



23.5 EVE CLASSES AND PROPERTIES OF HUMAN ANTIBODIES AD A 0 7 2 0 7 1 Annual Report, Jun 74-Jul 75, (July 1975 2/18%. DDC by JUL 30 1979 հյտ Bernard B. /Levine, M. D. Supported by US Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701 Contract No. / DAMD 17-74-C-4070 16/3A161102871Q COPV New York University School of Medicine New York, New York 10016 Approved for public release; distribution unlimited The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents. TOB

257 400

79 07 30 021

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
	ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Classes and Properties of Human Antibodie	es Annual Report June 1974 - July 1975
	2072 June 1974 - July 1975 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
A07.	F~.
AUTHOR()	6. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*) DAMD 17-74-C-4070
Bernard B. Levine, M. D.	DAMD 17-74-C-4070
New York University School of Medicine	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
New York, New York 10016	61102A
	3A161102B71Q.00.143
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	July 1975
US Army Medical Research and Development	Command July 1975
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21701	8 pages
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Con	ntrolling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	and the second
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 2	20, if different from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 2	20, If different from Report)
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 2	20, if different from Report)
	20, if different from Report)
	20, if different from Report)
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	by block number)
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose	by block number) ensitization
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose	by block number)
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose	by block number) ensitization
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose Multiple injections of ovomucoid we 	by block number) ensitization ogram by block number) ere given to mice with ongoing prolonged
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose Multiple injections of ovomucoid we IgE antibody production to that antigen. 	by block number) ensitization of V ^{Q, V^Q by block number) ere given to mice with ongoing prolonged Two inbred strains and antigen doses}
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose Multiple injections of ovomucoid we IgE antibody production to that antigen. ranging from 0.05 to 5 µg each injection 	by block number) ensitization of M by block number) ere given to mice with ongoing prolonged Two inbred strains and antigen doses a, given intradermally and subcutaneousl
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose Multiple injections of ovomucoid we IgE antibody production to that antigen. ranging from 0.05 to 5 µg each injection were used. Mice treated in this manner antibody booster response as compared to 	by block number) ensitization offer by block number) ere given to mice with ongoing prolonged Two inbred strains and antigen doses a, given intradermally and subcutaneousl showed a marked diminution of the IgE o controls. This decrease in booster
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose Multiple injections of ovomucoid we IgE antibody production to that antigen. ranging from 0.05 to 5 µg each injection were used. Mice treated in this manner antibody booster response as compared to response was antigen-specific. In addit 	by block number) ensitization offer by block number) ere given to mice with ongoing prolonged Two inbred strains and antigen doses a, given intradermally and subcutaneousl showed a marked diminution of the IgE o controls. This decrease in booster tion, a protective effect from anaphylax
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose ABCTRACT (Continue on reverse eide H necessary and identify I Multiple injections of ovomucoid we IgE antibody production to that antigen. ranging from 0.05 to 5 µg each injection were used. Mice treated in this manner antibody booster response as compared to response was antigen-specific. In addit is was indicated. The mouse model conti 	by block number) ensitization offer by block number) ere given to mice with ongoing prolonged Two inbred strains and antigen doses a, given intradermally and subcutaneousl showed a marked diminution of the IgE o controls. This decrease in booster tion, a protective effect from anaphylax
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose Multiple injections of ovomucoid we IgE antibody production to that antigen. ranging from 0.05 to 5 µg each injection were used. Mice treated in this manner antibody booster response as compared to response was antigen-specific. In addit is was indicated. The mouse model conti of certain IgE-mediated diseases. 	by block number) ensitization offer by block number) ere given to mice with ongoing prolonged Two inbred strains and antigen doses a, given intradermally and subcutaneousl showed a marked diminution of the IgE o controls. This decrease in booster tion, a protective effect from anaphylax
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose ABCTRACT (Continue on reverse eide H necessary and identify I Multiple injections of ovomucoid we IgE antibody production to that antigen. ranging from 0.05 to 5 µg each injection were used. Mice treated in this manner antibody booster response as compared to response was antigen-specific. In addit is was indicated. The mouse model conti 	by block number) ensitization offer by block number) ere given to mice with ongoing prolonged Two inbred strains and antigen doses a, given intradermally and subcutaneousl showed a marked diminution of the IgE o controls. This decrease in booster tion, a protective effect from anaphylax
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify Allergy; IgE; Atopy; Hypose Multiple injections of ovomucoid we IgE antibody production to that antigen. ranging from 0.05 to 5 µg each injection were used. Mice treated in this manner antibody booster response as compared to response was antigen-specific. In addit is was indicated. The mouse model conti of certain IgE-mediated diseases. 	by block number) ensitization offer by block number) ere given to mice with ongoing prolonged Two inbred strains and antigen doses a, given intradermally and subcutaneousl showed a marked diminution of the IgE o controls. This decrease in booster tion, a protective effect from anaphylax

Progress Report

July 31, 1975

CLASSES AND PROPERTIES OF HUMAN ANTIBODIES

Bernard B. Levine, M.D.

Progress has been made on our studies on "hyposensitization" of mice with prolonged reagin production. We have published on the development of a mouse model for reagin hypersensitivity in man. The method consists of immunization with minute doses of protein in aluminum hydroxide gel. Crucial is a combination of antigen, dose and genetic strain of mouse. Thus both LAF1 and B6D2F1 hybrid mice develop prolonged reaginic antibody production after 2 injections of 0.2 μ g ovomucoid 35 days apart. For LAF1 mice serum reagin antibody is detected in the serum for the life of the animal. Also, in all ways tested to date, reagin production in the mouse model is similar to reagin production in spontaneously (by aeroallergens) sensitized man. Reaginic antibody in the mouse appears to be IgE-like.

The present work was done to study the effect of repeated injections of antigen in these sensitized mice upon reagin and IgG1 antibody. The experiments were designed to mimic the "hyposensitization" therapy given human beings with IgE-mediated atopic diseases, as far as was possible.

Female mice, 9-11 weeks old, of the LAF₁ and $B_6D_2F_1$ strains were immunized with two intraperitoneal injections (35 days apart) of 0.2 µg purified ovomucoid plus 0.2 µg antigen E (from ragweed pollen extract) mixed with 0.5 ml PBS containing 2 mg of aluminum hydroxide gel. Sera were drawn at intervals and assayed for IgE (reagin) and IgG₁ antibodies by PCA in CFW female mice using 72 hour and 2 hour sensitization periods respectively. For IgE antibodies to ovomucoid either 150 µg or 1.5 µg of protein was used for elicitation. The lower dose gives titers two tubes (or greater) lower than the higher dose. The lower titers appear to represent the antibodies of relatively higher antigen binding avidities operationally, as they apparently capture antigen at lower concentrations. IgE antibodies specific for antigen E were assayed by using 6,000 PNU fresh short ragweed extract (reconstituted from lyphylized ragweed).

Antiovomucoid IgE antibodies were followed till the titers plateaued. One week after the second immunization (6th week of experiment) titers were 1/1280 to 1/640, and plateaued at 1:80 at the 20-30th week (for LAF₁). "Hyposensitization" injections were begun on the 31st week and continued to the 62nd week. Mice were divided into 5 groups of 6, a control (not injected) group and 4 injected groups. Injections were three times a week to reach a maximum on the 6th injection, then weekly for 4 weeks, then twice at maximum dosage a week for the remainder of the 31 weeks of injections. Maximum dosage was 0.5 or 5.0 μ g subcut., or 0.05 or 0.5 μ g I.D. Bloods were taken at intervals and assayed for antibodies. All animals were boosted (as in the two immunization injections) on the 73rd and again on the 84th week, 12 and 23 weeks after the "hyposensitization" series had ended. Sera were drawn after these boosts and assayed for IgG₁ and IgE antibodies.

The data are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The "hyposensitization series" of antigen injections resulted in a sharp booster of serum IgE and IgG₁ antibodies

to ovomucoid. As the antigen injections continued, titers of both IgG1 and IgE antibodies fell. In the LAF1 mice, titers of IgE antibodies appeared to fall more rapidly than did the titers of IgG1 antibodies; in the $B_6 D_2 F_1$ mice the distinction was not as clear. At the end of the 31 weeks of hyposensitization, IgE antibodies titers were low (1:20 to 1:80) but significantly higher for the "hyposensitized" groups than for the control groups. However, a booster intraperitoneal injection of ovomucoid (9 or 11 weeks after the end of the course of hyposensitization) resulted in a sharp rise of IgE antibodies in the control groups, while it caused either no (or a much lower) rise in IgE antibodies in the "hyposensitized" groups. Similar booster effects were noted for IgE antibodies. Thus after the booster injection (week 73 or 75) titers of IgE antibody specific for ovomucoid were significantly higher in the control groups, than in the "hyposensitized" groups. Eleven weeks after, another booster injection was given the control and "hyposensitized" groups with similar results. At the end of this experiment (week 85 or 87), titers of IgE antibody specific for ovomucoid were considerably higher for the control than for the hyposensitized groups. These differences were specific; there were no clear differences between control and hyposensitized groups in antibodies specific for ragweed extract (antigen E).

Three weeks after the 2nd booster injection (weeks 87 or 89) the mice were injected with 10 μ g ovormucoid to determine whether the "hyposensitized" groups had been protected against anaphylaxis. The 10 μ g dose was used as in a preliminary experiment on 2 controls, 1 μ g i.v. did not cause death. These two mice were not used in subsequent experiments. Table III shows that mice in the "hyposensitized" groups were less frequently killed by anaphylaxis than were mice in the control groups.

These data are similar to those obtained in studies on the immunological changes to "hyposensitization" injections in humans with ragweed hay fever. It provides increasing support for our notion that this is a useful experimental animal model for IgE-mediated allergic diseases in man.

We plan to continue with experiments to elucidate immunological mechanisms underlying these observations, and to test different therapies in this model.

Accessi		1
NTIS C DDC TAR Unannou Justif		B
By	bution	
Avai	Availa spec	and/or
A		

TABLE I: IgG₁ and IgE antibody titers in "hyposensitized" and control LAF₁ mice^a

1				1							-				
Week Exper	3 t	Control Group ^b	~ <u>~</u> ei	(5 18 10	<u>Group I</u> ovomucoi	d s.c.)	Gro (0.5µg	<u>Group II</u> Lg ovomucoid I.D.)	(.D.)	<u>Grou</u> (0.5µg o	<u>Group III</u> (0.5цg ovomucoid S.C.)	s.c.)	<u>Gro</u> (0.05µg	Group IV	oup IV ovomucoid I.D.)
	IgG ₁ ovo ¹ mucoid	IgG ₁ IgE ^c ovo ¹ ovo- <u>mucoid</u> <u>mucoid</u>	IgE ^C IgE ^C ovo- d ^{Rag-d} <u>mucoid weed</u>	IgG ₁ ovo ¹ mucoid	gG ₁ IgE IgE vo ² ovo- Rag- ucoid mucoid weed	IgE Rag- weed	IgG ₁ ovo ¹ mucoid	IgE ovo- mucoid	IgE Rag- weed	IgG ₁ ovo ¹ mucoid	IgE ovo- mucoid	IgE Rag- weed	IgG ₁ ovo ¹ mucoid	IgE ovo- mucoid	IgE Rag- weed
33	1:80	1:80		1:5120	1:2560		1:2560	1:320		1:2560	1:2560	•	1:2560	1:640	.
35	1:80	1:80		1:1280	1:1280	•	1:1280	1:320	•	1:1280	1:1280		1:1280	1:320	•
39	1:20	1:80		1:1280	1:640	•	1:640	1:320	•	1:1280	1:640	•	1:320	1:640	•
45	< 1:20	1:80	•	1:1280	1:320	•	1:1280	1:160	•	1:640	1:160	,	1:320	1:160	•
49	1:5	1:80	•	1:1280	1:320	•	1:1280	1:160	•	1:640	1:160	•	1:160	1:160	
53	1:5	1:80	1:80	1:1280	1:80	< 1:20	1:1280	1:160 < 1:20	< 1:20	1:640	1:80	< 1:20	1:160	1:40 <	< 1:20
57	1:5	1:20	1:20	1:1280	1:80	< 1:5	1:640	1:80	1:5	1:320	1:80	< 1:5	1:320	1:80	1:5
62		1:20			1:80			1:80			1:80			1:80	
20	< 1:5	1:20	1:5	1:1280	1:80	< 1:5	1:160	1:20 <	< 1:5	1:320	1:80	1:5	1:320	1:80	1:5
73	Third	Third Immunization	tion												
74	1:80	1:1930 1:50	1:50	1:520	1:80	1:320	1:213	1:80	1:290	1:1280	1:173	1:90	1:480	1:320	1:260
78	1:160	1:640 1:40	1:40	1:640	1:80	1:160	1:640	1:40	1:80	1:1280	1:80	1:40	1:640	1:40	1:40
84	1:160	1:640 1:40	1:40	1:1280	1:40	1:80	1:640	1:40	1:40	1:640	1:160	1:40	1:640	1:160	1:40
84	Fourth	Fourth Immunization	ation												
85	1:640	1:2560 1:40	1:40	1:1280	1:80	1:320	1:1280	1:80	1:80	1:1280	1:80	1:80	1:1280	1:80	1:40
4	Hyposensi	tization	with ov	Hyposensitization with ovomucoid began at 31st week of experiment - with 5 increments of dosage over a	egan at	31st week	of exper	fment -	with 5 f	ncrements	of dosa	ge over	a 9 day	9 day period -	then
-	the ma	iximum do	se weekl	the maximum dose weekly for four weeks then -	r weeks		ven twice	weekly	until th	given twice weekly until the 62nd week of the experiment.	ek of th	e experi	ment.		
4	Groups of	7-8 mic	e each w	b- Groups of 7-8 mice each were made from a population whose pooled sera IgG and IgE antibody titers were 1:80 and 1:160 for	from a p	opulation	whose po	oled ser	ta IgG an	id IgE ant	ibody ti	ters wer	e 1:80 a	nd 1:160	for
	ovomuc	old, and	1:80 fo	ovomucoid, and 1:80 for Ragweed at week 27 of	at week		experiment.								

c- IgG and IgE titers were obtained by PCA assay in 6-8 week old CFW female mice, IgG, 2 hour sensitization period; IgE 72 hour sensitization period. Sera were drawn 3 or 4 days after last antigen injection.

d- Antigen challenge dose was 150 μg i.v. for ovomucoid and 6000 pnu i.v. for Ragweed.

	Id I.D.) IgE Rag- weed	.	•	•	•	•	1:5	< 1:5		1:160	1:80	1:10		1:40			1:40
	(0.05µg ovomucoid 1.D.) IgG1 IgE IgE ovo- avo- Rag- mucoid mucoid veed	1:320	1:80	1:40	1:80	1:20	1:20	1:20 <		1:40	1:80	1:40		1:40	riod - The		1:20 and
	(0.05.48 IgG ovo-1 mucoid	1:160	1:80	1:40	1:80	1:80		1:20		1:40	1:80	1:20		1:80	9 day pe		s were <
mice ^a	IgE Rag-		•	•	•	•	1:5	< 1:5		1:160	1:20	< 1:10	•	1:40	over a		dy tite:
01 B6D2	<u>Group III</u> (0.5µg ovomucoid S.C) IgG ovo ¹ ovo- Rag mucoid mucoid vee	1:320	1:160	1:80	1:80	1:80	1:20	1:20		1:20	1:80	1:40		1:40	f dosage	ent.	E antibo
IgG ₁ and IgE antibody titers in "hyposensitized" and control B_6D_2 mice ^a	<u>Grou</u> (<u>0.5µg o</u> 1gG ovo	1:640	1:320	1:80	1:80	1:80	•	1:20		1:40	1:80	1:40		1:40	experiment with 5 increments of dosage over a 9 day period -	maximum dose given weekly for four weeks then twice weekly to 64th week of experiment.	b- Groups of 7-8 mice each were made from total population whose pooled sera IgG1 and IgE antibody titers were < 1:20 and 1:40
sitized"	id I.D.) IgE Rag- weed	.	•	•	•	9 1	1:5	< 1:5		1:40	1:80	1:10		1:40	tth 5 inc	th week o	l sera Ig
"hyposen	<u>IR ovomucoid I.D.</u>) I <u>R ovomucoid I.D</u> .) I <u>RE IRE</u> ovo- Rag- d mucoid weed	1:320	1:320	1:80	1:160	1:80	1:20	1:20		1:10	1:20	1:10		1:40	fiment wi	y to 641	se pooled
ters in	(0.5µg ovol mucoid	1:320	1:640	1:80	1:80	1:80		1:5		1:10	1:20	< 1:10		1:40	of exper	Ice week	tion whose
tibody ti	1 S.C.) IgE Rag- weed		•	•	•	•	1:5	< 1:5		1:160	1:80	1:10 <		1:40	13rd week of	then tw	il popula
d IgE an	<u>(5 µg ovomucoid 5.C.)</u> gG1 IgE IgE vo- ovo- Rag- ucoid mucoid weed	1:1280	1:320	1:80	1:160	1:160	1:80	1:80 -		1:40	1:40	1:40		1:160	egan at 3	our weeks	from tota
	(5 Hg 1gG ovo-1 mucotd	1:2560	1:640	1:160	1:80	1:80		1:20		1:40	1:80	1:20		1:160	Hyposensitization with ovomucoid began at 33rd	ily for f	rre made
TABLE II:	LigE ^C Meed		•		•	•	1:5	1:5	tion	1:160	1:320	1:40	ition	1:320	with ove	lven veek	e each we
1	Week of Experi- <u>Control Group</u> ment IgG ₁ ^c IgE ^c IgE ^c ovo- ovo- mucoid ^d mucoid weed	1:20	< 1:20	< 1:20	< 1:5	< 1:5	< 1:5 < 1:5	< 1:5 < 1:5	Third Immunization	1:160 1:160	1:80	1:80 1:40	Fourth Immunization	1:640 1:320	ization	a dose gi	7-8 mice
	of ri- <u>contr</u> IgG ₁ c ovo- mucoid	< 1:20	< 1:20 < 1:20	< 1:20 < 1:20	< 1:5 <	< 1:5 <	< 1:5 <	< 1:5 <	Third .	1:160	1:20	1:20	Fourth	1:320	posensit	maximu	jo sdno.
	Week of Experi- ment	33	37 <	* 14	× 14	21 4	3	20 ~	75	76	80	8	88	87	a- Hy		4 Cr

c- IgG1 and IgE titers were obtained by PCA assay in 6-8 week old CFW female mice, IgG1 2 hour sensitization period; IgE 72 hour sensitization period. Sera were drawn 3 or 4 days after last antigen injection. for ovomucoid, 1:40 for Ragueed at week 27 of experiment.

d- Antigen challenge dose was 150 µg i.v. for ovormucoid and 6000 pnu i.v. for Ragveed.

r Ragveed.

1. Costas	Anaphylactic deaths	Average time to death
LAF1 groups	Heifer Seve Ala Gastington, R.	6. 20012 5. 20012
Controls	4/4	18 min
Group I (5 µg S.C.)	3/4	46
Group II (0.5 µg I.D.)	1/3	44
Group III (0.5 µg S.C.)	2/3	25
Group IV (0.05 µg I.D.)	3/3	23
36D2F1 groups		
Controls	4/7	
Group I	1/4	76 min
Group II	1/4	> 6 hours
Group III	0/4	-
Group IV	1/4	43 min

TABLE III: Anaphylactic Mortality*

. 3

*To 10 μg ovomucoid injected I.V.

.

12 Copies

4 Copies

12 Copies

1 Copy

1 Copy

Director (ATTN: SGRD-UWZ-AG) Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, D. C. 20012

HQDA (SGRD-SI) Fort Detrick Frederick, MD 21701

Defense Documentation Center ATTN: DDC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Dean

School of Medicine Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences 4301 Jones Bridge Road Bethesda, Maryland 20014

Superintendent Academy of Health Sciences, US Army ATTN: AHS-COM Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234