

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N-00014-78-C-0562

Task No. NR 053-686

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. #0

Synthesis and Characterization of Organoaluminum Compounds

Containing the Trimethylsilylmethyl Substituent

Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br, Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H and (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ and

a Reinvestigation of the Chemistry of Me2AlPPh2 and Et2AlPPh2.

bу

O. T. Beachley, Jr. and Claire Tessier-Youngs

Prepared for Publication

in

Organometallics

State University of New York at Buffalo Department of Chemistry Buffalo, New York 14214

8, February 1983



Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

*This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited

83 02 017 013

TIC FILE COPY

(Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14214)

Synthesis and Characterization of Organoaluminum Compounds

Containing the Trimethylsilylmethyl Substituent,

Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br, Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H and (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ and

Reinvestigation of the Chemistry of Me₂AlPPh₂ and Et₂AlPPh₂.

by

O. T. Beachley, Jr. * and Claire Tessier-Youngs

The new amphoteric ligand, (Me₃SiCH₂) AlPPh₂, has been prepared from the reactions of either Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ or Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H with PPh₂H or Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br with KPPh₂ and fully characterized by analysis, cryoscopic molecular weight measurements, IR and H NMR data. This aluminum-phosphide is unique as it exists as a monomer-dimer equilibrium mixture in benzene solution. The syntheses and characterization of the new compounds Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H and Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br are also described. Since the chemistry of aluminum-phosphides as amphoteric Higands is of interest, the behavior of (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂, (Me₂AlPPh₂)₂ and (Et₂AlPPh₂)₂ toward common solvents, Et₂0, THF and CH₃CN, was investigated. The aluminum phosphides readily cleave THF and reduce the triple bond of CH₃CN at room temperature. However, neither THF nor Et₂0 form isolable adducts with aluminum phosphides. The unusual melting point behavior of aluminum phosphides is also discussed,

COPY NSPECTED

The synthesis and characterization of Cr(CO)₅[PPh₂Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂·NMe₃]¹ has been described recently. This compound serves as a model for compounds in which a diphenylphosphide group bridges a transition metal and a main-group element moiety. Alternatively, this new compound may be considered to be a transition metal derivative of an organoaluminum phosphide, an amphoteric ligand. As part of this research effort, the chemistry of amphoteric ligands is of interest and consequently we have extended, reinvestigated and in some cases reassessed the chemistry of several organoaluminum diphenylphosphides.

Organoaluminum diphenylphosphides with methyl, 2 ethyl 3 and aryl4 substituents have been prepared from triorganoaluminum compounds and PPh₂H by elimination reactions. The compounds R₂AlPPh₂ exist as dimers in benzene solution and are believed to have four-membered aluminum-phosphorus rings in analogy with similar compounds containing other Group 3 and Group 5 atoms. $^{2-4}$ The four-membered rings reportedly can be disrupted by reaction with various Lewis bases to form adducts of formula Ph₂PAlR₂·Base.²⁻⁴ However, no NMR or x-ray structural data have been reported to support the proposed structures of dimers or of their adducts. The lack of such data prompted us to undertake a reinvestigation of the properties and reaction chemistry of Me_AlPPh_ and EtaAlPPha. The results of our initial attempts to synthesize $\mathrm{M(CO)}_{5}\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\mathrm{AlR}_{2}$ compounds defined the need for a more soluble organoaluminum diphenylphosphide. Our previous experience 5,6 had indicated that the trimethylsilylmethyl ligand enhanced the solubility of its compounds in hydrocarbon solvents. Thus, we prepared $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2AlPPh_2$ from Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₃. ${\rm Al}\left({\rm CH_2SiMe_3}\right)_2{\rm Br}$ and ${\rm Al}\left({\rm CH_2SiMe_3}\right)_2{\rm H}$ in order to define the best and most useful route. Our new convenient synthesis of Al(CH_2SiMe_3)₃ has been reported.⁷ From this parent compound, the preparations of $A1(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Br$ and $A1(CH_2SiMe_3)_2H$ have been accomplished. In this paper we also report the properties of the adducts R_3A1PPh_2H ($R = Me_*Et_*CH_2SiMe_3$) and the chemistry of organoaluminum diphenylphosphides towards ethers (THF and Et_2O) and CH_3CN .

Experimental

Handling procedures, solvent purification and spectra were as previously described. All molecular weights were measured cryoscopically in benzene solution. Moles of hydrolyzable alkyl groups or hydrogens bound to aluminum were determined by measuring the gas (PVT) evolved upon acid hydrolysis from a weighed sample of compound (mol). Attempts to analyze for aluminum via an EDTA titration² in the presence of phosphorus were unsuccessful. Immediately prior to its use AlMe_3 was vacuum distilled. ${\sf AlEt}_3$ was obtained from a commercial 25% solution in hexane by removal of hexane by vacuum distillation. The compound AlMe₂H was obtained from the reaction of $AlMe_3$ and $LiAlH_4^{8}$ and was purified by vacuum distillation. The reagents A1(CH_2SiMe_3) $_3$ and PPh_2H^9 were synthesized by literature procedures. The liquids, AlEt₃ $(0.835 \text{ g/mL})^{10}$ and Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ $(0.802 \text{ m})^{10}$ g/mL), 7 were measured by volume using graduated gas-tight syringes in the dry-box. The liquid PPh_2H (1.07 g/mL) was similarly handled using Schlenk techniques. 1 H NMR spectra are reported in δ units (ppm) and are referenced to TMS as 0.00 and C_6H_6 as 7.27. Assignments are based on integration of spectra, where possible.

Synthesis of A1(CH_2SiMe_3)₂Br

The compound A1(CH_2SiMe_3)₂Br was synthesized by an exchange reaction between one mol A1Br₃ and two mol A1(CH_2SiMe_3)₃. In the dry box, 1.75 mL (1.40 g, 4.87 mmol) A1(CH_2SiMe_3)₃ and 0.650 g (2.43 mmol) A1Br₃ were combined in

a 10 mL flask. Heat was evolved and a colorless liquid was produced. The flask was attached to a micro-scale distillation apparatus and $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Br$ (1.926 g, 94%) distilled as a colorless liquid at 60-62°/0.01 mm. Density: 1.06 g/mL at 22°. Anal. Calc.: A1, 9.59; Br, 28.41; Me,Si, 2.00 mol/mol. Found: Al, 9.45; Br, 27.73; Me_4Si , 1.98 mol/mol. ¹H NMR (benzene, δ): 0.27 (s, 9, CH₃), -0.16 (s, 2, CH₂). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): 1259(s), 975(s,b), 946(m), 858(vs,b), 763(s), 734(s), 668(m), 636(vs), 577(s), 315(w). Cryoscopic molecular weight. Formula weight A1(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br: 281.27. Molality, observed mol. wt., association: 0.1257, 644, 2.29; 0.0497, 405, 1.44; 0.0401, 335, 1.19; 0.0336, 295, 1.05. Solubility: soluble in aromatic, halogenated and aliphatic hydrocarbons and ethers. Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br readily reacts with absorbed water on glass. In order to obtain satisfactory analyses for this compound, the reaction flask had to be oven dried (>100°C) prior to being brought into the dry box and the flask containing the sample had to be evacuated at -196° to avoid loss of volatile Me_Si and HBr which formed even with these precautions.

Synthesis of A1(CH_2SiMe_3)₂H

The reagents Al(CH_2SiMe_3)₃ (2.5 mL, 2.0 g, 6.9 mmol) and 0.3 g (7.9 mmol) LiAlH₄ (recrystallized from Et₂0) were heated at 90° for 18 h under vacuum. The reaction mixture solidified on cooling to room temperature. The product Al(CH_2SiMe_3)₂H (1.29 g, 92%) sublimed at 40-70° under high vacuum as a crystalline solid. Mp: 35-36°. Alternatively, Al(CH_2SiMe_3)₂H was isolated from the reaction mixture by extracting with hexane, concentrating the solution and cooling to 0° or -20°. Mp: 38-40°. Anal. Calc.: Al, 13.33; Me₄Si, 2.00 mol/mol; H₂, 1.00 mol/mol. Found: Al, 11.36; Me₄Si, 2.04 mol/mol; H₂ 0.99 mol/mol. ¹H NMR

(benzene, δ): 0.33 (s,9,CH₃), -0.25 (s,2,CH₂). IR (Nujol mull, cm⁻¹): 1765 (vs, vb, Al-H), 1257 (vs), 970 (vs,b), 854 (vs), 838 (vs), 765 (vs), 733 (s), 702 (m), 661 (w), 575 (m). Cryoscopic molecular weight. Formula weight Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H: 202.35. Molality, obs. mol. wt., association: 0.1144, 607, 3.00; 0.144, 648, 3.20; 0.1702, 609, 3.01. Solubility: soluble in aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons. The analysis suggests a 5-9% impurity of Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ which could not be removed due to the similarities of its solubility and volatility with Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H.

Synthesis of R_3A1PPh_2H (R = Me, Et, CH_2SiMe_3)

Adducts of formula $R_3Al \cdot PPh_2H$ (R = Me, Et or Me_3SiCH_2) were obtained by combining equimolar quantities of the trialkylaluminum compound and Ph_2PH (see preparations of R_2AlPPh_2). All three adducts were colorless liquids at room temperature. 1H NMR $Me_3Al \cdot PPh_2H$ (d^8 -toluene, δ): 7.46 (m, Ph), 7.20 (m, Ph), 5.53 (d, J = 299 Hz, 1, PH), -0.08 (s, 9, CH₃). 1H NMR $Et_3Al \cdot PPh_2H$ (d^6 -benzene, δ): 7.45 (m, Ph), 7.18 (m, Ph), 5.55 (d, J = 295 Hz, 1, PH), 1.51 (t, J = 8 Hz, 9, CH₃), 0.53 (q, J = 8 Hz, 6, CH₂). 1H NMR (Me_3SiCH_2) $_3Al \cdot PPh_2H$ (d^6 -benzene, δ): 7.55 (m, Ph), 7.24 (m, Ph), 5.62 (d, J = 300 Hz, 1, PH), 0.30 (s, 27, CH₃), -0.40 (s, 6, CH₂). Synthesis of (Me_3SiCH_2) $_2AlPPh_2$

a) From PhoPH and A1(CHoSiMea)a

As in the preparation of Et_2AlPPh_2 , a break-seal tube containing 1.00 mL (1.07 g, 5.74 mmol) Ph_2PH and 2.09 mL (1.68 g, 5.82 mmol) $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_3$ was prepared. The tube was evacuated, sealed at -196° and then heated at 160-180° for about 18 h. The progress of the

reaction was followed by periodically cooling the tube to room temperature. At room temperature, (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ is a solid and can be distinguished from the liquid adduct. The tube was opened under vacuum. Tetramethylsilane (5.45 mmol, 94.9%) and a trace of a noncondensable gas were evolved during the reaction. The solid was transferred from the tube to a flask by repeated extractions with one 5 mL portion of hexane and then washed once with the same hexane at -20° to remove unreacted adduct. The compound $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2AlPPh_2$ (1.63 g, 73.4%) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 120-121° a glass forms which melts at 136-139°; cooling this sample to room temperature gives crystals which when slowly heated melt at 141-143°. HNMR (benzene, δ): 0.20 (s, 4.5, CH₃), 0.06 $(q, J = 2.7 \text{ Hz}, 1, CH_2)$. (See Results and Discussion for additional description of spectrum.) IR (Nujol mull, cm^{-1}): 1584 (m), 1263 (m), 1248 (s), 1097 (m,b), 1034 (m), 971 (s), 956 (m), 862 (vs), 832 (vs), 749 (vs), 733 (s,sh), 704 (m), 696 (m,sh), 652 (m), 636 (w), 567 (m), 470 (w), 451 (w), 430 (w), 398 (w), 313 (w). Cryoscopic molecular weight. Formula weight (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂: 386.54. Molality, obs. mol. wt., association: 0.0549, 665, 1.72; 0.0446, 638, 1.65; 0.0210, 545, 1.41.

b) From A1(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H and Ph₂PH

A sample of A1(CH_2SiMe_3)₂H (0.660 g, 3.26 mmol) was placed in a tube equipped with a Teflon valve. Toluene (5 mL) was distilled into the tube and then, under argon flush, the tube was attached to a two-neck flask containing 0.56 mL (0.60 g, 3.2 mmol) Ph_2PH . The flask was evacuated and the alane solution was added to the stirred phosphine. Evolution of a noncondensable gas took place very slowly at room

temperature in toluene solution. The toluene was removed by vacuum distillation and the reaction flask was heated with an oil bath.

Gas evolution was rapid at 65° and a white solid formed. The flask was heated at 70-80° for 2 h to drive the reaction to completion. Hydrogen was evolved in greater than 91% yield. Unreacted adduct was removed by washing the solid with 5 mL hexane at -20°. Mp: 146-147°. Anal.

Calc.: Me₄Si, 2.00 mol/mol. Found: Me₄Si, 2.02 mol/mol. Infrared and ¹H NMR spectra were identical to those obtained from the previous reaction.

c) From A1(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br and KPPh₂

Potassium hydride (0.102 g, 2.54 mmol) was slowly added to a stirred solution of 0.64 mL (0.69 g, 3.7 mmol) Ph₂PH in 10 mL diethyl ether. An orange solid, KPPh₂, was precipitated and hydrogen was evolved in 95% yield in less than 12 hr. A solution of 0.97 mL (1.0 g, 3.7 mmol) Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br in 3 mL diethyl ether, contained in a built-in addition tube of the reaction vessel, was added to the stirred KPPh₂ suspension. The orange solid disappeared immediately and a white precipitate was formed. After stirring for one-half hour, the solution was filtered from the KBr using a medium frit. Diethyl ether was removed by vacuum distillation and the remaining white solid was recrystallized from pentane. The compound (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ was obtained in 71% yield. Mp: glass forms at 115° which melts completely by 138°. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the product in d⁶-benzene indicated (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ and the presence of a small impurity of Br(Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂H.

Syntheses of Me₂AlPPh₂

a) From PPh2H and AlMe3

The compound AlMe $_3$ (1.0117 g, 14.03 mmol) was distilled into a trap on the vacuum line. Then Ph₂PH (2.34 mL, 2.50 g, 13.4 mmol) was syringed into an argon filled break-seal tube. The preweighed $Alme_{2}$ was vacuum distilled into the tube and the tube was sealed at -196°. On warming to room temperature, an exothermic reaction took place. The clear liquid adduct was heated for 16 h at 160°, producing a white solid and 13.4 mmol methane gas. The tube was opened under vacuum using a stainless steel breaker. The contents of the tube were transferred into a flask by repeated extractions with toluene. The resultant white solid was washed once with hexane (5 mL) yielding Me_2AlPPh_2 (2.812 g, 86%) as a white powder. Mp: 200-206° a glass forms, 211-222° the glass melts (lit. mp: 230°, sublimed). Anal. Calc.: MeH, 2.00 mol/mol. Found: MeH, 1.99 mol/mol. ¹H NMR (d⁸-toluene, δ): 7.50 (m, Ph), 7.16 (m, Ph), 0.08 (q, J = 2 Hz, AlCH₂). (See Results and Discussion for additional description of spectrum.) IR (Nujol mull, cm^{-1}): 3081 (w), 3050 (w), 1587 (w), 1437 (s), 1264 (w), 1183 (m), 1042 (s,b), 1021 (s), 1005 (s), 950 (w), 926 (w), 804 (w), 757 (m), 730 (s), 685 (s,b), 554 (m), 487 (m), 423 (m), 355 (w), 332 (w). Solubility: moderately soluble in benzene or toluene, insoluble in hexane and diethyl ether. The compound Me₂AlPPh₂ reacts with halogenated hydrocarbons, THF and CH₃CN (vide infra), solvents in which it has only slight solubility. The attempted sublimation of a 1.79 g sample at 160° for 12 h under high vacuum produced 0.0347 g (2%) sublimed Me₂AlPPh₂ (mp: 228°).

b) From Ph₂PH and AlMe₃ in toluene.

As in the previous preparation, a break-seal tube containing 0.8315 g (11.53 mmol) AlMe $_3$ and 1.54 mL (1.65 g, 8.88 mmol) Ph $_2$ PH was prepared. Toluene (10 mL) was vacuum distilled into the tube and the tube was sealed. The reaction mixture was heated at 140° for 18 h. Large crystals formed as the reaction vessel slowly cooled to room temperature. The tube was opened under vacuum. Methane was generated by the reaction. The crystals were washed out of the tube with toluene, recrystallized from this solvent and subjected to high vacuum for several hours. During this latter process the unreacted AlMe $_3$ was removed. The compound Me $_2$ AlPPh $_2$ (1.40 g, 62%) was obtained as a white solid which melted at 228°. Identical H NMR and infrared spectra were obtained as for the compound described in the previous preparation.

c) From Ph₂PH and AlMe₂H.

The reagent AlMe₂H (0.2789 g, 4.804 mmol) was vacuum distilled into a tube equipped with a Teflon stopcock. Under argon flush, the tube was attached to a 2-neck flask containing 0.83 mL (0.88 g, 4.8 mmol) Ph₂PH. The flask was evacuated and AlMe₂H was transferred to the flask by distillation. The reagents were allowed to slowly warm to room temperature. Vigorous bubbling took place and a white solid and a noncondensable gas formed. After 2 h at room temperature, the reaction flask was heated at 90° for 1 h to drive the reaction to completion. Using estimated volumes, the quantity of hydrogen evolved was nearly quantitative. A sublimation of this solid was attempted but after several hours at 160° only a trace of a liquid, identified as Ph₂PH, had distilled to the cold finger. The remaining solid was then recrystallized from toluene, yielding 0.235 g

(20%) Me₂AlPPh₂. Mp: 210-212° glass forms, which is completely melted by 225°. Identical infrared and ¹H NMR spectra were obtained as for the compounds described in the previous two preparations. A second crop of a slightly sticky solid (0.20 g) melting at 205-210°, was shown to contain Ph₂PH by its infrared spectra.

Synthesis of Et₂AlPPh₂

The reagent Ph₂PH (2.25 mL, 2.41 g, '2.9 mmol) was syringed into an argon filled break-seal tube. In the dry-box, 1.79 mL (1.49 g, 13.0 mmol) ${\sf AlEt}_3$ was added to the tube. Heat was evolved due to adduct formation. Toluene (10 mL) was then vacuum distilled into the tube. The tube was sealed at -196° and then heated at 90° for 16 h. The tube was opened under vacuum and the ethane evolved (11.4 mmol, 88.4%) was measured. The toluene solution was filtered into a flask under vacuum. Solvent was removed by vacuum distillation leaving a sticky white solid. The solid was recrystallized from 6 mL 1:1 toluene/hexane yielding Et₂AlPPh₂ (2.374 g, 68%) as a white powder. Mp: 123-126° glass forms, 141-143° glass melts (lit. mp: 124-126°, from benzene). In other preparations, the sticky white solid was washed with hexane, leaving a solid which melted at 140-143°. Identical spectral and analytical data were obtained for both products. Anal. Calc.: EtH, 2.00 mol/mol. Found: EtH, 2.02 mol/mol. ¹H NMR $(d^8$ -toluene, δ): 7.51 (m, Ph), 7.20 (m, Ph), 1.31 (t, J = 7 Hz, 1.5, CH₃), 0.80 (q, J = 7 Hz, 1, CH_2). (See Results and Discussion for additional description of spectrum.) ^{31}P NMR (8 -toluene, ppm from 85% $H_{3}P0_{4}$): -42.30 (s). IR (Nujol mull, cm^{-1}): 3052 (w), 1594 (w), 1441 (s), 1267 (w), 1236 (w), 1195 (m), 1137 (vs), 1051 (m,b), 1018 (m), 989 (m), 955 (m), 920 (w), 809 (w), 759 (m), 736 (s), 700 (s), 543 (m), 504 (m), 477 (m), 424 (w),

400 (w), 379 (vw), 284 (vw). Solubility: soluble in aromatic hydrocarbons and diethyl ether, slightly soluble in hexane, soluble in and reacts with halogenated hydrocarbons, THF and CH_3CN (vide infra). Attempted Syntheses of $\text{Et}_2\text{AlPPh}_2 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$

A 0.3180 g (1.177 mmol) sample of $\operatorname{Et}_2\operatorname{AlPPh}_2$ was placed in a tube equipped with a Teflon valve and a magnetic stirring bar. The tube was evacuated and weighed. Diethyl ether (2 mL) was vacuum distilled into the tube. The solution was stirred until all the $\operatorname{Et}_2\operatorname{AlPPh}_2$ dissolved and then cooled to -78° for 48 h. Et_2O was removed by vacuum distillation and the tube was reweighed. No diethyl ether was retained by the sample. This observation was confirmed by the 1H NMR spectrum which identified the presence of only $\operatorname{Et}_2\operatorname{AlPPh}_2$. The sample melted at 143-146° (lit. mp $\operatorname{Et}_2\operatorname{AlPPh}_2\cdot \operatorname{OEt}_2$: 148°). 3

Another sample of ${\rm Et_2A1PPh_2}$ was recrystallized in ${\rm Et_2O}$. The crystals were subjected to high vacuum at -78° until they appeared dry. A $^1{\rm H}$ NMR spectrum of these crystals showed that no ether was retained. The crystals melted at 143-145°.

Reaction of $\operatorname{Et_2A1PPh_2}$ with THF

A sample of Et_2AlPPh_2 and THF was stirred for 18 h in a flask equipped with a side-arm NMR tube. Solvent was removed by vacuum distillation and some of the resultant sticky white solid was washed into the NMR tube with benzene. The tube was sealed at -196° and the 1H NMR spectrum was recorded. 1H NMR (benzene, δ): 3.55 (t, J = 6 Hz, OCH₂), 2.02 (t, J = 7 Hz, PCH₂), 1.44 (m, other CH₂), 1.36 (t, J = 9 Hz, AlCH₂CH₃), 0.32 (m, b, AlCH₂), 0.21 (q, J = 9 Hz, AlCH₂). The sticky material remaining in the reaction tube was then treated with dilute hydrochloric acid and extracted with diethyl ether. Solvent was removed from the extract, the clear oil was dried under

high vacuum for three days and its infrared spectrum was recorded.

Ph₂PC₄H₈OH plus PPh₂H. IR (neat, bands above 1400 cm⁻¹): 3380 (vs, b, OH), 3058 (s), 2964 (s), 2932 (s), 2866 (s), 2332 (m, PH); 1969 (w), 1899 (w), 1821 (w), 1720 (m), 1592 (m), 1583 (s), 1490 (m), 1442 (s).

Reactions of Organoaluminum Phosphides with Acetonitrile

Samples of organoaluminum phosphides (about 0.1 g) were stirred in CH₃CN (3 mL) for 48 h. The solvent was removed by vacuum distillation. Sticky white solids, which were soluble in toluene, were obtained. Infrared spectra of Nujol mulls were recorded. Bands assignable to carbon-nitrogen double or triple bonds are reported. Me₂AlPPh₂ + CH₃CN. Mp: 80° red color, 138-140° sample melts to a red liquid. IR (cm⁻¹): $\nu_{C=N}$ 1601 (s). Et₂AlPPh₂ + CH₃CN. Mp: 125° glass forms, 137° sample melts to a yellow liquid. IR (cm⁻¹): $\nu_{C=N}$ 1613 (s). (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ + CH₃CN. Mp: 160-165° to a yellow liquid. IR (cm⁻¹): $\nu_{C=N}$ 2186 (m), $\nu_{C=N}$ 1601 (s).

Results and Discussion

Standard reactions have been used for the preparation of $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Br$ and $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_2H$ from the trialkylaluminum compound. The compound $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Br$ was prepared in 94% yield by an exchange reaction between $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_3$ and $AlBr_3$ in the absence of solvent (eq. 1).

 $2\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3 + \text{AlBr}_3 \longrightarrow 3\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Br} \qquad (1)$ Exchange is exothermic and apparently occurs at room temperature. Formulation of Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br as a discrete compound, rather than as a mixture of Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ and AlBr₃ is supported by the fact that Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂Br has a two degree boiling range about ten degrees higher than Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ under


high vacuum. The compound $A1(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Br$ exists as a mixture of monomeric and dimeric species in benzene solution in contrast to the dimeric association observed in hydrocarbon solutions of other organoaluminum halides, 12 $In(CH_2SiMe_3)_2C1^6$ and $Ga(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Br.^5$ The lower association of $A1(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Br$ in comparison to these compounds can be attributed to a combination of the large steric size of the trimethylsilylmethyl substituents and the smaller size of the aluminum atom. The analogous chloro-substituted compound, $A1(CH_2SiMe_3)_2C1$, was prepared from the reaction of one mole of $A1Cl_3$ with two moles of $A1(CH_2SiMe_3)_3$. The solution molecular weight of $A1(CH_2SiMe_3)_2C1$ was not reported but mass spectral data showed a fragmentation pattern consistent with a monomeric species in the gas phase.

The synthesis of Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H has been achieved by the reaction of Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ with an excess of LiAlH₄ at 90° (eq. 2). This type of

 $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3 + \text{LiAlH}_4 \xrightarrow{90^\circ} \text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{H} + \text{LiAl}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)\text{H}_3 \qquad (2)$ reaction has also been used to prepare $\text{AlMe}_2\text{H}.^8$ The compound $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{H}$ can be easily isolated in about 90% yield by sublimation or crystallization from hexane. However analysis of $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{H}$ indicates the presence of a 5-9% impurity of $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$, which cannot be removed by repeated sublimations or crystallizations due to similarities of volatility and solubility properties of $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{H}$ and $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$. This situation was not improved by altering the reaction conditions. Under the preparative reaction conditions, $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ apparently distills away from the LiAlH $_4$ to cooler parts of the reaction vessel to avoid reaction. The reaction has also been attempted several times using hexane or toluene as solvent in the hope that the solvent would wash unreacted $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ back down onto

the LiAlH₄. Surprisingly, only very impure solids were isolated from these reactions. Use of longer reaction times or a larger excess of LiAlH₄ were also ineffective in reducing the amount of the impurity.

The compound Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H is the only dialkylaluminum hydride that exists as a solid at room temperature. Other dialkylaluminum hydrides, with alkyl groups ranging in size from methyl to iso-butyl, exist as viscous oils or as liquids. ¹² Cryoscopic molecular weight data indicate that Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H is trimeric in benzene solution. A puckered 6-membered ring composed of alternating dialkylaluminum groups and hydrogen atoms has been proposed for the structure of other trimeric AlR₂H compounds (R = Me, et, iBu). ¹² The infrared spectrum of Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H shows a very broad and intense band



centered at 1765 cm⁻¹ which can be attributed to the aluminum-hydrogen stretching frequency. Similarly broad and intense bands in the range of 1785-1775 cm⁻¹ have been observed in the infrared spectra of other dialkylaluminum hydrides.¹⁴

The main route by which the compounds R_2A1PPh_2 (R = Me, Et, CH_2SiMe_3) have been synthesized involves an elimination-condensation reaction between $A1R_3$ and Ph_2PH . Formation of the intermediate adduct is an exothermic process giving a liquid product in all three cases. The adducts $R_3A1 \cdot PPh_2H$ have been examined by 1H NMR spectroscopy. Complexation of diphenylphosphine by aluminum is shown by the P-H 1H NMR signal, a doublet due to $^{31}P^{-1}H$ coupling. 15 The chemical shift for the P-H of Ph_2PH (δ 5.2-5.1) depending on solvent

moves to lower field upon coordination to the trialkylaluminum compounds (δ = 5.7-5.5). The solvent dependency of the chemical shift makes it a less useful probe of complexation than the $^{31}P^{-1}H$ coupling constant, which is not only virtually solvent independent but is also highly sensitive to the degree of substitution on the phosphorus atom. ¹⁵ In aromatic solvents, the $^{31}P^{-1}H$ coupling constant of PPh_2H is about 220 Hz. This value is in the 180-230 Hz range indicative of three coordinate phosphorus. ¹⁵ The ^{1}H NMR spectra of R_3A1PPh_2H in aromatic solvents show a substantial increase in the $^{31}P^{-1}H$ coupling constant to about 300 Hz. This value is within the 250-730 Hz range indicative of four coordinate phosphorus. ¹⁵ As expected, the phenyl resonances are split by the phosphorus. As with other R_3A1PR_3' adducts 16,17 no detectable splitting of the resonances for the alkyl groups bound to aluminum by phosphorus occurs.

The new organoaluminum phosphide (Me_3SiCH_2)₂AlPPh₂ has been prepared from appropriate reagents by the elimination of SiMe₄ at 160-180° (eq. 3), hydrogen at 70-30° (eq. 4) and KBr (eq. 5) at room temperature. The best

$$A1(CH_2SiMe_3)_3 + Ph_2PH \xrightarrow{160-180^\circ} (Me_3SiCH_2)_2A1PPh_2 + SiMe_4$$
 (3)

$$A1(CH_2S1Me_3)_2H + Ph_2PH \xrightarrow{70-80^{\circ}} (Me_3S1CH_2)_2A1PPh_2 + H_2$$
 (4)

$$A1(CH2SiMe3)2Br + KPPh2 \xrightarrow{Et20} (Me3SiCH2)2A1PPh2 + KBr (5)$$

synthetic reaction based on the ease of preparation and purification of reagents, ultimate purity and percent yield of purified product is given by eq. 3. The purified product (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂, a colorless solid, is isolated in 78% yield, even though SiMe₄ is formed in 95% yield. If reaction temperatures higher than 160-180°, i.e. 180-200°, are used for the preparative

reaction, reation is considerably faster. However, products indicative of the decomposition of the trimethylsilylmethyl ligand, H_2 and a solid product with a grey color are formed. The compound, KAl(CH_2SiMe_3)₃H, also decomposes around 200°.

The potentially amphoteric ligand, (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂, exists as a mixture of monomeric and dimeric species in benzene solution according to cryoscopic molecular weight studies. The compounds Me₂AlPPh₂ and Et₂AlPPh₂ are dimers. All other organoaluminum phosphides exist as either dimers or trimers in solution. ¹² The decreased extent of association for (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ is presumably due to the bulky CH₂SiMe₃ ligand. The compound, (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ is the most soluble of the three organoaluminum phosphides discussed in this study, having moderate solubility in hexane and pentane and excellent solubility in aromatic hydrocarbons and diethyl ether. The spectral properties of these compounds are discussed later.

The compound (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ exhibits an unusual behavior upon heating. The purified product from the Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₃-PPh₂H reaction shows a solid to glass transformation at 120-121° and this glass melts at 136-139°. If this sample is then permitted to cool slowly to room temperature, crystals form which upon reheating melt at 141-143°, no glass transition is observed. The purified product from the Al(CH₂SiMe₃)₂H-PPh₂H reaction does not show the glass transition but melts sharply at 146-147°.

The compound Me₂AlPPh₂ is also readily prepared by a variety of routes, in the presence or absence of a solvent (toluene) or excess alane. All of the reactions are quantitative. All products have the same ¹H NMR and infrared spectral properties and analyses but they have slightly different melting points. The different melting points observed for the

products from the different reactions and/or conditions are probably related to the detailed nature and extent of association of the aluminum-phosphide rather than impurities. Some samples of product melt sharply at 228°, whereas others form a glass at temperatures around 200° and then melt at higher temperatures, within the range of 210 to 225°. Since these temperatures are not considered to reflect a material impurity, the melting point of this type of compound should not be used for product identification and purity. The details of the melting point behavior of different products are given in the Experimental Section. The compound Et₂AlPPh₂ also exhibits this unusual glass transition at 123-126° prior to melting at 141-143°. Similar observations have been made for many other Group 3-5², ¹⁸, ¹⁹ and 3-6²⁰ compounds.

The aluminum-phosphorus dimers have been reported to react with Lewis bases to form adducts, $PPh_2A1R_2 \cdot Base$. The compound, $PPh_2A1Et_2 \cdot OEt_2$, was first described by Issleib and Deyling. The compound was prepared by two different routes but the melting points of the two resulting solid products were slightly different, 148° and 151°. In a later paper Issleib and Krech²² reported $PPh_2A1Et_2 \cdot OEt_2$ as a solid with a melting point of 145-150°. This compound was characterized by analysis and curious cryoscopic molecular weight measurements in dioxane solution. Johnson, Larson and Dahl³ also reported $(Et_2A1PPh_2)_2$ (mp: 124-126°) and $PPh_2A1Et_2 \cdot OEt_2$ (mp: 148°). The formulation of the etherate was supported by cryoscopic molecular weight measurements and hydrolyzable ethane data but analysis for P and Al were consistent with the formula Et_2A1PPh_2 , not the etherate. We have attempted to repeat the synthesis of $PPh_2A1Et_2 \cdot OEt_2$ but without success. All samples of Et_2A1PPh_2 combined with Et_2O produce a solid which melts in the temperature range reported for $PPh_2A1Et_2 \cdot OEt_2$. However, mass balance experiments and

¹H NMR spectra of products show conclusively that Et_20 does not react with Et_2AlPPh_2 to form an adduct. Our results suggest that the earlier workers ^{3,21,22} were confused and misled by the unusual melting point behavior of Et_2AlPPh_2 . The glass transition of Et_2AlPPh_2 at 123-126° is the melting point previously reported ³ for Et_2AlPPh_2 , whereas the melting point of Et_2AlPPh_2 at 141-143° is the melting point reported for the proposed ether adduct. ^{3,21,22} Thus, neither Et_2AlPPh_2 nor $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2AlPPh_2$ form stable diethyl ether adducts.

Our attempts to synthesize compounds²³ of the type Cr(CO)₅(PPh₂A1R₂) from $KCr(CO)_5PPh_2 \cdot 2$ dioxane and AlR_2Br in tetrahydrofuran unexpectedly produced products containing a cleaved THF moiety, Cr(CO)₅[PPh₂C₄H₈OA1R₂]. These results led us to question the claim that PPh_AlEt_. THF can be prepared from LiPPh, and AlEt, Br in THF. We have studied the reaction of Et, AlPPh, with excess THF at room temperature. If the excess THF is removed after 18 h, a sticky white solid is isolated. Its $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows lines indicative of a cleaved THF moiety. Acid hydrolysis of the sticky white solid produces Ph2PC4H2OH and a small amount of PPh2H. The former compound has been previously obtained after hydrolysis of the product from LiPPh₂ and THF. 24 The PPh₂H probably results from the hydrolysis of [Et₂AlPPh₂]₂ which did not react with THF rather than $PPh_2A1Et_2 \cdot THF$. The compounds ${\rm Me_2AlPPh_2}$ and ${\rm (Me_3SiCH_2)_2AlPPh_2}$ also cleave THF. The relative rates of cleavage of THF have been monitored by 1H NMR experiments and have been found to increase in the order (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ > Me₂AlPPh₂ > Et₂AlPPh₂, with reaction ranging from one-half to essentially complete in 8 h. In all cases, the THF cleaved products R2A10C4H8PPh2 exhibit several alkylaluminum ¹H NMR signals suggesting a mixture of variously associated oligomers.

Many organometallic main-group compounds 25 including various organoaluminum compounds 12 and alkali metal phosphides, 24,25 cleave THF and other

ethers. In view of the generality of this reaction, it is surprising to note that there appears to be only one prior example involving a Group 3-5 compound as an ether cleaving reagent. The reaction mixture of B_2H_6 and $(CF_3)_2PH$ in dimethyl ether led to the formation of ether cleaved products at or below room temperature. However, we believe that the THF cleavage reaction is probably more common in Group 3-5 chemistry than the literature suggests. The ether cleavage reaction appears to be very facile and the products are expected to have good thermodynamic stability.

The organoaluminum phosphides R_2A1PPh_2 (R = Me, Et, Ch_2SiMe_3) are very reactive towards other common organic solvents as well as THF. Dichloromethane and chloroform solutions react to form grey precipitates after only 12 h at room temperature. Acetonitrile is reduced under equally mild conditions. Infrared spectra of the products from the reactions of all R_2A1PPh_2 with CH_3CN show strong absorbances around 1600 cm⁻¹ attributable to the carbon-nitrogen double bond stretch. There are many examples of simple organoaluminum compounds such as $A1Me_3$ and $A1Me_2H$ reacting with CH_3CN to form dimeric aldimine derivatives $[RCH = NA1Me_2]_2$. The reactions of Ar_2A1PPh_2 ($Ar = Ph_3Me-C_6H_4$) with CH_3CN at or below room temperature have also been studied but adducts of the general formula $Ar_2A1PPh_2 \cdot NCCH_3$ were observed. ²⁹

The ^1H NMR spectra of $[R_2\text{AlPPh}_2]_2$ (R = Me, Et, CH_2SiMe_3) have been useful in revealing some details concerning the structures of these dimeric molecules in benzene solution. The data suggest that the four membered ring is nonplanar in solution with nonequivalent groups on aluminum and phosphorus.

•

The lines assigned to the hydrogens on the alkyl groups adjacent to aluminum are unexpectedly complex. The compound [Me2AlPPh2]2 in benzene solution exhibits an apparently symmetrical quartet at 90 or 100 MHz at δ 0.08 for the aluminum-methyl group. However, closer inspection of these lines gives a separation between the lines of 2.2, 2.1 and 2.2 Hz. At 60 MHz, the quartet is less symmetrical with separations of 2.1, 2.6 and 2.3 Hz. These observations suggest that the methyl groups are not equivalent and that the quartet is due to the superposition of two $^{31}P-^{1}H$ coupling patterns. Due to the fast relaxation of the ²⁷Al nucleus, spin-spin coupling is not usually observed. 30 The alkyl region of the 1H NMR spectrum of $[(\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2)_2\text{AlPPh}_2]_2$ shows a singlet for the silicon methyl groups and an unsymmetrical quartet for the methylene groups at 90 MHz. Expansion reveals a shoulder on the side of the line at lowest field. At 60 MHz, the signal due to the aluminum methylene groups simplifies to a fairly symmetrical triplet with a coupling constant of 2.6 Hz. The spectrum is independent of concentration in the range 0.102-0.361 m. At these concentrations virtually all (Me₃SiCH₂)₂AlPPh₂ should be in the form of dimers, with nonequivalent aluminum alkyl groups. The alkyl region of the spectrum of $[Et_2AlPPh_2]_2$ shows the expected splitting pattern for an ethyl group; a triplet at δ 1.31 for the methyl groups and a quartet at δ 0.80 for the methylene groups. The coupling constants are 7 Hz for both absorbances and are independent of spectrometer frequencies. However, further splitting of the methylene quartet is also observed. At all spectrometer frequencies, the methylene quartet lines are considerably broader than those due to the methyl groups. Expansion of the quartet does not reveal any details. The

phenyl resonances are not helpful in providing more information about any of the molecules. Two adjacent complex multiplets in the δ 7.7-7.1 region are observed. The complexity of these signals results from the overlap of the $^{1}\text{H-}^{31}\text{P}$ and $^{1}\text{H-}^{1}\text{H}$ coupling patterns for the ortho, meta and para ring hydrogens. The splitting of the lines for hydrogens on carbon adjacent to aluminum in the dimers may be explained by the existence of nonplanar, four-membered aluminum-phosphorus rings. A nonplanar dimer has been observed for the solid state structures of $[I_2BPPh_2]_2^{31}$ and $[Me_2AlN(iPr)H]_2$. The alkyl groups on aluminum are not equivalent and the hydrogens on the α -carbon atoms would be split by two equivalent phosphorus atoms giving rise to a triplet for each of the two types of alkyl groups. Long range ³¹P-¹H coupling is observed in other systems ³³ but it has not been reported previously for other organoaluminum phosphides mainly because the NMR spectra have not been observed. The proposed structure is also consistent with the proton decoupled ³¹P NMR spectrum of $[Et_2AlPPh_2]_2$, which consist of a single absorption at δ 42.3 (downfield from ${\rm H_3PO_4}$) for two equivalent phosphorus atoms.

<u>Acknowledgment</u>. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.

References

- 1. Tessier-Youngs, C.; Bueno, C.; Beachley, O. T. Jr. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22,
- 2. Coates, G. E.; Graham, J. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 233.
- 3. Johnson, A. W.; Larson, W. D.; Dahl, G. H. Canad. J. Chem. 1966, 43, 1338.
- 4. Giurgiu, G.; Popescu, I.; Ciobame, A.; Bostan, M.; Voiculescu, N.; Roman, L. Rev. Roum. Chim. 1970, 15, 1581.
- 5. Beachley, O. T. Jr., Simmons, R. G. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 1021.
- 6. Beachley, O. T. Jr.; Rusinko, R. N. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1966.
- 7. Beachley, O. T. Jr.; Tessier-Youngs, C.; Simmons, R. G.; Hallock, R. B. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 1970.
- 8. Wartik, T.; Schlesinger, H. I. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 835.
- a) Bianco, V. D.; Doronzo, S. Inorg. Synth. 1976, 16, 161.
 b) Kuchen, W.; Buchwald, H. Chem. Ber. 1958, 91, 2871.
- 10. Texas Alkyl Product Information.

t

- 11. Beg, M. A. A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 1967, 40, 15.
- 12. Mole, T.; Jeffrey, E. A. "Organoaluminum Compounds." Elsevier, New York, 1972.
- 13. Al-Hashimi, S.; Smith, J. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 153, 253.
- 14. Adams, D. M. "Metal-Ligand and Related Vibrations," St. Martins Press, New York, 1968.
- 15. Brazier, J. F.; Houalla, D.; Koenig, M.; Wolf, R. Topics in Phos. Chem. 1976, 8, 99.
- 16. a) Clemens, D. F.; Sisler, H. H.; Brey, W. S. Jr. Inorg. Chem. 1966, 5, 527.
 b) Cullingworth, A. R.; Pidcock, A.; Smith, J. D. J. C. S. Chem. Comm. 1966, 89.
 c) Cohen, B. M.; Cullingworth, A. R.; Smith, J. D. J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1969, 2193.
- 17. Rosch, L.; Schmidth, W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1976, 426, 99.
- 18. Beachley, O. T. Jr.; Coates, G. E.; Kohnstam, G. J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1965, 3248.

- 19. Beachley, O. T. Jr.; Coates, G. E. J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1965, 3241.
- 20. Turova, N. YA.; Kozunov, V.A.; Yanovskii, A. I.; Bokii, N. G.; Struchkov, Y. T.; Tarnopelskii, B. L. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1979, 41, 5 and references therein.
- 21. Issleib, K.; Deylig, H. J. Z. Naturforsch 1962, 17B, 198.
- 22. Issleib, K.; Krech, F. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1964, 328, 21.
- 23. Beachley, O. T. Jr.; Churchill, M. R.; Tessier-Youngs, C.; Youngs, W. unpublished observations.
- 24. Garner, A. Y.; Tedeschi, A. A. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 4734.
- 25. Coates, G. E.; Green, M. L. H.; Wade, K. "Organometallic Compounds", 3rd ed.; Methuen:London, 1967, Vol. 1 pp. 16, 75, 80-81.
- Kosolapoff, G. M.; Maier, L. "Organic Phosphorous Compounds" Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1972, Vol. 1 pp. 42-44.
- 27. Burg, A. B.; Brendel, G. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1958, <u>80</u>, 3198.
- 28. a) Wade, K.; Lloyd, J. E. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 2662.
- b) Jennings, J. R.; Lloyd, J. E.; Wade, K. 1965, 5083.
 - c) Wade, K.; Wyatt, B. K. J. Chem. Soc. (A)~7957, 1339.
 - d) Wade, K.; Jennings, J. R. J. Chem. Soc. (A)~1967, 1333.
- 29. Giurgiu, D.; Popescu, L. Rev. Roum. Chim. 1976, 21, 1373.
- 30. Hinton, J. F.; Briggs, R. W. "NMR and the Periodic Table" (Harris, R. K.; Mann, B. E. editors) Academic Press. N.Y. 1978, p. 279.
- 31. a) Bullen, G. J.; Mallinson, P. R. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 1969, 132.
 b) Mallion, K. B., Mann, F. G. J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 6121.
- 32. Amirkhalili, S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Jenkins, A. D.; Nyathi, J. Z.; Smith, J. D. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1981, 377.
- 33. Nixon, J.; Pidcock, A. Ann. Report NMR Spec. 1969, 2, 345.

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

		·	
	No. Copies		No. Copies
Office of Naval Research		Naval Ocean Systems Center	
Attn: Code 413		Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney	
800 North Quincy Street		San Diego, California 92152	1
Arlington, Virginia 22217	2		_
		Naval Weapons Center	
ONR Pasadena Detachment		Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster,	
Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus		Chemistry Division	
1030 East Green Street		China Lake, California 93555	. 1
Pasadena, California 91106	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
•		Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory	
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command		Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko	ļ
Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)		Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
Department of the Navy		•	
Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Dean William Tolles	
•		Naval Postgraduate School	
Defense Technical Information Center		Monterey, California 93940	1
Building 5, Cameron Station		• •	
Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Scientific Advisor	
-		Commandant of the Marine Corps	
Dr. Fred Saalfeld		(Code RD-1)	
Chemistry Division, Code 6100		Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Naval Research Laboratory		-	
Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Naval Ship Research and Development	
_		Center	
U.S. Army Research Office		Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied	
Attn: CRD-AA-IP		Chemistry Division	
P. O. Box 12211		Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1	·	
•		Mr. John Boyle	
Mr. Vincent Schaper		Materials Branch	
DTNSRDC Code 2803		Naval Ship Engineering Center	
Annapolis, Maryland 21402	1	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center		Mr. A. M. Anzalone	ĺ
Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto		Administrative Librarian	
Marine Sciences Division		PLASTEC/ARRADCOM	
San Diego, California 91232	1	Bldg 3401	
		Dover, New Jersey 07801	1
		-	

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 053

	No. Copies		No. Copies
Dr. M. F. Hawthorne		Dr. T. Marks	
Department of Chemistry		Department of Chemistry	
University of California	•	Northwestern University	,
Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. D. B. Brown		Dr. J. Zuckerman	
Department of Chemistry		Department of Chemistry	
University of Vermont		University of Oklahoma	
Burlington, Vermont 05401	1	Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1
Dr. D. Venezky		Professor O. T. Beachley	
Chemistry Division		Department of Chemistry	
Naval Research Laboratory		State University of New York	1
Code 6130		Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Washington, D.C. 20375	1		
		Professor K. M. Nicholas	
Dr. John E. Jensen		Department of Chemistry	
Hughes Research Laboratory		Boston College	
3011 Malibu Canyon Road		Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02167	1.
Malibu, California 90265			
		Professor R. Neilson	
Dr. A. Cowley		Department of Chemistry	
Department of Chemistry		Texas Christian University	
University of Texas	_	Fort Worth, Texas 76129	1
Austin, Texas 78712	1		
		Professor M. Newcomb	
Dr. W. Hatfield		Texas A&M University	
Department of Chemistry		Department of Chemistry	_ 1
University of North Carolina	_	College Station, Texas 77843	1
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1		
		Professor Richard Eisenberg	
Dr. M. H. Chisholm		Department of Chemistry	1
Department of Chemistry		University of Rochester	
Indiana University	•	Rochester, New York 14627	1
Bloomington, Indiana 47401	1		
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Professor R. D. Archer	•
		University of Massachusetts	
		Chemistry Department	
		Amherst, Massachusetts 01003	

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
10		
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Synthesis and Characterization Compounds Containing the Trimet	^m Technical Report	
Substituent, Al(CH ₂ SiMe ₃) ₂ Br, A	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
and (MeasicHa) AlPPh and a Rei	nvestigation of	
the Chemistry of Me Alpp		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
the onemistry of hegans	N-0014-78C-0562	
O. T. Beachley, Jr. and Claire	Tessier-Youngs	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELÉMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Department of Chemistry		
State University of New York at	NR-053-628	
Buffalo, New York 14214		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE	
Office of Naval Research	February 8, 1983	
Department of the Navy	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
Arlington, VA 22217		22
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electract entered in Block 20. If different from Report)

Prepared for Publication in Inorganic Chemistry

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Organoaluminum compounds Organoaluminum hydride Organoaluminum bromide Organoaluminum phosphide Trimethylsilyl aluminum compounds

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

The new amphoteric ligand, $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2AlPPh_2$, has been prepared from the reactions of either $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_3$ or $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_2H$ with PPh_2H or $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Br$ with $KPPh_2$ and fully characterized by analysis, cryoscopic molecular weight measurements, IR and 1H NMR data. This aluminum-phosphide is unique as it exists as a monomer-dimer equilibrium mixture in benzene solution. The syntheses and characterization of the new compounds $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_2H$ and $Al(CH_2SiMe_3)_2Br$ are also described. Since the chemistry of

DECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)
20.
of aluminum-phosphides as amphoteric ligands is of interest, the behavior of $(Me_3SiCH_2)_2AlPPh_2$, $(Me_2AlPPh_2)_2$ and $(Et_2AlPPh_2)_2$ toward common solvents, Et_2O , THF and CH_3CN , was investigated. The aluminum phosphides readily cleave THF and reduce the triple bond of CH_3CN at room temperature. However neither THF nor Et_2O form isolable adducts with aluminum phosphides. The unusual melting point behavior of aluminum phosphides is also discussed.
·
· · .
•