

AD-A067 012

TIPPETTS-ABBETT-MCCARTHY-STRATTON NEW YORK
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. PLEASURE LAKE DAM (INVENTORY NO.-3--ETC(U)
SEP 78 E O'BRIEN


F/G 13/2
DACW51-78-C-0024

UNCLASSIFIED

1 OF 1
ADA
067012

111





NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

AD A067012

DDC FILE COPY


LEVEL *11*

2
SC

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN

PLEASURE LAKE DAM
SULLIVAN COUNTY, NEW YORK
INVENTORY NO. 345

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED
CONTRACT NO. DACW-51-78-C-0024

79 04 04 070

NEW YORK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS

SEPTEMBER 1978

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, NEW YORK
26 FEDERAL PLAZA
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007

NANEN-F

Honorable Hugh L. Carey
Governor of New York
Albany, New York 12224

Dear Governor Carey:

Reference is made to my letter of 2 October 1978 in which clarification of the guidelines used by this office in assessing dams with "seriously inadequate spillways" under the National Program of Inspection of Dams was outlined.

The following dams in your state have been assessed as having seriously inadequate spillways, with capability to pass safely only the percentage of the probable maximum flood as noted in each report. In accordance with revised criteria they are now to be assessed as unsafe:

<u>I.D. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DAM</u>
N.Y. 345	Pleasure Lake Dam
N.Y. 670	Myosotis Lake Dam
N.Y. 54	Tarrytown Waterworks Dam

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a seriously inadequate spillway is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening, and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam would take place, significantly increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

Consequently, it is advisable to implement the recommendations previously furnished in the reports for the above-mentioned dams as soon as practicable.

Sincerely yours,

cc:
Barbero, Descenza
Iarrobino (NAD), Exec Ofc
Engrg File, George Koch, NYS DEC

CLARK H. BENN
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
District Engineer

DISCLAIMER NOTICE


**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DDC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Inspection Report Delaware River Basin Pleasure Lake Dam Sullivan County, New York Inventory No. N.Y. 345		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Phase I Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program
7. AUTHOR(s) Eugene O'Brien P.E.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Tippetts-Abbott-McCarthy-Stratton 345 Park Avenue New York, New York 10021		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DACW-51-78-C-0024
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Department of the Army 26 Federal Plaza / New York District, CofE New York, New York 10007		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 11 Sep 78
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 12 106 p		12. REPORT DATE 22 November 1978
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited. 6 National Dam Safety Program. Pleasure Lake Dam (NY-345), Delaware River Basin, Sullivan County, New York. Phase I Safety Program,		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (if different from Report)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability Sullivan County Pleasure Lake Dam Delaware River Basin		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report provides information and analysis on the physical condition of the dam as of the report date. Information and analysis are based on visual inspection of the dam by the performing organization. Pleasure Lake Dam was judged to be unsafe-non-emergency due to a seriously inadequate spillway. 411046 Jmu		

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN

**PLEASURE LAKE DAM
SULLIVAN COUNTY, NEW YORK
INVENTORY NO. 345**

**PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM**



Prepared by: TIPPETTS-ABBETT-McCARTHY-STRATTON

NEW YORK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS

SEPTEMBER 1978

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN
PLEASURE LAKE DAM
INVENTORY NO. 345
PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
- ASSESSMENT	-
- OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH	-
1 PROJECT INFORMATION	1
1.1 GENERAL	1
a. Authority	1
b. Purpose of Inspection	1
1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT	1
a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances	1
b. Location	2
c. Size Classification	2
d. Hazard Classification	2
e. Ownership	2
f. Use of Dam	3
g. Design and Construction History	3
h. Normal Operating Procedures	3
1.3 PERTINENT DATA	3
a. Drainage Area	3
b. Discharge at Dam Site	3
c. Elevation	3
d. Reservoir	4
e. Storage	4
f. Dam	4
g. Spillway	4
h. Sluice Gates	4
2 ENGINEERING DATA	5
2.1 DESIGN	5
2.2 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	5

ACCESSION FOR	
NTIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section
DOC	<input type="checkbox"/> B-ft Section
UNANNOUNCED JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	SPECIAL
A 23	

		<u>Page No.</u>
2.3	OPERATION RECORDS	5
2.4	EVALUATION OF DATA	5
3	VISUAL OBSERVATIONS	6
3.1	FINDINGS	6
a.	General	6
b.	Dam	6
c.	Appurtenant Structures	7
d.	Downstream Channel	8
e.	Reservoir Area	8
3.2	EVALUATION OF VISUAL OBSERVATIONS	8
4	OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	9
4.1	PROCEDURES	9
4.2	MAINTENANCE OF THE DAM	9
4.3	MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING EQUIPMENT	9
4.4	WARNING SYSTEMS IN EFFECT	9
4.5	EVALUATION	9
5	HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC	10
5.1	DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS	10
5.2	SPILLWAY	10
5.3	RESERVOIR CAPACITY	10
5.4	FLOODS OF RECORD	11
5.5	OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL	11
5.6	EVALUATION OF HYDROLOGY/HYDRAULICS	11
6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	12
6.1	EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	12

		<u>Page No.</u>
a.	Visual Observations	12
b.	Design and Construction Data	12
c.	Operating Records	12
d.	Post-Construction Changes	12
e.	Seismic Stability	12
f.	Structural Stability During Overtopping	12
7	ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES	13
7.1	DAM ASSESSMENT	13
a.	Safety	13
b.	Adequacy of Information	13
c.	Necessity for Additional Investigations	14
7.2	REMEDIAL MEASURES	14

APPENDICES

- A. DRAWINGS
- B. PHOTOGRAPHS
- C. ENGINEERING DATA CHECKLIST
- D. VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST
- E. HYDROLOGIC DATA AND COMPUTATIONS

PHASE I REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam:	PLEASURE LAKE DAM (I.D. No. 345)
State Located:	NEW YORK STATE
County Located:	SULLIVAN COUNTY
Stream:	DELAWARE RIVER BASIN
Date of Inspection:	AUGUST 30, 1978

ASSESSMENT

Examination of the available documents and visual inspection of the Pleasure Lake Dam and appurtenant structures did not reveal any conditions which are unsafe.


Using the Corps of Engineers screening criteria for initial review of spillway adequacy, it has been determined that the dam would be overtopped for all storms exceeding approximately 21 percent of the PMF and 53 percent of the SPF. The spillway is, therefore, adjudged as seriously inadequate and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a seriously inadequate spillway is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening, and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam would take place, significantly increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

It is, therefore, recommended that within three months from the date of notification to the Governor of the State of New York, owners engage the services of a professional consultant to determine by more sophisticated methods and procedures the adequacy of the spillway. At the same time, the structural adequacy of the dam during overtopping should be fully evaluated to determine whether mitigating remedial measures are necessary. Borings may be necessary to determine the geometry, extent and condition of the downstream masonry section of the dam which is not visible. Within twelve months of the date of notification to the governor, appropriate remedial measures should have been completed. In the interim, a detailed emergency operation plan and warning system should be promptly developed. Also, during periods of unusually heavy precipitation, around-the-clock surveillance should be provided.


No remedial measures are required to assure the safety of the dam at the present time; however, certain measures are recommended as follow:

- Flatten and riprap the upstream slope
- Remove debris and vegetation from toe of dam
- Finish grade auxiliary emergency spillway
- Repair spillway and sluiceway pointing
- Prepare O & M manual and establish program of periodic inspections
- Monitor dam area adjacent to sluiceways for settlements.



Eugene O'Brien, P.E.
New York No. 29823

Approved By:




Col. Clark H. Benn
New York District Engineer

Date:


1978 November 12



1) GENERAL OVERVIEW OF MASONRY - EARTHFILL DAM AND SPILLWAY



SCALE 1:24 000



CONTOUR INTERVAL 10 FEET
DATUM IS MEAN SEA LEVEL

CONTOUR MAP
PLEASURE LAKE DAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
PLEASURE LAKE DAM, INVENTORY NO. 345
DELAWARE RIVER BASIN
SULLIVAN COUNTY, NEW YORK

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority

The Phase I Inspection reported herein was authorized by the DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, NEW YORK DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS by letter dated 31 March 1978, in fulfillment of the requirements of the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, 8 August 1976.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The purpose of this inspection and report is to investigate and evaluate the existing conditions of subject dam in order to: identify deficiencies and hazardous conditions; determine if they constitute hazards to human life or property; and notify the State of New York of these results along with recommendations for remedial measures where necessary.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

Pleasure Lake Dam is a masonry gravity dam with an earthfill extension on the upstream slope. The length of the dam is about 470 feet and the maximum height is 30 feet; the crest width varies from 17 to 34 feet. The horizontal alignment of the embankment generally follows an east-west trend. A single lane gravel roadway on the crest of the dam provides access to and from numerous lakeside homes. Roadway traffic crosses a steel and wood bridge which spans two sluiceways and a spillway near the center of the dam. According to the personnel contacted and documents reviewed, the dam is constructed on a timber crib of 12 x 12 inch Hemlock planks.

The upstream earthfill surface has a slope of 1 on 1. The downstream masonry slope is also 1 on 1 with the stone placed in regular but stepped courses. The average stone dimensions are 2 feet long, 2 feet wide and 6 inches high.

The two 4-foot long sluiceways are located adjacent to an emergency spillway at the maximum dam section. The sluiceway training walls are fitted with stoplog guides at the head of each sluiceway. These concrete

capped training walls also provide support for a gatehouse located above the stoplogs on the downstream crest of the dam.

The emergency spillway is 25 feet long and 35 feet wide at the crest. The training walls, spillway channel and spillway chute are all concrete capped.

An auxiliary emergency spillway is cut into the east abutment of the embankment. This auxiliary spillway has a 250 foot long trapezoidal channel with a bottom width of about 21 feet and side slopes of about 1(V) on 4(H). The exit slope is approximately 1(V) on 20(H).

Flow from the reservoir is regulated by the two multi-level stoplog gates. By adjusting the height of these gates, water can be discharged either through the sluiceways or over the emergency spillway. The reservoir elevation can also be lowered to 4.5 feet below the emergency spillway crest by complete removal of the stoplogs. Floor openings in the gatehouse provide access for gate adjustments.

The project has no low level outlet. Reportedly the reservoir has not been drained by any other method.

b. Location

The dam is located at the south end of Pleasure Lake on Sheldrake Stream, a tributary to the Neversink River. The dam is approximately 1 mile north of Thompsonville, the nearest downstream community.

c. Size Classification

The dam is less than 40 feet high, has a reservoir less than 1000 acre-feet and is therefore classified as a "small" dam.

d. Hazard Classification

The dam is in the "high" hazard potential category because of the close vicinity of the downstream community.

e. Ownership

Pleasure Lake Dam is owned by the Fallsburg Fishing and Boating Club, Inc. of South Fallsburg. Day-to-day operation and maintenance is managed by the same. The dam was purchased in 1940 from the D&H Canal Company, who owned the dam since 1905.

Ownership prior to 1905 is unknown.

f. Use of Dam

The impoundment provided by the dam is used solely for recreational purposes of the local residents.

g. Design and Construction History

The original design computations, specifications or construction drawings could not be located. It is reported the dam was built about 1875. Names of those responsible for its design or construction could not be determined.

The present owner has instituted several major repair and modification programs. In 1952, repairs were made to the sluiceways and spillway. The wooden stoplog guides were replaced with steel channels, and the masonry surfaces of the sluiceway and spillway channels were resurfaced with concrete. Masonry joints were cleaned and pointed. In 1978, other modifications were made following the recommendations of a recent inspection of a local engineer. Earth was placed on the upstream slope of the embankment increasing the crest width by 8 to 10 feet. The auxiliary emergency spillway was regraded. This spillway was originally cut to provide for additional discharge capacity during the storm of October 1955.

h. Normal Operating Procedures

There is no established minimum downstream discharge requirement. Normally the stoplogs are adjusted such that water discharged primarily over the emergency spillway. Should the reservoir elevation increase 5 to 13 inches above the emergency spillway crest, water will flow over the stoplogs and the auxiliary emergency spillway respectively.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a.	<u>Drainage Area</u> sq mi	13.1
b.	<u>Discharge at Dam Site</u> , cfs	
	Maximum known flood at site	Unknown
	Emergency spillway, pool at top of dam (El 1213)	1130
	Sluice gates, pool at top of dam (El 1213)	390
	Auxiliary emergency spillway, pool at top of dam (El 1213)	1160
	Total project discharge capacity	2680
c.	<u>Elevation</u> (feet above MSL)	
	Top of dam	1213+
	Spillway crest, emergency	1207+
	Spillway crest, auxiliary emergency	1208+
	Stream bed at centerline of dam	1181+

- d. Reservoir
 Length, miles 1.5
 Surface area at El 1207, sq mi 0.34
- e. Storage, acre-feet
 Top of spillway crest (El 1207) unknown
 Surcharge storage between El 1207 and El 1213 1517
- f. Dam
 Type: Masonry downstream section with an earth embankment upstream section.
 Length: 470 ft+
 Height: 30 ft+
 Top width: 17 to 34 ft
 Side slopes: 1(V): 1(H) Upstream and Downstream
 Zoning: Unknown
- g. Spillway
Emergency Spillway
 Type: Broad-crested, ungated
 Length: 25 ft
 Crest: El 1207
- Auxiliary Emergency Spillway
 Type: Trapezoidal channel, no sill, ungated
 Length: 21 ft
 Crest: El 1208
 Downstream Channel: 200 ft long excavated channel
- h. Sluice Gates
 Two 4 foot long multi level stoplog gates.
 Bottom elevation of gate is 1202 1/2+ feet.
 The project has no low level outlet.

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN

There are no design data or specific design memoranda available for the project features.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

No original construction records are available for the project.

2.3 OPERATION RECORDS

There are no records of operation at the dam. The available written records of the maintenance work performed consists of:

- a. Proposed Dam Repairs, Specifications (2 pages, May, 1952) and Drawings(Sheet #1, June, 1950 and Sheet #2, March, 1952)
- b. List of Improvements Made to Fallsburg Fishing and Boating Club Dam (1 page, June, 1978)

There does not exist a formal operation and maintenance manual for the project. Records of reservoir elevation and rainfall are not kept but the dam is visually inspected daily by a caretaker.

2.4 EVALUATION OF DATA

Existing information was made readily available by personnel of the Fallsburg Fishing and Boating Club Inc.

The available data reviewed is considered adequate for this Phase I inspection and evaluation of safety.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

3.1 FINDINGS

a. General

A visual inspection of the Pleasure Lake Dam was made on 30 August, 1978. The weather was sunny with temperatures approaching 85°F. The last rainfall reportedly occurred two nights prior to the inspection. At the time of inspection, the reservoir level was approximately 3 inches above the emergency spillway crest.

b. Dam

The embankment appears to be in generally fair condition. The entire embankment is devoid of vegetation except for minor weeds and some trees located on the extreme west upstream slope.

Crest alignment is generally good with only a few depressions created by traffic. An overlay of 65 tons of crushed rock rolled on to the crest road about 10 weeks before the inspection elevates only the road surface about 6 to 12 inches. The horizontal and vertical alignment of the embankment were good. It was observed that the downstream edge of the masonry crest, east of the gatehouse shows some curvature; however, no recent movement is apparent.

There are several areas of sloughing and erosion along the upstream slope. It is reported 35,000 cubic yards of fill was also recently dumped on the upstream slope increasing the crest width an average of 8 to 10 feet. The soil, a mixture of boulders, gravel, sand, silt and clay was obtained from a borrow area west of the embankment. No controls for compaction were established although the fill was reportedly rolled by a front end loader during placement. The new upstream slope is not sodded and as a result there are many runoff gullies. The slope from the crest to the waterline is steep and has no riprap or other slope protection. The owner has, however, placed logs at the waterline with the intention to reduce wave runup and erosion. Several boulders and smaller cobbles, which are constituents of the borrow material were observed randomly placed along the slope.

A longitudinal crack about 20 feet long is located on the crest, about two feet upstream of the roadway edge and approximately 40 feet west of the bridge. The crack appears to be caused by settlement of the fill placed in 1978.

There is some bulging of the downstream slope; however, there are indications that no movement has occurred recently. Several of the masonry stones on the slope have split but their breakage does not follow any pattern.

Settlement of the embankment has occurred adjacent to the west sluiceway training wall. Seepage was observed at the toe of the embankment near the location where the settlement occurred. The leakage is estimated at 5+ gpm. The clear water and limonite stained algae growth tend to indicate that the seepage is an old condition. There are several damp areas along the western toe of the dam; however, no visible seepage was visible at these locations. No seepage was observed along the eastern embankment toe.

The downstream toe area is moderately to heavily vegetated with shrubs, weeds and small saplings. Larger trees have been cleared for a distance of 30 to 40 feet beyond the toe. It is reported that this area is periodically cleared of brush using physical and chemical methods. The last cutting was reportedly last year.

The slopes downstream of the toe generally slope towards the spillway; however, many large piles of debris (mainly consisting of tree trunks, brush and soil) create an irregular surface of mounds and depressions. Accumulation of water from runoff in these depressions appears probable.

c. Appurtenant Structures

The sluiceways and emergency spillway appear to be in generally fair condition. The approach channels to the sluiceways and emergency spillway are clear of aquatic growth. There was evidence of some minor cracking and some missing pointing along the concrete training wall surfaces. Slight leakage was observed between the masonry and gunite surface on the east wall of the west sluiceway.

The spillway crest and chute appear to be in good condition. Some minor erosion of the gunite surface is noted. The spillway chute is covered by gunite placed in an overlapped manner.

A removable fish screen is located at the entrance of the emergency spillway. The east end of the spillway was observed to be 2-3 inches lower than that of the west end; there was no evidence of distress or movement of the spillway.

The auxiliary emergency spillway is an unfinished channel cut into natural ground at the east end of the dam. Some minor depressions and erosion gullies from runoff are noted. The gravel crest roadway crosses the channel near its entrance, the road surface being approximately 6 to 8 inches

above the channel floor. It appears some seed has been planted and grass is beginning to grow.

d. Downstream Channel

The channel downstream of the emergency spillway tailrace is the Sheldrake Stream. The channel is a natural stream with only minimal vegetation and overhanging trees. Present conditions do not impede the discharge of the flow observed. The auxiliary emergency spillway discharges into the Sheldrake Stream about 300 feet downstream of the dam.

e. Reservoir Area

In the upstream vicinity of the dam, there was no evidence of sloughing, potentially unstable slopes or other unusual conditions which would adversely affect the dam.

3.2 EVALUATION OF VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

Visual observations made during the course of the inspection did not indicate any serious problems which would adversely affect the safety of the dam or immediate remedial action.

A monitoring program should be established to determine if there is any continuing movement in the displacements (vertical settlement, bulges and crest curvature).

The upstream slope should be flattened and riprapped.

The downstream toe area should have the heavy brush and debris removed and then be regraded to slope toward the spillway channel.

The auxiliary emergency spillway channel should be finish-graded and seeded.

SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

There is no specified required release of water. It is reported the reservoir elevation and release over the emergency spillway at the time of inspection were about normal.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF THE DAM

There is no operation and maintenance manual for the project. The dam is visited daily by a caretaker who visually examines the dam and other project features. There is no formally established program of inspection visits by other personnel.

Because of the recent modifications to the upstream slope it is difficult to assess the past adequacy of the embankment maintenance. The lack of upstream slope protection maintenance, grass and a riprap is considered less than adequate. Maintenance on the downstream slope is adequate. The maintenance of the slopes downstream of the dam toe, because of the piled debris and heavy vegetation, is less than adequate.

No regular maintenance procedures are established for the masonry structures and spillways.

Maintenance of the roadway and bridge appears to be adequate.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING EQUIPMENT

The stoplogs and operating equipment appear to be operable insofar as was visible. New stoplogs are available and stored in the gatehouse.

4.4 WARNING SYSTEMS IN EFFECT

There is no warning system in effect or in preparation.

4.5 EVALUATION

The maintenance of the Pleasure Lake Dam is considered less than adequate in the following areas:

- a. Lack of slope protection on the upstream dam surface and the auxiliary emergency spillway.
- b. Control of debris and vegetation on the slope downstream of the dam toe.
- c. No formal operation and maintenance manuals for the project.

SECTION 5 - HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC

5.1 DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS

Pleasure Lake is located on Sheldrake Stream, a tributary of Neversink River in the Delaware River Basin, south of South Fallsburg in Sullivan County. For this analysis, the drainage area's contributing to the following five lakes, Loch Sheldrake, Evans Lake, Morningside Lake, Alta Lake and an unnamed Lake downstream of Alta Lake, were not included. Total area omitted was about 4 square miles or approximately 32% of the entire drainage area. The remaining land area of 8.6 square miles was further divided into two sub-basins. Sub-basin A, north of the lake is 5.3 square miles with a length to width ratio of about 7. Sub-basin B, west of the Lake is 3.3 square miles in area and roughly square shaped.

The physical features of both basins are otherwise similar with rolling hills and wide valleys, interspersed with small lakes and swamps.

5.2 SPILLWAY

Discharge from Pleasure Lake is passable through the following:

- a. The emergency spillway centrally located on the dam. This spillway is a rectangular-shaped concrete structure, with a channel width of 25 feet and a crest at El. 1207, 6.0 feet below the top of the dam.
- b. Two sluiceways, located adjacent to the primary spillway are 4 feet wide and at crest El. 1202.5. Stoplogs are usually placed in the sluiceways to maintain the lake at El. 1207.
- c. An auxiliary emergency spillway, located at the eastern end of the dam, with a bottom width of 21 feet, side slopes of about 1(V):4(H), and a crest at El. 1208.

The computed spillway capacities with the lake surface at El. 1213, equivalent to the top of the dam, are as follows:

a. Emergency spillway	1130 cfs
b. Sluice gates	390 cfs
c. Auxiliary emergency spillway	1160 cfs

Total outflow capacity at El. 1213 - 2680 cfs.

5.3 RESERVOIR CAPACITY

The normal capacity of Pleasure Lake is unknown, however, it is

estimated that the surcharge storage between spillway crest (El. 1207) and top of dam (El. 1213) is 1517 acre-feet, which is equivalent to about 2 inches of runoff over the entire drainage basin. The area of the lake at El. 1207 is 0.34 square miles (219.5 acres), 2.6% of the drainage basin area.

5.4 FLOODS OF RECORD

There are no flood records available.

5.5 OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL

The overtopping potential was evaluated by comparing the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) and the Standard Project Flood (SPF) with the total project discharge capacity.

The Probable Maximum 6-hour rainfall over 13 square miles for the Pleasure Lake area was taken from Weather Bureau sources and distributed, in a probable storm sequence, as indicated in a publication of the World Meteorological Organization.

The rainfall excess was determined, using the Soil Conservation Services curve number method. A triangular unit hydrograph was developed and subsequently used to compute the flood runoff hydrograph for the land area. The runoff resulting from the Probable Maximum Precipitation falling directly on the lake surface was added to the computed flood hydrograph to form the inflow hydrograph and resulted in a peak inflow of 13955 cfs.

The potential of the water overtopping the dam was investigated on the basis of the available surcharge storage and spillway discharge capacities to meet a potential emergency inflow. It was assumed that the stoplogs in both sluiceways were up to El. 1207 and that the lake level was also at El. 1207.

5.6 EVALUATION OF HYDROLOGY/HYDRAULICS

The Probable Maximum Flood, routed through the lake, caused the lake surface to rise to an elevation of 1215.9, 2.9 feet above the top of the dam. The peak discharge over the dam was 12772 cfs. The PMF peak outflow is about 4.7 times the combined outflow capacity.

The Standard Project Flood (1/2 PMF) routed through the lake resulted in a peak discharge of 5038 cfs and a maximum lake elevation of 1214.0, 1.0 foot above the top of the dam. The SPF peak outflow is about 1.9 times the combined outflow capacity.

On the basis of this investigation the project discharge capacity is considered to be seriously inadequate.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. Visual Observations

Visual observations did not indicate any serious structural problems with the embankment or spillway. The deficiencies described in Section 3 require attention and measures to improve these deficiencies are given in Section 7.

b. Design and Construction Data

No design computations or other data pertaining to the structural stability of the dam have been located.

On the basis of the performance experience, the visual inspection, as well as engineering judgment, the dam at present appears to be structurally adequate.

c. Operating Records

There are no operating records available.

d. Post-Construction Changes

It is reported the dam was built about 1875. Post-construction records consist of drawings and specifications used for repairs made in 1952 to the sluiceways and emergency spillway. These repairs included concrete capping of the sluiceway and emergency spillway training walls and crests and replacing the wooden stoplog guides with steel guides.

In 1978 modifications made to the dam include increasing the crest width 8-10 feet by adding fill to the upstream slope. During this operation the original upstream riprap was covered. The auxiliary emergency spillway originally cut in 1955 was reggraded. Other minor changes were made to the embankment and crest road.

e. Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone No. 1 and, in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines, does not warrant seismic analyses.

f. Structural Stability During Overtopping

Inasmuch as there exist no details on the full geometry and extent of the masonry section which forms the downstream face of the combined earth-masonry dam, it cannot be determined at the present time whether the safety of the dam will be adequate if overtopping in the range of 3.5 to 6.7 feet, as described in Section 5, were to occur.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. Safety

Examination of the available documents and visual inspection of the Pleasure Lake Dam and appurtenant structures did not reveal any conditions which are unsafe.

Using the Corps of Engineers screening criteria for initial review of spillway adequacy, it has been determined that the dam would be overtopped for all storms exceeding approximately 21 percent of the PMF and 53 percent of the SPF. The spillway is, therefore, adjudged as seriously inadequate and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a seriously inadequate spillway is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening, and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam would take place, significantly increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

It is, therefore, recommended that within three months from the date of notification to the Governor of the State of New York, owners engage the services of a professional consultant to determine by more sophisticated methods and procedures the adequacy of the spillway. At the same time, the structural adequacy of the dam during overtopping should be fully evaluated to determine whether mitigating remedial measures are necessary. Borings may be necessary to determine the geometry, extent and condition of the downstream masonry section of the dam which is not visible. Within twelve months of the date of notification to the governor, appropriate remedial mitigating measures should have been completed. In the interim, a detailed emergency operation plan and warning system should be promptly developed. Also, during periods of unusually heavy precipitation, around-the-clock surveillance should be provided.

b. Adequacy of Information

The information and data available were adequate for performance of this investigation.

The information and data available with regards to operation and maintenance of the project is considered less than adequate in the following areas:

1. Record drawings of the project
2. Operation and maintenance manuals
3. Records of inspections.

c. Necessity for Additional Investigations

Additional investigations are necessary to evaluate the adequacy of the spillways and to determine remedial mitigating measures as recommended in Section 7.1a.





7.2 REMEDIAL MEASURES

No remedial measures are required to assure the safety of the dam at this time. However, certain measures to provide for continued dam safety are recommended as follow:


- a. The upstream earth slope should be flattened and riprapped. A bedding layer of suitable material should be placed between the earthfill and the riprap. The remainder of the slope should be seeded. The longitudinal crack observed along the upstream slope should be repaired prior to flattening and seeding the slope.
- b. The heavy brush and debris should be removed from the downstream toe area.
- c. The slope downstream of the toe area should be regraded to prevent runoff accumulations.
- d. The auxiliary emergency spillway should be finish-graded and seeded.
- e. Loose and missing pointing at sluiceways and emergency spillway should be repaired.
- f. An operation and maintenance manual should be prepared and a program of periodic inspections established for the project features.
- g. A monitoring program should be established to determine if there is any continuing movement in the displacements, (i.e., vertical settlement, bulges and crest curvature) described in Section 3. The damp areas also described in Section 3 should be identified as either runoff or seepage. In areas where seepage is identified, a systematic program of observation and monitoring of changes in the pattern and quantity of the seepage should be initiated.

DRAWINGS

APPENDIX A




PLEASURE LAKE
NORMAL WATER



DISTANCES FROM WEST END	
TO:	LENGTH ±
A-A	109'
B-B	149'
C-C	190'
D-D	293'
E-E	393'
EAST END	415'
Q.O.F. SPWY	483'

NOTE: DRAWING BASED ON ROUGH FIELD
MEASUREMENTS MADE DURING
VISUAL INSPECTION

PLEASURE LAKE RESERVOIR
NORMAL WATER EL 1207 FT





PLEASURE LAKE DAM

PLAN AND SECTION

SCALE - NTS

DATE - AUG. 1978


HIGH FIELD
DURING




TYPICAL SECTION D-D
Scale 1" = 10'-0"


PLEASURE LAKE


470' long





Stoplogs 3' x 8'





Existing Dam - Principal construction
present condition as r

Designation - 163-1090 Delaware V
See plan dated July 19
For presently proposed

(bend now largely lost by
erosion of adjacent stone)

Center
Pier

13"x16" Timber guides

5"x8" stoplogs

Concrete, placed in
1936

crib
Timber foundation, detail not known,
no displacement, and seems sound
and in original condition.

El. 79.0

El. 76.0 6' Tailwater

El. 70.0

SECTION A-A
Scale 1"=10'-0"

Moderate boil here,
(clear), indicates some
hydrostatic uplift under
apron.

Masonry
Cap. stone

5:0"

PAR
SCO


details and notes
recently examined.

Water shed

36 approved Aug 10, 1936.

repairs and additions see sheet 2

EL 100.0




Bond of needles into walls mostly lost by erosion of stones.

SECTION B-B
Scale 1"=10'-0"

DEPARTMENT
DIVISION

This plan for Delaware
approved under the
Conservation Law.
Examined and
approved.

APPROVED



This Sheet #1 is
of plans of E.M. Will
information on pres

Olney B

PLAN AT E-E

1/4" = 1'-0"

Concrete surfacing
(1936)

Side wall bulges about
4" (not recent), 12" to
20" down stream from
sluiceways.

E1.758

stones.

STATE OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIVISION OF CONSTRUCTION

ALBANY, N. Y. May 12, 1932

This plan for ——— Re — constructing dam No. 153-1597
Delaware River watershed is hereby
approved under the provisions of Section 948 of the
Conservation Law.

Examined and recommended to the Chief Engineer for
approval.

A. H. Clark
ASSOCIATE CIVIL ENGINEER

APPROVED

CHIEF ENGINEER
Department of Public Works

Henry L. Thompson
Deputy Chief Engineer

This Sheet #1 is a tracing of sheet #1
of plans of E.M. Wilbur C.E. and is for
information on present dam structure.

Olney Borden C.E.

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDG

STATE OF NEW YORK
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIVISION OF CONSTRUCTION
ALBANY, N. Y. *May 16, 1952*

This plan for Re- constructing dam No. 163-1597
Delaware River watershed is hereby
approved under the provisions of Section 948 of the
Conservation Law.

Examined and recommended to the Chief Engineer for
approval.

A. H. Clark
ASSOCIATE CIVIL ENGINEER

APPROVED


CHIEF ENGINEER
Department of Public Works

Henry L. Hogan
Deputy Chief Engineer

This Sheet #1 is a tracing of sheet #1
of plans of E.M. Wilbur C.E. and is for
information on present dam structure.

Olney Borden C.E.

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDG




FALLSBURG FISHING & BOATING CLUB
-INC.
SOUTH FALLSBURG N.Y.
PROPOSED REPAIRS - DAM
Scale ~ as indicated

E.M. Wilbur - C.E.
Port Jervis N.Y.


June 10, 1950


SHEET 1



Outlet Plan

Scale 1" = 20'





Butt. Sluiceways filled with mass concrete to bottom of stoplogs as shown in Section A-A

Section B. Scale 1"=10'

Clear out and paint up all accessible joints.

All accessible masonry joints down to bottom of waterway - clean out, open up as required and point up leaving smooth flush joints

Old Gate House to Remain as is.

Minimum of 4" of gunite concrete on entire apron.

Gunite Concrete


At least 2" concrete to be placed in both sluiceways

Existing Concrete Apron placed in 1936

Existing timber crib foundation. Detail not known.

Section A-A


Section D-D



3" x 8" Stoplogs

13" x 16" Timber Guides
to be Replaced by
Steel Guides set in Concrete.

Existing Stoplogs



A Scale $\frac{3}{16}" = 1'$

3

95.5

2'x4' Cutoff Wall
to be placed in
front of Spillway.

Entire Spillway
to be Resurfaced
with Gunita Concrete
as noted in Section B-I

3' High Training Wall

Section C-C Scale 1"=10'

THIS PAGE IS BEST
FROM COPY FURNISH

Proposed D.
Pleasure
Fallsburg Fishing and
South Falls
Scales as shown
Survey and Plans
N.Y. State Lic. #12
Sheet

may
used
Concrete
Section B-B

3' High Training Wall



Scale 1" = 10'

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICALLY
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDG

Proposed Dam Repairs
Pleasure Lake
Fallsburg Fishing and Boating Club Inc.
South Fallsburg, N.Y.

Scales as shown

March 1952

Survey and Plans by:
N.Y. State Lic. #12270

Oliver B. Smith
Liberty, N.Y.

Sheet # 2

SPECIFICATIONS FOR REPAIRS TO
OF

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDG

THE FALLSBURGH FISHING & BOATING CLUB
IN THE TOWN OF FALLSBURGH, SULLIVAN
COUNTY, NEW YORK.

GENERAL CONDITIONS AND WORK TO BE DONE

The mass concrete for back-up wall in sluice ways and the concrete cut-off wall on front of spillway, are to be constructed as shown on plans made by Olney Bordon, C.E. and approved by New York State Department of Public Works on May 12, 1952.

Spillway Section:

The surface of the spillway is to be chipped out to a depth of 12", and also all other disintegrated concrete removed below that depth down to sound concrete. A minimum of 4" of first class 1:2:4 concrete is first to be placed plus 2" of gunite on top of same. 6" x 6" wire mesh reinforcing is to be placed 2" from the spillway surface.

Red lead to be used on sluiceway guides.

3 Railroad rails are to be placed in the front center of the spillway at least 12" above the concrete surface, and with slots placed in the wing walls opposite so that flash boards to height of 6" can be used in the summer.

The wall section of the spillway are to have all disintegrated concrete chipped out and replaced with first class concrete with not less than 3" of concrete at any point.

Gunite is to be used on the spillway apron.

All broken concrete in the floor of the sluiceway is to be removed by Contractor.

REPAIRS OF MASONRY PIERS OF SLUICWAYS

This work is to be done with gunite to be used on the upstream side of the sluiceway masonry piers.

All accessible masonry joints are to be cleaned out, opened up as required, and pointed up, leaving smooth flush joints.

Old timber guides are to be replaced by steel guides set in concrete.

Masonry side walls to be cleaned out all accessible joints to be pointed up.

All other work shown on the aforementioned plans is to be completed in accordance with said plans.

Contractor to furnish all labor, equipments and materials for performing the aforesaid work.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR REPAIRS TO DAM
OF

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY REPRODUCED TO BOD

THE FALLSBURGH FISHING & BOATING CLUB
IN THE TOWN OF FALLSBURGH, SULLIVAN
COUNTY, NEW YORK.

GENERAL CONDITIONS AND WORK TO BE DONE

The mass concrete for back-up wall in sluice ways and the concrete cut-off wall on front of spillway, are to be constructed as shown on plans made by Olney Bordon, C.E. and approved by New York State Department of Public Works on May 12, 1952.

Spillway Section:

The surface of the spillway is to be chipped out to a depth of 12", and also all other disintegrated concrete removed below that depth down to sound concrete. A minimum of 4" of first class 1:2:4 concrete is first to be placed plus 2" of gunite on top of same. 6" x 6" wire mesh reinforcing is to be placed 2" from the spillway surface.

Red lead to be used on sluiceway guides.

3 Railroad rails are to be placed in the front center of the spillway at least 12" above the concrete surface, and with slots placed in the wing walls opposite so that flash boards to height of 6" can be used in the summer.

The wall section of the spillway are to have all disintegrated concrete chipped out and replaced with first class concrete with not less than 3" of concrete at any point.

Gunite is to be used on the spillway apron.

All broken concrete in the floor of the sluiceway is to be removed by Contractor.

REPAIRS OF MASONRY PIERS OF SLUICWAYS

This work is to be done with gunite to be used on the upstream side of the sluiceway masonry piers.

All accessible masonry joints are to be cleaned out, opened up as required, and pointed up, leaving smooth flush joints.

Old timber guides are to be replaced by steel guides set in concrete.

Masonry side walls to be cleaned out all accessible joints to be pointed up.

All other work shown on the aforementioned plans is to be completed in accordance with said plans.

Contractor to furnish all labor, equipments and materials for performing the aforesaid work.

SPECIFICATIONS

These specifications are intended to supplement and clarify the plan. All work incidental to completion of structures as shown or described on plan and/or specifications, obviously intended and necessary for a complete and finished job, is to be supplied by the contractor, whether or not specifically shown or described.

CLEANING SURFACES

All surfaces bonding to new work are to be thoroughly cleaned of all mud, slime, moss, etc. before placing new work. Where concrete is to be poured at floor and in lower levels of the outlet suitable provision is to be made to divert and bypass any running leakage, until concrete has set.

If conditions are such that concrete must unavoidably be placed in water, the water must be still, with no current, the concrete shall be placed in large charges, spouted or dumped close to the bottom, and kept together to avoid separation of materials. A tremie shall be used, if necessary to accomplish this.

Mixing and Placing

Concrete shall be mixed at least two (2) minutes after all ingredients are in the mixer. The mix is to be as dry as handling conditions will permit, and in no case shall more than seven (7) gallons of water be used per bag of cement.

Each batch shall be in place within 20 minutes after water has been added.

Concrete shall be thoroughly worked and spaded into the forms according to good practice.

Cement shall be new stock, of standard manufacture acceptable to the Engineer, and shall be kept dry and protected while in storage before used.

Sand shall be clean, sharp and well graded, without perceptible clay or organic matter.

Coarse Aggregate shall be clean, hard, well graded broken stone or washed gravel, with maximum size limited according to nature of the work.

Cleaning Up

Upon completion of the work, all waste material shall be removed and the site left in a neat and presentable condition.

Laws

All work shall be done in accordance with laws and ordinances of any public agencies having jurisdiction.

IMPROVEMENTS MADE TO FALLSBURG FISHING AND BOATING CLUB DAM.
WORK DONE BY TENNESSEE CONSTRUCTION CORP.

SUPERVISED BY LEONARD REYNOLDS, SOUTH FALLSBURG

MADE 30 FT. RUNAROUND ON EAST SIDE OF DAM, WHEN WATER RAISES 13 INCHES
ON 25 FT. SPILLWAY WATER WILL START FLOWING THROUGH RUNAROUND TO
RELIEVE PRESSURE ON DAM.

FILLED IN 12 FT. IN FRONT OF SPILLWAY TO TOP OF CONCRETE ABUTMENTS
WITH ABOUT 800 YARDS OF DIRT.

FILLED IN FACE OF DAM WITH ABOUT 35,000 YARDS OF DIRT PACKED AND ROLLED.
INCREASED WIDTH OF ROAD OVER DAM BY 8 TO 10 FEET.

BACK FILLED GRAVEL UNDER LOWER SPILLWAY DECK.

PUT 65 TONS OF CRUSHER RUN ON NEW RUNAROUND AND ROAD ON EAST SIDE OF DAM.

PUT 25 YARDS OF OLD BLACKTOP ON HILL ON WEST SHORE ROAD.

WORK STARTED MAY 30th., COMPLETED JUNE 15th. 1978.

PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDIX B



2) CREST OF DAM LOOKING EAST



3) UPSTREAM SLOPE LOOKING WEST , NOTE LOGS AT WATERLINE




4) DOWNSTREAM SLOPE LOOKING EAST, NOTE AUXILIARY EMERGENCY
SPILLWAY TO RIGHT OF AUTO




5) SPILLWAY AND SLUICEWAYS




6) SPILLWAY CHUTE AND DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL




7) APPROACH CHANNEL TO STOPLOG GATES



8) SLUICEWAYS




9) EAST WALL OF WEST SLUICEWAY, NOTE LEAKAGE




10) ABUTMENT WEST OF SLUICEWAYS, NOTE
VERTICAL SETTLEMENT IN MASONRY



11) SEEPAGE (IN CENTER OF PHOTO) LOCATED
AT SETTLEMENT NEAR WEST ABUTMENT OF
SLUICEWAYS



12) DEBRIS AND VEGETATION AT DOWNSTREAM TOE,
NOTE BULGES ON MASONRY FACE ABOVE LOG
PILE AND BUSHES



13) EAST VIEW ALONG DOWNSTREAM CREST,
NOTE CURVATURE ALONG CREAT EDGE



14) EARTH AND DEBRIS MOUND ON EAST EMBANKMENT TOE



15) UPSTREAM VIEW OF AUXILIARY EMERGENCY SPILLWAY



16) DOWNSTREAM VIEW OF AUXILIARY EMERGENCY SPILLWAY AND CHANNEL

ENGINEERING DATA CHECKLIST

APPENDIX C

CHECKLIST
ENGINEERING DATA
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION
PHASE I

NAME OF DAM Pleasure Lake

ID # 345

ITEM	REMARKS
------	---------

AS-BUILT DRAWINGS	<i>None</i>
-------------------	-------------

REGIONAL VICINITY MAP *USGS Quadrange - Monticello, NY*

CONSTRUCTION HISTORY *Built about 1875, the dam was owned by the D&H Canal Company in 1905 but no earlier records were available. The Fallsburg Fishing and Boating Club have owned the dam since 1940.*

TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM *None*

OUTLETS-PLAN } *Proposed Dam Repairs - Sheet #1 June 1950*
 } *- Sheet #2 March 1952*

-DETAILS

-CONSTRAINTS *None*

-DISCHARGE RATINGS *None*

RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS *None*

ITEM	REMARKS
------	---------

DESIGN REPORTS	None
----------------	------

GEOLOGY REPORTS	None
-----------------	------

DESIGN COMPUTATIONS	None
---------------------	------

HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS	None
------------------------	------

DAM STABILITY	None
---------------	------

SEEPAGE STUDIES	None
-----------------	------

MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS	None
--------------------------	------

BORING RECORDS	None
----------------	------

LABORATORY	None
------------	------

FIELD	None
-------	------

POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	None
----------------------------------	------

BORROW SOURCES	<p>Original borrow source not known. The fill used for the extension of the upstream slope in 1978 reportedly came from the area west of the dam.</p>
----------------	---

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDQ

ITEM

REMARKS

MONITORING SYSTEMS *Daily visual inspection only.*

MODIFICATIONS

*Repairs to training walls, spillways and crest roadway. Additional soil placed on upstream slope. Auxiliary emergency spillway not large enough to handle spillway capacity*HIGH POOL RECORDS *None*

POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING

None

STUDIES AND REPORTS

PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM

None Reported

DESCRIPTION

REPORTS

Maximum high water reportedly occurred during the storm of October 1955. At that time, water was breaking on the dam crest. To prevent overtopping, the auxiliary emergency spillway was dug.

MAINTENANCE

None.

OPERATION

RECORDS

*Downstream the area vegetation**No records of gate operations are kept.*

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

ITEM

REMARKS

SPILLWAY PLAN (Emergency Spillway only)

SECTIONS

} Proposed Dam Repairs - Sheet #1 June 1950
- Sheet #2 March 1952

DETAILS

OPERATING EQUIPMENT

There is no level stopping gate.

PLANS & DETAILS

Proposed Dam Repairs - Sheet #1 June 1950
- Sheet #2 March 1952

The project has no low level outlets.

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

APPENDIX D

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

1. Basic Data

a. General

Name of Dam Pleasure Lake Dam Hazard Category High

County Sullivan ID# 345

Stream Name Sheldrake Stream Tributary of Sheldrake River

Location Sullivan County Nearest Town (P.O.) Tr. Fallsburg

Longitude 74° 37' 35" W Latitude 41° 41' N Other Directions Dam is

1 mile north of Thompsonville on an Sheldrake Stream

Date of Insp Aug 30, 1978 Weather Sunny Haze Temperature 85°F

b. Inspection Personnel Harvey Feldman - Team Captain &
Geotechnical Engineer, Glenn Gaudin - Mechanical Engineer
both of TAMS - New York Office

c. Persons Contacted Paul A. Schuman, President of Club
Leopold & Pugh
Kenneth G. Gaudin, Caretaker

d. History: Date Constructed 1870-72
Present Owner Fallsburg Fishing & Boating Club Inc.
Designed by Unknown
Constructed by Unknown
Recent History Reported photo-floods by local people

2. Technical Data

Type of Dam Stone Masonry & Earth Drainage Area 8400 ± Acres

Height 30 feet Length 470 feet

Upstream Slope 1V on 1H Downstream Slope 1V on 1H

Crest Width 17 to 34 feet Freeboard at Spillway Crest 5 feet

b. Upstream Slope Recently dumped and dozer rolled earth

(1) Undesirable Growth or Debris Minor vegetation at west
abutment, some debris in form of logs

(2) Sloughing, Subsidence, or Depressions Slope uncovered, steep,
sloughing, eroding and gullied.

(3) Slope Protection None; Owner has placed logs
at slope and waterline contact

(a) Condition of Riprap N/A

(b) Durability of Individual Stones N/A

(c) Adequacy of Slope Protection Against Waves and Runoff Not
adequate

(d) Gradation of Slope Protection - Localized Areas of Fine Material
N/A

(4) Surface Cracks None

c. Downstream Slope Stone Masonry - 2'x2'x6" generally

(1) Undesirable Growth or Debris Minimal; some moss;
Some vines near west abutment

Low Level Control: (Type and Size) None

Valve Condition —

Emergency Spillway Type (Material) Concrete Width 25 feet

Side Slopes Vertical

Height (Crest to Top) 6 feet ±

Exit Slope ≈ 1V on 1H

Exit Length 60 feet ±

Ponded Surface Area 220 ± Acres

Capacity (Normal Level) Unknown Acre Feet

Capacity Emergency Spillway Level ^{Unknown} V Acre Feet

Capacity between EI 1207 and EI 1213 1520 Acre Feet

3. Embankment

a. Crest Slab & gravel roadway over emergency earth

(1) Vertical Alignment Good except for few depressions

(2) Horizontal Alignment Good except for channel curvature in
d/s crest edge to left of spillway (east)

(3) Longitudinal Surface Cracks Near d/s slope about 2 ft from
edge 20 feet long about 100 feet from west abutment

(4) Transverse Surface Cracks None

(5) General Condition of Surface Good

(6) Miscellaneous Good elevated for approach to spillway
bridge

- (2) Sloughing, Subsidence, or Depressions; Abnormal Bulges or Non-Uniformity

Two areas approx 200 ft² appear as bulges about 12"
seem to be old movements.

- (3) Surface Cracks on Face of Slope Adjacent to right (west)

training wall there appears to be a settlement which has caused
a vertical displacement of stones approx 3"

- (4) Surface Cracks or Evidence of Heaving at Embankment Toe

NONE

- (5) Wet or Saturated Areas or Other Evidence of Seepage on Face of Slope; Evidence of "Piping" or "Boils"

Leakage approx 5-10 gpm at right (west) training wall at
toe; water clear; appears old because of lichen-like algae growth.
Several other damp zones at toe, no moving water, may be runoff.

- (6) Fill Contact with Outlet Structure Good

- (7) Condition of Grass Slope Protection None

d. Abutments

- (1) Erosion of Contact of Embankment with Abutment from Surface Water
Runoff, Upstream or Downstream

None

- (2) Springs or Indications of Seepage Along Contact of Embankment
with the Abutments

None

- (3) Springs or Indications of Seepage in Areas a Short Distance
Downstream of Embankment - Abutment Tie-in

None

- e. Area Downstream of Embankment, Including Tailrace Channel

None

- (1) Localized Subsidence, Depressions, Sinkholes, Etc. None

- (2) Evidence of "Piping" or "Boils" None

- (3) Unusual Presence of Lush Growth, such as Swamp Grass, etc.

Forest area cut about 20-40 feet below toe

- (4) Unusual Muddy Water in Downstream Channel None

- (5) Sloughing or Erosion None

- (6) Surface Cracks or Evidence of Heaving Beyond Embankment, Toe

None

(7) Stability of Tailrace Channel Sideslopes Good

(8) Condition of Tailrace Channel Riprap None

(9) Adequacy of Slope Protection Against Waves, Currents and Surface Runoff

Adequate

(10) Miscellaneous —

f. Drainage System None

(1) Condition of Relief Wells, Drains and Appurtenances —

(2) Unusual Increase or Decrease in Discharge from Relief Wells

4. Instrumentation

None
(1) Monumentation/Surveys —

(2) Observation Wells —

(3) Weirs —

(4) Piezometers —

(Other) —

5. Reservoir

a. Slopes

Relatively flat & appears stable

b. Sedimentation Indeterminate

6. Spillways (See Miscellaneous on next page)

a. Principal Spillway: Inlet Condition

See General Remarks Pipe Condition

General Remarks (include information such as recently repaired, potential for debris accumulation, special items of note, etc.)

Two sluiceways fitted with 4.5 ft ± of flashboards condition good

b. Emergency Spillway: General Condition Good

Tree Growth No

Erosion Minor erosion of grade surface

Other Observations Spillway crest

slightly tilted so that west side is about 3 inches higher than east side.

7. Structural (if required) See Attached Appendix

8. Downstream Channel

Natural filled with boulders & gravel, clear for approx 200 ft.
Narrower beyond and is natural creek.

a. Condition (obstructions, debris, etc.) See above

b. Slopes good

c. Approximate No. Homes and Population

Villages about 1 mile downstream of relatively recent
outlet.

7.0. ~~General~~ Miscellaneous: Auxiliary emergency spillway constructed in
left abutment (east) about 22 feet wide unpaved and
about 13 inches above elevation of highbanks of
primary spillway. Channel open for about 200 feet
and converges with primary spillway channel about
200 feet D/S of primary spillway

Harvey S. Feldman
TEAM CAPTAIN

STRUCTURAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PHASE I DAM INSPECTION

1. Concrete Surfaces Gunite surface on spillway and lower portions of training walls. Condition good with some cracking
2. Structural Cracking Minor
3. Movement - Horizontal and Vertical Alignment None except for tilting of spillway crest
4. Junctions with Abutments or Embankments Spillway floor & wall contact on east wall slightly open
5. Drains - Foundation, Joint, Face None
6. Water Passages, Conduits, Sluices Sluices in relatively good condition, walls missing pointing where not grouted. New flashboards in gate house
7. Seepage or Leakage Center sluiceway wall has minor leakage about 9 feet above chute toe
8. Monolith Joints - Construction Joints Upstream approach slab to spillway has open construction joints
9. Foundation Reportedly on 12x12 timber crib wall and concrete slab placed subsequently

10. Abutments Good except for noted leakage on east
training wall (see paragraph 3.0.5 of main checklist)

11. Control Gates Flush boards (2 sets)

12. Approach and Outlet Channels Good

13. Stilling Basin None; concrete apron

14. Intake Structure No

15. Settlement As noted in 3

16. Stability Not applicable

a. Overturning -

b. Sliding -

c. Seismic -

17. Instrumentation None

a. Alignment -


b. Uplift -


c. Seismic -

18. Miscellaneous -

HYDROLOGIC DATA AND COMPUTATIONS

APPENDIX E





TAMS

Job No. 1487-14

Project INSPECTION PLEASURE LAKE.

Subject _____

Sheet 1 of _____

Date OCT 9, 1978

By RH

Ch'k. by _____

A Sub-basin A

$$L_{CA_1} = 1.89 \text{ miles}$$

$$\text{Assume } C_t = 2.0 \quad 640 C_p = 400$$

$$L_1 = 4.73 \text{ miles}$$

$$A_1 = 5.3 \text{ sq. miles}$$

$$t_p = C_t (L_1 L_{CA_1})^{0.3} = 3.86 \text{ hrs}$$

$$t_r = t_p / 5.5 = 0.70 \text{ hrs}$$

$$q_p = \frac{640 C_p}{t_p} = 103.63 \text{ cfs/mile}^2$$

$$Q = 103.63 \times 5.3 = 549 \text{ cfs}$$

B Sub-basin B

$$L_{CA_2} = 0.76 \text{ miles}$$

$$\text{Assume } C_t = 2.0 \quad 640 C_p = 400$$

$$L_2 = 1.93 \text{ miles}$$

$$A_2 = 3.3 \text{ sq. miles}$$

$$t_p = C_t (L_2 L_{CA_2})^{0.3} = 2.24 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$t_r = t_p / 5.5 = 0.4 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$q_p = \frac{640 C_p}{t_p} = 178.57 \text{ cfs./mile}^2$$

$$Q = 178.57 \times 3.3 = 589 \text{ cfs}$$

LAKE AREA 219.5 acres.

TAMS

Job No. 1487-14

Sheet 2 of _____



Project INSPECTION PLEASURE LAKE

Date Oct 10, 1978

Subject _____

By RH

Ch'k. by _____



TAMS

Job No. 1487-14

Sheet 3 of


Project DAM INSPECTION - PLEASURE LAKE

Date 9/26/78

Subject ELEVATION VS. AREA

By AK

Ch'k. by



ELEVATION (FT.)	AREA (ACRES)	MEAN AREA (ACRES)	AREA (ACRES)	TOTAL AREA (ACRES)
1207	219.5	224.8	224.5	0
1208	230.0	236.0	236.0	224.5
1209	240.0	247.0	247.0	460.5
1210	250.0	258.0	258.0	718.5
1211	264.0	270.0	270.0	988.5
1212	276.0	281.0	281.0	1269.5
1213	286.0	292.0	292.0	1561.5
1214	298.0	303.0	303.0	1864.5
1215	308.0	314.0	314.0	2178.5
1216	320.0	326.0	326.0	2504.5
1217	332.0	338.0	338.0	2842.5
1218	344.0	349.0	349.0	3191.5
1219	353.5	359.0	359.0	3550.5
1220	363.5	369.0	369.0	3919.5

TAMS

Job No. 1487-14

Sheet A of

Project DAM INSPECTION - PLEASURE LAKE


Date 9/26/79

Subject

By WR

STORAGE VS. ELEVATION

Ch'k. by



TAMS

Job No. 1487-14

Sheet 5 of


Project PLEASURE CAMP - AN INSPECTORIAL

Date 7/27, 53

Subject 4-10-10

By WJ

Ch'k. by _____



ELEVATION	ΔAEA	$(F12)$ AREA	WIDE	H ₂	G
1208	-	-	21	-	0
1209	25	25	27	0.00	71.7
1210	33	58	37	1.57	224.7
1211	4	62	45	2.20	453.4
1212	47	145	53	2.79	712.0
1213	57	205	61	3.30	1120.0
1214	61	266	61	4.56	1770
1215	61	327	61	5.30	2337.1
1217	100	447	61	7.70	5120.0
1219	102	571	61	9.20	6553

5 CLH^{3/2}

CE 3.015 - PINE CRES
CE 3.52 - CHUTES CREEK CRO

G. The ... B. ...

	H	G		H	G
1207'	0	0	1214'	7	2.1
1208'	1	2.1	1215'	8	2.2
1209'	2	2.1	1216'	9	2.3
1210'	3	2.1	1217'	10	2.4
1211'	4	2.1			
1212'	5	2.1			
1213'	6	2.1			

TAMS

Job No. 1987-1A

Sheet 6 of

Project PEENSSA LAKE DAM INSPECTION

Date 7-2-77

Subject COMPUTING Q

By LR

Ch'k. by

ASSUME WATER SPILLING OVER DAM + SPILLWAY CRASH IS
@ CRITICAL DEPTH

MAY USE $Q = 1.48 H^{3/2}$ L DAM = 452'

(EMERGENCY SPILLWAY Q DETERMINED ON
LAST PAGE) L SPILL = 25'

WATER SPILLS! SPILLWAY @ 1207'
DAM @ 1213'

ELEVATION	H SPILL	H DAM	Q SPILL	Q DAM	Q SPILL	Q DAM	TOTAL
1207'	0	-	0	-	-	-	0
1208'	1	-	77.2	-	2.16	-	79.3
1209'	2	-	215.2	-	146.5	-	361.7
1210'	3	-	401.1	-	362.7	-	763.8
1211'	4	-	571.5	-	645.2	-	1216.7
1212'	5	-	828.0	-	1090.5	-	1918.5
1213'	6	0	1134.4	0	1550.4	0	2684.8
1214'	7	1	1483.5	13.5	2202.5	13.5	3699.5
1215'	8	2	1872.6	3041.2	2752.6	3041.2	6606.4
1216 13000							
1217 23200	10	4	2421.7	1114.4	3536.1	1114.4	4650.5
1218'	12	-	3285.6	2211.2	4496.8	-	5707.0

* Q FROM CRASH = 4.1

TAMS

Job No. 1451-14

Sheet 1 of


Project FLORIDA STATE TAMS PROJECT

Date 7/21/78

Subject DISCHARGE OF TAMS - 1451

By BT

Ch'k. by



$C_1 = 0.53$

See TAMS FILE

$C_2 = 0.13$

PAF - 115 - CN-79

11/15/77

TAMS

Job No. 1487-14

Project INSPECTION PLEASURE LAKE

Subject _____

Sheet 8 of _____

Date Sep 27, 1978

By DLC

Ch'k. by _____

Probable Maximum 6 Hour Rainfall over 10 sq mi.
 = 24 in.
 Reduced by 20% (EC 1110-2-27) = 19.2"

LOCATION Long 74° 37' 35"
 Lat 41° 41'

15% urban development	42	12.5
4% Forest	70	42
2% Forest	8	12.0
2% Forest	10	5
		<u>19.5</u>

A7C II Rainfall over 10 sq mi 79.

$$S = \frac{1000}{0.01} - 10 = \frac{1000}{19} - 10$$

$$= 2.66$$

$$Q = \frac{(1 - 0.01)^2}{1 + 0.01} = \frac{(1 - 0.01)^2}{1 + 0.01}$$

1487-14 PLEASURE LAKE DAM INSPECTION
SULLIVAN COUNTY
SPILLWAY ADEQUACY TEST

FULL PMF

INPUT PARAMETERS

STARTING ELEV (FT.)	TIME INTERVAL (HOURS)	STARTING TIME (HOURS)	ENDING TIME (HOURS)	PRINT INTERVAL (HOURS)	GATE OPTION	PLOT OPTION	STORAGE COEF.	OUTFLOW COEF.	INFLOW COEF.	TIME COEF.	BREAK TIME
1207.00	0.21	0.00	16.80	1	NO	YES	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000

RESERVOIR ELEV. (FT.)	RESERVOIR STORAGE (ACFT)	RESERVOIR OUTFLOW (CFS)
1207.00	0.0000	0.00
1208.00	224.8000	103.80
1209.00	460.8000	344.20
1210.00	707.8000	783.80
1211.00	965.8000	1283.40
1212.00	1235.8000	1922.50
1213.00	1516.8000	2684.80
1214.00	1809.8000	3581.60
1215.00	2111.8002	4621.90
1216.00	2425.8002	5800.00
1217.00	2751.8002	7120.80
1218.00	3089.8002	8590.00
1219.00	3438.8001	10216.30

TIME (HRS)	INFLOW (CFS)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (ACFT)	ELEVATION (FT.)
0.00	0.00		0.0000	1207.00
0.22	156.00	0.64	1.2930	1207.00
0.43	292.70	2.48	5.3985	1207.02
0.65	425.54	5.01	10.2650	1207.04
0.87	577.98	7.87	17.0576	1207.07
1.02	673.38	11.32	24.5199	1207.10
1.30	807.88	15.68	33.9733	1207.15
1.52	926.47	21.30	46.1466	1207.20
1.74	1117.79	28.98	62.7555	1207.27
1.95	1239.03	39.69	85.9695	1207.29
2.17	2235.79	54.93	113.9694	1207.32
2.39	3003.63	76.10	164.8207	1207.33
2.60	3702.89	103.47	226.1067	1207.48
2.82	4547.38	182.75	296.1951	1207.70
3.04	5104.51	274.68	370.3190	1207.65
3.25	5953.63	393.58	472.4277	1209.14
3.47	6605.19	550.50	575.7430	1209.44
3.69	7297.98	734.72	686.8040	1209.92
3.91	8257.53	979.73	814.8189	1210.41
4.12	9420.76	1252.75	950.5533	1210.94
4.34	9667.71	1592.80	1096.5132	1211.49
4.56	10196.65	1964.21	1251.1762	1212.06
4.77	11599.50	2402.70	1412.8420	1212.63
4.99	12823.45	3178.10	1578.1794	1213.21
5.21	12332.10	4433.09	1734.3108	1213.74
5.42	12312.40	5850.84	1877.7473	1214.22
5.64	13711.25	7321.12	2001.4889	1214.63
5.86	17745.75	8533.01	2105.1611	1214.97
6.08	17444.80	9762.03	2180.7270	1215.44
6.29	13455.31	10628.90	2255.3544	1215.45
6.51	13747.91	11342.51	2308.0995	1215.62
6.73	13225.79	11919.85	2341.1543	1215.75
6.94	13711.44	12116.96	2376.7036	1215.84
7.16	13230.55	12573.97	2395.1752	1215.90
7.38	12579.85	12764.48	2404.5571	1215.92
7.59	12555.37	12721.73	2405.7968	1215.93
7.81	12077.07	12625.50	2399.5986	1215.91
8.03	11644.00	12455.57	2394.4310	1215.87
8.25	11077.86	12200.60	2388.2359	1215.81
8.46	10457.55	11874.80	2345.5614	1215.74
8.68	9924.26	11514.76	2310.0341	1215.73
8.90	9356.09	11160.87	2289.2632	1215.76
9.11	8734.25	10653.62	2257.1318	1215.74
9.33	8270.32	10181.72	2223.2094	1215.55
9.55	7866.27	9691.70	2187.5043	1215.74
9.76	7497.50	9193.86	2152.1972	1215.72
9.98	6795.74	8697.67	2116.5258	1215.61
10.20	6297.16	8267.17	2091.1064	1214.50
10.42	5073.42	7847.95	2045.8239	1214.79

TIME (HRS)	INFLOW (CFS)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (ACFT)	ELEVATION (FT.)
10.63	5515.44	7435.43	2011.1057	1214.66
10.85	5167.27	7033.83	1977.3073	1214.55
11.07	4765.55	6644.73	1944.5412	1214.44
11.28	4534.63	6269.61	1912.9907	1214.34
11.50	4240.82	5908.44	1882.5952	1214.24
11.72	3956.86	5561.34	1853.5933	1214.14
11.93	3689.86	5227.70	1825.2079	1214.05
12.15	3427.93	4906.44	1798.2041	1213.94
12.37	3176.60	4591.61	1770.7275	1213.86
12.59	2935.72	4281.45	1742.8371	1213.77
12.80	2704.54	3976.64	1714.7709	1213.67
13.02	2485.39	3676.17	1686.5620	1213.58
13.24	2280.15	3382.81	1658.3072	1213.49
13.45	2087.53	3096.91	1630.2295	1213.38
13.67	1900.40	2817.75	1602.2746	1213.29
13.89	1724.38	2546.57	1574.5554	1213.19
14.10	1561.46	2284.88	1546.6337	1213.10
14.32	1411.46	2034.86	1519.2695	1213.00
14.54	1266.83	1794.90	1491.4040	1212.90
14.75	1131.99	1565.39	1461.7251	1212.80
14.97	997.59	1341.21	1430.6062	1212.69
15.19	864.99	1124.69	1398.8082	1212.58
15.41	734.75	916.80	1366.4060	1212.46
15.62	606.86	718.24	1333.7964	1212.34
15.84	481.54	530.00	1301.2334	1212.23
16.05	358.73	352.54	1268.5919	1212.11
16.27	238.79	182.68	1237.2688	1212.00
16.49	120.24	152.28	1206.1359	1211.89
16.71	60.59	1779.86	1175.5407	1211.77


MAX. VALUES
MIN. VALUES

13055.31
8.00

12721.73
0.00

1215.97
1207.00

PLEASURE LAKE
PMF.



147-14 PLEASURE LAKE DAM INSPECTION
SULLYVAN COUNTY
DELLWAY ADEQUACY TEST
147 FME

INPUT PARAMETERS


STARTING ELEV. (FT.)	TIME INTERVAL (HOURS)	STARTING TIME (HOURS)	ENDING TIME (HOURS)	POINT INTERVAL (HOURS)	GATE OPTION	PLOT OPTION	STORAGE COEF.	OUTFLOW COEF.	INFLOW COEF.	TIME COEF.	PEAK TIME
1257.00	0.21	0.00	14.80	1	NO	YES	1.000	1.000	0.500	1.000	0.000

RESERVOIR ELEV. (FT.)	RESERVOIR STORAGE (ACFT)	RESERVOIR OUTFLOW (CFS)
1257.00	0.000	0.00
1258.00	224.800	102.80
1259.00	460.800	264.80
1260.00	707.800	462.80
1261.00	965.800	628.40
1262.00	1225.800	822.80
1263.00	1516.800	1022.80
1264.00	1808.800	1222.80
1265.00	2111.800	1422.80
1266.00	2425.800	1622.80
1267.00	2751.800	1822.80
1268.00	3089.800	2022.80
1269.00	3430.800	2222.80

TIME (HRS)	INFLOW (CFS)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (ACFT)	ELEVATION (FT.)
0.00	0.00	0.32	0.0000	1207.00
0.25	7.00	1.24	0.0000	1207.00
0.50	14.35	2.50	2.0042	1207.01
0.75	17.77	3.93	3.4225	1207.02
1.00	193.99	5.66	5.5289	1207.03
1.25	238.69	7.84	10.2628	1207.04
1.50	303.94	10.65	16.8244	1207.07
1.75	385.23	14.49	23.0723	1207.10
2.00	455.69	19.84	31.3627	1207.13
2.25	509.51	27.44	42.0947	1207.19
2.50	550.74	38.05	56.6142	1207.26
2.75	579.10	51.73	72.4102	1207.36
3.00	597.55	68.47	92.0523	1207.49
3.25	607.23	87.96	116.2025	1207.65
3.50	608.84	115.62	145.0141	1207.84
3.75	602.59	151.48	178.3020	1208.05
4.00	589.49	202.72	204.1041	1208.29
4.25	569.76	274.80	250.2216	1208.57
4.50	543.76	374.27	315.5977	1208.80
4.75	512.38	502.12	407.0671	1209.10
5.00	476.35	661.44	534.3959	1209.41
5.25	437.72	811.75	696.0141	1209.73
5.50	397.79	998.96	934.0444	1210.10
5.75	357.72	1183.73	1244.5651	1210.49
6.00	317.30	1361.56	1611.4674	1210.91
6.25	276.45	1516.52	1951.6584	1211.34
6.50	235.45	1632.94	2277.0473	1211.84
6.75	194.45	1701.16	2586.9147	1212.40
7.00	153.45	1728.32	2774.4024	1212.97
7.25	112.45	1716.67	2844.4572	1213.77
7.50	71.45	1666.72	2791.7011	1214.65
7.75	30.45	1584.96	2619.4784	1215.61
8.00	0.00	1480.10	2320.0903	1216.65
8.25	0.00	1353.12	1901.0790	1217.87
8.50	0.00	1204.33	1566.4621	1219.27
8.75	0.00	1031.34	1277.7084	1220.85
9.00	0.00	843.61	987.0969	1222.55
9.25	0.00	646.22	651.0790	1224.37
9.50	0.00	447.87	320.6626	1226.30
9.75	0.00	250.57	105.7024	1228.34
10.00	0.00	60.66	19.6975	1230.49
10.25	0.00	49.70	127.0222	1232.76
10.50	0.00	42.16	180.4135	1235.16
10.75	0.00	37.43	171.8745	1237.67
11.00	0.00	35.40	156.9004	1240.31
11.25	0.00	33.52	136.1011	1243.05
11.50	0.00	31.63	110.0798	1245.88
11.75	0.00	29.74	88.2833	1248.79
12.00	0.00	27.85	65.2833	1251.76

TIME (HRS)	INFLOW (CFS)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (ACFT)	ELEVATION (FT.)
10.43	250.22	3648.81	1474.0744	1213.93
10.85	253.43	3777.35	1452.7604	1217.44
11.07	242.77	3606.32	1431.4604	1217.30
11.28	267.31	3577.02	1410.3055	1217.22
11.50	250.41	3470.15	1388.6350	1217.24
11.72	1079.43	7106.76	1366.2684	1217.17
11.93	1343.43	2945.57	1344.2563	1217.11
12.15	1117.96	2809.20	1322.8012	1217.04
12.37	140.50	268.21	1301.6577	1216.97
12.58	140.50	268.21	1280.7555	1216.90
12.80	110.77	256.56	1259.8611	1216.83
13.02	117.66	246.90	1238.9793	1216.75
13.24	145.09	247.47	1218.114	1216.67
13.45	1014.76	348.64	1197.262	1216.59
13.67	924.74	262.60	1176.465	1216.49
13.88	763.23	273.70	1155.683	1216.41
14.10	792.19	2162.93	1134.922	1216.33
14.32	505.73	2090.82	1114.174	1216.25
14.54	504.41	2317.65	1093.476	1216.17
14.76	413.99	1643.69	1072.827	1216.09
14.98	341.79	1176.18	1052.132	1216.01
15.20	272.69	1111.26	1031.443	1215.93
15.41	131.37	1746.65	1010.759	1215.85
15.63	13.42	1412.46	990.078	1215.77
15.85	145.77	1459.54	969.407	1215.69
16.06	112.86	1557.60	948.743	1215.61
16.27	7.98	1647.02	928.085	1215.53
16.49	69.12	1677.68	907.431	1215.45
16.71	44.59	1306.50	886.781	1215.37
MAX. VALUES				1214.00
MIN. VALUES				1207.67

PLEASURE LAKE 1/2 PMF



TIME-HRS