

9

AD AO 6727

DDC FILE COPY

主いたのながいたが、たかれるというない、ないたが、ないたいで、ないないで、ないないないで、ないたいで、ないたいで、ないたいで、ないたいで、ないたいで、ないたいで、ないたいで、ないたいで、ないたいで、ないたい、



TOPMAR

APR 10 1979

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL REPORT CORADCOM- 77-0162-F

DEVELOPMENT OF PVF2 NOISE-CANCELLING MICROPHONE

A. J. Brouns VOUGHT CORPORATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY CENTER P. O. BOX 226144 DALLAS, TX 75266

March 1979

Final Report for Period July 1977 - January 1979

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Prepared for: Communications Systems Center

CORADCOM US ARMY COMMUNICATION RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COMMAND FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703

79 04

09

NOTICES

- 唐書と行うした あたの見

ت الله سائلين أبر الرقا التربي

ŗ,

Disclaimers

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

HISA-FM-633-78



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

> REPRODUCED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

INCLASSIFLED SECUNITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 18 CORADCOM 77-0162-F MILE (and S SAMME) REPORT & PERIOD ECHNICAL KEPORT DEVELOPMENT OF PUF NOISE-CANCELLING 6 MICROPHONE 93100/9CR-4 AUTHOR(.) A GRAND NUMBER(D) A. J. BROUNS DAAB97-77-C-9162 PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS VOUGHT CORPORATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY CENTER V 694650.555.V9.01.03 P. O. BOX 226144 DALLAS, TEXAS 75266 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U S. ARMY COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND DRDCO-COM-RN-4 FT. MONMOUTH, N. J. 07703 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(IL dillerent from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Bluck 20, if different from Report) 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) NOISE-CANCELLING MICROPHONE PVF, MICROPHONE PIEZOELECTRIC NOISE-CANCELLING MICROPHONE 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number) This report describes the theory, development, and performance of a noisecancelling microphone for military use. The generating element is_a bimorph annulus, constructed by bonding plastic films of piezoelectric PVF2 (polyvinlidene fluoride) to a central shim of aluminum. The aluminum shim controls the stiffness of the bimorph so that wide bandwidth operation is obtained over a temperature range of $-51^{\circ}C$ to $+71^{\circ}C$. The fundamental DD 1 JAN 71 1473 EDITION OF I NOV SE IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED S/N 0102-014-6601 | SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then De 389 79%

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

ないよりないたというないというないたというないというないできたがないというないという

resonance frequency is above the speech frequency range so that damping structures are not required. The microphone includes a preamplifier.

The microphone assembly is similar to that of a previously developed piezoceramic microphone. The sensitivity of the PVF' bimorph is 10 dB less than that of the piezoceramic bimorph. The PVF' film has a deposited aluminum electrode which was found to require careful handling to avoid loss of electrical continuity.

Except for considerations of the integrity of the PVF2 electrode, the PVF2 material provides a rugged, highly-linear, noise-cancelling microphone.

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Knisted)

CONTENTS

Page

White Section But Section

Section

	•		
1.0	INTRODUCTION	- 1	
2.0	PERFORMANCE OF THE PVF2 MICROPHONE	• 5	
	2.1 POWER SUPPLY CONNECTION	· 5	
- -		• 5	
3.0	MICKOPHONE DEVELOPMENT	• 10	
	3.1 DEVELOPMENT APPROACH	- 10 - 10	
	3.3 PVF2 BIMORPH	• 11	
	3.5 CAPSULE ASSEMBLY	• 13	
	3.7 BATTERY POWER SUPPLY	· 15 · 17	
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE	· 20	
	4.1 ACCELERATION RESPONSE	· 20	
	4.3 HIGH AND LOW TEMPERATURE STORAGE	20	
	4.4 HUMIDITY TEST	- 24	
	4.5 IMMERSION TEST	· 24	
5.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	· 25	
	REFERENCES	• 27	
	APPENDIX A - DESIGN CALCULATIONS FOR A PVF2 BIMORPH	ACCESSION	las
	APPENDIX B - DESIGN CALCULATIONS FOR A PVF ₂ DOME	ATLS RUC	White Sea
	APPENDIX C - EFFECT OF SOUND-SOURCE ON APPARENT MICROPHONE SENSITIVITY	UNANNOUNCED JUSTIFICATION	Buff Section
		BY DISTRIBUTION/AVAUA St. St. St.	SHITY CODES

i

20 04 09 01

.

-1

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this project is to develop a noise-cancelling microphone which uses a synthetic polymer, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVF_2), as the voltagegenerating element. The suitability of this type microphone for military use is to be determined. Figure 1 is an outline drawing of the microphone. The Vought Advanced Technology Center designation is Model NCMA-103. Ten microphones were delivered to the U.S. Army for further evaluation.

Polyvinylidene fluoride is a physically tough, relatively inert, fluorocarbon plastic. In the United States it is produced under the trade name KYNAR by Pennwalt Corporation, Philadelphia, PA. An important producer in Japan is Kureha Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.

It has been known for many years that some naturally-occurring and synthetic organic materials are piezoelectric, or can be rendered piezoelectric by treatment. In 1969, in Japan, H. Kawai¹ discovered that polyvinylidene fluoride is capable of a high level of piezoelectricity. Since that time, this property has been exploited in various types of experimental and commercial electroacoustic transducers. At the present time, the use of PVF₂ for military transducers is still in the exploratory stage.

The piezoelectric material used for the PVF₂ microphone was a purchased plastic film, having deposited aluminum electrodes on both sides of the film, and already processed to make it piezoelectric. Typical processing steps are as follows:^{2,3}

- o Raise the film temperature to approximately 90°C and rapidly stretch the film uniaxially to 3 or 4 times its original length.
- o Deposit aluminum electrodes (or some other metal).
- Raise the film temperature to approximately 130°C and apply an electric field of 800 kV/cm between the electrodes for 1 hour.
- o Cool the film before removing the electric field.

Stretching the film before poling enhances the piezoelectric effect by changing the crystal structure and by orienting the crystals. After the film is poled, a voltage is induced between the electrodes when the film is strained in the "stretched" direction. The voltage is proportional to the strain. If the same



: i

1

ł

FIGURE 1. PVF2 MICRCPHONE, MODEL NCMA-103

stress is applied in the plane of the film, but normal to the stretched direction, a voltage is induced which has the same sign as before, but which is only about 10% as large.

The poled PVF_2 is also an electret; however, the deposited electrodes allow induced charges on the electrodes to neutralize the external electric field usually associated with an electret. The poled PVF_2 film is also pyroelectric. PVF_2 film has been used as a detector of heat radiation.

Table 1 shows a comparison between the properties of poled PVF_2 and a commonly-used piezoceramic material. Under an earlier contract, a piezo-ceramic, noise-cancelling microphone, Advanced Technology Center Model NCMA-102, was successfully developed for the U. S. Army.⁴ The PVF_2 microphone and the earlier piezoceramic microphone have a number of common constructional details.

A project to make a PVF₂ microphone for military applications presents several challenges:

- o The PVF₂ material is relatively compliant. A configuration must be devised which has sufficient stiffness so that the specified response bandwidth of 200-6000 Hz is achieved.
- o The Pluminum electrodes must be protected from corrosion. Water must be prevented from reaching and shorting the electrodes during rain or water-immersion.
- o The modulus of elasticity of most polymers is highly temperature dependent. The compliance of the PVF₂ element must be stabilized so that the microphone sensitivity does not change prohibitively over the specified operating temperature range of -51°C to +71°C.
- During preparation, the PVF₂ film has been severely stretched at a relatively low temperature. It may be dimensionally unstable at elevated temperatures, unless constrained.
- o Due to the relatively low capacitance (high impedance) of the PVF₂ element, a high impedance, well-shielded, preamplifier must be provided.

TABLE 1. COMPARISON BETWEEN PVF2 AND G-1195 (LEAD ZIRCONATE TITANATE)

	PVF2*	<u>G-1195</u> **
Density kg/m ³	1780	7500
Young's modulus N/m ²	3.0 $\times 10^9$	80 x 10 ⁹
Dielectric constant 6/8	13	2000
g ₃₁ volt - m/N	174×10^{-3}	11 × 10 ⁻³
d ₃₁ m/volt	20×10^{-12}	180×10^{-12}
Coupling coefficient %	10	30

* Data from Kreha Corp. of America, a subsidiary of Kureha Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.

**Data from Gulton Industries, Inc.

 $\{ e_{i}^{*} \}_{i \in I}$

2.0 PERFORMANCE OF THE PVF, MICROPHONE

2.1 POWER SUPPLY CONNECTION

Figure 2 shows the power supply connection for testing the microphone. The electrical load on the microphone is 150 Ω . If the resistance of the ammeter is appreciable, it may be shorted. The microphone connector is non-polar.

2.2 ACOUSTICAL PERFORMANCE

Table 2 shows the typical performance of the PVF₂ microphones which were delivered to the U.S. Army. The sensitivity and output impedance of individual microphones is adjusted by selection of resistors in the preamplifier.

The sound-source for the close-talk measurements (1/4-inch distance to source) was constructed according to U. S. Air Force Drawing 58B12627 (approved 30 June 1958). In this source, the sound port is essentially a 1/4-inch diameter hole, located in the side wall of a long, 1 5/8-inch diameter tube. A speaker is coupled to one end of the tube. The other end has a sound absorbing termination. In Appendix C, there is a discussion of the effect of the soundsource in determining the measured sensitivity and noise-immunity.

Noise immunity is defined as the difference between the on-axis, closetalk sensitivity and the on-axis, distant-source sensitivity. The distantsource measurement was made at a distance of 1-meter from a 12-inch diameter loudspeaker in an anechoic chamber.

Figure 3 shows the microphone response and noise-immunity. Figure 4 illustrates the polar response of a representative microphone. The polar response at 2000 Hz (and all frequencies below 2000 Hz) is effectively a perfect cosine pattern. When tested with the same sound-source, the previous Model NCMA-102 microphone has about 2 dB better noise-immunity at 1000 Hz than the FVF₂ microphone. However, the PVF₂ microphone is better above 3000 Hz. The PVF₂ microphone has about 3 dB better noise-immunity at 1000 Hz than the wellknown M-87 dynamic microphone. The polar response of the PVF₂ microphone is also better than that of the typical M-87.



ħ

in the second



TABLE 2

TYPICAL PERFORMANCE NOISE-CANCELLING MICROPHONE MODEL NCMA-103 1st-ORDER GRADIENT

Туре	PVF ₂ plezoelectric, with integral preamplifier
Power Supply	Typical: 9 Vdc at connector; 2.0 mA current
Power Supply Limits	Minimum: 1.0 mA, approx. 7.0 volts at connector Maximum: 6 mA, approx. 16 volts at connector
Sensitivity	-90 dBV/ubar (900 uvolts/28 μbar) across 150 Ω load at 1000 Hz, 1/4-inch to source
Output Impedance	150 Ω resistance at 2.0 mA jurrent
Frequency Response	200-6000 Hz ± 2 dB; response extends to 12 kHz
Noise Immunity	12 dB at 1000 Hz, average for 0° and 180° incidence
Harmonic Distortion	<1% at 130 dB SPL <5% at 140 dB SPL
Vibration Sensitivity	Equivalent to 110 dB SPL/G at 400 Hz
Self Noise	Equivalent to 52 dB SPL, A-weighted
Connector	Accepts U~173U plug
Weight	55 grams, including boom and 8-inch cord assembly
<u>Case Material</u>	Lexan (a General Electric polycarbonate)
Case Color	Black

1. 3 m. 5.

1

.

and the second secon







8

Ļ





3.0 MICROPHONE DEVELOPMENT

3.1 DEVELOPMENT APPROACH

In dynamic and magnetic microphones, the lowest mechanical resonance of the diaphragm assembly is placed near the center of the frequency range of interest, and acoustic damping is employed to smooth the response. The damping is susceptible to change due to aging of the damping material. The damping structure will trap water during water immersion so that water-tight, but pressure-equalized, flexible membranes must be provided to protect the material and permit sound to pass. Finally, the damping structure and flexible membranes compromise the acoustical symmetry of the noise-cancelling system, causing a reduction in noise immunity.

In the piezoceramic microphones previously developed at ATC,⁴ the lowest mechanical resonance of the diaphragm assembly was placed mear or above 10 kHz, above the speech frequency range of interest. Damping is not required. This is the approach selected for the PVF₂ microphone.

Two diaphragm structures were investigated during the project.

- A spherical dome (shell), wherein sound pressure induces a tangential stress.^{5,6}
 This structure was tested and abandoned.
- o An annular bimorph, such as used in previous piezoceramic, noisecancelling, microphones.⁴,⁷

3.2 PVF, FILM

 PVF_2 film was purchased from Kreha Corporation of America, New York 10017. Both 9 µm (0.35-mil) thick and 30 µm (1.2-mil) thick films were available. The film was uniaxially stretched and poled as received and had vapor deposited aluminum electrodes on both sides. Only the 30 µm film was used during the project.

Four samples of PVF₂ film were received from the Pennwalt Corporation Technological Center, King of Prussia, PA 19406. Three of the films were uniaxially oriented (stretched), one was biaxially oriented. Thicknesses ranged from 0.4-mil to 2.8-mil. Pennwalt at that time (1977) was still developing its production and electroding processes. The electrode coating on the Pennwalt film came off more easily than that on the Kreha film. For this reason all experimental microphones were made with the Kreha film.

In a recent communication (December 1978), Pennwalt claims that they have now developed an improved deposited-nickel electrode for their PVF₂ film. Commercial production is expected in 1979. This may be an important development since, as reported below, the fragility of the aluminum electrode was the main problem encountered with the Kreha film. It was also found that, without protection, exposure of the Kreha film to 100% relative humidity at 65°C for several days causes the aluminum electrodes to come off.

Early in the development program it was decided that the PVF_2 film would need to be bonded to a stable substrate for use in military microphones. The substrate, such as aluminum, would control the flexural rigidity of the PVF_2 element. The compliance of the element would then be relatively independent of temperature.

3.3 PVF, BIMORPH

Figure 5 shows the bimorph developed for the PVF_2 microphone. A ring of PVF_2 film is epoxied to each side of a central shim of 9.5-mil thick aluminum. A water-barrier of 1-mil Kapton (polyimide) is then epoxied to one of the PVF_2 films. A combination 1-mil dome and water-barrier is epoxied to the other PVI_2 film. The assembly is held together in a press at 55°C until the epoxy cures. Finally epoxy is used to seal the inner periphery of the ring. The epoxy is Emerson & Cuming 45 LV, with 15 LV catalyst. The Kapton dome is formed in a hot mold prior to assembly into the bimorph.

The tabs on the PVF₂ films are used as leads. The PVF₂ films are oriented with like poles facing. If the inward-facing electrodes are shorted, the voltage measured between the outward-facing electrodes is the sum of the voltages produced by each film, if the bimorph is bent such as shown in Figure 5(c).

The silicone-rubber rings provide a simple (hinged) support. The seriesconnected bimorph shown in Figure 5 has the following performance:

Sensitivity:	-97 dBV/µbar (in the noise-cancelling configuration)
Capacitance:	120 pF (240 pF for each PVF ₂ ring)
Resonance Frequency:	10,000 Hz

There is a high peak of response at 10,000 Hz. This is attenuated in the microphone by a low-pass filter in the preamplifier. The PVF, bimorph is





ð.

<u>à.</u>





about 10 dB less sensitive than the piezoceramic bimorph used previously.⁴ The theoretical performance of the PVF₂ bimorph is discussed in Appendix A. The environmental performance is discussed in Section 4.

Before adopting epoxy as the bonding agent, an attempt was made to use a dry film of thermoplastic cement so as to form the bimorph in a simple hot-press. The central dome was formed in the same operation. A polyester adhesive, Sheldahi GT-100, was used to bond the PVF₂ to the aluminum. A laminate of Mylar and polyester adhesive, Sheldahi GT-300, was used as the water-barrier and dome. Although good-looking bimorphs were made, the sensitivities were at least 10-20 dB less than expected. It was concluded that the heat [typically 141°C (285°) for 5 minutes] was causing depolarization of the PVF₂.

3 4 PVF, DOME CONFIGURATION

An experimental dome-microphone was built using the PVF₂ film. The domemicrophone was found to have an irregular response. The dome quickly became deformed upon exposure to elevated temperatures. Further discussion of the theory and construction of the dome-microphone is found in Appendix B.

3.5 CAPSULE ASSEMBLY

The capsule assembly is shown in Figure 6. The chassis is made of the sebrass pieces, soldered together. The chassis and grille are given a chemicalblack treatment as per MIL-F-495C using formulation ENE-BLACK L26 provided by Enequist Chemical Co., Brooklyn, NY. The grille, support rings, bimorph, and chassis are assembled together in a rollover die.

The use of silicone rubber for the support rings is essential to maintain flexibility at -51°C. This was demonstrated by tests made during an earlier project.

The exit point for the leads is through a square brass tube which is part of the chassis. Contact to the leads is made by means of an 0-80 stainlesssteel screw. The lead assembly is stacked in the following order:

o S/S screw threaded in brass tube (electrical common)

o Tinned copper pad, to prevent damage to PVF₂ tabs.

- o Upper PVF, tab
- o Lower PVF₂ tab



- o Tinned copper lead (signal out)
- o insulating tape
- o Bottom wall of brass tube

The front sound entry is at the grille. The rear sound entry consists of four slots in the capsule which match four slots in the microphone case. The rear entries are at the sides of the case, an arrangement which has been found to provide good noise-cancelling performance. After the capsule is epoxied into the case and the leads are attached to the preamplifier, the opening in the square brass tube is blocked. The preamplifier is potted in the case with Dow Corning SYLGARD 184 resin. The preamplifier cover is then epoxied to the case, completing the assembly.

In the final assembly, water is prevented from getting to the bimorph leads by the Kapton water-barriers, by the silicone-rubber support rings, and by the blocked and potted brass tube.

3.6 PREAMPLIFIER

Figure 7 is the preamplifier circuit. The principal requirement is for impedance transformation from the high capacitive reactance of the bimorph to the required output impedance of 150 Ω . The preamplifier has a common source field-effect-transistor stage (FET) stage followed by a common-collector bipolar-transistor stage. When driving a 150 Ω load, the amplification of the preamplifier is 7 dB. This includes a 3.5 dB insertion loss due to the shunting effect of the 60 pF input capacitance of the first transistor stage. The voltage amplification of the first stage is about 22 dB which raises the signal level so that little noise is added in the second stage. The output of the second stage is attenuated by the series and shunt resistors in the output circuit, and additionally at high frequencies by the inductorcapacitor, low-pass filter. The first stage does not employ negative feedback; therefore adjustment of gain by resistor selection is necessary.

The gain and output impedance are functions of power supply current, primarily due to changes in the dynamic resistance of the diodes in the bridge circuit. The bridge resistance ranges from about 48 Ω at 2 mA current to about 17 Ω at 6 mA current. As the supply current is increased, the output impedance goes down somewhat, and the microphone sensitivity goes up slightly.



The preamplifier amplification characteristic at a nominal 2.0 mA is shown in Figure 8. A self-noise curve is also shown.

The bimorph is shielded in a housing as shown in Figure 6. This shielding is extended to the preamplifier housing by painting the inside of the case with conductive paint. The shields are tied to the "common" of the preamplifier circuit. Although quantitative measurements were not made, hum pickup appears to be low.

The preamplifier is assembled conventionally on a printed circuit board.

A ferrite shield bead, Fair-Rite Products Type 1, Part No. 2643000101 is strung on each of the preamplifier output leads, inside the case, to block entry of RF energy. EMI testing was not performed on the microphone.

3.7 BATTERY POWER SUPPLY

A small battery power supply is supplied with each of the production microphones. Designed to be mounted on a crewman's helmet on the same post as the boom, the supply makes operation of the microphone independent of vehicle power. The supply circuit is shown in Figure 9. The battery supply is designed to not load the microphone. With a 15 Vdc battery, the dc voltage at the microphone is about 10.5 volts. The microphone should be unplugged when not in use to save the battery.

Due to the extended low-frequency response of the microphone, rather large low-frequency transients are produced by bumping the case or from the user's breath while speaking. Although these transients do not overdrive the preamplifier, they were observed to overdrive a wide-band power amplifier during testing. This was solved by placing a suitable high-pass filter at the input of the power amplifier.



NOTE: A-WEIGHTED NOISE: -112 dBV

FREQUENCY IN HERTZ

FIGURE 8. PRE-AMPLIFIER RESPONSE AND NOISE



*EVEREADY #411 OR EQUIVALENT, BATTERY LIFE > 30 HOURS AT 2 mA DRAIN



.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE

4.1 ACCELERATION RESPONSE

Figure 10 shows the acceleration response of the PVF₂ microphone, compared to that of a previously developed piezoceramic microphone. The response was measured along on axis approximately perpendicular to the plane of the bimorph. The attachment to the shaker was made on the flat area of the case between the microphone grille and the connector.

The peak near 2000 Hz is due to a mechanical resonance of the microphone case. The frequency of the peak depends on the placement of the microphone on the shaker. The acceleration sensitivity of the PVF₂ microphone is 3 to 7 dB greater than that of typical dynamic and magnetic microphones. The Model NCMA-102 employs a special bimorph configuration designed to reduce the vibration sensitivity. Use of the special bimorph configuration in the PVF₂ microphone would greatly reduce its vibration sensitivity. There would be a penalty of about 3 dB loss of sensitivity which would be compensated by increasing the gain of the preamplifier. The net effect would be a 3 dB increase in the self-noise of the microphone.

4.2 HIGH AND LOW TEMPERATURE OPERATION

Figure 11(a) is a plot of microphone sensitivity versus ambient temperature (solid curve). Also shown in Figure 11(a) is the effect of ambient temperature on the gain of the preamplifier (dashed curve).

In Figure 11(b), the capacitance of the PVF₂ bimorph is plotted versus ambient temperature (dashed curve). Upon taking into account the gain characteristic shown in Figure 11(a), and accounting for the effect of capsule capacitance on insertion loss, the open circuit sensitivity of the capsule is derived, as in Figure 11(b) (solid curve). There is no significant change in the shape of the response curve over the temperature range -51°C to +71°C.

Upon return to room temperature following short term exposure, the performance of the PVF_2 microphone returns to normal.

4.3 HIGH AND LOW TEMPERATURE STORAGE

Figure 12 shows the amount of permanent loss of sensitivity, measured at room temperature, following exposure of a test microphone to extreme hot and cold temperatures. The exposure to hot temperatures consisted of 4 hours



FREQUENCY IN Hz

FIGURE 10. ACCELERATION RESPONSE





FIGURE 11. EFFECT OF AMBIENT TEMPERATURE ON PVF2 MICROPHONE





at the indicated temperature, followed by overnight recovery at room temperature. This cycle was repeated twice, for a total of 3 cycles. A response and sensitivity measurement was then made. The three cycles were then repeated on the same microphone at the next higher indicated temperature. The permanent loss of sensitivity is attributed to depolarization of the PVF₂ film.

At the cold temperature, the test microphone was exposed to 2 hours at ~57°C. Upon return to room temperature, there was no change in response or sensitivity.

4.4 HUMIDITY TEST

A test microphone was subjected to a 10-day humidity test (MIL-STD-810C, Method 506.1, Procedure I). There are ten 24-hour cycles in this test, each cycle consisting of 2 hours at 95% R.H. while the temperature is increased to 65°C (149°F), 6 hours at 65°C at 95% R.H., and 18 hours at or above 85° R.H. while the temperature is reduced to 30°C. After ten cycles the microphone was operated and found to be about 20 dB low in sensitivity. The response was normal. The preamplifier performance had not changed. The bimorph capacitance was about 25 pF, compared to the original 120 pF.

An analysis showed that a section of aluminum electrode was missing from the bimorph tabs at the point where the tabs join the main body of the bimorph. A probable reason is corrosion due to chemical reaction of the 45 LV epoxy bonding agent with the aluminum at the elevated temperature. This reaction may have been enhanced by the direct action of humid air reaching the tab, and by mechanical dimage to the electrode due to flexing during assembly and handling, and due to the effect of high temperatures on the unconstrained PVF₂ tab.

Problems with the aluminum electrode did show up during the development of the microphone. During repeated testing of the same bimorph, loss of contact across the electrode sometimes occurred. It was also noted that if cured epoxy were lifted off the surface of the PVF film, the electrode would stay with the epoxy rather than the PVF₂. The effect of 100% humidity on the electrode was noted in Section 3.

4.5 IMMERSION TEST

There was no water leakage into the microphone, or change in performance after a 4-hour immersion test (MIL-STD-810C, Method 512, Procedure I).

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

For operation over the temperature range of approximately $-51^{\circ}C$ ($-60^{\circ}F$) to $+71^{\circ}C$ ($160^{\circ}F$), the PVF₂ microphone Model NCMA-103 meets the U. S. Army's requirements for performance. The sensitivity and impedance are at the required level and response is flat from 200 Hz to 6000 Hz. The sensitivity and impedance can be adjusted by selection of resistors in the preamplifier.

As constructed, the microphone is water-tight and would be expected to survive standard tests for rain, dust, salt-fog, blast, vibration and shock. The microphone has been shown to survive storage at temperatures ranging from $-57^{\circ}C(-71^{\circ}F)$ to $+71^{\circ}C(160^{\circ}F)$.

The PVF₂ electrodes failed during the humidity test. This problem can be solved by careful redesign and selection of materials used in the assembly, or by an improvement in the durability of the electrode, or both.

The self-noise of the PVF_2 microphone is 12 dB higher than that of the previously developed piezoceramic microphone. Given a close-talking speech level of 28 µbar (103 dB SPL), the signal-to-noise ratio is 51 dB. During a talk test in a quiet ambient, the microphone self-noise is apparent and probably greater than desired.

The bimorph design may be close to optimum within the constraints of reasonable cost and use of common materials. The design depends on the use of a low-density stable material (aluminum) to control the bimorph rigidity over a relatively wide-temperature range. Some data cited in Appendix B suggests that, if it were not for this feature, the sensitivity of the micro-phone would be down 7 dB at 0°C and down 12 dB at -51°C.

The PVF₂ microphone may be compared to the piezoceramic microphone. The PVF₂ and piezoelectric bimorphs are physically interchangeable in the capsule, except for allowances for thickness. The PVF₂ bimorph has the advantage of being potentially less expensive. However, the PVF₂ bimorph is less sensitive, so more gain is required, which may increase the cost of the preamplifier.

The piezoceramic microphone is superior in all types of severe environments, and is generally superior in performance, particularly with regard to high output (low noise).

Overall, the piezoceramic microphone is preferred over the PVF_2 microphone. The preferred piezoceramic microphone would have the following features:

25

and the second second

1. The size and acoustic design of the capsule and case should be adopted from that of the PVF_2 microphone, which is generally more compact and rugged. The PVF_2 capsule design is easier to assemble.

.. .

2. A bimorph-support configuration should be used which substantially eliminates sensitivity to vibration.

我们也以我们的时候,但是我们的你们就是这个时候,我们就是我们的不能不能是不是不是不是,这些是不是你们的,你不能是不是不是不是,也不能能能能能能能。

3. An integrated circuit preamplifier should be developed which incorporates the high input impedance, low noise, filter characteristics and 2-wire operation required in piezoelectric microphones. The FET-input, monolithic amplifiers which have been developed in the last few years can be the basis of such a preamplifier. A smooth roll-off of the response at 300 Hz is desirable. The response peak at 10,000 Hz can be attenuated by active-filtering in the preamplifier.

4. The piezoceramic microphone must be shielded against electromagnetic interference.

REFERENCES

1. H. Kawai, "The Piezoelectricity of Polyvinylidene Fluoride," Japan Journ. Appl. Phys., Vol. 8 (1969), p. 975.

1

- N. Murayama, et al, "The Strong Piezoelectricity in Polyvinylidene Fluoride (PVDF)," Ultrasonics (Jan. 1976), p. 15-23.
- R. J. Shuford, et al, "Characterization and Piezoelectric Activity of Stretched and Poled Poly (Vinylidene Fluoride). Part 1: Effect of Draw Ratio and Poling Conditions," Polymer Engineering and Science, Vol. 16, No. 1 (Jan. 1976).
- 4. A. J. Brouns, "Linear Noise-Cancelling Microphone," ECOM-75-0140-F, USAECOM Contract DAAB07-75-C-0140 (June 1976), AD-A025 903.
- 5. N. Murayama and T. Okiawa, U. S. Patent 3,792,204 (12 February 1974).
- M. Tamura, et al, "Electroacoustic Transducers with Piezoelectric High Polymer Films," Journ. Audio Engr. Soc. 23:1 (Jan./Feb. 1975), p. 21-26.
- 7. C. T. Morrow and A. J. Brouns, U. S. Patent 3,909,529 (30 Sept. 1975).

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

P. E. Bloomfield, et al, "Piezo- and Pyroelectricity in Poly (Vinylidene Fluoride)," Naval Research Review (Nay 1978), p. 1-15.

S. Edelman, "Piezoelectric Polymer Transducers," National Bureau of Standards Publication PB-258010 (February 1976).

APPENDIX A

DESIGN CALCULATIONS FOR A PVF2 BIMORPH

Figure A-1 shows the constituent layers of a simply-supported bimorph annulus, such as shown in Figure 5. The expected performance of this bimorph will be calculated in this Appendix, using Standard International Units, and the following symbols:

a outside radius of bimorph

b inside radius

c thickness of aluminum shim

d thickness over the first pair of epoxy layers

e thickness over the PVF, layers

f thickness over the second pair of epoxy layers

t overall thickness

ρ density per unit area of plate

E Young's modulus

 ν Poisson's ratio of material, $\nu = 0.33$ assumed for all materials

g₃₁ piezoelectric constant for stress in the stretched direction

g₃₂ piezoelectric constant for stress transverse to the stretched direction

D flexural rigidity of plate, newton-meters

 λ^2 a function of b/a, $\lambda^2 = 9$ for b/a = .78

K dielectric constant of PVF_p , K = 13

 ε_0 permittivity of free space, $\varepsilon_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{-12}$ farads/meter

The thickness of each PVF₂ film is $\frac{1}{2}$ (a-d).

The resonance frequency of a simply-supported annulus is

$$f_{r} = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2\pi a^{2}} \sqrt{b7\rho}$$
(1)

The area density is found by summing the area densities of each of the layers. Equation (1) does not include the contribution of the mass of the dome. The stiffness of the dome is assumed negligible.

The flexural rigidity D is given by^2

$$D = 2 \int_{0}^{t/2} \frac{Ez^2}{1-v^2} dz$$
 (2)

E and v are functions of z. The origin of z is at the neutral axis. Integrating (2) for the bimorph shown in Figure A-1,

A-1







$$D = \frac{1}{12} \left\{ \left[\frac{Ec^3}{1 - v^2} \right]_{A \mid um}^{2} + \left[\frac{E(d^3 - c^3)}{1 - v^2} \right]_{epoxy}^{2} + \left[\frac{E(e^3 - d^3)}{1 - v^2} \right]_{PVF_2}^{2} + \left[\frac{E(f^3 - e^3)}{1 - v^2} \right]_{epoxy}^{2} + \left[\frac{E(t^3 - f^3)}{1 - v^2} \right]_{VF_2}^{2} \right\}$$
(3)

The capacitance C of the bimorph (series connection) is

$$C = K_{\varepsilon} \frac{\pi (a^2 - b^2)}{e - d}$$
(4)

If sound pressure acts on a bimorph annulus, both tangential and radial bending moments are produced.² For either moment, the corresponding stress $\sigma(R)$ is a function of the distance r from the axis. At any point there is an angle θ between the direction of the stress and the stretched direction of the PVF₂. The local voltage output is then

$$v(\theta,r) = [g_{31}\sigma(r) \cos\theta + g_{32}\sigma(r) \sin\theta][e-d]$$

The voltage V(r) averaged over all (equally probable) values of θ is

$$V(r) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} v(\theta, r) \ d\theta = \frac{2}{\pi} (g_{31} + g_{32}) \ \sigma(r) (e-d)$$
(5)

Thus, for the bimorph annulus, an effective g constant can be defined for PVF2.

$$g = \frac{2}{\pi} (g_{31} + g_{32}) = \frac{2}{\pi} (.174 + .017) = .122$$
 volt-ineters/newton

The voltage sensitivity V/P of the simply-supported bimorph (series connection) with dome, for uniformly applied pressure P is 3

$$V/P = \frac{1}{16} \left(g \frac{E}{1 - v^2}\right) \left(a^2 + b^2\right) \left(e^2 - d^2\right) \frac{1}{D}$$
(6)

Equations (1), (4), and (6) can be used to predict the performance of the PVF_2 bimorph used in the Model NCMA-103. Table A-1 gives the material constants. The bimorph dimensions are as folices (in meters).

a	5.7 ×	10 ⁻³ meters	(0.225 inches)
Ь	4.4 ×	10-3	(0.175 Inches)
с	240 x	10 ⁻⁶	(0.0095 !nches)

のなどである。「「ないない」など、これにない」のないないで、ためないない		
たいたいないないというないである。「ないないないです」の語識がないからないというないので		• •

TABLE A-1

Materia]	Aluminum	Epoxy	PVF2	Kapton
Thickness (each layer)	240 µm	16	28	25
Volume density	2700 kg/m ³	1200	1 780	0071
Young's modulus E	7.1×10 ¹⁰ N/m ²	2.4×10 ⁹	3x10 ⁹	3x109
Poisson's ratio v	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33
Effective g	,	ł	0.122y-ñ/N	
Dielectric constant K	ı	ł	13	ł
Area density p (all layers)	0.65 kg/m ²	0.08	0.10	0.07
Flexural rigidíty D (all layers)	6.092 N-m	0.004	0.005	0.006

A-4

d 272×10^{-6} e 328×10^{-6} f 360×10^{-6} t 410×10^{-6} (0.016 inches)

The total contribution of each material to the flexural rigidity, calculated from Equation (3), is shown in the last row of Table A-1. The contribution to area density is also shown in Table A-1. As can be seen, about 86% of the rigidity is due to the aluminum shim.

Using Equation (1), the resonance frequency of the bimorph annulus is calculated to be $f_r = 15200$ Hz. This calculation does not include the mass of the dome or that of the bead of epoxy on the dome. When these masses are added to the estimated dynamic mass of the annulus, f_r falls to 12100 Hz.

The capacitance of the PVF_2 lead is significant, so that about 25% must be added to the capacitance obtained by Equation (4). The capacitance also absorbs some of the charge which is developed by the bimorph; the bimorph sensitivity calculated by Equation (6) is correspondingly less by about 2.0 dB.

Equation (6) applies to a pressure microphone. The effective close-source sensitivity of a noise-cancelling microphone is somewhat less due to the effect of sound pressure which reaches the rear side of the diaphragm.

The following table shows the calculated and typical measured open-circuit performance.

	Calculated	Measured
f _r	12,100 Hz	10,000 Hz
c	100 pF	120 pF
V/P (pressure)	-90 dBV/µbar	Approx93 dBV/µbar
V/P (noise-cancelling)	-	-97 dBV∕µbar

The sensitivity of Equation (6) to parameter variations is instructive. If the thicknesses of all constituent layers of the bimorph are maintained in proportion, then $e^2-d^2 = t^2$. Further assuming that the ratio b/a is constant,

$$V/P \sim a^2 t^2 \frac{1}{D} \sim a^2/t$$
 (7)

A-5

Changing the thickness causes f_r to vary. Substituting from Equation (1) and (3) where $D/\rho \sim t^2$, $f_r \sim t/a^2$; then

 $V/P \sim 1/f_{p}$ (8)

Equation (8) shows that, as expected, bandwidth can be traded for sensitivity. There are some ways of improving the bandwidth-sensitivity product which would be interesting subjects for future work, such as using exotic materials (e.g., beryllium), or putting a stiffness-controlling metal film on the PVF₂ in place of the Kapton water-barrier.

The use of a thicker film of PVF₂ would produce a proportionate increase in sensitivity, but with an accompanying increase in electrical impedance. Films of about 1-mil thickness appear to be the thickest which are readily available.

A point design of a bimorph which has a 5-mil aluminum shim instead of a 9.5-mil shim predicts the following performance as a pressure microphone. The aluminum still provides over 60% of the rigidity.

f_r 5500 Hz C 100 pF V/P -76 dBV/ubar

Acoustic damping would be required, as in conventional noise-cancelling microphones.

More conventional PVF_2 bimorph assemblies, such as cantilevered beams, are potentially applicable to the PVF_2 microphone, although at the cost of a separate diaphragm, acoustic damping, and a more complicated assembly.

REFERENCES

- A. W. Leissa, "Vibration of Plates," NASA Publication SP-160 (1969), Chapter 2.
- 2. S. Timoshenko and S. Wolnowsky-Krieger, "Theory of Plates and Shells," McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York (1959), Chapter 3.
- A. J. Brouns, "Vibrations of Plates and Membranes for Audio Transducers," Vought Corporation Advanced Technology Center Report No. 8-94100/2TR-29 (Nov. 1972), p. 18.

h

していい ちゅうちゅうちょう

APPENDIX B

DESIGN CALCULATIONS FOR A PVF_2 DOME

Figure B-1 shows a dome-shaped generating element¹ similar to that used in a commercial headset, the Pioneer Model SE-500. The surfaces of the dome are metallized to form two electrodes. In the SE-500 the concave side of the dome is pressed against a piece of plastic foam.

It can be shown that, for a ratio R/t of at least about 300, and a R/r of at least about 2, the stresses due to the built-in support can be neglected compared to the membrane stress.² The membrane stress σ is

$$\sigma = \frac{PR}{2t} \tag{1}$$

P sound pressure

- R spherical radius
- t thickness of shell

In the case of membrane stress, the stresses in the shell are equal in all directions tangent to the shell surface. If the PVF_2 is unlaxially stretched during manufacture, the voltage output is

$$V = g_{31}\sigma t + g_{32}\sigma t = (g_{31} + g_{32})\sigma t$$
 (2)

 g_{31} piezoelectric constant in the stretched direction, 0.174 V-m/N

g₃₂ piezoelectric constant transverse to the stretched direction, 0.017 V-m/N

The microphone sensitivity, S = V/P, is

$$S = (g_{21} + g_{32}) R/2$$
 (3)

The capacitance C is

$$C = K(8.85 \times 10^{-12}) \frac{2\pi R(R - \sqrt{R^2 - r^2})}{t}$$
(4)

K dielectric constant, 13

Again assuming only membrane stresses, i.e., that the surface moves like a portion of a pulsating sphere, the resonance frequency can be shown to be:

$$f_{r} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{2E/\rho R^{2}}$$
(5)
F. Young's modulus 3.0 x 10⁹ N/m²

E Young's modulus, 3.0 x 10⁻ ρ Volume density, 1780 kg/m²

B--1

Possible resonances due to transverse wave motion in the dome have not been considered in this calculation.

The resonance frequency and sensitivity are independent of radius r and independent of the film thickness. A design example is as follows.

> R 25 mm r 7.5 mm (Diam. = 0.58 Inches) t .030 mm f_r 11700 Hz C 640 pF 3 -72 dBV/ubar

This example agrees very well with an actual microphone, described in Reference 1, which had a sensitivity of -74 dBV/ubar, capacitance of 700 pF, and resonance frequency (with plastic foam) of 7500 Hz. The plastic foam probably adds mass to the system.

Some experimental dome-diaphragms were assembled and tested. The typical capacitance was 1000 pF. All of the domes which were tested had a radius of curvature of 15 mm.

The domes were made of 1-mil thick PVF_2 . They were formed against a metal mold at about 110°C (230°F) by pressing with a silicone-rubber pad. Figure B-1 shows the predicted and measured response of a typical unit. Apparently the forming temperature did not damage the PVF_2 .

In Figure B-1, the measured response shows irregularities in the frequency range 5000-10,000 Hz. This is probably due to bending of the dome surface. If a soft plastic foam is pressed against the concave surface of the dome, the response is smoothed in this region, with no change in sensitivity. This may not be practical for an operational microphone which must withstand severe environments.

Environmental tests were made on other dome transducers. A summary of results follows.

Operate at Temperature (Unit #8)

Temperature	Sensitivity	Capacitance	200 - 6000 Hz Response
23°C	-81 dBV/µbar	660 pF	Normal
0°C	7 dB loss	10% loss	Normal
-51 °C	12 dB loss	60% loss	Normal
23°C - 38°C	No change	No change	Normal
+71°C	7 dB gain	15% galn	See discussion

The sensitivity variation with temperature is probably due to changes in Young's modulus of the PVF_2 . Following low temperature exposure, the performance returned to normal. During exposure above $+38^{\circ}C$ (100°F), the dome began to dimple and flatten. This happens within a few minutes at $+71^{\circ}C$ (160°F), causing the response to become very irregular. Upon return to room temperature, the capacitance returned to normal, the response remained irregular, and the sensitivity was a couple of dB high due to flattening of the dome (increase in R).

Storage at temperature (Unit #7)

No permanent change due to storage at -57°C. The dome was damaged at +71°C as noted above.

Humidity (Unit #7)

No evident damage to the PVF_2 due to humidity. However, humidity at 65°C caused the aluminum coating to come off. Kreha film was used for the dome.

Forming of the dome must be done at a relatively low temperature so as not to affect the piezoelectric activity. Unfortunately, the resultant unrelieved stress in the dome causes it to become deformed during high temperature storage.

Calculations showed that a 0.25 μ m coating of chromium or nickel on each side of the film would suitably constrain the PVF₂ and also provide a protecting barrier. A thickness of 0.25 μ m of chromium was vapor-deposited on one side of a PVF₂ dome. The heat associated with the deposition process caused the dome to be deformed.

B-3





FIGURE Bol. RESPONSE OF EXPERIMENTAL PVF2 DOME TRANSDUCER (NO MOISTURE BARRIER)

B-4

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Tamura, et al., "Electroacoustic Transducers with Piezoelectric High Polymer Films," Journ. Audio. Engr. Soc. (Jan/Feb 1975) p. 21-26.
- Timoshenko and Woinowsky-Krieger, "Theory of Plates and Shells," McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York (1959), p. 553, 554.

.

APPENDIX C

EFFECT OF SOUND-SOURCE ON APPARENT MICROPHONE SENSITIVITY

Figure C-1 shows the effect of the sound-source configuration on the sensitivity of the PVF₂ microphone, Model NCMA-103. The Air Force source is essentially a 1/4-inch diameter hole, in the wall of a long, 1 5/8-inch diameter tube. A speaker is coupled to one end of the tube. The other end has a sound-absorbing termination. The Air Force source was specified by the U. S. Army for the measurements described in this report.

The B&K 4216 Artificial Mouth has an internal 1/2-inch diameter capacitor microphone, located with its grille in the plane of the monuth opening. The microphone is used in a feedback loop to maintain the sound pressure constant at that location.

For each measurement of the PVF_2 microphone, the sensitivity was compared at the same distance to that of a 1/4-inch diameter capacitor microphone (B&K Type 4135).

The following table shows comparative data for sensitivity and noise-immunity at 1000 Hz.

Source	Distance	Sensitivity	Derived Noise-Immunity
Drawing 58B12627	1/4-inch	-90 dBV/µbar	12.0 dB
B&K 4216	1/4-Inch	-93.5	8.5
B&K 4216	1/2-inch	-94.0	8.0

The change in the shape of the peak at 10,000 Hz was not investigated, but may be due to standing waves between the source and the PVF₂ microphone, or its mounting hardware. Due to its smaller area, the Air Force source is more like a point source than the B&K 4216. Therefore, the Air Force source will produce a higher pressure gradient at a given distance.

The sensitivity of noise-cancelling microphones depends in part on the distance between sound entries. Therefore, the magnitude of the effect reported here may not be applicable to other noise-cancelling microphones.

C-1

1/4-inch to 0.25-inch Diam. Source (Ref: AF Dwg. 58B12627)
1/4-inch to 0.8-inch Diam. Source (B&K 4216)
1/2-inch to 0.8-inch Diam. Source (B&K 4216)



FREQUENCY IN HZ.

FIGURE C-1: EFFECT OF CLOSE-TALK SOUND SOURCE ON SENSITIVITY AND RESPONSE.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

1.

101	Defense Documentation Center ATTN: DDC-TCA	210	Commandant, Marine Corps HQ, US Marine Corps
012	Alexandria, VA 22314	002	Washington, DC 20380
102	Director National Security Agency	211	HQ, US Marine Corps ATTN: CODE INTS
001	ATTN: TDL Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755	001	Washington, DC 20380
103	Code R123, Tech Library	212	Command, Control & Communications Div
001	1860 Wiehle Ave Reston, VA 22090		Marine Corps Development & Educ Colad
	, ,	001	Quantico, VA 22134
104	Defense Communications Agency Technical Library Center Code 205 (P. A. Tolovi)	215	Naval Telecommunications Command Technical Library, Code 91L
001		001.	Washington, DC 20390
200	Office of Naval Research Code 427	217	Naval Air Systems Command
001	Arlington, VA 22217	004	Code: AIR-5332 Washington, DC 20360
203	GIDEP Engineering & Support Dept TE Section	300	AUL/LSE 64-235
001	PO Box 398 NORCO, CA 91760	001	Maxwell AFB, AL 30112
205	Director	301	Rome Air Development Center ATTN: DOCUMENTS LIBRARY (TILD)
001	Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: CODE 2627 Washington DC 20376		Griffiss AFB, NY 13441
001		304	Air Force Geophysics Lab L.G. Hanscom AFB
206	Commander Naval Electronics Laboratory Center	r 001	ATIN: LIR Bedford, MA 01730
001	San Diego, CA 92152	307	AFGL/SULL S-29 HAEB, MA 01731
207	CDR, Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Laboratory		
001	ATTN: Library, Code WX-21 Silver Spring, MD 20910	310	HQ, AFCS ATIN: EPEGRW Mail Stop 105B
		001	Richards-Gobaur AFB, MD 64030

and the second

312 002	HQ, Air Force Electronic Warfare Cent ATTN: SURP San Antonio, TX 78243	ter	
314	HQ, Air Force Systems Command ATTN: DLCA	432	Dir, US Army Air Mobilit; ATTN: T. GOSSETT, BLDG
001	Washington, DC 20331	001	MASA Ames Research Cental Moffett Field, CA 94035
403	CDR, MIRCOM Redstone Scientific Info Center ATTN: Chief, Document Section	436 002	HQDA (DAMO-TCE) Washington, DC 20310
001	Redstone Arsenal, AL 33809	437	Deputy for Science & Tech
406	Commandant US Army Aviation Center	001	Office, Assist Sec Army Washington, DC 20310
003	Fort Rucker, AL 36362	438 001	HQDA (DAMA-ARZ/DR F.D. V Washington, DC 20310
408	Commandant US Army Military Police School ATTN: ATSJ-CD-M-C	470	Director of Combat Devel US Army Armor Center
003	Fort McClellan, AL 36201	002	ATTN: ATZK-CD-MS Fort Knox, KY 40121
417	Commander US Army Intelligence Center & School ATTN: ATSI-CD-MD	475	Cdr, Harry Diamond Labor ATTN: Library
002	Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613	001	2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783
418	Commander HQ, Fort Huachuca ATTN: TECHNICAL REFERENCE DIV	477	Director US Army Ballistic Resear
001	Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613	001	ATTN: DRXBR-LB Aberdeen Proving Ground,
419	Commander US Army Electronic Proving Ground ATTN: STEEP-MT	478	Director US Army Ballistic Resear
002	Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613	001	ATTN: DRXBR-CA (DR. L.V Aberdeen Proving Ground,
420	Commander USASA Test & Evaluation Center	479	Director US Army Human Engineerin
001	Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613	001	Aberdeen Froving Ground,

-

- y R&D Leb 207-5 r
- hnology (R&D)
- erderame)
- opments
 - atories
- ch Labs MD 21005
- ch Labs andekieft ND 21005

ng Labs MD 21005

	•		
482	Director	518	TRI-TAC Office
	US Army Materiel Systems Analysis	001	ATTN: TI-SE Font Monmouth ILI 07703
	ATTN: DRXSY-T	W1	Fore pointouting no offos
001	Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		
		519	CDR, US Army Avionics Laboratory
1.42	Dimoston		
40,5	US Army Materiel Systems Analysis	001	Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
	Acty		
	ATTN: DRX SY-MP	521	Can US Army Recearch Office
	ABERDISEN FROVING GROUND, MD 21003	22	ATTN: DRXRO-IP
			PO Box 12211
504	Chief, CERCOM Aviation Electronics	001	Research Triangle Park, NC 2/709
	UITICE	533	Commandant
	St Louis, MO 63166		US Army Inst For Military Assistance
			ATTN: ATSU-CTD-MO
507	CDR, AVRADCOM	001	Fort Bragg, NG 20307
	PO Box 209	536	Commander
001	St Louis, MO 63166		US Army Arctic Test Center
	· · ·	002	ATTN: STEAC-TD-ML APO Seattla 98733
512	Commander	002	
• -	Picatinny Arsenal	537	Cdr, US Army Tropic Test Center
001	ATTN: SARPA-ND-A-4 (Bldg 95)		ATTN: STETU-MO-A (Tech Liorery) DRAWER 9/2
001	DOABL' NO OLOOT	001	Fort Clayton, Canal Zone 09827
			•
514	Director Joint Coum Office (TRT_T(C)	51.2	Commandant.
	ATTN: TT-AD(Tech Docu Cen)	24~	US Army Field Artillery School
001	Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703		ATTN: ATSFA-CTD
		002	Fort Sill, OK 73503
515	Project Manager, REMBASS	554	Commandant
	ATTN: DRCPM-RBS		US Army Air Defense School
002	Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703	001	Fort Bliss. TX 79916
516	Project Manager, NAVCON	مو بير بير	Common data
	ATIN: DRCPM-NC-TM Bldg 2520	ううう	Commander US Army Nuclear & Chemical Agency
001	Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703		7500 Backlick Rd, Bldg 2073
		001	Springfield, VA 22150
517	Commander		
)1(US Army Satellite Communications	563	Commander, DAPCOM
	Agency		ATTN: DRCDE
002	ATTN: DRCPM-SC-3 Fort Monmouth NJ 07703	001	Alexandria. VA 22333
002			

. .

- 564 CDR, US Army Signals Warfare Laboratory ATTN: DELSW-OS Vint Hill Farms Station 001 Warrenton, VA 22186
- 566 CDR, US Army Signals Warefare Laboratory ATTN: DELSW-AQ Vint Hill Farms Station Warrenton, VA 22186
- 572 Commander US Army Logistics Center ATTN: ATCL-MC
- 002 Fort Lee, VA 22801
- 575 Commander US Army Training & Doctrine Command ATTN: ATCD-TEC 001 Fort Monroe, VA 23651
- 577 Commander US Army Training & Doctrine Command ATTN: ATCD-TM
- 001 Fort Monroe, VA 23651
- 578 Cdr, US Army Garrison ATTN: IAVAAF Vint Hill Farms Station 001 Warrenton, VA 22186
- 602 Director, Night Vision Laboratory US Army Electronics Command ATTN: DRSEL-NV-D
- 001 Fort Belvoir, VA 22060
- 603 Cdr/Dir, Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory US Army Electronics Conmand ATTN: DRSEL-BL-SY-S
- 001 White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

- 606 Chief Intel Materiel Dev & Support Ofc Electronic Warare Lab. ECCM 001 Fort Meade, MD 20755
- 680 CDR, US Army Communications Research and Development Command 000 Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
 - 1 DRDCO-PPA 1 DAVAA-D 1 DRSEL-PC-I-PI 1 DELEW-D 1 DELET-D 3 DELCS-D 3 DRDCO-PC-D(PAW) 1 DRSEL-LE-G 1 DRSEL-ME-MP 2 DELSD-L-S 1 DELSD-L 2 DEDCO-PA 1 DRDCO-SEI 1 USMG-LNO 1 DRDCO-TD 1 ATFE-LO-E
 - 25 Originating Office
 - 10 PM, SINCGARS ATTN: DRCPM-GARS-TH Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
- 701 MIT Lincoln Laboratory ATTN: Library (RM A-082) PO Box 73
- 002 Lexington, MA 02173
- 703 NASA Scientific & Tech Info Facility Baltimore/Washington Intl Airport 001 PU Box 8757, MD 21240