

AD-A058 136

GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIV WASHINGTON D C PROGRAM IN LOG--ETC F/G 12/1  
THE AVERAGE SPEED OF A FAST VEHICLE MOVING IN A STREAM OF SLOW --ETC(U)  
JUN 78 Z BARZILY  
N00014-75-C-0729  
NL

UNCLASSIFIED

| OF |  
ADA  
058136



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
10 -78  
DDC

ADA058136

AD No. \_\_\_\_\_  
DDC FILE COPY

(2)

LEVEL 42

THE  
GEORGE  
WASHINGTON  
UNIVERSITY

STUDENTS FACULTY STUDY R  
ESEARCH DEVELOPMENT FUT  
URE CAREER CREATIVITY CO  
MMUNITY LEADERSHIP TECH  
NOLOGY FRONTIER DESIGN  
ENGINEERING APP ENO  
GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIV

DDC  
RECEIVED  
AUG 30 1978  
A



INSTITUTE FOR MANAGEMENT  
SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING  
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING  
AND APPLIED SCIENCE

AD No. \_\_\_\_\_  
DDC FILE COPY

ADA058136

LEVEL 4

6 THE AVERAGE SPEED OF A FAST VEHICLE  
MOVING IN A STREAM OF SLOW VEHICLES.

by

10 Zeev Barzily

9 Scientific rept.

14 Serial-T-379  
16 June 1978

11 16 Jun 78

12 19p.

The George Washington University  
School of Engineering and Applied Science  
Institute for Management Science and Engineering

15 Program in Logistics

Contract NO0014-75-C-0729

Project NR 347 020

Office of Naval Research

DDC  
RECEIVED  
AUG 30 1978  
A

This document has been approved for public  
sale and release; its distribution is unlimited.

405 337 78 08 21 033 LB

NONE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER T-379	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)  THE AVERAGE SPEED OF A FAST VEHICLE MOVING IN A STREAM OF SLOW VEHICLES		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED  SCIENTIFIC
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)  ZEEV BARZILY		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)  N00014-75-C-0729
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY PROGRAM IN LOGISTICS WASHINGTON, D. C. 20037		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH CODE 430D ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22217		12. REPORT DATE
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 16
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)  NONE
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  DISTRIBUTION OF THIS REPORT IS UNLIMITED.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  TRAFFIC THEORY PROBABILISTIC MODELS		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  This paper studies the average speed of a fast car moving in a stream of slow vehicles on a two-lane highway. Arrivals of the slow vehicles are assumed to follow a Poisson process and the test car arrives independently of the slow vehicles. The highway is assumed to consist of sections in which passing is possible and sections in which passing is impossible; the lengths of these sections are random variables. Two passing mechanisms are studied: the first assumes that the duration of a passing (Cont'd) → next page		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE  
S/N 0102-014-6601

NONE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)



NONE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. Abstract continued.

maneuver is a random variable while in the second passings are instantaneous.

NONE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
School of Engineering and Applied Science  
Institute for Management Science and Engineering  
Program in Logistics

Abstract  
of  
Serial T-379  
16 June 1978

THE AVERAGE SPEED OF A FAST VEHICLE  
MOVING IN A STREAM OF SLOW VEHICLES

by

Zeev Barzily

This paper studies the average speed of a fast test car moving in a stream of slow vehicles on a two-lane highway. Arrivals of the slow vehicles are assumed to follow a Poisson process and the test car arrives independently of the slow vehicles. The highway is assumed to consist of sections in which passing is possible and sections in which passing is impossible; the lengths of these sections are random variables. Two passing mechanisms are studied: the first assumes that the duration of a passing maneuver is a random variable while in the second passings are instantaneous.

ACCESSION BY	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
School of Engineering and Applied Science  
Institute for Management Science and Engineering  
Program in Logistics

THE AVERAGE SPEED OF A FAST VEHICLE  
MOVING IN A STREAM OF SLOW VEHICLES

by

Zeev Barzily

1. Introduction

This paper studies the speed of a fast test car moving in a stream of slow vehicles in a two-lane two-way highway. Let "our direction" designate the direction in which the test-car is traveling. We assume that the highway consists of two alternating sections, sections of Type I in which passing is possible and sections of Type II in which passing is impossible. The highway is assumed to begin with a Type I section. Let  $X_i$  and  $Y_i$  denote the length of the  $i$ th Type I and Type II sections, respectively. We assume that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. distributed according to a continuous c.d.f.  $G$  with an expectation  $E[X]$ . The random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  are also assumed to be i.i.d.; they are distributed according to a continuous c.d.f.  $H$  with an expectation  $E[Y]$ . The functions  $G$  and  $H$  depend on road conditions and on traffic moving in the opposite direction. We assume that the slow vehicles moving in our direction arrive at the highway according to a Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda_1$ . These zero size vehicles always maintain their free speed  $v_1$ . The test car arrives at the highway independently of the slow vehicles, and it has a free speed  $v_2$  ( $v_2 > v_1$ ).

As the test car travels along the road it occasionally comes up against slow vehicles. At these points the test car's driver may decide to pass the slow vehicle or otherwise to reduce his speed immediately to  $v_1$  and to follow the slow vehicle for a while. The decisions to pass are made either at the points where the test car comes up against slow vehicles in Type I sections, or at the beginnings of the Type I sections to which the test car arrives traveling behind a slow vehicle. We assume that the driver's decision is dependent on the distance from the decision point to the end of the Type I section and independent of the distance he has already been following the slow vehicle. Two passing mechanisms are studied. The first mechanism assumes that at each decision point the test car's driver samples a required passing distance  $W_1$  from a c.d.f.  $B$ . If the distance to the end of the Type I section exceeds  $W_1$  then the passing will take place  $W_1$  units of distance from the decision point; otherwise the test car continues following the slow vehicle at least until the beginning of the next Type I section. In the second passing mechanism, the driver samples a r.v.  $W_2$  from a c.d.f.  $C$ . He passes instantaneously at the decision point if the distance to the end of the Type I section exceeds  $W_2$ ; otherwise he follows the slow vehicle at least until the beginning of the next Type I section.

In the present paper we use some of the results obtained by Barzily and Rubinovitch [1] in 1977. Passing mechanism number one requires the analysis of a model close to the one analyzed by Rubinovitch and Grinstein [3] in 1973 and by Sivazlian [4] in 1971. Some of the results in the paper are extensions of results derived by Galin and Epstein [2] in 1974. For more detailed information on models for traffic in two-lane roads, see [2] and [1].

The paper is comprised of five sections. In Sections 2 and 3 we discuss the traveling of the test car in Type I sections under the first



and the second passing mechanisms, respectively. In Section 4 we determine the test car's average speed. Section 5 is a short summary.

## 2. The Movement of the Test Car in a Type I Section Under Passing Mechanism Number One

In this section we discuss the movement of the test car in the  $i$ th Type I section under passing mechanism number one. Let us assume temporarily, for the convenience of the analysis, that this section is infinitely long. Define that the test car is in state  $i$  ( $i=1,2$ ) at a point along the road if it is moving there at speed  $v_i$ . Denote by  $Z_{nj}$  the distance the test car travels at a speed  $v_n$  for the  $j$ th time since entering the  $i$ th section. It was shown earlier in [1] that while moving at a speed  $v_2$ , the distance from the test car to the preceding slow vehicle is an exponential random variable with a parameter  $\lambda_1/v_1$ . From this result we obtain that  $Z_{2,1}, Z_{2,2}, \dots$  are i.i.d. random variables distributed according to an exponential distribution function with parameter  $\alpha = \lambda_1(1/v_1 - 1/v_2)$ . The random variables  $Z_{1,1}, Z_{1,2}, Z_{1,3}$  are (by assumption) i.i.d. random variables distributed according to a c.d.f.  $B$ . Let us now denote by  $T(x)$  the time it takes the test car to arrive at a distance  $x$  from the beginning of the section; let  $M_1(x)$  denote the state of the car at that point, and define  $U(x) = x/v_1 - T(x)$ . A realization of  $T(x)$  and the corresponding  $U(x)$  for  $M_1(0) = 2$  is given in Figure 1. It is easy to analyze  $T(x)$  using the analysis of  $U(x)$ .

Denote

$$q_{2j}(x, u) = P[U(x) \leq u, M_1(x) = j \mid M_1(0) = 2], \quad j=1, 2,$$

$$Q_{2j}^*(\theta, \xi) = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \int_{u=0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} e^{-\xi u} d_u q_{2j}(x, u) dx,$$

and




Figure 1. A typical realization of  $T(x)$  and  $U(x)$  for  $M_1(0) = 2$ .

$$q_{2j}(x, \cdot) = P[M_1(x)=j \mid M_1(0)=2] .$$

Denoting  $\beta = 1/v_1 - 1/v_2$ , we obtain

$$q_{21}(x, u) = \begin{cases} \int_0^{u/\beta} \alpha e^{-\alpha z} [1 - B(x-z)] dz + \\ \int_{z=0}^{u/\beta} \int_{y=0}^{x-z} \alpha e^{-\alpha z} q_{21}(x-z-y, u-z\beta) dB(y) dz, & 0 < u < x\beta; \\ q_{21}(x, \cdot) & , \quad x\beta \leq u \end{cases}$$

hence,

$$Q_{21}^*(\theta, \xi) = \frac{[1 - B^*(\theta)]\alpha}{\theta[\alpha + \theta + \xi\beta - \alpha B^*(\theta)]}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$B^*(\theta) = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} dB(x) .$$

To obtain  $Q_{22}^*(\theta, \xi)$ , we notice that

$$q_{22}(x, u) = \begin{cases} \int_{z=0}^{u/\beta} \int_{y=0}^{x-z} \alpha e^{-\alpha z} q_{22}(x-z-y, u-z\beta) dB(y) dz, & 0 < u < x\beta \\ \int_{z=0}^{u/\beta} \int_{y=0}^{x-z} \alpha e^{-\alpha z} q_{22}(x-z-y, u-z\beta) dB(y) dz + e^{-\alpha z}, & u = x\beta; \\ q_{22}(x, \cdot) & , \quad u > x\beta \end{cases}$$

hence,

$$Q_{22}^*(\theta, \xi) = [\alpha + \theta + \xi\beta - \alpha B^*(\theta)]^{-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Now we denote

$$p_{ij}(x, t) = P[T(x) \leq t, M_1(x)=j \mid M_1(0)=i], \quad i, j = 1, 2$$

and

$$P_{ij}^*(\theta, \xi) = \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} e^{-\xi t} d_t p_{ij}(x, t) dx, \quad i, j = 1, 2; \theta, \xi > 0.$$

To determine  $P_{ij}^*(\theta, \xi)$  we realize that

$$p_{2j}(x, t) =$$

$$P\left[U(x) > \frac{x}{v_1} - t, M_1(x)=j \mid M_1(0)=2\right] + P\left[U(x) = \frac{x}{v_1} - t, M_1(x)=j \mid M_1(0)=2\right];$$

hence we obtain from (2.1)

$$P_{21}^*(\theta, \xi) = \frac{\alpha \left[1 - B^*\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right)\right]}{\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right) \left[\alpha + \theta + \frac{\xi}{v_2} - \alpha B^*\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right)\right]}, \quad (2.3)$$

and from (2.2) we get

$$P_{22}^*(\theta, \xi) = \left[\alpha + \theta + \frac{\xi}{v_2} - \alpha B^*\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right)\right]^{-1}. \quad (2.4)$$

Now we determine  $P_{1j}^*(\theta, \xi)$ ,  $j=1, 2$ . For  $j=1$  we have

$$p_{11}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad t \leq x/v_2 \\ \int_{y=0}^{(t-x/v_2)/\beta} p_{21}(x-y, t-y/v_1) dB(y) & , \quad x/v_2 < t < x/v_1 \\ \int_{y=0}^{(t-x/v_2)/\beta} p_{21}(x-y, t-y/v_1) dB(y) + 1-B(x) & , \quad t = x/v_1 \\ p_{11}(x, \cdot) & , \quad t > x/v_1 \end{cases};$$

hence,

$$P_{11}^*(\theta, \xi) = \frac{1 - B^*\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right)}{\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}} + \frac{\alpha \left[1 - B^*\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right)\right] B^*\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right)}{\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right) \left[\alpha + \theta + \frac{\xi}{v_2} - \alpha B^*\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right)\right]}, \quad (2.5)$$



and for  $j=2$  we obtain

$$p_{12}(x, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad t \leq x/v_2 \\ \int_{y=0}^{(t-x/v_2)/\beta} p_{22}(x-y, t-y/v_1) dB(y) & , \quad x/v_2 < t \leq x/v_1 ; \\ p_{12}(x, \cdot) & , \quad x/v_1 < t \end{cases}$$

hence,

$$P_{12}^*(\theta, \xi) = \frac{B^*\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right)}{\alpha + \theta + \frac{\xi}{v_2} - \alpha B^*\left(\theta + \frac{\xi}{v_1}\right)} . \quad (2.6)$$

Let us now denote by  $T_{ij}(x)$  the time spent by the test car in a Type I section of length  $x$  given that  $M_1(0)=i$  and  $M_1(x)=j$ . The c.d.f. of  $T_{ij}(x)$  satisfies

$$P[T_{ij}(x) \leq t] = \frac{P[T(x) \leq t, M_1(x)=j \mid M_1(0)=i]}{P[M_1(x)=j \mid M_1(0)=i]} ;$$

hence, denoting by  $R_{ij}^*(x, \xi)$  the inverse Laplace transform of  $P_{ij}^*(\theta, \xi)$  and letting  $r_{ij}(x) = P[M_1(x)=j \mid M_1(0)=i]$ , we obtain

$$E[T_{ij}(x)] = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} R_{ij}^*(x, 0) \right) / r_{ij}(x) . \quad (2.7)$$

The probabilities  $r_{ij}(x)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ , satisfy  $r_{ij}(x) = R_{ij}^*(x, 0)$ .

To invert  $P_{ij}^*(\theta, \xi)$  we have to specify the c.d.f.  $B$ . We assume that  $B$  is the exponential distribution function with parameter  $\eta$ . The inversion can be carried out for other distribution functions, but the expressions are likely to be very messy. Using tables of Laplace transforms we obtain

$$r_{21}(x) = \alpha [1 - \exp\{-(\alpha+\eta)x\}] / (\alpha+\eta) , \quad (2.8)$$

$$r_{11}(x) = [\alpha + \eta \exp\{-(\alpha+\eta)x\}] / (\alpha+\eta) , \quad (2.9)$$

$$r_{21}(x)E[T_{21}(x)] = \alpha \left[ x(\alpha/v_1 + \eta/v_2) + (\eta-\alpha)\beta(1 - \exp(-(\alpha+\eta)x)) / (\alpha+\eta) - x(\eta/v_1 + \alpha/v_2)\exp(-(\alpha+\eta)x) \right] / (\alpha+\eta)^2, \quad (2.10)$$

$$r_{22}(x)E[T_{22}(x)] = \left[ x(\alpha\eta/v_1 + \eta^2/v_2) + 2(1 - \exp(-(\alpha+\eta)x))\alpha\eta\beta' / (\eta+\alpha) + x \exp(-(\alpha+\eta)x)(\alpha\eta/v_1 + \alpha^2/v_2) \right] / (\alpha+\eta)^2, \quad (2.11)$$

$$r_{11}(x)E[T_{11}(x)] = \left[ x(\alpha^2/v_1 + \alpha\eta/v_2) + 2(1 - \exp(-(\alpha+\eta)x))\alpha\eta\beta / (\eta+\alpha) + x \exp(-(\alpha+\eta)x)(\eta^2/v_1 + \eta\alpha/v_2) \right] / (\alpha+\eta)^2, \quad (2.12)$$

$$r_{12}(x)E[T_{12}(x)] = r_{21}(x)E[T_{21}(x)]\eta/\alpha. \quad (2.13)$$

3. The Movement of the Test Car in a Type I Section under Passing Mechanism Number Two

In this section we derive results similar to those of Section 2 when the passing is instantaneous upon the test car driver's decision to pass. We start with the analysis of the case where  $M_1(0)=2$  and assume that  $X_1 = x$ . The distribution of  $T_{22}(x)$  can easily be determined because  $M_1(x)=2$  means here that the test car is unimpeded in the  $i$ th Type I section; hence,

$$T_{22}(x) = x/v_2, \text{ with probability one.} \quad (3.1)$$

Now we assume without loss of generality that the test car arrives at the entrance of the  $i$ th Type I section at time zero. To obtain  $\{T_{21}(x) \leq t\}$ ,  $x/v_2 < t \leq x/v_1$ , the test car has to be unimpeded by slow vehicles arriving at this section during  $[-(x/v_1 - t), 0]$ . Let  $J(x,t)$  denote the number of slow vehicles arriving at the  $i$ th Type I section during  $[-(x/v_1 - t), 0]$ . It is known that given that  $J(x,t) = n$ , then the epochs of the arrivals are independent and uniformly distributed on  $[-(x/v_1 - t), 0]$ . Consequently we obtain

$$P[T(x) \leq t \mid M_1(0)=2, J(x,t)=n] = \left[ \int_0^{(x/v_1)-t} C((t+y-x/v_2)/\beta) \frac{dy}{(x/v_1)-t} \right]^n,$$

where  $C((x+y-x/v_2)/\beta)$  is the probability that the test car passes immediately a slow vehicle that arrives at the section in  $\{-(x/v_1 - t) + y\}$ .

Since  $J(x,t)$  is a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda_1(x/v_1 - t)$ , we obtain

$$P[T(x) \leq t \mid M_1(0)=2] = \exp \left[ -\lambda_1 \left( x/v_1 - t - \int_0^{(x/v_1)-t} C((t+y-x/v_2)/\beta) dy \right) \right]. \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.2) we obtain

$$r_{21}(x) = P[T(x) > x/v_2 \mid M_1(0)=2] = 1 - \exp \left[ -\lambda_1 \left( x\beta - \int_0^{x\beta} C(y/\beta) dy \right) \right], \quad (3.3)$$

$$r_{22}(x)E[T_{22}(x)] = \exp \left[ -\lambda_1 \left( x\beta - \int_0^{x\beta} C(y/\beta) dy \right) \right] x/v_2, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$r_{21}(x)E[T_{21}(x)] = \int_{x/v_2}^{x/v_1} t \, d_t \{ P[T(x) \leq t \mid M(0)=2] \}. \quad (3.5)$$

Now we turn to determine the results associated with  $M_1(0)=1$ . The derivation is based on the fact that

$$P[T(x) \leq t \mid M_1(0)=1] = \begin{cases} C(x)P[T(x) \leq t \mid M_1(0)=2], & x/v_2 \leq t < x/v_1 \\ 1, & t = x/v_1 \end{cases},$$

from which we obtain

$$r_{11}(x) = C(x)P[T(x) > x/v_2 \mid M_1(0)=2] + (1 - C(x)), \quad (3.6)$$

$$r_{11}(x)E[T_{11}(x)] = C(x)r_{21}(x)E[T_{21}(x)] + (1 - C(x))x/v_1, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$r_{12}(x)E[T_{12}(x)] = C(x)r_{22}(x)E[T_{22}(x)] . \quad (3.8)$$

#### 4. The Test Car's Average Speed

In this section we use the results of Sections 2 and 3 to obtain the test car's average speed under the two passing mechanisms. As we follow the test car's journey along the road we realize that its state at the beginnings of the Type I sections forms a Markov chain. The analysis is based on this property.

To complement the results on the movement of the test car in a Type I section we need to have similar results on the travel in Type II sections. For this purpose we denote by  $S_k(y)$ ,  $k=1,2$ , the time it takes the test car to travel  $y$  units of length along a Type II section given that  $M_2(0)=k$  [ $M_2(u)$  designates the state of the test car  $u$  units of length from the beginning of the Type II section]. We also denote

$$a_{ij}(y) = P[M_2(y)=j \mid M_2(0)=i] .$$

Here again we use the property that while the test car is in state 2 the distance between it and its preceding slow vehicle is an exponential random variable (parameter  $\lambda_1/v_1$ ) and obtain

$$S_1(y) = y/v_1 \text{ with probability one,} \quad (4.1)$$

$$a_{11}(y) = 1 , \quad (4.2)$$

$$a_{22}(y) = \exp(-\lambda_1 y \beta) , \quad (4.3)$$

$$P[S_2(y) \leq s] = \exp[-\lambda_1(y/v_1 - s)] , \quad y/v_2 \leq s \leq y/v_1 . \quad (4.4)$$

For a more detailed derivation of (4.3) and (4.4), see [1]. From (4.4) we obtain

$$E[S_2(y)] = y/v_1 - [1 - \exp(-\lambda_1 y \beta)]/\lambda_1 . \quad (4.5)$$



We are now in a position where we can sum up the results derived so far to obtain the test car's average speed. To this end, let  $N_{ik}$  be the number of Type I sections to which the test car arrives at a speed  $v_k$ ,  $k=1,2$ , while traveling up to the end of the  $i$ th Type II section. Denote by  $\tau_k(j)$ ,  $j=1, \dots, N_{ik}$ , the time it takes the test car to travel along a road section that consists of a Type I section and its following Type II section, given that the Type I section is the  $j$ th to which it arrives at speed  $v_k$ . The average speed at the end of the  $j$ th Type II section is given by

$$\bar{V}_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_{ji}} \tau_i(k)}{\sum_{i=1}^2 (X_i + Y_i)} . \quad (4.6)$$

We will determine

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \bar{V}_j .$$

From (4.6) we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \bar{V}_j = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^j (X_k + Y_k)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{ji}} \tau_i(k)}{N_{ji}} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{ji}}{j} . \quad (4.7)$$

The RHS of (4.7) calls for the use of the strong law of large numbers. Applying this law yields

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^j (X_k + Y_k)} = \frac{1}{E[X] + E[Y]} , \quad (4.8)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{ji}} \tau_i(k)}{N_{ji}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \left\{ E_X \{ r_{i\ell}(X) E[T_{i\ell}(X)] \} + E[r_{i\ell}(X)] E_Y \{ E[S_\ell(Y)] \} \right\}, \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{ji}}{j} = \pi_i, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2)$  is the invariant distribution for the Markov chain of the state of the test car upon arrivals at Type I sections. The vector  $\pi$  is obtained as follows. Define

$$\gamma_{ij} = E[r_{ij}(X)]; \quad \Gamma = \{\gamma_{ij}\}$$

and

$$\phi_{ij} = E[a_{ij}(Y)]; \quad \Phi = \{\phi_{ij}\},$$

then  $\pi$  satisfies

$$\pi(\Gamma\Phi) = \pi$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \pi_i = 1.$$

## 5. Summary

In the present paper we determined the average speed of a fast test car that is moving in a stream of slow vehicles. Two passing mechanisms were studied. The first mechanism assumes that after a driver decides to pass he still spends some time before reaching his free speed. The second mechanism, on the other hand, assumes instantaneous passing upon making the decision to pass. The first mechanism seems more realistic when the  $X$ 's are small with respect to the  $Y$ 's. Here the passings occur mainly at the beginnings of Type I sections and the test car has to accelerate before passing. The second mechanism seems more realistic

when the X's are large with respect to the Y's and the test car comes up against slower vehicles mainly in Type I sections. The realism of the two mechanisms may also depend on road conditions and traffic congestion. We could have easily added a third passing mechanism that is a combination of the first two--instantaneous passing inside Type I sections and passing according to mechanism number one upon arrival at the beginning of a Type I section. However, we do not think that this addition makes a substantial contribution on top of the other two.

Finally, we would like to note that the current model cannot be used in cases where traffic is heavy because in these cases the assumption that slow vehicles arrive according to a Poisson process is not suitable.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BARZILY, Z. and M. RUBINOVITCH (1977). On platoon formation on two-lane roads. Technical Paper Serial T-350, Program in Logistics, The George Washington University (to appear in the June 1979 issue of *J. Appl. Probability*).
- [2] GALIN, D. and B. EPSTEIN (1974). Speeds and delays on two-lane roads where passing is possible at given points of the road. *Transportation Res.* 8 29-37.
- [3] RUBINOVITCH, M. and J. GRINSTEIN (1973). The convoy problem and its traffic application. Mimeograph Series No. 128, Faculty of Industrial and Management Engineering, Technion--Israel Institute of Technology.
- [4] SIVAZLIAN, B. P. (1971). Operational delays induced by random exogeneous events. *Transportation Sci.* 5 337-343.



**THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY**  
**Program in Logistics**  
**Distribution List for Technical Papers**

The George Washington University  
 Office of Sponsored Research  
 Library  
 Vice President H. F. Bright  
 Dean Harold Liebowitz  
 Mr. J. Frank Doubleday

ONR  
 Chief of Naval Research  
 (Codes 200, 430D, 1021P)  
 Resident Representative

OPNAV  
 OP-40  
 DCNO, Logistics  
 Navy Dept Library  
 OP-911  
 OP-964

Naval Aviation Integrated Log Support

NAVCOSACT

Naval Cmd Sys Sup Activity Tech Library

Naval Electronics Lab Library

Naval Facilities Eng Cmd Tech Library

Naval Ordnance Station  
 Louisville, Ky.  
 Indian Head, Md.

Naval Ordnance Sys Cmd Library

Naval Research Branch Office  
 Boston  
 Chicago  
 New York  
 Pasadena  
 San Francisco

Naval Research Lab  
 Tech Info Div  
 Library, Code 2029 (ONRL)

Naval Ship Engng Center  
 Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Hyattsville, Md.

Naval Ship Res & Dev Center

Naval Sea Systems Command  
 Tech Library  
 Code 073

Naval Supply Systems Command  
 Library  
 Capt W. T. Nash

Naval War College Library  
 Newport

BUPERS Tech Library

FMSO

Integrated Sea Lift Study

USN Ammo Depot Earle

USN Postgrad School Monterey  
 Library  
 Dr. Jack R. Borsting  
 Prof C. R. Jones

US Marine Corps  
 Commandant  
 Deputy Chief of Staff, R&D

Marine Corps School Quantico  
 Landing Force Dev Ctr  
 Logistics Officer

Armed Forces Industrial College

Armed Forces Staff College

Army War College Library  
 Carlisle Barracks

Army Cmd & Gen Staff College

US Army HQ  
 LTC George L. Slyman  
 Army Trans Mat Command

Army Logistics Mgmt Center  
 Fort Lee

Commanding Officer, USALDSRA  
 New Cumberland Army Depot

US Army Inventory Res Ofc  
 Philadelphia

HQ, US Air Force  
 AFADS 3

Griffiss Air Force Base  
 Reliability Analysis Center

Maxwell Air Force Base Library

Wright Patterson Air Force Base  
 HQ, AF Log Command  
 Research Sch Log

Defense Documentation Center

National Academy of Science  
 Maritime Transportation Res Board Library

National Bureau of Standards  
 Dr E. W. Cannon  
 Dr Joan Rosenblatt

National Science Foundation

National Security Agency

WSEG

British Navy Staff

Logistics, OR Analysis Establishment  
 National Defense Hdqtrs, Ottawa

American Power Jet Co  
 George Chernowitz

ARTON Corp

General Dynamics, Pomona

General Research Corp  
 Dr Hugh Cole  
 Library

Planning Research Corp  
 Los Angeles

Rand Corporation  
 Library

Carnegie Mellon University  
 Dean H. A. Simon  
 Prof G. Thompson

Case Western Reserve University  
 Prof B. V. Dean  
 Prof John R. Isbell  
 Prof M. Mesarovic  
 Prof S. Zacks

Cornell University  
 Prof R. E. Bechhofer  
 Prof R. W. Conway  
 Prof J. Kiefer  
 Prof Andrew Schultz, Jr.

Cowles Foundation for Research  
 Library  
 Prof Herbert Scarf  
 Prof Martin Shubik

Florida State University  
 Prof R. A. Bradley

Harvard University  
 Prof K. J. Arrow  
 Prof W. G. Cochran  
 Prof Arthur Schleifer, Jr.

New York University  
 Prof O. Morgenstern

Princeton University  
 Prof A. W. Tucker  
 Prof J. W. Tukey  
 Prof Geoffrey S. Watson

Purdue University  
Prof S. S. Gupta  
Prof H. Rubin  
Prof Andrew Whinston

Stanford  
Prof T. W. Anderson  
Prof G. B. Dantzig  
Prof F. S. Hillier  
Prof D. L. Iglehart  
Prof Samuel Karlin  
Prof G. J. Lieberman  
Prof Herbert Solomon  
Prof A. F. Veinott, Jr.

University of California, Berkeley  
Prof R. E. Barlow  
Prof D. Gale  
Prof Rosedith Sitgreaves  
Prof L. M. Tichvinsky

University of California, Los Angeles  
Prof J. R. Jackson  
Prof Jacob Marschak  
Prof R. R. O'Neill  
Numerical Analysis Res Librarian

University of North Carolina  
Prof W. L. Smith  
Prof M. R. Leadbetter

University of Pennsylvania  
Prof Russell Ackoff  
Prof Thomas L. Saaty

University of Texas  
Prof A. Charnes

Yale University  
Prof F. J. Anscombe  
Prof I. R. Savage  
Prof M. J. Sobel  
Dept of Admin Sciences

Prof Z. W. Birnbaum  
University of Washington

Prof B. H. Bissinger  
The Pennsylvania State University

Prof Seth Bonder  
University of Michigan

Prof G. E. P. Box  
University of Wisconsin

Dr. Jerome Bracken  
Institute for Defense Analyses

Prof H. Chernoff  
MIT

Prof Arthur Cohen  
Rutgers - The State University

Mr Wallace M. Cohen  
US General Accounting Office

Prof C. Derman  
Columbia University

Prof Paul S. Dwyer  
Mackinaw City, Michigan

Prof Saul I. Gass  
University of Maryland

Dr Donald P. Gaver  
Carmel, California

Dr Murray A. Geisler  
Logistics Mgmt Institute

Prof J. F. Hannan  
Michigan State University

Prof H. O. Hartley  
Texas A & M Foundation

Mr Gerald F. Hein  
NASA, Lewis Research Center

Prof W. M. Hirsch  
Courant Institute

Dr Alan J. Hoffman  
IBM, Yorktown Heights

Dr Rudolf Husser  
University of Bern, Switzerland

Prof J. H. K. Kao  
Polytech Institute of New York

Prof W. Krukal  
University of Chicago

Prof C. E. Lemke  
Rensselaer Polytech Institute

Prof Loynes  
University of Sheffield, England

Prof Steven Nahmias  
University of Pittsburgh

Prof D. B. Owen  
Southern Methodist University

Prof E. Parzen  
State University New York, Buffalo

Prof H. O. Posten  
University of Connecticut

Prof R. Remage, Jr.  
University of Delaware

Dr Fred Rigby  
Texas Tech College

Mr David Rosenblatt  
Washington, D. C.

Prof M. Rosenblatt  
University of California, San Diego

Prof Alan J. Rowe  
University of Southern California

Prof A. H. Rubenstein  
Northwestern University

Dr M. E. Salvesson  
West Los Angeles

Prof Edward A. Silver  
University of Waterloo, Canada

Prof R. M. Thrall  
Rice University

Dr S. Vajda  
University of Sussex, England

Prof T. M. Whittin  
Wesleyan University

Prof Jacob Wolfowitz  
University of Illinois

Mr Marshall K. Wood  
National Planning Association

Prof Max A. Woodbury  
Duke University

# THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

BENEATH THIS PLAQUE  
IS BURIED  
A VAULT FOR THE FUTURE  
IN THE YEAR 2036

THE STORY OF ENGINEERING IN THIS YEAR OF THE PLACING OF THE VAULT AND  
ENGINEERING HOPES FOR THE TOMORROWS AS WRITTEN IN THE RECORDS OF THE  
FOLLOWING GOVERNMENTAL AND PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING ORGANIZATIONS AND  
THOSE OF THIS GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS  
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERS  
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS  
THE SOCIETY OF AMERICAN MILITARY ENGINEERS  
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF MINING & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERS  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SOCIETY OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, INC.  
THE INSTITUTE OF RADIO ENGINEERS, INC.  
THE CHEMICAL ENGINEERS CLUB OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON SOCIETY OF ENGINEERS  
FAULKNER KINGSBURY & STENHOUSE - ARCHITECTS  
CHARLES H. TOMPKINS COMPANY - BUILDERS  
SOCIETY OF WOMEN ENGINEERS  
NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

THE PURPOSE OF THIS VAULT IS INSPIRED BY AND IS DEDICATED TO  
CHARLES HOOK TOMPKINS, DOCTOR OF ENGINEERING  
BECAUSE OF HIS ENGINEERING CONTRIBUTIONS TO THIS UNIVERSITY, TO HIS  
COMMUNITY, TO HIS NATION, AND TO OTHER NATIONS.

BY THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

ROBERT V. FLEMING  
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

CLOYD H. MARVIN  
PRESIDENT

JUNE THE TWENTY-THIRD  
1955

To cope with the expanding technology, our society must be assured of a continuing supply of rigorously trained and educated engineers. The School of Engineering and Applied Science is completely committed to this objective.