





Department of the Navy OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Engineering Mechanics Division Arlington, Virginia 22217

X

Contract N00014-78-C-0647 Project NR o64-609 Technical Report No. 29

Report VPI-E-82.19

# THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINITE-ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF LAYERED COMPOSITE STRUCTURES

And a second second

ł

W. C. Chao, N. S. Putcha, and J. N. Reddy Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

July, 1982





Distribution Unlishind

# Part I:

GEOMETRICALLY NONLINEAR ANALYSIS OF LAYERED COMPOSITE SHELLS

W. C. Chao Graduate Research Assistant

# J. N. Reddy

Professor, Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061

# ABSTRACT

Two kinds of finite-element analyses are presented for the investigation of the large deformation phenomenon in laminated composite structures, especially shells. The first kind of finite-element analysis employs the general incremental variational formulation as well as the total Lagrangian description of motion. The element adopted is the "degenerate" three-dimensional element. The second analysis adopts a formulation based on deformable shell theory, and the platebending element is used. Numerical results for bending are presented for plate and shell structures of isotropic as well as orthotropic composition. The results are in good agreement with results available in the literature.

#### INTRODUCTION

The shell is a common load-carrying element in most industrial equipment, especially in aerospace, nuclear and offshore engineering. Although linear models suffice in many aspects of engineering, a linear analysis of shells yields results too gross and inaccurate to be useful. The nonlinear behavior of structures should be taken into account if a thin shell structure design, dictated by economic considerations, is desired. There exist a number of shell finite elements in the literature. A discussion of various elements is given by Gallagher [1].

The degenerate three-dimensional element, originally proposed by Ahmad, Irons and Zienkiewics [2] for linear analysis of thin and thick shells, was applied to problems dealing with the geometrical and material nonlinearities in [3] and [4]. It is now believed that this element performs well in shell analysis. Worsak [5] proposed a bilinear degenerate element, and Worsak, et al. [6] developed an eight-node element that has relative displacement degreesof-freedom in place of the cumbersome finite rotations. The derivation of both elements was based on the concept of the degenerate 3-dimensional element model. Gallagher [7], and Brebbia and Connor [8] extended this concept to the geometrically nonlinear usually accompanied by incremental formulations in the analysis of geometric and material nonlinearities in problems of structures; various incremental variational formulations for the total Lagrangian as well as the updated Lagrangian approach are presented in (9,10].

In modeling laminated composite-ensterial shells, Dong, Pister and Taylor [11] formulated a theory of this shells laminated of amisotropic material, which is an extension of the theory developed by Stavsky [12] for laminated amisotropic plates based on Love's first approximation theory of shells. Workatesh and Rao [13] used a doubly curved quadrilateral element to model laminated shells of revolution; there are 12 unknown displacements at each node. Noor [14-16] used a mixed formulation to compare several elements in the linear analysis of laminated shells. Heu, Reddy and Bert [17] employed the shear-deformable element proposed by Reddy [18] to analyse shells composed of bimodular materials. Noor [19] employed the classical shell element that includes the geometric nonlinearity to solve a spherical shell problem. A degenerate element associated with the updated Lagrangian formulation is presented in [20] to solve a cylinder problem.

The present paper deals with the geometrically nonlinear analysis of layered composite shells, using a 3-D degenerate element. For the shear deformable shell element description, see Reddy [21,22].

# A 3-D DEGENERATE ELEMENT FOR LAYERED COMPOSITE SHELLS

Consider the motion of a material body in a Buclidean space, as shown in Figure 1. Here  $G^{t}$ denotes the configuration of the body at time t. To facilitate the description of the kinematics of the continuum at any time, the initial state of the body can be chosen as the reference configuration to which all subsequent configurations are referred to; this is known as the total Lagrangian description. If the coordinates are updated at each incremental time-step, and the kinematics of the continuum is described in terms of the current configuration, the description is called the updated Lagrangian description. It is noted that a load step is used instead of the time step if we are solving the static bending problem.



Figure 1. Description of the motion of a a continuum

. . . . . .

•

Invoking the principle of virtual displacements, one can express the equilibrium equation of the body at time t +  $\Delta t$  as:

$$\int_{\Psi_{o}}^{\xi+\delta\xi} \sum_{j=0}^{\xi+\delta\xi} \sum_{ij=0}^{\xi+\delta\xi} \sum_{j=0}^{\xi+\delta\xi} \sum$$

where the left superscript refers to the configuration at which the quantity is calculated; the left subscript is the configuration to which the calculation is referred,  $S_{ij}$  is the second Piola-Eirchhoff stress tensor and  $c_{ij}$  is the Green-Lagrangian strain tensor defined as

$$\underset{o^{\pm}j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}j,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}j,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}j,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}k,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}k,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}k,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}k,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}k,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}k,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\underset{o^{\pm}k,j^{\pm}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\overset{t+\delta t}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\overset{t+\delta t}}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\overset{t+\delta t}}}{\overset{t+\delta t}{\overset{t+\delta t}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$$

 $T_{i}$  and  $F_{i}$  denote the components of surface and body forces, and  $V_{o}$  and  $A_{o}$  the volume and area, respectively, of the body in the initial configuration. Using the relations

$$o^{t+\Delta t}s_{ij} = b^{t}s_{ij} + o^{s}s_{ij}$$
(3a)

and

where  $S_{ij}$  and  $ou_i$  are the incremental stress and displacement components, we write

$$t + \Delta t_{\varepsilon_{1j}} = t_{\varepsilon_{1j}} + t_{\varepsilon_{1j}}, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$o^{\varepsilon}ij = o^{\varepsilon}ij^{+}o^{\mathsf{n}}ij \tag{5a}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{o}_{i,j}^{\mathsf{u}} + \mathbf{o}_{j,i}^{\mathsf{c}} + \mathbf{o}_{k,i}^{\mathsf{u}} + \mathbf{o}_{k,j}^{\mathsf{u}} + \mathbf{o}_{k,i}^{\mathsf{u}} + \mathbf{o}_{k,i}^{\mathsf{u}} \right) \quad (5b)$$

$$o^{n}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} o^{u}_{k,i} o^{u}_{k,j}$$
 (5c)

The linear constitutive relations are given by

$$o^{S}_{ij} = C_{ijkl} o^{C}_{kl}$$
(6)

The equilibrium equation (1) then becomes

$$\int_{\Psi_{o}} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathbf{ijkl}} \mathbf{o}^{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{kl}} \mathbf{o}^{\delta \mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{ij}} \frac{d\Psi_{o}}{d\Psi_{o}} + \int_{\Psi_{o}} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{ij}} \mathbf{o}^{\delta \mathbf{n}}_{\mathbf{ij}} \frac{d\Psi_{o}}{d\Psi_{o}}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{t} + \Delta \mathbf{t}}{\Psi_{o}} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathbf{ij}} \mathbf{c}^{\delta \mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{ij}} \frac{d\Psi_{o}}{\Phi_{o}}$$
(7)

which is a monlinear equation in terms of the incremental displacements u, v and w. For the degenerate element (see [23]) this equation can be cast in terms of the middle surface modal variables  $u_0$ ,  $v_0$ ,  $v_0$ , a and 8. In matrix form, we have

$$([K_{T}] + [K_{HT}])[u] = - \{R\} + \{F\}$$
 (8)

where  $[K_{\rm H}]$ ,  $[K_{\rm HI}]$  and [R] are the linear stiffness matrix, nonlinear stiffness matrix and unbalanced terms, respectively;  $\{T\}$  is the forcing term from external loading. To solve these equations, the Newton-Raphson or the modified Newton-Raphson method can be used. If the  $[K_{\rm HI}]$  is updated after each iteration, one has the Newton-Raphson method. When the  $[K_{\rm HI}]$  is kept constant during each step, one has the Modified Newton-Raphson method.

# NUMERICAL RESULTS

The finite-element formulation presented herein includes the transverse shear effect, although we have assumed that the straight line normal to the mid-plane before deformation remains straight after deformation. The reduced integration technique is used in the numerical integration. Due to the biaxial symmetry in the problems considered, only a quarter domain is considered. A 2x2 mesh of 8-mode and 9-mode elements are employed in this analysis.

# PROBLEM 1: Laminated Composite Plates

A simply supported, 2-layer crossply square plate made of graphite-epoxy material subjected to a uniformly distributed load is analysed. The material properties are

$$E_1/E_2 = 40$$
,  $G_{12}/E_2 = 0.5$ ,  $v_{12} = 0.25$  (9)

and the boundary conditions are

$$w = \psi_{x} = 0$$
 at  $y = 0, a$ , (10)  
 $w = \psi_{y} = 0$  at  $x = 0, a$ ,

The numerical solutions for both elements are presented in Table 1. It is clear that the DST predicts lower deflections than the 3-D theory.

### Table 1. Comparison of the nonlinear center deflection (w/h) of Problem 1 obtained by the 3-D degenerate and shell elements.

•							
2	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	

3-D 1.0414 1.6431 2.0604 2.3871 2.6595 2.8955

$$P = \{P_0(a/h)^{n}/E_2\} = 10^{-2},$$

3-D = degenerate 3-D element

DST - deformable shell (2-D) theory [21]

### PROBLEM 2: Isotropic Cylindrical Panel With Uniform Loading

Consider the circular cylindrical panel shown in Figure 2. The panel is clamped along all four edges, and is subjected to uniform normal loading. A 3x3 mesh of 9-mode elements is used. The dimensional center deflections obtained by both elements are listed in Table 2. Once again we note that the DET element predicts lower deflections.

.....

. .





Figure 2. Cylindrical panel used in Problems 2 and 3

Table 2. Comparison of the nonlinear center deflection w (in um) of Problem 2 obtained by the 3-D degenerate element and shell element

P (kN/m <sup>2</sup> )	1.0	1.5	1.75	2.0	2.25	2.50
3-D	1,4117	2.6131	6.3165	7.6686	8.6041	9.3426
DST	1.3827	3.8828	5.9449	7.5132	8.546	9.2949

#### PROBLEM 3: Hinged Circular Cylindrical Fanel With Pin Load

Using the same geometry of the panel in Problem 2, but with thickness 12.7mm and boundary conditions such that the curved edges are hinged and the straight edges are free. A concentrated normal loading is applied at the center. Table 3 contains the numerical results:

Table 3: Comparison of the nonlinear center deflection w (in um) in Problem 3 obtained by the 3-D degenerate element and shell element

P (kN)	0.5	1.0	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.2
3-D DST	1.3784	2.9786 2.9591	4.9390 4.9088	5.8965	7.719	9.7715 9.4931

#### PROBLEM 4: Lominated Composite Spherical Shell With Uniform Loads

Consider a 9-layered cross-ply laminated shall of graphite-epoxy (see Figure 3). The four edges are simply supported; i.e.,

$$u = w = \psi_{\pi} = 0 \text{ at } y = 0, a,$$
 (11)  
 $v = w = \psi_{y} = 0 \text{ at } x = 0, a.$ 

A uniform inward loading is applied on the shell. The numerical results are tabulated in Table 4. The present results compare very well with those of Noor [19]. In this case, the DST element predicts larger deflections while Noor's mixed element predicts lower deflections than those predicted by the 3-D degenerate element.



10 - --- 41

STOREST



# Table 4. Comparison of the momlinear centerdeflection (w/h) for Problem 4 obtained by various investigators

P	2	4	5	6	8	10
DST	0.70918	1.8591	_	2.8299	3-4240	3.8804
3-D	0.69053	1.7607	-	2.7649	3.4170	3.8897
NOOR	0.6806	1.741	2.305		3.436	3.916

ROBLEM	5:	Laminated Cylindrical Panel With Uniform	
		Loading	

The same shell geometry as in Problem 3, but with 2 layers  $\{0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}\}$  is used. The material is graphice-epoxy and all four edges are simply supported, i.e.,

$$u = w = \psi_{x} = 0$$
 at  $y = 0, a,$  (12)

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{\psi}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}$$
 at  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}$ .

The results are compared in Table 5.

#### Table 5. Comparison of the nonlinear centerdeflection (w/h) of Problem 5 obtained by the 3-D degenerate element and shell element.

$\hat{\mathbf{P}} = (\frac{\mathbf{P}_0 \mathbf{e}^4}{\mathbf{E}_2 \mathbf{h}^4})$	) 50	100	200	300	350
DST	0.6326	1.2533	1.9739	2.3988	-
3-D	0.6014	1.2340	1.9812	2.4317	2.471

#### ACKNOWLEDGENENTS

P

The results presented in this paper were obtained during an investigation supported, in part, by the Structural Research Section of NASA/Lawis through Grant NAG 3-208 and Engineering Mechanics Division of OWR through Contract NOO014-78-C-0647. The encouragement and support of this work by Dr. Christo C. Chamis (NASA/Lawis) is gratefully acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

. . .

 Gallagher, R. H., "Problems and Progress in Thin Shell Figite Element Analysis," Finite Elements for Thin Shells, D. G. Ashwell and R. H. Gallagher eds., Wiley, New York, 1976, pp. 1-14.

----

18. . . .

 $\sim$ 

- Ahmad, S., Irons, B. M., and Zienkiewicz, O. C., "Analysis of Thick and Thin Shell Structures by Curved Elements," Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng., Vol. 2, 1970, pp. 419-451.
- Frakeland, B., "Nonlinear Analysis of Shells Using Engemerated Isoparametric Elements," Int. Conf. on Finite Elements in Nonlinear Solid and Struct. Mech. at Geilo, Norway, Aug. 1977, pp. 265-284.
- 4. Ramm, E., "A Plate Shell Element for Large Deflections and Rotations," Formulations and Computational Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, Bathe, Oden and Wunderlick (eds.), MIT Press, Cambridge, 1977.
- Worsak, K. N., "A Simple and Efficient Finite Element for General Shell Analysis," Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng., Vol. 14, 1979, pp. 179-200.
- 6. Worsak, K. N., Taylor, R. L., and Hughes, T. J. R., "A Large Deformation Formulation for Shell Analysis by the Finite Element Method," Comp. and Struct., Vol. 13, 1981, pp. 19-27.
- Gallagher, E. H., "Finite Elements in Nonlinear Mechanics," Int. Conf. on Finite Elements in Nonlinear Solid and Struct. Mech. at Geilo, Norway, 1977.
- Brebbia, C. and Connor, J., "Geometrically Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis," Proc. ASCE, J. Engng. Mech. Div., 1969, pp. 463-483.
- 9. Horrigmoe, G. and Bergan, P. G., "Incremental Variational Principles and Finite Element Models for Nonlinear Problems," Comp. Meth. in Appl. Mech. and Engng., Vol. 7, 1977, pp. 201-217.
- Wünderlich, W., "Incremental Formulations for Geometrically Nonlinear Problems," Formulations and Computational Algorithms in Finite Element Analysis, Bathe, Oden and Wunderlich, eds., 1977, pp. 193-239.
- Dong, S. B., Pister, K. S., and Taylor, R. L., "On the Theory of Laminated Anisotropic Shells and Plates," J. of Aerospace Science, Vol. 29, 1962, pp. 969-975.
- Stavsky, Y., "Bending and Stretching of Leminated Anisotropic Plates," J. of the Engng. Mech. Div., Vol. 87, EM6, 1961, p. 31.
- Venkstesh, A. and Eso, K. P., "A Doubly Curved Quadrilateral Finite Element for the Analysis of Laminated Anisotropic Thin Shells of Revolution," Computers and Structures, Vol. 12, 1980, pp. 825-832.
- 14. Noor, A. K., and Mathers, N. D., "Shear-Flexible Finite Element Models of Laminated Composite Flates and Shells," NASA TN D-8044, Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA.
- Noor, A. K., and Camin, R. A., "Symmetry Considerations for Amisotropic Shells," Comp. Meth. in Appl. Mach. and Engag., Vol. 9, 1976, pp. 317-335.
- Noor, A. R., and Anderson, C. H., "Mixed Isoparametric Finite Element Hodels of Laminated Composite Shells," Comp. Nath. in Appl. Mach. and Engag., Vol. 11, 1977, pp. 255-280.

- 17. Heu, Y. S., Reddy, J. N. and Part, C. W., "Thermoelasticity of Circular Cylindrical Shells Laminated of Eimodular Composite Materials," J. of Thermal Stresses, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1981, pp. 155-177.
- Reddy, J. N., "A Penalty Plate Bending Element for the Analysis of Laminated Anisotropic Composite Plates," Int. J. for Num. Meth. in Engng., Vol. 15, 1980, pp. 1187-1206.
- Noor, A. K., and Hartley, S. J., "Nonlinear Shell Analysis Via Mixed Isoparametric Elements," Comp. and Struct., Vol. 7, 1977, pp. 615-626.
- Chang, T. Y., and Savaniphakdi, K., "Large Deformation Analysis of Laminated Shells by Finite Element Mathod," Comp. and Struct., Vol. 13, 1981, pp. 331-340.
- Reddy, J. N., "A Finite-Element Analysis of Large-Deflection Bending of Leminsted Anisotropic Shells," Noulinear Finite Element Analysis of Plates and Shells, AMD-Vol. 48, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, New York, 1981, pp. 249-264.
- 22. Reddy, J. N., "Bending of Laminated Anisotropic Shells by a Shear Deformable Finite Element," Fibre Science and Technology, 1982 (to appear).
- Chao, W. C., and Reddy, J. N., "Large Deformation Bending of Laminated Composite Shells Using a 3-D Degenerate Element." Report, Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics, VPI&SU, Blacksburg, VA, 1982.

Part II: THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINITE-ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF LAYERED COMPOSITE PLATES

N. S. Putcha Graduate Research Assistant

#### J. M Reddy

Professor, Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061

دوميمية الالامون والمادات وال

# ABSTRACT

Besults of a preliminary study of the threedimensional, geometrically nonlinear, finite-element analysis of the bending of laminated anisotropic composite plates are presented. Individual Laminas are assumed to be homogeneous, transversely isotropic, and linearly elastic. The investigation utilises a fully three-dimensional isoparametric finite element with eight nodes (i.e., linear element) and 24 degrees of freedom (three displacement components per mode). Numerical results of the linear analysis are compared with the exact solutions obtained by Pagano. The present results converged to the exact solution as mesh is refined. Due to lack of space, results of the nonlinear analysis were not included here; the results will appear elsewhere.

#### INTRODUCTION

The development of simple finite elements for the analysis of plates has been a subject of continued interest among researchers of computational solid mechanics. Most of the currently available elements are based on two-dimensional thin-plate theory in which the plane sections normal to the midsurface before deformation are assumed to remain straight and normal to the midsurface after deformation (1.e., transverse shear strains are assumed to be zero) and thick-plate theory that accounts for constant or linear variations of transverse shear strains through the thickness. With the increased use of advanced fiber composite materials in aerospace and automotive structures, a more accurate prediction of stresses in composite plates is needed. Composite materials exhibit higher stiffness-to-weight ratios and increased corrosion resistance compared to isotropic materials. The anisotropic material properties of layered composites can be varied by varying the fibre orientation and stacking sequence. While this feature gives the designer an added degree of flexibility, the stiffness mismetch of the orthotropic layers bonded together with different fiber orientations leads to interlaminer stresses in the vicinity of free edges. For certain stacking sequences, loading, and boundary conditions interlaminar stresses can be so large that they dictate the design of the structure. An accurate prediction of the interlaminar stresses is possible only when a three-dimensional theory is used.

Previous analyses of layered composite plates can be divided into two groups: (1) analyses based on a lamination theory, and (11) analyses based on a fully three-dimensional theory. The lamination theory is an extension of the classical plate theory (CPT) or the Reisener-Hindlin shear-deformable plate theory (SDT) to layered composite plates. The first lamination theory is apparently due to Reisener and Staveky [1]. Yang, Morris, and Staveky [2] presented a generalization of the Reisener-Hindlin thick-plate

.

theory for homogeneous, isotropic plates to arbitrarily laminated anisotropic plates. Whitney and Pagano [3] (also see Reddy and Chao [4]) presented closed-form solutions to the theory when applied to certain cross-ply and angle-ply rectangular plates. Reddy [5] presented a finite-element analysis of the lamination theory. A higher-order lamination theory that accounts for a cubic variation (as opposed to linear in [2-5]) of the inplane displacements and quadratic variation of the transverse displacement through the thickness is presented by Lo, Christensen, and Wu [6], and hybrid-stress finite-element analysis of the theory is presented by Spilker [7]. A generalisation of the you Karman nonlinear plate theory of isotropic plates to anisotropic plates is due to Ebcioglu [8]. Chia and his colleagues [9,10] presented approximate numerical solutions, using the perturbation method and the Galerkin method, of the von Karman equations associated with layered composite plates. Recently, Reddy and Chao [11,12] presented finite-element analyses of the same equations.

In the Ismination theory it is assumed that the laminate is in a state of plane stress (an assumption carried from the plate theory) and integrals through the thickness of a laminate are equal to the sum of integrals through the thickness of individual laminate. These assumptions lead to inaccurate prediction of interlaminar stresses at the free edges; sway from free edges the lamination theory solutions are very accurate. Thus the lamination theory is not accurate in a boundary layer region which extends inward from the edge to a distance approximately equal to the laminate thickness.

The fully three-dimensional theory is nothing but the linear elasticity theory of a three-dimensional solid. Pipes and Pagano [13] and Pipes [14] used a finite-difference technique to solve the quasi-threedimensional elasticity equations for laminates (also see Hou and Herakovich [15]). Lin [16], Dana [17], and Dans and Barker [18] used a cubic, threedimensional, isoparametric element with 72 degrees of freedom to analyze lamineted plates. The numerical results in these studies agree very well with those of Pagano [19,20]. The studies were confined to geometrically (as well as materially) linear analyses. Recently, Griffin, Kamat, and Herakovich [21] investigated three-dimensional inelastic (i.e., meterial nonlinearity) finite-element analysis of laminated composites, while Brockman [22] developed a finite-element program (called MAGNA) for threedimensional, geometrically, nonlinear, analysis of isotropic plates.

The present study is motivated by the lack of finite-element results for three-dimensional, geometrically monlinear, analysis of layered composite plates. The present paper gives a finite-element formulation of the monlinear equations governing a

• •

linearly elastic, 3-D continuum. Numerical results of the linear analysis are presented for several problems and the linear formulation is validated by comparing the present results with those of Pagano [19,20] and others. Results of the nonlinear analysis will appear elsewhere.

#### Governing Equations

.

Consider a laminate (2) composed of N orthotropic layeres with axes of elastic symmetry parallel to the plate axes. The laminate is subjected to normal

traction  $t_3 = q(x_1, x_2)$  at its upper surface (i.e.,  $x_3 = h/2$ ). The constitutive equations for any layer are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{33} \\ \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & q_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q_{12} & q_{22} & q_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q_{13} & q_{23} & q_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{55} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{22} \\ \varepsilon_{33} \\ z_{23} \\ z_{13} \\ z_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the governing field equations are given by (in the absence of body forces)

$$[\sigma_{ij}(\delta_{ui} + u_{u,i})]_{,j} = 0$$
 (2)

where  $\sigma_{ij}$  and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  are the rectangular Cartesian

components of the stress and strain tensors, respectively, and  $Q_{\underline{i}\,\underline{j}}$  are the material properties of a

lamins in the laminate coordinates. In (2) the summation convention on repeated indices is used. The strain-displacement equations are given by

$$c_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ u_{i,j} + u_{j,i} + u_{n,i} u_{n,j} \right]$$
(3)

To complete the description of the field equations, Eqs. (1)-(3) should be adjoined by boundary conditions. At any point of the boundary of the plate one should specify one of the following two types of boundary conditions:

(i) essential (or geometric) boundary conditions

$$u_i = u_i$$
 (4)a

(ii) natural (or dynamic) boundary conditions

$$t_{m} = n_{j} \sigma_{ij} (\delta_{mi} + u_{m,i}) = t_{m}, \qquad (4)b$$

# Finite-Element Formulation

Here we present a displacement finite-element model of the equations (1)-(4). To this end we construct the variational formulation of the equations over an arbitrary element  $\Omega^{(a)}$  of the finite-element mesh. We have (see [23, p. 362])

$$\underset{\Omega}{\overset{0=\int}{\overset{\left[\left(\delta u_{\mathbf{n}},j\left[\sigma_{1j}\left(\delta_{\mathbf{n}1}+u_{\mathbf{n}},i\right)\right]dx_{1}dx_{2}dx_{3}\right]-\int}{\overset{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}}\delta u_{\mathbf{n}}ds}}}$$

Here 6 denotes the variational symbol, and  $\sigma_{\pm\pm}$  is

given in terms of u<sub>i</sub> via Eqs. (1) and (3):

$$\sigma_{ij} = \overline{Q}_{ijmn} \epsilon_{mn} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{Q}_{ijmn} [u_{n,n} + u_{n,m} + u_{k,m} u_{k,m}] \quad (6)$$
where

$$\overline{Q}_{ij}, if i = u, j = n$$

$$\overline{Q}_{ijun} = 0, otherwise.$$
(7)

Next, we assume that the displacements u are interpolated by expressions of the form,

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & &$$

where  $\psi_{\alpha}(x,y,z)$  ( $\alpha = 1,2,\ldots,8$ ) are the trilinear interpolation functions of the eight-mode isoparametric element in three dimensions. Substituting Eq. (8) into (5), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 8 \\ \Sigma & \Sigma & \Sigma \\ n = 1 \\ \beta = 1 \end{array} \\ \beta = 1 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \beta = 0 \\ \alpha = 0 \\$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}_{ab}^{mn} = \int_{\Omega(\mathbf{e})} \frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}} \left\{ \sigma_{nj} \left( \delta_{mn} + \frac{\delta}{\beta = 1} \frac{\upsilon_{\beta}}{\upsilon_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \psi_{\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{n}} \right) d\mathbf{x}_{1} d\mathbf{x}_{2} d\mathbf{x}_{3} \\
\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^{m} = \int_{\Gamma(\mathbf{e})} \mathbf{t}_{m} \psi_{\alpha} d\mathbf{e} , (\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} = 1, 2, 3) \quad (10)$$

Every isoparametric finite element  $\hat{u}^{(e)}$  of the finite-element mesh can be generated from the master element (which is a cube of side 2) via the transformation (see Figure 1)

$$= \mathbf{x}_{i}^{(\xi_{1},\xi_{2},\xi_{3})}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{8} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\phi_{\alpha}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2},\xi_{3}) \quad (i = 1,2,3) \quad (11)$$

where  $x_1^{\alpha}$  are the global coordinates of the element nodes. Therefore, the integrals in Eq. (10) can be transformed to the master element and evaluated numerically using the Gauss quadrature. The

cumerically using the value  $\frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}$   $\frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial \xi}$  is performed as follows (see Reddy [24]):  $\frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{1}}$  to  $\frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial \xi}$  is performed as follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{1}} = [J]^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha}}{\partial \xi_{1}} \right\} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{1}} = 3\pi 1 \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2} \partial x_{2}} = (\det [J]) d\xi_{1} d\xi_{2} d\xi_{3} \end{cases}$$
(12)a

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} J \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \xi_1} & \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial \xi_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \psi_8}{\partial \xi_1} \\ \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \xi_2} & \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial \xi_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \psi_8}{\partial \xi_2} \\ \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial \xi_3} & \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial \xi_3} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \psi_8}{\partial \xi_3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 & x_1^1 & x_1^1 \\ x_1^1 & x_2^2 & x_3^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_1^8 & x_2^8 & x_3^8 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
3x8 8 8x3

(12)b

For example, consider

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \psi_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial \psi_{\beta}}{\partial x_{j}} dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{3} = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{r_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2},\xi_{3}) d\xi_{1} d\xi_{2} d\xi_{3}}{\int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{r_{\alpha\beta}}{-1} \frac{r_{\alpha\beta}}{-1} (\xi_{1},\xi_{2},\xi_{3}) d\xi_{1} d\xi_{2} d\xi_{3}} d\xi_{3} d\xi_{3} d\xi_{4} d\xi_{4} d\xi_{5} d\xi_{$$

where  $P_{I}$  and  $W_{I}$  are the Gauss points and weights, respectively, and the integrand  $P_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$  (i,j = 1,2,3;  $\alpha,\beta$  = 1,2,...,8) is given by

 $J_{kl}^{*}$  being the elements of the inverse of the Jacobian matrix, [J]. This procedure can be implemented on a digital computer, and the element coefficient matrices in Eq. (10) can be evaluated numerically. The injul Gauss quadrature was used to evaluate the coefficients of  $Q_{44}$ ,  $Q_{55}$ , and 2x2x2 Gauss rule was used to integrate all other terms.

Since the coefficient matrix [K] depends on the unkown solution vector  $\{u\}$ , one should employ an iterative solution procedure to solve the finiteelement equations. Here we use the Picard type iterative technique, which begins with an assumed displacement field (usually, set to sero to obtain the linear solution) to compute [K] at the beginning of the first iteration. In subsequent iterations, the solution obtained from the previous iterations is used to compute [K]. The iteration is continued until the solutions obtained in two consecutive iterations differ by a preassigned error margin (say,  $10^{-6}$ ).

# Discussion of the Humerical Results

Here we present results of the linear analysis of three test cases that have been analysed by Pagano [20]:

- 1. A symmetric three-ply square (a = b) laminate with direction 1 of material principal axes coincides with the  $x_1$ -direction in the outer layers and direction 2 is parallel to the  $x_1$ axis in the center layer. The layers are of equal thickness.
- The same lamination geometry as in (1) above, except that b = 3e.
- A square (a = b) sandwich plate with the thickness of the face sheets equal to h/10, where h is the total thickness of the lominate.

In all three cases, the loading is assumed to be sinusoidal (the coordinate system is taken at the center of the plate).

$$\hat{t}_3 \equiv q(x_1, x_2) = q_0 \cos \frac{\pi x_1}{4} \cos \frac{\pi x_2}{b}$$
 (15)

- 3-1 ad a min

and the boundary conditions are of the simply supported type which allow normal displacement on the boundary, but prevent tangential displacement. For a quarter plate these imply

At 
$$x_1 = a/2$$
:  $\hat{u}_2 = \hat{u}_3 = \hat{t}_x = 0$   
At  $x_1 = 0$ :  $\hat{u}_1 = 0$ ,  $\hat{t}_y = 0$ ,  $\hat{t}_g = 0$   
At  $x_2 = b/2$ :  $\hat{u}_1 = \hat{u}_3 = \hat{t}_y = 0$   
At  $x_2 = 0$ :  $\hat{u}_2 = 0$ ,  $\hat{t}_x = 0$ ,  $\hat{t}_g = 0$ .  
(16)

The material of the laminae in cases 1 and 2 and face sheets in case 3 is assumed to have the following values for the material coefficients:

$$E_{1} = 1.724 \times 10^{8} \text{ kM/m}^{2} (25 \times 10^{6} \text{ psi})$$

$$E_{2} = E_{3} = 6.89 \times 10^{6} \text{ kM/m}^{2} (10^{6} \text{ psi})$$

$$G_{12} = G_{13} = 3.45 \times 10^{6} \text{ kM/m}^{2} (0.5 \times 10^{6} \text{ psi})$$

$$G_{23} = 13.78 \times 10^{6} \text{ kM/m}^{2} (0.2 \times 10^{6} \text{ psi})$$

$$v_{12} = v_{31} = v_{32} = 0.25 \qquad (17)$$

The properties of the core material in case 3 are given by

$$E_1 = E_2 = 0.275 \times 10^6 \text{ kH/m}^2 (0.04 \times 10^6 \text{ psi})$$

$$E_3 = 3.45 \times 10^6 \text{ kH/m}^2 (0.5 \times 10^6 \text{ psi})$$

$$G_{13} = G_{23} = 0.413 \times 10^6 \text{ kH/m}^2 (0.06 \times 10^6 \text{ psi})$$

$$G_{12} = 0.11 \times 10^6 \text{ kH/m}^2 (0.016 \times 10^6 \text{ psi})$$

 $v_{12} = v_{31} = v_{32} = 0.25$  (18)

The following normalisations are used to present the results:

$$(\overline{\sigma}_{1}, \overline{\sigma}_{2}, \overline{\sigma}_{12}) = \frac{h^{2}}{q_{0}a^{2}} (\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{12})$$

$$(\overline{\sigma}_{23}, \overline{\sigma}_{13}) = \frac{h}{q_{0}a} (\sigma_{23}, \sigma_{13})$$

$$\overline{u}_{1} = \frac{\mathbf{E}_{2}h^{2}}{q_{0}a^{3}} u_{1} , \quad \overline{u}_{3} = \frac{(\mathbf{E}_{2}h^{3} u_{3})10^{2}}{q_{0}a^{4}}$$
(19)

Table 1 contains a comparison of the present finite-element solution (for various meshes) for the transverse center deflection and stresses with the eract solution of Pagano [20] for Case 1. As the mesh is refined, the finite-element solution converges toward the exact solution. Further mesh refinements were not done due to the limitations on computational resources (i.e., storage, computational time, etc.). From an exemination of the results one can see that the finite-element results agree better with the exact solution for increasing values of span-to-depth ratio (s/h). The transverse shear stresses are less accurately predicted than the other stresses.

Similar information is presented in Tables 2 and 3 for Cases 2 and 3, respectively. Figure 2 contains

. . .....

a plot of the transverse shear stress distribution over the thickness for a/h = 10. The plot agrees, qualitatively, very well with the plot presented in Figure 7 of Reference 20 for a/h = 4.

#### Acknowledgements

The work reported herein is supported, in part, by Structural Mechanics Sections of NASA/Lewis (under grant MAG 3-208) and Office of Naval Research (under contract MO0014 78-C-0647). The support is gratefully acknowledged.

# References

- Reissuer, E., and Stavsky, Y., "Bending and stretching of certain types of beterogeneous seolotropic elastic plates," <u>J. Appl. Mach.</u>, Vol. 28, 1961, pp. 402-408.
- Yang, P. C., Norris, C. H., and Stavsky, Y., "Elastic wave propagation in heterogeneous plates," Int. J. Sol. Struct., Vol. 2, 1966, pp. 665-684.
- Whitney, J. M., and Pegano, N. J., "Shear deformation in heterogeneous anisotropic plates," <u>J. Appl. Mach.</u>, Vol. 37, 1970, pp. 1031-1036.
- Reddy, J. N., and Chao, W. C., "A comparison of closed-form and finite element solutions of thick laminated anisotropic rectangular plates," <u>Nuclear</u> <u>Engineering and Design</u>, Vol. 64, 1981, pp. 153-167.
- Reddy, J. N., "A penalty plate-bending element for the analysis of laminated anisotropic composite plates," Int. J. Num. Math. Engng., Vol. 15, 1980, pp. 1187-1206.
- 6. Lo, K. H., Christensen, R. M., and Wu, E. M., "A higher-order theory of plate deformation: part 1, homogeneous plates; part 2, laminated plates," J. <u>Appl. Mech.</u>, Vol. 44, 1977, pp. 663-668, 669-676.
- Spilker, R. L., "High order three-dimensional hybrid-stress elements for thick-plate analysis," <u>Int. J. of Num. Meth. in Engag.</u>, Vol. 17, 1981, pp. 53-69.
- Ebcioglu, I. E., "A large deflection theory of anisotropic plates," <u>Ingenieur-Archiv</u>, Vol. 33, 1964, pp. 396-403.
- Chis, C. Y., and Prabhakara, M. K., "Large deflection of unsymmetric cross-ply plates," J. <u>Hach. Engng. Sci.</u>, Vol. 18, 1976, pp. 179-183.
- Chia, C. Y., and Prabhakara, H. K., "A general mode approach to non-linear flexural vibrations of laminated rectangular plates," <u>J. Appl. Mach.</u> Vol. 45, 1978, pp. 623-628.
- 11. Reddy, J. N., and Chao, W. C., "Non-linear bending of thick rectangular, laminated composite plates," <u>Int. J. Non-linear Mechanics</u>, Vol. 16, 1981, pp. 291-301.
- Reddy, J. H. and Chao, W. C., "Nonlinear oscillations of laminated, anisotropic, rectangular plates," <u>J. Appl. Mech.</u> Vol. 49, 1982, pp. 396-402.

العريف المراجع

- Pipes, R. B., and Pagano, N. J., "Interlaminar strasses in composite laminates under uniform axial extension," <u>J. Composite Materials</u>, Vol. 4, 1970, pp. 538-548.
- Pipes, R. B., "Interlaminar stresses in composite lominates," APRL-TE-72-18, 1972.
- Hsu, P. W., and Herakovich, C. T., "Edge effects in angle-ply composite laminates," J. Composite <u>Materials</u>, Vol. 11, 1977, pp. 422-428.
- Lin, F. T., "The finite element analysis of Isminated composites," <u>Ph.D. Thesis</u>, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, December 1971.
- Dana, J. R., "Three dimensional finite element analysis of thick laminated composites - including interlaminar and boundary effects near circular holes," <u>Ph.D. Thesis</u>, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, August 1973.
- Dens, J. R., and Barker, R. M., "Three dimensional analysis for the stress distribution near circular holes in laminated composites," Research report No. VPI-E-74-18, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, August 1974.
- Pagano, N. J., "Exact solutions for composite isminates in cylindrical bending," J. Composite <u>Materials</u>, Vol. 3, 1969, pp. 398-411.
- Pagano, N. J., "Exact solutions for rectangular bidirectional composites and sandwich plates," <u>J.</u> <u>Composite Materials</u>, Vol. 4, 1970, pp. 20-35.
- Griffin, O. H., Kamat, M. P., and Herakovich, C. T., "Three dimensional inelastic finite element analysis of laminated composites," Research report No. VPI-E-80.28, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, November 1980.
- 22. Brockman, R. A., "MAGRA: A finite element system for three-dimensional nonlinear static and dynamic structural analysis," <u>Computers and Structures</u>, Vol. 13, 1981, pp. 415-423.
- Reddy, J. N. and Rasmussen, N. L., <u>Advanced</u> <u>Engineering Analysis</u>, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1982.
- 24. Raddy, J. N., The Finite Element Method: A Variational Approach, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1983 (to appear).
- Panda, S. G., and Matarajan, R., "Finite element analysis of laminated composite plates," <u>Int. J.</u> <u>Num. Meth. Engag.</u>, Vol. 14, 1979, pp. 69-79.
- Newenya, A. S., and Davies, J. D., "Finite element bending analysis of unitilayer plates," <u>Int. J.</u> <u>Num. Heth. Engag.</u>, Vol. 8, 1974, pp. 215-225.

		Normalized		NC	MALIZED STRESS	σ	
ħ	SOURCE	Centre Deflection u <sub>3</sub> (0,0,0)	$\overline{\sigma}_{1}(\frac{0,0,\pm h}{2})$	$\overline{\sigma}_2(\frac{0,0,\pm h}{6})$	σ <sub>13</sub> ( <sup>4</sup> ,0,0)	₫ <sub>23</sub> (0, <u></u> )	$\overline{\sigma}_{12}(\frac{a}{2},\frac{b}{2},\frac{b}{2})$
	Exact: Pagano [20]		1.436 -0.938	0-669 -0-742	0.164	0.2591	-0.0859 0.0702
	Present: FEM						
2	2x2x3	7.5170	0 <b>.988</b> 0 -0.6332	0.5493 -0.6396	0.1350	0.1871	-0.05412 0.04977
_	3x3x3	7.1955	1.025 -0.6680	0•5834 0•6837	0.1520	0.2022	-0.05843 0.05362
	4x4x3	7.0893	1.037 -0.6803	0.5953 -0.6990	0.1384	0.2081	-0.05999 0.05503
	Exact: Pagano [20]		±0.590	0.285 -0.288	0.357	0.1228	+0.0289
	Present: FEM						
10	2x2x3	0.7685	0.5349 -0.5337	0•2756 -0•2791	0.3099	0.07761	-Q.0214 0.02156
	3x3x3	0.76189	0.5636 -0.5627	0•2832 -0•2870	0-3407	0.08255	-0.02300 0.02318
	4x4x3	0.75985	0•5738 -0•5730	0•285 -0•288	0.3521	0-08439	-0.02360 0.02378
	Reddy and Chao [4]	0.669	±0.5499	±0.252	0.406	0.091	+0.0250
	Exact: Pagano [20]		±0.539	±0.181	0.395	0.0828	+0.0213
	Present: FEM						
100	2x2x3	0.41971	±0.4948	±0.1670	0.3425	0.05057	~0.01577 0.01578
	3x3x3	0.43217	±0.5249	±0.1770	0.3735	0.05539	+0.01732
	4z4z3	0.43639	±0.5356	±0.1805	0.3844	0.05716	+0.01789
	Reddy and Chao [4]	0.434	±0.535	±0.179	0.422	0.070	+0.0212
	Classical Plate Theor	7	±0.539	±0.180	0.395	0.0823	+0.0213

Table 1. Comparison of the finite-element results with the exact solution of Case 1



. . . . . . .

.

1

Figure 1. Transformation of the master element to an arbitrary element of a finite-element mesh

<u>ارد الا</u>

•....

J. dillo

.7

•

14 Ja

		Normalized		NC	MALIZED STRESS	ā	
<u>ħ</u>	SOURCE	Centre Deflection $\overline{u}_3(0,0,0)$	$\overline{\sigma}_1(\frac{0,0,\pm h}{2})$	σ <sub>2</sub> ( <u>0,0,±h</u> )	₹ <sub>13</sub> ( <sup>4</sup> ,0,0)	σ <sub>23</sub> (0, <u></u> ,0)	$\overline{\sigma}_{12}(\frac{a}{2},\frac{b}{2},\frac{b}{2})$
	Exact: Pagano [20]	2.82	1.14 -1.10	0.109 -0.119	0.351	0.0334	-0.0269 0.0281
	Present: FEM						
	2 <b>x2x</b> 3	3.0723	0.9577 -0.9151	0.1040 -0.1249	0.2963	0.01355	-0.02027 -0.02241
	3x3x3 (Meshes)	2.9554	0.9897 -0.9493	0.1043 -0.1238	0.3286	0.01425	-0.02105 0.02334
	4x4x3	2.9170	1.0001 -0.9614	0.1048	0.3405	0.01452	-0.02134 0.02368
	Exact: Pagano [20]	0.919	0.726 -0.725	0.0418	0.420	0.0152	-0.0120 0.0123
	Present: FEM						
	2x2x3	0.9208	0.6451 -0.6440	0.0386	0.3586	0.006974	-0.008716 0.009113
10	3x3x3	0.9107	0.6776 -0.6768	0.0393 -0.0432	0.3929	0.007613	-0.009319 0.009744
	4x4x3	0.9076	0.6892 -0.6885	0.0396 -0.0435	0.4054	0.007851	-0.009543 0.009978
	Reddy [5]	0 <b>.802</b>	±0.603	±0.0364			+0.0102
	Panda and Natarajan [25]	0.752	±0.653	±0.0367			-0.0105
	Mawenya and Davies [26]	1.141	±0.685				+0.0141
	Exact: Pagano [20] Present: FEM	0.508	±0.624	±0.0253	0.439	0.0108	+0.0083
	2x2x3	0.48186	±0.5628	0.02355 ~0.02351	0.3759	0.005567	-0.006038 0.006042
100	3x3x3	0.49683	±0.5978	0.02495 ~0.02499	0.4102	0.006208	-0.006639 0.006643
	4 <b>x</b> 4x3	0.50191	±0.6101	0.02526 ~0.02550	0-4227	0.006445	-0.006861 0.006865
	5x5x3	0.50424	±0.6159	<b>±0.0252</b> 7	0•4287	0.006556	-0.006965 0.006970
	Reddy [5]	0.506	±0.603	±0.0253			+0.0080
	Panda and Natarajan [25]	0.505	±0.654	±0.0261			+0.0086
	Mawanya and Davies [26]	0.510	±0.638				+0.0085
	Classical Plate Theory	0.503	±0.623	±0.0252	0.440	0.0108	+0.0083

----

\*3\* ... - **\*\***\*\*\*\*

•

.

いたいとなが

**e**7

ł

Table 2. Comparison of the finite-element results with the exact solution of Case 2

		Normalized		NO	MALIZED STRESS	ō	
ħ	SOURCE	Centre Deflection $\overline{u}_3(0,0,0)$	$\overline{\sigma}_1(\frac{0,0,\pm h}{2})$	$\overline{\sigma}_2(\frac{0,0,\pm h}{2})$	<u><u></u> <u></u> </u>	<u>a</u> 23(0, <u>b</u> ,0)	$\overline{\sigma}_{12}(\frac{a}{2},\frac{b}{2},\frac{b}{2})$
	Exact: Pagano		1.556 -1.512	0.2595 -0.2533	0.239	0.1072	-0.1437 0.1481
•	Present: FEM						
ł	2x2x3	8-588	1.514 -1.570	0.2733 -0.337	0.2125	0.07811	-0.1233 0.1621
	Exact: Pagano		1.153 -1.152	0.1104 -0.1099	0.300	0.0527	-0.0707 0.0717
10	Present: FEM						
	2x2x3	2.3926	1.097 -1.103	0.1112 -0.1211	0.2647	0.03438	-0.06109 0.06718
	Exact: Pagano		±1.098	±0.0550	0.324	0.0297	+0.0437
100	Pesent: FEM						
	2x2x3	0.87133	±1.015	0.05092 -0.05102	0 <b>.2829</b>	0.01828	-0.03629 0.03635
	Classical Plate Theory		±1.097	±0.0543	0.324	0.0295	+0.0433

# Table 3. Comparison of the finite-element results with the exact solution of Case 3



•

)

:

i



REPORT DOCUMENTATIO		READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	2 GOVT ACCESSION NO	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINITE-ELEME	NT ANALYSIS OF	Interim
LAYERED COMPOSITE STRU	CTURES	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		Tech. Report No. 29
AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S)
W.C. Chao, N.S. Putcha,	and J.N. Reddy	N00014-78-C-0647
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRE	SS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Virginia Polytochnia Instituto	(autometrestar)	NR 064-609
University of Oklahoma	(Subcontractor)	Mechanics (structures)
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	6 11 1 D	12. REPORT DATE
Division of Engineering Machan	or Navar Kesearch Ice	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Arlington, Virginia 22217	103	12
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSIT dille	rent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		Unclassified
		15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved unlimited.	d for public relea	ese and sale; distribution
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved unlimited.	d for public relea	use and sale; distribution
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	d for public relea	ese and sale; distribution
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Both parts of the report will a ADVANCES IN AEROSPACE STRUCTURE at the 1982 Winter Annual Meet:	d for public relea red in Block 20, if different fr appear in an Aeros ES AND MATERIALS. ing of ASME, Phoen	om Report) om Report) space Division volume of ASME The papers will be presented ix, Arizona.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract enter Supplementary notes Both parts of the report will a ADVANCES IN AEROSPACE STRUCTUR at the 1982 Winter Annual Meet: KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Composite materials, degenerate analysis, laminates, plates, si	d for public relea red in Block 20, if different fr appear in an Aeros ES AND MATERIALS. ing of ASME, Phoer and identify by block number e three-dimensiona hells, three-dimen	om Report) om Report) space Division volume of ASME The papers will be presented dix, Arizona.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract enter SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Both parts of the report will a ADVANCES IN AEROSPACE STRUCTURE at the 1982 Winter Annual Meet: KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Composite materials, degenerate analysis, laminates, plates, si	d for public relea ted in Block 20, if different fr appear in an Aeros ES AND MATERIALS. ing of ASME, Phoen and identify by block number and identify by block number	se and sale; distribution om Report) space Division volume of ASME The papers will be presented ix, Arizona. ) 1 element, finite-element sional theory of elasticity.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Both parts of the report will a ADVANCES IN AEROSPACE STRUCTURA at the 1982 Winter Annual Meet: KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Composite materials, degeneration analysis, laminates, plates, side FTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary Part 1 of the report is concern ted composite shells by the "direcults agree well with the re- report is concerned with the filaminated anisotropic composi- compare favorably with those p	d for public relea red in Black 20, if different fr appear in an Aeros ES AND MATERIALS. ing of ASME, Phoer and identify by block number e three-dimensions hells, three-dimensions hells, three-dimensions and identify by block number and identify by block number and identify by block number is a statistic to be a statistic to be a statistic to be a statistic to be a statistic to be a statistic resented by Pagance	om Report) om Report) om Report) om Report) om Report) om Report) on Report of ASME The papers will be presented ix, Arizona. of al element, finite-element asional theory of elasticity. of the literature of lamin imensional element. The present in the literature. Part 2 of onal finite-element analysis resent linear analysis results of of lamin of lamin
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Both parts of the report will a ADVANCES IN AEROSPACE STRUCTURA at the 1982 Winter Annual Meet: KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Composite materials, degeneration analysis, laminates, plates, side UTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary Part 1 of the report is concern ted composite shells by the "direcults agree well with the re- report is concerned with the filaminated anisotropic composi- compare favorably with those p	d for public relea appear in an Aeros ES AND MATERIALS. ing of ASME, Phoen and identify by block number and identify by b	e deformation bending of lamin deformation bending of lamin imensional element. The present the literature. Part 2 of the literature analysis result the literature analysis result the literature analysis result

•

ł

•

. .

