


MDA072473



18) USCG (19) D-36-79

. Report No.	2. Government Accessed	n No.	3. Re	cipient's Catalog N	•.
CG-D-36-79					
Title and Subtitle			5 80	port Data	
1	The surrent contract of the second	- (111) A	PR 11 1979	
LONG-TERM TRACKING OF A	RCTIC ICEBERGS	./		Florming Organizani	on Code
	The second of the Language of the Arthur State of the Second		8. Pe	forming Organization	n Report No.
Author D. R.O. ROBE and D.	C. MAIER			CGR&DC 8/	
United States Coast Guard			10. W	ork Unit No. (TRAI	5)
Research and Development	Center		11. 0	entract or Grant No	
Avery Point	207				
Groton, Connecticut 063	40		13. T	ype of Report and P	eriod Covered
2. Spensoring Agency Name and Address			19	T 1	a /
Department of Transporta United States Coast Guar			1(/	INAL REP	2
Office of Research and D			14 4	Sansaring Agency C	40
Washington, DC 20590	everopment			onsoring agone, c	
5. Supplementary Notes			111	1.	
12)42	P' C		14	CGR/D	C-8/79
Seven Greenland icebergs we ADRAMS (Air-Deployable Rarbuoys transmit a signal to the buoy's position. Observed tracking of two icebert tracking of the other five Davis Straits. The icebert to be influenced by any we was erratic and the drift initially located along the currents southward. These model speeds generally fall occurred frequently occupy methods, accuracy of the iceberg's drift is present that approximately 190 day	dom Access Mean the NIMBUS-6 ervation period responsible to the ervation period responsible to the ervation period responsible to the ervation and the ervation of the ervatio	surement S satellite s ranged f Disko Isla Baffin Isl ocated nea rent syste ly less th fin Island ted at spe .10 m/s an of the ob	ystem) which i from 138 and, Gre and sid or Disko m, the an 0.20 follow eds as d 0.20 served	ice buoys. s used in c days to 20 denland, and le of Baffin Island did drift track m/s. The led the prev high as 0.8 m/s. Groun time. Data analysis of	The ice omputing 2 days. the Bay near not appear of each icebergs ailing m/s with dings
the 1100 nautical miles fr the Grand Banks of Newfour	s are needed from Cape Dyer,	timated us or an aver	ing the age siz	e iceberg t	each obtained, o travel
the 1100 nautical miles fr the Grand Banks of Newfour	rs are needed from Cape Dyer, adland.	timated us or an aver Baffin Isl	ing the age siz	e iceberg t the outer	each obtained, o travel limits of
the 1100 nautical miles fr the Grand Banks of Newfour 17. Key Words iceberg, drift speed,	ys are needed from Cape Dyer,	timated us or an aver Baffin Isl 18. Dismission S Documen	ing the age siz and, to	e iceberg to the outer ailable to	each obtained, o travel limits of
the 1100 nautical miles fr the Grand Banks of Newfour 17. Key Words iceberg, drift speed, tracking, grounding, Ban	rs are needed from Cape Dyer, adland.	timated us or an aver Baffin Isl 18. Dismission S Documen U.S. pu	ing the age siz and, to	e iceberg to the outer ailable to rough the N	each obtained, o travel limits of the ational
the 1100 nautical miles fr the Grand Banks of Newfour 17. Key Words iceberg, drift speed, tracking, grounding, Ban Davis Strait, Labrador	rs are needed from Cape Dyer, adland.	timated us or an aver Baffin Isl 18. Dismission : Documen U.S. pu Technic	ing the age siz and, to	e iceberg to the outer ailable to	each obtained, o travel limits of the ational vice,
the 1100 nautical miles fr the Grand Banks of Newfour 17. Key Words iceberg, drift speed, tracking, grounding, Ban	rs are needed from Cape Dyer, adland.	timated us or an aver Baffin Isl 18. Dismission: Documen U.S. pu Technic Springf	ing the age siz and, to	e iceberg to the outer ailable to rough the N	each obtained, o travel limits of the ational vice,

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of form and completed page is authorized

1 408 730

	1		1.1	. 11		333		14		3 2 3 3	23				3.
ic Measures	1 1		101	111		cques sechas cques years sques seles		Describe prounds short tons		Hand concess press quarts patters	Cubic feet	21	Fabranheit temperatura	22 202 091	
Appraximate Conversions from Metric Measures	Maltiply by	HENGTH	1:	22	ABEA	-	MASS (weight)	22.2	VOLUME	2.1	* 3	TEMPERATURE (exact)	9/6 (thum add 32)	081 - 00	20 1,02
Approximate Conv	When You Know	1	Continuents	11		square continuators square maters square bilemeters hecters (10,000 m ²		tribograms Libograms (1900 hg)	1		Cubic meters	31	Calsins	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 02-
	1		1 5			נניינ		.2.		1	^		5 .	* *	7 50
ez	ZZ C	E OE	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	et	41	ot st ot	1								cee
1111	Llida		1.1.1.	1.1.1.	lili	- - - - - - - - - - - - -	'' '' ,	יןייןיין. •	[["]	''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	ייןייןי	' ' '	''' ''	ין. ייןייןיי	
	1			5 5		נורו	1	.1.		111.		11		÷	
Messeres]			Continuent	1	square contembers square meters square between		H			111	cubic maters		Colsins	hilders, see 1985 Alex. Publ. 28b.
Approximate Conversions to Matric Measures	Beaugh to		LENGTH	7.	22	AREA 6.5 0.00 2.6	MASS (weight)	***	VOLUME	- 2 2	35:	8.8	TEMPERATURE (exect)	6 9 jahun sudatas img 32)	O Catalog No. C13.10.286
Approximate Com	When You Know		1	1,	11			parents parent	1	Labbuspans Labbuspans Red conces	111	7 1	IEW	f abreeded to temperature	"Les E. 25 per party, for other cast conversions and man eletated table time of fingets and theorem, force 22.35, 30 stating his CL3.10.286.
	1			1.	11	1533		14		:22.		33			That 2 254 pe

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Dr. W.E. Russell of NORDCO Limited, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada, for providing some of the data appearing in this report as well as his assistance in planning and carrying out the field program. Ms. Laurel Schneider was responsible in large part for compiling and processing the data for this report.

Acc	ession For	7
NTI	S GRA&I	1
DOC	TAB	1
Una	nnounced	7
Jus	tific_tion	
Ву_		
Dist	tribution/	
_Av	ilahility Codes	
	Avail and/or	7
Dist	special	- 1
^		- 1
a		
		- 1
		- 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	DATA PROCESSING	5
3.0	SYSTEM DATA ACCURACY	7
4.0	1977 DRIFTS	8
5.0	1978 DRIFTS	15
6.0	CONCLUSIONS	33

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		Page
1	ADRAMS Ice Buoy System	2 3 4
2 3 4	ADRAMS Ready For Launching	3
3	ADRAMS Descending to an Iceberg	4
4	A Comparison of the (a) Raw Quality-Controlled Data,	
	(b) Interpolated Data, and (c) Filtered Data	6
5	Iceberg Tagged With Buoy Number 770156	9
6	Drift Track of Buoy Number 770156	10
5 6 7 8 9	Drift and Groundings of Icebergs Tracked During 1977	11
8	Distribution of Speed for Buoy Number 770156	12
	Drift Track of Buoy Number 770160	13
10	Distribution of Speed for Buoy Number 770160	14
11	Iceberg Tagged With Buoy Number 780050	16
12	Drift Track of Buoy Number 780050	17
13	Drift and Groundings of Icebergs Tracked During 1978	18
14	Distribution of Speed for Buoy Number 780050	19
15	Iceberg Tagged With Buoy Number 780066	20
16	Drift Track of Buoy Number 780066	21 22 22
17	Distribution of Speed for Buoy Number 780066	22
18	Iceberg Tagged With Buoy Number 780156	22
19	Drift Track of Buoy Number 780156	23
20	Drift Track of Buoy Number 781344	24
21	Distribution of Speed for Buoy Number 780156	26
22	Iceberg Tagged With Buoy Number 781344	27
23	Distribution of Speed for Buoy Number 781344	28
24	Iceberg Tagged With Buoy Number 781372 - 1 February 1978	29
25	Recovery of Buoy Number 781372 by a HH52 Helicopter From	
	the USCGC WEST WIND	29
26	Iceberg Tagged With Buoy Number 781372 - 17 July 1978	30
27	Drift Track of Buoy Number 781372	31
28	Distribution of Speed for Buoy Number 781372	32
29	Composite of 1978 Drift Tracks Along the Canadian Coast	34

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Icebergs produced by the glaciers of Greenland's west coast are known to cross Baffin Bay and then proceed southward along the coast of Baffin Island and Labrador. The Labrador current finally carries those few percent which have survived to the southern coast of Labrador, south and east along the margins of the Grand Banks of Newfoundland until they melt in the warmer, sea ice free waters of the northwestern Atlantic. While the average or general route is well known, little has been published about long-term movements of individual icebergs. Information concerning speed, groundings, and trajectory of drift is quite scarce.

In order to answer some of these questions, a program of direct measurements of iceberg movements was started in 1977. This program took advantage of the stability and slow deterioration of large tabular icebergs which were in ice-covered seas and also the development of a satellite-tracking technology. Using ADRAMS (Air-Deployable Random Access Measurement System) ice buoys, which were developed to follow the movements of sea ice north of Alaska, two icebergs were tagged in February 1977 and five more in January 1978.


The majority of icebergs which reach the region near the Grand Banks of Newfoundland are produced by glaciers on the west coast of Greenland north of Disko Bay. These glaciers produce approximately $10^{18} \rm g/year$ of ice (Bauer, 1955). Kollmeyer (1978) has identified nine major iceberg-producing glaciers on the west coast. They are, from south to north, Jacobshavn, Rinks, Steenstrups, Dietrichsons, Nansens, Kong Oscars, Gade, Heilprin, and Tracy. Of these, Jacobshavn Glacier produces about 7 percent of the west Greenland icebergs and advances at a rate of 23 m/day in the summer (Robe, in press).

No icebergs of any size or significance are produced by glaciers of the Canadian Archipelago except for "ice islands" of the Arctic Ocean which come from the ice shelves of northern Ellesmere Island.

The ADRAMS ice buoy was developed to provide remote tracking of sea ice near the Arctic coast (Brown and Kerut, 1975). The buoy contains a 401.2 MHz transmitter which transmits a digital encoded signal. When this signal is received by the NIMBUS-6 RAMS, a position can be determined by a doppler shift in frequency.

The 80-pound buoy is deployed from an aircraft on its own parachute. The electronics package is housed in a plastic/fiberglass sphere and protected from impact by a bottom-mounted crash pad. This crash pad contains a switch that activates a pyrotechnic line cutter which frees the parachute (Figure 1). The antenna, electronics, and batteries are a single assembly which floats inside the housing sphere. This feature allows the antenna to point upward under all terrain conditions.

The buoys were launched from the rear ramp of a Coast Guard C130. Figures 2 and 3 show the sequence of a launch. The accuracy of the drop depended on the experience of the pilot. Practice drops were conducted using an 80-pound weight similar in size to the ADRAMS buoy attached to a spare parachute.



AND BATTERIES HOUSING

AIR-DEPLOYABLE RANDOM ACCESS MEASUREMENT SYSTEM (ADRAMS)

ELECTRONICS, SENSORS

ADRAMS ICE BUOY SYSTEM



FIGURE 2
ADRAMS READY FOR LAUNCHING



FIGURE 3
ADRAMS DESCENDING TO AN ICEBERG

2.0 DATA PROCESSING

ADRAMS position data received from NASA contains a statistical index as to what confidence can be placed in a given position. While this index was useful for flagging questionable positions, it was by no means foolproof as a guide to either positions which were unquestionably good or those which were unquestionably bad. Additional checks on individual positions were made by comparing drift speeds between observations with average drift speeds and by examining drift direction for 180° shifts. When the obviously bad positions are eliminated, processing continued as follows.


The record of positions versus time is not equally spaced in time and, therefore, less than ideal for analysis purposes. An average of five to six positions were received each day with a high number in any one day of approximately nine positions. Positions during any given day would be from a number of consecutive satellite orbits when the satellite was above the buoy's horizon. These orbits would be followed by a number of orbits in which the satellite was not above the buoy's horizon and consequently the buoy could not be tracked. This produced a pattern of positions which had several spaced closely in time followed by intervals when no positions were received. Adding to this bad positions and system failures, gaps of up to three days could be produced in the record.

The records of latitudes and longitudes were separately converted to equally time-spaced records by using a four-point linear interpolation scheme. Values of latitude and longitude were determined every three hours. Since the orbit time for the NIMBUS was approximately 108 minutes, all data points were used in at least two interpolations.

The interpolated time series of positions with the three-hour time step was then filtered using a low-pass filter with a cut-off of 1.16×10^{-5} Hz or one cycle per day. This effectively removed random errors which appeared in the positions as well as most tidal influences.

In Figure 4 a comparison of the raw quality-controlled data, the interpolated data, and the filtered data for Buoy Number 780156 is presented. The main features of the drift are present in all three data sets.

Drift speeds and directions were computed from the filtered data files.



A COMPARISON OF THE (a) RAW QUALITY-CONTROLLED DATA, (b) INTERPOLATED DATA, AND (c) FILTERED DATA

3.0 SYSTEM DATA ACCURACY

The NIMBUS-6 RAMS has an advertised system accuracy of ± 5 km for positioning. By a chance occurrance, two ADRAMS were deployed on the same iceberg, one in January 1978 and one in February 1978. Both ice buoys transmitted together for a total of 144 days. Analysis of the processed data established that the buoys had a separation of 310 to 320 meters and that the uncertainty in position was ± 3.52 km with 95 percent confidence.

4.0 1977 DRIFTS

Buoy Platform Number 770156 was dropped at 1645Z, 25 February 1977, on the iceberg shown in Figure 5. The position of the iceberg at the time of the drop was 69-16.6N and 56-00W. The drift (Figure 6) track was first toward the south crossing over a shoal which was 110 to 120 meters deep. After crossing the channel (460 meters deep) coming out of Disko Bay, the iceberg ran aground on a shoal on the southern side of the channel. This area shoals quite rapidly and the iceberg grounded in 90 to 100 meters of water. The iceberg was aground throughout much of the tracking period (Figure 7). Twice it moved northward into the channel. Once for a 17-day period, Julian date 90 to 107, the iceberg drifted into the channel then returned to the shoal on the southern side of the channel. On Julian date 150, the iceberg drifted northward and grounded in 90 meters of water north of the channel. After four and a half days aground the iceberg drifted eastward into the mouth of Disko Bay where contact was lost. The iceberg was aground during 38 percent of time it was tracked. Twelve-hour averages of speed, when the iceberg was not grounded, had a modal value of less than 0.10 m/s with the maximum drift speed not exceeding 0.40 m/s (Figure 8).

Buoy Platform Number 770160 was dropped at 1800Z, 25 February 1977. The position of the iceberg at the time of the drop was 68-02N, 61-37W. The drift track (Figure 9) follows the contour of the bathymetry from Davis Strait (660N) to south of 500N in 122 days. During the entire drift the iceberg never grounded (Figure 7). Evidence of the control of bathemetry on the current can be seen by the westward movement toward Hudson Strait near 61-18N on Julian dates 120 to 130 and again when the iceberg moves westward toward the indentation of the Continental Shelf near 56-18N (Cartwright Saddle) on Julian day 148. The iceberg reached its extreme southerly point on Julian day 194 at 49-09N, 49-11W. From this point, it drifted northeasterly. Changes in drift speeds and a decrease in buoy internal temperature fluctuations indicated that the buoy fell off the iceberg on Julian day 226 near 51-70N, 44-30W. The buoy continued to drift toward the northeast and transmitted until Julian day 235. A summary of twelve-hour averages of drift speed is presented in Figure 10. From the start of the drift at 68-02N until the iceberg reached 650N, the distribution of speed was very flat with no values exceeding 0.40 m/s. The drift in the latitude interval including 60°N to 65°N had a definite modal speed value falling between 0.10 and 0.20 m/s. The high value did not exceed 0.50 m/s. The highest speeds and the highest modal drift speed for this iceberg occurred in the interval including 55°N to 60°N. No twelve-hour averages were less than 0.10 m/s and the high value was between 0.70 and 0.80 m/s. The modal values were also quite high falling in the interval 0.30 and 0.40 m/s. The drift in the latitudes 50°N and 55°N had a broad modal peak occurring between 0.20 and 0.40 m/s. Speeds were still quite high with minimum values in excess of 0.10 m/s and maximum speeds as high as 0.70 m/s. In the latitude range 490N to 500N, the iceberg slowed considerably having a maximum speed of less than 0.40 m/s and a modal speed between 0.10 m/s and 0.20 m/s. The final segment of drift fell in the latitude range of $50^{\circ}N$ to $52^{\circ}N$, when the drift was strongly toward the northeast into the Labrador Sea. Before the buoy fell off the iceberg, the speed distribution was quite flat from 0.00 to 0.50 m/s. After the buoy was in the water, speeds as high as 0.92 m/s were observed.






FIGURE 5
ICEBERG TAGGED WITH BUOY NUMBER 770156



DRIFT TRACK OF BUOY NUMBER 770156



LEGEND

FIRMLY GROUNDED

INTERMITTENTLY GROUNDED

ZZZ DRIFTING

DRIFT AND GROUNDINGS OF ICEBERGS TRACKED DURING 1977

FIGURE 7

DRIFT AND GROUNDINGS OF ICEBERGS TRACKED DURING 1977

PLATFORM NO. 770156






FIGURE 8
DISTRIBUTION OF SPEED FOR BUOY NUMBER 770156






FIGURE 9

DRIFT TRACK OF BUOY NUMBER 770160





DISTRIBUTION OF SPEED FOR BUOY NUMBER 770160

5.0 1978 DRIFTS

Buoy Platform Number 780050 was dropped at 1630Z, 29 January 1978, on an iceberg at 68-32.4N, 62-27.7W (Figure 11). The iceberg was estimated to have a length in excess of 300 meters and a height of nearly 70 meters. The drift (Figure 12) generally followed the bathemetry and included two grounding episodes which occupied 53 percent of the total tracking period of 174 days (Figure 13). The first grounding occurred in 140 to 150 meters of water on a shoal area off the mouth of Cumberland Sound, Baffin Island. The iceberg continued to move slightly during the grounding period which lasted from Julian date 68 to Julian day 154. On Julian day 202, the iceberg again grounded on the coastal shoal at 63-10N where contact was lost. The twelve-hour averages of drift speed in the latitude range 65°N to 68°N have a fairly flat distribution up to 0.40 m/s with a modal drift speed of between 0.10 and 0.20 m/s (Figure 14). In the latitudes 63°N to 65°N, the speed distribution was strongly skewed toward the lower speeds. The modal value was less than 0.10 m/s with a maximum speed of under 0.40 m/s.

Buoy Platform Number 780066 was dropped at 1300Z, 6 February 1978, on an iceberg at 62-58N, 62-50W (Figure 15). The iceberg was estimated to have a length in excess of 300 meters and a height of 30 to 40 meters. The drift of 780066 began at nearly the same location that the drift of 780050 ended (Figure 16). The entire drift of this iceberg was in water shallower than 220 meters. The iceberg followed the bathemetry closely and was grounded fully 88 percent of the time tracked (Figure 13). The longest period of drift for this iceberg was approximately thirteen days. When drifting, this iceberg had very low speed with modal values of less than 0.10 m/s and maximum speed which did not exceed 0.30 m/s (Figure 17).

Buoy Platform Number 780156 was dropped at 1610Z, 29 January 1978, on an iceberg at 68-30.1N, 62-02.8W (Figure 18). The iceberg had a length estimated at 350 to 400 meters and an estimated height of 75 meters. 780156 was dropped on an iceberg floating in nearly 1800 meters of water. This iceberg's track followed the coast-wise current in a manner similar to other icebergs in this study as far south as 640N or just south of Cumberland Sound (Figure 19). From this point, the track was much more southerly than most icebergs in this study, showing no movement westward toward Hudson Strait. From Julian day 85 to 102, the iceberg moved in a figure eight pattern just north of $60^{\circ}N$ east of $60^{\circ}W$. This movement took place in water over 1800 meters deep just off the Continental Shelf. The next iceberg to be discussed, 781344, was just inshore over the shelf during this period (Figure 20) and shows no such movement. Likely the iceberg passed eastward through the southward flowing current with flows along the shelf and was caught in an eddy. After completing the figure eight, 780156 moved southwesterly onto the shelf where a southerly drift was resumed. A grounding occurred at 58-40N in approximately 200 meters which occupied 34 percent of the total drift period (Figure 13). The loop to the west just north of 580N takes the iceberg into water shallower than 180 meters which indicates a 10% draught reduction. An analysis of temperatures within the




FIGURE 11
ICEBERG TAGGED WITH BUOY NUMBER 780050





FIGURE 12
DRIFT TRACK OF BUOY NUMBER 780050



LEGEND

FIRMLY GROUNDED

INTERMITTENTLY GROUNDED

ZZ DRIFTING

DRIFT AND GROUNDINGS OF ICEBERGS TRACKED IN 1978

FIGURE 13

DRIFT AND GROUNDINGS OF ICEBERGS TRACKED DURING 1978




FIGURE 14

DISTRIBUTION OF SPEED FOR BUOY NUMBER 780050






FIGURE 15
ICEBERG TAGGED WITH BUOY NUMBER 78066



DRIFT TRACK OF BUOY NUMBER 780066

PLATFORM NO. 780066








FIGURE 17
DISTRIBUTION OF SPEED FOR BUOY NUMBER 780066



FIGURE 18
ICEBERG TAGGED WITH BUOY NUMBER 780156



DRIFT TRACK OF BUOY NUMBER 780156



DRIFT TRACK OF BUOY NUMBER 781344

ice buoy indicate that the buoy probably fell from the iceberg on Julian day 217. North of 65° N, the speed distribution has a peak between 0.10 and 0.20 m/s but was fairly flat up to 0.40 m/s (Figure 21). Maximum speeds did not exceed 0.50 m/s. In the latitude range 60° N to 65° N, the speed distribution had a strong peak between 0.10 and 0.20 m/s. Maximum values did not exceed 0.50 m/s. The speed distribution in this latitude range did not appear to be altered in character by the figure eight movement mentioned earlier. South of 60° N, the movement of the iceberg when not grounded slowed considerably with few speeds exceeding 0.20 m/s and a strong modal speed between 0.00 and 0.10 m/s. No speeds were higher than 0.50 m/s.

Buoy Platform Number 781344 was dropped at 1725Z, 29 January 1978, on the iceberg shown in Figure 22. This iceberg was approximately 600 meters long and 80 meters high. The position of the iceberg at the time of the drop was 67-23.8N, 59-26.2W. The drift track followed the Baffin Island and Labrador current from north of 67⁰N to nearly 51⁰N (Figure 20). Two open loops to the west occurred. The first near the entrance to Hudson Strait and the second near a pronounced shoreward indentation of the 200 meter bathymetric contour near 56°N, 59°W. This area also was the location of the iceberg grounding for 8 percent of its total tracking period (Figure 13). The draught of this iceberg was approximately 180 meters. The distribution of speeds for this iceberg north of 65°N was flat from 0.00 to 0.70 m/s with no prominent peaks (Figure 23). Between 60°N and 65°N, there was a strong peak in the interval 0.10 to 0.20 m/s. In the latitude interval 550N to 600N a broad peak of speed was between 0.10 and 0.40 m/s with a maximum speed of less than 0.60 m/s. South of 550N, the speed distribution was skewed slightly toward the low end with the modal values between 0.20 and 0.30 m/s. The maximum speed was under 0.50 m/s.

Buoy Platform Number 781372 was dropped at 1432Z, 1 February 1978, on the iceberg shown in Figure 24. The iceberg was located at 70-51.3N, 55-20.3W at the time the ADRAMS was deployed. The length was estimated at 250 meters while the height was 45 meters with a 75 meter peak. This ADRAMS was recovered by a helicopter from the CGC WESTWIND at 2000Z, 17 July 1978, at 71-24N, 58-18W (Figure 25). The size was measured as 209 meters wide, 291 meters long, and 49 meters high. These size measurements are more reliable than the aircraft estimates made during deployment. The iceberg appeared to have changed little in size, but had lost the 75 meter peak (Figure 26). Groundings on a shoal west of Disko Island indicate a draught of approximately 130 meters although soundings in this area are extremely uncertain. Two groundings occurred during this time period on the shoal area west of Disko Island which were 25 percent of the tracking period (Figure 13). The drift track (Figure 27) carried the iceberg in an erratic but generally southeasterly direction passing north of Hare Island and into the Viagat Channel between Greenland and Disko Island. The iceberg came out of the Viagat on Julian day 135 and completed a loop to the south between Julian day 137 and 164. Movement from Julian day 168 on was strongly westward until the buoy was picked up by the CGC WESTWIND. All of the twelve-hour averages of speed (Figure 28) fell below 0.20 m/s. Over two-thirds were below 0.10 m/s.




FIGURE 21
DISTRIBUTION OF SPEED FOR BUOY NUMBER 780156





FIGURE 22
ICEBERG TAGGED WITH BUOY NUMBER 781344








FIGURE 23
DISTRIBUTION OF SPEED FOR BUOY NUMBER 781344




FIGURE 24

ICEBERG TAGGED WITH BUOY NUMBER 781372 - 1 FEBRUARY 1978




FIGURE 25

RECOVERY OF BUOY NUMBER 781372 BY A HH52 HELICOPTER FROM THE USCGC WEST WIND




FIGURE 26

ICEBERG TAGGED WITH BUOY NUMBER 781372 - 17 JULY 1978




FIGURE 27
DRIFT TRACK OF BUOY NUMBER 781372

PLATFORM NO. 781372




FIGURE 28
DISTRIBUTION OF SPEED FOR BUOY NUMBER 781372


6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The icebergs near Disko Island, Greenland, near the very productive Jacobshavn Glacier do not appear to be influenced by any well-defined current system. Speeds were seldom greater than 0.20 m/s and the drift paths were erratic. Both icebergs tracked in this area, 781372 and 770156, drifted into the channels north and south of Disko Island, the Viagat and Disko Bay respectively. Tracking of 770156 was then lost while 781372 drifted back out of the Viagat. Icebergs seen in these coastal channels may as likely be from the open sea as from local glaciers.

Icebergs on the Baffin Island side of Baffin Bay follow the prevailing currents southward (Figure 29). Groundings occur frequently occupying nearly 40 percent of the observed time. Speed distributions varied little from region to region along the coast except that the speeds along the Labrador coast were somewhat higher than elsewhere. Speeds between $55^{\circ}N$ and $60^{\circ}N$ ran as high as 0.80 m/s.

From this data, an estimate of the time necessary for an average iceberg to travel the 1100 nautical miles from Cape Dyer, Baffin Island to $50^{\rm O}N$ on the northern margin of the Grand Banks of Newfoundland was approximately 190 days.

Although groundings were quite common, no icebergs were observed drifting permanently offshore into the Labrador Sea before they had reached the northern edge of the Grand Banks.



COMPOSITE OF 1978 DRIFT TRACKS ALONG THE CANADIAN COAST

REFERENCES

Bauer, A. (1955). The Balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet. <u>J. Glaciol. 12</u>, 456-462.

Brown, W.P., and E.G. Kerut (1975). Arctic Environmental Buoy System. Ocean '75 Conference, San Diego, California, 50-55.

Kollmeyer, R.C. (1978). West Greenland Outlet Glaciers: An Inventory of Major Iceberg Producers. Proceedings, International Workshop on World Glacier Inventory, Riederalp, Switzerland.

Robe, R.Q. (In Press). Iceberg Drift and Deterioration, "Dynamical Ice and Snow Masses." Samual Colbeck, editor, Academic Press, New York.