


g

ţ

.

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BOBJAC & CANTAGE THE A



### DISTRIBUTION FOR

### AFS 462X0 TRAINING REPORT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

	TNG <u>RPT</u>	JOB INV	ANL EXT	TNG EXT
AFHRL/MODS	2	6	1m	1m
AFHRL/TU	1	1	1m	1m/1h
AFLMC/XR	1			1
AFMEA/MEMD	1	1	1h	1
AFMPC/MPCRPQ	2			
ARMY OCCUPATIONAL SURVEY BRANCH	1	1		
CCAF/AYX	1	1		
DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER	1	1		-
HQ AAC/DPAT	3	3		3
HQ AFISC/DAP	1	1		•
HQ AFSC/MPAT	3	3		3
HQ AMD/EH	1	1		1
HQ ATC/TTQJ	1	•		1
HQ PACAF/DPAL	1	1		1
HQ PACAF/DPAT	3	3		3 3
HQ SAC/DPAT	3	3		5 1
HQ SAC/LGMQ (ATCLO)	1 3	1 3		3
HQ TAC/DPAT	1	5 1		1
HQ TAC/DPLATC	1	1		1
HQ USAF/LEYW	1	1		1
HQ USAF/MPPT HQ USAFE/DPAT	3	3		3
HQ USAFE/DFAT	1	1		1
HQ USMC (CODE TPI)	1	1		-
LMDC/AN	1	1		
NODAC	1	1		
3700 TCHTW/TTGX (SHEPPARD AFB TX)	3	1		2
355 TTW/MAT	2	2		2
3507 ACS/DPUI	1	1		-
3785 FLDTG/TTFO	2	2		2

m = microfiche only h = hard copy only

1

8

í

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

	PAGE NUMBER
PREFACE	iii
SUMMARY OF RESULTS	iv
INTRODUCTION	1
Background	1
Objectives	2
SURVEY METHODOLOGY	3
Inventory Development and Administration	3
Task Factor Administration	4
TRAINING ANALYSIS	6
Analysis of First-Enlistment Personnel	6
Analysis of Channelized Training	6
TASK FACTOR APPLICATION	13
Training Emphasis (TE)	13
Task Difficulty	13
ANALYSIS OF TRAINING DOCUMENTS	19
B-52D Training Documents	19
A-7 TRAINING DOCUMENTS	27
A-10 TRAINING DOCUMENTS	32
F-4 TRAINING DOCUMENTS	38
F-15 TRAINING DOCUMENTS	43
F-16 TRAINING DOCUMENTS	48
F-106 TRAINING DOCUMENTS	54
F-111 TRAINING DOCUMENTS	61
FB-111A TRAINING DOCUMENTS	67
B-52G/H TRAINING DOCUMENTS	73
Z-SHRED TRAINING DOCUMENTS	78
DISCUSSION	84

### PREFACE

This report presents the results of a detailed Air Force Occupational Survey involving the training requirements for first-term airmen in the Aircraft Armament Systems specialty (AFS 462X0). The survey was requested at a Utilization and Training Workshop held at Lowry AFB in September 1979. Authority for conducting specialty surveys is contained in AFR 35-2, paragraph 2-1. Computer outputs from which this report was produced are available for use by training and management officials.

The survey instrument used in this project was developed by Captain Gary K. Patterson, Inventory Development Specialist. Captain Frederick W. Gibson and Chief Master Sergeant Theodore R. Wilcox analyzed the survey data, and Captain Frederick W. Gibson wrote the basic Occupational Survey Report dated November 1981. The computer programmer for this study was Ms Olga Velez. Lieutenant John G. Tierney analyzed the training data and wrote this Training Report, which has been reviewed and approved by Mr. Paul N. DiTullio, Chief, Management Applications Section, Occupational Analysis Branch, USAF Occupational Measurement Center, Randolph AFB, Texas 78150.

Copies of this report are available to Air Staff sections, major commands and other interested training and management personnel upon request to the USAF Occupational Measurement Center, attention to the Chief, Occupational Analysis Branch (OMY), Randolph AFB, Texas 78150.

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

PAUL T. RINGENBACH, Colonel, USAF Commander USAF Occupational Measurement Center WALTER E. DRISKILL, Ph.D. Chief, Occupational Analysis Branch USAF Occupational Measurement Center

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

1. <u>REPORT OBJECTIVE</u>: The purpose of this report is to provide occupational survey data for use in validating or modifying current Aircraft Armament Systems training documents and programs involving first-enlistment 462X0 personnel.

2. <u>SURVEY COVERAGE</u>: Job inventory booklets were administered to Aircraft Armament Systems personnel worldwide. Results are based on the responses from 4,753 incumbents (42 percent of assigned personnel). A majority (92 percent) of the incumbents surveyed were assigned to TAC, USAFE, SAC, or PACAF. General 462X0 training emphasis ratings were collected from 77 senior 462X0 technicians to help identify training requirements.

3. <u>TRAINING ANALYSIS</u>: Survey data highlighted some basic functions of apprentice 462X0 personnel appropriate for resident course training. In addition, while the trend in the data indicated that the channelized training system works, there were considerable deviations.

4. <u>STS 462X0</u>: Although the 462X0 specialty training standard provides good coverage of most functions, training managers should consider a thorough review of aircraft-specific data to ensure the most appropriate training methods are used when preparing individuals for their jobs.

5. <u>POI 3AQR462X0</u>: Course managers should review survey data matched to the 462X0 Plans of Instruction, because the data indicate some tasks currently taught at the technical training school may be trained more effectively through FTD or OJT programs. Also, some job inventory tasks not matched to the POIs should be evaluated for possible inclusion in the POIs, because training emphasis ratings, task difficulty ratings, and percent members performing data, indicate the tasks may be valid candidates for resident training.

6. <u>DISCUSSION</u>: The survey data in this report and the attached 462X0 Training Extracts provide information for general and shredout-specific aircraft armament job functions and have broad implications for resident, follow-on FTD, and OJT training programs. Because of the complex structure of the 462X0 specialty, survey data should be useful in assessing and coordinating training requirements to develop a fully integrated 462X0 training program.

.... Pistellout of / Avail Stifty Ches Jacob and r Dist Se o Link

### 462X0 TRAINING REPORT AIRCRAFT ARMAMENT SYSTEMS SPECIALTY

### INTRODUCTION

This is a report of a training analysis of the Aircraft Armament Systems (AFS 462X0) specialty, completed by the Occupational Analysis Branch, USAF Occupational Measurement Center, in February 1983. The analysis was initiated as a result of a Utilization and Training (U&T) Workshop in September 1979. Since the previous Occupational Survey Report (OSR) was written in 1976, prior to the U&T Workshop in 1979, the utilization and training of first-enlistment 462X0 personnel had changed due to the introduction of new weapons systems and the advent of technical school training channelized by aircraft. Therefore, this analysis provides data to help assess current Aircraft Armament Systems training documents and programs involving first-enlistment 462X0 personnel, based on data collected in late 1980. In addition, the feasibility of the shredout system and the adequacy of channelized training are examined.

### Background

As outlined in the current AFR 39-1 Specialty Description, Aircraft Armament Systems personnel are responsible for loading nuclear and nonnuclear munitions, explosives, and propellant devices on aircraft. These incumbents may also maintain, install, modify, inspect, and repair aircraft bomb, rocket, and missile release, launch, suspension and monitor systems, gun and gun mounts, and related air munitions handling and loading equipment.

Historically, the 462X0 career ladder was created in 1951 as the Weapons Mechanic Speciality, consisting of DAFSCs 46230, 46250, 46270, and 46280 (at the 9-skill level). In 1957, 3- and 5-skill level personnel were subdivided into four shredouts. Each shred specialized in certain types of aircraft as follows:

A - Bomber

- B Fighter-Bomber
- C Fighter Interceptor
- D Small Arms

1

These shreds were dropped in 1959, and in 1960 the 9-skill level designation was changed from 46280 to 46290. No major changes occurred in the career field until 1978, when the designation for DAFSC 462X0 personnel changed to Aircraft Armament Systems, which remains today. Also in 1978, 10 shreds were developed for 3-skill level personnel; and these shreds were altered in 1980 to the following arrangement:

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

A - B-52D B - A-7 C - A-10 D - F-4 E - F-15 F - F-16 G - F-106 H - F-111 J - FB-111 K - B-52G/H Z - Other aircraft

١

2

Personnel desiring to enter the 462X0 specialty are oriented to technical publications, maintenance management, hand tools, safety, security, principles of electricity, and electrical troubleshooting, and then are taught specific information about the weapon system to which they will be initially assigned. Beyond the orientation phase, instruction is channelized and courses vary in academic length as follows:

A - (B-52D): 40 days B - (A-7): 39 days C - (A-10): 23 days D - (F-4): 44 days E - (F-15): 38 days F - (F-16): 25 days G - (F-106): 43 days H - (F-111): 32 days J - (FB-111): 51 days K - (B-52G/H): 38 days Z - (Other aircraft): 26 days

### Objectives

;

This report provides task data which training managers can use in conjunction with career ladder documents to assess the effectiveness of Aircraft Armament Systems training. Topics discussed in this report include: (1) survey development and administration; (2) representative tasks performed by first-enlistment 46230 personnel; and (3) assessment of the 462X0 STSs and POIs.

### SURVEY METHODOLOGY

### Inventory Development and Administration

The data collection instrument for this occupational survey was USAF Job Inventory AFPT 90-462-418. The inventory booklet was composed of two parts: a background information section in which job incumbents provided information about themselves and a duty-task list section which assessed the relative amount of time spent on tasks performed in their current jobs. The latter section consisted of 674 tasks grouped under 20 duty headings. Thorough research of publications and directives, and personal interviews with 48 subject-matter specialists at 14 bases, contributed to the development of the survey instrument.

Consolidated base personnel offices at operational units worldwide were sent inventory booklets for administration to 5,863 job incumbents holding the 462X0 DAFSC. Survey administration took place from July 1980 through December 1980. Completed job inventories were returned by 4,753 incumbents, which represents 42 percent of the personnel in the field at the time of administration. Special care was taken to ensure accurate representation in the survey sample by skill level, geographical area, and major command (MAJCOM).

After supplying identification and biographical information, incumbents checked and rated the tasks performed in their current job. Tasks were rated on a 9-point scale, showing relative time spent on each task compared to all other tasks performed in the current job. Verbal anchors were provided for each point on the scale. The scale used was as follows:

### RATING TIME SPENT

Very small amount 1 Much below average 2 3 Below average 4 Slightly below average 5 About average 6 Slightly above average 7 Above average 8 Much above average g Very large amount

Respondents were instructed not to rate tasks they did not perform in their current job. This information was used to compare personnel based on the types of tasks they performed and the relative amount of time they spent performing those tasks. The job inventory data provided the basis for analyzing the job structure of the 462X0 specialty and making comparisons between DAFSC groups, CONUS-Overseas groups, and job satisfaction indicators. A summary of the analyses of the data was presented in the Occupational Survey Report (OSR) for the Aircraft Armament Systems Specialty, AFPT 90-462-418, dated November 1981. In addition to using job inventory

data for the OSR, percent members performing data for first-enlistment 46230 shredout groups are also presented in this report, along with task factor data explained below.

### Task Factor Administration

To enhance the training managers ability to make decisions, task difficulty and training emphasis booklets were administered to selected groups of senior DAFSC 462X0 personnel. Although the task listings in the job inventory and task factor booklets were identical, task difficulty and training emphasis booklets were processed separately because of the different types of information gathered. A brief explanation of these rating factors and their application follows.

 $\frac{\text{Task Difficulty (TD)}}{\text{50 experienced Aircraft Armament Systems Technicians during the same period job inventory booklets were administered. Each NCO who completed a task difficulty booklet was asked to rate all tasks with which he or she was familiar on the nine-point scale shown here.}$ 

### RATING TASK DIFFICULTY

1	Extremely Low
2	Very Low
3	Low
4	Below Average
5	Average
6	Above Average
7	High
8	Very High
9	Extremely High

Difficulty was defined as the length of time required for an average career field member to learn to perform that task. Agreement among the 50 DAFSC 462X0 raters as to the difficulty of tasks was high. These ratings were adjusted so tasks of average difficulty have a value of 5.00. The resulting data are an ordering of tasks indicating a degree of difficulty for each task in the inventory. In general, tasks with ratings above 6.00 are difficult to learn to perform and tasks with ratings below 4.00 are easy to learn to perform. Tasks with ratings between 4.00 and 6.00 are in the average difficulty range.

Training Emphasis. NCOs who completed training emphasis booklets were asked to rate all inventory tasks on a 10-point scale as follows:

### RATING RECOMMENDED TRAINING EMPHASIS

0 No Training Required 1 Extremely Low 2 Very Low 3 Low 4 **Below Average** 5 Average 6 Above Average 7 High 8 Very High 9 Extremely High

Training emphasis is a rating of tasks indicating where emphasis should be placed in structured training for first-term personnel. Structured training is defined as resident training schools, Field Training Detachments (FTD), Mobile Training Teams (MTT), formal OJT, or any other organized training method. Training emphasis data were independently solicited from experienced 7- or 9-skill level personnel stationed worldwide. Interrater agreement among these raters was very high.

Task difficulty and training emphasis ratings provide objective data which should be used, along with percent members performing information, when making training decisions. Percent members performing data provide information on who is performing each task. Task difficulty ratings give information as to which tasks may require more time to train, and training emphasis indicates what tasks should be considered for structured training. Using these factors in conjunction with appropriate training documents and directives, managers can tailor training programs to reflect the needs of the user by more effectively determining when, where, and how to train firstenlistment 462X0 airmen.

### TRAINING ANALYSIS

A primary concern for managers of any specialty involves developing the most efficient and effective training programs by which career ladder incumbents learn to perform their jobs. Information provided in this report which can be used to assess training requirements includes: (1) percent of 462X0 first-enlistment respondents performing tasks; (2) training emphasis data; and (3) task difficulty ratings. Although this information is useful in evaluating training needs for personnel in various 462X0 skill level and experience (TAFMS) groups, this report emphasizes data on first-term Aircraft Armament Systems Specialists.

### Analysis of First-Enlistment Personnel

An analysis of jobs and tasks which first-enlistment (1-48 months TAFMS) survey respondents performed was made to determine the basic functions of apprentice 462X0 personnel. Various types of background information for first-enlistment personnel were examined and are presented in Table 1. These survey respondents performed an average of 61 tasks; 55 percent were assigned to TAC, 41 percent worked on day shifts, and 38 percent worked swing or mid-shifts. As shown in Table 2, analysis of test equipment usage indicates first-enlistment respondents were likely to use torque wrenches, multimeters (AN/PSM-6 or AN/PSM-37), go/no-go gauges, and missile launcher test sets (ASM-11).

Responses from first-enlistment personnel were also examined to determine common tasks performed and various background information. Table 3 lists those tasks performed by the greatest percentages of DAFSC 462X0 first-enlistment incumbents. Generally, the most commonly performed tasks involved some of the simpler maintenance and technical functions (such as, remove and install pylons or adapters, arm or disarm aircraft armament systems, perform operational checks of jettison and emergency release systems, and remove or install bomb or ejector racks), as well as the general duty tasks (such as, perform foreign object damage (FOD) prevention walks, clean facilities, and operate light-alls).

Figure 1 displays the distribution of first-term respondents across functional job groups identified in the November 1981 Aircraft Armament Systems Specialty OSR. Although most respondents performed armament systemrelated activities (i.e., Conventional Munitions Loader, Heavy Aircraft Weapons Loader, and Junior Tactical Aircraft Systems Technicians), approximately six percent of the first-enlistment incumbents were assigned to Supply jobs which did not involve armament systems loading or servicing functions. The fact that 462X0 personnel could be assigned to any of these types of functions indicates the complex training situation confronting managers today.

### Analysis of Channelized Training

To examine the impact of channelized training, the percentages of graduates of each of the 46230 shredout courses working on the aircraft for which they were trained were examined. One limitation of this analysis is

that DAFSC 462X0 personnel lose their shred upon attaining the 5-skill level, and, as a result, sample sizes were not very large. Nevertheless, some valid conclusions were possible based on the survey data.

As can be seen in Table 4, many survey respondents maintained or serviced aircraft trained in the courses which award the corresponding shredouts. For example, 85 percent of the individuals surveyed with a C-shred maintained the A-10A, and 10 percent maintained the A-10B. Further, 73 percent of the E-shred personnel in the sample maintained the F-15A/B, and 53 percent maintained the F-15C/D. Therefore, personnel with these shredouts appeared to be well utilized.

Tasks performed by members with other shreds, however, were not as clearly delineated; and generally these survey respondents were not performing as many system-specific tasks (see Table 5). For example, 38 percent of the B-shred personnel maintained F-4Ds, although personnel with this shred are responsible for the A-7. Similarly, 37 percent of the F-shred individuals maintain the F-4Ds, although this shred is associated with the F-16.

Therefore, two considerations make analysis of DAFSC 462X0 personnel with different shredouts difficult. First, the number of survey respondents with each shred was not large. Second, personnel in several shreds maintained aircraft other than those for which their shredout was designed. As a result, although trends in the data indicated that the channelized training system works, it was not absolutely so and there were considerable deviations. Perhaps channelization by aircraft family, as opposed to channelization by shreds, is what actually existed.

ij

### SELECTED BACKGROUND INFORMATION FOR FIRST-ENLISTMENT (1-48 MONTHS TAFMS) AFS 462X0 PERSONNEL

VARIABLE	DATA
AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED	61
PAYGRADE	E-3, E-4
MAJOR COMMAND DISTRIBUTION	
AAC	2%
MAC	-
PACAF	7%
AFLC	-
SAC	17%
AFSC	2%
TAC	55%
ATC	1%
USAFE	15%
WORKING DAY SHIFT	41%
WORKING SWING OR MID-SHIFT	38%
WORKING ROTATING EIGHT-HOUR SHIFT	16%
WORKING 12-HOUR SHIFT	1%

### TABLE 2

### EQUIPMENT USED BY 30 PERCENT OR MORE OF FIRST-ENLISTMENT (1-48 MONTHS TAFMS) AFS 462X0 PERSONNEL

TEST EQUIPMENT	PERCENT <u>USING</u>
TORQUE WRENCHES	83
MULTIMETERS, AN/PSM-6 OR AN/PSM-37	72
GO/NO-GO GAUGES	47
MISSILE LAUNCHER TEST SETS, ASM-11	33

8

١

### REPRESENTATIVE TASKS PERFORMED BY FIRST-ENLISTMENT (1-48 MONTHS TAFMS) AFS 462X0 PERSONNEL


PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING 1-48 MONTHS TAFMS TASKS (N=2,914)PERFORM FOREIGN-OBJECT DAMAGE (FOD) PREVENTION WALKS 64 REMOVE OR INSTALL PYLONS OR ADAPTERS 61 ARM OR DISARM AIRCRAFT ARMAMENT SYSTEMS 60 CLEAN FACILITIES 59 PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF JETTISION AND EMERGENCY RELEASE SYSTEMS 59 REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS 58 REMOVE OR INSTALL IMPULSE CARTRIDGES 56 PERFORM FUNCTIONAL CHECKS OF AIRCRAFT ARMAMENT CIRCUITS 56 OPERATE LIGHT-ALLS 55 REMOVE OR INSTALL MISSILE LAUNCHERS 53 MAKE ENTRIES ON MAINTENANCE DATA COLLECTION RECORDS FORMS (AFTO FORMS 349) 49 PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEMS 49 LOAD CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS OTHER THAN AMMUNITION ONTO AIRCRAFT 46 PERFORM CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS PREPARATIONS FOR LOADING ONTO AIRCRAFT 41 ISSUE OR RECEIVE TOOLS 41 UNLOAD CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS OTHER THAN AMMUNITIONS FROM AIRCRAFT 41 INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS 41 LOAD OR UNLOAD AMMUNITION INTO OR FROM INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS 40 INITIATE REPARABLE ITEM PROCESSING TAG FORMS (AFTO FORMS 350) 40 INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK MECHANICAL COMPONENTS 40 INSPECT BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS 40 INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS 40 PERFORM MUNITIONS POSTLOAD INSPECTIONS 39 PERFORM FUNCTIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS 38 MAINTAIN COMMON HAND TOOLS 38

9

Ι

FIGURE 1

PERCENTAGES OF FIRST-ENLISTMENT DAFSC 462X0 PERSONNEL IN JOBS IDENTIFIED IN THE CAREER LADDER STRUCTURE (TOTAL FIRST-ENLISTMENT N=2,914)



\*GRPXXX REPRESENTS THE NUMBER USED TO IDENTIFY THE GROUP IN THE COMPUTER PROGRAM EMPLOYED FOR CAREER LADDER STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

10

1

### AFS 462X0 SHREDOUT IN WHICH MOST PERSONNEL MAINTAINED SHREDOUT-SPECIFIC WEAPON SYSTEMS

SHREDOUT	WEAPON SYSTEM MAINTAINED
C (A-10)	85% A-10A, 10% A-10B
H (F-111)	54% F-111E, 32% F-111D, 25% F-111F
J (FB-111)	78% FB-111A
K (B-52G/H)	73% B-52G, 27% B-52H
0 (F-4)	53% F-4E, 38% F-4D, 16% F-4C
E (F-15)	73% F-15A/B, 53% F-15C/D

### TABLE 5

### AFS 462X0 SHREDOUTS IN WHICH MANY PERSONNEL MAINTAINED OTHER THAN SHREDOUT-SPECIFIC WEAPON SYSTEMS

SHREDOUT	WEAPON SYSTEM MAINTAINED
A (B-52D)	50% B-52D, 39% B-52G, 22% B-52H
B (A-7)	62% A-7D, 38% A-10A, 38% F-4D, 38% F-16
F (F-16)	67% F-16, 37% F-4D, 15% F-4E
G (F-106)	79% F-106A, 79% F-106B, 29% F-101B, 21% T-33

11

١

E

### MAJCOM DISTRIBUTION OF SENIOR 462X0 PERSONNEL WHO PROVIDED TRAINING EMPHASIS RATINGS (N=77)

MAJCOM		CENT OF 462X0 IGNED PERSONNEL	PERCENT OF 462X0 TRAINING EMPHASIS RATERS
TAC		48	53
USAFE		19	23
SAC		15	16
PACAF		8	*
ATC		1	4
OTHER		9	_5
	TOTAL	100	101**

\* LESS THAN ONE PERCENT \*\* DUE TO ROUNDING ERROR

١

g

### TASK FACTOR APPLICATION

As discussed in the INTRODUCTION, task factor booklets were sent to 462X0 technicians to obtain training emphasis and difficulty ratings for tasks in the 462X0 job inventory. These rating factors were collected for training managers to use in conjunction with percent performing data to help evaluate career ladder training documents and training programs relative to task performance requirements of career ladder incumbents.

### Training Emphasis (TE)

The relative training emphasis ratings for each task in the job inventory were collected from 77 experienced 7- and 9-skill level Aircraft Armament Systems NCOs. Table 6 shows the MAJCOM distribution of 462X0 training emphasis raters. Training in this case refers to structured training, such as formal classroom instruction, OJT, FTD, or mobile training team. The average values for these ratings were arranged to produce an ordered listing of all tasks in terms of the recommended training emphasis for first-enlistment personnel. The agreement among raters was sufficiently high to indicate the values were reliable and valid. The average of the training emphasis ratings was 3.1 with a standard deviation of 1.86. Therefore, a task with a rating of 5.0 or higher is considerably above average in training emphasis. (\*\*NOTE: Training emphasis information is general in nature and not shredout-specific.)

In Table 7 are listed those tasks which senior DAFSC 462X0 personnel rated as most needing to be trained. Generally, these tasks constituted the basic job of the armament systems operations and maintenance personnel surveyed. Common among these tasks are various systems checks, loading weapons or munitions, removing or installing basic systems components, bench checks, and common systems inspections.

Most noteworthy is that, among the tasks receiving high training emphasis ratings, bomb and ejector racks and nuclear weapons or equivalent training items were the most common. Consistent with the high training emphasis ratings is the fact that most tasks listed in Table 7 were performed by significant percentages of first-enlistment personnel. The range of these percentages is 18 to 61 percent, but most of these tasks were performed by well over 30 percent of the incumbents. This is especially important considering the diversity of the 462X0 field, and suggests a core of tasks that cut across several highly dissimilar aircraft armament systems jobs.

### Task Difficulty

From a listing of the airmen identified in the 462X0 survey, incumbents in the 7- and 9-skill levels from various commands were selected to rate the difficulty of each task in the job inventory. Difficulty was defined as the length of time it takes an average incumbent to learn to do the task. Interrater agreement among the 58 raters who returned booklets was very high, indicating the data were consistent and reliable. Ratings were adjusted

so tasks of average difficulty had a rating of 5.00 and a standard deviation of 1.00. Therefore, tasks with difficulty ratings of 6.00 or higher are considerably above average in difficulty. Table 8 presents the MAJCOM distribution of 462X0 task difficulty raters. (\*\*NOTE: task difficulty information is general in nature and not shredout-specific.)

Tasks rated highest in difficulty, regardless of the numbers of firstterm airmen performing them, are listed in Table 9. These tasks are almost exclusively composed of troubleshooting procedures associated with various armament systems and components. Generally, the other tasks in this difficulty category are supervisory in nature and include writing staff studies and papers, drafting budgets, and directing mobility exercises or operations.

Table 10 lists those tasks rated below average in difficulty. It is evident that the majority of below-average difficulty tasks consist of general duty functions, general weapon loading and release, or administrative functions.

14

١

### TASKS RATED HIGHEST IN TRAINING EMPHASIS BY SENIOR 462X0 PERSONNEL

TASKS	<b>EMPHASIS</b>	PERCENT OF FIRST-ENLISTMENT PERSONNEL PERFORMING (N=2,914)
MAKE ENTRIES ON MAINTENANCE DATA COLLECTION RECORD FORM		
(AFTO FORM 349)	6.79	
LOCATE PARTS NUMBERS FROM ILLUSTRATED PARTS BREAKDOWN PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF JETTISON AND EMERGENCY RELEASE	6.75	
SYSTEMS	6.58	59
PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEMS	6.55	49
PERFORM FUNCTIONAL CHECKS OF AIRCRAFT ARMAMENT CIRCUITS	6.53	56
REMOVE OR INSTALL PYLONS OR ADAPTERS	6.47	61
LOAD NUCLEAR WEAPONS OR EQUIVALENT TRAINING ITEMS ONTO		
AIRCRAFT	6.43	33
ARM OR DISARM AIRCRAFT ARMAMENT SYSTEMS	6.38	60
REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS	6.36	58
REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEM		
MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	6.30	19
BENCH CHECK BOMB RACKS	6.25	18
INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS LOAD CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS OTHER THAN AMMUNITION ONTO	0.22	40
AIRCRAFT	6.20	46
RECONFIGURE SUSPENSION, LAUNCH, AND RELEASE SYSTEMS INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK STRUCTURAL	6.18	34
COMPONENTS	6.17	41
ANNOTATE MAINTENANCE DISCREPANCY AND WORK DOCUMENT FORMS	0.17	-1
(AFTO FORM 781A)	6 1/	31
ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMBLE BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK COMPONENTS	6.14 6.14	21
PERFORM FUNCTIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN ELECTRICAL	0.14	21
SYSTEMS	6.14	38
REMOVE OR INSTALL IMPULSE CARTRIDGES	6 14	56
CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	6.14 6.12	28
REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR,	0.12	20
CONTROL, OR RELEASE SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	6 10	18
PERFORM MUNITIONS POSTLOAD INSPECTIONS	6.10 6.09	-20
REMOVE OR INSTALL MISSILE LAUNCHERS	6.07	53
INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR	0.07	55
RACK MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	6.04	40
PERFORM CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS PREPARATIONS FOR LOADING ONTO AIRCRAFT	6.04	41

\*SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, TASKS WITH RATINGS OF 5.00 OR HIGHER ARE CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS

Ę

١

### MAJCOM DISTRIBUTION OF SENIOR 462X0 PERSONNEL WHO PROVIDED TASK DIFFICULTY RATINGS (N=58)

COMMAND		PERCENT OF ASSIGNED PERSONNEL	PERCENT OF TASK DIFFICULTY RATERS
TAC		48	47
USAFE		19	21
SAC		15	14
PACAF		8	3
ATC		1	9
OTHER		9	7
	TOTAL	100	101*

\* DUE TO ROUNDING ERROR

16

١

### SELECTED TASKS RATED ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY BY SENIOR 462X0 PERSONNEL

TASKS	TASK DIFFICULTY INDEX**	PERCENT FIRST-ENLISTMENT 462X0 PERSONNEL PERFORMING
TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND		
CONTROL SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	7.63	6
TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SOLID-STATE		-
CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	7.62	5
WRITE STAFF STUDIES, SURVEYS, OR SPECIAL REPORTS	7.41	1
TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT BAY DOOR SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT		
SYSTEMS	7.41	1
TROUBLESHOOT FAULT ISOLATION RECORD TAPE (FIRT)		
SYSTEMS	7.28	1
TROUBLESHOOT FLARE, PHOTOFLASH, OR CHAFF DISPENSING	7 00	-
SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	7.23	1
TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	7.22	0
DRAFT BUDGET OR FINANCIAL REOUIREMENTS	7.22	9 1
TROUBLESHOOT CHEMICAL RELEASE SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT	7.20	1
SYSTEMS	7.19	1
DIRECT MOBILITY EXERCISES OR OPERATIONS	7.19	1
TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE COOLING SYSTEMS	7.18	2
TROUBLESHOOT RELEASE PULSE INDICATOR ELECTRICAL		-
SYSTEMS	7.18	6
TROUBLESHOOT DISPENSER SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	7.11	2
TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND		
CONTROL ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	7.10	13
TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE SOLID-		
STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	7.08	9

\*\*\*SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TASK DIFFICULTY.

### TASKS RATED LOWEST IN TASK DIFFICULTY BY SENIOR 462X0 PERSONNEL

TASKS	TASK DIFFICULTY INDEX	PERCENT FIRST-ENLISTMENT 462X0 PERSONNEL PERFORMING
PERFORM FOREIGN OBJECT DAMAGE (FOD) PREVENTION WALKS	1.36	64
CLEAN FACILITIES	1.63	59
OPERATE MAINTENANCE STANDS	1.87	32
CLEAN BOMB LIFT TRUCKS	2.01	6
CLEAN MUNITIONS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2.16	9
CLEAN MUNITIONS HANDLING TRAILERS	2.19	8
TRANSPORT TEST EQUIPMENT OR UNITS TO OR FROM		
FLIGHTLINE	2.19	19
PLACE PLACARDS OR WARNINGS ON MUNITIONS TRANSPORT OR		
HANDLING EQUIPMENT	2.26	16
OPERATE LIGHT-ALLS	2.27	55
TOW NONPOWERED AGE	2.38	20
ASSIGN SPONSORS FOR NEWLY ASSIGNED PERSONNEL	2.67	2
MAINTAIN COMMON HAND TOOLS	2.71	38
ISSUE OR RECEIVE TOOLS	2.76	41
OPERATE HEATERS	2.78	24
INITIATE TEMPORARY ISSUE RECEIPT FORMS (AF FORM 1297)	2.80	9

18

### ANALYSIS OF TRAINING DOCUMENTS

Since the occupational survey data were gathered from career ladder incumbents, managers can use it to determine if the 462X0 Specialty Training Standards (STS) and the 3ABR46230 Plan of Instruction (POI) meet user needs. It is essential that these training documents reflect actual or desired utilization patterns because of the impact on preparing incumbents to perform their jobs.

To facilitate the use of percent members performing, training emphasis, and task difficulty data, subject-matter experts at Lowry Technical Training Center matched 462X0 job inventory tasks to related STS paragraphs and Computerized matchings, called FACPRNTs (FCP), applicable POI blocks. were then made for the STS and the POI, pairing percent performing, training emphasis, and task difficulty data for each task to the respective STS item(s) or POI block. Unmatched survey tasks are listed in the "Tasks Not Referenced" section of each STS or POI FACPRNT to help identify possible additional STS or POI requirements. The 462X0 Training Extract (Atch 1) provides a combination of STS and POI FACPRNTs by shredout. containing information for various Total Active Federal Military Service (TAFMS), DAFSC, and aircraft groups which managers can use to assess training needs and determine how to more effectively use training resources. When these FACPRNTs are used to assess training needs, it is important to know that only the percent members performing information is specific to each shredout. The training emphasis and task difficulty information is general in nature and not shredout-specific.

STS 462X0 (common). Paragraphs 1 through 14 of the 462X0 STS, dated February 1980, are common to all 462X0 shreds. An analysis of data associated with tasks matched to the common paragraphs indicated good coverage of the functions pertaining to 3-skill level personnel.

POI 3ABR46230 (common). Blocks I-IV of the 46230 POIs, dated 23 February 1981, are common to all of the 46230 shreds. As with the common portions of the STS, an analysis of data associated with tasks matched to the common areas indicated adequate coverage of the functions performed by apprentice aircraft armament systems personnel.

### B-52D Training Documents

STS 462X0A. An analysis of data associated with tasks matched to paragraph 23 of the 462X0 STS indicated good coverage of the functions performed by 3-skill level survey respondents with the A-shred. Several items were identified, however, which may require review. STS subparagraph 23g(3)(b) contains tasks with above-average training emphasis and training difficulty ratings, that are performed by only small percentages of first-job or first-enlistment personnel (see Table 11). Conversely, Table 12 lists tasks performed by large percentages of A-shred personnel but not linked to specific STS references. Some of the tasks listed in Table 12 may not be associated with only the B-52D but, due to the large percentages of A-shred personnel performing the tasks, they warrant examination. Subjectmatter specialists should review these STS items and tasks to determine whether changes are necessary.

<u>POI 3ABR46230A.</u> An analysis of data associated with tasks matched to POI <u>3ABR46230A</u>, dated July 1980, showed, for the most part, that POI blocks and objectives were supported. In several blocks though, very small percentages of first-job and first-enlistment airmen performed tasks matched to POI items. Further, some tasks not linked to specific POI items were rated above average in recommended training emphasis and task difficulty, and were performed by over 30 percent of first-job and first-enlistment personnel. Although some of these selected "tasks not referenced" are not clearly B-52D unique, they should be examined for possible inclusion in the POI because of the large percentages of A-shred personnel who reported performing them. The tasks and POI references mentioned above are listed in Tables 13 and 14. As Table 13 shows, many tasks referenced to POI items were performed by few incumbents, but were rated above average in recommended training emphasis. This suggests that, while these tasks do require some formal training, perhaps the resident course is not the proper place to give the students the training. It is possible these tasks can most effectively be trained by OJT.

ij

١

## SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0A PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0A RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING FIRST - FIRST - JOB ENLISTMENT	16	16 16	
	6	70	•
TASK DIFFICULTY**	5.90	5.80	
TRAINING 1 EMPHASIS <sup>4</sup> 1	5.32	5.21	5.12
	PARAGRAPH TASKS PAPERAGE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND	23g(3)(b) J305 KERUVE ON SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS CONTROL SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	23g(3)(b) J306 REFINITE COMPONENTS SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS 23g(3)(b) N491 INSPECT WEAPONS MONITOR AND CONTROL SYSTEM COMPONENTS
STS	PARAGRAPH TASKS	23g(3)(b	23g(3)(b 23g(3)(b

SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

- SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

ş

ij

### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0A PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0A RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT ME PERFORMING	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
		TRAINING	TASK	FIRST-	FIRST-
TASKS		EMPHAS IS*	DIFFICULTY**	JOB	ENLISTMENT
K352	BENCH CHECK BOMB RACKS	6.25	4.99	24	28
K359	BENCH CHECK MULTIPLE EJECTOR RACKS, TRIPLE EJECTOR				
	RACKS, OR BOMB RELEASE UNITS (MERS, TERS, BRUS)	5.99	5.07	22	25
K361	BENCH CHECK PYLONS	5.95	4.97	22	25
L418	LOAD OR UNLOAD AMMUNITION INTO OR FROM INTERNAL				
	GUN SYSTEMS	5.87	4.36	16	26
K365	CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	4.10	33	31
1255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	31	30
K373	OVERHAUL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS	5.68	5.57	24	24
1258	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED SWITCHES	5.66	5.55	16	21
1259	SPLICE OR REPLACE DEFECTIVE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED WIRING	5.65	6.17	13	18
K346	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRICAL UNIT CABLE	5.53	5.17	16	13
N484	INSPECT MULTIPLE EJECTOR RACKS (MERs), TRIPLE EJECTOR				
	RACKS (TERs), OR BOMB RELEASE UNITS (BRUs)	5.48	4.97	33	33
K376	OVERHAUL MERS, TERS, OR BRUS	5.47	5.88	11	17
1257	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCR'FT INSTALLED RELAYS	5.26	6.11	20	27
K349	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM MECHANICAL ACCESSORIES,				
	SUCH AS HIGH DENSITY RACKS OR RELEASE UNITS	5.16	5.23	20	20
<b>J</b> 304	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE				
	SYSTEM WIRING HARNESSES	5.14	6.59	13	16
P532	LOAD CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS ONTA FARLOAD STANDS				
	OR RACKS	4.73	4.24	36	26

TABLE 12 (CONTINUED)

1

### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0A PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0A RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS

				PERFORMING	ING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT
N485 INSP T655 OPER T656 OPER	INSPECT PYLON BEAM MER ASSEMBLIES OPERATE FARM TRACTORS OPERATE FORKLIFTS	3.91 3.62 3.62	4.73 3.14 3.50	33 44 47	27 38 52

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.
- \*\*\*\* ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X0A TRAINING EXTRACT.

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230A PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0A RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT MEI	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
POI	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
V2a	S627	PLACE PLACARDS OR WARNINGS ON MUNITIONS TRANSPORT OR			,	
		HANDLING EQUIPMENT	2.61	2.26	18	24
V6a	S618	INSPECT NUCLEAR WEAPONS OR EQUIVALENT TRAINING ITEMS	3.05	4.78	22	26
VI 3b	0510	INSPECT MUNITIONS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4.73	3.75	13	16
VI 3b	0509	INSPECT MUNITIONS-HANDLING TRAILERS	4.36	3.80	24	19
VIII5c	<b>J317</b>	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRICAL				
		COMPONENTS	5.60	5.16	16	24
VII15c	064N	INSPECT WEAPONS ARMAMENT CONTROL SYSTEM COMPONENTS	5.29	5.44	11	17
VIIISc	J315	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRONIC				
		COMPONENTS	5.18	5.24	13	19
VIIISc	K353	BENCH CHECK BOMB RELEASE INTERVAL CONTROL (BRIC) UNITS	4.90	5.99	11	11
VIII5c	1292	TROUBLESHOOT RELEASE PULSE INDICATOR ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	3.74	7.18	16	17
VIIISc	<b>J</b> 333	REMOVE OR REPLACE RELEASE PULSE INDICATOR SYSTEM				
		ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	3.31	5.46	11	12
IX2b	1273	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS				
		OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	6.03	6.82	16	25
IX2b	K394	TROUBLESHOOT BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.69	6.05	16	23
IX2b	K399	TROUBLESHOOT PYLON ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.64	5.86	18	20
1X2b	K370	ISOLATE MECHANICAL MALFUNCTIONS IN PYLONS OR ADAPTERS	5.62	5.21	13	18
IX2b	K398	PYLON ADA	5.05	6.08	16	18
IX2b	X396	TROUBLESHOOT HEAVY STORES ADAPTER BEAM ELECTRICAL				
		SYSTEMS	3.95	5.94	18	23
X3c	1260	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND		; , ,		, c
<b>V</b> 3.2	6761		ço.c	1.10	18	26
<b>20</b>	7071	INCUBLESHOUT AINCKAFT NUCLEAN WEAPONS RELEASE ELEUINICAL SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	5 40	7 00	13	74
X3c	1265	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT BAY DOOR ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS OTHER		) ) -	-	
		THAN SOLID-STATE	4.30	6.51	7	6

1

TABLE 13 (CONTINUED)

ij

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230A PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0A RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT	26	16	16 16	
PERCENT MEM PERFORMING	FIRST- JOB	16	6	r 6	
	TASK DIFFICULTY**	4.83	5.90	5.80 5.33	2
	TRAINING EMPHASIS*	6.10	5.32	5.21	71 · C
	S				<b></b>
	TASKS		J305	J306	167N
	POI BLOCK	уза	рех	рех	рех

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY Above average in difficulty.

1

9

# SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230A PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0A RESPONDENTS

ł	LNS					
PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	FIRST- ENLISTMENT	31 30	33	26 25	27 38	52
PERCENT MEM PERFORMING	FIRST- JOB	33 31	33	36	33 44	47
	TASK DIFFICULTY**	4.10 6.64	4.97	4.24	4.73	3.50
	TRAINING EMPHASIS*	5.87 5.75	5.48	4.73	3.91 3.62	3.62
	SX	-	INSPECT MULTIPLE E RACKS (TERs), OR	32 LOAD CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS ONTO PRELOAD STANDS UR RACKS		55 OPERATE FORKLIFTS 56 OPERATE FORKLIFTS
	TASKS	K365 1255	N48	P532	N48	T655 T656

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

### A-7 TRAINING DOCUMENTS

<u>STS 462X0B</u>. An analysis of data associated with tasks matched to paragraph 16 of the 462X0 STS revealed an item which subject-matter specialists should review to determine whether changes may be necessary. STS subparagraph 16g(3)(c) contains a task performed by few first-job or first-enlistment, B-shred personnel, and with average or above-average training emphasis and training difficulty ratings (see Table 15). On the other hand, Table 16 lists tasks performed by over 10 percent of B-shred personnel not referenced to an STS paragraph. The selected tasks presented in Table 16 should be examined for possible additions to the STS.

POI 3ABR46230B. An analysis of data associated with POI 3ABR46230B, dated July 1980, highlighted the following areas for further review by subject-matter specialists. First, Table 17 illustrates that POI objectives X3D and X4A both have tasks referenced to them which were performed by very small percentages of first-job and first-enlistment B-shred airmen. However, all tasks referenced to POI objectives X3D and X4A were rated above average in training emphasis and training difficulty and, therefore, seem to require The combined factors of low percent members some formal training. performing but above average training emphasis and training difficulty suggest that these tasks may be more efficiently trained by OJT, as opposed to technical training school. The other area recommended for review is the list of tasks in Table 18. These tasks were not referenced to POI items but were rated above average in recommended training emphasis and task difficulty, and were performed by over 30 percent of first-job and firstenlistment personnel. Because of the percentages of B-shred personnel who reported performing the selected tasks not referenced, the tasks should be examined for possible inclusion in the POI.

١

1

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0B PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0B RESPONDENTS

TEMBERS NG	FIRST- ENLISTMENT	10
PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTM	6
	**YTUU	5.39
	TRAINING TASK EMPHASIS* DIFFIC	4.13
		16g(3)(c) J324 REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS
	PARAGRAPH TASKS	16g(3)(c)

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

## SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0B PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0B RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT ME PERFORMING	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
J297	RECONFIGURE SUSPENSION, LAUNCH, AND RELEASE SYSTEMS	6.18	4.70	35	41
L407	CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	6.12	6.33	39	55
K365	CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	6.33	31	33
I274	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.24	20	36
H239	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR				
	AND CONTROL SYSTEMS	5.91	5.57	15	11
L439	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.87	5.89	19	38
I255	÷.	5.75	6.64	33	07
L404	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE AIRCRAFT GUN COMPARTMENTS, GUN BAYS, OR BLAST			}	2
	FAIRINGS	5.69	4.06	33	50
I258		5.66	5.55	31	32
1259	SPLICE OR REPLACE DEFECTIVE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED WIRING	5.65	6.17	26	31
1260	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND CONTROL ELECTRICAL				
	SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	5.65	7.10	11	œ
K399	TROUBLESHOOT PYLON ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.64	5.86	13	18
K370	ISOLATE MECHANICAL MALFUNCTIONS IN PYLONS OR ADAPTERS	5.62	5.21	11	13
1284	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.53	6.05	22	33
N3/6	OVERHAUL MERS, TERS, OR BURS	5.47	5.88	19	17
/ (71		5.26	6.11	22	22
N4/0	INSPECT ELECTRICAL WILING OR HARNESSES	5.19	5.03	39	34
CU2U	INSPECT EXTERNAL ROCKET LAUNCHER STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	4.71	4.76	33	34

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY Above average in training emphasis.
  - \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.
    - ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X0B TRAINING EXTRACT. Ť.

1

\$

ij

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230B PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0B RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT MEN PERFORMING	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
POI	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT
X3D	J322	REMOVE OR REPLACE JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.75	5.42	18	23
X3D	J321	REMOVE OR REPLACE JETTISON AND EMERGENCY RELEASE SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.56	5.45	13	17
X3D	K351	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM RELAY PANELS	5.55	5.78	15	10
X3D	K346	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRICAL UNIT CABLE ASSEMBLIES	5.53	5.17	17	13
X3D	J315	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.18	5.24	18	27
X4A	K347	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.81	5.82	18	13
X4A	J324	REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	4.13	5.39	6	10

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230B PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0B RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT ME	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
1297	RECONFIGURE SUSPENSION, LAUNCH, AND RELEASE SYSTEMS	6.18	4.70	35	41
L407	L407 CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	6.12	6.33	39	55
6221	INSPECT PYLON STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	5.87	4.76	33	33
K365	K365 CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	4.10	31	33
G220	INSPECT PYLON ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	5.79	5.30	33	35
L430	PERFORM PREFLIGHT ARMAMENT SYSTEM INSPECTIONS	5.79	5.30	33	35
1255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	33	40
L404	L404 CLEAN AND LUBRICATE AIRCRAFT GUN COMPARTMENTS, GUN BAYS, OR BLAST FAIRINGS	5.69	4.06	33	50
N487	INSPECT PYLONS OR ADAPTERS	5.08	4.87	35	33

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

1

١

ş

#### A-10 TRAINING DOCUMENTS

<u>STS 462X0C</u>. For the most part, data associated with tasks matched to the A-10 specific paragraph of STS 462X0 supported the STS as written. In several instances, though, very small percentages of first-job and firstenlistment C-shred personnel performed tasks referenced to particular STS subparagraphs (see Table 19). The subparagraphs linked to tasks with low percent members performing should be reviewed to determine whether changes in the STS are warranted. In addition, Table 20 lists tasks performed by more than 10 percent of C-shred respondents and rated above average on training emphasis and task difficulty, that were not referenced to any STS paragraph. The tasks in Table 20 should be reviewed for possible inclusion in the STS.

<u>POI 3ABR46230C</u>. An analysis of task data matched to some C-shred POI objectives indicated that C-shred incumbents are receiving training on certain tasks which a relatively small portion of the respondents actually perform (see Table 21). Training managers should review task data to determine if training on the tasks presented in Table 21 through OJT programs might make more effective use of training time and resources. In contrast, some 46230C tasks not referenced to the POI deserve consideration for possible inclusion. For example, tasks shown in Table 22 are rated high in training emphasis and task difficulty and were performed by substantial percentages of C-shred respondents.

ij

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0C PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0C RESPONDENTS

STS PARAGRAPH	TASKS			TRAINING TASK EMPHASIS* DIFF	TASK DIFFICULTY**	PERCENT MER PERFORMING FIRST- FIF JOB ENI	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT
18b(1)	6210	INSPECT	INTERNAL DISPENSER ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	4.70	5.60	10	œ
l8c(3)(b) L409	L409	INSPECT	AMMUNITION STORAGE DRAWERS	2.91	4.06	S	7
18g(5)	6210	INSPECT	INTERNAL DISPENSER ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	4.70	5.60	10	œ

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RAFING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

20
TABLE

L

9

ï

SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0C PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0C RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT ME PERFORMING	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
		TRAINING	TASK	FIRST-	FIRST-
TASKS		EMPHAS I S*	DIFFICULTY**	JOB	ENLISTMENT
F172	LOCATE PART NUMBERS FROM ILLUSTRATED PARTS BREAKDOWNS	6.75	3.89	36	42
1274	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.24	30	40
L439	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.87	5.89	39	48
K347	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.81	5.82	18	17
L415	INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	5.84	5.27	41	50
L405	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE GUN SYSTEMS	5.77	4.30	54	62
I255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	34	36
<b>J</b> 316	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	5.70	5.06	34	39
L404	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE AIRCRAFT GUN COMPARTMENTS, GUN BAYS, OR BLAST				
	FAIRINGS	5.69	4.06	46	51
1259	SPLICE OR REPLACE DEFECTIVE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED WIRING	5.65	6.17	14	23
K399	TROUBLESHOOT PYLON ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.64	5.86	21	23
T414	INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.66	5.35	31	42
I284	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.53	6.05	37	38
K376	OVERHAUL MERS, TERS, OR BRUS	5.47	5.88	22	20
K393	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.44	6.49	14	17
L406	CLEAR MALIUNCTIONED OR JAMMED GUN POD SYSTEMS	5.36	6.24	14	12
1257	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED RELAYS	5.26	6.11	14	21
<b>J</b> 318	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRICAL WIRING				
		5.26	5.66	31	35
K386	PERFORM T.O. MODIFICATIONS OF SUSPENSION, LAUNCH, AND RELEASE				1
	SYSTEM COMPONENTS OR SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5.21	6.23	18	15
K349	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM MECHANICAL ACCESSORIES, SUCH AS HIGH				I
	DENSITY RACKS OR RELEASE UNITS	5.16	5.23	11	10

TABLE 20 (CONTINUED)

4

SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0C PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0C RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	TRAINING TASK FIRST- EMPHASIS* DIFFICULTY** JOB ENLISTMENT	S 4.99 5.96 10 14 4.90 5.30 39 51 4.73 4.24 34 34
	TASKS	K392 TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRICAL UNIT CABLE ASSEMBLIES L402 ADJUST ELEMENT TENSION OF INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS P532 LOAD CONVENTIONAL MUNIT'ONS ONTO PRELOAD STANDS OR RACKS

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABUVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
  - SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.
    - Additional tasks not referenced can be found at the end of the STS computer printout in the 462X0C TRAINING EXTEACT.

I

4

#### SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230C PERFORMED BY FEW 46230C RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	FIRST- ENLISTMENT	19 24	22	21	15	15	7		15	8
PERCENT MEN PERFORMING	FIRST- JOB	15 21	23	22	17	11	ŝ		ŝ	10
	TASK DIFFICULTY**	5.00 4.80	66.4	5.07	5.33	5.23	4.06		6.02	5.60
	TRAINING TASK EMPHASIS* DIFF	5.14 4.40		5.99		4.79	2.91		5.13	4.70
		REMOVE OR REPLACE GUN LOADING SYSTEM COMPONENTS INSPECT AMMUNITION LOADING SYSTEMS	BENCH CHECK BOMB RACKS BENCH CHECK MULTIPLE EJECTOR RACKS, TRIPLE	EJELIUK KACKS, UK BUNB KELEASE UNTIS (MEKS, TERs, BRUs)	BENCH CHECK MISSILE LAUNCHERS	INSPECT CHAFF OR FLARE EJECTOR UNITS	INSPECT AMMUNITION STORAGE DRAWERS	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM ELECTRICAL	COMPONENTS	INSPECT INTERNAL DISPENSER ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS
	TASKS	M462 M447	K352 K359		K357	6197	L409	M471		6210
	POI	V I 4A V I 4A	V112C V112C		V113C	V1 I 4A	X1 I 4A	X1113A		XIV5A

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

4

## SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230C PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0C RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS

TASKSF172LUCATE PART NUMBERS FROM ILLUSTRATED PARTS BREAKDOWNSL439TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN MECHANICAL SYSTEMSL415INSPECT INTERNAL GUN MECHANICAL SYSTEMSL415INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTSL405CLEAN AND LUBRICATE GUN SYSTEMSL405CLEAN AND LUBRICATE GUN SYSTEMSJ316REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGSJ316REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEMMECHANICAL COMPONENTSMECHANICAL COMPONENTSL404CLEAN AND LUBRICATE AIRCRAFT GUN COMPARTMENTS, GUN BAYSOR BLAST FAIRINGSL404L414INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTSL414INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTSL318REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEMJ318REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM				
	TRAINING			FIRST-
	EMPHASIS*	* DIFFICULTY**	JOB	ENLISTMENT
	teakDowns 6.75	3.89	36	42
	5.87	5.89	39	48
		5.27	41	50
	5.77	4.30	54	62
		6.64	34	36
	5.70	5.06	34	39
. –	5.69	4.06	46	51
. –		5.35	31	42
-	CHANICAL			
REMOVE OR REPLACE	5.53	6.05	37	38
ELECTRICAL WIRING HARNESSES OR CABLES	5.26	5.66	31	35
L402 ADJUST ELEMENT TENSION OF INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS		5.30	39	51
P532 LOAD CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS ONTO PRELOAD STANDS OR RACKS	IDS OR RACKS 4.73	4.24	34	34

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

#### F-4 TRAINING DOCUMENTS

STS 462X0D. An analysis of data matched to paragraph 15 of the 462X0 STS revealed a number of areas which should be reviewed by training managers. As shown in Table 23, the F-4 portion of the STS has subparagraphs matched to tasks performed by very few first-job or first-enlistment respondents. The tasks and associated STS paragraphs should be examined to determine whether the STS should be changed to eliminate, reduce or modify these subparagraphs. In addition, the unmatched tasks presented in Table 24 should be reviewed to establish whether the STS should be modified to include tasks performed by such large percentages of incumbents.

POI 3ABR46230D. Similar to the D-shred STS paragraph, Table 25 illustrates that a number of 46230C POI objectives are matched to tasks with very low percent members performing. Therefore, subject-matter specialists should review that task data to establish whether the types of tasks presented in Table 25 could be taught more efficiently through OJT programs. Additionally, the unmatched tasks matched in Table 26 should be evaluated for their possible inclusion in the resident technical training course.

١

1

٩

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0D PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0D RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT	6	٢	Ŷ
PERCENT MEMP PERFORMING	FIRST- JOB	4	5	4
	FRAINING TASK FIRST- EMPHASIS* DIFFICULTY** JOB	5.19	5.03	5.11
	TRAINING TASK EMPHASIS* DIFFI	4.60	5.00	5.01
	S	PERFORM IN-SHOP INSPECTIONS OF GUN POD SYSTEM	REMOVE OR REPLACE GUN POD SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS OR PARTS	3 REMOVE OR REPLACE GUN POD SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS
	TASKS	357W	W464	M463
	STS PARAGRAPH	164(3)	15d(5)(c)	15d(5)(e) M463

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

1

#### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0D PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0D RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT ME PERFORMING	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
6198	INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	6.22	5.17	43	51
L407	CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	6.12	6.33	26	28
1274	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.24	26	32
L438	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.46	16	21
J297	RECONFIGURE SUSPENSION, LAUNCH, AND RELEASE SYSTEMS	6.18	4.70	39	45
6202	INSPECT EXTERNAL MISSILE LAUNCHER ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	5.83	5.15	77	47
K347	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.81	5.82	14	16
6203	INSPECT EXTERNAL MISSILE LAUNCHER STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	5.79	4.94	48	50
1255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	33	36
P358	LOAD PRELOADED CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS ONTO AIRCRAFT	5.73	4.46	34	31
<b>J</b> 316	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM MECHANICAL				
	COMPONENTS	5.70	5.06	35	39
K394	TROUBLESHOOT BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.69	6.05	23	24
I259	SPLICE OR REPLACE DEFECTIVE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED WIRING	5.65	6.17	19	26
K399	TROUBLESHOOT PYLON ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.64	5.86	18	20
1284	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.53	6.05	19	24
1262	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS				
	OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	5.40	7.00	16	17
L406	CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED GUN POD SYSTEMS	5.36	6.24	16	19

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY. \$

\*\*\* ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X0D TRAINING EXTRACT.

١

ł

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230D PERFORMED BY FEW AFS 426X0D RESPONDENTS

PERFORMING PERFORMING	TRAINING TASK FIRST- FIRST- EMPHASIS* DIFFICULTY** JOB ENLISTMENT	RANSPORT 2.61 2.26 10 13	3.80 12 1	5.56 4.74 20	5.13 5.18	AL	5.01 5.11 4 6		5.00 5.03 5 7	4.78	4.60 5.19 4	4.49 5.04 12 14		5.90 5.13 24 30		5.13 6.02 4 9		5.04 5.38 19 25	5.08 16		4.38 5.30 14 15
		PLACE PLACARDS OR WARNINGS ON MUNITIONS TRANSPORT OR HANDLING EQUIPMENT	INSPECT MUNITIONS HANDLING TRAILERS		INSPECT GUN POD SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	REMOVE OR REPLACE GUN POD SYSTEM ELECTRICAL	COMPONENTS	REMOVE OR REPLACE GUN POD SYSTEM MECHANICAL	COMPONENTS OR PARTS	INSPECT GUN POD SYSTEMS ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	PERFORM IN-SHOP INSPECTIONS OF GUN POD SYSTEMS	INSPECT GUN POD SYSTEMS	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN	SYSTEMS	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM ELECTRICAL	COMPONENTS	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN	ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF GUN POD SYS	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF CUN POD ELE	SYSTEMS
	TASKS	S627	0509	777M	L412	M463		M464		L411	M458	N480	L428		M471		N497		L427	N496	
	POI BLOCK	VIA	VI2B	VI 14A	V114A	V114A		V114A		V114A	V114A	V114A	XI 2A		XI2A		X I 2A		XI 3A	XI 3A	

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

4

## SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230D PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0D RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT MEI PERFORMING	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
6198	INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	6.22	5.17	43	51
J297	RECONFIGURE SUSPENSION, LAUNCH, AND RELEASE SYSTEMS	6.18	4.70	39	45
6202	G202 INSPECT EXTERNAL MISSILE LAUNCHER ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	5.83	5.15	44	47
6203	G203 INSPECT EXTERNAL MISSILE LAUNCHER STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	5.79	4.94	48	50
1255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	33	36
P538	LOAD PRELOADED CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS ONTO AIRCRAFT	5.73	4.46	34	31
J316	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	5.70	5.06	35	39

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

#### F-15 TRAINING DOCUMENTS

STS 462X0E. Overall, data corresponding to tasks matched to paragraph 17 of the 462X0 STS supported the F-15 portion of the STS, as written. STS subparagraphs 17g(3)(b) and 17g(4)(b), however, both contain tasks performed by few first-job or first-enlistment personnel (see Table 27). Qualified training personnel should examine the tasks and corresponding STS paragraphs presented in Table 27 to evaluate whether the percent members performing data is sufficient to justify changes in the STS. Also, the tasks in Table 28 should be examined because, although they were not referenced to the STS, they were performed by large percentages of 462X0E respondents and should possibly be included in the STS.

<u>POI 3ABR462X0E</u>. Table 29 shows that E-shred incumbents receive training on some tasks performed by very small percentages of first-job and first-enlistment E-shred personnel. The tasks listed in Table 29 should be evaluated to determine whether they could be trained in OJT. Table 30 contains five tasks rated above average in training emphasis and in training difficulty that were not referenced to POI objectives. Due to the high training emphasis and training difficulty ratings and because over 30 percent of first-job and first-enlistment personnel perform these five tasks, they should be reviewed for possible addition to the POI.

1

## SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0E PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0E RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	ZIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT	11	9	6	m
PERCENT MEN PERFORMING		8	٢	9	e C
	TRAINING TASK EMPHASIS* DIFFICULTY**	5.24	6.34	7.22	7.11
	TRAINING TASK EMPHASIS* DIFFI	5.18	5.01	4.96	3.92
	S	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS		TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	F
	TASKS	J315	1276	1275	1278
	STS PARAGRAPH	17g(3)(b) J315	17g(4)(b) 1276	17g(4)(b) 1275	17g(4)(b) 1278

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

ş

4

## SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0E PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0E RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT ME	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
L407	CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	6.12	6.33	٤7	15
1274	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.24	14	17
G223	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EJECTOR UNITS	5.91	4.75	46	49
K365	CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	4.10	39	34
L439	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.87	5.89	30	38
K394	TROUBLESHOOT BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.69	6.05	25	24
L404	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE AIRCRAFT GUN COMPARTMENTS, GUN BAYS, OR BLAST			3	
	FAIRINGS	5.69	4.06	46	52
I 259	SPLICE OR REPLACE DEFECTIVE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED WIRING	5.65	6.17	14	21
L434	REMOVE OR REPLACE INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	5.52	5.67	50	56
(393	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.44	6,49	15	14
406	CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED GUN POD SYSTEMS	5.36	6.24		13
I 257	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED RELAYS	5.26	6.11	12	61
K386	PERFORM T.O. MODIFICATIONS OF SUSPENSION, LAUNCH, AND RELEASE		L 1 1		
		5.21	6.23	25	22
M471	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.13	6.02	14	17
K391	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRICAL UNIT RELAY PANELS	5.09	6.62	10	13
K397	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCHER ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.09	6.17	28	26

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY Above average in training emphasis.

SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY Above Average in Difficulty. ţ

\*\*\* ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X0E TRAINING EXTRACT.

ī

4

٩

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230E PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0E RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT MEN PERFORMING	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
PO I BLOCK	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
VI 2A	0509	INSPECT MUNITIONS HANDLING TRAILERS	4.36	3.80	14	15
<b>V1114</b>	K342	ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMBLE PYLON ADAPTER COMPONENTS	5.83	5.18	22	20
V1114A	K336	ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMBLE ARMAMENT SYSTEM MECHANICAL ACCESSORIES	5.31	5.55	18	17
I X2A	J322	REMOVE OR REPLACE JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.75	5.42	18	18
I X2A	J317	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.60	5.16	12	12
I X2A	J321	REMOVE OR REPLACE JETTISON AND EMERGENCY RELEASE SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.56	5.45	16	20
I X2A	J315	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.18	5.24	æ	п
I X2A	J324	REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	4.13	5.39	20	25
I X2A	<b>J</b> 328	REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	4.01	5.44	22	22

- = 5 2.7 2 AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

١

1

٩

## SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230E PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0E RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT MEN PERFORMING	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING TASK EMPHASIS* DIFF	FRAINING TASK FIRST- EMPHASIS* DIFFICULTY** JOB	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
L407	L407 CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	6.12	6.33	43	51
6223	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EJECTOR UNITS	5.91	4.75	46	67
K365	K365 CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	4.10	39	34
L404	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE AIRCRAFT GUN COMPARTMENTS, GUN BAYS, OR BLAST FAIRINGS	5.69	4.06	95	52
L4 34	REMOVE OR REPLACE INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	5.52	5.67	50	56

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00.0R HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

#### F-16 TRAINING DOCUMENTS

STS 462X0F. An analysis of data associated with tasks matched to the F-16 specific paragraph of STS 462X0 revealed a number of items which should be reviewed by subject-matter experts. Table 31 contains a list of STS subparagraphs matched to tasks with low percent members performing. The items in Table 31 should be examined to determine whether changes in the STS are needed. Table 32 contains tasks with high percent members performing that were not referenced to an STS paragraph. The tasks in Table 32 should be considered for possible inclusion in the STS.

POI 3ABR46230F. Like the F-16 specific STS paragraph, the F-shred POI contains a number of items which should be reviewed by subject-matter experts. Table 33 shows that a number of 46230F POI objectives are matched to tasks with very low percent members performing. Tasks with less than 30 percent of the respondents performing should be examined to determine the possibility of training them through OJT as opposed to technical training school. In addition, the unmatched tasks with more than 30 percent members performing or high training emphasis or task difficulty ratings in Table 34 should be reviewed and, if warranted, the POI should be modified to include them.

;

4

## SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0F PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0F RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
STS			TRAINING	TASK	FIRST-	FIRST-
PARAGRAPH	TASKS		EMPHAS I S*	DIFFICULTY**	JOB	ENLISTMENT
20c(2)(b)		MAINTAIN AMMUNITION STORAGE DRAWERS	2.26	4.35	1	I
20g(1)(i)	K344	ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMBLE ROCKET LAUNCHER COMPONENTS	4.77	5.08	80	89
20g(3)(a)	<b>J</b> 315	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRONIC				
		COMPONENTS	5.18	5.24	80	11
20g(3)(b) J302	J302	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR,				
		CONTROL, OR RELEASE SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	6.10	4.83	7	œ
20g(3)(b) J306	J306	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR RELEASE SYSTEM				
		ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.21	5.80		4
20i(1)	P535	LOAD NUCLEAR WEAPONS OR EQUIVALENT TRAINING ITEMS ONTO				
		AIRCRAFT	6.43	5.33	80	œ
20i(1)	P555	UNLOAD NUCLEAR WEAPONS OR EQUIVALENT TRAINERS FROM				
		AIRCRAFT	5.92	4.73	7	7
20i(1)	P545	PERFORM PREPARATIONS FOR LOADING NUCLEAR WEAPONS OR				
		EQUIVALENT TRAINERS ONTO AIRCRAFT	5.83	4.77	7	5

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

;

1

#### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0F PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0F RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
		TRAINING	TASK	FIRST-	FIRST-
TASKS		EMPILASIS*	DIFFICULTY**	JOB	ENLISTMENT
L407	CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	6.12	6.33	43	51
1274	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.24	14	17
L439	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.87	5.89	30	38
K365	CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	4.10	39	34
1255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	25	30
L404	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE AIRCRAFT GUN COMPARTMENTS, GUN BAYS, OR BLAST				
	FAIRINGS	5.69	4.06	46	52
1259	SPLICE OR REPLACE DEFECTIVE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED WIRING	5.65	6.17	14	21
1284	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.53	6.05	28	29
L406	CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED GUN POD SYSTEMS	5.36	6.24	11	13
1257	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED RELAYS	5.26	6.11	12	19
K386	PERFORM T.O. MODIFICATIONS OF SUSPENSION, LAUNCH, AND RELEASE				
	SYSTEM COMPONENTS OR SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5.21	6.23	25	22
J296	PERFORM T.O. MODIFICATIONS OF AIRCRAFT INSTALLED SUSPENSION,				
	LAUNCH, AND RELEASE SYSTEMS	4.91	6.19	33	32
***					

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.
- \*\*\*\* ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X0F TRAINING EXTRACT.

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230F PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0F RESPONDENTS

104			TRAINING	TASK	PERCENT MEMBERS FIRST-	PERFORMING FIRST-
BLOCK	TASKS		EMPHAS I S*	DIFFICULTY**	JOB	ENLISTMENT
VIA	S627	PLACE PLACARDS OR WARNINGS ON MUNITIONS TRANSPORT OR				
		HANDLING EQUIPMENT	2.61	2.26	8	4
V12A	T657	OPERATE GENERATOR SETS	5.22	2.98	20	20
VI5B	7447 7447	INSPECT AMMUNITION LOADING SYSTEMS	4.40	4.80	11	15
V1 I I 3B	J302	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR,				
	, , ,	CONTROL, OR RELEASE SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	6.10	4.83	16	15
961117	1100	RETUVE ON REFLACE CONVENTIONAL BURBING STATEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.60	5.16	20	23
VI1138	J306	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEM	1 1 1			
		ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.21	5.80	8	8
V1113B	<b>J</b> 315	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRONIC				
		COMPONENTS	5.18	5.24	22	22
VII13B	<b>J</b> 324	REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM				
		ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	4.13	5.39	12	14
V1113B	<b>J</b> 328	REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM				
		ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	4.01	5.44	5	11
I X 2 B	L403	BORESIGHT GUN SYSTEMS	5.84	4.86	20	28
I X 2 B	L408	HARMONIZE GUN SYSTEMS	4.64	5.57	6	16
X2A	I 273	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING FLECTRICAL SYSTEMS				
			6.03	6.82	11	18
X2A	L438	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.46	17	24
X2A	I283	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE ELECTRICAL	1	:	I	
;		ō.	5.71	6.55	17	18
X2A	K394	TROUBLESHOOT BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.69	6.05	16	17
X2A	I 260	TROUBLESHOOT ALRCKAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND CONTROL				
		ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	5.65	7.10	[[	6
XZA	K399	<u> </u>	5.64	5.86	11	14
X2A	K393	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.44	6.49	12	12

4

;

TABLE 33 (CONTINUED)

4

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO PC1 JABK46230F PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0F RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT MEMBERS	PERFORMING
POI BLOCK	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
X2A	I262	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE ELECTRICAL				
		SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	5.40	7.00	11	6
X2A	H471	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.13	6.02	e	11
X2A	K397	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCHER ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.09	6.17	12	15
X2A	1261	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND CONTROL				
		SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	5.06	7.62	6	80
X2A	1275	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT				
		SYSTEMS	4.96	7.22	6	12
X2A	1264	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE SOLID-STATE				
		CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	4.87	7.43	t	4
X2A	N498	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN ELECTRONIC				
		SYSTEMS	4.81	5.57	9	15
X2A	N495	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF BOMB RELEASE ELECTRONIC				
		SYSTEMS	4.68	5.43	20	19
X2A	1285	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERCENCY RELEASE SOLID-STATE				
		CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	4.58	7.08	6	11
X2A	N500	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF MISSILE LAUNCH ELECTRONIC				
		SYSTEMS	4.53	5.45	17	20
X2A	1280	TROUBLESHOOT FLARE, PHOTOFLASH, OR CHAFF DISPENSING				
		-	4.35	6.79	Ŝ	2
X2A	1294	TROUBLESHOOT ROCKET LAUNCHER ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	4.14	6.34	11	11

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY Above Average in Difficulty.

;

ij

### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230F PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0F RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	TRAINING TASK FIRST-   EMPHASIS* DIFFICULTY** JOB ENLISTMENT	LEASE SYSTEMS 6.18 4.70 41 50 . GUN SYSTEMS 6.12 6.33 33 39
		RECONFIGURE SUSPENSION, LAUNCH, AND RELEASE SYSTEMS CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS
	TASKS	1297 L407

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

į

#### F-106 TRAINING DOCUMENTS

STS 462X0G. A comprehensive review of data associated with tasks matched to paragraph 24 of the 462X0 STS revealed several areas which should be examined further to determine whether changes are necessary. STS subparagraph 24g(2)a contains tasks performed by few of the G-shred respondents (see Table 35). On the other hand, Table 36 lists tasks performed by large percentages of G-shred personnel that were not referenced to an STS paragraph. Some of the tasks listed in Table 36 may not be clearly related to F-106 aircraft, but they warrant examination because over 10 percent of G-shred incumbents perform them. Subject-matter experts should review these STS items to evaluate whether changes are required.

POI 3ABR46230G. As with the G-shred STS paragraph, Table 37 shows several 46230G POI objectives are also matched to tasks performed by less than 30 percent of the respondents. Training managers should review task data to determine whether training on the tasks presented in Table 37 through OJT programs might make more effective use of training time and resources. In addition, the "Tasks Not Referenced" presented in Table 38 should be considered for possible inclusion in the training conducted at the technical training school.

;

ł

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0G PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0G RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	TASK FIRST- FIRST- DIFFICULTY** JOB ENLISTMENT	4.43 7 8	5.57 9 13
	TRAINING T EMPHASIS* D	5.56	4.81
	TASKS	REMOVE OR REPLACE BO SUBASSEMBLIES	198 PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS
		a) K3	a) N4
	STS PARAGRAPH	24g(2)(a) K388	24g(4)(a) N498

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY Above average in training emphasis.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A FASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY Above Average in Difficulty.

#### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0G PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0G RESPONDENTS

				<b>PERCENT</b> MEMBERS	PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
F172 1303	LOCATE PART NUMBERS FROM ILLUSTRATED PARTS BREAKDOWNS BEMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAET NUCLEAR GEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEM MECHANICAL	6.75	3.89	57	58
		6.30	4.87	47	44
6198	INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	6.22	5.17	45	51
6199 6134	INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS INSPECT CIERAN AND LINRICATE EVTERNAL BOMB OD E LECTOD DACK	6.17	4.86	35	40
	4	6.04	4.90	33	34
H240	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE systems	CV 7	07 1		07
2000		0,03	24.C	1	40 000
6229 V726	INSTICUT, ULEAN, AND LUBKICALE EJECTOK UNITS STEAN AND CODDOCTON TREAT INTROVE DEFINES CONDUCTIONS	16.0	c/ • <del>4</del>	31	55
C02.1	DEPART ON DEPENDENT IKEAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	4.10	43	32
CC21	KEMUVE UK KEPLACE AIKCKAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS CIEAN AND HIDDICATE AIDCDAET CHN COMDADTMENTE AHD DAVE OD	5.75	6.64	47	43
	CLEAN AND LODNICALE ALNOAFT JUN CUILANTRENIS, UN PAIS, UN RIAST FAIRINGS	5 60	, n6	17	22
I258	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED SWITCHES	5.66	4. VU 5. 55	19	57
F173	LOCATE PARTS FROM QUICK REFERENCE LISTS	5.42	3.43	88	47
1257	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED RELAYS	5.26	6.11	36	30
G222	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE ARMAMENT BAY DOOR SYSTEM MECHANICAL	k 9 8	, ,	)	}
	COMPONENTS	4.43	5.15	47	46
G214 I265	INSPECT INTERNAL ROCKET LAUNCHER ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT BAY DOOR ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS OTHER THAN	4.32	5.35	33	39
	SOLID-STATE	4.30	6.51	33	33
G215	INSPECT INTERNAL ROCKET LAUNCHER STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	4.25	5.18	33	38
1267 J327	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT BAY DOOR MECHANICAL SYSTEMS REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM WIRING	4.14	6.13	60	07
	HARNESSES	3.99	5.72	31	37
N489	INSPECT SHACKLES	3.83	4.75	34	33
<b>J</b> 334	RIG ARMAMENT BAY DOORS	3.64	6.46	33	77

ij.

i

ş

TABLE 36 (CONTINUED)

1

ij

#### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0G PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0G RESPONDENTS

				<b>PERCENT</b> MEMBERS	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
		<b>T'RA I N I NG</b>	TASK	FIRST-	FIRST-
TASKS		EMPHAS I S*	DIFFICULTY**	JOB	ENLISTMENT
T644	ISSUE OR RECEIVE TOOLS	3.64	2.76	38	65
T655	OPERATE FARM TRACTORS	3.62	3.14	33	35
N475	INSPECT ARMAMENT BAY DOORS	3.54	4.94	57	56
J298	REMOVE OR INSTALL ARMAMENT BAY DOOKS	3.53	5.80	62	66
J308	REMOVE OR REPLACE ARMAMENT BAY DOOR SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	3.48	5.38	31	37
1268	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT BAY DOOR PNEUMATIC SYSTEMS	3.30	6.33	59	59
J310	REMOVE OR REPLACE ARMAMENT BAY DOOR SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	3.26	5.27	45	77
<b>J</b> 329	REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM PNEUMATIC				
	COMPONENTS	3.25	5.66	40	46
N492	PERFORM ARMAMENT BAY DOOR CLEARANCE CHECKS	3.23	5.41	47	51
S618	INSPECT NUCLEAR WEAPONS OR EQUIVALENT TRAINING ITEMS	3.05	4.78	31	33
<b>J311</b>	REMOVE OR REPLACE ARMAMENT BAY DOOR SYSTEM PNEUMATIC COMPONENTS	2.86	5.53	60	58
1290	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL PNEUMATIC SYSTEMS	2.66	6.72	36	45
R598	REMOVE OR INSTALL AIRCRAFT PANELS	2.71	3.22	38	42
N474	BORESIGHT MISSILE LAUNCHERS	2.06	5.38	60	56
6 6 6					

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.
- \*\*\* ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THEN END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X0G TRAINING EXTRACT.

# SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230G PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0G RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	FIRST- ENLISTMENT	24 27	15	11 80	26	20	20
PERCENT	FIRST- JOB	21 26	61	10	21	15	28
	TASK DIFFICULTY**	3.75 3.80	4.69	80.C	4.65	5.05	5.26
	TRAINING EMPHASIS*	4.73 4.36	5.01	4.11	4.53 3.95	3.94	5.06
	S			8 -			DERFORM IN-SHOP INSPECTIONS OF INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS UN COMPONENTS
	TASKS			K344 G231		N501	654M
	PO1 BLOCK	V4A	V4A V113A	V113A V113A	<b>V113A</b>	VI [3B	IX4B

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY Above average in training emphasis.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY Above Average in Difficulty.

4

### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230G PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0G RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT MEMBERS	PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
F172	LOCATE PART NUMBERS FROM ILLUSTRATED PARTS BREAKDOWNS DEMONE OF DEFLACE ATECDAET NUCLEAD VEADONS DELEASE SYSTEM MECHANICAL	6.75	3.89	57	58
		6.30	1.87	47	77
6198	INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	6.22	5.17	45	51
6199	INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	6.17	4.86	34	40
G224	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK				
0701		6.04	4.90	33	34
04711	FERTOWI VEENALLONAL CRECKS OF ALKUNAL I NUCLEAN WEATONS RELEASE SYSTEMS	6.03	5,40	41	90
K365	CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	4.10	57	35
1255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	6.7	63
L404	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE AIRCRAFT GUN COMPARTMENTS, GUN BAYS, OR	2	•	;	2
	BLAST FAIRINGS	5.69	4.06	41	33
1258	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED SWITCHES	5.66	5.55	40	45
F173	LOCATE PARTS FROM QUICK REFERENCE LISTS	5.42	3.43	38	47
1257	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED RELAYS	5.26	6.11	36	39
N478	INSPECT ELECTRICAL WIRING OR HARNESSES	5.19	5.03	43	<b>46</b>
I 256	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CIRCUIT BREAKERS	5.13	5.33	41	36
G222	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE ARMAMENT BAY DOOR SYSTEM MECHANICAL				
	COMPONENTS	4.43	5.15	47	46
1265	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT BAY DOOR SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	4.30	6.51	33	33
G215	INSPECT INTERNAL ROCKET LAUNCHER STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	4.25	5.18	33	38
1265	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT BAY DOOR MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	4.14	6.13	40	40
1766	NETIOVE UN NEFLACE FIDDILE LAUNCH AND CUNIKUL DYDIEM WIKING Hadnegge	00 0		č	ŗ
00111		3.99	5.12	31	37
N489	INSPECT SHACKLES	3.83	4.75	34	33
T655	OPERATE FARM TRACTORS	3.62	3.14	33	35
N475	INSPECT ARMAMENT BAY DOORS	3.54	4.94	57	56

3

ī

;

Ŧ

TABLE 38 (CONTINUED)

;

1

### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230G PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0G RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT	JERCENT JEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
J298	REMOVE OR INSTALL ARMAMENT BAY DOORS	3.53	5.80	62	66
1268	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT BAY DOOR PNEUMATIC SYSTEMS	3.30	6.33	59	59
<b>J310</b>	REMOVE OR REPLACE ARMAMENT BAY DOOR SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	3.26	5.27	45	77
J329					
	COMPONENTS	3.25	5.66	40	46
N492	PERFORM ARMAMENT BAY DOOR CLEARANCE CHECKS	3.23	5.41	47	51
J311	REMOVE OR REPLACE ARMAMENT BAY DOOR SYSTEM PNEUMATIC COMPONENTS	2.86	5.53	60	58
R598	REMOVE OR INSTALL AIRCRAFT PANELS	2.71	3.22	38	42
1290	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM PNEUMATIC SYSTEMS	2.66	6.72	36	45
N474	BORESIGHT MISSILE LAUNCHERS	2.06	5.38	60	56

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE .HE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

#### F-111 TRAINING DOCUMENTS

STS 462X0H. The data associated with tasks matched to the F-111 specific paragraph of the 462X0 STS indicate that numerous tasks are performed by few first-job or first-enlistment H-shred airmen. The STS sub-paragraphs and associated data listed in Table 39 should be reviewed by subject-matter experts to establish whether changes in the STS are warranted. Also, the unmatched tasks listed in Table 40 were performed by large percentages of H-shred respondents and were rated above average on training emphasis and training difficulty. Qualified training personnel should examine the tasks in Table 40 to determine if the STS should be modified to cover the types of tasks presented.

<u>POI 3ABR46230H</u>. There are many tasks matched to the H-shred POI, with very low percent members performing. Tasks performed by less than 30 percent of the respondents should be reviewed, along with the associated POI objectives, by subject experts to determine if changes need to be made in the POI (see Table 41). At the same time, the unmatched tasks presented on Table 42 should be evaluated for possible inclusion in the training course. The tasks in Table 42 deserve examination because of the large percent members performing, and because each of the tasks was rated average or above average in training emphasis and in training difficulty.

4

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0H PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0H RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
STS PARAGRAPH	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
19c(3)	L415 M459	INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS PERFORM IN-SHOP INSPECTIONS OF INTERNAL CUN SYSTEMS OR	5.84	5.27	6	23
		COMPONENTS	5.06	5.26	7	10
19c(7)(b)	L423	PERFORM GUN SYSTEM HYDRAULIC CHECKS	4.69	5.36	9	14
19c(8)	I.408	HARMONIZE GUN SYSTEMS	4.64	5.57	7	13
19f	T659	OPERATE HYDRAULIC MULES	2.77	5.11	9	6
19g(1)(c)	6227	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EXTERNAL ROCKET LAUNCHER				
		MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	4.84	4.77	4	6
19g(1)(c)	K355	BENCH CHECK DISPENSERS	4.81	4.92	6	6
19g(1)(c)	K344	ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMBLE ROCKET LAUNCHER COMPONENTS	4.77	5.08	с,	5
19g(1)(c)	N488	INSPECT ROCKET LAUNCHERS	3.95	4.65	4	6
19g(1)(e)	K341	ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMPLE MISSILE LAUNCHER COMPONENTS	5.69	5.50	7	6
19g(1)(e)	K357	BENCH CHECK MISSILE LAUNCHERS	5.40	5.33	9	8
19g(3)(j)	<b>J</b> 322	REMOVE OR REPLACE JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE SYSTEM				
		ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.75	5.42	6	17
19h(2)	N497	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN ELECTRICAL				
		SYSTEMS	5.04	5.38	7	16
19h(2)	N498	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN ELECTRONIC				
		SYSTEMS	4.81	5.57	4	6

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY. ÷

1

ļ

ŧ

#### SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0H PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0H RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS <sup>+</sup>	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
P530	ARM OR DISARM AIRCRAFT ARMAMENT SYSTEMS	6.38	3.71	54	56
6198 6199	INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK STRUCTURAL	6.22	5.17	36	44
	COMPONENTS	6.17	4.86	37	77
P548	REMOVE OR INSTALL IMPULSE CARTRIDGES	6.14	3.15	58	57
L407	CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	6.12	6.33	11	23
1274	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.24	24	28
6221	INSPECT PYLON STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	5.87	4.76	33	43
K347	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELEUTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.81	5.82	15	91
G220	INSPECT PYLON ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	5.79	5.30	34	41
1255	RENOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	24	31
P538	LOAD PRELOADED CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS ONTO AIRCRAFT	5.73	4.46	42	34
K394	TROUBLESHOOT BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK ELECTRICAL				
	COMPONENTS	5.69	6.05	16	18
K399	TROUBLESHOOT PYLON ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.64	5.86	14	18
1284	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE				
	MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.53	6.05	14	20
P547	PRE-POSITION MUNITIONS PRIOR TO LOADING ONTO AIRCRAFT	4.96	3.07	33	36
P531	LOAD CHAFF DISPENSERS ONTO AIRCRAFT	4.75	4.24	47	39

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

\*\*\* ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X0H TRAINING EXTRACT.

;

4

٩

# SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230H PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0H RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
POI	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
V12A	0209	INSPECT MUNITIONS HANDLING TRAILERS	4.36	4.80	6	14
VI3A	T657	OPERATE GENERATOR SETS	5.22	2.98	22	19
V13A	T652	OPERATE AIR COMPRESSORS	3.88	2.81	19	23
VI3C	T659	OPERATE HYDRAULIC MULES	2.77	5.11	9	9
V112A	K338	ASSEMBLE OF DISASSEMBLE BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK COMPONENTS	6.14	5.32	22	22
<b>VII2A</b>	K359	BENCH CHECK MULTIPLE EJECTOR RACKS, TRIPLE EJECTOR RACKS,				
		OR BOMB RELEASE UNITS (MERs, TERs, BRUs)	5.99	5.07	18	18
VI 12A	L415	INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	5.84	5.27	6	23
VII2A	L414	INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.66	5.35	11	21
V112A	K388	REMOVE OR REPLACE BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS FROM SUBASSEMBLIES	5.56	4.43	15	18
VI124	N484	INSPECT MULTIPLE EJECTOR RACKS, TRIPLE EJECTOR RACKS, OR				
		BOMB RELEASE UNITS (MERs, TERS, BRUS)	5.48	4.97	25	29
V113A	6227	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EXTERNAL ROCKET LAUNCHER				
		MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	4.84	4.77	4	9
V113A	K355	BENCH CHECK DISPENSERS	4.81	4.92	6	6
VI I 3A	K344	ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMBLE ROCKET LAUNCHER COMPONENTS	4.77	5.08	e	5
VII3A	N477	INSPECT DISPENSERS	4.22	4.71	25	21
VI I 3A	N488	INSPECT ROCKET LAUNCHERS	3.95	4.65	t	9
X112A	L405	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE CUN SYSTEMS	5.77	4.30	17	32
X112A	7444	CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT CUN SYSTEM COMPONENTS	5.56	4.74	10	16
XI I 2A	N481	INSPECT INTERNAL CUN SYSTEMS	5.25	5.29	12	21
X I I 2A	N459	PERFORM IN-SHOP INSPECTIONS OF INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS				
		OR COMPONENTS	5.06	5.26	7	10
X114A	L422	PERFORM FUNCTIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN ELECTRICAL				
			6.14	4.86	18	33
X114A X114B	L428 N497	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN FLECTRICAL	5.90	5.13	12	22
			5.04	5.38	7	16

TABLE 41 (CONTINUED)

1

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO PUI BABR46230H PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0H RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	FIRST- ENLISTMENT	c	14	27	13		21	24	18	35	22	٢	
PERCENT MEMBERS P	FIRST- H	÷	r •9	15	7		11	12	6	25	14	4	
	TASK DIFFICULTY**	c c 7	5.36	4.86	5.57		5.15	5.40	4.94	5.80	6.46	5.93	
	TRAINING EMPHASIS*	10 1	4.69	5.84	4.64		4.43	4.38	3.54	3.53	3.64	3.46	
	S	പ	SYSTEMS PERFORM CHN SYSTEM HYDRAULLC CHECKS					-	INSPECT ARMAMENT BAY			RIG MECHANICAL BOMB RELEASE SYSTEMS	
	TASKS	N498		L403				6193	N475	J298	1334	N502	
	POI BLOCK	X114B	X I I A R		XI 14C	XIII2A		XIII2A	XIII2A	XIII2A	XIII3A	XIII3A	

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

i

4

# SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230H PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0H RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT	ERCENT IEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
P530	ARM OR DISARM AIRCRAFT ARMAMENT SYSTEMS	6.38	3.71	54	56
6199	INSPECT EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	6.17	4.86	37	77
P548	REMOVE OR INSTALL IMPULSE CARTRIDGES	6.14	3.15	58	57
G221	INSPECT PYLON STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	5.87	4.76	33	43
P538		5.73	4.46	42	34
P547	PRE-POSITION MUNITIONS PRIOK TO LOADING ONTO AIRCRAFT	4.96	3.07	33	36
P531	LOAD CHAFF DISPENSERS ONTO AIRCRAFT	4.75	4.24	47	39

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

### FB-111A TRAINING DOCUMENTS

STS 462X0J. Selected tasks matched to the FB-111A portion of the 462X0 STS which few AFS 462X0J respondents perform are listed in Table 43. Since few of the respondents reported performing the tasks in Table 43, each of the associated STS subparagraphs should be examined by subject-matter specialists to determine if changes in the STS are necessary. Table 44 contains tasks with high percent members performing that were not referenced to an STS paragraph. The tasks in Table 44 should be considered for possible addition to the J-shred portion of the 462X0 STS.

<u>POI 3ABR46230J</u>. Table 45 shows that only small percentages of J-shred respondents perform tasks matched to POI objectives IX2A and IX2B. Subject-matter experts should evaluate the task data to determine whether the tasks presented in Table 45 could be taught more effectively by OJT. The tasks listed in Table 46 were not referenced to the POI but deserve consideration for possible inclusion in the course. The unmatched tasks should be reviewed because they were rated average or above average in training emphasis and in training difficulty, and were performed by 30 percent or more of first-job and first-enlistment FB-111A personnel.

;

4

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0J PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0J RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
STS PARAGRAPH	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFF1CULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
21f(3)(a) J321	J321	REMOVE OR REPLACE JETTISON AND EMERGENCY RELEASE SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.56	5.45	6	11
21f(3)(a) J305	30£L	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND CONTROL SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.32	5.90	10	6
21f(4)(a)	1261	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND CONTROL SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	5.06	7.62	6	6
21f(4)(a) 1264	1264	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE SOLID-			. 1	
21f(4)(a)	1285	STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE SOLID-	4.87	7.43		œ
		STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	4.58	7.08	6	10
21f(4)(a)	1270	TROUBLESHOOT BUILT-IN TEST EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	3.71	6.98	4	5
21f(8)	1280	TROUBLESHOOT FLARE, PHOTOFLASH, OR CHAFF DISPENSING			¢	c
21f(8)	1281	ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE TROUBLESHOUT FLARE, PHOTOFLASH, OR CHAFF DISPENSING	4.35	6.79	m	7
		MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	4.08	6.00	e	2
21f(8)	1282	TROUBLESHOOT FLARE, PHOTOFLASH, OR CHAFF DISPENSING		c c r	c	
21f(8)	J313	SULID-STATE CIRCULT SYSTEMS REMOVE OR REPLACE CHAFF DISPENSING SYSTEM ELECTRICAL	3.61	1.23	7	-
		COMPCVENTS	3.61	5.32	2	1
21g(2)	S615	COORDINATE WEAPON ESCORTS WITH SECURITY POLICE	.97	4.01	9	10

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

i

4

## SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0J PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0J RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT MEMBERS	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
F172 J303	LOCATE PART NUMBERS FROM ILLUSTRATED PARTS BREAKDOWNS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEM MECHANICAL	6.75	3.89	34	31
	COMPONENTS	6.30	4.87	35	30
1263	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.83	6.22	19	16
1255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUCS	5.75	6.64	35	29
K373	OVERHAUL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS	5.68	5.57	22	16
1259	SPLICE OR REPLACE DEFECTIVE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED WIRING	5.65	6.17	22	19
1284	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.53	6.05	16	15
J304	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEM				
	WIKING HARNESSES	5.14	6.59	22	17
K398	TROUBLESHOOT PYLON ADAPTER ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.05	6.08	13	10
K392	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRICAL UNIT CABLE ASSEMBLIES	4.99	5.96	13	11
P531	LOAD CHAFF DISPENSERS ONTO AIRCRAFT	4.75	4.24	43	42
T658	OPERATE HEATERS	4.71	2.78	48	42
P551	UNLOAD CHAFF DISPENSERS FROM AIRCRAFT	4.17	3.98	34	36

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.
- \*\*\* ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X0J TRAINING EXTRACT.

i

Ģ

ij

١

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI BABR462X0J PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0J RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT MEMBERS	PERFORMING
PO I BLOCK	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY***	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
1X2A 1 X 2 A	K352 1273	BENCH CHECK BOMB RACKS TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL ROMBING STRUCTEDICAL SVETEMS	6.25	4.99	23	19
U7V1		OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	6.03	6.82	18	16
IX2A	1274	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.24	13	15
IX2A	K359	BENCH CHECK MULTIPLE EJECTOR RACKS, TRIPLE EJECTOR RACKS, OR BOMB RELEASE UNITS (MERS, TERS, BRUS)	5,99	5.07	18	16
I X 2 A	K361		5.95	4.97	22	18
I X2A	K347	BENCH CHECK ARNAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.81	5.82	19	15
IX2A	I283					
A C Y I	1760	SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE TROUBLESHOOT ALPCPAFT NUCLEAD GRADONS MONTROD AND CONTROL	5.71	6.55	21	16
1724	1071	ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	5.65	7.10	21	15
1X2A	K399	TROUBLESHOOT PYLON ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.64	5.86	21	16
I X 2 A	1262	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE ELECTRICAL				
		SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	5.40	7.00	23	16
IX2A	I261	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND CONTROL				
1 X 7 A	1975	SOLID-STATE CIRCULT SYSTEMS TRADIBLECHAAT CONVENTIONAL ROMBING SOLID-STATE CIDCULT	5.06	7.62	6	6
		SYSTEMS	4.96	7.22	6	œ
IX2A	G212	INSPECT INTERNAL MISSILE LAUNCH FLECTRICAL SYSTEMS	4.91	5.33	21	21
I X 2 A	1264	TROUBLESHOOT AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE SOLID-				
		STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	4.87	7.43	7	8
I X2A	6213	INSPECT INTERNAL MISSILE LAUNCH SYSTEM STRUCTURAL COMPONENTS	07 2	5 18	16	<i></i>
I X2A	1285	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERCENCY RELEASE SOLID-STATE				77
		CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	4.58	7.08	6	10
[X]A	<b>J</b> 324	REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	4.13	5.39	13	11

TABLE 45 (CONTINUED)

i

Ş

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR462X0J PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0J RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
PO1 BLOCK	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
1X2A	J328	REMOVE OR REPLACE MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SYSTEM				
		ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	4.01	5.44	16	13
I X2A	1270	TROUBLESHOOT BUILT-IN TEST EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	3.71	6.98	4	ŝ
1X2A	1287	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL ELECTRICAL				
		SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	3.76	6.80	10	6
1X2A	1291	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SOLID-STATE			I	I
		CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	2.86	7.62	1	4
IX2B	J302	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR.		1		
		CONTROL, OR RELEASE SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	6.10	4.83	26	23
I X2B	J122	REMOVE OR REPLACE JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE SYSTEM		2	i	ì
		ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.75	5.42	15	13
1X2A	J317	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRICAL		I	1	•
		COMPONENTS	5.60	5.16	22	18
IX2B	J.321	REMOVE OR REPLACE JETTISON AND EMERGENCY RELEASE SYSTEM		2	1	1
		ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.56	5.45	6	11
IX2B	J305	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND				1
		CONTROL SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.32	5.90	10	6
IX2B	N490	INSPECT WEAPONS ARMAMENT CONTROL SYSTEM COMPONENTS	5.29	5.44	19	16
IX2B	<b>J</b> 306	REMOVE OR REPLACE AFRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE		•		2
		SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.21	5.80	16	13
IX2B	J315	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRONIC		• • •	F 1	)
		COMPONENTS	5.18	5.24	16	15
IX2B	164N	INSPECT WEAPONS MONITOR AND CONTROL SYSTEM COMPONENTS	5.12	5.53	12	10

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

i

ij

# SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230J PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0J RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT	PERCENT TEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT
F172 J303	LOCATE PART NUMBERS FROM ILLUSTRATED PARTS BREAKDOWNS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEM MECHANICAL	6.75	3.89	34	31
		6.30	4.87	35	30
P531	LOAD CHAFF DISPENSERS ONTO AIRCRAFT	4.75	4.24	43	42
T658	OPERATE HEATERS	4.71	2.78	48	42
P551	UNLOAD CHAFF DISPENSERS FROM AIRCRAFT	4.17	3.98	34	36

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

### B-52G/H TRAINING DOCUMENTS

STS 462X0K. A comprehensive analysis of the 462X0K portion of the Aircraft Armament Systems STS identified several areas which should be reviewed by qualified training personnel. One of the areas to be reviewed is presented in Table 47; the table lists tasks performed by few of the K-shred respondents. Training managers should examine the tasks and associated STS paragraphs presented in Table 47 to determine whether changes in the STS are warranted. In addition, the tasks in Table 48 should be examined because, although they were not matched to an STS subparagraph, they were performed by moderate to large percentages of K-shred respondents.

<u>POI 3ABR46230K</u>. In general, data corresponding to tasks matched to the K-shred POI supported the POI as written. However, POI objective VIII3B is matched to three tasks performed by less than 30 percent of first-job or first-enlistment personnel (see Table 49). Subject-matter specialists should review the task data to establish whether the types of tasks presented could be more efficiently trained in OJT as opposed to technical training school. Another area recommended for further review is the selected tasks in Table 50. The five tasks listed in Table 50 were not referenced to the POI, but were performed by over 30 percent of first-job and firstenlistment personnel. The tasks should be examined for possible inclusion in the POI because of the large percentages of K-shred personnel who reported performing the selected tasks.

ij

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X0K PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0K RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	FIRST- ENLISTMENT			0 -		u	n	ď	٦	6	2 1	
PERCENT	FIRST- JOB		5 a	0 0	0	7	t	٣	,			
	TASK DIFFICULTY**		01.C	27. L	01.10	5 1.6		6.79	ч - -	6.00	5.28	
	TRAINING EMPHASIS*		00.0	77.5	•	3 31		4.35	1	4.08	3.87	
	S	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	B			ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	F	ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	F		REMOVE OR REPLACE FLARE SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	
	TASKS	J317	K353	I292	<b>J</b> 333		I 280		I281	,	J320	
	STS PARAGRAPH	22g(3)(a) J317	22g(3)(a)	22g(3)(a)	22g(3)(a)		22g(7)		22g(7)		22g(7)	

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

5

1

1

## SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X0K PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X0K RESPONDENTS

REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS S: 75 6: 64 5: 55 5: 5	REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS S.75 6.26 6.64 5.55 <br< th=""><th>PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT</th><th>41 25 17 46 44 38</th></br<>	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT	41 25 17 46 44 38
REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS S.75 6.26 6.64 5.55 5.26 6.11 4.71 5.26 5.55 5.26 6.11 4.71 5.26 5.55 5.55 5.26 6.11 4.71 5.26 5.55 5.55 	REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS S.75 6.26 6.64 5.55 5.26 6.11 4.71 5.26 5.55 5.26 6.11 4.71 5.26 5.55 5.55 5.26 6.11 4.71 5.26 5.55 5.55 5.26 6.11 5.278 5.26 6.11 5.278 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.25 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 6.11 5.278 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.25 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.25 5.25 5.26 5.25 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.26 5.278 5.278 5.26 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.26 5.278 5.266 5.278 5.278 5.266 5.278 5.278 5.266 5.278 5.278 5.266 5.278 5.278 5.266 5.278 5.278 5.284 5.278 5.284 5.284 5.284 5.284 5.284 5.284 5.228 5.284 5.228 5.284 5.228 5.284 5.232 5.284 5.232	PERCEN MEMBER FIRST- JOB	43 20 20 33 20 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23
REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED SWITCHES REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED KELAYS OPERATE HEATERS OPERATE HEATERS OPERATE MB-4 COLEMAN TOW VEHICLES OPERATE TUGS ATTACH MUNITIONS TO CRADLES OR ADAPTERS FOR TRANSPORT	REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR INSTALL BOMB OR EJECTOR RACKS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED SWITCHES REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED KELAYS OPERATE HEATERS OPERATE HEATERS OPERATE MB-4 COLEMAN TOW VEHICLES OPERATE TUGS ATTACH MUNITIONS TO CRADLES OR ADAPTERS FOR TRANSPORT	TASK D1FF1CULTY**	4.35 6.64 6.11 6.11 3.53 3.13 3.22
REMOVE OR INSTALL REMOVE OR REPLACE REMOVE OR REPLACE REMOVE OR REPLACE REMOVE OR REPLACE OPERATE HEATERS OPERATE MB-4 COLE OPERATE TUGS ATTACH MUNITIONS	REMOVE OR INSTALL REMOVE OR REPLACE REMOVE OR REPLACE REMOVE OR REPLACE REMOVE OR REPLACE OPERATE HEATERS OPERATE MB-4 COLE OPERATE TUGS ATTACH MUNITIONS	TRAINING EMPHASIS*	6.26 5.75 5.26 4.71 2.84 2.48
			REMOVE OR INSTALL REMOVE OR REPLACE REMOVE OR REPLACE REMOVE OR REPLACE REMOVE OR REPLACE OPERATE HEATERS OPERATE MB-4 COLE OPERATE TUGS ATTACH MUNITIONS

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABUVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
  - SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.
    - \*\*\* ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X0K TRAINING EXTRACT.

### SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR46230K PERFORMED BY FEW 462X0K RESPONDENTS

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING	FIRST- FIRST- JOB ENLISTMENT		27	14 19
PERCENT	FIRST- JOB		22	13 22
	TASK DIFFICULTY**		4.83	5.90 5.53
	TRAINING EMPHASIS*		6.10	5.32 5.12
	TASKS	VIII3B J302 REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR, CONTROL.	CONTRACTOR STATEMETER COMPONENTS REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT NUCLEAR WEAPONS MONITOR AND	
	TASK	J302	J305	167N
104	BLOCK	V1113B	VI113B J305	164N 861117

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE

4

## SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POI 3ABR46230K PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X0K RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT	ERCENT IEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
J299		6.36	4.35	43	41
T658		4.71	2.78	66	95
T662		3.35	3.53	53	95
T663		2.84	3.13	42	77
S611	ATTACH MUNITIONS TO CRADLES OR ADAPTERS FOR TRANSPORT	2.48	3.22	33	38

- \* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.
- \*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

### Z-SHRED TRAINING DOCUMENTS

STS 462X02. Table 51 lists selected tasks matched to paragraph 25 of the 462X0 STS and performed by few Z-shred respondents. The tasks in Table 51 should be reviewed, along with the corresponding STS paragraphs, to determine if changes in the STS are warranted due to the low percentages of people performing these tasks. Conversely, the tasks presented in Table 52 were performed by over 10 percent of Z-shred personnel and were rated average or above average in training emphasis and in training difficulty, but were not matched to any STS paragraphs. These tasks should be reviewed to establish whether the STS should be modified to include them.

<u>POI 3ABR46230Z</u>. A comprehensive analysis of task data matched to Z-shred POI objectives indicated that Z-shred incumbents are receiving training on many tasks which a relatively small portion of the first-job and first-enlistment respondents perform (see Table 53). Training managers should review task data to determine if training the tasks presented in Table 53 through OJT would be more effective. POI objectives VII2B, VII3B, VIIIIC, and VIII2C should also be reviewed, because all of the tasks matched to POI objective VIIIIC and presented in Table 53 were also matched to these objectives.

In addition, due to the average or above average training emphasis and training difficulty ratings and because of the large percentages of incumbents performing, the unmatched tasks presented in Table 54 should be evaluated for possible inclusion in the training conducted at the school.

.

1

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO STS 462X02 PERFORMED BY FEW 462X02 RESPONDENTS

PERCENT

					MEMBERS	MEMBERS PERFORMING
STS			TRAINING	TASK	FIRST-	FIRST-
PARAGRAPH	TASKS		EMPHAS I S*	DIFFICULTY**	JOB	ENLISTMENT
25c(7)(b)	L426	PERFORM LOADING INSPECTIONS OF AIRCRAFT GUN AMMUNITION	5.26	4.04	S	10
c(10)	L408	HARMONIZE GUN SYSTEMS	4.64	5.57	0	0
25g(1)(e)	K341	ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMBLE MISSILE LAUNCHER COMPONENTS	5.69	5.50	10	8
5g(4)(a)		TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT				
I		SYSTEMS	4.96	7.22	8	6
25g(4)(a)	K395	TROUBLESHOOT DISPENSER ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	4.69	5.86	8	12
25g(4)(a)	N495	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF BOMB RELEASE ELECTRONIC				
		COMPONENTS	4.68	5.43	10	8
5g(4)(a)	1278	TROUBLESHOOT DISPENSER SOLID-STATE CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	3.92	7.11	e	2
ig(4)(b)	K397	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCHER ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.09	6.17	10	œ
25g(4)(b)	N500	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF MISSILE LAUNCH				
		ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS	4.53	5.45	e	2
25g(4)(b)	N499	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF MISSILE LAUNCH				
		ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	4.52	5.30	10	8
25g(4)(b) 1287	1287	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL ELECTRICAL				
		SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	3.65	6.80	8	8
25g(4)(b) 1291	1291	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SOLID-STATE				
		CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	2.86	7.62	e	2
25g(4)(c)	N498	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF INTERNAL GUN ELECTRONIC				
		SYSTEMS	4.81	5.57	œ	12

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

1

## SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN STS 462X02 PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 10 PERCENT OF 462X02 RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TKAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
F172	LOCATE PARTS NUMBERS FROM ILLUSTRATED PARTS BREAKDOWNS	6.75	3.89	38	45
P530	ARM OR DISARM AIRCRAFT ARMAMENT SYSTEMS	6.38	3.71	59	59
L407	CLEAR MALFUNCTIONED OR JAMMED INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	6.12	6.33	18	22
1274	TROUBLESHOOT CONVENTIONAL BOMBING MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	6.03	6.24	21	18
L439	TROUBLESHOOT INTERNAL GUN MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	5.87	5.89	18	22
K365	CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	4.10	77	41
1255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	17	39
1258	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED SWITCHES	5.66	5.55	31	31
1284	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE MECHANICAL				
	SYSTEMS	5.53	6.05	36	35
K393	TROUBLESHOOT ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.44	676	15	16
1257	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED RELAYS	5.26	6.11	18	16
J318	REMOVE OR REPLACE CONVENTIONAL BOMBING SYSTEM				
	ELECTRICAL WIRING HARNESSES OR CABLES	5.26	5.66	13	12
N478	INSPECT ELECTRICAL WIRING OR HARNESSES	5.19	5.03	31	35
N488	INSPECT ROCKET LAUNCHERS	3.95	4.65	33	29
1295 ***	TROUBLESHOOT ROCKET LAUNCHER MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	3.94	5.97	31	26

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY. ×

\*\*\*\* ADDITIONAL TASKS NOT REFERENCED CAN BE FOUND AT THE END OF THE STS COMPUTER PRINTOUT IN THE 462X02 TRAINING EXTRACT.

;

.

4

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR462X02 PERFORMED BY FEW 462X02 RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT MEMBERS	PERFORMING
PO I BLOCK	TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
VIIB	0508	INSPECT BOMB LIFT TRUCKS	5.05	3.59	8	ę
VI2A	0509	INSPECT MUNITIONS HANDLING TRAILERS	4.36	3.80	- 00	9
VI5A	T652	OPERATE AIR COMPRESSORS	3.88	2.81	20	26
VIIIC	L415	INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	5.84	5.27	13	16
VIIIC	L405	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE GUN SYSTEMS	5.77	4.30	26	29
VIIIC	L414	INSPECT INTERNAL GUN SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.66	5.35	13	16
VIIIC	L434	REMOVE OR REPLACE INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS	5.52	5.67	26	29
VIIIC	N481		5.25	5.29	26	29
VIIIC	M459	PERFORM IN-SHOP INSPECTIONS OF INTERNAL GUN SYSTEMS				
		OR COMPONENTS	5.06	5.26	10	18
V112C	L403	BORESIGHT GUN SYSTEMS	5.84	4.86	18	18
V112C	L408	HARMONIZE GUN SYSTEMS	4.64	5.57	0	0
VI114B	M468	REMOVE OR REPLACE 105MM RECOIL COMPONENTS	1.33	4.88	S	4
1X4C	G226	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EXTERNAL MISSILE LAUNCHER				
		MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	5.55	4.84	13	10
1X4C	G227	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EXTERNAL ROCKET LAUNCHER				
		MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	4.84	4.77	23	20
IX5B	K338	ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMBLE BOMB OR EJECTOR RACK COMPONENTS	6.14	5.32	28	29
IX5B	G224	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EXTERNAL BOMB OR EJECTOR				
		RACK MECHANICAL COMPONENTS	6.04	4.90	18	16
IX7B	G225	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EXTERNAL DISPENSER MECHANICAL				
		COMPONENTS	5.12	4.83	10	80
1X7B	K340	ASSEMBLE OR DISASSEMBLE DISPENSER COMPONENTS	4.90	5.35	15	16
IX7B	N477	INSPECT DISPENSERS	4.22	4.71	20	22
IX8B	6223	INSPECT, CLEAN, AND LUBRICATE EJECTOR UNITS	5.91	4.75	15	14
IX8B	N484	INSPECT MULTIPLE EJECTOR RACKS, TRIPLE EJECTOR RACKS,				
		OR BOMB RELEASE UNITS (MERS, TERS, BRUS)	5.48	4.97	20	16
XIA	K347	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS	5.81	5.82	20	20
XIA	J322	REMOVE OR REPLACE JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE SYSTEM ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.75	5.42	20	20
			1	1	, I	ì

TABLE 53 (CONTINUED)

3

SELECTED TASKS MATCHED TO POI 3ABR462X02 PERFORMED BY FEW 462X02 RESPONDENTS

					PERCENT	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
POI			TRAINING	TASK	FIRST-	FIRST-
BLOCK	TASKS		EMPHAS1S*	DIFFICULTY**	JOB	ENLISTMENT
XIA	K351	BENCH CHECK ARMAMENT SYSTEM RELAY PANELS Demont of defiate conventional bombing system electronic	5.55	5.78	13	14
		COMPONENTS	5.18	5.24	13	12
X12A	H248	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL				
		SYSTEMS	5.26	5.28	13	14
X12A	K397	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCHER ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	5.09	6.17	10	8
XI 2A	N500	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF MISSILE LAUNCH ELECTRONIC				
		SYSTEMS	4.53	5.45	e	2
X12A	N499	PERFORM OPERATIONAL CHECKS OF MISSILE LAUNCH ELECTRICAL				
		SYSTEMS	4.52	5.30	10	80
XI2A	1287	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS				
		OTHER THAN SOLID-STATE	3.65	6.80	8	8
X12A	1291	TROUBLESHOOT MISSILE LAUNCH AND CONTROL SOLID-STATE				
		CIRCUIT SYSTEMS	2.86	7.62	æ	2

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

ĩ

谨

# SELECTED TASKS NOT REFERENCED IN POL 3ABR462302 PERFORMED BY MORE THAN 30 PERCENT OF 462X02 RESPONDENTS

				PERCENT MEMBERS	PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING
TASKS		TRAINING EMPHASIS*	TASK DIFFICULTY**	FIRST- JOB	FIRST- ENLISTMENT
6613	LOCATE DADT MUMBEDS EDOM TITUSTPATED DADTS RREAKDOWNS	6 75	3 89	38	45
5717 D530	ARM OR DISARM AIRCRAFY ARMAMENT SYSTEMS	6.38	3.71	59	59
p533	LOAD CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS OTHER THAN AMMUNITION ONTO			I	
	AIRCRAFT	6.19	4.56	31	31
K365	CLEAN AND CORROSION TREAT WEAPONS RELEASE COMPONENTS	5.87	4.10	44	41
1255	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED CANNON PLUGS	5.75	6.64	41	39
1258	REMOVE OR REPLACE AIRCRAFT INSTALLED SWITCHES	5.66	5.55	31	31
1284	TROUBLESHOOT JETTISON OR EMERGENCY RELEASE MECHANICAL				
	SYSTEMS	5.53	6.05	36	35
N478	N478 INSPECT ELECTRICAL WIRING OR HARNESSES	5.19	5.03	31	35

\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TRAINING EMPHASIS RATING WAS 3.10, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 5.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN TRAINING EMPHASIS.

\*\* SINCE THE AVERAGE TASK DIFFICULTY RATING WAS 5.00, A TASK WITH A RATING OF 6.00 OR HIGHER IS CONSIDERABLY ABOVE AVERAGE IN DIFFICULTY.

### DISCUSSION

The training emphasis and task difficulty ratings reported in this study were collected to help Air Force decision makers address the very complex training needs of the Aircraft Armament Systems career field. These data were compared with information from the November 1981 Aircraft Armament Systems OSR to review the present training documents and programs.

An assessment of the 462X0 STSs and POIs revealed that, for the most part, the training documents are adequate as written. However, the survey data in this report and the attached 462X0 training extracts should be reviewed by subject-matter experts to determine if the areas highlighted warrant modification.

