1	AD-	A076 00	8 NAV MOI MAR	AL SURF	ACE WE SORPTIO M AUGL	APONS C	ENTER N	WHITE O	AK LAB	SILVER	SPE	TC F/G RESIN.	11/9 (U)	/
	- ONCO	OF AD A076008												
							-	Ī		K		Ŵ		Ż
					and the second				and a second second			11 Andread	The second secon	tan - ¹² National Restaura
			END DATE FILMED 12-79											
	1		4				_							./







MOISTURE SORPTION AND DIFFUSION IN HERCULES 3501-6 EPOXY RESIN

COPY

FILE (

BY JOSEPH M. AUGL

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

30 MARCH 1979

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

NOV 2 1979

NSW@ NAVAI Dahlgre

NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER

Dahlgren, Virginia 22448 • Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

79 11 02 104

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** BEFORE COMPLETING FORM 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER I. REPORT NUMBER NSWC TR-79-39 RIOD COVERED 9 CURRENT IN STATUD Moisture Sorption and Diffusion in Hercules Progress Repert-3591-6 Epoxy Resin . 977-Octo Octe 78 CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(A) 10 Joseph M. Augl PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Surface Weapons Center 61153N: WR 02204; White Oak NR 0220401 R31AA Silver Spring, MD 20910 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS 39 Marchan 79 ----SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) ORESS(II dillerent from Controlling Office) 14. MONITORING AGE UNCLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited. SSA 6 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 dit tant 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Cantinue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number) Moisture Sorption Moisture Diffusion Epoxy Resin 20. The objective of this work was to obtain the moisture sorption equilibria of Hercules 3501-6 epoxy resin and its concentration dependent moisture diffusion coefficient. These data are necessary for predicting changes in aircraft structural composites properties where this resin is used as a matrix material. The data were obtained by sorption measurements with a Cahn electrobalance. It was found that the diffusion coefficient is strongly concentration dependent at low moisture concentration, however, it is fairly flat at DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED S/N 0102-LF-014-6601 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date

UN CLASSIFIED 20. Continued. moisture concentrations corresponding to equilibrium concentration between 30 to 80 percent relative humidity. UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

SUMMARY

The epoxy resin Hercules 3501-6 will be used as a matrix material for the advanced carbon fiber reinforced structural components to be used in the F-18 Navy fighter aircraft.

The purpose of this work is part of an effort to determine the environmental effects (moisture and temperature) on the properties of these composites.

By combining the experimental and theoretical results of micro-mechanics, a "finite difference laminate theory," diffusion, and modeling of the environment, it is expected that a prediction of the composite performance as a function of time and environment can be made.

The specific investigation of this report is the determination of the moisture sorption equilibrium and the concentration dependent diffusion coefficient of this resin.

This work was sponsored by the Naval Air Systems Command during FY 1978 under the Task Number A3200000/004A/9R02200000.

for J. R. DIXON

By direction

Accession For NTIS GRA&I DDC TAB Unannounced Justification By Distribution/ Availability Codos Avail and/or Dist special

CONTENTS

										rage
INTRODUCTION		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
BACKGROUND	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	6
EXPERIMENTAL		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
DISCUSSION										9
Measurement of the Diffusion Coefficient										9
Errors due to Experimental Inaccuracies .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	14
RESULTS			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	30
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT			•	•	•		•		•	31
APPENDIX A										
Sample Preparation										33
Sorption Chamber and Sorption Measurement										33

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

Page

1	Moisture Sorption Balance		10
2	Moisture Absorption and Desorption of Hercules 3501-6		
	(L = .029 cm) at 50°C and 80% RH		17
3	Moisture Absorption and Desorption of Hercules 3501-6		
	at 50°C and 80% RH (L = .024 cm)		18
4	Theoretical and Experimental Sorption and Desorption Curves		
	for $3501-6$ Resin (D(0) = $2.08E-10cm^2/sec$) at		
	50°C and 80% RH		20
5	Moisture Diffusivity D(C) of Hercules 3501-6 Epoxy Resin.		
	(For 3501-6/AS composite (Vr = 0.65) D(C) is multiplied		
	by 0.25) the numbers in parenthesis indicate the rel.		
	humidity at which D(C) was Measured. D(o) was obtained		
	from desorption measurements		21
6	Concentration Dependence of the Moisture Diffusion		
	Coefficient of Hercules 3501-6 Epoxy Resin		22
7	Equilibrium Concentration of Moisture in Hercules 3501-6		23
8	Equilibrium Sorption of Water in Hercules 3501-6 Epoxy Resin		24
9	d/ai versus ai of Hercules 3501-6 Epoxy Resin		26
10	Zimm's Cluster Function (CiGi) of Hercules 3501-6 Epoxy		
	Resin as a Function of the Relative Moisture Equilibrium		
	Vapor Pressure		28

TABLES

4

INTRODUCTION

This work is part of an effort to characterize pertinent properties of the Hercules 3501-6 epoxy resin which is a material to be used as a matrix in advanced carbon fiber composites for structural application in future naval aircraft.

Moisture affects the elastic and strength properties of organic matrix composites especially at elevated temperatures. This is due to the fact that moisture changes these same properties in the resin. It is therefore desirable to predict the state of moisture sorption with time and the ultimate equilibrium in a given environment.

The specific objective of this work was to determine the moisture diffusion coefficient of the resin and the equilibrium concentration as a function of the relative humidity.

Mechanical properties such as Young's modulus, shear modulus, Poisson's ratio, ultimate strain, and strength have also been determined as a function of temperature and moisture concentration. These results are covered in a separate NSWC/TR. 1

 Augl, J.M. "Moisture Effects on the Mechanical Properties of Hercules 3501-6 Epoxy Resin," NSWC/WOL TR 79-41 (in print).

BACKGROUND

A considerable amount of work has been done to study the effect of moisture on composites. No effort is made to review the subject, suffice it here to refer to some of the original reports and papers.²⁻¹³

In the two previous reports we have specifically dealt with the questions of moisture diffusion in composites, by using a finite difference approach¹⁴ and with the question of how to model a natural environment where temperature and relative humidity change constantly, and in an irregular fashion. The results of these investigations have led us to believe that property changes of composites in a natural environment under service or storage conditions can be realistically predicted.¹⁵,16

- Hertz, J., et al. "Advanced Composite Application for Spacecraft and Missiles," AFML-TR-71-186 Vol II, General Dynamics-Convair, San Diego, California, Mar 1972.
- Browning, C.E., and Whitney, J.E. "The Effect of Moisture on the Properties of High Performance Structural Resins and Composites," American Chem. Soc., Div. of Org. Coatings and Plastic Chem., <u>33</u>, No. 2, 1973, pp. 137-148.
- 4. Augl, J.M., "Environmental Degradation Studies on Carbon Fiber Reinforced Epoxies," Paper presented at the TTCP-Panel P3 Meeting, Melbourne, Australia, 1975, and paper presented at the Air Force Workshop on Durability Characteristics of Resin Matrix Composites, Battelle-Columbus Laboratories, Ohio, 1975.
- Augl, J.M., "The Effect of Moisture on Carbon Fiber Reinforced Composites. II Mechanical Property Changes," NSWC/WOL TR 76-149, 1977.
- Browning, C.E., Husman, G.E., and Whitney, J.M., "Moisture Effects in Epoxy Matrix Composites," Composite Materials: Testing and Design, ASTM STP 617, 1976, p. 481.
- Hofer, K.E., Rao, N., and Larson, D., "Development of Engineering Data on the Mechanical and Physical Properties of Advanced Composite Materials," AFML-TR-72-205, IIT Research Institute, 1974.
- Browning, C.E., and Hartness, J.T., "Effect of Moisture on the Properties of High Performance Structural Resins and Composites," Composite Materials: Testing and Design, ASTM STP 546, 1974 pp. 284-302.
- Kaelble, D.H., Dynes, P.J., and Crare, L.W., "Interfacial Mechanisms of Moisture Degradation in Graphite Fibers," J. of Adhesion, 7, 25, 1977.

- Kaelble, D.H., and Dynes, P.J., "Surface Energy Analysis of Treated Graphite Fibers," <u>J. Adhesion</u>, 6, 239, 1974.
- Ashbee, K.H.G., and Wyatt, R.C. "Water Damage in Glass Fiber/Resin Composites," <u>Proc. Royal Soc., Series A 312</u>, 553, 1969.
- Halpin, J.C., and Pagano, N.J., "Consequences of Environmentally Induced Dilation in Solids," AFML-TR-68-395, 1969.
- 13. Pipes, B.R., Vinson, J.R., and Chou, T.W., "On the Hygrothermal Response of Laminated Composite Systems," <u>J. Comp. Mat.</u>, <u>10</u>, 126, 1976.
- Augl, J.M., and Berger, A.E., "The Effect of Moisture on Carbon Fiber Reinforced Composites. I Diffusion," NSWC/WOL TR 76-7, 1976.
- Augl, J.M., and Berger, A.E., "The Effect of Moisture on Carbon Fiber Reinforced Composites. III Prediction of Moisture Sorption in a Real Outdoor Environment," NSWC/WOL TR 77-13, 1977.
- Augl, J.M., and Berger, A.E., "The Effect of Moisture on Carbon Fiber Reinforced Composites. IV Prediction of Changes in the Elastic Behavior," NSWC/WOL TR 77-61, 1977.

EXPERIMENTAL

The cured resin was machined into plate samples of .024 cm thickness. The diffusion coefficient and moisture sorption equilibria were measured with a Cahn microbalance by sorption measurements. The details of the resin cure and the sorption measurements are given in Appendix A.

DISCUSSION

Measurement of the Diffusion Coefficient

The most direct measurement of the diffusion coefficient of a polymeric material would be to determine the steady state flow of the diffusant through a membrane of the polymer where the concentrations on both sides of the membrane are different but held constant. The diffusion coefficient is then given as the quotient of the flow rate through a unit area divided by the concentration gradient. For dense, pinhole-free films, this method is quite useful and gas chromatographic methods have been devised for this purpose.

For composites, this method has only limited value since it is quite difficult to prepare a thin crack-free composite membrane, though it may serve as a useful check for porosity, which is frequently severe in filament wound composites. Flow through pores is governed by Poisseuille's law, i.e., capillary flow described by the following relation:

 $Q = \pi a^4(p_1 - p_2)/(4ug)$

where Q = volume passing a circular cross section of radius a per second, P_1-P_2 = pressure difference, u = viscosity coefficient, g = length.

Thus, by changing the pressure difference, it is easily possible to distinguish between diffusion through the solid polymeric material and capillary flow.

Other quite frequently used methods of determining diffusion coefficients of gases or volatile liquids are by sorption measurements where the sorbed vapors are measured either volumetrically or gravimetrically. For the experiments described in this report we have used an electronic microbalance fabricated by the Ventron Instruments Corp., Cahn Division.

The sorption apparatus is schematically shown in Figure 1 and is described in Appendix A. The moisture uptake or desorption is automatically recorded while the vapor pressure and the temperature are held constant.

In an earlier report¹³, we determined diffusion coefficients of resins a composites from the initial slope of M_t/M_{∞} vs $t^{1/2}$ (M_t = amount absorbed at ime t, M_{∞} = equilibrium concentration at the respective partial vapor pressure.) The experiments were carried out by exposing rather thick specimens (5x5x0.3 cm³) to some constant relative humidity and constant temperature and weighing the samples from time to time. This method is simple, however time consuming, and therefore not very useful if absorption and desorption measurements are to be carried out until final equilibrium is reached.



FIGURE 1 MOISTURE SORPTION BALANCE

10

In our present investigation we were interested in whether or not the 3501-6 epoxy resin had a concentration dependent diffusion coefficient. It was therefore desirable to obtain the total rather than just the initial sorption curves.

The concentration dependence of the diffusion coefficient is usually obtained by performing sorption or permeation measurements at different boundary conditions on geometrically well-defined samples for which the diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [D(c) \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}]$$

(where c = concentration, t = time, and D(c) is the diffusion coefficient which may depend on the local concentration of the diffusant) can be solved. Closed form solutions for Fick's equation are available only for concentration indpendent diffusion coefficients. If D depends on concentration, Fick's equation has to be solved by numerical methods.

Since it may become important to compare experimental data among various laboratories, it might be helpful here to discuss briefly the essential aspects of determining the diffusion coefficient from sorption measurements on plate samples. For a sheet of infinite extension and exposure to the same vapor pressure on both sides, the following closed solution has been derived for a diffusion with concentration independent D.¹⁷

$$M_{t}/M_{\infty} = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{8}{(2n+1)^{2}\pi^{2}} \exp[-D(2n+1)^{2}\pi^{2}t/4\ell^{2}]$$
(1)

$$M_{t}/M_{\infty} = 2\left(\frac{D_{t}}{\ell^{2}}\right)^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2} + 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} \operatorname{ierfc}_{\sqrt{Dt}} \right\}$$
(2)

and for "longtime" exposure

$$M_{t}/M_{\infty} = 1 - \frac{8}{\pi 2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^{2}} \exp \left[-D(2n+1)^{2} \pi^{2} t/\ell^{2}\right]$$
 (3)

where M_t and M_∞ are the amount of moisture taken up after a time t and at equilibrium (i.e., after infinite time), ℓ = sheet thickness. Formula (2) can be used to derive the useful formulas (4 and 5) for determining D_i^A or D_i^D or $D_{1/2}$ since the summation term in (2) vanishes

 Crank, T., <u>The Mathematics of Diffusion</u>, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1975.

$$\mathbf{D}_{i}^{\mathsf{A}} = \mathbf{D}_{i}^{\mathsf{D}} = \frac{\pi}{16} \mathbf{R}^{2}$$

where R = $d(M_t/M_{\odot})/d(t/t^2)^{1/2}$

$$D_{1/2} = .049/(t/t^2)_{1/2}$$
(5)

(4)

the subscript i indicates that D was obtained from the initial portion of the sorption curve. The subscript 1/2 indicates the time after 1/2 of the equilibrium concentration has been absorbed or desorbed. In other words, if the diffusion coefficient does not depend on concentration the results obtained from absorption or desorption experiments are the same. If the diffusion coefficient depends, however, on concentration then D, obtained from such a measurement, is really some average diffusion coefficient which we have, in view of the following, indicated with a bar (\overline{D}) . Also, then the equality between \overline{D} and \overline{D} no longer holds.

From equation (3) another formula (6) can be derived which is useful for "longtime" experiments, when the summation can be effectively replaced by its first term from which (6) and (6a) follow:

$$\ln\left(1-\frac{M_{t}}{M_{m}}\right) = \ln\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} - \frac{\overline{D}\pi^{2}t}{t^{2}}$$
(6)

$$[d \ln(M_{\omega} - M_{t})]dt = \pi^{2} \overline{D}/\ell^{2}$$
(6a)

From this it follows that a logarithmic plot of $(1-M_t/M_{\infty})$ vs time becomes linear.

In some cases it may be impractical to wait for equilibrium to be attained. For such cases another useful formula (7) may be derived in a similar way from (3).

$$(M_{t})_{2} - (M_{t})_{1} = \frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \left\{ (M_{t})_{2} \exp\left[-\frac{\bar{D}\pi^{2}t_{1}}{t^{2}}\right] - (M_{t})_{1} \exp\left[-\frac{\bar{D}\pi^{2}t_{2}}{t^{2}}\right] \right\}$$
(7)

12

The concentration dependent D(c) can be obtained by solving

$$\bar{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{o}}) = (\frac{5}{3}) C_{\mathbf{o}}^{-5/3} \int_{\mathbf{o}}^{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{o}}} c^{2/3} D(C) dC$$

as described by Crank¹⁷ or by solving

$$\overline{D}^{D}(C_{o}) = 1.85(C_{o})^{-1.85} \int_{0}^{C_{o}} (C_{o}^{-C})^{0.85} D(C) dC$$
(9)

(8)

as described by Kishimoto and Enda¹⁸. Both methods require a series of absorption and desorption curves respectively. Graphical or numerical differentiation is carried out to give D(c).

Frensdorff¹⁹ approached the solution of Fick's equation (where D(c) is concentration dependent) by a numerical method.

He has shown that the slopes of the linear portion of curves of equation (6a) where D is replaced by D(c) are identical, i.e., $-\pi^2/4$. Some authors have called the average diffusion coefficient obtained from the short time solution as "integral diffusion coefficient" while that obtained from the long term solution as "differential diffusion coefficient." These names indicate that the short term solutions were obtained in a process where the diffusion coefficient has a wide range due to the steep concentration gradient while the long term solution requires a measurement close to the equilibrium where the gradient is very flat and therefore the range of D(c) is small. We have therefore used the Frensdorff method for our measurement which makes a numerical integration according to equations (8) or (9) unnecessary and D(c) is obtained directly. D(o), the diffusion coefficient as zero concentration is approached, is also obtained directly from a desorption experiment rather than by an iterative method as described by Crank²⁰.

Duda and Vrentas²¹ have described a complicated method (method of moments) to obtain the total range of D(c) from a single sorption curve. The authors have indicated, however, that highly accurate longtime sorption curves are essential for this method. The authors did not compare the results of their theoretical model with actual experimental data.

	18.	Kishimoto.	A., and	Enda.	Y	J.	Polym.	Sci	A.	1.	1799.	196	3.
--	-----	------------	---------	-------	---	----	--------	-----	----	----	-------	-----	----

- 19. Frensdorff, H.K., J. Polym. Sci. A, 2, 341, 1964.
- 20. Crank, T., and Park, G.S., Trans. Faraday Soc., 45, 240, 1949.
- 21. Duda, T.L., and Vrentas, T.S., AICHE Journal, Mar 1971, p. 464.

Errors Due to Experimental Inaccuracies

1. Effect of Temperature Control

Over a small temperature range the diffusion coefficient changes with temperature as indicated by equation (10)

(10)

$$D = D_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)$$

as a rule of thumb, D increases by about a factor of 2 for every 10° C. This means that an error in the temperature measurement of 1° C gives an approximate 6% error in the measured diffusion coefficient. If an average temperature of a small temperature fluctuation (+1°C) can be ascertained, the error should be considerably smaller (less than 1%). There is a small error introduced if the experiment is carried out on plate samples that have to be removed from the exposure chamber to be weighted. The error is largest at the initial time periods but gets successively smaller at the longtime exposure because of the square root dependence of moisture uptake with time. Therefore, a continuous measurement of moisture uptake in a closed system by means of a quartz spring balance or a Cahn electro balance is more desirable if accurate data are required. On the other hand, the sample size for such instruments is quite small (100-300 mg).

2. Effect of Sample Thickness

The thicknesses of the sheet samples range usually from .250 to .025 cm for composites. If a micrometer of \pm .001 cm accuracy is used for the thickness measurement, then the errors in thickness measurements range from .4 to 4 percent and the corresponding diffusion coefficients range in error from .16 to 16 percent since the thickness enters as a square in equations (4) to (7).

3. Effect of Relative Vapor Pressure and Weight Measurements

The error caused by inaccuracies in vapor pressure measurement can be twofold:

a. The error in D(c) is proportional to its slope,

b. The error is also dependent on the accuracy with which the pressure can be held constant during the final phase of the sorption or desorption experiment for determining the slope that enters equation (6a). Similarly important is the accuracy of the weight measurement which must be better than 1% for the long term solution. The combined error in D may reach +12%.

4. Effect of Time Measurement

Since most sorption experiments require times from several hours to many days, time should be the least source of error (assuming the recorder has been calibrated). 5. Range of Usefulness of Equations (4) to (7) for Determining the Diffusion Coefficient

The equations (4) to (7) apply strictly only for determining diffusion coefficients that do not depend on concentration. Even then the range is limited because only one or two terms of the infinite series equation 1-3 were used. Formula (4) gives less than 1% error in the range where M_t/M_{∞} is less than 0.5. The same accuracy is obtained with formula (5). Equation (6) gives less than 1 percent error in the range $M_t/M_{\infty} > 0.7$. In using formula (7), the error depends on both M_1 and M_2 and on the difference. For instance, if M_1 is such that $M_t/M_{\infty} = 0.5$ and M_2 such that $M_t/M_{\infty} = .97$, the error is less than 1.6 percent. If M_1 and M_2 are both chosen to be such that $M_t/M_{\infty} > 0.8$ the error is less than 1 percent.

6. Edge Effects of Plates with Finite Dimensions

A thorough analysis of the edge effects for various geometries was given by Rothwell and Marshall²².

The correction formula for the short time solution of isotropic materials is

$$D = D_0 \left[1 + \frac{\ell}{a} + \frac{\ell}{b} \right]^{-2}$$
(10)

(where D = corrected diffusion coefficient, D_0 = diffusivity for a plate with infinite dimensions (obtained from equation (4)), t = plate thickness, a = length, b = width). For the long term solution (equation (6) and (7)) Rothwell and Marshall suggested

 $D = D_{o} \left[1 + 2 \left(\frac{\ell^{2}}{a^{2}} + \frac{\ell^{2}}{b^{2}} \right) \right]^{-1}$ (11)

for a and b >> 1, and $M_t/M_{or} > 0.6$. These equations were applied for the \overline{D}_{i}^{A} , \overline{D}_{i}^{D} , $D_{f}^{A}(c)$ and $D_{f}^{D}(o)$ given in this report.

Rothwell, W.S., and Marshall, H.P., "Analysis of Experimental Transport Data Diffusion of Water in EPDM," Lockheed Missile & Space Co. Technical Report, LMSC-D566642, Oct 1977.

^{23.} Shen, C.H., and Springer, G.S., J. Comp. Mat., 10, 2, 1976.

RESULTS

The specimen used for the sorption and desorption experiments had the following dimensions: $2.5 \times 1.8 \times 0.024 \text{ cm}^3$.

The sample was exposed in the sorption apparatus at the desired temperature and pressure and the moisture gain or loss was recorded. A typical absorption (A) and desorption (D) curve given by a short term plot (equation (4)) is shown in Figure 2. The same data plotted according to equation (6a) are shown in Figure 3. From the straight line portions of these plots were determined the D_{i}^{A} , \overline{D}_{i}^{D} , $D_{i}^{A}(c)$ and $D_{f}^{D}(o)$, values, listed in Table 1.

From the difference in the sorption and desorption curves it is quite apparent that there must be a concentration dependent diffusion coefficient.

Figure 4 shows a plot of M_t/M_{\odot} vs. $(D_0t/h^2)^{1/2}$. If the diffusion coefficients were constant and equal to 2.08E-10 cm²/sec as found for $D_f^D(o)$ at 50°C, the absorption and desorption curve should be identical and follow the curve indicated: (theoretical). Using the same scale, one observes that the experimental curves differ considerably.

Figure 5 shows a graph of the resin diffusion coefficient at 30° and 50°C as a function of moisture concentration in weight percent. (From a resin density of 1.274 this can be readily converted in volume percent if so desired.)

Figure 6 shows the ratio of D(c)/D(o).

The equilibrium concentration of moisture in the resin with respect to the surrounding relative humidity is shown in Figure 7. For an AS-carbon fiber composite of a fiber volume fraction of 0.62 the calculated equilibrium concentration of moisture is indicated by the broken line. An actual sample exposed at 80% RH absorbed 1.1539 percent of water which agrees quite well with the calculated moisture uptake of 1.187 (for $V_f = 0.62$, composite density = 1.5825, and resin density = 1.274).

Figure 8 shows the same data in terms of moisture activity in the resin versus volume fraction. Since at chemical equilibrium the activity a_1 of moisture is equal to the ratio of p/p_0 (where p is the partial vapor pressure and p_0 is the saturation pressure of moisture over liquid water at the given temperature) there is a simple relationship of moisture activity at equilibrium and relative humidity at which the experiment was performed: XRH = 100 x a_1 . (Such a plot is also called a sorption isotherm.)





FIGURE 3 MOISTURE ABSORPTION AND DESORPTION OF HERCULES 3501-6 AT 50° C AND 80% RH (L = .024 cm).

NSWC TR 79-39

Table 1. Diffusion Coefficients of Hercules 3501-6 Epoxy Resin (Corrected for Edge Effects)

	RH (X)	Temperature ('C)	Equil. Conc. (Wt. Z)	¯δ ^A (cm ² /sec)10 ⁻⁹	1 01 (cm ² /sec)10 ⁻⁹	D ^A (C) (cm ² /sec)10 ⁻⁹	D ^D f(0) (cm ² /sec)10 ⁻⁹	D(C)/D(0)
	-	50	0.507	2.92	2.14	1.88	0.197ª	10.3
	15	50	1.037	3.85	2.14	3.83	0.106	21.0
	30	50	1.603	3.62	2.90	4.28	•	23.5
	60	50	2.847	4.58	4.20	4.96	0.215	27.3
	80	50	3.765	4.36	6.05	5.17	0.208	28.4
1	15	30	•	0.92	,	1.69	•	36.7
9	53	30	•	1.18	0.98	1.92	0.046	41.7
	60	30	•	1.66	١	2.01	•	43.7
	80	30	•	1.54	2.71	1.80	•	39.1
			1					

^a From these data (at 50°C) one can observe the experimental spread in long term measurements, a value of 0.181 x 10^{-9} cm²/sec was taken as an average.

NSWC TR 79-39



and the second



FIGURE 5 MOISTURE DIFFUSIVITY D(C) OF HERCULES 3501-6 EPOXY RESIN. (FOR 3501-6/AS COMPOSITES (V_f = 0.65) D(C) IS MULTIPLIED BY 0.25) THE NUMBERS IN PARENTHESIS INDICATE THE REL. HUMIDITY AT WHICH D(C) WAS MEASURED. D(0) WAS OBTAINED FROM DESORPTION MEASUREMENTS





23

.....





FIGURE 8 EQUILIBRIUM SORPTION OF WATER IN HERCULES 3501-6 EPOXY RESIN

From Figure 5, it appears that the moisture diffusion coefficient goes through a maximum at least at ambient temperature. Such a behavior is not unknown and it may occur with diffusants that can readily form hydrogen bridges and therefore lead to self condensation.

If such a self condensation (clustering) of a solvent in a polymer occurs, the diffusion of the solvent will be reduced since the size of the diffusant has increased to form a dimer or even larger condensation clusters. The answer of whether or not such a condensation occurs may be obtained from statistical thermodynamics of polymers.

Based on the investigation of McMillan and Mayer²⁴ on the statistical thermodynamics of multicomponent systems, Zimm has proposed two forms of "clustering functions."²⁵⁻²⁷

$$\frac{G_{11}}{V_1} = -\phi_2 \left[\frac{\partial (a_1/\phi_1)}{\partial a_1} \right]_{p,T} -1$$

$$C_1 G_{11} = \frac{\phi_1 G_{11}}{V_1} = \phi_2 \left(\frac{\partial \ell n \phi_1}{\partial \ell n a_1} \right)_{p,T} -1$$

and

This is to be understood that the mean number of type 1 molecules (=H₂0) in the neighborhood of a given type 1 molecule in excess of the mean concentration of type 1 molecule is $\phi_1G_{11}/V_1 = C_1G_{11}$, where ϕ_1 , V_1 and C_1 are the volume fraction, partial molar volume and molar concentration of component 1, respectively.

This clustering function is easily derived from the sorption isotherm (Figure 8), from which $a_1\phi_1$ vs a_1 is obtained (Figure 9 and Table 2). A graphical differentiation of the curve of Figure 9 gives finally G_{11}/V_1 or C_1G_{11} . The latter function is shown in Figure 10. As a comparison, the clustering function of unstretched Nylon 66 is also shown, where the sorption data were taken from the literature.²⁸

24. McMillan, W.G., and Mayer, J., J. Chem. Phys., 13, 276, 1945.

25. Zimm, B.H., J. Chem. Phys., 14, 164, 1945.

- 26. Zimm, B.H., ibid, 21, 934, 1953.
- 27. Zimm, B.H., and Lundberg, J.L., J. Phys. Chem., 52, 425, 1956.
- 28. Bull, H.B., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 66, 1499, 1949.





26

B. B. Grand into

Table 2. Zimm's Clustering Functions $G_{1\,1}/V_{1}$ and $C_{1}G_{1\,1}$ of Hercules 3501-6 Epoxy Resin

⁶ 11 ^v 1 ^c 1611		- 7.930713	-18.72935	-17.3351	-10.75269	- 6.6198	- 3.89136	- 0.020008	4.61 .218	7.60 .426	9.81 .660
$\frac{\Delta(a_1/\phi_1)}{\Delta^{a_1}}$	•	7.0	18.0	16.6	10.	5.8	3.00	- 1.02	- 5.89	- 9.10	-11.6
Polymer Volume Fraction¢2	.9954	0166.	.9843	7676.	.9750	.9700	.9650	.9593	.9526	.9452	.9327
a1	10.93	11.13	12.73	14.80	15.98	16.68	17.14	17.21	16.86	16.06	14.91
H20 Volume Fractional¢ ₁	.0046	0600.	.0157	.0203	.0250	.0300	.0350	.0407	.0474	.0560	.0673
H20 Weight X	.36	.71	1.25	1.62	2.01	2.42	2.84	3.32	3.90	4.65	5.63
H20 Activity ^a l	.05	.1	.2	е.	4.	۶.	9.	۲.	8.	6.	1.0

NSWC TR 79-39







Since C_1G_{11} is the mean number of water molecules near a given water molecule in excess of the mean concentration, the mean size of the cluster is $C_1G_{11}+1$, which indicates that the size of the cluster increases rapidly at higher relative humidity equilibrium concentrations.

Similar results have been reported in the literature by Starkweather²⁹ for cellulose, keratin, collagen, serum albumin, polyvinyl acetate and polymethyl methacrylate.

29. Starkweather, H.W., Polymer Letters, 1, 133, 1963.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The moisture diffusion coefficient of Hercules 3501-6 has been determined at 30° and 50°C as a function of concentration.

2. It was found that, at very low moisture concentration, the diffusion coefficient is low, while at concentrations between 1 and 5% of moisture (equivalent to 30-90% relative humidity equilibrium concentration), the diffusion coefficient is rather flat and seems to have a maximum at lower temperatures (30°C). This behavior can be rationalized from a clustering mechanism of water at higher moisture concentrations. Such clustering reduces the diffusant mobility and thus its diffusion coefficient.

3. The concentration dependence was directly determined from the long term sorption experiments according to Frensdorff's method.

4. It is recommended that diffusion studies also be made on stressed resin and composite samples in order to obtain information on whether or not these materials show stress induced diffusion.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Messrs. M. Stander and C. Bersch of the Naval Air Systems Command for their support and their interest in this work.

APPENDIX A

Sample Preparation

The resin Hercules 3501-6 was obtained from the manufacturer (Hercules Bacchus, Utah) and kept stored in a freezer.

The resin was heated to 93°C at which temperature it was degassed under vacuum. Then the liquid resin was cast into a plate mold and cured under the following conditions: the resin was kept for 1 hour each at the following temperatures: 100°, 115°, 124°, 130°, and 150°C. The final cure was then 10 hours at 177°C. The resin was then allowed to cool and was machined into thin (0.024 cm) plate samples.

Sorption Chamber and Sorption Measurements

Figure 1 shows a schematic of the sorption apparatus which consists of a Cahn electrobalance, a weighing chamber, a vapor generator, a capacitance manometer with a range from 0.1 to 1,000 mm of Hg pressure, a constant temperature bath, a 5 buffer vapor reservoir, a vacuum pump, and a recorder with a sensitivity of 0.002 mg. The temperature in the wooden isolated chamber was usually held at 3°C higher than in the sample chamber by air circulation with two blowers. The sample chamber was held at constant temperature by circulating water through a heating jacket.

The predried sample was transferred into the weighing chamber and vacuum was applied with the valves V_1 , V_2 , and V_3 open. The water temperature of the constant temperature bath was raised to 80°C to remove moisture that may have been sorbed during the sample transfer. Then the temperature was lowered to the desired measurement temperature. Valve V_2 was closed and valve V_4 was opened till the desired vapor pressure was reached (as indicated by the pressure gauge). Instead of adjusting the vapor pressure directly from a reservoir of liquid water, it is also possible to keep a binary salt water mixture in the vapor generator which restricts the relative pressure to only certain fixed values (dependent on the kind of salt used and on the measurement temperature). The sorption was run till equilibrium was attained.

At relative humidities higher than 80% the Cahn balance became unstable. Whenever possible it is desirable to do the entire series of sorption and desorption measurements without removal of the sample. It took about 100 seconds till the pressure was reached at which the measurement was to be carried out, especially at the high relative vapor pressures. (If by accident the desired measurement pressure is exceeded, this can be easily rectified by opening valve V_2 to the vacuum pump.) It is also desirable to be able to control the valves from outside the insulation box.

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

Office of Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering Attn: Mr. J. Persh, OAD/ET Washington, D.C. 20301 Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: AIR 52032 (C. Bersch) AIR 53032D (M. Stander) AIR 320A (T. Kearns) Washington, D. C. 20361 Commander, Naval Sea Systems Command Attn: NAVSEA 062R4 (M. Kinna) NAVSEA 9921 (Hawver) NAVSEA 062R NAVSEA 05R NAVSEA 09G32 NAVSEA 03B NAVSEA 05R15 (H. Vanderveldt) Washington, D. C. 20362 Office of Naval Research 800 Quincy Street Attn: Code 472 (Dr. G. Neece) Code 470 (Dr. Edward I. Salkovitz) (Dr. E. J. Wynne) Arlington, Virginia 22217 Office of Naval Research 495 Summer Street Attn: Dr. L. Peebles Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 8433 (Dr. I. Wolock) Washington, D. C. 20375 Commander Naval Weapons Center Attn: Code 533 China Lake, California 93555 Director of Development Army Material Command Graveley Point Washington, D. C. 20316

2

2

35

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

Commanding Officer Picatinny Arsenal Plastic Technical Evaluation Center Attn: A. M. Anzalone Dover, New Jersey 07801

Commanding Officer U. S. Army Mobility Equipment R & D Laboratory Attn: Technical Library Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060

Air Force Materials Laboratory Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Attn: Technical Library Ohio 45433

Commanding Officer Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Attn: Library

- R. Sacher
- J. Illinger
- N. Schneider
- G. Thomas
- S. Wentworth

Watertown, Massachusetts 02172

Commander, David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research & Development Center Carderock Library, Code 5220 Bethesda, Maryland 20084

Commander Naval Ship Research & Development Center Attn: Code 2841 (S. Klemens) M. Silvergleit A. Macander Annapolis, Maryland 21402

Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Commander Naval Underwater Systems Center Attn: LA151 (Technical Library) Newport, Rhode Island 02840 12

5

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

Director Naval Avionics Facility Attn: Code 750 (Library) Indianapolis, Indiana 46218

Commander Naval Air Development Center Attn: F. S. Williams W. Fegyna R. Trobacco Code 302 Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974

NASA

Langley Research Center Mail Stop 226 Langley Station Attn: Dr. Norman Johnston Edward R. Long (MS-396) Hampton, Virginia 23665

Harry Diamond Laboratories Attn: Library Washington, D. C. 20438

Boeing Commercial Airplane Company P.O. Box 3707, M/S 73-43 Attn: J. T. Quinlivan Seattle, Washington 98124

Lockheed Missiles and Space Company P. O. Box 504 Attn: Mr. A. Mietz Mr. C. May Sunnyvale, California 94088

Lockheed Missile and Space Company 3251 Hanover Street Attn: Dr. F. Crossman Palo Alto, California 94304

Lockheed-Georgia Company Dept. 72-26 Zone 28 Attn: Walter S. Cremens Marietta, Georgia 30060

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

General Motors Research Laboratories Attn: Timothy Chen Eng. Mech. Dept. Warren, Michigan 48070

United Research Center Attn: D. A. Scola East Hartford, Connecticut 06601

Materials Science Corporation Attn: B. W. Rosen Blue Bell, Pennsylvania 19422

Advanced Technology Center, Inc. P. O. Box 6144 Attn: D. H. Petersen Dallas, Texas 75222

General Dynamics Convair Division P. O. Box 80847 Attn: W. G. Scheck San Diego, California 92138

McDonnell Aircraft Company P. O. Box 516 Attn: M. P. Renieri (Bldg. 34) G. D. Renieri (Bldg. 106) St. Louis, Missouri 63166

R & D Associates P. O. Box 3580 Attn: R. A. Field Santa Monica, California 90403

The University of Wyoming P. O. Box 3295 Attn: Dr. Donald F. Adams Laramie, Wyoming 82071

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Attn: Dr. T. Serafini Mr. C. C. Chamis Cleveland, Ohio 44135

DISTRIBUTION

Copies

4

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Goddard Space Flight Center Attn: Code 313 John Tarphy Greenbelt, Maryland 20771

Air Force Materials Laboratory Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Attn: S. W. Tsai C. Browning Ohio 45433

University of Delaware Department of Mechanical Aerospace Engineering Evens Hall Attn: Dr. B. Pipes Newark, Delaware 19711

Lawrence Livermore Laboratory University of California Box 808 L-421 Attn: T. T. Chiao Livermore, California 94550

Library of Congress Washington, D. C. Attn: Gift and Exchange Division HDW_HSWC(W)-\$605/1 (Rev. 5-78)

TO AID IN UPDATING THE DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER, WHITE OAK TECHNICAL REPORTS PLEASE COMPLETE THE FORM BELOW:

AND A DIR STATE SAMAGE STATE AND MELL

TO ALL HOLDERS OF NSWC/TR 79-39 by Joseph M. Augl, Code R-31 DO NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF ALL INFORMATION IS CURRENT

A. FACILITY NAME AND ADDRESS (OLD) (Show Zip Code)

NEW ADDRESS (Show Zip Code)

D. ATTENTION LINE ADDRESSES:

C.

D.

REMOVE THIS FACILITY FROM THE DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR TECHNIGAL REPORTS ON THIS SUBJECT.

NUMBER OF COPIES DESIRED

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER WHITE OAK, SILVER SPRING, MD. 20910

> OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DOD 316



COMMANDER NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER WHITE OAK, SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND 20910

and another that we have a second a second

ATTENTION: CODE R-31

EL THE FORMER