AFWL-TR-82-101

AFWL-TR-82-101

3 MA 12401 **NOVA-2S CORRELATION WITH KC-135A** FUSELAGE SHOCK TUBE TEST RESULTS Lawrence J. Mente William N. Lee Kaman AviDyne 83 Second Avenue Burlington, MA 01803 November 1982 **Final Report** Copy available to DTIC docs nat permit fully legible reproduction Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. FILE COPY ELECTE FEB 0 1 1983 AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY E Air Force Systems Command Kirtland Air Force Base, NM 87117 310 83 02 01 015

AFWL-TR-82-101

This final report was prepared by the Kaman AviDyne, Burlington, Massachusetts, under Contract F29601-81-C-0031, Job Order 88090348 with the Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico. Mr. Gerald M. Campbell (NTYV) was the Laboratory Project Officer-in-Charge.

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely Government-related procurement, the United States Government incurs no responsibility or any obligation whatsoever. The fact that the Government may have formulated or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication, or otherwise in any manner construed, as licensing the holder, or any other person or corporation; or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been authored by a contractor of the United States Government. Accordingly, the United States Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the material contained herein, or allow others to do so, for the United States Government purposes.

The Public Affairs Office has reviewed this report, and it is releasable to the National Technical Information Service, where it will be available to the general public, including foreign nationals.

If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from our mailing list, or if your organization no longer employs the addressee, please notify AFWL/NTYV, Kirtland AFB, NM 87117 to help us maintain a current mailing list.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

Jenald M. CAMPBELL Project Officer

1. Sillitini

η

KENNEDY B. WILSON Lt Colonel, USAF Chief, Environment and Effects Branch Chief, Applied Physics Division

FOR THE COMMANDER

annen K. Blocher

NORMAN K. BLOCKER Colonel, USAF

DO NOT RETURN COPIES OF THIS REPORT UNLESS CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS OR NOTICE ON A SPECIFIC DOCUMENT REQUIRES THAT IT BE RETURNED.

REPORT DOCUMENT	ATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
A REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSIC	DN NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AFWL-TR-82-101	AD-7124	C13
. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
NOVA-2S CORRELATION WITH KC-	135A FUSELAGE	Final Report
SHOCK TOBE TEST RESULTS		6. PERFORMING ORG, REPORT NUMBER
		KA TR-202
AUTHOR(#)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)
Lawrence J. Mente		F29601-81-C-0031
William N. Lee		120001-01-0-0001
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND	ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Kaman AviDyne 82 Second Avenue		
Burlington MA 01803		62601F/88090348
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDR		
Air Force Weanone Labouston	(NTVV)	November 1982
Kirtland Air Force Base. NM	87117	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		136
A MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	(il different from Controlling Q	frice) 15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
Approved for public release.	di tribution unlim	itad
Approved for public release;	distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release;	di_tribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release;	distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the above	distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about	di_tribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about	distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about	distribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	di_tribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	di_tribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	di_tribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	di_tribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no	di_tribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetra 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if ne NOVA-2S Computer Code	di_tribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the observe 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure	di_tribution unlim to entered in Block 20, if differ resseary and identify by block of Test Correlation Stiffened Panels	ited. ren: (ram Repart)
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the abetra 8. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if ne NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response	di_tribution unlim di_tribution unlim het entered in Block 20, if differ het entered in Block 20, if differ Test Correlation Stiffened Panels Local Skin Buckl	ited. rent tram Repart) number) ing
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about 8. SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response	di_tribution unlim	ited.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetre 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if ne NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if ne	di_tribution unlim	i ted .
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetre 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if ne NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if ne Correlation of the experiment	di_tribution unlim	ited. Pent from Report) number) ing number) -135A fuselage tests in the
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the observe 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if no Correlation of the experiment Sandia shock tube with analyt	di_tribution unlim di_tribution unlim het entered in Block 20, if differ test correlation Stiffened Panels Local Skin Buckl tal results from KC tical results generic	ited. rent tram Repart) number) ing umber) -135A fuselage tests in the ated through the NOVA-2LTS
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetter 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if no Correlation of the experiment Sandia shock tube with analyt structural response code were	di_tribution unlim	ited. Tent from Report) Tent from Report) Tumber) Ting Tumber) -135A fuselage tests in the ated through the NOVA-2LTS effort. It was found that skin
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetre 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if ne NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if ne Correlation of the experiment Sandia shock tube with analyt structural response code were buckling between stringers wa	di_tribution unlim	<pre>ited. ited. ing imber) -135A fuselage tests in the ated through the NOVA-2LTS effort. It was found that skin factor in the overall response headle child in the in the</pre>
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetre 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if ne NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if ne Correlation of the experiment Sandia shock tube with analyt structural response code were buckling between stringers wa of the frames. NOVA-2LTS had	di_tribution unlim	<pre>ited. """" Repart) """" Repart) ing """""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""</pre>
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIEUTION STATEMENT (of the observe 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response 9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if no Correlation of the experiment Sandia shock tube with analyt structural response code were buckling between stringers wa of the frames. NOVA-2LTS hac approximate manner without ge	di_tribution unlim di_tribution unlim bet entered in Block 20, if differ Test Correlation Stiffened Panels Local Skin Buckl cal results from KC cal results from KC cal results generic performed in this a very important i to be modified to enerating a prohibit	ited. "ent tram Report) ing "mber) -135A fuselage tests in the ated through the NOVA-2LTS effort. It was found that skin factor in the overall response handle skin buckling in an p tive large solution model.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetter 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if no NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if no Correlation of the experiment Sandia shock tube with analyt structural response code were buckling between stringers wa of the frames. NOVA-2LTS had approximate manner without ge	di_tribution unlim	ited. Tent from Report) Tent from Report) ing Tentson -135A fuselage tests in the ated through the NOVA-2LTS effort. It was found that skin factor in the overall response handle skin buckling in an print tive large solution model.
Approved for public release; 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetter 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if ne NOVA-2S Computer Code Blast Overpressure Fuselage Response 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide if ne Correlation of the experiment Sandia shock tube with analyt structural response code were buckling between stringers wa of the frames. NOVA-2LTS had approximate manner without ge ID FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65	di_tribution unlim	<pre>ited. """ Report """ ing """ -135A fuselage tests in the ated through the NOVA-2LTS effort. It was found that skin factor in the overall response handle skin buckling in an p tive large solution model</pre>

k

1

-| |

いたちかられたいのたい しょう

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Dete Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

: 1

Comparisons are made between the experimental results and NOVA-2LTS analytical strain results for the unpressurized and pressurized KC-135A fuselage. Overall the strain response results for the central frame correlated well considering the uncertainties involved in defining structurally an actual large stiffened aircraft section NOVA-2LTS could not model the local plastic buckling of the frames for the final inelastic response test shot and therefore, future modifications of NOVA-2LTS are required to handle this compressive failure mechanism. In general, it has been found from fuselage response correlations that the local skin buckling, boundary conditions, structural geometry and spatial loading distribution can be important factors in analyzing large stiffened aircraft sections.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Enteres

PREFACE

This work was performed by Kaman AviDyne for the Air Force Weapons Laboratory (AFWL) under contract number F29601-81- C-0031. Mr. Gerald M. Campbell of AFWL served as technical monitor. The project leader for Kaman AviDyne was Mr. Lawrence J. Mente of the Structural Mechanics Section. The authors wish to thank Mrs. Margaret K. Bonnice and Mr. Thomas R. Stagliano for their technical assistance furnished during this project.





CONTENTS

Section		Page
I	INTRODUCTION	9
II	DESCRIPTION OF THE KC-135A TEST PROGRAM AND RESULTS	12
	 General Test Procedures Test Specimen Description Test Instrumentation and Response Results General Response Behavior of Fuselage Test Section 	12 13 19 19
III	ANALYTICAL NOVA-2LTS MODELS FOR THE KC-135 FUSELAGE SECTION	24
	1. The NOVA-2LTS Structural Model for the KC-135A	24
	 Skin Buckling Criterion Method Structural Response Sensitivity to Various 	26 30
	4. Description of Final Structural Models	33
IV	CORRELATION OF NOVA-2LTS RESULTS WITH THE TEST DATA	61
v	EVALUATION OF THE NOVA-2S COMPUTER CODE	87
VI	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	93
	REFERENCES	97
	APPENDIX	99

1

ų

C 'USTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	End View of KC-135A Fuselage Section	14
2	Detailed View of KC-135A Fuselage Section	15
3	Schematic of KC-135A Fuselage Test Section Construction	17
4	Instrumentation Locations for Central Frame	20
5	Maximum Strain Versus Incident Overpressure for 90° Loading Orientation on the Unpressurized Fuselage Section	62
6	Maximum Compressive Strain Versus Circumferential Position at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 6	64
7	Maximum Compressive Strain Versus Circumferential Position at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 17	65
8	Maximum Compressive Strain Versus Circumferential Position at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 5	66
ÿ	Comparison of Peak Strain Time Histories at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 6	67
10	Comparison of Peak Strain Time Histories at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 17	68
11	Comparison of Peak Strain Time Histories at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 5	69
12	Maximum Compressive Strair Versus Circumferential Position at the 45° Loading Orientation for Shot 21	73
13	Comparison of Peak Strain Time Histories at the 45° Loading Orientation for Shot 21	74
lė	Maximum Compressive Strain Versus Circumferential Position at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 22	76
15	Comparison of Strain Time Histories at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 22	78
16	Comparison of Strain Time Histories at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 16	81
17	Comparison of Strain Time Histories at the 45° Loading Orientation for Shot 20	82

そうしい ぼう

ILLUSTRATIONS - CONCLUDED

Figure		Page
18	Maximum Inward Radial Displacement Versus Incident Overpressure for all Selected Shots	83
19	Inward Radial Displacement Circumferential Distribution for Shots 17 and 21	84
20	Radial Displacement Time Histories for Shots 5 (Elastic Response) and 22 (Inelastic Response)	86

- 10 e

. .

TABLES

<u>Table</u>		Page
	Conversion factors for U.S. customary to metric (SI) units of measurement	7/8
1	Selected Blast Shots for Correlation	12
2	Frame and Stringer Cross Section Dimensions	18
3	Pressure and Strain Gage Locations	21
4	Stiffener Cross Section Models	27
5	KC-135A Fuselage Structural Response Sensitivity	31
6	NOVA-2LTS Data Deck Listing of the KC-135A Fuselage for Shot 5	34
7	Nova-2LTS Data Deck Listing of the KC-135A Fuselage for Shot 22	47
8	Strain Comparisons for Pressurized KC-135A Fuselage Test Section in Shots 16 and 20	79

6

Conversion factors for U.S. customary to metric (SI) units of measurement.

To Convert From	To	Hultiply By
angstrom	metars (m)	1.000 000 X E -10
atmosphere (normal)	kilo pascal (kPa)	1.013 25 X E +2
bar	kilo pascal (kPa)	1.000 000 X E +2
barn	meter ² (n ²)	1.000 000 X E -28
British thermal unit (thermochamical)	joule (J)	1.054 350 X E +3
calorie (thermochemical)	joule (J)	4.184 000
cal (thermochemical)/cm ²	mega joule/α² (HJ/m²)	4.184 000 X E -2
curle	gigs becquerel (GBq)*	3.700 000 X E +1
degree (angle)	radian (rad)	1.745 329 X E -2
degree Fahrenheit	degrae kelvin (K)	τ_ = (ε* £ + 459.67)/1.8
electron volt	joul# (J)	1.602 19 X E 19
erg	joule (J)	1.000 000 X E -7
erg/second	watt (W)	1.000 000 X E =7
faot	meter (m)	3.048 000 X E -1
foot-pound-force	jeule (J)	2.355 818
gallon (U.S. liquid)	meter ³ (m ³)	3.785 412 X E -3
inch	meter (m)	2.540 000 X E -2
jerk	(L) eluot	1.000 000 X E +9
joule/kilogra% (J/kg) (radiation dose absorbed)	Gray (Gy)+*	1.600 000
kilotons	terajoules	4,183
kip (1000-15f)	newton (N)	4.448 222 X E +3
kip/inch ² (k/in ²)	kilo pascal (kPa)	6.894 757 X E +3
ktap	newton-second/m	
	(N-s/m ²)	1.000 000 X E +2
micton	Meter (m)	1.000 000 x E -6
mil	Meter (m)	2.540 000 X E -5
wile (international)	Meter (m)	1.609 344 X E +3
Druct	kliogram (kg)	2.834 952 X E -2
pound-force (16f avoirdupuis)	nevion (N)	4.448 222
pound-force inch	nevton-meter (N·m)	1.129 848 x E -1
pound-force/inch	newton/metor (N/m)	1.751 268 X E +2
pound-force/foos ²	kilo p#scal (kPs)	4.788 026 x E -2
pound-force/inch ² (lb/in [*])	kilo pamcal (kPa)	6.894 757
pound-mass (Ibm avoirduppis)	kilogram (kg)	4.535 924 X E -1
pound-mass-looe ² (moment of inertia)	kilogram-meter?	
pound-miss/frag3	(kg·m·) kilogram/merer] (ke/m ¹)	4.214 011 X E -2
rad (radiation dose absorbed)	Grav (Cv)**	
rochizen	equiloph/kilperam (1/1=)	2 570 760 V F -4
shake	escond (a)	
elux	billogram (ke)	1 450 100 4 5 11
LOFT (MM He. D* C)	billy parcel (LPs)	
	with pastal (wrs)	L. 11 46 X 6 41

*The becamerel (An) is the SI unit of radinactivity; 1 Bq + 1 event/s. **The Gray (Gy) le the SI unit of absorbed radiation.

A more complete listing of conversions may be found in "Metric Practice Guide £ 380-79." American Society for Tepting and Mitrials.

SECTION I

The response of aircraft structures to the blast overpressure generated by a nuclear explosion is an important consideration of the nuclear survivability for aircraft during several phases of its mission. The computer code NOVA (Nuclear Overpressure Vulnerability Analysis) given in References 1 and 2 was developed by Kaman AviDyne for the Air Force Weapons Laboratory (AFWL) originally to predict the dynamic response of individual aircraft structural elements, such as stringers, frames and unstiffened panels, to the transient overpressure loads associated with a nuclear burst. The NOVA-2S version (Reference 3) extended the panel response subroutine DEPROP (Dynamic Elastic Plastic Response of Panels) to handle flat or circular curved stiffened panels in both the elastic and inelastic response regimes. Thus, NOVA-2S could analyze stringers and frames in combinacion with the panel skin and, thereby, represent major components of an aircraft, such as fuselage sections and stiffened panels between spars and ribs of the wing, vertical tail and horizontal stabilizer. While the NOVA-2S computer code is used for nuclear overpressure loading, the special version NOVA-2LTS is available for general pressure loadings and, in particulat, for use with measured pressure test data stored on digitized tapes.

The stiffened panel components of an aircraft can have arbitrarily curved cylindrical geometry and nonideal boundary conditions. To provide better approximate techniques for analyzing these complex stiffened panel structures, NOVA-2S was modified in KA TM-118* and Reference 4 to include free and elastic rotationally constrained

^{*}Stagliano, T.R., Mente, L.J. and Lee, W.N., "Memorandum on the Support Work for the STRESNO Phase 11 Test Program", Kaman AviDyne, KA TM-118, March 1979.

boundary conditions, discrete linear elastic springs and forces, and a method of analysis for an arbitrarily curved cylindrical panel by specifying initial radial deviations from a nominal circular shape. Thus, any combination of clamped, pinned, free and elastic rotationally constrained boundary conditions are permitted coupled with either fixed or free inplane conditions. The translational springs and inplane forces can be used in conjunction with the normal and inplane free boundary conditions to represent elastic restrained boundaries with or wi.hout boundary loading. These new options within NOVA-2S will allow the analyst more latitude in representing the actual boundaries of aircraft panels.

The NOVA-2 response results have been compared with experimental data from unstiffened and stiffened panels that have well defined geometry and boundary conditions. These comparisons are presented in Reference 5 and show very good correlation between analytical and experimental results from various panels loaded by pressure pulses. These results gave a good indication that the theoretical tramework of the panel analysis in NOVA-25 for unstiffened and stiffened panels in both the linear and nonlinear response regimes was sound when the geometry and boundary conditions are well defined.

The objective of this effort is to determine whether the NOVA-2S computer code is applicable for analyzing actual aircraft stiffened panels consisting of skin-stringer-frame combinations. Shock tube tests were performed by the Boeing Wichita Company in 1978 on a section of the KC-135A aircraft's fuselage for AFWL and on the aft fuselage and bomb bay doors sections of the B-52 aircraft for Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA). A NOVA-2S correlation with the B-52 tests results is given in Reference 4 in which good strain response comparisons were obtained for the B-52 aft fuselage. These B-52 correlation results will be contrasted with the current correlation with the KC-135A data since the common two types of fuselage construction are represented; namely, the KC-135A fuselage is a stringer-fuselage system of the KC-135A fuselage is a longeron-fuselage system. The stringe, system of the KC-135A fuselage is characterized by numerous stringers attached to the skin and supported by floating frames (not attached directly to skin) while the

longeron system of the B-52 consists of four longerons supported by frames directly attached to the skin. The frame spacing in the KC-135A fuselage is double that of the B-52 fuselage which is a normal difference between the two fuselage systems. Both these fuselage sections provide a severe test of NOVA-2S capability to predict the response of actual large aircraft components to blast overpressure loading.

The shock tube test program for the KC-135A fuselage section was used to evaluate overpressure damage to this structure with and without internal pressurization. Extensive pressure and strain experimental data were obtained and documented in Reference 6 for several overpressure levels at two blast orientations. The measured pressure data from these tests were stored on digitized tapes which are used directly in this effort with the NOVA-2LTS code version.

Section II of this report presents a description of the KC-135A tests and the overall test results. The NOVA-2LTS analytical models for the KC-135A fuselage section for the various loading cases considered are presented in Section III. In addition, new modifications of the NOVA-2S computer code required to represent the actual structural behavior are presented in Section III and the Appendix. The comparison of the analytical response results with the experimental results are given in Section IV for the seven selected cases under investigation. Section V presents an evaluation of the NOVA-2S (and NOVA-2LTS) computer code for predicting the structural response and damage occurring in the KC-135A fuselage section. The conclusions and recommendations for this effort are given in Section VI.

SECTION II

DESCRIPTION OF THE KC-135A TEST PROGRAM AND RESULTS

1. GENERAL TEST PROCEDURES

ŋ

The KC-135A test program was conducted by the Boeing Wichita Company and documented in Reference 6. The overpressure blast tests were performed at the THUNDERPIPE Shock Tube at Sandia Corporation in Albuquerque, New Mexico. A '-ft section of the KC-135A fuselage from BS 980 to BS 1100 was sealed with end bulkheads and was placed in the 19-ft shock tube and subjected to generated blast waves. The upper lobe compartment of the fuselage test section was instrumented with pressure and strain gages and these measurements were recorded for all test conditions covered. Since the lower lobe of the fuselage has intermediate bulkheads to strengthen this compartment, the upper lobe compartment was considered the structural component to be tested and analyzed. There were 22 shots in the test series at the Sandia shock tube at two blast orientation angles, namely, 90 and 45°. The 90° orientation corresponds to the blast wave intersecting the upper lobe compartment at the center of the crown (overhead burst simulation) while the 45° orientation simulates a burst between overhead and side-on. Eight unpressurized test shots were performed at the 90° orientation from overpressure levels from 0.3 to 3.5 lb/in², and two unpressurized shots were performed at the 45° orientation. Twelve pressurized test shots were performed at the two orientations at various combinations of internal pressure and incident blast overpressure. The upper lobe compartment of the KC-135A test section was pressurized for these test shots. From these 22 shots seven were selected for the KC-135A correlation with NOVA-2LTS and are given in Table 1. There are four test events at the 90° orientation and no internal pressure, one test event at 90° orientation with internal pressure, and two test events at 45° orientation with and without internal pressure.

ΓA	BL	Æ	1

Shot No.	Event No.	Blast Orientation (deg)	Incident Overpressure (1b/in ²)	Internal Pressure (1b/in ²)
6	78-112	90	1.52	0.0
17	78-130	90	1.95	0.0
5	78-105	90	2.50	0.0
22	78-140	90	3.50	0.0
21	78-139	45	2.10	0.0
16	78-129	90	2.08	2.5
20	78-138	45	1.85	2.7

SELECTED BLAST SHU S FOR CORRELATION

2. TEST SPECIMEN DESCRIPTION

The KC-135A fuselage is a stringer system type, that is, a large number of evenly spaced longitudinal stringers are attached to the skin and supported by circumferential frames attached to the inner flanges of the stringers as shown in the end view photograph in Figure 1 and in a more detailed view in Figure 2. The test section was six bays long from BS 860 to BS 1100. End bulkheads were attached to the skin ard stringers of the fuselage section by a series of angle clips around the circumference of the section. This fuselage section consists of upper and lower lobe compartments separated by a floor system between WI. 202



Figure 1. End View of KC-135A Fuselage Section



Figure 2. Detailed View of KC-135A Fuselage Section

and WL 210 as illustrated in Figure 3. The upper lobe compartment can be pressurized and was for some of the tests. The upper lobe comparament of this truncated fuselage section is the component that was instrumented to measure the dynamic response from a simulated blast overpressure. It should be noted that this fuselage section was part of an airplane that underwent full-scale fatigue tests prior to this 22 shot test series. Hence, the structure of the test specimen had been worked and certainly represents joint conditions common to those in airplanes that have logged significant flight time.

Figure 3 shows two views of the KC-135A fuselage test section. Figure 3b illustrates the side profile view of the upper lobe compartment while a cross section view at BS 1040 is given in Figure 3a. In the six bay test section, five Z-section frames of 7075-T6 aluminum are spaced 20 in apart at the body station designated in Figure 3b. These frames are attached by two fasteners (see Fig. 2) to each of the 31 hat stringers of 7075-T6 aluminum which are evenly spaced around the circumference of the upper lobe. There are three types of hat stringers and the number in parenthesis at each location indicates which type exists at BS 1040. It should be noted that, in general, a stringer is not homogeneous over the entire length of the fuselage section. There are splices at which the cross section changes from one type to another. The cross section dimensions of the Z section frame and the hat section stringer are given in Table 2. The type of skin fastened to the stringers varied over the upper lobe compartment as shown in Figure 3b, where the skin is divided into three areas indicated by the dashed lines. In the crown area above stringer 5-7 the skin is 0.064-in 7075-T6 aluminum. Side skin of 2024-T3 aluminum below stringer S-7 and between BS 980 and 1020 is 0.064-in thick while between BS 1020 and 1080 the skin is 0.051-in thick. Above WL 230 the fuselage cross section is circular with a radius to the out r skin surface of 72 inches. Below WL 230, the cross section deviates slight, from a circular shape and the frames are deeper in this region. Above WL 230 the cross sections of the frames are constant. It is noted that the frame and stringer cross sections are formed and, therefore, have rounded corners.



17

•••*

P4-

LOADING DIRECTION

ĩ

:

۰,

ł



FRAME AND STRINGER CROSS SECTION DIMENSIONS



í

į

Ŋ



Uppei Frame



24 - C. 1

Dimension	Frame		Stringers				
			Type l	Type 3			
A	2.44		2.24	2.76	2.76		
В	0.9		0.76	1.0	1.0		
С	0.9		0.74	0.88	0.88		
D	0.33		0.25	0.3	0.2		
E	0.33	li	1.25	1.25	1.25		
т	0.064		0.051	0.056	0.064		
R	0.25		0.13	0.16	0.16		

All dimensions are in inches

3. TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND RESPONSE RESULTS

The test instrumentation consists of pressure transducers and strain gages placed on the upper lobe portion of the KC-135A fuselage test section. At BS 1038, 22 pressure transducers were mounted to the fuselage at approximately 10° intervals. Uniaxial strain gages were placed on the inner and outer flanges of all the frames of the test specimen with major emphasis on the central frame at BS 1040. Shear rosette gages were also mounted on the web of the frame at BS 1040. The locations of all this instrumentation are given in Reference 6. In this correlation effort, emphasis was placed on the response of the flanges on the central frame at BS 1040. Thus, Figure 4 shows the approximate locations of pressure transducers and the strain gages on the inner and outer flanges of the central frame. The inner flange gage designated by A is located on the inboard surface and the outer flange ga_ designated by B is located on the outboard surface. The rosette gages are not shown since they were not used in the correlation. The more exact positions of the pressure and strain gages are given in Table 3.

The pressure data for each shot was put on a separate magnetic tape that could be used directly by the NOVA-2 LTS computer code to define the loading over the upper lobe fuselage section. These loading tapes were used to create data files which were accessed through the AFWL computer by designating the event number of the shot. The measured strain time histories on the flanges of the frame at BS 1040 are presented in plot form in Reference 6. The pressure time histories at each position are also given in Reference 6. These pressure plots were used to determine if any gage produced bad data, so that the corresponding tape channel could be ignored in the pressure model. It was found that only very few pressure channels had to be eliminated from all the data sets used in the correlation effort.

4. GENERAL RESPONSE BEHAVIOR OF FUSELAGE TEST SECTION

The test shots given in Table 1 were selected for correlation because they represent the various loading conditions covered in the test series presented in Reference 6. In the test series the test



:

Ţ

Figure 4. Instrumentation Locations for Central Frame

TABLE 3

PRESSURE AND STRAIN GAGE LOCATIONS

Pressure Gages BS 1038

してき ちょうしょう うちょう

÷

ŝ

مرد بشیامت ایا بالا داد

Ì

Strain Gages BS 1040

- 2 **s**

_....

Gage No.	0-Position	Gage No.	0-Position
	(deg)		(deg)
PS-1	2.64	G4-1	3.8
PS-2	13.28	G4-4	21.0
PS-3	22.43	G4-7	37.1
PS-4	32.58	G4-10	50.9
PS-5	42.33	G4-13	65.1
PS-6	53.07	G4-16	78.8
PS-7	63.02	G4-19	91.4
PS-8	73.16	G4-22	110.8
PS-9	82.91	G4-25	126.2
PS-10	92.02	G4-28	138.4
PS-11	102.86	G4-31	151.2
PS-12	113.0	G4-34	165.9
PS-13	123.91	G4-37	179.3
PS-14	132.95	G4-40	195.7
PS-15	142.6	G4-43	213.3
PS-16	152.85		
PS-17	162.74		
PS-18	173.48		
PS-19	182.89		
PS-20	193.43		
PS-21	202.68		
PS-22	213.13		

. .

specimen was exposed to blast overpressure loadings from directly above the crown and 45° to the side as shown in Figure 3a. The longitudinal axis of the test specimen was always parallel to the blast front. For both orientations, four levels of static internal pressure (0.0, 2.6, 5.9, 8.7) were investigated to determine the effect of internal pressurization. Except for the last shot (22), the measured strains in the frame at BS 1040 remained in the elastic range.

For the blast orientation of 90° and no internal pressure, incident overpressure levels of 1.52 lb/in² (shot 6), 1.95 lb/in² (shot 17) and 2.5 lb/in² (shot 5) produced maximum measured compressive strains of 3200, 5000 and 6600 μ in/in, respectively, at 18.25° to the right of the center crown line. For the final shot, 22, at 3.5 lb/in⁴, the maximum inelastic compressive strain is estimated between 40000 and 50000 µin/in at 16.55° to the left of the center crown line before the gage failed. Since the peak response is significantly off center in all these tests and has switched sides during the final test, there are probably anomalies in both the symmetry of the pressure loading and the structure. If there are local weak points in the structure due to fabrication procedures or the prior loading history of the fuselage, these anomalies cannot be analytically modeled. For the blast orientation of 45° to the right of the center crown line and no internal pressure, an incident overpressure level of 2.1 lb/in² (shot 21) produced a maximum compression strain of 4200 µin/in at 30.45° to the right of the center crown line. This peak response position is 14.55° to the left of the loading line. It should be noted that for a slightly higher incident pressure (2.1 versus 1.95 lb/in²), the 45° orientation produced a lower peak strain level (-4200 versus - 5000 µin/in) than the 90° orientation loading. When internal pressurization was used in the upper lobe compartment, the strain levels were significantly reduced for the same incident blast overpressure. For example, with an internal pressure of 2.5 $1b/in^2$ and an incident overpressure of 2.08 $1b/in^2$ (shot 16), the peak strains were reduced to -1080 and +1270 µin/in from the $-5000 \text{ }\mu\text{in/in}$ in shot 17 ($\Delta p = 1.95 \text{ }1\text{b/in}^2$) with no internal

pressure. The strain response time histories for the pressurization cases exhibited higher frequency oscillations. Further increases in the internal pressure to 8.75 lb/in^2 from 2.5 lb/in^2 only reduces the peak strains by about 25% for the 2.0 lb/in^2 blast overpressure level.

In the final shot, 22, $(\Delta p = 3.5 \text{ lb/in}^2)$ significant permanent damage occurred to the frames in the crown region of the upper lobe fuselage section. A detail assessment of this permanent damage is given in Reference 6. Briefly, in the crown region there was yielding in the outer flange of the central frame. At several local positions in this crown region severe yielding of the frame occurred which led to rupture of the frame section. This severe damage was precipitated by local plastic buckling of the outer flange of the frame and subsequent plastic buckling of the web of the frame.

SECTION III

ANALYTICAL NOVA-2LTS MODELS FOR THE KC-135 FUSELAGE SECTION

Initially, a structural model of the KC-135A fuselage test section was generated assuming that the pressure loading was symmetric for the 90° orientation case. This model took advantage of double symmetry and, therefore, contained 16 longitudinal hat section stiffeners and three circumferential Z section frame stiffeners. This first trial model used 34 modes and an integration net of 13 by 17, so that Central Processing Unit (CPU) time on the CRAY computer was quite reasonable. From response solutions for shot 5 using this model it was found that local buckling of the skin between stringers had to be taken into account in some manner that would not require a prohibitive number of modes and integration points for an accurate solution. Furthermore, it was found that the pressure loading for the 90° orientation was not symmetric about the crown line and, therefore, a full model in the circumferential direction would be required in all cases for an accurate solution. However, the symmetric model was used to determine response sensitivity to various parameters such as spatial loading distribution, boundary conditions, buckling criteria and skin thickness. Thus, in this section the general structural model, the skin buckling method, the response sensitivity and the final model description are presented.

1. THE NOVA-2LTS STRUCTURAL MODEL FOR THE KC-135A FUSELAGE TEST SECTION

The analytical NOVA-2LTS structural model for the upper lobe compartment of the KC-135A fuselage test section is based on the test specimen description given in Section II-2. For the analytical model the geometry, boundary conditions, skin thickness, stiffener cross sections and material properties must be specified. For the actual structure described in Section II-2, it was apparent that some compromises would be necessary in the modeling for NOVA-2LTS. It is recalled that the crown section of the upper lobe compartment is circular above WL 230 and slightly deviates from circular between WL 230 and 210. Since the major strains occurred in the crown region of the

fuselage, the modeling below WL 230 could be compromised. Hence, the whole upper lobe compartment was assumed to be circular with a radius of 72 in to the outer surface of the skin. The subtended angle of this stiffened fuselage panel was taken as 215.9° and the length of the six bay fuse lage test section is 120 in. In the NOVA-2LTS program the γ coordinate refers to the longitudinal direction and the β coordinate refers to the circumferential direction. In the y-direction the boundaries are assumed to be simply supported along the circumferential edges that are attached to the end bulkhead by angle clips. In the β -direction the boundaries are assumed to be clamped where the frames intersect the floor of the compartment. Even though these assumed boundary conditions are probably not exact and could be modified by allowing elastic torsional constraint at the edges, the boundaries are far enough removed from the crown region so as not to have a significant effect on the major strain response. In NOVA-2LTS only one skin thickness can be specified over the entire panel while from Figure 2 it can be seen that the thickness varies over the panel. So again based on the fact that the major strains occurred in the crown region, it is assumed that the skin of this upper lobe compartment panel is 0.064-in 7075-T6 aluminum. The reference surface of the panel is set in NOVA-2LTS at the midsurface of the skin, so that the radius to this reference surface is 71.968 in.

The six bay test specimen panel was stiffened by five internal frames and 31 stringers. The frames were spaced 20 in apart and the stringers were evenly spaced around the circumference. In the final structural model symmetry was assumed only in the γ direction, so that the model required just three frames to be specified. The hat section stringers are attached directly to the skin and the flanges of the hat sections are attached to the 2 section frames. Thus, there is a 1.25-in gap between the frames and the lower surface of the skin. The stringers are assumed to have constant cross sections over the length of panel based upon the cross sections at BS 1040. The type of cross section

varies sround the circumference as indicated in Figure 2 and Table 2. The frames are all the same for the structural model. Their cross sections are constant above WL 230 and variable below WL 230. Both the stringers and frames are formed sections which contain rounded corners. In defining the cross sections of these stiffeners for the structural model the rounded corners are approximately taken into account. Table 4 gives the cross section input dimensions for the three types of hat section stringers and the variable 7 section frame used in the structural mode. For the variable section of the frames the cross sections follow those given in Reference 6.

The stiffeners and the skin of the structural model are 7075-16 aluminum. The material properties used in the model are as follows:

Modulus of Elasticity 10.3×10^6 lb/in²Shear Modulus 4.0×10^6 lb/in²Poisson's Ratio0.3Mass Density 0.259×10^{-3} lb-s²/in²Yield Stress (elastic-plastic model)72000 lb/in²Yield Stress (elastic-plastic model)76400 lb/in²Strain Hardening slope 1.85×10^5 lb/in²

2. SKIN BUCKLING CRITERION METHOD

From the preliminary model of the KC-135 fuselage section for shot 5 it was found that if the skin between stringers was not allowed to buckle, very large compression stresses were generated in the skin. These 0.064-in skin panels between stringers are separated from the frames and are about 8.5 in wide in the circumferential direction and 120 in long. They will buckle elastically in the circumferential direction at a low stress level. Therefore, their stiffness contribution in the preliminary model was much too high and this caused a very low response level of the fuselage section as compared to the experimental data. Since the buckling of these skin panels are very important, a method of incorporating this effect into the structural model was established.

TABLE 4

STIFFENER CROSS SECTION MODELS

Stringers

	·	Segments								
Stringer	1	1 2			3		4			
Туре	h _i (in)	^b i ⁽ⁱⁿ⁾	h _i (in)	b _i (in)	h _i (in)	b _i (in)	h _i (in)	b _i (in)		
1	0.051	0.76	1.0	0.102	1.199	0.183	1.25	1.33		
2	0.056	0.985	0.95	0.112	1.194	0.201	1.25	1.58		
3	0.064	0.985	0.95	0.128	1.186	0.201	1.25	1.58		

Frames

 $(h_0 = 1.25 in)$

	Segments							
Posi- tion	1	2	3		4			5
(deg)	h _i (in) b _i (in)	h _i (in) b _i (in)	h _i (in)	b _i (in)	h _i (in)	b _i (in)	h _i (in)	b _i (in)
0.0	1.322 0.872	2.28 0.144	4.08	0.072	5.88	0.072	5.952	0.87
6.74687	1.322 0.872	2.28 0.144	3.84	0.072	5.40	0.072	5.472	0.87
13.4937	1.322 0.872	2.28 0.144	3.415	0.072	4.55	0.072	4.622	0.87
20.2406	1.322 0.875	2.28 0.144	2.965	0.072	3.682	0.075	3.754	0.87
26.9875	1.314 0.8866	1.58 0.1104	3.424	0.064	3.69	0.1104	3.754	0.8866
107.95	1.314 0.8866	1.58 0.1104	3.424	0.064	3.69	0.1103	3.754	0.8866

i

A skin buckling criterion method has been developed and programmed into NOVA-2S and NOVA-2LTS. This method provides a practical approximate solution to a complicated local skin buckling response that occurs in the fuselage section. The buckling of the thin skin panels between stringers or/and frames of a fuselage section results from induced compressive membrane stresses caused by the engulfing blast pressure loading. To represent the local skin buckling modal patterns directly into a NOVA-2S structural model of an entire fuselage section would require a prohibitive number of integration points and modes. A sm `ler time increment for solution would also be required which would result in additional computer time. It should be noted that similar problems would also exist for nonlinear finite element structural models in that many small elements would be required to represent the local buckling.

For structures in which the response of the frames and stringers are of prime importance, the skin buckling criterion method offers an attractive alternative. In this method a critical buckling stress for the skin panels is selected and when the circumferential compressive membrane stress in the panel reaches this value, the membrane stress is reduced in some prescribed manner. This process is performed at each integration point on the skin for each time step of the solution. If the stress in the panel becomes lower than the buckling stress, the skin becomes fully active again. This method requires the aefinition of two parameters, namely, the critical buckling stress and the stress decay constant. The selection of these two parameters were guided by static buckling design procedures. Thus, the critical buckling stress can be computed from various static buckling formulas for flat and curved panels. It should be noted that panel buckling is influenced by geometric imperfections (usually unknown), shap-through action (shallow curved panels), dynamic effects and local panel boundary conditions. The design procedure for fuselage sections (see Reference 7) indicates that the effective area of the skin panels between stringers is reduced after buckling by the ratio of the critical buckling stress (σ_{cr}) to the applied stress $\binom{0}{a}$. Therefore, the stress reduction ratio evaluated for this method in NOVA-2S is $(\sigma_{cr}^{}/\sigma_{a}^{})^{\eta}$, where η is defined as the

the stress decay constant. For the 8.5-in x 120-in panels between stringers of the KC-135A fuselage section, the static critical buckling stress was estimated from the following buckling formula for a clamped flat panel compressively loaded along the long edges:

$$s_{cr} = \frac{\pi k E}{12(1-v^2)} (\frac{h}{a})^2 \sim 2100 \text{ lb/in}^2$$

where

- k = buckling coefficient = 4
- E = Modulus of Elasticity = $10.3 \times 10^6 \text{ lb/in}^2$
- v = Poisson's Ratio = 0.3
- h = skin thickness = 0.064 in
- a =short length = 8.5 in

In the final NOVA-2LTS models, the stress decay factor (n) was set at unity for the best correlation. The selection of n = 1 is consistent with static design procedures and the n used for the correlation with the B-52 aft fuselage section data in Reference 4. Thus, n was permanently set in the program at unity and it was not introduced as an input quantity.

To accomodate the skin buckling criterion method additions in the DEPROP subroutine of the NOVA-2S and NOVA-2LTS versions, the following modifications were made in the DEPROP input.

Group 10, p. 116 of Deference 3, has been modified as follows:

Group 10 (3112) NL, NSHEAR, NBUCK

Number of layers. (NL)

(NL must be 1 for KTYPE = 1 or 2; and 3 for KTYPE = 3 or 4)

Core shear deformation option (KTYPE = 3 or 4) (NSHEAR)

0, no shear deformation

1, shear deformation included

Skin buckling criterion option (NBUCK)

0, no skin buckling

1, skin allowed to buckle

If NSHEAR = 0 (Group 10) skip to Group 17K, unless NBUCK = 0

The following data group is included in Group 17.

Skip Group 17K if NBUCK = 0

Group 17K: (F12.1) BUCKS

Critical Compressive Buckling Stress, 1b/in² (BUCKS) (should be a negative number)

The changes in the NOVA-2S and NOVA-2LTS program listings to extend DEPROP to include the aforementioned addition are presented in the Appendix. It should be noted that the core shear deformation option for honeycomb panels indicated in Group 10 is an in-house addition to DEPROP that is not yet complete. Therefore, users should just set NSHEAR to zero.

Since the frames of the KC-135A fuselage are not directly attached to the skin, the skin buckling criterion was applied to all integration points. However, in the case of the B-52 aft fuselage in Reference 4 where the frames are directly attached to the skin, integration points along the trames were excluded from the skin buckling option in order to allow for local fully effective skin. At present there are separate options for each fuselage model which have been handled by internal changes in the program. In the future, the fully or partially effective skin options should become part of input groupings for specified lines of integration points.

3. STRUCTURAL RESPONSE SENSITIVITY TO VARIOUS PARAMETERS

In the preliminary phase of this effort many KC-135 fuselage runs were made with the symmetry model at relatively low CPU time to investigate the response sensitivity to various parameters, especially those parameters associated with the skin buckling criterion method. A summary of all these runs are given in Table 5 which was organized to indicate the response sensitivity to the spatial loading distribution, stress decay constant, critical buckling stress, boundary conditions and skin thickness. All the computer results shown in Table 5 are for

TABLE 5

KC-135A FUSELAGE STRUCTURAL RESPONSE SENSITIVITY

Load Model	Boundary	Stress Decay	Buckling	Skin	Maximum							
	Conditions	Constant	Stress (psi)	Thickness	Strain							
	Code			(1n)	(µin/in)							
	.	Loading Dist	tribution		L							
RS Sym.	21	0.33	-2000	0.064	-6900							
LS Sym.	21	0.33	-2000	0.064	-5950							
Full	21	0.33	-2000	0.064	-5430							
	L	Stress Decay	y Constant	<u> </u>	L							
DC Sum	21	0.0	-2000	0.064	-5500							
RS Svm	21	0.2	-2000	0.064	-6500							
RS SVm.	21	0.33	-2000	0.064	-6900							
RS Svm.	21	0.5	-2000	0.064	-71.50							
RS Sym.	2.1	1.0	-2000	0.064	-7400							
		Critical Buck	ling System	<u> </u>	!							
	21	1.0	-2000	0.064	-7400							
ym.	21	1.0	-2500	0.064	-7500							
Ro Sym.	21	1.0	-3000	0.064	-7900							
RS Sym.	21	1.0	-3500	0.064	-7300							
RS Syr.	21	1.0	-4000	0.054	-7800							
RS Sy .	21	1.0	œ	0.064	-1500							
	- å	Boundary Co	ondition	.								
RS Sym.	11	0.0	-2000	0.064	- 5000							
RS Sym.	21	0.0	-2000	0.064	-5500							
RS Sym.	22	0.0	-2000	0.064	-5250							
		Skin Thi	ckness	L	•							
RS Sym.	21	1.0	-2000	0.064	5500							
RS Sym.	21	1.0	-2000	0.0575	-5800							
1	1	1	1	1	1							

ł

(Shot 5, $\Delta p = 2.5 \text{ lb/in}^2$)

shot 5 at an incident overpressure of 2.5 $1b/in^2$ and no internal pressure. The load model, boundary conditions code, stress decay constant, buckling stress and skin thickness are the parameters that were varied in the preliminary analysis. Table 5 shows the result of varying one parameter at a time based on the comparison of the maximum strain occurring in the flanges of the central frame. The load models considered are the symmetry structural case using the right side (RS) and left side (LS) loads and the full structural and load case. In the boundary condition code the first digit indicates the condition in the γ -direction and the second digit the condition in the β -direction. A number one (1) designates clamped-clamped and a number two (2) designates pinned-pinned.

The differences in the spatial loading distributions represented by the three load models for the 90° orientation in Table 5 are not significant from cursory comparison of pressure time histories, yet the change in maximum strain response can be as much as 27% by simplifying the full loading case by assuming symmetry. If the stress decay constants were varied from the linear reduction of stress (n = 1) case to the constant stress (n = 0) case, the maximum strain decreased by about 26%. It was also found that if the skin membrane stress was allowed to vanish after buckling ($n = \infty$), the maximum strain increased by about 30% based on the $n \approx 1$ case. When the critical buckling stress was varied from -2000 to -4000 lb/in², the maximum difference in strain response produced only an 6.8% change. Thus, the maximum strain response is not very sensitive to the critical buckling stress at this low stress level regime. However, when skin buckling is ignored ($\sigma_{cr} = \infty$), the maximum strain response reduced by about 80%. For the boundary condition sensitivity evaluation the code 21 is considered the base case, that is, pinned in the γ -direction and clamped in the β direction. If the boundaries are clamped in both direction, the maximum strain response increased by about 9%. If the boundaries are pinned in both directions, the maximum strain response decreased by about 4.5%. Since the location of the maximum strain in the crown region is far removed from the boundaries,

this strain response was not very sensitive to the boundary condition. Since the skin thickness varies over the upper fuselage compartment, response sensitivity to skin thickness was considered. A structural model using an average skin thickness of 0.0575 in increased the maximum strain response by about 5.5% over the model based on the crown region skin thickness of 0.064 in.

Based partially on these results, the final NOVA-2LTS models for the KC-135A fuselage test section used the full loading model (ie, no symmetry about center of crown), a stress decay constant of unity, the critical buckling stress of -2000 lb/in^2 , the 21 boundary condition code and the 0.064-in skin thickness.

4. DESCRIPTION OF FINAL STRUCTURAL MODELS

The final structural NOVA-2LTS models for the KC-135A fuselage test section were constructed based on the information generated in Sections III-1 through III-3. In summary, the structural model uses symmetry only in the γ direction, so that the model has three 2-section frame stiffeners in the β direction and 31 hat section stringers stiffeners in the y direction. A uniform 0.064-in skin was assumed to e attached to the stringers and the frames are attached to the inner flanges of the stringers with a 1.25-in gap between the frames and the skin. All materials are assumed to be 7075-T6 aluminum. The fuselage test section is 120 in long in the γ direction and is assumed to be circular in the β direction with a radius of 71.968 in to the midsurface of the skin and a subtended angle of 215.9°. The y boundaries (circular edges) are assumed to be simply supported and the B boundaries (straight edges) are assumed to be clamped. The inplane boundary conditions are assumed to be fixed in both coordinate directions. The loading model used the appropriate data tape and assumed that the loading distribution in the y direction was uniform.

There are two basic NOVA-2LTS models that are representive of the seven shots investigated in this effort. These two models are given in Tables 6 and 7 and are based on an elastic response model (shot 5) and an elastic-plastic model (shot 22), respectively. The data deck listing for shot 5 given in Table 6 represents the model used for

148LE 6

í

۰,

VOVA-2LTS DATA DECK LISTING JF THE KC-135A FUSELAGE FOR S40F 5

4 س س م 9 ق ق ق	GP I	LLGP 2-1 GP 2-2	56P 3-1	12GP 3-2	13GP 3-3	GP 3-4	4 C	1 GP 5	GP 5	GP 7-1	GP 7-2	GP 7-3	5-2 au	GP 7-5	6P 7-5	GP 7-7	GP 7-8	GP 7-9	GP 7-10	GP 7-11	GP 7-12	GP 7-13	GP 7-14	GP 7-15	GP 7-16	GP 7-17	GP 7-18
C2AY 0		6	ŝ	11	17			ی ۱																			
· SHOT 5 2	65	7	4	10	15			31																			
דטט דטרר אסטבי -	19	5	"	n O*	15	ì	11	-																			
FUSELA3E - L	62	Ē	~	יז פל	24			21		14	- 1 - 2	115	1 2	- T	61	4 7 C C			12		14		C 4		- 6	3 2	23
L KCL35 L	2		13		2 C I				67	- <i>v</i>	` ư	שר	n u	ש ה	~ "	<u>n</u> u	r, r	- ^	- •	- ^	~ ~	~ r	- 1	- 1	~ r	- ^	~ ~

0.5
Table 6 (Continued) 50

Artes in the second

Ŋ

2443654621048255 2442654621048255 **00** m 53 5 σ **o o** σ 0 ¢. σ o, 0 0 -17

35

* ŝ ഹ

11

11 2 13 23

1

 GP
 7-5

 GP
 9-5

 GP
 9-5

 GP
 9-5

 GP
 9-5

 GP
 9-11

 GP
 9-12

 GP
 9-11

 GP
 9-12

 GP
 9-12
</

11	12	13	14	<u>1</u> 5	15	17	13	61	2 3		T	m	ŝ	2	ť	11	13	15	17	61	21	23	25	. 27	62	54 89	33	35	37	ee B	41	43
13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	33	19	61	19	Гġ	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	61	19	19	19	19	19	19

Table 6 (Continued)

, , ,	52-5	9-24	9-25	9-26	9-27	9-28	9-29	9-30	9-31	9-32	9-33	10	11	12	13	L4	17A	175	1-81	18-2	18-3	18-4	18~5	13-6	1-61	21-12	22-1	23-1	23-2	23-3	23-4	19-2	2-	2-22	23-1	23-2	23-3	23-4	19-3
ć	5	сь С	е Р	9 D	GP	GP	GP	СP	GР	GР	6 P	GP	GP	a. ري	GР	GР	49C	сь С	1369	23GP	37GP	4964	616P	д 9	GP	GP	G P	GР	GР	сь С	GР	с, b	GР	с. С	GР	99	GР	GР	GР
															4. OE 6		0		11	23	35	47	59		72000.							72020.							72000.
																	0		6	21	33	45	57		72003.							72000.							72000.
															. 3										0.000259							0°000559							0.000259
												l			C		0		~	19	31	43	53		4• 3E 6							4.JE5							4.056
	_		_					_					F20.17		. 0.3		_			_						_													
	¢ 4	47	5	51	5	55	51	65	61	63	69	n		59	10.356		0		5	17	5	4	53		10.365	C						10.3E5	ſ						10.3Fb
:	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	٢	215.9	,0003	.356	72000.	0		~	15	27	39	51	63		t	c•c	0.985	0.112	0.231	1.58		\$	0.0	0.985	0.112	0.201	1.58	
													120.	0.064	10.	72000.		-2030.							-1.0		\$2000*0	0.055	0.75	1.194	1.25	-1-0		0.00125	0.055	0.95	1.194	1.25	-1.0

Table 6 (Continued)

ţ

(Continued)	
ت	
Table	

.

-12	22-3	23-1	23-2	23-3	23-4	19-4	21-4	22-4	23-1	23+2	23-3	23-4	19-5	21-5	22-5	23-1	23-2	23-3	23-4	1.9-6	21-6	22-6	23-1	23-2	23-3	23-4	19-7	21-7	22-7	23-1	23-2	23-3	23-4	19-8
8	5 G	69 0	9	9	е С	69	а. С	G P	G P	с Р	6	9. 9	бр	<u>е</u> ь	90	G P	GР	GР	GP	с С	d. O	с Р	9 0	G P	GР	G G	GP	9	e G	с, С	6 0	e G	GP	<u>م</u>
						72020.							72020.							72000.							72003.							72000-
						72000.							72000.							72000.							72000.							72000-
						0.00259							0.000259							0.000259							0.000259							0.000259
						4.JE5							4.0E6							4.366							4.0E6							4.JF6
C	ì					10.3E6	C						10.3E6	C						10.355	Ċ						10.365	С						10.345
4	0.0	0.985	0.112	0.201	1.58		4	0.0	0.985	0.112	0.201	1.58		st.	0.0	0.985	0.112	0.201	L. 58		4	0•0	0.985	0.128	0.201	1.58		s y	0.0	0.985	0.128	0.201	1.58	
	0.00325	0.056	0.35	1.194	1.25	-1.0		0.00025	0.055	0.95	1.194	1.25	-1.0		62000.0	640.0	0.95	1.194	1.25	-1.0		0.00039	0.064	0.95	1.185	1.25	-1.0		6£C00*0	0.064	0.35	1.135	1.25	-1.0

Table ((Continued)

•

ī

4

.

and the second s

1

•

1

		221-12 221-12 221-12 221-12 221-12 221-12 23
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		7 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
72030.	72000.	72030.
72000.	72000.	72000.
3•000259	0.000259	0.000259
4 ° JË 6	4.3E6 4.0E6	4. JE6
) 10.3€5 0	10.3E5 3 10.3E5 3	10.3E5 10.3ē6
* 0.0 0.128 0.128 0.201 0.201 0.201 0.201 0.102 0.102	4 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4.15 6.0 0.128 0.201 1.58 1.58 0.75 0.75
0.00033 0.00033 0.95 1.185 1.25 -1.0 0.0017 0.051 1.03 1.193		1.25 -1.0 0.0039 0.064 0.95 1.185 1.25 -1.0 0.00017 0.00017

Table 6 (Continel)

÷

ł

7

Ç							
	0.102						
1.133	0.183						بار بار سال
۲ ۰ ۲	2 f • 1					د و ۲	
-1-0	10.355	4°3E6	0.000254	72000.	72000.	9	1-61
	ۍ د					сь Сь	21-14
0.00017	0.0					сь С	22-14
0.051	0.76					C b C b	1-63
1.0	0.102					GP CP	2-63
1.193	0.183					сь С	2-3
1.25	1.33					e G	4-62
-1-0	LG. 3E6	4.JE6	0.000259	72000.	72030.	e o	19-15
	4 0					GP 2	21-15
fecoo.o	0.0					с, с, С,	2-15
0.064	0.985					с, р с, р	3-1
0.95	0.128					GP	2-62
1.186	0.201					GP 2	3-3
1.25	1.58					69	3-4
-1.0	10.365	4.JE6	0.000259	72000.	72000.	GP]	19-16
	C 4					сь	21-16
0.00039	0.0					GP 2	2-16
G.064	0.985					ď	3-1
0.95	0.128					GP 2	3-2
1.135	0.201					а. С	3-3
I.25	1.58					e.	3-4
-1.0	10.356	4• JE6	0.000259	72000.	72000 •		1-61
	¢ C					с, С,	1-12
0.0033	0.0					сь С	22-17
0.064	0.985					GP S	1-61
0.95	0.128					65	2-61
1.135	0.231					d U U	2-3
1.25	1.58					с, С	23-4
-1.0	10.355	4.JE5	0.00259	72000.	72000.	GP GP	19-18
	4 0					d G	21-18
0.00017	0.0					сь С	2-18
0.051	0.76					сЪ	2-1
1.0	0.102					e e	3-5
1.197	0.183					ð	23-3
1.25	1.33					СЪ	23-4

Table 6 (Continued)

1000

ï

GP 19-19 GP 21-19 GP 22-19 GP 23-1	6P 23-2 6P 23-3 6P 23-4 6P 19-20	6P 21-20 6P 22-20 6P 23-1 6P 23-2 6P 23-3 6P 23-3 6P 23-3	GP 21-21 GP 22-21 GP 23-1 GP 23-1 GP 23-3	6P 19-22 6P 21-22 6P 22-22 6P 23-1 6P 23-1 6P 23-3 6P 23-3	6P 19-23 6P 21-23 6P 22-23 6P 23-1 6P 23-1 6P 23-3 6P 23-4 6P 23-4
7 2000.	72300-	. 000 5		72030.	72000.
72000.	72003.			72000.	7 2000.
0.000259	0 • 3 03259			0.000259	0.000259 0.000259
4 • JE 6	4 . 3E5	4 1 2 4	₽ ₩ ₽ ₽	4 . ĴĒ6	4. JE6 4. JE5
10•355 J	2 3 10.3E5	5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 10°	10.3E5 5 2 3	10.3E5 3 2 1C.3E5
4 0°0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0.0 0.98 0.12 0.20 1.58	4 0.0 0.76 0.10 10	4 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 0 0 16 0 18 1 33
-1.0	1.00 1.00 1.199 -1.00	0.00019 0.064 0.95 1.185 1.25	-1.0 0.00017 0.051 1.0 1.59	-1.0 -1.0 0.05017 1.0 1.0 1.197	-1.0 0.00017 0.00017 1.0 1.25 1.25

41

: : **:**

Table 6 (Continued)

ł

÷

	4	C					GP	21-24
0.00039	0.0						9	22-24
0.054	0.985						99	23-1
0.95	0.128						3	23-2
1.185	0.201						с. С	23-3
1.25	1.58						с. С	23-4
-1.0	-	10.355	4 • JE 6	0.000259	72003.	72300.	G G	13-25
	4						d 5	21-25
££C00.0	0.0						GP	22-25
0.054	0.985						с Р	23-1
0.45	0.128						G G	23-2
1.185	0.201						9	23-3
1.25	1.58						СP	236
-1.0		10.3E6	4.JE6	0.000259	72000.	72000.	6. 9	19-26
	4	C					GР	21-26
€ € 0 0 0 • O	0.0						G P	22-26
0.064	3 8 985						GP	23-1
645	0.128						6Р	23-2
1.185	0.201						ŝ	23-3
1.25	1.58						GP	23-4
-1-3		10.355	4.JE6	0.000259	72000.	72000.	e G	19-27
	4	c					GР	21-27
0 . 00325	0.0						GP	22-27
0.055	0.985						GР	23-1
0.95	0.112						с С	23-2
1.144	0.201						GР	23-3
1.25	1.58						99	23-4
-1.0		10.365	4 . JE6	0,000,259	72000.	72000.	GP	19-28
	4	C					GР	21-28
0.00325	0.0						GP	22-28
0.055	0.985						с .)	23 - 1
0.95	0.112						9	23-2
1.194	0.201						ŝ	23-3
1.25	1.58						69	23-4
-1.0	-	10.355	4.0E6	0.000259	72003.	72030.	GР	19-29
	4	c					GР	21-29
0.00325	c•0						е. С	22-29
0.056	0.985						6	23-1

42

.

\sim
<u> </u>
~ 1
U
-
- C
_
_
~
<u> </u>
0
~
۲
\sim
× - /
~
-
·Ω
-
Ψ
÷.,
0
~
· · J

23-2	23-3	23-4	19-30	21-30	22-30	23-1	23-2	23-3	23-4	19-31	21-31	22-31	23-1	23-2	23-3	23-4	24	25	27	28-1	29-1	29-2	29-3	29-4	29-5	28-2	29-1	29-2	29-3	29-4	29-5	28-3	29-1	29-2
GР	9 P	GP	с Р	Сb	сР С	GP	d O	GP	сР С	с С	с С	GP	G D	9 9	GР	99	6 C	9	99	69	6.9	9	GP	69	G	с С	9	а. С	9 0	GР	9	0	5	6
			72000.							72330.								72300.																
			72000.							72003.								72300.																
			0.003259	1						0.000259								0.000259																
			4.366							4. JE 6							19	4.0E6	٦٢							375						375		
			0.3F5	0	•					0.365	C						13	0.365	C	0.0						b. 746						13.49		
211.0	0.201	1.58		4	0.0	0.985	0-112	0.201	1.58		4	0 0	0.985	0.112	0.201	1.58	7	• • •	Ś	1.25	0.872	0.144	0.072	0.072	0.87	1.25	0.872	0.144	0.072	0.072	0.87	1.25	0.872	0.144
200	1.194	1.25		•	0.00025	0.055	0.95	1.194	1.25	-1.0		62000-0	0.026	0.45	1,194	1.25		-1.0		€ C 0 0 • 0	1.322	2.28	4.08	5.88	5.952	\$E000"0	1 - 322	2.28	3.84	5.4	5-472	0.00074	1.322	2.28

0 20-4	3100 0	0 38 6	0 30-1		2 20 2 0	4-00 d		C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C -	C+ C2 - 2 1 - 0 - 0	1 - 67 - 1 6 - 66 - 9	2-62 0	P 29-4	29-5	P 28-6	p 29-1	P 29-2	P 29-3	6-67 d	28-7	29-1	2-62 .	29-3	\$ -52 -4	23-5	28-8	1-62	2-62	29-3	29-4	29-5	28-9 20-1		2-62	29-4	29-5	C C B C V
e	, (ייפ	9 U	ب و	ה פׂ	b c	່ວີ	ه د	5 0	50	o ē	5 5	ē	3	5	5	3	19	5 3	0	GP	6	9.9	9.9	с ^р	GP	GP	6	9	6	300	50		9 0 0	с С	Ċ
		240625						9875					L C	5					9125						5594						4.76					,
		20.						26.6						101					183.						195.						202					
072	87	25	972	144	572	272	37	5	3866	1104	164	104	10 0 0 1	2201	104	54	104	866	ç	866	104	64	104	866	5	72	44	72	72	~ 1		1 - 1	72	72	7	
0.0	0	. • T	0°7	0.0	0•(0.0	3°0	1 5	3.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	2 - 2 -		0.0	0.0	0.1	0.8	1.2	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.8	1.2	0.8	0.1	0.0	0,0	ວ - ວ	1.0		0.0	0.0	0.8	•
5	22	6600	322	33	165	.82	54	1900	14	80	24	6 1	1400	1 6 0 0	د . ا	24	÷.	54	0041	14	8	24	æ	54	60053	22	ຮ່	55 	82	0.4 0.4 0.4	4) CO	, ,	15	<u>~</u>	22	
4	4.6)"0	•	2 • 2	2.5	3.6	ω •	0.0	E• 7	1.5	<u>е</u> 4	ар • •				4 °E	3.6	3.7	0.0	1.3	1.5	3 . 4	3.6	3.7	0.0		2.2	6 . 2 .	ði m	ς γ			5	4.5	4.6.	¢

Table 6 (Continued)

うこ。町

۰,

Tatle 6	(Continued)						
2.28	0.144					GР	2-62
3.84	0.072					G P	29-3
5.4	0.072					69	29-4
5.472	0.87					GP	29-5
6000.0	1.25	215.9				6.9	28-11
1.322	0.872					GР	29-1
2.28	0.144	_				GP	29-2
4.08	0.072					G P	29-3
5.83	0.072					GР	29-4
5.952	0.87					GР	29-5
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	GP	30-1
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	GP	30-2
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	GP	30-3
0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	C •0	69	30-4
0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0•0	0.0	GP	30-5
0.0	0.0	0.0	C • O	0•0	C•0	69	30-6
0.0	0.0	0.0	0*0	0.0	0.0	GP	30-7
0.0	0.0	0.0	C • O	0.0	0•0	GP	30-8
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	GP	30-9
0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	69	30-10
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	G P	30-11
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	GP	30-12
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	е Р	30-13
0-0	0.0	0.0	0-0	0.0	C • O	6 Р	30-14
0.0	ũ°0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	GP	30-15
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	GP	30-15
0.0	0.0	0°0	0.0	0.0	0.0	GP	21-0E
0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0°0	6P	30-18
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	C • O	с Р	30-13
0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	0.0	C • O	GP	30-20
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0•0	GP	30-21
0.0	0.0	0.0	C•0	0.0	0.0	GР	30-22
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0-0	GР	30-23
0.0	0.0					6 9	30-24
	1.05-5	10.0E-3 20.3				GP	31
	\$					с 9	-

÷ 5 Ŋ

45

ei 🚽.

Table 6 (Concluded)

ł

21	22	23-1	23-2	23-3	23-4	15	16	17-1	17-2	17.2		-
ی	U	56	116	1.7G	ი	ى	U	c	Ċ	, (5 0	2
		4	10	16				63.0		10101 101	101	
		~	. 0	15	1	4		62.1			173.5	
		c	. «	7		6.7		(()		102.9	152.8	
		F	N P	~ ^	1.0		17		32.5	92.0	152.9	213.3
		72	-4 -	0	77	1.8	-1		13.3	82 . 9	142.7	202,6
	rrnne•11							60.0	2.6	73.2	133.0	193.5

46

-4. A.

WWW.

148LE 7

i

1

VOVA-2LTS DATA DECK LISTING OF THE KC-135A FJSELAGE FOR SHDT 22

	0	~ .	-T	-1	2-1	2-2	1- E	3-2		9-6	4	ŝ	6	7-1	7-2	7-3	7-4	2-5	7-5	7-7	7-9	6-2	7-10	11-2	7-12	7-13	7-14	7-15	7-16	7-17	7-18	7-19	7-20	7-21
ى	0	ص	۔ ن	GP	116P	GР	96P	12GP	196P	с р	GP	16P	GP	G P	GР	GP	d 9	GР	G b	GР	d.C	GР	Сþ	с 9	GP	GP	6 P	GP	8- 9	GP	GP	GP	GP	GP
	CAY	o		7	6		ŝ	11	17			-																						
0	22	2		65	۰~		4	10	15			ĨE																						
	MODEL - 5407	100		19	ۍ		÷	6	15		11	2																						
1	LAGE - FULL I	-		2.)	~		2	8	L 4	2.3	-1	21		14	Ĺ	1 5	17	18		20	10	11	12	13	14	15	15	17	1.9	61	20	Ç	1	~
1 1	(C-135 FUSE	-	1	~	l	13	1	7	13	19	0	۲	67	Ś	5	ŝ	ŝ	5	ŝ	ŝ	~	2	~	~	7	4	~	~	7	~ :	2	C	6	0

47

	an sa ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	سل کی سابقان ہو جب کا مواطق مطابق کردہ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	مېرىكىيە، يېرىپىدىدىنىيە، يېرىپەتتىكىيە تەرىپىكىكىيە تەرىپىكەتكەت يېرىكى تىكەتتى بىر كۆچ دە - « مەر - ،
			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-0.0 × 0000-	- O CO O A C A F A A A
	NNNNN				<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		<pre>4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4</pre>		
	000000		999999999999999		
	2122		) ማ ቢ ጊ ጉ ወ ው ወ ጣ ስ ጠ . 	4 in 9 P E in 0 V E in 0	やうらでののうしつです。 111111111111111111111111111111111111
	2122	1 1 5 5 5 5 1 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	) らち ち ち ち つ ユ ご ヨ	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	よう ちょう ちゅう しょう ちゅう ちゅう ちゅう ちゅう ちゅう ちゅう ちゅう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょ
d)	C1 11 1	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	) らち り ち つ ユ ご ヨ	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	チョット ちゅう うちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ちょう ち
(þənt	2222	- ト - T - T - T - 乙 つ う ら ア の う つ	」 「「」」」 「」」」 「」」」 「」」」 「」」」 「」」」 「」」」	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4 ら ら う ら り し こ う チ ま ま ま ま
tinued)	2122 2122 2122	r 4 1 5 2 1 3 2 9 2 0	?~~~~~~~~~~	7 10 9 N 8 10 0 N 10 10 10 10 N 10 10 10 10	ま ち ち ち り ー こ う チ チ う ら う う ー こ う チ
Continued)		νααφαα Π Π Π Π Ν Ο Φ Β Φ Ο Ο Φ Β Α Ο	、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、		* ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
/ (Continued)	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	ッタタタタタ 	, 111111111111111111111111111111111111	11 15 11 15 11 15 11 17 11 19 11 20	L3 L3 L3 L3 L3 L3 L3 L4 L4 L4 L4 L4 L4 L4 L4 L4 L4 L4 L4 L4
e 7 (Continued)	с 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	2 0 0 0 0 0 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 0 0 0 2 1 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		11 11 15 15 11 17 20 20 20	

きょういき

Ŋ

s

43

-

54#1s

9-11 9-12 9-13 9-18 9-19 9-20 9-21 9-22 9-23 9-23 9-25 9-25 9-25 9-28 9-28 9-28 9-28 9-28 9-32 7-58 7-67 8 9-5 9-7 9-8 9-9 9-16 9-17 9-30 7-52 7-53 7-64 7-65 9-14 9-15 9-31 9-2 9-3 1-6 9-4 9-5 6.4 550 5 1 <del>3</del> 13 11 Table 7 (Continued) s, Φ 0 ŝ 0,0 σ Ċ  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ σ 13 2 2 ŝ Φ 0 σ Φ σ σ 0

49

----

40.0.7

ION / arest	וורזוותהמל								
	-	C		T				G P	10
	215.9	1	1.96.					GР	11
1200	.00025			0.3F6				<b>6</b> 9	16
0.3		1.JE5 4	0.0					G D	17
	ŝ	ĉ		0		o	0	<b>JGP</b>	174
-2000.								GP	17K
	~	5		~		¢	l l	L3GP	18-1
	15	17		19		21	23	250%	1 9. – 2
	27	62		<b>3</b> I		33	35	37GP	L8-J
	39	1 <del>6</del>		4		45	4 7	4364	18-4
	51	53		55		57	59	91GP	18-5
	63							GP	18-6
-1.0		10.3E5		4°3E9	0.000259	72000.	72000.	е 6 6	1-61
	4	ſ						ه ه	7-77
0.00025	0.0							، م و	1-22
0.055	0.985							G P	1-62
0.95	0.112							G b	23-2
1.194	0.201							GP	23-3
1.25	L. 53							GP	23-4
-1.0		10.365		4.JE6	0.002259	72000.	72030.	GР	19-2
	4	c						GP	21-2
0.00325	0,0							66	22-2
9.055	0.955							с , С	23-1
0.95	0.112							<b>6 b</b>	23-2
I.194	0.201							د ہے 9	23-3
1.25	1.58							GP	23-4
-1.0		10.355		4.JE6	0.00259	72000.	72000.	е 9	19-3
	4	0						GP	21-3
0.00025	0.0							GP	22-3
0.055	0.985							GP	23-1
0.95	0.112							GP	23-2
1.194	0.201							G P	23-3
1.25	1.58							G P	23-4
-1-0		10.355		4.0E6	0.333259	72002.	72030.	с Р С	19-4
1	4	Ċ						СЪ	21-4
6200000	0.0							GР	22-4
0.056	0.985							6	23-1
0.35	0.112							GР	23-2
1.194	0.201							۹. ب	23-3
L.25	1.58							9	4-E2
-1.0		10.3E5		4.366	0.000259	72003.	72030.	с Р С	13-5

able 7 (Cont

۰,

Table 7 (Continued)

r

A NAME AND A

.

: t și i i

21-5 22-5 23-1 23-1 23-2 23-6 23-6	19-16 22-16 23-16 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12 23-12	21-7 22-7 23-1 23-2 23-2 23-4 23-4	19-8 21-8 22-8 23-1 23-1 23-2 23-3 23-3	2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010
0000000 0000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 6 6 6 6 6 9 9 6 6 6 6	0000000000 00000000
	. 00 2 2 3 2 0 .	72000.	72030.	72000.
	72000.	72000.	72000°	72000.
	0.000259	0°002'50	0.000259	0.000259 0.000253
	4 <b>.</b> 0E6	4 <b>.</b> 3Eb	4 • JE &	4.3E5 4.3E6
0	10. 35 5 0	10.3£5 0	10.3Ē5 3	1J.3E5 5 10.3≦5
4 0.0 0.985 0.112 0.201 1.58	4 0.0 0.985 0.128 0.201	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 0.0 0.985 0.128 0.128	4 0.0 0.102 0.102 0.183
0.00025 0.055 0.95 1.194 1.25	-1.0 0.00039 0.064 0.95 1.185			-1-0 0.00017 0.051 1.03 1.25 -1.0

10

- +

Taole / (Co	ontinued)							
	\$	c					-12 43	2
1000.0	0.0						12 0 U	2
0.051	0.76							)   -
1.0	0.102							
1.193	0.183							
1.25	L • 33							n 4
-1.0	•	10.365	4°0E6	0.000259	72000-	72000.		
	4	C						1.
100000	0.0							
0.051	0.76							
1.0	0.102							4 0
1,197	0.183						0 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	. ~
<b>1.2</b> 5	1.33						GP 23-	۰. ۱. ar
-1.0		10.356	4. OE 6	0.000259	72003.	7 23 09.	GP 19-1	27
	4	C					GP 21-1	27
0.00033	0.0						6P 22-1	
0.064	0.985						GP 23-1	
0.95	0.128						GP 23-2	•
1.185	0.201						6 2 3 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12	
1.25	1,58						GP 23-4	
-1.0		10.355	4°3E6	8,940259	72030.	72030.	(-67 d)	. 2
	J.	0					6P 21-1	2
0.00017	0						GP 22-1	10
0.051	0.75						GP 23-1	_
1.0	0.102						GP 23-2	
661.1	0.183						GP 23-7	. ~
1.25	1.33						GP 23-4	
-1.0		10-356	4.0E6	0.000259	72003.	7 2003 -	(- 61 d)	4
	4	<b>,</b>		L 			GP 21-1	4
0.00017	0.0						GP 22-1	4
0.051	0.75						GP 23-1	
1.0	0.102						GP 23-2	
1.143	0.183						GP 23-3	~
1.25	1.33						GP 23-4	
-1.0		10.356	4 <b>.</b> ]E6	0.000,599	72000.	72030.	GP 19-1	5
	5	C					GP 21-1	5
0.00033	0•0						6P 22-1	2
0.054	0.985						GP 23-1	
6.95	0.128						GP 23-2	•
1.185	0.201						GP 23-3	~
1.25	1.58						GP 23-4	
-1-0		20.355	4.0E6	0.000259	72000.	72000.	GP 19-1	9

シーキー かくろうご 男

52

1 A

		<b>C</b>				GP 21-16
	00000					GP 22-16
	Ve UUU•U					GP 23-1
	0.04					GP 23-2
	66.0	0 • 1 < 0				5-2-3-3
	1.186	0.231				
	1.25	1.58				
	-1.0	10.365	4.366 0.000259	72000.	12030.	
		4 0				6P 25-11
	6 € 0 0 0 ° 0	0.0				6P 22~17
	0.064	0.985				GP 23-1
	0.95	0.128				GP 23-2
	1.185	0.201				6P 23-3
	1.25	1.58				GP 23-4
	-1-0	10.366	4.0E6 0.000259	72003.	.00027	6P 19-18
		¢ 0				CP 21-18
	0.00017	0.0				GP 22-18
	0-051	0.76		1		6 2 7 F
	1.0	0.102				GP 23-2
	1 100	0.183				GP 23-3
5	1 25					GP 23-4
3	1 J J		4.3E6 0.000259	72000.	72000.	GP 19-19
	0.41					GP 21-19
						CP 22-10
						GP 23-1
	0.2JL					GP 23-2
	1-0					GP 23-3
	(61°1					4-1C d3
	1 <b>.</b> 2 5	1.33			00106	
	-1.)	90 <b>0</b> 0	4.3E5 3.002234			CP 31-20
	4ftCC;"@	0.0				
	0.004	0.935				
	0.35	901-0				2-62 43
	L. Lůs	0.201				5-52 vo
	i.25	L.58				
	[, <b>1</b> -	13.352	4 <b>.</b> JE5 J.JJ259	72000.	72000.	6P 13-21
		<b>.</b>				
	6.0317	0-0				6P 22-21
	0.051	0.75 C				GP 23-1
	0	0.132				GP 23-2
	50	0.133				E-E2 -3
	1.25	1.33				4-E2 40
		er anne			-un-	

.

ſ

1.11.11

٠.,

	500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	
P 13-22 P 21-22 P 22-22 P 23-1 P 23-2 P 23-3 P 23-3	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	22 22 22 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2
000000000		
• 00027 72030•		- 20 00 - 2
72039.	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	72000
0E6 0.000259 .3E6 0.000259		. 1Eb 3.033233
• 	2 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 4 4 6 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	ין אד אד אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין
10.356 10.366 10.366		
4 0.76 0.102 0.183 1.33		0.00000000 0.000000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000 0.00000 0.00000000
-1.0 0.00017 0.051 1.0 1.19 1.25 1.25	0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.000 0.010 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	C. C
	85, 6° 7 <b>10</b>	ບ 3 
<b></b>	• 0E6	

Table 7 (Continued)

Ì

i

٩,

and the state of t

Table 7 (Continued)

.

ちん おいざい います

ŗ

- 12		23	2	ģ	23-	19-	21.	22-	23-	23	23.	23-	19.	21-	22-	23-	23-	23.	23-	-61	21-	-22	23-	23.	23-	23-	19-	21.	- 7 -	~ ~	23-	23 <b>-</b>	23-	24	25	26	27	28.	29.
99	99	3	G P	9	<u></u> 6Ъ	GP	сb	99	GP	C b	9	сь С	99	9	с С	G P	90	9	с С	99	99	9	99	с, D	9	6	9	с С	5	6	9	GP	G P	g	99	3	3	99	30
						.00 C2 7							72030.							72000.							72000.								76430.				
						72003.							72000.							72003.							72000.								76400.				
						0.000259							0.00259							0.000259	- 						0.000259								0.000259				
						4.0E6							4.JE5							4.0E6	7 						4.JE6							19	4 <b>.</b> 0E6		11		
c	•					10.355	^						13.365	C						10-356	C	I					10.3E5	C						13	10.356		C	0.0	
4	0.0	0.985	0.112	0.201	1.58		t	0°0	0.985	0.112	0.201	1.58		4	0.0	0.985	0.112	0.201	1.58		t	0°0	0.985	0.112	0.201	1.58		4	0.0	0.985	0.112	0.201	1.58	۲		35E5 0.1	5	1.25	0.872
	0.03025	0.055	0.95	1.194	1.25	-1.0		0.00325	0.055	56.0	1.194	1.25	-1-0		0.00026	0.055	C_95	1.194	1.25			0.00325	0.056	0.45	1.194	1.25	-1.0		0.00025	0.655	<b>0.</b> 95	1.194	L.25		-1.0	та. в		600000	1.322

ģ

Surveyor Stream of the

Tahle 7 (Co	ntinued)	
2.28	0.144	
4.08	0.072	
5.88	0.072	
5.952	0.87	
0.00034	1.25	6.746875
1.322	0.872	
2.28	0.144	
3.84	0.072	
5.4	0.072	
5.472	0.87	
0.00074	1.25	13.49375
1.322	0.872	
2.28	0.144	
3.415	0.072	
4.55	0.072	
4.622	0.87	
0.00353	1.25	20.240625
1.322	0.872	
2,28	0.144	
2.965	0.072	
3.662	0.072	
3.754	0.87	
0.00041	1.25	26.9875
1.314	0.8866	
1.58	0.1104	
3.424	0.064	
3.69	0.1104	
3.754	0.8366	
0.00041	1.25	107.35
1.314	0.8866	
1.58	0.1104	
3.424	0.054	
3.59	0.1104	
3.754	0.8866	
0.00041	1,25	188.9125
1.314	0.8866	

629

29-5228-6 29-3 2-9-2 29-3 29-4 28-2 29-1 29-3 29-5 29-5 29-3 28-7 29-1 28-3 2-62 29-4 29-5 28-4 29-1 29-2 29-3 29-4 29-5 28-5 29-1 29-2 29-3 29-1 29-2 29-4 29-5 

Table 7 (Continued)

ト ひょうい しょう

i

4				3	29-2
				5	29-3
					- 53 - <del>4</del>
105	1601				2-6-2
• ~ Ł 7				ני פ	1-62
				6 6	29-2
				C P	E-95 .
				GP	29-4
				69	29-5
202	406			5	28-9
					<b>1-</b> 67
				30	2-62
					5-6-
				69	5-6-
				66	29-5
209.15	53			9 (	28-10
				5	29-L
				5	29-2
				9	29-3
				ີ ບ	29-4
				69	29-5
215.9				GP	28-11
				G	29-1
				GP	29-2
				с Э	29-3
				6 b	29-4
				GР	29-5
0.0	<b>C</b> •0	0.0	0.0	G P	1-06
0.0	C•0	0.0	0.0	G P	30-2
0.0	0,0	0*0	0•J	GP	30-3
0.0	0•0	0•0	0.0	4 9 9	30-4
0.0	0.0	0•0	0.0	G P	30-5
0•0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9	30-6
<b>C</b> •0		c	0.0	а. Э	7-0E

1.5 - 1135(8235(8533)(0324)) / 14)

いち そうこう 好

۰,

11 - 12 - 13	0.0	0°0	0.0	0•0	Ū.J	
5		0 - 0	0°0	0.0	0.0	6P 30-5
2	> ¢			0-0	0.0	6P 30-1
0.0						<b>GP 30-1</b>
5.0	0.0	י ל ק	5 ( • )	, c , c		
0 •	0.0	0.0		2	י ר י י	
۲ ج	0.0	0.0	<b>•</b> •	0.0	<b>.</b>	
գեր 19 19 19 19			0. J	0-0	0.0	66 30-I
5 C	⇒ < > <			0°0	0°C	GP 30-1
5.0	5 ¢	) d		0-0	C • C	GP 30-1
0.	5 - C	• •				1-0E 40
e •	) •	י ר לילי	• • •			CP 30-1
	6+0		•	- - -	) < ) <	
0 0	с• С	0.0	0.0		- - -	
4	0-0	<b>6</b> 3	0.0	<b>0</b> •0	0.0	2-03
			0.0	0.0	0•0	C= 0E = 0
5 I		)   		0.0	0.0	6° 30-2
0.0	<b>.</b>	יר לילי לילי				GP 30-2
0.0	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b> •0				CP 33-7
0.0	0					
l.	.3 <u>-</u> -5	10.JE-3 23.J				3 C 3 L
	-					р Э
C.2363	5-2					6 ZI
	22					G 2ž
	2	21	₽ N	[]	18	176 23-1
	15	15	14	13	12	115 23-2
	I.J	ſ,	£	2	Q.	53 23-3
	•	ŕ	2	-		6 23-4
	4	22				G 15
60.J						6 15
2.5	₹ <b>3</b> • 3	22.4	32.5	42.3	53.1	6 17-1
6 <b>]</b> .)	73.2		C.24	102.9	113.3	6 17-2
123.9	133.0	142.7	152.9	152.3	173.6	G L7-3
2 11 12 1 2	132.	5.02.5	12.1			G 17-6

յապես հավելու է։ Հայերների հայրություն հայնքների համան .

.

elastic solutions of the 90° and 45° orientation loading cases. This basic model was used for shots 6, 17, 5, 21, 16, and 20, except for minor changes in the pressurization cases. This model employed 7 symmetric  $\gamma$  modes and 20  $\beta$  modes and used 73 modal combinations for the solution. The model used an 19 by 65 spatial integration net. The time increment selected for solution was 10 ms. The loading input changes for the various shot events. The start times for shots 6, 17, 5, 21, 16 and 20 are 0.3725, 0.3451, 0.3, 0.33675, 0.3394, and 0.3458 s, respectively. In shot 17 channel 13 (123.9°) had to be eliminated as bad data and in shot 5 channel 3 (22.4°) was also eliminated as shown in Table 6. All the pressure data for the remaining shots were fully usable.

For the unpressurized shots 6, 17, 5, and 21 the critical buckling stress was set at -2000  $1b/in^2$ . For the pressurized shots 16 and 20, it was assumed that the internal pressure would prevent the skin from buckling. Therefore, NBUCK in Group 10 was set to zero and Group 17K (BUCKS) was eliminated. In order to include the internal pressure in shots 16 and 20, KDS was set at 3 in Group 3 in both cases and Group 5 was added in which PS was set at -2.5 and -2.7  $1b/in^2$ , respectively.

The data deck listing for shot 22 given in Table 7 represents the KC-135A fuselage elastic-plastic structural model. In this model the modes, integration net and time increment are the same as the previous model for shot 5. Since the critical buckling stress was set at -2000  $lb/in^2$  for elastic buckling of the skin, a large yield stress value was inputted to keep the pseudo skin response elastic so that the overriding buckling criterion would not be confused by inelastic skin response quantities in the program. Therefore, only one skin layer was necessary in the model. Since the stringers remain elastic during the response, only a perfectly plastic material model was inputted with the yield stress at 72000 lb/in². For the frames which went inelastic, a more accurate bilinear representation was used by matching an actual stress-strain curve for 7075-T6 aluminum. This curve fitting resulted in a yield stress of 76400  $lb/in^2$  and a strain hardening slope of  $1.85 \times 10^{5}$  lb/in² for the frame material. The start time for shot 22 was 0.2949 s and all pressure channels were usable.

The NOVA-2S (NOVA-2LTS) program was redimensioned for the CRAY-1 computer at AFWL to accomodate the models given in Tables 6 and 7. These dimension changes are indicated in the common block listing given in the Appendix.

The preliminary runs for shot 5 using double symmetry, 42 modes and a 19 x 33 integration net used CPU time on the CRAY-1 computer at a rate of 70 s/ms of response. Some runs were made at about 40 s/ms of response by reducing the integration net to 19 x 17. The final runs using 73 modes, a 19 by 65 integration net and symmetry in only one direction used CPU time at a rate of 234 s/ms of response for shot 5 and 292 s/ms of response for shot 22. The final models used for correlation are probably conservative relative to general accuracy. By reducing the number of modes to about 60 and  $\beta$  integration points to 33, a good model for frame response could be run at a rate of about 100 CPU s/ms of response. It should be noted that peak response occurred within 6 ms of response.

Ĵ

## SECTION IV

## CORRELATION OF NOVA-2LTS RESULTS WITH THE TEST DATA

The experimental strain results of the central frame from the seven selected test shots are compared with the corresponding results from NOVA-2LTS. In reviewing all the data it became apparent that there were anomalies in the actual fuselage structure which could not be defined for analytical modeling. Since strain response is very sensitive to irregularities in a circular curved structure, position by position comparisons did not make sense. Hence, for each test shot the overall distribution of strain maximums around the circumference of the upper lobe compartment of the KC-135A fuselage test section are presented from experimental and analytical results. In addition, the strain time histories associated with the largest of the experimental and analytical strain maximums are compared for the outer and inner flanges of the central frame. These experimental and analytical strain time histories do not necessarily occur at the same circumferential position. The elastic response cases at the 90° loading orientation (shots 6, 17, 5) are presented first and then the 45° loading orientation case is presented. Next the elastic-plastic response case of shot 22 is considered. Finally, the correlation results of the pressurized cases (shots 16 and 20) are presented.

For the 90° loading orientation and no internal pressure, shots 6, 17, and 5 produced elastic response of the central frame of the KC-135A upper lobe fuselage section. In these three shots the fuselage test section was subjected to engulfing blast waves at incident overpressure levels of 1.52, 1.95, and 2.5  $1b/in^2$ , respectively. Figure 5 shows the maximum experimental outer flange strain of the center frame at three key positions in the crown region of the fuselage versus the incident overpressure. These three measured strains from gages SG 19B, SG 22B, and SG 25B indicate that the largest measured strain (SG 25) occurred about 18 degrees to the right of crown center line. As the incident overpressure increases the spread among these three measurements also increases. The strain value for the measurement



Figure 5. Maximum Strain Versus Incident Overpressure for 90° Loading Orientation on the Unpressurized Fuselage Section

SG 22B, three degrees right of the center becomes significantly lower than the other two measurements. This drop in the center strain measurement is not consistent with the expected strain distribution for a circular fuselage section or the analytically determined distribution. This observation was the first indication that there may be structural anomalies in the crown region of the fuselage. Furthermore, it will be shown later that for the final shot 22, in which the material behavior was inelastic in the crown region, the largest strains occurred on the left side of the crown at gage positions GS 13B, GS 16B and GS 22B. Thus, it appears that the experimental strain response in the crown region did not follow a consistent deformation pattern as the level of loading was increased. The maximum strains obtained from the NOVA-2LTS analytical model are also plotted in Figure 5 for incident overpressures of 1.52, 1.95, and 2.5 lb/in². These maximum strains occurred at about seven degrees to the right of the crown center line and compare well with the corresponding maximum experimental values of gage SG 25B (18 degrees to the right of center). Although the maximum experimental and analytical strains compare very well there are differences in the strain distribution patterns in the central frame. It should be noted that shot 5 ( $\Delta \rho = 2.5 \text{ lb/in}^2$ ) represents a deformation condition that is near the threshold of yielding for the frames of the KC-135A fuselage.

A more in depth understanding of the strain pattern occurring experimentally and analytically in the central frame is obtained by examining the results generated in Figures 6 through 11 for shots 6, 17, and 5. In Figures 6, 7, and 8, the circumferential distribution of strain maximums for both the outer and inner flanges of the central frame are given, respectively, for shots 6, 17, and 5. In these plots, the solid and dashed lines represent the strain distribution from NOVA-2LTS analytical response calculations for the outer and inner flanges, respectively. The circular and triangular symbols represent the strain distribution from the various strain gage measurements for the outer and inner flanges, respectively. The results from these comparisons are consistent for shots 6, 17, and 5 and the following observations are made:



いち かい ちょう

1

Figure 6. Maximum Compressive Strain Versus Circumferential Position at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 6



Maximum Compressive Strain Versus Circumferential Position at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 17. Figure 7.



- The largest compressive strains occur on the outer flange and in the crown region of the frame and the analytical and experimental values compare well even though they occur at different positions about 12 to 16° apart.
- (2) In the crown region the maximum strains distribution predicted by NOVA-2LTS on the inner flange are much higher (about double) than the experimental values. However, it should be noted that the inner flange strains would not be a governing factor in the damage criteria used in NOVA-2S.
- (3) The irregularities in the analytical strain distributions about the center line of the crown are caused by asymmetry of the loading, while the experimental distribution irregularities may also be attributed in part to structural anomalies as well as the loading asymmetry.
- (4) In the crown region, the analytical results show stronger membrane action across the frames cross section than the experimental results.

ij

In Figures 9 through 11, experimental and analytical strain time histories are compared for the outer and inner flanges of the central frame. The analytical and experimental time histories are given for positions at which the largest of the strain maximums for each shot occurred in the previous figures. Experimentally, the peak strain occurred at  $0 = 126.2^{\circ}$  (SG 25) for all three shots. In the NOVA-2LTS results, the peak strain occurred at  $0 = 114.7^{\circ}$  for shots 5 and 17 and at  $0 = 107.95^{\circ}$  for shot 6. The general shape of the time history curves compare well, although the phasing of curves differed by about 2 ms. The maximum compressive strains occurred on the outer flange and they compare well in magnitude for all three elastic cases at the 90° loading orientation. Again these figures demonstrate that the NOVA-2LTS analytical results show stronger membrane response across the frame cross section. Possible explanations for the differences in the strain distribution across the cross section and the phasing are considered.



Ŋ

Figure 9. Comparison of Peak Strain Time Histories at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 6  $\,$ 



Figure 10. Comparison of Peak Strain Time Histories at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 17

-----

1.25



Figure 11. Comparison of Peak Strain Time Histories at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shor 5

70

nn grit
The most plausible explanation appears to be out-of-roundness of the fuselage section in the crown region. Deviations from the assumed circular shape would produce more bending action around the circumference and also lower the frequency of response, since membrane response is of higher frequency content than bending. It is well known that the responses of circular shell structures are sensitive to out-of-roundness (initial radial imperfections), unfortunately, the true shape of the fuselage prior to each test shot is not known. If out-of-roundness information existed for this KC-135A fuselage test section, such data could have been incorporated into the NOVA-2LTS structural model through the initial imperfection option in the code. The inability of the NOVA-2LTS theoretical formulation to properly predict the strain distribution across the frame's cross section given the correct definition of the actual structure was discounted because of the good results of previous correlation efforts in References 4 and 5. In particular, the correlation of the B-52 aft fuselage results showed that the frames exhibited very strong bending action in the region of maximum strain which was predicted very well by NOVA-2LTS when the actual geometry of the B-52 fuselage panel section was modeled through the initial imperfection option.

In the KC-135A fuselage the frames are not directly attached to the skin as in the case of the B-52 aft fuselage. The NOVA-2LTS formulation is based on the assumption that plane sections remain plane during deformation of the whole cross section which includes the skin and frames. If the attachments between the stringers and the frames became very flexible (sloppy) during the response this assumption could be violated. However, since the skin between stringers buckles at a low stress level, the effect of such joint flexibility on the strain and stress distributions across the floating frames should not be an important factor. In any event, such undetermined joint flexibility would be difficult to model accurately with any structural response code. It should also be mentioned that errors in the experimental data are not uncommon, in particular, phasing and polarity errors generated

Ą

during the data acquisition and/or reduction procedures. When the correlation of results are performed soon after the tests, systematic differences between analytical and experimental responses can be challenged and the experimental data rechecked.

Figures 12 and 13 illustrate the comparison of experimental and analytical results for shot 21 which was for the 45° loading orientation at the incident overpressure of 2.1 1b/in². Figure 12 shows the distribution of strain maximums which is different than those shown before for the 90° loading orientation. The region of the largest strains has shifted to the right towards the direction of the loading at  $\theta$  = 153° for both the experimental and analytical results. On the outer flange of the center frame the largest of the strain maximums occurred at  $0 = 142^{\circ}$  and 155° from the NOVA-2LTS calculations, while the largest experimental strain occurred at  $\Theta = 138^{\circ}$ . This experimental position favors the side where the maximum experimental strain occurred for the 90° loading case at  $0 = 126^\circ$ . This trend again indicates the possibility of a structural anomaly on the right side of the crown region. The largest experimental and NOVA-2LTS strains differ by about 10% on the outer flange. On the inner flange in the region of maximum deformation the analytical values are significantly higher than the experimental values in the same manner as the 90° loading cases, thereby, indicating more bending action in the experimental results. Overall the distribution comparison for the 45° loading case is more consistant than the 90° loading case. It should be noted that with the loading direction nearer the floor support, the analytical strains at the right clamped edge are higher. Since the experimental strains at this boundary position are about the same as in the 90° loading case (shot 17), the floor joint with the fuselage is probably somewhat flexible. Figure 13 compares the experimental and analytical strain time histories of the largest peak strains. The phasing differences are less for the 45° loading case than the 90° loading case. The experimental strain distribution across the frame's cross section exhibits more bending action than the analytical results.



Maximum Compressive Strain Versus Circumferential Position at the 45° Loading Orientation for Shot 21 Figure 12.

ľ



. .

Figure 13. Comparison of Peak Strain Time Histories at the  $45^{\circ}$  Loading Orientation for Shot 21

In the final shot, 22, (90° orientation) at an incident overpressure of 3.5  $lb/in^2$ , the frames went inelastic over a large region of the crown and at four positions severe plastic buckling of the center frame occurred leading to rupture of the frame at three of the positions. Figure 14 illustrates the distribution of strain maximums over the circumference of center frame for the outer and inner flanges. Along the position axis cross marks indicate the locations where plastic buckling of the frame occurred. The experimental strain distribution is misleading in that the severe plastic deformation at three of these positions are not represented on the plot because the strain gages were outside this local area of deformations. At  $0 = 91.4^{\circ}$  gage GA 19 was located in one of the local buckling areas and large plastic strains (over 4%) were measured before the gage became inoperative. The three other positions (cross marks) would have shown similar plastic response on both flanges if gages had been placed there. The yielding strain value is indicated on the ordinate axis of Figure 14. The experimental and analytical strain distribution are different, although both show a large region of plastic deformation, from 45° to 145° experimentally and from 60° to 216° analytically. Experimentally, the zone of maximum response is shifted more to the left side of the crown which differs from the previous elastic shots 6, 17, and 5. The experimental results show concentrated locations (plastic buckling) of very large plastic strains, (greater than 4%) while the analytical results show a more even distribution in the crown region reaching about 2.5% maximum strain. The NOVA-2LTS model does not at present allow for local buckling of a stiffener, hence without these local failures present in the response calculation the energy is absorbed more evenly over the frames. A method to account for local elastic or plastic buckling of stiffeners in NOVA-25 is discussed in Section V. For those strain measurements not affected by local frame buckling, inelastic strains varied between 0.8 to 1.5%. Analytically, the strain varied from 0.8 to 2.5% in the inelastic region. The analytical results in Figure 14 show that large strains were generated at the right side clamped position. The experimental values near this position are still quite low, as they were



i

76

r 1

in the elastic cases. This indicates that for this large deformation response case, the clamped condition does not properly model this boundary. It appears the floor system does not provide the rigidity that was assumed and the frames may be primarily acting as full rings around the entire fuselage. To remedy this situation in the future the entire frame could be modeled. The clamped boundary may still not significantly affect the strain response in the crown region. Figure 15 illustrates selected strain time histories on the outer flange of the center frame. In this figure the two largest measured strains are compared with the two largest analytical strains in the crown region. The time of peak plastic strains compares better than in the elastic response cases.

In shots 16 and 20 for the 90° and 45° loading orientations, respectively, the upper lobe compartment of the fuselage was pressurized at 2.5 and 2.7 lb/in², respectively. In these two pressurized shots the incident overpressure of the blast was at about the 2  $lb/in^2$  level which is comparable to the unpressurized shots 17 (90° orientation) and 21 (45° orientation). The pressurization in shots 16 and 20 significantly reduced the strain response in the frames of the fuselage both experimentally and analytically as predicted through NOVA-2LTS. It is recalled that for the unpressurized cases of shot 17 and 21, the maximum measured strains were at the -5000 and  $-4200 \ \mu in/in$  level. Table 8 gives the maximum compression and tension strains measured in shot 16 and 20 on the inner and outer flange of the center frame. It can be seen that these strains are significantly less than the corresponding aforementioned values. Shot 16 gives maximum strain values of -1075 and 1100 µin/in while shot 20 gives values of -1000 and 1300 µin/in. Table 8 also compares the maximum compression and tension strains predicted by NOVA-2LTS with the corresponding experimental values. It was found that on the inner flanges for both shots the NOVA-2LTS analytical strain maximums were higher than the experimental values, while on the outer flanges the analytical values were lower than the experimental values. Both the experimental and enalytical strain distributions had irregular patterns which made a detailed comparison of



Ņ

Figure 15. Comparison of Strain Time Histories at the  $90^{\circ}$ Loading Orientation for Shot 22

## TABLE 8

••• • ••• • • • • •

# STRAIN COMPARISONS FOR PRESSURIZED KC-135A FUSELAGE TEST SECTION IN SHOTS 16 and 20

Shot 16 - 90° Loading Orientation ( $\Delta p = 2.08 \text{ lb/in}^2$ ,  $p_i = 2.5 \text{ lb/in}^2$ )

± Maximum Frame Strains (μin/in)			
Position	Experiment	NOVA-2LTS	
Inner Flange	1100 -900	1740 -1085	
Outer Flange	700	540	
outer mange	-1075	-375	

Shot 20 - 45° Loading Orientation ( $\Delta p = 1.85 \text{ lb/in}^2$ ,  $p_i = 2.7 \text{ lb/in}^2$ )

± Maximum Frame Strains (µin/in)			
Position	Experiment	NOVA-2LTS	
Inner Flange	1300 -1000	1925 -1570	
Outer Flange	920 -800	395 -464	

results unfruitful. The importance of these results is that both the experimental and analytical strains show a significant reduction in magnicule from the internal pressurization. Many of the differences in the results may be the result of unknown structural and geometric anomalies whose presence were suspected from previous unpressurized correlations. Figures 16 and 17 show comparisons of selected analytical and experimental strain time hist les for shots 16 and 20, respectively. In Figure 16 for the 90° loading orientation, the analytical strain time histories at the two positions (9 =  $87.7^{\circ}$  and 67.5°) of maximum compression and tension strain on the inner flange are compared with the experimental strain time history at the position ( $\Theta = 110.8^\circ$ ) for which both the maximum compression and tension strains occurred. These strain responses exhibit higher frequency content than found in the unpressurized response cases. The initial strains at zero time indicate the static preblast state associated with only the internal pressure loading. In Figure 17 for the 45° loading orientation, the analytical strain time history at the position (0 = 141.7°) where the maximum compression strain occurred is compared with the two positions ( $0 = 179.5^{\circ}$  and  $195.7^{\circ}$ ) at which the maximum compression and tension experimental strains occurred. The positions of the maximum experimental strain are well away from blast loading direction of  $\Theta = 153^\circ$ , while the analytical strain time history occurred near the loading direction.

Although displacements of the fuselage test section were not measured, it is informative to present some of the radial displacement results from the NOVA-2LTS response calculations. Figure 18 shows the maximum inward rad'al displacement versus the incident overpressure for all seven test case considered in this effort. For the elastic unpressurized case, the response follows a linear path with increasing overpressure, but becomes nonlinear as inelastic deformations occur. The displacement for the elastic-plastic response case of shot 22 is substantially higher than that of shot 5 which produced response near the threshold of yielding. The maximum displacements of the pressurized cases were low in comparison with the unpressurized cases. Figure 19 illustrates the differences in the radial displacement distributions at



Figure 16. Comparison of Strain Time histories at the 90° Loading Orientation for Shot 16









the time of maximum displacement between the 90° and 45° loading orientations for shots 17 and 21. Both peak displacements are very near to the respective positions corresponding to blast loading direction. Figure 20 contrasts the radial displacement time histories for an elastic response case (shot 5) and the elastic-plastic response case (shot 22). Aside from the peak response being much greater, the elastic-plastic response case has a longer period to peak than the elastic response case.





i

۰,

# SECTION V EVALUATION OF THE NOVA-2S COMPUTER CODE

In the past the panel subroutine DEPROP in NOVA-2S and NOVA-2LTS has been evaluated successfully through comparison with available experimental results and other nonlinear computer codes. It has been established that NOVA-2S can accurately determine the response of well defined structures within the framework of the theoretical formulation currently programmed in DEPROP. These evaluations have been well documented in References 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8 for unstiffened and stiffened panels. Recently, the correlation of NOVA-2LTS with B-52 aft fuselage results from blast tests performed in a similar manner as the KC-135A fuselage tests has been completed in Reference 4. These results together with the results from this current effort are now employed to assess the validity of using the NOVA-2S stiffened panel option to predict the response of large complex stiffened aircraft sections. It should be noted that the B-52 and KC-135A fuselage sections represent the two major types of fuselage construction, namely, the longeron system and the stringer system, respectively. Thus, the correlation of blast test data from these two types of fuselage construction with NOVA-2LTS structural model response results covers many modeling problems that can be anticipated for actual aircraft sections. It should be noted that some of these modeling problems also apply to any structural code, such as finite element code representations.

Ì

Ŋ

From the correlation results of the KC-135A and B-52 fuselage test sections, it was found that the strain response of the frames can be significantly influenced by the boundary conditions, the initial panel geometry, the spatial loading distribution and the local buckling of the thin skin between stringers or frames. In the B-52 aft fuselage correlation in Reference 4 the NOVA-2LTS structural model had to account for the noncircular shape of the fuselage section (through the initial geometric imperfection option), local skin buckling between frames and a boundary being close to the region of maximum strain. All these ingredients were important in correlating successfully with the experimental data using the modeling techniques provided in NOVA-2LTS.

In this current KC-135A fuselage correlation it was found that apparent minor asymmetries in the spatial loading distribution for the  $90^{\circ}$ orientation were important and therefore, the computer time advantage of double symmetry could not be used. Furthermore, local skin buckling between stringers was very important. For the KC-135A fuselage the panel geometry and the boundary conditions were not major factors in influencing reasonable correlation. The designed geometry of the fusclage was for the most part circular, although the deformation benavior pattern indicated that perhaps out-of-roundness or other structural anomalies were present in this fuselage section. Such possible anomalies could not be defined for modeling by NOVA-2LTS, but the absence of finer geometry definition did not prevent a reasonable correlation. The boundaries of the KC-135A fuselage section were far enough away from the region of maximum strains that the assumed boundary conditions were not an important factor for the elastic response cases. For the inelastic response case the assumed clamped condition at the floor boundary appeared to be too severe. Whether the higher local plastic strains generated at this boundary affected the strains calculated in the crown region was not pursued further, since the more important effect of local plastic buckling of the frames could not presently be modeled by NOVA-2LTS. NOVA-2LTS should be modified to account for local elastic or plastic buckling of stiffeners. This could be accomplished in a similar manner that the skin buckling was handled in NOVA-2LTS. Each cross section segment of a stiffener would have a critical buckling stress parameter modified by a plasticity factor and a stress decay factor, so that the local stiffness of each segment could be altered as buckling progresses through the flanges and web of the stiffener. This is a recommended modification for NOVA-2LTS and NOVA-2S.

In both the KC-135A and B-52 fuselage testing emphasis was placed on measuring the response of the frames since they were the major structural component of the fuselage panels. The thin skins of the fuselages were undergoing buckling or wrinkling during the deformation of the stiffened panel. It should be noted that once the skin is allowed to buckle in NOVA-21.TS through the buckling criterion option the

true strain response of the buckled skin is uncalculable. If accurate strain response of the skin was desired in these large structurally stiffened panels a very fine model, probably producing a prohibitive number of modes and integration points, would result. Whether such a solution would be reasonable on the computer would have to be determined. The same problem faces the finite element method in that a prohibitive number of nonlinear finite elements are probably required to simulate the local buckling patterns between stiffeners. If the computer solution is unreasonable, the finite element method would have to be modified by special birth and death options for the elements to approximately account for skin buckling as was presently done in NOVA-2S. It should be noted that even if the skin responses were directly modeled, the undefined initial geometric imperfections of the thin curved skin would probably prevent an accurate determination of the strain response of the buckled skin.

The correlation results from this KC-125A fuselage investigation and the previous B-52 aft fuselage effort demonstrated that the NOVA-2S or NOVA-2LTS computer code can predict the peak response of actual large stiffened aircraft sections with reasonable accuracy (error less than 10%) in the elastic response region. Thus, for the threshold of permanent damage criterion in NOVA-2S based on the onset of yielding, the NOVA-2S peak strain response would result in a good prediction. In the inelastic response region leading to catastrophic damage based on a fracture strain criterion, the evaluation is more complicated. In the B-52 aft fuselage tests, the frames failed under tension strain on the inner flanges. This failure appeared (the strain gage failure at about 1% strain) to be premature for this fuselage test section and resulted from small holes that were present in the inner flanges which probably held cable harnesses in the actual aircraft. One longitudinal set of holes in the inner flanges of the frames was very near the position of peak tension strain induced by the blast loading and all fractures on the frames occurre' through these holes. Thus, the fracturing of the frames occurred prematurely as the result of stress concentrations around the holes. How to account for such irregularities in the structure (usually unknown) is a question that can not be addressed in

this effort. If the holes were not present in the frame, NOVA-2S could give a good prediction for the B--52 fuselage of the peak inelastic response into the strain region where fracture would normally occur through a tension mechanism. Experience is needed in selecting the fracture strain for the catastrophic criterion. In the inelastic deformation shot for the KC-135A fuselage section, the severe damage to the frames was caused by local compressive failures (plastic buckling of the frame) which led to fractures after severe buckling deformations had occurred across the frame's cross section. This catastrophic compressive failure mechanism cannot presently be predicted by NOVA-2S. The NOVA-2LTS results of shot 22 showed peak compressive strains at the 2.5% level and no significant tension strains. Therefore, under the present catastrophic criterion based or tension strains, NOVA-2S could not predict this catastrophic failure of the KC-135A fuselage. Thus, there is a real need for a compressive catastrophic failure criterion in NOVA-2S along with a method to permit local buckling of the stiffeners as discussed before.

The usual clamped and simply supported boundary conditions permitted in any structural response code can only approximate the true boundary conditions found in actual large aircraft sections. Further refinement can be achieved by introducing o her flexibilities at the boundaries through translational and torsional springs to simulate the stiffness of the adjacent s ructure outside the boundary. In this method the difficulty is estimating aprior, the proper spring constants. The other approach would entail modeling the structure beyond the apparent boundary of interest. This method which is certainly more accurate has a significant computer time penalty. The NOVA-2S computer code has presently the capability to persue all of these options, if required to obtain reasonable results. From the correlation efforts involving the KC-135A and B-52 fuselages, it appears that good results can be obtained if the boundary approached either a clamped or pinned condition. If the region of maximum s rain is far removed from the boundary, the effect of the boundary is minimized for elastic response of the structure. If the peak response is occurring near a boundary it is important to know whether the actual condition approaches clamped or

Ŋ

pinned. When the displacements of the fuselage sections become large when inelastic deformations occur, the boundary conditions become more significant, but more evidence is needed to assess the overall importance in predicting peak response away from the boundary.

In analyzing large stiffened panel sections from aircraft uselages, it is important to be able to handle noncircular geometry. With the use of the initial geometric imperfection option in NOVA-2S, arbitrary geometry of a panel can be approximated. This method worked well for the B-52 fuselage panel which had a very irregular geometry in the circumferential direction as shown in Reference 4. The method for computing the necessary radial displacement coefficients for a surface which deviates from a specified circular shape should be incorporated directly in the NOVA-2S code. It would also improve the geometry capability of NOVA-2S to introduce a conical panel option that would permit better modeling of a nose radome type of structure when used in conjunction with the initial geometric imperfection option. The conical shell theoretical formulation already exists as an option in the DEPICS cylindrical shell code from which DEPROP was originally developed as a cylindrical panel code.

With the increased usage of composite materials in aircraft design, the elastic material model available in NOVA-2S could be refined to include a more general anisotropic material. The present orthotropic material model has been adequate in the past since the material properties for the composite material are usually approximated by specifiying the material in terms of the orthotropic material constants. A more accurate description of a composite skin would contain constants beyond the five orthotropic constants and they could be computed if the detailed laminar construction of the skin is specified. It would also be helpful in establishing better failure criteria for composites in NOVA-2S, if the interlaminar shear stresses would also be computed for the composite.

đ

The pressure loadings on the panels generated in NOVA-2S from the nuclear encounter should be reviewed and modified where necessary for the varies aircraft components. At present, for panel analysis in

NOVA-2S the pressure time history of the blast loading is computed at the center of the panel and the distribution is assumed to be uniform over the panel. This assumption was established when only small panel components were being considered. Now for large stiffened fuselage panel sections, the actual distribution of the pressure loading over the panel becomes important. Thus, a new spatial loading distribution over the large panel needs to be defined in NOVA-2S.

# SECTION VI CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The general conclusions emanating from this NOVA-2S correlation effort with the KC-135A fuselage test results are delineated as follows:

- (1) It was found that the maximum strain response on the center frame in the crown region of the KC-135A fuselage was most sensitive to the local skin buckling between stringers and the asymmetries in the pressure distribution over the fuselage's surface. Both of these effects were taken into account in the NOVA-2LTS structural and loading model. The skin buckling effect required a special modification to the NOVA-2S program which is documented in this report.
- (2) In the new skin buckling criterion option in NOVA-2S ir was found that the peak strain response was more sensitive to the stress decay rate in the buckled skin than the critical buckling stress.
- (3) By examining the experimental strain behavior, it is suspected that structural anomalies (most likely out-ofroundness) were present in the crown region of this fuselage test section which had previously been subjected to fatigue testing. Because such structural anomalies were not defined for the fuselage, they could not be accounted for in the NOVA-2LTS model; however, the assumed perfect circular geometric shape still produced reasonable analytical and experimental strain comparisons.
- (4) The main difference between the analytical and experimental results, which could be attributed to out-of-roundness, was that the experimental strain distribution across the frames had more bending content than the analytical results exhibited.

- (5) The structural model employed in this effort represented the upper lobe compartment of the fuselage where the boundaries at the floor intersection were assumed clamped. This model was quite adequate for the elastic response cases, especially since the maximum strains occurred in the crown region far removed from these boundaries. However, in the inelastic case where large deformations of the fuselage occurred, this clamped condition appeared too rigid. It appears that the floor system does not provide full rigidity, since the main floor beam members are longitudinally oriented. The frames are continuous through this floor joint, so that the assumed clamped condition is better than a pinned condition, but there is an undetermined flexibility at this boundary. This flexibility could be approximated by other boundary options in NOVA-2S, if the joint stiffness was known apriori. The most accurate method would be to model the whole fuselage and absorb the computer cost penalty.
- (6) NOVA-2LTS predicted the maximum strains which occurred on the outer flange of the center frame very well (within 10%) for the unpressurized elastic response case at the 90° loading orientation. For the 45° loading case, NOVA-2LTS predicted the change in strain distribution along the circumference and the maximum strain quite well. For the pressurized cases, NOVA-2LTS indicated the significant decrease in the strain level that has occurred experimentally. At these lower strain levels the comparisons of the maximum did not show the accuracy demonstrated in the unpressurized cases. This is not unexpected since low level strain response is usually more sensitive to structural anomalies.

f

(7) NOVA-2LTS time histories of the maximum strains compared well in general shape, but the phasing was off by about 2 ms. The experimental time to peak was always longer which could be caused by the greater bending content across the cross section of che frame in the experimental results. Bending is a lower frequency response than membrane action.

- (8) For the elastic response case in which the frames failed, NOVA-2LTS could not predict the local plastic buckling of the frames. Analytically, the energy was absorbed more evenly over the frames and the compressive strain levels reached about 2.5%, while experimentally strain levels become very high at local positions and resulted in rupturing of the frame at several circumferential positions.
- (9) Overall, complex aircraft stiffened panels can be modeled by NOVA-2S or NOVA-2LTS with confidence provided the user can define all important structural factors involved in the real structure and has a thorough understanding of all the modeling options now available in NOVA-2S and how to apply them to represent the real structure. For these large structures the dimensions of the program had to be extended and the computer time for a response run increased.

Based on the results of this effort the following recommendations are given as follows:

Ŋ

- (1) With all the modifications introduced into NOVA-25 over the last few years, it is important to generate a new User's Manual that contains a complete group listing, gives advice on how to use the new modifications and gives information on how to redimension the program for modeling the larger complex airclaft components. In addition, some of the modifications require that changes be made in the strain and stress output format of the program.
- (2) NOVA-2S should be modified to handle local buckling of the stiffeners elastically and plastically. In addition, the criteria in NOVA-2S should be revised for catastrophic damage to include a compressive type of failure through buckling.
- (3) The blast induced pressure loading formulation used in NOVA-2S should be reviewed and modified if necessary, especially in specifying a non-uniform pressure distribution for large panel structures. There are other less important modifications that could be made to NOVA-2S and these were discussed in Section V.

(4) Many of the new additions to NOVA-2S should be more thoroughly checked out by benchmark solutions using other structural codes.

### REFERENCES

- "NOVA A Digital Computer Program for Calculating the Response of Aircraft to the Overpressure from a Nuclear Explosion," AFWL-TR-72-115, Volume I, Kaman AviDyne, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland Air Force Base, NN, July 1973.
- Lee, W.N. and Mente, L.J., "NOVA-2 A Digital Computer Program for Analyzing Overpressure Effects on Aircraft", AFWL-TR-75-262, Parts 1 and 2, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland Air Force Base, NM, August 1976.
- Mente, L.J. and Lee, W.N., "NOVA-2S A Stiffened Fanel Extension of the NOVA-2 Computer Program", AFWL-TR-78-182, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB, NM, December 1978.
- Mente, L.J., Lee, W.N. and Stagliano, T.R., "NOVA-2S Modifications and Correlation with B-52 Shock Tube Test Results (U)", DNA 5983F, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington, DC, April 1982, Secret.
- Mente, L.J. and Lee, W.N., "Dynamic Elastic-Plastic Response of Unstiffened and Stiffened Panels to Pressure Loadings", AMMRC MS 80-4, Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center, Watertown, MA, Proceedings of the Army Symposium on Solid Mechanics, 1980, September 1980.
- Syring, R.P., "The Effect of Internal Pressurization on the Blast Response Characteristics of the KC-135A Fuselage", AFWL-TR-78-159, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB, NM, February 1979.
- 7. Bruhn, E.F., <u>Analysis and Design of Flight Vehicle Structures</u> Tri-State Offset Company, 1973.
- 8. Stagliano, T.R. and Mente, L.J. "An Evaluation of the ADINA Finite Element Program for Application to Aircraft Overpressure Vulnerability" DNA 4876F, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington, DC, February 1979.

97/98

## APPENDIX

## CHANGES IN THE NOVA-2S PROGRAM LISTING

This Appendix gives the routines in NOVA-2S and NOVA-2LTS that were modified for increasing the program dimensions and incorporating the buckling criterion method. The COMMON subroutine in the Appendix reflects the dimension changes that were made to accommodate the structural models generated in this effort. The inclusion of the buckling criterion method required modifications in subroutines DERV1, DERV2, DSET1, DSET3, SIGMA, and SIGMAB which are given in the Appendix.

1. 1. 4 . . . . . A .

Ŋ

# Reproduced From Best Available Copy

*CUMDECK DNOVA COMMON/DUEVA/ ALFAA, ALFAF, AET, BAF, COPO, CO, DRUX, HR, HG, HEIDC, 1 THE .KF. NGRD, NGEDD. NEL, NEP, NES, NEENT, NEEVT, NEEV, DUR, NORMAX. 2 NUR F, MTEHT, MIEVI, WIEW, MIH1, OUT (8), PINT, PO, RCRIT(100), Ζ. REST(30), RF(20), RHOD, SL. SSFAN, ST. THETAR, TWP(1000), VEL, WKT. 4 WP(1000), XH(20), XHF, XCC(20), XCN, XLE(5), XLEHT(5), XLEVT(5), 5 XLEA(5).XLL, XHUSE, XOSHB(30), XOSHQ(30), XP, XSA, XSCHIT(100), 6 XTAIL,XTE(5),XTEHT(5),XTEVT(5),XTEW(5),XTL,YCC(20),YF, 7 YLE(5), YLEHT(5), YLER(5), YDSPB(30), YDSRU(30), YP, YSA, ы YSCRIT(100), YS4, YTE(5), YTEHT(5), YTEH(5), ZCC(20), ZF. ü ZLEVI(5), ZOSKH(30), ZOSPO(30), ZP, ZSA, ZSCRIT(100), ZTEVI(5), ZZZ(9) DIMENSION FP(10,40), TFP(10,40) EQUIVALENCE (FP(1,1), +P(1)), (TFP(1,1), TwP(1)) *CUMCECK OFLX COMMERCETEXZ HX, FLX *CONDECK PW1 COMMOD/P: 1/ AMPGH, AMP1, NUMA, UHMB, DUM1, DUM2, DUM3, UHM4, DUM5, DUNG. DUN7. DUNE. DUM9. GMF1. PI. SNA. SNG. IND1. THD2. THU3. THOA. 1 5 THDS, THUEPI *CURDECK REFRAC COMPON/REFERACE DALLT), DB(17), DEAX(150), OPTOLE, ELA(41), 1 FLH(41),FLNAA(200),FCUNN,FJEN),H,HI,HT(150),JE,1F(1,JE(2, 2 ITIM(200), MORK(150), MT, WORA(41), WORB(41), NT, MTAPE, NTM, NTT, 8470(S), FA(16,40), PA(16,40), PAA(40), PRB(40), PSA(18), 2 a PSh(1h), PSJ1(150), P0, PHUA(16, 40), KHUB(16, 40), FHUNK (40), 5 RHURB(46), PHUSA(16), PHUSH(16), PHO), RT(150), RTU, SEU(5), TAUTE"(150), FAND, TAUTKIZONI, FINES(200), TR. TS. t PRALIN, 491, PARTIES, 40), PARA(40), PARA(40), URSA(16), 7 н UF51(16),..A(10,40),UB(16,40),YFA(40),WRB(40),WSA(16), 9 #SPITEL *CUMPECK RIND COMMAN // LY2/ ACCLV(45), ACCes(45), AFL(8,46), AMP, ARSE(5, 4), HIGH (AS), BIGH(45), HIGH3, CERIT(8), CLAST(3), Ł 2 COSD(44), CUSE(45), CPTIM, CTH2, C6(45), OELTAS(45), 3 DELIS(45), DELISH(45), DELISU(45), DELIT(45), DELITH(45), DELTIN(45),018(44),00MA,80%X1(8),80%X0(8),81(44),811, a 5 EPSILL(45), EFOIL(1145), EFH, FILXT(8), EINXD(8). Fir(5,21,90),Firt(3),Fix,FSK(0,FY(45),FZ(45), 6 7 H(H,46),61(45),1L1(2),1LP,1PENP(H),1U1(2),1UP,LY(45),TY1, IVP. JTTT, ARL, RETRA, RETRA, FETER, REFREE, KIS, REAX, Roub, Rober G LMAR, LOCHXILEI, LSCHXO(A), ESTERI(A), LSTHXD(A), PARF, CAMER HANSE, MAAX, EPETHT, FTAPE, MYTELD, B1, SP. . TAYLAN), COP, COP, MERCHAR, MI, ML. ... SEL, MSKIN, MSL(2), MSSL(2), 1 SSETTAL, BITHE, STIPLP, MARCHELL, BILLASI, RATIG(P), RET, BEY, 2 š, HEN(A), SET (5, c), SCOXI(A), SCOXU(A), SING(44), SINT(45), SN (46), SCASSE, SUCSSI, SSE(F, 6), SSELLE, 6), STH2, а. STRUS, P), STOLEN, STVXD(B), STRUA(B, S), STRUAT(B, S), 5 STREALA, 5), STADULTA, 5), SUMERD, ICALTURI, THE TALAS), THE LAL, 6 1 THE TAR, TOCARDER, ISCARDER, TOTERIA, ISTMAN(A, VEGS). Ve (45), VE (451, 11, V2, 8 (45), 10 (45), 201(45), AP (0, 20), 5 *FAT(367, 1, 1, 2, (EF(8), YCAL, YCA1(46), ZETA(21, 46) 9 COMMENT THEFT / TELSE (46) , TETAH (46) *COMPECK NEFS

CHAMBLE AND NEX EVOL- (45), DELXIARD, DEL 3, ERR(90), TI,

1 NSARTHAN TARANA STATE TARANA STATE (45), STATE (45),

2 State (MA), See (MA) & See (MA)

# **Reproduced From Best Available Copy**

#### *COMPECK REN4

CUMMON /HIK4/ (P(90), PRES(90), PX(90), PRES(90), SIGX(90), XRES(40,90).XX(40) 1

*COMPECK BLK5

COMMON /ALK5/ PRES(3), PX(3), RRES(3), XRES(3,3), XX(3) *COMBECK BLKE

HVA(10'5)'PBA(10'5)'PICWb(5)'BWb1(5)' COMMODIZELK6/

CEV(S), CEZV(S), CEZSV(S), CIEL(11'S), CLB1(10'S), C21'CLS' ł

DEN(S)'ES(3)'PE(5)'RD1(S)'HCODPD'NIT(5)'SAA(10'S)' 2.

SAVP1(10.2), SEV(10.2), SEVP1(10.2), STET(11.2), 3

4STP1(10.2), STRA(5.21.20), ST1.ST2.TEN01, TEN02, TETA(11),

VF(2), VP1(2), X(11), Y(31) 5

#### *COMPECK CBLK1

COMMON /CREKI/ A.KCOUP.KPG(50),KPB(50),KZ,LBAR,LMAX,MP.MBAR,

MG, MGX (13), MGRA, MGRA2, MUSE (20, 20), MB, NBAR, MEM(20), (EMD, NBT, 1

- FOREV, NG, MOUNT, NGT, DKP, DPLT, DSYMU, NSYNG, PUSE (129, 55). 2
- PI, YR(129), #6(55), MRMP1, TPEP2, ESPR, 101R(30), MSP6(30), 3
- NSPE(30), BIGK(30), XLP3, XLP4, XLP5, BTSC, ISLOC(736), KTSG8(736), 11
- PIGC(736), TEL, 162, TR1, TR2, MANV, MANV1, NAUV2, NFI(4), MIPE, 5

6 FIP(16,4), TIP(10,4), JUIP(4), SFIP(129,4), XUP6, NBHCK, PHCKS, BUCK *COMDECK CBLKS

CUMMON/CR(K2/ HETK(129),CF(6),GPRT,PPRT1,FP1(550),FP2(550),

- 1 FP3(55C),FP5(2580),FP6(2580),FP7(2580),GAM(55),KC,
- HU1551, HK(129), P1MA(55), P1MA(129), YJ, XJ2, XJ3, XJ4, XJ5, XL, 5
- xLF,xLF1,xLF2,xL1,xL2,XL3,X14,xL5,XL7,PRLU(100),PRLV(100), 3

PFL9(140),F91(550),F92(550),F93(2580),F94(2580),FV1(550), 4

5 EV2(550), EV312500), EV4(2500), 016(13), 0T8(20)

#### *CONDECK CHURS

COMPONTCHERSZ = GX(E), HGO(E)

*COMDECK COLK4

COMMON / CALKA/ 012, PHS(300), VX0(300), XX(500), YY(300)

1 , PSME

AD INDECK CRUKS

CUMMON/CHLE5/ Felte), ERR(300), FF(20,13), HM(8), F(001(300), MOUT(300), HOLO(A), H1(20, 13), M1(20, 13), A1(20, 13) 1

*CHODFCK CBLKE

CUMPOR / FELNEY FLTT(1235), ALXT(1235), ALXX(1235), BET(1235),

1 BERU1235), HESCIESS), FP8(12×5), ETT1(1235), EXT1(1235),

2 EXX1(1235), STGTT((1235), STGXT1(1235), STGXX1(1235), TT (0(1235) *CONDECK CHEFT

CUMMUN/CHEF7/ UN12CH12,CM13,CM2,CM3,CM4,CM5,CM4,CP7,FC,EH, FFU, FMP, H, 1F1NST, JFTRST, LC, FCMAX, SFLP, STGU, STALP, TAM, FOUSH 1

#### +CHMUECK CHEKA

COMMON/CREESE/ 10755, XX3(300)

*CONDECH CHEK9

CUANON, ZONERAZ STE(N), MXL16), CORTT(C), CORTT6(N), CORTG(31),

- CINSPIRISCIPSE(3), CIDST(3), FI(8), SX(8), GXT(8), LSP, LSP, LSP(2),1

- _USGE(P),+12(1+1,1STECH(2),+TECP,+2P,SAC(8),SAT(H),Smax,STEAx(2), 2
- 3 - ICPTTERI,TCHLIN(R),ICPLIR(31),THIN(R),IMAX,ISMAX(R),XYIM(8),
- 4 70(16)

*CHWEFEK EFLEIG

1

(HE(7045), OF(7095), OBE(7095), OBE(7095) ~

5

CORPORT/CPF+11/ C-11, C-12, CP22, CP33, D-11, D-12, D722, D533, FFF1,

```
1
         EW15' EW35' EW33
*COMDECK CBLK12
      CUMMON/CRLK12/ DELX(1), IP(1), PRES(1), PX(1), RRES(1),
     1 SIGX(1), XRES(1,1), XX1(1)
*COMDECK CBLK13
      COMMON/CBLK13/ DC,EC,EPSIF,GC,HBAR,NL,NNOUT,RHO,THETAO
*COMDECK CBLK15
      COMMON/CBLK15/ AASB(8,129,3), AASG(8,31), ASB(129,3), ASG(31), BETC
     1(129,3), RIGJB(129,3), BIGJG(31), ASTR(8,129,3), BSTG(8,31), CA1, CA2
     2(31),CA3(3),C11G(31),C228(129,3),D11G(31),D228(129,3),D336(129,3)
     3,D33G(31),EP08(3),EP0G(31),EP88(3),EP8G(31),E8TR8(3),E8TRG(31),
     4ETSTRE(3), ETSTRG(31), F11G(31), F22H(129,3), GBARB(3), GBARG(31), H0B
     5(129,3),HCG(31),HSTB(8,129,3),HSTG(8,31),KSB(3),KSBX(129),KSG(31)
     6,KSGX(129),KSTIF,KSUMB(2092),KSUPB(3),KSUPG(31),KYX(4895),LXMAX,
     7MFIRST,NFJRST,NSH,NSEGR(3),NSEGG(31),NSG,NSGMB,NSMAX,NSTE(3),
     BRHOSTB(3).AHUSTG(31).SIDEB(3).SIDEG(31).SIGOBC(3).SIGUBT(3).
     9S1G0GC(31),S1G0GT(31),SX(4895),ZF8(8,418),ZST8(2,129,3),ZSTG(2,31)
*CUMDECK CBLK16
      COMMON/CBLK16/ ALX(4895), BEX(4895), EX1(4895), SIX1(4895)
*COMDECK CBLK17
       COMMON/CBLK17/ AU(100,100),AV(100,100),AW(100,100)
*COMDECK CHLANK
      COMMON/CBLANK/ CN10, CN11, CN8, CN9, EPHO(1235), ETT. EXT. EXX,
     1 INZ(2), KSUMA(7095), KY(1235), STT(1235), SXT(1235), SXX(1235),
     2
        S1A(7095), S2A(7095), S3A(7095), S4A(7095), S5A(7095), S6A(7095),
     3
        UU(20,13), VV(20,13), WW(20,13), XKTT, XKXT, XKXX, X1A(7095),
     4
        X2A(7095),X3AL7095),X4A(7095),X5A(7095),X6A(7095),
     5
         ZA(2),ZE(2),ZE(6),ZG(6),ZH(6)
*COMUECK CBLK18
      COMMON /CBLK18/ AME86(31), AWE88(129,3), C, CUR, FTZ1(230), FTZ2(230),
        FTZ3(230),FTZ4(230),FXZ1(230),FXZ2(230),FXZ3(230),FXZ4(230),
     1
     2
        GP.6G.LOUT,LKBAK,LRG(10),LKOUT(99),LSB(10),LSHAK,LSOUT(99),
     3
        LUSE(10.10), NOHEAR, PEST2B(361), PEST2G(361), PESX2B(361),
     4
        PESXZG(361), RSL(10,10), RSLP(10,10), RSM(10,10), RSMP(10,10),
       XLP7, XLP8, XST2(361), XSX2(361)
     5
*DECK DERV1
      SUBROUTINE DERVI
*CALL CBLK1
*CALL CBLK2
*CALL CBLK4
*CALL CHERIO
*CALL CELK11
                                                  Reproduced From
+CALL COLK15
                                                 Best Available Copy
*CALL CNOVA
*CALL CBLANK
*CALL CBLK18
      121
      00 100 M=1.NG
      00 100 N=1,08
      JF(MUSE(N.H).ER.0) GO TO 100
      UU(M,M)=XX(I)
      VV(N, M)=xX(DGNG+1)
      WW(N,M)= XX(MGAU2+1)
      I=1+1
```

100	CONTINUE	
	K=0	· · · · ·
	00 500 I=1,NGT	· · · ·
	DO 500 J=1.NBT	ана стана стана Ана стана
	TE(NUSE(1.1), ER.0) GO TO 500	
	A	
	555=0.0	
	554=0.0	
	\$\$5=0.0	
	\$\$6=0.0	
	SS7=0.0	
	SS8=0.0	Poproduced Erem
	SS9=0.0	Reproduced From
	SS10=0.0	Best Available Copy
	SS11=0.0	
	SS12=0.0	
	00 400 M=1,MG	
	MM= (M-1) +NGT+I	
·	FU11 = FIL1 (MM)	
	F1122 = F112(NM)	
	FV11 = FV1(MM)	
	FV22 = FV2(WM)	
	$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{C} \left\{ \mathbf{P} \right\}$	
	11 = CT(1)T	
	12 = Fre(N'')	
	13 = FP3(PM)	
	51=0.0	
	S 5=0,0	
	\$4=0.0	
	S6=0.0	
	S7=0.0	
	S9=0.0	
	S11=0.0	
	00 200 H=1,MB	
	IF(MUSE(N.M).E4.0) GD TO 200	
	NN= (N-1) *NET+J	
	UMM=UU(N,M)	
	VMN=VV(N.N)	
	WMN=WW(N.M)	
	S1 = UNNEFUS(WM) + S1	
	S3 = 1(MN + F)(H(NN)) + S3	
	SA = VMN + FVX(NN) + SA	
	S = V N A F V I (N A) + S C	
	S7 - is Mill EPS(Auc) + S7	
	$SQ = \mu M M \Delta SP \Delta (New) + SQ$	
	$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}} $	
300	ODV[I] = OUUS = I[(000] + OII]	
C 9 0	CONTINUE	
	331 = 31*FU11 + 331	
	076 # 018846 7 006 667 = 67.6011 + 667	
	COD T 119776 = COD COD T 119776 = COD	
	004 = 04xFV11 4 004	
	007 = 04*FV22 + 007	
	$330 = 36 \pm 711 \pm 336$	
	55/ = 57*11 + 55/	
	SS8 = S7*T2 + SS8	

```
SS9 = S9 \star T1 + SS9
     $$10 = $7 \times T3 + $510
     SS11 = S11 \star T1 + SS11
     9912 = 90 \times 12 + 9912
     CUNTINUE
400
     U(X)=581
     UG(K) = SS2
     UB(K) = SS3
     V(K) = SS4
     VG(K) = SS5
                                                Reproduced From
     V8(K)=$$6
                                               Best Available Copy
     W(K) = SS7
     WG(K)=SS8
     WB(K)=559
     WGG(K)=SS10
     WBB(K)=SS11
     W68(K)=SS12
500
     CONTINUE
  COMPUTE STRAINS AND STRESSES
     K=0
     DO 700 I=1.NGT
     K2 = KSRX(I)
     00 700 J=1,NBT
     IF(NUSE(J.I)_E0.0) GO TO 700
     K1 = KSGX(J)
     K = K + 1
     UGF=UG(K)
     UBF=UB(K)
     VF=V(K)
     VGF=VG(K)
     VBF=VH(K)
     WF=W(K)
     HGF=WG(K)
     WBF=WB(K)
     DWGF=DWG(K)
     DWBF=DWB(K)
     EXX=XL1*(UGF+XL1*(WGF+DWGF+0_5*(WGF**2+VGF**2+UGF**2)))
     ETT=XJ*(V8F+XJ*(v8F*0)8F+0_5*(v8F**2+V8F**2+U8F**2)))
     EXT=XJ*URF+XL1*(V6F*(1_0+XJ*V8F)+XJ*(W6F*(W8F+DWRF)+DW6F*)8F+U8F*
    10GF))
     AC = XJ + VRF + XL1 + UGF + 1.0
     WGGF = WGG(K)
     ₩88F = ₩88(K)
     wGBF = wGB(K)
     XKXX = XL7++GGF+AC
     XKTT = XJ2*SBBF*AC
     XKXT = XJ5*+GHF*4C
     IF(NPLT_E0.0) 60 TU 600
     ETT=ETF=#F*(1.0+XJ*V8F=0.5*#F)+VF*(XJ*#8F+0.5*VF)
     ETT=ETT++F*D*0(K)
     EXT = EXT + XL1 + (AGF + VF = VGF + AF)
     XKTT=XKTT+XJ4+V5F+XL1+UGF=vF
     XXXXT=XXXT+XL1+VGF
     AC = XJ \star w EF + VF
```

```
XKXX = XKXX - XL7*WGGF*WF
    XKTT = XKTT + XJ*V8F*(XJ*V8F - WF) - XJ2*WF*W88F +
     (XJ \times VBF = NF) \times 2 + AC \times (AC + XJ \times WBF)
   1
    XKXT = XKXT - XJ5+WGBF+WF + XL3+WGF+AC
600 IF (NSHEAR.EQ.0) GO TO 605
    X1 = XSX7(K)
    X2 = XSTZ(K)
    EXX = EXX + X1 + (0.5 + X1 - XL1 + WGF)
    ETT = ETT + X2*(0.5*X2 - XJ*WBF - CUR*VF)
    EXT = EXT - XL1+WGF+X2 + X1+(X2 - XJ+WBF - CUR+VF)
    XKXX = XKXX - XL1*PESXZG(K)
    XKTT = XKTT = XJ*PESTZB(K)
    XKXT = XKXT - XJ*PESXZR(K) - XL1*PESTZG(K)
605 IF (NDERV_EQ.2) GU TO 640
    CS11 = CM11
    DS11 = D^{M}11
    FS11 = FM11
    CS55 = CW55
    0855 = 0 + 55
    FS22 = FN22
    DS33=DM33
                                                  Reproduced From
    SIG = 1.0
    IF (K1.EQ.0) GU TU 619
                                                 Best Available Copy
    CS11 = CM11 + C11G(K1)
    FS11 = FM11 + F116(K1)
    DS11 = DM11 + 011G(K1)
    D$33=D$33+D33G(K1)
    SIG = STDEG(K1)
610 IF (K2.EN.0) GD TO 620
    CS55=CW55+C556(1*K5)
    ES55=EW55+E558(1*K5)
    0255=DM55+D558(1*V5)
    DS33=DS33+D338(J+K2)
    SIG = SIG + SIDEB(K2)
620 S1A(K) = CS11+EXX + CM12+ETT + FS11+XKXX + FM12+XKTT
    S2A(K) = CS22*ETT + CM12*EXX + FS22*XKTT + FM12*XKXX
    S3A(K) = CM33 \times EXT + FM33 \times XKXT
    IF (NBHCK_E0.0.0R.NCALL_GT.0) GO TO 625
    G2 = CM22+ETT + CM12+EXX + FM22+XKTT + FM12+XKXX
    IF (G2.GT_BUCKS) 60 TO 625
    S1A(K) = CS11 \star EXX + FS11 \star XKXX
    S59(K) = (CS55-CM55)*ELL+(L255-LW55)*XKLL+BACK2*(BACK2/C5)**1*00
    S3A(K) = CM33 \times EXT
625 IF (SIG_EG_0_0) GC TO 630
    S44(K) = DS11+XNXX + DM12+XKTT + FS11+EXX + FM12+ETT
    S5A(K) = DS22+XKTT + DM12+XKXX + FS22+ETT + FM12+EXX
    $6A(K)=0$33*XKAT+FM33*EXT
    IF (NBHCK_EQ.0.0K.NCALL.GT.0) GD TO 660
    1F (G2.GT.BUCKS) 60 TO 660
    S4A(K) = DS11+XXXX + FS11+EXX + DM12+XKTT + FM12+ETT
    SSA(K) = 0S22+XKIT + FS22+ETT + UM12+XKXX + FM12+EXX
    S64(K) = D833*XKXT + FM33*EXT
     GU TO 660
630 \ S4A(K) = 0.0
     S54(K) = 0.0
     S6A(K) = 0.0
```

```
105
```

```
GO TO 660
 640 CALL SIGMA (J.I.K)
      IF (K1.GT.O) CALL SIGMAB (K1.0.J.I.K)
      IF (K2.GT.O) CALL SIGMAB (0,K2,J,I,K)
  660 X1A(K) = EXX
      X2A(K) = ETT
      X3A(K) = EXT
      X4A(K) = XKXX
      X5A(K) = XKTT
      x6A(K) = xKXT
700
      CONTINUE
      RETURN
      END
*DECK DERV2
      SUBRGUTINE DERV2
*CALL CBLK1
*CALL CBLK2
*CALL CBLK3
*CALL CRLK4
*CALL CBLK10
*CALL CBLK15
*CALL CBLK17
*CALL CNOVA
*CALL CBLANK
*CALL CBLK18
 900
     IZ=0
      DO 1800 IR=1,MG
      MMO=(IR-1) +9GT
      DU 1800 TS=1,MB
      IF (MUSE(IS, IR).E4.0) GD TO 1800
      NNO=(1S-1) +NBT
      IZ=12+1
      SURS=0.0
      SVRS=0.0
      SWRS=0_0
      K=0
      DO 1760 I=1,NGT
      MM=MMG+I
      FU11 = FH1(MM)
      FUSS = EUS(WE)
      FV11 = FV1(MM)
      EV25 = EV5(WW)
      T1 = FP1(MM)
      12 = FF2(MH)
       13 = FP3(HN)
      SU = 0.
      SV = 6.
      SW = 0.
      PRLM = PIMA(I)
      DO 1660 J=1, NB1
       IF (NUSE(J.I).E0.0) GO TO 1600
      K = K + 1
```

## Reproduced From Best Available Copy

```
IF (NUSE(J, I)_E0.1) GO TO 1600
     PRLN = PTNA(J)
     NN=NN(+J
     UGF=UG(K)
     USFaus(K)
     VF=V(K)
     VGF=VG(K)
     VBFEVH(K)
     WFEW(R)
     WGFENG(K)
     WOF SHE(K)
     WGGF = \pi GG(K)
     W88F = 968(K)
     WGBF = WGB(K)
     DWGF=DWG(K)
     DWBF=UNB(K)
     IF (NU.ER.D) PPP=PA(K)
     PU = FU11+FU3(ND)
     PUG = FH22+FU3(NN)
     PHB = FU11 + FU4(mn)
     PV = FV11+FV3(1914)
     PVG = FV22 * FV3(NII)
     PVB = FV11 \star FV4(10)
     PW = T1+FP5(NN)
     PHG = T2+FP5(NN)
     PH6 = T1+FP6(NW)
     PwGG = T3 * FP5(MN)
     PUBB = T1+FP7(NN)
     PWGB = T2+FP6(194)
1100 PEXXU=XL1+PUG+().0+XL1+UGF)
     PEXXV=XL7+VGF+HVG
     PEXXW=XL7+PWG+(+GF+DWGF)
     PETTUEXJ2*UBF*PUB
     PETTV = XJ*PVH*(1.0 + XJ*VBF)
     PETTH = XJ2+PWB+(MBF + DMEF)
     PEXTUEXJ+(FUB+(1.0+XL1+UGF)+XL1+UBF+PUG)
     PEXTV = XL1+(PVG+(1.) + XJ+V8F) + XJ+V6F+PV8)
     PEXTW = XJ3+(PWU+(NGF+DWRF) + PNG+(WBF+UWBF))
     PKXXVIEXL7#PHGG
     PKTTH=XJ2+PwAB
     PKXTH=XJ5+PHGH
     AC = XJ+VBF + XL1+UGF
     PRXXU = XL1+XL7+NGGF+PUG
     PKXXV = XJ+XL7++66F+PVB
     PKXXM = PKXXW + XLF+PWGG+AC
                                                  Reproduced From
     PKTTU = XJ2+XL1+WD8F+PUG
                                                 Best Available Copy
     PKTTV = PVP + XJ + XJ2 + VHBF
     PATTN = PKTTN + PHBB+XJ2+AC
     PKXTU = xJ4+xL7++68F#PHG
     PKXTV = JU3+XJ2+G6HF+PVP
     PKXTH = PKXTH + XJS+PWGR#AC
     IF (NSHFAR.E4.0) GO TO 1180
     X1 = XSX7(K)
     x_2 = \lambda STZ(K)
     PEXXV = PEXXI = XL1+X1+PHG
     PETTV = PETTV - CURAX2*PV
```
```
PETTW = RETTW - XJ*X2*PWB structures and only gradient water and
                                                                                                                                                            = \left( \left( 4 \left( x + 1 \right) \right)^{-1} + \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1
                PEXTV = PEXTV - CUR*X1*PV
                PEXTN FEPEXTH - XL1+X2+PWG - XJ+X1+PHB
1180 SI = 0.29
                 S2=0.002
                 1F (NPET.EQ.0) GU TU 1200
                PETTV=PETTV+PV*(VF+XJ*WBF) - XJ*WF*PVB
                PETTW=PETTW - PW*(1.0+XJ*V8F+WF) + XJ*VF*PWB
                PEXTV=PEXTV + XL1*(WGF*PV=NF*PVG)
                PEXTW=PEXTW + XL1×(VF*PWG=VGF*PW)
                PKTTU = YL1 * PUG + PKTTU
                PKTTV=XJ4+PV6 + PKTTV
                PKTTW=PKTTW - PW
                PKXTV=XL1*PVG + PKXTV
                PKXXW = PKXXW - XL7*(PWGG*WF + PW*#GGF)
                PKTTV = PKTTV + XJ*PVB*(4.*XJ*VBF - 3.*WF) +
             1 = PV \star (3_* \times J \star \psi \theta F + 2_* \vee F)
                PKTTW = PKTTW + XJ2*PWBA*(-WF + XL1*UGF) + PW*(2*WF -
                       3.*XJ*VHF - XJ2*WBAF) + PWB*XJ*(4.*XJ*WBF + 3.*VF)
                PKXTV = PKXTV + X1.3*PV+WGF
                PKXTW = PKXTW - XJ5+(PWGB+WF + PH+MGBF - PWB+MGF) +
             1 PWG \times X \cup 3 \times (X \cup X \cup W \cup F)
                S1 = DWD(K) + WF
                 S2 = VF
1200 PU = XLP2*PPP*PU*(WGF + DHGF)
                 PV = XLP1*PPP*PV*(XJ*(WBF + DWBF) + S2)
                PW = X \perp P1 + PPP + Pn + (S1 - X \perp 1 + UGF - X \perp 1 + VBF - 1.0)
                FUX = 0.
                FVX = 0
                FWX = 0.
                 SIG=1.0
                 IF (NDERV_EQ.1) GU TO 1280
                 IF (KSTTF.EQ.0) GU TO 1270
                 STIFFENFRS.
                 K1 = KSGX(J)
                 K_2 = KSBX(1)
                 IF (K1.F0.0) GU TU 1230
                                                                                                                                                        Reproduced From
                 SIG=SIDEG(K1)
                                                                                                                                                     Best Available Copy
                 IJ = (K1-1) \star MHAR + 1
                 KSUM = KSUMB(IJ)
                 NS = NSEGG(K1)
                 IF (KSUM.LT.WS) GU TO 1210
                 ELASTIC - GAMMA.
                 G1 = C11G(K1) * X1A(K) + F11G(K1) * X4A(K)
                 G4 = 511G(K1) * A4A(K) + F11G(K1) * X1A(K)
                 G5=033G(K1) *X6A(K)
                 FUX=(PEXxU+G1++KXXU+G4+G5+PKXTII)+CA1+FUX
                 FVX=(PEXXV+G1+PKXXV+G4+G5+PKXTV)+CA1+FVX
                 FWX=(PEXx ++G1+PKXX++G4+G5+PKXT+)+CA1+FWX
                 GO TO 1230
                  INELASTIC - GAMMA.
 1210 FS1 = 0.
                 FS2 = 0.
                 FS3 = 0.
                 1.0 = nSmax * (1J=1)
```

```
DO 1220 KK=1,NS
                             化合物化合物化合物 医无关的 医白色素 化乙烯酸 化乙烯酸盐
     L = LO + KK
      G1 = AASG(KK,K1) * SX(L)
      Z1 = ZFR(KK_K1)
     FS1 = G1*(PEXXU + Z1*PKXXU) + FS1
     FS2 = G1+(PEXXV + Z1+PKXXV) + FS2
1220 FS3 = G1*(PEXX# + Z1*PKXX#) + FS3
     G2=CA1*D33G(K1)*X6A(K)
     FUX=FS1*CA2(K1)+G2*PKXTU+FUX
     FVX=FS2*CA2(K1)+G2*PKXTV+FVX
                                              Reproduced From
     FWX=FS3+CA2(K1)+G2+PKXTW+FWX
                                              Best Available Copy
1230 IF (K2.FQ.0) GO TO 1270
     SIG=SIG*SIDEB(K2)
     IJ = NSGMB + (K2-1) + NBAR + J
     KSUM = KSUMB(IJ)
     NS = NSEGR(K2)
     IF (KSUM_LT_NS) GO TO 1240
     ELASTIC - BETA.
     G2=C22B(J.K2)*X2A(K)+F22B(J.K2)*X5A(K)
     G2=D228(J.K2)*X5A(K)+F228(J.K2)*X2A(K)
     G6=033B(J_K2) * A6A(K)
     FUX=(PETTU*G2+PKITU*G5+PKXTU*G6)*CA1+FUX
     FVX=(PETTV*G2+PKITV*G5+PKXTV*G6)*CA1+FVX
     FWX=(PETTW+G2+PKTTW+G5+PKXTW+G6)+CA1+FWX
     GO TO 1270
     INELASTIC - BETA.
1240 FS1 = 0
     FS2 = 0.
     FS3 = 0.
     KKK=NSG+(K2-1)*HBAR+J
     LO = hSMAX \star (IJ-1)
     00 1250 KK=1.NS
     L = LO + KK
     G1=AASB(KK, J, K2) * SX(L)
     71=2FB(KK,KKK)
     FS1 = G1 \star (PETTU + Z1 \star PKTTU) + FS1
     FS2 = G1*(PETTV + Z1*PKTTV) + FS2
1250 FS3 = G1*(PETTH + Z1*PKTTH) + FS3
     G2=CA1+D33B(J,K2)+X6A(K)
     FUX=FS1*CA3(K2)+G2*PKXTU+FUX
     FVX=FS2*CA3(K2)+G2*PKXTV+FVX
     FWX=FS3+CA3(N2)+G2+PKXTW+FWX
1270 JI = LPAR \star (N-1)
     KSUM = KSUMA(K)
     IF (KSUM_LT_LBAR) GO TO 1300
     IF(KTYPE_EQ.3) GU TO 1300
1240 G1 = S1A(K)
     G2 = S2A(x)
     G3 = S3A(K)
     G4 = S4A(h)
     G5 = S5A(k)
     G6 = S64(\pi)
     F1 = PEXXURG1 + PETTURG2 + PEXTURG3
     FR = PKXX11×G4 + PKTT1+G5 + PKXT1+G6
```

```
F3 = PEXXV*G1 + PETTV*G2 + PEXTV*G3
     F4 = PKXXV*G4 + PKTTV*G5 + PKXTV*G6
     F5 = PEXXW*G1 + PETTW*G2 + PEXTW*G3
     F6 = PKXXW+G4 + PKITW+G5 + PKXTW+G6
     FU = CN10+F1 + CN11+F2
     FV = CN10 \star F3 + CN11 \star F4
     FW = CN10*F5 + CN11*F6
     GO TO 1500
1300 TOTUM=0.0
     TOTVM=0_0
     TOTWM = 0_0
                                              Reproduced From
     TOTUB = 0.0
                                             Best Available Copy
     TOTVB = 0.0
     TOTWB = 0_0
     DO 1400 KK=1,LBAR
     L = JI + KK
     S1 = HGO(KK)
     S2 = GX(KK) \pm S1
     G1 = SXX(L)
     G2 = STT(L)
     G3 = SXT(L)
     TOTUM = TUTUM + SI*(PEXXU*G1 + PETTU*G2 + PEXTU*G3)
     TOTUB = TOTUB + S2*(PKXXU*G1 + PKTTH*G2 + PKXTU*G3)
     TOTVM = TOTVM + S1*(PEXXV*G1 + PETTV*G2 + PEX(V*G3)
     TOTVB = TOTVB + S2*(PKXXV*G1 + PKTTV*G2 + PKXTV*G3)
     TOTWM = TOTWM + 51*(PEXXW*G1 + PETTA*G2 + PEXTW*G3)
1400 TOTWB=TOTWB+S2*(PKXXN*G1 +PKTTN*G2 +PKXTN*G3)
     FU = CN8*TOTUM + CN9*TOTUB*SIG
     FV = CN8*TUTVM + CN9*TOTVB*SIG
     FW = CN8+TUTMM + CN9+TOTW8+SIG
1500 \text{ SU} = (FU + PU + FUX) * PRLN + SU
     SV = (FV + PV + FVX) * PRIN + SV
     SW = (FW + PW + FAXI*PREN + SW
1690 CONTIMUE
     SURS = SURS + PREMASU
     SVRS = SVRS + PREHASV
     SWRS = SHRS + PRL 4+SH
1700 CONTINUE
     ELASTIC SPRINGS.
     IF (NSPR_E0.0) GU TO 1740
     00, 1730, 112 = 1, \text{wSPR}
     L1 = NSPR(L12)
     L2 = \Im SPH(L12)
     K = (L1 - 1) + N dT + L2
     BIGKL = BIGK(L12) * KLP3
     IF (IUIH(L12) - 2) 1710,1720,1725
1710 SURS = BIGKLAU(0) + FUI(MMO+L1) + FU3(NMO+L2) + SURS
     GO TO 1730
1720 SVRS = HIGKL+V(N)+FV1(MM0+L1)+FV3(NH0+L2) + SVRS
     60 TO 1739
1/25 SWRS = RIGKL * n(n) * FPI(MM0 + L1) * FP5(000 + L2) + S * RS
1730 CONTINUE
     TORSTODAL SPRINGS.
1740 IF (MTSC.EW.W) BU TO 1750
```

```
Reproduced From
     DO 1746 I=1.NTSC
      J = ISLOC(I)
                                                Best Available Copy
      II = KTSG8(I)
     GO TO (1742,1743,1744,1745), J
1742 K = II
     SWRS = SWRS+XLP4*BIGC(I)*WG(K)*FP2(MMO+1)*FP5(NNO+II)*HK(II)
     GO TO 1746
1743 K = (NGT-1) \times NBT + II
     SWRS = SWRS+XLP4*BIGC(I)*WG(K)*FP2(MMU+NGT)*FP5(NM0+II)*HK(II)
     GO TO 1746
1744 \text{ K} = (TI-1) \times \text{MBT} + 1
     SWRS = SWRS+XLP5*BIGC(T)*WB(K)*FP1(HMO+II)*FP6(NNO+1)*HJ(II)
     GO TO 1746
1745 K = II*NHT
     SWRS = SWRS+XLP5*BIGC(I)*WB(K)*FP1(MM0+II)*FP6(MM0+NHT)*HJ(II)
1746 CUNTINUE
1750 IF (NIPF.E0.0) GU TU 1780
     00 1770 I=1.4
     IF (NFI(I)_EQ.0) GO TO 1770
     IN-PLANE EXTERNAL FORCES.
     CALL INFORC (FIP(1,1),TIP(1,1),JLIP(1),NFI(1),TIME,F)
     IF (I-2) 1752,1754,1760
1752 F = F \times XLP6 \times Fu1(MM0+1)
     GO TU 1756
1754 F = -F \times X LP6 \times FU1(MHO+NGT)
1756 DO 1758 J=1,NBT
1758 SURS = SHRS - F \star SFIP(J,I) \star FU3(MNO+J)
     GO TO 1770
1760 IF (I.EQ.4) GU TU 1762
     F = F \star X L P 6 \star F V 3 ( M U + 1 )
     GO TO 1764
1764 DO 1766 J=1,8GF
1766 SVRS = SVRS - F \star SFIP(J, I) \star FV1(MH0+J)
1770 CONTINUE
1780 IF (ABS(SWRS).GT.1.0E20) GU TU 2150
     IF (KCOUP_GT_0) GU TO 1790
     YY(IZ) = SURS*PRLU(IZ)
     YT(TZ+MGMB) = SVRS*PRLV(IZ)
     YY(IZ+HGNRZ) = SHRS+PREN(IZ)
     GO TO 1800
1790 \text{ RHS}(IZ) = SURS
     RHS(IZ+MGMB) = SVRS
     KHS(IZ+MGMB2) = SNRS
1800 CONTINUE
     IE (KCONP_E0.0) 60 10 5500
     00 1900 M=1, MGHH
     01 = 0.
     05 = 0^{-1}
     03 = 0.
     DO 1850 4=1. MG 48
     D1 = RHS(N) * 40(N, M) + D1
     92 = 8HS(3+MGMG) * \lambda V(3,M) + 92
1850 D3 = EHS(N+MGMH2) * AW(N,M) + 03
     YY(M) = 01
```

```
YY(M+MGMB) = D2
                                              Reproduced From
 1900 YY(M+MGMB2) = U3
                                             Best Available Copy
      GO TO 2200
 2150 \text{ KERR} = 1
      WRITE (6,2151)
 2151 FORMAT (30HOSOLUTION DIVERGING IN DEPROP )
 2200 RETURN
      END
#DECK DSET1
      SUBROUTINE DSETL
*CALL CBLK1
*CALL CBLKS
#CALL CBLK3
*CALL CBLK4
*CALL CBLK5
*CALL CHLK7
*CALL CBLK9
*CALL CBLK10
*CALL CBLK11
*CALL COLK13
*CALL CBLK15
*CALL CNOVA
*CALL CBLANK
*CALL CBLK18
   INPUT DATA
      READ(5,7000) MG, NB, MHAR, NEAR, LBAR
      READ (5,7000) ("GH(I),I=1,MG)
      READ (5,7(00) (WUN(I), I=1, MB)
      READ (5.7000) USYMG. NSYMB, NBUV
      IF (NEUV_E0.0) ABUV = 11
      READ (5,7090) UPL [, NHND, NDERV, NSG, NSB, KCOUP
      READ(5,70G0) NOUL
      IF (NMOUT_E0.0) GU TO 70
      00 50 I=1, whole I
 50
      READ(5,7000) MUUT(1), NOUT(1)
   70 READ (5,7000) ONP
      IF (MKP.F0.0) GU TU 90
      00 80 I=1.NKP
   80 READ(5,7000) KPG(1), KPB(1)
   90 IF (KDAM. FR. 1. AND. XTYPE. EQ. 1) MUERV=2
      IF (KDAP.EG.1.ADD.KTYPE.EG.3) NDERV = 2
      IF (NDERV_ER.1) LBAR = 1
      REAU(5,7000) NL, NSHEAR, NBUCK
      IF ((kTYPE+1)/2.kE_2) NSHEAR = 0
      LRBAR = 1
      IF (KTYPE_LT.5) 4L = 3
      IF (KTYPF_LT_3) := 1
      READ(5,7100) XLP, THETAO, A
      IF (NPL) .FQ.0) A=1.0
      JF (ROEPV.E0.1) 60 TO 150
      00 100 I=1, EL
```

```
100
     READ(5,7100) HM(I),RHOM(I),EM(I)
                                                    Reproduced From
     READ (5,7100) TNU, SIGO, EP, EPSIF
                                                   Best Available Copy
     GG TO 190
 150 DO 160 I=1, NL
     READ (5,7100) HM(1),RHOM(1)
     READ (5,7100) EX(I), ET(I), XXNU(I), THNU(I), GXT(I)
 160 READ (5,7100) SAT(I), SAC(I)
     IF (KTYPF.NE.3.AND.KTYPE.NE.4) GO TO 190
     IF (KCAM_NE_0) GU TO 190
     READ (5,7100) EC,GC,DC
 190 READ (5,7000) NSPR, NTSC, (MFI(I), I=1,4)
     IF (NSPR_EG.0) GU TO 205
     00 200 I=1,NSPR
 200 READ (5.7110) IDIR(I),NSPG(I),NSPB(I),BIGK(I)
 205 IF (NTSC_E(.0) GU TO 211
     00 210 J=1,NTSC
210 READ (5,7120) ISLUC(I), KTSGB(I), BIGC(I)
211 \text{ NIPF} = 0
     DO 213 1=1,4
     NFIX = NFI(I)
     IF (NFIX_EG.0) G0 T0 213
     NIPF = NIPF + 1
     NFIXX = IABS(NFIX)
     READ (5,7100) (TIP(J.I), J=1, NFIXX)
     READ (5,7100) (FIP(J,I), J=1, MFIXX)
     NSF = NBAR
     IF (I.GT.2) NSF = MBAH
     NSFX = HSF
     IF (NFIX_LT_0) NSFX = 1
     READ (5,7100) (SFIP(J,I), J=1, MSFX)
     IF (NSFX_GT_1) G0 T0 213
     00 212 J=2,NSF
212 \text{ SFIP}(J,I) = \text{SFIP}(I,I)
213 CONTINUE
     KSTIF = IABS(NSG) + TABS(NSB)
     IF (KSTIF_ER_0) KEOUP = 0
     LSBAR = 1
     IF (NSHEAR_EG.U) GU TO 216
     READ (5,7100) C,66,68
     READ (5,7000) LRBAR, LSBAR, LOUT
     READ (5,7000) (LKG(I), I=1, LREAF)
     READ (5,7000) (LSB(I), I=1, LSEAP)
     IF (LCHT.EG.0) GU TU 216
     00 214 I=1.LUUI
214 READ (5,7000) LNUUT(I), LSUUT(I)
216
     BUCKS=0.0
     IF (NBUCK _GT. 0) READ(5,7100) BUCKS
     IF (KSTIF_EG.0) GU TO 400
     1F (NSG_EG_0) GU 10 260
     NSGY = IARS(NSG)
     READ (5,7000) (KSG(1), I=1, NSGY)
     NSGX=MSG
     IF (MSG_LT_0) RSGX = 1
     00 220 I=1, SGX
     READ(5,7100) SIDEG(I), ESTRG(I), GRAPG(I), RHOSTG(I),
    1
                   SIGUGT(I), SIGUGC(I)
```

```
Reproduced From
                                                 Best Available Copy
    ETSTRG(I) = 0.
    EPSG(I) = 0.
    IF (NDERV.E0.2) READ (5,7100) ETSTRG(I), EPSG(I)
    READ(5,7000) NSEGG(I),KSUPG(I)
    IF (SIDEG(I) _{E0.2.0} KSUPG(I) = 0
    NS = NSEGG(I)
    READ (5,7100) BIGJG(I), HOG(I), AWEBG(I)
    DO 220 L=1.NS
    READ (5,7100) HSTG(L,I), BSTG(L,I)
220 CONTINUE
    IF (NSG.GT.-2) G0 T0 260
    DO 250 I=2,NSGY
    SIDEG(I) = SIDEG(I)
    ESTRG(I)=ESTRG(1)
    GBARG(I) = GBARG(1)
    RHOSTG(I)=PHOSIG(1)
    SIGUGT(I) = SIGUGT(1)
    SIGOGC(I) = SIGOGC(I)
    ETSTRG(I) = ETSTRG(1)
    EPSG(1) = EPSG(1)
    NSEGG(T) = NSEGG(1)
    KSUPG(I) = KSUPG(I)
    NS=NSEGG(1)
    BIGJG(T) = BIGJG(1)
    HOG(I) = HOG(I)
    00 240 L=1.NS
    HSTG(L,I)="STG(L,1)
240 BSTG(L, T)=88TG(L, 1)
250 CONTINUE
260 IF (NSB.F0.0) GU TO 400
    NSBY = [ABS(NSS)
    READ (5,7000) (KSd(I), T=1, NSBY)
    NSAXENSA
    IF (NSR_LT_0) \rightarrow SBx = 1
    00 300 I=1,0SBX
    READ(5,7100) SIDEE(J), ESTRB(I), GRANB(I), RHOSTB(I),
                  SIGUBI(I), SIGOSC(I)
   1
    ETSTRB(I) = 0
    EPSB(I) = 0.
    IF (NDERV_E0.2) READ (5.7100) ETSTRB(I), EPSB(I)
    KEAD(5,7000) USEGN(I), KSUPB(I), NSTR(I)
    IF (SIDER(I).EV.2.0) KSUPB(I) = 0
    NS = NSEGR(I)
    NSTBX=USTR(I)
    00 300 K=1.0ST8X
    READ (5,7100) 01GJB(K,1),HOB(K,1),BETC(K,1),AWERB(K,1)
    DU 300 L=1,NS
    READ(5,7100) HOTB(L,K,I),85TB(L,K,I)
300 CUNTINUE
    IF (NSH.GT.-2) GU TO 400
    00 350 I=2, SBT
    SIDEB(1) = SIDEB(1)
    ESTRR(I) = ESTRR(I)
    GBARB(I) = GBARB(1)
    RHUSTR(T) = RHUSTR(1)
```

```
SIGOBT(1) = SIGUBT(1)
     SIGUBC(1) = SIGUBC(1)
     ETSTRB(I)=ETSTRB(1)
                           and the second second
                                         Reproduced From
     EPSB(I) = EPSB(1)
     NSEGB(I)=NSEGB(1)
                                          Best Available Copy
     KSUPB(I) = KSUPB(1)
    NSTB(I)=NSTB(1)
    NS=NSEG8(1)
    NSTBX = NSTB(1)
     00 330 K=1,NST6X
     BIGJB(K,I) = BIGJB(K,I)
     HOB(K, I) = HOB(K, I)
     BETC(K, I) = BETC(K, I)
     DO 330 L=1.NS
     HSTB(L,K,I) = HSTO(L,K,I)
330 RSTB(L,K,I)=8STB(L,K,1)
350 CONTINUE
400 READ (5,7100) ((FG(I,J),I=1,MB),J=1,MG)
     READ(5,7100) UELTIM, TSTOP, PRINT
     IF(INOUT.EG.0) GU TU 2100
 PRINT OUT THE INPUT
     WRITE(6.7170)
     WRITE (6,7200) MG, MB, MBAR, NBAR, LBAR
     wRITE (6.7210) (MGM(I),I=1,MG)
     WRITE (6,7220) (NBN(1),1=1,MB)
     WRITE(6,7225) NSYMG, NSYMB, NPLT, NBUV, NEWD, NDERV
     WRITE (6.7600) NSG,NSB,KCOUP
     WRITE (6,7150) NNUUT
     IF(NNOUT_GT_0) wRITE(6,7180) (MOUT(I),NOUT(I),I=1,NNOUT)
     WRITE (6,7185) OKP
     IF (NKP.GT.0) "RITE (6,7180) (KPG(I), KPB(I), I=1, NKP)
     WRITE(6,11600) NL, NSHEAR, NBUCK, XLP
     IF(NPLT_FQ_0) WRITE(6.7230) THETAD
     IF(NPLT_EQ.1) WRITE(6,7260) THETAO,A
     IF (NDERV_EQ.2) G0 TO 1180
     00 1160 I=1.NL
1160 WRITE (6,11700) I.HM(I),RHOM(T),EX(I),ET(I),XXNU(I),THNU(I),
     GXT(I), SAT(I), SAC(I)
     IF (KTYPE_NE.3.AND_KTYPE.NE.4) G0 T0 1190
     IF (KDAM_NE_0) 50 TO 1190
     WRITE (6,11900) EC,6C,0C
     60 TU 1190
1100 WRITE (6,7280) (HH(I),RHUM(I),EM(I),I=1,NL)
     WRITE (6,7300) THU, SIGO, EP, EPSIF
1190 WRITE (5,12000) WSPR
     IF (NSPR_EU.0) GG TO 1210
     WRITE (6,12200)
     00 1200 I=1, NSPK
1200 WRITE (6,12100) IDIR(I),NSPG(I),NSPP(I),BIGK(I)
1510 WKILE (M'15300) MLSC
     *RITE (6,12600) (PFI(I),I=1,4)
     IF (NTSC.EQ.0) GU TO 1225
     WRITE (6,12400)
     00 1220 [=1, 0FSC
1220 WRITE (6,12500) ISLUC(I), KTSGR(I), BIGC(I)
```

```
Reproduced From
1225 IF (NIPF.ER.0) GU TO 1240
                                               Best Available Copy
     DO 1230 I=1,4
     NFIX = IABS(NFI(I))
     WRITE (6,12700) I, (TIP(J,I), J=1, NFIX)
     wRITE (6,12800) (FIP(J,I),J=1,NFIX)
     NSFX = NBAR
     IF (I.GT.2) NSFX = MBAR
     IF (NFI(I), LT_{0}) NSFX = 1
     wRITE (6,12900) (SFIP(J,1),J=1,NSFX)
     NFI(I) = NFIX
     IF (NFIX.GT.1) GU TO 1230
     T[P(2,I) = 1.E6
     FIP(2,I) = FIP(1,1)
1230 CONTINUE
1240 IF (NSHEAR_E0.0) GO TO 1245
     WRITE (6,13000) C,GG,GB
     WRITE (6,13100) LRBAR, LSBAR, LOUT
     WRITE (6,13200) (LRG(I),I=1,LRBAR)
     WRITE (6,13300) (LSB(I), I=1, LSBAR)
     IF (LOUT.GT.O) WRITE (6,13400) (LROUT(I).LSOUT(I).1=1.LOUT)
1245 IF(NHUCK _GT. 0) ARITE(6,13500) BUCKS
     IF (NSG .ER. 0) GU TU 1300
     wRITE (6,7700) (KSG(I), I=1, NSGY)
     00 1250 I=1, NSGX
     WRITE(6,7800) SIDEG([),ESTRG(]),GBARG(]),RHOSTG(]),SIGUGT(]),
    1 = S(GOGC(I))
     IF (NDERV.EQ.2) WRITE (6,7830) ETSTRG(I), EPSG(I)
     WRITE (6,7850) WSEGG(I),KSUPG(I),BIGJG(I),HOG(1),AWEBG(I)
     NS = NSEGG(I)
     DO 1250 L=1,NS
     WRITE (6,7900) HSTG(L,I),8STG(L,I)
1250 CONTINUE
1300 IF (NSR.E0.0) GU TU 1400
     WRITE (6,8000) (KSH(I),I=1,NSBY)
     DO 1350 I=1, NSHX
     WRITE(6,8050) SIDER(I),ESTRB(I),GBARR(I),RHOSTR(1),SIGDRT(I),
    1 SIGOBC(I)
     IF (NDERV_EN_2) WRITE (6,8070) ETSTRB(I), EPSB(I)
     wRITE (6,8090) NSEGB(I),KSUPB(I),NSTE(1)
     NS = NSFGB(1)
     NSTBX=NSTP(I)
     DO 1350 K=1, NSTBX
     WRITE (6,8300) K,BIGJB(X,I),HUB(K,I),HETC(K,I),AWEBR(K,I)
     00 1350 L=1, NS
     wRITE(6,7900) HSTB(L,K,I),BSTB(L,K,I)
1350 CONTINUE
1400 WRITE (6,7400) ((FG(I,J),I=1,MB),J=1,MG)
     WRITE(6,8200) DELTIM, TSTOP, PRINT
0=1 0015
     MGMB=0
     00 2150 M=1,0G
     MM = MGM(P)
     DU 2150 N=1, MB
     NN = NEN(N)
     MUSE(N,M)=1
```

**Reproduced From Best Available Copy** IF(I.EQ.NNOUT) GO TO 2130 · .· , TUUNN 110 J=1, NNOUT IF (MM.EQ.MOUT(J) AND NN.EQ.NOUT(J)) GO TO 2120 2110 CONTINUE GO TU 2130 2120 MUSE(N,M)=0 I=I+1 GO TU 2150 2130 MGMB=MGMB+1 2150 CONTINUE IF (NSHEAR.EQ.0) GO TO 2500 I = 0DO 2400 M=1,LRBAR MM = LRG(M)DO 2400 N=1,LSBAR NN = LSB(N)LUSE(N,M) = 1IF (I.EQ.LOUT) GO TO 2400 DO 2200 J=1.LOUT IF (MM.EQ.LROUT(J).AND.NN.EQ.LSOUT(J)) GO TU 2300 2200 CONTINUE GO TO 2400 2300 LUSE(N,M) = 0I = I + 12400 CONTINUE 2500 CONTINUE MGM82=2*MGM8 XJ=180_0/THETAU IF(NPLT_EQ_0) XJ=PI/THETAO NBND1 = NBND/10 NBND2 = NBAD - 10*NBND1 NBUVI = NBUV/10 NBUVS = NBUV - 10 * NBUV1RETURN 7000 FURMAT(6112) 7100 FORMAT(6F12.1) 7110 FORMAT (3112, F12.1) 7120 FORMAT (2112, F12.1) 7150 FORMAT (9HONHOUT = I3) 7179 FURMAT(106H1INPUT DATA FOR DEPROP (MODIFIED TO INCLUDE EXTRA B.C.. 1 ELASTIC AND TURSIONAL SPRINGS AND IN-PLANE FURCES)) 7180 FORMAT (214) 7185 FORMAT (7HOWKP = 13) 7200 FORMAT( 10H0MG = I2/10H MB **=** I 12/10H MHAR = I2/10H NBAR = I2/10H LBAR= 12) 7210 FORMAT (10 HOMGM = (1015))7220 FORMAT (10 HONBW = (1015))7225 FURMAT (10H0HSYMG = 12/10H NSYMB = 12/10H0NPLT = 12/ 2 10H NBUV = I2/ 3 10H NBND = 121 10H NDERV = I2)7230 FORMAT(17H THETAD. IN = E16.8) 7260 FORMAT(17H THETAG, DEG = E16.8/17H A, IN = E16.8)1 7HEM, PSI/(3617.8)) 7300 FORMAT(17H0TU) = E16.8/17H SIGU, PSI = E16.8/17H EP,

## Reproduced From Best Available Copy

```
1 PSI = E16.8/17H EPSIF, IN/IN = E16.8)
7400 \text{ FORMAT(6H0FG} = /(SE14.6))
7600 FORMAT (10HONSG = 12/10H NSB = 12/10H KCOUP = 12)
7700 FORMAT (10HOKSG = (1015))
                                  = F4.1/
7800 FORMAT (23HOSLDEG
    2 23H ESTRG, LB/IN**2 = E15.6/23H GBARG, LB/IN**2 = E15.6/
    3 23H RHOSTG, LU/SEC2/IN4 = E15.6/23H SIGOGT, LB/IN**2 = E15.6/
    4 23H SIGOGC, L6/1N**2 = E15.6)
7830 FORMAT (23HOETSTRG, L8/IN**2 = E15.6/23H EPSG, IN/IN
                                                                   2
    1815.6)
7850 FORMAT (10H NSEGG = 13/10H KSUPG = 13/
   1 23H BIGJG, IN**4, = E15.6/22H HOG, IN
2 22HANEBG, IN**2 = E16.6/
                                                            =E16.6/
    2 15X,9H HSTG, IN,21X,8HBSTG, IN)
7900 FORMAT (2(12X,E15.6))
8900 FORMAT (10HOKSB = (1015))
                                  = F4.1/
8050 FORMAT (23HOSIDEB
   ) FORMAT (23HOSIDEB = F4.17
2 23H ESTRB, LU/1N**2 = E15.6/23H GBARB, LB/IN**2 = E15.67
    3
      23H RHOSTR, LB/SEC2/IN4 = E15.6/23H SIGOBT, LB/IN**2
                                                            = E15.6/
    4
      23H SIGORC, L8/IN**2 = E15.6)
8070 FORMA1 (23HOETSTRB, LB/IN**2 = E15.6/23H EPSB, IN/IN
                                                                   =
   1E15.6)
3090 FORMAT (10H NSEGB = 13/10H KSUPR = 13/10H NSTB = 13)
8200 FURMAT(15H0DELTIM, SEC = E16_8/15H TSTOP, SEC = E16_8/15H PRINT
   1 = E16.8
8300 FORMAT(9HOSTATION 12/5X,15HBIGJB, IN**4 = E15.6/
   1 5X,14HH08, IN = E15.6/5X,14H8ETC, IN = E15.6/
   2 5X,14H AWEBB, 10**2 E15.6/
   2 15X,9H HSTB, IN,21X,8HBSTB, IN)
 1600 FORMAT (10HOWL = 12/10HOWSHEAR = 12/
   1 10HONBUCK = I2/17H0xLP, IN = E16.8)
 1700 FORMAT (GHOLAYERI3/27H HM, IN
                                                    = E16.8/
      27H RHGN, LB-SEC**2/IN**4 = E16.8/
   1
   2 27H EX, PSI
                                = E16.8/
   3 27H ET, PS1
                                 = E16.8/
   4 27H XXNU
5 27H THNU
                                 = E16.8/
                                = E16.8/
   6 27H GXT, PS1
                                = E16.8/
   7 27H SAT, PS1
                                = E16.8/
   8 27H SAC, PSI
                                = E16.8)
 1900 FORMAT (11HOEC, PSI = E16_8/11H GC, PSI = E16_8/
   1. 11H DC, IN = E15.8)
 2000 FORMAT (8HONSPR = 13)
2100 FURMAT (5X, 310, E15.6)
2200 FORMAT (37H IDIR NSPG NSPB K (LB/IN))
2300 \text{ FURMAT} (8HONTSC = (3))
2400 FORMAT (11X,4HCUDE,2X,8HLOCATION,3X,13HC (IN-LR/HAD))
2500 FORMAT (5%,218,615.6)
2600 FORMAT (BHONF1 = 414)
2700 FORMAT (9HOBOURDARY 13/12H FIMES = 5E13.4/(11x,5E13.4))
2800 FORMAT (12H FORCES = 5E13.4/(11x.5F13.4))
2900 FORMAT (19H SCALE FACTORS = 5E13.4/(18X, 5E13.4))
 3000 FORMAT (8HOC = E15.6/8H GG = E15.6/8H GB = E15.6)
 3100 FURMAT (10H0LMBAR = 12/10H0LSBAR = 12/10H0L0UT = 12)
 3200 FORMAT (8HOLRG = (1015))
 3300 FORMAT (AH LSH = (1015))
```

```
3400 FORMAT (16HOLRUUT, LSOUT = /(5x,215))
  3500 FURMAT(10HOBUCKS = E15.6)
      END
      H = HM(NL)
      F3=RH0/0H3
                                              Reproduced From
      F1=DM22*A**3/F3
                                             Best Available Copy
      F2=F/F3
      F4 = F3/(A + CM11)
      F5 = DM11+A++3/F3
      F3=F3/(A*CM22)
      DT = DELTIM
      CALL DISTEP (F1,F2,F3,F4,F5)
      IF (DT.GT.0.0) UELTIM = DT
      NELP = 1
      NZP = 2
      IF (KTYPE.EQ.5) GU TO 2730
      NLZ(1) = 1
      ZC(1) = -HBAR
      NLZ(2) = 1
      ZC(2) = ZC(1) + H
      IF (KTYPE.LT.3) GO TO 2745
      NLZ(2) = 3
      ZC(1) = ZC(1) + .5 \star HM(1)
      ZC(2) = ZC(2) - .5*(HM(3) - HM(2))
      GO TO 2745
 2730 HT = -HBAR
      HS = HM(1)
      00 2740 I=1,NL
      NLZ(2*I - 1) = 1
      NLZ(2 \pm I) = I
      I = (1 - 1) = HI
      IF (I_GT_1) HS = HM(I) - HM(I-1)
      HT = HT + HS
2740 \text{ ZC}(2*1) = HT
      NZP = 2×NL
 2745 IF (KDAM.E9.2) GO TO 2800
      00 2747 T=1,NL
      TCRIT(I) = SAT(I)
2747 CCRIT(T) = SAC(I)
2750 CONTINUE
2800 RETURN
 3000 \text{ KERR} = 1
      RETURN
      END
#DECK DSET3
      SUBROUTINE DSET3
*CALL CBLK1
*CALL CBLK2
*CALL CBLK3
*CALL CBLK4
*CALL CBEN5
*CALL CBLK7
*CALL CBLK9
*CALL CHLK10
*CALL CELK11
```

.

```
*CALL CBEK13
                                     Reproduced From
*CALL CBLX15
                                    Best Available Copy
*CALL CNOVA
*CALL CBLANK
*CALL CBLK18
  PRINTOUT DESCRIPTION OF DEPRUP DATA
2800 WRITE(6,9300)
     IF (NPLT_E0.0) WRITE (6,9400)
     IF (NPLT_EQ.1) WRITE (6.9500)
     GO TO (2820,2840,2860,2880,2900), KTYPE
2820 WRITE (6,9600)
     GO TO 2950
2840 WRITE (6,9700)
     GO TO 2950
2860 WRITE (6,9800)
     GO TU 2950
2880 WRITE (6,9820)
     GO TO 2950
2900 WRITE (6,9840)
2950 ARITE (6.13500)
     IF (NBUV1_E0.1) WRITE (6,13600)
     IF (NBUV1_ER.3) WRITE (6,13700)
     IF (NBUV1_EQ.5) WRITE (6,13800)
     WRITE (6,13900)
     IF (NEUV2_E0.1) WRITE (6,13600)
     IF (NBUV2.EN.3) WRITE (6.13700)
     IF (DBUV2.E0.5) WRITE (6,13800)
     WRITE (6,14000)
     IF (NBND1.E0.1) WRITE (6,9900)
     IF (NBND1.EG.2) WRITE (6,9920)
     IF (WBND1.E0.3) WRITE (6,9930)
     IF (NHND1.EQ.4) WRITE (6,9940)
     IF (NBND1.EQ.5) WRITE (6,9950)
     IF(NBN01.GT.5) WRITE(6,9955)
     IF (NBND2.E0.1) WRITE (6,9960)
     IF (NBND2.ED.2) WRITE (6,998n)
     IF (NPND2.E9.3) WRITE (6,9990)
     IF (NBND2_E0.4) WRITE (6.10000)
     IF (UBND2.E0.5) WRITE (6,10050)
     IF(NBND2.GT.5) #RITE(6,10055)
     IF (NDERV.E0.1) WHITE (6,10100)
     IF (NDERV.EN.2) WRITE(6,10200)
     IF (KCOUP_EQ.1) WRITE (6,10250)
     WRITE(6,10800) MG, MB, MBAR, NBAR, LBAR
     WRITE (6,10820)
     00 2970 N=1,NG
     MM = MGM(M)
     DO 2970 N=1, MH
     MN = DRN(W)
     IF (MUSF(N,M).EU.0) GO TU 2970
     WRITE (6,10830) MM, WA
5010 CONTINUE
     IF (NSHFAR_EN_U_AND_(KTYPE+1)/2_ER_2) WRITE (6,14100)
     IF (MSHEAR.NF.0) HRITE (6,14200)
```

```
WRITE (6.10850) XLP
     IF (NPLT_EQ.0) WRITE(6,10900) THETAO
     IF (NPLT_EQ.1) WRITE(6,11000) THETAO,A
     IF (NDERV_E0_2) G0 T0 3500
     WRITE (6,12050) HBAR, (I, I=1, NL)
     WRITE (6,12100) (HM(I), I=1, NL)
     WRITE (6,12200) (KHOM(I),1=1,NL)
     WRITE (6,12300) (EX(I), I=1, NL)
     WRITE (6.12400) (ET(I), I=1, NL)
     WRITE (6,12500) (XXNU(I),I=1,NL)
     WRITE (6,12600) (THNU(I), I=1, NL)
     WRITE (6,12650) (GXT(I),I=1,NL)
     IF (KTYPE.NE.1.AND.KTYPE.NE.3) GO TU 3300
     WRITE (6,12900) (SAT(1),I=1,NL)
     WRITE (6,13000) (SAC(I), I=1, NL)
     GO TU 3400
3300 WRITE (6,12700) (SAT(1), T=1, HL)
     WRITE (6,12800) (SAC(I),I=1,NL)
3400 IF (KTYPE.NE.3.AND.KTYPE.NE.4) GU TO 3600
     IF (KDAM.NE.0) GU TU 3600
     WRITE (6,13100) EC,GC,DC
     GO TO 3600
3500 IF (KTYPE.E0.3) WRITE (6,13300) HEAR
     WRITE (6,11100) H, RHU, EL, THU, SIGD, EP, EPSIF
     WRITE (6,13400) NSPR,NTSC
3600 WRITE (6,11200) ((FG(N,M),N=1,MR),M=1,MG)
     WRITE(6,11300) DELTIM, TSTOP, PRINT
     IF (NDERV_EQ.1) GU TO 4020
     DO 4000 K=1,LBAR
     ZH(K)=GX(K)+H+0.5
     ZF(K)=ZH(K)/A
     ZG(K)=GX(Y) \star \star 2
4000 CONTINUE
     ZA(1) = ZB(1)/A
     ZA(2) = ZB(2)/A
     INZ(1) = 1
     INZ(2) = I.BAR
4020 \text{ NNSYMG} = 0
     NNSYMH = 0
     IF (NEND1_GT.3) WWSYMG = 1
     IF (NHND2.GT.3) NNSYMB = 1
     IF (NHND1.EQ.6) NWSYMG=0
     IF (NBND2.E0.6) NNSYMB=0
     IF (NBUV1.GT.3) NHSYMG = 1
     IF (NHUV2.GT.3) NUSYMB = 1
     IF (UNSYMG_EQ.1.AUU_NSYMG_EQ.0) ARITE (6,13200)
     IF (NNSYMB.EN.1.AND.NSYMB.EU.O) WRITE (6,13200)
     NGT = MBAR
     NBT = NEAR
     NG = MGT
     MB = MBT
     JF (NSYMG_E0.1) DG = (NGT+1)/2
     IF (NSYMB_E0.1) DB = (NBT+1)/2
     NY2 = 3+MGMB
     PIM = PI/FLOAT(2+(MBAR=1))
     PIN = PI/FLUAT(S*(NBAR-1))
```

\$

0

Reproduced From Best Available Copy

```
IF (NSYMG_EQ.1) PIM = 2.*PIM
     IF (NSYMB_EQ.1) PIN = 2.*PIN
     REA/H
     BUCK = -ABS(BUCKS)
     BUCKS=-ABS(BUCKS)/R
                                                 Reproduced From
     XL=XLP/(PI \star A)
                                                Best Available Copy
     XL1=1.0/XL
     XF5=XF++5
     XL3=2.0*XL1
     XL4=2.0*XL2
     XL5=XL4+R
     XL7=1.0/XL2
     CN8 = XL \pm 2
     IF (KTYPE_E0.3.AND.NDERV.E0.2) CNB = 2.0*CNB
     CN9 = CN8/(2.0*R)
     IF (NDERV_E0.1) GU TO 4040
     CN10 = 2.0 * CNB
     CN11 = CN9/(3.0*k)
     GO TO 4050
4040 CN10 = 2.*CN8*R
     CN11 = CH10
4050 \text{ XJ2} = \text{XJ} \pm 2
     XJ3=XJ*XL1
     XJ4=2.0+XJ
     XJ5=2.0*XJ3
     OPRT1=PRINT+DELTIM
     XLP1=XL5
     XLP2=2.0+XL+R
     IF (NSPR_E0.0) 60 TO 4075
     XLP3 = 2.6 \times XJ/(A \times PI \times H \times XLP)
     IF (11SYMG*11SYMB.E4.1) GO 10 4075
     ACCOUNT FUR SYMMETRY.
     xLP3 = 4.0 \times xLP3 / FLOAT((NSYMG+1) \times (NSYMB+1))
     DD 4060 L=1, MSPR
     I = NSPG(L)
     J = NSPR(L)
     IF(I.E0.MBAR) blGh(L) = 0.5*BIGK(L)*FLUAT(NSYMG+1)
     IF (J.EQ.MBAR) BIGK(L) = 0.5*BIGK(L)*FLOAT(NSYMB+1)
4060 CONTINUE
4075 IF (NIPF.EQ.0) GU TU 4155
     XLP6 = 2.0*(PI*XL)**2*R/(A*THETA0*XLP**3)
     IF (NFLT _E0. 1) XLP6 = XLP6*180.0/PI
     00 4152 [=1,4
     IF (NFI(1)_EN_0) 60 TO 4152
     IF (I.LT.3.AND.NSYMB_ED.0) SFIP(NBAR.T) = 0.5*SFIP(NBAR.T)
     IF (I.GT.2.AND.NSYMG_EQ.0) SFIP(MBAR,I) = 0.5*SFIP(MBAR,I)
     JLIP(1) = 2
4152 CONTINUE
4155 CONTINUE
      SIMPSON S RULE.
     MBAR AND NBAR MUST BE ODD NUMBERS FOR FULL PAMEL.
     00 4160 T=1, MHAR
     F = [+1
     GAM(I) = F \star PI^{M}
      xG(I) = GAM(I) * \lambda LP/PI
```

.

```
122
```

```
a 1. j. 1.
      HJ(I) = 2.0
      PIMA(I) = 2.0 \times PIM/(3.0 \times XLP \times 2)
                                                        Reproduced From
      IF (NSYMG.EQ.1) PIMA(I) = .5*PIMA(I)
                                                      Best Available Copy
      IF ((I+1)/2.E0.I/2) HJ(T) = 4.0
4160 CONTINUE
      HJ(1) = 1.0
      IF (NSYMG_EQ.1) HJ(MBAR) = 1.0
      IF (NSYMG_EQ.0) PIMA(MBAR) = PIMA(MBAR)/2.0
     00 4200 I=1,NBAR
     F = I - 1
     BETR(I) = F*PIN
     XB(I) = BETR(I) *THETA0/PI
     HK(I) = 5.0
     PINA(I) = 2_0 * PIN/3_0
     IF (NSYMB_E0.1) PINA(I) = .5*PINA(I)
     IF ((1+1)/2 \cdot E(1-1/2)) \to K(1) = 4.0
     GAMMA(I) = XB(I) - .5 * THETAO
     IF (NPLT_EQ.1) GAMMA(I) = GAMMA(I)*RFR*PI/180.
4200 CONTINUE
      IF (NPLT.EU.1) THETAD = THETAD*PI/180.
     NMASS = NBAR
     MMASS = MBAR
     HK(1) = 1.0
     IF (NSYMPLEG_1) HK(NBAR) = 1.0
     IF (NSYMB_EQ_G) PINA(NBAR) = PINA(NBAR)/2.0
     DU 4250 I=1, MBAP
4250 \text{ PIMA(I)} = \text{PIMA(I)} \star HJ(I)
     DO 4300 I=1, PBAR
4300 \text{ PINA(I)} = \text{PINA(I)} \star \text{HK}(I)
     DU 4400 I=1, MBAH
     DO 4400 J=1, NBAR
4400 \text{ NUSE}(J, I) = 2
     II = 0
     00 4430 T=1.HGT
     DO 4430 J=1.1.51
     IF (11.EQ. (KP) GU TO 4430
     00 4410 K=1, NKP
     IF (I.ER.KPG(K).AND.J.EG.FPB(K)) GO TO 4420
4410 CONTINUE
     GO TI) 4430
4420 \text{ NUSE}(J, I) = 3
     II = 1I + 1
4430 CONTINHE
     CALL STIFF(1)
     IF (NTSC.EG.0) GU TO 4700
     TORSTONAL SPRIDES.
     XLP4=FI**P/(3.***FLUAT(NB4R=1)*XLP**3)
     IF(NSYMB,ER.1) XLP4=2.0*XLP4
     XLP5=(PI+XJ+XL)*+2/(3.+H+FLDAT(MHAR=1)+A+THETA0+XLP++2)
     IF (NSYMG_EN_1) XLP5=2_0+XLP5
     TG1 = 0.
     162 = 0.
```

----

```
TB1 = 0.
                                               Reproduced From
     TBS = 0.
     NTSCX = 0
                                              Best Available Copy
     DO 4085 I=1,NTSC
     J = 1
     K = ISLOC(I)
     IF ((K+1)/2) 4080,4083,4085
4080 J = MHAR
     GO TO 4085
4083 J = NBAR
4085 NTSCX = NTSCX + J
     J = NTSCX + 1
     DO 4096 IT = 1.NTSC
     I = NTSC - II + 1
     K = [SLOC(I)]
     KK = 1
     IF (K_LT_0) KK = WBAR
     IF (K_LT_-2) KK = MBAR
     IF (K_GT_0) KTSGB(J=1) = KTSGB(I)
     IAK = IAHS(K)
     DU 4094 L=1,KK
     J = J - 1
     LL = KK - L + 1
     ISLOC(J) = IAN
     IF (K_LT_n) KTSGH(J) = LL
     BIGC(J) = BIGC(I)
     IF ((14K-1)/2) 4494,4090,4088
4088 IF (IAK_FG_3) TH1 = T81 + BIGC(J)
     IF (IAK.EQ.4) THE = THE + BIGC(J)
     IF (K) SG8(J), E4. MBAR) BIGC(J) = 0.5*BIGC(J)
     60 TO 4092
4090 IF (14K.EC.1) T61 = TG1 + BIGC(J)
     IF (IAK_FQ_2) TG2 = TG2 + HIGC(J)
     IF (KISGE(J) EU. HAR) BIGC(J) = 0.5+BIGC(J)
4092 IF (KISG6(J)_E4.1) BIGC(J) = 0.5+BIGC(J)
4094 CONTINUE
4096 CUNTINUE
     NTSC = NTSCX
     IF (NUERV_EG.1.0K.KTYPE.EP.3) GU TU 4100
     DG = EL + H + + 3/12.
     DB = PG
     GO TO 4115
4100 DG = PM11+A++3
     08 = 1.455+4**3
4110 IF (USG.E4.0) 60 TU 4120
     00 4115 1=1, NSG
4115 0G = 0G + A**3*011G(1)***(*SG(1))/(6.0*FLOAT(NB-1))
4120 IF (058.E0.0) 66 (0 4135
     00 4130 I=1. 8Se
     bBX = 0.
     PO 4125 J=1,48T
4152 \text{ OBX} = \text{OHX} + \text{OSSE}(3,1)
      UBX = DBX/FLOAT(PuT)
4130 DB = EB + DBX****5*HJ(KSH(J))/(6.0*FL0AT(NG=1))
4145 CONTINUE
      TG1 = TG1*XL+A/(DB*FLOAT(NHAP-1))
```

```
Reproduced From
      TG2 = TG2 \times XL \times A/(9G \times FLOAT(NBAR-1))
                                                   Best Available Copy
      TB1 = TB1 \pm A / (DB \pm A J \pm FLOAT(MBAR-1))
      TB2 = TB2 \star A / (UB \star A J \star FLOAT (MBAR-1))
      IF (NSYMG.EQ.0) TG2=TG1
      IF (NSYMB.EA.0) TB2=TB1
      WRITE(6,4600) TG1,TB1, TG2.TB2.DG,DB.XLP4,XLP5
4600 FORMAT(1x.8E15.6)
4700 CALL PLANE
     CALL BOLT
4800 K=0
     0A=1.0/A
     DO 5200 I=1, GT
     18H, 181, 181 0052 00
     IF(NUSE(J.I).E0.0) GU TO 5200
     K = K + 1
     0WO(K) = 0_0
     D#G(K)=0_0
     D = B(K) = 0.0
     IF (NSHEAF, EQ. 0) GU TO 5000
     XSXZ(K)=0
     XSTZ(K)=0.
     PESXZG(K)=0.
     PESTZR(K)=0.
     PESXZB(K)=0.
     PESTZG(K)=0
5000 CONTINUE
     DO 5100 M=1,MG
     MM = (M-1) + NGT + 1
     00 5100 N=1, MB
     IF (MUSE(N.M) .EU.0) GO TO 5100
     NN=(N-1)+NBT + J
     FGMN=FG(N.M)+UA
     DWD(K) = FGMN * FP1(MM) * FP5(NN) + DWO(K)
     DWG(K) = FGMW+FP2(MM)+FP5(MD) + DMG(K)
     DMB(K) = FGMN * FP1(MM) * FP6(NN) + DMB(K)
S100 CONTINUE
S200 CONTINUE
     NGNOT = K
     LMAX = LHANANGHUI
     NGNB = NG*NB
     CUR = MPLT
     IF (NSHEAR_EQ.0) GO TO 5300
     XLP8 = -4.0/(9.0*FLOAT(MBAP-1)*FLOAT(@BAR-1)*C)
     XLP7 = XLPH/GG
     XLP8 = XLP8/GP
     SET UP MODE SHAPES,
     K = 0
     DU 5230 T=1, LR6AK
     M = LFG(T)
     XM = N
     94844, 1=1, 9852 00
     K = K + 1
     X = GAM(J) \star XN
     CX = COS(x)
```

2

2

```
SNX = SIN(X)
     FXZ1(K) = XM*CX
     FXZ2(K) = -SNX + XM + +2
     FTZ1(K) = SNX
5230 FTZ2(K) = XM+CX
     K = 0
     00 5200 I=1.LSHAR
                                           Reproduced From
     N = L(B(I))
                                          Best Available Copy
     XN = I.
     DU 5260 3=1, NBAR
     K = K + 1
     X = BETR(J) * XN
     CX = COS(X)
     SNX = SIN(X)
     FX73(K) = SNX
     FXZ4(k) = XN \star CX
     FTZ3(k)=XN+CX
5260 FTZ4(K)= -SNX+XN++2
5300 CONTINUE
     RETURN
9300 FORMAT (1H1,20%,33H0 E P R 0 P (EXTENDED NOV., 1980)/
    1 15HOPANEL AWALYZED)
9400 FORMAT(7H
                 FLATI
9500 FURMAT (9H
                 CURVEDI
9600 FURMAT(22H
                  METAL, SINGLE LAYER)
                  PLASTIC. SINGLE LAYER)
9700 FÜRMAT(24H
9800 FURMAT (19H
                  METAL. HONEYCOMA)
9820 FURMAT (21H
                   PLASTIC, HONEYCOPB)
                   PLASTIC, MULTILAYER)
9840 FORMAT (22H
                   CLAMPED - CLAMPED, GAMMA DIRECTION)
9900 FURMAT (37H
                   SIMPLE - SITPLE, RAMMA DIRECTION)
4920 FORMAT (35H
                   FREE - FREE, GAMMA DIFECTION)
9950 FURMAT (31H
9940 FORMAT (36H
                   CLAMPED - SIMPLE, GAMMA DIRECTION)
                   CLAMPED - FREE, GAMMA DIRECTION)
4950 FURMAT (34H
                  TUR SPRINGS, GAMMA DIRECTION )
9955 FURMAT (31H
                  CLAMPED - CLAMPED, BETA DIRECTION)
9960 FURMAT (35H
9980 FORMAT (30H
                   SIMPLE - SIMPLE, BETA DIRECTION)
                   FREE - FREE, HETA DIHECTION)
4990 FORMAT (30H
                  CLAMPED - SIMPLE, BETA DIRECTION)
UDDO FORMAT (35H
                   CLAMPED - FPEF, RETA DIRECTION)
0050 FORMAT (335
                  TUR SPRINGS, BETA DIFECTION )
0055 FORMAT(30H
0100 FURMAT (26HORESPUNSE OPTION - FLASTIC)
0200 FORMAT(34HORESPONSE OPTION - ELASTIC-PLASTIC)
0250 FORMAT (26HOINERIIA COUPLING INCLUDED)
1810 FORMATTITHOSTRUCTURAL MODELY
                                                        = 137
    1 47H
            MUMBER OF GAMMA MODES (MG)
                                                        = 13/
            MINBER UP NETA MODES (NB)
    2 478
            NUMBER OF GAMMA INTEGRATION POINTS (MBAR) = 137
    3 47 80
            MUMBER OF BETA INTEGRATION POINTS (NBAR) = 13/
    a 47H
```

## Reproduced From Best Available Copy

5 47H NUMBER UF Z INTEGRATION POINTS (LBAR) = I3)0820 FORMAT (24H0MODAL COMBINATIONS USED) 0830 FORMAT (3x,214) 0850 FORMAT (35H0 LENGTH OF PANEL, IN (XLP) = E16.8) 0900 FORMAT(35H WIDTH OF PANEL. IN (THETAO)  $= E16_{8}$ 1000 FORMAT(35H SUBTENDED ANGLE, DEG (THETAO) = E16.8/ 2 35H RADIUS, IN (A) = E16.8)1100 FORMAT (35H THICKNESS, IN = E16.8/1 35H DENSITY, L8+SEC++2/18++4 = E16.8/FLASTIC MODULUS, PSI 2 35H = E16.8/3 35H POISSON(S RATIO = E16.8/4 35H YIELU STRESS, PSI = E16.8/5 3SH STRAIN MARUENING SLOPE, PSI = E16.8/ ULTIMATE STRAIN, IN/IN (EPSJF)= E16.8) 6 35H 1200 FORMAT(26H0IWITIAL IMPERFECTIONS, IN/(SE14.6)) 1300 FORMATCITHOTINE INFURMATION/ INTEGRATION STEP SIZE, SEC (DELTIM) 1 428 = E16.6/ 5 45H STOP TIME, SEC (TSTOP) = E16.8/ PRINT FREWMENCY (PRINT) 3 42H  $= E16_8$ 2050 FORMAL (40H0COORDINATE SUFFACE POSITION (HBAR), IN E15.87 1 13HOLAYER HUMBER, 22X, 4115/(31X, 4115)) 2100 FORMAT (27h CUMULATIVE THICKNESS, TH, 13X, 6E15.6) 8200 FORMAT (32H MASS DENSIT(, LB-SEC*+2/IN**4,8%,6E15.6) 2300 FORMAT (33H MUUULUS OF ELASTICITY - X, PSI,7X,6E15.6) 2400 FURMAT (anH MUDULUS OF ELASTICITY - THETA, PST 6615.6) 2500 FORMAT (22H PUISSUNIS RATIU - X, 18X, 6E15.6) 2600 FORMAT (26H PUISSUM (S RATIO - THETA, 14X, 6E15.6) 2700 FORMAT (31H TENSILE ULTIMATE STRESS, PSI, 9X, 6E15.6) 2800 FURMAT (35H CUMPRESSIVE ULTIMATE STRESS, PSJ, 5X, 6E15.6) 2900 FORMAT (28H TENSILE YIELD STRESS, PSI, 12X, 6E15.6) 3000 FORMAT (32H COMPRESSIVE YIELD STRESS, PSI,8X,6E15.6) 2650 FURMAT (21H SHEAR MODULUS, PSI, 19X, 6E15.6) 3100 FURMAT (67H0CORE ADDULUS OF ELASTICITY PARALLEL TO COME DEPTH LEC) 1, PSI = F15.572 63H SHEAR MUVULUS OF COPE (GC), PS1 =

## Reproduced From Best Available Copy

č.

2

3 E14.6/ 4 62H CORE CELL SIZE (DC). IN 5 E15.6) 3200 FORMAT (41H0** MAHNING ** INCONSISTENCY IN SYMMETRY) 3300 FORMAT (42H0 CUURDINATE SURFACE POSITION (HBAR), IN E16.8/) 3400 FORMAT (3AHONUMBER OF DISCRETE ELASTIC SPRINGS = 137 1 SCHONUMBER OF BUUNDARY POINTS WITH TORSIONAL SPRINGS = 13) 3500 FORMAT (37H0 IH-PLANE (U-V) EDGE CONSTRAINTS - ) 3600 FORMAT (21H CLAMPED - CLAMPED,) 3700 FORMAT (15H FREE - FREE,) 3800 FORMAT (18H CLAMPED - FREE.) 3900 FURMAT (1H+,20%,15HGAMMA DIRECTION) 4000 FORMAT (1H+, 204, 14HBETA DIRECTION/ 1 33HO ONT-OF-PLANE (A) CONSTRAINTS - ) 4100 FURMAT (36HOSHEAH DEFURMATION OF CORE NEGLECTED) 4200 FORMAT (35H0SHEAR DEFORMATION OF CORE INCLUDED) END *DECK SIGMA SUBROHTIME SIGMA (I.J.M) THIS SZR DETERMINES THE STRESS-STRAIN RELATIONSHIPS FOR ELASTIC AND/UK PLASTIC RESPONSE. SUBBOUTINE CUMPLETELY REVISED MAPCH, 1976. K - INDEX OF THE INTEGRATION POINT IN THE Z DIRECTION. I - INDEX OF THE ENTEGRATION POINT IN THE BETA DIRECTION. J - INDEX OF THE INTEGRATION PUTHT IN THE GAMMA DIRECTION. *CALL CHLK1 *CALL CALK3 *CALL CBLK4 *CALL CBLK6 *CALL CHLK7 *CALL CNOVA *CALL CBLANK *CALL CREK15 DATA TOL/5.0E-3/ 1F(IFIRST_GT_0) 60 TO 300 IFIFST = 1EPO=SIGO/EL EPP = 0.0 CNS = 0.0 SIG05 = SIG0**5

```
CN1 = (0.5 - TNU)/EL
                                                 Reproduced From
     CN3 = 1.0/EL
                                                Best Available Copy
     TNUSG = TNU**2
     CN4 = (1.0 - THUSU) \star 2
     CN12 = (1_0 - IWU + TNUSQ)/CN4
     CN13 = (1.0 - 4.0 \times TNU + TNUSQ)/CN4
     CN4 = 0.75/((1.0 + TNU) * * 2)
     CN6 = EL/(1.0 \sim TNU**2)
     CN7 = EL * 9.5/(1.0 + TNU)
     CN5 = 1.0/CN7
     LC = 9
     LCMAX = 100
     00 100 L=1.L4AX
     IF (NELP.EU.1) GO TO 70
     ALXX(L) = 0.0
     ALTT(L) = 0_0
     ALXT(L) = 0.0
     8E1(L) = 0.0
     \theta E S(F) = 0.0
     BE3(L) = 0.0
     TTNU(L) = TNU
70 EPBU(L)=0.0
100 \text{ KY(L)} = 1
300 KSUM = 0
     IJ = LBAR + (4-1)
    DU 3050 K=1.LBAR
    L = IJ + K
    H1 = ZF(K)
    DETERMINE APPROPRIATE REGION.
    KEY = KY(L)
    IF (KE4.GT.3) GU TO 350
    GU TO (400,600,700), KEY
350 IF((KEY+1)/2.E0.KEY/2) GO TO 600
    G1) T(1 790
    REGIUN 1. ELASTIC CURVE.
400 KSUM = KSUM + 1
    IF (KSUM.GT.1) 60 TO 450
    01 = CN6 + (FXX + T_{NU} + ETT)
    D2 = CN6 \star (ETT + TGU \star EXX)
    D3 = CN7 \pm EXT
    04 = CN6 \star (XKXX + THU \star XKTT)
    05 = CN6 + (XKTT + INU + XKXX)
    D6 = CN7+XXXT
    IF (NBUCK .ER. 9 .UR. NCALL .GT. 0) GO TO 430
    1F(02 .GT. BUCK) GO TO 430
    02 = BUCK*(BUCK/02)**1.0
430 CONTINUE
    S1A(M) = 01
    SO = (M) ASS
    $3A(M) = 03
    S4A(M) = 114
    S54(M) = 05
```

```
Reproduced From
                                                  Best Available Copy
     S6A(M) = D6
 450 \text{ G1} = 01 + \text{H1} \pm 04
     62 = 02 + H1 + 05
     G3 = 03 + H1 + 06
     SIGBD = G1*(G1 - G2) + G2**2 + 3.0*G3**2
     IF (NELP.E0.2) GU TO 470
     EPBO(L)=SIGBD
     GO TO 3000
470
     IF(SIG8D_GE_SIG02) GO TO SOO
     EPBO(L)
               = SIGHD
     GO TU 3000
 500 KY(L)
                = KEY + 1
     LINEARLY INTERPULATE ON SIGMA BAR TO CORRECT FOR OVERSHOOT.
     SUSIG = SORT(SIGBU)
     B2 = SQRT(EPBO(L))
     B1 = (SIG0 - B2)/(SQSIG - B2)
     G1 = SXX(L)
                      + B1 * (G1 - SXX(L))
     G2 = STT(L)
                       + 01*(G2 - STT(L))
     G3 = SXT(L)
                      + B1 + (G3 - SXT(L))
                     = G1
     SIGXX1(L)
     SIGTT1(L)
                     = 62
     SIGXT1(L)
                     = G3
     T1 = CN3 * (G1 - TNU * G2)
     T2 = CN3*(G2 - 1)NU*G1)
     T3 = CN5 + G3
     EXX1(L) = T1
     ETT1(1_{1}) = T2
     EXT1(L) = T3
     EPBD = SQRT(CN12*(T1**2 + T2**2) - CN13*T1*T2 + CN4*T3**2)
     EPB(L)
                 = EPB0
                  = EP60
     EP80(L)
     IF (JFIRST_E0.0) JFIRST = 1
     GO 10 3000
     REGIONS 2 AND 4. PLASTIC LOADING.
600 \text{ EPBDP} = \text{EPB}(L)
     H2 = EXX + H1 + XK + BE1(L)
     H3 = ETT + H1 \times x \times TT - BE2(L)
     H4 = EXT + H1 \star X \times \lambda T - BE3(L)
     CN2 = TTNH(L)
     II = 0
610 \text{ II} = \text{II} + 1
     CM55 = CM5**5
     Ebb = 2441(((1*6 - 045 + 0455)*(H5**5 + H3**5) -
    1 (1.) = 4.0*CN2 + CN22)*H2*H3)/(1.0 - CN22)**2 +
    2 = 0.75 \times H4 \times \times 2/(1.0 + CN2) \times \times 2)
     DELEP = FPHD - EPHD(L)
     EPP = (EP+UELEP + EL+EPRO(L))/EPRD
     IF (THU_GT.0.9) CH2 = .5 - EPP*CH1
     IF (A3S(CN2-TTHULL)).LT.0.0005) GO TO 620
     IF (IT.GT.20) G0 T0 615
     TTWU(L) = CN2
     60 TO 610
 615 WRITE (6,5500) CD2, (TNU(L), TIME
     GO TO 4100
```

```
Reproduced From
620 \text{ CN2} = \text{TTNU(L)}
                                                Best Available Copy
    IF (EPBD.LE.EPBUP) GO TO 650
630 EPB(L) = EP60
    IF (EPP.GT.EL.OR.EPP.LT.EP) GO TO 4000
    S1 = EPP/(1.0 - CN2**2)
    S2 = 0.5 + EPP/(1.0 + CN2)
    G1 = S1*(H2 + CN2*H3) + ALXX(L)
    G2 = S1 + (H3 + CN2 + H2) + ALTT(L)
    G3 = S2 \star H4 + ALXI(L)
    GO TO 3000
    SECOND TEST FOR UNLOADING IN EITHER REGION 2 OR 4.
650 Q1=X1A(M)+EXX1(L) + H1*X4A(M)
    Q2=x2A(M) - ETT1(L) + H1+x5A(M)
    13=x3A(M) - EXT1(L) + H1 + x6A(M)
    IF (EP_E0_0_0) GU TU 660
    P1=SXX(L)-SIGXX1(L) + ALXX(L)
    P2=STT(L)-SIGTTI(L) + ALTT(L)
    P3=SXT(L)=SIGXT1(L) + ALXT(L)
    GO TO 670
660 P1=0.0
    P2=0.0
    P3=0.0
670 E1=01 - H2
    ES=05 - H3
    E3=03 - H4
    G1=P1-CN6+(E1+())+E2)
    G2=P2+CN6+(E2+TNU*E1)
    G3=P3+CN7 *E3
    A1=G1-P1
    A5=05-65
    A3=G3-P3
    SIGHD=A1*(A1-A2)+A2**2+3.0*A3**2
    IF (SIGBD_GE_SIGU2_AND_DELEP_GE_0.0) GU TO 639
    KY(L) = KEY + 1
    TINU(L)=THU
    EPBO(L) = SIGBO
    dE1(L)=01 + BE1(L)
    8E2(L)=92 + 3E2(L)
    BE3(L)=03 + BE3(L)
    IF (EP.EQ.0.)G0 TU 3000
    ALXX(L)=P1
    ALTT(L)=P2
    ALXT(L)=P3
    GU TO 3000
    REGION 3. ELASTIC UNLOADING - RELOADING.
700 E1 = 3E1(L) = EXX = H1 + XKXX
    E2 = BE2(L) - ETT - H1 + XKTT
    E3 = BE3(L) - EXT - H1 + XKXT
    C1 = ALXX(L)
    C2 = ALTT(L)
    C3 = ALXT(L)
    61 = 01 -
                            CN6*(E1 + THU*E2)
```

```
Reproduced From
     GS = CS -
                              CN6*(E2 + TiVU*E1)
                                                        Best Available Copy
     G3 = C3 =
                              CN7*E3.
     A1 = G1 - C1
     A2 = G2 - C2
     A3 = G3 - C3
     SIG80 = A1*(A1 - A2) + A2**2 + 3.0*A3**2
    IF(SIGHD_GT_SIG02)G0 TO 800
    EPBO(L)=STGBD
    GO TO 3000
    LINEARLY INTERPOLATE ON SIGNA BAR TO CORRECT FOR OVERSHOUT.
800 B2 = SORT(EPBO(L))
    SUSIG = SORT(SIGHO)
    IF(82.GT.SIG0) G0 T0 840
    NC = 0
820 B1 = (SQSIG - SIGU)/(SQSIG - P2)
    NC = NC + 1
    IF(NC.GT.5) G0 [0 830
    DEL1 = B1 \star (G1 - SXX(L))
    DEL2 = B1 \star (G2 - SIT(L))
    DEL3 = 91 * (G3 - SXT(L))
    G1 = G1 - DEL1
  . GS = GS - DELS
    G3 = G3 - 0EL3
    A1 = G1 - ALXX(L)
    A2 = G2 - ALTT(L)
    A3 = G3 - ALXT(L)
    SRSIG = SRT(A1*(A1=A2) + A2**2 + 3.0*A3**2)
    IF (ABS(SUSIG-SIGU)/SIGO.GT.TUL) GO TO 820
    GO TO 835
830 WRITE(6,5700) WC.K.T.J.KEY,SQSIG.B1,B2,TIME
    LC = LC + 1
    IF (LC.GT.LCMAX) GO TO 4100
835 CONTINUE
    \mathsf{DEL1} = \mathsf{G1} - \mathsf{Sxx}(\mathsf{L})
    DEL2 = G2 - STT(L)
    \mathsf{DEL3} = \mathsf{G3} - \mathsf{SXI(L)}
    T1 = X1A(M) + CM3*(DEL1 - TNU*DEL2) + H1*X4A(M)
    12 = X2A(M) + CM3*(DEL2 - TWH*DEL1) + H1*X5A(M)
    T3 = X3A(M) + CN5 + 0EL3 + H1 + X6A(M)
    GO TO 880
840 WRITE(6,5200) K, I, J, KEY, TIME, RP, SUSIG
    T1 = EXX + H1 \star XK \times X
    T2 = ETT + H1 \star KKTT
    T3 = EXT + H1 * X K X I
    LC = LC + 1
    IF (LC.GT.LCMAX) GU TO 4100
880 \text{ EXX1(L)} = T1
    EITI(\Gamma) = IS
    EXT1(L) = T3
    H2 = f1 - BE1(L)
    H3 = T2 - HE2(L)
    H4 = T3 - HE3(L)
    EPBD = SAHT(CN12*(H2**2 + H3**2) = CN13*H2*H3 + CN4*H4**2)
    EPBO(L) = EPBO
    EPB(L) = EPHD
```

P.

.

2

٠

r

```
Reproduced From
      SIGXX1(L) = G1
                                     Best Available Copy
      SIGTT1(L)
                  = G2
                   = G3
      SIGXT1(L)
      KY(L) = KEY + 1
      GO TO 3000
3000 SXX(L)
               = G1
                 = G2
      STT(L)
                 = 63
      SXT(L)
3050 CONTINUE
      KSUMA(M) = KSUM
      RETURN
      ERROR RETURN.
4000 WRITE (6,5300) EPP,K,I,J,TIME,EPHD,EPBDP,EPBO(L)
4100 WRITE (6,5400)
     KERR = 1
      RETURN
5200 FORMAT (22H IMMEDIATE RELOADING ,413,3E15.6)
5300 FORMAT (28H0EPP 1S OUT OF RANGE, EPP = E14.6/
     1 3I3.4E15.6
5400 FORMAT (21H0SOLUTION IS UNSTABLE)
5500 FORMAT (26H VALUE OF NU WONT CONVERGE, 2015.6,15H TIME, SEC =
     1 E15.6)
5700 FORMAT (38H CAN NOT TOTALLY CORRECT FOR OVERSHOOT/SIS, 4E15.6)
      END
*DECK SIGMAB
      SUBROUTINE SIGMAB (K1, K2, J, I, M)
      THIS PROGRAM COMPUTES INELASTIC STRESSES FOR STIFFENERS.
      K1 - GAMMA STIFFENER.
      K2 - BETA STIFFEHER.
      J - BETA POINT NUMBER.
      I - GAMMA POINT NUMBER.
      M - GRID POINT NUMBER.
      ONLY ONE STIFFENER IS ANALYZED PER CALL.
*CALL CBLK1
*CALL CBLK7
*CALL CBLK15
*CALL CBLK16
*CALL CHLANK
      IF (NFIRST.GT.0) GU TO 300
      NFIRST = 1
      MAX = NSMAX+ (NSGMB + NSB+NBAR)
      00 100 L=1.MAK
      ALX(L) = 0.
      8EX(L) = 0.
  100 \text{ KYX(L)} = 1
  500 KSUM = 0
      IF (K1.E0.0) GU 10 320
      GAMMA DIRECTION.
      IJ = (K1-1) \star ABAH + I
```

```
Reproduced From
    NS = NSEGG(K1)
                                          Best Available Copy
    KKK = K1
    ELX = ESTRG(K1)
    SIGX = SIGOGT(K1)
    EPOX = EPOG(K1)
    EPX = ETSTRG(K1)
    EX = EXX
    XK = XKXX
    X1C = X1A(M)
    X4C = X4A(M)
    GO TO 330
    BETA DIRECTION.
320 \text{ IJ} = \text{NSGMB} + (\text{K}2-1) + \text{NBAR} + \text{J}
    NS = NSEGB(K2)
    KKK=NSG+(K2-1)*NBAR+J
    ELX = ESTRB(K2)
    SIGX = SIGUBT(K2)
    EPOX = EPOd(N2)
    EPX = ETSTRB(K2)
    EX = ETT
    XK = XKTT
    X1C = X2A(M)
    X4C = X5A(M)
330 L0 = NSMAX * (IJ-1)
    DO 3050 K=1.NS
    L = LO + K
    H1 = \underline{/}FH(K,KKK)
    KEY = KYX(L)
    IF (KEY.E0.1) GU TO 400
    IF ((KEY+1)/2.E9.KEY/2) G0 T0 600
    GO TO 700
    REGIUN 1. ELASTIC REGION.
400 KSUM = KSUM + 1
    IF (KSUM_GT.1) GU TU 450
    D1 = EX*ELX
    D4 = XX*ELX
450 \ \text{G1} = 01 + \text{H1} \times 04
    IF (NELP.EQ.1) GU TU 3000
    IF (ABS(G1).LT.SIGX) GO TU 3000
    INITIAL VIELDING.
    KYX(L) = KEY + L
    G2 = SIGX
    IF (G1.LT.0.0) G2 = -SIGX
    G1 = G2
    SIX1(1.) = G1
    EXI(L) = GI/ELX
    IF (MFIRST_EW.0) MFIRST = 1
    GD TO 3000
    REGIONS 2 AND 4. INELASTIC REGIONS.
600 H2 = EX + H1 * X = HEX(L)
    H_{2(1)} = X_{1(1)} + H_{1} + X_{4(1)} - BEX(1.)
    H3 = AHS(H2)
```

£

*

ş

)

```
IF (H3.LT.ABS(K2U)) GO TO 650
     DELEP = H3 - EPUX
     EPP = (EPX*DELEP + SIGX)/H3
     G1 = EPP \star H2 + ALX(L)
     GO TO 3000
     UNLOAD.
 650 \text{ BEX(L)} = 2.0 \pm 8 \text{ EX(L)} \pm 120 = \text{ EX1(L)}
     ALX(L) = ALX(L) + SX(L) - SIX1(L)
     G1 = (EX + H1 \star XK = BEX(L)) \star ELX + ALX(L)
     KYX(L) = KEY + 1
     GO TO 3000
     REGION 3. ELASTIC.
 700 H2 = EX + H1+XK
     A1 = (H2 - BEX(L)) \times ELX
     G1 = A1 + ALX(L)
     IF (AHS(A1).LE.SIGX) GO TU 3000
     VIELD.
                              .
     SXL = SX(L)
     B1 = ABS(SXL - ALX(L))
     B2 = (SIGX - B1)/(ABS(A1) - B1)
     G1 = SXL + B2 * (G1 - SXL)
     SIX1(1) = G1
     EX1(L) = X1C + H1 + X4C + (G1 - SXL)/ELX
     KYX(L) = KEY + 1
3000 SX(L) = G1
3050 CONTINUE
     KSUMB(IJ) = KSUM
3100 RETURN
     END
```

Reproduced From Best Available Copy

1

Ľ