AD-A059 988 UNCLASSIFIED		ARMY I IN SI AUG 70	ARMY ENGINEER WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION VICKSBURG MISS FAIN SITU AND LABORATORY DETERMINATIONS OF SHEAR AND YOUNG'S MODAUG 78 J R CURRO, W F MARCUSON WES-MP-S-78-12								F/G 13/ 10DUE1	VG 13/13 DDUETC(U)		
	OF 2 ADA 059988	O D							ETF					
			<u>, 1999-1997</u>					A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	reffit:				174	
		X								Z				
ŧШ									I		10000000000000000000000000000000000000			
					X.									
N.	M	X					- Provent	nhand) Lung)			X	M		



Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

- The state and the second

DEBODT DOCUMENT	TATION BACE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
REPORT NUMBER	A CONT ACCESS	BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
iscellaneous Paper S-78-12	2. GOVT ACCESSIC	N NO. 3. RECIPIENT SCATAEOG NOMBER
TITLE (and Subtitie)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
N SITU AND LABORATORY DETE	RMINATIONS OF SHEAR	Final report
ND YOUNG'S MODULI FOR THE	PORTSMOUTH, OHIO,	Final report
ASEOUS DIFFUSION ADD-ON SI	TE	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(.)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
oseph R. Curro, Jr.		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AN	DADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
J. S. Army Engineer Waterwa	ys Experiment Static	area & work Unit NUMBERS
Sectechnical Laboratory	···· 20190	
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADD	1155. <u>39100</u>	12 REPORT DATE
J. S. Energy Research and I)evelopment	August 1978
Administration, P. O. Box	E	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Dak Ridge, Tenn. 37830	SS/II different from Controllint Of	// // // // // // // // // // /// //////
MONTORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRE.		
		Unclassified
		15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		and the second
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if	necessary and identify by block n	umber)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if	necessary and identify by block n	umber)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if) Field tests Geophysical exploration	necessary and identify by block m Shear properties Soil strength	umber)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II) Field tests Geophysical exploration Laboratory tests	necessary and identify by block of Shear properties Soil strength Young's modulus	umber)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if Field tests Geophysical exploration Laboratory tests	necessary and identify by block m Shear properties Soil strength Young's modulus	umber)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II A Field tests Geophysical exploration Laboratory tests A field geophysical i Young's moduli as a functio investigation included cross ion techniques. A supplem performed with the Drnevich specimens were excited in b oth Young's and shear modu	necessary and identify by block m Shear properties Soil strength Young's modulus movestigation was per on of depth for a sit shole, downhole, and nentary set of labora a resonant column dev ooth the longitudinal li as a function of	umber) formed to determine the shear and e near Portsmouth, Ohio. This I surface refraction investiga- ticory resonant column tests was rice. Laboratory undisturbed and torsional modes to obtain strain. Both laboratory and
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if Field tests Geophysical exploration Laboratory tests A field geophysical i Coung's moduli as a functio investigation included cross ion techniques. A supplem performed with the Drnevich specimens were excited in b both Young's and shear modu	Shear properties Soil strength Young's modulus More than the strength Young's modulus More than the strength nof depth for a sit shole, downhole, and mentary set of labors to resonant column dev both the longitudinal li as a function of	umber) formed to determine the shear and the near Portsmouth, Ohio. This I surface refraction investiga- tory resonant column tests was rice. Laboratory undisturbed I and torsional modes to obtain strain. Both laboratory and (Continued)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II Field tests Geophysical exploration Laboratory tests A field geophysical i Coung's moduli as a functio investigation included cross ion techniques. A supplem performed with the Drnevich specimens were excited in b both Young's and shear modu	Shear properties Soil strength Young's modulus resonant of depth for a sit shole, downhole, and entary set of labors a resonant column dev oth the longitudinal li as a function of	umber) formed to determine the shear and se near Portsmouth, Ohio. This I surface refraction investiga- atory resonant column tests was rice. Laboratory undisturbed and torsional modes to obtain strain. Both laboratory and (Continued)
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II) Field tests Geophysical exploration Laboratory tests A field geophysical i Coung's moduli as a functio investigation included cross ion techniques. A supplem performed with the Drnevich specimens were excited in b both Young's and shear modu	Shear properties Soil strength Young's modulus movestigation was per n of depth for a sit shole, downhole, and resonant column dev oth the longitudinal li as a function of	umber) formed to determine the shear and the near Portsmouth, Ohio. This I surface refraction investiga- tory resonant column tests was rice. Laboratory undisturbed I and torsional modes to obtain strain. Both laboratory and (Continued) Unclassified Y CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Data Enter
KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if Field tests Geophysical exploration Laboratory tests A field geophysical i Coung's moduli as a functio investigation included cross ion techniques. A supplem performed with the Drnevich specimens were excited in b both Young's and shear modu	Shear properties Soil strength Young's modulus More than the strength Young's modulus movestigation was per an of depth for a sit shole, downhole, and tentary set of labors to resonant column dev both the longitudinal li as a function of Stis OBSOLETE SECURT	umber) formed to determine the shear and ie near Portsmouth, Ohio. This i surface refraction investiga- ticry resonant column tests was rice. Laboratory undisturbed Land torsional modes to obtain strain. Both laboratory and (Continued) Unclassified Y CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Data Entered

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued).

CONT

field data are presented and compared.

An idealized soil profile for boring 821-UD is presented. At this boring location, bedrock lies approximately 36 ft below the ground surface. The 36-ft-thick soil deposit has been subdivided into four layers whose shear moduli range from 3.4×10^3 to 86×10^3 psi. The moduli increase as a function of depth. The Young's moduli for this soil profile range from 10×10^3 to 225×10^3 psi and also increase with depth. The internal damping of the soil deposit was found to range from 3 to 5 percent for low dynamic strain amplitudes and is constant with depth. The bedrock has a shear modulus of 125×10^3 psi and a Young's modulus of 370×10^3 psi.

Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

" and the second second

THE CONTENTS OF THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE USED FOR ADVERTISING, PUBLICATION, OR PROMOTIONAL PURPOSES. CITATION OF TRADE NAMES DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFICIAL EN-DORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF THE USE OF SUCH COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS.

the the the structure

PREFACE

The study reported herein was performed by the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) at the request of the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA), Oak Ridge, Tennessee, and was authorized in a letter from ERDA dated 6 Nov 1976.

This study was conducted during the period November 1976 through December 1977 under the general supervision of Mr. R. F. Ballard, Jr., and Dr. P. F. Hadala, former Acting Chief and Chief, respectively, of the Earthquake Engineering and Vibrations Division (EE&VD) and Messrs. R. G. Ahlvin and J. P. Sale, Assistant Chief and Chief, respectively, of the Geotechnical Laboratory. Mr. J. R. Curro and Dr. W. F. Marcuson are the authors of this report.

The authors are indebted to Dr. James W. Spotts, Soils Research Facility, Soils Mechanics Division, who performed the resonant column tests, and Messrs. W. A. Bieganousky, E. S. Stewart, Jr., and D. H. Douglas, EE&VD, who conducted the geophysical investigation.

Directors of the WES during the conduct of the study and preparation of the report were COL G. H. Hilt, CE, and COL John L. Cannon, CE. Technical Director was Mr. F. R. Brown.

1 ...

CONTENTS

	Page					
PREFACE	2					
CONVERSION FACTORS, U. S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (S OF MEASUREMENT	51) UNITS					
PART I: INTRODUCTION	5					
PART II: SITE DESCRIPTION	6					
PART III: FIELD INVESTIGATION	7					
Drilling and Sampling	7					
Geophysical Investigation						
DADE TV. FIFID FEED FEEDING	10 Inacions					
PART IV: FIELD TEST RESULTS	12					
Data Interpretation						
PART V: LABORATORY INVESTIGATION						
Resonant Column Test Equipment	18					
Test Procedures						
PART VI: DISCUSSION OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS						
Shear Modulus						
Young's Modulus						
Damping Ratio						
PART VII: COMPARISON OF FIELD AND LABORATORY V.	ALUES 27					
Shear and Young's Moduli						
PART VIII: SUMMARY						
REFERENCES	31					
TABLE 1						
APPENDIX A: TEST RESULTS AND DATA INTERPRETATI SEISMIC TESTS	ON FROM IN SITU					
FIGURES A1-A35						
APPENDIX B: DYNAMIC MODULUS AND DAMPING VERSUS	DYNAMIC STRAIN					
AMPLITUDE, RESONANT COLUMN TESTS .	. 4024 S. A. O. M					
TABLES B1-B7	asta White Booties ga					
FIGURES B1-B62						
	Dist. AVAIL and/or SPECIAL					
3	Λ					
	1					

CONVERSION FACTORS, U. S. CUSTOMARY TO METRIC (SI) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

U. S. customary units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric (SI) units as follows:

Multiply	By	To Obtain			
feet	0.3048	metres			
feet per second	0.3048	metres per second			
inches	25.4	millimetres			
inches per second	25.4	millimetres per second			
pounds (force) per square inch	6894.757	pascals			
pounds (mass)	0.4535924	kilograms			

IN SITU AND LABORATORY DETERMINATIONS OF SHEAR AND YOUNG'S MODULI FOR THE PORTSMOUTH, OHIO, GASEOUS DIFFUSION ADD-ON SITE

PART I: INTRODUCTION

1. In order to evaluate the dynamic response of soil or a structure founded on soil, knowledge of stress-strain properties of the foundation material is required. When using current finite element static and dynamic foundation analysis computer techniques, the initial elastic moduli (very low strains, generally 10^{-4} cm/cm (in./in.)* or less) are required along with the variation in moduli as a function of strain.

2. These initial moduli (shear and Young's) can be determined in situ using various geophysical techniques such as the crosshole or uphole/downhole technique. Or, resonant column tests can be conducted in the laboratory on undisturbed samples of the foundation material to obtain initial moduli and variation as a function of strain level.

3. Since the moduli discussed above would be needed for dynamic foundation design** of four buildings and a test loop structure to be constructed at the Portsmouth, Ohio, Gaseous Diffusion Add-On Site, a test program was formulated that consisted of field and laboratory investigations to provide the required moduli. In addition, Poisson's ratios were determined from investigations to aid in the dynamic design.

4. Therefore, the primary purpose of this report is to present values of shear and Young's moduli for the foundation materials underlying the four proposed buildings (designated as X-340-1 through X-340-4) and the test loop structure (X-774), located as shown in Figure 1. Additionally, building X-340-4 was arbitrarily selected as an example case to illustrate the procedure used for determining dynamic design values. Results of these calculations are also presented herein.

* A table of factors for converting U. S. customary units of measurement to metric (SI) units is presented on page 4.

** Foundation design for static loading is reported in Reference 1.

PART II: SITE DESCRIPTION

5. The construction site is located in southeastern Ohio near the confluence of the Ohio and Scioto Rivers. Overburden soils at the site were primarily Pleistocene river- and lake-bed deposits. Figure 1 is a geologic map of the site.¹ The map shows the east bank of the Scioto River valley and outlines the areal distribution of the glacial lake-bed deposits and early alluvial deposits. The lake-bed deposits, consisting of fat, thinly laminated clays, are largely confined to the old Scioto River channel that lies under a large portion of the site.

6. The area outlined as lake deposits in Figure 1 shows the occurrence of fat, laminated clay either at the surface or at some depth beneath the surface. Lean clays, silts (alluvium), and fill material placed during the period 1953 to 1955 lie above the fat clay in various thicknesses over a large portion of the outlined area. Early river alluvial silty clay and silt occupy that portion of the valley fill outside the fat clay boundaries.

7. Up to 60 ft (average of 35 to 40 ft) of early river- and lakebed deposits in the old valley still remain at the site. These deposits are mostly fat, laminated clays, lean clays, and fine silts, with a 2to 10-ft-thick layer of weathered clayey gravel at the base. A 1- to 10-ft-thick layer of residual soil and colluvium mantles a large part of the valley.

8. The rock formations underlying the surficial soils are described below:

- a. <u>Cuyahoga shale</u>. The Cuyahoga shale forms the hills along the east side of the site. It is a medium gray, hard, thinly bedded shale with occasional thin (1/2 in. to 1 ft thick) fine-grained sandstone lenses.
- <u>Sunbury shale</u>. The Sunbury shale lies immediately beneath the Cuyahoga; the interface is just above the valley floor. It is a black, hard, highly carbonaceous fissile shale that contains occasional thin veinlets and small nodules of pyrite. The thickness of the Sunbury shale is about 19 ft.
- c. <u>Deep strata</u>. Beneath the Sunbury shale lies the Berea sandstone, with a thickness of about 30 ft; then follows the Bedford shale, with a thickness of about 98 ft; and then the Ohio shale, with an estimated thickness of 300 ft.

PART III: FIELD INVESTIGATION

9. The field investigation was comprised of two phases:(a) drilling and sampling and (b) geophysical investigation.

Drilling and Sampling

10. During this phase of the field investigation, 27 holes were drilled at the site for use in the performance of in situ seismic tests and to obtain undisturbed samples for laboratory testing. The holes were drilled in sets of three with one set being near each end of the four proposed building locations and one set made at the test loop location. The three holes were oriented to form an L-type configuration with the distance from the boring at the vertex of the L to the borings at the end of each leg of the L being approximately 20 ft. Undisturbed samples were obtained from the boring at the vertex of the L for each set with a 5-in. Hvorslev fixed piston sampler. The remaining holes were drilled with a U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) modified fishtail bit with upward baffles. After the holes had been drilled to the desired depth (2 to 5 ft in rock), 3-in. ID PVC (polyvinyl chloride) pipe was installed in the boreholes, and the annular space between the casing and walls of the borings was grouted with a mixture of cement, bentonite, and water that had the consistency of soil.

Geophysical Investigation

ll. The geophysical investigation conducted at the site consisted of nine series of in situ seismic tests. Each series was comprised of crosshole, downhole, and surface refraction seismic tests. Crosshole test procedure

12. In most instances, the crosshole technique is used in conjunction with surface refraction seismic surveys. The crosshole method is a straightforward way of determining horizontal velocities and layering, and has a distinct advantage over the conventional surface

refraction method in that low-velocity zones can be detected if they are sufficiently thick with respect to the source and receiver spacing.

13. Crosshole surveys are normally conducted by using two or more borings, cased or uncased, into which a seismic source and transducers are placed at known elevations. The spacing of borings and source elevations may be varied according to site-dependent conditions and sources, and geophones may be of various types in order to enhance shear-wave (S-wave) arrival time determinations. Compression wave (P) and S-wave measurements may be made by this procedure.

14. The crosshole tests discussed herein were conducted using a portable 24-channel refraction/reflection seismograph. A strip chart recorder displayed the data while operating at a paper speed of approximately 35 ips. Timing lines were displayed on the oscillogram at 10-msec intervals. The procedure was a modified technique that used the same vibratory source and control package as that described by Ballard in Reference 2. The primary difference in the two procedures was concerned with the data acquisition package, i.e., no signal enhancement was used. Rather, the vibratory source was swept through a frequency range while monitoring the output of the two geophones placed at the same elevation in the receiver boreholes (the vibrator was producing vertical oscillations, thereby transmitting a vertically polarized shear wave). When an acceptable response was received at a specific frequency, this frequency was then interrupted by a tone burst generator to send a specific number of cycles of that frequency to the receiver units. The source geophone was displayed simultaneously along with both receiver geophones on the oscillograph. In this manner, the origin of the source pulse could easily be recognized, and the time difference between that pulse and the signal arrival at each of the two receivers could be determined. In most cases the frequency that propagated well at the site appeared to be between 100 and 200 hz. Accuracy associated with this test procedure and instrumentation would be on the order of approximately 0.5 msec.

15. In practice, the tests to obtain S-wave data were conducted by first placing the source and receivers at the same elevation near the

top of the boreholes, then pulsing the source unit several times recording both transmitted and received signals. After a satisfactory record had been obtained, the units were then repositioned 5 ft deeper. The procedure above was repeated at this and each succeeding 5-ft depth until reaching the bottom of the boring. Since these holes were relatively shallow, their verticality could be checked by visual observation using either flashlight or mirror sources. In all cases, the boreholes were vertical within ± 3 in., thereby eliminating the need for a formal deviation survey.

16. The P-wave data were obtained in a similar manner, except that the vibrator and its associated instrumentation as a signal source were not used. Instead, exploding bridgewire detonators (EBW's) were used at each 5-ft increment as the P-wave source.

17. Data obtained from the crosshole tests were the times required for P- and S-waves to propagate from the source to a point of detection. These times were then divided into the distance between source and receiver geophones to provide apparent velocities. If a nearby higher velocity layer exists, the wave will refract and travel along that layer, thus traveling along a faster path than the direct distance path. When this occurs, calculations based on Snell's law of refraction were invoked to determine true velocities by accounting for zones of high velocity contrast. Due to the nature and number of calculations involved in a typical application of the crosshole technique to a layered site, a computer program for crosshole seismic interpretation was used for data reduction. This program applies Snell's law to develop a plausible true velocity interpretation from the apparent velocity obtained in the field.

Downhole test procedure

18. This test, designed to provide data for determination of vertically oriented P- and S-wave velocities, was conducted using the same seismograph and recorder as those used for the crosshole tests.

19. In practice, the receiver geophone was placed at a depth of 5 ft in a borehole, and a vertical hammer blow to a steel plate positioned approximately 1 ft from the mouth of the boring on the ground

9

the state of the state of the state

surface was used as the vertical P-wave source. A geophone adjacent to the hammer impact point provided zero time. S-wave determinations were then made by placing a large wood plank on the ground surface and striking the plank with successive blows at each end in order to reverse polarity of the horizontally polarized shear wave to facilitate identification. The procedures for obtaining P- and S-wave data were then repeated with the receiver geophone at 5-ft increments until the bottom of the borehole was reached. Data obtained from the downhole tests were plotted as time versus depth from the source to the receiver geophone. Average and incremental velocities were determined from this type plot.

Surface refraction seismic test procedure

20. Conventional surface refraction seismic tests were conducted at the site using the 24-channel unit. Refraction lines run at the site were 240 ft in length. Geophones were spaced at 10-ft intervals with the first geophone positioned 5 ft from an explosive source. Both forward and reverse traverses were shot at the site so that true velocities, in addition to apparent velocities, could be determined and depths to refracting interfaces computed.

Surface vibratory test procedure

21. In order to provide greater confidence in the data obtained at the site, surface vibratory tests³ were conducted near each crosshole set. Surface Rayleigh (R) waves may be generated using a controlled energy source such as a vibrator. In this case, the electromagnetic vibrator used to conduct the crosshole tests was also used to provide the surface R-wave source. The vibrator was operated at several frequencies, and the surface wave was monitored by the geophones used for the surface refraction seismic tests, which were placed in a line at intervals of 1 ft. In this manner, the velocity of the R-wave can be determined from a plot of R-wave time versus distance for each specific frequency. Then, a corresponding wave length can be calculated by dividing the frequency into the velocity. Wave velocities thus derived are considered to be average values for an effective depth of one half the wave length. S-waves, for practical purposes, can be considered

10

the star sector and the

to have the same velocity as R-waves. For the range of Poisson's ratios commonly found in soil and rock materials, errors due to the assumption above are less than 10 percent and are generally 5 percent or less.

22. Since the vibrator used at this site was of limited force output (50 lb), the depth of investigation was limited to approximately 5 ft. These data could then be compared with those obtained for the shallow crosshole test position. Further, the surface velocity is provided--a necessary input parameter to the crosshole computer program.

Elastic Moduli and Poisson's Ratio Determination

23. The P- and S-wave velocities determined from the abovementioned test procedures should be converted to elastic parameters such as shear modulus G, Young's modulus E, and Poisson's ratio v for use in the foundation design. This conversion can be accomplished using elastic theories of wave propagation and the total mass density ρ of the medium. Therefore, the relation of shear modulus to S-wave velocity V_s and mass density is $G = V_s^2 \rho$. Poisson's ratio of the medium can be determined by the relation of V_s and P-wave velocity V_c as

$$v = \frac{1 - 2\left(\frac{V_{s}}{V_{c}}\right)^{2}}{2 - 2\left(\frac{V_{s}}{V_{c}}\right)^{2}}$$

Young's modulus can then be determined by the relation

$$E = 2(1 + v)G$$

for isotropic, linearly elastic materials. With these equations, values of G , E , and ν can be determined through the measurement of Pand S-wave velocities, provided that the wet unit weight of the soil is known.

" The second second second

PART IV: FIELD TEST RESULTS

24. The results determined from the in situ seismic tests conducted at the proposed location of building X-340-4 are presented and discussed below. It appeared feasible to the authors to select one location as being "typical" and to discuss the test results obtained there, since discussions of the results at the other locations would be virtually a repetition with only the numerical values of the in situ parameters different. Therefore, the test results for the other building locations are presented in Appendix A.

Proposed Building X-340-4 Location

25. Two series of in situ seismic tests were conducted at this location, one near each end of the proposed building location as shown in Figure 2.

Crosshole tests

26. These tests consisted of two crosshole sets. Borings 821, 822, and 823 comprised one set that was near the south end of the proposed building, whereas the second set, located near the north end of the building, was made up of borings 824, 825, and 826 as shown in Figure 2.

27. Borings 821 and 824 were used as the seismic source boreholes for their respective sets, and borings 822, 823, 825, and 826 were employed as receiver holes for their respective sets. The seismic source and receiver (geophone) placements used in the performance of the crosshole tests are shown in Figure 3. True P- and S-wave velocities determined from the crosshole data are presented alongside the receiver and source locations. Note that only one P- and S-wave velocity is shown for each test elevation although true P- and S-wave velocities were determined from the seismic source borehole to each of the receiver boreholes for each crosshole set. In comparing the velocities from the source to each receiver, the spread was so narrow that it was thought advantageous to average the two true P-wave velocities at each test elevation and, likewise, average the two true S-wave velocities determined at each elevation. Maximum error encountered in doing this was less than 10 percent. The S-wave velocities appear to generally increase with depth from a low of 235 fps near the surface to 2175 fps at a depth of about 45 ft (el 629).* P-wave velocities, as shown, range from 1250 fps near the surface to 8240 fps at a depth of 45 ft. However, it will be noted that the P-wave velocities determined from the tests conducted in borings 824 through 826 indicate a decreasing trend from el 664 to 639. <u>Downhole tests</u>

28. Two downhole tests located as shown in Figure 2 were conducted, one using boring 821 and the other using boring 824. The results of the downhole tests conducted in borings 821 and 824 are presented in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. As shown, average and incremental Pand S-wave velocities were determined from the downhole data.

29. Data from boring 821 indicated three P-wave velocity zones: 1540 fps from el 671 to 665, 4450 fps from el 665 to 641, and 2500 fps from el 641 to 636. It should be noted that the 2500-fps layer underlies a high velocity zone. The presence of the 2500-fps layer was not indicated from the crosshole data (Figure 3), which is entirely possible if the zone is only 5 ft thick and borehole spacings were 20 ft. Incremental P-wave velocities ranged from a low of 1540 fps near the surface to a high of 5000 fps at el 641 where the 2500-fps zone was encountered. Four S-wave velocity zones were noted from the data. Average vertical velocities were 415 fps from el 671 to 666, 520 fps from el 666 to 651, 770 fps from el 651 to 641, and 1665 fps from el 641 to 636. Incremental S-wave velocities generally exhibited an increasing trend with depth, ranging from 415 fps near the surface to 1665 fps at el 636.

30. Downhole data from boring 824 indicated four P- and S-wave velocity zones. Average vertical P- and S-wave velocities were 1820 and 310 fps, respectively, for the near surface zone; 5715 and 515 fps, respectively, for the second zone; 2220 and 625 fps, respectively, for

^{*} Depth and elevation (referenced to mean sea level) are used interchangeably in this report. The elevation (top) of boring 821 was 671 ft. With this information the reader can convert depth to elevation and vice versa, as required.

the third zone; and 7335 and 1835 fps, respectively, for the fourth zone. It will be noted that the 2220-fps zone underlies a higher velocity zone, and the downhole P-wave value is consistent with the lower velocity value (3710 fps) noted from the crosshole data between el 649 and 639. Incremental P-wave velocities varied from a low of 1820 fps near the surface to 8000 fps at el 629, with the lower velocity zone (2220 fps) ranging from el 649 to 639. Incremental S-wave velocities generally increased with depth from a low of 310 fps near the surface to 2000 fps at el 629.

Surface refraction seismic tests

31. These tests consisted of four traverses (two lines), the orientation and location of which are shown in the test layout, Figure 2. The time versus distance plots for these traverses, designated as S-15 through S-18, are shown in Figures 6 and 7. These figures also present apparent velocities, true velocities, and associated depths to refracting layers. Three velocity zones were indicated from the surface refraction data. The near-surface zone exhibited a velocity of 1,340 fps to depths ranging from 4 to 11 ft. The true velocity of the second zone varied from 4,700 to 5,070 fps and ranged from 30 to 56 ft in thickness. Data from borings located near the seismic lines indicated the ground water table to be at a depth of about 11 ft. True velocities of 9,675 and 12,350 fps were noted for the third zone and would be indicative of the underlying rock (shale) at this building location. The depths to the third velocity zone and the thickness of the second zone should be suspect because of the presence of the lower velocity zones (2,220 to 3,710 fps) detected by the crosshole and downhole tests from el 649 to 636. The effect that a lower velocity zone underlying a high velocity zone has on surface refraction data is to make the computed depths to zones below the lower velocity zone too great. Thus, the computed depths to the 9,675- and 12,350-fps zones are probably too deep. Surface vibratory tests

32. One surface vibratory test was conducted at boring 821 and another at boring 824. The results of these tests yielded average R-wave velocities of 375 and 235 fps for the near-surface material

(<5 ft depth) at borings 821 and 824, respectively. These velocities were used as true S-wave velocity inputs for the near surface to the crosshole computer program.

Data Interpretation

33. Since data interpretation procedures and discussions would be virtually identical for each building location, the X-340-4 location will continue to be discussed as regards data interpretation and associated procedures.

34. It will be noted that the test results near each end of the building were used to make an interpretation for the entire building location to aid the engineers in designing the full foundation. <u>P- and S-wave velocity zoning</u>

35. The P- and S-wave velocity results determined from the crosshole, downhole, and surface refraction seismic tests at this building location were analyzed and interpreted to produce the P- and S-wave velocity profiles shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. It will be noted that P- and S-wave zones will not necessarily align with one another. This is primarily due to P-wave velocities increasing as percent saturation of the soil increases, whereas S-wave velocities do not.

36. Referring to Figure 8, five velocity zones were delineated from the P-wave data. The velocities presented for the zones were usually weighted averages based on data quality. A 1400-fps velocity was established for the near-surface zone, which averaged about 7 ft in thickness. The second velocity zone averaged 4900 fps and was about 20 ft thick. This zone was underlain by a lower velocity layer (2800 fps), which ranged from 5 to 13 ft in thickness and is best delineated by the uphole seismic data. The next two velocity zones (7100 and 9400 fps) could be collapsed into one. However, since the top of the 9400-fps zone coincides with the top of shale, it was believed advantageous to keep the zones separate. The 7100-fps zone interpreted from the crosshole and downhole tests in borings 824 through 826 is probably indicative of a silt, sand, and gravel mixture cemented to form

15

The second and the second second second

the conglomerate noted in the laboratory classifications of samples from boring 824 in this depth range.

37. Referring to Figure 9, five S-wave velocity zones were interpreted from the S-wave data. A 350-fps velocity was established for the near-surface zone and averaged about 7 ft in thickness. The underlying zone had a 500-fps average and a thickness of about 15 ft. The third zone averaged 700 fps and was approximately 12 ft thick. The two deeper zones (1750 and 2000 fps) could probably have been combined; however, for the same reason given for the P-wave zoning (to separate top of shale and its associated velocity from material immediately above it), they were not. It will be noted that the value of wet unit weight applicable for each zone is also presented in Figure 9. These values were obtained from the undisturbed samples used in the resonant column tests and from laboratory tests conducted on samples from borings near the in situ seismic test locations (Reference 1).

Shear and Young's moduli and Poisson's ratio (G,E,v)

38. The interpretation of the data was continued by establishing zones from the velocity profiles and determining values of shear (G), Young's modulus (E), and Poisson's ratio (v) for these zones. This was accomplished by overlaying the P- and S-wave velocity profiles (Figures 8 and 9). This overlay produced some seven zones because P- and S-wave velocity zones do not always align with one another. Then, values of shear and Young's moduli and Poisson's ratio were computed for each zone using the P- and S-wave velocities and wet unit weights that fell into each zone obtained by overlaying the profiles. After analyzing G, E, and v values for the various zones, it was evident that the controlling factor was the S-wave velocity zoning because the P-wave velocity variations had little effect on E and v values and of course, no effect on G values. Therefore, the seven newly established zones could be reduced to the five original zones shown on the S-wave profile (Figure 9). The final field interpretation for this building location showing the five zones with their associated shear and Young's moduli and Poisson's ratios is presented in Figure 10. Also

16

a called a brack and

shown in the figure are laboratory classifications of the soil and rock samples from borings 821 and 824. Explanations of the graphic classifications are shown in Figure Al.

39. The near-surface zone exhibited values of 3,300 and 9,700 psi for G and E, respectively, to a maximum depth of about 7 ft. Poisson's ratio was 0.47. The underlying zone had a shear modulus of 6,600 psi and a Young's modulus of 19,700 psi. Thickness of this zone was about 15 ft. Poisson's ratio was 0.49. Shear and Young's moduli for the third zone were 12,600 and 37,100 psi, respectively, and extended from about el 652 to 639. Poisson's ratio in this zone was 0.48. The fourth zone exhibited G and E values of 85,900 and 225,000 psi (range 200,000 to 250,000 psi), respectively, with a thickness of 5 to 9 ft. Poisson's ratio of this zone was 0.47. However, near boring 821, Poisson's ratio was 0.18 based on P- and S-wave velocities of 2800 and 1750 fps, respectively, which accounts for the range in E values for this zone. The deepest zone encountered had G and E values of 125,100 and 369,800 psi, respectively. Poisson's ratio in this zone was 0.48. Boring and piezometer data indicated the groundwater table to be about 11 ft as shown in Figure 10.

40. It will be noted that the soil profile varies considerably from one end of the proposed building location to the other; therefore, no attempt has been made to correlate velocity or moduli zones with soil type.

The destricted

PART V: LABORATORY INVESTIGATION

41. Undisturbed samples taken in the field were transported by truck to the WES. Once in the WES laboratory, the samples were opened and classified. The samples were evaluated to see which were representative of field conditions according to the boring logs and general knowledge of the site¹ and which could be tested in the laboratory. Resonant column tests were performed on the representative samples found to be suitable for testing, i.e. those which did not contain particles of gravel, or roots.

Resonant Column Test Equipment

42. The equipment used in this series of resonant column tests is known as the Drnevich torsional resonant column apparatus. This device is manufactured by Soil Dynamics Instruments, Inc., Lexington, Kentucky. Resonant column apparatus normally excite the specimen only torsionally; however, this equipment differs in that it also includes an electromagnetic oscillator for longitudinal vibration of the specimen. For a more detailed description of this equipment, the reader is referred to Reference 4.

Test Procedures

43. All tests were performed on 2.8-in.-diam by 7-in.-long undisturbed specimens. These specimens were isotropically consolidated so as to correspond to the in situ mean principle effective stress or octahedral stress, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct}$. The in situ mean principle effective stress was computed assuming normally consolidated conditions¹ and a coefficient of earth pressure at rest of 0.5.

44. After primary consolidation was complete, the drainage valve was closed and the B valve was checked and reported in Appendix B. The specimen was subjected to sinusoidal excitation in both the longitudinal and torsional modes. Longitudinal and torsional vibrations were not

conducted simultaneously. The frequency of vibration was varied until resonance was obtained and data were recorded such that the modulus and strain amplitude values could be computed. In order to obtain the variation of modulus as a function of strain amplitude, the driving force (current delivered to the vibrator) was increased and the general test procedure was repeated.

45. The procedure above is a brief description of that used by the WES. A more detailed testing procedure, along with a complete discussion of the analysis and calculations required to obtain shear and Young's moduli from the raw data, is given in Reference 4.

Resonant Column Test Results

46. Undisturbed samples from various depths in borings 800 UD, 803 UD, 806 UD, 809 UD, 812 UD, 815 UD, 818 UD, and 821 UD were tested in the resonant column device. The locations of these borings are shown in Figures 2, A2, A9, A18, and A27, and test results are shown in Appendix B. A total of 37 specimens were tested.

47. Appendix B contains plots of modulus and damping versus dynamic strain amplitudes for all the resonant column tests conducted by WES. Shown on the plots are the compression modulus and damping values obtained by vibrating the specimen in the longitudinal mode and the shear modulus and damping values obtained by vibrating the specimen in the torsional mode for strain amplitudes ranging from 10^{-7} to about 10^3 in./in. or rad/rad. For each building site, a table is given indicating the depth from which the sample was obtained, the depth range that it is thought to represent, and its Atterberg limits.

48. In order to familiarize the reader with the format of the resonant column data and its interpretation, the test results obtained from boring 821 UD samples will be discussed.

49. Four specimens were selected from undisturbed samples obtained from boring 821 UD. As indicated in Table 1 (which is similar in format to those described above), sample 2 was taken at a depth of 2.7 to 4.2 ft and was considered to represent the surficial layer to a

depth of 14 ft. This material was classified in the laboratory as a CH clay and tested in the resonant column device at a mean effective principle stress of 1.7 psi. Figure 11 presents the results in terms of dynamic modulus versus dynamic 0-peak strain amplitude for the resonant column tests conducted on this specimen. The shear modulus has a maximum value of 5.6×10^3 psi at a torsional strain amplitude of about 10^{-5} rad/rad and decreases to about 2.4×10^3 psi at a strain amplitude of about 26×10^{-4} rad/rad. The compression modulus varies from about 26×10^3 to 16×10^3 psi over the axial strain range of 10^{-6} to 5×10^{-4} in./in.

50. Figure 12 presents the damping ratio as a function of dynamic strain amplitude for the resonant column tests conducted on sample 2 taken from boring 821 UD. The damping ratio varies from approximately 5 to 10 percent over the strain range of 10^{-6} to 10^{-3} in./in. In general, the damping determined in the torsional mode is approximately two thirds of that determined in the longitudinal mode. As expected, damping generally increases with increasing strain (References 5-8).

51. Sample 9 was taken at a depth of 20 to 21.8 ft and was considered to be typical and represent a clay layer from a depth of 14 to 22 ft. A resonant column test was performed on the specimen taken from sample 9 and consolidated to a mean effective principle stress of 6 psi. The results of this resonant column test are presented in Figures 13 and 14. Figure 13 shows that the shear modulus is approximately 2.8×10^3 psi at a strain range of 10^{-5} rad/rad and decreases to about one half of that value $(1.4 \times 10^3 \text{ psi})$ at a strain range of 10^{-3} rad/rad. The compression modulus varies from a high of about 26×10^3 psi at a strain of 3×10^{-6} in./in. to about 10.4×10^{3} psi at a strain of about 10⁻⁴ in./in. The damping values as a function of dynamic strain amplitude are presented in Figure 14. The shear damping (torsional mode) increases from about 3 to 9 percent with increasing strain as expected. However, the longitudinal damping remains relatively constant as indicated in Figure 14. Since the trend in longitudinal damping data is very atypical, the data should be considered suspect.

52. Sample 11 was taken at a depth of 24.5 to 26.6 ft and was

20

Section and the section of the secti

considered to be typical of the 5-ft-thick layer between a depth of 22 and 27 ft. This material was a silt and was tested in the resonant column apparatus at a mean effective principle stress of 9.5 psi. Shear and compression moduli as a function of dynamic strain amplitudes are presented in Figure 15. The shear modulus varies from about 17×10^3 to 5.6 \times 10³ psi over the strain range of 10⁻⁶ up to 10⁻⁴ rad/rad. The compression modulus is more or less constant at about 56×10^3 psi for straining less than 10⁻⁶ in./in. However, it decreases sharply as strains increase above 10^{-6} and drops to 20.1 × 10^3 psi at a strain of about 3×10^{-5} in./in. The damping data obtained during this test are presented in Figure 16. One sees that the torsional damping or shear damping is approximately constant at 3 percent over the strain range considered. The longitudinal damping appears to increase from about 4 to 13 percent as the strains increase from 10^{-7} to 10^{-5} in./in. The last point that was obtained at a strain of about 3×10^{-5} in./in. is considered erroneous because it does not fit the trend of the other longitudinal test data.

53. Sample 13 taken at a depth of 29.2 to 30.5 ft was considered to be typical and representative of the soil layer between a depth of 27 and 31 ft. This sample was classified a CL clay and tested in the resonant column device under a mean principle effective stress of 10.75 psi. The dynamic modulus and damping ratio as a function of dynamic strain amplitude are presented in Figures 17 and 18, respectively. The shear modulus is shown to vary from about 4.2×10^3 psi to 2.1 × 10³ psi over the strain range of about 10⁻⁵ to 10⁻³ rad/rad. The compression modulus is constant at about 43×10^3 psi up to a strain range of 10⁻⁶ in./in. Above a strain of about 10⁻⁶ in./in. the compression modulus decreases to a value of about 16.0 × 10³ psi at a strain range of 5×10^{-5} in./in. The damping values obtained in the longitudinal mode are more or less independent of strain and are in the range of 5 or 6 percent (Figure 18). The damping ratio obtained in the torsional mode varies from about 2.5 percent at a strain range of 10⁻⁵ in./ in. to 12 percent at a strain range of approximately 10⁻³ in./in.

54. Below a depth of 31 ft the soil is extremely gravelly. This

means that undisturbed samples could not be taken. Samples obtained were disturbed to such an extent as to be considered unsatisfactory for testing. Rock was found at a depth of about 36 ft.

PART VI: DISCUSSION OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

55. The resonant column data obtained from specimens trimmed from samples taken in boring 821 UD have been presented. In this part a soil profile will be developed for boring 821 in terms of shear modulus, Young's modulus, and internal damping ratio.

Shear Modulus

56. Figure 19 summarizes the shear modulus data obtained from testing four specimens taken from samples of boring 821. Also shown in Figure 19 is a soil profile depicting the four layers that were observed in boring 821 UD. Note that the near-surface clay layer is 14 ft thick and has a shear modulus at low strain levels of about 3.5×10^3 psi. As the strain is increased to a dynamic strain level of about 10^{-3} rad/rad, this shear modulus decreases to about 1.7×10^{3} psi. Immediately below this 14-ft-thick surficial layer is an 8-ft-thick layer of clay. From the resonant column test results, it appears that this material has a shear modulus of about 2.8×10^3 psi at very low strains. This modulus decreases to about 1.0×10^3 psi as the strain level increases to 10⁻³ rad/rad. Below a depth of 22 ft there exists a 4-ftthick silt layer. This material exhibits a shear modulus of 17×10^3 psi at low strain levels. As the strain increases to a dynamic strain amplitude of about 10⁻⁴ rad/rad, dynamic shear modulus decreases to a value of 5.6×10^3 psi. Below the 4-ft-thick silt layer there exists a 5-ft-thick clay layer between a depth of 26 and 31 ft. This layer exhibits a shear modulus of about 5.6×10^3 psi at low strain levels. This modulus decreases to about 2.8×10^3 psi as the strain level becomes greater than 10^{-4} rad/rad.

57. In reviewing Figure 19 several specific items should be noted. These are:

<u>a</u>. As the strain level increases from 10^{-5} to 10^{-3} rad/rad, the shear modulus is generally reduced by about 50 percent, except in the silt layer at a depth of 22 to 26 ft.

In this layer the shear modulus is reduced to about one third of its original low strain value.

- <u>b</u>. One would expect that the modulus would be a function of the mean principle effective stress. Thus, the modulus should increase as a function of depth. This is not the case in boring 821 UD. The clay layer at a depth of 14 to 22 ft is not stiffer than the surficial clay.
- c. The 5-ft-thick clay layer immediately below the silt has a modulus that is significantly lower than the silt layer at a depth of 22 to 26 ft. This is not unusual but should be noted because it may be important in any future dynamic analyses or dynamic calculations.

Young's Modulus

58. Figure 20 shows Young's modulus (E) versus dynamic strain amplitude as a function of depth in boring 821 UD. The 14-ft-thick surficial layer has a compression modulus at low strain levels of about 26×10^3 psi. This value decreases to approximately 15×10^3 psi when the strain level increases to 5×10^{-5} in./in. It should be noted that groundwater table is located at a depth of about 12 ft. Since this should not have a significant effect on the shear and Young's moduli, it was ignored. The clay layer between a depth of 14 and 22 ft is very similar to the surficial layer. This 8-ft-thick clay layer has a Young's modulus of about 26×10^3 psi at a strain level of 4×10^{-7} in./in. As the strain increases to a level of approximately 10^{-4} in./in., the compression modulus decreases to 9.7×10^3 psi. The Young's modulus in the silt layer that lies at a depth of 22 to 26 ft is approximately 56×10^3 psi at strain levels below 10^{-6} in./in. As the strain level increases above 10⁻⁶ in./in., this Young's modulus decreases to approximately 20 \times 10³ psi when the strain level is about 4 \times 10⁻⁵ in./in. The 5-ft-thick clay layer located at a depth of 26 to 31 ft in boring 821 UD has a compression modulus at low strain levels of about 43×10^3 psi. Again, as the strain increases from a level of around 10^{-6} to 10⁻⁴ in./in., the compression moduli decreases to approximately 16×10^3 psi.

59. As one looks at this collection of data, it is again apparent

that the silt layer at a depth of 22 to 26 ft is relatively stiff compared with the other layers. The two clay layers above 22 ft have similar characteristics, with the layer located between 14 and 22 ft being more sensitive to strain than the surficial layer.

Damping Ratio

60. Figure 21 presents the damping ratio versus dynamic strain amplitude as a function of depth for boring 821 UD. In dynamic analyses and in the calculation of dynamic response of soils, the predominant energy input comes from shear waves. Consequently, shear or torsional damping is the most important consideration, and only shear damping will be discussed here. Close study of Figure 21 reveals that damping is relatively constant as a function of depth for boring 821. For strains less than 10^{-4} in./in., the damping ratio is on the order of 3 percent. As the strains increase above 10^{-4} , then the damping ratio approaches 12 percent. Based on WES experience, this appears to be reasonable and consistent.

61. As mentioned previously, resonant column tests were performed on undisturbed samples from borings 800 UD, 803 UD, 806 UD, 809 UD, 812 UD, 815 UD, 818 UD, and 821 UD. These data are presented in Appendix B. The data from boring 821 UD have been discussed in detail. The data obtained from the samples from the other borings should be treated in a similar manner as those from boring 821.

62. The collection of data presented in Appendix B was obtained from 35 resonant column tests. In general, shear and compression moduli decrease as a function of increasing strain (References 3-6) while damping increases. These trends are evident in the data in Appendix B. However, it is not unusual for some of the data to exhibit a fair amount of scatter, and some of the data presented in Appendix B do not follow the expected trends. This merely indicates that these data are suspicious and should be treated as such. Explanations for why these data might be erroneous are as follows:

> Equipment problems such as increased piston friction or binding.

- b. A variable calibration of one or more of the instruments.
- c. Operator inadvertently measuring apparatus resonances.
- d. Slippage between the top cap and the specimen.
- e. Sample disturbance.

Note that in Appendix B the data points are plotted but no curves are drawn through the points if the data look suspicious.

PART VII: COMPARISON OF FIELD AND LABORATORY VALUES

63. Figure 22 shows a field boring log for boring 821 UD. This boring log was taken directly from Taylor et al.¹ The reader is referred to Appendix A for explanation of symbols and nomenclature. Values of shear modulus (Figure Al), Young's modulus, and damping as a function of depth were determined in the laboratory by the resonant column test technique at low $(~10^{-6})$ strain levels, and are shown in Figure 22. The sub-division of the soil profile was based on soil type and laboratory visual classification. These values have been discussed previously.

64. For comparison purposes, the values that were determined in the field by geophysical techniques are shown immediately to the right of the laboratory values. The reader is reminded that the subdivision of the soil profile is based on field techniques and results from changes in P- and S-wave velocities. Generally, this development of a soil profile is accomplished independently of material types or visual classification.

Shear and Young's Moduli

Near-surface material

65. In comparing the laboratory and field values, one first notices that thickness of the near-surface layer was determined to be 14 ft in the laboratory. This was based primarily on the boring logs; however, in the field, using velocity data, the near-surface layer was chosen to be only 8 ft thick. The laboratory-determined shear modulus of 3.5×10^3 psi compares very favorably with the field-determined value of 3.3×10^3 psi for locations above the 6 or 7. For practical purposes, these numbers are the same. The Young's modulus computed from the field data was determined to be 9.7×10^3 psi. This value seems reasonable; however, it is about one third of the laboratory-determined value, which is 26×10^3 psi. This value is judged to be too high. For the top layer, values of shear and Young's moduli selected for design were 3.4×10^3 and 10×10^3 psi, respectively.

a state of the sta

Second layer

66. Immediately below the near-surface layer is a second clay layer that ends at 20 to 22 ft depending on whether you accept as valid the field seismic data or the laboratory classifications of samples obtained in the boring. The shear modulus as determined in the laboratory for this layer is 2.8×10^3 psi. This value is slightly less than half of the shear modulus (6.6×10^3 psi) that was determined in the field. Because the soil is predominantly clay to a depth of 20 ft, and because the shear modulus should increase with increasing depth, a design shear modulus of about 5×10^3 psi was selected. The values of Young's modulus range from 19.7 to 24.4×10^3 psi depending on whether you choose to use field or laboratory values. These values are relatively close (within about 20 percent of each other), and this is considered good agreement. A value of 20×10^3 psi has been selected for design purposes. Third layer

67. The third layer selected for design purposes combines the two layers within the depth interval of 22 to 31 ft in the laboratorydetermined values and is assumed to be at a depth of 20 to 30 ft. If one averages the shear modulus values of 16.7×10^3 and 5.56×10^3 psi, one obtains 11.1×10^3 psi. This value is in close agreement with 12.6×10^3 psi that was obtained in the field, and consequently a value of 12×10^3 psi was assigned for design purposes. In the laboratory, averaging the Young's moduli for the two layers between 22 and 31 ft yields a value of about 50×10^3 psi. This is considerably higher than Young's modulus of 37.1×10^3 psi as determined by geophysical methods. Based on WES experience and engineering judgment, a value of 40×10^3 psi has been selected for design purposes.

Fourth layer

68. From a depth of 30 to 36 ft, the soil consisted of sandy silts with gravels and consequently was not suitable for laboratory testing. Shear and Young's moduli of 86×10^3 and 225×10^3 psi, respectively, were assumed for design purposes. These values are based on the field information.

Foundation rock

69. Finally, the shear and Young's moduli of the foundation rock were determined to be 125×10^3 and 370×10^3 psi, respectively. Again, this material was not tested in the laboratory and these values are based solely on WES field geophysical evaluations.

Damping Values

70. If analytical calculations are to be conducted, a damping value of 3 to 5 percent should be used for low strain calculations. This value is based on resonant column data and is valid only to a depth of 31 ft because the soil below that depth was not tested. However, because the damping appears to be uniform with depth, extrapolation to the bottom layer (30 to 36 ft in depth) does not seem unreasonable.

The second second

PART VIII: SUMMARY

71. Based on the data and the analysis presented, the soil at boring 821 UD can be subdivided and zoned as shown in Figure 22 for the purpose of design loads or dynamic analysis of building on machine foundations. This figure shows four soil layers above rock. The nearsurface layer is 8 ft thick, the second layer is 12 ft thick, the third layer is 10 ft thick, and there is a 6-ft-thick layer immediately overlying the rock. This is an idealized soil profile that would be suitable for design calculations. The values of shear modulus, Young's modulus, and damping ratio for each of these layers to be used in design are listed in the right hand three columns of Figure 22.

72. If dynamic response calculations for other building sites are required, then an idealized soil profile will have to be developed for each of these sites. This should be done in a manner similar to that presented in this report.

REFERENCES

- Taylor, H. M., Jr., et al., "Title I Design Foundation Investigation for Static Loading, Gaseous Diffusion Add-On Plant, Portsmouth, Ohio," Miscellaneous Paper S-77-20, Nov 1977, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, CE, Vicksburg, Miss.
- Ballard, R. F., Jr., "A Method for Crosshole Seismic Testing," Journal, Geotechnical Engineering Division, American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol 102, No. GT12, Dec 1976, pp 1261-1273.
- Fry, Z. B., "A Procedure for Determining Elastic Moduli of Soils by Field Vibratory Techniques," Miscellaneous Paper No. 4-577, Jun 1963, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, CE, Vicksburg, Miss.
- 4. Drnevich, V. P., Hardin, B. O., and Shippy, D. J., "Modulus and Damping of Soils by the Resonant Column Method," <u>Symposium on</u> <u>Dynamic Soil and Rock Testing in the Field and Laboratory for</u> <u>Seismic Studies, American Society of Testing Materials</u>, Jun 1977, Denver, Colo.
- Hardin, B. O. and Drnevich, V. P., "Shear Modulus and Damping in Soils: Measurement and Parameter Effects," <u>Journal, Soil Mechanics</u> <u>and Foundations Division, American Society of Civil Engineers,</u> Vol 98, No. SM6, Jun 1972, pp 603-624.
- , "Shear Modulus and Damping in Soils: Design Equations and Curves," Journal, Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, <u>American Society of Civil Engineers</u>, Vol 98, No. SM7, Jul 1972, pp 667-692.
- Marcuson, W. F., III, and Wahls, H. E., "Effects of Time on the Damping Ratio of Clays," <u>Symposium on Dynamic Soil and Rock Testing</u> in the Field and Laboratory for Seismic Studies, American Society of Testing Materials, June 1977, Denver, Colo.
- Seed, H. B. and Idriss, I. M., "Soil Moduli and Damping Factors for Dynamic Response Analyses," Report No. EERC 70-10, Dec 1970, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, University of California, Berkeley.

31

and the second second second second
Sample No.	Sample Depth ft	Interval Represented by Sample, ft	Liquid Limit <i>%</i>	Plastic Limit %	Plasticity Index %	
2-1*	2.7-4.2	0-14	53	22	31	
9-1	20.0-21.8	14-22				
11-1	24.5-26.6	22-28				
13-1	29.2-30.5	28-31	49	24	25	

	Τa	able	e l	
Samples	Tested	in	the	Laboratory

Boring 821 UD

. A the destricted

^{*} Note that the Atterberg limits for sample 2 do <u>not</u> agree with those presented in Figure 22. The limits presented in this table and in Appendix B were obtained from tests conducted at the WES. Those reported in Reference 1 were obtained from tests conducted at the Corps of Engineers South Atlantic Division Laboratory, Marietta, Ga. This discrepancy indicates a significant variation in soil profile, which is not otherwise apparent.









E 9850

ANorth

E 9850 E 9830

A State Street

1125 ft

North

1

1



and the second

Note: All velocities are fps O ---Seismic source and geophone locations used for crosshole tests

Figure 3. P- and S-wave velocities determined from crosshole tests, proposed building X-340-4 location

1

1



and a star of the start and



A Start Start









P-wave velocity profile from in situ seismíc tests, proposed building X-340-4 location Figure 8.

The second of the second



and a second and the second

from downhole tests.

locations used for crosshole tests.

Wet unit weight

X

O Seismic source and geophone

asterisk denotes velocities

asterisk denotes velocities

from crosshole tests. One

S-wave velocity profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed building X-340-4 location Figure 9.



The stand of the second of the start

Note: Explanation of graphic symbols for boring data are presented in Figure Al.

Figure 10. Shear and Young's moduli profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed building X-340-4 location







and the second second







and the second second



and the second second second second





Figure 19. Dynamic shear modulus versus dynamic shear strain amplitude from resonant column tests, boring 821 UD



Figure 20. Dynamic compression modulus versus dynamic strain amplitude from resonant column tests, boring 821 UD

and the second states

s'



Figure 21. Damping ratio versus dynamic strain amplitude from resonant column tests, boring 821 UD

SHEAR MODULUS YOUNGS MODULUS DAMPING PERCENT 3.5 3-5 3.5 VALUES TO BE USED IN DESIGN BORING 821 UD 01 20 40 225 370 3.4 -12 98 125 \$ 8 та, нтазо Х 0 20 8 35 40 SHEAR MODULUS YOUNGS MODULUS * 10³ PSI * 10³ PSI VALUES DETERMINED BY FIELD GEOPHYSICAL TECHNIQUES 9.7 37.1 369.8 1.61 225 3.3 9.9 12.6 85.9 125.1 è SHEAR MODULUS YOUNGS WODULUS DAMPING PERCENT + 10³ PSI + 10³ PSI CRITICAL 3.5 3-5 3-5 3-5 VALUES DETERMINED IN THE LABORATORY 26.0 56.3 24.4 43.1 2.8 16.7 9.6 3.5 2 08 = 36.3 FT + WT = N/A 10/29 76 LAB CLASSIFICATION LL PL N OR D10 G.S.E. 671.1 N Tr-S N N 101.5 0-11.0 14 M CC 921-00-1 5 8 5 8 5 . 6 8 4 -05 \$ 20 ла нтазс 8 15 30 35 40

and the second strates



values for boring 821 UD-1

APPENDIX A: TEST RESULTS AND DATA INTERPRETATION FROM IN SITU SEISMIC TESTS

the stand and the second second

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION

TYPICAL NAMES	Well graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Poorly graded gravels, gravel-sand mixbures, little or no fines	Silty gravels, poorly graded gravel-sand-silt mixtures	Clayey gavels, poorly graded gravel-sand-clay mixtures	Well graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines	Poorly graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines	Silty sands, poorly graded sand-silt mixtures	Clayey sands, poorly graded sand-clay mixtures	Inorganic silts and very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands with slight plasticity	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays	Organic silts and organic silt-clays of low plasticity	fnorganic sitts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sandy or filty soils, elastic silts	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity	Peat and other highly organic soils	MOOD	
58	• •	:::	Ŧ	12				200		00			111				
LETTER	GW	GP	GM	GC	SW	SP	SM	SC	ML	CL	С	HW	CH	HO	đ	PM	
TYPE	CLEAN	(Little or No Fines)	GRAVEL WTH FINES	Amount of	CLEAN	(L.ITIA OF	SANDS WITH FINES	Amount of	SILTS AND	CLAYS		ONE SILIS	(Liquid Limit	R A	SOILS		
NOISINIO	• •	N 00	VANC	0.01 0.01 0.02 0.000	10	N UDU 101,00 501	11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0	1003 WOL							Y ORGANIC	000	O SAMPLE
MAJOR C		101		11000	10 1 10 1	500 500 - 30		041 070 0	00 10110 5 710	10 5 00	NED	0 4 4	- 31	10W	HIGHLY		ž

and the second second

Figure Al. Unified Soil and Rock Classification System used for boring data

ROCK CLASSIFICATION

CON CONCLOMERATE SAN Sandstone SIL SILTSTONE CLA III INDURATED CLAY OR CLAYSTONE COM COMPACTED SHALE Figures A2-A8, Test Loop Location, X-774





" Sumaria Martin





Legend: O Seismic source and geophone locations used for crosshole tests

Note: All velocities are fps.

Figure A3. P- and S-wave velocities determined from crosshole test, proposed test loop location X-774, hole set 1

A state for the

1. 20°



L





Legend:

- O Geophone locations for crosshole test
- X Seismic source locations for crosshole test and geophone locations for downhole test
- Note: All velocities are fps. No asterisk denotes P-wave velocities from crosshole test. One asterisk denotes velocities from downhole test. Two asterisks denote velocities from surface refraction seismic test.
- Figure A6. P-wave velocity profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed test loop location, X-774

and the table car



40-

Legend:

0	Geophone	locations	for	crosshole	test
---	----------	-----------	-----	-----------	------

- X Seismic source locations for crosshole test and geophone locations for
- downhole test γ_w Wet unit weight
- Note: All velocities are fps. No asterisk denotes S-wave velocities from crosshole test. One asterisk denotes velocities from downhole test.
- Figure A7. S-wave velocity profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed test loop location, X-774

All the the destriction



and the second s

Figures A9-A17, Proposed Building X-340-1 Location

and the state of the





E 10362

and the

and the second



Legend: 803 O Borehole used for crosshole test and no.

Coordinate N: 8275

Shotpoint

Surface refraction seismic traverse 7-5

no. and direction

Figure Alo. P- and S-wave velocities determined from crosshole tests, proposed building X-340-1 location

E 10362


Incremental velocities, fps

Time, mscc



and the standard and

Figure A12. Arrival time versus depth from downhole test, boring 806, proposed building X-340-1 location







P-wave velocity profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed building X-340-1 location Figure A15.



Conduction and more

locations used for crosshole O Seismic source and geophone tests.

asterisk denotes velocities from crosshole tests. One from downhole tests.

Legend:

Wet unit weight

M

S-wave velocity profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed building X-340-1 location Figure Al6.





Figure Al7. Shear and Young's moduli profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed building X-340-1 location

Figures Al8-A26, Proposed Building X-340-2 Location





a the transfer

Figure Al9. P- and S-wave velocities determined from crosshole tests, proposed building X-340-2 location

Note: All velocities are fps.



Figure A20. Arrival time versus depth from downhole test in boring 809, proposed building X-340-2 location



Constant and instant







proposed building X-340-2 location



1

1

1

1

- 619

- Bart Bart Bart

Legend: \odot Seismic source and geophone locations used for crosshole tests. γ_w Wet unit weight

Note: All velocities are fps. No asterisk denotes velocities from crosshole tests. One asterisk denotes velocities from downhole tests.

Figure A25. S-wave velocity profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed building X-340-2 location



in the stand of the stand

Note: Explanation of graphic symbols for boring data are presented in Figure Al.

Shear and Young's moduli profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed building X-340-2 location Figure A26.

Figures A27-A35, Proposed Building X-340-3 Location

and the second states and the second





Elevation, ft msl

used for crosshole tests

Figure A28. P- and S-wave velocities determined from crosshole tests,

proposed building X-340-3







The state of the state

Figure A30. Arrival time versus depth from downhole test boring 818, proposed building X-340-3 location







proposed building X-340-3 location





and the the the second

© Seismic source and geophone locations for crosshole tests

velocities from downhole tests.

Y_w Wet unit weight

S-wave velocity profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed building X-340-3 location Figure A34.



and the states

-

Explanation of graphic symbols for boring data are presented in Figure Al. Note:

Shear and Young's moduli profile from in situ seismic tests, proposed building X-340-3 location Figure A35.

APPENDIX B: DYNAMIC MODULUS AND DAMPING VERSUS DYNAMIC STRAIN AMPLITUDE, RESONANT COLUMN TESTS

		Interval			
Sample No.	Sample Depth ft	Represented by Sample ft	Liquid Limit <u>%</u>	Plastic Limit <u>%</u>	Plasticity Index %
12	10.6-12.1		29	16	13
13-1	12.1-14.0	1.1-16.5			
13-2	12.1-14.0	1.1-16.5			
20-1	24.1-25.2	21.6-25.2	26	17	9
20-2	24.1-25.2	21.6-25.2			
21-1	25.9-27.3	25.9-28.5			

	Borin	ng 8	300 t	JD
Samples	Tested	in	the	Laboratory
	Tal	ote	BT	

Table B2

Samples Tested in the Laboratory

Boring 803 UD

		Interval			
Sample No.	Sample Depth ft	Represented by Sample ft	Liquid Limit <u>%</u>	Plastic Limit %	Plasticity Index %
3-1	5.0-06.6	3.9-08.2	44	21	23
3-2	5.0-06.6	3.9-08.2			
9-2	22.2-24.6	8.4-32.6	51	25	26

and the state of the

		Interval			
Sample No.	Sample Depth ft	Represented by Sample ft	Liquid Limit %	Plastic Limit %	Plasticity Index
2	6.0		68	30	38
3-1	9.0-11.4	2.1-17.0			
3-2	9.0-11.4	2.1-17.0			
3-3	9.0-11.4	2.1-17.0			
4	11.4		37	12	20
14-1	37.0-38.3	29.0-39.9			
15	38.3		25	20	5

Table B3 Samples Tested in the Laboratory

Boring 806 UD

Table B4 Samples Tested in the Laboratory Boring 809 UD

Boring	809	UI
		-

Sample No.	Sample Depth ft	Interval Represented by Sample ft	Liquid Limit %	Plastic Limit %	Plasticity Index %
1	2.0		62	28	34
2-1	2.8-5.4	0.0-13.5			
3	7.9		65	27	38
7-1	16.3-18.8	13.8-21.3	28	17	11
7-2	16.3-18.8	13.8-21.3			
11-1	26.1-28.3	21.5-36.7	28	17	11
11-2	26.1-28.3	21.5-36.7			

		Interval			
Sample No.	Sample Depth ft	Represented by Sample ft	Liquid Limit %	Plastic Limit %	Plasticity Index %
1	1.8		51	21	30
2-1	2.2-4.1	0.0-15.6			
3	7.5		45	21	24
7-1	16.0-18.5	16.0-29.8	25	18	7
7-2	16.0-18.5	16.0-29.8			
13-1	29.9-32.4	29.9-39.3	26	22	4
13-2	29.9-32.4	29.9-39.3			

Table B5 Samples Tested in the Laboratory

Boring 812 UD

Table B6 Samples Tested in the Laboratory

es rested in the haber

Boring 815 UD

		Interval			
	Denth	Represented	Liquid	Plastic	Plasticity
Sample No.	ft	ft	%	%	%
1	2.0		41	21	20
2-1	1.0-2.0	1.0-7.5			
2-2	1.0-2.0	1.0-7.5			
3	4.7		36	18	18
7-1	11.9-13.6	8.1-13.6	45	15	30
7-2	11.9-13.6	8.1-13.6			
8-1	14.1-16.3	13.8-18.3			
8-2	14.1-16.3	13.8-18.3			
8-3	14.1-16.3	13.8-18.3			
9	19.8		28	19	9

- Contraction and the second

		Interval			
Sample No.	Sample Depth ft	Represented by Sample ft	Liquid Limit %	Plastic Limit %	Plasticity Index %
1	1.8		31	21	10
2-1	2.8-4.8	0.0-7.7			
3	7.7		41	21	20
5-1	11.2-13.8	8.4-16.4	34	21	13

	2	[a]	ole	B7	
_		-			

Samples Tested in the Laboratory Boring 818 UD



Figure B1. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 800 UD No. 13-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 10$ psi, B = 0.92

and the second second



and the second





and the second and the second


and the state of the



and the states





and the second



Figure B7. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 800 UD No. 20-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 10.2$ psi, B = 0.89

The State of the second states







and a start a start of the start of



Figure Blo. Damping as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 800 UD No. 21-1

No. 1 Contraction



Figure Bll. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 803 UD No. 3-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct}$ = 3 psi, B = ?, nonsaturated



and the second



Figure B13. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 803 UD No. 3-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 3 \text{ psi}$, B = 0.91





- The state of the second







and a second second







a star star i sar i s



Figure B20. Damping as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 806 UD No. 3-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 5.4$ psi, B = 0.64





and the second second second



and the second



Figure B23. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 806 UD No. 14, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct}$ = 13.3 psi, B = 0.87





and the street



Figure B25. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 809 UD No. 2-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 2.3$ psi, nonsaturated

and the state of the state of the



Figure B26. Damping as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 809 UD No. 2-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 2.3$ psi, nonsaturated

- Statistication







and the second



Figure B29. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 809 UD No. 7-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 9.5$ psi, B = 0.96

- The second second second



Figure B30. Damping as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 809 UD No. 7-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 9.5$ psi, B = 0.96



Figure B31. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 809 UD No. 11-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 10.2$ psi, B = 0.88



Figure B32. Damping as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 809 UD No. 11-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 10.2 \text{ psi}$, B = 0.88

and the second second

....



Figure B33. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude measured in the resonant column test, sample: 809 UD No. 11-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 12.6 \text{ psi}$, B = 0.5



The state of the state of the state





Figure B36. Damping as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 812 UD No. 2-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 1.14$ psi, nonsaturated

and the second







Figure B38. Damping as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 812 UD No. 7-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 7.4$ psi, B = 0.93

and the second second





and a start of the start of the start


and the state of the second second second



Figure B41. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 812 UD No. 13-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct}$ = 11.5 psi, B = 0.84





Figure B⁴3. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 812 UD No. 13-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 7.5$ psi, B = 0.67



and the second second



a free to the second

Figure $B^{4}5$. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 815 UD No. 2-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 0.8$ psi, nonsaturated



A State State



1

and have a first and a state of the second sta



- The to the top the



and the second

Figure B48. Damping as a function of O-peek strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 815 UD No. 2-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 4.0$ psi, nonsaturated



Thank State 1





a stranger











the second second



Figure B53. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 815 UD No. 8-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct}$ = 7.9 psi, B = ?, nonsaturated



Figure B54. Damping as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 815 UD No. 8-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 7.9$ psi, B = ?, nonsaturated



and the station

Figure B55. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 815 UD No. 8-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 10.1$ psi, B = ?, nonsaturated



Figure B56. Damping as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 815 UD No. 8-2, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 10.1$ psi, B = ?, nonsaturated

and the second











and a line was

Figure B60. Damping as a function of 0-peak strain amplitude as determined by resonant column testing, sample: 818 UD No. 2-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct}$ = 2 psi, B = ?, nonsaturated



Figure B61. Dynamic shear and Young's moduli as a function of O-peak strain amplitude as measured in the resonant column test, sample: 818 UD No. 5-1, $\overline{\sigma}_{oct} = 5.73 \text{ psi}$, B = 0.92



and the states and

In accordance with letter from DAEN-RDC, DAEN-ASI dated 22 July 1977, Subject: Facsimile Catalog Cards for Laboratory Technical Publications, a facsimile catalog card in Library of Congress MARC format is reproduced below.

Curro, Joseph R
In situ and laboratory determinations of shear and Young's moduli for the Portsmouth, Ohio, Gaseous Diffusion Add-on
Site / by Joseph R. Curro, Jr., William F. Marcuson III.
Vicksburg, Miss. : U. S. Waterways Experiment Station;
Springfield, Va. : available from National Technical Information Service, 1978.
31, [131] p. : ill.; 27 cm. (Miscellaneous paper - U. S.
Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station; S-78-12)
Prepared for U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
References: p. 31.

 Field tests. 2. Geophysical exploration. 3. Laboratory tests. 4. Shear properties. 5. Soil strength. 6. Young's modulus. 1. Marcuson, William Frederick, joint author. II. United States. Energy Research and Development Administration. III. Series: United States. Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss. Miscellaneous paper; S-78-12. TA7.W34m no.S-78-12

and the hard a second