

E DE 1.7 1 هن

UNITED STATES ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

NONIONIZING RADIATION PROTECTION SPECIAL STUDY NO. 25-42-0339-82 HELIUM-NEON LASER ASSOCIATED WITH LASERSCOPE® 19 AUGUST 1981



a subject of addition of the Real of the

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

8 H IL 9 107

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) **READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 695 1.1 Λ-A107 25-42-0339-82 4. TITLE (and Subtete) NONIONIZING RADIATION PROTECTION 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED SPECIAL STUDY NO. 25-42-0339-82, HELIUM-NEON LASER Special Study ASSOCIATED WITH LASERSCOPE[®], 19 AUGUST 1981 19 August 1981 5. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 7. AUTHOR(+) 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+) Wesley J. Marshall 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK USA Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 6 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE S. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) A, proved for public release; distribution unlimited. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Helium-Neon Laser Laser Rifle 20. ABSTRACT (Continue an reverse side H recessory and identify by black number) An optical radiation special study of the Laserscope was performed on the Laserscope during August 1981. It was determined that the 2.5 mW unit posed a hazard to the unprotected eye within 10 m even for momentary viewing (0.25 s), The 0.5 mW units did not present this hazard, assuming the output does not exceed 1 mW (no 0.5 mW units were available for measurement). Intrabeam viewing of the 2.5 mW unit should not be permitted within 135 m for lengthy time periods. Intrabeam viewing with optical instruments should not be permitted within 150 m. A DD 1 000 1473 EDITION OF ! NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Mr. Marshall/ldr/AUTOVON u.s. army environmental hygiene agency 584-3932 aberdeen proving ground. Maryland 21010

HSE-RL/WP

20 NOV 1981

SUBJECT: Nonionizing Radiation Protection Special Study No. 25-42-0339-82, Helium-Neon Laser Associated with Laserscope®, 19 August 1981

Director Army Night Vision and Electro-Optics Laboratory Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations follows:

An optical radiation special study of the Laserscope was performed on the Laserscope during August 1981. It was determined that the 2.5 mW unit posed a hazard to the unprotected eye within 10 m even for momentary viewing $(0.25\,3)$. The 0.5 mW units did not present this hazard assuming the output does not exceed 1 mW (no 0.5 mW units were available for measurement). Intrabeam viewing of the 2.5 mW unit should not be permitted within 135 m for lengthy time periods. Intrabeam viewing with optical instruments should not be permitted within 150 m. It was recommended that a warning label be placed on the unit and the above-mentioned distances be observed.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

LR

l Incl as (5 cy) - JOSEPH T. WHITLAW, JR COL, MSC Director, Radiation and Environmental Sciences

CF: HQDA (DASG-PSP) Cdr, DARCOM (DRCSG) Cdr, TRADOC (ATEN-S) Cdr, HSC (HSPA-P) Comdt, AHS (HSA-IPM) Cdr, ERADCOM Cdr, MEDDAC (PVNTMED) Ft Belvoir (2 cy)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

HSE-RL/WP

NONIONIZING RADIATION PROTECTION SPECIAL STUDY NO. 25-42-0339-82 HELIUM-NEON LASER ASSOCIATED WITH LASERSCOPE® 19 AUGUST 1981

1. AUTHORITY. Letter, DELNV-L, Army Night Vision and Electro-Optics Laboratory, 28 July 1981, subject: Request for Hazard Analysis.

2. REFERENCES.

a. Paragraph 2-34a(7), AR 10-5, Department of the Army, Organization and Functions, 1 December 1980.

b. AR 40-46, Control of Health Hazards from Lasers and Other High Intensity Optical Sources, 6 February 1974, with Change 1 dated 15 November 1978.

c. TB MED 279, Control of Hazards to Health from Laser Radiation, 30 May 1975.

d. Message, 281315Z Aug 81, this Agency, subject: Preliminary USAEHA Evaluation of Laserscope.

3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to evaluate possible optical radiation hazards associated with the He-Ne Laser used in the Laserscope, and to make recommendations necessary to eliminate exposure of personnel to potentially hazardous optical radiation from this device.

4. GENERAL.

a. <u>Background</u>. The Laserscope was developed by Laser Devices, Inc., Pacific Grove, CA. The Army Night Vision and Electro-Optics Laboratory requested this Agency to evaluate this device since the US Army Marksmanship Training Unit at Fort Benning expressed interest in it. Only the 2.5 mW unit was delivered to this Agency for evaluation. A photograph of the Laserscope is shown in Figure 1.

b. <u>Inventory</u>. At the time of this study no units had been purchased by the Army.

c. Instrumentation.

(1) United Detector Technology Model 40X Optometer with Radiometric Filter.

Laserscope is a registered trademark of Laser Devices, Inc., Pacific Grove, CA. Use of trademarked names does not imply endorsement by the US Army, but is intended only to assist in identification of a specific product.

Nonionizing Radn Prot Sp Study No. 25-42-0339-82, He-Ne Laser Associated with Laserscope, 19 Aug 81 $\,$

ŗ

٩.

...

. .

.

ţ,




Figure 1. PHOTOGRAPH OF THE LASERSCOPE.

Nonionizing Radn Prot Sp Study No. 25-42-0339-82, He-Ne Laser Associated with Laserscope, 19 Aug 81

(2) Calibrated apertures.

d. <u>Radiometric Terms and Units</u>. The Appendix provides a table of the radiometric and photometric terms and units used in this report.

5. FINDINGS.

a. Laser output parameters.

(1) Power output: LPT 107-2.5 mW, 1.1 mW measured LPT 105-0.5 mW, LPT 106-0.5 mW, not measured.

(2) Beam Diameter: LPT 107 0.63 mm LPT 106 0.63 mm LPT 105 0.63 mm

(3) Divergence: 1.0 mrad, 1.0 mrad at 1/e points measured.

b. <u>Beam Characteristics as a Function of Range</u>. The He-Ne beam spreads rapidly as shown in Figure 2. The irradiance falls below the criteria for momentary viewing within 10 m at the specified output power of 2.5 mW.

c. Warning Label. No warning labels were on the device.

6. DISCUSSION.


a. The Direct Beam.


(1) Momentary viewing. The 2.5 mW Laserscope produced a momentary viewing hazard within 10 m of the laser exit. The 0.5 mW devices did not produce this hazard with the assumption that the output was indeed less than 1 mW (these units were not measured). Since the measured output was 1.1 mW, this device only produced a momentary viewing hazard within 5 m.

(2) Long-Term Viewing. The Laserscope produced a long-term viewing hazard within 135 m for viewing times in excess of 2.8 hours or 18 m for 10 s viewing.

(3) Optically Aided Viewing. The Laserscope produced a momentary hazard for an individual viewing with optical aids such as binoculars or telescopes within 150 m.

b. <u>Specular Reflections</u>. Due to the short hazardous distance of 10 m, specular reflections are of little consequence.







Nonionizing Radn Prot Sp Study No. 25-42-0339-82, He-Ne Laser Associated with Laserscope, 19 Aug 81

c. <u>Diffuse Reflections</u>. Diffuse reflections are not hazardous from this device.

7. CONCLUSION. The Laserscope emits optical radiation in excess of current protection standards. However, this device may be used safely, provided the operators are informed of the hazards and take the appropriate precautions.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS.

ļ

1


a. Install a label, as shown below, on the 2.5 mW device, warning personnel not to point the device at a person's face within 10 m [paragraph 1-5d(1), AR 40-46].



b. Do not look into the laser beam with optical devices within 150 m [paragraph 5-38b(5), AR 40-5].

Nonionizing Radn Prot Sp Study No. 25-42-0339-82, He-Ne Laser Associated with Laserscope, 19 Aug 81

c. Place a Caution Label on the 0.5 mW devices as shown below [paragraph 1-5d(1), AR 40-46].



Wisley J. marchall

5

WESLEY J. MARSHALL Physicist Laser Microwave Division

AP PROVED :

.

MATHAN MATHEWSON, II, Ph.D. MAJ, MSC Chief, Laser Microwave Division

×
h4
\Box
z
LL I
Ф.
۵.
CT.

ł

`

ISFERIL CHE RADIOMETRIC AND PHOTOMETRIC TERMS AND INLITE^{LE 2}

		RADICHETRIC				pitutume_181 c	
Ten	Svahol	Ne fining Fquation	<pre><' Imit and Athreviation</pre>	Tena	od m v2	fæfining Fquation	SI Units and Abbreviation
Radiant Energy	°.		Joute (J)	Muantify of Light	Ś	n, •∫∙vdt	lumen-second (lm·s) (talbot)
Audiant Energy Descrity	*	м в - ВОе	Joule per cubic Meter (J.m ⁻³)	L <mark>um</mark> inous Energy Density	¥2	w • d∩. dv	talbot per square meter (lm·s·m ⁻¹)
Radiant Power (Radiant Flux)	•	و م م	Wert (W)	luminous Flux	•	$\bullet_{V} = -680 \int \frac{d\Phi}{d\lambda} V(\lambda) d\lambda$	lumen (1m)
Radiant Exitance	r *	$\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{\mathbf{d} \mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{d} \mathbf{A}} = \int \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \cos \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \mathbf{d} \mathbf{A}$	Matt per square weter (M-m ⁻²)	Luminous Fiitance	yð	$H_{V} = \frac{d\Phi_{V}}{dA} = \int L_{V} \cos \theta \cdot d\theta$	My = dev = fly.cos0.dd lumen per square meter
Irradiance or Radiant Flux Density (Dose Rate in Photobiology)		وه م مه م	Wa't per square meter (W'm ⁻²)	[[luminence [luminous flux density]	>	E _v • dev	lumen per square meter (1≣-m ⁻²) lux (1x)
Radiant Intensity		* * *	Wa't per steradian W.sr ⁻¹)	Luminous Intensity (candlepower)	-	1 _v = ^{de}	Jumen per steradian (la-sr) or candela (cd)
Radiance		L = 4 ² 6 5 L = 40.4A.cos6	Wa't per steradian and per square teter [W.sr ¹ .m ⁻²]	u m inance	د_	Ly = d ² e5 dr.dA.cnse	candela per square meter (cd·m ⁻¹)
Redient Exposure (Dese,in Pastobiology	=°	ت ۲ ۹۵	Jou le mer square aeter (J-m ⁻²)	L:ght Exposure	<u>,</u>	$H_{,} = \frac{d\Omega_{,}}{dA} = \int E_{y} dt$	lux-second (lx·s)
				Luminous Efficacy (of rediation)	*		lumen per watt (1m-W ⁻¹)
				Luminous Efficiency (of a hroad hand radiation)		V(*) • K K	un tless
Radiant Efficiency ³ (of a source)	-2	د ه ^ـ و	un tless	Luminous fificacui (of a source)	?	• a" • •	lumen ner vatt (1m·m ⁻¹)
Optical Density *	_°	n _e = -log ₁₀ ^T e	un: fless	Antical Rensity	e*	Λ _ν = -Ιοε _τ ν	unitless
The units may be altered to T the term is preceded by the w tworelength interval and the spectral irradiance II, has u	terred to d by the l and th bas	The units may be altered to refer to narrow spectral hands in which case the term is preceded by the wird <i>spectral</i> , and the unit 3 . For example, spectral intradiance H_A has units of $W_{\rm em}^{-2}$, w^{-1} or more orien.	hands in which case nit is then per A. For example reneten, W.cm-2 nn ¹	Retinal Illumainance in Trolands		_> v ^c	troland (td)= lumainance in cd'na ⁻² times punil area in ann ²
2. While the meter is the prefe the most commonly used unit	the pre used uni	Mule the meter is the preferred unit of length, the certimeter is still the most commonly used unit of length for any of the shows terms and	e centimeter is still he above terms and lenoth	7 P ₁ is electrical importance in waits under in waits	Ju jodui	4 Centor	T is the transmission
the numor us are a	iost com	the run or un are most commonly used to express wavelength	length	At the source I		is cose and it a recentor 1 = 40	Uľ

Nonionizing Radn Prot Sp Study No. 25-42-0339-82, He-Ne Laser Associated with Laserscope, 19 Aug 81 $\,$

ţ

; ; _

