

AD-A075 286

E-SYSTEMS INC ST PETERSBURG FL ECI DIV
ELECTRONICALLY TUNED UHF RECEIVER PRESELECTOR.(U)
AUG 79 T SWANSO

F/G 17/2.1

F30602-77-C-0200

UNCLASSIFIED

1 OF 2

AD
A075 286

RADC-TR-79-210

NL



AD A075286

LEVEL II

22

RADC-TR-79-210
Final Technical Report
August 1979



ELECTRONICALLY TUNED UHF RECEIVER PRESELECTOR

E-Systems

Thomas Swanson

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DDC
RECEIVED
OCT 19 1979
A

DDC FILE COPY

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER
Air Force Systems Command
Griffiss Air Force Base, New York 13441

79 10 19 153

This report has been reviewed by the RADC Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

RADC-TR-79-210 has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED:

Daniel E. Warren

DANIEL E. WARREN
Project Engineer

APPROVED:

David C. Luke

DAVID C. LUKE, Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Reliability & Compatibility Division

FOR THE COMMANDER:

John P. Huss

JOHN P. HUSS
Acting Chief, Plans Office

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify RADC (RBCT), Griffiss AFB NY 13441. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return this copy. Retain or destroy.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER RADC TR-79-210	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ELECTRONICALLY TUNED UHF RECEIVER PRESELECTOR	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Technical Report 27 Oct 77 - 30 Oct 78	
7. AUTHOR(s) Thomas Swanson	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER N/A	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS E-Systems, ECI Division 1501-72nd Street, North St. Petersburg FL 33733	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) F30602-77-C-0200	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Rome Air Development Center (RBCT) Griffiss AFB NY 13441	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 62702F 23380402	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Same	12. REPORT DATE Aug 1979	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 102	
	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Same		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: Daniel E. Warren (RBCT)		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Electromagnetic Compatibility Electronic Tuning Communications Filters Interference Intermodulation		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report describes design and construction of a prototype improved electronically tunable UHF receiver preselector for interference reduction in Air Force communication systems. This research work was directed toward developing the technology for frequency agile preselectors to protect solid state receivers from high level signals originating from colocated UHF transmitters. The design approach consists of tuning a modified comb-line filter structure with high Q UHF capacitors and pin diode switches. Equations and design considerations are given for construction of tuning networks which have		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

393 221

JP

to the Nth power

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

Cx2^N binary capacitance steps. A computer program was used to predict the filters performance based on the values of stray capacitance and inductance of the tuning networks. The tuning networks were then optimized which ensured that spurious resonances fell well outside of the tuning range of the filters. The filters were built based on these computer modeled results.

Test data showed input VSWR variation versus the tuning frequency of the filters. These changes were found to be caused by variation in current loading at the tap point of the resonator resulting from the different values of capacitance selected in the tuning network. Improved filter performance was obtained by grounding the input transformers in the vicinity of the tuning network tap point.

A computer controlled test set-up was used for electrical performance testing over the 225 to 400 MHz frequency range. The completed preselector had an average 3 dB bandwidth of 1.8 MHz. In the center of the UHF band, the pre-selectors noise figure was such to degrade a typical 14 dB noise figure receiver by 1 dB and it had approximately unity gain, although the noise figure degraded at the upper and lower edges of the UHF band. The intercept point for tones greater than 1.5 MHz from fc was greater than +40 dBm and for tones greater than 10 MHz from fc was greater than +70 dBm.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DDC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Availand/or special

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

CONTENTS

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
I INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background	1
B. The Design Objectives	3
C. Summary	4
D. Accomplishments	6
II THE PRESELECTOR'S FILTER REQUIREMENTS	8
A. General	8
B. The Noise Figure Determines the Insertion Loss.	9
C. The Loaded Q Calculations	11
D. The Intermodulation Product Requirements	15
E. Determining the Lowest Unloaded Q Requirements.	16
III ELECTRONICALLY TUNING THE COMB LINE FILTER	20
A. General	20
B. Comb Line Filter Design	22
C. Determining the Dimensions	25
IV THE ELECTRONIC TUNING NETWORK	29
A. General	29

B.	Determining the Capacitance Tuning Range	29
C.	Pin Diode Selection	30
1.	The Forward Biased Q	30
2.	The Reversed Bias Q	34
3.	The Best Diode	34
D.	Determining the Tuning Capacitor Values	36
E.	The Bias Networks	38
1.	The Bias Chokes	38
2.	Pin Diode Biasing	41
V	THE COMPUTER MODEL AND PERFORMANCE PREDICTION	44
A.	General	44
B.	Filter Modeling	44
C.	Filter Performance Prediction	51
VI	PRESELECTOR FILTER DIMENSIONS	57
A.	General	57
B.	The Minimum Filter Dimensions	57
C.	The Large Filter's Construction	58
D.	The Tuning Networks	58
E.	The Small Filter's Construction	63
F.	The Final Preselector Package	63
VII	PRESELECTOR MEASUREMENTS.	71
A.	General	71

B.	Frequency Range	71
C.	Bandwidth and Insertion Loss Data	71
D.	Out of Band Rejection	74
E.	Noise Figure Measurement	74
F.	Maximum Out-of-Band Signal Level	78
G.	Intermodulation Product Data	78
H.	Tuning Speed	81
I.	Size and Weight	83
VIII	CONCLUSIONS	85
IX	SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	86
A.	General	86
B.	Improved PIN Diodes	86
C.	Improved Coupling Techniques	86
D.	Application to a Satellite Band Receiver Preselector	87
E.	Preselector Interface with a Higher Dynamic Range Amplifier	87
	REFERENCES	88
	APPENDICES	
A	COMPUTER AIDED COMB LINE FILTER DESIGN PROGRAM	89
B	SCHEME FOR INTERFACE TO ARC-164 OR ARC-171	93

ILLUSTRATIONS

1.1	Design Goals Versus Compliance	6
2.1	Receiver Preselector System	9
2.2	Preselector Noise Figure Versus Preselector Gain .	10
2.3	Noise Figure of Preselector and Radio System Versus Insertion Loss Per Filter (Case 1)	12
2.4	Noise Figure of Preselector and Radio System Versus Insertion Loss Per Filter (Case 2)	13
2.5	Intermodulation Product Versus Filter Bandwidth Prediction	17
2.6	Insertion Loss Versus Q Loaded Versus Q Unloaded for 2 Pole Butterworth Filter	19
3.1	General Comb Line Filter	21
3.2	Capacitance and Dimension Placement for Rectangular Elements	23
3.3	Fringing and Interbar Capacitances for Coupled Rectangular Bars	27
3.4	Fringing Capacitance	28
4.1	Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance	31
4.2	Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance	32
4.3	Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance	33
4.4	Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance	35
4.5	Tuning Network Element Values for Tuning Network Q	37
4.6	Tuning Network Capacitor Values	39
4.7	Tuning Network with Bias Choke	40
4.8	Tuning Network with Bias Diode	42

5.1	General Comb Line Filter	45
5.2	Open Wire Model of Comb Line Filter	45
5.3	Inverter and Transformer Model of Comb Line Filter	46
5.4	Comb Line Filter with Center Tapped Resonators . .	48
5.5	Transmission Line Pair with Shorted Ends	48
5.6	Transmission Line Pair with Open Ends	49
5.7	Inductor Capacitor and Resistor Model Comb Line Filter	50
5.8	Insertion Loss Versus Capacitor Q	53
5.9	Tuning Network Predictions from Diode Manufacturer's Data	54
5.10	Tuning Capacitors and Pin Diode Modeled Values . .	55
5.11	Tuning Network Prediction from Measured Data . . .	56
6.1	Top Plate of Large Comb Line Filter	59
6.2	End Dimensions of Large Comb Line Filter	60
6.3	Reduce Length Transformer for Large Filter	61
6.4	Side View of Short Transformer in Large Filter . .	61
6.5	Inside View of Large Comb Line Filter	62
6.6	Tuning Plate for Large Comb Line Filter	62
6.7	Resonator and Tuning Network Connection Configuration	64
6.8	Outside Dimensions of Top Plate for Small Comb Line Filter	65
6.9	Inside of Top Plate for Small Comb Line Filter . .	66
6.10	End Dimensions for Small Comb Line Filter	67
6.11	Resonator for Small Comb Line Filter	68

6.12	Small Comb Line Filter	69
6.13	Inside View of Small Comb Line Filter	69
6.14	Electronically Tuned UHF Preselector	70
7.1	Preselector Gain Versus Frequency from Each Channel's Data	72
7.2	UHF Preselector Gain and Return Loss Measurement Test Setup	73
7.3	Preselector Gain and Bandwidth Data	75
7.4	Out-of-Band Rejection UHF Preselector Channel 270 MHz	76
7.5	Preselector Noise Figure Measurement Test Setup. .	77
7.6	Preselector Plus Radio Noise Figure	79
7.7	Preselector Intermodulation Measurement Test Setup	80
7.8	Intermodulation Test Results	81
7.9	Preselector Tuning Speed Measurement Test Setup. .	82
7.10a	Tuning Speed from Diode Off to On (2us/div)	84
7.10b	Tuning Speed from Diode On to Off (200us/div)	84
A.1	Comb Line Filter Dimension Program	91
A.2	Comb Line Filter Example Output	92
B.1	Interface Scheme for ARC-164 or ARC-171	94

EVALUATION

This report documents the efforts made to advance the state-of-the-art with respect to electronically tuned UHF receiver preselectors. The goal was to suppress the effects of high power interfering signals from colocated transmitters, without seriously degrading the sensitivity, tuning speed or compactness of recently developed solid state transceivers. Emphasis is placed upon tuning range (225-400 MHz), compactness (2 pounds and 60 cubic inches), low insertion loss (.5 dB) and narrow bandwidth (500 KHz).

These goals were partially met, but such a filter alone will not solve the interference problems. It is possible to expand this capability somewhat by extending the effort, but it is not likely that the state-of-the-art will be advanced enough to completely solve the interference problem. An approach more likely to succeed is to incorporate the results of this effort with those of another effort which emphasize expanding the dynamic range of receiver front ends. Together these two efforts may solve most of the UHF interference problems.

This effort was in support of TPO R4C.

Daniel E. Warren

DANIEL E. WARREN
Project Engineer

I INTRODUCTION

A. Background

The objective of this contract was to develop an electronically tunable UHF preselector to reduce commonly encountered collocation interference where high power (1000 watt) transmitters are required to operate simultaneously near sensitive UHF receivers. Recently this problem has been aggravated by the use of solid state receiver front end circuits. These receivers are desensitized by signal levels of -10 dBm or above. Therefore, the purpose of the preselector is to attenuate signals from these collocated transmitters which can be as high as +20 dBm at the receiver input to levels below the desensitization threshold.

This contract has relied heavily on data from three previous RADC contracts which involved work on UHF narrowband pin diode switched filters. These contracts advanced the "state of art" and proved that low loss filters can be implemented tuning 12 to 18% portions of the UHF band. The previous technique was not chosen, however, due to its relatively narrow tuning range since four filters are required to cover the UHF band and they are single pole devices.

This contract requires a 3 to 4 pole filter selectivity depending on allowances for design margin and resonator

tracking inaccuracy. Using the previous technique, 16 single pole filters would be necessary occupying approximately 800 cubic inches of space. A new technique was chosen, heretofore untested as a receiver preselector. It uses pin diode switched capacitors to tune a 2 pole comb line filter over the entire UHF band. The preselector's size is reduced by a factor of 10 to only 80 cubic inches. The final preselector was implemented as two 2 pole filters separated by a high dynamic range, low noise figure amplifier. The preselector's input filter has the most critical electrical requirements. It was designed to occupy 51 cubic inches where the less critical second filter is much smaller, packaged in only 26 cubic inches. The comb line technique has the following advantages and disadvantages:

Advantages

- Each filter tunes the entire UHF band.
- It maintains constant resonator to resonator coupling across the UHF band.
- It has a high packaging efficiency, in other words high Q_u for such a small size.

Disadvantages

- It requires careful construction since close resonator proximity can cause tuning interaction.
- Its small size makes the filter practically a lumped constant network requiring lower loss pin diodes and capacitors than substantially larger filter networks.

B. The Design Objectives

4.1.1	Frequency range	225-400 MHz
4.1.2	3 dB bandwidth	500 kHz*
4.1.3	30 dB bandwidth	3 MHz
4.1.4	Out-of-band rejection	40 dB
4.1.5	Stability	± 5 kHz**
4.1.6	Noise figure	Will not degrade a 14 dB NF amplifier to no more than 15 dB NF
4.1.7	Insertion loss	Less than 0.5 dB
4.1.8	Maximum out-of-band signal level	+20 dBm
4.1.9	3rd Order inter-modulation products	Less than -30 dBm resulting from 2 +20 dBm inputs
4.1.10	Tuning ability	Compatible with AN/ARC-164, AN/ARC-171
4.1.11	Tuning speed	10 milliseconds
4.1.12	Size	60 cubic inches
4.1.13	Weight	2 pounds

* Originally 300 kHz but changed to 500 kHz at first technical interchange meeting.

** Sufficient stability so that the performance of an ARC-164 is not degraded.

C. Summary

During this contract a high dynamic range UHF pre-selector was developed which tunes from 225 to 400 MHz. A technique was successfully tested which maintained binary tuning increments over this relatively wide frequency range. Two two-pole filters were designed which occupied a total volume of only 80 cubic inches, approximately one-tenth that of earlier techniques.

We found that even with a small volume filter such as this the major losses in the tuning network were due to the PIN diode switches and not the tuning capacitors or cavity structure itself. This is explained in detail in Section 4. The bandwidth and insertion loss goals were not met due to the losses occurring in the PIN diodes even though the diodes selected have the highest Q which are usable in the UHF band.

Our measured data on a number of diodes indicates losses can be reduced by optimizing the diode package and mounting technique, although time did not permit any study of this under this contract. Also further study of the loss phenomena in the silicon junction is certainly appropriate.

Although the majority of the effort of this contract was devoted toward optimizing this type of filter structure

we feel we are just beginning to see the potential performance capability of these PIN diode tuned designs.

It is felt further progress can be made if the work is directed toward two main areas:

1. Developing lower loss PIN diodes for use in the UHF band.
2. Further investigating interresonator coupling structures which maintain constant coupling versus changes in capacitive loading from tuning networks.

Figure 1.1 is a summary table listing the performance of the preselector versus design goals. The measured performance of the preselector is listed in detail in Section 7.

GOALS

	<u>Met</u>	<u>Partly Met</u>	<u>Did Not Meet</u>
Frequency Range	X		
3 dB Bandwidth			X
40 dB Bandwidth			X
Out-of-Band Rejection	X		
Stability	X		
Noise Figure		X	
Insertion Loss		X	
Maximum Out-of-Band Signal Level	X		
3rd Order Inter- modulation Products		X	
Tuning Ability	X		
Tuning Speed	X		
Size			X
Weight			X

Figure 1.1 Design Goals Versus Compliance

D. Accomplishments

- * Did preselector tradeoff analysis to achieve lowest unloaded Q filter configuration.
- * Single filter tuning from 225 to 400 MHz.
- * UHF tuning increments of less than 250 kHz.
- * Achieved a filter intercept point of approximately +75 dBm.
- * Improved upon electronically tuned pin diode switched filter computer modeling techniques.

- * Developed a technique of binary tuning increments, minimizing the number of pin diode bits and implemented this in a comb line structure.
- * Developed and implemented a low loss pin diode biasing technique which minimizes frequency mistuning.
- * Developed pin diode driving circuitry handling up to 2 amps forward current and 500 V reverse bias and interfaced this to the standard IEEE interface bus for operation with an automatic network analyzer.
- * Achieved a tuning speed of less than one-half millisecond which could be improved to less than 5 μ sec. with increased pin diode driver complexity.
- * Developed an improved input transformer which provides more uniform coupling versus frequency.
- * Developed a method to shorten the normal length of comb line structures.

II THE PRESELECTOR'S FILTER REQUIREMENTS

A. General

The design of the preselector consists of cascaded filter and amplifier modules. This section describes how the specifications for each filter and amplifier within the preselector can be derived from the goals of the overall preselector.

Some of these goals are interrelated with one another and the tradeoffs between the amount of filter attenuation and amplifier gain, etc., become rather complex. This section is organized so that goals which are not interrelated are discussed first since they directly define various filter and amplifier characteristics.

In this section, we will make the following assumptions:

1. All filters whether single pole or double pole use the same types of components, therefore have the same unloaded Q .
2. The amplifiers will be off-the-shelf components since this contract's engineering effort is concentrated toward the design of small electronically tunable filters.

This section's objective is to describe the implementation of filters and amplifiers which require the least stringent unloaded Q , yet still meet all performance goals.

B. The Noise Figure Determines the Insertion Loss

The noise figure of the preselector receiver system is a function of preselector gain, preselector noise figure, and receiver noise figure. The block diagram of the receiver system is shown in Figure 2.1.




Figure 2.1 Receiver Preselector System

The preselector's noise figure objective is less than 15 dB, assuming the receiver has a 14 dB noise figure like a typical airborne receiver. The noise figure is calculated as follows,

$$F_{TOT} = F_1 + \frac{F_2 - 1}{G_1}$$

where, F_{TOT} = the total noise figure,

F_1 = the noise figure of the preselector,

F_2 = the receiver's noise figure, and

G_1 = the preselector's gain.

The curve shown in Figure 2.2 is a plot of this equation. Points falling below the 15 dB noise figure line would be within the specification, while those above the line would be out of the specification. The additional 14 dB noise figure curve plotted for information only shows the gain and noise figure which result in system noise figures actually better than the radio's noise figure.




Figure 2.2 Preselector Noise Figure Versus Preselector Gain

A study of off-the-shelf amplifiers indicates that the Anzac AM-101 has a higher intercept point and a lower noise figure than any others which are readily available. The

manufacturer's test data sheet shows typical performance as 10 dB gain and 4.5 dB noise figure. The preselector's bandwidth goals require at least three poles of attenuation. Figure 2.3 assumes the use of these amplifiers and filters of equal insertion loss. It is a plot of system noise figure versus the insertion loss of the filters using single pole filters. The next curve, Figure 2.4, is a plot of the same parameters although it assumes use of two pole Butterworth filters. To meet the 15 dB system noise figure specification, each single pole filter can have a maximum of 6 dB insertion loss, while each two pole filter can have 7.5 dB insertion loss. The next step will be to determine the bandwidth or loaded Q from which we can calculate the unloaded Q .

C. The Loaded Q Calculations

To find the individual bandwidths of each filter, we need to know the number of filters in cascade and the type of each filter. Naturally, as in the first case, the 3 dB bandwidth of the total of three filters in cascade is equal to the 1 dB bandwidth of each filter; and, for the second case, the 3 dB bandwidth of two filters in cascade is equal to the 1.5 dB bandwidth of each filter.

For the preselector shown in Figure 2.3, using single pole filters, the 1 dB bandwidth of each filter will be 500 kHz; although in order to calculate the loaded Q , the 3 dB bandwidth is required. From reference 4, the 1 dB to 3 dB





Figure 2.3 Noise Figure of Preselector and Radio System Versus Insertion Loss Per Filter (Case 1)





Figure 2.4 Noise Figure of Preselector and Radio System Versus Insertion Loss Per Filter (Case 2)

bandwidth ratio is 1.96. Therefore, the 3 dB bandwidth will be $(500 \text{ kHz}) \times (1.96) = 980 \text{ kHz}$. At 400 MHz, the worst case frequency, the loaded Q is,

$$Q_L = \frac{f_c}{BW_{3 \text{ dB}}} = 408$$

where, Q_L is the loaded Q,

$BW_{3 \text{ dB}}$ is the 3 dB bandwidth, and

f_c is the center frequency.

For the second case shown in Figure 2.4, using 2 pole filters we need to determine the 1.5 dB to 3 dB bandwidth ratio. Again from reference 4, for the 2 pole Butterworth filter, this ratio is 1.25 which gives a 3 dB bandwidth of $(500 \text{ kHz}) \times (1.25) = 625 \text{ kHz}$ for each filter.

Up to now, we have been considering ideal infinite Q elements, although we know from the previous section that the insertion loss will be 6 and 7.5 dB for cases 1 and 2 respectively. Considering these insertion losses, the unloaded to loaded Q ratio will be approximately 2 for both cases. This has no measurable effect on the single pole resonator but rounds the corner of the 2 pole filter and increases the 1.5 dB to 3 dB bandwidth ratio to 1.41. Therefore, the worst case loaded Q for the two pole case will be,

$$Q_L = \frac{f_c}{BW_3 \text{ db}} = \frac{400 \text{ MHz}}{(500 \text{ kHz}) (1.41)} = 567.$$

D. The Intermodulation Product Requirements

In the previous section, we calculated the bandwidth of the preselector. In this section, we will check to see if this bandwidth is narrow enough to meet the intermodulation requirements.

If two signals are applied to the preselector at frequencies 1.5 and 3 MHz above center frequency, then the nonlinearities of the amplifiers will produce an interfering intermod product at center frequency. This product's level is a function of the preselector's filter bandwidth and insertion loss and the amplifier's gain and intercept point. Previous test data shows that pin diode switched filters have intercept points of approximately +80 dBm which is so much higher than the best available amplifiers ($\approx +27$ dBm), that the filter's non-linearity can be neglected.

The intermod product level can be calculated as follows,

$$I_m = (3B - 2I_p) + 2 (A - B)$$

where, I_m = the intermod product level at the device's output (dBm),

A = the power level of the strongest signal,

B = the power level of the weakest signal,

I_p = the intercept point of the device at the output (dBm).

This equation is plotted in Figure 2.5. It shows that for case one using single pole filters, the 3 dB bandwidth must be less than 700 kHz in order to meet the intermod specification. In the previous section, we determined the single pole filters could be 980 kHz wide and still meet the 3 dB total preselector bandwidth goal. Since the intermod specification is the narrowest, it is the determining factor for this case.

For case two using double pole filters, Figure 2.5 shows the bandwidth must be narrower than 1.625 MHz. This is considerably wider than the bandwidth required due to the previous section. Therefore, for this case the 3 dB bandwidth is the constraining factor.

E. Determining the Lowest Unloaded Q Requirement

We can now determine which type of preselector requires the lowest unloaded Q. In the previous sections, the insertion loss and bandwidth for case one using single pole filters must be 6 dB and 700 kHz respectively. For case two using two pole filters, the insertion loss and bandwidth must be 7.5 dB and 705 kHz respectively.




Figure 2.5 Intermodulation Product Versus Filter Bandwidth Prediction

Q unloaded is calculated as follows, for the single pole case,

$$\text{Insertion loss} = 20 \log \frac{Q_u}{Q_u - Q_L} \text{ dB and}$$

for the double pole case,

$$\text{Insertion loss} = \frac{4.343 Q_L}{Q_u} \sum_{k=1}^n G_k \text{ dB}$$

where, Q_u = the unloaded Q,

Q_L = the loaded Q, and

G_k = the normalized lowpass element values.

Solving for the unloaded Q required for the single pole case one obtains 1142 to be the worst case or highest value. This is the value needed to meet all of the preselector goals at 400 MHz.

For the double pole case, we find all goals can be met with an unloaded Q of 927. Figure 2.6 shows the relationship between Q unloaded, Q loaded and insertion loss for a 2 pole Butterworth filter. Therefore, using the two pole filters, it will be easier to meet the preselector goals. There are also other advantages from selecting two pole filters. The intermod specification for the 705 kHz bandwidth shows a considerable margin and the out-of-band rejection will be substantially better than for single pole filters.




Figure 2.6 Insertion Loss Versus Q Loaded
Versus Q Unloaded for 2 Pole
Butterworth Filter

III ELECTRONICALLY TUNING THE COMB-LINE FILTER

A. General

The comb-line filter is based on foreshortened $1/4$ wave resonators which are magnetically coupled. The resonators are end tuned with lumped capacitors C_1 and C_j shown in Figure 3.1. The electrical length (θ) of the resonators should be less than $1/8$ wavelength at resonance resulting in a physically small filter. A harmonic resonance occurs as with most coaxial filters when the resonators are one-half wavelength long. If the lines are $1/8$ wavelength long at their primary resonance, the second pass band will be at four times this frequency and if the lines are less than $1/8$ wavelength long at resonance, their second resonance will be at an even higher frequency. This is essential for a filter tuning an octave band to insure that the second resonance of 225 MHz is well above 400 MHz.

The electronically tuned filter is designed in two steps:

1. Design an end tuned comb-line with the correct bandwidth and center frequency.
2. Design a tuning network to give the proper step size and tuning range.

The design equations for step one are as follows where step two is covered in a following section.⁵




FIGURE 3.1 General Comb Line Filter

B. Comb-Line Filter Design

First, one must calculate the per unit length capacitances of the filter elements. The location of these is shown in Figure 3.2. Equation 1 gives the normalized susceptance slope of resonator j shown in Figure 3.1,

$$\left. \frac{b_j}{Y_A} \right|_{j=1 \text{ to } n} = \frac{Y_{adj}}{Y_a} \left(\frac{\cot \theta_o + \theta_o \csc^2 \theta_o}{2} \right) \quad (1.)$$

where:

b_j = Susceptance slope of element j

Y_a = Filter input admittance

Y_{adj} = The adjacent element admittance

$\theta_o = \pi/2 \quad f_o/F_{sr}$

f_o = Operating frequency and

F_{sr} = Resonant frequency of the line.

The impedance of the resonator was chosen as 77 ohms since this gives the highest unloaded Q in a coaxial line form.

Equation 1 is expanded as follows,

$$\frac{b_1}{Y_A} = \frac{\frac{1}{Z_{\text{resonator}}}}{\frac{1}{Z_{\text{input}}}} \left(\frac{\cot \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{f}{F_{sr}} \right) + \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{f}{F_{sr}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{f}{F_{sr}}} \right)^2}{2} \right)$$




FIGURE 3.2 Capacitance and Dimension Placement for Rectangular Elements

Where,

- b is the internal cavity height
- t is the thickness of the resonator
- s is the spacing between elements
- w is the width of resonator

For a two pole filter,

$$\frac{b_1}{Y_A} = \frac{b_2}{Y_a} \quad \text{and}$$

the input conductance is

$$G_{T1} = \frac{\omega b_1}{g_0 g_1},$$

where

$g_0 = 1$, $g_1 = 1.414$, $g_2 = 1.414$, and $g_3 = 1$ are the element values for a Butterworth filter and

ω is the 3 dB bandwidth divided by the center frequency.

The value of the admittance inverter is

$$J_{j, j+1} \Big|_{j=1} = \left[\frac{b_j}{g_j} \frac{b_{j+1}}{g_{j+1}} \right]^{1/2}$$

We can now calculate the capacitance per unit length of the input transformer,

$$\frac{C_o}{\epsilon} = \frac{376.7 Y_A}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{G_{T1}}{Y_a} \right) \right]^{1/2}$$

and the capacitance per unit length of the next resonator,

$$\frac{C_1}{\epsilon} = \frac{376.7}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r}} \left[Y_{a1} - Y_A + G_{T1} - J_{12} \tan \theta_o \right] + \frac{C_0}{\epsilon}$$

where:

ϵ is the absolute dielectric constant of free space and

$\epsilon_r = 1.0$ when the dielectric is air.

The coupling capacitance per unit length between elements zero and one is,

$$\frac{C_{01}}{\epsilon} = \frac{376.6 Y_A}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r}} - \frac{C_0}{\epsilon}$$

and between elements one and two is

$$\frac{C_{12}}{\epsilon} = 376.7 J_{12} \tan \theta_o$$

C. Determining the Dimensions

The normalized distance between elements zero and one is $\frac{S_{01}}{b}$ and is found from Figure 3.3 using the calculated value of $\frac{C_{01}}{\epsilon}$ and t/b which is the thickness to height ratio. The

normalized distance between the resonators, element one and two is $\frac{S_{12}}{b}$ from Figure 3.3 using the calculated value of

$$\frac{C_{12}}{\epsilon}.$$

The fringing capacitance $\frac{C'_{fe}}{\epsilon}$ on the outside edge of the transformer element zero is found from Figure 3.4. The even mode fringing capacitances between elements are found from Figure 3.3 using $\frac{S_{01}}{b}$ and $\frac{S_{12}}{b}$.

With these fringing capacitances we can solve for the normalized element widths,

$$\frac{\omega_0}{b} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{b} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{C_0}{\epsilon} - \frac{C'_{fe01}}{\epsilon} - \frac{C'_{fo}}{\epsilon} \right] \text{ for element zero}$$

and

$$\frac{\omega_1}{b} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{b} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{C_1}{\epsilon} - \frac{C'_{fe01}}{\epsilon} - \frac{C'_{fe12}}{\epsilon} \right] \text{ for element one.}$$

The t/b ratio can be chosen somewhat arbitrarily although for this filter it was selected to give optimized distances for parts placement and assembly.




FIGURE 3.3 Fringing and Interbar Capacitances for Coupled Rectangular Bars




FIGURE 3.4 Fringing Capacitance

IV THE ELECTRONIC TUNING NETWORK

A. General

The basic concept of the tuning network is that high Q capacitors can be switched in binary steps with low loss PIN diodes to provide the necessary capacitance step size and tuning range. After due consideration, it was decided to tap all capacitors at the same point on the resonator so that spurious resonances would be eliminated and so that even tuning increments would be easier to achieve. Naturally, the alternative is to use the same value of capacitance spaced at varying distances along the resonator to give binary tuning steps. The latter technique was used on an earlier contract.^{1, 2} Coupling between tuning elements was reported to have caused the binary step size to vary versus frequency. It was felt that with our much smaller packaging volume this coupling between capacitors would be substantially increased causing unmanageable tuning difficulties.

B. Determining the Capacitance Tuning Range

The maximum tuning capacitance, although a function of many variables, is ultimately determined by the tuning step size and frequency range. The procedure for calculation of the minimum and maximum capacitance range is as follows:

1. First choose a PIN diode with high Q off capacitance and low on resistance.

2. The minimum tuning step (250 kHz) is used to determine the number of binary tuning bits.

3. The total stray capacitance is calculated from the off capacitance of each pin diode and the number of tuning bits.

4. The self resonant frequency of the resonator is calculated based on the maximum tuning frequency and stray capacitance of the tuning network.

C. Pin Diode Selection

A large number of different pin diodes were considered for use in the tuning network. Figures 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 show the Q variation for the combination of a pin diode in series with a tuning capacitor versus the value of the tuning capacitor which the diode switches. The curves illustrate the predicted Q for both the forward biased and reverse biased states of the pin diode.


1. The Forward Biased Q

In the forward biased state, the curve consists of the following,


$$Q_{\text{eff}} = \frac{Q_t}{1 + 2\pi f_c C_t R_d Q_t}$$

where,

f_c = the operating frequency,




Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance




Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance

FIGURE 4.1




Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance




Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance

FIGURE 4.2



Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance



Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance

FIGURE 4.3

Q_t = Q of the tuning capacitor,

R_d = the series resistance of the diode at 1 amp, and

C_t = the capacitance of tuning capacitor.

2. The Reversed Bias Q

In this position the Q of the combination is lower.

The effective Q of the series combination of the pin diode and tuning capacitor is,

$$Q_{\text{eff}} = \frac{Q_d Q_t (C_d + C_t)}{C_d Q_d + C_t Q_t}$$

where,


Q_d and C_d = the Q and capacitance of the pin and diode and

Q_t and C_t = the Q and capacitance of the tuning capacitor.


3. The Best Diode

It is interesting to note the characteristic shape of the diode Q curves. The reverse biased state is almost always lower Q and the knee of the curve is much sharper than the forward biased diode. In the reverse condition, the network Q reaches essentially the diode Q after only one or two picofarads while the forward condition degrades slowly.

The top of Figure 4.4 shows the Unitrode 4900 series which has the highest Q in both the forward and reverse biased states; therefore, it was selected as the pin diode switch for the



Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance



Network Q Versus Tuning Capacitance

FIGURE 4.4

tuning network. Figure 4.5 lists the on and off diode resistance values used in constructing the diode Q curves.

D. Determining the Tuning Capacitor Values

The tuning capacitors can be easily calculated once the pin diode has been selected and its off capacitance defined. If we assume the reversed biased UM-4900 series diode bias network and stray capacitance total 3.5 pf. for each bit and the self resonant frequency of the resonator is 1200 MHz, then 18.26 pf is required to tune the resonator to 400 MHz. If we chose 250 kHz (half the 3 dB bandwidth) as the smallest step size, then 0.02 pf is required as the change of tuning capacitance for this bit. The value of the tuning capacitor is calculated as follows,

$$C_t = \frac{C_{\Delta} + C_{\Delta}^2 + 4C_{\Delta}C_d}{2}$$

where,

C_t is the value of the tuning capacitor,

C_{Δ} is the change in capacitance required for the bit, and

C_d is the total of the pin diode and stray capacitance of the bias network.

Using the above equation, the smallest tuning capacitor is 0.2748 pf which is quite small although still a reasonable value for use in the UHF band. In the final filter a small variable capacitor was used which was designed for us by Johanson

TUNING NETWORK ELEMENT VALUES FOR TUNING NETWORK Q

DIODE	DIODE OFF CAPACITANCE	DIODE OFF PARALLEL RESISTANCE	DIODE ON SERIES RESISTANCE	TUNING CAPACITOR Q
UM4902	2.8pf	60K ohm	.05 ohm	2000
UM7900	.6pf	110K ohm	.20 ohm	2000
UM4000	2.8pf	40K ohm	.10 ohm	2000
UM7200	2.0pf	13K ohm	.15 ohm	2000
UM7100	1.0pf	100K ohm	.20 ohm	2000
UM6002	.4pf	80K ohm	.40 ohm	2000
UM4302	1.3pf	70K ohm	.20 ohm	2000
UM4902 in parallel with UM6002	3.2pf	35K ohm	.044 ohm	2000

FIGURE 4.5

to tune from 0.1 to 0.5 pf. This capacitor was simply tuned to give the correct minimum step size.

The larger value tuning capacitors are determined using the same equation by using binary delta capacitance steps. These are given in Figure 4.6 for the 12 tuning bits.

E. The Bias Networks

A proportionately large amount of time was spent on the design and analysis of the bias networks. They are probably the most critical element in the design of the PIN diode switched filter. They effect frequency step size, frequency tracking, temperature stability and insertion loss, in other words, all major specifications of the filter.

The two major bias network alternatives were both computer modeled and breadboard tested.

1. Bias Chokes

This technique uses a series RF choke in the DC control line which presents a high impedance to the tuning network. A schematic of RF choke biasing is shown in Figure 4.7. The computer analysis indicates that RF choke inductance values greater than 1 uH are necessary in order to not distort the filter's tuning curve. Ideally about 10 uH gives negligible tuning distortion although 1 uH chokes can be used if the capacitances of the larger tuning bits are reduced. This large

TUNING NETWORK CAPACITOR VALUES

Bit	Delta Capacitance (pf)	Diode Capacitance (pf)	Tuning Capacitance (pf)
0	.02	3.5	.2748
1	.04	3.5	.3947
2	.08	3.5	.5707
3	.16	3.5	.8326
4	.32	3.5	1.2303
5	.64	3.5	1.8505
6	1.28	3.5	2.8512
7	2.56	3.5	4.5355
8	5.12	3.5	7.5071
9	10.24	3.5	12.9975
10	12.1622	3.5	15.0000
11	12.1622	3.5	15.0000

Total Delta Capacitance = 44.784 pf

Maximum Capacitance = 63.045 pf

Minimum Capacitance = 18.261 pf

FIGURE 4.6




Figure 4.7 Tuning Network
with Bias Choke

inductance value is required because the RF choke changes impedance versus frequency, and its impedance must be substantially greater than that of the tuning capacitor. Since this contract requires the filter to tune almost an octave, this


impedance change is much greater than if the filter tuned a narrow band. The impedance change of the RF choke causes tuning bits to change in effective capacitance versus frequency and results in gaps in an otherwise smooth and constant tuning curve.

Breadboard tests show that RF chokes with values greater than 200 nH are difficult if not impossible to build with Q's greater than 200. A volume of at least one-half cubic inch is allocated for each inductor, which is a substantial percentage of the preselectors volume. Therefore, these chokes are ruled out due to tuning distortion and large volume.

2. Pin Diode Biasing

This technique uses a small PIN diode in series with the DC control line as a switch to bias the larger PIN. The schematic of this network is shown in Figure 4.8.

In the forward biased direction, the small PIN diode (a UM-6002) has 0.4 ohms series resistance which lowers the total series resistance to ground by about 10 percent and improves the forward biased Q of the network. In the reverse biased direction, the small diode appears as an 0.4 pf capacitor in parallel with a resistance (R_p) of 80K ohms. The advantage here is that the network has no inductors which can distort the tuning curve with spurious resonances, although the reverse biased Q of the small PIN diode is not as good as the Q of the



C_1 Ceramic tuning capacitor

C_2 Johnson Giga Trim used to fine tune C_{Tune} bit

C_3 1000pf feed thru capacitor

D_1 Bais pin diode UM6002

D_2 Switch pin diode UM4902

R_1, R_2 Bais divider resistors 3.9megohm

Figure 4.8 Tuning Network
with Bias Diode

large diode and lowers the reversed bias Q of the network. The bottom of Figure 4.4 shows a plot of the network Q using the large and small pin diodes in parallel versus the value of tuning capacitance.

V THE COMPUTER MODEL AND PERFORMANCE PREDICTION

A. General

The electronically tuned filter was computer modeled to optimize its performance versus frequency. Initially component manufacturer's test data was used for pin diode and capacitor modeling, although as the contract progressed, the models were refined as more of our test data became available. Overall, the computer model was invaluable for finding and eliminating spurious resonances in the bias networks and optimizing and maintaining the insertion loss flat versus frequency.

B. Filter Modeling

The general comb line filter structure is shown in Figure 5.1. This network can be easily modeled with parallel transmission line elements as shown in Figure 5.2. Although by transforming this to an open wire model, shown in Figure 5.3, computer running time is reduced since fewer transmission line elements are used. The open wire model can also be transformed to an RLCX network at a single frequency. This model, as with the others, assumes that the non-adjacent elements (such as 1 and 3) have a value of coupling so small that it can be ignored. This assumption is valid for the bandwidths required for this contract.






FIGURE 5.1 General Comb Line Filter



$$z_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}\theta} ; z_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}\theta l} ; z_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}l} ; z_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}l_2}$$

FIGURE 5.2 Open Wire Model of Comb Line Filter



$$Y_{a1} = Y_A \left(1 - \frac{VC_{\theta 1}^2}{Y_A} + V(C_1 + C_{12} - C_{\theta}) \right);$$

$$N = \frac{Y_A}{VC_{\theta 1}}; J = VC_{12} \text{ at } \theta$$

FIGURE 5.3 Inverter and Transformer Model of Comb Line Filter

The next design step involves selecting the correct tap point on the resonator for the tuning network. As shown in Figure 5.4, the resonators are tapped at approximately their center. As the tap point is moved closer toward the grounded end of the resonator, the driving impedance of the tuning network is lowered. This increases the insertion loss at the lower end of the tuning range and decreases the insertion loss at the higher end of the tuning range. Therefore, the tap point can be selected at a point to give flat insertion loss versus frequency. To properly analyze the resonators, they are considered disjointed at the tap point and considered as two cases. Figure 5.5 shows the resonators from the shorted end to the tap point of the tuning network. Figure 5.6 considers the resonators from the tap point to the open end.

The transmission lines can now be simplified at an individual frequency and converted to their LC model equivalent circuits. The model of the tuning network, including the 12 capacitor tuning bits and bias networks, is added and the overall response is obtained from a network analysis computer program.

Figure 5.7 shows the final model of the filter. The shorter input and output transformers are grounded in the vicinity of the tap point. Constant coupling versus




FIGURE 5.4 Comb Line Filter with Center Tapped Resonators




FIGURE 5.5 Transmission Line Pair with Shorted Ends





FIGURE 5.6 Transmission Line Pair
with Open Ends



C_1	29.5×10^{-12} (pf)
C_2	1.278×10^{-12} (pf)
C_3	1.278×10^{-12} (pf)
C_4	7.837×10^{-10} (pf)
C_5	29.5×10^{-12} (pf)
L_1	8.7614×10^{-9} (mh)
L_2	5.439×10^{-6} (mh)
L_3	8.7614×10^{-9} (mh)

R_1 & R_2	Q
1.012×10^9	6×10^7 (Infinity)
8.43×10^3	500
5.058×10^3	300

FIGURE 5.7 Inductor Capacitor and Resistor
Model Comb Line Filter

frequency results since changes in currents at the tap point are located near the grounded end of transformer.

C. Filter Performance Prediction

The results of the computer model are plotted in Figure 5.8. This graph illustrates how insertion loss and bandwidth change versus unloaded Q . As to be expected, the 3 dB bandwidth widens and the insertion loss increases as the unloaded Q is reduced.

A special computer program developed on an earlier project tested the computer models automatically in each pin diode state. Figure 5.9 plots unloaded Q versus frequency using pin diode and capacitor models developed from test data provided by the component manufacturers. These parameters are shown in Figure 5.10.

Later in the contract, these parts were measured in the filter. The measured Q 's were somewhat lower than anticipated due to the earlier data. The parallel off resistance (R_p) of the pin diodes was measured in the filter network to be about $30K\Omega$ instead of the $60K\Omega$ value that was expected. Figure 5.11 shows the unloaded Q with the same parameters as in Figure 5.10, except R_p is $30K\Omega$. This degraded the performance at the high end of the UHF band and was responsible for the filter not meeting the bandwidth and insertion loss goals.

Note that at 400 MHz all of the tuning diodes are turned off and the diode R_p is by far the major contributor to insertion loss.




FIGURE 5.8 Insertion Loss Versus Capacitor Q




FIGURE 5.9 Tuning Network Predictions From Diode Manufacturer's Data

Parameter	Bit 11	Bit 10	Bit 9	Bit 8	Bit 7	Bit 6	Bit 5	Bit 4	Bit 3	Bit 2	Bit 1	Bit 0
Tuning Capacitor	15.000	15.000	12.997	7.507	4.535	2.851	1.850	1.230	.832	.570	.395	.275
Capacitor R_s (Ω)	.018	.018	.021	.034	.056	.089	.130	.207	.306	.447	.649	.928
Diode R_s (on)	.036	.036	.036	.036	.036	.036	.036	.036	.036	.036	.036	.036
Diode R_p (off)	60 K	60 K	60 K	60 K	60 K	60 K	60 K	60 K	60 K	60 K	60 K	60 K
Diode C_p (off)	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50

FIGURE 5.10 Tuning Capacitors and Pin Diode
Modeled Values




Figure 5.11 Tuning Network Prediction
from Measured Data

VI PRESELECTOR FILTER DIMENSIONS

A. General

This section discusses the physical dimensions selected for the comb line filters used in the UHF preselector. The size of the present tuning networks, the length of the resonators, and the element-to-element spacing determine the minimum inside dimensions. Choosing bias diodes over bias chokes as discussed in Section IV considerably reduces the overall outside dimensions of the preselector. The chokes were previously placed on the outside of the filter while the bias diodes are small enough to be placed on the inside.

B. The Minimum Filter Dimensions

The minimum inside dimension of the comb line filter is determined by the size of components mounted on the interior wall. Spacing between the resonator and the side wall must be at least 0.3 inch to allow adequate room for the pin diode and capacitor network. The filters are greater than 2.34 inches long to accommodate the length of the resonators. Although not a problem for this contract, the resonators must be greater than 0.16 inches wide to allow threads for ease of assembly. The diameter of the tuning network should be

substantially less than the resonators length to prevent interference with the cavity fields.

C. The Large Filter's Construction

During this contract two filters were designed and tested. The larger filter was used in the input section of the preselector where the electrical requirements are more critical. This filter's dimensions are shown in Figures 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4. The size was chosen for high Q and ease of construction. The pictures in Figure 6.5 show the inside of the filter with conventional transformers. Later in the contract, shorter input transformers shown in Figure 6.4 were tested and since they had improved input impedance versus frequency were selected.

D. The Tuning Networks

One of the tuning networks is shown in Figure 6.6. Each network incorporates six tuning bits which are mounted on a plate that can be removed from the side wall. The plates have a fairly large diameter to maintain a low RF resistance with the side wall. Two plates are used on each side of the resonator to give a total of 12 tuning bits. A post with a thin disk is attached to the resonator and tuning capacitors are soldered to the disk. The post is attached to the center of the resonator. The disk is constructed of several skin





Figure 6.1 Top Plate of Large
Comb Line Filter






Figure 6.3 Reduce Length Transformer
for Large Filter




Figure 6.4 Side View of Short
Transformer in Large
Filter




Figure 6.5 Inside View of Large
Comb Line Filter




Figure 6.6 Tuning Plate for Large
Comb Line Filter

depths of silver plated on copper foil to provide stress relief for the tuning network because the diodes have a tendency to crack under a very low axial shear stress. Figure 6.7 shows the method used to connect the tuning network to the resonator.

E. The Small Filter's Construction

The small filter was designed with the restriction that the largest dimension be less than five inches. Figures 6.8, 6.9 and 6.10 illustrate the construction techniques of this filter. Figure 6.11 is a drawing showing the resonator's construction. The base of the resonators are flared to provide a lower resistance RF current path. Recessing the end of the resonator assures greater contact pressure and a lower resistance RF current path. Figures 6.12 and 6.13 show the interior parts placement for this filter.

F. The Final Preselector Package

Figure 6.14 shows the final package. Both the large filter and small filter are mounted in a chassis along with the two high dynamic range amplifiers.




Figure 6.7 Resonator and Tuning
Network Connection
Configuration




Figure 6.8 Outside Dimensions of Top Plate for Small Comb Line Filter




Figure 6.9 Inside of Top Plate for
Small Comb Line Filter




Figure 6.10 End Dimensions for Small Comb Line Filter




Figure 6.11 Resonator for Small
Comb Line Filter




Figure 6.12 Small Comb Line Filter




Figure 6.13 Inside View of Small Comb Line Filter



Figure 6.14 Electronically Tuned
UHF Preselector

VII PRESELECTOR MEASUREMENTS

A. General

Due to the large number of data points required to accurately characterize the preselector from 225 to 400 MHz, a computer program was written to automatically control the preselector and the HP-8507 network analyzer. This program was designed to plot the preselector's performance at every 10 MHz across the UHF band. This test set not only reduced the measurement time but also gave more accurate results. Insertion loss was measured to within 0.1 dB and the bandwidth was characterized to within a few kilohertz.

B. Frequency Range

The frequency tuning range was met as shown in Figure 7.1, although the preselector's performance was better at the center than at either the low or high end of tuning range.

C. Bandwidth and Insertion Loss Data

Insertion loss versus frequency was measured automatically by the HP-8507 Network Analyzer shown in Figure 7.2. One hundred insertion loss points over ± 10 MHz centered at each of the 18 evenly spaced center frequencies were taken and stored on magnetic tape. These are shown plotted in Figure 7.1.

The smallest tuning increment at 400 MHz is 250 kHz, while at 225 MHz it is approximately 35 kHz.




Figure 7.1 Preselector Gain Versus Frequency from Each Channel's Data




Figure 7.2 UHF Preselector Gain and Return Loss Measurement Test Setup

Figure 7.3 is a summary table showing the return loss, gain, 3 dB, 20 dB, and 40 dB bandwidths.

D. Out of Band Rejection

The out of band rejection of the preselector met the goal by a considerable margin. This was due mainly to the improved performance of the two pole filters as opposed to single pole filters. At approximately ± 20 MHz from center frequency the preselector has more than 85 dB attenuation as shown in Figure 7.4.


E. Noise Figure Measurement

The preselector was expected to have a noise figure in the 10 to 25 dB range. This is outside the normal operating range of noise diode type measuring sets, although by employing the necessary correction factors a calibrated spectrum analyzer was used to give accurate results. This technique is described in detail in reference 7.

The test equipment configuration is shown in Figure 7.5. The two low noise 10 dB gain amplifiers are used before the spectrum analyzer to provide a low input noise figure. Noise figure is calculated by first measuring the preselector's gain and noise power output, with the input terminated in a low noise 50Ω termination.

Channel Freq. (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Gain (dB)	3 dB Bandwidth (MHz)	20 dB Bandwidth (MHz)	40 dB Bandwidth (MHz)
230	-14.0	-5.6	0.816	3.036	6.651
240	-23.4	0.0	1.048	4.107	8.040
250	- 9.1	5.2	1.781	5.572	10.911
260	- 9.4	6.2	2.525	5.893	10.995
270	-12.4	4.6	2.190	5.664	10.414
280	-10.0	5.4	2.421	5.882	10.568
290	-16.3	1.9	1.740	5.766	10.527
300	-15.5	4.6	1.758	5.437	10.531
310	-11.1	4.0	2.094	6.789	12.436
320	- 8.3	-1.2	2.384	6.318	11.635
330	- 8.3	1.0	2.475	5.780	10.926
340	-20.0	0.8	1.758	5.408	10.589
350	- 9.2	-3.4	1.778	5.800	11.027
360	- 9.2	-5.8	1.403	5.602	11.492
370	- 8.5	-6.4	1.664	6.067	12.887
380	-10.9	-6.1	1.488	5.794	12.974
390	-10.7	-8.7	1.721	6.449	14.235
400	- 5.8	-13.7	1.821	7.390	16.593

Figure 7.3 Preselector Gain and Bandwidth Data



Reference Line 0 dB Gain
 Vertical 10 dB/Div
 Horizontal Sweep 220 MHz to 410 MHz
 Manual Control
 Input Signal Level -33 dBm

Figure 7.4 Out-of-Band Rejection
 UHF Preselector Channel
 270 MHz




Figure 7.5 Preselector Noise Figure Measurement Test Setup

$$N.F. = 10 \log N_o - 10 \log G_a - (-174 \text{ dBm/Hz} - 1.7 \text{ dB} + 10 \log B_w)$$

*The 1.7 dB is a correction factor for the noise bandwidth, the detector characteristics and the log scale of the spectrum analyzer.

where, N_o = the measured noise power,

G_a = the preselector's gain, and

B_w = the bandwidth setting of the spectrum analyzer.


Figure 7.6 shows the noise figure of the preselector receiver system versus frequency. The graph gives the total noise figure if a 14 dB radio is added to the output of the preselector. The reason for the increase in noise figure at the ends of the band is the increase in filter insertion loss at the ends of the UHF band.

F. Maximum Out-of-band Signal Level

The preselector operates with +20 dBm out of band signal levels with no problem. Additionally the 1 dB in band compression point is +17 dBm at the output. This high power capability allows the preselector to handle even +20 dBm in band levels without failure.

G. Intermodulation Product Data

Extreme care must be taken in developing any test equipment configuration for intermod tests. The intercept point of the test setup must be higher than the intercept point of the device



Preselector plus (14db Noise Figure) Radio Noise Figure (db)

Figure 7.6 Preselector Plus Radio Noise Figure




Figure 7.7 Preselector Intermodulation Measurement Test Setup

to be tested. The final test equipment configuration is shown in Figure 7.7.

The two +20 dBm tones are generated by UHF transmitters followed by bandpass filters and pad attenuators. The two 10 dB directional couplers are used to give additional isolation. These have 40 dB isolation when terminated in 50 Ω although the isolation is reduced to 20 dB when they are terminated with open or short circuit impedances.

The test results for the preselector are shown in Figure 7.8.

Channel Frequency (MHz)	Intermod Level Output			Preselector Gain (dB)
	-1.5 & -3 MHz Input (dBm)	-3 & -6 MHz Input (dBm)	-10 & -20 MHz Input (dBm)	
240	-26	-68	-84	0
300	-2	-26	-88	+4.6
380	-24	-42	-88	-6.1

Figure 7.8 Intermodulation Test Results

H. Tuning Speed

Tuning speed was measured using the test configuration shown in Figure 7.9. The RF level output of the preselector is measured with an RF detector. This signal is then monitored on one channel of an oscilloscope while the frequency change command is monitored on the other channel.




Figure 7.9 Preselector Tuning Speed Measurement Test Setup

The tuning speed from the off to the on state is shown in Figure 7.10a. The tuning time of 3 μ seconds, is limited by the carrier lifetime of the pin diodes. The tuning time for the other direction from the on to off state is shown in Figure 7.10b. This is somewhat slower, approximately 400 μ seconds, since a simple pull up resistor is used for the reverse bias condition to reduce the complexity of the tuning network. If extra transistors were added to provide active pull up, then both the on and off transistions would be approximately 3 μ seconds.

I. Size and Weight

The preselector filters and amplifiers occupy 80 cubic inches. This is very close to the size goal. The preselector weighs approximately four pounds which is double the weight goal.

It was decided to use fairly thick wall aluminum construction to aid in assembly and disassembly for test purposes. If thinner wall aluminum is used for follow on or production efforts, the weight goal can be met.




Figure 7.10a Tuning Speed from Diode
Off to On (2us/div)




Figure 7.10b Tuning Speed from Diode
On to Off (200us/div)

VIII CONCLUSIONS

Small high dynamic range filters have been successfully demonstrated in a UHF preselector which tunes the entire 225 to 400 MHz frequency range.

The bandwidth and intercept point were substantially improved over earlier varactor tuned type filters. A new technique of tapping PIN diodes at a single point on the resonators and using PIN diodes for bias isolation gave excellent resonator tracking versus frequency.

A problem of maintaining constant insertion loss versus frequency was encountered and determined to be caused by resonator coupling changes versus frequency. This was partially solved by using short input and output transformers which had low coupling in the vicinity of PIN diode tuning networks although additional work to further reduce this problem is suggested.

AD-A075 286

E-SYSTEMS INC ST PETERSBURG FL ECI DIV
ELECTRONICALLY TUNED UHF RECEIVER PRESELECTOR.(U)
AUG 79 T SWANSO

F/G 17/2.1

F30602-77-C-0200
NL

UNCLASSIFIED

RADC-TR-79-210

2 OF 2

AD
A075286



END
DATE
FILMED
11-79
DDC

IX SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

A. General

During the course of this investigation several ideas have occurred which may warrant future study. They all concern improving the performance of pin diode switched filters.

B. Improved Pin Diodes

The pin diodes are the major contributor to the filter's losses. Diodes can be tailored to and optimized for low loss in filter structures operating in the 225 to 400 MHz frequency range. Current diode data indicates that these filters' insertion loss can be reduced by a factor of two with better diode design and packaging.

The diodes can also be optimized for lower on resistance at lower currents which would lower the power dissipation for diode switched filters and allow use in battery operated manpack type radios.

C. Improved Coupling Techniques

Changes in coupling versus frequency caused insertion loss variation and frequency tracking error not only for this contract but also for those listed in references one and two. This problem is proportional to the bandwidth and frequency

range which a pin diode switched filter must tune. Investigations should be performed into structures which minimize this effect. The position of coupling elements can be optimized and variable coupling networks using pin diode switched capacitors can be investigated.

D. Application to a Satellite Band Receiver Preselector

The insertion loss of an electronically tunable filter is proportional to the tuning range over which it is tuned. The bandwidth can be narrowed or the insertion loss lowered, or both, if the filter is designed to tune a smaller portion of the UHF band. Satellite receiver preselectors require filters with insertion losses in the 1 to 2 dB range which can be achieved provided the filter's tuning range is limited to the 10 to 30 MHz band of downlink signals of typical UHF satellites.

The filter also could be designed to impedance match a low noise amplifier which could be mounted internally in the filter.

E. Preselector Interface with a Higher Dynamic Range Amplifier

The high dynamic range amplifier currently being developed by RADC could be installed in the UHF preselector and performance data taken across the UHF frequency range.

REFERENCES

1. A. Karp and W. B. Weir, "Electronically Tunable High-Power Filter for Interference Reduction in Air Force Communications Systems", Final Report, Contract F30602-71-C-0255, June 1972. RADC-TR-72-196, AD#748 196.
2. A. Karp and L. N. Heynick, "UHF Electronically Tunable High Power Filter", Final Report, Contract F30602-74-C-0142, September 1975. RADC-TR-75-220, AD#A018 044.
3. A. Karp, "Electronically Tunable UHF High-Power Filter", Final Report, Contract F30602-76-C-0248, May 1977.*
4. H. J. Blinchikoff and A. I. Zverev, Filtering in the Time and Frequency Domains, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1976.
5. G. L. Matthaei, L. Young, E. M. T. Jones, Microwave Filters, Impedance-Matching Networks, and Coupling Structures, McGraw Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1964.
6. J. Getsinger, "Coupled Rectangular Bars Between Parallel Plates", IRE Transactions, PGMTT-10, pp. 65-72, January 1962.
7. H. H. Skilling, Electric Transmission Lines, McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, 1951.

*RADC-TR-77-167, AD#A040 469.

Appendix A

COMPUTER AIDED COMB LINE FILTER DESIGN PROGRAM

Computer aided design programs make the design of comb line filters to a set of dimension limitations much easier. Using the final equations for a two pole comb line filter, the program calculates the filter dimensions for a Hewlett Packard HP-9825 desk top computer. After the calculations are complete, the dimensions are used to plot the end view of the filter on an HP-9871 printer. Figure A.1 is a listing of the program written in HPL and Figure A.2 is an example output.

The concept of the program is to prompt the operator and request the required information to calculate the required capacitance per unit length values for the filter elements. The designer will input:

Resonator Series Resonate Frequency (MHz) = F_{sr}

Operating Frequency of Filter (MHz) = f_o

3 dB Bandwidth at Operating
Frequency (MHz) = BW

Input Impedance (ohm) = Z (input and
output)

Resonator's Impedance (ohm)	= Z resonator
Cavity Internal Height (inch)	= b
Element Thickness (inch)	= t

After the per unit length capacitance for the elements are found, the program prompts the designer for input from two graphs to find the element to element spacing and then solves for element widths. These graphs are shown in Section III. The HP-9871 printer/plotter plots the dimensions to an accuracy of one-ninetieth of an inch.

```

0: "Comb Line Bandpass Filter Dimensions":
1: "6 Jan 78 Tape 000 Track 0 File 4":
2: cfg ifmt 0,c,f7.2,c;rad
3: wrt 0,"Resonator Frequency ",A,"kHz";ent "",A
4: wrt 0,"Operating Frequency ",B,"kHz";ent "",B
5: wrt 0,"Bandwidth ",C,"kHz";ent "",C
6: wrt 0,"Input Impedance ",D,"ohm";ent "",D
7: wrt 0,"Resonator Impedance ",E,"ohm";ent "",E
8: wrt 0,"Cavity Internal Height",F;ent "",F
9: wrt 0,"Resonator Thickness",G;ent "",G
10: wB/2A+Z;C/B+Y;G/F+P
11: fxd 4;prt "t/b=",F
12: (D/E)((1/tan(Z)+Z(1/sin(Z))^2)/2)-X
13: YX/y2+J
14: (376.7/D)(1-yH)+v
15: (376.7/D)(D/E+H(1-tan(Z))-yH)+u
16: 376.7/D-V-T
17: (376.7/D)(Wtan(Z))+S
18: fmt 1,c,f7.4,c,f7.4
19: wrt .1,"C01=",T," Using 5.05-9 S01=",H;ent "",H
20: wrt .1,"S01=",H," Using 5.05-9 C01=",I;ent "",I
21: wrt .1,"C12=",S," Using 5.05-9 S12=",J;ent "",J
22: wrt .1,"S12=",J," Using 5.05-9 C12=",K;ent "",K
23: wrt .1,"t/b=",P," Using 5.05-10 Cf=",L;ent "",L
24: .5(1-P)(.5V-I-L)+R
25: .5(1-P)(.5U-I-K)+Q
26: if R/(1-P)<.35;(.07(1-P)+R)/1.2+R;sfg 1
27: if Q/(1-P)<.35;(.07(1-P)+Q)/1.2+Q;sfg 2
28: if R/(1-P)<.1;sfg 3
29: if Q/(1-P)<.1;sfg 3
30: if flg3;ent "w/b is too small--try again";O;gto 0
31: O+O;ent "Is everything OK? (Yes=CONT No=1)";O;if O;gto 0
32: wtb 6,10,13,10;fmt 2,c,f8.2,c,f8.2,z;fmt 3,c,f8.2,c
33: wrt 6.2,"Resonator Frequency",A,"kHz Operating Frequency",B
34: wrt 6.3,"kHz Bandwidth",C,"kHz"
35: fmt 4,c,f8.3,c,f8.3,c
36: wrt 6.4,"Input Impedance",D,"ohm Resonator Impedance",E,"ohm"
37: fmt 5,c,f8.4,c,f8.4,c,f8.4
38: wrt 6.5,"t/b ratio",I," w/b of input",R," w/b of resonators",Q
39: wrt 6.5,"s/b between input and resonator",H," s/b resonators",J
40: wrt 6.5,"Thickness of Resonators and Input",FF," Cavity Height",F
41: wrt 6.5,"Width of Input",RF," Width of Resonators",QF
42: wrt 6.5,"Distance input and resonator",HF," Distance Resonators",JF
43: wrt 6.5,"Total Length greater than",((R+Q+H)2+J)F
44: wrt 6.5,"Resonator length approximately",2808/A
45: O+O;ent "Draw end view (Yes=CONT No=1)";O;if O;gto 0
46: wtb 6,10,13,10,27,84,27,77
47: wtb 6,27
48: wtb 6,114,int(120(4H+(R+Q)2+J)F/64),int(120(4H+(R+Q)2+J)F),int(0),int(0)
49: wtb 6,27
50: wtb 6,82,int(-120(HF)/64),int(-120HF),int(-96(F-G)/128),int(-96(F-G)/2)
51: RF+N;C+O
52: gsb "SQ"
53: wtb 6,27,82,int(-120(HF+N)/64),int(-120(HF+N)),int(0),int(0)
54: QF+N;gsb "SQ"
55: wtb 6,27,82,int(-120(JF+N)/64),int(-120(JF+N)),0,0
56: gsb "SQ"
57: wtb 6,27,82,int(-120(HF+N)/64),int(-120(HF+N)),0,0
58: RF+N;gsb "SQ"
59: wtb 6,27,82
60: wtb 6,int(-120(HF+N)/64),int(-120(HF+N)),int(-96(G+F)/128)
61: wtb 6,int(-96(G+F)/2)
62: wtb 6,27,114,int(120(4H+(R+Q)2+J)F/64)
63: wtb 6,int(120(4H+(R+Q)2+J)F),0,0
64: wtb 6,10,13,10,10;gto 0
65: "SQ":
66: wtb 6,27,114,int(0),int(0),int(-96O/64),int(-96O)
67: wtb 6,27,114,int(-120N/64),int(-120N),int(0),int(0)
68: wtb 6,27,114,int(0),int(0),int(96O/64),int(96O)
69: wtb 6,27,114,int(120N/64),int(120N),int(0),int(0)
70: ret
71: end
72: dim AS[3]
73: ent AS
74: wrt 0,AS;ent "",rl
75: fnt 1,c3,2x,,f10.5;wrt 16.1,AS,rl
76: jmp -3
"29411

```

Figure A.1 Comb Line Filter Dimension Program

Resonator Frequency 1200.00khz Operating Frequency 312.00khz Bandwidth .50khz
 Input Impedance 50.000ohm Resonator Impedance 77.000ohm
 t/b ratio 0.4000 w/b of input 0.5811 w/b of resonators 0.1375
 s/b between input and resonator 3.7500 s/b resonators 2.0200
 Thickness of Resonators and Input 0.4000 Cavity Height 1.0000
 Height of Input 0.5811 Width of Resonators 0.1375
 Distance Input and Resonator 0.7500 Distance Resonators 2.0200
 Total Length greater than 5.0572
 Resonator Length approximately 2.3400




Figure A.2 Comb Line Filter Example Output

Appendix B

SCHEME FOR INTERFACE TO ARC-164 OR ARC-171

Interfacing the electronically tuned preselector to the AN/ARC-164 or AN/ARC-171 is shown in Figure B.1. A microprocessor receives and interprets the serial frequency control data from radio control lines and allows interface with different types of frequency control systems. The 50 ohm input and output impedance of the preselector is standard for both the ARC-164 and ARC-171.

A read-only memory is used to store the tuning code information required for the setting of the frequency of the filter. The use of the ROM allows the system to be modified for different kinds of filters by changing the ROM and filter. The serial bit stream is available on connector J3 of the ARC-164. The microprocessor allows flexibility so that software changes are all that are necessary for operation with the ARC-171.




Figure B.1 Interface Scheme for
ARC-164 or ARC-171

*MISSION
of
Rome Air Development Center*

RADC plans and executes research, development, test and selected acquisition programs in support of Command, Control Communications and Intelligence (C³I) activities. Technical and engineering support within areas of technical competence is provided to ESD Program Offices (POs) and other ESD elements. The principal technical mission areas are communications, electromagnetic guidance and control, surveillance of ground and aerospace objects, intelligence data collection and handling, information system technology, ionospheric propagation, solid state sciences, microwave physics and electronic reliability, maintainability and compatibility.