UNCLAS	SIFIED	SYSTE MONTE AUG 7 SRL-6	MS RESE CARLO 9 M M 872-5	ARCH LA SIMULAT VIKMANI	ABS INC ION OF S, R S	DAYTO AN AAA KOU	N OHIO SYSTEM	USING R-79-78	THE 085 F3361	ERVER	F/G 19 MODEE -0500 NL	/5 TC(U)	
	OF   AD- A080972				(BAUNKE		CONTRACTOR OF CO						
						a de la caractería de la c		E,	E.			- Alexandre	
		4	- A	4	1	2		4		4	S		J.
				- All	3	2							
END DATE FILMED 2 - 80 DDC													
		* *											
	UNCLAS	UNCLASSIFIED L of L ADBORT2	AUG 7 SRL-6 AD ADBORTZ ADB	UNCLASSIFIED AUG 79 M M AUG 79 M M $AD^{2}$ $AD^{2$	AUG 79 M M VIKMANI SRL-6872-5	AUG 79 M M VIKMANIS, R S UNCLASSIFIED SRL-6872-5	AUG 79 M M VIKMANIS, R S KOU SRL-6872-5	AUG 79 M.M. VIKMANIS, R.S. KOU SRL-6872-5 AMRL-T	ANGLASSIFIED SRL-6872-5 AMRL-TR-79-78 AMRL-TR-79-	AUG 79 M. M VIKMANIS, R S KOU AMRL-TR-79-78 AMRL-	AUG 79 M.M VIKMANIS R S KOU UNCLASSIFIED SRL-6872-5 AMRL-TR-79-78 AMRL-TR-79 AMRL-TR-79-78 AMRL-TR-79-78 AMRL-TR-79-78 AMRL-TR-79-78	AUG 79 M M VIKMANIS, R S KO UNCLASSIFIC SRL-6872-5 AMRL-TR-79-78	AUG 79 M. W VIKMANIS, R S KOU       ARL-TR-79-78       NL         I or I       I or I







I TALL OKT DOCOMENTATION T	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. REGIRIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AMRLATR-79-78	(9)
TITLE (and Sublitic)	S. TTERE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVER
MONTE CARLO SIMULATION OF AN AAA S	YSTEM USING Technical Repert
	SPIA - 6872-5
AUTHOR	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(+)
Maris M. Vikmanis Robert S. Kou	F33615-79-C-Ø500
. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TA
Systems Research Laboratories, Inc.	I Company
2800 Indian Ripple Road	62202F, 6893404-33
1 CONTROL LING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12) REPORT OF REAL
Aerospace Medical Research Laborato	ry, Aerospace // Augues 1979
Medical Division, Air Force Systems	Command
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Oh	nio 45433 ( 54
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent in	am Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	15. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWN GRADIN
	SCREDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in b	Block 20, if different from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in B	Block 20, il dillerent from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abatract entered in B	Block 20, if different from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in B 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ic Monto Carlo Simulation AAA Obcory	Block 20, if different from Report) dentify by block number)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in B 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ic Monte Carlo Simulation, AAA, Observ	Block 20, if different from Report) dentify by block number) yer Theory, Parameter Identification
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in B 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and id Monte Carlo Simulation, AAA, Observ 10. BSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and id	Block 20, if different from Report) dentify by block number) yer Theory, Parameter Identification
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in B 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ic Monte Carlo Simulation, AAA, Observ • DESTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and id This technical report documents lation of the observer model. The mone and atomical doviction of the	Block 20, if different from Report) dentify by block number) yer Theory, Parameter Identification fentify by block number) the development of a Monte Carlo simu- original model outputs were the ensemble
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in P.</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and id Monte Carlo Simulation, AAA, Observ</li> <li>DESTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and id This technical report documents lation of the observer model. The mean and standard deviation of the weapon system attrition models have became necessary to develop a compa The development of the simulation of the statistical properties of the re</li> </ul>	Block 20, If different from Report) dentify by block number) yer Theory, Parameter Identification Mentify by block number) the development of a Monte Carlo simu- original model outputs were the ensemble tracking error. Because some existing a resorted to Monte Carlo methods it atible simulation of the observer model. equations and a detailed description of cemnant element are given in the report
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in P 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and ic Monte Carlo Simulation, AAA, Observ 9. METRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and id This technical report documents lation of the observer model. The mean and standard deviation of the weapon system attrition models have became necessary to develop a compa The development of the simulation enter the statistical properties of the T	Another 20, 11 different from Report) dentify by block number) yer Theory, Parameter Identification for the development of a Monte Carlo simu- original model outputs were the ensemble tracking error. Because some existing a resorted to Monte Carlo methods it atible simulation of the observer model. equations and a detailed description of termant element are given in the report
<ul> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in P.</li> <li>SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and its Monte Carlo Simulation, AAA, Observante</li> <li>Monte Carlo Simulation, AAA, Observante</li> <li>This technical report documents lation of the observer model. The mean and standard deviation of the weapon system attrition models have became necessary to develop a compa The development of the simulation of the statistical properties of the to the statistical properties of the to</li> </ul>	Annual a detailed description of the security CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date 2 of the security of the security classification of the security of the s

SECURITY CLASSIFICA (ION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

Block D. (cont'd)

along with computer program flow chart and code. It is necessary that the sample ensemble of many single Monte Carlo time histories converge to the ensemble mean prediction of the observer model. Program outputs depicting the sample ensemble for a wide range of sample sizes are included which show the excellent convergence of the Monte Carlo simulation to the ensemble mean prediction. Simulation results verify that the Monte Carlo simulation of the observer model can be used with confidence in AAA System Attrition Analysis. Monte Carlo simulation outputs are also used as a reference in the curve-fitting parameter identification programs to determine a good trade-off between the cost of large sample sizes and the high statistical variability of low sample sizes.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

#### SUMMARY

This technical report documents the development of a Monte Carlo simulation of the observer model. Luenberger reduced-order observer theory has been used to develop a mathematical representation of the anti-aircraft-artillery (AAA) manned tracking system. Previous reports show the excellent predictive property of this modeling structure. The original model outputs were the ensemble mean and standard deviation of the tracking error. Because some existing weapon system attrition models have resorted to Monte Carlo methods it became necessary to develop a compatible simulation of the observer model.

The development of the simulation equations and a detailed description of the statistical properties of the remnant element are given in the report along with computer program flow chart and code. It is necessary that the sample ensemble of many single Monte Carlo time histories converge to the ensemble mean prediction of the observer model. Program outputs depicting the sample ensemble for a wide range of sample sizes are included which show the excellent convergence of the Monte Carlo simulation to the ensemble mean prediction. Simulation results verify that the Monte Carlo simulation of the observer model can be used with confidence in AAA System Attrition Analysis.

An important application of the Monte Carlo simulation is the analysis of empirical data sample size requirements. Now that observer model parameters are identified directly from the empirical data it is necessary to determine the sensitivity of the algorithms. Monte Carlo simulation outputs are used as a reference in the curve-fitting programs to determine a good trade-off between the cost of large sample sizes and the high statistical variability of low sample sizes.

i

Aveilability Code Avail and/or special Distribution lustific.tion muunced Dist By

#### PREFACE

This report documents a study performed by Systems Research Laboratories, Inc. (SRL), Dayton, Ohio, for the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory (AMRL), Manned-Systems Effectiveness Division, Manned Threat Quantification Program. This work was performed under Contract F33615-76-C-5001. The Contract Monitor was Mr. Robert E. Van Patten and the Technical Manager was Dr. Dan Repperger. The SRL Project Manager was Mr. Charles McKeag.

The authors wish to extend their appreciation to Ms. Betty Glass (SRL) for helpful comments and ideas during the project development. The authors also wish to thank Mr. Walt Summers and Capt. George Valentino of Manned-Systems Effectiveness Division of the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, WPAFB, for many valuable discussions.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page
I	INTRODUCTION	1
11	MONTE CARLO SIMULATION EQUATIONS	5
	A. System Equations	5
	B. Mathematical Description of Remnant Element	7
III	DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER SIMULATION	9
	A. Generation of Random Noise Sequence	9
	B. Flow Chart of Computer Program	10
IV	SIMULATION RESULTS	15
v	APPLICATION OF MONTE CARLO SIMULATION RESULTS TO OBSERVER MODEL PARAMETER	
	IDENTIFICATION SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	41
VI	CONCLUSION	45
	REFERENCES	46

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Block Diagram of an AAA Closed Loop System	2
2	Block Diagram of Observer Model Structure	3
3	Flow Chart of Simulation Program	11
4	Fortran IV Computer Program for the Monte	
	Carlo Simulation	12
5	Standard Observer Model Ensemble Mean	16
6	Standard Observer Model Standard Deviation	17
7	Single Monte Carlo Sample Path	18
8	Ensemble of 16 Runs	19
9	Ensemble of 16 Runs	20
10	Ensemble of 20 Runs	21
11	Ensemble of 20 Runs	22
12	Ensemble of 30 Runs	23
13	Ensemble of 30 Runs	24
14	Ensemble of 40 Runs	25
15	Ensemble of 40 Runs	26
16	Ensemble of 50 Runs	27
17	Ensemble of 50 Runs	28
18	Ensemble of 60 Runs	29
19	Ensemble of 60 Runs	30
20	Ensemble of 70 Runs	31
21	Ensemble of 70 Runs	32
22	Ensemble of 80 Runs	33
23	Ensemble of 80 Runs	34
24	Ensemble of 90 Runs	35
25	Ensemble of 90 Runs	36
26	Ensemble of 100 Runs	37
27	Ensemble of 100 Runs	38
28	Optimal Control Model Ensemble Average N = 100	39
29	Optimal Control Model Standard Deviation N = 100	40
30	Observer Model Mean Parameter Identification Sensitivity	43
31	Observer Model Standard Deviation Parameter Identification Sensitivity	44

iv

## Section I INTRODUCTION

Luenberger reduced-order observer theory has been used to develop a mathematical representation of the anti-aircraft-artillery (AAA) gunner's response in a compensatory tracking task. This model is described in detail in other references [1], [2]. The observer concept of state estimation combined with linear state variable feedback and a remnant element provides a simple and computationally efficient representation of the human's control characteristics. A parameter identification program based on the least squares curve-fitting method and the Gauss Newton gradient algorithm eliminates the need for parameter tuning and other trial and error procedures. For reference, a brief description of the AAA gun system and model configuration follows.

The basic task of the AAA gunner is to minimize the error in azimuth and elevation between the weapon system gunsight and the target. The error is displayed on an optical sight and alignment is induced by a handcrank control with rate dynamics. Fundamental variables are shown in the closed loop block diagram of Figure 1.

The elements of the observer model are shown in the block diagram of Figure 2. An observer provides an estimate of the states of the AAA System from the visually observed tracking error. A linear state variable feedback control law based on the estimated states represents the gunner's control output. Sources of randomness such as modeling error, observation error, neuromotor noise, etc. are lumped into one element called remnant.

Computer simulation results of the closed loop AAA tracking task with the previously described gunner model are the ensemble mean and standard deviation of tracking error. The purpose of this paper is to describe the details of the Monte Carlo simulation of an AAA System using the observer model. The output of this model is a single time history of tracking error.





Motivation for development of this simulation arose primarily from requirements imposed by overall AAA System attrition modeling. The gunner model is incorporated into a large scale attrition model and therefore must be compatible in structure. Some existing attrition programs have resorted to Monte Carlo methods because of the need to simulate nonlinear elements and integrate diverse weapon system functions. The statistics of required system variables can thus be determined easily by obtaining the sample ensemble of a large number of single Monte Carlo runs.

Results from the Monte Carlo simulation of the observer model have been applied in a parameter identification sensitivity analysis. Now that computer programs are available to identify model parameters, it is essential to know empirical data sample sizes necessary for consistent results. A sample size that is too small will give large variability in the estimated parameters while an excessively large sample size is expensive from the standpoint of experimentation cost. The least squares curve-fitting identification program fits the ensemble mean and standard deviation of model predictions of tracking errors to the sample ensemble mean and standard deviation of the empirical data. The ensembled Monte Carlo model predictions were used as input data to the identification algorithms for this purpose. Identification results for a wide number of sample sizes are presented.

Section II MONTE CARLO SIMULATION EQUATIONS

A. System Equations

The dynamics of the anti-aircraft-artillery gunsight and target motion are given by the following system equations:

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\underline{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{F}\ddot{\mathbf{\theta}}_{\mathrm{T}}$$

where  $\underline{x}$  is the state vector

 $\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{T}} & -\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{g}} \\ \mathbf{\dot{\theta}}_{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}$ 

representing the tracking error and the target velocity.

The system matrices are:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$.$$
$$F = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The tracking error is displayed to the gunner and is given by the measurement equation:

 $y = C\underline{x}$  $C = [1 \quad 0]$ 

Now, the system equations are used to design the gunner model. Since the tracking error is available through direct observation, a Luenberger reduced-order observer can be used to obtain an estimate of the remaining state, i.e.,  $\hat{x}_2$ .

The control law is subsequently expressed as



Where v is a zero mean Gaussian white noise which represents the remnant.

Finally, it can be shown that the closed loop system (gunsight dynamics, target motion, and gunner model) is given by the following equations

$$\dot{\underline{\mathbf{x}}} = \mathbf{A}_{1}\underline{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{F}_{1}\ddot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{T} + \mathbf{D}_{1}\mathbf{v}$$
$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1} \\ \mathbf{x}_{2} \\ \mathbf{x}_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where  $x_1$  is the tracking error y,  $x_2$  is defined as  $\hat{\theta}_T - ky$ , and  $x_3$  is defined as  $\hat{\theta}_T - \hat{x}_2$ .

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + a_{12}k - b_{1}(\gamma_{1} + k\gamma_{2}) & a_{12} - b_{1}\gamma_{2} & b_{1}\gamma_{2} \\ (a_{22} - ka_{12})k + a_{21} - ka_{11} & a_{22} - ka_{12} \\ -(b_{2} - kb_{1})(\gamma_{1} + k\gamma_{2}) & -(b_{2} - kb_{1})\gamma_{2} & (b_{2} - kb_{1})\gamma_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{22} - ka_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$F_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{1} \\ f_{2} - kf_{1} \\ f_{2} - kf_{1} \end{bmatrix} \qquad D_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} - kb_{1} \\ b_{2} - kb_{1} \\ b_{2} - kb_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The control gains  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$  and the observer gain k are obtained by the parameter identification program [Refs. (1), (2)].

B. Mathematical Description of Remnant Element

The remnant element v represents human psychophysical limitations and modeling error. Its statistical properties are given by,

 $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}(t)] = 0 \quad \forall t$ 

And,

 $E[v(t)v(\tau)] = q(t)\delta(t - \tau)$ 

Where q(t) is the covariance function and  $\delta(t)$  is the Dirac delta function.

Analysis of empirical data indicates that the standard deviation of the tracking error is due predominantly to the gunner's uncertainty in target motion. Thus the covariance function can be generalized in the following form:

$$q(t) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \hat{\theta}_T^2(t) + \alpha_3 \hat{\theta}_T^2(t)$$

Where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  are nonnegative constants to be determined by the curve fitting algorithm [Ref. (2)].

# Section III DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER SIMULATION

#### A. Generation of Random Noise Sequence

The closed loop system equations are discretized for convenient implementation on the digital computer. Thus a random noise sequence representing the remnant element must be generated possessing the given statistical properties. In discrete time, the standard deviation of the zero mean sequence is given by,

$$V(k) = \left[\frac{q(t_k)}{Del}\right]^{1/2} \eta(k)$$

where  $q(t_k)$  is defined as follows:

$$q(t_k) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \hat{\hat{\theta}}_T^2(t_k) + \alpha_3 \hat{\hat{\theta}}_T^2(t_k)$$

Also, Del is the sampling period of the discretization process and,

 $\eta(k) = N(0,1)$ 

is a unit variance, zero mean, Gaussian random variable.

In the computer program,  $\eta(k)$  is generated by averaging 12 uniformly distributed (-1/2, +1/2) independent random variables. The statistical properties of  $\eta(k)$  were checked and are given by Table 1. For a 100 point sample the convergence is approximately within five percent.

Number of Points	Mean	Standard Deviation
16	.36354	. 93692
100	.04054	.95703
500	.025437	.96013
1000	.0082841	.96165
2000	0027901	.96326
10000	00028009	.98910

#### TABLE 1. STATISTICAL PROPERTIES OF $\eta(k)$

B. Flow Chart of Computer Program

The computer program for the Monte Carlo simulation was based on the original reduced-order observer model program that generates the mean and standard deviation of tracking error [Refs. (1), (2)]. Necessary changes were made to solve the actual closed loop system equations rather than the expected value form. Code is also included to generate the random noise sequence. A flow chart of the Monte Carlo Computer Program is given in Figure 3. The Fortran IV code is listed in Figure 4.



Figure 3. Flow Chart of Simulation Program

	100=	PROGRAM OBS(INFU);OUTPUT;TAPE2;TAPES)
	110=	DIMENSION A(3.3).7(3).X(3.3).R1(3).R2(3).W1(3.3).W2(3.3).P(
5.	3)	
-	120=	1 •Y(3),W3(3,3)
	130=	COMMON/MAIN1/N+N2
	140=	DATA DEL, N, TEND/.04,3,45./
	150=	DATA P/-2.87,-1.,2.94,0.,0.,-3.01,-1.,3.02,0.,0./
	160=	N1≕N*N
	170=	N2=N+1
	180=	1C=1
	190=	REWIND 2
	200=	P1'=.05
	210=	P2=.0025
	220=	P3=,1
	230=	Z(1)=-1.
	240=	Z(2)=Z(3)=F(3,IC)
•	250=	DO 10 J=1+N1
	260=	A(J)=0.
	270=	X(J)=0,
	280=10	CONTINUE
	290=	Λ(1)=P(1,IC)
	300=	A(7)=1.
	310=	A(2)=-P(1,IC)*P(3,IC)
	320=	A(8)=A(9)=-P(3,1C)
	3.30=	CALL DSCRT(N+A+DEL+W1+W2+10)
	340=	DO 50 I=1,N
	350=	11=1
	360=	R1(I)=0.
	370=	R2(I)=0.
	380=	DO 45 J=I,N1,N
	390=	R1(I)≕R1(I)+W2(J)*Z(II)
	400=	R2(I)=R1(I)
	110=45	II=II+1
	420=50	CONTINUE
	430=	DU 00 I=1,N
	440=	2(1)=0.
	450=	DO 60 J=1.9N
	460=60	A(I,J)≈R1(1)*R1(J)
	470=	DD 20 I=1,N
	480=	
	100-	12-11+N
	500~20	E:(1)=W2(11)+W2(1.)

# Figure 4. Fortran IV Computer Program for the Monte Carlo Simulation

MBIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACESSOR

~J.0-	1=0.
520-	X(1)=.1\$X(5)=X(V)=.01
530=	Z(1)=Z(2)=Z(3)=0.0
540=	Y(1)=Y(2)=Y(3)=0.0
550=1	READ(2,3)C1,P(5,1),P(4,1),C3,P(5,2),P(4,2)
560=3	FORMAT(6612.4)
570=	P4=Z(2)-Z(3)+P(3,TC)*Z(1)
580=	P5=(P4-PP4)/0EL
590=	V=(P1+P2*P4*P4+P3*P5*P5)/DEL
600=	PP4=P4
610=	CALL RANDOM(GAUSS)
620=	VK=GAUSS*SORT(U)
630=	DO 25 I=1.N
640=	11=1
650=	W2(I)=0.
660=	DO 15 J=I,N1,N
670=	W2(1)=W2(1)+W1(J)*Z(11)
680=15	II=II+1
690=25	CONTINUE
700=	DO 35 I=1,N
710=	Z(I)=W2(I)+R1(I)*P(4,IC)
720=35	CONTINUE
730=	CALL MULICULYX NIVU2)
740=	DO 40 I=1+N1
750=40	X(I)=A(I)*V+H2(I)
760=	SD=SQRT(X(1,1))
770=	DO 95 I=1,N
780=	II=1
790=	W3(I)=0.0
800=	DO 90 J=I+N1+N
810=	₩3(I)=₩3(I)+₩1(J)*Y(II)
820=90	II=II+1
830=95	CONTINUE
840=	DO 100 I=1,N
850=	Y(I)=W3(I)+R1(1)*P(4,1C)+R2(I)*VK
860=100	CONTINUE
870=	T=T+DEL
880=	LK=(T+.001)/DEL
<b>990</b> =	IF(MOD(LK,25),NE.0)G0 TO 48
900=	PRINT75,T.Y(1)
910=75	FORMAT(5X,2012.4)
920=	WRITE(8,24)T-Y(L)

.

AND PLOT IS BIRT OFFICIAL PLOT Fortran IV Computer Program for the Monte Carlo Simulation (cont.) Figure 4.

4.3112.20	المادرية والمراجع
510790	6 CLAR (151000) 10 500
<b>9</b> 50=	60 10 1
960=500	CONTINUE
970=	END
980= -	SUBROUTINE NULTCE, F.L. L1, H)
990=	DIMENSION E(L1) +F(L1) +G(9) +H(L1)
1000=	DO 10 I=191.
1010=	I J == 1
1020=	DO 10 K=1,1
1030=	TEMP=0.
1040=	DO 5 J=I,L1,L
1050=	TEMP=TEMP+E(J)*F(II)
1030=5	II=II+1
1070=	KK≕(K <b>1)</b> *L+I
1080=10	G(KK)=TEMP
1090=	DO 20 I=1.1.
1100=	00 20 K=I+L
1110=	TEMP=0.
1120=	II≕K
1130=	10 15 J=I+L1+L
1140=	TEMP=TEMP+G(J)*E(II)
1150=15	II≕II+L.
1160=	KK≕(K-1)*L+I
1170=20	H(KK)=TEMP
1180=	L2=L-1
1190=	DO 30 I=1+L2
1200=	L3=I+1
1210=	00 30 J=L3;L
1220=	K1=(I-I)*L+J
1230=	N2=(J-1)*L+I
1240=30	H(K1)=H(K2)
1250=	END
1260=	SUBROUTINE RANDOM(GAUSS)
1270=	C=0.
1280=	DO 55 I=1,12
1290=	C=C+RANF(4.0)
1300=55	CONTINUE
1310=	GAUSS=C-6.0
1320=	END



Figure 4. Fortran IV Computer Program for the Monte Carlo Simulation (cont.)

# Section IV SIMULATION RESULTS

Outputs from the Monte Carlo simulation are presented. Figures 5 and 6 show the ensemble mean and standard deviation of tracking errors for a typical flyby trajectory as predicted by the standard reduced-order observer model, [Ref. (2)]. These results are in excellent agreement with actual gunner response data obtained from manned AAA simulator experiments conducted at the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, WPAFB, Ohio [Ref. (2)].

It is necessary that the sample ensemble of many Monte Carlo runs agree with the output from the ensemble mean and standard deviation observer model. A computer program was developed to obtain the sample ensemble and standard deviation of N single Monte Carlo time histories to check this convergence. The same model parameter values were used in both computer simulations. For reference a single Monte Carlo sample path is shown in Figure 7. This shows the fine structure of the tracking error. The sample ensemble and standard deviation of tracking error for sample sizes from n = 16 to n = 100 Monte Carlo runs is shown in Figures 8 through 27.

Note the high degree of variability for a sample size of 16 runs. Comparison with the ensemble mean model prediction of Figures 5 and 6, however, shows good overall agreement. An examination of Figures 8 through 27 indicates a steady improvement in the smoothness of the data as the sample size is increased. The sample ensemble of 100 runs produces a very smooth output highly correlated with the ensemble mean value model prediction.

Finally, a comparison of Monte Carlo simulations of the observer model and the optimal control model is made. Figures 28 and 29 show the sample ensemble of 100 Monte Carlo runs of the optimal control model for the same trajectory as was used for the observer model. Although some differences in model outputs are evident, the overall appearance of the results from the two models is comparable.



















































### APPLICATION OF MONTE CARLO SIMULATION RESULTS TO OBSERVER MODEL PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Section V

An important application of the Monte Carlo simulation is in the analysis of empirical data sample size requirements. Now that parameter identification is performed directly from the empirical tracking data, it is necessary to determine the sensitivity of the algorithms. The sample ensemble of individual Monte Carlo simulation outputs will be used as a reference to select the empirical data sample size. This is a valid approach since it has been shown that the Monte Carlo predictions are in very good agreement with the empirical tracking error data.

A description of the ensemble mean and standard deviation observer model parameter identification programs is given in detail in Refs. (1), (2). The sample ensemble of Monte Carlo predictions for n = 10 to n = 100in increments of 10 runs were each used as input data to the mean parameter identification program. (Same flyby trajectory as mentioned previously in the report). The resultant parameter values and curve fitting cost functional (JMIN) are given in Table 2. Parameter values are determined iteratively by minimizing the following:

$$J = \int_{0}^{t} \left( \overline{e}_{T} - \overline{e}_{T}^{1}(t, \underline{\alpha}) \right)^{2} dt$$

where,

 $\overline{e}_{T}(t)$  = mean input tracking error data  $\overline{e}_{T}^{1}(t, \underline{\alpha})$  = predicted mean tracking error

And,  $\underline{\alpha}$  is the parameter vector of interest. JMIN is the final value of the cost functional following completion of the iteration process.

JMIN as a function of sample size is plotted in Figure 30. From Figure 30 it can be seen that considerable improvements in fit occur as the sample size is increased to around 40 or 50 runs. Improvements become asymptotically smaller with even larger sample sizes. This result indicates that a minimum sample of about 40 or 50 runs is required for good parameter identification convergence properties.

NUMBER OF RUNS	OBSERVER GAIN	CONTROLLER GAIN	JMIN
n	k	x	
10	2.90	-2.90	1.77
20	2.87	-2.88	.902
30	2.73	-2.60	1.10
40	2.88	-2.89	.460
50	2.83	-2.69	.553
60	2.83	-2.80	.450
70	2.85	-2.79	.390
80	2.86	-2.80	.323
90	2.87	-2.81	.279
100	2.87	-2.90	.205

TABLE 2.	EFFECT OF	SAMPLE	SIZE	ON	OBSERVER	MODEL	PARAMETER
	IDENTIFIC	ATION SI	ENSIT	IVI	ry		

A similar study has been conducted using the standard deviation model parameter identification program. Figure 31 is a plot of JMIN versus sample size using the Monte Carlo simulation standard deviation results as input data. This graph also indicates that maximum improvement in the curve fitting cost functional is obtained as the number of ensembled runs is increased to 50 approximately. The above results were verified for both the mean and standard deviation identification programs using simulation data from another flyby trajectory.





## Section VI CONCLUSION

Comparison of the sample ensemble of many Monte Carlo runs shows excellent agreement with the ensemble mean and standard deviation model outputs. The statistics converge especially well for n = 100runs as the figures show. These results verify the validity of this simulation approach and computer program.

The Monte Carlo simulation of the observer model can be used with confidence in overall AAA system attrition analysis. Outputs from this human operator simulation can be readily integrated with other Monte Carlo anti-aircraft-artillery system components. The convergence analyses conducted herein indicate the number of runs necessary to obtain desired fidelity in the final attrition estimates.

Similation results have also been applied to study the sensitivity of the observer model parameter identification programs. Analyses indicate that a sample size of 40 to 50 runs gives good fidelity in the curve fitting programs. This information will be used in the design of tracking experiments that generate data for modeling purposes.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) R. S. Kou and B. C. Glass, <u>Development of Observer Model for AAA</u> <u>Tracker Response</u>, AMRL-TR-79-77 (in press), Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, August 1979
- (2) R. S. Kou, B. C. Glass, C. N. Day, and M. M. Vikmanis, "AAA Gunner Model based on Observer Theory," presented at the 14th Annual Conference on Manual Control at Los Angeles, California, April 25 through 27, 1978.
- (3) D. L. Kleinman, <u>Monte-Carlo Simulation of Human Operator Response</u>, University of Connecticut Technical Report, TR 77-1, February, 1977.

46 \*U.S.Government Printing Office: 1979 — 657-084/396