf, Naval Postgraduate School se side if necessary and identify by block member ents	Shreeve, Turbopropulsion , Monterey, CA 93943.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( Approved for public OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Final Report. Prink Laboratory, Code 67: KEY WORDS (Continue on rever Compressor Measurement High Response Probes Turbomachinery Flow	of this Report) release, distribution unlimi of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different i cipal Investigator, Dr. R. P. Sf, Naval Postgraduate School se alde if necessary and identify by block number ents s Fields	Shreeve, Turbopropulsion , Monterey, CA 93943.
Approved for public Approved for public OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT ( S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Final Report. Princ Laboratory, Code 67: KEY WORDS (Continue on rever Compressor Measureme High Response Probe: Turbomachinery Flow ASSTRACT (Continue on rever / Following a rev the procedures deve. semi-conductor (Kul: high speed rotor. steady free jet, provention tests a software involved in	el this Repert) release, distribution unlimit of the obstract entered in Block 20, if different i cipal Investigator, Dr. R. P. Sf, Naval Postgraduate School to side if necessary and identify by block masks ents s Fields reads if necessary and identify by block masks view of the technique, detail loped for calibrating and app ite) pressure probes to measu Calibration tests of a <sup>h</sup> second ocedures for deriving calibra are described in turn. Data a the application of the prob	Ated. Shreeve, Turbopropulsion Monterey, CA 93943. descriptions are given of blying a system of two simple are the velocity field from a d generation probe set in a tion equations and subsequent reduction procedures and e system with controlled —

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date En >digital data sampling, to obtain the blade-to-blade velocity field in a machine are documented with examples of results. Successes and potential problems are evaluated. X 5/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601 UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered) 11

· · · · · · ·

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

7

		Page
LIST OF T	ABLES	
LIST OF I	LLUSTRATIONS	
1. INTRO	DUCTION	1
2. MEASU	REMENT CONCEPT	2
3. SECON	D GENERATION PROBES	6
3.1.	Probe Design	6
3.2	Temp <b>erature</b> Sensitivity	7
4. PROBE	CALIBRATION	9
4.1.	Calibration Procedure	9
4.2.	Data Acquisition and Storage	11
4.3.	Type "A" Probe Results	12
4.4.	Type "B" Probe Results	13
4.5.	Calibration Data Analysis	13
5. VERIF	ICATION TESTS	19
5.1.	Verification Using Calibration Data	19
5.2.	Verification on the Freejet	23
6. APPLI	CATION IN COMPRESSOR TESTS	26
6.1.	Necessity of an Online-Calibration	26
6.2	Online Calibration	27
	6.2.1. Procedure for Slope	28
	6.2.2. Procedure for Type "A" Probe Intercept	28
	6.2.3. Procedure for Type "B" Probe Intercept	32
6.3.	Data Acquisition in TX-compressor Measurements	33
6.4.	Data Reduction	37

iii

, **r** 

# Page

6.5. Dat	a Presentation and Evaluation	42
7. DISCUSSIC	N AND CONCLUSIONS	44
APPENDIX A.	CHANGES MADE TO SOFTWARE FROM (REF. 4)	98
APPENDIX B.	OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS OF TYPE "A" AND TYPE "B" PROBES	105
APPENDIX C.	DATA ACQUISITION PROGRAMS & KALIB AND & YAW	108
APPENDIX D.	DATA REDUCTION PROGRAMS &REST8 AND &REST9	123
APPENDIX E.	DATA EVALUATION PROGRAM & EVALU	129
APPENDIX F.	CALIBRATION TEST PROGRAM &TEST	140
APPENDIX G.	CALIBRATION DATA (C POB) APPROXIMATION PROGRAM &RES10	149
APPENDIX H.	TEST DATA ACQUISITION PROGRAM SABKUL	154
APPENDIX I.	PROCESSING PROGRAMS &SPLIT AND &WAVE FOR TEST DATA	166
APPENDIX J.	DATA REDUCTION PROGRAM & ABRED	176
APPENDIX K.	PLOTT PROGRAMS FOR REDUCED DATA	199
REFERENCES		205
DISTRIBUTION	LIST	206

iv

t

# LIST OF TABLES

		Page
I.	Data Acquisition System Hook Up	50
11.	Raw Calibration Data from A-probe, One Mach Number, One Pitch Angle	51
III.	Raw Data File Name Arrangements (A-probe)	52
IV.	Raw Data File Name Arrangements (B-probe)	53
v.	All Reduced Data from File ABNEW2	54
VIa.	Coefficients and Errors for Mach Number (X <sub>vel</sub> ) Approximation	55
VIb.	Coefficients and Errors for Pitch Angle (¢) Approximation	55
VII.	Errors in Mach Number, Pitch- and Yaw Angle for the Application of Calibration Procedure to Calibration Raw Data	56
VIII.	Errors in Mach Number, Pitch- and Yaw Angle for A- and B- Probes Application Test in Freejet	57
IX.	C <sub>POB</sub> for all Calibration Configurations of Mach Number and Pitch Angle	58
Xa.	Online Calibration Data for Actual Compressor Test (Run #119)	59
Xb.	Raw Steady State Data from A- and B-Probe Measurement (Run #119)	60
XI.	Arrangement of Data Within Raw Data File	61
XII.	Explicit Output of Reduced Data (Run #119)	62
XIII.	Reduced Data for the First Blade Passage of Run #119	63
XIV.	Errors in Mach Number, Pitch- and Yaw Angle for Varying Velocity Vectors	64
A-I	Results Using Uncorrected Subroutine RPACE(&A2D, (Ref. 4)	101

v

۰. ۲,

A-II	Results Using Corrected Subroutine RPACE(&A2D), (Ref. 4)	102
G-I	Coefficients and Errors for C Approximation Depending on $X_{vel}$ and $\phi$	151

Page

and the second second

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

		Page
1.	Probe Arrangement in Transonic Compressor	65
2.	Controlled (Paced) Sampling Technique	66
3 <b>a</b> .	A-Probe Pressure (Gauge) vs. Yaw Angle	67
3b.	B-Probe Pressure (Gauge) vs. Yaw Angle	68
4.	High Response Transducer Probes	69
5.	Temperature Sensitivity of a Kulite-Transducer	70
6a.	Calibration Facility Geometry (not to scale)	71
6b.	Free-Jet Calibration Apparatus	72
6c.	Data Acquisition System	73
7.	Pressure Readings vs. Yaw Angle	74
8.	Kulite Transducer Output Depending on Time	75
9.	Type A-Probe Calibration Data at Mach = 0.4	76
10.	Type B-Probe Calibration Data at Mach = 0.4	77
11a.	Probe Tip with Dirt in Some Holes	78
115.	Probe Tip with Dirt in Some Holes	78
12.	Type B-Probe Calibration Data at Mach = 0.4	79
13.	Type A-Probe Output Demonstrating Characteristic Values	. 80
14.	Type B-Probe Calibration Data	81
15a.	Approximation of X (resp. Mach Number) as Function of Coefficients $\beta$ and $\gamma$	82
155.	Approximation of Pitch Angle $\phi$ as Function of Coefficients $\beta$ and $\gamma$	83
16.	Errors as Specified Depending on Mach Number and Pitch Angle	84
17.	Combination Pressure Temperature Probe (Tip Detail)	85

vii

1

i

ě.,

# Page

18.	Pressure Coefficient for Type A-Probe at Zero Yaw Angle as Function of Mach Number and Pitch Angle	86
19.	Pressure Coefficient for Type B-Probe for Zero Yaw Angle as Function of Mach Number and Pitch Angle	87
20a.	Paced Data from Type A-Probe	88
20b.	Paced Data from Type A-Probe	89
20c.	Paced Data from Type B-Probe	90
20d.	Paced Data from Type B-Probe	91
21.	Measurement Results at 50% Speed, Midspan, Peek Efficiency	92
22.	Relative Velocity Distribution W <sub>2</sub> at Roton Trailing Edge (schematic)	93
23.	Velocity Triangles for Varying Values of the Relative Velocity X <sub>W</sub>	94
24.	Dependence of Absolute (Xv) and Malative (Xw) Velocities on Yaw Angle	95
25.	Influence of Incorrect Pneumatic Probe Pressure Readings Run 119	96
26.	Pressure Coefficient Versus Yaw Angle for Type A-Probe at Specific Positions in Rotor Flow Field	97
A-1.	Unchanged Listing of Subroutine RPACE from Program & A2D	103
A-2.	Corrected Statement of Subroutine RPACE from Program & A2D	104
8-1.	Indicated Pressure Versus Yaw Angle for a Pneumatic Probe Equivalent to a Type A-Probe	107
C-1.	Flow Chart of Data Acquisition Program & Yaw	114
C-2.	Listing of Calibration Data Acquisition Program & YAW	115
C-3.	Flow Chart of Data Acquisition Program & KALIB	118

C-4.	Listing of Calibration Data Acquisition Program & KALIB	119
D-1.	Flow Chart of Data Reduction Program & REST 8/9	126
D-2.	Listing of Reduced Data Approximation Program & REST9	127
E-1.	Flow Chart of Data Evaluation Promgram & EVALU	134
E-2.	Listing of Calibration Data Evaluation Program & EVALU	135
F-1.	Flow Chart of Calibration Evaluation Program & TEST	144
F-2.	Listing of Program to Test the Quality of the Calibration: & TEST	145
G-1.	Listing of Program to Approximation C Values: & RES10	152
H-1.	Flow Chart of Data Acquisition Program & ABKUL	159
H-2.	Listing of Data Acquisition Program & ABKUL	161
1-1.	Listing of Program to Split Up Big Raw Data Array from A- and B- Probes into Two Smaller Arrays: & SPLIT	170
1-2.	Flow Chart of Data Acquisition and Plotter Program & WAVE	172
1-3.	Listing of Program to Plott Raw Data Waveforms.	172
	& WAVE	174
J-1.	Flow Chart of Data Reduction Program & ABRED	183
J-2.	Listing of Data Reduction Program & ABRED	190
K-1.	Flow Chart of Plot Programs & PLOTX, & PLOTY or &PLOTP	203
K-2.	Listing of Reduced Data Plot Program & PLOTX	204

Page

ix

1

and the second second

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The development of the measurement technique reported here was required for the determination of the periodic flow field behind the rotor of a small, single-stage axial compressor. With the rotational speed of the rotor of 30,000 RPM at design conditions, the 18 blades of the rotor result in a blade-passage frequency of 9 Khz. Thus a high response measurement technique was essential. As reported earlier in Ref. 1, the means for determining the time-averaged flow at all speeds is available, and such measurements have been made to 50% speed.

The basic idea of the present technique was established some time ago (Refs. 2, 3, 4). This report gives a brief review of the measurement concept, results obtained with a first set of probes, and describes in detail the calibration and application of a new set of probes. The new probes have the advantage of being only two-thirds the size of the old ones.

1

#### 2. MEASUREMENT CONCEPT

As described in detail in Ref. 2, a dual-probe digital sampling technique is used to determine the flow field behind the rotor in a real time regime. The technique incorporates two high response Kulite pressure transducers (Fig. 1). Using two trigger signals from the compressor shaft (one per revolution and one per blade), digitization of signal data can be controlled from the probes which are shown in Fig. 2. 128 locations can be selectively triggered for any one blade passage, thus giving good resolution for the specific area to be covered. The control device for the computer acquisition of the data is the PACER. Details of this device, which was developed in-house, can be found in Refs. 2 and 3.

Two major changes to the hard- and software of the PACER were made recently which are reported in Ref. 4. These changes made the lock-on to blade passing frequency totally automatic and reliable, and significantly reduced the total time for acquisition of a set of data. During the period reported here, several errors were found in the work presented in Ref. 4; Appendix A identifies the errors and their corrections.

The measurement system consists of two pressure probes, one the so-called type "A" probe which is essentially a total pressure probe, and the so-called type "B" probe, a total

pressure probe bent up 35° from the zero pitch, zero yaw axis, in the plane of zero yaw. The PACER allows data to be acquired from each of the two probes when they are at identically the same location with respect to the rotor. The probes can be rotated about the sensor tip. It is noted that their outputs when set at different yaw angles could as well be considered as the output of different probes of fixed geometry. Earlier studies have shown the dependency of a total pressure and a type "B" probe on yaw angle. Appendix B gives a brief discussion and outlines the use which can be made of the probe characteristics.

In knowing the output for a certain yaw angle of the "A" probe and its output for zero yaw angle, as well as the output of the "B" probe for zero yaw angle at the same relative location, three different pressures are known for what might be considered to be a single equivalent multi-sensor probe. Reference 1 shows how such pressures can be reduced to values of pitch, yaw, and magnitude of the velocity vector. The zero yaw angle must be found first by comparing the left- and right-hand sides of the type "A" probe output as a function of yaw.

E

\*

As shown in Appendix B, the type "A" probe output as a function of yaw angle in a steady uniform flow is symmetrical about a position where the probe is aligned with the flow (referred to as the zero yaw position). If in an unknown flow the yaw angle is not zero, by rotating the probe and

finding two equal pressure readings separated by a certain angle difference and selecting the mid-point between the two corresponding angles, the unknown yaw angle can be determined. This procedure is in principle the same as the pneumatic balancing of a conventional probe (see Ref. 1). However, in an actual measurement situation the pressure output is not given as a continuous function of yaw angle. In practice, the data acquisition system allows digital recording of data for 5 to 11 different probe yaw angles. Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) give a comparison of calibration data and an approximation using a fourth-order polynomial for nine data points. It is evident that the characteristics of the probes allow a good representation of their output to be obtained for  $P_A = P_A(\alpha)$  and  $P_R = P_R(\alpha)$  ( $\alpha = yaw$  angle) if only a few values are given. From these analytic functions the values  $P_A$  max (maximum output of the "A" probe),  $P_{B max}$  (maximum output of the "B" probe) and a value  $P_{\mathsf{S}_{\mathtt{A}}}$  can be determined very easily.  $P_{S_A}$  is found from  $P_A = P_A(\alpha)$  where a difference in yaw of 126° separates right and left branches. This difference is chosen because for ±63° of yaw the type "A" probe output corresponds closely to static pressure.

Details of the methods used to derive the pitch angle and Mach number from the values of  $P_{A max}$ ,  $P_{SA}$  and  $P_{B max}$  will be discussed later in detail.

In acquiring data from the compressor at a steady operating condition, for each of 128 positions in the blade-to-blade

direction across a selected blade passage, pressure data are acquired from the two probes set at 5 to 11 probe yaw angles. Thus at each blade-to-blade position  $P_A = P_A(\alpha)$  and  $P_B = P_B(\alpha)$ can be approximated and yaw and pitch angles and Mach numbers can be derived.

5

, · · · ·

#### 3. SECOND GENERATION PROBES

In order to improve spatial resolution and keep effects such as the probe stem interference as small as possible, a new set of Kulite probes was built.

#### 3.1 Probe Design

The so-called second-generation probes incorporate Kulite semi-transducers of the type XC062. The transducers measure 0.062 inches in diameter and are roughly two-thirds of the size of the first generation transducers. Figure 4 shows the probes in detail. The other difference compared to the first probes is the angle of the tip of the "B" probe; it is at 35° rather than 55° with respect to the zero axis. The reason is that for a range of 30° to 50° angle of attack the relationship between pressure output and angle of an inclined pressure probe is almost linear, while for higher angles it can reach a minimum and become double valued. An angle of 35° should give good resolution for pitch angles in the range of -5° to +15°.

The probe tips are covered with machined caps which have eight holes arranged in a circle. This way the area where the transducers are located is shielded while there is still sufficient area for the air to get into and out of the minute volume above the membrane. A frequency response in excess of 100 Khz is retained when the screen is used.

#### 3.2. Temperature Sensitivity

High response semiconductor transducers are generally sensitive to temperature changes. That is, changes in the temperature of the surrounding medium will produce changes in the indicated pressure although there has been no change in the pressure level. In the transducer manufacturer's specifications it is quoted that a change of  $100^{\circ}$ F might result in a misreading of as much as 2% of the full range (25 PSI) of pressure. On request the transducers can be built so that only 0.5% misreading for the same conditions should result. Thus a  $100^{\circ}$ F temperature change should produce no more than 0.125 PSI or--equivalently--3.46" H<sub>2</sub>O misreading.

The relationship between transducer voltage output and pressure is known to be linear (Refs. 2 and 3). Temperature changes result mainly in a shift of the intercept rather than the slope of the linear relationship. Since the temperature of the flow in the compressor is expected to be about  $50^{\circ}$ F higher than ambient, an error of 1.7" H<sub>2</sub>O might be expected to be present in the pressure measurement if no account was taken of the temperature sensitivity, assuming the manufacturer's specification to be accurate.

A simple test was made to check the temperature sensitivity of the "B" probe. The probe was inserted into a container which was vented to atmospheric pressure but which could be heated. With the probe connected to the data

acquisition system in the usual way, the container temperature was changed and the voltage output of the probe was recorded. Figure 5 shows the effect of a temperature change of about  $60^{\circ}F$  over a period of four minutes. A corresponding increase of some 2.4" of water in the indicated pressure was observed, corresponding to about 0.6% of the full transducer range for a temperature change of  $100^{\circ}F$ . This was consistent with the sensitivity quoted by the manufacturer.

For an average flow Mach number in the compressor of 0.7, with a corresponding dynamic head of 154 inches of water, an apparent shift in the transducer intercept of 1 to 2 inches of water is not large. Also, since the shift would be similar at different probe angles (assuming the transducer temperature would not change significantly), measurements based on differences between pressures from the same probe set at different angles, would be little affected. However, as readings from the "A" and "B" probe are both involved in calculating the pitch angle, the probes must give absolute pressure levels accurately. Therefore there is need for on-line calibration as data is acquired at any new test condition.

#### 4. PROBE CALIBRATION

#### 4.1. Calibration Procedure

The range of Mach number, pitch and yaw angle over which the probes were calibrated, had to cover the ranges which were expected in the compressor measurements. The freejet used for the calibration, which is described in Ref. 1, is capable of Mach numbers up to 0.9, pitch angles from  $-45^{\circ}$  to  $+45^{\circ}$  and yaw angles from  $0^{\circ}$  to  $360^{\circ}$ . Figures 6(a), (b) and (c) show details of the probe hook-up and instrumentation which was used. Table I gives the input/output assignment list for the data acquisition system.

The "A" and "B" probes were calibrated separately. The probe outputs were each recorded for a total of 9 pitch angles  $(-15^{\circ} \text{ to } +25^{\circ} \text{ in } 5^{\circ} \text{ increments})$  and 6 Mach numbers (0.2 to 0.7 in 0.1 increments). For each of these 54 configurations the probes were yawed from  $-80^{\circ}$  to  $+80^{\circ}$ , as data were continuously recorded. This procedure served to establish the complete pressure vs. voltage output characteristics of the probes, information to be used later in the analysis and interpretation measurements in the compressor.

The transducers were scaled using bridge adjustments to give engineering units on the DVM. The angle potentiometer was set to read linearly in increments of  $0.1^{\circ}$ .

The Kulite transducers were scaled to read in increments of Ol inches of water, differential pressure. The slope and intercept of the Kulite transducer were checked and adjusted as necessary before taking data at each new test condition. The intercept was adjusted to zero by applying the jet reference stagnation pressure to the reference side of the probe transducer with the probe tip aligned with the flow and balancing the transducer bridge. The slope was set by adjusting the output of the transducer to be equal to the jet stagnation pressure with atmospheric pressure as reference.

For each configuration of probe, Mach number, and pitch angle, the procedure was as follows:

- Reference measurements for the jet (stagnation pressure and temperature, and ambient pressure) were recorded.
- (ii) The probe was swept steadily from -80° to +80° yaw angle as 150 data values of both probe voltage output and yaw angle potentiometer reading were acquired by the computer (Fig. 6). The jet reference measurements were recorded again.
- (iii) The procedure in (ii) was repeated but with the probe yaw angle swept back from  $+80^{\circ}$  to  $-80^{\circ}$ .

The three sets of reference measurements were compared to verify the steadiness of the test conditions. When all data were taken, a printout was produced on the line printer and a plot of pressure versus yaw angle was generated on the X-Y plotter.

10

· · · · ·

For each probe, the procedure in (i)-(iii) was repeated for each pitch angle with the jet Mach number fixed. The jet Mach number was then adjusted to the next value and the complete procedure repeated again.

## 4.2. Data Acquisition and Storage

For each of the 54 configurations a total of 640 numbers were stored in one data file as a 2 by 320 array. The computer program used for the data acquisition was &XALIB (on cartridge 26, FORTRAN IV). The program is listed in Appendix C together with program &YAW (on cartridge 26, FORTRAN IV). Both programs (&KALIB and &YAW) are acquisiton programs for the calibration of type "A" and "B" probes. The difference is that program &YAW records--in a more conventional way--data from one fixed yaw position as the average of ten readings for up to 31 positions, while program &KALIB gathers data for continuously varying yaw position.

It was found that the average of multiple samples taken at a fixed yaw position did not give more accurate results than a single reading. Figure 7 shows a comparison between the output obtained with the two different data acquisition methods. The good agreement is an indication of the steadiness of the flow in the free jet. Figure 8 shows the output of a total pressure Kulite probe held fixed in the jet over a period of 4 minutes, during which 1000 single readings were recorded. The

11

largest disturbances shown in Fig. 8 are probably the result of distinct changes in the ambient pressure resulting from doors being opened or closed in the building, rather than fluctuations in the jet itself.

The data acquisition program &KALIB is fairly simple and contains explanations in the program listing. Details of the data arrangement in the array are given in the program listing (Program &KALIB, statement numbers 46 through 77).

## 4.3. Type "A" Probe Results

Figure 9 gives an example of the type "A" probe data output at fixed Mach number for each of nine pitch angles. Shown is the probe voltage output versus yaw angle. Such plots provided a visual check of the acquired data. Table II gives an example of the data recorded for one Mach number and one pitch anole for the "A" probe. All the data in Table II are stored in one file for each configuration. Table III gives a guide to the data files for the "A" probe calibration. They are stored on cartridge 26. The file names follow the following logic:

## XYKZRR

where

X = A for the "A" probe, or = B for the "B" probe Y = 2, 3, 4, ... --Mach number x 10 K = K, Kulite

Z = P for positive or = N for negative pitch angle RR = 15, 10, 05, etc., = magnitude of the pitch angle All data taken for the "A" probe appeared to be well behaved and useful throughout.

#### 4.4 Type "B" Probe Results

First measurements with the "B" probe showed poor to unusable results. The output of the probe as a function of yaw angle was unsymmetrical for positive or negative yaw angles. Figure 10 shows the output at one Mach number, for the full range of pitch angles. An investigation of the probe tip under the microscope showed that some of the holes in the protective screen at the probe tip were partially blocked by particles of dirt or glue (Fig. 11(a), and (b)). The holes were cleaned and the calibration rerun. Figure 12 shows the results at a Mach number of 0.4. The characteristics of the probe were seen to be much improved and satisfactory for the intended application. Table IV gives the list of the data file names as stored on cartridge 26.

## 4.5. Calibration Data Analysis

For the "A" probe the output of the probe as a function of yaw angle  $P_A = P_A(\alpha)$  was analysed for each of the combination of Mach number and pitch angle. First, the maximum value,  $P_A$  max, was calculated as the maximum value of a fourth-order polynomial curve fit in the

 $-20^{\circ} \le \alpha \le 20^{\circ}$ . Over this limited range the curve  $P_{A} = P_{A}(\alpha)$ was fairly flat and a very precise determination of the maximum value was possible. Second, to establish values of  $P_{S_{a}}$  for each curve, (see Section 2), the data were surveyed to find the yaw angle closest to  $-63^{\circ}$ . Data at this and at four values above and four values below this particular yaw angle were approximated with a second-order polynominal and the corresponding value of  $P_{h}$ , designated PSA, yaw was calculated. A second-order polynomial approximation for this part of the curve was adequate since the characteristic was nearly linear in this range (see also Appendix B). The same procedure was used for the right hand side of the characteristic to establish the value,  $P_{S_{A_{D}}}$ , at a yaw angle of +63°. The two values were found to be the same to within a small deviation for all 54 configurations. The value of  $P_{S_A}$  was calculated as the average of  $P_{SAL}$  and  $P_{SAR}$ . Figure 13 is an illustration of the data reduction.

The only data needed from the "B" probe was the maximum pressure output at each test condition. This value always occured for a yaw angle of zero degrees when the flow was aligned. The maximum was found by approximating the output values of  $P_B$  over the yaw angle range  $-30^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 30^{\circ}$  with a fourth-order polynomial and solving for zero slope. The corresponding yaw angle was found to be very close to zero for all 54 configurations.

14

· · · ·

Figure 14 shows a curve  $P_B = P_B(\alpha)$  for one Mach number and one pitch angle with the value established for  $P_B$  max.

The values of  $P_A \max$ ,  $P_{S_A}$ , and  $P_{p_1}\max$ , so derived, were considered to be analogous to the outputs of a conventional pneumatic multi-sensor probe. The calibration and reduction of measurements to values of Mach number and pitch angle (the yaw angle was always zero) could be handled in exactly the same way as was done for the combination temperature-pneumatic probe (see Ref. 1). The dimensionless velocity, X, was used instead of the Mach number, M where X is defined as  $X = \frac{V}{V_t}$  where  $V_t = \sqrt{2} C_p T_t$ , the "limiting" velocity. The quantity X can be expressed in terms of Mach number as

$$x = \frac{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M^2}{1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M^2}$$

For each of the 54 test conditions, using the values  $P_A$  max,  $P_{S_A}$ , and  $P_B$  max, the coefficients  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  were calculated where

$$\beta = \frac{P_{A \max} - P_{SA}}{P_{A \max}}$$
(1)

$$\gamma = \frac{P_{A} \max - P_{B} \max}{P_{A} \max - P_{S}}$$
(2)

and a third coefficient,  $\delta$ , was examined where

 $\delta = \beta \cdot \gamma$ 

 $\beta$  is derived from values of the "A" probe only. The "A" probe is insensitive to pitch angle as long as it does not exceed  $\pm 15^{\circ}$ to  $\pm 20^{\circ}$ . Hence  $\gamma$  provides the measurement of the pitch angle. The coefficients  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$  are discussed in detail in Ref. 1.

The data for a complete calibration are given in Table V. It can be seen that at fixed Mach number the value of  $\beta$  is always about the same regardless of the pitch angle while  $\gamma$ changes significantly with pitch angle. The changes in  $\gamma$  with changes in Mach number are seen to be small.

If X is expressed as a function of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , X = X( $\beta$ , $\gamma$ ) and  $\phi$ , the pitch angle is expressed as a function of 2 and  $\gamma$ ,  $\phi = \phi(\beta,\gamma)$  the functions X( $\beta,\gamma$ ) and  $\phi(\beta,\gamma)$  can be approximated with polynomials using the methods described in Ref. 6, such that

and the second second

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ \frac{M}{j=1} \beta^{(j-1)} \right\}, \gamma^{(i-1)}$$
$$\Rightarrow = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left\{ \frac{M}{j=1} \beta^{(j-1)} \right\}, \gamma^{(i-1)}$$

where  $C_{ij}$  and  $D_{ij}$  are constant coefficients. Figs. 15(a) and (b) show the surfaces which were obtained for  $X(\beta,\gamma)$  and  $\ddagger(\beta,\gamma)$ , respectively.

The programs written to approximate  $X = X(\beta,\gamma)$  and  $\phi = \phi(\beta,\gamma)$ , based on the subroutines given in Ref. 6, are &REST8 and &REST9. The programs are described in detail in Appendix D. It is noted that the coefficients were derived for the pitch angle expressed in radians.

A check of the approximation was performed in order to establish its quality. For the measurements of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ from the calibration X and  $\phi$  were calculated using equations (3) and (4). The results were compared with the corresponding values known to have been set when the measurements were made. Errors in the dimensionless velocity,  $\varepsilon_{\rm X}$ , and errors in the pitch angle,  $\varepsilon_{\phi}$ , were defined as

$$\varepsilon_{\rm X} = \frac{{\rm X}_{\rm m} - {\rm X}_{\rm c}}{{\rm X}_{\rm m}} \cdot 100 \tag{5}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{\phi} = \phi_{m} - \phi_{C} \qquad (6)$$

where the subscription m denotes the value measured or known to have been set and subscript c denotes the value calculated using the surface approximation.

The error  $\varepsilon_X$  is expressed as a percentage of the measured value while for the pitch angle the absolute difference in degrees between measurement and calculation is calculated. A percentage error in angle is meaningless close to **zero** pitch angle.

Table VI gives the coefficients obtained for the X and  $\phi$  surfaces. Also shown are the errors  $\varepsilon_{\chi}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\phi}$ obtained using equation (3) and equation (4). Approximations were derived for each of 36 possibilities consisting of combinations of first to sixth order approximation for  $\beta$  and first to sixth order approximation for  $\gamma$ .

17

·, · · · · ·

The coefficients shown in Table VI gave the best results on average over the range of the calibration. They are stored as 7 by 7 arrays under the file names shown on cartridge 26. It is noted that the errors shown in Table VI are an indication only of the degree of accuracy of the approximation technique.

· r

### 5. VERIFICATION TESTS

Two tests to evaluate the accuracy of the calibration and of the data reduction technique were made: (1) The raw data from the calibration were treated as test data and the reduction procedure to calculate X and  $\phi$  was applied; (2) The probes were mounted together on the freejet, the flow was adjusted in Mach number and the two probes were set together to the same pitch angles, which were unknown to the operator (see 5.2.). Data were acquired at specific yaw angles and reduced as in the compressor application. The results are described in the following paragraphs.

## 5.1. Verification Using Calibration Data

and the subscription of th

From the calibration test, the pressures for the "A" and "B" probe were recorded for fixed Mach number and pitch angle as  $P_A = P_A(\alpha)$  and  $P_B = P_B(\alpha)$  for a range of yaw angle of  $-80^\circ \le \alpha \le 80^\circ$ . These distributions were each approximated using a sixth order polynomial, so that values  $P_A$  and  $P_B$  could be interpolated at any yaw angle. For the "A" probe 9 yaw angles were chosen ( $\pm 65^\circ$ ,  $\pm 45^\circ$ ,  $\pm 30^\circ$ ,  $\pm 15^\circ$ ,  $0^\circ$ ) so that the range of yaw angle necessary to handle the data reduction was covered. Since for the "B" probe sufficient values are required to determine only the maximum output, 9 different yaw angles for a

relatively small range were chosen  $(\pm 30^{\circ}, \pm 22.5, \pm 15^{\circ}, \pm 7.5^{\circ}, 0^{\circ})$ . Arrays PA(9) and YAWA(9) were generated to contain pressure and yaw angles respectively for the "A" probe and similarly PB(9) and YAWB(9) were generated for the "B" probe. These data were then in a format as if they were produced by the data acquisition program for compressor measurements, and could be reduced in the same way.

From the fourth order polynomial for the "B" probe data, the maximum value  $P_{Bmax}$  and yaw angle at which it occured were stored, for each data set.

PA(9) vs. YAWA(9) and PB(9) vs. YAWB(9) were approximated using fourth order polynomials. For each data set the curve  $P_A = P_A(\alpha)$  was searched for the yaw angles where the spread between left and right branches was 126°. Corresponding to definitions used in the reduction of calibration data, the pressure determined at the left branch was  $P_{SAL}$  and the one at the right branch was  $P_{SAR}$ . These pressures were as defined here, the same and were equivalently equal to the value  $P_{SA}$  (see Fig. 13).  $P_A \max$  was calculated using the fourth order polynomial  $P_A(\alpha)$  at the value of yaw angle midway between the values corresponding to  $P_{SAL}$  and  $P_{SAR}$ .

 $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  were calculated using equations (1) and (2) for each data set. Using these values, the coefficients from data files MISTXV and MISTIFI and the equations (3)

and (4), the corresponding values of X and  $\phi$  were computed. The yaw angle was taken to be that corresponding to the value P<sub>Bmax</sub> because the "B" probe had a clearly defined maximum whereas the "A" probe did not (see Figs. 13 and 14). The values so obtained for X,  $\phi$ , and  $\alpha$  using this reduction technique were compared to the corresponding values known to have been set and recorded during the test. Errors were calculated following equations (5) and (6). A third error,  $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$ , for yaw was calculated using

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha} = \alpha_{m} - \alpha_{c} \tag{7}$$

where  $\alpha_m$  was the measured yaw angle and  $\alpha_c$  was the yaw angle calculated from the "B" probe data. Since  $\alpha_m$  was always zero during the calibration, the error  $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$  so defined was equal to minus the value calculated in the reduction procedure.

The calculations described required extensive data handling. A program was written (EVALU) to read the two data files (for the "A" and "B" probes) and carry out the calculations. The program accesses and reduces data for one configuration (Mach number and pitch angle) at a time. It prints the calculated and measured values and the errors defined in equations (5), (6), and (7) before returning automatically to read the files for data from the next configuration. Program EVALU is described in detail in Appendix E.

Table VII shows a comparison of the measured and calculated data. Values for Mach number from 0.3 to 0.7 and pitch angle between  $0^{\circ}$  and  $15^{\circ}$  are given since these are the range of values expected in the intended application. The average error in X (or velocity) was about -0.4% with a maximum value of -1.336%. The average pitch angle error was 0.12° with a maximum value of 1.36°. An average yaw angle error of  $0.6^{\circ}$  was obtained with a maximum error of  $-1.16^{\circ}$ . Figure 16(a), (b) and (c) show these errors plotted as functions of Mach number and pitch angle. No significant trends were detected in these data except perhaps in  $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$ . Table VII shows that the yaw angle error was always negative at an average magnitude of roughly half a degree. This is probably an indication of the fixed error involved in mounting the probe on the freejet.

It should be noted that the probe mounting used on the freejet and on the compressor were not the same. After the calibration and verification tests were made on the freejet, the probes were mounted in special actuators for use on the compressor. First however, the assembled probe and actuators were mounted in turn on a six foot long, four inch diameter pipe which was fed by the laboratory air supply. In this pipe, due to its length to diameter ratio, a steady symmetrical airflow parallel to the pipe centerline was assured. For

different Mach numbers--pitch angle was constrained to zero degrees--each probe was yawed about its tip to either side. By comparing left and right branches of the indicated probe output the yaw angle vernier was set to zero at the point of symmetry, corresponding to aligned flow. The probe was secured in the actuator so that for compressor measurements, zero yaw corresponded precisely to alignment with the axial flow direction through the machine.

5.2. Verification on the Freejet

Salar Salar Salar

A STREET WATCH

In order to verify the probe calibration and data reduction in a known flow, the probes were mounted together on the freejet. Only the yaw angle of one probe (the "A" probe) could be read using the data acquisition system. The probes were displaced peripherally at an angle of 90° to each other (see Fig. 6). While the tip of the "B" probe was on the centerline, the tip of the "A" probe was retracted radially about one inch from the centerline to avoid flow interference between the probes. Both probes were mounted on the same type of pitch angle adjustment device.

In the test procedure the probes were set in unison to controlled pitch angles and Mach numbers which were unknown to the operator. At each setting, the two probes were each rotated to 9 different yaw angles  $(\pm 65^{\circ}, \pm 45^{\circ}, \pm 30^{\circ}, \pm 15^{\circ}, 0^{\circ})$ . Data were taken for each of the 9 yaw
positions. The pressure readings recorded were the average of 10 successive samples. Before the actual test the zero drift of the sensors was checked. For the "A" probe this was done by comparing the probe output when set to zero pitch angle with pressure. For the "B" probe, the probe was set to  $-35^{\circ}$  in pitch (see Fig. 4) and a similar comparison was made. The data taken were not stored but only printed. Appendix F contains the computer program TEST which acquired the data and performed the data reduction.

In order to check the yaw angle determined by the reduction procedure the probe yaw angle data were artificially offset. The 9 yaw positions recorded were changed by adding a constant to each:  $65^{\circ}+X$ ,  $45^{\circ}+X$ ,  $30^{\circ}+X$ ,  $15^{\circ}+X$ ,  $0^{\circ}+X$ ,  $-15^{\circ}+X$ ,  $-30^{\circ}+X$ ,  $-45^{\circ}+X$ ,  $-65^{\circ}+X$ . The data reduction procedure should then produce a value for the yaw angle equal to X.

Table VIII shows results of the verification tests. Shown are the results for values of Mach number and pitch angle which are typical of those to be expected in the compressor. The errors given in Table VIII are defined as

$$\varepsilon_{\rm X} = \frac{X_{\rm S} - X_{\rm C}}{X_{\rm S}} \cdot 100$$
$$\varepsilon_{\rm \phi} = \phi_{\rm S} - \phi_{\rm C}$$
$$\varepsilon_{\rm \alpha} = \alpha_{\rm S} - \alpha_{\rm C}$$

where index s is the set condition and c the calculated value.

The values of  $\varepsilon_{\chi}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\phi}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$  obtained were considered to represent an acceptable accuracy for the planned application of the technique. In particular, the accuracy of the pitch angle measurement was encouraging. The pitch angle was of particular concern because the distribution of pitch behind the rotor was a particular goal of the intended measurements which could lead to important conclusions concerning the flow through the rotor. As shown in Tables VII and VIII, the errors in pitch angle measurement appear to be acceptable.

## 6. APPLICATION IN COMPRESSOR TESTS

Complete results of compressor measurements made with the probe system will be reported later. Some initial measurements are reported here to examine and illustrate the reliability and accuracy of the probe system and of the data reduction. Since the data acquisition in the compressor is more complicated than in calibration tests on the freejet, the procedures and the programs used are described in detail.

#### 6.1. Necessity of an Online-Calibration

and a starting of the starting of the start of the start

.

.

The semi-conductor transducers are, to some degree, sensitive to temperature change as well as to differential pressure change. If a relationship between differential pressure and voltage output of the transducer was established by calibration before the compressor was started, there would be no guarantee that this relationship would remain valid while the machine was running. A total temperature rise of 25°F occurs in flow through the rotor and the actual temperature of the probe itself must increase, but can never be known precisely. The magnitude of the probe temperature rise is large enough however that the change in the transducer's voltage/ pressure relationship must be taken into account in some

way. This is done through online calibration.

## 6.2. Online Calibration

Although there is a temperature sensitivity, the relationship between the voltage output of the transducer and the differential pressure is found to be always linear (Ref. 2, 3). Thus, if e denotes the voltage output and  $\Delta P$  the corresponding differential pressure, the equation

$$\Delta \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{e} \tag{8}$$

describes the calibration, where i is the intercept and S is the slope.

The transducer is arranged in the probes such that any desired constant pressure,  $P_r$ , can be applied to the back, or reference side, of the transducer. The unknown pressure on the front of the transducer, P, which is varying in time, is given by  $P = \Delta P + P_r$ , or

 $P = i + S \cdot e + P_r$  (9)

The on-line calibration procedure establishes values for the slope and intercept while the compressor is operating at the speed and flow rate at which probe data are required. The procedure to establish the slope is the same for both the Type "A" and Type "B" probes. The procedure for the intercept is more elaborate and quite different for the two probes. The procedures are described separately in the following paragraphs.

#### 6.2.1. Procedure For Slope

At a given steady machine condition, the time average probe pressure,  $\overline{P}$ , is constant. Because of thermal inertia it is reasonable to assume that both the slope S and the intercept i are also constant, although unknown. If two different reference pressures,  $P_{r_1}$  and  $P_{r_2}$ , are applied to the transducer in turn, and the corresponding time-averaged output voltages  $\overline{e_1}$  and  $\overline{e_2}$  are recorded then, from equation (9),

$$\overline{P} = i + S \cdot \overline{e_1} + P_{r_1}$$
 (10a)

and

ŝ

$$\overline{P} = i + S \cdot \overline{e_2} + P_{r_2}$$
 (10b)

combining these equations, it follows that

$$S = \frac{{}^{P}r_{2} - {}^{P}r_{1}}{\overline{e_{2}} - \overline{e_{1}}}$$
(11)

Equation (11) provides the means to calculate the slope of the transducer from measurements. In practice four to five different reference pressures are applied and the slope is calculated as a linear approximation (by least squares) to the variation of  $\overline{e}$  vs.  $P_r$ .

## 6.2.2. Procedure For Type "A" Probe Intercept

The time averaged flow conditions in the measuring plane are established using the combination pneumatic and temperature probe reported in Ref.l. The probe determines values for the Mach number, pitch angle

and yaw angle\*. The combination probe tip, with its arrangement of four pressure tubes, is shown in Fig. 17. When the probe is aligned to balance pressures  $P_2$  and  $P_3$ , the pressure referred to as  $P_1$  is a measurement of the total pressure, since the flow pitch angle can not exceed about 11<sup>°</sup> at the rotor exit. Similarly, the type "A" probe when aligned at the time-averaged yaw angle is also in principle, a total pressure probe. By equating the measured pneumatic pressure P, to be equal to the time-average of the pressure seen by the type "A" probe,  $\overline{P_A}$ , the intercept of the "A"-probe can be calculated using equation (10)\*\*.

Data for the calculation of the intercept was gathered during the acquisition of time-resolved flow

المتحكمة والمتحقيق المحالية المحالم والمحالية

The question of whether a pneumatic probe can measure the correct time-averaged values of fluctuating pressures, raised in Ref. 7 and Ref. 8, was addressed in Ref. 1. It was shown that for the conditions measured to date, the results of the combination probe were accurate. Nevertheless, the possibility that an increase in rotor speed, resulting in higher fluctuation pressure amplitudes and pressure ratios, might affect the accuracy of the pneumatic measurements is accepted; and close attention will be paid to it in the future.

The "time-average" voltage could be recorded using the integrating DVM or by acquiring a large number of discreet samples at arbitrary intervals using the HP 5610 A/D converter and computing the average. In early tests, the samples for the time-average measurements were taken using the A/D converter in the so called "free-run" mode. Roughly 1500 single data samples were collected over about 15 msec. A comparison of the average values acquired this way with those given by a digital voltmeter consistently showed agreement to within ±0.5%. Subsequently, for convenience, the DVM was used to acquire values of the time-averaged voltage S from the Kulite probes during the online calibration.

data. At each yaw angle to which the two probes were set, readings of the probe transducer outputs were recorded using the DVM. Data from the combination probe were acquired also; however, the probe's yaw angle was not changed. The DVM voltage readings from the "A" and "B" probes were approximated as functions of the corresponding yaw angles by fourth order polynomials,  $\overline{e_A} = \overline{e_A}(\alpha)$  and  $\overline{e_B} = \overline{e_B}(\alpha)$ . The maxima of these functions were derived mathematically and designated  $\overline{e_A}$ max and  $\overline{e_B}$ max respectively.

The value  $\overline{e_A}$  max was found to exist at a flow yaw angle very close to the yaw angle measured with the combination probe. For the A probe, a pressure coefficient was defined as

$$\overline{C_{P}}_{A0} = \frac{P_{Amax} - P_{S}}{\overline{P_{t}} - \overline{P_{S}}}$$
(12)

The index "0" indicates that the coefficient was derived for the yaw angle where the probe was aligned with the time-averaged flow. Ideally  $\overline{C_{P_{A0}}}$  would be unity, since the "A" probe is expected to measure total pressure if properly aligned with the flow. However, during the calibration procedure (reported in Section 4) it was found that  $\overline{C_{P_{A0}}}$  depended slightly on the probe pitch angle, although not on Mach number. As shown in Fig. 18,  $\overline{C_{P_{A0}}}$  varies within the range of 0.990 to 1.020. The

**UNIX** 

relationship between  $\overline{C_{P}}_{A0}$  and pitch angle obtained in the steady-flow calibration was approximated by a fourth order polynomial. Using this approximation and the timeaveraged pitch angle, stagnation pressure  $(\overline{P_{t}})$  and static pressure  $(\overline{P_{S}})$ ,  $\overline{P_{Amax}}$  can be calculated using Equation (12).

Knowing the values  $\overline{e_A}$ max, the slope s and the reference pressure (P<sub>r</sub>), the intercept (i) of the A-probe can be calculated using equation (10). Thus the relationship between the probe and the time-varying voltage signal from "A" probe is known, using equation (9).

31

#### 6.2.3. Procedure for Type "B" Probe Intercept

The determination of the intercept of the "B" probe is indirect. Unlike the "A" probe there is no matching pneumatic measurement for the "B" probe. Such an approach would be too inaccurate. The "B" probe is intended to be very sensitive to pitch angle changes, so that a very small difference in tip geometry of the Kulite and an "equivalent" pneumatic probe would cause a potentially large error in the calculation of the intercept. Thus an alternate way to derive the "B"probe intercept was adopted.

During the online calibration procedure, the "A" and "B" probes are set to the same yaw angle as the combination probe. In this orientation, all three probes are aligned with the time-average flow vector. This flow vector is determined totally by the combination probe, and thus the yaw angle, pitch angle, Mach number, total and static pressures are known for the time-averaged conditions.

A pressure coefficients,  $\overline{C_{P_B}}$  can be calculated for the "B" probe using the definition

$$\overline{C_{P_B}} = \frac{\overline{P_B} - \overline{P_S}}{\overline{P_t} - \overline{P_S}}$$
(13)

If the pressure reading of the "B" probe when aligned with the flow is referred to as  $\overline{P_{Bmax}}$ , the corresponding pressure

coefficient,  $\overline{C_{P}}_{B0}$ , (at zero yaw angle of the probe with respect to the flow) is given by

$$\overline{C_{P}}_{B0} = \frac{\overline{P_{Bmax}} - \overline{P_{S}}}{\overline{P_{t}} - \overline{P_{S}}}$$
(14)

From the calibration tests reported in Section 4, values of  $\overline{C_{P}}_{B0}$  were determined for each of 54 combinations of Mach number and pitch angle. These data are given in Table IX. Figure 19 shows these calibration data for  $\overline{C_{P}}_{B0}$  plotted as a function of Mach number and pitch angle. While the dependence on Mach number is seen to be small, a strong and well-behaved relationship between  $\overline{C_{P}}_{B0}$  and pitch angle can be ovserved.  $\overline{C_{P}}_{B0}$  was viewed as a function,

$$\overline{C_{P}}_{B0} = \overline{C_{P}}_{B0}(X,\phi)$$
(15)

The function in equation (15) was similarly approximated using the calibration data as a surface depending on two independent variables (Section 4.4). Appendix G describes the computer program used and illustrates the results.

Using the expression for the surface represented by equation (15), and values of the Mach number and pitch angle, given by the combination probe, at each operating point,  $\overline{C_{P}}_{B0}$  were calculated. Then, using the time-averaged total and static pressures given by the combination probe measurement, a corresponding value of  $\overline{P_{Bmax}}$  can be calculated using equation (14). Using the recorded value of the

"B" probe voltage output,  $\overline{e_B}$ max, corresponding  $P_r$ , and the value established earlier for the transducer slope, the intercept of the "B" probe was calculated using equation (10). Thereafter, equation (9) could be used to convert time-dependent voltage readings to absolute pressure values.

## 6.3. Data Acquisition in TX-Compressor Measurements

The hardware of the dual probe digital sampling technique was described in Section 2. The present section describes the procedures and software used to acquire and store raw data necessary to determine bladeto-blade velocity distributions. The sequence of events is summarized in Table X.

As described in Section 2 and Section 4.5, at each blade-to-blade location sufficient data from the "A" and "B" probes were required that functions  $P_A = P_A(\alpha)$  and  $P_B = P_B(\alpha)$  could be established. However, the yaw angle was not known apriori for any of the 256 positions at which data were acquired. The time average yaw angle was known from the combination probe and variations in yaw angle were selected to be about this value.

It was found that yaw angle varied typically  $-5^{\circ}$  to  $+15^{\circ}$  from the time averaged value. Thus data were acquired for 9 different probe yaw angles covering a

range of the average yaw angle minus 5° minus 65°, and plus 15° plus 65°, in order to make sure that a sufficient range was covered to define the maximum values from  $P_A = P_A(\alpha)$  and  $P_B = P_B(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha = \pm 63^\circ$ .

Program &ABKUL was used for the data acquisition. It is described in detail in Appendix A. Figures 20a and 20b show an output of the raw data. Shown are the outputs of "A" probe (Fig. 20 a + b) & "B" probe (Fig. 20 c + d) for all 256 positions and for the 9 different probe yaw angle settings. The plots were generated by program &WAVE which is described in Appendix I. Besides plotting data from a data file the program also offers the possibility to acquire data from one Kulite probe and plot it on-line.

All data acquired with program &ABKUL are stored in one large data file. This includes the unsteady measurement data, all the steady state data for the online calibration and the combination probe measurements. Thus each data set is complete and independent of any other information.

のないというないで、「「「「」」」

Table Xa shows an example of steady state data acquired for the online calibration (see Section 6.2.); values for the slopes of the Type A before (lst) and after (2nd) the paced data acquisition. This output allows comparison of the results of the two calibrations. If the corresponding values of slope differ by more than +1.5%, which indicates drift during the measurement

35

. .

period, the data are not accepted. The "intercepts" of "A" and "B" probes are also printed. These values are only used however to monitor changes during the data acquisition. The actual intercept of the voltagepressure characteristic must be calculated from these values as described in Section 6.2. Here again a difference of more than +1.5% is taken as evidence that the transducer drifted during the measurement. The other data shown in Table X is raw data which is printed out immediately after it is acquired so that it can be checked. Combination probe data are always acquired with the Kulite probe data. Table Xb shows steadystate data taken with the data from the "A" and "B" probes. For each probe yaw angle setting the same data is acquired as for the online calibration. The values "yaw A pr." and "yaw B pr." give the probe yaw angle settings (nine positions). In the third column values DCA and DCB are printed. As described in Section 6.2., these are the dc voltage levels of the "A" and "B" probes which will be used for the determination of the intercept. For each of the nine positions, values called "averaged values paced output" for "A" and "B" probes are printed. Those are the averages of the 256 single measurements of each of the probes. A comparison of these values with the DCA and DCB values--if the decimal point is neglected--show differences of up to 5%. This is

States - States

and the manufactures

because the DC values are derived for the whole rotor, while the paced output values are from two selected blade pairs only.

The single raw data file is arranged in a 20 by 256 array. Table XI shows the location of the data within that array. Column #1 contains the data for the online calibration only while column #20 is reserved for the steady state data acquired with paced data. Columns #2 through #19 contain the raw data for the nine yaw angle settings of the "A" and "B" probe, with the Type A probe data in even numbered columns, the Type B probe data in the odd numbers. Table XI also shows the hook-up for the data acquisition for the steady state data. This is explained in more detail in Appendix H. The data acquisition for one set of data including online calibrations and steady state data requires some 17 to 20 minutes.

#### 6.4. 'Data Reduction

After the raw data is checked for obvious errors using program WAVE (see section 6.3.) the data reduction is carried out using a single program "ABRED". The steps in the procedure are listed in Table J-1 and Appendix J describes the program in detail.

The program first reads the coefficient files for the calibration of the A- and B-probe as well as those for the combination probe. The operator is then asked for some input concerning the amount of output that is desired. The first

calculation is to determine the flow time-average properties from the combination probe measurements. The average of the readings obtained at the nine different Kulite yaw angle settings is computed since the yaw angle of the combination probe was not changed during the acquisition sequence. The results shown in Table XII are values for dimensionless velocity (X), yaw angle, pitch angle, total pressure and static pressure.

The second calculation uses these values and the acquired raw data for the online calibration to compute the intercept values of the "A" and "B" probes. It is at the user's discretion to output the steps in this process in order to check the calculations performed (see Appendix J).

The first reduction of Kulite data performed is for the time-averaged values from Kulite probe measurements. A DC voltage reading of both probes was recorded for each of the nine yaw angle positions the probes were set to. Using the results of the previously performed online calibration, absolute pressure values are calculated. These are placed in two arrays, PAA(9) and PAB(9). Arrays YAWA(9) and YAWB(9) are filled with the corresponding yaw angle values. Using fourth order polynomials a relationship is approximated giving pressures of "A"- or "B"-probe as functions of yaw angle. From these functions the values  $P_{A max}$ ,  $P_{S_{L}}$ ,  $P_{S_{R}}$ ,  $P_{S_{A}}$  and  $P_{P max}$  are derived as shown in 4.5. The flow yaw angle was assumed to be the one corresponding to  $P_{B max}$ . However, it should be mentioned that the flow yaw angle derived from the A-probe

as the center value between the yaw angles corresponding to  $P_{S_L}$  and  $P_{S_R}$  deviates only slightly (±0.5° to ±1.0°) from the one found with the B-probe.

From these four **pressures**, coefficients  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  are calculated. Applying the calibration coefficients to these values X-- or Mach number -- and pitch angle are calculated lated. Thus the time average flow vector is determined. If it is compared to the one derived from the combination probe measurement, the differences turn out to be, typically

0.64% in Mach number

0.55° in pitch angle

0.63° in yaw angle

The magnitude of these differences is acceptable. Table XII shows results of an actual data set from a compressor test run. At the very top,values calculated from combination probe measurements are displayed followed by the results of the online calibrations for both "A" and "B" probe. Immediately thereafter the contents of the arrays PAA(9), PAB(9), YAWA(9) and YAWB(9) are given showing the average pressure values of both Kulite probes and their corresponding yaw angles for the overall flow measurement. The values  $P_{SL}$ ,  $P_{A}$  max and  $P_{SR}$  derived from those are shown as well as the corresponding yaw angles. Next  $P_{B}$  max and the yaw angle for this pressure is given.

In the following line the actual flow quantities as derived from the "A"-"B" probes are listed. XU, XAX and

BETA2 are calculated from X, pitch and yaw angle, the circumferential speed and axial speed are printed also. These values are:

XU = Circumferential speed (dimensionless)

XAX = Axial speed (dimensionless)

BETA2 = Relative flow angle in the measuring plane.

Once the overall flow vector is determined from the Kulite probes and compared to the results from the combination probe, individual measurements are reduced for any or all of the 256 positions. Whether all, one or any set of positions is reduced is operator-controlled by input parameters.

The same way the data reduction was carried out for the time-average flow vector the raw data for all 256 single positions are treated. Arrays PA(9) and PB(9) are filled with nine individual pressures of "A" and "B" probe which were derived from the raw data applying the results from the online calibration. The yaw angle settings YAWA(9) and YAWB(9) are the same nine values as before. Those are the same throughout the whole reduction since they are the ones the probes were set to. In Table XII the arrays of  $P_A$ ,  $P_B$ , YAWA and YAWB are shown. Also given are arrays PAC(9), DPA, PBC(9), DPB. As mentioned previously  $P_A$  and  $P_B$  are approximated as functions of yaw angle by fourth order polynomials. In order to check the quality of that approximation, using the polynomials, values are calculated for the nine given yaw angles (PAC(9) and PBC(9)) and the difference between the measured pressure

value  $P_A$  or  $P_B$  and the calculated value PAC or PBC is displayed as DPA or DPB. As long as the values DPA and DPB are smaller than  $\pm 2$  inches of water (all pressures shown are in inches of water), it can be assumed that the approximation fits the data points sufficiently well.

Above the array of measured data are some reduced values. Again  $P_{S_L}$ ,  $P_A$  max and  $P_{S_R}$  are printed with their corresponding yaw angles. Below the array,  $P_B$  max and the flow angle for this particular position are given. In the last line position number, beta, gamma, X-- or Mach number --, pitch angle and yaw angle for this position are given.

If a data reduction shall be performed for all 256 positions it is advisable to skip the print-out of the raw data and all intermediate steps (primary input). This way there will be only the print-out of the last line of Table XII for each of the positions.

والأراب والمالي الكريكة والمتكركة المتراجع المالك والمتروي

#### 6.5 Data Presentation and Evaluation

「日本」の語言になった。「「「「「「「」」」」」

In chapter 6.4 the reduction procedure of the raw data to discrete values of X- (or Mach number), pitch angle and yaw angle for all or any of the 256 positions of measurement was described. All these flow parameters were stored in a single file and can be retrieved at any time. In order to get an idea of the flow behavior with respect to the rotor, the flow parameters were plotted as a function of the position within the rotor frame. Figure 21(a) shows the distribution of X ; Fig. 21(b) gives the yaw angle and Fig. 21(c) the pitch angle distribution. The programs used to generate these plots, namely PLOTX, PLOTY and PLOTP, are described in Appendix L.

The general behavior of the measurements was examined. For this, the assumption was made that the relative flow angle at the rotor trailing edge,  $2_2$ , was constant for a given radius (see Fig. 22). Figure 23 shows the velocity triangles for the flow leaving the rotor. If  $2_2$  and  $X_u$ , the circumferential velocity, are constant, any change in the Mach number of the relative flow will reflect in a change of yaw angle and Mach number of the absolute flow. Using the nomenclature in Fig. 23, at any given flow condition i the absolute velocity is given by

$$X_{v_{i}} = \frac{X_{u_{a}}}{\tan \beta_{2} \cdot \cos \alpha_{i} + \sin \alpha_{i}}$$
(16)

Figure 24 shows  $X_{v_1}$  as a function of yaw angle. To be examined is the yaw angle corresponding to the minimum value of  $X_{v_1}$ . From Fig. 23 it can be seen that this yaw angle is  $(90^\circ - \beta_2)$  which is indicated also on Fig. 24. Looking at Fig. 21(b) it was found that indeed the minima of  $X_{vel}$ correspond to a yaw angle of  $39.11^\circ$ .

Another characteristic point in Fig. 21(b) is the maximum value  $(48^\circ)$  of the yaw angle. When  $X_{vel}$  was derived from equation 16 and from Fig. 24 for that yaw angle, a value of .1572 was obtained. This value was found to be consistent with the measured Mach number for that particular location.

Ņ

#### 7. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

As pointed out in chapter 6.2 the absolute accuracy of the Kulite measurements is governed by the accuracy of the combination probe measurements. At higher Mach numbers yet to be measured, this may become a problem (see Ref. 1). Reference 7 shows that the pneumatic measurement might be incorrect if the fluctuations in pressure increase in amplitude beyond certain limits. Since the experiments of Ref. 7 were carried out using a totally different set-up for measurements of total and static pressures, the results are not directly comparable, however, an examination of the possible influence of such errors was attempted.

ACC 22

and the second second

Status Bal

The assumption was made that Pl should be treated like a total pressure probe and P23 and P4 like static pressure probes. It was assumed that an error in the measurements of Pl and also in P23 and P4, or in Pl or P23 and P4 would occur at the same time, giving a total of three possible combinations.

An error of 10% defined according to Ref. 7 was assumed. Such an error resulted in an error of 0.25% in the absolute value of the total pressure and 0.5% in the static pressure. These errors applied to the data from data file AB1901 resulted in none of the three error combinations giving a significant change in Mach number and yaw angle distribution. The pitch angle showed the only significant dependence on these assumed errors. Figure 25 shows the comparison of calculated pitch

angle distribution with and without assumed errors. It can be seen that the biggest error was found for the case of a correct total pressure, but an incorrect static pressure (P23 and P4). An average difference of about one degree was found, and the distribution did not show any qualitative differences. Since the pitch angle could also be measured  $0.5^{\circ}$  too low (if Pl is measured incorrectly but P23 and P4 are measured correctly), the achievable accuracy of the pitch angle measurement is within a range of  $-0.5^{\circ}$  to  $+1.0^{\circ}$ .

The basis of the method of application in the compressor is that the behavior of the probes as a function of yaw angle at each point in the rotor frame is the same as in a steady flow at the corresponding Mach number and pitch angle. The steady flow characteristic is known from the calibration. In order to examine the validity of this assertion, probe measurements were analysed for selected points of measurement with respect to the rotor frame. It was found that the B-probe produced the same characteristic  $P_{R}$  vs. yaw angle in its application as it did in its calibration. The type A probe however showed different results depending on the location of measurement. Figure 26 shows the output of the A probe reduced to values of  $C_{p_1}$  plotted in comparison with the curve of  $C_{p_1}$ as a function of yaw angle established during the calibration. It can be seen that for the time average values the agreement between test data and the calibration is very acceptable. It

is also acceptable for data from near mid passage. However, the same is not true for data in Fig. 26 from the rotor wake region. Going into the wake and out of it tends to skew the top of the function  $C_{P_A}$  vs. yaw angle to different sides of the actual flow yaw angle. Near the center of the wake the probe characteristic appears to be similar to that established in the calibration. Four possible explanations for the skewing are considered:

a) Flow temperature effect on the probe output depending on the different probe yaw angle settings.

b) Probe interference due to "steady" gradient effect.

c) Probe interference due to "unsteady" gradient effect.

d) "Incorrect" averaging in a flow in which Mach number and flow angles are separately unsteady, and probe output is non-linear in M ,  $\alpha$  and  $\varphi$  .

وحماق وتركم متركم فالمراجع

23 in

ĺ.

Constraints of

The effect in (a) is not a probable explanation since the effect would be present also in positions outside the wake. It is also unlikely, because of thermal lag, that the sensor material could respond to the high frequencies of the wake fluctuations. The effect in (b) could give errors having the observed qualitative behavior.

In Ref. 9 it is shown that when a velocity gradient strikes a total pressure probe the apparent location of measurement tends to shift away from the center of the probe tip. The calculations carried out in Ref. 9 were applied to the geometry of the probes and flow parameters measured in the blade wakes

with the A-B probes. It was found that an apparent displacement of the order of 3% of the probe outer diameter was indicated to be possible. This was considered to be negligible. However, since the results in Ref. (a) were for impact probes at zero yaw angle to the flow direction, an experiment to verify the conclusion for the A-B probes yawed in a velocity gradient, is certainly desirable.

The possibility of an unsteady error which results from the behavior of the probe itself must be considered. However, it is noted that the error is only significant where the flow is unsteady in the rotor frame. This explanation would have to be accepted if all other explanations failed, and could be verified by independent non-intrusive measurements such as LDV. However, since the error appears only in the unsteady wake the most probable cause is thought to be (d).

1. S. S.

The existence of unsteadiness of flow parameters in the wake region is clearly evident from oscilloscope observations. The observed fluctuations are oscillations in the probe output voltage (pressure) readings, which--in the data acquisition process--are ensemble-averaged over 40 samples to represent one value of pressure for a single measurement.

From the average pressure values, pressure differences and ratios are derived and reduced to obtain a velocity vector calculated in this way is the same as the average flow velocity vector. The question clearly, is whether the flow velocity. vector calculated in this way is the same as the average flow velocity vector which could be obtained if the actual velocity

vector was known for the individual samples. Clearly, the probe output depends quite differently on variations in pitch, yaw and Mach number, and the fluctuations involve changes in all three.

A first attempt was made to examine the problem of averaging. Using calibration data, an array of three Mach numbers, three pitch angles and three yaw angles was selected. This resulted in a total of 27 possible flow vectors, for which the functions  $P_A = P_A(\alpha)$  and  $P_B = P_B(\alpha)$  were known. Since the flow yaw angle during a calibration is always zero, an "artificial" yaw angle was superimposed on the calibration data. For yaw angles different from zero, corresponding pressure values for the A and B probes were shifted by the amount of the superimposed yaw angle, but in the opposite direction.

In a first step the 27 flow vectors were averaged by averaging their velocity components U, V, W and calculating the corresponding single values for pitch angle, yaw angle and Mach number. Secondly, for each of the 27 vectors, data sets PA(9) and PB(9) (corresponding to nine different probe yaw angles) were built. These values were averaged to result in nine single values for PA, PB and corresponding yaw angles. The regular data reduction procedure was then applied and pitch angle, yaw angle and Mach number were calculated.

Differences between the two calculation methods were defined as:

$$\varepsilon_{x} = \frac{x_{af} - x_{ap}}{x_{af}} \cdot 100$$
$$\varepsilon_{\phi} = \phi_{af} - \phi_{ap}$$
$$\varepsilon_{\phi} = \alpha_{af} - \alpha_{ap} \cdot$$

subscript "af" meaning average from flow vectors and "ap" meaning average from pressure values.

The procedure was carried out for four different sets of data, each consisting of 27 different flow conditions.

Table XIV lists the range within which each of the flow parameters was varied and the differences resulting from the calculations. The differences obtained were not large, however it must be noted that the process of averaging which has been tested does not exactly simulate the one to which the A-B probes are subjected in the compressor. Further analysis is required to properly evaluate the effect of averaging on the probe data. In order to explain the skewing observed in the A-probe output in the wake regions, it would only be necessary to have fluctuations which were not symmetric with respect to the wake centerline, as is very likely to be the case in the wake of a highly loaded compressor blade.

In conclusion, the DPDS technique has been developed further to successfully measure three components of velocity in regions outside the unsteady rotor wake. The edges of the wake region and average conditions on the wake centerline are well defined. A limitation to the use of the present method within the wake region is thought to be due to the necessity for ensemble averaging. Further work is planned to resolve this question.

# TURBOPROPULSION LABORATORY HP9830/21 MX Data Acquisition Port/Channel Assignments

Test <u>A-B-Calibration</u>

Run No. 1\_\_\_\_

Date<u>\_Jan 1982\_\_\_</u>

		SCANNER #	1 SCANNER #2	SCANNER #2
S.V. #	s.v. #	ch	ch	ch
1		0		40
3			11	41
3		2	2	42
4		3		43
		4		44
J		5	5	45
7		6	6 Tt Tunnol	46
8				47
9		8	8	48
10		9	9	49
1		10	10	50
2		11	111	51
3		12	12	52
4		13	13	53
5		14	14	54
6	·····	15	15	155
7	·	16	16	56
8		17	17	57
9		18	18	58
20		19	19	59
21		20	20 D+ Tuppel	60
22		21	21 Kulita nof	
23		22	22 Van Anglo	62
24		23	23 Kulite pros	e 63
25		24	24	64
26		25 p baro	25	65
27		26	26	66
28		27	27	67
29		28	28	68
30		29	29	69
31		30	30	70
32		31	31	71
33		32	32	72
34		33	33	73
35		34	34	74
36		35	35	75
37		36	36	76
38		37	37	77
39		38	38	78
10		39	39	79
1				
12				
3				
14				
15				
16				
17				
8				

Table I. Data Acquisition System Hook Up.

· · · · · · · · ·

Robert READ For P	- TURNEL FREES INCHTS HID - 4.93 Abut Deelah	F FREIR (MCDFS 420 4181309	F BATO INCLES HI 30 DEGATES	BEFIE STERNE
198.7552600	de.3000030	- 2735000	30 1000000	177 FRA 160 TAGE
14.)2.487438L	46.199970		52 1439755	AFTER REGITARS
162,593366	46.2000050	-, 3233333	30.1466746	AVERAGE VALUES

ę

Table II. Raw Calibration Data From A-Probe, One Mach Number, One Pitch Angle.

51

.

A7KN15	A6KN15	A5KN15	A4KN15	A 3KN1 5	A2KN15	-15
A7KN10	A6KN10	A5KN10	A4KN10	01NXEA	A2KN1 O	-10
A7KN05	A6KNU5	A5KNO5	A4KNO5	AJKNOS	A2KN05	-5
A7KP00	A6KP00	A5KP00	A4KP00	A 3KP 00	A2KP00	0
A7KP05	A6KP05	A5KP05	A4KP05	A3KP05	A2KP05	5
А7КР10	A6KP10	A5KP10	A4KP10	A3KP10	A2KP10	10
A7KP15	A6KP15	A5KP15	A4KP15	A3KP15	A2KP15	15
A7KP20	A6KP20	A5KP20	A4KP20	A3KP20	A2KP20	20
A7KP25	A6KP25	A5KP25	A4KP25	A3KP25	A2KP25	25
۲.0	9.0	٥.٥	<del>7</del> °0	٤.0	2.0	שייים אייביי היאייביי

Table III. Raw Data File Name Arrangements (A-Probe).

į

-----

52

T

, (\*

B7KN15	B6KNI 5	B5KN15	B4KN15	B3KN15	b2KN15	-15
B7KN10	B6KN10	B5KN10	B4KN10	BJKN10	B2KN10	-10
B7KN05	B6KN05	B5KN05	B4KN05	B 3KN05	b2kN05	-5
B7KP00	B6KP00	B5KP00	B4KP00	B3KP00	B2KP00	0
B7KP05	B6KP05	B5KP05	B4KP05	В 3КРО5	B2KP05	5
<b>В7КР10</b>	B6KP10	B5KP10	B4KP10	B3KP10	B2KP10	10
B7KP15	R6KP15	B5KP15	B4KP15	B3KP15	B2KP15	15
B7KP20	B6KP20	<b>B</b> 5KP20	B4KP20	B3KP20	B2KP20	20
B7KP25	B6KP25	B5KP25	B4KP25	B3KP25	B2KP25	25
۲.0	9.0	٤.0	7.0	٤.0	2.0	B.↓ DMach

TABLE IV. Raw Data File Name Arrangements (B-Probe).

ĺ

53

, i'

	)A:4 🗍	STHEF 3 D	A FULL LAPP	New Ar D	(in the state	4 to 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	- <b>1</b>	
	(1.1)	(2.1)	(3,I) (4,I)	(5,I)	(6,1) (7	(8,1) (8,1)	(9,I)	(10,I)
(J,1)	449.35	1 70	89.53 25	1, 11530	, Bu Su - 64	41 6302	4. 41. 1. 1.	•• *
		A 5.15	. 1191.1. 1.5	1, 11, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,			11.54	· · · ·
	1499 (1988) 1997 - 1988	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2$	1 (17) 1 (15) 1 (15)	,改改的兵。在后 后,行行兵。而将	- 5日 - 二月7月月 11月日 - 三月7月月		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	41.9	107.15	9.552 0	1.6722	. 4939 47	36 .0313	to oc	. 11
	409,42	- 47711399 カンスティッ	- 19925	1,0127 <u>1</u> 1,0236	- 1913 A.B. AA - 1914 9 A. AA	5,999 - 193.03 1976 - 193.20	1275) 7 - 1275) 7 - 1275)	
	409,22	42.5.59	1000 - <b>1</b> 5	1,446%	20 ab 62	63.26	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	ii · · · ·
	409 11	434,75	.1318 25	.9764	. 9469 63	.57 .0617	0.09	200 D B
	409,227		13.47 - 139 - 13.47 - 45	1.00 1.01 1.02	1977 H. A.S. 37,20 - 47	に広い <u>自られる</u> - 広学 - りんれん	. (d) • • •	1 5 0 1 0 2 3 4
	469,27		111	1. GERN	477.0	179 B. 1915.		
	489.79	0.487 B 0.487 B9	1300 B	n, uursis n, ur 52	- 古代代14日 後六 - 大学的第三人称单数	リアパー 日本でも リアパー ディスクサ	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	407,38	a 36. 75	1357 -5	1.8957		162 . 11-01	4 7 3 4 	1 . 1 .
	409,20	40 1.339 436, 39	1349 -15	34.15	,9•90 S) ,9•90 S)	,28		
	419.52	JEA. 95	1753 25	, <u>a</u> c o a	્ય છે થયું કુછ	.76 • 937	۲. <b></b>	the tax of the
	007.29	456.96	1232 20	2.0002	. 2944 63	114 1149		
	499,32	455.37	1759 10	1,11154	,当于把"马马 ,后来,(4) 所闻	1. 1973年 - 1971年1月19日 1. 1979年 - 1973年1月19日	1 27	1 1 1 - A 1 1 - A
	499.15	456.01 A00.00	- 1750 S	1.01:57	6794 43	1.20 1.00 0.00 0.00		a tracer
	4119.11	10,07	1700 -5	1,112,46		्रिय सम्बद्ध		
	499,09 489,28	455.34	.1748 -10 .1748 -15	-9377 -9669	, 9798 - 64 , 9529 - 68	1.4.5. 1.4.18.4 1.4.5. 1.4.18.4	$e_1 = 24$ $e_2 = 362$	,过什么吗 。15 代大学
	400 O.J	A.D.)	an a	1		-99,000 , -00,000	an a man	
	400.34	44. 5	1944 - 28	1999 A				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	249,00 107 03	- 1933 , 299 교향적 - 503	2+3- +S-	<u>्</u> ष्यूष्ट्र युष्ट्र्यू	,八王道王 云苔 [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]			i çi≉≣er Hana orte
	19.69	91 <b>3</b> . 9 4	22 St 5	1.8124	5224 63	127 • 974)	, no e	11-19
	- 443,52 - 383,48	483.93 385.55	- ()=3,55,7 − 2 <b>)</b> - (15,3,5,7 − 20)		(1)2日(4)3日) (2)1日(1)4日)	·72 ・548 ・51 ・556で	· · · · · ·	1 1 1 1 X 1 2
	31.1 (B.S.)	4.4.2	2157 -11	9130 5		112 1933	and a second	1.1
	9.0137.542	002.78	-11.00 m10	,保急制度	.9695 A9	. <i>116. 11</i> 789	1.10.62	9 <u>1</u> 8 81 1 9
	488.41	525,49	0.633	. 9 2 7 7	12:12 33	.26 .2997	() • • 7	• 23.7
	410,53	827.33	2 tor 15 1.5	9.253	AS 11 63	145	. SCH5	
	446,45 446,45	526,23 504 02	2623 10	0027 0002	. 58111 53 2000 67	(3)分 (2)代目標 200 (2)代目標	1. 1. 1	
	4.0.33	6.57	tienen <u>∎</u>	1. 49 (1 th 1				1
	419.27			1077.C	- ,9937.9 6 <b>2</b> . 5040 6 <b>1</b>	,也见。————————————————————————————————————		
	410.61	527.01	.21.27 -15	<u>(93</u> 20	.9690 57	.90 .2033	11111	1.4.5
	41.0.55	\$63.62	. 2949 25	.9721	.2109 63	.53 -2508	. 26.35	()的结婚者
	418,1% 418,23	863.36	- 15044 V 15644 20	,9935 ,9973	一、活动的有一方角 一、凹角面的一方式	, በሌ ርጉዱሳብ , በሌ ርጉዱሳብ	1000 (1000) 1000 (1000) 1000 (1000)	•
	410,79 410 76	967 SP	·2041 10	1,64,55	1-339 64	14 02525	1.44	1
	410,54	202187 563,31		5,118234 5,118536	- , 775 H - 83 - , 93 AB - 65	186 - 1875 181 - 19287	1976-198 1977 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 1989 - 198	- <b>3</b> 8
	418,47	544 . 42 S.44 . 71	. 2949	. 9971	2020 62	20 274X	912.15	$A^* \Sigma \Sigma$
(J,54	<b>)</b> vi (), 47	344 Ad	2940 -12	.7372	9330 39	.26.57		
					i and i and i	•		• •

•

Table V. All Reduced Data From File ABNEW2.

and the second se

1

а 1-н. — алы **тү**к бараа

54

fatiliti M(or	DETOTONY der) N(	S FOR TH order) —	F CALLERA	TEAN SI	REACE ST	TORED IN	FL'E :MI	e = : 26	Final
		0417 1211 6698 -1151 -1151 -1151	2 			- ,568 6,955 14,259 - 64,259	496 667 994 752 102	- 2004973 5 100498 5 100498 5 1092898 7 457488	
Mach	Pitch An	i igle <u>P</u> DIN	T		2 - 6 - 1 - 7 - Y		A.	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
Number	+25° ; -2.3.27 		3 -1.149 -241 -757	409300 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	-, 318 .576 .226	-1.182 1.504 -1.951 051	-1, 270 -1, 270 -1, 270 -1, 318	- 257 - 232 - 257 - 257	-15° 9 -1,439 -389 - 364 592
.7 5	) <u>8 2 1</u> 1	- 179	. 29 <u>0</u> 3	245	.035	-1088 6	-, 474 -, 474 - 7	- 3.4 - 3.4 - 9	-1, 100 .755 9

Table VI(a). Coefficients and Errors for Mach Number  $(X_{vel})$  Approximation.

COEF TOLENTS FOR THE CREATEON BURENES STORED IN SE FIND TOLE 26 Final

M(order) N(order	)	7	_	
- 79×347 14 161×133	1 11991	ي د دور در مير دور در مرو	្រុំសុខភេទ្យឆ្នំ ក្នុងស្រុកស្រុកស្រុកស្រុកស្រុកស្រុកស្រុកស្រុក	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	《1963年》》的14-46年 (2013年),各種形態的		245 (25) 201 1 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	
5	EARLINGS (A. S	• 27 н. 7672§8ф 3	1743.19336	
	F M T			

Mach	Pitch	Angle 🛶	•						
Number	+25°		×	170	050	<b>5</b> .655	787	9 	-15° <b>7</b>
• • • •	, ₹, 6 €. 	ុខ្លួឡ ស្រុសព្រំ	25,0 1945	-, 16 <b>1</b> -, 643		200	459	311	=======================================
-			, 359 - 102	57. 7. G 11.	-1.526	746	1.175	1.622	 
.7 5	1167 1	م د ۲ ت	, 472 3	, X9.0 2	150	-1106 6	327	553	. 500
						• •	-		

Table VI(b). Coefficients and Errors for Pitch Angle ( $\varphi)$  Approximation.

and the per-

Errors in Mach Number, Pitch and Yaw Angle for the Application of Calibration Procedure to Calibration Raw Data. Table VII.

	Yaw	[。]	69	52	15	40	73	71	68	53	13	64	80	-1.05	16	08	19	16	-1.01	38	76	-1.16	-0.504
ERRORS	Pitch	[。]	.17	• 04	· • 03	07	.25	.13	33	22	.96	.91	.97	1.36	36	56	.98	54	20	64	03	41	+ 0.119
	X Vel	[ 8 ]	-1.3357	6396	.2487	1.0161	9074	.1439	.1923	.0256	.3642	0087	8027	9259	6210	4118	6578	8000	5198	8086	-1.1207	.1303	s: -0.3717
REMENT	Pitch	[。]	15.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	00.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	0.00	Average
MEASU	X Vel	[ ]	.1347	.1349	.1346	.1345	.1750	.1758	.1750	.1751	.2163	.2161	.2160	.2162	.2626	.2624	.2622	.2622	.2944	.2945	.2945	.2948	
	Yaw	[。]	.69	.52	.15	.40	.73	.71	.68	.53	.13	.64	. 80	1.05	.16	.08	.19	16.	1.01	.38	.76	1.16	
CALCULATION	Pitch	[。]	14.83	9.96	5.03	. 07	14.75	9.87	5.33	.22	14.04	60.6	4.03	- 1.36	15.36	10.56	4.02	.54	15.20	10.64	5.03	.41	
	X Vel	[ ]	.1365	.1358	.1343	.1332	.1766	.1755	.1747	.1751	.2155	.2161	.2178	.2182	.2643	.2635	.2639	.2643	.2960	.2968	.2978	.2944	

CALCULATION

Southern Street

ş

: -.

-

56

,

1. je

CAL	CULATED F	ROM	AI	JUSTED				
ME	ASURED DA	ТА	FREE	JET VALUES		E	RRORS	
<u>x vel</u>	Pitch [°]	<u>Yaw</u> [°]	<u>x vel</u>	Pitch [°]	<u>Yaw</u> [°]	<u>X Vel</u>	Pitch [°]	Yaw [°]
.14206	.04	0.31	.1420	0	0	-0.04	-0.04	-0.31
.14373	4.74	-0.11	.1459	£	0	+1.49	-0.26	+0.11
.14368	4.79	0.1	.1459	5	0	+1.52	+0.21	-0.1
.14315	10.18	0.24	.1450	10	0	+1.28	-0.18	-0.24
.14483	14.82	- 0.3	.1448	15	0	-0.02	+0.18	+0.30
.16787	9.78	0.78	.170	10	0	+1.22	+0.22	-0.78
.17199	8.97	5.46	.170	10	5	-1.17	+1.03	-0.45
.17053	10.20	10.22	.170	10	10	-0.31	-0.2	-0.22
.20382	7.66	- 0.18	.2049	7	0	+0.53	-0.66	+0.18
.21165	10.16	0.2	.211	10	0	-0.31	-0.16	-0.2
.20467	13.21	0.24	.2052	13	0	+0.26	-0.21	-0.24

State Barry

Salar State Strate

Errors in Mach Number, Pitch and Yaw Angle for A-B Probe Application Test in Freejet. Table VIII.

Xvel	Phi	CpOB
( )	( )	()
.0958	25	.0030
.0962	20	.1470
.0961	15	.2790
.0960	10	.4250
.0962	5	.5680
.0965	0	.6930
.0965	- 5	.7860
.0963	-10	.8470
.0954	-15	.9040
.1348	25	.0460
.1348	20	.1970
.1347	15	.3320
.1349	10	.4760
.1346	5	.6080
.1345	0	.7290
.1353	- 5	.8240
.1343	-10	.8900
.1349	-15	.9190
.1754 .1752 .1750 .1758 .1750 .1751 .1751 .1744 .1744 .1742	25 20 15 10 5 0 - 5 -10 -15	.0930 .2400 .3810 .5160 .6390 .7480 .8510 .9200 .9520
.2171	25	.1290
.2165	20	.2750
.2163	15	.4130
.2161	10	.5480
.2160	5	.6720
.2162	0	.7770
.2161	- 5	.8690
.2152	-10	.9370
.2156	-15	.9620
. 2636 . 2624 . 2626 . 2624 . 2622 . 2622 . 2622 . 2628 . 2630 . 2627	25 20 15 10 5 0 - 5 -10 -15	.1740 .3230 .4590 .6010 .7150 .8150 .8860 .9340 .9690
.2949 .2944 .2944 .2945 .2945 .2948 .2948 .2950 .2950 .2952	25 20 15 10 5 0 - 5 -10 -15	.2140 .3590 .5010 .6330 .7450 .8330 .9020 .9490 .9830

Table IX. CpOB for All Calibration Configurations of Mach Number and Pitch Angle 58

1 .

				-		
			10 F (	.002 (° <b>n.)</b> hzeti	on réalesse	18.3 - 18.3 (F. 2017)
2 n ∈n	t mme r * i goo Eane	г. сомр п. А. рт п. 8. рт.	иан Соль. Уан Соль. Уан В рг.	- P 1 - Dいの - Dの身		Х.А  13 С
1.		, 0003957 , 0003957 , 0003957	- <b> </b>	.090369 .000023 0005239	. 44448.52 40476.9 •5 55.04446	1003) 1007 1007 1007
2		, 000°00 , 000°0? , 000°0?	, 993957 , 993479 , 993479	. 0993722 	1,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,425 441,425 441,4254 441,4254 441,4254 441,425 441,4254 441,4254 441,425 441,4254 441,4254 441,4254 441,425 441,4254	,仓争亦入有法 ,外生不常不少 ,只有百乐之子
3		, 800000 , 800000 , 800000	, 893119 , 893119 , 993119	. 4947779 - 4947795 - 4944574	840048. 	, 8961 (А) , 6961 (А) , 6966 (А)
4		. 0.0.9.900 . 0.0.1.90 . 0.0.1.21	.093+99 .003+99 .002004	000774 107750 1007552		្តមដល់ (ស្រុក) ភូមិស្លុះស្រុក) ភ្លើមិចក្រូវក
Peint	Lamer Tinner Lamer	), Сомп. 1, Д. пр., 1, В. рр.,	Ашм (р. 1949) Ашм (р. 1949) Ашм (р. 1949) Ашм (р. 1949) Ашм (р. 1949)	ne colupant;; 21 005 008	00 (Filts.co 2007 2006 2006	01900+ 7+ 071
, , , , , ,	Lamer	- 8 pp.	ynw 9 he,	DCB DCB	80 <b>%</b> 820	יד ד ד 1) (ד
i		00190* 091942 080911	.00364.3 .09%034 .003099	111272 615964 615964	ពិភព្រៃក្តា ព្រៃក្តាក្រ ក្រុមស្តិក ។	,含化化化。 1.化化化化 化化化化
2	۰ ۰	000513 009605 009605	.903115 .903091 .003092	. ጠላ ነንሮዓ — በሳዩ መይል — በተደዳቋም	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.15.45.000000 10.45.000000 11.000000000
<b>7</b>		000200 000202 000202	,012893 ,702090 ,017101	. 日日台(200 - 日日石で、1 - 日日本で190	806008 	) ) () (' ) → " () () () () ()
4		048943 068843 848919	.043×08 .00×08 .00×08 .00×09	በሰላንዎል በሰላንዎል በሰባራላዊ ምርዓባታልን ፖ	. ባባባዛማን ባለዚዳግኛ ጎግፖና ከበበበባ	ан (1993) - Энер (1995) - Ар (1995) - Барскоро
		n	probe	e servenia	р - ч	n ann
• 5 t (mail) (h	ration		00040 91006		Slape	Interar
255 und de 25d mentio	599999 59535	, 19 		1999455,91 X 8 00002000	-, 996,700	
and the second of the second		····	00430	- H H H C (2), - %	-,09733F	· · · · · ·

Table X(a). On-line Calibration Data for Actual Compressor Test (run #119).
Attendents	an più a	Coop preset pub	10:12 + AU	1241 277	Manage all	n na galaga ang	

C	6.	「「竹餅煎酒」で食物酒」	улы дома,	2 <b>1</b>	023	
r. 0 1 m t		10.995,0 65	hun buki	000	Prof	
		LOMPPIN OF .	Adm C UV	DEE	1 () -	t

1.		, 800594 , 000503 000547	.803047 .894986 .894986	.000370 041235	.0900)>A. .00007	្រុំមេពុកសេត . មេពិសេសស
Averaged	values	bucsa onthat	1 h nrobe	1287.i	s broni i Se Hilton	an a
2 Averaged	values	.040902 .000202 .000202 .000202 .000202 .000202	,097069 ,062094 ,081070 , 0.070000	.000372 .004020 	, የተባለት 3 . የተኮሰባርን ምም, ሳይያያሳ ሥርጉጽ እርድ 3	. 44665 (5 . 669 205 . 669 205 . 666 205 . 666 205 . 405 5 23
3 Averaged	values.	.000901 .000905 - .000912 0 paced output	.092140 .0000.37 .0000.10 .0000.00	.000374 .003000 .004323 .004323 .33133	.000063 .000003 27.00000 8.0000 ;	- 开展自由中语 - 日子和2-2014 - 月月前二十日 - 月月前二十日 - 天活苦人子
4 Averaged	un tura	.000995 .000995 .000913 .000913	, ОДХИСО , ИН1 421 , ОД1 СА2 , О реобе	.999389 .96322 .993965 ±™. : 37953	.040047 >44004 27.40400 8.52.40400	13976  1691-4-  1691-4-  129969
5 Averaged	VALUES	,000900 ,000902 ,000903 paced output	.007:20 .002270 .002779 : 0.0009	.000.524 .007250 .012089 57 	-96096% -99988 27-40909 5-8869	, 444464 , 4444643 , 444643 , 2491,28 , 2491, <b>4</b>
6 Averaged	ույրեն	,000000 ,000001 ,000011 paces output	,003002 ,0004566 ,004509 ,004509 ,002008	、000376 、903520 、001206 101 1 、3236克(	.00)874 Сниба2 72.00300 Спрева с	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100
7 Avenaged	Valuns	, 000900 , 000900 , 000900 расес - эктрит	,093499 ,006400 ,014533 ,014533	.010373 002957 .021294 17 .30029	_0000444 }_0004449 %_000449 {0004 {00040	,830835 ,808599 ,900267 ,51699
8 Avennjed	ծայրթա	.000993 .009993 .000953 paced output	,002002 ,008300 ,009523 ,009523	000320 091120 7.910329 132 12027 1	.000073 .960069 7.90009 6.90009	្រុំថ្មិថ្មិមក្រ ្បីថ្មីថ្មិមក្រ ្មុំថ្មីថ្មីស្នឹង។ ម្រើសមិណ្
9		,000200 ,068401 ,06891	.003074 .018957 .011955	- 1000325 - 1002396 - 1002396 - ● 533	_0099160  -009140  -00040	0005500 0005500 0005500
Averaged	saus ne	paced output	: A noobe	2271 - ·	Coroba :	- 737-5

Table X(b). Run Steady State Data From A-B Probe Measurement (run #119).

60

DATA A DATA(20,256	R R A	NGE	H E N T	IN DAT	A FILE F	OR RAW D	ATA FRON	KULITE	SURVEY
CALIBRATION	T POIN	ist T t	YAW A	ist YAW B	2nd YAW A	Ind YAWB	- Sth YOU A	9th YAN B	E STEADY STATE you
DATA(1, 1) DATA(1, 2) DATA(1, 3)		DAT DAT DAT	A(2, 1) A(2, 2) A(2, 3)	DATA(3, 1) DATA(3, 2) DATA(3, 3)	DATA(4, 1) [ DATA(4, 2), PATA(4, 3),[	DATA(5, 1) DATA(5, 2) DATA(5, 3)	- DATA(12, 1 - DATA(12, 2 - DATA(12, 3	) BATA(19, 1) ) DATA(19, 2) ) DATA(15, 3) •	1 DATA(20, 1) 1 DATA(20, 2) 1 DATA(20, 2) 1 DATA(20, 2)
DATA(1, 19)	i)		•	•	:	•	•	•	DATA(20, 20)
DATA(1, 21) DATA(1, 22)	<b>1</b>		•	•	•		•	•	* DATA(20, 21) * DATA(20, 20)
•			•		1 20.41 6	1	•	•	2 2nd
DATA(1, 39)	•	sist	•	• • • =	Date 1	Gr Juline c	ul bastion	•	1 DATA 20, 40
DATA(1, 41) DATA(1, 42)	2 2 2		DATA DATA DATA	(1, J) (1, J+1) (1, J+2)	) = TAR ) = PCA ) = P1	12'= ACQ 12 = ACQ = ACQ	IN (4, 1 IN (4, 2 IN (4, 5	,10) ,10) ,10)	1 DATA(20, 11) 1 DATA(20, 1?)
DATA( SP)	$\prod_{i=1}^{n}$		DATA	(1, 1+3) (1, 1+4)	$ \begin{array}{l} = P23 \\ 1 = P4 \end{array} $	= ACS = ନମ୍ମ	IN ( 4, 7 IN ( 4, 0	,10) . <u>10)</u>	1 DATA(20 60
DATA(1, 51)	ي ا		DATA DATA DATA	(1,)+ 5 (1,J+ 6 (1,J+ 7	) = 82M ) = 9股の ) = XTM	3 SCA {0 = SCA } = SCA	INR ( 9,25 INR ( 9,25 INR ( 9,32	, <u>2</u> ) , <u>1</u> ) , <u>1</u> )	1 DATA(20, 51)
DATA(1, 52)	1	1_	DATA DATA	( <u>1</u> ,J+ 8 (1,J+ 9	) = YAW: ) = XID:	2 = SCA - SCA	NR ( 8,33 NK ( 3,33	(1)	t UATALCO SPI
DATA(1, 79)	j,	J	DATA DATA DATA	(1,J+10 (1,J+11 (1,J+12	) = YAW ) = XIn ) = YAW ) = YAW	\ = 50A Y = 50A } = 50A	INR ( 8,35 INR ( 8,35 INR ( 8,37	) 12 ) 1) ( 1)	BATA(20, 80)
DATA(1, 31) DATA(1, 82)	1	) -	DATA DATA DATA	(1,J+13 ( <u>1,J+14</u> (1,J+13	$\frac{F}{F} = \frac{F}{F}$	= 50A = 50A - 50A		, 1) , 1) , 1)	S DATA(20. 31) S DATA(20, 32)
•			DATA DATA	(1, J+1) (1, J+1)	) = DCS	= SCA = SCA	NR (15,53	(1) (1)	s th
DATA(1, 93)	りい							•	* DATA(20. (00))
CATA(1,102)	1 2 3		•	•	, Z =	1, 21, 71 16	- lake	, ,	# DATA (20,103)
•	1 1		:	DATA DATA		= ACON I		) TARES'	5 6th
DATA(1,113)	り	> 2nd	•	DATA	20 (1+ 2)	- = ACUN ( = ACUN (	(4, 5, 10) (4, 7, 10)	) P1 ) P23	
DATA(1,122)	1		•	DATA DATA DATA	$\frac{20}{20}, \frac{(+4)}{(+5)}$	= ACCN ( = SCHAR( = SCANR(	( 4; <u>9;10</u> 5,17, 2 9,25, 4	) PA ////////////////////////////////////	1 DATA(2+1:2)
DATA(1.138)				DATA	20,1+ 2) 20,1+ 3)		( 8,32, 1 9,33, 1	) ZEMC- ) YAUC	1 DATA(20, 140)
DATA(1,141)	<u>با</u>		•	DATA	20,15107		$   \frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{1} $	<u>) X1296</u> ) TA9色 ) YTA9	5 DA TACZO 141)
DATA(1,142)	1		•	DATA	20,T+12) 20,T+13)	= SCANRO = SCANRO	8,37, i 8,32, i	) YANB ) PREF	
• DATA(1,153)	IJ	J	•		20,1+150 20,1+150 20,1+150	<u>= 30 2007 0</u> = 50 A MR ( = 50 A MR (	$\frac{12}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}$		DA TACO,100)
DATA(1,150) DATA(1,151)	*\$100 *Inte	c A ist r.A ist	:	DATA	20,1+17) 20,1+18)	= 80208 ( = 80208 ( = 80208	15,53; 1	DGB	DATACTA 161) * OATACTA 160
DATA(1,170) DATA(1,171)	tSlop tinte	e 8 ist r.B ist	•	ин ( М е	6.0517177 8 8		··· • •	•	1 DATTICE 10
DATA(1,190) DATA(1,151)	t5lop tInte	c A 2nd r.A 2nd	:	•	:	• •	:	:	·
DATA(1,190) CATA(1,171)	*Slep *Inter	e B 2nd r.B 2nd	:	:	:	:	•	•	t t
	•		•	•	•		:	• .	1 1
	i	DAT	A(2,256)	DATA(3,256)	DATA(4,256) 1	)ATA(5,256)	- DATA(18.256	) DATA(19,256)	i
	Tal	ole >	(I. )	Arrange	ment of D	ata With:	in Raw Da	ta File.	

.

í.

ŝ,

í

and the second second

61

d je

RAW DATA FILE : AB1901:: 27

and the second second

## 

FLOW AVARAGED VALUES AS ESTABLISHED WITH THE COMBINATION PROBE Ptotal(INCH H2O) Pstatic(INCH H2O) 438.852110 402.299380 Xvel .15693 Yaw(deg) 30,76 Mach . 35443 Phi(deg) 4.55 EQUATION FOR A-PROBE PRESSURE : PA =  $400.532230 + 9966.041000 \times VOLTAGE(rgw) \times 0.01 + PREF(INCH H2O)$ CP0A = 1.00408 CP0B = .6394757 EQUATION FOR B-PROBE PRESSURE : EQUATION FOR B-PROBE PRESSURE : 405,349850 + 9709.025400 # VOLTAGE(rgw)#0.01 + PREF(INCH H20) PB = # PAA(#) FHENE 1 388.564 384.562 -40.060 -39.850 2 415.562 405.356 -20.040 -19.750 3 432.724 417.524 - .37000 0.00000 4 438.413 424.489 14.7100 15.0200 5 438.205 425.738 29.7000 29.7900 6 436.311 424.055 44.6600 45.0900 7 429.005 417.040 64.9900 65.3300 8 412.283 400.314 84.7900 85.2400 9 376.753 370.378 109.570 110.280 A-PROBE APPROXIMATION RESULTS : YAW = PRESSURE (INCH H20) = CPAMAX = PAA(#) PAB(#) YAWA(#) YAWB(#) -32.03 401.494630 1.0036 93.97 30,97 438,987430 B-PROBE APPROXIMATION RESULTS : YAWO = 31.1 PRESSURE (INCH H20) =426.03 AVERAGE VALUE RESULTS FROM THE A-B SYSTEM: Xvel .15693 Yaw 31.13 BETA GAMMA Pitch XU .24251 XAX 13400 BETA2 .08541 .34569 4,00 -33.87 401.035520 1.0093 A-PROBE APPROXIMATION RESULTS : YAW = PRESSURE (INCH H2O) = CPAMAX = 439.204830 401.035580 YAWB(I) -39.850 -19.750 0.00000 15.0200 29.7900 45.0900 45.3300 85.2400 110.280 DPA 12415 -.64673 1.16040 PA(I) 390.745 418.046 434.472 438.073 PAC(I) 370.620 418.693 433.312 PB(I) 386.023 407.238 419.554 DPE -.18744 -.63635 -.56014 YAWA(I) -40.060 PBC(I) 386.211 1234567 -20.040 406.601 420.214 419,654 425,849 427,988 425,091 416,069 397,940 367,713 -.56012 -.16504 .25152 -.19550 .64966 -.55493 .12476 426.014 427.737 425.287 415.419 14.7100 438.307 -.23431 439.171 436.487 427.055 409.953 438.365 436.436 428.007 409.352 29.7000 \_ .80560 44.6600 64.9900 84.7900 - 05164 409.352 372.605 398.495 367.588 ġ .60059 9 109.570 372.503 10175 B-PROBE APPROXIMATION RESULTS : YAWO = 28.8 PRESSURE (INCH H20) =427.75 PSTATIC (DERIVED FROM PAMAX AND XVEL) = 402,086 BETA2 POS# Beta Gamma Xvel Pitch ' Yaw 28.77 50.31 .08691 30020 15784 2.16 1

Table XII. Explicit Output of Reduced Data (run #119).

· · · · · ·

ŝ,

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ᲐᲢᲐᲐᲥᲓᲐᲜᲐᲥᲮᲐᲣᲓᲥ ᲢᲐᲐᲙᲐᲑᲐᲑᲐᲐ ᲐᲐᲐᲑᲐᲐᲑᲐᲐᲐᲑᲐᲐᲐᲑᲐᲐᲑᲐᲐᲐᲑᲐᲐᲑᲐᲐᲐᲐᲐᲐᲐᲐ
--	--

Table XIII. Reduced Data For the First Blade Passage of Run #119.

63

Range of Xvel	Kange of Pitch	Range of Yaw	E <sub>x</sub> [%]	E <sub>\$\$</sub> [°]	E <sub>a</sub> [°]
0.134 ≤ X ≤ 0.216	$0 \leq \phi \leq 10$	-10≤α≤10	-4.6	0.78	-0.93
0.134≤x≤0.216	5 ≤ 4 ≤ 15	-10 ≤ a ≤ 10	-4.7	0.96	-0.77
0.175≤x≤0.263	$0 \le \phi \le 10$	-10≤α≤10	6.0	0.50	-0.65
0.175≤X≤0.263	5 < 4 < 15	-10 <u>≤</u> α <u>≤</u> 10	-5.0	0.66	-0.57

and the second

1

Errors in Mach Number, Pitch and Yaw Angle for Varying Velocity Vectors. Table XIV.

-

а 1

10.10





Figure 1. Probe Arrangement in Transonic Compressor

65

. . . .



State State



66

.

n đ



ومراجعة كالمحادثة

All and a second se



Ē.







Transducer Output [mv]

Same Street





70

.





1 44.47

Figure 6b. Free-Jet Calibration Apparatus



1

State in

E.

وبالمحالية والمحالية

Figure 6C. Data Acquisition System

73

12 <sub>1</sub>4



a directorati

1000

Ľ

. . .

Figure 7. Pressure Readings vs. Yaw Angle. Data Acquired Using Two Different Programs: Solid lines - Program KALIB (Continuous Acquisition) Crosses - Program &YAW (Discrete Readings)



1

I í

والمتكرم والمستخرج





t av

and the second second

 Figure 9. Type A-Probe Calibration Data at Mach = 0.4. Pitch Angles in the Range from -15° to +25°

76

· · · · · ·



Figure 10. Type B-Probe Calibration Data at Mach = 0.4. Pitch angles in the Range from -15° (Top) to +25° in 5° Increments. Some Holes in probe tip are contaminated by dirt.

77

and the second

主要などの変更した。ここのないであるなが、こことの



Figure lla. Probe Tip with Dirt in Some Holes



Figure 11b. Probe Tip with Dirt in Some Holes

78

.





R.

80

i i i



í

81

· · · · · ·





Same and the second second

83



and the second second

84

•



-

Figure 17. Combination Pressure Temperature Probe (Tip Detail)





\$

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963 A





and a start of



Figure 19. Pressure Coefficient for Type B-Probe for Zero Yaw Angle as Function of Mach Number and Pitch Angle.



A-probe raw data

i" .



A-probe raw data File: AB19A1





.i\*

.

File: AB19B1

B-probe raw data







Figure 22. Relative Velocity Distribution W<sub>2</sub> at Rotor Trailing Edge (Schematic)

· · · · ·


Figure 23. Velocity Triangles for Varying Values of the Relative Velocity  $X_{\rm W}^{}$  .

1 I I I I I



Figure 24. Dependence of Absolute (Xv) and Relative (Xw) Velocities o: Yaw Ar e (a) Assuming Constant Values of Circumferent 1 Velocity (Xu=0.24219) and Relative Flow-Ang  $(\beta_2=50.39^\circ)$ .

, ,



Figure 25. Influence of Incorrect Pneumatic Probe Pressure Readings Run 119, 50% Design Speed, Near Peak Efficiency, Dotted Line - No Errors. 96



Constraint and the second s

Figure 26. Pressure Coefficient Versus Yaw Angle for Type A Probe at Specific Positions in Rotor Flow Field (Run 123 - solid line is from calibration at M = 0.4,  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ ).

#### APPENDIX A

CHANGES MADE TO SOFTWARE FROM (Ref. 4)

The changes made by McCarville and reported in Ref. 4 brought about two general improvements: hardware changes which eliminated the need for an operator-performed lock-on procedure and software changes which allowed the acquisition of one sample for each consecutive revolution instead of every tenth or eleventh as before. In the process of integrating the new software into the data acquisition program, one minor and one significant error was found in the subroutines used for acquiring data through the A/D converter.

The data is transferred from the A/D converter to the 21MX computer in 16-bit words. Only the highest 10 bits contain the digitized voltage while the A/D channel number (0 through 15) is transferred in the lowest 4 bits. Using the highest bit for the sign, the range of numbers which can be transferred is thus  $\pm (2^{15}-1)$  or  $\pm 32,767$ , while the smallest meaningful division is  $2^6$  or 64. The resolution which can be achieved therefore is  $\frac{2^6}{216}$  or  $2^{-10}$  or 0.000976 of full range. Since the full range of the A/D is -1V to  $\pm 1V$ , the instrument resolution is to about 2 mV.

The procedure of masking can be used to derive an exact digital number solely from the highest 10 bits of the transmitted word. If this is not done, the A/D channel number from the low bits is included when converting the data word to a decimal number. The result is to create decimal numbers which appear

to be changing with a resolution of  $2^{\circ}$  is  $\pm 32,767$  or 0.0305 mvs. Since the increase in program running time due to masking was insignificant, this procedure was built into the data acquisition program.

The second error was found while using the data acquisition program. It was noted that a different number of samples acquired from the same machine conditions did not bring any significant change in the smoothness of the output. An examination of the output of 5 individual samples (Table A-I) and the average derived from these samples showed that only the first sample was converted from an integer into a real number and that the same real number resulted for each individual sample no matter what was the value of the integer.

Figure A-1 shows the listing of the original subroutine (RPACE) from McCarville which acquires raw data. The single samples are read into array IBUFF(99) correctly (lines #90 and #125). The conversion into real numbers is incorrect, in that only the first value of the array IBUFF(99) is converted.

Figure A-2 shows the corrected DO-loop.

Table A-II shows values achieved using the corrected subroutine. It can be seen that changes in the integer numbers are reflected in the calculated real numbers.

In the acquisition program discussed herein a subroutine similar to the one of Fig. A-2 was used.

100

i i

စမျစ် 🛊		A 【2446》 (2)	网络小花子花 化甲酸二甲	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
25 P #		VIRTE -	and an even state of the	
2		in the second	မြန်မာ့်ရှိနှိုင်ရှိ ၂၇ ချေ ကျင်း များသ	
9 - 9 - je		1 (41)F ==		
	:::	5 819 5	المحادثة تتوجيه فتحاد والموجو أترج أسرا	
MINT	h	1 Y ( 1 ) -	1	•
9 C D 🙀	·.•	11411-	မား ကျောက်သို့ သိုင်ရည်ကြည်း ကျော	11、14、14、14、14(1) 11、14、14、14、14(1)
		DIBHE -		الاستعادية المراجع
- giù ) 🙀		3 6 99 10	المنافق والإيراني فالأعلام والمنافق أنقر سرا	မြန်မှ မြန်မှ မြန်မ
5 p 8	.=:	ATHE T	မ်းမျိုးလုန်းရှိ ကျွန်းက ကြေးများသည်။	
4	<b>!</b>	et a subjet so	ચારે દ્વારે તે કે કે જે જે	in the start of the
T N C	.ir ***	· · · · ·	in a start of the	
		ਤ ਤਿਹਾਸੀ ਦਾ	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	a table s
2 - H - B		HIF -	Terrier a de para ≞	1
2 m 1 m			and the second	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		2 - 441}÷ − 0	A STATE OF A STATE OF A	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		a that the second	5 4 0 is 1	- Q · · · · ·
A CONTRACT	sr	3771 ·		•
$3 \times 10^{-4}$			to angelege og som en	
		2. 2011	a start of the special second	1
			a da for e p	1 7 <b>x</b> 1 7
1 · · · · •		s a se tapa se	اي آلانسې د ۲۰ مې <b>د</b> و و	1 A S
+		and the second	and the second second second second	
1 N I	a			
1 I I		مەر ئىر يەر مەر	မြန်နိုင် ကြန်နိုင်ခြင်းက	유민이는 승규가 다
		្រុក្រាយ អ	计复数通过符号 资本 医外外的	(a) Apple 14 (1)
8	÷		العوارية المراجع المحاربة والمرتجع المراجع المحالية والمحافظة أشتر	المراجع والمحار المناز
Same 🙀	12	1 4414	and the set of the set	
		Sec. 17 11		- 150 P F ( )
INT	·ц •	57(1) -	······································	

A STATE OF A

# Table A-I.Results Using Uncorrected SubroutineRPACE (& A2D, McCarville, Ref. 4)

101

# Table A-II.Results Using Corrected SubroutineRPACE (4A2D, McCarville, Ref. 4)

960 4	-		1 FRUE =	- 99736 DDH68 -	
			7 FRHE =	29409 67005 -	077147
920 +					
- Vero 🖡			ATRUE =		
072 +			- TELEVIER		
TATEN -	+		1 1 1 T 1	L 0774640	······································
الجه في أن ا			1 F R I (F)		0,0444
1					
000 A			7 T TA 15 -		
			15000	2007000 (CD)/00 =	
				1774)))/( KSUPP =	
	.4.		2000 (gr 20 <b>9</b> / 73	- 11135月18日 (11111)	- 2012 1803
	÷.		a " 0110" -		
· · · · · · · · ·			n i chunge ann an t-	0 (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0	1 N N N N N N N
1. S			······································	1019770 B #1988 - 5 102270 B #1988 - 5	
6 - S +				100020 KOUP2 -	
DOTAT				103224 KBUPI 5	1 . 193 <b>1</b> 195
0.00	-	•••		1971 (1971) (1971) (1971) (1971) (1974) (1971) (1971)	- , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , - ,
1000 S			「「「」」には「「「」」」	"这个好好事,我就是你是一个	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0.00			7.000	「ビンドには、代表リビューモー	
- 30F 6 - 555 - 6					·, ·, ·, ·, ·, ·, ·, ·, ·
- 61 C. 61 - 41 - C. 67 C. 1 - 41			- 3 5 6 1 G 1 G 2 - 77 - 17 7 17 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	- 22776 RBDH =	- 842556
DOT NOT					- SAC656
- 2010) - 020 - 4	Ŧ			3334.38	
	Ξ		1.1946 -	-27264 RBULE =	842031
K) *			- 1 BUE ==	-27392 REFE	835938
	-			-277456 R BHIEL #	1.17891
- <u>R</u> (1997)	-:::		1130F =	-27392 RBUEF -	-,835938
85.5 E	*		SIRUE =	-27520 RBUHE =	-,839844
POINT	*		5Y(I) =	,836328	

i

កកខ្លះ នេះ នឹ ក្រភម្ម	e		COST, OFFS,N2) - ICONVIANG ISURVITADAE, PATE C(PUSIT, OFFS,N2)
0999 0025 0927	C C C	•	DATA ACQUETION BUBROUTIME
9028 9099 9099 9090 0091	Ċ		ŘÉAL SRUPT(255) DIMENSICH IBUFF(92),[TIME(S) N≖IAVC
4092 0693 0094 0095 0095 0095 0097	C	( <b>?</b> 1)	-CALL EXEC (11,TITME,TYEAR) WRITE (5,90) N2.]ITME(5),1/FAR FORMAT(77,10X," THIS 15 TEET #",12," ROM ON JULIAN" #" DATE ".T3,3%,14/70 TE (ISURD , EQ. )) ON TH 129
9623 9692 8502	С С		SINGLE POINT ACAULETION
0.01 0101 0102 0103 0103 0105 0105	Ŭ		18LADE=294.4(19A14)+(POSTT TE (180000 . EG. 0: 00 TO 100 (8LADE=(8LADE+1000000 CALL EXEC (3.19) 20LL EXEC (1.12.18PA_1,18LADC)
9107 0103 9139 0110		114	CALL EXEC (1.20,TRUFF.N.ICHAR,0) 00 110 [fl.IAVG RBUFF=RRUFFFELDAT((BUFF(I))/RDFR8, PTOATA=9RUFF/IAVG FR TATA=9RUFF/IAVG
0111 0112 0113	С С	• •	- GU TU 198 
4114 4115 3115	0 0	•	SURVEY ADROSS BLADE PATH ACQUISTION .
91198 91127 91128 9319 9319	t,	1.0	18 (10889 1201 0) (0 10 125 (08859-00) (0885 (0 10 52)
(1) 1 · 6 · 月() 1) 1 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 · 2 ·		1.2	しいかがあった。 1910年1月4日には、1月10日に、1月1日に1月1日に第三人に「ビビネキを住住住住住住」 1914年1日(夏に1日)、1月1日に、1月1日に、1月1日には1月1日に、1月1日には 1914年1日(夏に1日)、1月1日(夏に1日)、1月1日には1日に、1日 1914年1日に、1月1日(月日)、1月1日に第三日(日日)、1日 1914年1日日(日本日)、1月1日(日本日)、1月1日に、1日、1日 1914年1日日(日本日)、1月1日(日本日)、1月1日に、1日、1日、1日、1日、1日、1日、1日、1日、1日、1日、1日、1日、1日、
parai ary tra- tra- tra- tra- tra-		• 10 • 40	1997年1月2日 - ALESTAN 1997年1月2日 - ALESTAN 1997年2月1日 - ALESTAN 1997年1日 - ALESTAN 1987日1日 - ALESTAN 1987日1日 - ALESTAN
		• • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0.000000000000000000000000000000000000	ł	* 36 * 36 * 36 * 36 * 36 * 36	ΔέττΕ (δ.145) Εθρημητο 2 23, "Pacie SURVEY ONTA"() αξιτΕ (δ.148) SROOT Ευρηματου20 Ε8.700 Pρημασι20 Ε8.700 υρτιΕ (δ.165) RPM Εθρηματου20 Ευρου25 SOR RPM Εθρ. 1910 ΟυΝ ωρε 0. 77.2.70 CO TO 999 CO TO 999
111年1日 11日1日 11日1日 11日1日 11日 11日 11日		ους 1995 φοσ	"««Га та туру имуры имяк утротя ЕОРанте Тя ТЧЕ ФАТА ФАТОЕ ΕΟΦ ΡΟΣΙΤΙΟΝ ".Т3." ОН ОГАОН РАЗИМ "ГД." – Г. ",Ето.") ГО ТО ТО . ЭНТИРМ ЕМФ

# Figure A-1. Unchanged Listing of Subroutine RPACE from Program & A2D (P. McCarville, Ref. 4)

'i '' i'

 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21
 1.21

:

Figure A-2. Corrected Statement of Subroutine RPACE from Program &A2D (P. McCarville, Ref. 4)

104

### APPENDIX B

# OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS OF TYPE "A" AND TYPE "B" PROBES

The DPDS, measurements are carried out using two different kinds of pressure probes. The type "A" probe is essentially a total pressure probe (see Fig. 4). The general behavior of such a probe with respect to angle changes has been established for quite some time (Ref. 10). However, using a pneumatic equivalent probe to the "A" probe the output of the probe as a function of yaw angle was established with the probe mounted in the steady flow of a freejet.

Figure B-1 shows this dependence. The characteristics of this curve are a flat top, indicating an insensitivity of the probe to yaw angle changes of up to  $\pm 20^{\circ}$  from the zero yaw angle position , and the steep but almost linear parts from  $-70^{\circ}$  to  $-40^{\circ}$  and  $\pm 40^{\circ}$  to  $\pm 70^{\circ}$ . At yaw angles of  $-63^{\circ}$  and  $\pm 63^{\circ}$  the probe reads static pressure and that happens independent of Mach number and pitch angle as long as the pitch angle does not exceed a range of  $-5^{\circ}$  to about  $\pm 15^{\circ}$ .

Figure 9 shows the output of the type "A" probe for different pitch angle settings but one Mach number only. It can be seen that pitch angles ranging from  $-15^{\circ}$  to  $+25^{\circ}$  at a  $5^{\circ}$  increment produce almost identical curves.

Figure 12 shows the output of the type "B" probe for the same Mach number and the same range of pitch angle. It can be seen that, compared to the "A" probe

probe does not have a flat top for a range of measurement close to the zero yaw angle (zero being the yaw angle where the probe is aligned with the flow). Instead it shows a clear and well-defined maximum in output for the zero yaw angle. It is also evident that the output depends very clearly on the pitch angle. The yaw angle where the probe reads static pressure is different for different pitch angles and Mach numbers. Different Mach numbers always show only one curve for the "A" probe (independent of pitch angle) while the "B" probe depends on changes of both Mach number and pitch angle .

106

1

د



, · · · ·

ł

### APPENDIX C

# DATA ACQUISITION PROGRAMS &KALIB AND &YAW

The purpose of both programs--&YAW and &KALIB--is to acquire sufficient data for the calibration of either the type "A" or "B" probe. It has to be mentioned here that only one probe can be mounted in the center of the freejet at a time. It is therefore essential to establish identical conditions for the calibration of both probes.

Since the data reduction as outlined in 4.1 requires not only the knowledge of Mach number and pitch angle, but also the probe output characteristic as a function of yaw angle, data is acquired for different yaw angle settings. Here is the major difference between programs &YAW and &KALIB. While program &YAW requires the data acquisition at one specific yaw angle setting, &KALIB acquires data in a continuous mode for a range of  $160^{\circ}$  (- $80^{\circ}$  to  $+80^{\circ}$ ) in yaw angle.

However, program &YAW will be described first, since it is the more conventional one.

### C-1. PROGRAM &YAW

Since parameters have to be changed during a calibration, the program has to work interactively with operator input. For each selected combination of Mach number and pitch angle data can be recorded for up to 31 probe yaw angle settings. For any of these settings the values of total pressure, Kulite reference pressure, probe yaw angle and Kulite pressure reading are acquired as the average of 10 data samples each. The pitch angle is keyed in by the operator prior to the measurement and the total temperature and the barometric pressure (static pressure since it is a freejet) are measured also. When the data for one yaw angle position is taken the operator is asked to have the probe moved to another yaw angle and initialize the data acquisition process again.

Once the data for all 31 yaw positions are taken, the operator is asked to key in a file name. The raw data from this calibration is then stored in a file with the name previously assigned.

In the next step absolute values for the total temperature (degrees Fahrenheit) and the static pressure (inches Hg) are calculated. File name, pitch angle, total temperature and static pressure are written on the line printer. The following DO-loop derives absolute values of the impact pressure (inches of water), the Kulite reference pressure (inches of water), the probe yaw angle (degrees), and the Kulite pressure output (inches of water) as a gage pressure. From these values a pressure coefficient  $c_p$  defined as

$$c_{p} = \frac{p_{K} + p_{ref} - p_{s}}{p_{t} - p_{s}}$$

where  $p_r = Kulite$  pressure

pref = Kulite reference pressure
 pt = total pressure
 p\_ = static pressure

· · · · · ·

is derived. All of these values are tabulated.

A plot of  $c_p$  vs yaw angle is produced automatically with the operator's choice of drawing a full grid or just the calibration result.

After this the program can either be stopped or started again for a different flow condition.

C-l gives a flow chart, while C-2 is a program listing.

Externals: ABRT, CLEAR, CLOSE, CPLOT, CREAT, DRAW, FXD, LABEL, LDIR, LOCL, MOVE, OPEN, PLOTR, RMOTE, SCANR, SETAR, VIEWP, WINDW, WRITF

Variables	Type	Description
CDATA(32)	Real	Array containing pressure coefficients cp
DATA(32,4)	Real	Array containing complete raw calibration data
ICR	Integer	Cartridge reference number
IDCB(144)	Integer	Data control block
IFILE(3)	Integer	Array containing file name
IGCB(192)	Integer	Graphic data control block
IL	Integer	Total number of words to be stored in raw data file (two words for one data value)
ISECU	Integer	Security code
ISIZE(2)	Integer	Array to specify file dimensions (lst word for number of records, 2nd for record length)
ITYPE	Integer	Type of data file
S T U V	Real	total pressure Kulite ref. pressure probe yaw angle Kulite output
W X Y Z	Real	total pressure Kulite ref. pressure probe yaw angle Kulite output

in the

# C-2. PROGRAM &KALIB

A STATE OF THE STA

As stated earlier this program records probe data for more yaw positions than program &YAW. Once either the "A" or the "B" probe is mounted on the freejet and the desired flow condition (Mach number and pitch angle) is established, the actual pitch angle is keyed in, and single measurements of total temperature and pressure as well as Kulite reference pressure and barometric pressure are taken. The operator is then asked to start the data acquisition process for the Kulite pressure/yaw angle measurement. Simultaneously the operator has to signal that the probe shall be rotated in the freejet. While the probe is rotated from  $-80^{\circ}$  to  $+80^{\circ}$  in yaw at a constant rate of  $\sim 3^{\circ}$ /sec, the yaw position and the corresponding Kulite pressure reading is recorded alternatingly. When the whole range of yaw angle is finished, the flow conditions are recorded again and the probe is rotated backwards 160° with the same data acquisition process as before. Then the jet conditions are recorded a third time. All raw data is multiplied by its corresponding scaling factor. The total temperature is calculated in degrees Fahrenheit. A pressure coefficient as defined in C-l is derived for all 300 points of measurement. The whole data array (contents are defined in the listing) is stored in one file with its name as operator input. A complete output of the file contents is printed. (Note: line printer must be set to "comp".)

The operator is then asked to specify the form of a plot of the just-acquired data. When the plot is completed the operator has the choice of stopping the program or performing another data acquisition for a different flow condition.

C-3 gives a flow chart of &KALIB while C-4 is a program listing.

Externals: ABRT, CLEAR, CLOSE, CPLOT, CREAT, DRAW, FXD, LABEL, LDIR, LOCL, MOVE, OPEN, PLOTR, RMOTE, SCANR, SETAR, VIEWP, WINDW, WRITF

and the second second

ģ

Variables	Туре	Description
CDATA(300)	Real	Array containing pressure coefficients <sup>c</sup> p
DATA(2,320)	Real	Array containing complete raw calibration data
ICR	Integer	Cartridge reference number
IDCB(144)	Integer	Data control block
IFILE(3)	Integer	Array containing file name
IGCB(192)	Integer	Graphic data control block
IL	Integer	Total number of words to be stored in raw data file (two words for one data value)
ISECU	Integer	Security code
ISIZE(2)	Integer	Array to specify file dimensions (1st word for number of records, 2nd for record length)
ITYPE	Integer	Type of data file

By comparison of sets of data acquired with both programs for the same flow conditions, no difference was found between the results of the two programs.

112

.

Since program &KALIB offers much more overall information in even shorter time, it was used for the whole calibration of both probes with occasional comparisons between the two program results. Plots of the probe outputs vs yaw angle were produced and stored for each of the conditions to have an easy and clear idea of the probe's general behavior.

113





----

5. . Se

114

· · · ·

- LYAN T=00004 IS ON CR00026 USING 00025 BLKS R=0000 FTN4,L FTN4,L PEQERAM YAU DETA(32,4),CDATA(32) DIALA DATA DATA DATA DATA DATA ISCUS DATA DATA ISCUS I 0001 0005 0007 0008 0009 0010 0000000 PROGRAM TO ACQUIRE DATA FOR THE CALERATION OF A KULITE PROBE THIS PROGRAM TAKES DATA AT DEFINED YAW POSITIONS. ONLY THE RAW DATA IS STORED. DATA LOCATION IN THE DATA FILE : FOR I  $\approx$  1 TO 31, I IS THE NUMBER OF THE YAW ANGLE. FOR EACH OF THESE YAW ANGLES THE ARRAY CONTAINS THE FOL! DWING VALUES IN THE GIVEN ORDER: P ref. Kulite Probe position 3 Kulite press . • 3 i input from terming) read from scanr \$2 ch 6 read from scanr \$1 ch 25 DATA(32,1) contains pitchangle DATA(32,2) contains It tunnel DATA(32,3) contains P baro CALL ABRT(7,2) CALL RMOTE(8) CALL RMOTE(10) CALL RMOTE(15) URITE (8,801) URITE (10,1001) URITE (15,1501) 00000 PRESET DATA ARRAY WITH 0.0 1 DO 002 I = 1,32,1

というでは、「ないない」というという。

Figure C-2. Listing of Calibration Data Acquisition Program &YAW. (Continued on next page.)

**.** .

115

y and p

166		
192	002	DATA(1,3) = 8.0 DATA(1,4) = 8.0
083 084		DATA(32,2) = SCANR(15,06,01) DATA(32,3) = SCANR(08,25,01)
095		WRITE(1,101) READ (1,102) DATA(32,1)
187		WRITE(10,1002)
089	803	WRITE (1,103) 1
191		IF (IDUM NE, 2N ) GOTO 003
192		u = 0 X = 0
194 195		Ý <b>* 8</b>
196		DO 868 J = 1.18.1
178		S = SCANR(15,20,01)
100		T = SCANR (15,21,01)
02		Û - ŜCANA (15,22,01)
194		Y = \$CANR(15,23,01)
105	008	Z = Z + V DATA(1,1) = W/10
197		DATA(1(2) = X/10 DATA(1(3) = Y/10
109	010	DATA(1,4) = 2/18 HETTE(1,104) (DATA(1,1) 1= 1,4,1)
11	•••	UNITE(1,105) BEAD (1.49) TETTE
		ÇĂLL ÇRÊĂÎ (ÎDCÊ,ÎĒRR,IFILE,ISIZE,ITYPE,ISECU,ICR,IDCBS)
115		IF (TERR LT. 0 ) WRITE(1,1111) JJ,IERR
117		JJ = 2
19		ÇALL WRITE (IDCB, IERR, DATA, IL)
		JJ = 3 1F. (lerr_,LT,_0_)_WR1TE(1,1111) JJ,IERR
્યુટ્ટ		CALL CLOSE (IDCB, IERR, 0) JJ = 4
124		IF (lerr .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) JJ,IERR DATA(32.2) = 32.6149 + 34727.9 = DATA(32.2)
26		DATA(32,3) = DATA(32,3) # 10000
-58		WRITE(6,602)DATA(32,1),DATA(32,2),DATA(32,3)
30		$DO_{020} I = 1.31.1$
12		DATA(1,1) = DATA(1,1) = 10000 DATA(1,1) = DATA(1,1) = 10
33		DATA(1,2) = DATA(1,2) # 10000 DATA(1,3) = DATA(1,3) # 10000
35		DATA(1,4) = DATA(1,4) # 10000 CDATA(1) = (DATA(1,4) + DATA(1,2)) / DATA(1,1)
17	020	WRITE(6,604) I,DATA(1,3),DATA(1,4),DATA(1,2),DATA(1,1),CDATA(1)
17		CALL CLEAR (7,1)
11		CALL PLCTH (IGCB,2,1,13)
13		CALL VIEWP (IGCB, 30, 110., 20, 79.9)
45		CALL FXD (IGCB,1)
19		WHITE(1,106) READ (1,149) IDUM
- 18 1 49		IF(IDUM.EQ.2HYE) CALL LGRID (IGCD,-5.,0.5,0.0,0.0,4.0,1.0,1.0) CALL MOVE (IGCD,DATA(1,3),CDATA(1))
50 51	040	DO 040 I=1,31,1 CALL DRAW (IGCR.DATA(1.3),CDATA(1))
33		CALL WINDU (ICCB:0::150::0::100:)
154		CALL MOVE (IGCB,22, 15.)
59		CALL LABEL (IGCB)
66		

Figure C-2. Listing of Calibration Data Acquisition Program &YAW. (Continued on next page.)

116

and the second second second second

----

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER

1. 5 m

· · · ·

Figure C-2. Listing of Calibration Data Axquisition Program &YAW.

117



•

San Sala

ĝ

÷,

Figure C-3. Flow Chart of Data Acquisition Program & KALIB

--

1. . . . . . **. . .** 

FAL ID T-00004 IS ON CR00026 USING 00042 BLKS R-0000 FTN4,L L PROGRAM KALIB PEAL DATA(2,320) CDATA(300) DIMENSION IGUB(192) IDCB(144), IFILE(3), ISIZE(2) DATA IDCRS (144/ DATA ISECU /0/ DATA ISECU /0/ DATA IT/PE /1/ DATA ISIZE /10,120/ DATA IL /1200/ 00000 PROGRAM TO ACQUIRE DATA FOR THE CALIBRATION OF A KULITE PROBE. DATA LUCATION IN THE DATA FILE : LOCATION IN FILE : FOR I = 1 TO 300 DATA(1,I) FOR I = 1 TO 300 DATA(2,I) CONTAINS : YAW PUSITION KULITE PRESS. DUTPUT LOCATION IN FILE: CONTAINS: READ FROM: DATA(1,301) DATA(2,301) DATA(1,302) DATA(2,302) Ch# 6 Scanr # 2 Ch#20 Scanr # 2 Ch#21 Scanr # 2 Ch#25 Scanr # 1 T total tunnel P total tunnel K ref, press. Baren, press. befere reading DATA(1,303) DATA(2,303) DATA(1,304) DATA(2,304) Ch\* 6 Scanr. \* 2 Ch\*20 Scanr. \* 2 Ch\*21 Scanr. \* 2 Ch\*25 Scanr. \* 1 T total tunnel P total tunnel K ref. press, Barom, press, b etween eddings DATA(1,305) DATA(2,305) DATA(1,306) DATA(2,306) Ch# 6 Scanr.# 2 Ch#20 Scanr.# 2 Ch#21 Scanr.# 2 Ch#25 Scanr.# 1 T total tunnel P total tunnel K ref. press. Barom. press. after reading DATA(1,318) DATA(1,319) DATA(1,319) DATA(2,319) Outrage Frenth reading I total tunnel K ref. press. Barom, press. h e . a b e v e DATA(1,320) Pitch angle Terminal

Figure C-4. Listing of Calibration Data Acquisition Program &KALB. (Continued on next page.)

119

•••

С 001 CALL ABRI(7,2) CALL RHOTE(8) CALL RHOTE(10) CALL RHOTE(15) WRITE (8,801) WRITE (10,1801) WRITE (15,1501) 00000 PRESET NEW DATA ARRAY WITH 0.0 DO AG2 [ 1 = 1.320,1 DATA(1,101) = 0.0 DATA(1,101) = SCANR(15,00,01) DATA(1,301) = SCANR(15,00,01) DATA(1,301) = SCANR(15,00,01) DATA(1,301) = SCANR(15,00,01) DATA(1,301) = SCANR(15,00,01) DATA(1,302) = SCANR(15,20,01) DATA(1,302) = SCANR(15,20,01) DATA(1,303) = SCANR(15,20,01) DATA(1,304) = SCANR(15,20,01) DATA(1,304) = SCANR(15,20,01) DATA(1,304) = SCANR(15,20,01) DATA(1,305) = SCANR(15,20,01) DATA(1,105) = SCANR( PRESET NEW DATA ARRAY WITH 8.0 002 005 010 015 018 019 020 021

Figure C-4. Listing of Calibration Data Acquisition Program &KALIB. (Continued on next page.)

· · · · ·

• •

A STATE OF CALLS

· · · · ·

WRITE (6,505) DATA(1,315); DATA(2,315); DATA(1,316); BATA(2,306) WRITE(6,607) DO 030 I 1,60,1 II I I 60 III I I 60 WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,1), DATA(2,1); CDATA(1), J, DATA(1,J); DATA(2,J); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,1), DATA(2,I); CDATA(I), J, DATA(1,J); DATA(2,J); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,11); DATA(2,I); CDATA(I), J, DATA(1,J); DATA(2,J); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,11); DATA(2,I); CDATA(I); J, DATA(1,J); DATA(2,J); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,11); DATA(2,II); CDATA(I); J, DATA(1,J); DATA(2,J); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,11); DATA(2,II); CDATA(I); J, DATA(1,J); DATA(2,J); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,11); DATA(2,II); CDATA(1); J, DATA(1,J); DATA(2,J); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,11); DATA(2,II); CDATA(1); J, DATA(1,J); DATA(2,J); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,II); DATA(2,II); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,J); DATA(2,III); DATA(2,III); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,J); DATA(2,III); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,J); DATA(2,III); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,J); DATA(2,III); DATA(2,III); C WRITE(6,600)I DATA(1,J); DATA(2,III); DATA(2,III); DATA(1,J); DATA(2,III); DATA(2,III); DATA(1,J); DATA(1,J); DATA(2,III); DATA(1,J); DATA(1,J); DATA(1,J); DATA(1,J); DATA(1,J); DATA(1,J); DATA(1,J); DATA(1,J 0.30 040 050 Close relay ICHAN on scanner LU and read the instrument indicated by K. Author: Robert N. Geopfarth Date: February 31, 1979 Detailed program description is available in TXCO log; the variables are: LU ... LUS of desired scanner (8 or 15). ICHAN ... Scanner channel (integer). IC ... Scanner channel (ASCI). K ... Instrument code (DVM = 1 / Counter = 2). C Closes scanner and reads DVM, counter. \* 101 FORMAT (A2) 901 FORMAT (\*C") 1001 FORMAT (\*T333\*) 1201 FORMAT (\*T\*) 1501 FURMAT (\*C") WRITE (8,801) WRITE (15,1501) IC = ICON(ICHAN,0) WRITE (LU,101) IC GO TO (01,02) K 81 CALL TRIGR (10) READ (10, #) DUM CALL TRIGR (10) READ (10, #) SCANR GO TO 03

Figure C-4. Listing of Calibration Data Acquisition Program &KALIB. (Continued on next page.)

121

0239 02 WRITE (12,1201) 0240 READ (12, #) SCANR 0241 READ (12, #) SCANR 0241 READ (12, #) SCANR 0243 RETURN 0243 INTEGER FUNCTION ICON(I,N) 0244 ENDEGER FUNCTION ICON(I,N) 0245 INTEGER FUNCTION ICON(I,N) 0247 IF(IC(,T,I0) GD TD 100 0249 WRITE(ICON,60)IC 0249 WRITE(ICON,60)IC 0250 60 FORMAT(I2) 0251 RETURN 0253 100 ICON=[C+30060B 0253 END

ĺ

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Figure C-4. Listing of Calibration Data Acquisition Program &KALIB.

í.

#### APPENDIX D

### DATA REDUCTION PROGRAMS &REST8 AND &REST9

The programs &REST8 and &REST9 are in principal the same and follow the same logic. They are used to approximate the Mach number--or X --and the pitch angle,  $\phi$ , as functions of the two independent variables  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  (see 4.5). The software used to work out the approximations is described explicitly in Ref. 6. Basis for the approximation is the data as shown in Table V. This data is stored as a 10 by 54 array in a data file ABNEW2 on cartridge 26. The data is read into a 10 by 54 array from file ABNEW2 by both programs. Since the approximation itself is carried out in external subroutines, the necessary variables are contained in a common block. &REST8 thus contains  $\beta$  (BETA),  $\gamma$  (GAMMA) and X (XVEL) while &REST9 contains 3 (BETA), Y (GAMMA) and PHI (pitch angle in radians). As approximations of different order are possible for both variables  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , the orders of the approximations are increased from one to six in a DO-loop for both variables, resulting in a total of 36 combinations.

For all combinations the set of coefficients is printed out and also an array (6,9) for all errors (see 4.5) resulting from this particular approximation. When the whole DO-loop is worked out, the operator has to decide what order of approximation he wants to use for the application of the

probes. In general lower order polynomials should be preferred against higher ones, although the latter promise the smaller overall error. The criterion for the decision should be the error distribution within a range of Mach number and pitch angle which will be the one of the most common application.

Once this decision has been made, the operator inputs the desired orders of polynomials. The corresponding coefficients are then recalculated and the operator is asked for a file name under which he wants to have these coefficients stored in a 7 by 7 array.

As programs &REST8 and &REST9 are in principal identical, only one flow chart (Fig. D-1) is given, and a listing of program &REST9 is given in (Fig. D-2).

Labeled common blocks:

Common	block	identifier	
the second			

MATRX

SUMME

A, B BETA, GAMMA, XVEL (or PHI)

Variable

Variables	Type	Description
A(49,49)	Real	System matrix used for the 3-D approxi- mation of XVEL (or PHI) as function of BETA and GAMMA (see Ref. 6)
B(49)	Real	Right hand side vector of 3-D approxima- tion (see Ref. 6)
BETA(16,16)	Real	Betapressure coefficient
COEFF(7,7)	Real	Approximation coefficients array

Variables	Type	Description
D(10,54)	Real	Calibration data array
GAMMA	Real	Gammapressure coefficient
ICR	Integer	Cartridge reference number
IDCB(144)	Integer	Data control block
IFILE(3)	Integer	Array containing file name
ISECU	Integer	Security code
ISIZE(2)	Integer	Array to specify file dimensions (lst word for number of records, 2nd for record length)
ITYPE	Integer	Type of data file
NMACH	Real	Number of different Mach number settings during the calibration
NPITCH	Real	Number of different pitch angle settings during the calibration
PHI(16,16)	Real	Array containing the actual pitch angle settings during the calibration
PI	Real	3.14593
R(16)	Real	Array containing the individual errors between calibration data and calculated values
SUM	Real	Calculated value of XVEL or PHI (de- pending on program)
XVEL(16,16)	Real	Array containing the actual Mach number (X) settings during the calibration

Ŀ

ľ.,

ţ



1

•



in the p

AP TO A

SRECTY T=00004 IS ON CR00026 USING 00024 BLKS R=0000 FTN4,L PROGRAM REST? (3,99) 0001 00000 This is program REST9 1 It reads file ANNEW2 (26) which contains calibration data from the new A- and H-probe (0.062° o.d., screen with holes). It then approximates the pitchangle Phi as a function of Beta and gamma by different order of pulynominals The results of all approximations are printed for evaluation purposes, but only one set of coefficients is stored. The software used for the polynominal approximation is available in the Turoporopulsion Laboratory Binary (TPLBL). See NPS67-80-001CR for further reference. C COMMON / MATRY / A.B COMMON / SUMME / BETA\_CAMMA\_PHI REAL A(49,49) B(49) COEFF(7,7) D(10,54) INTECEK IDEB(144), IFILE(3),ISIT(:) REAL R(16), GAMMA(16,16),PHI(16,16) PATA PI DATA PI DATA ITE / 2HAB,2HME,2HW2/ DATA ISECU /D/B,2HME,2HW2/ DATA ISECU /D/B,2HME,2HW2/ DATA ISIE /3,128/ DATA 00000 READ DATA FILE ABNEW2 FROM CARTRIDGE 26 INTO ARRAY D-10 14 CALL OPEN (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, ICPIN, ISECU, ICR, IDCHS) JJ = 1 IF ( IERR LT. D \ WRITE (LI 1111) JJ,IERA CALL READF (IDCR,IEPR,D,1080,LEN,1) IJ = 2 JJ ( IERR ...T. ( ) WRITE (LI.1111) JJ.IERR CALL CLOSE (IDCB.IERR.J) IF ( IERR ...T. ( ) WRITE (LI.1111) JJ.IERR NMACH = 6 002 CONTINUE CALCULATE CALIBRATION SURFACE COEFFICIENTS

Figure D-2. Listing of Reduced Data Approximation Program &REST9. (Continued on next page.)

in a singe

Figure D-2. Listing of Reduced Data Approximation Program & REST9.

128

· · · · ·

#### APPENDIX E

## DATA EVALUATION PROGRAM & EVALU

As described in 5.1, program &EVALU simulates a case in which calibration data is treated as actual test data--as far as possible. From this data flow quantities of Mach number and pitch angle are derived using the whole data reduction method, and compared with the known actual values.

The program is set up to perform this comparison for all given Mach number/pitch angle combinations. However, since the process is rather extensive and time-consuming, the procedure is actually only worked out for a limited range of calibration settings.

First of all the program reads the sets of coefficients for the Mach number and pitch angle approximations (as generated in &REST8 and &REST9) into two arrays. In a loop corresponding calibration data of the "A" and "B" probes for one Mach number/pitch angle combination is read at a time. This data is read into two data arrays (ADATA(2,320) and BDATA(2,320)). For nine defined yaw angles ( $\pm 65^{\circ}$ ,  $\pm 45^{\circ}$ ,  $\pm 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\pm 15^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}$ ) the program searches for given yaw angles which are closest to the defined ones and averages four yaw angles bigger and four smaller than the one found as well as it averages the corresponding pressure values. This results in nine single pairs of PA values and yaw angles. The
B probe "data acquisition" is handled differently. For a range of yaw angle smaller than the whole calibration range the output of the B probe  $p_B$  is approximated with a sixth order polynomial as a function of yaw angle. For nine specific values of yaw ( $\pm 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\pm 22.5^{\circ}$ ,  $\pm 15^{\circ}$ ,  $\pm 7.5^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}$ ) corresponding pressure values  $p_B$  are calculated using the derived polynomial.

These data arrays PA(9)/YAWA(9) and PB(9)/YAWB(9) are equivalent to the data acquired in a test. They are again approximated and the pressure values PAMAX, PSA and PBMAX are calculated. The data reduction procedure as outlined in 4.5 is applied to these values and the Mach number (or X) and pitch angle are derived. Since the yaw angle is always adjusted to zero when aligned with the flow in the freejet, the yaw angle should always turn out to be zero. However, the program offers the possibility to artificially superimpose a different yaw angle in that the given relationships  $p_{\lambda} = P_{\lambda}(\alpha)$  and  $p_{B} = P_{B}(\alpha)$  are shifted to  $p_{\lambda} = P_{\lambda}(\alpha + \Delta \alpha)$  and  $p_B = P_B(\alpha + \Delta \alpha)$ , where  $\Delta \alpha$  is the "artificial" yaw angle. The quality of the flow quantity calculations is expressed in errors of Mach number, pitch angle and yaw angle as described in 5.1. The necessary error values are printed out and the loop is continued. Figure E-l gives a flow chart of the program while Fig. E-2 contains a listing.

and the second se

## Labeled Common Block:

ł

Common	block	identifier:	Vari	able:
	DTA	2	Xl	, Y

-

Variable	Type	Description
AAO	Real	Flow yaw angle derived from A probe
ABO	Real	Flow yaw angle derived from B probe
ADATA(2,320)	Real	Array to contain the A probe data
AFILE(3)	Integer	Array to contain the file name for A probe data
ASL	Real	Left hand side yaw angle of A probe output
ASR	Real	Right hand side yaw angle of A probe output
BDATA(2,320)	Real	Array to contain the B probe data
BFILE(3)	Integer	Array to contain the file name for B probe data
COEF(7)	Real	Array to contain coefficients from 2-D approximations
COEUX(7,7)	Real	Array containing the coefficients of the 3-D approximation for the velocity
COEUP(7,7)	Real	Array containing the coefficients of the 3-D approximation for the pitch angle
CPAMAX	Real	Maximum pressure coefficient A probe
CPBMAX	Real	Maximum pressure coefficient B probe
DP	Real	Pressure difference for two pressure values corresponding to two yaw angles which are separated by DX
DPX	Real	First derivative of the function $p_A(\alpha) - p_A(\alpha - \Delta \alpha)$
DX	Real	Given spread in yaw angle between PSAL and PSAR

131

Variable	Type	Description
ERPHI	Real	Error between measured and calculated pitch angle
ERXVEL	Real	Error between measured and calculated Mach number (Xvel rsp.)
ERYAW	Real	Error between measured and calculated yaw angle
GAMMA	Real	Pressure coefficient
ICR	Integer	Cartridge reference number
IDCB(144)	Integer	Data control block
IFILE(3)	Integer	Array containing file name
IL	Integer	Total number of words read from data file (two words for one value)
ISECU	Integer	Security code
ISIZE(2)	Integer	Array to specify file dimensions
ITYPE	Integer	Type of data file
ICLR(3)	Integer	Command to clear line above cursor
NOLF	Integer	No line feed command
NOCR	Integer	No carriage return command
PA(9)	Real	Array for A probe pressure values
PAMAX	Real	Maximum pressure of A probe
PB(9)	Real	Array for B probe pressure values
PBMAX	Real	Maximum pressure of B probe
PHI	Real	Pitch angle (calculated)
PHIME	Real	Pitch angle (measured)
PSA	Real	Static pressure equivalent of A probe
PSAL	Real	Pressure reading of A probe for a yaw angle 63° to the left of the flow aligned yaw angle

k

132

1

· · · ,i\*

Variable	Type	Description
PSAR	Real	Pressure reading of A probe for a yaw angle 63 <sup>0</sup> to the right of the flow aligned yaw angle
PSTAT	Real	Static pressure
PTOTAL	Real	Total pressure
P1-P8	Real	A probe pressure values in the vicinity of a given yaw angle giving the basis to find an average pres- sure value for the corresponding yaw angle
XVEL	Real	Mach number equivalent dimensionless speed
XVELME	Real	Measured XVEL
X0	Real	Starting value for the iteration to find PSAL and PSAR
Xl(256)	Real	Data array for 2-D approximations
Y(256)	Real	Data array for 2-D approximations
YAWA(9)	Real	Array containing A probe yaw angles
YAWB(9)	Real	Array containing B probe yaw angles
YAWOFF	Real	Superimposed yaw angle offset to simulate yaw angles different from 0 <sup>0</sup> .
Y1-Y8	Real	A probe yaw angles in the vicinity of a given yaw angle equivalent to P1-P8.

e e



Figure E-1. Flow Chart of Data Evaluation Program & EVALU.

134

·, · · · ·

the second second second

ł

AEVALU T=00004 IS ON CR00026 USING 00051 BLKS R=0000 FTN4,L PROGRAM EVALU (3,99) 00000000000 . . . . . . . THIS IS PROGRAM E V A L U (ate) ! IT CAN TREAT THE RAW DATA FROM THE A- AND D-PROBE CALI-BRATION LIKE ACTUAL TEST DATA + C BRATION LIKE ACTUAL TEST DATA ' C DAMON / DTA2 / Xi / INTEGRA IDCR(143) ITLE(3),ISIZE(2),NOLF,NOCR(2),ICLR(3) IFTAC ADD TA(15,13) IF IE(3),ISIZE(2),NOLF,NOCR(2),ICLR(3) IFTAC ADD TA(15,13) IFTACA,ISIZ(2),ISI 19 00000 READ FILE FROM DISC INTO ARRAY COEXX(2.7). ÚŘITĚ (LI,IŠO) NOLF READ (LI,IŠO)IFILE,ISECU,ICR HRITE (LI,IAO) (ICLR,II \* 1,3) ÇALL OPEN (IDCR,IERR,IFILE,IOPTN,ISECU,ICR,IDCRS) DRTTE (11,149) (ICLN,11 CALL OPEN (IDCR,IERR,IFILE,IOPTN,ISECU,ICR,1 IF (IERR, LT, 0) WRITE (I,111) JJ,IERR GALL GLADF (IDCR,IERR,OEXX,98(LEN,1) IF TERR, LT, C; WRITE (LI,111) JJ,IERR GALL GLOSE (IDCR,IERR,0) IF (IERR, LT, C; WRITE (LI,111) JJ,IERR IF (IPRINT NE, 2MYE) GOTO 016 1074 3075 1075 1078

Figure E-2. Listing of Calibration Data Evaluation Program & EVALU. (Continued on next page.)

·, ·



San Statement

Figure E-2. Listing of Calibration Data Evaluation Program & EVALU. (Continued on next page.)

JAL STADE (IDLE, FER BOATA IL LENIT) TF (IERR LT, 3) WRITE (LI,111) JJ. FERR GAL LLUSE (IDCB, FERR, 3) IF (IERR LT, 3) WRITE (LI,111) JJ, FERR if ( ) (IRR .LT. 0) . WRITE (LL, 1111) / JJ, IERR if ( ) IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (LL, 1111) JJ, IERR D0 010 [ = 1,300.1 ADATA (1,1) = ADATA (1,1) + YAWOFF ADATA (2,1) = ADATA (1,1) + YAWOFF ADATA (2,1) = ADATA (2,1) + ADATA (1,319) 030 DATA (2,1) = HDATA (2,1) + ADATA (1,319) JI = J / 2 IF ( J .CQ. -60 ) JI = -nS IF ( J .CQ. -60 ) JI = -nS IF ( J .CQ. -60 ) JI = -nS IF ( J .CQ. -60 ) JI = -nS IF ( J .CQ. -60 ) JI = -nS IF ( A ADATA(1, 1) + ADATA(1,319) P1 = ADATA(2,1-2) P2 = ADATA(2,1-2) P3 = ADATA(2,1-1) P3 = ADATA(2,1-1) P3 = ADATA(2,1-1) P4 = ADATA(2,1-1) P5 = ADATA(2,1-1) P4 = ADATA(2,1-1) P5 = ADATA(2,1-1) P7 = ADATA(1,1-2) P4 = ADATA(2,1-1) P5 = ADATA(1,1-2) P6 = ADATA(1,1-1) P7 = ADATA(1,1-1 0178 0129 0180 0181 0181 0182 0183 106 10 134557 00 070 1 1 271 1 4 4 8 (1) = 7 5 ( 1 5 ) 070 PB (1) = FNP(6, COEF, YAWB(1)) WRITE (LI.159) DO 075 I = 1,9,1

Not the second



137

UTH WRITE (LI,180) 1,24(1), But (TAWA(1),14WA(1) Reference (clise) ., Fail(, Stand(), Fain()) PRARD = (ADATA(2,3:9) + RDATA(2,3:9)) / 2 PSTAT = PRARD % 13 585 PTOTAL = ((ADATA(2,3:8) + RDATA(2,3:8)) / 2) + (PRARD % 13.705) XUELME = SQRT (1 - (PTOTAL/PSTAT ) %%(-0.2857) ) PHIME = ADATA(1,320) D0 080 [ = 1,9] X1([]) = PAuA(1) Y([]) = PAuA(1) Y([]) = PA(1) + (PRARD % 13.585 ) CALL MAT2 (9,5,COEF,-4) 080 00000 FIND MAX. OUTPUT OF A PROBE. rinp max. DUTPUT OF A PROBE. bx = 126.0 x0 = -70.0 UBS DP = (FNP(4,CDEF,X0)-FNP(4,CDEF,(X0+DX))) IF (ABS(DP).LT. 3.0001) GOTO 090 DPX =-2.%CDEF(3)%DX-6.%COEF(4)%X0%DX-3.%COEF(4)%DX#DX-x = 2.%CDEF(5)%X0%DX-12.%COEF(5)%X0%DX#DX-4.%CDEF(5)%DX##3 X0 = X0 - DP / DPX GOTO 085 090 ASL = x0 ASR = X0 + DX / 2.0 PARAX = FNP(4,CDEF,AA0) PSAL = FNP(4,CDEF,AAC) PSAL = FNP(4,CDEF,AC) PSAL -----00000 APPROXIMATE B-PROBE PRESSURES. D0 100 I = 1,91 100 Y(1) = YAUG(1) CALL MAT2 (9,5,CDEF,-4) X0 = 000 110 DPX = FND(4,CDEF,X0) IF (ABS(DPX).LT.0.00001) GOTO 115 X0 = X0 = DPX /(2\*COEF(3) + 6\*COEF(4)\*X0 + 12\*COEF(5)\*X0\*X0) IS AF0 = X0 PRMAX = FNP(4,CDEF,AR0) IF (IPRINT NE. 2HYE )GOTO 120 WRITE (LI162) AB0 PRMAX IF (CO NHE 0) WRITE (L0,162) AB0,PRMAX 129 CONTINUE = (PRMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (CPAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (PMAX - PSA) / (PTOTAL - PSA) CAPMAX = (PAMAX - CPRMAX) / (PAMAX XVEL = 0.0 PHI = 0.0 PHI = 0.0 PHI = 0.0 IF (15 S II = 1.7,1 IS FORM AA0 IF (L1 163) XVEL PHI,AB0,XVELME,PHIME,ERXVEL,ERPHI\_ERXVEL,ERPHI IF (IS EQ. 55) GOTO 500 IF (IS EQ. 10 ) GOTO 220 IF (IS EQ. 10 ) GOTO 220 APPROXIMATE B-PROBE PRESSURES. IF (IS .EQ. 10 ) GOTO 210 IF (IS .EQ. 19 ) GOTO 220 IF (IS .EQ. 19 ) GOTO 220 IF (IS .EQ. 28 ) GOTO 230 IF (IS .EQ. 37 ) GOTU 240

Figure E-2. Listing of Calibration Data Evaluation Program & EVALU. (Continued on next page.)

138

· · · · ·

2332		15 (15 A)EQ. 46 ) 6010 250
0321	210	AFILE(1) = 2HA3
0322		BFILE(1) = 2HB3
0324		11 TE (LO.604)
0325	<b>.</b>	6010 260
1326	220	AFILE(1) = 2HA4
0328		IJI = IS - IB
0327		WPITE (L0,604)
0330	230	GUTU 260 AFTIF(1) # 2005
0332	200	BFILE(1) = 2HBS
0333		IJI = 15 - 27
0335		GOTO 260
0336	240	AFILE(1) = 2HA6
0338		HFILE(1) = 2HB6
0339		WRITE_(LD,604)
0.340	354	GOTU 260
0342	200	PFILE(1) = 2HB7
0343		IJI = 15 - 45
0345		WKIIE (LU,504) SDTO 260
0346	260	IF ( IJI .EQ. 1 ) GOTO 300
0347		IE ( III - EG - 2 ) COIO 310
0349		IF ( IJI EQ: 4 ) GOTO 330
0320		IE ( III EQ. 5 ) GOID 340
0352		IF ( 1J1 .EG. 6 ) GDTO 350
0353		IF ( IJI JEG, a ) GOTO 370
0354	700	IF ( IJI EQ. 2 ) GOTO 380
2356	300	AFILE(2) = 2HKP
0357		GOTO 400
1359	310	AFILE(3) = 2820 AFILE(2) = 2860
0360		GOTO 400
0361	320	AFILE(') = 2H15
1363		GOTO 400
0364	330	AEILE(3) = 3H10
6366		GOTO 400
0367	340	AFILE(3) = 2H05
0369		AFILE(2) # 2HKP COTO 400
. 370	350	AFILE(3) = 2H00
3171		AFILE(2) = 2HKP
0373	360	AFILE(3) = 2805
2374		AFILE(2) = 2HKN
03/5	379	6010 400 AFTLF(3) \$ 2010
0377	0.0	AFILE(2) = 2HKN
378	700	GOTO 408 ASTUS(3) - 2445
380	380	AFILE(2) = 2HKN
0.391		GOTO 400
0393	400	PF(LE(2) = AF(LE(2)) FF(LE(3) = AF(LE(3))
1384		G010 025
3385	500	S10P 7777
0387		REAL FUNCTION ENP (NORDER COEFF. ZX)
388		REAL COEFF (7)
101		TE - NURDER EC 1 1 COTO 00
371		DO 01 1 = 1, NORDER 1
1703	61	I = NGRDER + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +
0394	02	FNP = A1
3305		RETURN
307		REAL FUNCTION ENDINORDER COPPE TXX
1100		KEAL CLE
3400		NEAL COEFFD(6) DO 01 1#1.NOPOFP 4
0401	01	COEFFD(1) = COEFF(1+1)#1
0403		A1 * COFFED(NORDER)
0404		IF ( NORDR EQ. 0 ) COTO 03
4040		DU UZ 11 = 1, NORDR 1
0407	02	A1_# COEFFD(1)+2X#A1
408	03	FND #A1
9410		END

Ċ,

÷

Figure E-2. Listing of Calibration Data Evaluation Program & EVALU.

139

a star

#### APPENDIX F

### CALIBRATION TEST PROGRAM TEST

Chapter 5.2 describes the test and the test procedure used to verify the quality of the calibration in a freejet experiment. Although this experiment lacks the simulation of high frequency flow vector changes like those to be expected in its application, it seems to be the most useful check of the calibration itself and the data reduction procedure. While the set-up of the experiment and the data acquisition procedure were described in 5.2 already, details of computer program &TEST, which is used to perform the data acquisition and the data reduction, will be given herein.

And the second second second

Like program &EVALU, this program first reads the calibration coefficient files into two arrays. It then asks the operator to key in the barometric pressure in inches of mercury. The data acquisition itself is performed in a DOloop, interactively with the operator. Both probes are set to nine different yaw angles and data samples are recorded. The actual pressure and yaw angle values which are used are the averages of 30 single samples each, in order to exclude any influence of some flow irregularities. Once the data is taken, the data acquisition system is released from the HP 21-MX computer control and the data reduction is started.

The nine pressure values of the A-probe are approximated with a fourth-order polynomial as a function of the yaw angle. From this approximated curve pressure values PSAL, PSAR and PAMAX are derived which are used to calculate CPAMAX and BETA. The output of the B probe is approximated the same way and the pressure PBMAX is calculated from this curve. Using PBMAX and the results of the A probe, CPBMAX and GAMMA are established. The coefficients BETA and GAMMA alone are used to derive values of Mach number (or  $\mathbf{X}$ ) and pitch angle ( $\phi$ ). The yaw angle which corresponds to the pressure value PBMAX is assumed to be the flow yaw angle. It should be close to zero since the probes are aligned with the freejet for a zero yaw angle, unless an "artificial" yaw angle has been superimposed on them as described in 5.2

The calculated values are compared to those the freejet was adjusted to. In 5.2 the results of these comparisons were demonstrated already.

Labeled common block:	
Common block identifier:	Variable:
DTA2	Xl,Y

Variable	Type	Description				
AAO	Real	Flow yaw angle derived from A probe				
ABO	Real	Flow yaw angle derived from B probe				
AKULIT '	Real	Average value of 30 A probe pressure samples				

Variable	Type	Description
APRESS	Real	Single sample value of A probe pres- sure reading
ASL	Real	Left-hand side yaw angle of A probe output
ASR	Real	Right-hand side yaw angle of A probe output
BKULIT	Real	Average value of 30 B probe pressure samples
BPRESS	Real	Single sample value of B probe pres- sure reading
COEF(7)	Real	Array to contain coefficients from 2-D approximations
COEUX(7,7)	Real	Array containing the coefficients of the 3-D approximation for the velocity
COEUP(7,7)	Real	Array containing the coefficients of the 3-D approximation for the pitch angle
CPAMAX	Real	Maximum pressure coefficient A probe
CPBMAX	Real	Maximum pressure coefficient B probe
DP	Real	Pressure difference for two pressure values corresponding to two yaw angles which are separated by DX
DPX	Real	First derivative of the function $P_A(\alpha) - P_A(\alpha - \Delta \alpha)$
DX	Real	Given spread in yaw angle between PSAL and PSAR
GAMMA	Real	Pressure coefficient
ICR	Integer	Cartridge reference number
IDCB(144)	Integer	Data control block
IDCBS	Integer	Control block length (of IDCB)
IFILE(3)	Integer	Array containing file name

Concernation of the second

1

142

1.

Variable	Type	Description
ISECU	Integer	Security code
ISIZE(2)	Integer	Array to specify file dimensions
ITYPE	Integer	Type of data file
ICLR(3)	Integer	Command to clear line above cursor
NOLF	Integer	No line feed command
PA(9)	Real	Array for A probe pressure values
PAMAX	Real	Maximum pressure of A probe
PB(9)	Real	Array for B probe pressure values
PBARO	Real	Barometric pressure inches of mercury
PBMAX	Real	Maximum pressure of B probe
PHI	Real	Pitch angle (calculated)
PSA	Real	Static pressure equivalent of A probe
PSAL	Real	Pressure reading of A probe for a yaw angle 63 <sup>0</sup> to the left of the flow aligned yaw angle
PSAR	Real	Pressure reading of A probe for a yaw angle 63 <sup>0</sup> to the right of the flow aligned yaw angle
PTOTAL	Real	Total pressure
XVEL	Real	Mach number equivalent dimensionless speed
xo	Real	Starting value for the iteration to find PSAL and PSAR
X1(256)	Real	Data array for 2-D approximations
Y(256)	Real	Data array for 2-D approximations
YAWANG	Real	Single sample of yaw angle reading
YAWKUL	Real	Average value of 30 yaw angle readings

~

143

•, •.•,

i



1



144

.

1 14 3

STEST T-00004 IS ON CR00026 USING 00055 BLKS R=0000 0001 0002 0003 0004 0005 FTN4,L PROGRAM TEST (3,99) THIS IS PROGRAM TEST FOR THE A-B PROBE SYSTEM. 00034 00034 00037 00037 00037 00032 00032 IT ACQUIRES DATA FROM THE A-P PROBES MOUNTED IN THE FRE JET IN ORDER TO CHECK THE VALIDITY OF THE CALIBRATION. THE DATA IS NOT STORED, ONLY AN DNLINE DUTPUT IS AVAI-LAFLE. C CINEL, C CINEL, C CINEL, REAL X1256/,Y1856 REAL CORCY/PEGEXX177,COEKP(7,7) REAL CORCY/PEGEXX177,COEKP(7,7) REAL CORCY/PEGEXX177,COEKP(7,7) REAL CORCY/PEGEXX177, DATA TOLER NOLF,CL2R(1),SIZE(2) DATA TOLER NOLF,CL2R(1),SIZE(2) DATA TOLER VOLFULATION COES378/ DATA TOLER VOLFULATION COES378/ DATA NOLF,CL2R(1),SIZE(2) DATA NOLF,CL2R(1),SIZE(2),SIZE(2),SIZE(2), DATA NOLF,CL2R(2),SIZE(2),SIZ 9012 9013 0014 0015 0015 0017 0017 0017 0017 0017 3030 0039 0041 0041 0042 0045 0045 0045 0045 0056 370,924567899,23456789 50,924665867897777779 70,924665867897777779 70,924665867897777779 70,924655789777779 70,924655789777779 С С С READ FILE SIFX22 FROM DISC INTO ARRAY COEKX(7,7). č THILF(1) = 2051 IFILE(2) = 2062 IFILE(3) = 2043 IFILE(3) = 00 ICN = 26 CALL OPEN (IDCB.IERR.IFILE.TOPTN.ISECLICR.IDCBS) 

「「「「「「」」」」

Figure F-2. Listing of Program to Test the Quality of the Calibration: &TEST. (Continued on next page.)

'n 1 **1** n

IERR LT. 0) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR READF (IDCB,IERR,COEKX,90,LEN,1) IERR LT. 0) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR CLOSE (IDCB,IERR,0) IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ, IERR IF (IPRIN1 .NE. 24YE) GOTO 025 CCCCC OUTPUT INPUT DATA. . WRITE (LJ,194) IFILE IF (LO 'NE. 0) WRITE (LO,104) IFILE WRITE (LI,105) (I],I=1,7) IF (LO 'NE. 0) WRITE (LO,106) (I1,I1=1,7) DO 020 II=1,7,1 IF (LO 'NE. 0) WRITE (LO,107) I1,(COEKX(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) WRITE (LI,108) I1,(COEKX(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) WRITE (LI,108) I1,(COEKX(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) 020 00000 READ FILE SIFP22 FROM DISC INTO ARRAY COEKP(7,7). 025 IFILE(1) = 2HSI IFILE(2) = 2HFP IFILE(2) = 2HFP IFILE(3) = 2H43 CALL OPEN (IDCB.IERR,IFILE,IOPTN,ISECU,ICR,IDCBS) JJ = 4 IF (IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI,111) JJ,IERR GALL CLOSE (IDCB,IERR,O) JJ = 6 IF (IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR IF (IPRIN1 .NE. 2HYE) GOTO 035 . . . . . . . . . . . 00000 OUTPUT INPUT DATA. . WAITE (LI,104) IFILE IF (LO,104) IFILE WAITE (LI,105) (I,11=1,7) IF (LO,105, 0) WAITE (LO,106) (I1,I1=1,7) DO 030 II=1,7,1 IF (LO, .NE, 0) WAITE (LO,107) I1,(COEKP(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) 030 WAITE (L1,108) I1,(COEKP(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) 035 CONTINUE, 000000 THE DATA ACQUISITION SHALL BE PERFORMED NOW " WRITE (LI, 109) READ (LI, \*) PBARO CALL AURT (7,2) CALL RMOTE (10) CALL RMOTE (15) WRITE (10,1001) WRITE (15,1501)  $\begin{array}{l} \text{WRITE} (13,1351) \\ \text{WRITE} (10,110) \\ \text{WRITE} (11,111) \\ \text{IF} (10,111) \\ \text{IF} (10,111) \\ \text{IF} (1000, NE, 2H) \\ \text{GOTO 040} \\ \text{AKULIT} = 0.0 \\ \text{YAMKUL} = 0.0 \\ \text{RKULT} = 0.0 \\ \text{RKULT} = 0.0 \\ \text{IF} (15,22,01) \\ \text{RKUSS} = 3CANR (15,22,01) \\ \text{RKUSS} = 3CANR (15,23,01) \\ \text{RKUSS} = 3CANR (15,23,01) \\ \text{RKUSS} = 3CANR (15,23,01) \\ \text{AFRESS} = 3CANR (15,23,01) \\ \text{ARULIT} = AKULT + APRESS \\ \end{array}$ 040

Figure F-2. Listing of Program to Test the Quality of the Calibration: &TEST. (Continued on next page.)

1. 1. 1. 1

YAUKUL = YAUKUL + YAWANG BKULIT = BKULIT + FYRESS PA (I) = AKULIT / 30 PB (I) = BKULIT / 30 YAU(I) = YAUKUL / 30 OSO WRITE (L0,112) I PA(I),PB(I),YAU(I) CALL CLEAR (7,1) CALL LOCL (7) 0159 0160 0161 0162 0163 00000 START DATA REDUCTION. . DO 055'I = 1,9,1 X1(I) = YAW(I) # 10000 Y (I) = (PA (I) # 10000 ) + ( PBARO # 13.585 ) CALL HAT2 (9,5,CDEF,-4) 055 00000 FIND MAX. OUTPUT OF A PROBE. FIND MAX. DUTPUT OF A PROBE. DX = 126.0 D40 DP = (FNP(4, COEF, X0) - FNP(4, COEF, (X0+DX))) IF (ABS(DP) LT. 0.300(1) GOTO 065' DPX = -2.DECOEF(3)#X0\*DX-12#COEF(4)#X0#DX-3.0#COEF(4)#DX#DX-# 12.0#COEF(5)#X0#X0\*DX-12#COEF(5)#X0#DX#0X-4.0#COEF(5)#DX##3 COTO 060 GOTO 060 FAL = X0 PAM0X = FNP(4, COEF, AA0) PSAL = COEF(2) / 2.0 PTOTAL = PAMAX - PSA / 2.0 IF (IPRINT .NE. 2HYE) GOTO 070 WPITE(L113) ASL, AA0, ASR, PSAL, PAMAX, PSAR, CPAMAX IF (L0 .NE. 0) WRITE(L0,113) ASL, AA0, ASR, PSAL, PAMAX, PSAR, CPAMAX 00000 APPROXIMATE & PROBE PRESSURES. 

Figure F-2. Listing of Program to Test the Quality of the Calibration: &TEST. (Continued on next page.)

147

· · · · ·

0239 0241 0241 0243 0243 0244 0244	01 02	A: = COFFF(NORDER+1) TF ( NORDER .EQ. 0) GOTO 02 DO 4: II = 1, NORDER,1 II = NORDER + 1 - II FA: COFF(I)+ZX#A: FAP = AI FAT = AI
0246 0247 02248 02250 02551 02551 02553 02553	01	END REAL FUNCTION FND(NORDER,CDEFF,ZX) REAL CDEFF (7) REAL CDEFF(6) DD 01 1=1,NORDER 1 CDEFFD(1) = CDEFF(1+1)*I A1 = CDEFFD(NORDER) NORDR= NORDER - 1 F( NORD = 1 F( NORD = 0 D GOTO 03
02556 02556 02557 02558 02559 0259 0260 0261	03 03	DO 02 II = 1, HORDR, 1 T = (NGROR + 1) - II A1 = CDEFFD(I)+ZX#A1 FND =A1 RETURN END END END END END END END EN
0265 0265 0265 0265 0265 0265 0265 0265	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Close relay ICHAN on scanner LU and read the instrument indicated by K. Author: Robert N. Geopfarth Date: February 31, 1979 Detailed program description is available in TXCO log; the variables are: LU in Construction (8 or 15).
	101 101	ICHMM Scanner channel (Integer). IC Scanner channel (ASCI). K Instrument code ( DVM = 1 / Counter = 2 ). Closes scanner and reads DVM, counter. FORMAT (A2) FORMAT (*T3r)
0280 0281 0282 0283 0284 0285 0286 0286	1201 1501	FORMAT (***) Format (*C*) WRITE (*C*) WRITE (*0.101) TC*=ICDW(ICMA,0) WRITE (LU,101),IC Go to (01/02)
0289 0289 0290 0291 0292 0293 0293 0294	01	CALL TRIGR (10) CALL TRIGR (10) CALL TRIGR (10) CALL TRIGR (10) READ (10, #) SCANR GO TO 03
0295 0296 0297 0298 0299 0300	02 03	WRITE (12.120) READ (12, #) SCANR WRITE (LU, 801) RETURN END INTECER EUNCTION LODV(1 N)
0302 0303 0304 0304 0305 0306	60	INTEGER FORCION ICON(I,N) IC=I+N IF(IC,LT,I0) GO TO 100 CALL CODE MRITE(ICON,60)IC FORMAT(I2) PETUBAL
0309 0309 0310	100	ÎĊÓN=ÎC+30060B Return End

Figure F-2. Listing of Program to Test the Quality of the Calibration: &TEST.

į

148

· · · · ·

### APPENDIX G

CALIBRATION DATA (CPOB) APPROXIMATION PROGRAM &RES10

As shown in Fig. 19, CPBO is well behaved as a function of pitch angle and Mach number as well. Thus it is approximated as a function of these two variables. Program &RES10 handles this procedure. It is in principal again the same program as \$REST8, like &REST9, so that a detailed program description will not be given here. However, it shall be mentioned here that the data which is the basis of the approximation is contained in data file ADNEW2. This is an indication that this file contains all the data necessary to represent the whole calibration.

For the evaluation of the quality of the approximation, errors are printed out as were for &REST8. These are calculated as:

$$\varepsilon_{CP_{B0}} = \frac{C_{P_{B_{0m}}} - C_{P_{B_{0m}}}}{C_{P_{B_{0m}}}} \cdot 100$$

index m = known from measurement index c = calculated

The variation in the order of polynomials for the approximation was changed in two DO-loops also and the one for the best error results chosen. Those coefficients are stored in file MISTCP on cartridge 26. Figure G-l shows the coefficients and the associated errors. The highlighted area gives the range of Mach number and pitch angle which will most likely occur. Thus the error distribution within there is most important.

Figure G-2 gives a listing of program &RES10. The similarities to programs REST8 and REST9 are obvious, so that no flow chart or further explanations are given.

ľ



# TO THIS FOR THE DALTSPATTON SHREADE STORES TH FILE HEARING.

Morder	Norder 🛶	د ِ		,
3 <b> </b> 3	-1,36,379 -1,35,2735 -1,35,2735 -1,55,230 1,155,230 -1,155,230 -1,155,230 -1,155,230 -1,155,230 -1,155,230 -1,155,230 -1,155,255,255 -1,155,255,255,255,255,255,255,255,255,25	216277950 419626729 - A19626729 - A1962673 216252643 216252643	-9.602888 -9.508983 26.229302 -51.00351	14,6325+3 12,659-0255 -45,232757 ちょうののため
	•	f.,	•• *	•

## LARDPS AT FACE PELNT

مترعوفان الأفريقا ومرتز المعاقل والتقافية المتروض ومسالية المسرو والتروي

macn	PILCE A	ugre 🛥							
Number	25° 1	3		٩	9	6	7	0	-150)
0 2	26,0X1	5,399	-7,575	- 74	6.74	1. 0 11	1825	7.4	ें रे
0.2	-12 97 t	) - SB	<u></u>	<u> </u>	···· <u>· · · ·</u>		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· • •	- ०.इ.च्.
· .	104	2 1 1	1.1.1.1.1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.555		1220
4	-,319	. 255.	734		$\sim 24.3$	— . 17 3 n	.:27	, 76.9	0.49
3	, 142	- 1°3	-1.168	1.115	. 6 <sup>.0</sup> 4	, TY 4, D	199	- , 066	505
0.7 🗠	1,959	12A2	<u> </u>	274		<u> </u>	1 231	- 77 <b>0</b>	.627
	1	2	. 5	4			- 7	8	ý (

Table G-I. Coefficients and errors for  $C_{\mbox{PoB}}$  approximation depending on  $X_{\mbox{vel}}$  and  $\varphi.$ 



- 6RES10 T=00004 IS ON CR00026 USING 00024 BLKS R=0000 FTN4.L PRUGRAM RES10 (3,99) This is program RES(tore) 10. <u>υσοσησοσοσοσοσοσο</u>σο There are some programs REST, which in principle do all the same : They restore calibration data of a probe into a form that can be used to approximate this data by a salibration surface. The software used for the surface approximation is available in the Turnopropulsion Laboratory Binary Library(TPLBL). See NFSG-HU-GBICR for furthwir reference In this particular case the calibration data of the NEWA-AND 8-PROBES  $\begin{array}{c} & \label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{c} & \label{eq:constraint} \\ & \labe$ CpOB = f(PHI.XVEL) READ DATA FILE ABNEW CALL OPEN CIDER, IFRR. IFILE. IOPIN, ISECULTER LIDURS) 80**013** 21**74** 21**7**4 CALCOLATE CALIFRATION SURFACE COEFTICIENTS

Figure G-1. Listing of Program to Approximation C<sub>POB</sub> Values: &RES10. (Continued on next page.)

•

· · · · ·

0079			IJI = 0
0080			DO 060 MU = 1,6,1 DO 060 MU = 1,6,1
0082			
0084		015	
0085			D0 020 I = 1,7,1 D0 020 J = 1.7.1
0087		020	$\hat{C}\hat{O}E\hat{F}F(\hat{I},\hat{J}) = \hat{0}(\hat{0})$
0089			N=NORDER+1
0090			CALL COMAT (A,B,M,N,NMACH,NPITCH) NEGUSSM#N
0092			CALL ELGJ (NEQUS)
0094			DO 025 I = 1,H,1
0095			$D_{0} = 0.25$ J = 1 N 1
0097		025	$\hat{COEFF}(I,J) = \hat{B}(II)$
0099			IF ( 1) I .NE. 1 ) GUIO USU IFILE(1) * 2HMI
0100			IFILE(2) = 2HST IFILE(3) = 2HST
0102			CALL CREAT (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, ISIZE, ITYPE, ISECU, ICR, IDCBS)
0103			IF ( IERR ,LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR
0105			CALL OPEN (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, IOPTN, ISECU, ICR, IDCBS)
0107			IF ( IERR , LT., 0 ) WRITE (LT, 1111) JJ, IERR
0108			JJ = 6
0110			IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR
0112			JJ = 7
0113 0114			IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR WRITE (6.601) IFILE
0115		030	CONTINUE (AE) (T THE N I)
0117			$DO_{035} = 1, H, i$
0118		035	WRITE (6,606) [,(COEFF(I,J),J=1,N,1) WRITE (6,605) (J.J=1.N.1)
0120			
0122			
0123	Ê		
0125	č		, OVERWRITE DATA ARRAY WITH CALCULATED DATA
0127	č		 
0128			WRITE (6,604) WRITE (6,502) (1 1=1.NPITCH 1)
0130			DO 050 1 = 1, NMACH, 1
0132			SUM = 0,
			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
135		040	SUM=SUM+(COEFF(I1,J1)#XVEL(I,J)##(J1-1))#PHI (I,J)##(I1-1)
0136		045	ACTTE (6.693) 1.(R(J),J=1.NP1TCH.1)
0138			WRITE (6,602) (J,J=1,NPITCH.1) (
140			IF (IJI .EQ. 1 ) GOTO 065
0141		060	CONTINUE
0143			WHITE (LI, 101) READ AND MORDER MORDER
0145			$\frac{1}{1} = 1$
0146		065	GOTO 015 STOP 7777
ŭ148		202	ÊND

Figure G-1. Listing of Program to Approximation C<sub>POB</sub> Values: &RES10.

### APPENDIX H

### TEST DATA ACQUISITION PROGRAM &ABKUL

Program &ABKUL is rather complex. The amount of data gathered and stored is quite extensive. Since the data reduction procedure is faster if only one file contains all data, the use of a single data file seems to be justified.

Before any data acquisitior is performed, the file to contain the data is created under a name given by the operator. This way it is ensured that there is sufficient space on the cartridge to store the file. Once this is done, the data array (DATA(20,256)) is preset with zeros and the interface bus and devices are set to remote control. The first part of the data acquisition is for the first on-line calibration. Both Kulite probes are at the same radius as the rotor exit combination probe and all probe yaw angles are fixed to the same angle given by the combination probe. This one is assumed to be the time averaged flow yaw angle. The reference pressure on the back side of the Kulite transducers is changed to a known value and a set of data is recorded. This data includes the pressure readings of the Kulite probes and their reference pressure as well as all the information available from the combination probe. Once this data is collected, the Kulite probe reference pressure is changed to another value and another set of data is acquired. This

procedure is carried out for a total of four different reference pressures, allowing sufficient time after each pressure change for the measuring system to adapt to the new pressure. After the last pressure is applied, the reference pressure is reset to barometric pressure and the data acquisition for the first on-line calibration is completed. During the data acquisition the recorded data is printed out immediately so that its quality can be evaluated right away.

Before the acquisition of high speed data is performed, the operator is asked to specify the number of yaw angles he wants the Kulite probes to be moved to. A maximum of nine is possible and this number should always be favored to ensure sufficient data to cover a range of 160° in yaw angle as explained in 6.3. The program asks the operator to set both Kulite probes to the first yaw angle and to initiate the data acquisition. In a first DO-loop data from the type A probe is gathered for 256 consecutive circumferential positions. Each of the 256 values is the average of 40 single samples which are acquired on consecutive revolutions. In a second DO-loop the same kind of data is acquired from the B probe. Since the two probes are mounted on the compressor case wall separated by 270° circumferentially, the trigger numbers where the data acquisition starts are separated by a number of 1728 cts.=270° for the two probes. (The trigger device splits 360° up into 2304 single counts. Both probes acquire data for 256 counts, 40° respectively. Since the rotor has 18 blades, two blade passages are covered.)

and the second second

When the second DO-loop is done, steady state data from the combination probe and the Kulite probes is acquired. This data is of the same kind as that for the on-line calibration data and is in general very helpful for checking purposes. It is printed out as soon as it is acquired. From the high speed data the overall average values for all 256 positions from A and B probes are derived and printed out also.

The DO-loop is continued by the operator initializing the acquisition for another yaw angle setting of both probes.

When the data for all desired yaw positions is acquired, a second on-line calibration is performed. The results are printed out immediately so that they can be compared to those from the first calibration and obvious errors can be detected right away. From the two on-line calibrations slopes and intercepts are derived for both probes as described in 6.3.

Finally the data is stored in the assigned file.

and the second second

No.

in a second second

Figure H-l gives a flow chart of program &ABKUL, while Fig. H-2 is a listing.

Variables	Type	Description													
AVRGA	Real	Average value of A probe output													
AVRGB	Real	Average value of B probe output													
BUFR(1664)	Integer	Buffer array													
DATA(20,256)	Real	Data array													
DCA	Real	DC-level reading of A probe													
DCB	Real	DC-level reading of B probe													

Variables	Type	Description
DE	Real	Voltage difference between combination probe and reference temperature probe thermocouple
Е	Real	Voltage reading of combination probe thermocouple
FSVLTG	Real	Calibration factor Kulite probe reading
IBUFF(99)	Integer	Array for Kulite sample values
IBLADE	Integer	Number of compressor rotor blade pairs to be investigated
ICLR(3)	Integer	Command to clear line above the cursor
ICOUNT	Integer	Number of acquired data points (1 through 256)
ICR	Integer	Cartridge reference number
IDCB(144)	Integer	Data control block
IDCBS	Integer	Data control block length
IDUM	Integer	Dummy variable
IFILE(3)	Integer	Array containing file name
IL	Integer	Total number of words stored in data file (two words for one value)
ISECU	Integer	Security code
IRPM	Integer	Rotational speed derived from trig- gered measurements
ISIZE(2)	Integer	Array to specify fill dimensions
ITYPE	Integer	Type of data file
LI	Integer	Input device number
LO	Integer	Output device number
MASK	Integer	Masking variable
NOLF	Integer	No line feed command

. . .....

ţ

157

Variables	Type	Description
PBARO	Real	Barometric pressure
PCAL	Real	Calibration pressure for the Scanivalve
PREF	Real	Reference pressure for the Kulite probes
Pl, P23, P4	Real	Pressure readings for the combination probe
RPM	Real	Compressor speed as read from counter
SECON	Real	Intercept of either A or B probe calibration
SLOPE	Real	Slope of either A or B probe calibration
TARE	Real	"Zero drift" for Scanivalve transducer
X(4)	Real	Array for on-line calibration data
XIMA	Real	Immersion of A probe
XIMB	Real	Immersion of B probe
XIMC	Real	Immersion of combination probe
Y(4)	Real	Array for on-line calibration data
YAWA	Real	Yaw angle of A probe
YAWB	Real	Yaw angle of B probe
YAWC	Real	Yaw angle of C probe
YAWP	Real	Total number of yaw positions for the A and B probe

i

START CREATE DATA FILE



ŝ

ł

Figure H-1. Flow Chart of Data Acquisition Program &ABKUL. (Continued on next page.)

159



Alter Age

1

Figure H-1. Flow Chart of Data Acquisition Program &ABKUL. (Continued on next page.) 160

· · · · ·

- C 1 14-9	PROGRAM ABKUL (3,99)
ç	
č	This is program ABKIIL (ite).
č	. It performs an online calibration of the A and B kuliteprobe
č	- and a data acquasition for 2 yaw positions of these probes a
č	WELL.
<u>Č</u>	Author & Friedrich Neuhoff
ç	. Date : July 28, 1981
č	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
ç	***************************************
č	NDTE : IF THE "C" WILL BE REMOVED FROM THE STATEMENTS
ç	CONTAINING ASTERISKS IN COLUMN 23 AND HIGHER,
C	A NU STEADY STALE DATA WILL BE ALLUTED AND UNLT
č	
C	\$
	REAL DATA(20,256) X(4),Y(4),AURGA,AURGB
	INTEGER BUFR (1864)
	INTEGER NOLF, IDCB(144), ICLR(3), IFILE(3), ISIZE(2)
	DATA ISECU / DU/
	DATA ITYPE / 1/
	DATA ICIZE(1) / 60/
	DATA 1512E(2) / 128/
	DATA NULF / 0065378/ Data telo / 0165248 0165158 0045378/
	DATA MASK / 1777008/
	DATA FSULTO / LEO1/
101	- FURMAN (" )N15 15 Program ABBUL(178)."/" It first performs a dat Marguasition for an ani/or calibration of the 4 and 8 "/" probas
	#" Then it takes paced data from the propes at 7 different you be
	"Thoms "/" interactively with the operator. At the end another se
	tow data ter an online"," calloration is accoured."//" ine whole
102	FORMAT (////* The online calibration shall be performed now "/"
	* allows four different reference pressures!"//" When the first r
103	FORMAT(7/136%" Online collocation"///
104	FORMAT (13X IMMER. COMD
	## Immer.A pr. vgw A pr. "9X"DCA"8X"Pref"10X"Tt"/13X"Immer.8 pr.
105	* 998 0 07 78-000"78"878"78 01(-77) FORMAT (7128 5(28 510 6)755 12.555 5(28 510 6)7128 5(28 510 6)
106	FORMAT (" Apply the next ref. press, and key GD when the condity
	as are stable"/"enough for the next reading(")
10/	- FURNHIL' 151 UNITHE COLLOGATION CONC''/ RESET THE FET - PRESS T B the high speed data acquasition!"/" Enter the number of differe
	Jyne angles you want. "/" Hit GR after that to rontinue!")
108	FURMAI(////35X"Average values from paced run"// ) Commat (// Adjust bet builts spatial to you doeld such the "T) " Ka
107	$\pm 50$ when this is done to prove to you angle number 12, we
110	FORMAT(/24X"A probe "30X"B probe "/26X"Slope "6%" Intercept # "12X"S
	東京県「ある「コロゼアときカモアメダスであっと」「ちゃ」となったちかりにもの「どくふく」とし、「み」「どくふん」と  第一回人が力が当然がし、しのようとのようにのかってのまでは、そうに、人が、第二日で、スケービントの」。
111	FURMAT (" Second online calibration done """ Reset the set ones
	* and consider the data acquasition to be completed! " Compare
	The results of the two online calibrations and check for drift"/"
	as $7^{-1}$ to be stered in $1^{-1}$
112	FORMAT_( Averaged values priced output ) A probe "F10.5" S prob
148	runneis output input gritig to give etner device. Uniter HU. 18 or LU8 14/4 ("Δ")
149	FORMAT ((342))
120	FURMAT (IT) FORMAT ("PA")
1001	FURMAT ("FIR7M3ALHOT3")
	FÖRMAT ("FEARATH
1201	

ĺ

Figure H-2. Listing of Data Acquisition Program &ABKUL. (Continued on next page.)

77 LI = LDGLU(ISESSN)
77 WRITE (LI, 148) NOLF
READ (LI, 147) IDUM
WRITE (LI, 147) (ICLR, II=1, 2)
IF ( IDUM, EQ. 24400, ) GO 'TO 78
CALL CODE
READ (IDUM, 150) LO
IF ( LO EQ. 1) GO TO 79
78 LO 9
79 FF ( LO EQ. LI ) LO = 0
WRITE (LI, 10) 00000 D0 D1 I = 1, 20.1 D0 D1 J = 1, 20.1 D1 D1 J = 1, 256,1 D1 DATA (I,J) = 0.0 Set interface bus and devices to an Preset data array with zeros! 00000 (ALL ABRT(7,2) CALL PMOTE(6) CALL PMOTE(10) CALL PMOTE(12) CALL PMOTE(12) CALL RMOTE(12) WRITE (0,001) WRITE (12,1201) WRITE (12,1201) WRITE (15,1501) 00000 Perform the data acquasition f J = 1 GOTO 05 HRITE (LI,149) IDUM READ (LI,149) IDUM IF (IDUM, ME, 2HGO) GOTO 02 WRITE (LI,103) IF (LO, NE D) WRITE (LO,103) VRITE (LI,103) IF (LO, NE D) WRITE (LO,104) TARE2 = ACGN (4, 2,10) P23 = ACGN (4, 2,10) P23 = ACGN (4, 2,10) P24 = ACGN (4, 2,10) P24 = ACGN (4, 2,10) P24 = ACGN (4, 2,10) RPM = SCANR(8,35,1) XIMC = SLANR(8,35,1) XIMC = SLANR(8,35,1) XIMB = SCANR(8,35,1) XIMB = SCANR(8,35,1) YAMB = SCANR(8,35,1) PC4 = SCANR(8,35,1) XIMB = SCANR(8,35,1) DC4 = SCANR(8,35,1) DC5 = SCANR(15,15,1) DC4 = SCANR(15,55,1) DC5 = SCANR(15,55,1) DC5 = SCANR(15,55,1) DATA(1,J+1) = PCAL2 DATA(1,J+3) = P23 DATA(1,J+3) = P23 DATA(1,J+3) = PAM DATA(1,J+3) = PAM DATA(1,J+3) = PAM DATA(1,J+3) = YAMC DATA(1,J+3) = YAMC • Perform the data acquasition for the first online calibration C 02 03

Figure H-2. Listing of Data Acquisition Program &ABKUL. (Continued on next page.)

0128			Roto(1,J+19) = Jong
0161			DATA(1, J+12) = YAWB
0163			DATA(1, J+14) = E
0165			DATA(1,J+15) # DE DATA(1,J+16) = DCA
0166			DATA(1,J+17) = DCB WRITE (LI.105) XIMC.YAWC.P1.P23.P4.I.XIMA.YAWA.DCA.PREF.F.XIMB.
0168			SYAWB, DCB, RPM, DE
0170			*A, PREF, E, XIMB, YAWH, DCB, RPM, DE
0122		• •	
0174		04	READ_ (LI,149) IDUM
0175			WRITE (LI,149) ( ICLR,11=1,3) IF ( IDUM .NE. 2HGO ) GOTO 04
0177 0179			J = J + 20 J = I + 1
0179 0180		05	GOTO 03 HETTE (11 107)
0101			READ (LI, *) YAWP
0183	ğ		- THUR - THUR - C 
0185	č		Data acquasition of high speed data.
0186	ç		
0188 0189	С		WRITE (LI.108)
0190			IF (LD . NE. 0 ) WRITE (LD, 108)
0192			IF (LQ
0194		•	JJ = JI / 2
0196	_	00	READ (LI,149) JJ
0197 0198	С		WRITE (LI,149) ( ICLR,I1=1,3) IF ( IDUM /NE, 2HGO ) GOTO 06
0199			AVRGA = 0.0 ICDUNT = 0
0201			START = 256 STOP = 256 + 356
0203			$\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} $
0205			IBLADE = I1 + 100000k
0207			CALL EXEC (1,19, IRPM_1, IRLADE)
0208 0209			CALL EXEC (1,20,IBUFF,30,0,0) RBUFF = 0.0
0210			DQ 62 J1 = 1,30,1 IBUFF(J1)= IAND(IBUFF(J1),MASK)
0212		62	RHUFF = FLDAT (IRUFF(J)) / 32768. + RHUFF DATA(JT.ICOUNT) = ((RHUFF#FSVLTG)/30)
0214		<b>4</b> 1	AVRGA = AVRGA + DATA (JI, ICOUNT)
0215	~	<b>A</b>	AVRGA 2 AVRGA / 256
0218	čs	454	$WRITE_{(6,1313)} \cup U_{1,0414}(II_{1,1}) \cup J = U_{1,256,32} \cup$
0220	U1 -	313	URIAT (8 - DATA(-13-)=-16,0)) URITE_(1,22?) AVRGA
9221 0222	2	22	FORMAT(" AVRGA = "F12.6) AVFGB = 0.0
0003			1COUNT = 0 START = 1696
0225			ŠTOP = 1698 + 256 DO 64 11 = START STUR 1
2227			ICDUNT = ICDUNT + 1
1220			CALL EXEC (3.19)
0231			UALL EXEL (1,19,1807,1,180AFE) CALLEXEC (1,20,180FF.30,1,0)
8335			PRUFF = 0.0 D0 53 J1 = 1.30.1
0234		63	IBUFF(J1)= IAND(IBUFF(J1),MASK) RRUFF = FLCAT IQUFF(J1) 32768. + RRUFF
3.30			DATALJI+1,ICOUNT) = ((RRUFF#FGULTG)/30) AURCH = AURCH + DATA (T'A) TODINTS
0.738		54	CONTINUE

ĺ

Figure H-2. Listing of Data Acquisition Program &ABKUL. (Continued on next page.)

163

0239	_		AVR	GB	_							. ,	٩v	RG	в	,	2	56	,																		
0240	C	5459	DO WRI	54 1E	55 .(e	, I , 1	3	3	<b>3</b>	۲2 زځ	1	A	TA	J	1+	•1	, J	5,	J		1	.,:	25	6,	, 3:	2)											
0242	~	333		MA	ŧ?	33		G		RG	В F	1	2.	6)																							
0245	č		• • • •		•••		• • •		••	•••	•••	•	•••	•••	•••	•	•••	•••	•	•••	•••		•••	• •	•	• •	••	• •	•	••	• •	• •	•••	••	•••	•••	:
9247	č		:	56	τ r	ec			ur y	7			30	Y		a.	τœ	d	a.	τα	'																:
0249	č		çot	Ó)	Ņģģ	•••				•••	•••	•	•••	•••	•••	•	•••	• •	•	• •	•••	•	•••	• •	•	• •	••	• •	•	• •	•••	• •	•••	••	•••	••	•
012343678901234567890 20103595555555555555555555555555555555555				A(())AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA		**************************************				2444440000000000000044				44444888888888888555		1267 07523456793923	シャン・ション・シン・シン・シン・シン やくちょうしん				_			_													
0272			# .DA	IA		11	10	2,	1	]^ ]	DA		20 20	ŞŎ	+7 / I	2	٩ <u>٦</u>	AT D	Â	IA	ç.	0	1	<u>}</u> (	8	2	DA DA	20	t	o	2) , I	11	AT 6	, A (	20	, I·	+3)
0274			TAT	AC TE	žŏ,	į+	17	53	D	AT.	A (	Ž(	5	1+	5)	<b>,</b> 1	bĂ	TA	G	20	,i	+	ŝ	;'	, 1	м	M	(2	υ,	1	+1	2)	,				
0276			IF.	្ណា	ò	ÎŃ TA	Ê	ΞÓ			ųř	Ĩ	Ę	۲. ۵ (	50 20	,	10	52		, Pr	AŢ	A	2	?>	I	-7	2.6	DA	TA	ų.	20	4 I	+Ę	22	DA	TA	(20
0278			#(20 #DAT	ĂĊ	16	14	DA	ţĂ	i.	2 n 1	Ĺ Å	+1	3	24	DA	te	À (	ZÓ	į			2	Ę.	ÀŤ	Ă	2	i,	í÷	11	)	, D	AT	Á	20	i,i	+1	2),
0280 0281	С		ÎF	Ċ	Ċ(	ĪN	Ē.	Ó	5		WR	Ī	É	-α	ĽÓ	, 1	1	2)	1	ŇVI	ŔĠ	A	A	ÚR	C I	*											
0292	ç		:	Nes	. +	ya	ມ່	Do	s.		10	n,						•••			• •					•		•••		·	•••		• •		••	•••	
0284 0285	ç																																				
0206 0287		09	CON J_=	81	NUE																														•••	•••	
0288	_	10	GOT CON	O I TIN	02 10E																																
261	Ş		:	• • •	••••	•••	•••	•••	• •	•	••	•••	•	••	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	• •	•	•••	• •	•	•	•••	• •	•	•••	•••	••	• •	• •	• • •	
0293	č		:		ĸe	16	as	e	T u	144	er	t a	10	6 (	DU	\$	a.	nd	¢	le	0 I	C e	!5	f	re	•	r (	e M	a t	e	C	0 N	tr	01			
0295	L		ĊĂĹ CAL	Ĺ	ĊĹ	EA	Ŕ.	` i	7	1	; ; ;	•••	•	• •	• •	• •	•	· •		•	• •	• •	•	• •	• •	•	•	•••		• •	••		•••	• •	• ·	•••	
0297 0298 6299 0300 0301		,,	DO J JJ JJ	11 > >	I			4 -1 TA TA	1)(1	*	j	, 0	+	1	7																						
0303 0304 0305 0305 0305		••	CAL DAT DAT DAT	Á() A() 12	CU ,1 1	RVI 60 51 =		¦4 = = 4 - 1	SE 1		N N C	Śι 0	•	۲E ۱	, s	EC	:01	• •																			
0308			Χ́(Ι JJ	)		= 1 = .	DÅ J	TÅ -	4	,	Ĵ	í		• •	-																						
0310 0311 0312 0313 0314		12	Y(I DAT DAT DAT	) A(1 A(1 13	CU 11 1	=   Rui 70 71 =	DA E)))	TA (4 = = 4,	41 41 51 51	AF CC	JJ Se DN	sr	01	PE .	, SI	EC	01	4)																			
0315			×(1	)		-		7Å	<u>`</u> 1		3	0	•	9-	•																						
0317		13	11	)		5	ja'	ī A	3		• •																										

Ē

į

-

Figure H-2. Listing of Data Acquisition Program &ABKUL. (Continued on next page.)

D319 CALL CURVE (4, X, Y, SLOPE, SECON) 0320 DATA(1,180) = SLOPE 0322 DATA(1,181) = SECON 0322 DATA(1,181) = SECON 0322 DATA(1,181) = SECON 0325 J = 14 / 1 = 20 + 98 0326 X(I) = DATA(1,J) 0327 CALL CURVE (4,X,Y SLOPE, SECON) 0328 DATA(1,190) = SLOPE 0329 DATA(1,190) = SLOPE 0329 DATA(1,191) = SECON 0329 DATA(1,191) = SECON 0329 DATA(1,191) = SECON 0329 CALL CURVE (4,X,Y SLOPE, SECON) 0329 DATA(1,191) = SECON 0329 DATA(1,191) = SECON 0329 CALL CURVE (4,X,Y SLOPE, SECON) 0329 DATA(1,191) = SECON 0329 CALL CURVE (4,X,Y SLOPE, SECON) 0330 WRITE (L1,110) ( DATA(1,I+1),I = 160,190,10) WRITE (L1,110) ( DATA(1,I),DATA(1,I+1),I = 160,190,10) 0333 READ (L1,111) IFLE 0334 CALL CREAT (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, ISIZE, ITYPE, ISECU, ICR, IDCBS) 0336 If ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0337 CALL OPEN (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, IOPTN, ISECU, ICR, IDCBS) 0339 If ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0340 CALL WRITF (IDCB, IERR, DATA, IL) 0344 II = 3 0344 II = 4 0344 II = 4 0345 If ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0344 II = 4 0345 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0344 II = 4 0344 II = 4 0344 II = 4 0345 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0344 II = 4 0344 II = 4 0345 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0344 II = 4 0345 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0344 II = 4 0345 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0344 II = 4 0345 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0344 II = 4 0345 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0344 II = 4 0345 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0345 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (1,111) II, IERR 0346 STOP 7777 END



ł

165

a sta
## APPENDIX I

## PROCESSING PROGRAMS &SPLIT AND &WAVE FOR TEST DATA

As stated in 6.3 a plot of the acquired high speed data is very desirable since it represents the fastest way to judge the quality of the data. As the graphics software requires much storage space within a program, it is not possible to read the complete data file into a plot program. A utility program--&SPLIT--has been created which splits the data array into two smaller arrays containing either A or B probe data. These arrays contain the data for the on-line calibration and steady state also. They are both stored under different names, which are operator input.

and the second second second second

ł

Since program &SPLIT is a very short and simple program, only a listing is given in Fig. I-1. Explanations are given as needed within the listing. The two newly created files are stored on the same cartridge as the original raw data file.

Program &WAVE provides means to read the smaller data files and to plot their contents. If the variable POS is assigned to be the circumferential position of the probe readings where the starting position is set to 1 and the end position to 256, the pressure distribution can be expressed as a function P = P(pos)for all nine yaw angles. These functions can be plotted by program &WAVE. The plot is a straight line connection of all points.

As mentioned in 6.3 program &WAVE serves two purposes: plotting Kulite data from an existing file and acquiring data from any of the 16 A/D channels and plotting it right away. The decision as to which of the two options shall be used has to be made first by the operator. If it is desired to plot data from a data file, the operator has to key in the file name and the cartridge reference number. This file is then read into a data array. For each probe yaw angle setting there is one pressure distribution P = P(PCS) and the operator is asked to specify the one he wants to plot. The choice of plotting on the CRT screen or the X-Y plotter has to be made as well as whether a whole new frame for the plot is needed. Without further input the graph is developed. Other data from the same file can be plotted without rerunning the program or it can be stopped at this point.

If the second feature of the program should be exercised, it has to be specified at the very beginning, when isked for this decision. An A/D channel number has to be entered which corresponds to the Kulite transducer that shall be observed. The number of samples to be taken at each of the 256 positions also has to be put in. This initializes the data acquisition process. Once all the data is acquired, the operator has to decide whether he needs a new frame or not and where he would like to have his plot (CRT or X-Y plotter). As soon as the plot is dumped, the program can either be stopped or started from the very beginning.

Figure I-2 shows a flow chart of program &WAVE, while Fig. I-3 is a listing.

Variable	Type	Description
AD	Integer	Dummy Variable
CHANL	Integer	A/D channel number
DATA(11,256)	Real	Data Array
FSVLTG	Real	Calibration factor for Kulite probe reading
IBUFF(99)	Integer	Array for Kulite sample values
IBUM	Integer	Dummy variable
ICR	Integer	Cartridge reference number
ID	Integer	
IDCB(144)	Integer	Data control block
IDCBS	Integer	Data control block length
IDUM	Integer	Dummy variable
IFILE(3)	Integer	Array containing file name
IGCB(192)	Integer	Graphics control block
IL	Integer	Total number of words stored in data file (two words for one value)
ISECU	Integer	Security code
ISIZE(2)	Integer	Array to specify file dimensions
ITYPE	Integer	Type of data file
LI	Integer	Input device number
LU	Integer	Output device (screen/plotter) number
MASK	Integer	Masking variable
N	Integer	Number of samples to be averaged

A CANADA AND

168

' 1

Variable	Type	Description
RBUFF	Real	Real value of single sample
RBUFO	Real	Sum of all real value samples for one position
X(256)	Real	Real data array
Y(256)	Real	Real data arrav

يتم الطريب من م

ASPLIT T=00004 IS ON CR00026 UCINC 00021 BLKS R=0000 FTN4,L PROGRAM SPLIT(3,99) 000000000 . . . . This program splits the large data file as created by program ARKUL into two geperate data files. Une contains the waveforms of the A-probe and the other the one from the K-probe! 0012 0013 0014 0014 . . . . . . . . . . . . . READ RAW DATA FILE INTO ARRAY DATA(20,256) WRITE (1,101) READ (1,102) IFILE WRITE (1,102) READ (1, 102) READ (1, 102) JJ T 1 IF (IERP LT. 0 \ WRITE (1,111) JJ,IERR CALL READF (IDCB,IERR,DATA,1L,LEN,1) JJ T 2 CALL READF (IDCB,IERR,DATA,1L,LEN,1) JJ = IF (IENR LT, 0) WRITE(1,1111) JJ, IFRR CALL GLUSE (IDCB, IERR, 0) JJ = 3 JJ = 3 IF (LERP .LT. 0 > WRITE(1,111) JJ,IERR 000000 READ A-PROBE DATA INTO SEPARATE ARRAY . 20 ---00000 READ FILENAME FOR A-PROPE DATA AND STORE A PROBE DATA . . . . . WRITE (1 103) READ (1 400) IFIL: UNLL (REAT (IDCB, IERR IFILE ISIZE, ITYPE, ISECU ICR, IECRS) IF (IERR (IT, 0) WRITE(1 111, JJ, IERR GALL (PFEN (IDCH, IFRR, IFILE ICPTN, ISECU ICR, IDCES) IF (IERR (IT, 0) WRITE(1 111, JJ, IERR ALL (WRITE (IDCH, IERR, DATAS)) IF (IERR (IT, 0) WRITE(1 111, JJ, IERR CALL (COST (IDCH, IERR, DATAS)) IF (IERR (IT, 0) WRITE(1 111, JJ, IERR CALL (COST (IDCH, IERR, DATAS)) IF (IERR (IT, 0) WRITE(1 111, JJ, IERR CALL (COST (IDCH, IERR, DATAS)) IF (IERR (IT, 0) WRITE(1 111, JJ, IERR CALL (COST (IDCH, IERR, DATAS))) 40.11 19.11

Figure I-1. Listing Program to Split Up Big Raw Data Array From A-B Probe Into Smaller Arrays: &SPLIT. (Continued on next page.)

in the p

0079 0080 0081	ç		READ B-PROBE DATA INTO SEPARATE ARRAY .
0082 0083 0084	С		$   \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
0085 0086 0087			$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
0089	с	07	DATAS(11,1) = DATA(20,1)
0091 0092 0092	Č		READ FILENAME FOR B-PROBE DATA AND STORE B-PROBE DATA.
3095	č		URITE (1,104)
0077 0077			CALL CREAT (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, ISIZE, ITYPE, ISECU, ICR, IDCBS)
0099			ÎÊ (IÊRR LT. 0.) WRITE(1.111) JJ IERR ÇALL QOPEN (IDCB,IÊRR,IFILE,IOPTN,ISECU.ICR,IDCBS)
9102			IF (IERR LT, 0) WRITE(1,1111) JJ,IERR CALL WRITF (IDCH,IERR,DATAS,IL)
0104 0105 0105			JJ = 9 IF (IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE(1,1111) JJ,IERR CALL CLOSE (IDCS.IERR.0)
0107			JJ =10 IF (ICRR .LT. 0 ) WRITE(1,1111) JJ,IERR
01 <b>07</b>			

3

Figure I-1. Listing of Program to Split Up Big Raw Data Array From A-B Probe Into Two Smaller Arrays: &SPLIT.

171

· · · · ·



í

-



· , · · · · , •



AN ALLAND



· · · · ·

\* AWAVE T=00004 IS DN CR00027 USING 00022 BLKS R=0000 
 AMAVE
 T=00004
 IS
 DN
 CR00027
 USI

 0001
 FTN4,L
 PROGRAM WAVE (3,99)
 0003
 C
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004
 1004</td This is program WAVE It platts waveforms as read from either : a data file created using test data from the TX-compressor or from a specified AD channel right away, DIMENSION ICCB(192) IBUFF(49) REAL DATA(11/256) X(256) Y(256) INTEGER IDCES / 144) DATA IDCBS / 144/ DATA ISCU / 00/ DATA IST2E(1) / 44/ DATA IST2E(1) / 44/ DATA IST2E(2) / 120/ DATA ST2E(2) / 120/ DATA ST2E(2 Dia distriction of the second se 0023 0024 0025 0025 0026 

Figure I-3. Listing of Program to Plott Raw Data Waveforms: &WAVE. (Continued on next page.)

· · · ·

174

i, i i je

and the second se

なます

Figure I-3. Listing of Program to Plott Raw Data Waveforms: &WAVE.

in the p

#### APPENDIX J

# DATA REDUCTION PROGRAM SABRED

Program &ABRED was explained almost completely in chapter 6.4. More detailed explanations shall be given in here where they are needed. Figure J-1 shows a self-explanatory flow chart of the program while Fig. J-2 is a complete listing.

From the flow chart it is obvious that the first and bigger part of the program deals with overall flow measurements from the combination probe. The data reduction of these measurements is not explained since Ref. 1 deals with this in great detail. It should be mentioned that the raw data used for the combination probe data reduction is the average of the raw data which was acquired along with the acquisition of any set of Kulite probe data. Thus the results of the combination probe represent one average flow vector which is assumed to be constant throughout the data acquisition process. As the Kulite data used for the on-line calibration is derived from the whole raw data acquired also, this seems to be a reasonable way. The principle of the on-line calibration was described in chapter 6.1 already. If a print-out of the control parameters was chosen, the result of the online calibration will be displayed in the form of a linear equation relating A and B probe pressures to voltages.

The results of the on-line calibration are first applied to the dc-level values from the A and B probe in order to calculate the average flow vector. Chapter 6.4 shows the results of this process. The procedure used to derive these values is in principal the same as the one used to calculate flow vector quantities for the individual measurements. In order to check the quality of the data reduction, an output of the A and B probe results as derived from their approximations can be produced. The yaw and pitch angle as well as Mach number are derived from the approximation results and printed out. These values can be compared to those derived from the combination probe (see 6.4).

Then the DO-loop for the reduction of individual data points is started at the position (ISTART) determined earlier. For any of these positions the results of the on-line calibration is applied to the raw data first, so that absolute pressure values exist. The data reduction procedure as described in 6.4 is then applied to these values. Using the local Mach number as well as the total pressure as derived from the A probe, pressure coefficients  $C_{P_A}$  are derived as functions of yaw angle and can be printed if desired. The examination of these values proved to be very helpful in the evaluation of the quality of the achieved result.

Alter and the stiller

in factor

Since the A probe has only one calibration curve  $C_{P_A}$  as a function of yaw angle, as long as the pitch angle and Mach number do not exceed the range of the calibration, for any

measured position the same curve should be resolved. The use made of this fact so far is described in chapter 7.

When the DO-loop for all described positions is completed, the reduced data is stored in a file. Only pitch and yaw angle and Mach number ( or x ) are stored, since they are sufficient to describe the individual flow vectors.

Common Bloc	<u>k Identifier</u>	Variable
DI	'A2	Xl, Y

Contraction of the state of the state

4

ł

Variable	Type	Description
AAO	Real	A probe yaw angle for aligned flow
ABO	Real	B probe yaw angle corresponding to max. probe output
ASL	Real	A probe yaw angle 63° left of flow aligned yaw angle
ASR	Real	A probe yaw angle 63° right of flow aligned yaw angle
BETA	Real	Dimensionless pressure coefficient
BETA2	Real	Relative rotor exit flow angle
COECPB(7,7)	Real	Data array for coefficients of 3-D CPOB approximation
COEF(7)	Real	Data array for 2-D approximation coefficients
COEKP(7,7)	Real	Data array for coefficients of 3-D Kulite pitch angle approximation
COEKX (7,7)	Real	Data array for coefficient of 3-D Kulite Mach number approximation
COEOP (7,7)	Real	Data array for coefficients of 3-D combination probe pitch angle approximation

Variable	Type	Description
COEOX(7,7)	Real	Data array for coefficients of 3-D combination probe Mach number approximation
СРА	Real	Pressure coefficient from A probe
CPAMAX	Real	Maximum pressure coefficient from A probe
CPBMAX	Real	Maximum pressure coefficient from B probe
CPOA(6)	Real	Data array for 2-D approximation of A probe pressure coefficients
DATA(20,256)	Real	Data array containing the raw data
DEAMAX	Real	First derivative of approximated function EA (voltage A probe) of yaw angle for maximum of EA
DEBMA	Real	First derivative of approximated function EB (voltage B probe) of yaw angle for maximum of EB
DELTA	Real	Pressure coefficient
DP	Real	Pressure difference for two pressure values corresponding to two yaw angles which are separated by DX
DPA	Real	Difference between actual A probe pressure and value derived from poly- nomial approximation at each yaw position.
DPB	Real	Difference between actual B probe pressure and value derived from poly- nomial approximation at each yaw position
DPX	Real	First derivative of the function $P_A(\alpha) - P_A(\alpha - \Delta \alpha)$
DX	Real	Given spread in yaw angle between PSAL and PSAR
EAMAX	Real	Maximum voltage from the A probe
EBMAX	Real	Maximum voltage from the B probe

Survey of the second

Ż

.

Variable	Type	Description
EQ3	Real	CPOA - maximum pressure coefficient of A probe (used in on-line calibration)
EQ5	Real	CPOB-maximum pressure coefficient of B probe (used in on-line calibration)
GAMMA	Real	Pressure coefficient
ICR	Integer	Cartridge reference number
IDCB(144)	Integer	Data control block
IDCBS	Integer	Control block length (of IDCB)
IFILE(3)	Integer	Array containing file name
IL	Integer	Total number of words read from data file (two words for one value)
IPRINT	Integer	Decision variable (control parameters yes or no?)
IPRINL	Integer	Decision variable (calibration coef- ficients yes or no?)
ISECU	Integer	Security code
ISIZE(2)	Integer	Array to specify file dimensions
ITYPE	Integer	Type of data file
ICLR(3)	Integer	Command to clear line above cursor
LI	Integer	Input device number
LO	Integer	Output device number
NOLF	Integer	No line feed command
NOCR	Integer	No carriage return command
PA(9)	Real	A probe pressure values from indi- vidual measurements
PAA(9)	Real	A probe pressure values from averaged (dc-level) measurements
PAB(9)	Real	B probe pressure values from averaged (dc-level) measurements

Variable	Type	Description
PAC(9)	Real	Calculated values of A probe
PAMAX	Real	Maximum pressure value of A probe output as function of yaw angle
PB(9)	Real	B probe pressure values from indi- vidual measurements
PBARO	Real	Barometric pressure
PBC	Real	Calculated values of B probe
PBMAX	Real	Maximum pressure value of B probe output as function of yaw angle
PHI	Real	Pitch angle
РКВ	Real	Maximum absolute average value of B probe pressure
PREF	Real	Average value of Kulite reference pressure
PREFP(9)	Real	Array of reference pressures for all 9 independent yaw positions
PSA	Real	Static pressure equivalent of A probe
PSAL	Real	Pressure reading of A probe for a yaw angle 63° to the left of the flow aligned yaw angle
PSAR	Real	Pressure reading of A probe for a yaw angle 63° to the right of the flow aligned yaw angle
PSTAT	Real	Static pressure
PSTATA	Real	Static pressure minus Kulite reference pressure
PTOTAL	Real	Total pressure
POA	Real	Maximum pressure output of A probe (on-line calibration)
POB	Real	Maximum pressure output of B probe (on-line calibration)

.

P.





1

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Variable	Type	Description
Pl	Real	
P23	Real	Pressure values of combination probe
P4	Real	
RADIS	Real	Radius of probe tip location
RDATA(3,256)	Real	Reduced data array
RPM	Real	Compressor speed
SECTA	Real	Intercept A probe
SECTB	Real	Intercept B probe
SLOPEA	Real	Slope A probe
SLOPEB	Real	Slope B probe
TT2	Real	Total temperature at rotor exit
U	Real	Circumferential rotor speed
ХМ	Real	Mach number
XU	Real	Dimensionless circumferential rotor speed
XVEL	Real	Mach number equivalent dimensionless speed
X0	Real	Starting value for the iteration to find PSAL and PSAR
X1(256)	Real	Data array for 2-D approximations
¥(256)	Real	Data array for 2-D approximations
YAW	Real	Yaw angle
YAWA(9)	Real	Array containing A probe yaw angles
YAWB(9)	Real	Array containing B probe yaw angles



Figure J-1. Flow Chart of Data Reduction Program &ABRED.

183



A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER

ł



184



Figure J-1. Flow Chart of Data Reduction Program &ABRED. con't

··---

------

185

· \* 2100

and the second second





· · · · · · · · · · · ·

-46-1

186





in the second



Figure J-1. Flow Chart of Data Reduction Program &ABRED.

con't

188





------

189

SABRED T=00004 IS ON CR00027 USING 00142 BLKS R=0000 FTN4,L PROGRAM ABRED (3,99) 0001 1007 1007 1009 THIS IS PROGRAM ADRED(Uction) FOR THE A-B PROBE SYSTEM. IN THIS VERY VERSION THE PROGRAM IS SET UP FOR THE NEW A-B-PROPES (0.043 0.0., Scheen with Holes on a Circle ). IF ANY DIHER PROBES (KULITE AS WELL AS COMDINATION PROBE) Small be used in correct file names for the coefficient files have to be used in : FILE . CONTAINS APPROX. COEFFICIENTS: DATA ARRAY NAME KULITE PROBES MACHNUMBER Rulite Probes Pitchangle Rulite Probes CP3B Combination Probe Machnumber Combination Probe Pitchangle COEXX COEXP COECP CDEDX COEOP 12345 S COMBINATION PROBE PITCHANGLE COEGP CONNOM / DIA2 / X1 Y REAL DATA(20,256) X1(256) Y(256) COEF(7) REAL COEOX(7,7) / COEOF(7,7) COEK(17,7), COECPB(7,7) YAUB(9) REAL COEOX(7,7) / COEOF(7,7) COEK(17,7), COECPB(7,7) REAL RDATA(3,256) DIMEMSION CPDA(4) / PAE(9) / PAE(9) / PREFP(9) REAL RDATA(3,256) DIMEMSION CPDA(4) / FILE(3) ISIZE(2) DATA CPDA(3) / 0.0256475 DATA CPDA(3) / 0.0256476 DATA CPDA(3) / 0.0256476 DATA CPDA(3) / 0.0256476 DATA CPDA(3) / 0.0256476 DATA CPDA(4) / 0.0055776 DATA CPDA(4) / 0.0055776 DATA CPDA(4) / 0.0055776 DATA CPDA(4) / 0.0055776 JA44 / DATA CPDA(4) / 0.0040488 / 0.00 1075 078

Figure J-2. Listing of Data eduction Program & ABRED. (Continued on next page.)

190

000000 OUTPUT INPUT DATA. Wajte (L1, 320) [file IF (L0, NE, 0) WHITE (L0, 320) IFILE WRITE (L1, 325) (1, 1)=1,7) IF (L0, NE, 0) WHITE (L0, 325) (11, 1)=1,7) DO 020 I1=1,7,1 IF (L0, NE, 0) WHITE (L0, 330) I1,(COEKX(I1, J1), J1=1,7,1) D20 WHITE (L1, 330) I1,(COEKX(I1, J1), J1=1,7,1) HITE (L1, 330) I1,(COEKX(I1, J1), J1=1,7,1) 000000 READ COEFFICIENTFILE FOR THE KULITE PITCHANGLE APPROXIMATION FROM DISC INTO ARRAY COEKP(7,7). 025 IFILE(1) = SHOT IFILE(3) = SHOT IFILE(3) = SHOT CALL OPEN (IDCD,IERR,IFILE,IOPTN,ISECU,ICR,IDCDS) IF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE(LI,1111) JJ,IERR CALL READF (IDCD,IERR.COEKP.95.LEN,1) 155 3158

Figure J-2. Listing on Data Reduction Program & ABRED. (Continued on next page.)

JJ = 5 IF ( IERR (LT. 0) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR CALL CLOSE (IDCB,IERR,0) JJ - TERR LT . 0.) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ, IERR IF (IPRIN1 :NE: 2NVE) GOTO 035 00000 DUTPUT INPUT DATA. . witte (LI, 320) IFILE IF (L0, ME 0 ) WITE (L0, 320) IFILE WRITE (L1; 325) (11 [1=1,7) IF (L0, ME, 0 ) WRITE (L0, 325) (11,11=1,7) D0 030 I1=1,7,1 IF (L0, ME, 0 ) WRITE (L0, 330) I1,(COEKP(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) 030 WRITE (L1, 330) I1,(COEKP(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) 7777779888888 000000 READ COEFFICIENTFILE FOR THE KULITE CPOB APPROXIMATION FROM DISC INTO ARRAY COECP(7,7). IFILE(1) = 2MMI IFILE(2) = 2MCF IFILE(2) = 2MCF GALL OPEN (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, IOPTN, ISECU, ICR, 1 IF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JJ = 8 JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR JJ = 8 JF (IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) WRITE (LI, 111) JJ, IERR .LT. 0) WRITE (LI, 111) 035 2007 2015 2015 2015 2015 (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, IOPTN, ISECU, ICR, IDCDS) IF ( TERR LT 0 ) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ, TERR CALL CLOSE (TOCB, TERR, 0) JJ - JERR LT 0 J WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR IF (IFRIN1 :NE: 24YE) GOTO 045 CCCCC OUTPUT INPUT DATA. . WRITE (LI, 320) IFILE F ( L0 , MG ) WRITE (L0, 320) IFILE WRITE (LI, 325) (11,11=1,7) F ( L0 , MC , 0 ) WRITE (L0, 325) (11,11=1,7) D0 040 I1=1,7,1 F ( L0 , MC , 0 ) WRITE (L0, 330) I1, (COECPB(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) WRITE (LI, 330) I1, (COECPB(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) 145678784545478 845 ..... 000000 READ COFFFICIENTFILE FOR THE COMBINATIONPROBE MACHNUMBER APPROXIPSTION INTO ARRAY COEDX(7,7). 845 OUTPUT INPUT DATA. WRITE (LI, 320) IFILE IF (LO, WE, 0 ) WRITE (LO, 320) IFILE WRITE (LI, 320) (II ) III IF (LC, WE, 0 ) WRITE (LO, 325) (II ) III ) IF (LO, NE, 0 ) WRITE (LO, 330) (...CODOWY?) 10 > WRITE (LO. 330) 11, (COEOX(11,J1),J1=1.7,1)

# Figure J-2. Listing of Data Reduction Program & ABRED. (Continued on next page.)

192

· · · · · · · · ·

540	Č	050	WRIE (L1, 330) 11,(LUEDA(11,)1),1=1,/,1)
242			READ COEFFICIENTFILE FOR THE CONDINATIONPROBE PITCHANGLE APPROXIMATION INTO ARRAY COEOP(7,7).
245	č	055	
249			CALL OPEN (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, IOPTN, ISECU, ICR, IDCBS)
251			JI ( JERR LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI, 1111) JJ, IERR
253			JJ # 14 TC ( TEDE 17 N ) WRITE (11 4144) TI TEDE
255			ČALL ČLOŠE (IDCB,IEKR,O) JJ = 15
-25 <b>7</b>			IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR IF (IPRIN1 .NE. 2HYE) GDTO 065
259			. CUTPUT INPUT DATA.
263	č		WRITE (LI. 320) IFILE
265			IF ( LO .NE. 0 ) WRITE (LO, 320) IFILE WRITE (LI, 325) (11,11=1,7)
267			IF ( LO NE, 0 ) WRITE (LO, 325) (11,11=1,7) DO 060 I1=1,7,1
269		060	IF ( LD _NE. 0 ) WRITE (LD, 330) I1,(COEOP(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1) WRITE (LI, 330) I1,(COEOP(I1,J1),J1=1,7,1)
273		065	WRITE (LI, 335) NOLF READ (LT, 340) TELLE ISECULICE
1575			WRITE (LI, 1149) (ICLR, 11 =1,3) II = 10240
277			151ZE(1) = 60 151ZE(2) = 120
290			CALL OPEN (IDCB, IERR, IFILE, IOPTN, ISECU, ICR, IDCAS) JJ = 13
281			IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR Çall Readf (IDCB,IERR,DATA,IL,LEN,1)
284			JJ = 14 IF ( IERR .LT. 0 ) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR CALL CLOSE (IDCB.IERR.0)
282			JJ = 15 IF (_IERR ,LT. 0_) WRITE (LI,1111) JJ,IERR
289			WRITE (LI,345) NOLF READ_ (L1,350) ISTART,IEND
291	~		IF (LO .NE . O ) WRITE (LO,355) IFILE,ICR
293	č		
295	č		CURPTURITOR LEADE DAIM REPORTION.
297	•		P1 = 0.0 P23 = 0.0
300			P4 = 0.0 PREF = 0.0
301			RPM = 0.0 RADIS = 0.0
303			YAW # 0.0 TT2 # 0.0 D0 #70 t = 1 0 1
336			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
18			P1 = P1 + (DATA(20,J+3) - DATA(20,J+1) + PRARO)%100%1000 P23 = P23 + (DATA(20,I+4) - DATA(20,I+1) + PKARO)%100%1000
10			P4 = P4 + (DATA(20,J+5) - DATA(20,J+1) + PBAR0)#100#1000 PREF = PREF DATA(20,J+14) # 100 # 1000
313			RADIS # RADIS+(DATA(20,1+8)+DATA(20,1+10)+DATA(20,1+12))/3#1000
314		070	TAN = TAN + DATA(20, J+9) # 10000 TT2 = TT2 + (DATA(20, J+15) + DATA(20, J+16)) # 1000
316 317 318			$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Ż

Figure J-2. Listing of Data Reduction Program &ABRED. (Continued on next page.)

193

= PREF/ 9 = RADIS / 9 = 3,51 - RADIS= 7,51 - RADIS= 7,52 - 32 +  $36,6827 \pm T12 - 0.3679 \pm T12 \pm T12$ =  $1,7557 + 36,6827 \pm T12 - 0.3679 \pm T12 \pm T12$ =  $(172 - 32) \pm 5 / 9 + 273,15$ =  $90RT (2008 \pm T12)$ =  $90RT (2008 \pm T12)$ =  $(RPM / 60) \pm RADIS \pm 2 \pm 3.14159 \pm 8.0254$ = 1 - P23 + 71= (P1 - P23 + 71)= (P1 - P23 + 7viž V XU BETA GAMMA DELTA XVEL = 0.0 PHI = 0.0 DD 075 I1 = 1,7,1 DD 075 I2 = 1/7,1 XVEL = XVEL + (COEOX(I1,I2)\*DELTA\*\*(I2-1))\*GAMMA\*\*(I1-1) XVEL = XVEL + (COEOP(I1,I2)\*DELTA\*\*(I2-1))\*GAMMA\*\*(I1-1) YM = SGAT (c2/(1.402-())\*((XVEL\*XVEL)/(1-(XVEL\*XVEL)))) PTOTAL = P TOTAL = P TOTAL \* (1-XVEL\*XVEL)\*\*(1.402/,402) WEITE (LI,360) PTOTAL, PSTAT XVEL, XM PHI YAM IF (LO .HE.0) WRITE (LO,360) PTOTAL, PSTAT, XVEL, XM, PHI, YAM 00000 00000 PERFORM ONLINE CALIBRATION. A-PROBE FIRST. FIND MAXIMUM OUTPUT OF A-PROBE. . **b0 880 I = 1,9,1** = (1-1) \* 20 X1(I) = DATA(20,J+11) \* 10000 \* 3.14159 / 180 Y(I) = DATA(20,J+17) CALL MAT2 (9,5,COEF,-4) 080 00000 INITIAL ESTIMATE FOR THE APPROXIMATION IS : YAW = 22 (deg) X0 = 0.4 085 DEAMAX = FND (4,CDEF,XD) IF(ABS(DEAMAX) .LT. 0.0001 ) COTO 090 X0 = X0 - DEAMAX / (2\*CDEF(3)\*6\*CDEF(4)\*X0+12\*CDEF(5)\*X0\*X0) OFD 085 090 EAMAX = FNP(4,CDEF,XD) SLOPEA = (DATA(1,160) + DATA(1,180))/(-2.0)\*100\*1000 PHI = PHI # 3.14159 / 180. 17345575775 1755778 00000 CALCULATE CPOA(EQ3) FROM COMBINATION PROBE RESULTS (PITCH) EQ3 = FNP (4,(P0A,PHI) PAMAX = EQ3 # (FTOTAL-PSTAT) + PSTAT SECTA = PAMAX - PREF - SLOPEA # EAMAX PUA = SECTA + SLOPEA # EAMAX + PREF IF (IPRINT .NE. 2HYE) COTO 095 WHITE (LI,365) SECTA,SLOPEA IF (LO .NE. 0) WRITE (LO,365) SECTA,SLOPEA 095 CONTINUE 38789012345678 3999339999999999999999 000000 D-PROBE CALIBRATION FIND MAXIMUM OUTPUT FIRST. . D0 100 I = 1.8,1 J = (I-1) # 20 X1(I) = DATA(20,J+13) # 10000 # 3.14159 / 180 Y (I) = DATA(20,J+18) CALL MAT2 (8,5,COEF,-4) 100 С

Figure J-2. Listing of Data Reduction Program &ABRED. (Continued on next page.)

. . .

0397 0408 0401 0402			INITIAL ESTIMATE FOR THE APPROXIMATION IS : YAW = 0 (deg)
0403 0404 0405 0405	-	105	X0 DEDNA = FND (4,COEF,X0) IF (ABS(DEBHA) .LT. 0.00001 ) GOTO 110 X0 = X0 = DEDNA / (2#COEF(3)+6#COEF(4)#X0+12#COEF(5)#X0#X0)
0409 0409 0419	ſ	110	CDTO 195 ERMAX = FNP (4,COEF,X0) PSTATA = PSTAT - PREF EQS = 0.0
0412 0413 0414 0415	CCCC CCCC		CALCULATE CPOB FROM COMBINATION PROBE RESULTS.
0416 0417 0418 0419 0419		115	DO 115 II = 1,5,1 DO 115 II = 1,5,1 EQ5 = EQ5 + (COECP&(I1,J1)#KVEL##(J1-1))#PHI##(I1-1) HFITE (LI,J30) EQ3.EQ5 IF (LO .NE. 8) WRITE (LD 370) EQ3.EQ5 DIB
0422 0423 0424 0425 0426			PKB = P0B + PREF SLOPEB = (DATA(1,170) + DATA(1,170) ) / (-2.0) # 100#1000 SECTB = PKB - PREF - SLOPEB # EBMAX IF ( IPRINT .NE. 24YE ) GDTO 120 WRITE (LI.375) SECTB.SLOPEB
0427 0428 0429	-	120	IF (LO INÊ. 0 ) WRÎTÊ (LO,375) SECTB,SLOPEB Continuê
0431 0432 0433 0434 0435	0000000		START DATA REDUCTION. FIRST CALCULATE THE AVERAGE FLOW PARAMETERS USING THE OVERAL VALUES FROM THE A AND & PROBE AND THE JUST ESTABLISHED CALIBRIION.
0437 0438 0439 0440 0441	č		$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
0442 0443 0444 0445 0446 0447		125	PAG(1) = SECTA + SLOPEA # PAG(1) + DATA(20,J+14)*100*1000 PAB(1) = SECTA + SLOPEA # PAG(1) + DATA(20,J+14)*100*1000 YAMB(1) = DATA(20,J+11) # 10000 IF ( IPRINT .NE. 2HYE ) GOTO 135 WRITE (L1,300)
0448 0449 0450 1451 0452		130	IF (LO .NE. 0) WRITE (LO,380) DO 130 I = 1,9,1 WRITE(LI,385) ( PAA(I),PAR(I),YAWA(I),YAWR(I) IF(LD .NE. 0) WRITE(LO,385) I,PAA(I),PAB(I),YAWA(I),YAWB(I) CONTINUE
1453 0454 1455 0456	CCCC		APPROXIMATE A-PROBE PRESSURES.
1457 0458 1459 9460 9461	c	140	00 140 1 = 1,9,1 X1(1) = YAWA(1) Y (1) = PAA (1) CALL MAT2 (9,5,COEF,-4)
0463 0464 0465			FIND MAX. OUTPUT OF A PROBE.
1467 1468 1468 1470 1471	C	145	DX = 126.0 XG = -40.0 PP = (FNP(4,COEF,X0) - FNP(4,COEF,(X0+DX))) IF (ABS(DP) LT. 0.0001 ) GOTO 150 DPX = -2.0sCOEF(3)BDX-6.0SCOEF(4)%0%DX-3.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX- L2.0SCOEF(3)BDX-6.0SCOEF(4)%0%DX-3.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX- L2.0SCOEF(3)PDX-6.0SCOEF(5)%0%DX%DX-4.0%COEF(5)%DX%A L2.0SCOEF(3)PDX-6.0SCOEF(5)%0%DX%DX-4.0%COEF(5)%DX%A L2.0SCOEF(3)PDX-6.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-4.0%COEF(5)%DX%A L2.0SCOEF(3)PDX-6.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-3.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-4.0%COEF(5)%DX%A L2.0SCOEF(3)PDX-6.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-3.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-4.0%COEF(5)%DX%A L2.0SCOEF(3)PDX-6.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-3.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-4.0%COEF(5)%DX%A L2.0SCOEF(3)PDX-6.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-3.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-4.0%COEF(5)%DX%A L2.0SCOEF(3)PDX-6.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-3.0SCOEF(4)%DX%DX-4.0%COEF(5)%DX/DX-4.0%CCAEF(5)%DX%DX-4.0%CAEF(5)%DX%DX-4.0%C
0474 0475 0475 0477 0477		150	GOTO 145 - X0 - VF / VFA ASL - X0 + ASR - X0 + DX AA0 - X0 + DX / 2.0 PAMAX - FNP(4,COEF,AAU)

Figure J-2. Listing of Data Reduction Program &ABRED. (Continued on next page.)

195

1 . . . e

= FNP(4, CDEF, ASL) = FNP(4, CDEF, ASR) = (PEAL + PSAR) / 2.0 PSAL DETA = {PANAX - PSA } / PANAX DETA = {PANAX - PSA } / PANAX IF ( IPRINT , ME, 2NYE ) GOTO 155 WRITE ( 1,398) ABL 1440, ABR PSAL PANAX PSAR, CPANAX WRITE ( 1,398) ABL 1440, ABR PSAL PANAX PSAR, CPANAX 155 CONTINUE ..... 00000 APPROXIMATE PROBE PRESSURES. 0 166 I - 1,9,1 1 {I} - 1,96 {I} 1 {I} - PAB {I} CALL NAT2 (9,5,COEF,-4) 160 00000 FIND MAXIMUM OUTPUT OF B-PROBE. X0 HD HMAINER GUIPUT OF DFROM. X0 = FND(4,COEF,X0) IF ( ABS(DPX) LT 0.00001 ) GOTO 170 X0 = X0 - DPX /(2ECOEF(3) + &ECOEF(4)\*X0 + 12\*COEF(5)\*X0\*X0) 170 ABS = X0 PBMAX = FNP(4,COEF,AB0) IF (1PRINT, ME. 2HYE ) GOTO 175 WRITE (LL1395) AB0 PBMAX 175 CONTINUE ( PPMAX - PSA )/ (PTOTAL - PSA ) GAMMA = (CPAMAX - PSA )/ (PTOTAL - PSA ) GAMMA = (CPAMAX - PSA )/ (PTOTAL - PSA ) GAMMA = (CPAMAX - CPBMAX )/ CPAMAX VEL = 0.0 PO 180 12 = 1.7.1 180 FMI = FMI + (COEKX(11,12) \*GAMMATE(12-1))\* PETASE(11-1) FMI = FMI + (COEKP(11,12) \*GAMMATE(12-1))\* BETASE(11-1) FMI = PMI \* 100 / 3.14159 X02 = ATAN((XU-XVEL)COE(PHIS3.14159/180) \* COS(AB0T3,14159/180)) \* (XAX) # 100 / 3.14159 WRITE (LI 400) BETA,GAMMA,XVEL PHI,AB0,XU,XAX,BETA2 IF(L (LI 400) BETA,GAMMA,XVEL PHI,AB0,XU,XAX,BETA2 IF(LO (NE' 0) WRITE (LO,00) BETA,GAMA,XVEL,PHI,AB0,XU,XAX,BETA2 IF(L (LI 400) BETA,GAMA,XVEL PHI,AB0,XU,XAX,BETA2 IF(L (LI 400) BETA,GAMA,XVEL PHI AB0,XU,XAX,BETA2 IF(L (LI 400) BETA,GAMA,XVEL PHI AB0,XU,XX,BETA2 IF(L (LI 400) BETA,GAMA,XVEL PHI AB0,XU,XX, START OF DATA REDUCTION FOR INDIVIDUAL POSITIONS. values which are valid for all positions. Calculation WRITE (LI,405) IF ( LO .NE: 0 ) WRITE (LO,405) DO 105 I = 1,9,1 = (1-1) # 20 YAMA(I) = DATA(20,J+11) # 10000 YAMB(I) = DATA(20,J+13) # 10000 PREFP(I) = DATA(20,J+14) # 100#1000 185 00000 START OF DO LOOP 1 DO 270 I = ISTART, IEND, 1 DO 190 J = 1, 9, 1 J1 = J \* 2 J2 = J \* 2 + 1 PA(J) = DATA(J1, 1) \* 0.01 PR(J) = DATA(J2, 1) \* 0.01 PA(J) = SECTA + SLOPEA \* PA(J) + PREFP(J)

•

Figure J-2. Listing of Data Reduction Program & ABRED. (Continued on next page.)

196

SLOPEB & PB(J) + PREFP(J) SECTA CCCCC 11 - 1 - 1 - YANA(11) - YANA(11) - FAR (11) - FAR (11) - FAR (11) - FAR (1) 175 (4,COEF,YANA(11)) 288 FIND MAX. OUTPUT OF A PROBE. # 124.0 = -40.0 = (FAP(4,CDEF,X0) - FMP(4,CDEF,(X0+DX))) (ABS(DP) (JT 0.001) COTO 210 = -2.0xCDEF(3) xDX+6.0xCDEF(4) xX0xDX-3.0xCDEF(4) xDXxDX-12.0xCDEF(5) xX0xDXxDX-12xCOEF(5) xX0xX0xDX-4.0xCDEF(5) xDXxxx3 = X0 - DP / DPX = X0 - DP / DPX = X0 - DX / 2.0 AX= FMP(4,CDEF,ASU) = FMP(4 205 TPX \* GOTO ASL ASL ASL AAB PAMA PSAL PSA PSA 210 DETA = (PAMAX -CPAMAX= (PAMAX IF (IPRINT NE, URITE (LI,390) CONTINUE PSA ) - PSA 2HYE ) / PANAX A) / ( PTOTAL - PSA ) E ) GOTO 215 ABU ASR PSAL PANAX PSA ; \_ Pamax , PSAR , CPAMAX ASL , AA0 , ASR , PSAL , PAMAX , PSAR , CPAMAX 215 CCCCC APPROXIMATE & PROBE PRESSURES. DO 220 II - IAUB(II) 220 II - YAUB(II) CALL MAT2 (9,5,COEF -4) IF TERINT ME. 2HYE ) GOTO 225 WRITE (LI 410) 225 CONTINUE 225 CONTINUE 00000 CALCULATE AND PRINT QUALITY OF APPROXIMATIONS. b0 230 11 = 1.0.1 PRC = FMP (4,COEF.YAMB(11)) PRC = FA(I1) - PRC(I1) PPB = FR(I1) - PRC(I1) PPB = FR(I1) - PRC IF (IPRINT NE. 2HYE ) GOTO 230 WRITE (LI,415) I1,YAWA(I1),PAC(I1),PAC(I1),PR(I1),PRC(I1),PRC, IF (LO .NE. 0) WRITE (LO.415) I1,YAWA(I1),PAC(I1),PAC(I1),DPA, IF (LO .NE. 0) WRITE (LO .N 230<sup>°</sup> NE, 0) WRITE (10,415) I1,YAWA(I1),PA(I1),PAC(I1),DPA, ),PB(I1),PBC,DPB ÎTÎNÛÊ FIND MAXIMUM OUTPUT OF B-PROBE. X0 = 10.00 235 DPX = FND(4,COEF X0) X0 = X0 - DPX /(2\*COEF(3) + 6\*COEF(4)\*X0 + 12\*COEF(5)\*X0\*X0) 240 AB0 = X0 PRAX = FNP(4,COEF,AB0) IF (IPRINT .NE. 2HYE ) GOTO 245

Figure J-2. Listing of Data Reduction Program & ABRED. (Continued on next page.)

197

1 . . . 1

CCCCC PRINT FLOW VECTOR QUANTITIES FOR INDIVIDUAL POSITIONS. WRITE (LI 435) I BETA CAMMA XVEL PHI, ABO BETA2 IF (LD .NE. B) WRITE (LO,435) I,BETA,GAMMA,XVEL,PHI,ABO,BETA2 RDATA(1,I) = XVEL RDATA(2,I) = PHI RDATA(3,I) = ABO 270 CONTINUE 00000 STORE REDUCED DATA IN A DATA FILE. isize i STORE REDUCED DATA IN A DATA FILE. 81 91 83

Figure J-2. Listing of Data Reduction Program &ABRED.

198

#### APPENDIX K

## PLOTT PROGRAMS FOR REDUCED DATA

The plot programs &PLOTX, &PLOTY and &PLOTP can be used to produce plots of the results obtained from the A and B probe. They are all almost identical except for which quantities of the flow vector they plot and the corresponding limits of the plots. Only one program description and one flow chart are given and the differences between the three programs are pointed out where they appear.

First, the program asks the user to key in the name of the data file containing the reduced data along with its cartridge reference number. This file is then read into an array DATA(3,256). The user has to decide whether he would like a plot on the X-Y plotter or just on the screen. Plots on the screen are much faster than those from the X-Y plotter and it is often only necessary to get a fast idea of the general value of the reduced data. However, X-Y plots are rather useful for documentation purposes. Once this decision is made either way, the use of the plot has to be specified. This must be done at any time the program is used. The physical dimensions of the plot have to be matched to the particular needs of the user.

Plot sizes have to be given in inches times ten. One inch is set equal to 25 millimeters (instead of 25.4 mm) by
the HP plotter software. The values of XMIN and YMIN (lower left corner of plot) should not be smaller than 5.0 (0.5 inches or 12.5 mm), in order to leave sufficient space for the line titles. It is advisable to scale the lengths of the axes in a way that even measures in inches correspond to even numbers of the quantities plotted. For example, 10 degrees in yaw angle equivalent to 1 inch. Following are the limits of the quantities to be plotted:

For all programs: $0 \leq \text{circumferential position} \leq 256$ Program PCOTX: $0.12 \leq X$  (Mach number equivalent)  $\leq 0.20$ Program PCOTP: $-4^{\circ} \leq \text{pitch angle} \leq 16^{\circ}$ Program PCOTY: $10^{\circ} \leq \text{yaw angle} \leq 50^{\circ}$ 

Before the programs are used, the operator should check to see if his data falls within these limits. If it exceeds any limits, adjustments have to be made in the corresponding program line #67.

In order to compare different sets of data it is often helpful to plot the data from two or more files on one plot. To avoid having the same grid plotted any time one set of data is plotted, the user has to specify whether he wants to have a full grid (frame) plotted or not. He is also given a choice of 7 different line styles in order to distinguish similar but different data. For details of the line styles and plot software details see Ref. 11.

Once all this input is given the desired program will produce a plot as specified. In case of the X-Y plotter the number one pen is selected automatically by the program.

Thus the user should make sure that a good pen of the right color is inserted in that slot. When the complete graph is drawn, the program will stop.

Figure K-l gives a flow chart of the program while Fig. K-2 is a program listing.

Esternals: CLOSE, DRAW, FXD, MOVE, OPEN, PLOTR, READF, SETAR, VIEWP, WINDW

Ż

Variable	Type	Description
DATA(3,256)	Real	Reduced data array
IBUM	Integer	Dummy variable
ICR	Integer	Cartridge reference number
ID	Integer	
IDCB(144)	Integer	Data control block
IDCBS	Integer	Control block length (of IDCB)
IDUM	Integer	Dummy variable
IFILE(3)	Integer	Array containing file name
IGCB(192)	Integer	Graphic data control block
IL	Integer	Total number of words to be stored in raw data file (two words for one data value)
ILINE	Integer	Line style determinator
ISECU	Integer	Security code
ISIZE(2)	Integer	Array to specify file dimensions (lst word for number of records, 2nd for record length)
Itype	Integer	Type of data file
LU	Integer	Output device number (screen/plotter)
X(256)	Real	Data array for X values

Variable	Type	Description		
XMAX	Real	Maximum value of physical plot size (right side)		
XMIN	Real	Minimum value of physical plot size (left side)		
Y(256)	Real	Data array for Y values		
YMAX	Real	Maximum value of physical plot size (upper limit)		
YMIN	Real	Minimum value of physical plot size (lower limit)		



Figure K-1. Flow Chart of Plot Programs &PLOTX, &PLOTY or &PLOTP.

**, T** 

· 🕈

PLOTX T=08084 IS ON CR86026 USING 88614 BLKS R=8000 FTN4,L PROGRAM PLOTX (3,99) THIS IS PROGRAM PLOTX(vel) IT PLOTTS X (MACHNUMBER) DISTRIBUTIONS AS ESTABLISHED WITH Program Abred. X is equivalent to machnumber and given as a function of Circumferrential Position. CIACUMPEARENTIA. 'POSITICA.''LO MIL OLIVE HE HE FUNCTION OF ACTUMA PLOTTSIZE IS USER IMPUT. Dirension (CCS)'202 HATCER DOCOLLAG: //202 DATA (CCS)'202 DAT ACTUAL PLOTTSIZE IS USER INPUT. 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 149 111

Figure K-2. Listing of Reduced Data Plott Program &PLOTX.

204

· · · · · · ·

## LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. F. Neuhoff, "Calibration and Application of a Combination Temperature-Pneumatic Probe for Velocity and Rotor Loss Distribution Measurements in a Compressor," Contractor's Report No. NPS67-81-03CR, December 1981.
- R. P. Shreeve, J. M. Simmons, J. C. West, Jr., and K. A. Winters, "Determination of Transonic Compressor Flow Field by Synchronised Sampling of Stationary Fast Response Transducers," (Winter Annual Meeting of the Society of of Mechanical Engineers, San Francisco, CA, December 1978).
- 3. R. P. Shreeve, A. G. McGuire and J. A. Hammer, "Calibration of a Two Probe Synchronised Sampling Technique for Measuring Flows Behind Rotors," ICIASF'79 Record, IEEE 79CH1500-8AES.
- 4. McCarville, P. A., "Hardware and Software Improvement to a Paced Data Acquisition System for Turbomachines," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, June 1981.
- 5. Frank S. Cina, "Subsonic Cascade Wind Tunnel Tests Using a Compressor Configuration of DCA Blades," Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, June 1981.
- H. Zebner, "Procedure and Computer Program for the Approximation of Data (with Application to Multiple Sensor Probes," Contractor's Report No. NPS67-80-001CR, August 1980.
- 7. H. Weyer, "Bestimmung der zeitlichen Druckmittelwerte in stark fluktuierender Stromung, insbesondere in Turbomaschinen," [The Determination of Time-Weighted Average Pressures in Strongly Fluctuating Flows, Especially in Turbomachines], Deutsche Forschungs- und Versuchsanstalt fur Luft- und Raumfahrt, Forschungsbericht 74-34, (1974).
- L. N. Krause, T. J. Dudzinski and R. C. Johnson, "Total Pressure Averaging in Pulsating Flows, Instrumentation for Airbreathing Propulsion," edited by A. E. Fuhs and M. Kingery for AIAA series, <u>Progress in Astronautics and Astronautics</u>, Volume 34, M.I.T. Press, (1974).
- 9. S. H. Chue, "Pressure Probes for Fluid Measurement," Prog. Aerospace Sci., 1975, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 147-223, Pergamon Press. Printed in Great Britain.
- D. W. Byer and R. C. Pankhurst, "Pressure-probe methods for Determining Wind Speed and Flow Direction," National Physical Laboratory.
- 11. HP 92840A Graphics Plotting Software, Manual Part No. 92840-90001, Printed in U.S.A. April 1980.

## DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. of Copies
1.	Library Code 0212 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	4
2.	Office of Research Administration Code 012A Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	1
3.	Chairman Code 67 Department of Aeronautics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	1
4.	Director, Turbopropulsion Laboratory Department of Aeronautics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	15
5.	Mr. George Derderian Naval Air Systems Command Code Air-310E Navy Department Washington, DC 20360	1
6.	Commanding Officer Naval Air Propulsion Test Center Attn: Mr. Vernon Lubosky Trenton, New Jersey 08628	1
7.	National Aeronautics & Space Administration Lewis Research Center (Library) 2100 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135	on 1
8.	Library General Electric Company Aircraft Engine Technology Division DTO Mail Drop H43 Cincinnati, Ohio 45215	1

206

1 . . . ,**i\*** 

9. Library Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Post Office Box 2691 West Palm Beach, Florida 33402

1

1

1

- 10. Library Pratt and Whitney Aircraft East Hartford, Connecticut 06108
- 11. Library Air Research Mfg. Corporation Division of Garrett Corporation 402 South 36th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85034

