Research Note 82-15

1

Ŧ

 $\mathbb{Z}$ 

SPECIALISED FORMS AND INDIVIDUAL SUBTASKS OF THE TEAM DECISION SYSTEM

> Gordon Pask SYSTEM RESEARCH LIMITED





OTIC FILE COPY

Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences

U. S. Army

June 1981

83 04 15 069

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION Research Note 82-15	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TITLE (and Sublitio) SPECIALISED FORMS AND INDIVIDUAL SUBTASKS OF THE TEAM DECISION SYSTEM	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER Final 1.1.79 - 31.12.80 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(=)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Gordon Pask	DAERO 79-G-0009
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS System Research Limited 35a Kings Road, Richmond upon Thames, Surrey	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 20161102B74F
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS EOR (Army)	12. REPORT DATE June 1981
223 Old Marylebone Road NW1 5TH	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 70
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 differen	t from Report)
	<u>.</u>
B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES D. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block num Decision in complex command and control systems prediction, man machine interaction, Conversati and Tactics. Performance Prediction of plannin tactics in Complex systems, training knowledge	Decision and training and on Theory, Learning Strategie g, strategies and use of representation.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF ! NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED 1. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Date Entered)

Research Note 82-15

### SPECIALISED FORMS AND INDIVIDUAL SUBTASKS OF THE TEAM DECISION SYSTEM

Gordon Pask SYSTEM RESEARCH LIMITED

Edgar M. Johnson Contracting Officer's Representative

> Submitted by: Robert M. Sasmor, Director BASIC RESEARCH

> > Approved by: Joseph Zeidner Technical Director

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE BEHAVIORAL AND SOCIAL SCIENCES 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia 22333

> Office, Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel Department of the Army

> > June 1981

Army Project Number 2Q161102B74F Basic Research

-----

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

# 1. Introduction

A total of 10 subjects, all skilled in previous experience of the Team Decision System (TDS, Fig 1, Fig 2, Fig 3), have completed a series of 3 session experiments, each lasting for several hours, in the 1 commander and 2 craft mode. Program listings for the miniprocessor and for an arbitrary number of microprocessors (4 in Fig 3) are presented in Sections 5 and 6. A further 11 subjects gave partial data.

The experimental design is shown in Table 1. It consists in one session run in a "High Difficulty" condition; one session run in a "Low Difficulty" condition, and one, subsequent, session, run in a "High Difficulty" condition. As indicated in Table 1, the other-than-practice experimental sessions are terminated either by an irreversible collapse in the environment, or, if there is no collapse, then at the next interrogation after a 3.5 hour interval.

The first of the high difficulty sessions is called "practice" even though all of the subjects acting as TDS commanders were familiar with the routines and basic operation of the system . The intention was to introduce most of the contingencies likely to be encountered but without the stress of real life operation. Hence, "practice" might be more accurately replaced by "low stress" and laboratory-like, whereas during both the remaining "low difficulty" and the "high difficulty" sessions the mission was realistic. The results obtained from these experiments are presented in some detail.

High Difficulty	Low Difficulty	High Difficulty
"Practice"	Until breakdown	Until breakdown
(2-2½ hours)	or 3½ hours	or 3½ hours
Reinitialise	(or next in-	(or next in-
if breakdown	terrogation).	terrogation).

# Table 1. Experimental Design

It was only possible (because of the interacting effects of subject attendance and equipment maintenance) to run 2 teams (2 commanders, 4 craft mode of TDS) through the entire 3 session design of Table 1, although there is some team data from partially completed experiments. This data together with the 2 actually completed team series, is recorded and retained on discs, but is not treated statistically in the present report.

# 1.1. Mission

In each session, the mission is the same, and it is described in previous reports, and publications (Pask, 1979, 1980). A subject acts as a mercenary in charge of spacecraft protecting trade routes between "Starbases" and able (like a mercenary) to "invest" in the "economy" of one or several starbases.

The 4 starbases of TDS have an energetic "economy". The amount of the common currency of "energy" units available to any one starbase depends primarily upon the extent and possibility of trade (by exchanging "barges" or "freighters" along trade routes) and the extent to which starbases or barges are "leached" by adjacent marauding objects ("Klingons"). Next, if mercenaries are employed to maintain and promote trade between starbases (amongst other actions by eliminating Klingons in harmful positions) then it is necessary to provide the spacecraft with energy; a transaction in which a spacecraft docks at a starbase and refuels; provided the starbase has enough energy. This transaction depletes the "energy" immediately available to the starbase although, as noted earlier, spacecraft docked at a starbase may also invest any surplus energy in the starbase economy.

All spacecraft activities have an energetic cost; these activities include movement, mining Klingons to eliminate them, and obtaining any information other than the "frame" or "window" (of size 7 x 7 cells in a 32 x 32 cell space) which is given "gratis" through the local scan display of a spacecraft.

Any action of a spacecraft uses up its energy; inaction is impossible (there is an inbuilt default tactic called drifting). Apart from these features there is an overall constraint upon the operation; improvident expenditure of energy in a region of the "space" environment disrupts the environment by changing its connectivity (by making impassable "holes" in "space" or "cracking" the originally torroidal "space" into cylindrical or even rectangular form, and as a result impeding both trading routes and navigation of the spacecraft). In one sense, these transformations of the environment are "semi-reversible" since only craft cooperation and the expenditure of repair energy permits "holes" to be filled, and "cracks" to be "sewn up". Also, as noted in previous reports, spacecraft may run out of energy (in which case they are lost) and starbases may run out of energy and be eliminated. These transformations, of spacecraft and starbases, are irreversible.

Under low difficulty conditions, all of these events are possible, but, if they occur, are due to some move or moves that could (in principle) have been avoided for there is no serious overload of the commander(s). Under high difficulty conditions there is gross overload and the likelihood of emergencies of any kind is much greater. All but one of the high difficulty sessions are terminated by some "irreversible" change, which may be due, indirectly, to a "semi reversible" change (for example, that a crack is made which disrupts the starbase economy, but one craft is lost so that the act of repairing the crack is no longer possible). The low difficulty and high difficulty conditions differ due to the leach rate of "Klingons" (the intruders) upon spacecraft energy and starbase energy. Starbase leach rate is 1000 units (high) and 500 units (low). Spacecraft leach rate is 500 units (high) and 200 units (low).

The average number of "Klingons" in the whole of space is held constant and the initial energy levels as well as the initial configurations of spacecraft, "Klingons" and of bases, are shown in Table 2 and Fig 4.

	Energy Units
Ship X	20,000
Ship Y	20,000
Base A	20,000
Base B	20,000
Base C	20,000
Base D	20,000
Klingons	300
Freighters	300

### Table 2. Initial Conditions

# 1.2. Spacecraft and tactic organisation

Anything a commander does (other than replying to interrogation questions) is done through one or more of the spacecraft; that is, through one or more of the potentially independent microprocessors of Fig 3. Tactics are sequences of "If... then... else" statements of any length and may call for the execution of a further tactic . However, a simple command like "move with thrust x in direction y", if unqualified, is also defined as an unconditional tactic. Consequently, either action, or thought of a contemplated action, are exteriorised in the tactics that are assigned to spacecraft (an arbitrary storage limit has not been exceeded) or are transferred between the spacecraft.

It is important to emphasise:

(a) That tactics govern information retrieval as well as operations such as manoeuvering mining-Klingons, docking, repairing and other more conventionally action-oriented instructions.

(b) That a tactic in one spacecraft may call for another tactic in the same spacecraft or a tactic in a different spacecraft.

#### 1.3. Work Reported

Results from 8 of the 10 subjects completing 3 sessions in the one commander mode (labelled (a) to (h)) are presented in this report since the records from two subjects proved defective as a result of technical difficulties.

Partial, but useful, data is available from 9 of the remaining 11 participants.

# 1.4. Other differences between the experimental sessions

As noted earlier "practice" sessions is, perhaps, a misleading name. Conditions of high difficulty were employed (as in the following "low difficulty" session) but subjects knew at the outset that a definite time limit existed. If their behaviour gave rise to an irreversible and damaging change in the environment, before this time (2 hours, approximately) had elapsed, the subjects knew that the programs would be reinitialised and, in fact, reinitialisation took place.

Subjects taking part in the "practice" did not necessarily have much involvement, apart from the interest of the task. The mission and initial conditions are the same as in the other "high difficulty" session, but performance is not susceptible to peer judgement, and there is no overt "interrogation" except in terms of (disc stored) log statements.

In contrast, for the other sessions, either "low difficulty" or "high difficulty", there is no (announced or perceived) time limit. Subjects do not know whether there is another commander in the system (in the one person task, they only know that they cannot interact with the other commander who may be very experienced in fast paced, demanding or high risk management operations; for example, an aircraft captain) They do know that such a person will scrutinise their results; that they are responsible for keeping the environment viable, in their role as a mercenary, for as long a spell of duty as possible and they <u>are</u> overtly interrogated from time to time.

# 1.5. Decision making responsibility

Elliot Jacques (1956, 1964, 19**78)** conceives responsibility and foresight as closely related to a span of successful and unsupervised activity. It seems fair to comment that "other-than-practice" sessions and the "practice sessions" differ insofar as other-than-practice operation does, overtly, require responsible thought and action; consequently, that Elliot Jacques' time span index (1956, 1964) is an approximate measure of performance in other-than-practice sessions and that an index of the time-span of <u>successful</u>, unsupervised activity is one of its estimators. It is evident that Atkin's proposed indices of dimensionality (1977,1978) are more refined and that the necessary quantification could (and should) be performed. However, the calculations are complex and special programs are needed to perform Atkin's analysis. Within the limits of the year's project it would have been impracticable to arrange for this refinement. It is, however, of interest to note that some measure of that all-encompassing quality "responsibility" is one, and maybe, the only, estimate of "good" decision making.

#### 1.6. Quality

Of course, the question of what, exactly, "good" means, remains open; and there is no reason to suppose that a universal answer is available. The proper answer surely depends upon context dependent desiderata. One important criterion, by no means the only one, is that a decision maker who performs competently under low difficulty (low risk) circumstances is able to perform a comparable task under high difficulty (high risk) circumstances; not, necessarily for so long since overload and fatigue set it. It is also true that the termination of any high difficulty session is likely to occur before termination under conditions of low difficulty. However, the performance should not be "thrown" or perturbed by gross omissions or overreactions if "high" difficulty is introduced.

<sup>o</sup> In summary, whilst style (how a subject deals with manoeuvers, predelictions for a global or a partitioned and stepwise approach) and the conditions under which he does so are (at any rate according to the previous reports) quite reliably as well as readily estimated from stylistic pretests of conceptual and learning style; "Decision Making" is not. It implicates the whole personality and the perspectives, or functional roles, which the decision maker adopts in the conduct of the task.

#### 1.7. Analysis of the Data

Several "grains" or "levels" of analysis of the data are presented in Section 2 of this report; some of them are potentially useful as indicators, or even predictors, of performance, and others (although they are intuitively reasonable and have been employed quite frequently in other studies) seem to have little value in the context of complex decision making. The analyses appearing in the body of the report refer to tactical behaviour and tactic composition; to action and the effectiveness of action in regulating the environment, namely, the "Starbase" economy, the number of "Klingon" intruders in certain regions (near to "trade" routes) and the "energy" which is available at any instant to the spacecraft. An analysis of the state of knowledge (of the 8 subjects for whom comparison is possible) appears in Section 3; namely, interrogation data, consisting in the rectitude and the subjectively estimated veridicality of interrogation session responses.

# 1.8. Overall differences between the subjects

Amongst the 8 subjects considered (a) to (h), it seems likely (c) and (e) would, by almost any commonsense criterion, be regarded as "good" decision makers since they maintain the economy viable under both "high difficulty" and "low difficulty" conditions. Subject (a) possibly subjects (d) and (g) might, using similar commonsense criteria, be deemed "good", under "low difficulty" conditions, but not under more serious overload. Neither subject (b), subject (f) nor (h), are successful in either condition but (f), in particular, does have a considerable and manifest tactical ability even though the elaborate and highly interlocked tactics (amounting to a set of strategies) are not used. Subject (c) alone, maintains the environment for longer than the 3.5 hours interval in the high difficulty situation. It seems that a combination of tactical (or strategic) preparation and the ability to use tactics in some coherent manner ( patching up deficiencies as needs be) and taking action at an appropriate moment are amongst the ingredients of successful decision making in this environment, which is much faster-paced than the usual simulations and may, perhaps, be compared in pace and reality to a military exercise.

In addition to providing some insight into the character and perhaps the quality of decision making, these experiments reveal numerous trend effects. There are session to session positive or negative transfers of learning, (it was noted in Section 1.4., that the "practice" session is possibly misnamed since all the subjects taking part are familiar with the operation of the TDS).

The effects in question are complex and only a few of them are given special attention as having potential importance and considerable regularity.

(a) The apparent predictability of decision-making skill from data gathered in the "practice" session (which suggests that preliminary test trials of predictive value must be realistic enough to engage the subject in responsible action, thought and planning). The condition obtained in this study by using a high difficulty environment likely to uncover many of the contingencies likely to be encountered later.

(b) The apparent predictability of planning or manipulative skills, special tricks, etc., from relatively static tests for learning or conceptual style, but an insensitivity of the stylistic tests to performance and the management of decision making. (c) The influence of a "crack" (the most obtrusive "breakdown" in

the environment) if it occurs in the low difficulty session.

(d) A prominent but irregular change in the complexity and composition of tactics between the "low difficulty" and the "high difficulty" session.

 SHIP X TACTICS

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 18
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18

 1
 DCE
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 18
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18

 1
 DCE
 2
 MAE
 CBB
 DCD
 CCB
 12A
 15
 16
 17
 18

 3
 ICA
 IA
 HAE
 CFB
 IA
 ICA
 5
 6
 7
 8
 7
 IA
 IDA
 16
 17
 18

 5
 MCE
 CBB
 DCD
 ICA
 SDA
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18

 SHIP
 Y
 SENT
 THESE
 I
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 18
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18

 SHIP
 SENT
 THESE<

Table.3.Format for tactic strings of spacecraft X and format for tactic strings of Spacecraft Y.

· • 3 : .) ELENENTS X ELEMENTS 15266 15161 15181 15182 14754 14423 82418 14186 23478 23478 222223323333332238 13866 123966 123966 128336 128336 128632 128632 911P 22222 e -----ROUTES PLOCKED A ROUTES MLOCKED A CO-ORDS CO-ORDS 444 CRACKS 8 \* 12 CRACK5 11001111. EL EMENTS 16595 16175 16226 16226 16226 16226 16226 88555. 19176 19695 19696 19698 16998 16998 4116 CRACKS # # Routes Placked # ROUTES PLOCKED A CO-OKDS 6 E 3332222222222 CRACKS 1 I J ...... 2022255

Fig 4: Four low difficulty blocks of record (Subject b)

Fig 5: Four high difficulty blocks of record (Subject b).

9

-

# 2. Experimental Results

Data from the 3 session experiments have been analysed at several "grains" or "levels" of detail. In this section consideration is given, almost exclusively to :

(I) Overall behaviour and performance using standard criteria such as the energy levels of spacecraft, of starbases; the number of trade routes open, and the energy expended in removing "Klingons" (the marauders that disrupt "trade" between starbases).

(II) A more or less detailed analysis of the tactics built up by each subject, either/both prior to/during the mission and (a very different matter, as it turns out) the use made of these tactics and the extent to which spacecraft are coordinated, in <u>fact</u>, whether in a generally mutualistic manoeuvre or by a rational <u>division</u> of labour.

Interrogation data, where available, is analysed in Section 3.

#### 2.1. General Overview

The source data is exemplified for one subject in Table 3 (print out of tactic strings stored in the spacecraft microprocessors) and, also, for one subject in Table 4 and Table 5 (same subject's performance under "low" and "high" difficulty)

#### 2.2. Aims and Methods

The main goal of the analysis is to determine whether(and, if so, in what sense), decision making performance is predictable either from pretests or practice sessions (which might readily be implemented as a dynamic test procedure ).

Due to the somewhat curious circumstances under which I examined the records and performed the analyses, I adopted an unusual although, once stated, quite legitimate, expedient. Instead of applying ran-parametric tests to begin with: later, parametric measures like SD or correlation etc., I first obtained parametric statistics which are readily computed on a sophisticated H P calculator designed for this purpose. These indices are tabulated, where relevant, even though the data does not always (although it often does) justify the use of such indices. For example, means, standard deviations, and correlations are cited. These, regardless of their statistical justification, are good measures of <u>averages</u> of <u>variability</u>, and of non-causal-relatedness and they should be interpreted as such, ie. as convenient and conventional summaries.

Only when large or apparently significant differences and correlations are manifest, the data is subjected to non-parametric tests, which are quite legitimate according to the canons of statistics. When noted, as distinct figures, data from the 1½ subjects who did not complete all the sessions, has been adjoined to the original.

It is clear, on inspection, that statistical <u>canons</u> are <u>not</u> necessarily best suited to data of this type. They are founded upon assumptions of linear, or piecewise non linear relations between quantities and it is Subject (a)

	(a)Mean	SD		(b) Mean	SD
Pract	A 45.84 B 16.16 C 15.65 D 16.14	26.35 01.74 02.13 06.91	Pract	A 69.64 B 28.70 C 35.50 D 26.42	26.12 32.06 12.40 13.96
	E/4 23.43	E 93773		E/4 48.28	€19.31
•	X 23.70 Y 17.52	01.91 22. <b>43</b>		X 18.52 Y 14.84	04.94 02.29
•	′r(X,Y) -0	.1306,N=4		r(X,Y) -0.	770 , N <i>=</i> 7
	41.	22		´ 33 <b>.</b> 4	6
Low	A 50.05 B 59.25 C 34.22 D 25.54	17.24 39.82 15.53 17.53	Low	A 16.35 B 25.78 C 25.30 D 12.68	03.04 09.22 08.39 03.62
	2/4 42.37 V 15 01	02 57		y 10 25	07 63
	Y 14.91	05.25		Ý 10.77	06.39
	r(X,Y) +0	.271,N-12		r(X,Y) +0.	979, N <del>-</del> 7
	29.	92		21.	02
High	A 63.65 B 15.22 C 15.96 D 15.19 E/4 27.50	03.03 02.35 02.01 02.70 £110.20	High	A 27.12 B 10.18 C 10.15 D 09.70 E/4 14.26	01.66 08.52 06.11 05.88 £57.15
	X 18.49 Y 75.85	05.37 09.13		X 12.48 Y 12.33	03.62 04.65
	r(X,Y) +0	.450,N=4		r(X,Y) +0.	233, N=9
	· 94.	34		24.	81

Tables 6 a, b, c, d: Mean Energies of Starbases A, B, C, D. Spacecraft X, Y, and correlations between the energy mean of X and the energy mean of Y. The Standard Deviation of these quantities is indicated as A-D, the  $\mathcal{E}/4/$  is a mean of mean values and the value is their overall sum.

	Subject (c)			Subject (d)		
Pract	(c) Mean A 40.58 B 13.09 C 26.36 D 15.73 €/4 23.94	SD 11.01 03.81 10.87 04.84 €95.75	Pract	(d)Mean A 33.62 B 21.20 C 15.45 D 17.22 €/4 21.87	SD 18.75 05.02 02.52 02.44 E87.49	
	X 16.35 Y 21.48	03.95 03.73		X 08.70 Y 14.75	07.81 04.13	
	r(X,Y) -0.	143,N=6		r(X,Y) -0.	101, N=4	
	A 17.73 B 27 15	08.38		A 23.03	07.06	
Low	C 79.64 D 25.78	33.45 12.75	Low	В 24.89 С 19.47 D 48.96	11.68 17.51	
	٤/4 37.57	E150.30		E/4 29.08	£116.30	
	X 16.40 Y 22.55	05.61 09.06		X 14.71 Y 13.84	05.07 06.12	
	r(X,Y) +0.	414 ,N=10	r(X,Y) -0.090,N=11			
	A 62.16 B 25.92 C 09.80	21.60 24.92 07.35		A 11.77 B 24.77 C 12 10	04.71 12.05 04.51	
Hìgh	D 16.20	09.95	High	D 07.72	06.47	
	E/4 28.44	E113.70		E/4 14.09	E 56.36	
	X 32.69 Y 11.72	17.88 06.27		X 05.70 Y 12.17	03.70 07.76	
	r(X,Y) -0.	472, N =1 1		r(X, Y) + (	0.878,N-4	

ł

Table 6 (b)

	Subj	ect (e)	Subject (f)		
Pract	(e) Mean A 19.04 B 32.05 C 20.04 D 22.46	SD 03.40 05.86 11.20 20.05	Pract	(f) Mean A 21.86 B 15.26 C 16.86 D 16.30	SD 03.34 01.45 01.75 01.65
	E14 23.39	É93.59		Ė/4 17.57	E70.26
	X 17.05 Y 22.52	03.32 04.04		X 05.03 Y 05.93	05.07 05.85
	r(X, Y) -	0.016 N		r(X,Y) +0	.992 <sub>,</sub> №6
Low	A 12.01 B 11.20 C 16.65 D 38.72 E/4 19.54 X 25.03 Y 08.73 r(X,Y) -0.	04.34 04.74 01.36 11.57 € 78.99 14.27 08.76 747,N=8	Low	A 14.76 B 21.24 C 14.65 D 22.94 E/4 18.35 X 15.92 Y 08.98 r(X,Y) +0.	02.04 13.95 03.15 17.60 €73.53 03.07 03.78 626,N≤5
High	A 26.17 B 32.65 C 16.10 D 28.90	08.66 13.28 06.81 16.15	High	A 33.82 B 11.32 C 08.05 D 32.97	23.13 05.69 03.38 09.40
	E/4 25.95	£103.80		E/4 21.54	E86.16
	X 15.46 Y 14.91	04.94 04.75		X 05.45 Y 06.77	07.87 04.84
	r(X,Y) +0.681,N=8			r(X,Y) +0.7	43, N~4

.

ł.

Table 6 (c)

13

	Subject (g)			Subject (h)	
	(g) Mean	SD		(h) Mean	SD
	A 24.75 B 11.64 C 15.52 D 16.00	10.48 08.16 05.40 08.95		A 41.87 B 12.92 C 32.04 D 21.21	10.86 04.94 10.25 05.52
Pract	E/4 16.97	E67.91	Pract	Ef4 27.01	E108.00
-	X 25.00 Y 16.45	05.28 04.52		X 17.64 Y 16.11	02.67 03.53
	r(X,Y) -0.	142 ,N =		r(X,Y) -0.	.201 ,N =

r(X,Y) +0.252,N=12				r(X,Y) +0	.232, N=9
	X 15.72 Y 14.64	07.52 09.01		X 14.99 Y 16.05	03.25 04.00
	<i>C</i> /4 40.52	£162.40		E/4 41.85	E167.40
Low	A 66.06 B 45.54 C 22.84 D 27.97	20.55 18.20 05.46 08.03	Low	A 16.01 B 80.02 C 21.15 D 50.22	04.61 18.98 05.67 04.21

				•
	A 12.60 B 10.26 C 18.82 D 11.50	04.46 02.91 02.22 01.80	A 11.20 B 30.53 C 20.19 D 09.50	5 06.55 2 09.11 9 09.52 5 02.24
High	E/4 13.37	E 53.48	High <i>E</i> /4 17.88	B E71.53
	X 19.55 Y 11.02	04.92 04.00	X 13.25 Y 11.58	05.06 04.94
r(X,Y) +0.111,N=5		r(X,Y)	-0.187, N=6	

Table 6(d)

14

•			
Subject		En(X)	En(Y)
(a)	Low	0	4242
	High	2165	0
(b)	Low	0	0
	High	18612	9962
(c)	Low	30714	18276
	High	5548	53694
(d)	Low	8044	8235
	High	7433	0
(e)	Low	9655	11604
	High	1895	11257
(f)	Low	1029	1685
	High	0	0
(g)	Low	12824	0
	Hìgh	1600	1022
(h)	Low	18465	1789
• •	High	600	1024

Tables 7a, b, c. Energies of Spacecraft En(X), En(Y), of complete investment, of loss to Klingons and Klingons eliminated; of cracks unrepaired as well as holes unrepaired; the energies of Starbases A, B, C, D at the end of low and "high"difficulty condition missions(at the end of mission for all subjects).

	Sessions	Invest	Loss	Eliminate	Holes
(a)	12	3883	61500	29	6
• •	4	1406	12500	0	0
(b)	7	4030	24000	36	2
•	9	3212	11000	14	11
(c)	10	9716	29500	20	16
	11	9600	52000	37	10
(d)	11	1021	60500	19	2
	4	2899	42000	7	0
(e)	<b>18</b>	1204	24500	22	16
	<b>_8</b>	7106	39000	45	0
(f)	<u>:</u> 5	255	16000	12	5
	4	500	26000	10	2
(g)	4	1800	2561 <b>2</b>	22	8
	4	2200	4422	44	2
(h)	4	· 0	1820	2	7
	4	0	2000	6.	4

Table 7(b)

	Sta	rbases			
A	В	C	D	÷/4	
8250	12473	2511	1132	6091	Low
7875	1443	1441	1418	3044	High
1199	30437	31013	13686	2170	
52753	11560	3560	13060	21/6	LOW
02700	11500	3300	1805	55/1	High
2794	3846	9849	3695	5045	Low
8291	5105	716	2307	4331	High
2436	3066	3847	5202	265.0	• -
6605	22020	1272	5285	3058	Low
0005	32320	13/3	0034	1023	High
571	448	3269	3896	2046	Low
1833	4035	1924	3841	2900	High
1151	4597	1073	5421		
52710	2702	1075	5421	3060	Low
32713	3763	4209	3322	1604	High
7678	11219	2920	12576	REGR	•
15961	2000	4002	9700	7600	LOW
			0/30		High
6304	1129	2784	8406	4655	الضب
5780	2188	1909	2250	3031	LUW
			6690	2021	High

<u>Table 7(c)</u>

questionable if this type (or <u>dimension</u>) of regularity is a fair assumption in the analysis of such data. At least, the implicit assumption is "safe", but alternative and well-founded, but more liberal, analytic methods are available (notably, Atkin's Q-Analysis) and it looks as though they should be employed (quite certainly, in terms of obtaining a broader and just-as-legitimate base for the description and analysis; possibly, to advantage in obtaining more incisive results). Q-Analysis relates to but is not identical with, the indices noted in Section 1.5. I learn, for example, that the AMTE are currently using Q analysis, experimentally, in this direction with their comparable-to-TDS, HUNK system.

This task has not been attempted (a) because it is possible to select many equally legitimate frameworks to set up the required matrices (b) because the post-hoc data manipulation for any one framework is quite burdensome. (c) as soon as several different frames are tried (which is necessary) the task becomes impracticable as a post-hoc exercise (d) the most provident approach, and probably the only practical approach, is to build a variety of frames for data into the computer programs that log and condense the on line data flow throughout performance (AMTE do just this).

#### 2.3. Grains of analysis and description.

Table 6 (for 11 subjects) shows the result of taking averages (over one complete session) for such traditionally used indices as the mean energy levels of starbases or of the spacecraft; typically, Type I" summaries. Quite clearly, an averaging of this kind conceals a number of important and, viewed globally, obvious features of the welfare of the starbase economy; for example, the fact that there is a near breakdown (avoided and ingeniously so, by the subject taking a calculated risk at one point the high difficulty session of Subject c). All the same, indices of that kind are not infrequently employed in economic studies, and, unless over-ridden by commonsense, may even have tenure in the military domain (for example, the lip service, at least, paid to game theory or simulation gaming and the like which do, for all their many virtues, rely upon averages, probabilities and variations from the supposed linear or, at best, piecewise-non-linear paradigm).

Inspection of Table 6 reveals only some rather unimpressive relations which, quite frankly, it does not seem worth pursuing or reporting. There is, of course, a great deal of difference between the subjects, their styles and modes of operation. No doubt a larger sample would give a few statistically significant results. But there is no reason to suppose that a large sample, giving numbers that obey the central limit theorem, would provide a <u>genuinely</u> more discriminating predictor set than an average over the <u>unusually</u> <u>accurate</u> indices obtainable in TDS.

Table 7, which shows the cumulative final values of starbase energies, Klingons demolished, energy spent in demolishing them, numbers of cracks or holes in space, and similar quantities is, perhaps, marginally more informative than Table 6, but it scarcely provides the kind of information

Blocks . Mission Difficulty	Subject label	Interactions	Conditionals	Information obtained and available as result of tactics	ć No Instructions	🗲 No Tactics	Instructions	Tactics	Transfer Statements		
12 Low	(a)	1.04	1.87	3.41 L	71	19	11	8	5		
9 High		0.75	1.25	0.50 H	52	15	.3	7	8		
7 Low	(b)	0.06	2.92	2.35 L	86	27	20	7	4		
9 High		0.44	2.77	3.44 H	102	42	22	10	8		
9 Low	(c)	2.43	3.11	2. <b>4</b> 4 L	84	25	19	15	10		
11 High		2.22	2.27	2.54 H	152	52	37	17	8		
11 Low	(d)	1.77	0.81	0.81 L	67	29	10	7	1		
4 High		1.50	0.37	0.50 H	40	15	3	4	0		
8 Low	(e)	2.37	1.87	1.06 L	55	21	6	4	2		
8 High		2.43	3.00	2.63 H	9 <b>9</b>	27	17	19	4		
5 Low	(f)	0.20	2.10	1.90 L	38	15	8	5	2		
4 High		0.01	2.36	2.12 H	55	23	11	8	<b>4</b> .		
12 Low	(g)	2.24	1.12	1.05 L	80	24	12	8	4		
9 High		1.34	0.46	0.52 H	146	32	5	6	4		
6 Low	(h)	0.04	0.75	0.64 L	25	18	n.	8	2		
5 High		0.24	0.35	0.52 H	56	16	2	6	0		
10 Low	(0)				70	42	14	4	9	• ,•	
8 High	l				50	50	8	2	6	110.0	46.0
9 Low	(p)				41	11	11	1	2		
5 High					67	26	12	4	4	54.0	18.5
12 Low	(q)				98	25	6	17	5		
6 High					26	45	8	11	3	112.0	35.0
			Table 8	10							

Subj	ects												
		UM	XM	RM	UC	XC	RC	MM	MC	N	0	. C	V
t	(a)	0.87	0.00	0.23	0.60	0.04	0.36	0.36	0.33	72	<b>3</b> C	88	59
	(b)	0.27	0.00	0.30	0.04	0.27	0.35	0.19	0.22	91	76	84	66
-su	(c)	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.47	0.28	0.57	0.30	0.44	85	75	7 <del>9</del>	88
sio	(d)	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.65	0.33	0.58	0.03	0.52	66	71	72	67
Ses	(e)	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.70	0.30	0.76	0.04	0.58	87	87	70	64
Ξ	(f)	0.35	0.63	0.08	0.09	0.21	0.33	0.35	0.21	70	65	73	58
Ę	(g)	0.44	0.17	0.13	0.15	0.20	0.54	0.24	0.29	84	89	60	70
l	(h)	0.13	0.18	0.17	0.23	0.05	0.28	0:16	0.18	28	55	34	60
1	(i)	0.33	0.12	0.24	0.58	0.16	0.86	0.23	0.53	54	73	63	47
	(j)	0.05	0.07	0.00	0.47	0.20	0.76	0.04	0.48	97	33	65	56
igh	(k)	0.08	0.21	0.37	0.25	0.08	0.23	0.22	0.56	15	65	61	31
н	(1)	0.20	0.14	0.06	0.15	0.14	0.50	0.13	0.13	85	16	78	10
Ž	(m)	0.26	0.16	0.01	0.50	0.47	0.61	0.14	0.52	66	68	60	33
ł	(n)	0.00	0.13	0.19	0.70	0.18	0.34	0.10	C.40	72	55	63	27
ac	(o)	0.01	0.25	0.06	0.56	0.45	0.50	0.11	0.50	40	88	34	78
pr	ט פ(ף)	0.29	0.32	0.18	0.50	0.05	0.24	0.26	0.26	83	25	76	15
₽ •	(q)	0.55	0.54	0.34	0.61	0.50	0.77	0.4Z	0.63	84	84	79	73

Key: UM, XM, RM = Confidence estimates of "if mistaken" on U, X, R subscores

UC, XC, RC = "Correct" confidence estimate on U, X, R, subscores

.

.

- MM, MC = Degree of belief in correct and mistaken
  - N = Neutral score
  - 0 = Operation Learning
  - C = Comprehension Learning
  - V = Versatility.

Table 9: Results obtained from tests for conceptual style and administered to all subjects participating as commanders in the experimental sessions (some before, some after and some in the course of the sessions).

(a)	Prod Sum Av. Miss Pr.	06.18 (5) 08.50 012.36	06.63 (12) 02.11 055.25	00.47 (9) 00.83 005.22
(b)	Prod Sum Av. Miss Pr.	00.64 (7) 19.23 000.91	00.42 (7) 02.14 000.60	00.04(8) (9) 02.22 000.44
(c)	Prod Sum Av. Miss Pr.	16.08 (12) 26.16 133.30	18.43 (9) 26.93 204.30	12.08 (11) 23.40 109.80
(d)	Prod. Sum Av. Miss Pr.	000.66 (8) 09.66 008.25	01.16 (11) 01.60 010.54	00.28 (4) 00.79 007.00
(e)	Prod. Sum Av. Miss. Pr.	04.24 (7) 25.96 060.57	05.69 (8) 19.40 071.12	01.92 (8) 26.36 024.00
(f)	Prod. Sum. Av. Miss. Pr.	00.16 (7) 13.43 002.85	00.79 (5) 03.56 037.57	00.07 (4) 18.30 001.75
(g)	Prod. Sum Av. Miss. Pr.	00.59 (10) 08.63 000.59	02.63 (12) 01.47 021.91	00.32 (9) 07.73 003.55
(h)	Prod. Sum Av. Miss. Pr.	00.08 (5) 04.63 000.15	00.19 (6) 04.36 003.16	00.32 (5) 03.70 000.80
	Prod Mean Prod SD Av. Miss Pr. Mean Av. Miss Pr. SD	3.578 5.527 2.737 4.731	4.4492 6.136 5.055 6.698	1.902 4.153 1.907 3.744

Table 10. Product scores and their average over complete session (number of blocks shown in brackets) and summative scores (which are related but less discriminating as well as less well justified) derived from the data exhibited in Table 8. Subject (a) to (h) means and subject SDs (there is obviously a great deal of subject variation) are shown on lower part of the table. All subsequent analysis based upon product scores.

# . Summary scores for complete series :.

----

Subjects (a) to (h) and subjects who have completed at least two sessions in sequence (in several cases (o) to (q) the reason for the omitted session is technical, the practice records are imperfect leaving only two sessions).

.

Subjects	Practice	Low	High
<b>1</b> (a)	06.18	06.63	00.47
(b)	00.64	00.42	00.04(8)
50 (c)	16.08	18.43	12.08
(b) <sup>321</sup>	00.66	01.16	00.28
్త (e)	04.24	05.69	01.92
[] (f)	00.16	00.79	00.07
<b>(</b> g)	00.59	02.63	00.32
(h)	00.08	00.19	00.04(0)
<b>1</b> (i)	06.00	07.05	
<b>j</b> (j)	00.11	00.21	
호 (k)	00.23	00.46	
(1)	03.02	04.80	
(m)	00.54	00.61	
(n)	00.73	00.90	
ີ່ (o)		06.04	04.07
(p)		00.32	00.22
בָּ <sup>_</sup> (q)		00.40(6)	00.31
<b>.</b>			

Table 11.

Variables related	rs	Z
N×	+0.587	1.856 *
Ny	+0.163	0.515
NR	+0.311	0.983
0×	+0.427	1.400 *
0у	+0.444	1.403 *
OR	+0.118	0.373
C×	-0.440	1.404 *,
Cy	-0.256	0.810
CR	+0.185	0.585
٧×	+0.973	3.076 +
Vу	+0.598	1.890 *
VR	+0.306	0.967
VF	+0.250	0.791
VG	+0.250	0.791
xR	+0.349	1.104
yR	+0.295	0.932

Table 12: Rank correlations for 11 relevant subjects. between stylistic test scores N, O, C, V and the mean number of instructions (x) given (not as a rule used) and (y) the number of tactic strings. Also, between N, O, V, C scores and F the low difficulty use; G the high difficulty use and R the mean use.

- R = Mean use of tactics over low and high difficulty missions.
  \* = sensibly significant values
- //= negative values

from which decision making performance could be predicted with any real (not just statistical) confidence at all, and the quantities are mostly tabulated as they stand, without analytic scrutiny.

The differences between Table 6 and Table 7 may be characterised as different "grains" of scrutiny of data Type I and are thus tagged for reference at a later stage as Type I(1) and Type I(2) data. In this study it happens that the distinction within Type\_I is not at all\_outstanding, but this is probably accidental and the differentiation has potential value.

Tables 8, 9, 10, 11, are much more illuminating. They show one (of many possible) analyses of tactic composition and tactic deployment during task performance, ie. the use made of the exteriorised mental resources invested by a subject and used or not at the moments when contingencies in the environment render them desirable or even necessary resources. These tables, show in other words, one of many types of detailed analysis of "Type II", in sharp contrast to the gross measures (Type I), presented in Tables 6 and 7. In the sequel, attention is directed primarily to these Type II indices.

Again, but in this case, more usefully, it is possible to discriminate grains within Type II, notably to distinguish between detailed and careful but <u>static</u> analyses of tactics stated(Type II(1)) and the <u>dynamic</u> examination of those (as well as those kinds of) tactics not only stated but employed (Type II (2)). Both kinds of data are informative but it appears that Type II(2) is if pecuities predictive value.

Table 8 is formed by examining the data concerned with tactics that are stated but not necessarily (and often are not), frequently employed in practice. The figures are obtained, in this case, by inspection and hand manipulation from tactic printout, exemplified by Table 3. The tactic strings are decomposed into types of statement (conditional, transfer of control between spacecraft, obtaining information, movement, etc.), without reference to how, or how often, the tactics in question were employed. Such categories, although not unique, give a fair picture of tactics available to a commander and thus a summary of the extent to which the commander planned ahead; this account is an imperfect record of action and is defective as a record of planning insofar as it does not stipulate when tactics are created, only their order of construction (ie.the record does not show whether tactics are built up well before their potential use or whether they are constructed just before they are used). This deficiency could, and should, be remedied in future versions of the logging program but in the present case, under unusually fast moving conditions, when coherent action depends upon anticipation and foresight (as confirmed, empirically by examining the commander's personal log data, monitored at each interrogation session) the record is a fair estimate of planning complexity, even planning skillfulness, but not, as already stressed, of the use or deployment of tactics that have been planned.

Table 9 shows scores for the 17 subjects on the relatively static Spy Ring History stylistic test, (ie. of the 10 who completed the series 3 sessions, of whom 2 were excluded because of a program or possibly hardware defect, leaving 8 in all with perfect records), plus the other 11(who failed to attend throughout all of the sessions). Because of this it is possible to correlate the "Spy Ring History test" scores, an index of conceptual style, for the 8 complete records only or, in some cases where data about tactics (like Table 4) are available for some but not all sessions, with this index of style for a larger number of subjects. Both figures are cited in the sequel, with proper annotation, as a means of strengthening some conclusions which may be drawn from examination of the 8 complete (3 session) records.#

Table 8 and Table 9 present the Type II(1) data.

In contrast, Table 10 is a summary of the Type II(2) data which is garnered, with much greater difficulty, after the event (future versions of the data logging program could, and should, incorporate an on line and computerised data summary of this type, which is a routine matter once "this type" has been discovered).

In order to compose Table 10 it was necessary to analyse performance data exemplifed by Table 4 and Table 5 (the complete behaviour data in which tactic use is referenced by the numbers assigned to tactics). This process is arduous if performed by hand, since, for example, Ship X Tactic 15, or Ship Y Tactic 16, have, as a rule, different meanings for different commanders and also, in general, for the same commander at different sessions. In order to determine the meaning or meanings of the tactics it is necessary to refer to the tactic listings (exemplified by Table 3) and to search for the occurence of whatever a used tactic does mean at the moment it is used.

However, having done this, we obtain an exceptionally detailed picture of what exactly goes on . The picture is summarised for each subject and each <u>session</u> in Table 11 where tactic use is aggregated in terms of interactions between spacecraft (X or Y), of conditional statements used, and of information statements used. The product of these terms is one (adequate but neither unique nor necessarily optimum) method of obtaining a numerical value for the presence of all of these ingredients. One set of figures

The latest form of the "Spy Ring History Test" was employed in this study. It differs from previous forms only in the scoring scheme; in the latest form, "versatility" score is presented as a measure of successful "prediction"; of "going beyond the information given" and without the recall weighting. Further, the: confidence estimates are scored independently (ie. they do not enter into the calculation of the "versatility" or "comprehension" learning or the "operation" learning scores), so that for each type of Question in the test (ie. those scoring on versatility, on comprehension, and on operation learning) it is possible to tabulate a "confidence correct", or "confidence mistaken" and an overall correct or mistaken degree of confidence, in the answer furnished.

The latest form of the test was recently shown, in a different experiment with 74 subjects, to have greater discriminating capability.



in Table 11 refers to an entire mission, the number of interrogation sessions being recorded. The other set of figures is a "per session" index, obtained by regarding the interrogation sessions as episodal "punctuation marks" and dividing by the number in a mission. Values of a summative index are also shown.

Table 12 is a statistical summary of the Type II(1) and Type II(2) analysis of tactics and the use made of them.

### 2.4. Main Conclusions and General Results

As promised in Section 1.8. the data and summary tables indicate (Section 1.8(a) ) that the indices reflecting the use of tactics do correlate, for each of the 8 recorded subjects, from session to session ie. practice in high difficulty conditions, a mission under conditions of low difficulty and a subsequent mission under conditions of high difficulty (as specified in Section 1.1). Since this appears to be so for the product moment coefficient, Spearman's  $r_s$  is also recorded in Table 11. Assuming that =

 $Z = r_s \times \sqrt{N-1} = r_s - \sqrt{7}$ (ie. that the distribution<sup>S</sup> of  $r_s$  approaches the normal for N = 8) the resulting Z values are:

Ζ	Practice/Low	=	2.32
Ζ	Low / Hign	=	2.48
Ζ	Practice/High	=	2.48

which reach significance at 0.01 > p, the former only marginally.

However, if the partial data from the ll subjects who did not complete all the sessions is adjoined (and it is available for "practice" and "low" in 6 cases) the values of  $r_s$  are little changed (0.901 in contrast to 0.873) but the Z value for N = 8+6 = 14 becomes Z Practice/Low = 3.24 N = 14 = 8 + 6

which reaches significance at 0.001 yp.

A similar "trick" of "adjoining" partial data can be carried out for 3 subjects who do not have records for the practice session. This provides figures:

 $r_s = 0.83$ , Z Low/High = 2.63 N = 11 = 8 + 3

which is significant at 0.005, p, and again lends numerical weight to the correlation beliwed to exist.

Apart from the disquiet voiced in Section 2.2 about the applicability of fundamental statistical assumptions in the proper analysis of this type of data there are no obvious deficiencies in calculation with the "trick" of "adjunction" (it is no more suspect than using matched but unequally sized samples, taking "sessions" as the equivalent of "matched").

Regarding the influence of learning upon the overall results (and learning of some kinds undoubtedly does take place) we are anxious to demonstrate that the practice session with reinitialisation under high difficulty conditions is not significantly worse than the mission under high difficulty conditions. In fact, it is the case (for the 8 complete record subjects) that performance, either as judged by the mission average or the average over interrogations, invariably true that the "practice" session at high difficulty proves superior to that in the high difficulty "mission".

In all but one case (Subject (b)) there is a not altogether surprising trend, which indicates that the results are not due to familiarity.

Performance low difficulty mission > Performance Practice (at high difficulty) > Performance high difficulty mission.

Jonckhere's trend test, applied to this data, shows that a trend is significant at  $0.01 \ge p$ .

#### 2.5. Other Findings from the Research

Section 18(b) states that there is a significant correlation realting static tests of conceptual style.

In order to exhibit this point, I have chosen an accessible-to all subjects (that is, 8 with complete records and a further set of 9 having incomplete but useable records) index of tactical complexity: the mean value, over sessions, of instructions in tactics and distinct tactics (shown in Table 8). There is a significant (0.01 > p) as well as interesting, correlation between at least the versatility score on the test for conceptual style and the index of tactic complexity (N = 11, 8+3), and a modest, although positive, correlation with the product index, already noted, which comprehends both the <u>planning</u> and the <u>use</u> of tactics in on line performance of the decision task (notice, however, that N = 11, also in this case). We may however, compare "Low Difficulty" session index and the stylistic test scores for all 17 subjects for which there is a correlation of 0.561.

The rank correlation coefficients  $(r_s)$  and the Z values collected in Table 12 for 11 relevant subjects, furnish numerical and legitimate support for the claims of Section 1.8(a) as well as those of Section 1.8(b). The stylistic test scores have been correlated with the number of tactic strings and the total number of <u>instructions</u> in each tactic string (the "static" Type II(1) indices) <u>averaged</u> over both high and low difficulty sessions (x and y of Table 8). The index R of Table 12), "dynamic index of tactic use (Table 11), but once again averaged over both high and low difficulty missions. Variables F and G are rankings of the dynamic performance from the Low Difficulty (F) and the High Difficulty (G) mission.

First x (number of instructions) is a more rationally defensible variate that y as an index of planning ahead; next, the V score correlates strongly with this variate (so, to a lesser extent, do the 0 and N scores). Oddly perhaps, the C score (Comprehension learning) correlates negatively with either index of planning although there is a modest positive correlation with R (the "dynamic" or tactic use index).

Versatility, V, which is a very fair predictor of planning ability, correlates positively but not significantly with R and a similar comment applies to F or to G. It may thus be concluded that V, whilst a good predictor of planning, is not so good as a predictor of actual decision making.

It was stated in Section 1.8(c) that one pronounced learning trend is a regular difference in high difficulty mission performance according to whether or not a "crack", the most obtrusive disruption of "space" occurs during the preceeding low difficulty mission (when the "crack" is reasonably attributable to improvident energy expenditure in Klingon elimination). The effect of a low difficulty mission "crack" if it occurs, is invariably an overly cautious approach to Klingon elimination and energy expenditure, for some subjects only over the first few, interrogation-punctuated, segments, but for others throughout the entire mission. This effect is best observed by scrutinising the summary Table 6 and Table 7 but deserves attention because a training procedure could be devised to counter it.

It was stated in Section 1.8(d), that there is a prominent but idiosyncratic change in the complexity of tactics that are planned but not necessarily, used, from the low difficult mission to the high difficulty mission. There is invariably a difference but inspection of Table 8 is sufficient to show that the sense of the difference depends upon the subject and so far as I can see is not related in any predictably useful way to performance quality.

#### 2.6. Summary of Main Results of analysis of tactics and behaviour

Inspection of the summary tables and the tables showing their origins strongly supports the view that if a <u>detailed</u> and <u>structural</u> analysis is performed (in practice, it is better done by a program operating on line), then the construction and use of tactics is predictable from session to session and under different conditions of difficulty. The Type II(2) analysis presented and discussed in this report is not optimal, but an informed guess in the right direction. By way of contrast, neither Type I(1), Type I(2) or Type II(2) analyses, of lesser "grain" or detail, show great regularity from session to session in the design described and have little obvious bearing upon the behaviours and intentions that make up the decision process.

(A) Type II(1) analyses, derived from tactic listings and taken to be representative of planning capability, do correlate significantly with the "Spy Ring History" Stylistic test scores. In fact, almost self evidently under the experimental conditions which require rapid action, the existence of a coherent plan is a prerequisite for the effective use of tactics and a commonsense interpretation of effective decision making. But a lengthy set of tactics or a tendency to make many stage tactics is not a particularly reliable indicator of coherence. For example, in Table 8, subject (c) (who unequivocably performed well) has 52 tactics containing 152 instructions in the high difficulty condition; 25 tactics containing 84 instructions in the low difficulty condition. Whereas subject (b) (who unequivocably performed not-so-well) has, again from Table 8, 42 tactics containing 102 instructions under high difficulty conditions and 27 tactics containing 86 instructions for the low difficulty condition. Sometimes, the ordering of "high difficulty" in contrast to the "low difficulty" numbers may be inverted, as shown for example, by comparing (Table 8) subject (d) with subject (e) in this respect.

(B) The coherence of tactics may perhaps be inferred indirectly, from the constitution and type of the tactics listed. For instance, the "information" instruction, "conditional" instruction, and "transfer" instruction sums of Table 8 are guite interesting.



12245 - O ytys. R get , v . v back to AL ALMEL A The CS TRAILS TO HS 13 C fr ec. M S ٩2 AS 17 MAI 4. More to met y powithe repart y (of me Allington de A4 -> & catter 5. Tamper to A5 Report 9 43 A9 IZ بح A 107 burger dock ち 2) t 44 A8 . NAT Í ent 45 11 - 1 15 11 y dak sap 4 A645 A 7 MYB 487 47 M V 1604 12 mar AS MEI **~** Rep ٩ 7846

29

Table 13

)

.

•

-

DV4 Low 140 Greater AI AI TH IZ opent M 1 Il Repeat AZ To dock since i AZ To dock nine near 4 New C m & propert M N, IZ, repeat A3 H AT ST A A3 ready r dock andle to see 1 Lep E M3 freighters amore 90 A4 toto & since A OK m A4 K dock Y or and circle move M 75, CII, D3, II, 57 ryent Lestray not trade AS goto D now A5 as c should be ome to OK M-> 7, CII, D4, 5, 85 C.II, DES ANNUICI, DIR Ab Dasy or CII D'S MUM AG Intinted in Go to C I1-3,4 .. A7

Table 14

30

However, this or some more refined breakdown, is only a predictor given further background. It is thus suggested that coherent tactics, planning, or whatever is a prerequisite for effective decision making, but is not a sufficient condition to predict its occurrence. Prediction, insofar as it is possible, depends upon examining, also, how the tactics available are, in fact, used (the figures for interactions, cited in subsequent tables, are only derivable from this kinetic data; the conditional and the information instruction frequencies rely upon a kinematic analysis of the actual performance).

(C) Results from the "Spy Ring History" test for conceptual style are of use in determining the mooted prerequisite; they are not very strongly correlated with individual performance, but are likely to prove valuable in the context of group decision making where, for example, it may be possible to combine someone with planning ability (high versatility) and someone able to act incisively if only the plans or tactics were to hand (sometimes, at least, a person with high comprehension learning scores).

#### 2.7. Other methods of Viewing Data

Numerical indices are not the only, or even the best, method of giving substance to tactical and behavioural data. For example, it is possible and informative to plot the positions of the spacecraft as they move on their mission. These plots, exemplified by Fig 6 to Fig 12 give a fair graphic account of what is happening. To add data (currently obtained at the unequally spaced interrogation sessions but available, if desired, at equal intervals), would render the pictures more meaningful (ie. state of vehicles, of space, and of energy expended). Perhaps it is more meaningful still to adjoin an appropriate condensation of the subject personal log, firmed up at each interrogation session (Tables, 13 and 14). These personal logs have for example, served already to explain, in retrospect, the general findings of the analysis so far carried out.

The main difficulty is that static pictures, thus augmented. become exceptionally complex, and visually confusing. It seems likely, however, that this potentially valuable descriptive mode could be utilised if the complex images were presented through an interactive and dynamic computer-graphics-display system.

# 2.8. Recommendations regarding the analysis of tactics and behaviour

Recommendations are as follows:

- (a) To refine (one or more) indices of Type II(2).
- (b) To write programs for on-line data collection, in this form, and on-line analysis of the data.
- (c) To adopt (one or almost certainly several) bases from a Q analytic approach, especially to capture the relations of <u>balance that determine stability</u> of the starbase economy or any other organisation and which are concerned with Type I(1) or even Type I(2): Similarly,

to write programs for data collection and data analysis with respect to all Q analytic indices (which is in accord with current experimentation in the AMTE).

- (d) To examine more closely the ability of stylistic tests, such as the Spy Ring History test for conceptual style, to predict the planning capability of individuals (not their decision making performance).
- (e) To examine the use of the "Spy Ring History " test,or other style revealing instruments, for the purpose of selecting individuals in the composition of a decision-making group or their role-suitability in a team
- (f) To recognise, in the context of Eliott Jacques "time span" analysis that the "time span of responsibility " is not a simple issue of how long a mission is or even of how many blocks punctuated by interrogation sessions it contains. At least, it depends also upon the kind of event encountered or intended and it seems likely that an appropriate span index is minimally derivable from Type II(2) data, probably augmented by Type II(1) data. More generally, proper determination of a "span", in particular, a "span of responsibility" calls for an episodal kind of analysis which is cleverly enough devised to highlight, rather than obscure, the fact that episodes occur and interact concurrently; They are seldom, if ever, linearly sequenced.
- (g) Given the caveat, of (f) above, to examine the conjecture of Section 1.5., that responsibility is about the only index of effective decision making.
- (h) To find, or to develop, interactive animated graphic facilities for displaying complex performance images (Section 1.7) in a cogent and intelligible manner.

Ś	M. 1.50	1.10 1.28	0.98 2.01	<b>1.44</b> 2.01	1.35 2.48	1.50 }.67	1.32 1.98	1.21 1.91	0.63 1.14	<b>1.</b> 37 <b>1.48</b>	1.45 1.39	1.37 1.35	0.84 0.99	1.26 1.36	1.05 1.70	0.93 1.09	0.81 0.96	
	1.88	1.34	2.20	2.26	2.36	1.73	2.11	1.99	1.31	1.48	1.49	1.34	0.99	1.39	1.85	1.27	0.88	
	0.53	0.43	0.67	0.67	0.78	0.56	0.66	0.64	0.57	0.74	0.69	0.67	0.47	0.68	0.89	0.55	0.48	-
Mean	M 0.26	0.36	0.33	0.48	0.45	0.50	0.44	0.40	0.32	0.69	0.73	0.69	0.42	0.63	0.53	0.46	0.40	
	с 0.63	0.44	0.73	0.75	0.83	0.58	0.70	0.66	0.65	0.74	0.75	0.67	0.49	0.69	0.92	0.64	0.44	
	Р 0.59	0.34	0.65	0.75	0.79	0.58	0.84	0.60							0.84	0.45	0.50	
High	M 0.56	0.20	0.12	0.30	0.15	0.49	0.26	0.47							0.22	0.34	0.31	
	с 0.73	0.54	0.72	0.82	0.82	0.71	16.0	0.69							0.90	0.60	0.46	
	Р 0.53	0.50	0.67	0.74	0.80	0.57	0.60	0.74	0.56	0.82	0.80	0.79	0.54	0.69	0.94	0.64	0.46	
Low	M 0.35	0.55	0.42	0.66	0.64	0.43	0.55	0.83	0.34	0.85	16.0	0.82	. 0.52	0.65	<b>0.8</b> 3	0.59	0.50	
	0.54 0	0.32	0.75	0.81	0.85	0.60	0.61	0.66	0.64	0.73	0.75	0.77	0.55	0.71	0.95	0.67	0.42	
tice	Р 0.46	0.44	0.69	0.52	0.77	0.52	0.54	0.57	0.58	0.66	0.59	0.56	0.40	0.67				
Praçt	M 0.25	0.35	0.44	0.48	0.56	0.58	0.40	0.53	0.29	0.52	0.54	0.55	0.32	0.61				
s	د 19	0.47	0.73	0.63	0.81	0.42	0.59	0.64	0.67	0.75	0.69	0.57	0.44	0.68				-
Subject	(e)	5 (£ -su	otes Di C	ि (चे इन्ह	์ (ข เเท	) (E) 	) (e)	े हे <b>न</b>			y6,	) () 4 (	) (e ) N -	_→	(°)	) (a (mol	() ()	•

Table 15

ł

C
## 3. Interrogation Sessions

This section consists in an initial analysis of the question and answer interaction of the blocks in which the participating commanders are interrogated by an automatic process that fills in syntactically ordered (commonly "Why", or "What", or "Who" or "Which" or "How" or "Why" or "How many" or "What choice" types of question) in which the content is filled in as a result of the behaviours and is thus relevant to their performance (Pask 1980).

No attempt is made to furnish a complete analysis since the analytic task proved more than expectedly arduous due to the potential richness of the data. Even so the results are interesting. A fuller analysis will appear in a technical note.

## 3.1. General Data

It is a relatively simple matter to calculate the degree of confidence (on the 0 to 9 scale) for all subjects and to classify the index as confidence in correct responses (C), in mistaken responses (M) and to adjoin an index, P, to take account of the fact that some questions are intentional or otherwise-not-open to "correct" or "mistaken" marking, even when the actual conditions are determined.

The results of this gross analysis appear in Table 15. The most obtrusive features are a uniformity of confidence pattern (when interrogation sessions are scrutinised in sequence, there is an increasing trend). The next feature of importance, exhibited by all 11 relevant-to-comparison-subjects, excepting subject (a) is a decrease in confidence over in fact mistaken responses under High Difficulty in contrast to Low Difficulty conditions, an increased caution under more stressful conditions.

## 3.2. Other Results

There is only a modest correlation between the confidence estimates, or degrees of belief, obtained with respect to questions in the stylistic tests and the confidence estimates obtained, by interrogation whilst the task is in progress. Subjects, reasonably enough, regard answering questions about material they have learned in the stylistic test and on line questions somewhat differently (as an interesting but again intuitively reasonable result, they overestimate confidence in mistakes to a lesser degree in the test than they do in real life operation). For 17 subjects the correlation coefficients for confidence in correct (MC, C), for confidence in mistaken (MM, M) responses, are shown below; noting that only some interrogation questions can be answered in a definitely "correct" or definitely "mistaken" manner (ie. the P index is excluded).

MC; Mean = 0.408, SD = 0.152. C; Mean = 0.677, SD = 0.134 f(MC,C) = +0.199MM; Mean = 0.186, SD = 0.154 M; Mean = 0.475, SD = 0.140 r(MM,M) = -0.400



By way of a preliminary analysis I have tentatively classified the interrogation responses as "globally relevant" (hence, related to the stylistic test, C, or "Comprehension learning", score, and to the variables XC, XM, of Table 9) or "Rule Recalling" and thus related to the variables UC and UM of Table 9 and other-rote "operation learning".

Here, there are strong (but due to the classification scheme, still tentative) correlations between type of doubt in the stylistic test and type of doubt in interrogation and performance, between "global" and the subscore (the primary component of comprehension learning)  $r_s = 0.75$  and between the "Rule" and the r subscore (Operation learning) r = 0.83

## Conclusions and suggestions for further work.

The results reported indicate that Decision Making competence is predictable by detailed, on-line, dynamic indices and that planning which is probably one prerequisite for effective decision making is predictable from stylistic tests scores. In contrast the relatively coarse indices obtained by statistical aggregation are not of great value, at any rate in complex systems. It should be emphasised that, even though the number of subjects is fairly small, the results are much more definite than those of previous studies in this laboratory or comparably detailed studies, by other investigators, of complex decision making.

The results provide guidelines for training , on-line monitoring, the selection of decision makers and for the compositions of decision teams.

Further runs on TDS should:

- (a) (b) Complete a limited study of team configurations and
- Investigate the effect of variation in the size of the environment, rather than modifying its parameters.
- (c) (d) The recommendations noted as (a) to (h) in Section 2.8 Regarding interrogation analysis (in contrast to tactical
- analysis), the interrogation indices call for refinement. The interrogation programs should be written to operate (e)
- through individual spacecraft microprocessors. (f) The sessions should be less frequent but also provide the subject with some data (an extrapolation or estimation), in return for replying.

Note: Program listings (Section 5 and Section 6) are bound after the figures.



(Fig 1. One Cabin with consoles for TDS. There are two cabins, both used in the two commander task. At this juncture the TDS organisation includes 4 independent microprocessors as shown in outline in Fig 2 and Fig 3, reported in previous publications





M = Move

- D = Destroy
- C = Conditional
- I = Information

R = Repair S = Step

T = Transfer

Fig 2: Commander's console for one ship Each console is an input to one microprocessor only and the local scan display screens (Fig 1) are attached to the same microprocessor (spacecraft)

lactic programs are written and stored by any command response and recalled on the alphanumeric control board.





TTY = Teletype for results printout Mini = Alpha LSI 2 minicomputer, 32k store Disc = Dual drive 8" floppy disc store  $\mathcal{M}$  1-4 = 4 x 380Z microprocessors, 32k store  $\mathcal{M}$  = meters display - 'istance from bases G = Graphics displays - positional global information LEDS = "Emergencies" display panel - 4 x 60 capacity S = display monitors - local scan displays Cont X -Y = Ship control panels - input to  $\mathcal{M}$ 's Interr = keyboard used during interrogation I = display monitor - alpha/numeric information and interrogation

Fig 3: Outline schematic of complete TDS system showing parallel interface and interrogation as well as global scan organisation of TDS, and, as in previous latest reports or Pask 1980.





40

u -



Section 5.

Mini (LSI 2) BASIC programs

- (a) For initialisation and
- (b) For running the environment, together with the interaction of spacecraft through the environment and

-----

(c) For direct interrogation

TAGE I FILE-INIT						
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.·•		
JOODI REM INIT			MATH BRAC		Ň	
, (0002 REM CHECK LINES 25	96 97	WITH	MALW PRUG	•		
16PRINT"RUNNING."	•					
25 GOSUB 1090						
3060300 1123 84 COSUD 0750	•					
97 GOSUB 4000	. •					
98CALL(7,2,1)	•		•			
100 CALL(7,2-2)						
101 FOR A=23 TO 30						
102 CALL(3,4,A,1)			,			
103 NEXT A						
104 REM * ZERUS EMERGE	NUT LEUS +	MATN	PROGRAM . "	•	-	•
105 PRINT DUNESNUW CLE						
A750 REM ROUTINE TO SET	OBJECTS			•		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
0760 LET A=B=16			1 a -			
0765 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)	· · ·				<b>-</b> ·	
0770 LET T=14312			4			
0775 LET A=48	•	•		•		
0780 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)						
0785 LET T=15336			•	-		
0790 LEI A=16	•	· r				
0193 LEI 0-40 0800 (AII (5.T.A.B.2)						
0805 LET T=16360	•					
0806 LET A=B=48						
0810 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)						
815 LET T=11240						
0820 LET A=B=8				•	•	
0825 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)						
830 LET T=12264	•					
0935 LEI A=8=27	- · ·					
0540 CALL (5) () A) B) 27 0845 1 FT 4=8=15						
0850 LET T=20468						
0855 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
860 LET T=29172						
0865 LET A=B=47			· .	-		•
0870 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)					•	
0875 LET A= 49				•		
0880 LLI 8=13 0086 1 FT T-02540	na transforma de la serie d Serie de la serie					
0003 LEI 1=23340 0890 (111 (5.t.d.r.9)					· ·	÷
0895 REM			· · ·			
0900 LET A=17						
0905 LET B=47			·		. •	
0910 LET T=26100	1	•				
0915 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)	•					
0920 LET T=23802					•	-
0925 LET A=48						
0730 LET 8=17 0036 0011 /6 T.A.P.O.	•					
0900 1 FT A=A9					•	
0945 LET 8=49						
0950 LET T=30458	•					•
0955 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)	•					
0960 LET A=15				•	-	
0965 LET B=48			х. Х	-		•
				• •		
,	- 43	,	•			
•						

······································	and a second
PAGE 2 FILE-INIT	
A FAGE & FIGURE	
/ * 0970 LET T=28410	
20975 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)	
0980 LET T= 30970	
0985 LET A=47	
0990 LET 8=48	
0995 CALL (5,17,4,8,2)	
1000 LEI 1=17000 1005 LET A=17	
1010 LET B=16	
$1015$ CALL ( $5_{1}T_{1}A_{2}B_{2}$ )	
1020 LET T=21242	
1025 LET A=49	
1030 LET B=16	
1035 CALL (5,T,A,B,2)	
1036 LET T=6444	
1037LET A=11	
1038 LET B=10	
1037 GALL (3)()A)8)2) 1040 (57 T-1940)	
1045 1 FT A=14	
1050 LET B=17	
1055 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)	
1060 LET T=25338	
1065 LET A=16	•
1070 LET B=49	1
1075 CALL (5,T,A,B,2)	
1076 RETURN	
1090 LET T=0	
1000 CALLS A.T.A.A.	
1093 NEXT I	
1095 FOR A=0T063	
1100  FOR  B = 0  TO  63	
1101LET T=2048*(INT(RND	D(0)*24+1)=1)
1102 IF T<>0 GOTO 1105	· · · ·
1103LET T=6444*(INT(RND	D(0) *48+1)=1)
1105 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1110 NEXT B	
IIID NEAI A 1100 Dethon	
1125 RETURN 1125REM TOLEARS ROUTES	
11261FT A=0	
1127LET B=0	
1128 CALL(5,0,A,B,2)	· · · · · ·
1129LET A=A+1	
1130LET B=B+1	
.1131CALL(5,0,A,B,2)	
1132IFA+B=126 GOT01135	
113360101129	
[]JJLLI A=0J 1134  FT P=1	
1137CALL(5.0.A.R.2)	•
1138LET A=A-1	
1139LET B=B+1	
1140CALL(5,0,A,B,2)	
11411FB=63G0T01145	
1 1 42 GOTO 1 1 38	
1145LET A=0	
1146LET B=16	
47CALL(5,0,A,B,2)	
- • ·	
and the second sec	n den nemen hær er de sen er de sen er de sækreter hærer av er kan er de sækreter er de sækreter er er er er s F
▲	•

PAGE 3 FILE-INIT 1148LET A=A+1 1149CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1150IFA+B=79GOTO1155 1151GOTO1148 1155LET A=0 1156LET B=48 1157CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1158LET A=A+1 1159CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1160IFA+B=111GOTO1165 1161GOTO1158 1165LET A=16 1166LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
11 48LET A=A+1 11 49 CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 11 50IFA+B=79 GOTO1155 11 51 GOTO1148 11 55LET A=0 11 56LET B=48 11 57 CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 11 58LET A=A+1 11 59 CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 11 60IFA+B=111 GOTO1165 11 61 GOTO1158 11 65LET A=16 11 65LET A=16 11 65LET B=0 11 67 CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 11 68LET B=B+1 11 69 CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 11 70 IFB=63 GOTO1175 11 71 GOTO1168 11 75LET A=48 11 76LET B=0 11 77 CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 11 78 LET B=B+1 11 79 CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 11 80 IF B=63 GOTO1184 1181 GOTO1178 118 4RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1148LE1 A=A+1 1149CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1150IFA+B=79GOTO1155 1151GOTO1148 1155LET A=0 1156LET B=48 1157CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1158LET A=A+1 1159CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1160IFA+B=111GOTO1165 1161GOTO1158 1165LET A=16 1166LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1 1 49 CALL(3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 27 1 1 50 IF A+B=79 GOTO1155 1 1 51 GOTO1148 1 1 55 LET A=0 1 1 56 LET B=48 1 1 57 CALL(5, 0, A, B, 2) 1 1 58 LET A=A+1 1 59 CALL(5, 0, A, B, 2) 1 60 IF A+B=111 GOTO1165 1 161 GOTO1158 1 165 LET A=16 1 166 LET B=0 1 167 CALL(5, 0, A, B, 2) 1 168 LET B=B+1 1 169 CALL(5, 0, A, B, 2) 1 170 IF B=63 GOTO1175 1 171 GOTO1168 1 175 LET A=48 1 176 LET B=0 1 177 CALL(5, 0, A, B, 2) 1 178 LET B=B+1 1 179 CALL(5, 0, A, B, 2) 1 180 IF B=63 GOTO1184 1 181 GOTO1173 1 184 RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5, T, A, B, 2)	
1 1 50 F AF BE 77 601011 55 1 1 51 GO TO 11 48 1 1 55 LET A=0 1 1 56 LET B= 48 1 1 57 CALL (5,0,A,B,2) 1 58 LET A=A+1 1 59 CALL (5,0,A,B,2) 1 60 I F A+ B= 1 1 GO TO 1 165 1 61 GO TO 1 1 58 1 65 LET A= 16 1 66 LET B=0 1 67 CALL (5,0,A,B,2) 1 70 I F B= 63 GO TO 1 1 75 1 70 I F B= 63 GO TO 1 1 75 1 77 CALL (5,0,A,B,2) 1 78 LET B=B+1 1 79 CALL (5,0,A,B,2) 1 78 LET B=B+1 1 79 CALL (5,0,A,B,2) 1 80 IF B= 63 GO TO 1 184 1 81 GO TO 1 178 1 84 RETURN 4000 LET T= 6444 4005 LET A= 20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL (5,T,A,B,2)	
<pre>115160101140 1155LET A=0 1156LET B=48 1157CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1158LET A=A+1 1159CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1160IFA+B=111GOTO1165 1161GOTO1158 1165LET A=16 1166LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)</pre>	
1133LE1 M=V 1136LET B=48 1157CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1158LET A=A+1 1159CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1160IFA+B=111GOTO1165 1161GOTO1158 1165LET A=16 1166LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1130LL1 D=45 1157CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1158LET A=A+1 1159CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1160IFA+B=111GOTO1165 1161GOTO1158 1165LET A=16 1166LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1157CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1158LET A=A+1 1159CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1160IFA+B=111GOTO1165 1161GOTO1158 1165LET A=16 1165LET A=16 1166LET B=Ø 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=Ø 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1130LL(5,0,A,B,2) 1160IFA+B=111GOTO1165 1161GOTO1158 1165LET A=16 1165LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
11601FA+B=111GOTO1165 11601FA+B=111GOTO1165 1161GOTO1158 1165LET A=16 1165LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 11701FB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
11617 AFB-11160101105 116160 TO1158 1165LET A=16 1165LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169 CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 11701FB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179 CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1165LET A=16 1165LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1166LET B=0 1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1167CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1168LET B=B+1 1169CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1169CLL(5,0,A,B,2) 1170IFB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 180IF B=63GOTO1184 181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
11701FB=63GOTO1175 1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1801F B=63GOTO1184 181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1171GOTO1168 1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 180IF B=63GOTO1184 181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	1
1175LET A=48 1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 180IF B=63GOTO1184 181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	1
1176LET B=0 1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	1
1177CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	ſ
1178LET B=B+1 1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	ſ
1179CALL(5,0,A,B,2) 1180IF B=63GOTO1184 1181GOTO1178 1184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	1
1 1801F B=63G0T01184 1 181G0T01178 1 184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
1 181GOTO1178 1 184RETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	/ · · ·
1 18 ARETURN 4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
4000 LET T=6444 4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
4005 LET A=20 4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
4010 LET B=63 4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	•
4015 CALL(5,T,A,B,2) 4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
4016 LET A=15 4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	t
4017 LET B=24 4018 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
4018 CALL(5, T, A, B, 2)	
4020 LET A=!	
4025 LET B=40	
4030 CALL(5,T,A,B,2)	
4035 LET A=7	
4040 LET B=33	
4045 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)	
4050 LET A=53	
4055 LET B#31	
4060 CALL (5, T, A, B, 2)	
4065 RETURN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1

45

•

••

1 REM + DEMONA		•			
	EASY /NORMAL	<b>4</b> -			
2REM * KLING D	RAIN AT 500, BA	SE DRAIN	AT TIMES	200 +	•••
3REM * DEMONS	GOES WITH DEM	K46 *	· ·	•	
AREM + IVES 12	/2/80 *				
SKEM+ DEMUNS HAR	U/DIFFICULISKL	ING DRAI	N AL 1000,	BASE DRAL	TIMES-500 *
(CALL(0)3,0)					
10005UP75					
INGUSUBIS			.• .		
10 MAT N=750	110-2				
12 MAI N42ER			•		
1 3010PC 107	•	•			
15 1 FT 68=0			•	•.	
16LFT H(1)=2					
17LET H(2)=4					
18LET H(3)=1		·. ·			·
19LET H(4)=3					•
20 LET RS="XAA"		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, <u> </u>
27LET E\$="XY"					
29MAT P=ZER	•				
30DATA 0,-1,1,-1	-1-0-1-1-0-1	1,1,-1,0	-1-1	· ·	•
35LET L=1	•				
40LET B7=1					• "
45LET AS="ABCDEF	GHIJKLMNOPORST	UVWXYZ''	• •		<u>,</u>
46LETJ5=" I H#+	789XYABCDQQQQQ	<u>ତ୍ର୍ବ୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍ର୍</u>	<u> ଜଣ୍ଣରର୍</u> ଷ୍ଣ "		1 -
50LET NS="012345	i6789''	,			
55LET CS="MSRDCI	G1234567"				
65 LET E(0)=2000	10	•		•	
66 LET E(1)=2000	)0			-	
67LET X=X1=Y=Y1=	:8		· · ·		•
68LE1 X2=12=27					
70 GOTO 99					· · ·
75REM SCROLL UP	AND CLEAR SCRE	EN			-
80FOR I=1 TO 16					
8 SPRINT				· •	
9 ØNEX TI					
9 IRETURN			• •		•
99LET B(0)=B(1)=	:]			-	
IZSLET A=I	•				
132FURB=010 15			· · · ·	1	· -
I 33READ A(B)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	*. *	• • • •	
134NEA 18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•
	•			•	
I JOMAI KEAU M	1. Sec. 1. Sec	1.1.1		· · · · ·	· .
1 3660 SUB9700	15				
135MAI KEAU M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT P	15		. •	· ·	•
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 6	15	· .		· ·	
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B	1 5 500	•		. ·	
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6-3-0)	1 S 500		•	· ·	
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023	1 S 500		•		
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT	1 S 500				
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262	1 S 500				
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1	1 S 500				
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27	1 S 500				
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262	1 S 500				
135MAI KEAD M 136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262 176GOT00190	1 S 500				
136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262 176GOT00190 181PRINT	1 S 500				
136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262 176GOT00190 181PRINT 182CALL(6,3,0)	15				
136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262 176GOT00190 181PRINT 182CALL(6,3,0) 183FORA= 0TO 150	1 5 500 3				
136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 5 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262 176GOT00190 181PRINT 182CALL(6,3,0) 183FORA= 0TO 156 184NEXTA	1 5 500 3				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 1 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262 176GOT00190 181PRINT 182CALL(6,3,0) 183FORA= 0TO 156 184NEXTA	1 5 500 3				<b>`</b>
136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 1 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262 176GOT00190 181PRINT 182CALL(6,3,0) 183FORA= 0TO 156 184NEXTA	1 5 500 3				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 1 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262 176GOT00190 181PRINT 182CALL(6,3,0) 183FORA= 0TO 156 184NEXTA	1 5 500 3	46		1	<b>N</b>
136GOSUB9700 138FOR B= 1 TO 1 139NEXT B 165FOR B= 1 TO 1 166NEXT B 168CALL(6,3,0) 169GOSUB 6023 170PRINT 171GOSUB 262 172LET C=1 173LET X=Y=27 174GOSUB262 176GOT00190 181PRINT 182CALL(6,3,0) 183FORA= 0TO 156 184NEXTA	1 <b>5</b> 500	46		1	<b>N</b>

...

.

PAGE 2 FILE-DEMON4 188GOSUB6021 19060T03350 191FORA=0T013 1951F IS(0,0)=CS(A,A) GOT00211 196NEXT A 205CALL(6,3,0) 206 GOT0235 2111F(A=5)+(A=12)GOTO 205 212LET' A=A+1 213LET F1=0 214IF (A>7)\*(C=0) GOT01125 215IF(A<8)+(C=1)G0T01125 216LET KS(N1,N1)=CS(A-1,A-1) 218LET Z\$(N1,N1)=" " 2191F MS="X" GOTO 222 2201F MS=" "GOTO 3350 222 ON A GOTO 230,245,240,255,250,235,257,230,245,240,255,250,235,257 225G0T00205 • 2301F C=0 G0T0500 231PRINT"SHIP Y MOVING" 232G0T0502 235GOSUB 262 237G0T07000 240 REM REPAIR 241G0T01800 245G0T01270 250PRINT"CHECKING CONDITIONS." 253G0T0 168 255REM INFO 256G0T02100 257REM 262REM \* SCAN 2651FC=0G0T0 268 266PRINT"SHIP Y SCANNING" 267GOTO 269 268 PRINT"SHIP X SCANNING" 269LET FS=FS(0,1) 270FOR B=Y-3 TO Y+3 272 LET 82=MOD(8,64) 274 LET N=N+1 276FOR A=X-3 TO X+3 278 LET A2=MOD(A,64) 300 CALL(5,T,A2,B2,1) 302 LET TI=INT(T/1024) 304 LET FS(G8,G8)=JS(T1,T1) 306 LET 68=68+1 3101F T1<4 GOTO 363 3121F T1=6 GOTO 325 3141F T1>16 GOTO340 3161F T1>11 GOTO 336 318 ON T1 GOTO 363, 363, 363, 363, 363, 325 320 GOTO 330 324REM ENERGY LOSS DUE TO KLINGON 325 LET E(4)=500 326 LET F1=1 327 LET K9=K9+1 328 GOTO 363 330 LET T1=T1-6 332 ON TI GOTO 325,363,363,363,363 334 - GOT0363 . 47 سهمين مستقرب وعرائه فالاستور متبواد مترافر درارا الدارار

.. '

					•		
	PAGE	3	FIL	E-DEMON	44		
		•			• ••		
• I.	226 1	ST T1-	T1_1	•	• • •		• •
	330 0		11-1				
	338 0			345,351	0,322	360	363
·	340 L	ET F9=	F9+1				
	342 0	ioto 36	3				
	345G0	SU9324	5				
	346 0	i0T0363			,		
	35060	SUB 32	60				
	351 6	010363					
••	25566	1010000	75				
-1	33300	JJUB J2	7 J · 7	•		• •	· .
	330 0	010 30	3		•	•	
	360GC	ISUB 32	90	•			
	361 L	.ET F8=	4			•••	
	363 N	IEXT A	. • ·	•			
	364 N	EXT B		• ·	•	. •	
÷	365RE	M			· •		
	366 0	iosus 7	380		•		•
	367 1	FT FCC	)=F()	C) - E( A	· ·	•	
	36960	SUB AR	80			· •	
	270 0	1000 40	. 90		•		• .
	370 0	ALL(8)	- <sup>52</sup>		• .		
	373 L	EI GS=	0				
·· ·	374 L	ET FCC	)=F1				
;	375RE	TURN					
	500PF	INT"SH	IP X	MOVING	G**		
, · ·	502LE	TT T5=1					
	503G0	SUB740					<i>.</i>
	5046	T E=A					/
	5051.8	T T5=2					
	50460	51107 10					
	50700	308740 T E-A					
	50768	1 73A		- 0			
	508LE	T T9=F	1=01	= 17	•		
	58 5FC	)RD= 1 TO	F				
•	59 ØL E	ET Al=X	+A(E	*2)			
	59 SL B	ET BI=Y	+A((	E*2)+1	)		
	600L8	T AI=M	OD(A	1,64)			
	605LB	T BI=M	OD (B	1,64)			
	606RF	M ØTO6	4				
	61000		. Δ1	. 81 . 1 1			
	41616		1771 MT/T	/100 A			
	01360	LI 11-1		7 10247			-
	01/1	F 11=2	001	0 701			
	62011	T1=0	GOTO	0701			
1. A.	62118	F (T}>1	1)*(	T1<16)	GOTO	0623	
	622G(	)T00628				•	
	623L8	ET T3=T	1				•
	624[]	F (F-D)	<>0	GOTOØ6	28		
	625L8	T D I = I					
	627G	100646	L.				
· · .	6281 I	T F(C)	= = = ( C	1-1000			
-	4 3 0 01	ST LCOP	2011	"DANCE	<b></b>		
· ·	4 36 51		(7/) EUCD				~
	03371	CTIAT	EVER	SE INK	U21 A	PPLIE	D
•	6 36R	EM)					
•	640RI	EM					
,	6411	F E(C)>	500	THE N	0645	•	
	6 42L1	ET E(C)	= 500				
	645Pi	RINT			•		
	646 1	ET AI=	A1 - A	(E+2)			
	6 471	T RISR	1-41	(F=9)-	1)		
	6 491	-7 Δ1-9 FT Δ1-4		1.6.	• ′		
	2 401	-: MI=M PT D:-4		1.2.45			
	0476	51 D14M	UDCB	1104)			
	0 3 ML						
	701L	LT T=0					

• • • • • • • • • • • •

.

48

	Start the second start termination of the second start termination of the fair desired to be a set by the fair second to be a set of the second termination of the fair of the second termination of the fair second termination of termination
٠.	
•	PAGE 4 FILE-DEMONA
:	
A -	702CALL(5,0,X,Y,2)
	703LET X=A1 •
	704LET Y=91
	7081F E(C)<=500 GOT00711
	710LET E(C)=E(C)-50
	71 INEXTD
	712IF C=1 GOT00715
•	713LET T=11240
	714G0T00717
	71SLET T=12264
	717CALL (5.T.AI.BI.2)
	71860SUB1158
	720PEN THIS IS IMOUEAN
. • .	
•	12460107000
	7 40FORA=0T09
•	745IFI\$(T5,T5)=A\$(A,A) GOT0765
	750 NEXT A
	755 GOTO 7000
	765REM
	766RETURN
•	825  IF(28=1)*(29=1)  GOTO(230)
	826 IF 79=1 GOTO 853
	827 IF B9=1 COTO 850
	033 LEI BY=1
	840 REIURN
	850 IF 28=1 GOTO 830
	851 IF B9=0 GOT0326
	853 CALL(6,5,0)
	855 LET B9=0
	860 RETURN
	1125REM * CHANGE SHIPS *
	1127IF C≈1 GOT01145
	1128 LET X=X2
	1129 LET Y=Y2
•	1130 L FT (=)
	113c IF H = 0
	LIJORET CALL(8)
	1143 LEI X=X]
	1146 LET Y=Y1
	1147 LET C=0
	1149 IF M\$(0,0)<>"X" GOTO1155
	1151 LET A=1
	1153 GOTO 216
	1155REM CALL(8)
	1157 GOTO 216
	1155 IF C=1 GOTO1164
	1101 LEI []=7 11/0 BETUDU
	1164 LET X2=X
	1165 LET Y2=Y
	1166 RETURN
	1270REM THIS IS DESTROY
•	
•	49

PAGE 5 FILE-DEMON4 1280CALL(6,3,0) 12811FC=0G0T01284 1282PRINT"SHIP Y ATTACKING" 128360701285 1284PRINT"SHIP X ATTACKING" 1285 REM 1300LET T5=1 1 305G0 SUB740 1306LET E=A 1310LET T5=2 1315GOSUB740 1320LET F=A 1325LET T9=0 1330LET M9=F+100 1400FORB=Y-3TOY+3 1405LET B2=MOD(8,64) 1410FORA=X-3TOX+3 1415LET A2=MOD(A,64) 1420CALL(5,T,A2,B2,1) 1425LET T1=1NT(T/1024) 14301F(T1<6)+(T1>7)THEN1505 14311FE(C)-M9>499THEN1435 1432PRINT"SHIP ENERGY TOO LOW TO DESTROY" 1433G0T01520 1435LET K1=T-INT(T/1024)+1024 1440PRINTM9; TAB(9); "UNIT HIT" 1445LET K1=K1-M9 14501FK1>0G0T01505 1455CALL(5,0,A2,B2,0) 1460LET H1=H1+1 1465G0SUB5940 14701FM9<400G0T01505 1474REM \*CHECK WEAK LINES \* 1475G0T02600 1480CALL(5,4096,A2,B2,2) 1485LET H2=H2+1 149060101505 1495CALL(5,(T1+1024)+K1,A2,B2,2) 1 SØØREM 15031FE=1THEN1520 1505NEXTA 1510NEXTB 1520CALL(8) 1525CALL(6,3,0) 1530PRINT"NO.OF KLINGONS DESTROYED="#H1 1535LET T(6,1)=T(6,1)+H1 1540PRINT 1545LET H1=0 1 5501 FH2=0G0T01 570 1555PRINTH2;" HOLES MADE " 1560LET T(6,2)=T(6,2)+H2 1565LET H2=0 1 5701 FH 3=0G0 T0 1 590 1575PRINT"CRACK ! " 1580LET T(6,4)=T(6,4)+H3 1 58 SLET H3=0 1 59 ØGO SUB. 262 1 59 5GOTO 7000 1800REM REPAIR-1801CALL(6,3,0) 50

PAGE 6 FILE-DEMONA 18021FC=0G0T01805 . . 1803PRINT"SHIP Y ATTEMPTING REPAIR" 1804G0T01806 1805PRINT"SHIP X ATTEMPTING REPAIR" 1806REM • . 1807 LET T5=1 1810GOSUB 740 1815LET E=A 18201FABS(X1-X2)<4 GOTO 1840 1825PRINT" ONLY ONE SHIP IN RANGE - REPAIR IMPOSSIBLE " 1830PRINT • 1835G0T01950 18401FABS(Y1-Y2) <4. GOTO 1850 1845G0T01825 1850 IF (Z8=1)+(Z9=1) GOT01825 1855FORB=Y-3TOY+3 1860LET B2=MOD(8,64) 1865F0RA=X-3T0X+3 1870LET A2=MOD(A,64) . . . . . 1875CALL(5,T,A2,B2,1) 1880LET T1=INT(T/1024) 1890IFT1<>4 GOT01910 18951FE<>1 GOT01930 1900CALL(5,0,A2,B2,2) 1902 LET T(5,4)=T(5,4)+1 190560701930 1910IFT1<>5 GOT01930 1915IFE<>2 GOT01930 1920CALL(5,0,A2,B2,2) 1930NEXT A 1935NEXT B 1940LET E(0)=E(0)-300 1945LET E(1)=E(1)-300 1950GOSUB 262 1955GOTO 7000 2100CALL(6,3,0) 2101 LET T5=1 2102 GOSUB 740 2103 LET A9=A 2105 IF C=0 G0T02108 2106PRINT"SHIP Y INFO "; A9 2107G0T02110 2108 PRINT"SHIP X INFO "; A9 2110IF A9=7 GOT02114 21111F A9=8 GOT02141 2112IF A9=9 GOTO 2152 2113G0T02134 2114LET P1=INT((X1+8)/8) 2115LET P2=INT((Y1+8)/8) 2116LET R1=INT((X2+8)/8) 2117LET R2=INT((Y2+8)/8) c''8 LET NS(P1,P1)=AS(P1,P1) 2119 PRINT"SHIP X"JAS(P1-1,P1-1);P2 2120 LET NS(R1,R1)=AS(R1,R1) 2121 PRINT"SHIP Y"JA\$(R1-1,R1-1);R2 2125 GOT02400 2133REM OLD 2134 WASCALL(7, A9, B7+1) 2134 CALL(7, A9, (C+1)) 2140G0T02400 2141REM TRADE ROUTES

PAGE 7. FILE-DEMON4 2142PRINT V7; "TRADE ROUTES BLOCKED" 2143PRINT "DUE TO CRACKS." 2144REM ۰. 2150G0T02400 2152REM STARBASES 2155FORI=1T04 2157LET T(1,4)=T(1,3) 2158PRINT "STARBASE "JAS(I-1,I-1);" "JT(I,4) 2159LET T(1,2)=1 2160NEXTI 2161REM 2166G0T02400 2400LET E(C)=E(C)-400 2401PRINT 2402LET ZS(N1,N1)=NS(A9,A9) 2421REM CALL(B) 2 42 3REM . . . 2424G0SUB 262 2425G0T07000 2600REM CHECK WEAK LINEES 26251F (A2<61)\*(A2>2) GOT02665 2630REM DO VERT CRAK 2635LET H3=H3+1 2640LET T=5120 2645F0RB=0T063 2650CALL (5,T,0,B,2) 2655NEXTB 2656 IF V1=1 GOTO 2658 2657 LET V7=V7+4 2658 LET V1=1 2660G0T01505 2665REM 2670IF (B2<61)\*(B2>2) GOT02710 2675REM HORIZONTA 2680LET H3=H3+1 2685LET T=5120 2690F0RA=0T063 2695CALL (5,T,A,0,2) 2700NEXTA 2701 IF V2=1 GOT02703 2702 LET V7=V7+4 2703 LET V2=1 2705G0T01480 2710G0T01450 2996CALL(6,3,0) 2997GOSUB0075 2998REM INTEERR BLOCK L 2999PRINT"STARSHIP CONTROL" 3000PRINT WANTS SOME ANSWERS" 3001PRINT"TO THE FOLLOWING-" 3002PRINT"INTERROGATION SESSION NUMBER "1L+1 3005LET L=L+1 3007PRINT 3010PRINT "ANSWER USING 1 LINE UN" 301 IPRINT "-LESS DIRECTED OTHERWISE." 3012PRINT "PRESS RETURN AT .THE" 3013PRINT "END OF EACH LINE." 3014PRINT "IF YOU CAN'T ANSWER" 3015PRINT "TYPE NA (NOT APPLICABLE)" 3015PRINT

PAGE R FILE-DEMON4 • ÷ 3020PRINT 3030LET GS="QUES" 30321F L<10 GOT03042 3035LET G\$(4,4)="1" 3037LET G\$(5,5)=N\$(L-10,L-10) 3040GOT0 3046 3042LET GS( 4, 4) ="0" 3045LET G\$(5,5)=N\$(L,L) 3046CALL(1,GS) 3047CALL(1,1,2) . · 3048PRINT 3049 PRINT"INTERROGATION SESSION "163 3050PRINT 3051FORI=1T06 3052FORJ=1T06 3053PRINT INT(T(I,J)); TAB(10+J); 3054NEXT J 3055PRINT 3056NEXT [ 3057PRINT 3065 GOT04000 3066F0RJ=1T08 3067LET HS="QFORMS" 3068CALL(1,H\$) 3075LET A=INT(D(M(L,J))/10) 3076CALL(1,2,1) 30771F A=0 G0T03082 3078FORI=1TOA 3079INPUT OS 308 ON EX TI 3082LET A1=INT (D((M(L,J))+1)/10) 3084FORI=1TO(A1-A) 308 SLET QS(1,31)=" 3086INPUT OS 3087LET MS=05(0,0) 3088PRINT @S(1,31) 3089LET 0\$(1,31)=" 30901FQS(0,0)="0"GOT03165 3091LET W9=(M\$="M") 3092LET W9=W9+((MS="N")+2) 309 31 FW9 <> 0GO TO 3110 3094LET W8=(MS="X") 309 SLET W8=W8+((MS="Y")+2) 3097LET W8=W8+((MS="W")+3) 3100LET W8=W8+((MS="L")+4) 310760703140 31101FW9<>1G0T03116 3112PRINTT(H(W9),3) 3115G0T03165 31161FT(H(W9),2)<>1GOT03119 3117PRINTT(H(W9),4) 311360T03165 3119PRINTT(H(W9),3) 3120G0T03165 3140PRINTAS(H(W8)-1,H(W8)-1) 3165NEXTI 3166CALL(1,-2) 3167PRINT "RESPONSE(S) PLEASE." 3163LET A1=D((M(L,J)+1))-(A1+10) 3169FORI=1TOA1 53 ٠.

	BACE O FILE-DEMONA	
•••	PAUL 7 FILL-ULTOWA	
•	31701F A1=1 GOT03173	
	3171CALL(1,1,1)	
	3172PRINT AS(1-1)(-1)(-1)(-1)(-1)(-1)(-1)(-1)(-1)(-1	
	3174PRINT "QUESTION "JJI" PART "JI	
	3175CALL(1,1,1)	
	3187INPUT 0\$	
	3190CALL(1,1,2)	•
	3192PRINI 65	· .
	319 JCALL (1) 1) 17 319 7PRINT "HOW CONFIDENT' (0-10) "3	•
•	3207INPUT OS	
	3210CALL(1,1,2)	
• •	3211PRINT OS	
	. 3212NEXTI.	· •
	3213GALL(1)1)) 3214FOR19=1704	
	3215PRINT	•
	3216NEXTI9	
	3217NEXTJ	
	3218CALL(1,-1)	
	3219CALL(1)1)1) 20ALCOSHB3331	
	3242 MAT N=ZER	
	3243RETURN	
	3245LET T(1,1)=1	
	3247LET F8=1	
	3255G0T08100	
	3260LLI ((2))-1 39401 FT FR=9	
	327060708100	
	3275LET T(3,1)=1	
	3277LET FB=3	
	328 560 708 100	
	3290 LE [(4,1)=1	
	3331FORI = 1T04	
	3332FORJ=1T0 2	. · · ·
	3333LET T(1, J)=0	· ·
-	3334NEXTJ	
	3336NEXTI 2227DETURN	
	3350RFM	
•	3351G05UB3382	
	3352 GOSUB 825	-
	3353G05UB3382	
	3354PRINT I 2255 LET NR20	
	3333 LEI 11730 23561 FT 858	
	3357REM	•
	3358LET MS=""	
	3359REM T9=0	
	3360CALL(13,M5,B)	
	3365LEI N=N=I 2270 IF N=0 G0T03350	`
•	33711F B=0 GOTO 3360	
	3372 INPUT 15	
	3373 IF IS(3,5)=" " GOTO 3376	
	3374 LET RS=I\$(3,63)+J\$(B9+10,B9+10)	
	3375 GOT03377	
•	and a state of the second state of the	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
		• ·
-		

		******		
	•			
	• •		·	
• .	×	•	•	
•				
•	•••			
	·	,	•	
•	1		• •	
	•	• •	4	
		• •		
	· · · ·			
×			•	
		·. ·		
		• .	• • • • •	
•	·			
	, *	•		
		•		
			•	
V7;				
	1	·		
	,			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		TACTICS "		
SHIP Y	TACTIC	PART	TACTIC PART"	
			- 1	
			· · · · ·	
•				
		•	· .	
	-			
		-		
		:		
•				
		• •	•	
			· · ·	
	•	•		
· .	•	•	• •	
	• •		•	
	·			
	•		••	
		•	•	
			-	
	-		- -	
	-		- -	
		ł	- -	
	-	ł	- -	
55		۱	-	
55		••••••	۰	
	V7; SHIPY	V7; SHIPY TACTIC	V7; SHIPY TACTIC TACTICS " PART	V7; SHIP Y TACTIC TACTICS " TACTIC PART"

	Nyy i naga tangga tangga dang dang dang naga naganan tangga pakeya tetigkanan di rekat sakan di tengan da ya di
•	PACE 11 ELLE-DEMONA
•	PAGE II FILE-DEMONA
	5990LET T=6444
	599 5CALL( 5, T, A4, B4, 2)
	6000RETURN
	60211FE(0) < 501G0T06027
	60221FE(1)<501G0T06029
	6023PRINTTAB(9); "SHIP X SHIP Y"
	6024PRINTTAB(8); E(0); TAB(17); E(1)
	602 SRETURN
	6026KLM
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
	6029 PRINT"SHIP X"IF(0)
	6030RETURN
	6040REM
	6050 IF C=1G0T06064
	60511FE(0) < 501G0T06203
	6055REM+SHIP X X1 Y1 +
	6060LET S8=X1
	6061LET S9=Y1
	6062G0T06070
	6064[FE(1)<501G0T06207
	6965LL1 58=X2
	6066621 37=12 407005m4
	6075LFT 0(1)=16-58
	6030LET O(2) = 16 - 59
	608 5LET Q(3) = 48 - 58
	6090LET 0(4)=16-59
	6 A9 SLET 0(5)=16-SB
	6100LET Q(6)=48-59
	6105LET 0(7)=48-58
	6110LET O(8) = 48 - 59
	6115FOR I=1TO 9
	61201F = 0(1) > -1 = 60106130
	6123LEI  0(1)=0(1)=(2*0(1))
	61351  FT  0(1) = 32 + (0(1) - 32)
	6140NEXT I
	6145FOR 1=0T0 3
	6150 LET R(I)=0((I+2)+1)
	6155 IF Q((1+2)+2) <q((1+2)+1) goto6165<="" th=""></q((1+2)+1)>
	6160LET R([)=0(([*2)+2)
	6165LET R(I)=256-(R(I)+8)
•	6170NEXT I
	6180REM R(0 10 3)=BASES 0-255
	CICOREM DEFARINESI 200E NEAREDI
	6200CA(1.(A,R(1),(C*A)+1+1))
	6201 NEXT I
	6202 RETURN
	6203FOR M=1T04
	6204CALL(4,0,M)
	6205 NEXT M
	6206 RETURN
	6207FORS=5 TO 8
	6208 CALL (4, 0, S)
	6207 NEXT 5
	021000100211 4011957110N
	6230PRINT" BOTH SHIPS OUT OF ENERGY "
1	action that both sufficiency of or cherry
1	
	a 🔹 an
1	

•

FILE-DEMON4 PAGE 12 6231GOSUB 2996 . 6232GOSUB 75 2 6233PRINT "CALL THE SUPERVISOR " 6235PRINT 6236STOP 7000GOSUB 6040 7001LET M\$(0,0)=" " 7005REM NO SOUNDS ANY MORE! 7006FORI=0T01 7007LET T(5+1,3)=E(1) 7003NEXTI 7 009 GO SUB 409 5 7010 GOSUB 8200 7011REM 7012LET N1=N1+1 70151F D1=1 GOT07045 70201 FN1 < 20THEN 7040 7025REM INTERROGATION NOW 7026 GOSUB 2996 7027LET N1=0 7030REMGOSUB262 7040GOT0181 7045REM IN DOCK POSITION 7046CALL(6,3,0) 7047PRINT 7048PRINT 7050REM IN DOCK POSITION. 70511F D1=2G0T07020 7052 GOSUB7075 7053PRINT"YOU HAVE"; E(C); "ENERGY AVAILABLE" 7054PRINT HOW MUCH DO YOU WANT TO INVEST IN THIS STARBASE"; 7055INPUT 19 70561F 19<=E(C) GOT07059 7057PRINT"TOO MUCH" 7058G0T07053 7059LET E(C)=E(C)-I9 7060LET T1=T3 7068LET T(T3-11,5)=T(T3-11,5)+I9 7069PRINT T(T3-11,5);" = TOTAL INVESTMENT" 7070REM THIS IS "DOCK1" 70711F D1=2 THEN7020 -7072LET D1=2 7073LET E(C)=INT(T(T1-11,3)/4+E(C)) 7074G0T07081 7075IF C=0 GOT07078 7076PRINT"SHIP Y -- DOCKED " 7077GOTO 7079 7078PRINT"SHIP X -- DOCKED " 7079PRINT -7080RETURN 7081PRINT 7082PRINT" REFUELLED" 7 08 3PRI N T 7 1 00GOTO 7020 7200REM NEAR TRADE ROUTES 72011F C=1 GOT07210 72021F((Y1>12)+(Y1<20))+((Y1>44)+(Y1<52)) GOTO7206 72031F((X1>12)+(X1<20))+((X1>44)\*(X1<52)) GOTO 7206 7204[F((X]+Y])>56)+(ABS(X1-Y1)<7) GOT07206 7205G0T07220

ć

-		•		•		
•	PAGE 13	FILE-DEMONA	•	•	• •	<u></u>
			ng kanalara sa			
•	7206PRINT"S	ITP X NEAR TRADE				
	7207 REM NEA	AP POUTES ELAG				5 - E
	7207 6611 (2)	A ROUTES FLAG		· · · · ·		·
	7200 CALL( 3) 4					_
	720960107218				. •	•
	72101F((X2>)	2) *(X2<20)) +((X2>	•44)*(X2<52	2)) GOTO7214	4 ·	
	72111F((Y2>1	2)*(Y2<20))+((Y2>	44) * (Y2<52	2)) GOTO7214	4	
	72121F((X2+Y	'2)>56)+(ABS(X2-Y2	()<7) GOTO7	214		
	7213G0T07218					. •
	7214PRINT"SH	IP Y NEAR TRADE R	OUTE "	· · · · ·	•	
	721 SREM NEAR	ROUTES FLAG			•	•
	7216CALL(3,4	1,23,0)		•		1. A.
	7218PRINT	• • •				
	7220RETURN		· ·	•		
	7280 157 55-	5 5+"000000"	•	· ·		
	7 30 1 DDT NT		•			·
	7 30 1 FRINI 7 30 5 500 1-0		· · ·	•		
-	7365 FUR 1=2	3 10 31		-	· .	
	7386 CALL(3)	4,1,1)				• · · · ·
	7387 NEXT I				-	
	7390 LET FSC	50,50)=N\$(F1,F1)	•			
	-7391 IF F1=0	GOTO 7399			•	
	7392 CALL(3,	4,26,0)				
•	7399 IF E(0)	>500 GOTO7411	· . ·			-
•	7400 LET E(0	3) = 500	1			
	7402REM X OL	JT COND FLAG		•		
	7405 LET Z9=	=1	. 1.	د ا		
	7406 CALL(5,	Ø,X1,Y1,0)				
	7408 CALL(3)	4,29,0)		•		
	7411 IF E(1)	>500 60107423			•	-
	7412 LET EC	)=500	•			•
	TALAREM Y OL	IT COND FLAG	•	÷		
	7 417 1 57 78-		1 A. A.	•	•	
1	7419 CALLES		•			· · · ·
•	7410 CALL()					
	7420 CALL(3)					,
	7423 IF E(0)	VE(1)<501 G010744	40			
	7425 IF E(0)	>10000 GOIO 7435		•		
	7429 LET FS	51,51)="1"	5 A. A.	•	•	
	7432 CALL(3)	, 4, 27, 0)		•		•
	7435 IF E(1)	>10000 GOTO7440			•	
	7438 LET FS	(52,52)="1"				•
	7 439 CALL( 3,	4,27,0)		• •		
	7440 FOR I=	1104				•
	7441 LET T()	[,3)=T(I,3)-INT(RM	ND(0)+200)	÷ •		
	7442 LET T(	F8,3)=T(F8,3)+(F94	1000)	•	•	•
	7443REM		• •	··· •		
	7447 IF T(1)	3)>2000 GOTO7456				
	7450REM		• •			
	7453 CALL(3	4.30.0)			- •	· .
•	7456 IF T(1	3)>10000 6070744	5 ·			,
· .	7459 1 FT FS	53,53)="1"				· ·
•	7869 CALLCO	A-98-01		•		• •
	THE VALLES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•		
	7465 NEAL 1		•			•
	7400 171283	07469 GUIU7469				
	1461KEM		-			
	7468 GOTO 7	476				· ·
	7469 IF(ABS)	(X1-X2)<4)+(ABS(X)	1-X2)>58) (	GOT 07471		
	7470 GOTO74	76			•	
	7471 IF (AB)	S(Y1-Y2)<4)+(ABS()	(1-12)>58)	GOTO 7473		
	7472 GOTO 7	476				
	7473 CALL(3	, 4, 25, 0)	•			
	7474 PRINT"	NEAR OTHER SHIP	•		•	
				•	1	
				•		• •

•

.

**.** .

.

		•
<b>6</b> 4	PAGE 14 FILE-DEMON4	
	7 4 7 5R FM	
	7476 PRINT	
	7480 IF F8=0 G0T07488	
	7481 IF C=0 GOT07485	
	7482PRINT"SHIP Y NEAR BASE "JAS(F8-1,F8-1)]	
	7483 LET F\$(\$5,55)="1"	
	7484 GOTO7487	
	7485PRINI SHIP & NEAR BASE SHORTO 1710 177	
	7400 LE1 F3(34) J470 F	
•	7 48 PRINT	
	7489 LET F8=F9=0	
•	7 49 ØGOSUB 7200	
	7501 LET FS=" !"+FS	
. • `	75021FC=0 THEN 7506	
· .	7503LET R\$=P\$	
•	7 504(ALL(6) 5) 0) 7 5050000707509	
	1 30 300 1 9 1 300 7 50 41 FT R 42 V 5	
•••	7507CALL(6,4,0)	
	7508 IF LEN(RS)>3 GOTO 7510	
	7 509 GO SUB 7521	
	7510G0SUB7530	
•	7514PRINT FSJRS(0,59)	
	7515 GOSUB 7530	
	7516 CALL(6,3,0)	
	1511 61306 1330 7 5300 FTUDN	
·.	7521   FT R\$=" "	
	7522 FOR 1=1T059	
	7523 LET RS=RS+" "	
•	7524 NEXT I	
	7525 RETURN	
	7530FOR G8=50 TO 1 STEP -1	
	7535NEX T68	
	7 340KEI UKN a 10025M	
	$g_{102}(FV(1)=T1G0T0B135$	
	8105LET V(1)=T1	
÷.,	8110LET G(1)=T1	
•	8115FORI=1T04	
	81201FH(1)<>T1G0T08130	
	8125LET H(I)=0	
	8   JUNEA     8   JEFOPI =   TO A	
	8135F0R1 = 1104 81201FW(1) = 060T08170	
	B1451F1=4G0T0B160	
	8150LET G(I+1)=H(I)	
· · · .	8155G0T0817Ø	
•	8160LET G(4)=H(I)	
	B165G0T08170	
	B 170NEX TI	
	8175MAT H=G	
	8 18 0MA 1 0=4 LR 8 18 50 FT110N	
	DID DREIVRIV BOAAREN STARF FLEMENTS	
	8285 FOR 1=1709	
	8210 IF IS(60,60)=AS(1-1,1-1) GOTO8220	
	8215 NEXT I	
	8216 LET 52=53=99	
	1	

PAGE 15	FILE-DEMONA	4		
8917 GOTO 89	70			
8220 LET S2=	(I-1)*9	•		
8225 FOR [=1	T09		`	•
8230 IF 1\$(6	1,61)=NS(I,1	) GOTO 8240	)	.*
8235 NEXT I				
8240 LET S2=	52+I			
8245 IF 15(5)	9,59)="""G(	1108250		
8247 LEI 523	52/10		· · · .	
8230 FUR 1=0	10 17 2.49)=48(1.1	1) COTO8245		•
8255 1F 15(0)			• .	
8265 LET S3=	I			
8270 LET N((	C+2)+5,N1)=	52		
8275 LET N(()	C+2)+6,N1)=	S3	·	
828ØRETURN		· . · · · · · · ·	· .	
9000END				
9700REM INIT	IAL IZE QUE	STS		• •
9702LET XS(5	•8) = "ABCD"		. •	
9710LET L=0	• • • • •	•		
9712FUKI=010	23	· · · ·		
9714KEAU ULI				• •
9718DATA 2.1	. 0. 3. 4.9.20	.21		
9720DATA 0.3	.5.10.12.13	.14.22		•
9722FORI=0T0	23		ſ.	,
9724READ J				
9726LET D(1)	=D(I)*10+J			
9728NEXTI		•		
9730DATA 1,5	.6.11.13.14	,15,17		
9737 LET T(1	,3)=T(2,3)=	T(3,3)=T(4,	3)=20000	
9740F0RI=0T0	9	· ·		
9741READ SCI	)	•		
9742NEX11				
9743RE1URN	in	•		
9746DIM 1507	(2).			
9747DIM 0(10		· .		
9748DIM R(4)				
9949DIMH(4)				
9950DIMG(4)	and the second second			
9951DIMV(2)		•	·	
9952DIMC(72)			•	•
9954 DIM N(8	• 40) · · ·	•		
9955 DIM VSC	72)	• *		•
9956 DIM PS(	72)			
9957 DIM KSC	72)	at the second		
9956 UIM NS(	12)	· .		
99401M 9/16		· · · ·		
9961DIM P(A	(A.2)	· .		
9962DIM B(2)				
9963DIM DC30	3)	· .		
9964DIM X\$(9	))			
9965DATA 1,3	3,7,9,10,11,	13,14		
9966DATA 0,7	7,8,11,13,14	,15,16		
9967DATA 2,3	3, 4, 6, 14, 20,	21,22		
9968DATA 2,4	4, 5, 9, 13, 14,	20,22		
9969DATA 7,8	3, 10, 12, 14, 1	5,16,21		
9970DATA 6,8	3,9,11,14,16	17,18		
	PAGE 15 8217 GOTO 82 8220 LET S2= 8225 FOR I=1 8230 IF IS(6 8235 NEXT I 8240 LET S2= 8247 LET S2= 8247 LET S2= 8250 FOR I=0 8255 IF IS(6 8260 NEXT I 8265 LET S3= 8270 LET N(( 8265 LET S3= 8270 LET N(( 8280RETURN 9000END 9700REM INIT 9702LET XS(5 9710LET L=0 9712FORI=0TO 9714READ D(I 9716NEXTI 9718DATA 2,1 9726DATA 0,3 9722FORI=0TO 9724READ J 9726LET D(I) 9728NEXTI 9730DATA 1,5 9737 LET T(I 9740FORI=0TO 9741READ S(I 9740FORI=0TO 9741READ S(I 9740DIM C(10 9740DIM S(10 9740DIM S(10 9740DIM S(10 9740DIM S(10 9740DIM S(10 9740DIM S(10 9740DIM S(10 9750DIM S(2) 9950DIM S(2) 9951DIM VS(2) 9953 DIM S(2) 9953 DIM S(2) 9963DIM S(2) 9964DIM	PAGE 15 FILE-DEMONA 8217 GOTO 8270 8220 LET S2=(I-1)*9 8230 IF IS(61,61)=NS(I,1) 8235 NEXT I 8240 LET S2=S2+I 8240 LET S2=S2+I 8247 LET S2=S2/I0 8250 FOR I=0 TO 17 8255 IF IS(62,62)=AS(I,1) 8260 NEXT I 8265 LET S3=I 8270 LET N((C*2)+5,NI)=: 8270 LET N((C*2)+5,NI)=: 8280RETURN 9000END 9700REM INITIAL IZE OUE: 9702LET XS(5,8)="ABCD" 9710LET L=0 9712FORI=0T023 9714READ D(I) 9716NEXTI 9718DATA 2,1,0,3,4,9,20 9720DATA 0,3,5,10,12,13 9722FORI=0T023 9724READ J 9726LET D(I)=D(I)*10+J 9728NEXTI 9730DATA 1,5,6,11,13,14 9737 LET T(1,3)=T(2,3)= 9740FORI=0T09 9741READ S(I) 9740FORI=0T09 9741READ S(I) 9740FORI=0T09 9740FORI=0T09 9741READ S(I) 9740FORI=0T09 9740FOR	PAGE 15 FILE-DEMON4 8217 GOTO 8270 8220 LET S2=(I-1)*9 8225 FOR I=1T09 8230 IF IS(61,61)=NS(I,I) GOTO 8240 8235 NEXT I 8240 LET S2=S2+1 8240 LET S2=S2+10 8247 LET S2=S2+10 8250 FOR I=0 TO 17 8255 IF IS(62,62)=AS(I,I) GOTO8265 8260 NEXT I 8265 LET S3=1 8270 LET N((C+2)+5,N1)=S2 8275 LET N((C+2)+6,N1)=S3 8280RETURN 9000END 9700REM INITIAL IZE QUESTS 9702LET XS(5,8)="ABCD" 9710ET L=0 9712FORI=0TO23 9714READ D(I) 9716VEXTI 9728DATA 0,3,5,10,12,13,14,22 9722FORI=0TO23 9724READ J 9726LET D(I)=D(I)*10+J 9728NEXTI 9730DATA 1,5,6,11,13,14,15,17 9737 LET T(1,3)=T(2,3)=T(3,3)=T(4, 9740FORI=0T09 9741READ S(I) 9742NEXTI 9743RETURN 9744DIM JS(72) 9745DIM JS(72) 9745DIM S(72) 9745DIM S(72) 954 DIM N(8,40) 9955DIM V(2) 9952DIMG(4) 9955DIM VS(72) 955 DIM VS(72) 9554 DIM N(8,40) 9955DIM VS(72) 9554 DIM N(8,40) 9955DIM VS(72) 9556 DIM S(72) 9556 DIM S(72) 9556 DIM S(72) 9556 DIM S(72) 9556 DIM S(72) 9556 DIM S(72) 9566 DIM PS(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9586 DIM S(72) 9566 DIM PS(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9577 DIM RS(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9577 DIM RS(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9576 DIM S(72) 9577 DIM RS(72) 9577 DIM RS(72) 9578 DIM S(72) 9578 DIM S(72) 9578 DIM S(72) 9578 DIM S(72) 9598 DIM S(72) 9597 DIM S(72)	PAGE 15 FILE-DEMONA 8217 GOTO 8270 8220 LET S2=(I-1)*9 8225 FOR I=ITO9 8230 IF IS(61,61)=NS(I,I) GOTO 8240 8235 NEXT I 8240 LET S2=S2+I 8245 IF IS(59,59)=" " GOTO8250 8247 LET S2=S2/I0 8250 FOR I=0 TO 17 8255 IF IS(62,62)=AS(I,I) GOTO8265 8260 NEXT I 8265 LET S3=I 8270 LET N((C*2)+5,NI)=S2 8275 LET N((C*2)+6,NI)=S3 8280RETURN 9000END 9700REM INITIAL IZE QUESTS 9702LET XS(5.8)="ABCD" 9710LET L=0 9710LET L=0 9710LET L=0 9710LET L=0 9712FORI=0TO23 9714NEXTI 9718DATA 2,1,0,3,4,9,20,21 9726DATA 0,3,5,10,12,13,14,22 9722FORI=0TO23 9724READ J 9726NEXTI 9730DATA 1,5,6,11,13,14,15,17 9737 LET T(I,3)=T(2,3)=T(3,3)=T(4,3)=20000 9740FORI=0TO9 9741READ S(I) 9740DIM R(4) 9940DIMH(4) 9950DIMG(4) 9740DIM R(4) 9950DIMG(2) 9750DIM N(8,400) 9750DIM S(72) 9750DIM N(8,400) 9750DIM S(72) 9750DIM N(72) 9950DIM S(72) 9950DIM S(7

,

.

••••••• •••

27

•

•

1

.

.

١

.

PAGE 16 FILE-DEMONA 972DATA 61.12.4.11.18.19.21.22 973DATA 69.2.5.7.14.18.19.22 977DATA 62.9.14.81.919.22 977DATA 5.16.2.9.14.85.17.19.22 977DATA 62.9.635.12.14.15.19.22 978DATA 6.2.9.635.12.14.15.19.22 978DATA 6.2.9.635.12.14.15.19.22 978DATA 6.0.15.15.47.15.13.27.47 978DATA 1.1.82.10.12.11.22.10.12.21.10.11.11.1.1.1.1.			
9972DATA 1.22.4.11.14.16.19.19 9973DATA 6.53.12.14.18.19.21.22 9973DATA 1.3.6.9.14.18.19.20 9973DATA 6.53.12.11.13.14.16.16.18.19 9977DATA 6.10.25.45.95.65.72.76.46.9.49.102.103 9978DATA 6.10.54.55.65.77.76.46.9.49.102.103 9988DATA 6.10.51.55.47.15.15.20.31.1.11.11.1.1.1.1.1 9982DIM (5.6.0) 9987DIM 65.61 9997DIM 65.62 9999DIM 65.63 9999DIM A52.63 9999DIM A52.63 9999DIM A52.63 9999DIM A52.63 9999DIM A52.63 9999DIM A52.63 9999DIM A52.63 9999DIM E5.3	•	PAGE 16 FILE-DEMON4	•
<pre>9720ATA i.2.4.11.14.16.18.19 9730ATA 66.11.21.41.81.9.21.22 9730ATA i.3.6.91.14.31.9.19.20 9730ATA i.5.9.14.18.19.22 9730ATA i.5.9.14.18.19.22 9730ATA i.5.9.54.55.15.77.76.86.94.182.183 9780ATA0 i.1.11.2.11.12.11.2.12.12.22.32.11.11.11.11.1.1.1.</pre>	• ·		
99730474 8.5.12.14.18.19.20 97730474 8.5.12.71.4.18.19.20 97750474 5.18.11.31.4.16.18.19 97750474 5.18.12.14.15.17.18.19 97750474 5.18.15.17.71.18.19 99780474 5.15.15.71.71.18.19 998804748.11.11.12.12.12.22.32.21.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1 998804748.11.11.12.12.12.22.32.21.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1 9989014 45.120 9998014 45.120 9998014 45.120 9998014 45.120 9999014 45.120 9999014 45.120 9999014 45.120 9999014 45.120 9999014 45.120 9999014 45.120	- <b>H</b>	9972DATA 1,2,4,11,14,16,18,19	
9750077 0720707070 9770077077077077077077077077077077077077		$99730A1A 6_{3}8_{3}12_{3}14_{3}18_{3}19_{3}21_{3}22$	
99760ATA 4 56 11 51 3 14 15 15 15 9977DATA 5 10 52 14 15 17 15 15 9978DATA 67 50 55 35 45 75 75 76 86 94 48 20 183 9989DATA 67 50 15 15 15 47 12 15 12 20 32 21 15 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 988DATA 67 60 15 15 15 47 15 15 47 47 47 9982DMT (6,6) 9987DIM 85(72) 9989DIM 85(72) 9999DIM 70 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		9975DATA 1.3.8.9.14.18.19.20	
9977DATA 5.10.12.14.15.17.16.19 9977DATA 47.50.50.51.65.72.75.86.94.102.103 9980DATA0,11.1.1.1.2.1.1.2.1.2.0.2.2.2.1.1.1.1.1.1	•	9976DATA 4.6.11.13.14.16.18.19	
9775DATA 45.50 54.53 56.72 75.75.63.04.94.182.183 9750DATA 45.50 54.53 56.57 75.75.63.04.94.182.183 9750DATA 65.05 15.15.47.47.47 9862DIM TC6.63 9987DIM (56.63) 9987DIM (56.63) 9997DIM (57.26) 9997DIM (57.26) 9999DIM (57.26) 9999DIM (57.26) 9999DIM (57.26) 9999DIM (57.26) 9999DIM (57.26) 9999DIM (57.26)		9977DATA 5,10,12,14,15,17,18,19	
99770ATA 45, 50, 54, 55, 65, 72, 76, 86, 94, 102, 103 9980ATA0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		9978DATA 0,2,4,6,8,12,14,16,18,20,31,37,44	
99900ATA0, I.I.I.I.Z.I.Z.I.Z.2.2.2.2.2.1.1.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I. 9982DIM T(8.6) 9983DIM M(16.8) 9993DIM K(20) 9990DIM KS(1) 9992DIM ES(2) 9993DIM A(15) 9994DIM IS(20) 9994DIM (53(20) 9994DIM (53(20) 9994DIM E(5) 9994DIM E(5)		9979DATA 48,50,54,58,65,72,76,86,94,102,103	
999 10414 0.0.15.15.47.15.15.47.47.97 999 3014 W(16.8) 999 3014 K(20) 999 3014 K(20) 999 3014 K(20) 999 3014 K(20) 999 3014 K(20) 999 5014 K(20) 999 3014 E(3)		9950DATA0,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,2,1,2,2,3,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1	
992301 (1010 8) 992301 (1010 8) 992301 (1010 8) 992901 (0010 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		9981DATA 0/0/10/10/10/10/10/4//4/	
9997DIM (SK (2) 9990DIM (SK (2) 9992DIM (SK (2) 9992DIM (SK (2) 9993DIM (SK (2) 9993DIM (SK (2) 9993DIM (SK (2) 9999DIM (SK (2) 9993DIM (SK (2) 90)(SK (2) 90)(SK (2) 90)(SK (2) 90)(SK (2) 90)(SK (2) 90)(SK	•	9983DIM M(16.8)	
9989DH K(28) 9991DH K(1) 9992DH K(1) 9992DH K(1) 9995DH K(10) 9996DH K(2(20) 9999DH E(3) 9999DH E(3)		9987DIM QS(72)	
99901H GS(6) 999201H ES(2) 999201H ES(2) 999501H US(72) 999501H S(72) 999901H E(5) 999901H E(5)		9989DIM K(20)	
9991DIM MS(1) 9993DIM A(15) 9995DIM NS(10) 9996DIM C(20) 9999DIM E(5)	•	9990DIM G\$(6)	
9992DIM ES(2) 9994DIM 15(72) 9996DIM CS(20) 9999DIM E(5)	1 e	9991DIM MS(1)	
9999DIM A(13) 9995DIM AS(10) 9996DIM E(3) 9999DIM E(3)		9992DIM ES(2)	
9995DIM NS(18) 9999 DIM CS(28) 9999DIM E(5)		777JUIM H(13) 999ADIM 16(73)	
9990DIM CS(29) 9999DIM E(5)		9995DIM NS(10)	
9998 DIM AS(26) 9999DIM E(3)		9996DIM CS(20)	
9999DIM E( 5)		9998 DIM AS(26)	
		9999DIM E(5)	
		$\hat{f}$	
	1		
	•		
6			
61	•.		
61			
6			
٠ •			
<u>6</u> 1			
61	•		
<u>6</u> 1			
61			
<u>6</u> 1		· · · ·	
<u>6</u> 1			
<u>61</u>			
<u>•</u>	•		
<u>61</u>	•		
		<b>61</b>	
	، فبينميد،		-
	•		-

Section 6.

Microprocessor programs, one for each of up to four spacecraft, loaded in each one. The programs are written in RML BASIC for the 280Z machine.



х 10 REM + DEMX 51 + FROM 50 + 26/2/80 + 20 REM LINE1070 AND 30 CLEAR 5000 40 DIM SCS(2,40) 50 DIM D2(10) 60 D2(1)=-7:D2(2)=-6:D2(3)=1:D2(4)=8 70 D2(5)=7:D2(6)=6:D2(7)=-1:D2(8)=-8 50 POKE 16911,62 . 90 POKE 16912,65 MOVING DESTROYING 100 COMMS=" 110 COMMS=COMMS+"CONDITIONAL INFORMATION " 120 COMMS=COMMS+"REPAIR REPEATING 130 COMMS=COMMS+"TRANSFERRING " 140 ?"SHIP X OR Y "; 150 SHS=CHRS(USR(1)):?SHS 160 IF SHS -> "X" AND SHS -> "Y" THEN 140 170 ?"YOU HAVE ABOUT BOSECS TO CHANGE KEYBOARD "ISHS 150 FOR I= 1 TO 30000:NEXT I 190 ?"NOW PRESS THE P BUTTON ON THE SHIP KEYBOARD "; SHS 200 X=USR(3) 210 IF USR(1)=0 THEN 210 220 S3\$="MDCIRST": S5\$="0123456789" 230 S6S="ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ" 249 IFSHS="X"THENS4S="MSCDRIG1254367"ELSES4S="1254367MSCDRIG" 250 IFSHS="X"THENS9S="MSCDRIG7"ELSES9S="125436G7" 260 S8 S="MDCIRSXY" 279 REM 250 DIM Z2(40,18) 290 DIM Z3(40,18) 309 DIM Z(120) 310 PRINT CHRS(17) 320 AS="ABCDEFG7654321" 330 IF SHS="Y" THEN 360 340 TS="MBBMEESBHDHAMDFMBBMFBXAA" 350 GOT0370 360 TS="1BB1CC1DD2BF4CA6FAXAA" 370 ? CHR\$(12) 330 GRAPH 1 390 FOR I= 1 TO 7 400 PLOT 16+(I+6),47,ASC(MIDS(A\$,I,1)) 410 PLOT 16,(I+6)-3,ASC(MID\$(AS,I+7,1)) 420 NEXT I 430 GOTO 450 440 REM IF USR(3) <>0 THEN 1800 450 S1\$=MID\$(S15,1,0) 460 Z=USR(0) 470 IF Z=38 THEN 2190 430 IF Z<>33THEN 460 490 FORI=1T056:Z(1)=USR(0):NEXT:Z=USR(0):Z=USR(0) 500 FORI=57T0112:Z(I)=USR(0):NEXT 510 FOR I=1T0112:IF Z(I)=38 GOTO 2190 520 NEXT I 530 IF USR(3) <>0 THEN 2190 540 FOR 1=1 TO112:SIS=SIS+CHRS(Z(I)):NEXT I 550 IF USR(3) <>0 THEN 2190 560 REMIF Z <> 13 THENSIS= S1S+ CHRS(Z): Z= USR(0): GOTQ207 570 FOR 1=1T049 550 IF USR(3) <>0 THEN 2190 590 1F MIDS(S1\$,1,1)=MIDS(S2\$,1,1)GOT0760 600 GOSUB 620 610 GOTO 650 620 X1=1-(7=(INT((1-1)/7))) 630 Y1=INT((I-1)/7)+1 640 X1=20+((X1-1)+6) 650 Y1=43-((Y1-1)+6) 63 660 X1=X1+3:Y1=Y1-2 670 RETURN

En REN AN ANY ANY بالج المعد 1070 680 AS=ASC(MIDS(S15,1,1)) 690 IF AS=73THENPL=42: GOT0760 700 IFAS=81THENPL=24:GOT0760 710 IFAS=42THENPL=11:GOT0760 720 IFAS=72THENPL=15: GOT0760 730 IFAS=35THENPL=124: GOT0760 740 PL=AS 750 PLOT X1, Y1, ASC(MIDS(S15,1,1)) 760 PLOT X1, Y1, PL :NEXT I 770 IFMIDS(S1\$, 57, 3)=" "THEN900 780 CS=CS+1:T1S=MID\$(S15,57,54)+"XAAXAA" 790 STS=MIDS(S15,111,2) 800 ?:? MIDS(STS,1,1); ST\$;" RECEIVED" 810 FOR I=1T09 820 IF MIDS(ST\$,1,1)=MID\$(S65,1,1) GOTO 840 8 30 NEXTI: GOT0900 840 S2=(1-1)\*9:FOR 1=1T09 850 IF MIDS(STS, 2, 1)=MIDS(S5S, I+1, 1) THEN 870 860 NEXTI: GOT0900 . 870 S2=S2+VAL(MID\$(ST5,2,1)) 880 S25=MIDS(S25,1,0) 890 SC\$(1,S2)=T15 900 S25=MIDS(S25,1,0) 910 S25=S15 920 FOR I= 1 TO 200:NEXT I 930 REM NOW CHECK FOR INTERRUPT REQUEST 940 REM 950 REM NO REQUEST SOGET NEXT ELEMENT 960 REM NEXT ELEMENT ROUTINE 970 REM IF Z1 =0 THEN NO TACTIC OPERATIVE 980 REM ZI IS TACTIC NO. OPERATIVE 990 GOTO 1010 1000 ?"CONDITION MET" 1010 REM 1020 X=USR(3): IFX<>0THEN2190 1030 IFZ1=0THENTPS=" ":E1=0:GOT02120 1040 ES=MIDS(TS,(E1+3)+1,3) 1050 E1=E1+1 1360 REM NOW INTERPRET ELEMENT ES 1070 IF ES<>"XAA" THEN 1110 1080 ?"TERMINATING THIS TAC'IC" 1090 Z1=0:E1=0:GOT02120 1100 REM ABOVE LINE SENDS TO DEFAULT 1110 FORA=1T014 1120 IFMIDS(ES,1,1)=MIDS(S4S,A,1)THEN1140 1130 NEXT A 1140 IFA>7THENA=A-7 1150 IF A=7THEN1170 1160 ES=MIDS(S4S, A, 1)+MIDS(ES, 2, 2) 1170 ?"NEXT COMMAND: "JMIDS(COMMS,(13+A),13); 1180 ?"(";TPS;")" 1190 IF MIDS(ES,1,1) <>"M" AND MIDS(ES,1,1) <>"1" THEN 1550 1200 FORI=1TO 9 1210 IF MIDS(ES,2,1)=MIDS(S65,1,1)THEN DR=VAL(MIDS(S55,1,1)):GOTO 1240 1220 NEXT I 1230 FOR I= 1 TO 1000:NEXT I 1240 FOR I= 2 TO 10 1250 IF MIDS(ES, 3, 1)=MIDS(S65, 1, 1) THEN TH=VAL(MIDS(S55, 1, 1)): GOTO 1270 1260 NEXT 1

54

1270 IF TH>4 THEN TH=4 1280 DR=DR+1 1290 D3=D2(DR) 1300 I=25: GOSUB 620 1310 FOR A= 1 TO TH 1320 IF A=4 THEN 1500 1330 NWS=MIDS(S25,I+D3,1) 1350 S2\$=MID\$(S2\$,1,(I+D3)-1)+SH\$+MID\$(S2\$,I+D3+1,75) 1360 IF A>1THEN 1380 1370 S25=MIDS(S25,1,24)+" "+MIDS(S25,26,75) 1380 FOR K2= 1 TO 3 1390 PLOT XI, YI, ASC(SHS) 1400 FOR K= 1 TO 100:NEXT K 1410 PLOT X1, Y1, 32 1420 NEXT K2 1430 I=I+D3:GOSUB 620 1440 FOR K2= 1 TO 3 1450 PLOTX1, Y1, 32 1460 FOR K= 1 TO 100:NEXT K 1470 PLOT X1, Y1, ASC(SHS) 1480 NEXT K2 1490 IF A<>4 THEN 1520 1500 PLOT X1, Y1, 32 1510 S25=MIDS(S25,1,1-1)+" "+MIDS(S25,1+1,75) 1520 NEXT A 1530 IF NWS=" " THEN 1540 1540 GOT01900 1550 IF MIDS(ES,1,1) <>"I"AND MIDS(ES,1,1) <>"6" THEN 1660 1560 IF Z2(Z1,E1)=1 THEN 1620 1570 FOR I= 0 TO 9 1580 IF MID\$(E\$,2,1)=MID\$(\$6\$,1+1,1) THEN Z3(Z1,E1)=I:GOTO1610 1590 NEXT I 1600 ?"524": STOP 1610 Z2(Z1,E1)=1 1620 IFZ3(Z1,E1)=0 THEN 1650 1630 Z3(Z1,E1)=Z3(Z1,E1)-1 1640 E1=0 : GOT01020 1650 Z2(Z),E1)=0:Z1=0:GOTO 1010 1660 IF MIDS(ES,1,1) <> "G" AND MIDS(ES,1,1) <> "7" THEN 1730 1670 REM TRANSFER 1680 39=0 1690 IFSHS="X"ANDMIDS(ES,1,1)="7"THENS9=1 1700 IFSH\$="Y"ANDMID\$(E\$,1,1)="G"THENS9=1" 1710 S2=VAL(MIDS(E5,2,2)): GOT03750 1720 GOTO 1010 1730 REM 1740 IF MIDS(ES,1,1) <> "C" AND MIDS(ES,1,1) <> "5" THEN 1890 1750 ?"CHECKING CONDITION" 1760 FOR A=1T0 7 1770 IF MIDS(ES,2,1)=MIDS(S6S,A,1) THEN 1790 1780 NEXT A 1790 IF MIDS(S2\$,49+A,1)="1"ANDMID\$(E\$,3,1)="B"GOTO1000 1800 IF MIDS(S25, 49+A, 1)="0"ANDMIDS(E\$, 3, 1)="C"GOTO1000 1810 ?"CONDITION NOT MET-COMMAND SKIPPED" 1820 IF MIDS(MIDS(TS,(E1+3)+1,3),1,1)="C" THEN GOTO 1850 1830 IF MIDS(MIDS(TS,(E1+3)+1,3),1,1)="5" THEN GOTO 1850 1840 E1=E1+1:GOTO 1000 1850 E1=E1+1 1860 IF MIDS(MIDS(TS,(E1+3)+1,3),1,1)="C" THEN GOTO 1850 1870 IF MIDS(MIDS(TS,(E1+3)+1,3),1,1)="5" THEN GOTO 1850 1880 E1=E1+1: GOTO 1000 1890 REM PRINT OUT TYPE DESCRIP 1900 REM NOW SEND TO MINI 1910 IFSC=LSCANDSC<>0THEN 1960 1920 IFSC<>LSCTHEN1950 1930 FORI=1T06: ES=ES+" "INEXTI 1940 ES=ES+" ": GOTO1980

1950 LSC=LSC+1: ALS=LSC 1960 TP=SC: SC=ALS: GOSUB 3400: SC=TP 1970 ES=ES+MIDS(SC5(0,ALS),1,54)+STS 1980 IFLEN(TPS)=0THENTPS=" " 1990 ES=ES+TPS+MIDS(S65,E1+1,1) 2000 FORI=1T06 - 2010 IF USR(0)=38 THEN 2190 2020 NEXT I 2030 FORI=1T0500:NEXT I 2040 LPRINTCHRS(X) 2050 FORI=1TO 500:NEXT I 2060 LPRINT ES 2070 FORI=1TO 200:NEXT 1 2030 FOR I= 1 TO 2 2090 IF USR(0)=38 THEN I=I-1 2100 NEXT I 2110 GOT0440 2120 IF SH S="X" THEN ES="M" ELSE ES="1" 2130 ES=ES+MIDS(S65,D4+1,1) 2140 D4=D4+1 ÷. 2150 IF D4=8 THEN D4=0 2160 ES=ES+"B" 2170 ?"DRIFT" 2180 GOTO 1190 2190 REM 2200 IF MIDS(S25,25,1)=SHS THEN 2260 2210 FOR I=1T049 2220 IF MIDS(S25,1,1) <> SHS THEN 2240 2230 GOSUB 620: PLOT X1, Y1, ASC(" "): GOTO 2250 2240 NEXT I 2250 I=25: GOSUB620: PLOTX 1, Y1, ASC( SH \$) 2260 X=PEEK(25661) 2270 Z1=1 2280 E1=0 2290 GOSUB 2350 2300 GOTO3350: REM STORE NEW STRING 2310 FOR I=1T020:NEXT I 232Ø X=USR(Ø) 2330 FORI=1T0500:NEXT I 2340 GOTO 1010 2350 TS=MIDS(TS,1,0) 2360 Z7=0 2370 ?:?:?:?:Z7=Z7+1 2380 ?TS 2390 IF Z7=1 THEN IS=CHRS(X): GOTO 2430 2400 IF Z7=19 THEN IS="T": GOTO 2430 2410 REM 2420 ?"COMMAND ";Z7;:IS=CHRS(USR(1)):?IS 2430 IFIS="T" ANDZ7=1AND CS+SC=0 THEN 2420 2440 IFIS="T"ANDZ7=1 THEN3530 2450 REM WANT TO TRANSFER MANUALLY 2460 IFIS="P"ANDZ7<>1THENTS=TS+"XAAXAA": RETURN 2470 FORA=1T07 2480 IF IS=MIDS(S35, A, 1) THEN 2510 2490 NEXT A 2500 PRINT: PRINT: ?: GOT02420 2510 IS=MIDS(S45,A,1) 2520 ONAGOTO 2540,2690,2920,3060,3130,3200,3270 2530 GOT02500 2540 REM MOVE 2550 ?: ?: ?: ?"MOVE DIRECTION "; 2560 DS=CHRS(USR(1)):?DS 2570 FOR A=1T08 2580 IFDS=MIDS(S55, A, 1) THEN 2610 2 59 0 NEXT A 66 ...

COMD LILILIOUIDSDON 2610 DS=MIDS(S65,A,1) 2620 ?"THRUST "::F1S=CHRS(USR(1)):?F1S 2630 FOR A=2 TO 10 2640 IF FIS=MIDS(S55, A, 1) THEN 2660 2650 NEXT A: ?: ?: GOT02620 2660 F1S=MIDS(S6S,A,1) 2670 TS=TS+IS+DS+F1S 2680 GOTO 2370 2690 REM DESTROY 2700 ?"10R ALL IN RANGE (1 OR 2) "; 2710 DS=CHRS(USR(1)):?DS 2720 GOSUB2730: GOTO2780 2730 FOR A=1 TO 10 2740 IFDS=MIDS(S55, A, 1) THEN 2770 2750 NEXT A 2760 ?:?:?:GOT02700 2770 RETURN 2780 IF A >> 2ANDA <> 3THEN 2700 2790 D\$=MIDS(S65,A,1) 2800 ?"ENERGY (1-9) ?"; 2810 F1\$=CHR\$(USR(1)):?F1\$ 2320 GOSUB2880 2830 IFA>0ANDA<11THEN 2850 2840 ?:?:?:GOT02800 2850 F1S=MIDS(S65,A,1) 2860 TS=TS+IS+DS+F15 2870 GOT02370 2880 FOR A= 1 TO 9 2890 IFF15=MIDS(S55,A,1)THEN 2910 2900 NEXT A 2910 RETURN 2920 REM CONDITION 2930 ?"CONDITION (1-7) "; 2940 DS=CHRS(USR(1)):?DS 2950 FOR A= 2 TO 8 2960 IFDS=MIDS(S55,A,1)THEN2980 2970 NEXT A: ?: ?: GOT02920 2980 TS=TS+IS 2990 TS=TS+MID\$(S65,A,1) 3000 PRINT"TRUE OR NOT TRUE (1 OR 2)"; 3010 D3=CHRS(USR(1)): PRINT DS 3020 FOR A=2 TO 3 3030 IF D\$=MID\$(S55, A, 1)GOTO 3050 -3040 NEXT A: ?:?:?:GOTO 3000 3050 TS=TS+MIDS(S65,A,1): GOTO 2370 3960 ?:?:?:?"INFORMATION-OPTION (1-9) "; 3070 DS=CHRS(USR(1)):?DS 3080 FORA=2T010 3090 IF DS=MIDS(S55,A,1)THEN3110 3100 NEXTA: ?: GOT03060 3110 TS=TS+IS 3120 TS=TS+MIDS(S65,A,1)+"A":GOTO 2370 3130 ?: ?: ?: ?! REPAIR HOLES OR CRACKS (1 OR 2) "; 3140 DS=CHRS(USR(1)):?DS 3150 FOR A=2 TO 3 3160 IF DS=MIDS(S55, A, 1) THEN3180 3170 NEXT A: ?: GOTO 3130 • 3180 TS=TS+15 3190 TS=TS+MIDS(S65,A,1)+"A": GOT02370 3200 ?: ?: ?: ?: STEP HOW MANY (1-9) "; 3210 DS=CHRS(USR(1));?DS 3220 FOR A=1T010 3230 IF DS=MIDS(555, A, 1) THEN3250 3240 NEXT A: 7: GOTO3200 3250 TS=TS+15 3260 TS=TS+MIDS(S65,A,1)+"A": GOT02370 3270 REM TRANSFER 67 3280 ?"TRANSFER TO- ? ")

	-	
-	7200	CASUR 3560+ ST S= STR S( S2)
* •	3360	IFS9=1ANDSHS="X" THEN IS="7"
	3310	IFS9=1 ANDSH \$="Y" THEN I \$="G"
• •	3320	?"\$2= "; \$2; "\$T\$= "; \$T\$
•	3330	IFLEN(STS)= 3THEN STS=MIDS(STS,2,2)
	3340	T\$≠T\$+I\$+ST\$:G0T0237Ø
	3350	REM STORE STRING
	3360	?:?:?"THIS CODE NUMBER WILL IDENTIFY"
•	3370	?"THIS TACTIC FOR FUTURE USE, NOTE IT. ";
	3380	SC=SC+1
	3390	GOSUB 3400: GOTO 3470
	3400	NUMB=9+(CSC/9)-INT(SC/9))
	3410	IF NUMBED INEN NUMBED
	3420	$L=INI((3U/7)+I)$ $I= (S(20) - INI(S(20) - 0) TVEN + (S-1) E_{2}$
•	3000	STSEMIDS(SAS, F.1)
	3450	STS=STS+MIDS(S55,NUMB+1,1)
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	3460	RETURN
	3470	?ST\$
	3480	FORI=1T0250:NEXT
	3490	SC\$(0,SC)=T\$
	3500	IF LEN(SCS(0,SC))=60 THEN 3520
	3510	SC\$(0,SC)=SC\$(0,SC)+" ":GOTO 3500
	3520	REM
	3530	?"NOW CHOOSE TACTIC TO BE USED" /
	3540	?"TYPE LETTER FIRST, THEN NUMBER ";
	3550	GOSUB 3560: GOTO 3750
	3560	ST 5= CHR S( USR(1)): (ST 5)
	35/0	515=515+(HKS(USK(1)); MIUS(515,2,1))
	2500	17 MID3(313)1)17 MID3(313)231) INEN 3000
	3600	For t = 170  g
•	3610	F M DS(STS,1,1)=MIDS(S65,1,1) THEN 3630
•	3620	NEXT I:GOTO 3680
	3630	S9=1:STS=MIDS(ST5,1,1)+CHR\$(USR(1))
	3640	?MIDS(ST\$,2,1)
	3650	FOR I=1T09
	3660	IF MIDS(3T\$,1,1)=MID5(S6\$,1,1)THEN 3690
	3670	NEXT. I
	3680	?:?:?:?:?"ERROR,DO IT AGAIN":GOTO 3530
•	3690	S2=(I-1)+9
	3700	FUK IF I TO 9
	3710	17 MIU3(3)3/2/1)=MIU3(335/1+1/1) 1HEN 3730 NEVT 1. 00702488
,	3120	NEAT 13 UUIUJ050 80+80+VAL/MIDE/STE-0.111
•	37.00	RETURN
	3750	IFS9=1TWFN3790
	3760	IF S2=SC THEN 3790
	3770	IF \$2 <sc 3790<="" td="" then=""></sc>
	3780	?ST\$; "NOT AVAILABLE": 21=0: GOTO1010
	3790	TS=SCS(S9,S2)
	3800	TP= SC: SC= S2: G0 SUB3400
•	3510	SC=TP:TPS=STS
	3820	IFS9=1THENTPS=MIDS(TPS,1,1)+TPS
	38 30	IFS9=0THENTPS=" "+TPS
	3840	IF LEN(TS) <3 GOTO 3780
•	3850	Z1=S2;E1=0
	3860	GOT02310

.

. . .

-

2

) 1

1

1.1

	18.4 Mar - series with the second states a second state of the second states the second states and the
<b>•</b> ••••	3570 ?"SHIP "SHS" TACTICS"
5 e + .	3850 FORW=1T018
	3890 ?RIGHT\$(" "+STR\$(W),4);
• •	3900 NEXTW: ?
• •	3910 FOR W=1T040
•	3920 IFSC\$(0,W)=""Then4000
	3930 ?LEFTS(STR\$(W)+" ",3);
	3940 FORI=ITO18
	3950 IFMID\$(SC\$(0,W),(I+2)+(I-2),3)="XAA"THEN3990
	3960 S7S=MIDS(SCS(0,W),(I+2)+(I-2),3)
	3970 GOSUB4170:?S75;
	3980 NEXTI:?
	3990 ?:NEXTW:?:?
	4000 IFSH\$="X"THENS9\$="125436G7"ELSES9\$="MSCDRIG7"
	4010 IFSH\$="X"THENSH\$="Y"ELSESH\$="X"
	4020 ?:?
	4030 (?"SHIP "SHS" SENT THESE"
	4040 FORW=1T018
	4050 ?RIGHT\$(" "+STR\$(W),4);
	4060 NEXTW: ?
·	4070 FOR W=1T040
	4080 [FSCS(1,W)=""THEN4160
	4090 ?LEFT\$(STR\$(W)+" ",3);
•	4100 FORI=1T018
	4110 IFMIDS(SCS(1,W),(1+2)+(1-2),3)="XAA"THEN4150
	4120 S7 <b>S=</b> MIDS(SCS(1,W),(I*2)+(I-2),3)
	4130 GOSUB4170:?S75;
	4140 NEXTI:?
	4150 ?:NEXTW: ?:?
	4160 END
	4170 REMS7S HOLDS 3 CHTS
	4180 FORJ=1T08
	4190 IFMIDS(S75,1,1)=MIDS(S95,J,1)THEN4210
	4200 NEXTJ
	4210 \$7\$=MIDS(\$8\$,J,1)+MIDS(\$7\$,2,2)+" "
	4220 RETURN
-	
## REFERENCES

Atkin, R. H. (1973), <u>Mathematical Structures in Human Affairs</u>, London: Allen and Unwin.

Atkin, R. H. (1974) "An Approach to Structure in Architectural and Urban Design", <u>Environment and Planning</u>, B Vol 1, <u>pp51-67</u>, B Vol 1 pp173-191, B Vol 2, <u>pp21-57</u>.

Atkin, R. H. (1977), Combinatorial Connectivities in Social Systems London: Heinemann.

Atkin, R. H. (1978), "Decision Making as an event search Traffic on a Multidimensional Structure", <u>Procs 3rd ARI Richmond Conference</u> on Decision Making in Complex Systems, US Army Washington.

Atkin, R. H. (1979), "A Kinematics for Decision Making", <u>Procs 4th</u> <u>ARI Richmond Conference on Decision Making in Complex Systems</u>, ARI Washington.

Atkin, R. H., et al (1977) "Methodology of Q Analysis", <u>Research Reports</u> SSRC HR3021/2, Dept. Mathematics, University of Essex

Jacques, E. (1956), Measurement of Responsibility, London: Tavistock.

Jacques, E. (1964) Time Span Hand Book, London: Heinemann.

Jacques, E. (1979) "The Rationality of Unconscious Processes as seen in Decision Making", Procs 4th ARI Richmond Conference on Decision Making in Complex Systems.

Jacques, E., Gibson, R. O., and Isaac, D. J. (1979), Levels of Abstraction in Logic and Human Action, London: Heinemann.

Pask, G. (1979) <u>Progress Reports under Grant DAERO 76-G-069</u> "Cognitive Mechanisms and Behaviours involved in other than Institutional Learning and Using Principles of Decision", ARI Washington.

Pask, G. (1980), "Observable Components of the Decision Process and a revised theoretical position", Proceedings 3rd ARI Richmond Conference on Decision Making in Complex Systems- 1978, ARI Washington.