



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES ARMY TRAINING AND DOCTRINE COMMAND
Fort Monroe, Virginia 23651

TRADOC Supplement 1 to AR 611-3

14 March 1980

Personnel Selection and Classification ARMY OCCUPATIONAL SURVEY PROGRAM (AOSP)

Further supplementation is permitted, if required. One copy of each supplement issued will be submitted to HQ TRADOC, ATTN: ATTNG-TDI-ORA

AR 611-3, 7 November 1977, as changed, is supplemented as follows:

Page i, Add the following to the table of contents.

Chapter 7.	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES IN SUPPORT OF AOSP	t
AD A O	General	7-1 7-2 7-3 7-4
•	training emphasis	7-5
В.	TRADOC PRIMARY AOSP-POC (ENLISTED MOS) AOSP SURVEY PROPOSAL (SAMPLE)	A-1 B-1
_	FOR SURVEY (SAMPLE)	C-1
	GENERAL GUIDANCE/CRITERIASCHOOL MOS SELECTIONS/PRIORITIZATION	D-1
DIIC E-2.	FOR AOSP STANDARD SURVEY (SAMPLE) SCHOOL REJECTION OF PROPOSED MOS (SAMPLE)	E-1-1 E-2-1
JUN 5 1981 F-1.	SPECIAL FACTOR SURVEY (SAMPLE)	E-3-1
F-2.	(SAMPLE) TRADOC MOS SELECTIONS/PRIORITI ZATION	F-1-1
	(SAMPLE)	F-2-1 G-1
H-1.	REQUIREMENTS	H-1-1
5	CHECKLIST FOR PREPARING SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE ITEM SUBMISSIONS	H-2-1
۱. ۱.	AOSP ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT/COORDINATION PROCESS (MILESTONE CHART)	I-1
44		

406082 6 02

Paragraph

CHAPTER 7 (Added)

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES IN SUPPORT OF AOSP QUESTIONNAIRE DEVELOPMENT

- 7-1. General. This chapter provides guidance pertaining to the scope, responsibilities and respective administrative procedures (management, coordination and submission requirements) within TRADOC in support of the Army Occupational Survey Program (AOSP) (AR 611-3 with Change 1) questionnaire development.
- 7-2. Scope. This chapter is restricted to:
- a. TRADOC Service Schools which are MOS training proponents.
 - b. AOSP survey requirements for enlisted MOS.²
- c. TRADOC administrative procedures in support of the AOSP questionnaire development. This does not include the actual content nor administration/data collection requirements of AOSP questionnaires which are covered by other chapters of the basic regulation.

7-3. Responsibilities.

- a. Commander, TRADOC, will--
- (1) Provide HQ TRADOC point of contact (PCC) on management, coordination and submission of AOSP questionnaire development.
- (2) Provide command guidance/advisory service to TRADOC MOS proponent schools regarding administrative procedures in support of AOSP questionnaire development.
 - b. Commandants, TRADOC schools will--
- (1) Provide single PCC responsible for all administrative requirements (management, coordination, submissions) pertaining to AOSP questionnaire development.

This includes both the conventional AOSP questionnaire and the Special Factor questionnaire.

²Officer AOSP survey requirements are controlled by TRADOC Circular 350-2.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	ンドリ
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TRADOC Suppl 1 to AR 611-3 AD-A099 746	
4. TITLE (and Subsissio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Army Occupational Survey Program (AOSP)	Supplement to Army Regulation
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Dr./Alexander A./Longo	SUPIL-2
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Training Developments Institute ATTN: ATTG-DOR Ft. Monroe, VA 23651	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
(Same as No. 9) (1)(35)	14 March 1980
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
14. MONITORING NOEMET HAME T HOUSE DISTRICT HOUSE COMMON TO SERVE OF THE SER	
(Same as No. 9)	Unclassified
	15a, DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	Accession For
Approved for public release; distribution unlimite	Unannounced [] Justification
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, If different fro	Report) ByDistribution/
· ·	Availability Codes
	Avail and/or Dist Special
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	
	tional Survey Program (ANSP)
Occupational Analysis MOS Survey Special Factor Questionaire Job Survey	
\ Training Factors	
Training Emphasis	
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identity by block number) This document supplements the Army regulation pert	aining to the scope.
responsibilities and administrative procedures (ma	nagement, coordination and
submission requirements) within TRADOC in support Survey Program (AOSP) questionnaire development.	of the Army Occupational Procedures for MOS selection/
prioritization and use of training emphasis questi	onnaire are discussed.
	7

UNCLASSIFIED 408082

(2) Ensure school compliance with delegated administrative procedures pertaining to AOSP questionnaire development.

7-4. Administrative support procedures.

- a. Management functions. TRADOC's responsibilities in support of AOSP questionnaire development are to be met by means of a network of HQ TRADOC/school AOSP-POC. (NOTE: AOSP-POC addressed in this chapter are distinct from TRADOC AOSP Project Officers who are responsible for survey administration/data collection as defined in para 2-4a(5), chap 2). At the school this network includes both a primary (administrative) POC and second-level (development) MOS POC. The basic functions of the AOSP-POC are outlined below.
 - (1) AOSP-PCC network.
- (a) HQ TRADOC AOSP-POC: Represents the Headquarters on all AOSP administrative support matters to include:
- 1. Providing interface, as appropriate, between MILPERCEN/ schools on management, coordination and submission requirements for AOSP questionnaire development (NOTE: Direct school coordination with MILPERCEN on operational aspects of item submission/AOSP questionnaire development is authorized).
- 2. Canvassing/consolidating school appointed primary AOSP POC.
- $\underline{\mathbf{3}}$. Canvassing/consolidating school MOS selections and prioritization for AOSP survey.
- 4. Monitoring/advising schools on AOSP requirements and procedures.
- (b) School primary AOSP-POC: Represents the school's single point of contact on all administrative support matters (management, coordination, submissions) relating to AOSP questionnaire development to include:
- 1. Managing the school's MOS AOSP survey requirements which include:
- a. Timely canvassing, pooling and prioritizing the school's AOSP survey needs, and forwarding survey requirements to TRADOC AOSP-POC in accordance with annual AOSP dragnet guidance.

- <u>b.</u> Ensuring required MOS item submissions are coordinated thoroughly with all interested school departments (i.e., DEV, etc.) and submitted to MILPERCEN in accordance with established suspense and criteria (copy of forwarding letter only to TRADOC AOSP-POC).
- <u>c</u>. Advising MILPERCEN on any changes in MOS selections/ prioritization for AOSP survey (copy of correspondence to TRADOC AOSP-POC).
- d. Advising MILPERCEN on changes in secondary AOSP-POC. (TRADOC notification not necessary.)
- e. Advising TRADOC AOSP-POC on changes in primary AOSP-POC.
- 2. Serving as consultant on AOSP administrative support matters/referring requirements for CODAP report interpretation and/or application for training analysis to appropriate secondary AOSP-POC.
- 3. Serving as central point for transmission/receipt of communications pertaining to administrative support aspects of AOSP questionnaire development (except where secondary AOSP-POC is authorized direct communication with MILPERCEN).
- 4. Maintaining cognizance of all relevant AOSP matters (e.g., policy, procedures, products, Special Factor Questionnaire, etc).
- 5. Maintaining a central repository of AOSP resource materials (e.g., AR 611-3 and C1; AOSP/CODAP Guidelines; proponent MOS item submissions; CODAP related documentation and supporting correspondence; TRADOC guidance on AOSP/CODAP).
- 6. Maintaining an audit trail on actions 1 through 5 above, and all related matters.
- (c) School secondary AOSP-PCC(s): Represent the school on select administrative support matters relating to AOSP questionnaire development and CODAP report analysis/application to include:

- 1. Managing special AOSP survey requirements for a select number of the school's MOS to include:
- a. Coordinating all AOSP survey requirements, for MOS within responsibility, as assigned by the school's primary AOSP-POC.
- b. Advance planning on AOSP survey requirements for delegated MOS.
- c. Designating/prioritizing MOS within PCC purview for AOSP survey.
- d. Deriving AOSP questionnaire item submissions in accordance with criteria/guidance provided.
- e. Forwarding questionnaire item submissions to MILPERCEN (copy to school's primary AOSP-POC; or via school's primary POC, as school prefers).
- 2. Interfacing directly with MILPERCEN occupational analysts to optimize development of the survey questionnaire (e.g., identify special requirement items, review draft survey questionnaire, etc.).
- 3. Insuring school primary AOSP-POC is kept informed of all matters pertaining to school inputs to AOSP (i.e., items 1 a through e above).
- 4. Serving as consultant, for MOS within responsibility, on AOSP questionnaire input/development matters (e.g., MOS selection/prioritization; item development/submission) and CODAP report analysis (e.g., application to training developments).
- 5. Maintaining cognizance of all matters relevant to AOSP questionnaire development including the Special Factor questionnaire.

(Note: Required reading by all school secondary AOSP-POC is contained at (b) 5 above.)

- 6. Maintaining an audit trail on actions (c) $\underline{1}$ through $\underline{5}$ above, and all related matters.
 - (2) AOSP-POC designations:
- (a) HQ TRADOC. Designated AOSP-POC is the Training Developments Institute, Occupational Research and Analysis Division (ATTNG-TDI-ORA).

- (b) Proponent schools.
- l. Primary AOSP-POC. Designated school primary AOSP-POC are contained at appendix A. For sake of preserving institutional memory, the primary AOSP-POC should be a relatively stabilized member of the school staff. It is recommended that this POC be drawn from the Directorate of Training Development (DTD).
- 2. Secondary AOSP-POC. Formal designations are not required for secondary POC. Instead, schools may nominate this MOS POC cadre on an "ad hoc" basis as specific AOSP needs arise.
- 3. Changes in primary/secondary AOSP-POC will be brought to MILPERCEN/HQ TRADOC's attention in accordance with (1)(b)1 d and e above.
- Coordination requirements. The functions of the HQ TRADOC/school AOSP-POC network are all geared toward derivation of TRADOC's major product input to AOSP, the MOS item In turn, these item submissions are provided to MILPERCEN for development of the AOSP survey questionnaire. Prior to the school's derivation of MOS item submissions, other intermediate tasks must be accomplished, each of which requires the coordinated efforts of DA, HQ TRADOC and the schools. Included in these additional tasks are the MOS survey selections and prioritization. Generalized procedures pertaining to TRADOC's input products to AOSP and the close interface required among the three respective command levels are outlined below. In order to preclude this process from becoming a routine annual exercise, special emphasis is given to the need for greater school involvement in determining/reviewing what its AOSP survey needs are on a continuing basis. The establishment of a school AOSP-POC network (primary/secondary) and definition of their functions should greatly facilitate this requirement.
- (1) Scenario. MILPERCEN requires TRADOC to conduct an annual dragnet among its schools in order to update the MILPERCEN survey schedule and prioritize its workload accordingly. Normally, this dragnet will commence in January each year. A generalized scenario regarding AOSP administrative support procedures and required inter/intra command coordination are outlined below.

See para 7-5b(1) for scenario on Training Emphasis questionnaire. (Differences in administrative demands between the standard AOSP and Special Factor questionnaires require separate treatment of their policy and procedures.)

- (a) HQ TRADOC receives AOSP Survey Proposal from MILPERCEN commencing upcoming dragnet of MOS for survey (approximately January each year) (sample at app B).
- (b) HQ TRADOC insures that MOS proposed are identified by school, and transmits latter (with additional TRADOC guidance) to all MOS proponent schools (ATTN: School Primary AOSP-POC). TRADOC requests schools to concur or otherwise modify (add/delete) proposal to reflect their projected MOS survey needs within next survey year (sample at app C).

NOTE: Schools must exercise advanced periodic review of their MOS survey needs in order to be adequately prepared for the annual MILPERCEN AOSP dragnet.

- (c) School primary AOSP-POC forwards the AOSP survey proposal (including any additional guidance if necessary) to appropriate secondary AOSP-POC(s), preselected as subject matter experts (SME) responsible for all AOSP requirements for given MOS.
- (d) School secondary AOSP-POC(s) coordinate respective MOS section replies (concur, add, delete) to AOSP's Survey Proposal; effect section's final recommendations for survey in accordance with general criteria/restrictions provided in section I, appendix D, and prioritize their MOS selections in chronological order of date (month/year) when school needs CODAP reports to perform its given training developments mission. (NOTE: Schools may define other criteria to justify inclusion of MOS for survey. However, prioritization for AOSP survey will be based solely on the date CODAP reports are desired, recognizing the restriction that the AOSP process is predicated on a 12-month cycle (approx) from the date a school submits its MOS item submissions until CODAP reports can be provided to the school.)
- (e) School secondary AOSP-POC(s) forward the AOSP MOS selections/prioritization to the school primary AOSP-POC. This input must include MOS code, date (month/year) CODAP report required, date (month/year) MOS item submissions will be provided (i.e., 12 months prior to date requested for CODAP report), and a short justification statement, i.e., why add/delete MOS from DA listing of proposed MOS for

survey, why suggest MOS for survey, etc., (samples at app E-1 and E-2).

- (f) School primary AOSP-POC reviews all input for compliance with guidance provided; interacts with secondary AOSP-POC(s) as necessary; consolidates school's MOS AOSP input; and, forwards consolidated school listing of MOS selections/prioritization to HQ TRADOC AOSP-POC (ATTN: ATTNG-TDI-ORA).
- (g) HQ TRADOC AOSP-POC reviews school MOS selection/prioritization inputs for compliance with guidance provided; interacts with school primary AOSP-POC as necessary; consolidates school input; and, forwards consolidated listing of MOS selections/prioritization to DA, MILPERCEN (sample at app F-1).
- (h) HQ TRADOC receives new AOSP schedule from MILPERCEN verifying selected MOS for survey, order of priority and date when item submissions are due (sample at app G).
- (i) HQ TRADOC forwards final AOSP Schedule to school primary AOSP-POC confirming MOS selected for survey, order of priority and dates when item submissions are due.
- (j) School primary AOSP-POC forwards AOSP Schedule to secondary AOSP-POC(s) for action required to develop/deliver respective MOS item submissions in accordance with prioritized suspense dates (item submissions due one year prior to requested CODAP date) and guidelines for item submissions (app H-1 and H-2). For more discussion see also "AOSP manual, Guidelines for Preparing Questionnaire Item Submissions 1980" (Revised).
- (k) School secondary/primary AOSP-POC insure respective MOS item submission(s) are forwarded to MILPERCEN (copy of transmittal letter only to HQ TRADOC AOSP-POC). (Note: Schools will determine whether item submissions are to be forwarded with copy to, via, or from school primary AOSP POC.) As required by a(1)(b) $\frac{1}{2}$ b above, the primary AOSP-POC is ultimately responsible to ensure delivery of item submissions to MILPERCEN.

- (2) Administrative support milestones. In order to clarify TRADOC's administrative procedures in support of AOSP questionnaire development, a generalized milestone chart of key coordination actions/products is provided (app I). The chart complements the scenario outlined at b(1) above.
- c. School AOSP output products. In résumé, the major output products derived by MOS proponent schools in support of AOSP are listed below:
- (1) Appointment of school primary AOSP-POC (with alternate).
 - (2) Appointment of school secondary AOSP-POC(s).
- (3) School MOS selections for AOSP survey (Standard/Special Factor).
- (4) School MOS prioritization for AOSP survey (Standard/Special Factor).
 - (5) School MOS questionnaire item submissions.

7-5. Special Factor questionnaire: training emphasis.

Purpose. Besides the standard AOSP questionnaire and its companion CODAP reports which have come to serve the needs of both Army personnel and training managers, the Special Factor (or Training Emphasis) questionnaire has been adopted to serve the special needs of training managers/ developers. While this questionnaire has the potential of being adapted to multiple factors, it presently is restricted to measuring a single factor, "training emphasis". Research findings indicate this factor alone substitutes quite well in place of several other factors (e.g., task delay tolerance, task learning difficulty, and consequences of inadequate performance). By design, the Training Emphasis questionnaire complements the standard AOSP questionnaire. It fills a void by providing "field recommended" training emphasis (a major task factor component) from first-line supervisors and/or subject matter experts in field units which lends valuable information toward critical task selection and other training requirements necessary for sound instructional systems development. This output complements "percent performing" information (major job factor data) currently being measured by the

standard AOSP questionnaire. Initially, training emphasis testing will apply only to the lowest skill levels of enlisted MOS (typically skill Level I). Future expansion of Training Emphasis surveys may include higher MOS skill levels, where it is speculated that use of a Training Emphasis survey will yield even more reliable/ useful results. (NOTE: Currently, exploratory testing of the Training Emphasis is being conducted on a few officer specialties. Research findings will determine the extent of application of this survey factor within officer specialties.)

- b. Procedures. In contrast with the standard AOSP questionnaire wherein MILPERCEN proposes MOS for survey on a cyclic basis, use of the Training Emphasis questionnaire is based solely on request by proponent schools. Since the Training Emphasis questionnaire employs the same tasks as the standard AOSP questionnaire, the basic administrative support procedures for construction and delivery of end product item submissions are identical for both questionnaires. However, since differences do exist between the two questionnaires regarding their MOS selection/prioritization process and criteria, a separate description of the scenario for TRADOC's administrative support requirements for the Training Emphasis questionnaire is provided below.
- (1) Scenario. Requirements for MOS selection and prioritization for the Training Emphasis survey can occur simultaneously with those outlined in the scenario above for the standard AOSP questionnaire (para 7-4 b(1)) or independently should an unscheduled need for a Training Emphasis survey arise. While the following scenario focuses on annual dragnet procedures, it does not preclude independent school requests for Training Emphasis survey at any time.
- (a) Proponent schools, on a continuing basis, plan/identify MOS requiring Training Emphasis data in accordance with guidance/criteria provided in section II, appendix D.
- (b) HQ TRADOC annually canvasses proponent schools for their MOS Training Emphasis survey needs at the same time it conducts its MOS dragnet for projected development of standard AOSP questionnaires as required by MILPERCEN (approximately January each year) (para 7-4b(1)(b) above).

- (c) School primary AOSP-POC coordinates with secondary AOSP-POC(s) to ascertain its MOS Training Effectiveness survey requirements, pools/prioritizes MOS selected for Training Emphasis survey (See guidance/criteria at section II, appendix D), and provides these requirements to TRADOC AOSP-POC. This input must include MOS code, date (month/year) CODAP reports required, and a short justification statement on rationale for MOS selection (sample at app E-3).
- (d) HQ TRADOC reviews school Training Emphasis survey requirements (selections/prioritization) for compliance with DA/other HQ TRADOC guidance provided, coordinates with school primary AOSP-POC as necessary, consolidates/prioritizes school MOS Training Emphasis input, and forwards consolidated listing of MOS selections/prioritization to DA, MILPERCEN for Special Factor questionnaire development/fielding (sample at app F-2).
- (2) Administrative support milestones. Appendix I complements the scenario outlined at b(1) above.

APPENDIX A (Added) TRADOC PRIMARY AOSP-POC (ENLISTED MOS)

Commander US Army Training and Doctrine Command ATTN: ATTNG-TDI-ORA (Dr. A. Longo) Fort Monroe, VA 23651 AV 680-3608/9

1. A. Commandant

US Army Quartermaster School

ATTN: ATSM-EV Fort Lee, VA 23801

ATSM-EV

Primary: Mr. John D. Greaves, III AV 687-1992

B. Commandant US Army Quartermaster School

ATTN: ATSM-TD-TA-IT Fort Lee, VA 23801

Individual Training Analysis/ Design Branch Training Analysis/Design Div Directorate of Training Developments

Directorate of Evaluation

ATSM-TD-TA-IT

1LT Marilou Leckie Primary: AV 687-1331/1769

2. Commander US Army Logistics Center ATTN: ATCL-TPO

Fort Lee, VA 23801

Training & Education Directorate ATCL-TPO

Primary: Mr. Paul D. Greene Alternate: CPT J. E. McKnight AV 687-4067

3. A. Commandant US Army Air Defense School

ATTN: ATSS-DOE

Fort Bliss, TX 79916

Directorate of Evaluation ATSS-DOE

Primary: MAJ Ralph R. Schneider Alternate: SGM Frank J. Simon AV 978-8701/8828

B. Commandant US Army Air Defense School ATTN: ATSA-TD-IT-A Fort Bliss, TX 79916

Training Analysis Branch Training Analysis/Design Div Directorate of Tng Developments ATSA-TD-IT-A

Primary:

CPT Enrique A. Janer

AV 978-4937

Alternate: Mr. Willie Thompson

AV 978-3617

4. A. Commander

US Army Engineer Center & Ft Belvoir

Directorate of Training

ATTN: ATZA-TDI-M

Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Developments ATZA-TDI-M

Primary:

Dr. Everett Rompf Alternate: CPT H. G. Otis

AV 354-2142/2491

B. Commander

US Army Engineer Center & Ft Belvoir

Directorate of Evaluation

ATZA-EVC

ATTN: ATZA-EVC

Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Primary:

MAJ R. Small

Alternate: Mr. J. Scott AV 354-4127/3668

5. Commandant

A STATE OF THE PERSON ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSON

US Army Chaplain Center & School

Directorate of Training Developments

ATTN: ATSC-TD-EPS

ATSC-TD-EPS

Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Primary:

Ch (MAJ) James W. Daniels

AV 992-3095

Alternate: MSG H. W. Jones

AV 992-2104

6. Commandant

US Army Armor School ATTN: ATSB-TD-ID Fort Knox, KY 40121

Directorate of Training

Developments ATSB-TD-ID

Primary: MAJ Charles J. Osterman

Alternate: Mr. Lee Harris AV 464-7034/5754 14

7. Commander

US Army Signal Center & Ft Gordon

ATTN: ATZHTD-A

Fort Gordon, GA 30905

MSG Batten Primary:

Alternate: Mrs. Culpepper AV 780-3268/7468

8. Commander US Army Aviation Center & Fort Rucker

ATTN: ATZQ-TD-TAD-TA Fort Rucker, AL 36362

SSG Anthony Brooks Primary:

Alternate: Ernest Warner

AV 558-7111/6390/5910

9. Commandant US Army Element School of Music

Maval Amphibicus Base (Little Creek)

ATTN: ATTNG-SM-CMT Norfolk, VA 23521

> CPT Vincent R. DiFiore Primary:

ATTN: ATTNG-SM-XD AV 680-7507/7508

Alternate: 2LT Mark L. Cook

ATTN: ATTNG-SM-DE AV 680-7550/7551

10. Deputy Commandant

US Army Intelligence School, Fort Devens

ATTN: ATSIE-TD-AD Fort Devens, MA 01433 Directorate of Training

Directorate of Training

Directorate of Training

Developments

Developments

ATZQ-TD-TAD-TA

Executive Officer

Director of Evaluation

ATTNG-SM-XO

ATTNG-SM-DE

ATZHTD-A

Developments ATSIE-TD-AD ATSIE-TD-CD

Primary: Bernard J. Foley Alternate: Gregory M. Kreiger

ATTN: ATSIE-TD-AD AV 256-3780/3069

11. Commander US Army Military Police and Chemical Schools/ Training Center and Fort McClelland

Training Center and Fort McClellan ATTN: ATZN-TDP

Fort McClellan, AL 36205

Directorate of Training Developments

(MP MOS): ATZN-TDE (Chem MOS): ATZN-CM-TDA

MP MOS:

Primary: Mr. William Allison ATTN: ATZN-TDE

AV 865-3226

Chemical MOS:

Primary: Mr. Roy D. Williams Altern.: MAJ W.L. DeVaughan

ATTN: ATZN-CM-TDA

AV 865-3874

12. Commandant
US Army Institute for Military Assistance

ATTN: ATSV-TD-TAD Fort Bragg, N.C. 28307 Directorate of Training

Developments ATSV-TD-TAD

Directorate of Evaluation

ATS V-DE

Primary: LTC Donald Hiller

ATTN: ATSV-TD-TAD

AV 236-0714

Alternate: MAJ Charles Kirkey

ATTN: ATSV-DE AV 236-8286

13. Commander Directorate of Evaluation

US Army Missile and Munitions Center & School ATSK-EV

ATTN: ATSK-EV

Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Primary: Mr. M.H. Smith Alternate: Mr. Harvey Adams AV 746-5343/5431

14. Commander

US Army Administration Center & Fort Benjamin Harrison

ATTN: ATZI-TD-TE

Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216

Directorate Training

Developments ATZI-TD-TE ATZI-TD-TA Admin Center (contd)

Primary:

Mr. Charles Vines Alternate: Mr. John B. Brady ATTN: ATZI-TD-TA

AV 699-4333/4487

ATSX-AC-TD-ATL

15. Commandant

Defense Information School

ATTN: ATSX-AC-TD-ATL

Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216

Primary:

MAJ Norman K. Otis AV 699-4453/4330

16. Commandant

US Army Command and General Staff College

ATTN: ATZLSW-DECA

Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027

Directorate of Education/

Curriculum

Curriculum Development Affairs

& Evaluation Division

ATZLSW-DECA-CDE

Directorate of Training Developments

Army Training Literature Div

Primary:

LTC John Dereu AV 552-3095/4295

17. Commandant

US Army Transportation School

ATTN: ATSP-TD-SO

Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Directorate of Training

Developments ATSP-TD-SO

Primary: Alternate:

CPT Robert C. Wheeler WO1 Eddie R. Kocurek

AV 927-3862

18. Comma nder

US Army Intelligence Center & School

Directorate of Evaluation

ATSI-EV-D

ATTN: ATSI-EV-D

Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613

Primary:

Mr. Henry T. Ridlehoover

AV 879-3518

Alternate: LTC William R. Derr

AV 879-3405

19. Commandant
US Army Field Artillery School
ATTN: ATSF-TD-IT
Fort Sill. OK 73503

Directorate of Training Developments Individual Training Div ATSF-TD-IT

Primary: Mr. Bill Prock AV 639-5506

20. Commander
US Army Ordinance Center
& School
ATTN: ATSL-TD-TA

21.

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Directorate of Training Developments ATSL-TD-TAI ATSL-TD-TM

Primary: CPT Earl D. Briggs ATTN: ATSL-TD-TAI AV 283-4481

Commandant
US Army Infantry School
ATTN: ATSH-I-V-ED
Fort Benning, GA 31905

Enlisted Division Analysis Branch ATSH-I-V-ED

Primary: CPT David Glass Alternate: MAJ Stankovich AV 835-4219

TRADOC Suppl 1 to AR 611-3 18

APPENDIX B (Added)

AOSP SURVEY PROPOSAL: SEP 79-AUG 80

(SAMPLE)

Part	I: MOS Recommende	ed for Survey	VII (N=63)
118	35K	51Z	62N
11H	35L	51G	62E
13F	35M	82B	62F
19E	31E	51 T	54E
19F	31J	81B	62B
19J	35E	52E	52D
19Z	26B	51R	67T
24H	31 V	51P	00J
24J	72G	51N	03C
24K	36E	51M	71C
24 v	26R	51B	71M
46N	31M	51H	95B
24M	31N	51C	95C
24N	260	00B	95D
26E	36D	62H	17L
35P	72E	62J	
Part II: Addition	nal MOS Eligable	for Survey VII	Consideration (N=12)
23N	27Z	26L	76P
23W	32H	26Y	76 Z
25L	36L	31 Z	96D

APPENDIX C (Added) AOSP VS SCHOOL PROPOSED MOS FOR SURVEY (SAMPLE)

INTEL (H) SCHOOL MOS RECOMMENDATION MILPERCEN_RECOMMENDATION 97B 26E 17L QM SCHOOL MOS RECOMMENDATION MILPERCEN MOS RECOMMENDATION 76P 76P 00J 76Z (Eligible if MOS survey quota not filled) INFANTRY SCHOOL MOS RECOMMENDATION MILPERCEN MOS RECOMMENDATION 11B 11B 11H 11H 110

Submitted by HQ TRADOC to schools for final concurrence/modification.

APPENDIX D (Added) MOS SELECTION AND SURVEY SCHEDULING GENERAL GUIDANCE/CRITERIA

Section I. STANDARD AOSP SURVEY

- D-1. The selecting and scheduling of enlisted MOS to be surveyed is a responsibility of MILPERCEN with the coordination and assistance of TRADOC.
- D-2. MOS are scheduled for survey on an annual basis with approximately one-fourth of all MOS being surveyed each year. Suspense dates are established for service school item submissions, questionnaire development, and administration of questionnaires in the field and their return by Army commands and subordinate activities. The survey cycle for one MOS normally takes from 9 to 12 months depending upon the complexity of the MOS and the sample size being surveyed.
- D-3. The Army Occupational Servey Program surveys 72 to 80 enlisted MOS during each survey period. This permits MILPERCEN to provide users with current occupational data for each surveyable MOS on a $3\frac{1}{2}$ -to 4-year cycle. Depending on the size of the MOS sample, MILPERCEN will survey up to eight MOS per month.
- D-4. MOS selection criteria include:
 - a. Recently established MOS which have not been surveyed.
- b. MOS which have been substantially revised since the last survey.
 - c. MOS which have not been surveyed in the past four years.
- d. MOS for which preliminary review and analysis indicated a need for resurvey during this period.
 - e. Non-surveyable MOS include:
 - (1) MOS too small to warrant a survey.
 - (2) Classified specialities.
- (3) MOS in which there have been limited/no change in the status or composition of the specialty.
 - (4) MOS which exist only in the Reserve Forces inventory.
- D-5. Direct communication between schools and MILPERCEN's Occupational Survey Branch on AOSP matters is encouraged. Occupational Survey Branch can be reached at AUTOVON 221-9109/9083/9560/9589.

Section II. SPECIAL FACTOR SURVEY

D-6. The selection prioritization and tentative scheduling of enlisted MOS to be surveyed by the Training Emphasis questionnaire is a responsibility of the TRADOC service schools with the coordination and assistance of HQ TRADOC. (MILPERCEN has final responsibility for scheduling MOS for this survey contingent on workload.)

 $^{1}\mathrm{Also}$ referred to as Training Emphasis survey.

- D-7. MOS are scheduled for this curvey on a two-phased basis:
 - a. During annual dragnet of MOS proponents schools by HQ TRADOC.
- b. When school initiates requests for this survey any time a training development need arises.
- D-8. Criteria/special consideration for MOS selection/survey schedules include:
 - a. MOS selection.
- (1) Current/acceptable task inventory is available in the AOSP data bank.
- (2) Survey data is required for specific training development needs.
- (3) Currently, the Training Emphasis survey is restricted to the lowest available MOS skill level (typically skill level 1).

b. Survey prioritization/scheduling.

(1) Single vs Double Survey

- (a) If both Training Emphasis/standard AOSP surveys are required the density of potential supervisory respondents will determine whether the training Emphasis survey is given jointly with the standard survey or postponed 3-4 months.
- (b) If only the Training Emphasis survey is planned, the currency of the available MOS item submissions at the AOSP data bank will determine when the special CODAP report is made available. (NOTE: If MOS item submissions are current, the special CODAP reports can be available within approximately 6 months; otherwise, within 12 months. Generally, if the task inventory is more than 3 years old, question may be raised as to its currency/acceptability.)
- (2) MILPERCEN has final decision, depending on total AOSP workload, regarding when Training Emphasis questionnaires can be developed/administered.
- D-9. Direct communication between schools and MILPERCEN'S Occupational Survey Branch on AOSP matters is encouraged. Questions regarding Special Factors (Training Emphasis) are best directed to Data Analysis Branch, AUTOVON 221-9272.

APPENDIX E-1 (Added)

SCHOOL MOS SELECTIONS/PRIORITIZATION FOR AOSP STANDARD SURVEY (SAMPLE)

USAICS MOS FOR STANDARD AOSP SURVEY

MOS 2	USAICS ITEM SUBMISSION DATE TO MILPERCEN	CODAP DATE DESIRED	RATIONALE FOR SELECTION
26E 3	5/79	5/80 ²	MOS 26E was created by a merger of MOS 41E, 26M, amd 26N and has never had an AOSP survey. Training developers require documentation to revalidate task list developed during the ISD process.
97B	6/79	6/80	MOS 97B has undergone major doctrinal changes during the past 3 years. The last AOSP survey was conducted in 1976.
968 4	7/79	7/80	The last 96B AOSP survey was conducted in 1976. Since 1976 new organizational concepts have modified the task inventory.
96C ⁴	9/79	9/80	Since the 1976 AOSP survey, changes have occured in MOS 96C under EPMS.
96D ⁴	12/79	12/80	MOS 96D has undergone organizational and technical changes since the 1976 AOSP survey.
26K ⁴	4/80	4/81	MOS 26K is a new MOS which is under development. MOS incumbents are expected to be in field units by 1st Qtr, FY 80. A AOSP survey is required to validate 26K tasks identified by the training development activity.

ltem submissions are required not less than 12 months prior to desired CODAP date but earlier if available.

2MOS are prioritized in order of CODAP date desired.

3Proposed by AOSP and USAICS concurs.

4Additional MOS proposed by USAICS.

APPENDIX E-2 (Added) SCHOOL REJECTION OF AOSP PROPOSED MOS (SAMPLE)

23

MOS

RATIONALE FOR REJECTION

17L

School nonconcurs with AOSP inclusion of MOS 17L because it is not an active Army MOS but a Reserve/National Guard MOS. NOTE: Active Army equivalent for 17L is MOS 96H.

APPENDIX E-3 (Added) SCHOOL MOS SELECTIONS/PRIORITIZATION FOR SPECIAL FACTOR SURVEY/ (SAMPLE)

USAICS MOS FOR SPECIAL FACTOR SURVEY CODAP DATE RATIONALE DESIRED 1 MOS FOR SELECTION 96H 2/80 Results of Special Factor survey for this MOS will be of value in on-going training development/training revision process. Acceptable task inventory resident in AOSP bank. 17K 2/80 (same as above) 17M 2/80 (same as above) 26C (same as above) 2/80

¹Expectation dates for Training Emphasis CODAP reports are: 6 months after request (if current task inventory is available in AOSP data bank): 12 months, if no current task inventory is available. These are special CODAP reports distinct from that based on data collected by the standard AOSP questionnaire.

APPENDIX F-1 (Added)
TRADOC MOS SELECTIONS/PRIORITIZATION:
(SAMPLE)

All the second sections of the second

						2.	,	TVU	DOC 3	ութեւ	1 00	AK	011-	- 3
	TEANS													
	IN													
	MUSIC													
	ADMIN										79D 9/80			
										54E 8/80				
Ĥ	INF									118 8/80				
E (X MONT	INTEL(D)													
RT DAT	CHAP													
DAP REPO	INTEL (H)	26E 12/79	978 6/83			968 7/83					08/6 3/80			
<u>8</u>	٤I													
ER OI	M/M													
IN ORD	ENGR				,					82F ³				
IZED	516	31V 5/80		360 5/80	36E 5/80	260 7/80				72G 8/80	26Y 9/80	35M 9/80	35K 9/80	26D 9/80
LORT	AVN													
MOS PR	ARMOR				İ	190 7/80	19E 7/80	19F 7/80	193 7/80	192 8/80				
	윙					1								
	- dk		_											m
	ક	79/2	76P 5/80			1								page
	FROJECTED DATE CODAP REPT ROR	MOS: CODAP Rept Rgr:	NAY/JUNE 80				JULY 80			AUG 80		SEP 80		Footnotes: See page 3
	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH)	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) MP AD ARMOR AVN SIG ENGR M/M FA INTEL(H) CHAP INTEL(D) INF ² CHEM ADMIN MUSIC INFO	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) ORD/ DEF ORD/ ORD/	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) AD ARMOR AVN SIG ENGR M/M FA INTEL(H) CHAP INTEL(D) INF ² CHEM ADMIN MUSIC INFO 31V 5/80 978 6/80	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) AD ARMOR AVN SIG ENGR M/M FA INTEL(H) CHAP INTEL(D) INF ² CHEM ADMIN MUSIC INFO ORD/ SUB ADMIN MUSIC INFO DEF 31 V 5/80 31 V 12/79 26E 12/79 97B 6/83 97B 6/83	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) ORD/ ORD/ S/80 ORD/ S/80 OR	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) MP AD ARMOR AVN SIG ENGR M/M FA INTEL(H) CHAP INTEL(D) INF ² CHEM ADMIN MUSIC INFO 31V 5/80 360 5/80 190 5/80 190 7/80 7/80 7/80 7/80 7/80 7/80	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) 31V 31V 31V 36D 36D 36D 36D 36D 36D 378 36D 378 378 380 378 378 380 378 378	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) 11	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) MOS MOS	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) ABHOR ANN SIG ENGR M/M FA INTEL(H) CHAP INTEL(D) INF ² CHEM ADMIN MUSIC INTO TRANS 31V 26E 5/80 1279 35E 5/80 35E 5/80 378 190 260 368 7/80 7/80 7/80 197 197 198 7/80 197 198 198 198 198 198 198 198	MOS PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) 186 1860	FET SQN PAPE MOS PRIORITIZED IN ORDER OF CODDAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) 1850 1	EET ROLE OF THE WORK PRIORTIZED IN ORDER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) EET ROLE OF WATER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) EET ROLE OF WATER OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) EET ROLE OF STATE OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) EET ROLE OF STATE OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) EET ROLE OF STATE OF CODAP REPORT DATE (X MONTH) STATE OF CODA

4	,

PROJECTED DATE CODAP REPT ROR	됭	읾	8	ARMOR	AVN	<u>\$16</u>	ENGR	M/M	됨	INTEL(H)	CHAP	INTEL(H) CHAP INTEL(D) INF		CHEM /	ADMIN	MUSIC	DEF	TZANS
FEB 81						312 2/81	812 41B											
							41K	!										
MAR 81																		
						36C 4/81				26K 4/81	i		l	54C 4/81				
APR 81						31M 4/81												
						31N 4/81												
MAY 81																		
SUBTOTALS GRAND TOTAL: 55	8	8	8	ıs		19	ιτ		2	9			м	. 2	-			
TAP Sch: CPT Thomas (FONECON 22 May) recm '8] as adequate. ZINF Sch: MAJ Stankovich (FONECON 18 May) recm add 11C for survey w/approx same time frame for 11C as 118/11H. ZINF Sch: MAJ Stankovich (FONECON 18 May) recm add 11C for survey w/approx same time frame for 11C as 118/11H. ZINF Sch: MAJ Stankovich (FONECON 18 May) recm add 11C for survey w/approx same time frame for 11C as 118/11H. ZIETH SUBMISSIONS are to be provided by proponent school to MILPERCEN 12 months prior to required CODAP report dates listed per each NOS.	comes tanko date s are	(FONEC	ON 22 P FONECON mended provid	May) recm ' W 18 May) r by school.	'81 as ecm ad	adequati d llC fe school t	e. or surve to MILPE	y w/appi RCEN 12	rox san months	ne time fran s <u>prior</u> to	me for require	llc as lli	8/11H. eport	dates	l isted	per eac	ch MOS.	

(CONTD)

F-1-3

APPENDIX F-2 (Added)
TRADOC MOS SELECTIONS/PRIORITIZATION
(SAMPLE)

: ::			:	í (1					•		,	-	•
					E (OS PRI	SPI ORTIZI	ECIAL ED PER	FACTC	SPECIAL FACTOR SURVEY MOS PRIORTIZED PER SURVEY DATE (X MONTH)	X MOP	(HT)						
MONTH TO BE (ADMINISTERED	됭	웊	위	ARMOR	AVN	<u>S16</u>	ENGR	M/M	₹3	INTEL (H)	CHAP	CHAP INTEL(D)	IN	SS 5	ADMIN	MUSIC	IN FO	TRANS
JUL 79		958 95C			71P 93H 93J								8 E E E E					
AUG 79						058 05C				96H 17K 17M 26C	71M·				716 716			[
95 P 79						260 267 315 317 318 318 318												
OCT 79		:				320 320 321 321 321 321 321 321 321 321												
SUBTOTALS		8			м	50				4	_		က		2			
GRAND TOTAL: 35																		

1Special CODAP report due within 6 months after survey date.

APPENDIX G (Added)
AOSP SCHEDULE - SURVEY VII
(JUN 79 - MAY 80)
(SAMPLE)

The second of th

MOS	SCHOOL	Sugar Sugar	SUBMISSION DUE RECT	OFFICER	DATE	TIPWORK	K SUSPI RIP	SUSPENSE SCTTON PC4	TON PC4	oss ⁵	FIELD
26E	ICS	JUN	JUN	BRANDT	אטנ .	JUL	JUL	AUG	AUG	SEP	SEP
24L	MMCS	VI	VI	FORRESTER	MAY	JUN	JUN	וחר	JUL	AUG	SEP
680	тѕсн	VI	VI	DAVIS	APR	JUN	JUN	lut	JUL	AUG	SEP
75B	IA	ΙΛ	VI	CRAIG	MAY	NAC	jur	חמר	AUG	SEP	J.DO
7.5C	IA	VI	VI	CRAIG	MAY	NOC	יחני	ገበና	AUG	SEP	100
750	IA	VI	VI	LANGE	MAY	Nac	TAC	ar.	AUG	SEP	OCT
7 SE	IA	VI	VI	LANGE	MAY	NUL	lul	ገበር	AUG	SEP	OCT
73C	IA	VI	VI	WOOD	MAY	JUN	20L	lur	AUG	SEP	ост
294	SHO	JUN	JUN	FORRESTER	JUN	TAF	9a, y	AUG	SEP	SEP	OCT
26н	ADS	VI	VI	BRANDT	MAY	NOC	эрг	DUA	SEP	SEP	OCI
. 850	SDIS	VI	VI	MCLA UGHLIN	MAY	וחר	AUG	aas	SEP	ocr	OCT
050	SDIS	ia	ın	MCLAUGHLI	MAY	JUL	AUG	SEP	SEP	OCT	OCT
589	TSCH .	IA .	١٨	SIAVG	APR	NUC	JUL	AUG	AUG	· SEP	. OCT
732	IA	VI	IA	моор	MAY	JUL	AUG	SEP	SEP	OCT	NOV
240	ADS	VI	VI	FORRESTER	MAY	JUL	AUG	SEP	SEP	OCT	NOV
240	ADS	IA	VI	FORRESTER	XVM	JUL	AUG	SEP	SEP	OCT	NOV
68н	тесн	VI	VI	DAVIS	MAY	JUL	AUG	SEP	SEP	ocr	NOV
848	SIGS	IA	IA	MCLAUGHLIN	NOC	AUG	AUG	das	SEP	OCT	NON

Initial draft.
2Review draft.
3Final draft.
4Word Processing Center
5Occupational Survey Section.

APPENDIX H-1 (Added) AOSP QUESTIONNAIRE ITEM SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

H-1. Questionnaire item submissions for the survey period should include, but need not be limited to: (a) task statements grouped under an appropriate duty heading, (b) an equipment list including weapons, vehicles, communications equipment, and other items which may be used or maintained by MOS incumbents, and (c) special requirement items such as: ASI, mental and physical demands, skills and knowledges pertinent to the MOS. (See "Guidelines and Instructions for Developing Questionnaire Items" and "CODAP User's Manual" for detailed information.)

H-2.In developing item submissions, schools are encouraged to use current task inventories developed under current Job and Task Analysis procedures, previous valid Occupational Survey Program questionnaires, MODB/CODAP computer printouts, published CODAP analysis reports, Soldier's Manuals, ITP, TEC, POI, etc. Each questionnaire will include tasks performed by members of the MOS for each skill level and pay grade.

H-3.To assist MILPERCEN in preparing the survey questionnaire the following items are requested to be furnished with the item submission: any data that will support the data item submission (e.g., TA worksheets, SM (draft if final not published), ITP, TEC lessons, POI/Lesson Plans) and data source list (e.g., TM, FM, other relevant DOD/contractor publications). Data items should also be annotated to reflect their source (e.g., new analysis source or revision source).

H-4. Upon receipt of the item submission, a MILPERCEN occupational analyst will contact the school action officer (school secondary AOSP-POC) in order to enhance the development of the survey questionnaire through direct interaction and to identify special requirement items which may assist the school in its training analysis mission.

H-5-Of the Specialties recommended by each school <u>all</u> should be prioritized (per date CODAP report desired) for the forthcoming survey year. To understand the impact of submission vs fielding the following is provided:

(SAMPLE)

Questionnaire Item Submission Due Date To MILPERCEN	Final Review Draft Completed	Estimated Field Adminis- tration Date	CODAP Reports to School Date
MAY 79 JUN 79 JUL 79 AUG 79 SEP 79 OCT 79 NOV 79 DEC 79 JAN 80 FEB 80 MAR 80 APR 80	OCT 79 NOV 79 DEC 79 JAN 80 FEB 80 MAR 80 APR 80 MAY 80 JUN 80 JUL 80 AUG 80 SEP 80	DEC 79 JAN 80 FEB 80 MAR 80 APR 80 MAY 80 JUN 80 JUL 80 AUG 80 SEP 80 OCT 80 NOV 80	MAY 80 JUN 80 JUL 80 AUG 80 SEP 80 OCT 80 NOV 80 DEC 80 JAN 81 FEB 81 MAR 81
H-1-1	32/ 33		

APPENDIX H-2 (Added) GUIDELINES FOR PREPARING SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE ITEM SUBMISSIONS

A. PREPARE A SURVEY PLAN

1. Objectives.

- a) What information do you need from the survey?
- b) How do you plan to use the data?
- c) Are there any significant questions to be addressed to the incumbents?
- d) Are there any particular problems confronting the Specialty/MOS that should be addressed in the survey?
- e) Are any of the functions classified? If, so how will they be addressed?

2. Design.

- a) Is there any significant job language?
- b) What level of specificity will you use?
- c) How will you organize Duty Areas and Activity/Task Statements?

3. Audit Trail.

- a) Which references were used to develop the activity/task submissions?
- b) Have you identified the source of each activity/task?
- B. IDENTIFY THE DUTY POSITIONS, SPECIALTY SKILL IDENTIFIER (SSI), AND GRADES/SKILL LEVELS TO BE COVERED IN THE SPECIALTY/MOS BEING SURVEYED
- C. GATHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE SPECIALTY/MOS TO BE SURVEYED
 - Write down personal thoughts about Specialty/MOS gained through experience.
 - 2. Obtain information about Specialty/MOS from co-workers including instructors and task analysts.
 - 3. Obtain information from recent duty position incumbents.

- REVIEW REFERENCES/LITERATURE SUCH AS:
 - a) AR 611-101, AR 611-112, or AR 611-201
 - b) Existing questionnaires (to include AOSP, AF, Navy, Marine) and/or AODB and CODAP Reports.
 - c) Soldier's Manuals and Commander's Manuals
 - d) TAADS Reports
 - e) TOEs/TDAs
 - f) Army Training and Evaluation Programs (ARTEP)
 - g) Skill Qualification Tests (SQT)
 - h) Field Manuals (FM), Technical Manuals (TM), Supply Bulletins (SB), etc.
 - i) US Army Formal Schools Catalog/POI/Lesson Plans/ITP/TEC/etc.
 - j) Instructional Systems Development Model (ISD) job identification, task inventories, and job task data cards.
 - k) Equipment Manufacturer's publications
 - 1) Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT)
- D. WRITE DUTY STATEMENTS
- E. SEQUENCE DUTY STATEMENTS IN LOGICAL MANNER
- F. WRITE ACTIVITY/TASK STATEMENTS INCUMBENTS WOULD PERFORM TO ACCOMPLISH EACH DUTY
- G. ENSURE ACTIVITIES/TASKS ARE UNDER CORRECT DUTY
- H. SEQUENCE ACTIVITY/TASK STATEMENTS IN LOGICAL MANNER
- I. LIST ALL EQUIPMENT WHICH:
 - Commissioned/Warrant Officers. Use, are accountable for, or responsible for maintenance of during performance of their duties.
 - 2. <u>Enlisted</u>. Use, maintain, or use and maintain during performance of their duties.
- J. LIST AND GROUP SPECIAL SKILLS, KNOWLEDGE, AND RESPONSIBILITIES NEEDED BY INCUMBENTS.

- K. LIST AND GROUP SPECIAL QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO ELICIT INCUMBENTS RESPONSES TO PROBLEM AREAS IN DUTY SPECIALTY/MOS.
- L. LIST AND GROUP ADDITIONAL DUTIES ASSIGNED TO COMMISSIONED/WARRANT OFFICERS SERVING IN THE SPECIALTY/MOS BEING SURVEYED
- M. REVIEW INFORMATION ORIGINALLY GATHERED FOR THE QUESTIONNAIRE TO ENSURE THAT ALL DUTY POSITIONS, SSI, ASI, AND GRADES/SKILL LEVELS OF THE SPECIALTY/MOS BEING SURVEYED HAVE BEEN COVERED.
- N. <u>STAFF, COORDINATE, FINALIZE</u>, AND <u>SUBMIT</u> THE QUESTIONNAIRE ITEM SUBMISSION.

APPENDIX I (Added) AOSP ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT/COORDINATION PROCESS¹ (GENERALIZED MILESTONE CHART)

Main Events	Ð	J	F	M A	M	J	J	A	S	0 N	D	J	FI	1 A	M	J	J	A S	s c) N	D	J	F	M A	M	J
Continuing School AOSP Survey Planning																										— ≽
Schools Update Primary AOSP-POC Appointments(A) ²	Λ										Λ										۷					
MILPERCEN Provides HQ TRADOC New AOSP Survey Proposal(B)		Λ															tone enda				e					
HQ TRADOC Provides New AOSP Survey Proposal to Schools(C)		Δ																								
Schools Select/Prioritize MOS for AOSP Survey (E1-3)		مــــ	Δ																							
HQ TRADOC Provides Pooled School MOS Selections to MILPERCEN (F1-2)			2	7																						
MILPERCEN Provides HQ TRADOC New AOSP Survey Schedule (G)				Δ																						
HQ TRADOC Provides New AOSP Survey Schedule to Schools				Δ																						
Schools Implement New AOSP Survey Schedule (G)					Δ																					
Schools Provide MQS Item Submissions to MILPERCEN ³ (H1-2)					Δ					<u> </u>																
AOSP Questionnaire Final Review Draft (By MILPERCEN)									Δ									\							
Estimated Field Administra- tion Date (By MILPERCEN)	•										Δ										7					
CODAP Reports Provided to Schools (By MILPERCEN)															٦.										<u>\</u>	

1-1

lincludes both standard AOSP/Special Factor questionnaires.

See Appendix A. (etc).

Same Item submissions used for both standard AOSP/Special Factor questionnaires.

See Appendix D-2 for exceptions to Special Factor survey.

The proponent of this supplement is the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Training. Users are invited to send comments and suggested improvements on DA Form 2028 (Recommended Changes to Publications) through channels to Cdr, TRADOC, ATTN: ATTNG-TDI-ORA, Fort Monroe, Virginia 23651.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

OFFICIAL:

JOHN B. BLOUNT Major General, GS Chief of Staff

R. N. WASHENER Colonel, GS Adjutant General

DISTRIBUTION: (SPECIAL)
H1; H3; CD (except USACDEC & TRADOC Test Bd);
S1 (except NCO Acad) ATTN: Dir, Tng Div;
S2; T
Cdr
USA CA Cen & Ft Leavenworth (5 cy)
USAQMC&FL (5 cy)

Copies furnished:
H2; S3 (except JMPTC);
J3 (except MTMC & MDW)
HQDA (DAPC-MSP) (4 cy)
Cdr
Army Research Institute (ARI-PERI),
(ARI-ITSE) (5 cy ea)
Defense Log Agency (1 cy)