## UNCLASSIFIED

MAY 1 6 1979

JUN () 3 1998

AEDC-TSR-78-V30 September 1978

(1,2)

raterro



ARTIFICIALLY INDUCED TRANSITION RESULTS FROM A 7-DEG, 14-7-DEG BICONIC, AND 5-DEG CONE AT MACH 9 IN THE AEDC-VKF TUNNEL F

> A. H. Boudreau ARO, Inc., AEDC Division A Sverdrup Corporation Company von Kármán Gas Dynamics Facility Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee

Period Covered: July 13, 1978 - August 16, 1978

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Reviewed by:

ERVIN P. JASKOLSKI, Capt, USAF Test Director, VKF Division Directorate of Test Operations

Prepared for: AEDC/DOTR

Approved for Publication: FOR THE COMMANDER

ndus

JAMES D. SANDERS, Col. USAF Director of Test Operations Deputy for Operations

Arnold Air Force Station. Tennessee 37389 and

SAMSO/RSSE P. O. Box 92960 Worldway Postal Center Los Angeles, California 90009

## UNCLASSIFED

Property of P. C. All Force

ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT CENTER AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND ARNOLD AIR FORCE STATION, TENNESSEE

# UNCLASSIFIED

٠

·			
PEPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
I REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
AEDC-TSR-78-V30			
4. TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
Artifically Induced Transition Res	thru Annuat 16 1078		
7-Deg, 14-7-Deg Biconic, and 5-Deg	curu August 10, 1978		
9 in the AEDC-VKF Tunnel F	S. PERFORMING ONG. REPORT NUMBER		
7. AUTHOR(s)		S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)	
A. H. Boudreau, ARO, Inc.,			
a Sverdrup Corporation Company	•	<i>,</i>	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10. PROCRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK	
Arnold Engineering Development Cen	ter	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
Air Force Systems Command		Program Element 63311F	
Arnold Air Force Station, Tennesse	e 37389	•	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE	
Arnold Engineering Development Cen	ter/DOTR	SEPTEMBER 1978	
AIL FORCE Systems Command Arnold Air Force Station Terrogen	a 37390	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I dilater)	e 3/307	29	
		Unclassified	
		ISA. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING	
		N/A	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the second states in the second states and second states and second states and second states and second states	
Approved for public release; dist	ribution unlimit	ed.	
		·_ · -	
···· * -	·	- ·	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered to	Block 20, if different from	n Report)	
		<i>,</i>	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
Boundary Laver Transition	(dentify by block number)		
Tripping			
Hypersonic Flow			
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	identily by block number)		
Tests are described which measu	red the artificia	ally induced transition lo-	
suberical type boundary lawor tries a	one. Various dis	stributed roughness and	
9 over a free stream Revolds number range from 0.5 m 100/st to 106/st			
Test results are not included in the	report.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		l	
		1	

,

UNCLASSIFIED

.

,

#### CONTENTS

### Page

	NOMENCLATURE	2
1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
2.0	APPARATUS	
	2.1 Wind Tunnel	3
	2.2 Model	3
	2.3 Instrumentation and Measurement Accuracy	
	2.3.1 Test Conditions	4
	2.3.2 Model Instrumentation	4
3.0	PROCEDURES	
	3.1 Test Procedures	5
	3.2 Test Conditions	5
	3.3 Data Acquisition and Reduction	6
4.0	DATA UNCERTAINTY	-
	4.1 Test Conditions	6
	4.2 Model Data	7
5.0	DATA PACKAGE PRESENTATION	7
6.0	REFERENCES	8
		-

#### APPENDIX A

### I. ILLUSTRATIONS

## Figure

1.	AEDC-VKF Tunnel F Plant	10
2.	Tunnel F Family of Contoured Nozzles	11
3.	Model Installation	12
4.	Model Details - Seven Degree Cone	13
5.	The 14-7-deg Biconic Model	14
6.	The 5-deg Cone Model	15
7.	Tunnel F B. L. Rake	16
8.	Comparison of Experimental Data and Theory	17

#### APPENDIX B

### I. TABLES

## <u>Table</u>

1.	S, $S/R_{sy}$ for 7-deg Cone	19
2.	S, S/R, for Biconic Model	20
3.	S, $S/R_{M}^{N}$ for 5-deg Cone	21
4.	Run Summary	22
5.	Trip Description	23
	APPENDIX C	

SAMPLE TABULATED DATA	SAMPLE	TABULATED	DATA	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	2	25
-----------------------	--------	-----------	------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

#### NOMENCLATURE

ALPHA	Model angle of attack, deg
но	Total enthalpy of gas, Btu/lbm
L	Axial model length (Sharp)
۴ <sub>b</sub>	Axial model length with blunt nose, measured from stagnation point, in.
<sup>l</sup> n	Axial length of nose only, measured from stagnation point, in. (see Fig. 4)
M-INF	Free-stream Mach number
Р	Model pressure, psia
P-INF	Free-stream static pressure, psia
PO	Reservoir pressure, psia
POP, PREF	Total pressure behind the normal shock, psia
Q-INF	Free-stream dynamic pressure, psia
Q	Heat-transfer rate, Btu/ft <sup>2</sup> -sec
QO, QREF	Stagnation heat-transfer rate on a hemi- sphere cylinder referenced to 0.589-in, radius
R	Gas constant
RB	Model base radius, in.
RE/FT	Free-stream unit Reynolds number, per ft
RN	Model nose radius, in.
5	Surface distance measured from stagnation, in.
ST	Stanton number based on QO
S <sub>œ</sub>	Free-stream entropy
T-INF	Free-stream static temperature, °R
то	Reservoir temperature, °R
T w	Model wall temperature, °R
TIME	Test section time, msec
V-INF	Free-stream velocity, ft/sec
φ	Model circumferential angle, deg

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The work reported herein was conducted by the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC), Air Force System Command (AFSC), at the request of the Space and Missile Systems Organization (SAMSO/RSSE) under Program Element 63311F. Mr. Elton Thompson of AEDC/DOTR was the project monitor. The results of the test were obtained by ARO, Inc., AEDC Division (a Sverdrup Corporation Company), contract operator of AEDC, AFSC, Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee, under ARO Project Number V41F-56.

The test were conducted in the Hypervelocity Wind Tunnel (F), von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility (VKF), AEDC from July 13, 1978 through Aug. 16, 1978. The test objective was to measure transition position on various configurations using several types of boundary layer trips. The tests were conducted at a nominal free-stream Mach number of 9 and over a free-stream Reynolds number range from 0.5 x  $10^6$ /ft to 20 x  $10^6$ /ft. Inquiries for copies of the test data should be directed to AEDC/DOTR, Arnold AFS, Tennessee, 37389. A microfilm record has been retained in the VKF at AEDC.

#### 2.0 APPARATUS

#### 2.1 WIND TUNNEL

The Hypervelocity Wind Tunnel F (Fig. 1) is an arc-driven wind tunnel of the hotshot type (Refs. 1 and 2) and capable of providing Mach numbers from about 7 to 13 over a Reynolds number per ft range from  $0.2 \times 10^6$  to  $50 \times 10^6$ . Tests are conducted in a family of three contoured nozzles. The three axisymmetric, contoured nozzles have 25-in., 40-in., and 48-in. exit diameters, which connect to the 54-in.-diam test station and provide a free-jet exhaust (Fig. 2). The test gas for aerodynamic and aerothermodynamic testing is nitrogen. Air is used for combustion tests. The test gas is confined in either a 1.0-cu-ft, 2.5-cu-ft, or a 4.0-cu-ft arcchamber where it is heated and compressed by an electric arc discharge. The increase in pressure results in a diaphragm rupture with the subsequent flow expansion through the nozzle. Test times are typically from 50 to 200 msec. Shadowgraph, schlieren, and holography coverage is available at the 54-in. diam test station.

This test was conducted using the 40-in.-exit-diam contoured nozzle in the 54-in.-diam test section to obtain a nominal free-stream Mach number of 9. A tunnel installation sketch is presented in Fig. 3. Nitrogen was the test gas. The 4-cu-ft arc chamber was used, and useful test times up to approximately 100 msec were obtained. Because of the relatively short test times, the model wall temperature remained essentially invariant from the initial value of approximately 540°R, thus  $T_{\rm o}$  20.20 to 0.38 which approximates the condition of practical interest for re-entry vehicles.

#### 2.2 MODEL

The test articles (existing VKF models) consisted of a 43.753 inch (blunt length) cone illustrated in Fig. 4 to which a 14-deg biconic nose could be added (Fig. 5) and a 5-deg cone illustrated in Fig. 6. Details of gage locations are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3 of Appendix B. The nominal surface roughness for all models was 32 microinches. Both distributed roughness and spherical type boundary layer trips were used. Their application to the various models is shown in Table 4 and trip details are given in Table 5 of Appendix B.

A small boundary layer survey rake was attached to the base of the 7-deg model on all runs as shown in Fig. 7. The rake was not used on the 5-deg model.

#### 2.3 INSTRUMENTATION AND MEASUREMENT ACCURACY

#### 2.3.1 Test Conditions

The test section was instrumented with various probes to monitor the tunnel conditions. These probes comprised two hemisphere cylinders instrumented with coaxial heat-transfer gages and two pitot pressures. The probes were mounted at an appropriate distance from the model to eliminate shock interference. In addition, four static pressure transducers were installed at Sta 365 in the 40-inch diam nozzle.

The diameter of the hemisphere cylinder heat probes was selected as the maximum size that would maintain a laminar boundary layer at the shoulder gage locations. This criterion dictated 1/2-in-diam probes at the Mach 9 test condition. The hemisphere cylinders were instrumented with coaxial surface thermocouples to measure the heat transfer rate at the stagnation and shoulder location. The reference stagnation heat transfer rate QREF on a sphere was obtained by inferring the shoulder readings to the stagnation point.

Pressure measurements were obtained using standard AEDC-VKF straingage transducers. The free-stream pitot pressure POPwas measured with 15-, 100-, or 300-psid transducers featuring a sealed reference port. The nozzle static pressure measurements were obtained with 1- or 15-psid transducers referenced to vacuum or atmosphere, respectively. These pitot and static measurements are used to determine free stream Mach number (see Section 3.2).

Transducer accuracy is defined as a bandwidth which includes 95 percent of the calibration residuals, i.e. 20 deviations. Based on periodic comparisons with secondary standards, the accuracy of the pressure transducers is estimated to be ±1 percent of their reading. Likewise, coaxial thermocouple accuracy is estimated to be ±3 percent of their reading based upon laboratory calibrations and comparison with a working standard.

#### 2.3.2 Model Instrumentation

Model pressures were measured with internally mounted pressure transducers built and installed by AEDC-VKF. For pressures greater than 1 psid, a wafer style semiconductor strain gage transducer with a sealed reference port was used. For pressures less than 1 psid, a similar wafer transducer was used with the reference port at near vacuum pressure. The wafer transducer is nominally 0.56 in. diam by 0.25 in. thick. Application of a differential pressure produces a force on the metal diaphragm. The diaphragm is instrumented with two semiconductor strain gages which sense the deflection. Based on periodic comparisons with secondary standards, the accuracy of these transducers is estimated to be ±1 percent of reading.

Coaxial surface thermocouple gages built and installed by AEDC-VKF were used to measure the surface heating rate distributions. The coaxial gage consists of an electrically insulated Chromel<sup>®</sup> center conductor enclosed in a cylindrical constantan jacket. After assembly and installation in the model, the gage materials are blended together with a jeweler's file. This results in thermal and electrical contact between the two materials in a thin layer at the surface of the gage; i.e., a surface thermocouple. A second result of filing the gage surface is the opportunity for "perfect" contouring of the gage to the model surface, a fact that is important for transition studies since no measurable steps or gaps are introduced by the gages.

In practical measurement applications, the surface thermocouple behaves as a homogeneous, one-dimensional, semi-infinite solid. The instrument provides an electromotive force (E.M.F.) directly proportional to surface temperature which may be related by theory to the incident heat flux. All heat-transfer gages were bench calibrated prior to their installation into the model. Based on periodic comparisons with the working standard, the accuracy of the gage is estimated to be ±3 percent of reading.

All instrumentation discussed was developed at AEDC specifically for Tunnel F applications. Further description and discussion can be found in Refs. 2, 3, and 4.

#### 3.0 PROCEDURES

#### 3.1 TEST PROCEDURES

The primary test variables were model cone angle, trip configuration, and Reynolds number. Angle-of-attack was held at zero. The minature boundary layer survey rake was installed at the aft end of the 7-deg cone and biconic model, but not used on the 5-deg cone. The objective of each run was to establish the "effective point" of each trip configuration; i.e. the Reynolds number at which the trip produces fully turbulent flow over the entire model. A complete listing of pertinent variables is presented in the Run Summary (Table 4, Appendix B).

#### 3.2 TEST CONDITIONS

The method of determining the tunnel flow conditions is briefly summarized as follows: instantaneous values of nozzle static pressure and pitot pressure POP are measured, and an instantaneous value of the stagnation heat transfer rate QREF is inferred from a direct measurement of shoulder heat rates on the hemisphere cylinder heat probes. Total enthalpy(HO) is calculated from POP and QREF and the heat probe radius, using fay-Riddell theory, Ref. 5. The free-stream static pressure is obtained from the nozzle static pressures in a correlation determined from previous detail tunnel calibrations. The Mach number is calculated from the isentropic relationship using the test section pitot pressure and static pressure.

The centerline pitot pressure on the test model, the Mach number and HO are then used to calculate the free-stream conditions from isentropic flow equations and the normal shock relationships. The isentropic reservoir conditions are read from tabulated thermodynamic data for nitrogen (Ref. 6) using HO and  $s_{\infty}/R$ . The equations for this procedure are contained in Refs. 7 and 8.

Test conditions for this test were

Condition	<u>∿M-INF</u>	<u>∿TO °R</u>	$\sim$ (RE/FT x 10 <sup>-b</sup> )Range
1	9	1800	18 to 8
2		2000	12 to 5
3		2200	5 to 3
4	Ϋ́	2500	3 to 0.5

#### 3.3 DATA ACQUISITION AND REDUCTION

The model data (pressure and heat transfer rate) and the tunnel monitor probe data were recorded on the Tunnel F Transient Data System (TDS). The TDS is capable of scanning the 100 available data channels at preselected rates (normally 100,000 samples/sec). Data for an entire run were stored on the disk unit of a PDP 11/40 Computer which is an integral part of the TDS. The run data plus calibration results and model constants are transmitted to an off line digital computer for final data reduction.

Since Tunnel F operates with a constant volume reservoir with an initial charge density, the reservoir conditions decay with time. As a result, all tunnel conditions and model data results vary with time during the useful data range. Nondimensional values such as M-INF and model pressure/POP are relatively constant with time. Timewise variations in Reynolds number permit acquisition of data at different Reynolds numbers for the same run.

#### 4.0 DATA UNCERTAINTY

#### 4.1 TEST CONDITIONS

The accuracy of the transducer output (pressure and heat transfer rate) under laboratory conditions was discussed in Section 2.3. The uncertainties of measured data, however, are higher due to the dynamics of the measurements and system errors. The uncertainties in the monitor probe measurements (POP and QO) were estimated considering both the static load calibrations and the repeatability of the test section pitot profiles. The uncertainty in the pressure data (POP) is estimated to be  $\pm 4$  percent for a single measurement and  $\pm 3$  percent based on an average of two measurements. The heat transfer rate (QO) uncertainty is  $\pm 9$  percent based on a single measurement and  $\pm 5$  percent based on an average of four measurements. The uncertainty in the Mach number (M-INF) determined from the nozzle static pressure correlation is  $\pm 3$  percent. The accuracy (based on  $2\sigma$  deviation) of the basic tunnel parameters, POP, QO and M-INF (see Section 2.3) was combined by the Taylor series method of error propagation to estimate uncertainties in the other free-stream parameters using Eq. (1).

$$(\Delta \mathbf{F})^2 = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1} \Delta \mathbf{x}_1\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2} \Delta \mathbf{x}_2\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_3} \Delta \mathbf{x}_3\right)^2 \dots + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_n} \Delta \mathbf{x}_n\right)^2$$
(1)

where  $\Delta F$  is the absolute uncertainty in the dependent parameter  $F = f(X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n)$  and  $X_n$  are the independent parameters (or basic measurements).  $\Delta X_n$  are the uncertainties (errors) in the independent measurements (or variables). Representative uncertainties are given below:

UNCERTA	INTY ±, PI	ERCENT
P-INF	<u>T-INF</u>	<u>re/ft</u>
7	8	<b>1</b> 1

#### 4.2 MODEL DATA

The uncertainty estimates for the model heat-transfer rate and pressure data are given below in terms of the absolute level measured. The reference heat transfer rate, QO, uncertainty is  $\pm 5$  percent and POP is  $\pm 3$ percent. Therefore, the uncertainty of the nondimensional ratio Q/QO and P/POP by the Taylor series method of error propagation (Eq. 1) yields the following:

UNCERTAINTY	(±),	PERCENT
Q Range		
Btu/ft <sup>2</sup> -sec	Q	<u>Q/Q0</u>
> 1.0	9	10
0.2 → 1.0	14	15
P Range		
psia	<u>P</u>	P/POP
>0.5	4	5
<0.5	9	10

#### 5.0 DATA PACKAGE PRESENTATION

A sample of the test results in the form of tabulated timewise data and computer generated plots is presented in Appendix C. The timewise variation of Reynolds number during a typical Tunnel F run makes it particulary attractive for transition type testing. Sufficient timepoints were provided on all runs such that variations in transition location can be observed. Experimental results are shown in Fig. 8 for the case of run 6010. Comparison of these results with laminar and turbulent theory shows excellent agreement which is considered adequate validation of the data quality.

These data represent an expansion of earlier work by Boudreau (Ref. 9) and may be compared directly with those results.

#### 6.0 REFERENCES

- 1. <u>Test Facilities Handbook</u> (Tenth Edition). "von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility, Vol. 3" Arnold Engineering Development Center, May 1974.
- Pate, S. R. and Eaves, R. H., Jr. "Recent Advances in the Performance and Testing Capabilities of the AEDC-VKF Tunnel F (HOTSHOT) Hypersonic Facility." AIAA Paper No. 74-84, presented at the AIAA 12th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, Washington, D. C., January 30 - February 1, 1974.
- Ledford, R. L., Smotherman, W. E., and Kidd, C. T. "Recent Developments in Heat-Transfer-Rate Pressure and Force Measurements for Hotshot Tunnels," AEDC-TR-66-228 (AD645764), January 1967.
- 4. Bynum, D. S. "Instrumentation for the AEDC/VKF 100-in. Hotshot (Tunnel F)," AEDC-TR-66-209 (AD804567), January 1967.
- Fay, J. A. and Riddell, F. R. "Theory of Stagnation Point Heat Transfer in Dissociated Air," <u>Journal of Aeronautical Sciences</u>, Vol. 25, No. 2, February 1958, pp. 73-85, 121.
- Brahinsky, Herbert S. and Neel, Charles A. "Tables of Equilibrium Thermodynamic Properties of Nitrogen," Vol. I-IV, AEDC-TR-69-126, August 1969.
- Griffith, B. J. and Lewis, Clark H. "Laminar Heat Transfer to Spherically Blunted Cones at Hypersonic Conditions," <u>AIAA</u> <u>Journal</u>, Vol 2, No. 3, March 1964, pp. 438-444.
- Grabau, Martin, Smithson, H. R., Jr., and Little, W. J. "A Data Reduction Program for Hotshot Tunnels Based on the Fay-Riddell Heat-Transfer Rate Using Nitrogen at Stagnation Temperatures from 1500°K to 5000°K," AEDC-TR-64-50 (AD601070), June 1964.
- Boudreau, A. H. "Artifically Induced Boundary Layer Transition on Blunt-Slender-Cones Using Distributed Roughness and Spherical Type Tripping Devices at Hypersonic Speeds" AEDC-TR-77-120, February 1978 or AIAA Paper 78-1127 presented at the 11th Fluid and Plasma Dynamics Conference, Seattle, Washington, July 10-12, 1978.

APPENDIX A

.

•

#### ILLUSTRATIONS

. .



Figure 1. AEDC-VKF Tunnel F Plant.

10



Figure 2. Tunnel F family of contoured nozzles.



11





•



---- ---- ----



ALL DIMENSIONS IN INCHES

Fig. 5 The 14-7-deg. Bi-conic Model

-

4



IN	INSTRUMENTATION									
1	Nose pitot									
20	Coax heats									
11	Surface pressures									
32	- Total									

t

RB = 2.975-in.

SYM	TYPE GAGE
0	pressure
D	heat transfer

Fig. 6 The 5-Deg. Cone Model





### APPENDIX B

,

.

-

.

.

.

TABLES

## TABLE 1

# S, $S/R_N$ for 7-deg Cone

Gage Name	S inches	s/r <sub>n</sub>	Gage Name	S inches	s/r <sub>n</sub>
006	1.95	3.31	0027	23.25	39.47
007	2.95	5.01	0928	24.26	41.19
008	4.06	6.89	0029	25.27	42.90
009	5.08	8,62	0Q30	26.28	44.62
0010	6.09	10.33	0Q31	27.29	46.33
0011	7.10	12.05	0Q32	28.30	48.05
0012	8.11	13.77	OQ33	29.31	49.76
0Q13	9.13	15.50	0Q34	30.32	51.48
0Q14	10.14	17.22	OQ35	31.32	53.17
0Q15	11.15	18.93	0Q37	33.34	56.60
0Q16	12.16	20.65	OQ39	35.36	60.03
0017	13.17	22.36	0Q41	37.39	63.48
0Q18	14.18	24.07	0Q43	39.39	66.89
0Q19	15.19	25.79	OQ45	41.41	70.31
0020	16,20	27.50	OQ47	43.44	73.75
0Q21	17.21	29.22	90 <b>P4</b> 7	43.44	73.75
0022	18.22	30.93	27P47	43.44	73.75
0023	19,22	32.63			
0Q24	20.23	34.35			
OQ25	21,24	36.06			
0Q26	22.24	37.76			

.

## TABLE 2

# S, $S/R_{N}$ for Biconic Model

Gage	S	S/R <sub>N</sub>	Gage	S	S/R <sub>N</sub>
Name	inches	10	Name	inches	14
14Q1	1.42	2.41	OQ32	14.81	25.14
14Q2	2.45	4.16	OQ33	15.82	26.86
14Q3	3.48	5.91	0Q34	16.83	28.57
14Q4	4.51	7.66	OQ35	17.84	30.29
14Q5	5.54	9.41	0Q36	18.84	31.99
1 <b>4Q</b> 6	6.57	11.15	OQ37	19.85	33.70
14Q7	7.60	12.90	OQ38	20.85	35.40
1 <b>4Q8</b>	8.63	14.65	OQ39	21.86	37.11
1 <b>4Q9</b>	9.66	16.40	<b>OQ4</b> 0	22.87	38.83
14Q10	10.69	18.15	<b>OQ4</b> 1	23.88	40.54
1 <b>4Q</b> 11	11.72	19.90	OQ42	24.89	42.26
1 <b>4Q12</b>	12.75	21.65	OQ43	<b>25.9</b> 0	43.97
14 <b>Q</b> 13	13.78	23.40	OQ44	26.90	45.67
1 <b>4Q14</b>	1.42	2.41	OQ45	27.91	47.39
1 <b>4Q15</b>	2.45	4.16	OQ46	28.92	49.10
14Q16	3.48	5.91	0Q47	29.92	50.80
14017	4.51	7.66	-		
14Q18	5.54	9.41	18Q32	14.81	25.14
14Q19	6.57	11.15	18Q34	16.83	28.57
14Q20	7.60	12.90	18036	18.84	31.99
14Q21	8.63	14.65	18038	20.85	35.40
14022	9.66	16.40	18039	21.86	37.11
14Q23	10.69	18.15	18Q40	22.87	38.83
14Q24	11.72	19.90	18 <b>Q</b> 41	23.88	40.54
14025	12.75	21.65	18042	24.89	42.26
14026	13.78	23.40	18Q43	25.90	43.97
-			18044	26.90	45.67
			18046	28.92	49.10
			18047	29.92	50.80
			90P47	29.92	50.80
			27P47	29.92	50.80

## TABLE 3

-

# S, $S/R_N$ for 5-deg Cone

Gage Name	S inches	S/R <sub>N</sub>	Gage Name	S inches	S/R <sub>N</sub>
<b>0</b> Q1	5.00	10.00	OPl	12.50	25.00
୦ଢ2	6.25	12.50	OP2	15.00	30.00
0Q3	7.50	15.00	OP3	17.50	35.00
0Q4	10.00	20.00	<b>OP4</b>	20.00	40.00
OQ5	12.90	25.80	OP5	22.50	45.00
OQ6	15.90	31.80	<b>OP6</b>	25.00	50.00
0Q7	18.90	37.80	0P7	27.50	55.00
0Q8	21.90	43.80			
009	24.50	49.00			
0Q10	27.90	55.80			
18Q1	5.00	10.00	18P1	12.50	25.00
18Q2	6.25	12.50	18P3	17.50	25.00
18Q3	7.50	15.00	18P5	22.50	45.00
18Q4	10,00	20.00	18P7	27.50	55.00
18Q5	12.90	25.80			
18Q6	15.90	31.80			
18 <b>Q</b> 7	18.90	37.80			
18Q8	21.90	43.80			
18Q9	24.90	49.80			
18Q10	27.90	55.80			

Table 4 Run Summary

SAMSO / DOTR

## Hypersonic Turb. B.L. Invest. Phase 7

## July - August 1978

M-INF 229

Model	Trip Configuration	RE/FT <sup>6</sup> range	Run Number
7-deg Cone	Smooth - No trip	6.3 → ZO.3	6005
	5-mil Grit Blast	6.0 -> 20.5	6006
	n H fr	1.1 -> 10.4	6010
	8-mil NCM	2.5 -> 10.0	6013
	14-mil Grit	1.8 -> 5.1	6007
	14-mil WCM	1.7 -> 4.5	6008
	40-mil Grit	.5	6009
	.063 ¢ .109-in Spherical	1.9 -> 5.9	6011
Y Y	<b>n</b> n <i>n</i>	2.0> 8.1 ·	6012
			*
14-deg, 7-deg	Smooth - No trip	7.6> 20.8	6016
Biconic	10-mil Grit	2.2 10.3	6019
	14-mil Grit	j 2.0 → 5.2	6017
¥	.039-in. Spherical	<i>1.</i> 7 → 5.1	6018
E des Care	In will Guit	51	6023
J-arg Lone		23 -> 10.2	6024
	Hamil Guit	2.0	6020
	20-mil Guit	$15 \rightarrow 10.0$	6021
¥	36-mil Grit	1.7 -> 10.5	6022

\* Note: Runs 6014 and 6015 were not part of this test. <sup>1</sup> See Table 5 for details of trip configurations

## Table 5 Trip Description

. .

•

Type of Trip	Description
Grit Blast	Surface roughness was produced by impacting hordened steel particles onto the stainless steel nose. RMS peak to- valley roughness height was 5-mils. Roughness extended from S/RN = 0 to 5.
Numerically Controlled Machine (NCM)	Roughness elements were machined as pyramids with a total height (peak - to valley) of 8 and 14 - mils. The base plane of the pyramids was recessed 13 of the height below the original unaltered model surface. The roughness extended from S/RN = .10 to 5.
Grit	Roughness elements consisted of silicon carbide grit particles bonded to the model surface with exposing. Grit sizes of 10, 14, 20, 36, and 40 mils were used and coverage extended from S/RN = .8 to 5.
.063 ¢ .109-in Spheres (7-deg. Model)	Tripping elements consisted of two rows of spheres: a row of .063-in spheres at S/RN= 3.1 and a row of .109-in spheres at S/RN= 6.5. Lateral spacing was four diameters. The spheres were spot welded to thin metal bands which were then bonded to the model.
.039-in. Spheres (14-7-deg Biconic)	Tripping elements consisted of a single row of .039-in. spheres at S/RN = 6.8. The spheres were laterally spaced four diameters apart and spot welded to thin metal bands which were then bonded to the model surface.

## APPENDIX C

SAMPLE TABULATED DATA

.

SVERDRUP-ARO, YC. REDC DIVISION VON KARMAN GA JYNAMICS FACILITY HYPERVELOCITY WIND TUNNEL F ARNOLD AIR FORCE STATION, TN.

**57**58,

4521.

3936.

2009.

2050.

1967.

5.36E+02

5.45E+02

5.19E+02

103.53

97.31

1.15E+03

85.00 1.11E+03 24.70

1.16E+03 28.38

33.55 33.55

28.38

24.78

DATE 23-AUG-78 SAMSO/DOTR TURB. B.L. TEST v41F-56 PROJECT ENGINEER A.H.BOUDREAU RUN 6016 ALPHA Ø. . . Q0,5T ,BASED ON .589 INCH RADIUS MODEL LENGTH - 48.00 INCHES TIME P-INF T-INF V-INF M-INF 0-INF RE/FT PO TO HØ POP PREF 09 ST PSIA DEG R PSIA FT/SEC PSIA X10-6 BTU/LOM BTU/FT2-SEC PS1A MSEC DEG R PSIA 8,86 25.21 7414. 1584. 4.19E+02 84.66 9.12E+82 66 0.4585 101.0 4441. 20.78 46.71 46.71 7219. 1656. 4.39E+02 89.08 9.53E+02 0.4391 8.87 24.16 18.57 69 105.0 4545. 44.77 44.77 1872. 102.82 1.08E+03 63 115.2 4967. 9,09 21.64 14.27 7485. 5.02E+02 49.15 0,3740 40.15

10.19

8.07

7.56

25

100

117

132.

0.3319

0,2939

0.2574

130.5

139.4

132.2

٠..

5024.

5056.

4936.

9.82

8.62

8.61

18.07

15.28

#### SVERDRUP-ARD, IC. AEDC DIVISION VON KARMAN GA. DYNAMICS FACILITY HYPERVELOCITY WIND TUNNEL F ARNOLD AIR FORCE STATION, TN.

SAMSO/DOTR TURB. B.L. TEST V41F-56 DATE 23-AUG-78 RUN 6016 ALPHA 0.

PRESSURE DATA

PRESSURE, PSI

TIME 66 69 83 160 117 132	27P47 1.0809E+00 1.0495E+00 9.9899E-01 0.7368E-01 7.4730E-01 6.4892E-01	PR1 1.3801E+01 1.4125E+01 1.3430E+01 1.1359E+01 9.8419E+00 8.7094E+00	PR2 2.2452E+01 2.3306E+01 2.1031E+01 1.8541E+01 1.6203E+01 1.4553E+01	PR3 3.08835+01 3.13075+01 2.04545+01 2.37145+01 2.05575+01 1.04955+01	PR4 4.7393E+01 4.8299E+91 4.3292E+01 3.5954E+01 3.0647E+01 2.7349E+01	PR5 6.8292E+01 6.6645E+81 5.9165E+01 4.8307E+01 4.8785E+01 3.5807E+01	PR7 8.7309E+01 8.2593E+01 7.0502E+01 5.7661E+01 4.0582E+01 4.2726E+01	PR8 8.6350E+01 8.1719E+01 7.0181E+01 5.7563E+01 4.8724E+01 4.2813E+01	PR9 8.4596E+01 8.0003E+01 6.8593E+01 5.6408E+01 4.7914E+01 4.1902E+01	PR18 7.2122E+01 6.8309E+01 5.8807E+01 4.8823E+01 4.2080E+01 3.7333E+01
TIME	98P47									
69	1.0717E+00	-								
83	1.8224E+00									
169	8.8487E-01									
117	7.60502-81					•				
N 132	6.6327E-01									

P / PREF

TIME	27P47	1001	663	003	<b>67</b>		_			•
			FRZ	rro	PR4	PR5	PR7	000	560	0010
66	2.3141E-02	2-9547E-91	4.8091F-01	£ £121E-91	1 01475-00	1 40010.00	4.00000.000	FAO	CK3	PK10
60	3 34455 05			0.01216-01	1.014/6100	1.40212400	1.8693E+08	I_8482E+00	1.81125+00	1 54415-00
63	2.34425-02	3.15502-01	5.2056E-01	6.9926E-01	1.07895+02	1.48866+400	1 04405-00	1 000000000		1.04416400
83	2.4884F-02	7 7/525-01	E 47705.01	7 00775 01			1.04436100	1.02325+00	1.78876+88	1.5255E+00
		0-04055-01	2.43135-01	1.08/7E-01	1.0/845+00	1.4737E+00	1.7561F+00	1 7/016-00	1 70000 100	1 45 495 496
166	2.6039E-02	3.3853E-01	5.5260E-01	7 86776-01	1 97165499	1 44040.000		1.14016400	1.10000400	1.45486+00
117	3 67766 03	3 450 45 64		1.00112-01	1-01105-03	1,44216400	1.71916+00	1.71562+00	1.68355+00	1 45515100
116	2.03365-02	J.4684E-01	5.7102E-01	7.2446E-91	1.09025+00		1 71310100		100000000000	1.40016700
172	2 61055-02	2 EL4EC.01	E 03046 01			1143135700	1-11215-498	1.71/1E+00	I.6886E+00	1.48395+00
104	2.010JE-02	3.21425-01	3.8724E-01	7.4632E-01	1.1036E+00	1.4449F+90	5.7741E+0A	1 20765-00	1 60 41 5 .00	1110002.00
							**************************************	1-12105408	. I.6341E-1212	1.52655400

TIME 90P47

- 65 2.3737E-02
- 69 2.3937E-02
- 83 2.5467E-02
- 100 2.6372E-02
- 117 2.6801E-02
- :32 2.6765E-02

#### SVERDRUP-ARO, C. AEDC DIVISION VON KARMAN GA. JYNAMICS FACILITY HYPERVELOCITY WIND TUNNEL F ARNOLD AIR FORCE STATION, TN.

SAMSO/DOTR TURB. B.L. TEST	,V41F-56	DATE 23-RUG-78	PROJECT ENGINEER	A.H, BOUDREAU
RUN 6016				
ALPHA 0.	•		•	

2

٠

يه ر

×.

•••

HEAT-TRANFER DATA

REAT	TRANSFER RATE,	BTU/FT2-SEC							1	
TIME	1401	1402	1403	1404	1405	1406	1407	1408	1409	14019
66	8.9699	7.1682	5.4367	5.3774	4.8336	4.6978	5.5219	5.5822	5.8450	5.7771
69	9.3591	7.4741	5,6791	5.6298	5.0321	4,8386	5.6671	5.5699	5.5967	5.7216
63	10.3689	8.2856	6.4526	6.4217	5.7852	5.4231	6.2863	6.1515	6.8897	6.1927
100	9.9982	7.9279	6.3787	6.3483	5.8153	5.3514	6.0599	6.0279	5.9242	5.9035
117	9.0155	7.1649	5.8433	5.8121	5.3371	4,7618	5.4424	5.3576	5.2888	5.2953
132	7.4158	5.9166	4.8285	4.8762	4.3694	3.9447	4.4273	4.3476	4.2852	4.1030
TIME	14011	14012	14013	14914	14015	14017	14018	14019	14028	14021
66	6.1332	7.3200	8,8120	9.1029	6,5298	4,9949	5.6372	5.6372	5.5579	5.0989
69	5.7037	6.5421	7.9514	9.5394	6.7773	5.2902	5.8776	5.8526	5.6869	5.2265
63	6.1309	6.8773	8.2312	10.6718	7.2677	5,9827	6.5911	6.5169	6.4198	5.8323
100	5.6205	6.4218	7.2511	10.3400	6.8740	5.7028	6.3585	6.2154	5.9103	5.4214
. 117	5.0809	5.6850	6.5034	9.3970	6.0874	5.0683	5.5690	5.4839	5.1389	4.5402
<sup>3</sup> 132	3.9788	4.3532	5.0852	7.5787	4.8755	4.0599	4.5804	. 4.4895	4.2089	3.7660
TIME	14022 -	14023	14024	. 14025	14026	0032	0033	0034	0035	0036
66	6.0857	5.1340	6.6249	7.1844	9.9322	6.1056	5.0916	4.6010	4.6942	4.9401
69	6.0676	5.0530	6.2032	6.7919	9.0997	5:5804	4.6613	4.5644	4.6625	5.0103
B3	6,3286	5.3806	6.2132	6.6457	0.7460	6.1096	4.9379	4.8466	· 5.1143	5.4549
100	5.8247	4.8163	5.7894	5.9553	7,5712	5.1196	4.2773	4.1439	4.2812	4.5171
117	5.1238	4.1468	4.7691	4.6327	6.2970	4.5817	3.7181	3.5245	3.4856	3.6025
132	4.2239	3.5373	3.8256	3.8426	5.2468	3.5266	2.8138	2.5426	2.5596	2.5511
TIME	0037	0039	0040	0041	0042	0043	0044	0045	0046	0047
66	5.2114	5.7678	5.8206	6,4225	6.4183	6.4384	7.0004	7.3996	7.5769	B.2175
69	5.2512	5.9187	5.9903	6.6682	6.6905	6.7622	7,3011	7.8069	7.9424	8.6014
83	5.6908	6.2500	6.4720	7.3832	7,4501	7.7398	8.3921	<b>8.</b> 8139	9.1433	9,8463
100	4.6311	5.2452	5.5005	6.5786	6.5061	7.1765	7.8554	8.5758	8.8993	9.2919
117	3.5635	4.1037	4.2053	4.7996	4.7899	5.5135	5.7308	6.4313	6.7119	6.7458
132	2.4575	2.9175	2.8819	3.5543	3.4607	4.1898	4.4681	5.2094	5.5634	5.4160





\_ 29