AD-A091 638 UNCLASSIFIED	NORTHWE THERMAL SEP 80 TR-9	STERN LY STI H UEC	UNIV (MULATE )A, S H	EVANSTO D DISCH CARR	ON ILL	WATERIA	LS RESE FROM S	ARCH CE	NTER IITRATE 4-75-C	F/6 11/ -DOPED -0963 NL	/9	,
a, afilian Afi	:											
				END Date Condu  2:80								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<b>.</b>						 Ĺ



۲

### "THERMALLY STIMULATED DISCHARGE CURRENTS

FROM SODIUM NITRATE-DOPED POLYACRYLONITRILE"

by

H. Ueda and S. H. Carr

Department of Materials Science and Engineering and Materials Research Center Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60201

Submitted for publication to Journal of Macromolecular Science-Physics



August 1980



80 9 29 057

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

3

FILE COPY 巡

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. ECIPIENT'S -A091 ÆD 638 Technical Report No. 2Chruca TYPE OF RE THERMALLY STIMULATED DISCHARGE CURRENTS FROM Interim SODIUM NITRATE-DOPED POLYACRYLONITRILE PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 78482.08.48 7. AUTHOR(a) 15 NØØØ14-75-C-Ø963 Veda S. Ħ PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Materials Research Center and Department of Materials Science and Engineering NR 051-599 Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60201 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 12. REPORT DATE ONR Branch Office September 3, 1980 536 S. Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 29 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I dillerent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (cf this Report) See appended distribution list. 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Electrets, polyacrylonitrile, Thermally Stimulated Discharge Current (TSDC), ion-doping, dielectrics, space charges, electrical conductivity. 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) ✤ Enhancement of charge capable of being stored in a polymer by direct incorporation of ions has been studied. The system chosen was polyacrylonitrile (PAN) into which had been dissolved either sodium nitrate, ammonium nitrate, or lithium chloride. Stored charge was measured by use of the Thermally Stimulated Discharge Current (TSDC) method. It was found, for example, that addition of 4 wt% salt could enhance stored charge by at least fifty-fold. The TSDC method also revealed that the stored charge arose from at least six identifiable contributions. The lower temperature contributions involved (conte DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 45 IS OBSOLETE 5/N 0102-LF-014-6601 ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Ent 220519

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered;

20. Abstract (contd).

preferentially oriented dipoles, while the higher-temperature ones were caused by displaced real charges. No evidence for a strong interaction between dissolved ionic species and PAN chains at the repeat unit level was found, but density fluctuations on the supramolecular size-scale do appear to influence the stability of these stored real charges.

• .

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

# "THERMALLY STIMULATED DISCHARGE CURRENTS FROM SODIUM NITRATE-DOPED POLYACRYLONITRILE"

### INTRODUCTION

Interest in polymer films possessing stable and high levels of electrical polarization (electrets) has remained high in recent years because such materials exhibit pyro- and piezoelectric behavior. Since the time of the early work on polyvinylidene fluoride films (1), the picture has emerged that electrical polarization arises from both a preferred orientation of dipoles and some distribution of real charges across the thickness of these films. These charges are likely carried as ions on small, nonpolymeric molecules or on individual and unbound atoms. In general, all polymer films contain some amount of small molecules, e.g., catalyst, plasticizer, and ions produced by dissociation, solvent, or moisture. These small molecules have an effect upon both the state of macromolecules in solids and the properties possessed by these solids. Some molecular motion is enhanced, and some molecular motion is diminished by the presence of these small molecules in polymers (2-4). These phenomena are dependent upon the interaction between repeat units in the polymer and these small molecules.

Since Bucci, <u>et al</u>., (5,6) advanced the Thermally Stimulated Discharge Current (TSDC) method, it has been widely applied to the investigation of such polymer electrets (see, for example, refs. 7 and 8). Because TSDC data contain a wealth of information on relaxation processes of both dipoles and space charges measured at very low ( $<10^{-2}$ Hz) effective frequencies (9), they are capable of resolving individual relaxation processes from one another (7).

In this paper, the effect of NaNO<sub>3</sub> doping on the TSDC of polyacrylonitrile (PAN) is reported. Mechanical properties (4,10,11) and dielectric properties (12-15) of PAN have been investigated by many authors, but the exact understanding about the relaxational and dispersion phenomena of PAN has not been achieved. Comstock, <u>et al.</u>, (16) observed TSDC peaks at 90 and  $180^{\circ}$ C in polyacrylonitrile (PAN) poled with a field of 7 x  $10^{4}$  V/cm at  $130^{\circ}$ C. They attributed the peaks at 90 and  $180^{\circ}$ C to the reorientation of nitrile dipoles in paracrystalline region and to microscopic charge displacement, respectively. Stupp and Carr (17) proposed that the current peak at  $190^{\circ}$ C could be associated somehow with relaxation of chain backbone segments; it was also revealed that TSDC in the high temperature ranges might reflect the onset of pyrolytic chemical degradation events.



#### EXPERIMENTAL

PAN was supplied by the Standard Oil Company (Ohio), Cleveland, Ohio. The material is reported to have a weight average molecular weight in excess of 35,000. Purification involved the following: PAN powder was first dissolved in dimethyl formamide (DMF) at a concentration of 5 wt%, and then it was reprecipitated by pouring into distilled methanol. Purified PAN in 2% dimethyl formamide (DMF) solutions was first poured on a glass surface. Solvent evaporation was done under reduced pressure for 24 hours at room temperature, and then these cast films were dried under vacuum for 24 hours at  $40^{\circ}$ C. Gold was vacuum-evaporated onto each side of the sample to serve as electrodes (diameter is 1.5 cm). PAN films doped with either NaNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, or LiCl were prepared by first dissolving the salt in DMF and subsequently adding it dropwise to the PAN solution in DMF. Thickness of the samples ranged from 30 to 54 µm. Dimensions of the film samples prepared for TSDC measurements were squares, 25 mm by 25 mm.

TSDC thermograms and direct current (dc) conductivity were recorded with an Electret Thermal Analyzer (Toyo-Seiki, Seisaku-Sho, Ltd.). All measurements were made with a heating rate of  $2^{\circ}$ C/min. Samples for TSDC measurement were held for 30 min. under the imposed electrical field at  $130^{\circ}$ C, unless otherwise noted. Samples were subsequently cooled under the applied field to  $-150^{\circ}$ C. Depolarization currents were recorded in the range from -100 to  $190^{\circ}$ C. A charge amplifier and a functional generator (Kikusui Electronics Corp.) were used to measure dielectric constants at 11 Hz.

Salt concentrations are expressed in moles per gram of PAN polymer. In equivalent units, one finds, for example,  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  moles NaNO<sub>3</sub>/gm PAN equals PAN 0.85 wt% in NaNO<sub>3</sub> or 5.3 x  $10^{-3}$  moles NaNO<sub>3</sub>/AN repeat unit.

RESULTS

TSDC thermograms of NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped PAN are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 2 is a scale expansion of the temperature range, 0°C to above 100°C, revealing interesting structure of some significance to the study in question. Although it is difficult to track exactly how the various peaks seen in these thermograms grow or shift with salt concentration, it is believed that a NaNO<sub>3</sub> concentration-dependence of these peaks is shown by the curves in Figure 3. Somewhat arbitrarily, and for the purposes of this paper only, we have assigned identification symbols to these peaks as indicated in Figure 3. It appears that only the  $\beta$  peak exhibits appreciable salt concentration dependence, while all other peaks in undoped PAN at -50°C ( $\delta$  peak), 100°C ( $\gamma_1$  peak), and  $\pm 170^{\circ}$ C ( $\alpha$  peak). The peaks occurring around 100°C and 170°C have been reported<sup>16,17</sup>, while the peak around -50°C has not been reported previously. Peaks observed above 0°C have maximum peak currents, I<sub>max</sub>, that are strongly dependent on NaNO<sub>3</sub> concentration.

Because of the difficulty in making clear definition of peaks in various temperature ranges, it has been necessary to look at charges associated with the various peaks and to look at the activation energy spectrum throughout the temperature region in question. Figure 4 shows charge released during TSDC runs at temperatures above  $70^{\circ}$ C. This is the range dominated heavily by the presence of mobile ionic species which contribute a space charging to these films. It is interesting to note that addition of ionic species much above 1 wtX NaNO<sub>3</sub> fails to result in an accompanying increase in charging. It is possible that this indicates the onset of ionic clustering in these higher concentration regions. Such a characteristic would allow the film to accommodate the dopant but not have the dopant species actually contributing

mobile ionic charges throughout the material itself. Use of peak cleaning and partial heating methods<sup>7</sup> yields TSDC data from which one can calculate activation energy for the depolarization process prevailing at successively higher temperatures.

Results of such studies on undoped PAN and on NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped PAN are shown in Figure 5. Here, one sees the maximum in the activation energy distribution being coincident with the  $\gamma_1$  peak. Above this temperature range, activation energy for the depolarization process actually declines, indicating that higher levels of thermal energy are available to facilitate transport of charges, as is a condition consistent with the proposition that depolarization in these higher temperature ranges is due to the neutralization of space charge polarization. On the lower temperature side of the activation energy maximum, one sees a more-or-less continuous fall-off of activation energy for undoped films. The values of activation energy, as they diminish, become increasingly consistent with their being associated with local-mode individual molecular relaxation processes. The small, but distinct, plateau in activation energy for the NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped material in the temperature range  $35^\circ$  rather clearly implicates some specific molecular process of as-yet unidentified origin that is probably responsible for the  $\gamma_3$  peak.

Figure 6 represents TSDC thermograms of both  $NH_4NO_3^-$  and LiCl-doped PAN. The released charge of the  $\delta$  peak,  $\gamma_3$  peak, and charge (Q) above  $70^\circ$ C for each sample are listed in Table I. Charge released from the  $\delta$  peak may be taken as being independent of the kind of ionic species doped into the PAN, but a difference can be clearly seen in  $I_{max}$  for many of the peaks at higher temperatures in the thermograms. Depolarization current reversal for the specimen, N-2, remains a mystery but is, nevertheless, reproducible. It is plausible that such an effect is related to some chemical reaction in which ammonium ions may be a participating species.

#### DISCUSSION

Data presented in Figures 1 through 6 reveal that ion-doping of PAN greatly enhances the charge this polymer can store. Further, one can infer that the presence of space charge formed by the incorporated ions may interact with PAN chains on a microscopic level and may also be distributed according to some non-uniform pattern. The uniformity of any given contribution to the total polarization can be tested by observing how well the behavior of the material conforms to predictions of the "uniform polarization theory" of Bucci, et al<sup>5,6</sup>. This theory gives the temperature-dependence of discharge current as follows:

$$I = \frac{\rho_o}{\tau_o} \exp\left[-\frac{H}{kT} - (\beta\tau_o)^{-1} \int_{T_o}^{T} \exp\left(-\frac{H}{kT}\right) dT\right]$$
(1)

where  $\rho_0 = N\mu^2 E_p/3kT_p$ ,  $\beta$  is heating rate,  $\tau_0$  is a characteristic relaxation time, H is energy barrier for the depolarization process to occur, k is Boltzmann constant, T is temperature, N is number of dipoles having a dipole moment,  $\mu$ , per unit volume,  $E_p$  is the electric field used to polarize the specimen at temperature,  $T_p$ . One immediately notes that charge stored by some process having a particular value of H should be directly proportional to  $E_p$ . Further, a depolarization process can be shown to reach a maximum at a temperature,  $T_{max}$ , that is controlled by a characteristic relaxation time,  $\tau_0$ .  $\tau_0$  is expressed as  $\tau_0 = kT_m^2/\beta H \exp(H/kT_m)$ ; this shows that  $T_{max}$  should, itself, be independent of both  $E_p$  and  $T_p$ .  $T_{max}$  is also independent of poling time  $t_p$ , at  $T_p$ . It is seen in Table II that discharge maxima at -50°C conform to these characteristics, while currents discharging at higher temperatures (for example, +50°C) fail to do so. Conspicuous departure is seen in the data of Figure 7, where the large  $\beta$  process is seen to exhibit strong dependence on  $T_p$ . Several dispersions have been observed in mechanical and dielectrical measure-

ments in ranges  $50^{\circ}$ C and lower<sup>12,13</sup>. These dispersions have been attributed to local mode relaxation processes.

Explanation, then, of charge which releases during depolarization at temperatures above 50°C appears to be complicated. Earlier work on undoped PAN<sup>16,17</sup> found the peak near  $95^{\circ}C$  (Y<sub>1</sub>) to be due to the mechanism of onset of mobility of pendant nitrile dipoles. This peak coincides with the conspicuous maximum in the activation energy spectrum of Figure 5: this peak also corresponds to the abrupt loss of piezoelectricity that has been studied in such ion-doped PAN materials<sup>15</sup>. However, charge released in this temperature range (see Table II) falls considerably short of the upper bound for polarization due to preferential orientation of dipoles, <u>viz</u>., 14.8  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup>. In fact, it is known that nitrile dipoles fail to develop preferred orientations close to this upper bound<sup>17</sup>, because very strong dipole-dipole associations successfully prevent a poling field, E, from being able to operate in an unhibited manner on these dipoles. The observation of this work, however, of an apparent suppression of polarization due to nitrile dipoles is probably evidence for some kind of masking of dipolar polarizations on the microscopic size scale, such as would be represented by a kind of Maxwell-Wagner effect.

A Maxwell-Wagner effect, however, implies the existence of a multi-phase microstructure in the polyacrylonitrile. Slightly different such models have been advanced previously by Miyachi and Andrews<sup>4</sup>, Imai, <u>et al.</u>,<sup>10</sup>, Hinrichsen and Orth<sup>18</sup>, and Warner, <u>et al.</u><sup>19</sup>. Each of these models envisions the chain in a more-or-less helical conformation with a lateral packing of the chain segments being fairly close throughout a volume whose characteristic dimensions are on the order of  $100A^{20}$ . Thus, the picture may be that two contributions to polarization dominate the depolarization process: the loss of preferred orientation of nitrile dipoles which, in turn, permits the release of masking

charges possibly associated with small ordered domains in PAN.

Charge lost at temperatures above  $100^{\circ}$ C ( $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  peaks) is ascribed to space charges. The reasons for this assignment are simply the facts that stored charge exceeds by  $10^{+2}$  the upper bound for dipolar polarization and that charging and discharging characteristics, such as t\_-dependence of I\_max and  $T_{max}$  (Figure 7) are unusual. Activation energy for depolarization in this temperature range, as shown in Figure 5, appears actually smaller than that which prevails in the temperature range where depolarization is controlled by dipolar mobility. Activation energies in these high temperature ranges are also smaller than had been reported earlier on undoped PAN<sup>16</sup>. Such a depression of activation energy in the presence of ionic dopants in  $PAN^{21}$  and other polymers<sup>22</sup> has been reported previously. One can envision that, during the polarization process, ions drift through the bulk material at a rate controlled by the activation energy for transport from site to site. Energetic stability of these sites would vary, depending upon their individual nature. Examples of such lower energy sites, or as they may be called "traps", might be local defects in the chain packing pattern, specific arrangement of nitrile clusters, or interfacial regions between ordered and disordered regions of PAN. The longer the time of polarization, the more a drifting charge should be expected to have encountered an energetically favorable trapping site. It would then follow that depolarization of a specimen polarized for long times would require higher temperatures to achieve discharge than would specimens polarized for short times; this is seen to be the case (see Figure 7).

It is the characteristics, then, of trapped charges that are reflected in TSDC thermograms above 100°C. First of all, the nature of the chargetransporting species needs to be noted. Figure 4 reveals that total charge released by depolarization of space charge is only dependent on ionic con-

centrations below about 1 wt%; above this concentration level there appears to be no further increase in space charge polarization. It seems doubtful to propose that any kind of charge-transfer complex is forming between the polymer and ionic dopant, as had been reported to be the case of Mehendru, et al.,<sup>23</sup> (iodine in polyvinyl acetate) or Shrivastava, et al.<sup>24</sup> (organic molecules in polystyrene). It seems more likely to suppose that such salts as NaNO<sub>3</sub>, when doped into PAN, have a dissociation constant such that the additional salt above 1 wt% fails to contribute additional ions to the polymer matrix. In exactly what state of aggregation the undissociated NaNO<sub>3</sub> would be remains undetermined in this study. Free salt ions produced by dissociation should, however, give rise to ionic conductivity, which could be expressed<sup>25</sup> as follows:

$$\sigma = (N_o va^2 q/kT) \exp \left\{-(W+2U)/kT\right\}$$
(2)

where  $N_0$  is an adjustable constant, v is vibrational frequency of a trapped ionic species whose charge is q, a is jump distance for ion moving from site to site, W is energy for the ion to escape its trap, and U is the activation energy for transport of the ion through the polymer matrix. The apparent activation energy for this process, W +2U, can be obtained from the slope of plot, log oT <u>vs</u>. 1/T, as is seen in Figure 8. The form of this dependence takes into account the fact that, as temperature rises, both the mobility of the free ions and the population of these charge carriers rise as thermally activated processes. Three distinct rate regions are observed in Figure 8: region I is characterized by very low conductivities, which can be interpreted as meaning extremely low population of charge carriers whose transport activation energy is also somewhat small. Region II shows a very rapid rise and conductivity, coincident with the  $\gamma$  peaks (whose origin has been ascribed to the onset of chain segmental mobility). The final region, III, shows a

continued rise in  $\sigma T$ , but with a progressively declining slope. This is in agreement with the falloff in TSDC activation energy, H, seen in Figure 5. Yet, the large increases associated with the incorporation of ions (lines b and c) due to the incorporation of ionic species clearly indicates that the population of ionic charge carriers, and especially the temperature-dependencce of this population, must have its effect on the charge transport process. To any extent that there is structure left in the packing of PAN chains, as has been discussed previously in terms of ordering in PAN, then one might expect the discharging of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  polarization contributions to reflect some Maxwell-Wagner polarization effects, as well.

The dielectric relaxation time,  $\tau$ , for such effects is determined by equation 3:

$$\tau = (2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)/4\pi(2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)$$
(3)

where  $\varepsilon$ 's are dielectric constants and  $\sigma$ 's are conductivities, 1's denote the phase dispersed in the matrix whose subscript is 2. Figure 9 shows the temperature-dependence of low frequency (11 Hz) dielectric constant. The rise in apparent dielectric constant above 50° is exactly coincident with the region II of the conductivity data (Figure 8). Incorporation of ionic species into the PAN greatly magnifies this effect by nearly 3 orders of magnitude by the time temperature has risen to 150°C. Since dielectric constants are not likely to change by such huge factors over that temperature range, then the effect must be ascribed almost exclusively to increases in charge transport, as embraced by the conductivity parameter,  $\sigma$ . This further underscores the assignment of space charge relaxations to the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  peaks seen in the TSD thermograms of ion-doped PAN.

### CONCLUS IONS

Thermally Stimulated Discharge Currents of NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped films show at least five remarkable peaks: around  $170^{\circ}C(\alpha)$ ,  $160 \cdot 100^{\circ}C(\beta)$ ,  $70^{\circ}C(\gamma_2)$ ,  $50^{\circ}C(\gamma_3)$  and  $-50^{\circ}C(\delta)$ .  $\beta$  peak temperatures ( $T_{max}$ ) and peak currents ( $I_{max}$ ) are dependent upon the ion concentration and poling time, so it is proposed that this peak might be associated with Maxwell-Wagner type polarization involving space charges and the inhomogeneous structure of PAN. The  $I_{max}$ of both  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  are also strongly dependent upon NaNO<sub>3</sub> concentration and poling time, and these peak currents are also dependent upon which ionic species, specifically NaNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, or LiCl, are present. The  $\gamma_3$  peak may arise from space charges that release following the onset of some local mode molecular motion in PAN.

From both dc electrical conductivity measurement and from TSDC analysis, it is confirmed that a glass-rubber transition temperature (around  $95^{\circ}$ C) is not appreciably shifted by NaNO<sub>3</sub> doping to levels employed in this study. This result suggests that the interaction between NaNO<sub>3</sub> and PAN chains, as whole entities themselves, is not very strong.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1

The authors are indebted to the Office of Naval Research for its generous support of this project. Use of the Central Facilities of Northwestern University's Materials Research Center, presently supported under the NSF-MRL program grant DMR79-23573, facilitated much of the experimentation.

ц.

### REFERENCES

1. M. Kawai, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., 8, 975 (1969).

- A. E. Woodward, J. M. Crissman and J. A. Sauer, J. Polym. Sci., <u>44</u>, 23 (1960).
- C. W. Deeley, A. E. Woodward and J. A. Sauer, J. Appl. Phys., <u>28</u>, 1124 (1957).
- 4. K. Miyachi and R. D. Andrews, Appl. Polym. Symp. No. 25, 127 (1974).
- 5. C. Bucci and R. Fieschi, Phys. Rev. Lett., <u>12</u>, No. 1, 16 (1964).
- 6. C. Bucci, R. Fieschi and G. Guidi, Phys. Rev., <u>148</u>, No. 2, 816 (1966).
- M. M. Perlman, J. Appl. Phys., <u>42</u>, No. 2, 531 (1971); J. Appl. Phys., <u>42</u>, No. 7, 2645 (1971).
- 8. J. van Turnhout, Polym. J., 2, 173 (1971).
- 9. T. Takamatsu and E. Fukada, Polym. J., <u>1</u>, 101 (1970).
- Y. Imai, S. Minami, T. Yashihara, Y. Joh and H. Sato, Polymer Letters, 8, 281 (1970).
- 11. S. Minami, T. Yashihara and H. Sato, Kobunshi Kagaku, 1, 125 (1972).
- Y. Ishida, M. Matsuo, Y. Ueno and M. Takayanagi, Kolloid-Z.Z. Polym., <u>199</u>, 67 (1964).
- 13. L.K.H. Van Beek, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 9, 553 (1965).
- R. Hayakana, T. Nishi, K. Arisawa and Y. Wada, J. Polym. Sci., A2, <u>5</u>, 165 (1967).
- 15. H. Ueda and S. H. Carr, to be published.

----

- R. J. Comstock, S. I. Stupp and S. H. Carr, J. Macromol. Sci.-Phys., <u>B13</u>, (1), 101 (1977).
- S. I. Stupp and S. H. Carr, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Phys. Ed., <u>16</u>, 13 (1978).
  G. Hinrichsen and H. Orth, Polym. Letters, <u>9</u>, 529 (1971).
- S. B. Warner, L. H. Peebles, Jr., D. R. Uhlmann, Office of Naval Research, Technical Report No. 1, for Contract N00014-75-C-0542 (1978).

- 20. G. Hinrichsen, J. Polym. Sci., Part C, No. 38, 303 (1972).
- 21. S. Reich and I. Michaeli, J. Polym. Sci.-Polym. Phys. Ed., 13, 19 (1975).
- 22. K. F. Wissbrun and M. J. Hannon, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Phys. Ed., <u>13</u>, 223 (1975).
- P. C. Mehendru, K. Jain and Praveen Mehendru, J. Phys. D; Appl. Phys. <u>9</u>, 83 (1974).
- 24. S. K. Shrivastava, J. D. Ranade and A. P. Shrivastava, Physics Letters, 69A, 465 (1979).
- 25. R. E. Barber, Jr. and C. R. Thomas, J. Appl. Phys., <u>35</u>, No. 11, 3203 (1964).

p ...

	Depolarization Charge. [Coulomb-cm <sup>-2</sup> ]				
	δ	<sup>Y</sup> 3	>70 <sup>°</sup> C		
LiCl	$6.2 \times 10^{-9}$	$20 \times 10^{-8}$	$13 \times 10^{-4}$		
NaNO3	$7.2 \times 10^{-9}$	$20 \times 10^{-8}$	$11 \times 10^{-4}$		
NH4NO3	$6.0 \times 10^{-9}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-8}$	$1.5 \times 10^{-4}$		

Table I

Salt conc.,  $C = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/PAN gr.}$ Poling Field ,  $E_p = 5 \times 10^4 \text{ V/cm}^{-1}$ 

Table II

	Iat	: -50 <sup>0</sup> C (A)	I at 50°C (A)		
E <sub>p</sub> (10 <sup>4</sup> V/cm)	$C = 1 \times 10^{-4}$	$C = 2x10^{-4} (mole/grPAN)$	$C=1 \times 10^{-4}$	$C=2 \times 10^{-4}$	
5 10	$1.8 \times 10^{-11} 4.0 \times 10^{-11}$	$1.9 \times 10^{-11}$ 3.9 x 10 <sup>-11</sup>	$6.4 \times 10^{-9}$ 8.9 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	$2.6 \times 10^{-9}$ 1.1 x 10 <sup>-9</sup>	
	1 x 10 <sup>-4</sup> NaNo	), mole/grPAN) = 0.85 wt2	salt		

 $1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ NaNO}_3 \text{ mole/grPAN} = 5.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol/AN residue}$ 

#### FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1. Thermally stimulated depolarization current from NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped polyacrylonitrile polarized under a field of  $5 \times 10^4$  V/cm at  $130^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. Heating rate was  $2^{\circ}$ C/min. Concentrations in mole/gmPAN are a = pure film, b =  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ , c =  $5 \times 10^{-5}$ , d =  $1 \times 10^{-4}$ , e =  $5 \times 10^{-4}$ .
- Figure 2. Thermally stimulated depolarization currents from NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped polyacrylonitrile polarized under a field of 5 x  $10^{-4}$  V/cm at  $130^{\circ}$ C for 30 min. Concentrations in mole/gmPAN are a = pure, b = 1 x  $10^{-6}$ , c = 1 x  $10^{-5}$ , d = 2 x  $10^{-5}$ , e = 5 x  $10^{-5}$ , f = 1 x  $10^{-4}$ , g = 5 x  $10^{-4}$ .
- Figure 3. Concentration-dependence of TSDC peaks, T<sub>max's</sub>, seen in thermograms of Figures 1 and 2.
- Figure 4. The relation between thermally stimulated depolarization charges, Q, that release above  $70^{\circ}$ C and NaNO<sub>3</sub> concentration (wt%) in polyacrylonitrile that had been polarized at  $130^{\circ}$ C under a field of 5 x  $10^{-4}$  V/cm for 30 min.
- Figure 5. Apparent activation energies from partial heating experiments in pure polyacrylonitrile film (0) and in NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped film  $(2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mole/gmPAN})(\Delta)$ .
- Figure 6. Thermally stimulated depolarization currents for  $NH_4NO_3$ -doped (N) and LiCl-doped (L) polyacrylonitrile polarized a field of  $5 \times 10^4$  V/cm at  $130^{\circ}$  for 30 min. N-1 = 2 x  $10^{-5}$  mole  $NH_4NO_3$ /gmPAN; N-2 = 2 x  $10^{-4}$  mole  $NH_4NO_3$ /gmPAN; L-1 = 2 x  $10^{-5}$  mole LiCl/gmPAN; L-2 = 2 x  $10^{-4}$  mole LiCl/gmPAN.

- Figure 7. Thermally stimulated depolarization currents for NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped polyacrylonitrile (2 x  $10^{-5}$  mole/gmPAN) polarized a field of 5 x  $10^4$ V/cm for 30 min. (a) and for 120 min. (b).
- Figure 8. Temperature-dependence of the second run of dc conductivity (cooled after the first measurement under an applied field) for NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped and undoped polyacrylonitrile, measured at heating rate of 2°C/min. Concentrations in mole/gmPAN are a = pure,  $b = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $b' = 2 \times 10^{-5}$  (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>-doped PAN),  $c = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ [mole/gmPAN]. Doped specimens were poled under an electrical field of  $5 \times 10^3$  V/cm, and undoped films were poled using  $1 \times 10^4$  V/cm.
- Figure 9. Temperature-dependence of dielectric constant ( $\epsilon$ ) for NaNO<sub>3</sub>-doped polyacrylonitrile measured at 11 Hz. Concentrations in mole/gm PAN are a = pure, b = 1 x 10<sup>-5</sup>, c = 5 x 10<sup>-5</sup>, d = 1 x 10<sup>-4</sup>, e = 2 x 10<sup>-4</sup>.



Figure 1.











Figure 5.



p --

Figure 6.



Figure 7.







æ 🔨

Figure 9.

472:GAN:716:ta 78u472-608

## TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356A

	No. Copies	
Mr. L. A. Smith		
Singer Aerospace		Picatinny Arsenal
Kearfelt Division		SMUPA-FR-M-D
1150 McBride Avenue		Dover, New Jersey 07801
Little Falls, NJ 07424	1	Atta: A. M. Anzalone Building 3401
Dr. M. Broadhurst		
Bulk Properties Section		Dr. J. K. Gillham
National Bureau of Standards		Princeton University
U.S. Department of Commerce		Department of Chemistry
Washington D.C. 20234	2	Princeton New Jarcey 08540
washington, D.C. 20234	2	Filiceton, New Jersey 00340
Dr. T. A. Litovitz		Douglas Aircraft Co.
Department of Physics		3855 Lakewood Boulevard
Catholic University of America		Long Beach, California 90846
Washington, D.C. 20017	1	Attn: Technical Library Cl 290/36-84
Dr. K. V. Subramanian		AUTO-Sutton
Washington State University		
Department of Materials Science		Dean Eric Baer
Pullman Washington 99163+	1	School of Engineering
tariman, waaringcon 99109	•	Case Institute of Technology
Dr. K. Shon		Case Western Reserve University
Dr. n. such		Cleveland, OH 44106
Department of Chemical Engineering		
University of California		Dr. K. D. rae
Borkeley, California 94/20	1	Department of Mechanics and Materials Science
Dr. V. Stannett		Rutgers University
Department of Chemical Engineering		New Brunswick, New Jersev 0890
North Carolina State University		·····
Raleigh, North Carolina 27607	1	NASA-Lewis Research Center
	-	21000 Brookpark Road
Dr. D. R. Ublmann		Cleveland Objo 44135
Department of Metallurgy and Material	1	Atta: Dr. T. T. Serofini MS-4
Science	•	
Center for Materials Science and		Dr. Charles H. Sherman. Code TD
Engineering		Naval Underwater Systems Center
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	7	New London. Connecticut 6436
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	้า	
		Dr. William Risan
Nava' Surface Weapons Center		Department of Chamietry
White Oak		Rrown University
Silver Sprine Meruland 20010		Drowidence Photo Tolood Adian
Artar De T M Anal		LIGATGENCE, KUOGE TETENG ANIAL
DE B Hasters	•	
Dr. D. HATCOAN	1	UT. ALER GENT

Dr. G. Goodman Globe Union Incorporated 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

...

No. Copie

1

1

1

L

1

1

1

1

1

and ey 08903 ter ni, MS-49-1 Code TD 121 s Center ---

Department of Physics University of Akron Akron, Ohio 44304

1/75

472:GAN:716:tam 78u472-608

2.

No. Copiesaval ResearchDefense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314Virginia 22217Alexandria, Virginia 2231447220fficeP.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IPSeorge Sandoz10fficeNaval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92152	<u>Copies</u> 12
aval Research uincy StreetDefense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314472247220ffice 	12 1
avail ResearchDefense Documentation Centeruincy StreetBuilding 5, Cameron StationVirginia 22217Alexandria, Virginia 223144722U.S. Army Research OfficeP.O. Box 1211k StreetResearch Triangle Park, N.C. 27709linois 60605Attn: CRD-AA-IPGeorge Sandoz1Defense Documentation CenterDefense Documentation CenterSan Diego California 92152	12
Jincy StreetJulicity 3, Cameton StationVirginia 22217Alexandria, Virginia 223144722U.S. Army Research OfficeDfficeP.O. Box 1211k StreetResearch Triangle Park, N.C. 27709linois 60605Attn: CRD-AA-IPGeorge Sandoz1DfficeSan Diego California 92152	12
472    2      472    2      U.S. Army Research Office      Dffice    P.O. Box 1211      k Street    Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709      linois 60605    Attn: CRD-AA-IP      George Sandoz    1      Dffice    San Diego California 92152	1
472    2      U.S. Army Research Office      Diffice    P.O. Box 1211      k Street    Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709      linois 60605    Attn: CRD-AA-IP      George Sandoz    1      Diffice    San Diego California 92152	1
Diffice P.O. Box 1211 k Street Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 Linois 60605 Attn: CRD-AA-IP George Sandoz 1 Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego California 92152	1
k Street k Street linois 60605 George Sandoz Diffice Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IP Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego California 92152	1
linois 60605 Attn: CRD-AA-IP George Sandoz 1 Naval Ocean Systems Center Office San Diego, California 92152	1
George Sandoz 1 Naval Ocean Systems Center Office San Diego, California 92152	-
Naval Ocean Systems Center	
Office San Diego, California 92152	
Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney	1
W York 10003	
ntific Dept. 1 Naval Weapons Center	
China Lake. California 93555	
Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster	
reen Street Chemistry Division	1
lifornia 91106	
R. J. Marcus I Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory	
Port Hueneme, California 93401	
fice Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko	1
Plaza, Suite 601	
co. California 94102 Professor K. E. Woehler	
P. A. Miller 1 Department of Physics & Chemistry	
Naval Postgraduate School	]
Office Monterey, California 93940	1
, Section D	
Street Dr. A. L. Slafkosky	
sachusetts 02210 Scientific Advisor	
L. H. Peebles 1 Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1)	
val Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20380	1
6100 l Office of Nevel Research	
800 N. Quincy Street	1
Arlington, Virginia 22217	. ]
Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller	1
of the Nevy	-
Pentagon Navel Ship Research and Development	
D.C. 20350 1 Center	
Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Vaval Air Systems Command Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian	1
of the Navy Applied Chemistry Division	1
D.C. 20360	
310C (H. Rosenwasser) 1 Naval Ocean Systems Center	
San Diego, California 91232	1
Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine	
Sciences Division	. 1

Enel

Office of Na 800 North Qu Arlington, Attn: Code

ONR Branch ( 536 S. Clark Chicago, Ill Attn: Dr. (

ONR Branch ( 715 Broadway New York, No Attn: Scier

ONR Branch ( 1030 East G Pasadena, Ca Attn: Dr. I

ONR Area Off One Hallidie San Franciso Attn: Dr. I

ONR Branch ( Building 114 666 Summer S Boston, Mass Attn: Dr. L

Director, Na Washington, Attn: Code

The Assistan of the Nav Department d Room 4E736, Washington,

Commander, N Department o Washington, Attn: Code

### 472:GAN:716:ta 78u472-608

### TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356A

### <u>No</u>. Copies

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Mr. Robert W. Jones Advanced Projects Manager Hughes Aircraft Company Mail Station D 132 Culver City, California 90230

Dr. C. Giori IIT Research Institute 10 West 35 Street Chicago, Illinois 60616

Dr. M. Litt Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Dr. F. S. Roe Department of of Materials Science and Metallurgical Engineering University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

Dr. L. E. Smith U.S. Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards Stability and Standards Washington, D.C. 20234

Dr. Robert E. Cohen Chemical Engineering Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dr. David Roylance Department of Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02039

Dr. T. P. Conlon, Jr., Code 3622 Sandia Laboratories Sandia Corporation Albuquerque, New Mexico 37115

Dr. Martin Kaufmann, Head Materials Research Branch, Code 4542 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555

<u>-</u>	opie
Dr. T. J. Reinhart Ir. Chief	1
Composite and Fibrous Materials Branch	
Nonmetallic Materials Division	•
Department of the Air Force	
Air Force Materials Laboratory (AFSC)	)
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio	454
Dr. J. Lando	
Department of Macromolecular Science	
Case Western Reserve University	
Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1
Dr. J. White	
Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering	÷ .
University of Tennessee	
Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1
	:
Dr. J. A. Manson	
Materials Research Center	1
Lehigh University	1
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015	1
Dr. R. F. Helmreich	
Contract RD&E	1
Dow Chemical Co.	
Midland, Michigan 48640	1
·····, ·····, ·····	-1
Dr. R. S. Porter	
University of Massachusetts	
Department of Polymer Science and	
Engineering	
Amherst, Massachusetts 01002	1
Backson Couch Hilles	1
Virginia Polytochaia Institute and	
State University	
Rischahure Vireisie 94061	1
ATTLACT I ATTENTS 74001	1
Dr. Kurt Baum	
Fluorochem Inc.	
6233 North Irwindale Avenue	

Professor C. S. Paik Sung' Department of Materials Sciences and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Azuza, California 91702

2