AD-A06		NATION SEP 78	ASSOCI AL DAM	SAFETY	PROGRAM	4. LAKE	MEADE	DAM, SU	DACW31	NA RIVE	/G 13/2 RETC 044 NL	(U)	
	/ OF / A064935			6 htt				Terrerain and the second secon					
and the second					A Constraint of Y and Constraint of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second seco				Entranzia de la constante de l	-			
		-				魚間調査		na Balansan	The survey of the second secon		-		
			<u>L</u>						N		t t		
			END DATE FILMED 4 79- DDC										





National Dam Safety Program. Lake Meade Dam, Susquehanna River Basin, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Adams County (NDS-PA-331). Phase I Inspection Report.



Shink .

SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN

LAKE MEADE DAM

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

ADAMS COUNTY

INVENTORY NUMBER NDS PA-331

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

DACW31-78-C-0044



Prepared For D DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Baltimore District, Corps of Enginee Baltimore, Maryland FEB 26 1979 by BERGER ASSOCIATES, INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS HARRISBURG , PA SEP DISTRIBUTION. STATEMENT A 02 Approved for public release: 1 Distribution Unlimited

FILE COPY

JUC J

ACCESSION for NTIS White Section DDC Buff Section Г UNANNOUNCED JUSTIFICATION and CIAL

PHASE I REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

Name of Dam:LAKE MEADEState and State No.PENNSYLVANIA - 1-81-ACounty Located:ADAMSStream:MUD RUN, SUSQUEHANNADate of Inspection:July 21, 1978

Based on a visual inspection, past performance and available engineering data, the dam and its appurtenances appear to be in good condition. The following recommendations are presented for action by the owner:

- 1. Trees and brush on the embankment slopes and in the spillway chute shall be removed and the slopes shall be maintained at regular intervals.
- 2. The outfall of the toe drain shall be exposed and the discharge shall be monitored.
- 3. Large stones shall be placed downstream of the spillway slab to prevent undermining of this slab.
- 4. Repair upstream slope riprap in area where some sloughage occurred.
- 5. Provisions shall be made for emergency closure of the upstream end of the conduit.

The Corps of Engineers' guidelines indicate that a project with the size and hazard potential of this dam should be able to pass the 1/2 PMF without overtopping. Calculations presented in Appendix B indicate that this project has sufficient spillway capacity and storage to pass 51 percent of the PMF. The spillway is, therefore, considered to be adequate.

- 1 -

A formal surveillance and downstream warning system shall be developed by the owner to be used during periods of high and prolonged precipitation.

DATE:

SUBMITTED BY:

BERGER ASSOCIATES, INC. HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

DATE: September 22, 1978



APPROVED BY:

G. K. WITHERS Colonel, Corps of Engineers District Engineer

23 Sep 78

- 1A -

79 02 16 109



SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

NOSTRACT

A. <u>Authority</u>

The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a program of inspections of dams throughout the United States. The Phase I Inspection and Report are limited to a review of available data, a visual inspection of the dam site and basic calculations to determine the hydraulic adequacy of the spillway.

B. Purpose

The purpose is to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life and property.

ABSTRACT

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

A. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

Lake Meade Dam is a rolled earthfill embankment with a maximum height of 42 feet above the streambed elevation. The embankment length is 1,450 feet and a 175-foot-long ogee spillway crest is constructed at the left abutment. The spillway crest elevation is 500, which is 5.0 feet below the top of dam. The spillway channel has a very flat drop and is nearly parallel to the toe of the dam. The chute is excavated in natural rock and joins the natural streambed near the right abutment of the dam. A 24-inch conduit with a valve near the downstream end is the only regulating facility.

в.	Location:	Latimore & Reading Townships, Adams County U.S. Quadrangle, Hampton, Pa. Latitude 40°-00.0', Longitude 77°-02.6' Appendix D, Plates I and II
с.	Size Classification:	Intermediate (5330 acre-feet, height 42 feet)
D.	Hazard Classification:	Significant (See Section 3.1.E)
Ε.	Ownership:	Lake Meade Property Owners Association, Inc. R. D. #1 East Berlin, Pennsylvania 17316
F.	Purpose:	Private Recreation
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Real Estate Development)

- 3 -

G. Design and Construction History

The dam and appurtenant structures were designed by Ralph L. Woolpert Company, Dayton, Ohio. The design was based on a geological and soils report by G. K. Jewel Associates. The original owner was American Realty Service Corporation and a permit for construction was issued on September 6, 1966. There were no records available in the files indicating who the contractor was and presumably most of the construction was performed under supervision of local representatives of the Lake Meade Corporation. Construction was started in September 1966, without supervision of a qualified engineer. After an inspection in 1967 it was discovered that a considerable amount of unsuitable material (cornstalks, wood, grass, etc.) was used in the embankment and that topsoil had not been stripped. This condition was investigated by G. K. Jewell and Associates, who placed an inspector on the job starting June 1967. All unsuitable material was removed, before further construction continued.

The 24-inch outlet pipe was placed on compacted fill rather than on rock. Studies by G. K. Jewel and Associates indicated that the fill and some unsuitable material under the pipe could cause differential settlement of 4-1/2 to 6-1/2 inches over the length of pipe. A pressure test on the pipe indicated some serious leaking through poorly welded joints. The pipe was rewelded and about 765 bags of cement were used for grouting the material under the pipe foundation. The pipe was pressure tested again and the drop in pressure was now within acceptable limits. Placing of additional fill was resumed and substantial completion was reached in December 1967.

H. Normal Operating Procedures

The dam was constructed as a recreational facility for a large suburban development with many of the choice lots located at the waterfront created by this dam. The facilities are owned by the association of property owners and the lake is used for recreational purposes. The lake level is maintained at spillway crest elevation during the summer months. In late fall (November) the valve on the 24-inch conduit is opened and a lake level of about 8 feet below spillway crest is maintained during the winter months. The main purpose of this lower level is to prevent ice damage to boat docks.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

A. Drainage Area (square miles)

Computed for this report (Original design used 8.5 sq.mi.) 8.8

- 4 -

в.	Discharge at Dam Site (cubic feet per second) See Appendix B for hydraulic calculations.	
	Maximum known flood, September 26, 1975, estimated on basis of high water mark at Elev. 502.75	2,800
	Warm water outlet	None
	Outlet works low-pool outlet at pool Elev. 473	30
	Outlet works at pool level Elev. 500 (spillway crest)	80
	Spillway capacity at pool Elev. 505 (top of dam)	7,400
с.	Elevation (feet above mean sea level)	
	Top of dam (top of dam is rounded from 505 at edges to 505.5 on centerline)	505
	Spillway crest	500
	Upstream portal invert of outlet tunnel	470.41
	Downstream portal invert of outlet tunnel	467.30
	Streambed at centerline of dam	463
	Maximum tailwater about	475
D.	Reservoir (miles)	
	Length of maximum pool	1.4
	Length of normal pool	1.3
E.	Storage (acre-feet)	
	Spillway crest (Elev. 500)	3,680
	Top of dam (Elev. 505)	5,330
F.	Reservoir Surface (acres)	
	Top of dam (Elev. 505)	371
	Spillway crest (Elev. 500)	291

- 5 -

an in

G. Dam

See Plates VI through XII, Appendix D, for plan and sections.

Type: Rolled homogeneous earthfill.

Length: 1450 feet of embankment and 175 feet of spillway.

Height: 42 feet above streambed. 49 feet above bottom cutoff trench.

Top Width: 20 feet.

Side Slopes: Upstream 3H to 1V with a 5-foot berm at Elev.497 Downstream 2.5H to 1V.

Zoning: Homogeneous

Cutoff: Trench excavated a minimum of 3 feet in rock and a bottom width of 20 feet on the centerline of the dam and filled with embankment material.

Grout Curtain: None.

H. Outlet Facilities

Water may be released from the reservoir through a 24-inch spiral-welded steel pipe which passes through the embankment. The pipe is 286 feet long and has invert elevations of 470.41 at the upstream end and 467.30 at the downstream end. The upstream end is uncontrolled and is provided with a bar screen. Flow in the pipe is controlled by means of a 24-inch gate valve located in a concrete control box at the downstream toe of the embankment and about 40 feet from the end of the pipe. An uncontrolled one-inch copper pipe bypasses the valve and provides the required release of 100,000 gallons per day.

I. Spillway

Type: Uncontrolled, standard type crest, ogee weir.

Length: 175 feet.

Crest Elevation: 500 feet.

Upstream channel: Approach channel is 33 feet long, 175 feet wide, and 3 feet deep at normal pool stage.

- 6 -

Downstream channel: With the exception of a 15-foot concrete apron, immediately downstream from the weir and a 200-foot section at the end, the entire 1,400 feet of chute is unlined excavation in hard rock. The rock surface is very rough and, in addition, 6-foot high brush has been allowed to flourish on it. The estimated "n" valve for the chute flowing full would be about 0.05.

The weir is at the left end of the dam. For the first 190 feet the chute continues in a direction perpendicular to the axis of the dam. It then makes a 90-degree turn to the right and continues in a direction parallel to the axis of the dam until it reaches the original bed of Mud Run. The distance between the centerlines of the dam and the chute is about 190 feet. At Mud Run, the chute terminates with an 80 degree turn to the left. There is a stilling basin measuring 40 feet by 40 feet by 5 feet deep about halfway down the chute.

The last 200 feet of the chute is excavated in earth and is lined with 3-foot diameter stones.

The chute is trapezoidal in shape, having a bottom width of 50 feet and sideslopes of 2.5H to 1V.

The capacity of the chute appears to be adequate for the topof-dam weir discharge of 7,400 cfs but it is recommended that the conveyance of the chute be maintained by removing the brush.

J. Regulating Outlet

A 24-inch gate valve at the downstream toe of the embankment regulates the flow of water in a 24-inch diameter steel pipe which passes through the embankment.

- 7 -

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN

A. Data Available

1. Hydrology and Hydraulics

The hydrologic and hydraulic data available from the files of the Pennsylvnaia Department of Environmental Resources (PennDER) for this dam was limited.

The report by PennDER upon the application for a permit to construct this dam states that the required spillway capacity should be 7120 cfs for a drainage area of 8.5 square miles. The design was considered satisfactory, although this flow would leave very little freeboard.

2. Embankment

The embankment design as detailed on the construction drawings was based on a soils report by G. K. Jewel Associates, acting as the soils engineer for the designer. This report includes soils test data from consolidated quick test, unit weights (dry and wet), gradation, boring results and a geologic report. Design recommendations for the embankment slopes were based on a slope stability analysis.

3. Appurtenant Structures

Design criteria and design analysis of the appurtenant structures were not available in the files of PennDER or at the owners office. A full set of construction drawings were available at the office of the owners. The drawings in the PennDER files do not reflect all changes made after construction started. Drawings shown in Appendix D reflect the design drawings used for construction.

B. Design Features

1. Embankment

The construction drawings (Appendix D, Plate IX) show that the embankment is a homogeneous earthfill structure with a 3H to 1V upstream slope and a 2.5H to 1V downstream slope. The crest width is 20 feet and a 5-foot-wide berm is on the upstream slope at elevation 497.0.

All topsoil and organic material was to be removed under the embankment and on the centerline of the dam a cutoff trench was to

- 8 -

be excavated. This trench was to extend three feet into rock and have a bottom width of 20 feet and was backfilled with embankment fill.

The upstream slope above the berm is protected with 18 inch dumped riprap on a 12-inch gravel filter. The downstream slope was seeded and a toe drain was installed over the full length of the toe.

2. Appurtenant Structures

The spillway weir is a reinforced concrete weir keyed into rock and gravel filled drains were provided. A concrete approach slab and downstream slab and concrete retaining walls form the actual spillway section. Below this short downstream slab the spillway chute is excavated in rock and is protected by large dumped stone. The 24inch welded steel pipe conduit is encased in reinforced concrete (Appendix D, Plate X) and has cutoff collars over its full length. The intake is submerged and the valve is located at the downstream toe in a valve chamber.

C. Design Data

1. Hydrology and Hydraulics

PennDER's permit application report states that the required spillway discharge for this structure should be 7120 cfs to meet the "C" curve criteria. The actual capacity of the spillway was calculated to be 7666 cfs, with no allowance for freeboard.

2. Embankment

The embankment design was based on a soils report which reported test results on borrow material, borings and recommended embankment slopes. Slope stability analysis indicates a factor of safety against failure of at least 1.5.

3. Appurtenant Structures

Design criteria or design calculations were not available for review. The available data is limited to design drawings.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION

The available construction data consists of the original construction drawings and construction progress reports. Many reports indicating field test results for compaction and moisture content are also in the files. Construction started in the fall of 1966 without inspection by a qualified engineer. Large quantities of unsuitable material were used

- 9 -

and this was removed next year before further construction was permitted. During 1967 a representative of G. K. Jewel and Associates was on the site and performed the compaction tests.

The 24-inch pipe was placed in 1966 and was located on top of three feet of fill. Many leaks in the pipe were discovered when a pressure test was made. The pipe was rewelded at these locations and the supporting fill was grouted.

The original design drawings indicated a bathtub type spillway at the east (right) abutment. Revisions were made in December 1966, after construction had started, detailing an ogee type weir located in the west abutment.

2.3 OPERATION

No formal records of operation are maintained by the owners. During the summer the lake level is preferred to be at the spillway crest elevation. The valve on the 24-inch conduit is opened in November to maintain a lake level of approximately 8 feet below spillway crest during the winter months.

2.4 EVALUATION

A. Availability

A full set of design drawings were obtained from the owners for review. The files of PennDER contained most of the drawings and include the report by the soils engineer and construction information.

B. Adequacy

1. Hydrology and Hydraulics

The available hydrologic and hydraulic analysis for Lake Meade is not very extensive and was limited to a permit application report which stated that the spillway capacity was sufficient to pass the required "C" curve discharge. Area capacity curve, spillway rating curve, frequency curve, unit hydrograph, design storm, design flood hydrograph or flood routings were not available for review.

2. Embankment

The available construction data including the compaction field tests is considered adequate to assess the embankment design. It is considered that the constructed embankmant is in accordance with accepted engineering practice, except that measures for control of through seepage are considered minimal.

- 10 -

3. Appurtenant Structure

Although no actual design criteria or design analysis were available for review, the design drawings indicate that the spillway and spillway retaining walls are adequately designed for normal operating procedures. The walls appear to be stable and well constructed. A question arises concerning the metal pipe for the conduit which was rewelded at certain points. Presumably this was done before the concrete encasing was placed.

C. Operating Records

No formal operating records are maintained by the owner. It was reported that since the facility became operable, no major problems have occurred. Tropical storm Eloise (September 1975) did not cause any damage to the structure.

D. Post Construction Changes

There are no records of changes or modifications after completion of construction.

E. Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1 and it is considered that the static stability with normal safety margins is such that the dam will withstand minor earthquake induced dynamic forces. No calculations were made to confirm this.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

A. General

The general appearance of the dam was good. A considerable amount of weed growth was present at the time of inspection and close observation of the downstream slope was not feasible. Only one wet area near the valve box was discovered. The alignment and profile of the embankment appeared to be good. Maintenance of the slope is done only as directed by PennDER, after a written notice is received. The top of the embankment had been mowed recently, but the downstream slope is covered with high weeds. Refer to Appendix D, Plate III.

B. Embankment

Heavy boulders with sizes up to 4 to 5 feet were placed at the downstream toe of the embankment over the toe drain. The downstream slope had heavy weed growth and some small trees and it was difficult to detect possible problems. No sloughing or erosion was detected and the only seepage observed was beyond the toe near the valve box. This could come from the toe drain (Appendix D, Plate VII). The outfall of the toe drain could not be located. Weeds are also growing on the upstream slope above the waterline. The upstream slope is covered with large stone, but does not have a well-graded riprap cover to prevent movement of the rock. One area of slope failure was noted. This area should be corrected when the lake is drawndown during the next winter season.

C. Appurtenant Structures

The spillway weir is located near the left abutment. The concrete weir and retaining walls were in good condition. Some minor cracking has occurred in the slabs below the weir. It appears that the slab was poured on rock and if the cracks do not widen, no future problems are expected. At the end of the spillway slab a large part of the downstream cutoff wall is exposed. The placing of large boulders should be recommended to prevent undermining of this slab. Most of the long spillway chute is excavated in rock and unlined. The rock appears to be hard. The chute makes a 90-degree right bend close to the spillway and then parallels the toe of the embankment over a length of 1200 feet. Possible erosion could occur opposite the weir where the channel makes the bend, but this would not affect the safety of the structure.

The drawdown pipe has a submerged intake and is controlled by a valve in a valve box located close to the right abutment. This concrete

- 12 -

valve box projects about five feet above the ground surface and is surrounded by heavy growth. A ladder is required to reach the top of the valve box, where a concrete manhole cover supplies access to the inside. Two or three men are required to lift this cover. The inaccessibility prevents vandalism and in time of emergency, enough manpower would be available to overcome these difficulties.

The value operation in the box was not inspected. It is our understanding that it takes two men to operate the value. The value is operated at least twice a year (Fall and Spring) to lower the reservoir level during the winter.

D. Reservoir Area

The reservoir was created as part of a land development project and is used for recreation (boating). Houses are located around the shoreline and well maintained lawns form the banks of the lake. Not all lots have been developed yet. No signs of serious erosion were noticed.

E. Downstream Channel

The downstream channel is a natural stream channel and passes underneath a bridge about 300 feet below the centerline of the dam. The stream is rather small and has heavy brush and tree growth on its banks. The stream meanders through a mostly agricultural area and joins the Bermudian Creek approximately 7.0 miles downstream from the dam. Only one house is located close to the stream and the hazard cateogry is considered to be "Significant".

3.2 EVALUATION

The general appearance of the facility was good. The heavy weed growth and small trees on the embankment slopes should be removed and the riprap on the upstream slope should be repaired.

SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURE

Lake Meade was created as a recreational facility for the Lake Meade realty development. The dam is owned by the Lake Meade Property Owner's Association and the pool level is maintained at spillway crest elevation in the summer. To prevent damage to boat docks by ice and to facilitate maintenance work on the boat docks, the pool is drawn down about 8 feet during the winter months by opening the 24-inch valve. The valve is generally closed again in March or early April.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM

The Association has created a \$25,000 fund as required by PennDER to guarantee annual maintenance. The maintenance of the embankment is kept to a minimum and besides mowing the breast of the dam, other maintenance is only carried out if instructed by PennDER after their annual inspection.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES

The only operating facility is the valve on the 24-inch drawdown line in the valve box. This valve is opened or closed twice a year and no specific maintenance (greasing) is done. The valve is difficult to operate and access requires a ladder and two men to lift the manhole cover.

4.4 WARNING SYSTEM

There is no formal warning system in effect. Owner's representatives are living around the lake and a surveillance plan in times of high precipitation should be developed.

4.5 EVALUATION

It is recommended that a better maintenance program for the embankment be developed. This should include the removal of all brush and tree growth and a regular mowing of the embankment. Although the valve is not very accessible, a better access with padlocks is not necessary for these Association-owned facilities.

- 14 -

SECTION 5 - HYDROLOGY/HYDRAULICS

5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES

A. Design Data

The hydrologic and hydraulic analysis available from PennDER for Lake Meade Dam was not very extensive. No area-capacity curve, frequency curve, unit hydrograph, design storm, design flood hydrograph, nor flood routings were submitted by the designer to PennDER.

In order to obtain a permit from PennDER, the owner had to provide enough spillway capacity to meet PennDER's "C" curve criterion which is explained in PennDER Publication No.41, "Construction or Repair of Dam", 1975. For a drainage area of 8.5 square miles used by the designer, the "C" curve calls for a spillway capacity of 7,120 cfs. The project is designed to pass 7,666 cfs with no freeboard.

A complete set of construction drawings was available.

B. Experience Data

In the period that the dam has been in existence, from 1968 to the present, the maximum flood was that of September 26, 1975, when the flow was about 2,800 cfs (Appendix B). The spillway passed that flood without distress.

C. Visual Observations

On the date of the inspection, no conditions were observed that would indicate that the appurtenant structures of the dam could not operate satisfactorily during a flood event, until the dam is overtopped.

The growth of brush in the unlined spillway chute should be removed each year as it makes a considerable reduction in the available capacity.

D. Overtopping Potential

This dam has a size classification of "Intermediate" (42 feet high and 5,330 acre-feet of storage) and a hazard potential classification of "Significant" (Mud Run flows seven miles through flat farmlands to a confluence with Bermudian Creek, which has a larger drainage area. There appears to be only one house in this reach. In the first six miles of Bermudian Creek, below the confluence, there are about 20 low-lying homes).

- 15 -

The Recommended Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for a dam with the above classifications is in the range 1/2 Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) to PMF. The 1/2 PMF value is recommended for this site since the 20 homes are a considerable distance downstream and at a place where the stream has a much larger drainage area.

The 1/2 PMF peak flow for this site is 9,600 cfs (See Appendix B) and the spillway capacity at top of dam level (Elev. 505) is about 7,400 cfs or 38 percent of PMF peak flow. See Appendix B for hydraulic computations.

An estimate of the storage effect of the reservoir shows that Lake Meade has the storage available that is necessary to pass the 1/2PMF without overtopping (See Appendix B).

E. Spillway Adequacy

The spillway capacity is considered to be adequate as the project will pass 51 percent of the PMF without overtopping the dam.

The hydrologic analysis for this investigation was based upon existing conditions of the watershed. The effects of future development were not considered.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

A. Visual Observations

1. Embankment

There are no visual indications of settlement or sloughing of the embankment slopes. The only point of concern was a wet area near the valve box. This wet condition could be caused by the drain system in the toe. A small area of the upstream slope where large stone had moved slightly needs some maintenance.

2. Appurtenant Structures

The visual inspection of the spillway, spillway chute and valve box did indicate that all structures are in good condition. There was no excessive cracking, spalling or deflection in any of the structures. The deep exposure of the downstream cutoff wall of the weir would indicate the need of placing some heavy stone in this area.

B. Design and Construction Data

1. Embankment

Based on test pit results and core borings, G. K. Jewel Associates prepared a design report and recommended the embankment slopes as shown on the drawings. The reported factor of safety for slope stability was more than 1.5 for the upstream and downstream slopes. The report listed the results of gradation, consolidated quick tests, unit weights (dry and wet) and a geological review of the dam foundation. Rock is close to the surface as was apparent in the cut for the spillway chute. Provisions for control of through seepage are minimal and because of the growth on the downstream slope, the inspection for wet spots was limited.

The construction started without competent supervision and a considerable amount of unsuitable material had to be replaced after G. K. Jewel Associates placed a representative at the site for inspection. The files contain many reports of compaction and moisture content tests. If either one did not meet the criteria, the placed material was reworked. A sheepsfoot roller was used for compaction.

Although many difficulties were encountered during construction, the slopes of the embankment appear to be adequate for the

- 17 -

height of the dam. No filter blanket is shown on the design drawings, but a toe drain is detailed. The cutoff trench was carried three feet into solid rock.

2. Appurtenant Structures

The original construction drawings called for a bathtub type spillway. This was changed in December 1966, to an ogee weir in the left abutment as detailed in the drawings in Appendix D. The spillway weir and abutment walls are all set on rock, but no rock anchors have been used. The weir and footings have been keyed into rock. Details shown on the drawings appear adequate; however, there were no as-built drawings available for review and it is not known how close the actual excavation could match the neat lines shown on the construction drawings. A note specifies that all loose and broken rock had to be removed and replaced by concrete.

The 24-inch drawdown line is a spiral welded pipe encased in concrete. As discussed in Section 1.3.G of this report, the pipe encasing support fill was grouted after some of the fill had been placed. The submerged intake of the pipe consists of a headwall and wingwalls with a steel trash rack. Details of this structure and the pipe appear to be adequate.

C. Operating Records

No formal operating records are maintained for this dam. The owner's representative stated that recent heavy storms (Agnes and Eloise) did not cause any serious problems for the dam and its appurtenant structures.

D. Post Construction Changes

There have been no reported modifications to the original dam design.

E. Seismic Stability

This dam is located in Seismic Zone 1 and it is considered that the static stability is sufficient to withstand minor earthquake induced dynamic forces. No studies or calculations have been made to confirm this assumption.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

A. Safety

The visual inspection, the review of available design data and the operational history indicate that Lake Meade Dam is in good condition and has been designed in accordance with acceptable engineering practice. The use of unsuitable material in the early stages of construction was rectified after a qualified inspector was placed in charge.

Although the structure was designed with very little freeboard, hydraulic calculations indicate that the combination of storage capacity and spillway discharge is sufficient to pass 51 percent of the PMF and, therefore, the spillway is considered to be adequate in accordance with the Corps of Engineers' guidelines.

B. Adequacy of Information

The available information is considered to be sufficient to make a reasonable assessment of this project.

C. Urgency

It is considered that the recommendations suggested in this section should be implemented as soon as practical.

D. Necessity for Additional Studies

Additional studies by the owner are not required at this time. However, attention should be given to the recommendations presented in this section.

7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Facilities

In order to assure the continued satisfactory operation of this dam, the following actions are recommended:

- 1. The outfall of the toe drain should be exposed and the discharge should be monitored.
- 2. The owner should place large stones at the downstream end of the spillway slab to prevent additional scour.

- 19 -

- 3. The owner should repair the riprap on the upstream slope where a slight failure has occurred. The drawdown during the winter months would facilitate this repair.
- 4. Provision should be made for the temporary blocking of the conduit at the upstream end for closure in the event of an emergency.

B. Operation and Maintenance Procedures

- 1. The owner should establish a maintenance procedure to remove trees and brush from the embankment slopes and spillway channel at regular intervals.
- 2. It is considered important that a formal surveillance and downstream warning system be developed by the owner to be used during periods of high and prolonged precipitation.

APPENDIX A

0.

VISUAL INSPECTION

CHECK LIST - DAM INSFECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I - VISUAL INSPECTION REPORT

NAD NO. 331 PA. ID # 1-81-A NAME OF DAM Lake Meade HAZARD CATEGORY Significant TYPE OF DAM: Earthfill Latimore and LOCATION: Reading TOWNSHIP Adams COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA INSPECTION DATE 7/21/78 WEATHER Sunny - Hot TEMPERATURE 80° - 90° INSPECTORS: H. Jongsma, R. Houseal D.E.R. Walter Leidig R. Steacy, A. Bartlett Paul Cardosik NORMAL POOL ELEVATION: 500 AT TIME OF INSPECTION: BREAST ELEVATION: _____ 505.0 POOL ELEVATION: ____ 500 SPILLWAY ELEVATION: 500 TAILWATER ELEVATION: None MAXIMUM RECORDED POOL ELEVATION: 1975 502.8± GENERAL COMMENTS: Lake is drained every year to permit repair to boat docks around the lake. Down 8 feet. Valve last opened November, 1977 and closed March, 1978. The growth on the dam should be controlled. Downstream Slope: Surface soil appears sandy - subject to erosion. No surveillance.

Maintenance of slope is done on as directed by D.E.R. Mowes top only.

VISUAL INSPECTION

- - -

0.

	IKMENT	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS & RECOMMENDATIONS
A. 9	SURFACE CRACKS	None observed.	
	UNUSUAL MOVEMENT BEYOND TOE	Area beyond toe has been is natural ground of hi	
	SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT OR ABUTMENT SLOPES	None evident - heavy weed to see any problems.	growth makes it diffcult
	VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF CREST	Horizontal - o.k. Vertical - o.k. difficult	to check with tall grass.
Ε.	RIPRAP FAILURES	None evident - the cover of boulder sizes - coul distress. One small failure on upst	d not detect any
F.	JUNCTION EMBANKMENT & ABUTMENT OR SPILLWAY	Abutments sound	
G.	SEEPAGE	Wet area left of valve bo the rock toe up to 50' Seepage not detected on s along toe.	
н.	DRAINS	None visible, 8" pipe in	coe drain.
J.	GAGES & RECORDER	None	
К.	COVER(GROWTH)	Downstream: Heavy growth Rock up to 5 feet on to Top: Weeds and stoned wh Upstream: Weeds between large stone.	eel tracks

VISUAL INSPECTION

0.

OUTLET WORKS	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS & RECOMMENDATIONS
A. INTAKE STRUCTURE	No tower. Submerged inlet - not vis	
B. OUTLET STRUCTURE	Concrete valve box with c Difficult access. Very h	
C. OUTLET CHANNEL	Narrow shallow channel wi and heavy brush (this i also).	th many boulders s the spillway channel
D. GATES	24" gate valve in the out	let structure.
E. EMERGENCY GATE	See D.	
F. OPERATION & CONTROL	None	
G. BRIDGE (ACCESS)	None	

VISUAL INSPECTION

0.

ALC: MARKED

SPIL	LWAY	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS &
		Stone lined walls on left	RECOMMENDATIONS side - embankment
Α.	APPROACH CHANNEL	on right.	
Β.	WEIR:		
•.	Crest Condition	Concrete - Ogee section in Water not flowing over the	
	Cracks Deterioration	Walls - concrete in excell	
	Foundation		
	Abutments		
C.	DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Rock bottom beyond spillw	
	Lining Cracks	Dumped rock for first 150 Channel is filled with we	
	Stilling Basin		
D.	BRIDGE & PIERS		
		None	
Ē.	GATES & OPERATION		
	EQUIPMENT	None	
F.	CONTROL & HISTORY	Maximum flow over weir du	ing Eloise (2.75 feet)
		No problems.	
			r

.....

VISUAL INSPECTION

MISCELLANEOUS	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS & RECOMMENDATIONS
INSTRUMENTATION		
Monumentation	None	
Observation Wells	None	
Weirs	None	
Piezometers	None	
Other	None	
RESERVOIR		
Slopes	The area is residental wi and lawns adjacent to the	
Sedimentation	None known.	
DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL Condition	Small stream - heavy grow Stone bottom	th on overbanks
Slopes	Heavy brush and trees.	
Approximate Population	4	
No. Homes	One	

Salar Al

APPENDIX B

().

HYDROLOGY/HYDRAULICS

Dam Investigation TD NO. 331 PROJECT_ _ OF_4 Inke Ments 21-SUBJECT_ DATE 7-28-118 COMPUTED BY RES CHECKED BY JJRJ 8-2-78 Spillnan Rating 505.5. Top of dam is rounded Grass 505 at edges 505.500 E Use Eley. 505 5'-10" for top of dam $Q = CL(H)^{3/2}$ 500 =3,8×175×(5) = 7,430 cfs. 5=0.02 Max Known flood storekeeper said 1975 flood was histor them 1972 flood. She 1.07 for 1975 flood, Levels USP"C"= 3.8. showed much was 33 inches qt H = 5.0' above spillings crest or Elev. 502.75 Res. King Fige 5-27 $H_{H_0} = \frac{1.75}{5.00} = 0.55$ 9/c = 0.93 0.93 × 3.8 = 3.53 = C $Q = CL(H)^{3/2} = 3.53 \times 175 \times (2.75)^{3/2}$ = 2800 cfs . Uses gaging station downstream from this site is West Conculago cherry near Marchaeter, Prainage area 510 59.191 Highert flood 1928 to present 96,200 CS: Sept. 26, 1975. Traniposing to Lake Meade Dom (<u>8-8</u>) × 96,200 = 3,740 cfs Since the indicated would be reduced by storage, 2,800 cfs secons reasonables For maximum known flood, use 2,800 cf; Sept. 26, 1975. THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

Pam InVestigation PROJECT. SHEET NO. 3 OF 4 Lake Meade Dam ID Mo. 331 SUBJECT_ RES _ DATE 8-1-78 CHECKED BY JJP 8-2-78 COMPUTED BY____ Probable Maximum Flood Drainags Area 8.8 Square miles C. of E. says to use Indian Roch Resy. PMF 0.8 93.7 Sq mi 128,000 cfs 8.8 x 128,000 = 19,300' cfs } 1/2 PMF = 9,600 cfs spilldag Adequary (1/2 PMF) Maximum Grillwan Discharge = 7,400 Prat Inflow = 19,300 = 0.384 -PMF = . 77 of 1/2 PMF Req. Resp. Storage = 0.23 . Vol. of Inflow = 0.23 . From short cut routing method furnished by Balt. Dist. C. of E. Vol. 1 + Inflow for 1/2 PAUF = 13 inches ranofs = 53.33× 13×8.8 = 6,100 acre feet. Reg. Resv. Storag= = 0.23× 6,100 = 1,403 acre fort Available storage = 4332-3677 = 1655 acre fact. Lake Meade does have the storage . required to pass 1/2 PMF THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

 PROJECT
 Dam Investigation
 SHEET NO. 4

 SUBJECT
 Lake Meade Dam ID No. 331
 SHEET NO. 4

 COMPUTED BY_RES
 DATE 8-18-78
 CHECKED BY_DJB
_ OF 4-CHECKED BY_ DJB Spillway Adequacy (Cont.) 7/10 PMF 0.7 × PMF = 0.7 × 19.300 = 13,500 cfs PMF runoff (26") = 53.33x 26x8.8 = 12,200 acte feet. 0.7 PMIF runoff = 0.7 × 12,200 = 8,540 acre fert. <u>Max Spilleray Q</u> = <u>7,400</u> = 0.55 0.7 PMF peak in flow = 13,500 Reg. Resu. Stor. = 0.4-5 Vol. of inflow Rug. Russ. Stor. = 0.45×8540=3840 ac. ft. PMF= 19,300 cfs PME PMF Vol. = 12,200 acre feet. PMF peak Inflow = 19,300 = 0.38 THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE Reg Reser. Stor = 0-62 THIS PAGE IS BEST CONTENTSHED TO DDC Reg. Resv. Stor. = 0.62 × 12,200 = 7,564 ac. ft. 19MF = 19,300 cfs 100 3050 Proi can pass 51% PMF = 10, 200 cfs 2 0 0 2,500 3,000 7,500 Required Read. Storage

APPENDIX C

0.

GEOLOGIC REPORT

0
GEOLOGIC REPORT

Bedrock - Dam and Reservoir

Formation Name: Triassic Diabase.

Lithology: Diabase is an intrusive igneous rock, composed essentially of pyroxene and feldspar. Quartz, ilmenite, and magnetite are common accessory minerals. The fresh rock is gray to dark gray with massive crystalline texture.

Structure

The dam is located near the top of a sheet-like igneous intrusive, which was intruded into the Gettysburg shale. Here it is nearly parallel to the bedding of the shale. The contact of the diabase with the shale just east of the dam is the top of the sheet, which dips gently to the east, beneath the shale.

The diabase is commonly extensively jointed, and near the top, the joints often form hexagonal columns.

Air photo fracture traces trend N10°W, N12°E, N75°E, N78°W and N35°W.

Overburden

Core boring logs describe the overburden as "silty clay" and "medium dense brown sand". This is typical of material derived from weathered diabase. Below the dense brown sand the material is described only as "rock". Apparently, the transition to fresh rock was abrupt here, as it generally is.

The thickness of overburden along the centerline of the dam varied from 0, outcrop, to 12 feet, averaging about 7 feet.

Aquifer Characteristics

Diabase is a very impermeable rock. Ground water movement is entirely along joints and fractures. There is very little ground water movement below the weathered zone.

Discussion

The valley of Mud Creek at the dam site is apparently controlled by a N10°W fracture. N13°E fractures are also present in the area.

The construction drawings specify a three feet deep cutoff trench into rock, but no pressure grouting. There is a distinct possibility of some leakage through the bedrock below the cutoff trench. There is, however, little possibility that continued leakage would cause any disintegration of the bedrock.

Sources of Information

- Stose, G. W. and Bascom, F. (1929) "Description of the Fairfield and Gettysburg Quadrangles". U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Folio 225.
- 2. Core boring logs in file.
- 3. Air photographs 1:24,000, dated 1968.



APPENDIX D

0.

LOCATION, PHOTOGRAPHS & DESIGN DRAWINGS



.....





Downstream Slope





Spillway Weir



.

Downstream Apron Spillway

Downstream Bridge

PLATE V

A CAL



81=9 RECO FOR FUE MU SEE REPORT NO. RECEIVED IN THE OFFICE OF THE WATER & RESOURCES BOARD - DEPARTMENT OF FOR WATERS ON THE OAY OF STREET - A BOAY OF LE LINE D.v. Dame .8% 331 1775 1070 80050 173 691 1170 475 LAD 7 . NOTE SEE SHEET & FOR DETAIL OF ROCK FILLED TOE DRAIN THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC 4975 No. FEB 14 LING 1 there 100 150 505 y on £ 505 50 7 8 1503 300.00 Poor Pr 5 Banch + 497.00 130 * Note: All topography furnished by American Realty Service Corp. MATCH PLATE VI LAKE MEADE, INC. LATIMORE AND READING TOWNSHIPS ADAMS COUNTY, PENNSYLVAMIA Revisio No. Date LAKE MEADE ISSUED PLAN-EMBANKMENT & SPILLWAY BC8 Scale: |"= 40' RALPH L. WOOLF CONSULTING INCO NO Was Plue B. Da: PNS. Dr.: CH. PNS. Amer ----























