



**(**)

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



MICROCOPY NEEDLUTION TEST, CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

des.



INCROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART INFROM BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

STOTE THE POST OF STOTE

1.0 4 22 22 1.0 4 12 22 1.1 12 2.0 1.8 1.25 1.4 1.6

84

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION PEST CHART. NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARD-1963-A



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
T. ALFORT NUMBER	HO & RECIPIENT'S CATALOS HUNSER
<u>3-82</u>	21
A TITLE (and Substitute)	L TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVE
Signal Detection: Gaussian and Non-Gaussian Models with Nuisance Parameters	Technical
nucers with nursance rarameters	- PERFORME ONG. REPORT NUMBE
	- CONTRACT OF GRANT HUMBERY
C. B. BELL	N00014-80-C-0208
	14. PROSEAM SLEWENT, BROJEST, TA
San Diego State University	
San Diego, CA 92182	
	IL REPORT DATE
Office of Naval Research	July 82
Arlington, VA 22217	12 NUMBER OF PAGES
4 NONITORING AGENCY HANE & ADOR_SALI different sus Casenling Off	an) 18. SECURITY CLASE (of the report)
	Unclassified
	SCHEDULE
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abovest astared in Block 39, if differen	d bus Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abovest astered in Stock 39, if differen	d boas Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the chouses entered in Block 30, If differen	d bass Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the aboven antered in Steel: 39, if differen	d Dam Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the about an over the Block 20, If different B. SUPPL CHENTARY NOT18	d bum Report)
7. GISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the showest astered in Stock 39, if differen 6. SUPPL DECRTARY HOTTS	d bum Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the chouses assored in Block 20, If differen 8. SUPPL EMENTARY HOTIS	d ben Report)
7. GISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the shower astered in Stock 38, if different 6. SUPPL DECRITARY HOTIS	d Sum Report)
7. GISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the showes and of the Block 30, if different B. SUPPLEMENTARY MOTIS B. RET CORDS (Combos on review of Al secondary and identify by Mechanis Nuisance parameters, sufficient statistics, sta	A bee Report)
<ul> <li>7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the desired and over a Stock 30, if different</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY HOTIS</li> <li>RET CORDS (Control of conversion of the second and identify by block and Nuisance parameters, sufficient statistics, staprocess, Weiner-Levý' process, uniform renewal</li> </ul>	a <b>Front Report</b> ) <b>Intistical noise, Poisson</b> process, randomized rank
<ul> <li>7. GISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the showed in Stock 30, if different</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY MOTIS</li> <li>REV CORDS (Conduct on review of a linear of different statistics, statistics, statistics, weiner-Levý' process, uniform renewal transformation, basic data transformation, Kolm</li> </ul>	tistical noise, Poisson process, randomized rank mogorov-, Lilliefors- and
<ul> <li>7. CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the desired method in Stock 20, if difference</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTIS</li> <li>REV CORDS (Control of conversion of the second of an identify by block and Nuisance parameters, sufficient statistics, staprocess, Weiner-Levý' process, uniform renewal transformation, basic data transformation, Kolm Srinivasan-type statistics.</li> </ul>	A <b>Sec Report</b> <b>A feat</b> <b>A feat</b>
<ul> <li>CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the desired in Stock 30, if different is superiod in Stock 30, if different is superiod and its state and its state is a superiod of the second state is superiod and its state is superiod and its state is state is superiod and its state is state is superiod at a transformation, the state is superiod at a transformation, Kolm Srinivasan-type statistics.</li> </ul>	tistical noise, Poisson process, randomized rank nogorov-, Lilliefors- and
<ul> <li>7. CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the desired manual in Stock 30, 11 difference</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTIS</li> <li>REV CORDS (Control on review of a Userstood of all density by Mechanisman Nuisance parameters, sufficient statistics, staprocess, Weiner-Levý' process, uniform renewal transformation, basic data transformation, Kolm Srinivasan-type statistics.</li> <li>ATSTRACT (Control on review of the Manual of Manual for Machanism Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated in the control of the Manual Signal detection problems are treated and the Manual Signal detection problem set and the</li></ul>	A free Report) A fistical noise, Poisson process, randomized rank nogorov-, Lilliefors- and
<ul> <li>CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the desired in Stock 30, if different is superiod in Stock 30, if different is superiod in Stock 30, if different is superiod in the superiod of the superiod in the superiod is superiod.</li> </ul>	A see Reports A see Reports A tistical noise, Poisson process, randomized rank nogorov-, Lilliefors- and See ontext of several Gaussian or more nuisance parameters. The use of a
<ul> <li>CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the decrees covered in Block 30, if difference</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY MOTIS</li> <li>REV CORDS (Contract motions of a secondary and lemmity by block and process, Weiner-Levý' process, uniform renewal transformation, basic data transformation, Kolm Srinivasan-type statistics.</li> <li>ATSTRACT (Contract of covere data Ministery and Identify by block and Signal detection problems are treated in the co and non-Gaussian stochastic processes with one The techniques all entail a basic data transform for the component of the second of the</li></ul>	A see Reports A tistical noise, Poisson process, randomized rank nogorov-, Lilliefors- and Mero ontext of several Gaussian or more nuisance parameters. mation and the use of a hents of the transformed data.
<ul> <li>ATSTRACT (Control on Provide of the desired in the control of the second of the second</li></ul>	A see Reperty A see Reperty A tistical noise, Poisson process, randomized rank nogorov-, Lilliefors- and Anno on more nuisance parameters. mation and the use of a nents of the transformed data. Ak statistics are employed.
<ul> <li>CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the devices entered in Block 36, 11 efform</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTIS</li> <li>REY CORDS (Contract on review of a Universe of Identify by Most and Nuisance parameters, sufficient statistics, sta process, Weiner-Levý' process, uniform renewal transformation, basic data transformation, Kolm Srinivasan-type statistics.</li> <li>ATSTRACT (Contract of review did H measury and Identify by Most and Signal detection problems are treated in the co and non-Gaussian stochastic processes with one The techniques all entail a basic data transfor Kolmogorov-type statistic on one or more compon Extraneous statistical noise and randomized ran Numerical examples are given. K</li> </ul>	A second A seco
<ul> <li>CISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the devices and in Block 30, 11 allows</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTIS</li> <li>REV CORDS (Contract on Neuron allow W secondary and identify by Mosk and Nuisance parameters, sufficient statistics, sta process, Weiner-Levý' process, uniform renewal transformation, basic data transformation, Kolm Srinivasan-type statistics.</li> <li>ATSTRACT (Contract on Neuron allow H measuremy and identify by Mosk and Signal detection problems are treated in the co and non-Gaussian stochastic processes with one The techniques all entail a basic data transform Kolmogorov-type statistic on one or more compone Extraneous statistical noise and randomized ran Numerical examples are given.</li> </ul>	A see Reports A see Reports A tistical noise, Poisson process, randomized rank nogorov-, Lilliefors- and ontext of several Gaussian or more nuisance parameters. mation and the use of a nents of the transformed data. Ak statistics are employed.
<ul> <li>7. CHSTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the decrease encode in Block 20, 17 difference</li> <li>Supple Encontanty motils</li> <li>A Supple Encontanty motils</li> <li>A Supple Encontanty motils</li> <li>Nuisance parameters, sufficient statistics, statistics, statistics, weiner-Levý' process, uniform renewal transformation, basic data transformation, Kolm Srinivasan-type statistics.</li> <li>A Supple Content of a stream dide if measurement of income and identify by block and statistics.</li> <li>A Supple Content of a stream dide if measurement of income and identify by block and statistics.</li> <li>A Supple Content of a stream dide if measurement of income and identify by block and stream and non-Gaussian stochastic processes with one The techniques all entail a basic data transform Kolmogorov-type statistic on one or more compone Extraneous statistical noise and randomized ran Numerical examples are given.</li> <li>C 1 Jan 73 1473 Control of i new style dedocate</li> </ul>	A see Reports A tistical noise, Poisson process, randomized rank nogorov-, Lilliefors- and Mero ontext of several Gaussian or more nuisance parameters. mation and the use of a nents of the transformed data. hk statistics are employed. Unclassified

;

.

....

•

### SIGNAL DETECTION: GAUSSIAN AND NON-GAUSSIAN

MODELS WITH NUISANCE PARAMETERS

C. B. Bell

San Diego State University

### 1. Introduction and Summary.

and the second

One decision problem encountered in signal detection is the situation in which the law (L) of the process in the pure noise (PN) ease is known precisely (i.e.,  $L = L^*$ ), and in the noise plus signal (N + S) case  $L \neq L^*$ . We assume the data consists of N observations  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  of the process. For this situation standard goodness-offit (G-O-F) detectors, such as those based on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Cramer-von Mises, statistics can be used.

One considers here extensions of the above problem to the case where the pure noise law is known up to some nuisance parameters. The detectors are all based on the maximal statistical noise (M-S-N) which is distributed independently of the minimal sufficient statistics (M-S-S) and angether with the M-S-S constitute a one-to-one (a.e.) mapping of the date. This transformation < called the basic data transformation (BDT). The M-S-N and its a fight for several common processes are presented in Section 2.

Lilliefors (1967, 1969) has developed procedures for the normal and exponential cases when nuisance parameters are present by using the

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research through Grant No. NO0014-80-C-0208.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

oct 2 8 19**82** 

Δ

maximum likelihood estimate of the distribution function. For the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic Srinivasan (1970) has developed similar procedures based on the Rao-Blackwell estimate of distribution function. Their results are presented in Section 3 along with extensions to the uniform distribution done by Choi (1980). Also, results for the normal case when the mean is known and the only nuisance parameter is the variance, are presented.

Section 4 illustrates how several other common detection procedures can be applied to the M-S-N when there are nuisance parameters. The types of procedures applied therein include (a) G-O-F (e.g., Cramervon-Mises), (b) classical (e.g., F-statistic), and (c) nonparametric (e.g., sign-rank statistics).

The use of extraneous statistical noise (E-S-N) in detection procedures is introduced in Section 5. E-S-N is a simulated sample with known law  $L_0$  belonging to the same family as  $L^*$ . By applying the inverse of the BDT one obtains, under PN, a sample with law  $L_0$ . One can then apply standard detectors for this known distribution. The section concludes with a table of the inverse of the BDT for several stochastic process models of interest.

Finally, in Section 6 all of the above mentioned detection techniques are applied to computer generated data sets of five different processes. In addition, the techniques are applied to two real data sets consisting of interarrival times of epileptic seizures for two epileptic females. 2. <u>Statistical Preliminaries: Maximal Statistical Noise</u> (M-S-N).

Many decision procedures are based on the minimal sufficient statistic (M-S-S). This is particularly so when the inference is centered on the value of a parameter. However, in this paper one is primarily concerned with inference in the presence of nuisance parameters. Hence, the need to utilize something other than the M-S-S. This other quantity will be called the maximal statistical noise (M-S-N).

<u>Definition 2.1</u> - Let S(X) be the M-S-S for data  $X = (X_1, ..., X_N)$ , where the law, L, of the time series is an element of the family  $\Omega'$ . Further, let  $\delta(X) = [N(X), S(X)]$  be a transformation which is 1-1 a.e. and where N(X) and S(X) are statistically independent. Then

(a) N(X) is called H-S-N, i.e., maximal statistical noise; and

(b)  $\delta(X)$  is called the BDT, the basic data transformation.

# Example 2.1 - (Homogeneous Poisson Process, HPP)

Let  $[N(t): t \ge 0]$  be a HPP, i.e., homogeneous Poisson Process. Let  $(\tau_n)$  and  $(W_n)$  be the associated interarrival time and waiting time series, respectively. If one views the data as  $\chi = (W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ , then one has

(a) M-S-S:  $S(X) = W_N$ (b) M-S-N N(X) =  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{N-1}) = (\frac{W_1}{W_N}, \frac{W_2}{W_N}, \dots, \frac{W_{N-1}}{W_N}]$ , and (c) BDT:  $\delta(X) = [N(X), S(X)]$ .

Example 2.2 - (Niener-Levy Process, WLP) Let  $W(t) = \mu(t) + \sigma W^*(t)$ , where  $[W^*(t): t \ge 0]$  is a Wiener-Levy'

- 3 -

(WL) process satisfying (i)  $E\{W^*(t)\}\equiv 0$ ; (ii) Cov  $\{W^*(s), W^*(t)\}=$ min (s,t); (iii) it is Gaussian. Let  $Z_r = W(r\Delta)$ , i.e., one samples at times  $\Delta$ ,  $2\Delta$ ,  $3\Delta$ , ..., and  $X = (X_1, ..., X_N)$  where  $X_r = Z_r - Z_{r-1}$ and  $Z_0 = 0$ .

Case I. 
$$\mu(t) = \beta t$$
  
Here,  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  are i.i.d  $N(\beta\Delta, \sigma^2\Delta)$ ;  
 $M=S=S$ ;  $S(X) = (\overline{X}, S_X)$  where  $X=N^{-1} \sum_{1}^{N} X_j$ ,  $S_X^2 = N^{-1} \sum_{1}^{N} (X_j - \overline{X})^2$   
 $M=S=N$ ;  $N(X) = (\frac{X_1 - \overline{X}}{S_X}, \ldots, \frac{X_N - \overline{X}}{S_X})$ ; and  
BDT:  $\delta(X) = [N(X), S(X)]$ 

- 4 -

[One should note here that if " $\sigma$ " is a nondegenerate random variable, then {W(t)} is non-Gaussian, but many of the detections techniques for Gaussian models still apply.]

### Example 2.3 - (Uniform Renewal Process, URP)

Let  $U_1, \ldots, U_N$  be i.i.d.  $U(0,\theta)$  be the interarrival times of a (URP) point process. Then one has

M-S-S: 
$$S(U) = U(N)$$
;  
M-S-N:  $N(U) = (R, V)$ , where  
 $R = [R(U_1), ..., R(U_N)]$  and  $V = [\frac{U(1)}{U(N)}, ..., \frac{U(N-1)}{U(N)}]$ ;  
BDT:  $\delta(U) = [N(X), S(X)]$ 

## Example 2.4 - (Non-homogeneous Poisson Process, NHPP)

South of the second sec

Let  $[N^*(t): t \ge 0]$  be a NHPP whose mean function is known up to a multiplicative (nuisance) parameter  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\mu^*(t) = \alpha \mu_0(t)$ , and let  $N^* = (N_1^*, \ldots, N_N^*)$  be the waiting time data.

Now form  $M(t) = N^*[u_0^{-1}(t)]$ . Then [M(t)] is a HPP with mean function  $u(t) = \alpha t$  and waiting times  $W = (W_1, \ldots, W_N)$  where  $W_r = u_0(W_r^*)$ . Hence, one has



The statistics in the examples above involve the following distributions. Definition 2.2 - (Basic Distributions) (1) If  $X_1, ..., X_k$  are i.i.d F(.), continuous, one says  $[R(X_1), ..., R(X_k)] \sim R - V(k)$ . (2) If  $U_1, ..., U_k$  are i.i.d. U(0,1) then  $[U(1), ..., U(k)] \sim U - 0 - S(k)$ . (3) Let  $X_1, ..., X_k$  be i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then  $[\frac{X_1 - \overline{X}}{S_X}, ..., \frac{X_k - \overline{X}}{S_X}] \sim N - M - S - N(k)$ , where  $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{k} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{\overline{X}}$  and  $S_X^2 = \frac{1}{k} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} (X_{\overline{X}} - \overline{X})^2$ . (4) If  $P(V < z) = z^k$ , 0 < z < 1, then one says  $V \sim PW(k)$ .

These processes and statistics are summarized in Table 2.1 below.

TABLE 2.1 PROCESSES AND STATISTICS

- 7 - $(1-N)S - 0 - 0 \sim \frac{1}{N} - \frac{1}{N}$  $\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon, & v \\ r \end{pmatrix} \text{ indep.; } \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_r \\ r \end{pmatrix} \text{ i.i.d. } B(1,1/2) \\ V_r & V(1,r), & V_r \text{ indep., where} \\ \varepsilon_r &= \varepsilon(\chi_r), & V_r &= r\chi^2_{r+1} & (\sum_{j}^{r} \chi_k^2)^{-1} \\ \end{pmatrix}$  $[\frac{x_1 - \overline{x}}{S_x}, \dots, \frac{x_N - \overline{x}}{S_x}] \sim N-M-S-N$ (R, V) indep. with  $R \sim R - V(N)$ (1-N) S-0-N ~ <u>N</u>, ....  $\frac{U(\mathbf{r})}{U(\mathbf{N})}, \quad \mathbf{l} < \mathbf{r} < \mathbf{N} - \mathbf{l}$ M-S-N (and Distn.) N(X)  $R_{0} = [R(U_{1}), ..., R(U_{N})]$ ν<sup>0</sup>(w<sup>1</sup>). \* >\*  $(\overline{X}, S_{\chi}^{2})$  indep with  $\overline{X} \sim N(\beta\Delta, -\frac{\sigma^{2}\Delta}{n})$ (i.e., the Gamma distribution with distribution with n, and A.)  $\mu_0(M_N) \sim \Gamma(n,1)$ i.e., the Gamma M-S-S. (and Distn.) S(X)  $\frac{NS_X^2}{(\frac{2}{\sigma^2 \Lambda})} \sim \frac{x_{N-1}^2}{x_{N-1}}$  $\sum_{I}^{N} x_{T}^{2} \sim \sigma^{2} \Delta \chi_{N}^{2}$ (N) MA ~ (N) pars n, l.)  $W_N \sim \Gamma(n, \lambda)$ pars  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  where  $X_r = W(r\Delta) - W\{(r-1)\Delta\}$ and W(0) = 0Same as above Data U<sub>1</sub>, ..., U<sub>N</sub> W1, ..., W<sub>N</sub> NN .... . NN (Nuisance) Parameter (B,o<sup>2</sup>) Φ ~ 8 Ъ μ(t) =αμ<sub>0</sub>(t) Stochastic  $\mu(t) = \lambda t$  $\mu(t) = \beta t$  $\mu(\mathbf{t}) \equiv \mathbf{0}$ Process **WLPL URP** 4 H **T** 

tic e empirical-type distric

an t. ... 10, .... am 1 100 - 11 1 40 - 1/1  $(2\pi)^{2} = (2\pi)^{2} = 1 - \exp((-\pi)^{2}), \quad x > 0;$   $(2\pi)^{2} = (2\pi)^{2} = 1 - \exp((-\pi)^{2}), \quad x > 0;$   $(2\pi)^{2} = (2\pi)^{2} = (2\pi)^{2} = (2\pi)^{2}, \quad x < 1 < 1$ 

(v1)  $G(u, \sigma; z) = \Phi(\frac{u}{2}, \frac{1}{2});$ 

(vii)  $G(u,\sigma;z) = 1 - \int_0^{V} g(y) dy$  where  $V(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{V-z}{S_Y} \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)^{1/2}\right)$ and  $g(y) = \frac{\Gamma(k-2)}{[\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(k-2))]^2} y^{1/2 k-2} (1-y)^{1/2 k-2}, 0 < y < 1;$ 

(viii) 
$$G^*(\theta;z) = U(0,Y(n))$$
  
 $G^{**}(\theta;z) = \begin{cases} (\frac{k-1}{k}) & \frac{z}{Y(n)}, & 0 < z < Y(n) \\ 1 & \text{when } Z > Y(n). \end{cases}$ 

Then,

- (1)  $D_1 = \sup_{z} |F_y^{(k)}(z) G(z)| \sim K S(k)$ , i.e., has the K-S distribution for a random sample of size k (See Birnbaum, 1952).
- (2)  $D_2 = \sup_z |F_y^{(k)} \hat{G}(\lambda;z)| \sim LLEX(k)$  if  $G = Exp(\lambda)$  (See Lilliefors, 1970). (3)  $D_3 = \sup_z |F_y^{(k)} - \hat{G}(\lambda;z)| \sim SREX(k)$  if  $G = Exp(\lambda)$  (See Srinivasan, 1970). (4)  $D_4 = \sup_z |F_y^{(k)}(z) - \hat{G}(\mu;\sigma^2,z)| \sim LLGA(k)$  if  $G = N(\mu,\sigma^2)$  (See Lilliefors, (1969).
- (5)  $D_5 = \sup_{z} |F_y^{(k)}(z) G(\mu, \sigma^2; z)| \sim SRGA(k)$  if  $G = N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  (See Srinivasan, 1970).
- (6)  $D_6 = \sup_{z} |F_y^{(k)}(z) G^*(\theta; z)| \sim CHL(k)$ , if  $G = U(0, \theta)$  (See Choi, 1980 and Table A.1).
- (7)  $D_7 = \sup_{z} |F_y^{(k)}(z) G^{**}(\theta; z)| \sim CHS(k), if G = U(0, \theta)$  (See Choi, 1980 in which it is proved that  $D_7 \sim (\frac{k-1}{k})K - S(k-1)$ .)

The last six statistics are useful when the form of G is known, but the value of the (nuisance) parameter is unknown. These are based on the works of Lilliefors (1967, 1969), Srinivasan (1970), and Choi (1980). who give the relevant tables. S. M. Lee computed an improved version of Choi's original table. It is Table A.1 of the appendix.

The utilization of these statistics for the detection models of this paper can be summarized in the table below, where usage of randomized noise is indicated. This concept will be defined in the next section.

Table 3.1.	GOF Statistics	
Stochastic Process	GOF Statistics	Use of <u>Randomized Noise</u>
URP	CHL, CHS, K-S	Yes, with K-S
WLPL µ(t) ≣ µt	LLGA, SRGA	Yes, with K-S
WLP µ(t) ≡ 0	LILE, SRLE (See discussion below)	Yes, with K-S
HPP µ(t) Ξ λt	LLEX, SREX, K-S	Yes, with K-S
NHPP μ( <del>t</del> ) =αμ <sub>0</sub> (t)	LLEX, SREX, K-S	Yes, with K-S

The GOF statistic for the WLP with  $\mu(t) \equiv 0$  has not been studied by the above named authors. However, one can derive the appropriate statistic in a manner parallel to those of Lilliefors, Srinivasan and Choi. The Lilliefors idea is to replace the unknown parameter by its MLE (maximum likelihood estimate) in the distribution function. Srinivasan's approach is quite different but sometimes asymptotically equivalent. His idea is to replace the empirical distribution function  $F_y^{(k)}(\cdot)$  by its Tao-Blackwell estimate. (See e.g., Bickel and Doksum, 1977).

 $\frac{\text{Definition } 3.2}{\text{Let } (1) \quad \hat{\hat{G}}(\sigma;z) = \phi(z\sqrt{k} \left[\sum_{1}^{k} y^{2}\right]^{-1/2}); \text{ and} \\ \begin{array}{l} & \ddots \\ & \ddots \\ & G(\sigma;z) = \end{array} \quad \frac{1 - 1/2 \ F(k-1,1; \quad (\sum_{1}^{k} X_{\mathbf{r}}^{2} - z^{2}) (N-1)^{-1} z^{-2}) \ \text{for } 0 < z < (\sum_{1}^{k} X_{\mathbf{r}}^{2})^{1/2} \\ & 1 \ \text{if } z > (\sum_{1}^{k} X_{\mathbf{r}}^{2})^{1/2} \\ & \psi \text{ with } \begin{array}{l} & \hat{G}(\sigma;z) + \begin{array}{l} & \hat{G}(\sigma;-z) = 1 \end{array} \right] \text{ for all } z. \end{array}$ 

Here  $F(m,r;\cdot)$  is the cdf of Fisher's F-statistic with m and r degrees of freedom.

Further define

(3)  $D_8 = \sup_z |F_y^{(k)}(z) - \hat{G}(\sigma;z)| \sim LILE(k)$  if  $G = N(0,\sigma^2);$  and (4)  $D_9 = \sup_z |F_y^{(k)}(z) - \tilde{G}(\sigma;z)| \sim SRLE(k)$  if

$$G = N(0,\sigma^2)$$

Tables for these two statistics are given in the appendix.

It can be proved that the K-S statistic is a function of the N-S-S when the parameters are completely specified. The other eight statistics above satisfy a different property. <u>Proposition 3.1</u> - The GOF statistics in (2) - (7) of Def. 3.1 and (3) - (4) of Def. 3.2 are functions of the data solely through their respective M-S-N's (The proof will only be given in 2 cases.)

$$\frac{\text{Proof for } \hat{G}(\mu,\sigma^{2};z)}{\sup_{z} |\frac{1}{k} \sum_{1}^{k} \epsilon(z - Y_{r}) - \Phi(\frac{z - \overline{Y}}{S_{y}})| = \sup_{w} |\frac{1}{k} \sum_{1}^{k} \epsilon(w - V_{r}) - \Phi(w)|,$$
where  $V_{r} = \frac{Y_{r} - \overline{Y}}{S_{y}}$ 

**Proof for**  $G(\lambda;z)$ 

$$\sup_{\substack{0 \le z \le k\overline{Y} \\ 0 \le z \le k\overline{Y}}} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1}^{k} \varepsilon(z - Y_{T}) - 1 + (1 - \frac{z}{k\overline{Y}})^{k-1} \right|$$
  
= 
$$\sup_{\substack{0 \le U \le 1 \\ 0 \le U \le 1}} \left| 1 - (1 - U)^{k-1} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1}^{k} (U - V_{T} + V_{T-1}) \right|$$
  
where  $V_{T} = \frac{\sum_{1}^{T} Y_{j}}{k\overline{Y}}$ .

4. Generalized Randomized Rank Procedures

In order to avoid certain problems with distributions of statistics of interest, Durbin (1961), Bell and Doksum (1965) and others introduced extraneous noise into the decision procedures.

Ser Cashier

Example 4.1 - Let  $\zeta = (Z_1, ..., Z_n)$  be i.i.d. with continuous cdf F(.). Let  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_N)$  be i.i.d.  $\Phi$  and independent of the data Z. Now define  $\xi' = (\xi_1', ..., \xi_N')$  where  $\xi'$  is a permutation of  $\xi$ satisfying  $\xi'_k = \xi(R(Z_k))$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{r=1}^{N} \varepsilon(\xi'_k - \xi'_r) = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \varepsilon(Z_k - Z_r)$ . Bell and Doksum (1965) then prove that  $\xi' = \frac{d}{\xi}$ . Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \xi(R(Z_j)) - \frac{1}{N-m} \sum_{m+1}^{N} \xi(R(Z_j)) \sim N(0, \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{N-m}).$$

In order to formalize and generalize this procedure, one needs the following definitions.

<u>Definition 4.1</u> - Let  $(r_1, ..., r_N)$  be some permutation of the integers 1, ..., N, and let  $v = (v_1, ..., v_N)$  be an N-vector.  $\tau_N^*$ is called the randomized rank transformation (RRT), when  $\tau_N^*(r_1, ..., r_N, v_1, ..., v_N) = \{v(r_1), ..., v(r_N)\}, = v', i.e., v'$ is a permutation of v such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon(v(r_k) - v_n) = r_n$  for  $1 \le k \le N$ .

Besides the RRT defined above, the procedure in Ex. 4.1 involves an interchange of MSS's. For that example the MSS's are the order statistics.

<u>Definition 4.2</u> - Let  $\chi = (\chi_1, ..., \chi_N)$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_N)$ be independent initial segments of time series with laws L and  $L^*$ , respectively, both in the family  $\Omega'$  of distributions. Let  $\delta(\chi) = \{N(\chi), S(\chi)\}$  be the BDT and  $\xi' = \delta^{-1} \{N(\chi), S(\xi)\}$ . Then, if  $\chi$  is the original data,

(1)  $\xi$  is called extraneous statistical noise, ESN, and (2)  $\xi^{1}$  is called randomized statistical noise, RSN. (Note: L\* is chosen to be convenient and tractable.)

The principal result in this direction follows from

Lemma 4.1 - Let  $\Upsilon = (\Upsilon_1, \dots, \Upsilon_N)$  have law  $L \in \Omega'$ ; and (a)  $N_{1} \stackrel{d}{=} N(\Upsilon)$ ; (b)  $S_{1} \stackrel{d}{=} S(\Upsilon)$ . Then  $\delta^{-1}(N_1, S_1) \stackrel{d}{=} \Upsilon$ .

Adapting the work of Durbin (1961), one has the example below.

Example 4.2 - (WLP with 
$$\mu(t) = \mu t$$
)

Let  $X = (X_1, ..., X_N)$  be as in Ex. 2.2 and let  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_N)$  be i.i.d  $\Phi$  and independent of X. On has then that

(1)  $\delta(\frac{X}{\sqrt{2}}) = \left(\frac{X_{1} - \overline{X}}{S_{X}}, \dots, \frac{X_{N} - \overline{X}}{S_{X}}, \overline{X}, S_{X}\right);$  and (2)  $\delta^{-1} \left(\frac{X_{1} - \overline{X}}{S_{X}}, \dots, \frac{X_{N} - \overline{X}}{S_{X}}, \overline{\xi}, S_{\xi}\right) = \xi' = (\xi'_{1}, \dots, \xi'_{N}),$  where  $\xi'_{T} = \overline{\xi} + S_{\xi} \left(\frac{X_{T} - \overline{X}}{S_{X}}\right).$  Further, (3)  $\xi' \stackrel{d}{=} \xi,$  and  $\sup |F_{\xi}^{(N)}(z) - \Phi(z)| \sim K - S(N).$ 

An example for non-homogeneous Poisson processes is as follows:

Example 4.3 - (NHPP with 
$$\mu(t) = \alpha(t^2 + t)$$
)  
As in Ex. 2.4, let  $W = (W_1, \dots, W_N)$  be the waiting times. Then  
 $S(W) = (W_N^2 + W_N); N(W) = (\frac{W_1^2 + W_1}{W_N^2 + W_N}, \dots, \frac{W_{N-1}^2 + W_{N-1}}{W_N^2 + W_N}).$  Now, choose

 $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$  to be the waiting time of a HPP with  $\lambda = 2.5$ , and independent of W.

Further, let  $\xi' = \delta^{-1}(N(W), S(\xi)), \text{ i.e., } \xi_T' = (N \xi) (\frac{W_T^2 + W_T}{W_N^2 + W_N}).$ Then  $\xi' = \xi;$  and  $\xi_m' (\xi_N' - \xi_m')^{-1} (\frac{N - m}{m}) \sim F(2m, 2N - 2m).$ 

One completes this section with a table of  $\delta^{-1}$  for the relevant stochastic processes.

TOCOSS	Nusiance Parameters	$v = \delta(x)$ (See Table 2.1)	$u = \delta^{-1}(\chi)$
đ	œ	(r <sub>1</sub> ,, r <sub>N</sub> ; v <sub>1</sub> ,, v <sub>N</sub> )	<b>τ</b> <sup>*</sup> ( <i>r</i> <sub>1</sub> ,, <i>r</i> <sub>N</sub> , ν <sub>1</sub> ν <sub>N</sub> ,ν <sub>2</sub> ν <sub>N</sub> ,, ν <sub>N+1</sub> ν <sub>N</sub> ,ν <sub>N</sub> )
re (t) = )t	~	( <sup>N</sup> <sup>N</sup> ,, <sup>I</sup> <sup>N</sup> )	(Nn Nn I-Nn Nn2n
110 = 010(t)	5	( <sup>N</sup> <sup>1</sup> ,, I <sup>N</sup> )	( <sup>N</sup> n <sup>, N</sup> n <sup>I-N</sup> n, <sup>N</sup> n <sup>2</sup> n , <sup>N</sup> n <sup>I</sup> n)
LP (t) ≡ µt	(٣, ٥)	(V1,, VN, VN+1, VN+2)	(I+NA + Z+NANA I+NA + Z+NAIA)
0 = 0	6	(ε <sub>1</sub> ,, ε <sub>N</sub> , ν <sub>1</sub> ,, ν <sub>N-1</sub> ,ν <sub>N</sub> )	$\begin{bmatrix} (2e_1 - 1) & \sqrt{w_1}, \dots, (2e_N - 1) & \sqrt{w_N} \end{bmatrix}$ where $w_1 = (N-1)! & \sqrt{N}D^{-1}, & w_2 = (N-1)! \sqrt{N}U^{-1}D^{-1}$ $w_1 = D^{-1}[(T-1)!]^{-1}[(N-1)!]\sqrt{N}U^{-1}D^{-1}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{T-2} (v_j+j), & 3 \le T \le N; & D = \frac{1}{1}(v_r+T)$ a=1

Ê

]

\_\_\_\_

. •

•

### 6. Numerical Examples

To illustrate the use of the detectors listed in the previous sections, data sets from five stochastic processes were computer generated. The five processes are:

- (1) HPP with rate parameter  $\lambda = 5$ .
- (2) NHPP with mean function  $\mu(t) = \alpha \mu_0(t)$ where  $\mu_0(t) = t^2 + t$  and  $\alpha = 5$ .
- (3) WLPØ, the Wiener-Levy' process with mean function  $\mu(t) \equiv 0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 5$ ,  $\Delta = 2$ .
- (4) WLPL. the Wiener-Levy' process with mean function  $\mu(t) = \beta t$  where  $\beta = 5$ ,  $\sigma^2 = 5$  and  $\Delta = 2$ .
- (5) URP with  $\theta = 5$ .

ANALYSING DAVISATING PROPERTIES. CONTRACTOR

In each case the number of observations is 20. The waiting times for the five data sets are listed and plotted in Figures 6.1 to 6.5.

Each data set was used with its respective pure noise type of detectors as well as with one alternative group of detectors. The false alarm rate (FAR) was set at .01 for all the tests. The results are shown in Tables 6.1 to 6.5.

In addition to the simulated data, two real data sets consisting of interarrival times of epileptic seizures was obtained from Choi (1980). The first data set, denoted Epilepsy I, contains 30 observations on an eight year old epileptic female recorded from 9:00 AM to 9:00 PM. The other data set, Epilepsy II, contains 20 observations on a twelve year old epileptic female recorded from 7:02 AM to 7:02 PM. The waiting times are listed and plotted in Figures 6.1 to 6.7 of the appendix.

All 5 sets of detectors were applied to the Epilepsy I data and the HPP and NHPP detectors were applied to the Epilepsy II data with FAR = .01. The results are shown in Tables 6.1 to 6.5 of the appendix.

### REFERENCES

- Basawa, Ishwar V., and Rao, B. L. S. Prakasa (1980). "Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes", Academic Press, New York.
- Bell, C. B. (1964). Automatic Distribution-Free Statistical Signal Detection, NEL Report No. 1245, U.S. Navy Electronics Laboratory, San Diego, CA.
- Bell, C. B. and Doksum, K. A. (1965). Some new distribution free statistics. Am. Math. Stat. 36, 203-214.
- Bell, C. B., Woodroofe, M. F., and Avadhani, T. V. (1970). "Nonparametric tests for stochastic processes" in Nonparametric Techniques in Statistical Inference, Ed. by Madan Lal Puri, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Bell, C. B. (1975). "Statistical inference for some special stochastic processes" in <u>Statistical Inference and Related Topics</u>, ed., by Madan Lal Puri, Academic Press, Inc. 273-290.
- 6. Bell, C. B., Ramirez, F., and Smith, Eric (1980). Wiener-Levy Models, Spherically Exchangeable Time Series, and Simultaneous Inference in Growth Curve Analysis," Chapter 5 in "Advanced Asymptotic Testing and Estimation: A Symposium in honor of Wassily Hoeffding" ed. by I. M. Chakrovarti, Cambridge University Press.
- Birnbaum, Z. W. (1952). Numerical tabulation of the distribution of Kolmogorov's statistic for finite sample size, J. Am. Statist. Ass. 47, 425-441.

- 19 -

A STATE OF A

- Choi, Youn Jung (1980). Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test with Nuisance Parameters in the Uniform Case. Master of Science Thesis, University of Washington.
- Durbin, J. (1961). Some methods of constructing exact tests.
   Biometrica 48, 41-55.
- Lilliefors, H. W. (1967). On the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality with mean and variance unknown, J. Am. Statist. Ass. 62, 399-402.
- Lilliefors, H. W. (1969). On the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for exponential distribution with mean unknown, J. Am. Statist. Ass. 64, 387-389.
- Srinivasan, R. (1970). An approach to testing the goodness-of-fit of incompletely specified distributions, Biometrica 57 (3), 605-611.

- 20 -

## APPENDIX

Graphs, Tables and Numerical Examples.

STATES.

P. Landstrand Street, St

	<b>H</b> =20)	Decision	M	PN	PN	S+N	S+N	M	S+N	
	lepsy II 1980) (	<b>Critical</b> Value	.3612	.7435	.34, 2.94	.3521	.7435	.34, 2.94	.278	PC.
	Epi (Choi,	Statistic Value	.2113	.2395	.5633	.4608	1.4554	.5633	.3063	<b>3166</b>
	30)	Decision	N	S+N	Nd	Nd	S+N	M	Nd	3
	lepsy I 980) (N=	Critical Value	.2947	.7435	.42, 2.39	.2899	.7435	.42, 2.39	.226	2
	Epi (Choi, 1	Statistic Value	.2878	.7770	.50	.2880	.9105	.50	.1100	1168
ſ	(	Decision	PN	B	N	PN	Nd	N	M	ą
	ed (N=20	Critical Value	.3612	.7435	.34, 2.94	.3524	.7435	.34, 2.94	.278	VC
	SimulatouRP	Statistic Value	.1766	.1254	1.6490	.1143	.0566	1.6974	. 1870	1702
	(	Decision	N	Nd	Nd	N	M	Nd	£	30
	ed (N=20	<b>Critical</b> Value	.3612	.7435	.34, 2.94	.3524	.7435	.34, 2.94	.278	<b>V</b>
-	Simulat HPP	Statistic Value	.2349	.1314	1.139	.2097	2608.	1.1441	.1313	1200
	Data	Detector Statistic	K-S(M-S-N)	C-VH(M-S-N)	F( N.N)(M-S-N)	K-S(E-S-N)	C-VN(E-S-N)	F( N,N)(E-S-N)	רובא	CBLV

٠\_`

PN: WHPP with  $\mu(t) = \alpha \mu_0(t) N + S$ : WHPP with  $\mu(t) \neq \alpha \mu_0(t)$  (FAR = .01) TABLE 6.2.

•

Pata	sirulated	dali X	(N = 20)	Sumulated	ŝ	(N = 20)	1 1990( 1040)	6	(0E = N)	EFILEPSY (Choi 1960	116	N = 20
livitector Statistic	Statistic Value	Critical Value	Decision	Statistic Value	Critical Value	Decision	Statistic Value	Critical Value	Decision	Statistic Value	Critical Viulue	Decision
K-S (H-S-H)	ecgi.	.3612	ž	2540	.3612	N	5276	.2947	8+N	.4548	.3612	8+1
(#-S-#) iA-D	<b>2890</b> .	.7436	Nd	212.	.7436	N	3.5304	.7436	S-N	1.2302	.7435	S-1
Plather-Pearson (H-S-H)	31.441	61.2	Net	26.1 <b>4</b> 03	61.2	ž	157.1968	88.0	S-W	64.1642	61.2	8+X
(#-S-#) (#'#)ā	1.1536	.34, 2.94	M	. 1355	34, 2.94	R.	.1266	.42, 2.39	N	.1496	.31, 2.94	St
(H-S-3) S-X	1361.	NSX.	NA	otse	.3524	K+S	008	. 2660	S+X	.9828	3524	S+R
(H-S-3) IIA-0	<b>699</b> 0.	.7436	M	3.2779	7435	S+X	9.6008	. 7436	SHN	6.6318	. 7435	S+N
( <del>N-S-3</del> ) (N,N):	1.5340	.34, 2.94	K	1.141	34, 2.94	ž	<b>N981</b>	.42, 2.30	Nd	.5630	.34, 2.04	N
	.1678	.278	×	.1786	812.	K	.2360	<b>3</b> 2.	SHN	.3140	378	S+N
1	.1564	· 8	£	. 1823	8	K	.2300	8	S+N	0525.	.24	SHN

i de la

- **`**.

 Table 6.3. PN: WLP4
 N + S: Not WLP4
 (FAR = .01)

Decision (N = 30) S+X X+S N+S S+X ES E K ž ž ž 90° 308\*\* CALLON . 2007. 7158 2 571 322. Value Lia. 7. 23 8.0 1711127557 1 (Choi 1980) Statiatic 809. 2.9377 1909. 627 5767 103 50.1708 Value 8 Decision (N = 20) 5 Ĩ 81 11 11 Ŧ 91 1 9<u>1</u> Ĩ Ĕ E Critical Value 60,150\* 5003 252 3461 .3612 225 New . ÷ **8**.3 Similated M.P. Statistic Value 5720 .5747 7565 3.7205 1.0150 .4316 17.3922 210 8 Decision (N = 20) E Ë E ž Ē Ľ Ē 置 Z Critical 00, 150-128 3481 8 .3612 288 ě T 61.2 Charlested they Statistic Value . 19**06** 327 5112 38.5437 802 MM. 839. Ħ Ξ Plater-Parson (16-1) 10-0 C-AR (H-S-R) X-8 (F-9-K) Signed Rush (191) 91 l'etector Statistic bata Ľ g

\* Cives PAR as close to .01 as tables allow.

.. Gives Mutual Approx Used.

\* Extrapolated from table values.

**TABLE 6.4. PN: WLPL** N + S: Not WLPL (FAR = 0.01)

A LANK AND A

1

. . . . .

ltıta	Simulated	MLPL	(S = 20)	Sheulated	¢.r.v	(N = 20)	EP11, EP51, 1		(N = 30)
Detector Statistic	Statistic Value	Čritical Value	Dectsion	Statistic Value	Critical. Value	Decision	Statistic Value	Critical Value	Decision
<b>(H-S-3)</b>	2063	88.	ž	IN	Nora .	Ĕ		2660	ž
t( <sup>8</sup> ) (F-S-H)	<b>1098</b> .	<del>1</del> 3. 169	ž	8086	-3. <b>]0</b> )	ž	5478.	- <b>5</b>	ž
FUI	ONCI.	IZ.	E	2980.	2	ž	142.	. 187	9. *
				÷ .					

•

· ·. (FAR = .01)Not URP TABLE 6.5.

Decision (N = 30)S+2 SH S+N S+X 5:+X SH . Critical Value. 2435 800 .264R 3222. **7902**. 882 (0861 1040) Statistic .6742 3.5464 INOS. 3.4302 565 .5561 Value Decision (N = 20) Six S+X 8+X S. 8**1** Critical Value .3612 7636 SSS. .7436 3566 . 3431 Strulated NBP Statistic Value 1.863 5367 3.1677 3113. 1000 Decision (N = 30) F. ٠Z 置 ¥ E. Critical Value SET. SPR6. .3612 7436 3524 **1616**. Simulated WLPL Statistic Value .1463 Next. .1003 . 399 3001 (オーシーヨ) おう-し C-Wi (H-S-N) K-S (H-S-N) (N-S-3) S-X Detector Statistic Ħ 8 Lara

:S + X: URP Ä









Critical Values of D<sub>6</sub>,CHL(k) (See Section 3) Table A.1

0.2245 0.2169 0.2113 0.2075 0.2030 0.1935 0.1763 0.4229 0.2993 0.2437 0.2470 0.2301 0.1899 0.7018 0.5718 0.4783 0.2742 0.2631 0.2523 0.1664 0.1838 0.4229 0.3341 0.3144 0.2854 0.1811 0.3608 Ŗ 0.2421 0.2350 0.2276 0.2179 0.2069 0.2025 0.5967 0.4950 0.4465 0.4065 0.3779 0.3290 0.3145 0.2987 0.2874 0.2761 0.2644 0.2555 0.2490 0.2214 0.1995 0.1954 0.1925 0.1895 0.1849 0.1813 0.7509 0.3494 .25 0.4723 0.3685 0.2476 0.6222 0.5241 0.3975 0.3313 0.2636 0.2555 0.2405 0.2332 0.2303 0.2245 0.2127 0.2101 0.1996 0.1952 0.3480 0.3153 0.3033 0.2906 0.2788 0.2179 0.2060 0.2031 0.4304 0.8001 0.2691 0.1908 8 0.2317 0.4569 0.2713 0.2555 0.2475 0.2260 0.2232 0.2156 0.2118 0.2073 0.6472 0.5613 0.5030 0.4216 0.3917 0.3519 0.3342 0.3235 0.3084 0.2971 0.2853 0.2799 0.2445 0.2027 0.2630 0.1501 0.3699 0.2184 0.2386 .15 .3019 2825 2752 2672 .3475 .2917 .2567 0.2286 0.2233 0.2187 0.6846 0.4922 .3203 3086 .2350 .2313 0.6071 0.5407 0.4541 .2636 .2430 .2407 .4224 .3984 .3789 .3612 .2496 **3.8**996 .10 0.3562 0.3419 0.3347 0.3245 0.3159 0.3057 0.2916 0.2835 0.2708 0.2671 0.2539 0.5463 0.4457 0.4009 0.3839 0.2968 0.2789 0.2616 0.2427 0.7746 0.6686 0.5935 0.5032 0.4218 0.3724 0.2564 0.2481 0.4681 0.9507 **SO.** 0.4263 0.4103 0.4012 0.3862 0.3813 0.4618 0.4436 0.3669 0.3553 0.3493 0.3250 0.3029 0.2989 .7878 0.4765 0.3336 0.3244 0.3146 0.3060 0.2914 . 1963 .6482 .5603 0.5324 0.5025 0.3401 5066 .7120 1665.0 6 91237396998288886888 j.

• ٠. 

.

(Table computed by S. M. Lee)

Table A.2 Critical Values of D<sub>8</sub>,LILE(k) (See Section 3)

×	.01	.05	.10	.15	.20	.25	.30
<b>k</b> 23456789011234567890212234	.01 0.8369 0.7975 0.7335 0.6603 0.6071 0.5663 0.5337 0.5048 0.4772 0.4659 0.4438 0.4278 0.4438 0.4278 0.4438 0.4278 0.3973 0.3872 0.3769 0.3567 0.3479 0.3567 0.3479 0.3369 0.3344 0.3189 0.3172	.05 0.8202 0.7217 0.6210 0.5665 0.5140 0.4766 0.4497 0.4250 0.4005 0.3866 0.3709 0.3577 0.3411 0.3310 0.3215 0.3130 0.3024 0.2966 0.2896 0.2896 0.2820 0.2781 0.2704 0.2627	.10 0.7968 0.6566 0.5650 0.5074 0.4639 0.4288 0.4048 0.3823 0.3609 0.3458 0.3318 0.3067 0.2974 0.2875 0.2819 0.2712 0.2655 0.2598 0.2521 0.2473 0.2415 0.2361	.15 0.7697 0.5992 0.5289 0.4695 0.4289 0.3739 0.3530 0.3530 0.3551 0.3201 0.3069 0.2964 0.2838 0.2753 0.2674 0.2599 0.2511 0.2455 0.2408 0.2333 0.2284 0.2233 0.2183	.20 0.7393 0.5507 0.4985 0.4403 0.4002 0.3752 0.3511 0.3318 0.3145 0.2999 0.2886 0.2774 0.2656 0.2589 0.2513 0.2439 0.2356 0.2311 0.2260 0.2193 0.2141 0.2097 0.2048	.25 0.7062 0.5294 0.4701 0.4182 0.3790 0.3534 0.3322 0.3137 0.2978 0.2836 0.2725 0.2622 0.2513 0.2450 0.2374 0.2301 0.2226 0.2183 0.2137 0.2075 0.2023 0.1986 0.1938	.30 0.6700 0.5107 0.4426 0.3969 0.3615 0.3355 0.3159 0.2981 0.2825 0.2695 0.2586 0.2491 0.2387 0.2328 0.2261 0.2186 0.2115 0.2078 0.2078 0.2078 0.2079 0.1973 0.1927 0.1888 0.1847
25 26 27 28 29 30	0.3082 0.3054 0.3016 0.2903 0.2897 0.2843	0.2558 0.2551 0.2500 0.2444 0.2416 0.2356	0.2306 0.2266 0.2244 0.2189 0.2169 0.2121	0.2139 0.2095 0.2076 0.2031 0.2001 0.1975	0.2015 0.1968 0.1943 0.1906 0.1879 0.1853	0.1906 0.1863 0.1838 0.1803 0.1782 0.1748	0.1809 0.1774 0.1750 0.1718 0.1689 0.1662

(Table computed by S. M. Lee; 20,000 repetitions)

**Jable A.3** Critical Values of D<sub>9</sub>. SRLE(k) (See Section 3)

0.5484 0.4411 0.4441 0.3890 0.3777 0.3896 0.3796 0.3846 0.3846 0.3846 0.3846 0.3879 0.3865 0.3879 0.3879 0.3975 0.3975 0.3960 0.3960 **\$** 0.5734 0.4591 0.4591 0.3935 0.3956 0.3857 0.3862 0.3878 0.3883 0.3883 0.3883 0.3980 0.3943 0.3943 0.3943 0.39488 0.39488 0.39488 0.39488 0.39488 0.39488 0.39488 0.39488 0 .35 0.3975 0.3975 0.3977 0.3977 0.3977 0.3975 0.3975 0.3975 0.3975 0.3975 0.3975 0.3975 0.3975 0.3975 0.3975 0.3969 0.3977 0.3995 0.3998 8. 0.3998 5917 0 .25 0.63800.7670.47670.47670.47670.43900.41420.41420.41420.403900.40150.40120.40310.40310.40310.40340.405808 .15 0.4148 0.4173 0.4173 0.4107 0.4174 0.4173 0.4133 0.4133 0.4157 0.4138 0.4144 0.4138 9. 0.6907 0.5747 0.5747 0.4791 0.4791 0.4759 0.4176 0.4165 0.4165 0.4153 0.4153 0.5500 0.4707 0.4707 0.4707 0.4781 0.478 0.4358 0.4358 0.4358 0.4358 0.4358 0.4358 0.4287 0.4287 0.4287 0.4281 0.4270 0.4270 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.4273 0.42788 0.42788 0.42788 0.42788 0.42788 0.42788 0.42788 0.42788 0. 8 7176 0.6104 0.7451 0.6633 0.6633 0.6025 0.5497 0.5326 0.5326 0.5049 5 Z 2222233476723232

M. Lee, an improved version of the original table computed s. computed by Smith.) by E.