	A058 11	5 LOS DEV JUN	5 ALAMO VELOPME N 78 T	S SCIEN NT OF P C WALL	TIFIC PYROLYT ACE, G	LAB N IC GRAP E CORT	MEX HITE/SI JJL AFF	LICON DAMRAN RPL-TR-	CARBIDE	COMP0 -7405-1 0L-1	F/ SITE MA ENG-36	G 11/2 TETC	(U)
	1 OF 3					1							
		20 20						ting the second			141		
		e santar E											
	1							Contraction Contraction Managements					
N.I. II		ACA I					١	0			3	3	
1													/

ADA058115

AFRPL-TR 78-46

DEVELOPMENT OF PG/SIC COMPOSITE MATERIALS FOR ROCKET-NOZZLE APPLICATIONS

LEVEL 7

981

VOLUME I - THE INJECTOR DEPOSITION FURNACE

LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ALAMOS, NEW NEXICO 87545

ID NO.

AUTHORS: T. C. WALLACE G. E. CORT J. J. DAMRAN M. C. CLINE

JUNE 1978

Approved for Public Release;

Distribution Unlimited.

DDC PROPINITE AUG 28 1978

Prepared for: AIR FORCE ROCKET PROPULSION LABORATORY DIRECTOR OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND EDWARDS AFB, CALIFORNIA 93523

78 08 23 006

#### NOTICES

----

"When U.S. Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings specifications or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, or in any manner liscensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto."

#### FOREWORD

This report published in three volumes was submitted by Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL) of the University of California, Los Alamos, New Mexico, 87545, under the auspices of U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration Contract W-7405-ENG. 36, to the Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory (AFRPL) under AFRPL MIPR agreement F04611-76-X-003, JON-305909JQ. The work was directed for LASL by Mr. T.C. Wallace and for AFRPL by Major J.G. Dean.

This report has been reviewed by the AFRPL Technical Information Office and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be available to the general public. This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication; it is unclassified and suitable for general public release.

LESTER TEPE Project Manager

W.W. Well

W.W. WELLS Acting Chief, Space & Ballistic Propulsion Branch

FOR THE COMMANDER

CHARLES R. COOKE

Director, Solid Rocket Division

62302F INCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dets Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM TOREPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE 2. GOVT ACCESSION M RECIPIENT'S CATALOS NUMBER TR-78-46-VOL-1 AFRPI Kept. SILICON CARBIDE COMPOSITE MATERIALS FOR ROCKET-NOZZLE APPLICATIONS, Volume I. The Injector ALPORT E PERIOD COVERED Final 1 July 275-31 Dec # 176 A Deposition Furnace , . CONTRACT OR GRANT T. C. Mallace, G. E. Cort, J. J. Damran 10 F04611-76-X-003 M. C. Cline F04611-76-X-003 Amendment Number 1 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory of the Project No. 62302F University of California, Los Alamos, BPSN: 305900 New Mexico, 87545 06 1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory Jun 178 Director of Science and Technology Air Förce Systems Command, Edwards AFB, CA 93523 205 ADDRESS(II dillorent fre SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Controlling Office) UNCLASSIFIED 213. 18. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of (15) W-7405-ENG-36-Unlimited MIPR-FØ4611-76-X-003 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT fof the obstract entered in Block 20, If different from Re SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side il necessary and identify by block number) chemical vapor deposition, computer modeling, data acquisition system, deposition kinetics, heat transfer, high temperature instrumentation, high temperature materials, pyrographite, recirculating flow, silicon carbide 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A series of instrumented engineering tests with a chemical vapor deposition furnace used to prepare pyrographite/silicon carbide codeposited material for rocket nozzle applications is described. Flow into the furnace is an axisymmetric confined jet with high Reynolds and low Mach number. The gas flow within the furnace is characterized by a large separated flow region DD , JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 45 IS OBSOLETE UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (Then Dete Br S/N 0102-014- 6601 1 211 350

#### UNCLASSIFIED

LINATY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGEITHAN Date Entered;

0

AVAIL ANAL STECIAL

with associated hot spot and a high level of turbulence. Methods of instrumenting and collecting data, methods for defining the furnace and process characteristics, test procedures, recording and reducing data, data analysis, and model development are documented. Analytical models of the furnace during transient and steady state operation were developed and the results compared with the data obtained. Characterization of the coatings obtained and the associated deposition kinetics are also presented.

UNCLASSIFIED

11 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Than Date Enter

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	7	age
I	INTRODUCTION	1
	A. Background	1
	B. Objective	1
	1. Development of a deposition process model .	3
	2. Control system	3
	C. Approach	3
II	THE EXPERIMENTS	4
	A. General	4
	1. Prior process experience	L.
	2. Approach	5
	B. Coating furnace and process equipment	6
	C. Test procedures	6
	1. General	6
	2. Cold-flow tests	7
	3. Transient heating tests	7
	4. Flow tests	7
	5. Coating tests	8
	D. Instrumentation	8
	1. Flow velocities	8
	2. Temperatures	5
	3. Power	9
	4. Flow rates	1.0
	5. Pressure	11
	6. Position	11
	7. Data-sampling rate	11
	8. Data accuracy and recording	14
III	DATA OBTAINED	14
66	A. Cold flow tests	14
	1. Data reduction	15
	2. Results	15

111

A Long

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

1000

Section		Page
	B. Transient heating and N, flow tests	18
	1. Exit gas temperature profile	18
*	2. Transient heatup	18
	3. Nitrogen flow tests	20
	C. Coating tests	28
	<ol> <li>Characterization of pyrolytic graphite/ silicon carbide deposits</li> </ol>	28
	<ol> <li>Coating gas effect on substrate temperature measurement</li></ol>	36
IV	DEVELOPMENT OF THERMAL-FLOW MODEL	40
	A. Heat conduction model	40
	1. Heat generation	42
	2. Material properties	42
	3. Boundary conditions	42
	4. Overall heat balance	46
	B. Flow model	46
	1. Numerical method	46
	2. Turbulence model	49
	3. Results	49
	4. Conclusion	57
v	DEPOSITION KINETICS	57
	A. Characterization of the deposition process .	57
	1. Deposition rate	57
	2. Characterization of temperature and	-0
	N <sub>2</sub> flow field	50
	B. Comparison of present and prior work	63
VI	SUMMARY	66
14	A. Data base	66
	B. Instrumentation	66
	C. Analytical modeling	67
	1. Heat transfer	67
	2. Flow	67

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

Carlos and and a

	Page
3. Kinetics	67
4. Simple scaling laws	68
D. Alternative approach	68
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	68
REFERENCES	69
APPENDIX A - COATING FURNACE AND PROCESS EQUIPMENT	72
APPENDIX B - INSTRUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS AND DESCRIPTION	92
APPENDIX C - CALIBRATION DOCUMENTATION	120
APPENDIX D - TEST PROCEDURE AND DATA DOCUMENTATION.	133

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

## Figure

Section

1	Various deposition canisters	2
2	Velocity profile at 51 mm	16
3	Velocity profile at 102 mm	16
4	Velocity profile at 152 mm	16
5	Velocity profile at 203 mm	16
6	Jet centerline velocity vs distance from injector	17
7	Coil outlet water temperature	19
8	Coil and susceptor input power	19
9	Room air temperature	19
10	Upper canister wall temperature	19
11	Canister surface temperature (upper)	21
12	Substrate backside temperature (thermocouple)	21
13	Substrate backside temperature (pyrometer)	21
14	Canister surface temperature (mid-heigth)	21
15	Substrate surface temperature	22
16	Canister surface temperature (lower)	22

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (continued)

Figure		Page
39	Wall heat flux	43
40	Furnace coating region considered in the calculations	48
41	Velocity vectors, temperature, and Mach number plots for the cold wall case	50
42	Centerline velocity decay with axial distance from the injector for the cold wall case	51
43	Velocity profile at 152 mm (6 in) from the injector for the cold wall case	52
44	Velocity vectors, temperature, and Mach number plots for Flow Test II	53
45	Wall temperature vs axial distance from the injector for the three flow tests	54
46	Velocity vectors, temperature, and Mach number plots for the coating run	55
47	Gas temperature at the wall vs axial distance from the injector for the coating run	56
48	Deposition rates as a function of distance from injector	59
49	Flow field for deposit	60
50	Flow rates and wall temperatures in canister during deposition of Layers 1 and 2	62
51	Deposition rate data from prior ARC coating runs	
52	SiC deposition rate vs MTS concentration	65



vii

A states

#### I. INTRODUCTION

#### A. Background

In 1971, at Atlantic Research Corporation\*, the Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory started a study of silicon carbide (SiC) additions to continuously nucleated pyrolytic graphite (PG). In the codeposited material, pyrolytic graphite and silicon carbide (PG/SiC), the SiC phase is deposited in a PG matrix as needles perpendicular to the deposition surface. This structure provides reinforcement in the c-direction and vastly improved shear strength between the a-b layers of the PG matrix. Also, the bond between the PG/SiC coating and an ATJ\*\* graphite substrate was outstanding. Even in mechancial tests to failure, no coating separations from the substrate were observed.<sup>1</sup>

Early development involved small-throat rocket-nozzle inserts and exploratory firings to establish target compositions and structure and gather preliminary performance data.<sup>2-7</sup> As this work was successful, work was begun to design, fabricate, and evaluate the PG/SiC-coated, large-throat, rocket-nozzle inserts under advanced ICBM test firing conditions.<sup>8-11</sup> Most of the work was on scaling up the coating process from 25-mm-(1-in.-).diam inserts to 89-, 178-, and 318-mm (3.5-, 7-, and 12.5-in.-)-diam inserts with coatings 3.3-7.6 mm (0.150-0.300 in) thick.

As the coating development progressed toward making the larger diameter inserts, major changes in the deposition furnace configuration were required (Fig. 1), and the percentage of acceptable coated inserts decreased.<sup>11</sup> A requirement for demonstrating capability to reproducibly coat a nose cap for the rocket-nozzle throat package led to even more drastic furnace configuration changes, and a yet lower percentage of acceptable coated nose caps were produced.<sup>12</sup> The conclusion was that more fundamental understanding of the deposition process was required in designing apparatus for making deposits on large inserts and nose caps. The Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL) began a study with the Air Force in July 1975, to provide that understanding.

#### B. Objective

This work was to improve the capability for making PG/SiC-coated rocketnozzle parts. The expected accomplishments were as follows.

\*Atlantic Research Corporation, 5390 Cherokee Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia. \*\*Trade name of a premium grade graphite supplied by Union Carbide Corporation.



Fig. 1. Various deposition canister configurations.

1. <u>Development of a deposition process model</u>. Development of an analytical model complete enough for designing furnace geometry and fixtures and specifying power inputs, mass flow rates of reactants, and other input conditions for coating nozzle parts of differing shapes and sizes.

2. <u>Control system specification</u>. Specification of fully automatic control system for the deposition process. System definition and complete specification. No detailed circuit design or fabrication.

C. Approach

This report consists of the following three volumes.

Vol. I. The Injector Deposition Furnace.

Vol. II. The Channel Flow Depositing Furnace.

Vol. III. Comparison of Deposition Furnaces and a Generic Process Control System Specification.

To establish a data base for validation the model developed and to document the operation of an existing PG/SiC coating furnace, LASL asked (LASL Order No. L66-17503-1)Atlantic Research Corporation (ARC) to perform a series of engineering tests at their facility. LASL specified the test procedures and instrumentation. Deposition furnace modifications for instrumentation, furnace operation during the tests, and part of the coating characterization were done by ARC. Volume I documents these engineering tests, characterizes the injector deposition process, and compares the results obtained with the model developed.

The model had to be an efficient, simple design tool for PG/SiC coating of nozzle parts. If the model were too complex, too expensive, or too demanding of computer facilities for routine application, its utility would be greatly diminished. Early in the injector deposition furnace work, it became apparent that the model fluid dynamics would be very complex (primarily because of a recirculation zone in the furnace) and would require an extensive computer facility. Further, it appeared that the flow field for coating throat inserts would be drastically different from that for nose caps, and the model would become even more complex. Therefore, the study objectives could not be achieved using ARC's furnace.

Using information developed to that point and an available computation fluid mechanics code<sup>13</sup> that could treat steady, two-dimensional, turbulent boundary layer flow with heat addition and chemical reactions (but not recirculation flow), LASL designed a channel flow deposition furnace. Design criteria included an inlet configuration that permited accurate specification of the fluid flow parameters at the start of the coating chamber, equivalent flow conditions for throat inserts and nose caps, better heat transfer to parts being coated, more economical use of power and coating gases, easier assembly, and higher production rates. The furnace was built and run at LASL. The test matrix for the runs was designed to provide maximum information for comparison with the model kinetics. Volume II documents the fabrication details and the data obtained from the engineering tests, characerizes the deposition process, and compares the results obtained to the model developed.

Volume III compares the characteristics of the two deposition furnaces, describes the models developed, and gives control system specifications.

#### **II. THE EXPERIMENTS**

#### A. General.

<u>1. Prior process experience</u>. The composite coatings are applied to heated graphite substrates (1800-2500 K) by an atmospheric-pressure chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process that involves the pyrolysis of a diluted (N<sub>2</sub> diluent) mixture of methyl trichlorosilane (CH<sub>3</sub>SiCl<sub>3</sub> or MTS) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>). Other hydrocarbons may be used in place of CH<sub>4</sub>. When a fluid element containing CH<sub>4</sub> is heated rapidly to 1500 K (or higher), a series of consecutively irreversible reactions occur;  $^{15,16}$  i.e.,

 $CH_{4} \longrightarrow 1/2C_{2}H_{6} \xrightarrow{k_{2}} C_{2}H_{4} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} C_{2}H_{2} \xrightarrow{k_{4}} \cdots (precursor) \longrightarrow PG, \quad (1)$ 

where the k's are the appropriate 1st-order reaction rate constants that are temperature dependent.<sup>16,17</sup> The chemistry and physics of pyrographite deposition (the nature of the PG precursor in the gas phase, the effect of energy gradient effects near the wall, the kinetics of precursor formation, soot formation in the gas phase, and pyrographite structure deposited relative to types of precursor species) are complex and poorly understood.<sup>18-20</sup> Examination of the relative magnitudes of the known reaction rate constants indicates that pyrolysis of CH<sub>4</sub> is the rate-controlling kinetic step (all succeding steps in Eq. (1) occur at much faster rate) and that a temperature of 1500 K must be reached before significant pyrolysis begins. A literature search failed to find any kinetic data on thermal decomposition of CH<sub>3</sub>SiCl<sub>3</sub>. Mass spectrometric data suggest<sup>21</sup> that the decomposition proceeds in two ways. First, CH<sub>3</sub>SiCl<sub>3</sub> decomposes by sequential detachment of chlorine atoms and, second, the Si-C bond is broken and various silicon- and carbon-containing fragments form. The

Once the precursor species have formed in the gas stream, the deposition rate is very dependent on flow conditions. Figure 1 shows typical configurations of deposition canisters that contain the part to be coated. The process gas  $(\sim 97 \text{ vol } X \text{ N}_2 + \text{CH}_4 \text{ and CH}_3 \text{SiCl}_3)$  is introduced into the canister through a water-cooled injector at Reynolds numbers of 7000 near the inlet based on the canister i.d. For the larger throat inserts and nose caps, the practice has been to place a contoured centerbody in the canister to try to maintain flow conditions equivalent to those of the small-throat insert configuration. The deposition canisters may be heated by either resistance or induction heating. Optical pyrometer readings are made on the surface of the part being coated, and the power to the furnace is varied to maintain a constant substrate temperature.

When an injector is used, there will always be a recirculation region near the canister inlet. The physical characteristics of the recirculation flow will depend on the internal geometery of the canister, wall and centerbody temperatures, process gas flow rates, physical properties of the diluent, and the injector i.d. Therefore, the time-thermal history of the precursors in the recirculating flow region will differ from that of those in the nonrecirculating region.

When all these complex factors are properly controlled, the SiC phase deposits as needles perpendicular to the deposition surface, providing reinforcement in the c-direction and vastly improved shear strength between the a-b layers of the codeposited PG matrix. Reasonably uniform coatings have been obtained over axial distances of 75- to 125 mm along the substrate at rates up to 0.5 mm/h. Uniform distribution of accicular SiC in the PG matrix can be maintained given 0.1-0.4 weight fractions of SiC. The experimental data available indicate that the PG and SiC deposition rates are essentially independent of each other. The deposition rates of both increase monotonically with increasing concentrations of  $CH_4$  and  $CH_3SiCl_3$ , respectively. The deposition rate of SiC apparently decreases drastically with a temperature increase from 1900 to 2200 K. This factor emphasizes the requirement for accurate control of the temperature along the substrate surface.

2. Approach. A model complete enough for use in designing furnace geometry and fixtures and specifying power inputs, mass flow rates of reactants, and other input conditions for coating nozzle parts of differing shapes has a number

of interactive computation elements that must be validated against a reliable data base. The data base must contain information on the nature and efficiency of power input to the deposition furnace, transient and steady state thermal characteristics of the deposition furnace, heat transfer to the process gas, hydrodynamic flow during the deposition, and dependence of coating characteristics (deposition rate, microstructure, etc.) on process conditions. A review of available data showed that the data base was inadequate to cover all the requisite areas.

It was determined that the most effective way to establish the data base was to enter into a contract (LASL order No. LL6-17503-1) with ARC to provide engineering services for conducting instrumented PG/SiC codeposition runs. The work was divided into three tasks. The first was modification of an existing deposition furnace to accommodate additional instrumentation. Included also were installation and operation of a data acquisition system. LASL furnished the additional instrumentation and data acquisition system.

The second task was to run deposition tests using a straight-tube geometry similiar to that shown in Fig. 1A. This effort involved two subtasks. The first was to get data on the flow field within the deposition canister and on furnace temperatures during transient and steady state heating tests with flowing nitrogen. The second was to get similiar data during actual coating runs.

The third task was to have been the performance of deposition tests using a curved annular passage similar to that shown in Fig. 1C, but the contract funds were depleted by the second task.

B. Coating furnace and process equipment.

Initially ARC was the only company that had extensive experience with PG/SiC codeposition. Therefore, as they had complementary work in progress, the least expensive way to assemble the initial data base was to perform these engineering tests at their facility. Appendix A details the modification of the ARC process gas equipment and deposition furnace. The instrumentation requirements and calibration methods are described in Appendices B and C, respectively. C. Test Procedure

<u>1. General</u>. The tests were planned to provide enough data to explain the factors involved in coating nonreproducibility and to verify that the analytical model could predict conditions in the furnace. The latter objective was particularly important because of the complex flow anticipated owing to the jet injector and the complicated furnace configuration. All of the tests described involved the same basic furnace configuration, and they were conducted in the sequence listed. The tests are divided into four categories for discussion: cold-flow, transient heatup, steady flow holds at temperature, and coating. The first three designated 15601 (see Appendix D) were all conducted on March 16 and 17, 1976. The coating tests, designated 15800 through 15809 (see Appendix D), were conducted on May 6-7, 1976.

<u>2. Cold-flow tests</u>. The cold-flow tests were run to answer basic questions about the flow field downstream from the injector by use of a movable Pitot tube. The objective was to locate the jet boundaries and determine whether they were affected by changes in the  $N_2$  flow rate. For example, the location of the reattachment point and determination that the flow is axially symmetric are basic pieces of information that affect the modeling.

The cold-flow tests also provided an early opportunity to exercise the hydrodynamic code, VNAP, without requiring iterations with the heat-transfer calculations. They were also intended to provide a comparison with the jet velocity profile when the furnace was heated, but unfortunately, no such data were taken.

3. Transient heating test. The transient heating test was intended to verify the heat conduction model, without the complications introduced by the recirculating flow. Comparison of measured and calculated temperatures during the transient was possible without iterations between the flow and heat conduction models. The transient heating test was integrated with the normal furnace start up procedure and did not significantly increase the overall effort. It also provided an opportunity to exercise the instrumentation and to measure the transient response of the furnace for control system design.

<u>4. Flow tests</u>. These were the basic tests used to verify the furnace modeling through use of hydrodynamic calculations from VNAP and heat transfer calculations from AYER. Conducting the tests at several  $N_2$  flow rates and power levels allowed the relative scaling laws and sensitivity to modeling assumptions also to be verified. If any unexpected temperatures had been encountered, the flow tests would have been used to guide the subsequent coating tests. However, this was not necessary.

Not attempting to coat parts in these tests allowed the objectives to be met without additional constraints, thus permitting a wider latitude in power and flow settings. It also allowed the substrate surface temperature to be measured without the possibility of errors introduced by radiation scattering and absorption in the coating gas.

5. Coating tests. The coating tests were to characterize the coating achieved using the relevant process parameters. They also provided additional opportunity to verify the thermal models as described above. Although we hoped to develop a chemical kinetics and coating deposition model from these data, the complexity of the jet flow prevented doing so for the injector deposition furnace.

#### D. Instrumentation.

The instrumentation necessary for this study was determined from the above requirements. Review of the instrumentation at ARC showed that it was necessary to design a data acquisition system (DAS) that could support the program fully. LASL designed the system and gave ARC technical support in installing, calibrating, and operating it. All the instrumentation equipment was specified and furnished by LASL. The instrumentation is described in Table B-I, and its location in the furnace is shown in Fig. A-6.

1. Flow velocities. To get data on the injector characteristics and gas flow pattern in the furnace inlet tube, it was necessary to measure the gas velocity profile across the tube at different axial locations. To obtain the gas velocity profile, total and static pressure measurements were made using a Pitot tube. The expression that relates these pressures to velocity is

$$V = (2gRT (1 - P_/P_))^{1/2}$$

#### (2)

#### where

- g = gravitational constant
- R = gas constant
- T = gas temperature
- P = absolute static pressure
- P. = absolute total pressure.

2. Temperatures. To support the data requirements of the AYER and VNAP codes, the inside wall temperatures of the furnace were measured. Figure A-6 shows that the furnace consisted of many different parts made of different materials. The thermal resistance across the interface of two parts could not be determined accurately; so the heat flow could not be calculated accurately. Hence, it was necessary to measure the wall temperature of each major part, as well as temperatures at several axial and radial positions within the parts. The number of measurements was limited by the available space (Fig. A-6), the

V = gas velocity

instruments obtainable, and the wish to minimize heat transport pertubations. Parameters T-1 through T-14 represent temperature measurements of the various furnace parts. All except T-4 and T-10 through T-12 were measured using optical pyrometers. The W/W-Re thermocouple that measured parameter T-4 was included to explore the feasibility of using thermocouples, instead of pyrometers, in a furnace controller. Parameters T-10 through T-12 were measured using Type T thermocouples. Other temperature parameters (T-15 through T-20) were measured by thermocouples as described below and in Table B-I.

3. Power. The power generated in the furnace susceptor is a necessary input to the AYER code. To provide this input, two cooling water flow measurements, seven temperature measurements, and measurements to calculate the power supplied by the 10-kHz motor-generator set were made. The furnace input power that the AYER code requires is power from the susceptor. The susceptor power is what remains of the motor-generator output after the losses have been subtracted.

The total furnace power parameter, W-1 (EI cos Ø), was measured using signal conditioning equipment designed and built at LASL. The parameter W-2 (EI) was measured to verify the electrical power factor to which the furnace was tuned.

 $PF = EI \cos \phi / EI = \cos \phi$ 

(3)

where

PF = power factor

E = RMS value of voltage

I = RMS value of current

Ø = phase angle between voltage and current.

The furnace coil was paralleled with capacitance to present the motor-generator set with a power factor near unity. A power factor of unity occurs when the current and voltage are in phase. The motor-generator set can deliver its rated power at rated efficiency under these conditions.

Assuming an adiabatic process, the I<sup>2</sup>R loss in the furnace coil was determined by measuring the water flow rate (F-5), and the temperature of the cooling water at the coil inlet (T-17) and discharge (T-20). Equation (4) is used to calculate I'R loss from these measurements.  $Q_1 - Q_2 = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} m C_p dt$  (4)

Assuming that  $C_p$  is constant over the temperature range of interest, this expression becomes

(5)

$$Q = mC_p(T_2 - T_1),$$

where

Q = power  $(I^2R)$  picked up by the cooling water

m = mass flow of cooling water

C = specific heat constant

T<sub>2</sub> = temperature of discharge water

T<sub>1</sub> = temperature of inlet water.

The power removed by the furnace fixtures and instrumentation sight ports was similarly calculated from measurements of the water flow rate in these circuits and the inlet and exhaust temperatures (T-17 and T-19, respectively).

Only one parameter, F-5, is listed in the measurement list. The water flow rate in the coil was obtained by first measuring the total flow rate in all the water loops (F-5), then turning off the coil supply and measuring the remaining flow rates. Subtration gives the coil flow rates. If inconstant water supply pressure varied the flow rate, the flow rate of the two circuits would be calculated from the same ratio to main flow (F-5) determined in the above test.

The furnace radiation and conduction losses to the room's environment required measurement of the temperature parameters, furnace exterior temperature (T-10, T-11, T-12) and the room temperature (T-18). These measurements supplied the temperature data for the simplified equation  $Q_T = h_r + h_c$ , where  $Q_T =$  the total energy transferred and  $h_r$  and  $h_c$  are characteristic functions of the furnace and furnace room materials that interface with each other and of their temperature difference.

<u>4.</u> Flow rates. Accurate measurement of the reactant gas flow rates (along with some temperature data) was necessary to study the kinetics of the PG/SiC process. Measurement of MTS flow rate (F-4) and N<sub>2</sub> flow rate (F-1 and F-2) along with inlet pressure (P-3), substrate temperature (T-7), and the other inside wall temperatures, would help to determine and verify the MTS disassociation rate with respect to the temperature and the SiC deposition rate. Accurate determination of the mass flow rate of CH<sub>4</sub> (F-3), was required to help determine and, verify which parameters controlled (or were a function of) the total

deposition rate of PG/SiC and the ratio of PG to SiC. Accurate measurement of these flow rates(and wall temperatures) was necessary to establish a data base for furnace scaling as well as to determine, if possible, the reason for the previous nonreproduceability of the deposition process at ARC. Flow controllers were used to adjust and regulate the  $CH_4$  and MTS reactant flow rates. The inherent properties of the deposition process dictated the need for accurate measurement and control of the mass flow rates of these gasses.

Small fluctuations in the nitrogen flow were not critical, but knowledge of the actual flow rate was necessary. Therefore, a flow controller was not used for this gas, but accurate flowmeters were installed (F-1 and F-2).

5. Pressure. The inlet pressure parameter, P-3, was measured to determine inlet pressure fluctuations. This parameter was also required as VNAP code input. The wall static pressure measurements P-1 and P-2 were specified to help determine the flow pattern in the furnace inlet tube. Originally, these wall pressure measurements were the only source of data for defining the velocity flow field in the recirculating jet. Wall pressure measurements ware most commonly used to obtain data for sudden expansion of gasses. However, development of the moveable Pitot tube provided a means of obtaining significantly more data.

<u>6. Position</u>. To relate the parameters P-4 and P-5 to position in the furnace, the Pitot tube location had to be known. The parameters D-1 and  $\phi$ -1 were the Pitot tube's linear distance from the injector face and its plus and minus angular position from the center line of the nozzle, respectively.

7. Data-sampling rate. The sampling rates were specified after estimating the transient response of the furnace and the PG/SiC deposition process. After reviewing the physical properties of the furnace, it was felt that the characteristic equation to express its temperature as a function of time would be a second-order (or higher) differential equation. The transient response to a step power input would be similar to that of an over-damped second-order electrical system. However, a "worst case" solution was obtained by the modeling the furnace as a first-order system (Eq. 6).

 $\frac{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{t}}}{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}} = e^{-\mathbf{r}/\mathbf{T}}, \qquad (6)$ 

where

T, - final substrate temperature

T. - temperatue of substrate at t

T, - initial temperature of substrate

e = 2.71828

t = hours for substrate to reach temperature T,

 $\tau$  = furnace time constant in hours.

The time constant is defined as the time required for the output to reach 63.2% of its final steady state value after being subjected to a step input function. From experimental data (supplied by ARC) it was ascertained that the substrate would achieve steady state temperature in approximately four hours. Assuming the transient response of the furnace followed this simplified equation, Eq. 6, the furnace would reach 99.75% (or within 5° K) of its final temperature in six time constants (4 h).

The furnace time constant was calculated from Eq. (6).

$$\frac{2033 - 2028}{2033 - 294} = e^{-4/\tau}$$

 $\tau = 0.684 h = 41 min.$ 

The furnace time constant is analogous to that of an electrical system defined by a first-order differential equation. The frequency response of this type of system can be obtained from a Bode diagram.<sup>22</sup> On this diagram, the corner frequence is that at which the system output amplitude has decreased 3 db. The 3-db system frequency response is then bounded by zero and the corner frequence. The corner frequency is also identified as a function of the system time constant. Equation (7) depicts this correlation.

(7)

where

f ... - corner frequency

π = 3.14

T = time constant of mystem.

Through the use of this equation and the furnace time constant, the highest frequency to which the furnace will respond (corner frequency) can be calculated.

f<sub>cf</sub> = 0.0039 cycles/min

or, one cycle per 257 min.

The sampling theorem stipulates that if the rms spectrum of a time function g(t) is identically zero at all frequencies above W Hz, then g(t) is uniquely determined by giving its ordinates at a series of points spaced 1/2 W apart, the series extending throughout the time domain. However, for this theorem to be valid, a perfect filter must be applied to the signal of interest with a cutoff at W Hz, or the signal spectrum being sampled must be perfect and have no energy above W Hz. Neither case is practical, so the sampling rate must be greater than 2W samples/s to prevent the aliasing\* error, present in all sampling data systems, from being exceptionally large.

To reduce the aliasing error<sup>23</sup> to < 1% in a time division multiplexing (TDM) data system with two poles of filtering, the ratio of sampling frequency ( $f_s$ ) to the signal 3-db frequency ( $f_{cf}$ ) must be less than 30. Here the two poles of filtering are provided by the furnace since its transient response is defined by at least a second-order equation with a damping ratio greater than one. Substituting the 0.0039-cycle/min frequence into

 $30 = f_s / f_{cf}$ , (8)

gives a sampling frequency of 0.117 per min, or one sample per 8.6 min.

The process variables (power, reactant flow rate, and cooling water flow rate) had much faster time constants ( $\sim$  20s). However, the CVD furnace temperatures could not respond to this 20-s time constant and the process resolution exceeded 20s. Experience showed that if one of the process variable changed drastically (MTS flow turned off),  $\sim$  15 min was required to obtain an obserable change in the process. If 15 min is two-thirds of a process time constant, the process time constant is then 22.5 min. From Eq. (7) the frequency response is one cycle per 141.3 min or a sample rate of 4.7 min per sample. The frequency of the room temperature fluctuation required a similar sampling rate.

Therefore, the sampling rate used during steady state operation was one sample per 5 min, which seemed adequate on the basis of the above calculations. The sample rate was increased to one sample per minute during transient heating

\*The misrepresentation of the frequency and amplitude of the recorded signal when the data sampling rate is too much lower than the frequency of the signal being measured. and cooling of the furnace to prevent loss of information during these periods because of unknown furnace characteristics.

We are not sure that the error from aliasing in TDM systems is germane to this particular application. However, ensuring that the data sampling rate meets these requirements lends that much more credibility to the recorded data.

8. Data accuracy and recording. The end-to-end inaccuracy requirements were specified as  $\pm 2\%$  maximum. This requirement was based on several factors, one of which was the accuracy of data required as inputs to the AYER and VNAP codes or for comparison with conditions predicted by these codes. The need to ascertain the process kinetics and reproduceability characteristics dictated an inaccuracy no greater than  $\pm 2\%$ . The parameters that control the process were not entirely known at the beginning of the program. Therefore, to relate the effect of one parameter upon another, an accurate signature of each parameter was necessary. The  $\pm 2\%$  requirement was also a prerequisite for an accurate data base on which a process control system was to be designed and specified.

The data were recorded on 1/2-in. magnetic tape in a seven-track IBM format. The data-recording medium and format were chosen to allow easy access to the computer facility at LASL and to reduce the cost of data reduction and data handling.

Table B-I outlines the complete measurement list and the range required for each parameter. All parameters except F-3, F-4, F-6, T-7, and W-2 were required for the nitrogen flow test. Those measurements not required by the deposition tests were P-1, P-2, P-4, P-5, D-1,  $\oint$ -1, T-4, and T-16.

#### III DATA OBTAINED

#### A. Cold Flow Tests.

Because the Pitot tube was moved during each set of measurements, a time history plot of the pressure data is not meaningful, so they are not included in App. D.

The instrument readings that were recorded on continuous DAS scans at each position on the Pitot tube's traverse were the static and total pressure at the Pitot tube (P-4 and P-5), the two static pressures in the coating camber wall (P-1 and P-2), the static pressure in the injector tube (P-3), and the nitrogen flow rate (F-5). At each Pitot tube location, the continuous scan lasted at least 45 s and the data were sampled at 3-s intervals. This gave a

total of about 15 data points that could be averaged for each instrument ( a few points at the start and end of each scan were skipped).

<u>1. Data reduction</u>. After averaging the data points for each Pitot position, subtracting zero offsets for the initial instrument calibration, and converting from gauge to absolute pressure, we reduced the data as follows.

The fluid velocity as measured by a Pitot tube pressure difference is found from

$$v = c_p \{ 2 g (P_0 - P_1)/\rho \}^{1/2}$$
,

where

Pitot tube coefficient, assumed equal to 1.0 (usually found by calibration to be between 0.98 and 1.02)

g = gravitational constant

p = fluid density

P<sub>o</sub> = absolute total perssure

P<sub>1</sub> = absolute static pressure.

Use of the ideal gas law and the fact that velocities are low changes Eq. (9) to

$$V = C_{p} \{2gRT(P_{o} - P_{1})/P_{1}\}^{1/2}$$

where

R = gas constant

T = static temperature.

This equation was normalized to the maximum fluid velocity, at the centerline of the injector.

$$\frac{V}{V_{\text{max}}} = 0.83 \frac{A_1}{Q} \left\{ 2 \text{gRT} (P_0 - P_1) / P_1 \right\}^{1/2} , \qquad (11)$$

where

V = jet centerline velocity

Q = volumetric flow rate

A1 = injector cross-sectional area.

<u>2. Results</u>. The relative velocity plotted in Figs. 2-6 is  $V/V_{max}$ , defined above. The figures show that the velocity profile is very symmetrical about the centerline, and that the three flow rates have the same profile.

(9)

(10)



Fig. 4. Velocity profile at 152 mm.

Fig. 5. Velocity profile at 203 mm.

EXTT GAS TEMPERATURE TRAVERSE

Distance from Wall (mm)	N <sub>2</sub> Flow Test <sup>a</sup> (T. K)	Coating Test <sup>a</sup> (T. K)	
13	1315	1269	
io high, fermol	strike (en courts	1107	
25	1326	1281	
38	1322	1298	
51	1326	1289	
614	1312	1286	
76	1308	1278	
89	1305	1273	
102	1311	1266	
11/1	1304	1267	
Average	1314	1279	
a Average	of 10 scans.		
10		<del></del> }	um Azea pupa sare
0.9	MARL NOT LEADE OF	in the end of a	
0.8	r yana bela mera bel	ST ''rogradd a	
0.7	8	and sets the party	
0.6		and the second se	
foot		as area .onder	
\$ 03	8	omanj Burgaren o	
10 04	perfet at all 7 . Perfe	and the the works	
a 03		8	
02		•	
the first day		8	
0.1		7-10 correptpendo	
0.0	50 100 150	200 250 300	
	Distance from Inject	or (mm)	
Fig. 6	. Jet centerline vs distance from	velocity om injector.	

Also, the velocity profiles agree reasonable well with those that Gortler<sup>24</sup> predicted for a circular, turbulent jet. This theory predicts that the jet spreads with a 12° half-angle, which would place the reattachment point 213 mm from the injector. The experimental profile 203 mm from the injector (Fig. 5) indicates this is true in the cold flow test.

In a symmetric plane expansion, the recirculating regions are often not symmetric,<sup>25</sup> although, for the axisymmetric geometry, Macagno and Hung<sup>26</sup> showed that symmetric flow is maintained regardless of Reynolds number. Nevertheless, there is some evidence<sup>27</sup> that asymmetries can occur at high Reynolds number and high diameter ratios. An asymmetric jet in the coating furnace could cause severe problems in data interpretation and modeling.

The velocities measured near the coating furnace wall are not accurate because the Pitot tube could not be oriented with the flow direction.

#### B. Transient Heat and N. Flow Tests.

1. Exit gas temperature profile.

Because flow velocity and temperature profiles are not fully developed at the furnace exit, it is not possible to measure a single temperature in the exit gas stream to get a true mixed-mean gas temperature. Instead, the W/W-Re thermocouple T-15 was able to traverse the exit tube diameter. With the flow velocity profile predicted by VNAP, this permits an integrated mixed-mean temperature to be calculated. The measured temperature profiles in both the N<sub>2</sub> flow tests and coating tests were very uniform (Table I), making the integration unnecessary.

The probe stuck in the exit tube after one traverse in both tests and was left in its "parking" position, 13 mm from the wall for the duration of the test. The temperature measured at the parking position was very close to the average measured during the traverse.

2. Transient heatup. Data from this test were used to calibrate the AYER thermal model of the coating furnace, described in Sec. IV. One important input is the power generated in the susceptor. This is not the same as the total furnace power because of  $I^2R$  losses in the coil and bus bar. Figure 7 shows the measured outlet water temperature from the coil during the transient heatup test. (The transient heatup started at 9:30 p.m. on the first day. Therefore, time zero in Figs. 7-20 corresponds to 1290 min in the figures in App. D.) The coil water flow was measured before the start of the transient heatup test and found to be  $1.93 \times 10^{-4}$  m/s. The water heat pickup, which equals the  $I^2R$  losses, was then subtracted from the total power input to the coil. (The small additional heat input to the coil cooling water caused by convection and radiation from the



Fig. 7. Coil outlet water temperature. Fig. 8. Coil and susceptor input power.







furnace o.d. was calculated and found to be negligible). The coil input power (EI cos  $\emptyset$ ) is shown in Fig. 8, together with the susceptor input power found by differencing. The susceptor power was used as an input to the furnace thermal model. The process and annulus N<sub>2</sub> flow rates were held constant at  $6 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$  kg/s, respectively. (See Appendix D.) These purges, used to maintain an inert atmosphere in the furnace, did not remove significant heat (calculated maximum is 0.5 kW at the end of the heat up). Consequently, the N<sub>2</sub> flow could not affect measured temperatures significantly, and the AYER heat conduction model could be run independent from the VNAP fluid-flow model. The N<sub>2</sub> flow was included as an approximate boundary condition in the AYER model. The only other inputs to the model from the measured data were the gas inlet temperature and room air temperature (Fig. 9). The cycling in room air temperature is caused by the building heating system. The measured temperatures are compared with the calculated values in Figs. 10-22.

The temperature slope discontinuity measured by Milletron instruments at T-5 and T-7 (Figs. 13 and 15) is an artifact of the instrument as it comes on range. The other two Milletron instruments at T-2 and T-9 were not connected to the DAS during these tests, but in the transient heatup at the start of the coating tests, T-2 showed the same behavior at T-5 and T-7. The instrument at T-9 did not come on range until after the N<sub>2</sub> flow was increased and the DAS was recording at 5-min intervals. Measured and calcualted temperatures generally show reasonable agreement, leading to the conclusion that the AYER model can be used in conjunction with VNAP to predict steady-state temperatures in the furnace. The measured temperatures during the transient heatup before the coating tests on May 5, 1976 were almost identical (App. D).

<u>3.</u>  $N_2$  flow tests. The  $N_2$  flow tests consisted of three steady-state (1-h) holds at constant process  $N_2$  flow and (ARC panel) power. These holds were as follows.

Sequence	1	2	3_
Start time (DAS)	04:05	12:55	22:30
Min <sup>a</sup>	1685	2215	2790
Reference time <sup>b</sup>	0	530	1105
Nominal flow (std L/min)	236	472	472
Nominal power (kW)	45	45	60

a Used in figures in App. D.

<sup>b</sup> Used for figures in this section.











# Fig. 18. Fiberfrax surface temperature (mid-height).





Fig. 23. Typical temperature plot during N<sub>2</sub> flow test.

During the 7- to 9-h interval between each 1-h hold, the furnace was gradually reaching an equilibrium temperature. Figure 23 is a typical temperature plot. The temperatures for these tests are perhaps better presented in a tabular form than they are graphically.

Tables II-IV include, respectively, the measured and calculated data for each of the three steady holds listed above. The input data for the calculation are the same as those for the transient heatup test. Two measured temperatures are given for each total radiation pyrometer, T-1, T-3, T-8, T-13, and T-14. The first is an effective black-body temperature that is equal to the true surface temperature when the surface emissivity is equal to 1. The second (in parenthesis) is a "true" surface temperature\* obtained from the Wein approxomation to the <u>Planck equation, with a surface emissivity</u> of 0.85.\*\* Because the pyrometers are \*In this report, all plots for these instruments are the corrected "true" surface temperature.

\*\*The "true surface temperature is  $T = 1/(4.5 \times 10^{-5} \ln 0.85 + 1/T_b)$ , where  $T_b$  is the observed effective black-body temperature (K).

# S PRESSUR CLOR MARTE TA TABLE II . GETANDIAD OUR CONDAM

MEASURED AND CALCUALATED PARAMETERS AT STEADY HOLD NUMBER 1

Parameter		Measured	
F-1, Process N <sub>2</sub> (std	g/min)	218	Input to calculation
F-2, Annulus N <sub>2</sub> (std	¥/min)	6.0	Input to calculation
N-1, EI cos 0 (KW)		35.8	(NA) outsit field the
Water heat pickup (kw	1)	7.8	
Net susceptor power,	(kw)	28.0	Input to calculation
			Calculated
<b>T-1</b> (K)		1590. \$ 6.8 (1609	.) 1690
T-2 <sup>a</sup> (K)		2162	2465
T-3 (K)		2255 (2293)	2659
т-5 <sup>b</sup> (к)		2095	21,1,1,1
т-6 (к)		2066	2722
т-7 (к)		2058	2418
т-8 (к)		2054. ± 5.1 (2085	) 2659
T-9 <sup>a</sup> (K)		1163	1566
T-10 (K)		431	474
<b>T-11</b> (K)		429	429
T-12 (K)		401	FOT
<b>T-13 (K)</b>		2066 (2098)	2669
т-14 (к)		2139 (2173)	2669
T-15 (K)		1314	1821

\* From hand-recorded data.

<sup>b</sup> T-4 and T-16 omitted because of over range.

## TABLE III

the state of the state of

## MEASURED AND CALCULATED PARAMETERS AT STEADY HOLD NUMBER 2

Parameter	M	easured			
F-1, Process N <sub>2</sub> (std 1/min)		430		Input	to calculation
F-2, Annulus N <sub>2</sub> (std 1/min)		6.0		Input	to calculation
W-1, EI cos 0 (KW)		36.5			
Water heat pickup (kW)		8.4			
Net susceptor power (kW)		28.1		Input	to calculation
				, 10100	Calculated
T-1 (K)		1513 ± 8	.35 (1530)		1493
T-2 <sup>2</sup> (K)		1922			1888
T-3 (K)		2115 ± 2	.93 (2148)		2223
T-5 (K)		1947 1 5	.6		1886
T-6 (K)		1924 ± 3	•37		2256
T-7 (K)		1925 ± 5	.1		1850
T-8 (K)		1909 1 2	.1 (1936)		2191
T-9 (K)		1314 ± 3	9.2		1339
T-10 (K)		430 ± 5	.5		442
T-11 (K)		430 ± 1	.5		հրր
T-12 (K)		405 ± 0	.97		412
T-13 (K)		1958 ± 2	(1986)		2247
т-14 (к)	(2020)	2013 2 2	(2043)		2211
T-15 (K)		1218 1 1	.57		1511

<sup>a</sup>From hand-recorded data.

## looking through a long graphite tobe VI SABLE and of the tube at meaning the

MEASURED AND CALCULATED PARAMETERS AT STEADY HOLD NUMBER 3

Measured	
420	Input to calculation
6.1	Input to calculation
48.7	and stars and the stars
11.7	Contine Tests
37.0	Input to calculation
	Calculated
<pre>1820 (1845)    (off-scale)</pre>	1810
2279	2576
≈ 2604 (~ 2654)	2896
2304 ± 1.8	2507
2363 ± 6.1	2970
2271 ± 2.1	2454
2331 ± 6.3 (2371)	2897
1443	1706
7月8	495
467	504
434	465
~ 2325 (2365) (off-scale)	2916
2447 ± 1.4	2909
• 1485	1945
	Measured 420 6.1 48.7 11.7 37.0 • 1820 (1845) (off-scale) 2279 • 2604 (~ 2654) 2304 ± 1.8 2363 ± 6.1 2271 ± 2.1 2331 ± 6.3 (2371) 1443 448 467 434 • 2325 (2365) (off-scale) 2447 ± 1.4 • 1485

\* From hand-recorded data.
looking through a long graphite tube, with the end of the tube at nearly the same temperature as the surface, the effective emissivity of the tube/surface approaches 1.0, and the correction may not be necessary. This will depend on the relative diameter of the "spot" focused on by the pyrometer to the diameter of the tube and the temperature gradient along the tube. Because the T-3 and T-8 pyrometers look across the annular gap between the susceptor and cansiter, the correction is probably needed for them. It is debatable whether it is needed for the others, but in any case the two values given place limits on the correct temperature.

#### C. Coating Tests.

#### 1. Characterization of pyrolytic graphite/silicon carbide deposits.

<u>a. General comments</u>. The process conditions were chosen to lie within the range that ARC used for recent PG/SiC work to have a direct comparison with work done before the instrumented runs. Table V summarizes the process conditions used. These conditions correspond to 1.2 vol % of CH<sub>4</sub> for all three layers, and 0.235, 0.155, and 0.125 vol % of MTS for layers 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

Near the start of the third deposition layer, a hole, caused by oxidation, broke into the deposition canister at the joint between the upper spacer and the cansiter extension. The hole was at 50° from the angular reference line. Figgure 24 shows the extent of oxidation. Subsequent examination of the coat from that layer indicated that the deposition chemistry was radically different, so it was not used in the analysis. To minimize possible effects of the breech on layers 1 and 2, samples were cut from the upper spacer, substrate, and exit spacer at 230° from the angular reference line, 180° from the breech. Figure 25 shows the sooty, nonadherent type of deposit that typically occurs at the upper end of the deposition cansiter near the coating gas inlet orifice. To a degree, the sooty deposit was enhanced by the air leak. Figures 26-28 show the surface texture of the coat in the upper spacer, substrate, and exit spacer regions. The third layer cracked and separated from the underlying coat near the bottom of the exit spacer.

b. <u>Microstructure</u>. Figures 29 and 30 show the microstructures of the three layers at 248 mm from the orifice. The microstrucutral code type appears to be Group 21 for both layers:<sup>9</sup> "SiC phase medium sized with a tendency to cluster in the PG cone boundaries, acicular needles 0.9-5.2 µm dia with a L/D ratio greater than 3/1." Figure 33 is a photomicrograph of layer 1 at 306 mm

#### TABLE V

#### SUMMARY OF PROCESS CONDITIONS

Layer	N <sub>2</sub> (std ¥min)	CHj(std ∦min)	MTS(gpm)	Surface Temperature Midpoint Subs., (K)	Time (h)
1	405.0 ± 0.7	4.90 ± 0.05	6.4420.04	2020 ± 4	4
2	405.1 ± 0.7	4.90 ± 0.05	4.26±0.01	2020 ± 7	4
3	545.1 2 3.8	7.72 ± 0.08	5.37±0.15	2020 1 15	4

#### TABLE VI

#### COAT CHARACTERISATION DATA

Contetor	free	- Con	t Thickne	alis)			at Donatt	v (a/an)			Content o	f Coat (	/0)
Part	(=)	Surr 1	LAYPE Z	Layor 1	Layor 2	Layer 1	Layer 2	Laver 1	Layer 2	LAVET .	Laver 2	LAVOT 1	Laver 2
Entrance	193	16.5	15.7	-	•	2.28	2.22	2.21	2.185	6.740.2	2.640.1		•
	256	\$0.5	19.0	-	•	2.20	2.28	2.215	2.190	6.340.1	2.940.1	-	
•	845	27.3	25.8	22-32	25-35	2.23	2.22	2.25	2.24	9.323.1	5.540.9	1241	821
Substrate	250	32.3	<b>1.1</b>	-	•	2.30	2.24	2.25	2.25	16.940.2	10.740.2		
•	306	34.2	يا. بلا	35-38	35	2.28	2.25	2.29	2.25	18.740.1	11.920.1	17*1	1241
	311	35.0	34.3		•	2.43	2.23	2.29	2.25	21.240.2	12.940.1		
Bris	151	37.1	34.2	•	•	2.29	2.20	2.265	2.25	18.940.4	12.640.1		
	357	35.1	33.7	•		2.30	2.15			19.740.1	12.440.1		
•	116	32.7	31.6	-	•	2.28	2.23	2.275	1.85	20.940.1	13.540.1		
•	147	30.6	28.1		•	2.34	2.22	-		21.540.1	13.640.1		
•	172	27.0	26.0	•	•	2.33	2.23	2.275	1.15	22.820.1	14.440.1	•	

Density determination by more any norosinety.
 Density determination by sink of float technique.
 Sife content by ashing tochnique.
 Sife content by nicryrobe analysis.

TABLE VII.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE RESULTS

CH4 switched from 3.29 £/min to sero at 02.37.24 (1597.4) Ng = 940 SCFH Time of switch = 1597.40000 Number of Data Points = 30

#### T-15 Gas Average Before = 1364.020 K, Average After = 1364.193 K

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sums of Squares	Mean Squares	F-Ratio
Between Flows	1	0.2253	0.2253	A.78
Within Data	28	1.3335	0.0476	
Total	29	1.559		
<u>1-7</u>	Substrate Average Before = 1	2016.475 K, Average After	- 2021.433 K	
Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sums of Squares	Mean Squares	F-Ratio
Between Flows	1	184.5120	184.5120	99.00
Within Data	28	52.1827	1.8657	
Total	29	236.69		
<u>T-5</u>	Backside Average Before = 2	147.260 K, Average After	2147.460 K	
Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sums of Squares	Mean Squares	F-Ratio
Between Flows	1	0.3000	0.3000	0.54
Within Data	28	15.6120	0.5576	
Total	29	15.012		



Fig. 24. Area where a hole was formed by oxidation which allowed gases in the furnace body to enter the deposition canister.



Fig. 26. Surface of the deposited coat on the entrance spacer.



Fig. 27. A section of the substrate showing the surface of the deposited coat.



Fig. 28. A section of the exit spacer showing the surface of the deposited coat.



Fig. 29. Photomicrograph (LOX) of the microstructure of the deposited layers 248 mm from the orifice and 25 mm above the bottom of the entrance spacer.



Fig. 30. Photomicrograph (LOX) of the microstructure of the deposited layers 306 mm from the orifice at the midpoint of the substrate.



Fig. 31. Photomicrograph (630X) of the microstructure of layer 1 248 mm from the orifice.

- Fig. 32. Photomicrograph (630X) of the microstructure of layer 2 248 mm from the orifice.



from the orifice. The microstructural code type appears to be Group 5: "SiC phase very fine, evenly dispersed, acicular needles less than 0.25  $\mu$ m dia with a L/D ratio greater than 3/1." There is a tendency for clustering at the PG cone boundaries where the structure approaches Group 21.

c. Coat thickness measurements. The thicknesses of layers 1 and 2 were measured in 25-mm steps from 193 to 472 mm from the inlet. The results are given in Table VI.

d. Coat density measurements. A 25-mm-wide strip was cut from the upper spacer, substrate, and exit spacer; separated into the individual layers; cut into segments 25 mm long; and then crushed to < 1.5-mm diam particle size. The density of the material from each segment was determined by mercury porosimetry at pressures of 0.5, 21., and 103. MPa. The values determined at 103 MPa are tabulated in Table VI. The distances from the inlet correspond to the mid point of the analyzed segment. The table also contains densities determined at ARC by a sink-or-float technique.

e. Determination of the SiC content. The rest of the crushed material from the coat density measurements was used to determine the SiC content of the individual layers. Duplicate 0.2-g samples were fired in a muffle furnace at 1373 K for 24 h. The weight percent of SiC was calculated gravimetrically and the results are presented in Table VI. Table VI also contains ARC SiC microprobe analyses. The SiC content of the 3rd layer on the upper spacer and substrate was 1 wt %.

f. Correlation between sample density and wt % of contained SiC. A least-squares fit to the data in Table VI gave the following relationship.

$$\frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{1 - W}{2.195} + \frac{W}{2.689}$$

where W is the SiC weight fraction in the coat, and  $\rho$  is the density. Figure 35 shows the calculated and observed results.

2. Coating gas effect on substrate temperature measurement. Control of the coating process has generally been based on the optically measured temperature on the substrate (T-7 in these tests). Concern had arisen because the readings might be affected by radiation scattering and absorption in the coating gases and/or their pyrolysis products. Some preliminary calculations had indicated that the effect, if any, would be small, but these were not conclusive because of a lack of property data and information about elemental (solid) carbon particle size, shape, and distribution.



### (<sup>E</sup>m\pM) (tisned too)

a statistichesity significant, the backwide temperature of the substrate, I-3 erranged by 0.2 K, which is not significant. The increases in indicated tem eachers for T-7 is to the direction that would be expected from clearing the pays gas from between the autoprate and sight port view CN, is subtrind of

The tests involved measuring the temperatures at the coating surface (T-7) and behind the substrate (T-5), and that of the exit gas (T-15) with continuous scans of the DAS while the coating gases were switched on and off. If the opacity of the coating gases was affecting the pyrometer at T-7, it should record nearly instantaneous changes in temperature while the others were unaffected. If simultaneous pertubations in the furnace power and flow, or unknown causes, were affecting T-7, the other instruments should also record the changes. Furthermore, the changes must be statistically significant and therefore distinguishable from the uncontrolled (random) errors in the data. The test for significance used analysis of variance, computing the "F-ratio," or ratio of the mean squares in the data between flows to that within flows. Probabilities for such F-ratios are in standard tables for judging whether or not the ratio of variances is great enough to be significant. For example, comparing 15 temperature data points before the CH, is switched, to 15 data points taken after the switch, the F-ratio must be greater than 7.64 for 99% probability that the difference observed is real and not caused by chance alone. Note that a high F-ratio does not prove the cause of the difference, but only that it is not by chance. It is thus a necessary, but not sufficient, econdition for the conclusions to be drawn.

Table VII presents results of the variance analysis for 30 data points spanning the time when the  $CH_4$  was switched from a nominal 3.5  $\ell/min$  to zero. The first line in the printout identifies what happened and the time when the  $CH_4$  switch was thrown. The data are sampled at 3-s intervals so the 15 data points span 45 s before and after  $CH_4$  introduction. The time the  $CH_4$  took to travel 8 m from the value to the furnace through the line is < 0.13 s. The second line of the printout verifies the time in minutes when the switch was thrown and the total number of data points. The third line shows the measured average  $CH_4$  flow rate for the 15 data points on either side of introduction. The analysis of variance results for the three temperature measurements fill the rest of the table.

The exit gas temperature, T-15, increased by an average 0.173 K, which is not significant. The substrate surface temperature increased by 4.96 K which is statistically significant. The backside temperature of the substrate, T-5, increased by 0.2 K, which is not significant. The increase in indicated temperature for T-7 is in the direction that would be expected from clearing the opaque gas from between the substrate and sight port when  $CH_4$  is switched off. figure 16 is a plot of the temperature data used in the stalysis shown in Table VII. The temperature scales for 7-15 and T-5 are grantly expanded over that used for T-7.

Shathar analyses were carried out for each the domains the conting the conting the when colding same were coldined on and off. The conclusions are that the apparent temperature measured by the Milletron two-obler pyrometer at T-7 is affarind by an average of 4.3 K by CN<sub>2</sub>, but the MTS caused a statistically





Fig. 36. Effect of coating gas on temperatures.

The coating furnace shown in Fig. A-5 was identified as a meries of finite imments, shows in Fig. 37. The various materials used to assemble the furnace Figure 36 is a plot of the temperature data used in the analysis shown in Table VII. The temperature scales for T-15 and T-5 are greatly expanded over that used for T-7.

Similar analyses were carried out for each time during the coating runs when coating gases were switched on and off. The conclusions are that the apparent temperature measured by the Milletron two-color pyrometer at T-7 is affected by an average of 4.3 K by  $CH_4$ , but the MTS caused a statistically significant effect in only 3 out of 10 cases.

IV DEVELOPMENT OF THERMAL-FLOW MODEL

The coating furnace was modeled by use of the finite-element heat conduction program, AYER.<sup>27</sup> The program implicitly solves the general two-dimensional equation of heat conduction including effects of time (transient problem), inplane anisotropic thermal conductivity, and interface thermal contact resistance. Besides the furnace dimensions and material properties, the model of the ARC furnace used the following measured parameters as input.

- · Heat generation rate in the susceptor.
- · Water flow rate and temperature.
- · Gas inlet temperature.
- · Room temperature.

The code output consists of temperatures that can be compared with corresponding measurements. Also, the total heat transported out of the furnace at the various boundaries is computed and can be used to check the overall heat balance. The calculated temperature along the wall is an input to the numerical fluid dynamics code, VNAP<sup>28</sup>, used to model the furnace gas flow. This code solves the two-dimensional, time-dependent Navier-Stokes equations through use of an explicit finite-difference procedure. VNAP requires the measured gas-flow rate, pressure, and temperature as input data. The output includes fluid velocity components in the radial and axial directions, temperature, pressure, and density at each mesh point. The axial distribution of heat transferred from the wall is calculated and used as an input to AYER. The first part of this section discusses AYER; the second part discusses VNAP.

A. Heat Conduction Model.

The coating furnace shown in Fig. A-6 was idealized as a series of finite elements, shown in Fig. 37. The various materials used to assemble the furnace are identified by shading. At lateral interfaces between component parts, a



i for superports de distributes d for reduced passer in the 15-The cotal power dn the suscen to furnace, minus the T<sup>2</sup> s base have to the coll colles were:



then black depends on the part, as fractific, the properities the data available in the 1967 appropriate parmeters. Also the auterial maripaded in the AM position<sup>30</sup> was used to evaluate theure 38 shows the thermal condigure 38 shows the thermal conpressure ve temperature. The transmitted to the solution order of magnitude as the the ifaits of the range apperents in the calculations, recent

H			
1			
t		100 10	
1.11			

N<sub>2</sub>
Carbon black
ATJ graphite
Porous carbon

Indicates location of thermal contact resistance

Fig. 37. AYER model of coating furnace.

thermal contact resistance is included. The following subsections describe the modeling parameters.

<u>1. Heat generation.</u> The power generated in the susceptor is distributed uniformily throughout the susceptor volume, except for reduced power in the finite element opposite the bottom end of the coil. The total power in the susceptor is obtained from the measured power input to the furnace, minus the  $I^2R$  loss in the coil and bus bar, measured by the heat pickup in the coil cooling water (see Tables II-IV).

2. Material properties. Properties of the HLM and the ATJ graphite were obtained from Ref. 29. Porous carbon properties based on supplier's literature were obtained from ARC.

The effective thermal conductivity of carbon black depends on the particle size, shape, size distribution, and packing fraction, the properties of the interstitial gas; and the temperature. The data available in the literature are not always identified with all of the appropriate parameters. Also the packing fraction (or the void fraction) of the material as-loaded in the ARC furnace could not be measured. A theoretical equation<sup>30</sup> was used to evaluate the significance of some of these parameters. Figure 38 shows the thermal conductivity of carbon black in nitrogen at 1-atm pressure vs temperature. The average particle size and size distribution used in the theoretical equation correspond to those of the "Thermax" brand of carbon black used at ARC. The scatter in the literature data is about the same order of magnitude as the effect of a 50-90% range in void fraction (about the limits of the range expected in the furnace). The curve for 75% void was used in the calculations, except in the upper part of the furnace where an adjustment for the sight ports was included (dotted line).

The thermal conductivity of fiberfrax laminate tubes is about the same as that of the carbon black  $(0.084 \text{ W/m} \cdot \text{K})$ , according to the supplier's literature. For economy in modeling, the fiberfrax was not included explicitly in the finite element mesh.

3. Boundary conditions. Heat transfer boundary conditions to the finite element model include the gas jet flowing along the furnace centerline, water \*Manufacture's designation for a type of graphite produced by Great Lakes Carbon Corp.

\*\*Consisting of contributions from conduction through the solid and gaseous phases, plus radiation.







cooling of the top and bottom plates, and the combined radiation with natural convection to the room air and surroundings.

a. Gas jet. This was the most important and most difficult boundary condition to model. A very large, strong recirculating zone is set up by the jet injector. Depending on flow conditions, the reattachment point of the jet to the furnace wall can extend downstream beyond the substrate. Within the recirculation zone, the gas velocity along the wall is much slower than the jet and is in the opposite direction (see Sec. B). There is a net transport of heat energy in this gas toward the inlet end of the furnace. Between 0 and 102 mm from the injector, the wall is cooler than the gas adjacent to it, so there is a negative wall heat flux (the wall is heated by the gas) in this region. Because of the nonuniformities, the usual nondimensional treatment of heat transfer to flow in a tube cannot be used to extrapolate to other geometries or flow conditions.

The wall heat flux in the recirculating region was first estimated from the available literature.<sup>31</sup> The wall temperature that AYER calculated using this boundary heat flux at the gas-solid interface was then used as a boundary condition in the VNAP hydrodynamic code. The resulting wall heat flux calculated by VNAP was then substituted for the original estimate and the process was repeated until the temperatures and heat flux were essentially unchanged. Figure 39 shows wall heat flux vs distance from the injector for N<sub>2</sub> flow test II. Wall temperatures are discussed in Sec. B.

b. Fiberfrax. The heat transfer from the outer surface of the fiberfrax cylinder has three components; radiation, free convection, and localized cooling at the water-cooled sight ports. The free convection coefficient along the vertical side of the furnace was obtained from

 $Nu_x = 0.378 \ Gr_x^{1/4}$ ,

where

Nu = Nusselt number based on distance from bottom plate

Gr\_ = Grasholf number based on distance from bottom plate.

The radiation heat transfer was based on the assumption that the fiberfrax radiates as a grey body with total hemispherical emissivity<sup>29</sup> of 0.8 at room temperature, decreasing linearly to 0.7 at 600 K.

The localized cooling at the sight ports would require a three-dimensional finite element mesh for explicit modeling, so the localized cooling was areaweighted and averaged with the combined radiation-free convection described above.

The overall heat loss from sight ports was about 26% of the total loss from the fiberfrax.

c. Top and bottom plates. The water-cooled furnace base plate and furnace lid (part Nos. 3 and 29, respectively, in Fig. A-6) are stainless steel with internal flow passages. The water heat-transfer coefficient was calculated by use of standard correlations based on the flow passage dimensions and measured water flow rates. An adjustment was made to account for the thickness of stainless steel surrounding the coolant, as it was not explicitly modeled.

d. Internal boundaries. At interfaces between the internal parts of the furnace, there are contact resistances or actual gaps between the parts. Also, there are large internal cavities in some locations (Fig. A-6).

The effective heat transfer conductance at the interfaces was calculated from the following relation for parallel paths;

 $h_e = h_r + h_c$ ,

where  $h_e$  is the effective conductance used in the model. The quantity  $h_r$ , the conductance from thermal radiation, is given by the relation

 $h_r = F_{1-2} \epsilon' \sigma (T_1^4 - T_2^4) / (T_1 - T_2)$ , (15)

where

$$\varepsilon' = [1/\varepsilon_1 + 1/\varepsilon_2 - 1]^{-1}$$

 $\sigma$  = Stefan-Boltzman constant

 $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$  = total hemispherical emissivity of surfaces, 0.85 for graphite

 $T_1, T_2 = temperature of surfaces.$ 

Because the gaps are small, the radiation view factor,  $F_{1-2}$ , is unity.

The quantity  $h_c$ , the conductance through stagnant  $N_2$  at the joints, is given by

 $h_{a} = \lambda/\delta$ ,

where

 $\lambda$  = thermal conductivity of nitrogen = 0.000321 T 0.771

 $\overline{T}$  = average temperature of gas in the gap

 $\delta = gap thickness = \delta_0 + \alpha_1 \cdot r_1 (\overline{T}_1 - T_0) - \alpha_2 r_2 (\overline{T}_2 - T_0)$ ,

where

δ<sub>0</sub> = nominal gap at assembly

 $a_1, a_2$  = thermal expansion coefficients for parts on either side of the gap  $r_1, r_2$  = nominal radius of the parts on either side of the gap,  $r_1 > r_2$ 

 $\overline{T}_1$ ,  $\overline{T}_2$ = average temperature of the parts

To = room temperature.

For the large cavities, radiation is the dominant mode of heat transfer. Approximatey 70% of the susceptor power is transported to the canister o.d. by radiation. Calculation of the diffuse reflection and absorption in the toroidal cavity beneath the canister lid could become complicated because the temperature varies along the surface. After several alternative schemes were tried, the best results were obtained by assuming that the incremental areas defined by the finite elements radiate only to the incremental areas directly opposite. The appropriate view factors for each surface were obtained from standard references. Interior cavities in the furnace greatly complicate the thermal analysis.

Heat can radiate axially along the furnace centerline from the hotter region near the substrate to the cooler regions near the injector and the furnace exit. Fortunately, the view factor is small, and a small correction (~ 10%) was made to the wall heat flux supplied by VNAP.

Because much heat is transferred by radiation, free convection in the cavities is negligible in comparison.

4. Overall heat balance. As a check on the heat transfer model, the summary in Table VIII lists the measured heat pickup in the furnace cooling water. The heat convected to the gas jet and that lost from the fiberfrax to the room are also listed, as calculated by AYER. The total of these three quantities should equal the susceptor power, except for measurement and modeling errors. B. Flow Model.

The inner part, or coating region, of the furnace is shown in Fig. 40. The injector is assumed to be mounted flush with the upstream end of the furnace. The flow enters from the left and leaves at the right. The bottom line is the axis of symmetry; the upper line is the furnace and gas interface.

<u>1. Numerical method</u>. The flow region in Fig. 40 is divided into 40 axial and 16 radial computational cells. The fluid properties at the cell edges or mesh points are computed by use of a second-order, explicit, finite-difference scheme. The boundary conditions consist of specification of the density, the axial and radial velocity components at the inlet, the temperature (AYER code)

## TABLE VITT

# OVERALL HEAT BALANCE

			14	flow Tests		Coatin	g Tests
	Parameter	Unit		H	E	H	H
i	P-5 water flow	std Vain	74.53	74.53	74.53	90.0 ± 3	92.0 ± 1
~	T19-F17 water AT	ы	2.83	2.99	li.00	3.05	2.90
ň	Q, water heat pickup	M	14.7	15.5	20.7	1.91	18.6
Ę.	Qgas gas heat pickup <sup>b</sup>	RA	8.7	1.6	12.5	8.4	8.lı
ŝ	Quide free-connection + radiation from fiberfrach.c	R	3.6	3.3	5.0	3.2	3.2
é	TNIOL	KA	27.0	28.2	38.2	30.7	30.2
-	Susceptor input power	NV.	28.1	28.0	37.0	26.6	9.15
ŵ	Difference	NA.	1.1	0.2	1.2	Lai	2.6

<sup>a</sup>Average of measurements at 3:45 and 9:00 p.m. with power off.

## bcalculated

CRepresents total loss from model o.d. less 26% estimate picked up in sight port cooling water, which is included in item 1.



and zero velocity components at the furnace wall, and the pressure at the furnace exit. The steady-state solutions presented here are obtained by allowing the flow to relax in time from an arbitrary initial guess to the final, two-dimensional, steady-state solution. Typical cases required ~1 h of computational time on a CDC-7600 computer with the VNAP code using the bulk of the time.

2. Turbulence model. The turbulence was modeled by using a mixing-length approximation. A more advanced kinetic-energy model is in progress. However, because present kinetic-energy models neglect density variations and generally do not predict flows with large density variations very well, <sup>32</sup> it is not obvious that these more advanced models will offer significant improvement for the seven-fold density variations considered here.

3. Results. The results for one cold wall flow test with  $N_2$  gas, three hot wall flow tests with  $N_2$  gas, and one coating run are presented below.

<u>a. Cold wall flow tests</u>. The 472  $\ell/\min$  (1000 SCFH) case was calculated and compared with experiment. Figure 41 shows the velocity vector plot and contour plots of temperature and Mach number. Again, the bottom line is the axis of symmetry, the upper line is the furnace-gas interface, and the flow is from left to right. The high and low contour lines are labeled H and L, respectively. The flow remains separated from the furnace wall well past the substrate. The axis of symmetry, or centerline, velocity decay with axial distance is shown in Fig. 42, and the velocity as a function of radius at an axial station 152 mm from the injector is given in Fig. 43. Figures 42 and 43 show that while the calculated velocity decay lags behind these experimental data, the agreement is reasonably good for the present calculations. Although a kinetic-energy turbulence model would improve these results, <sup>32</sup> again it is not obvious that such models would significantly improve the heated wall cases.

b. Heated wall flow tests. Figure 44 shows the velocity vector plot along with contour plots of temperature and Mach numbers for Flow Test II. Again, the flow remains separated from the furnace wall past the substrate. This recirculating flow causes gas to flow upstream from a hotter to cooler region, thereby forming a hot spot near the furnace inlet. This causes heat to flow from the gas to the furnace. Figure 45 shows the calculated gas temperature at the furnace wall as a function of axial distance for the three flow tests.

c. Coating run. The velocity vector plot and contour plots of temperature and Mach number for the coating run are shown in Fig. 46. The calculated gas temperature at the furnace wall is given in Fig. 47.



Coasing run The velocity vector plot and contour plots of temper over and hach masher for the coasing ren are shown in Fig. 46. The calculated



Fig. 42. Centerline velocity decay with axial distance from the injector for the cold wall case.







Fig. 15. Wall temperature vs axial distance from the injector for the three flow tests.









.

d. Discussion of results. The calculated wall temperature profiles for Flow Test II and the coating run agree fairly well with the experimental data. However, Flow Test I and III do not agree. It is not clear whether the problem lies in the furnace modeling, flow and turbulence modeling, or the AYER and VNAP code interfacing. Because the part of the experiment that was to produce velocity profiles for the heated wall case failed, the entire experimental flow data consist of three wall temperature measurements. Such data are not adequate to evaluate the performance of the flow and turbulence models. Because the turbulence modeling for such large density variations of a compressible fluid in a duct with an abrupt area increase is largely unknown, these data were very necessary.

Therefore, the determination of the performance and subsequent improvement of the flow and turbulence models will require additional flow data. Also, experiments with a simplified furnace geometry as well as ones with a simplier flow passage would be very helpful in locating problem areas.

4. Conclusions. The gas flow in injector furnaces is characterized by a large separated flow region with associated hot spot and a high level of turbulince. When the substrate is in the separated region, the amount of new material convected over the substrate is reduced. However, the high level of turbulence increased the diffusion of new material through the separated region. Also, high levels of turbulence may have a pronounced effect on the uniformity of coating. Finally, the wall temperature distribution upstream of the substrate seems difficult to control.

The injector furnace contains many complex physical phenomena occuring, simultaneously, so its design is a complicated task. The combined experimental and theorectical approach taken here does appear to be very promising.

V DEPOSITION KINETICS

We were not able to develop an analytical deposition kinetics model for the injector deposition furnace because of the complex computational problems encountered. (An analytical deposition kinetics model was developed for the channel flow furnace and is described in Volume II.) The following discussion characterizes the deposition process.

A. Characterization of the deposition process.

<u>1. Deposition rate</u>. The experimental deposition rate of PG and SiC as a function of axial position were calculated from the relations,

 $R_{c} = \frac{\Delta \ell \rho (1 - W)}{t \ 12.01} \quad (moles/cm^{2}-s)$ 

57

(17)

and

$$R_{SiC} = \frac{\Delta \ell \rho W}{t 40.10} \quad (moles/cm^2 - s)$$

where

 $\Delta \ell$  = the layer thickness deposited in time t

t = time that deposition for the layer occurs

p = density of the layer

W = weight fraction of SiC in layer

12.01 = molecular weight of C

40.01 = molecular weight of SiC.

Smooth curve plots of the data from Table VI were used to interpolate values of  $\Delta \ell$ ,  $\rho$ , and W at 20-mm intervals. Figure 48 shows the calculated deposition rates as a function of distance from the injector. The deposition rate data for carbon are essentially the same for layers 1 and 2, in agreement with the constant CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> ratio used for both runs. The SiC deposition rate is proportional to the MTS concentration in the process gas stream.

The carbon deposition rate is constant ( $\pm$  5%) from 295 to 375 mm from the injector. This interval adequately spans the substrate surface. The SiC deposition rate for both layers 1 and 2 was constant ( $\pm$  5%) over the interval 315 to 395 mm from the injector. At about 260 mm, the deposition rates of both components drop off sharply.

2. Characterization of temperature and  $N_2$  flow field. Figure 49 shows the characteristic  $N_2$  gas flow for the coating run. The separated flow region (recirculation region) is characterized by two intersecting surfaces. On one surface,  $V_R$ , the radial velocity component is zero; on the other,  $V_X$ , the axial velocity component is zero. The line at which  $V_X$  and  $V_R$  intersect is the axis of rotation of the recirculation region. The line at which  $V_X$  intersects the deposition canister wall (attachment point) separates the deposition process into two domains. Upstream from the attachment point, deposition is from a separated flow region and downstream from the attachment point, the deposition is from an attached flow region. Because the mass flow in the attached flow region must equal the mass flow introduced through the injector, one can define the surface of separation between the recirculation region and the flow that forms the attacheed flow region beyond the attachment point by the relation

(18)



Fig. 48. Depostion rates as a function of distance from injector.

And the second se



$$\dot{\mathbf{m}} = 2\pi \int_{0}^{R} \mathbf{r} \, \rho \, \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{r} \, ,$$

where

- ρ = gas density
- v gas velocity
- R = radius at which equality is satisfied
- m mass flow rate,

for a fixed axial distance from the injector. Values of R that satisfy this relation have been plotted in Fig. 50 and denoted as the envelope surface. To determine the ratio of the mass flow in the recirculating region to  $\dot{m}$ , the following integrations were preformed.

$$\mathbf{h} = 2\pi \int \mathbf{r} \rho \mathbf{v} \, d\mathbf{r} \tag{20}$$

along  $V_{p}$  from the axis of rotation to the wall, and

$$\mathbf{\hat{m}}_{r} = 2\pi \int \mathbf{r} \, \rho \, \mathbf{v}_{r} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \tag{21}$$

along  $V_X$  from the axis of rotation to the wall. As expected,  $\dot{m}_X = \dot{m}_Y$ , and the ratios,  $\dot{m}_Y / \dot{m} = \dot{m}_X / \dot{m} = 0.28$ .

To compare flux rates near the substrate, axial plots of the radial component of nitrogen flux ( $v_r\rho/28.01$ ) at R = 44.5 and 47.6 mm from the wall are presented in Fig. 30A and 50B. If the N<sub>2</sub> flux is multiplied by the initial volume percent of methane in the process gas stream, one has an upper approximation of the flux of carbon (as CH<sub>4</sub>) moving by the substrate. For example, at 300 mm (approximate center of substrate) the axial component of the flux past the surface at 1.5 mm is  $1.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$  moles/cm<sup>2</sup>-s; whereas the radial component of the flux toward the surface is  $6 \cdot 10^{-7}$  and  $3 \cdot 10^{-7}$  moles/cm<sup>2</sup>-s at R=44.5 and 47.6 mm, respectively. These values may be compared with the experimentally determined carbon flux of  $1 \cdot 10^{-6}$  moles/cm<sup>2</sup>-s at this point. The canister wall temperature is shown in Fig. 50C.

From the information presented, the following characteristics of the temperature and flow field were identified.

(19)



...

3

Fig. 50. Flow rates and wall temperatures in canister during deposition of Layers 1 and 2. A - Radial component of N<sub>2</sub> flow toward wall at R = 47.6 and 44.5 mm. B - Mean axial component (1.5 mm from wall) of N<sub>2</sub> flow back up wall. C - calculated wall temperature. T-7 measured on substrate surface. T-2 calculated surface substrate temperature based on wall temperature measurement.

Deposition on the substrate occured from a recirculation region (separated flow region). The coating gas was flowing back toward the inlet end of the canister when it moved over the substrate.

The substrate surface temperature and the gas temperature near the substrate decrease as the coating gas moves across the substrate surface.
Fresh CH<sub>4</sub> and MTS are introduced into the recirculation region by turbulent mixing along the envelope surface. The amount of new material convected to the substrate in a recirculation region is less than that in the attached flow region.

#### B. Comparison of Present and Prior Work.

Figure 51 summarizes prior ARC work with a depositon furnace similar to the one used now. The inside diameter of the deposition canister and injector, and the coating gas compositions were the same. The internal configuration was similiar to that shown in Fig. 1-C. The distance to the center of the substrate was ~ 300 mm, and the deposition apparently took place from an attached flow region. The temperature corresponds to the surface temperature at the center of the substrate.

The carbon deposition rate at 2033 K (calculated surface temperature at center of substrate) in the prior work was 1.5 times greater than that in the current work. This can be explained by a larger convective transfer near the point of attachment. Figure 52 compares the SiC deposition rates as a function of MTS concentration at 2033 K. At 0.1 vol % MTS, the prior ARC deposition rate is approximately 1.5 times that of the present owrk.

The most striking feature of Fig. 51 is the increased SiC deposition rate with decreasing temperature. This trend suggests that there is a lower range of temperatures where the SiC deposition rate would be independent of temperature and hence gas diffusion limited.

The loss of layer 3 data kept us from getting data on the effects of the coating gas velocity over the substrate surface. Generally the coating gas velocity over the substrate and the substrate surface temperature strongly influence the SiC microstructure and the surface roughness of the coat. For example, if the deposition temperature gets too high or the coating gas velocity too low, the SiC forms at the PG cone boundaries as large equiaxed crystals that degrade the mechanical properties of the material. The coat surface becomes rougher with the same changes in temperature and velocity.


Fig. 51. Deposition rate data from prior ARC coating runs.





Mathoda of instrumenting and collecting data (Sec. IIC and App. 3) for detaing the furnace and process characteristics (Sec. II3 and App. A), test coordures (App. D), and recorded and reduced data (App. D) were decommend. Instrumentation.

A data acquisition system was designed and implemented to measure and record 17 formace perameters to § 27 maximum overall insoduracy. These measurements scinded seven flow rate parameters, two formace power parameters, two linear Unfortunately there was no prior work with which to compare axial variations of deposition rates. In the present work, the sharp decrease at ~260 mm must be caused by deposition depletion of the freshly introduced  $CH_4$  and MTS in the recirculation region as the gas flows back upstream over the canister wall. If this were not so, one would expect the weight percent of SiC to increase in the deposited layers between 350 and 200 mm because of the decreasing surface temperatures and associated SiC deposition rate (see Fig. 50C and Fig. 51).

Historically, the coating development of small-throat inserts (Fig. 1-A) was done in the separated flow domain. As coating development moved toward the large-throat inserts (Fig. 1-B), a centerbody was placed in the canister to move the attachment point upstream and place the substrate in the attached flow domain. The nose cap configuration (Fig. 1-C) placed the substrate even further down in the attached flow region and, because of the geometery and the fixed configuration of induction coils and exterior furnace shape, made it impossible to maintain a constant substrate surface temperature around the nose cap.

Because of the many complex physical phenomena that occur simultaneously in in the injector deposition furnace and the limitations of present numerical methods for modeling the flow, scaling of the furnace configuration and optimum design of new deposition furnace configurations must rely on costly, instrumented development runs.

Another approach is coating development in the attached flow domain which is compatible with an existing fluid mechanics code<sup>13</sup> that can treat steady, twodimensional, turbulent boundary layer flow with heat addition and chemical reactions. Further, the furnace design can be changed drastically to allow more flexibility in induction heating configurations to solve the nose cap heating problem. Volume II describes a series of coating test that meet these requirements.

VI SUMMARY

#### A. Data Base.

Methods of instrumenting and collecting data (Sec. IIC and App. B) for defining the furnace and process characteristics (Sec. IIB and App. A), test procedures (App. D), and recorded and reduced data (App. D) were documented. B. Instrumentation.

A data acquisition system was designed and implemented to measure and record 37 furnace parameters to  $\pm$  2% maximum overall inaccuracy. These measurements included seven flow rate parameters, two furnace power parameters, two linear parameters, one angular position parameter, and time of day. Controllers to provide stable and accurate control of the mass flow rates of the process gases were specified and installed. The design and performance of the furnace instrumentation permitted assembly of a data base than greatly enhanced the ability to understand and model the characteristics of the chemical vapor deposition furnace. C. Analytical Modeling.

<u>1. Heat transfer</u>. The general-purpose heat transfer code, AYER (Sec. IV.A) was proved capable of modeling the complex injector depositon furnace in transient and steady state operation (Sec. III). The thermal properties of the materials (Sec. IV.A.2) and the boundary conditions (Sec. IV.A.3), particulary at the coating gas boundary, are the most difficult inputs to supply accurately. Internal cavities in the furnace add complexities caused by radiation scattering and reflection (Sec. IV.A.3.d). The computer program is not too large and running times on a CDC 7600 are about 30 s per case.

2. Flow. The modified numerical fluid dynamics code, VNAP (Sec. IV.B.1) showed fair ability to model the coating runs and the flow in the cold wall and heated wall (Sec. IV.B.3). Problems arose because (a) the only code available had been developed to handle inviscid supersonic flows without recirculation, so it was less versatile than was desirable and difficult to use in this specific application; and (b) the modeling of turbulent, separated flows with heat addition, chemical reaction, and mass transfer is in an early stage of development world-wide so very little information was available to guide a timely development. Given the time and resources, the combined experimental and theoretical approach developed here is a promising way to advance modeling of this phenomenon. The computer program is large, and the running times on a CDC 7600 are about 1 h per case.

3. Kinetics. No analytical deposition kinetics models was developed. Such development required a numerical fluid dynamics code that could model turbulent, separated flows with heat addition, chemical reactions, and mass transfer. We thought that VNAP would serve, but difficulties in validating the code through the heat addition stage left inssuficient time to develop the kinetics model. However, VNAP proved capable of modeling the gas stream lines and thermal histories in injection deposition furnaces with configuration similar to those shown in Figs. 1-A and 1-B. In principle, this capability should provide a basis for making qualitative chemical kinetic calculations. The data from the coating runs were analyzed (Sec. III.C.) and the deposition process was characterized (Sec. V).

4. Simple scaling laws. No simple scaling laws were developed, because of the complex relationships between the variation of the axial heat transfer to the gas at the wall as a function of process variable, and the extreme sensitivity of the calculated temperatures to this heat transfer. Even so simple a change as a shift in the gas flow rate for a fixed geometry and power input caused unexpected shifts in the modeled wall heat-flux distribution. If the effects of changes in the furnace design are to be fully understood, use of the costly iterative instrumented engineering tests and modeling approach developed here would be required.

#### D. Alternative Approach.

During the early part of this work, it became apparent that some complex computational problems would have to be solved if the turbulent, separated flow were to be modeled adequately. Preliminary analysis of the flow fields encountered in the injection deposition furnace<sup>Suggested</sup> that codeposited PG/SiC material with the requisite mechancial properties had been obtained from both separated and attached flow regions. On the basis of information developed to this point and the availability of a qualified computational fluid mechanics code that could treat steady, two-dimensional, turbulent boundary flow with heat addition, chemical reactions, and mass transfer (but not recirculation flow) an alternative approach was developed. A coating furnace was designed to operate in the attached flow region and be compatible with the data input required by the flow code and the AYER code. A further consideration was easy modification of substrate and induction coil configurations. The furnace was built, tested, and analyzed. The results are presented in Volume II.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate the interest and advice of Major John G. Dean, the Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory Technical Project Officer for this program. We also appreciate the cooperation given by Atlantic Research Corporation in the performance of the engineering tests at their facilities in Alexandria, Virginia and, in particular, in the individual efforts of Dr. Joseph P. Copeland, Mr. Kenneth E. Undercoffer, and Mr. Charles Newquist.

in Figs. Let and L. B. In principle, shis capability should provide a heats for maxing qualitative chesteal pinetic calculations. The data from the costing ro

#### REFERENCES

- William F. Payne, "Pyrolytic Graphite Coated Throat Inserts," Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory report AFRPL-TR-74-42 (August 1974).
- E. Olcott, "Study of Pyrolytic Graphite/Silicon Carbide Codeposited Coattings," Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory report AFRPL-TR-71-132 (October 1971).
- Richard H. Singleton, "Development and Evaluation of PG/SiC Codeposit Coatings for Rocket Nozzle Inserts, Vol. I, Thermal and Mechanical Properties of Pyrolytic Graphite/Silicon Carbide Codeposits," Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory report AFRPL-TR-73-70 (October 1973).
- 4. Kenneth E. Undercoffer, ibid., Vol. II, "Vapor Deposition of Pyrolytic Graphite/Silicon Carbide Codeposited Coatings."
- 5. Cedric Bielawski, ibid., Vol. III, "Substrate Rocket Motor Testing of PG/SiC Lined Throat Inserts."
- Richard H. Singleton, "Development and Evaluation of PG/SiC Codeposit Coatings for Rocket Nozzle Inserts, Vol. I, Insert Test and Evaluation in High Performance Propellant Environments," Air Force Rocket Propulsion Laboratory report AFRPL-TR-73-107 (February 1973).
- 7. James W. Murray, ibid., Vol. II, "Thermostructural Analysis of Selected Nozzle Test Firings."
- Martin C. Hughes, "Codeposited PG/SiC Nozzle Liners for Advanced ICBM Systems, Vol. I, Deposition Process Development," Air Force Rockt Propulsion Laboratory report AFRPL-74-15 (August 1974).
- 9. Martin C. Hughes and Richard H. Singleton, ibid., Vol. II, "Coating Characterization."
- 10. Martin C. Hughes, ibid., Vol. III, "Small Nozzle Test Firings."
- Martin C. Hughes, ibid., Vol. IV, "Thermostructual Analysis Using a Simplified Model."
- 12. Joseph P. Copeland, R. J. Diefendorf, Charles B. Henderson, Martin C. Hughes, Merril K. King, James R. MacPherson, Bruno J. Marci, James W. Murray, Richard H. Singleton, Kenneth E. Undercoffer, and Ralph S. Valentine, "Evaluation of the PG/SiC Nosecap Deposition Process," Atlantic Research Corporation report ARC 10216 (August 1974).
- S. V. Patankar and D. B. Spalding, "Heat and Mass Transfer in Boundary Layers," London Intertext Books, London (1970).

- Eugene L. Olcott, "Pyrolytic Graphite-Silicon Carbide Microcomposites,"
  U. S. Patent No. 3,738,906, June 1973.
- M. D. Gordon, K. P. Lavrovskii, and A. N. Rumyantsev, "Formation of the Solid Phase During Thermal Decomposition of Methane in an Atmosphere of Hydrogen," Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR <u>191</u>, 1289 (April 1970).
- G. I. Kozlov and V. G. Knoore, "Single-pulse Shock Tube Studies on the Kinetics of the Thermal Decomposition of Methane," Combust. Flame <u>6</u>, 253 (1962).
- H. B. Palmer and T. H. Hirt, "The Activation Energy for the Pyrolysis of Methane," J. Phys. Chem. 67, 709 (1963).
- J. L. Hudson and Julian Heicklen, "Theory of Carbon Formation in Vapor-Phase Pyrolysis - I. Constant Concentration of Active Species," Carbon <u>6</u>, 405 (1969).
- H. Luhlecih, D. Seeberger, K. Koizlick, and H. Nickel, "Two-Component Model of Pyrocarbon and its Effect on Material Parameter Analysis." J. Vac. Sci. Technol. <u>12</u>, 750 (1975).
- 20. H. Luhlecih, K. Koizlik, P. Pflaum, D. Seeberger, J. Linke, and H. Nickel, "New Conceptions of the Deposition Mechanism of Pyrocarbon," KFA (Juelich) report JUL-1092-RW, August 1974, translated by USAEC Technical Information Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, GERHTR-137.
- L. M. Ivanova and Al A. Pletysushkin, "Thermal Decomposition of Methyltrichlorosilane Vapor," Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Neorganicheskie Mater. <u>4</u>,1089 (1968).
- John H. D'Azzo and Constantine H. Hoapis, <u>Feedback Control System Analysis</u> and Synthesis (McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1966).
- Harry L. Stiltz, <u>Aerospace Telemetry</u> (Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1961).
- 24. F. White, Viscous Fluid Flow (McGraw Hill, NY, 1974), p. 510.
- F. Durst A. Melling, and J. H. Whitelaw, "Low Reynolds Number Flow over a Plane Symmetric Sudden Expansion," J. Fluid Mech. <u>64</u>, 111 (1974).
- E. O. Macagno and T. K. Hung, "Computational and Experimental Study of a Capative Annular Eddy, "J. Fluid Mech. <u>28</u>, 43 (1967).
- R. G. Lawton, "The AYER Heat Conduction Program," Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory report LA-5613-MS (May 1974).
- M. C. Cline, "Computation of Two-Dimensional, Viscous Nozzle Flow," AIAA Journal <u>14</u>, 295 (1976)

- Y. S. Toulockian, Ed., <u>Thermophysical Properties of High Temperature Solid</u> <u>Materials</u>, Thermophysical Properties Research Center, Purdue University (MacMillian Company, NY, 1957).
- 30. H. W. Godbee and W. T. Ziegler, "Thermal Conductivity of Magnesia, Alumina and Zirconia Powders in Air at Atmospheric Pressure from 200° to 1500°F," J. Appl. Phys. <u>37</u>, 56 (1966).
- P. P. Zemanick and R. S. Dougall, "Local Heat Transfer Downstream of Abrupt Circular Channel Expansion," ASME J. of Heat Transfer, February 1970, p. 53.
- 32. B. E. Launder, A. Morse, W. Rodi, and D. B. Spalding, "The Prediction of Free Shear Flows -- A comparison of the Performance of Six Turbulence Models," Imperial College of Science and Technology report, TM/TN/B/19 (1972).

75		
	Furnace assembly to susceptor support lovel	
18	Formade assembly to the opper contator level	
	Furname assembly to the backup ring level	
19	Farman assanbly to the lengthank which from a same	
92.	Purmana assambly to the drive shalt lavel	
88		

# APPENDIX A

# COATING FURNACE AND PROCESS EQUIPMENT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page
1	WATER AND ELECTRICAL SERVICE	73
11	PROCESS GASES	73
	A. Nitrogen	73
	B. Methane	78
	C. Methyl trichlorosilane	78
111	COATING FURNACE ASSEMBLY	78

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

## Figure

A-1	Motor generator set controller	4
A-2	Process gas distribution panel	5
A-3	Schematic of process gas distribution panel 76	5
A-4	Furnace top details	7
<b>A-</b> 5	Plane view of instrument locations for deposition furnace	9
A-6	Elevation of deposition furnace	<b>D</b> .
A-7	Furnace assembly to susceptor support level 8	1
A-8	Furnace with the addition of susceptor and and sight port tubes	2
A-9	Furnace assembly to the lower canister base level . 83	3
A-10	Furnace assembly to the upper canister level 81	4
A-11	Furnace assembly to the backup ring level 89	5
A-12	Furnace assembly to the canister lid level 86	6
A-13	Furnace assembly to the lampblack shield level 87	7
A-14	Furnace assembly to the drive shaft level 92	1
Table		
A-I	Instrumentation location	B
A-II	Materials or parts	B

#### I. WATER AND ELECTRICAL SERVICE

The furnace cooling water was taken from a house service line. Pressure fluctuations from the varying demands on the line caused some problems. Moderate decreases in the line pressure caused lowered flow rates of cooling water chrough the induction coil, support plate, furnace lid, water-cooled injector, and watercooled sight ports. If the situation continued for 10-15 min. the temperature in the deposition canister rose. The supply water pressure in the induction coil was interlocked with the motor generator set so that a major pressure drop would turn it off. Problems from water pressure fluctuations could be minimized if the water came directly from a large water main or a closed loop process water cooling system.

The induction coil power was provided by a 10-kHz, 100-kW, motor generator set. Figure A-1 shows the control panel.

#### II. PROCESS GASES

## A. Nitrogen (N2).

The nitrogen was supplied from a large liquid nitrogen dewar. The main supply line from the dewar was connected to the distribution panel shown in Fig. A-2. Figure A-3 shows the configuration of the distribution panel. The process nitrogen line passes from the manifold through a shutoff valve, pressure regulator, process nitrogen transducer (F-1, see Fig. B-14), process nitrogen flowmeter, metering valve, a manifold for mixing with  $CH_4$  and  $CH_3SiCl_3$ , to the water-cooled process gas injector on the top of the furnace, Fig. A-4. The process flow rate was set with the metering valve, and with the configuration used, direct comparison could be made with the process nitrogen flow meter used in the past and the data acquisition system readout from the newly installed process nitrogen transducer.

The other line from the manifold passed through a shutoff valve and a pressure regulator, and then divided into lines for sight port purge, case purge, and annulus purge. The case purge supplied a nitrogen atmosphere to the lamp blackcontaining parts of the furnace. The annulus nitrogen line passed through a flow meter and nitrogen transducer and then to the injector seal on top of the furnace, Fig. A-4. The annulus nitrogen forms a gas seal around the process gas injector. Again the configuration used permitted a direct comparison with the annulus nitrogen flow meter used in the past and the data acquisition system readout from the newly installed annulus nitrogen transducer.



Fig. A-1. Motor generator set controller.





Fig. A-3. Schematic of process gas distribution panel.



## B. Methane (CH,).

The methane was taken from the house natural gas lines. Analysis showed that it contained 95%  $CH_4$  and 5%  $C_2H_6$ . The  $CH_4$  line entered the distribution panel through a shutoff valve, passed through a pressure regulator and a  $CH_4$  controller, and then tied into the mixing manifold on the process gas line. Comparison could be made with the  $CH_4$  flow rate indicator and the  $CH_4$  flow meter. C. Methyl trichlorosilane ( $CH_3SiCl_2$ , MTS).

The MTS delivery system is described in App. B, Sec. II.B.3. A line ran from the MTS controller to the mixing manifold on the process gas line.

## III. COATING FURNACE ASSEMBLY

Figure A-5 is a plan view of the deposition furnace and the circumferential location of the instruments that penetrate its outer wall. Instrument types are coded, i.e., T-1, and the code number is shown in a hexagon. For specific descriptions see Tables A-I and B-I. Figure A-6 is an elevation of the deposition furnace, and a parts list is given in Table A-II. The parts code numbers are circled on Fig. A-6.

Figures A-7 through A-14 show the various stages of furnace assembly. Figure A-7 shows the inside surface of the outer fibrefrax wall of the furnace with penetration holes for the various sight and pressure port tubes. These graphite tubes were sealed to the outer wall with a fibrefrax base cement. On the outside surface of the wall, a seal of silicon rubber was placed over the base cement. The susceptor support and lower exhaust liner are supported by the water-cooled support plate. Figure A-8 shows the addition of the susceptor. The electrical field from the induction coil suscepts this piece thereby setting up eddy currents that cause  $I^2R$  heating. Figure A-9 shows the addition of the canister base and the lower canister. Heat is transferred from the susceptor

to the lower and upper canister by radiation. Figure A-10 shows the addition of the upper canister and the exit spacer. The material deposited on the exit spacer during the coating run was subsequently characterized.

Figure A-11 shows the addition of the substrate ring that simulated a rocket-nozzle throat liner. The deposited coat on this component was also characterized. Figure A-12 shows the addition of the entrance spacer and the canister lid. The coat deposited on the entrance spacer also was characterized. Figure A-13 shows the addition of the canister extension and the lampblack shield. The outer furnace wall is sealed to the furnace top with a fibrefrax





Fig. A-6 . Elevation of deposition furnace.





82

and a state of the state of the



Fig. A-9. Furnace assembly to the lower canister base level.







Fig. A-12. Furnace assembly to the canister lid level.



## TABLE A-I

## INSTRUMENTATION LOCATION

Parameter	Circumferential Location (CW)	Distance from Base Plate (mm)	Notes
P-1	37° 35'	1010	
P-2	140° 00'	806	
T-1	289° 42'	1010	
T-2	161° 13'	797	
T-3	58° 11'	832	
<b>T-</b> 4	200° 00'	746	Thermocouple measuring location, leads exit between Items 28 and 29.
T-5	332° 43'	745	
<b>T-</b> 6	292° 07'	745	
T-7	330° 55'	581	Angled sight port, dis- tance from base plate is at point of penetra- tion of Item 6, furnace
т-8	241° 13'	597	Case.
T-9	273° 56'	305	
T-13	36° 221	845	
T-14	299° 23'	591	
<b>T-1</b> 5	24° 14'	305	
<b>T-1</b> 6	90° 00'	895	Thermocouple measuring location, leads exit between Items 28 and 29.

## TABLE A-II

## MATERIALS OR PARTS

Part Number	Name	Material
1	Furnace exhaust tube assembly	Stainless steel
2	Furnace stand	Aluminum

AD-A058 115 LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LAB N MEX DEVELOPMENT OF PYROLYTIC GRAPHITE/SILICON CARBIDE COMPOSITE MATETC(U) JUN 78 T C WALLACE, G E CORT, J J DAMRAN W-7405-ENG-36 UNCLASSIFIED AFRPL-TR-78-46-VOL-1 NL												
	2 of 3					Innia I						
		MIK 1			A LA				T			
			4									ne en e
The second secon			A Constant of the second secon	AT A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A C								
				Y Y								WK L
									and a state			
												-

TABLE A-II (continued)

Part Number	Name	Material
3	Furnace base plate	Stainless steel
L'este analisie	Support plate	Stainless steel
5 address h	Alignment collar	Stainless steel
6	Furnace case	Fibrefrax
7	Susceptor support	Porous carbon
8	Lower exhaust line	HLM graphite
9	Susceptor support ring	Porous carbon
10	Upper exhaust liner	HLM graphite
11	Susceptor support	Porous carbon
12	Susceptor base	HLM graphite
13	Canister base	HLM graphite
<b>1</b> /1	Susceptor	HLM graphite
15	Lower canister	HLM graphite
16	Exit spacer	HLM graphite
17	Substrate	ATJ graphite
18	Backup ring	HLM graphite
19	Entrance spacer	HLM graphite
20	Canister filler ring	HLM graphite
21	Upper canister	HLM graphite
22	Canister lid	HLM graphite
23	Susceptor lid	HLM graphite
24	Canister extension	HLM graphite
25	Lampblack shield	HLM graphite
26	Spider	HLM graphite
27	Lampblack shield lid	HLM graphite

Part N	umber	Name	LINA REGIST	Material	
28	Material Stalaless stort	Furnace top		Stainless stee	1
29		Furnace lid		Stainless stee	1
30	Stainless steel	Drive shaft bear	ring walloo downey L	HLM graphite	
31	Thronewark	Drive shaft	obaa eanma	HLM graphite	
32	Porona aarbon	Drive shaft exte	ension	HLM graphite	
33	Hild graphite	Injector seal		303 stainless	steel
34	Porene enron	Injector		Copper	
35	ad Identity Milli	Injector collar		Stainless stee	1
	norman errorof				
	Additional Mills		souptor base		
	MLM graphite				

base cement. Figure A-14 shows the addition of the drive shaft and the lampblack shield. After the last thermocouple was inserted through the susceptor lid, the space between the susceptor and wall was filled with lampblack to within 5-6 in. of the furnace top. The water-cooled furnace lid and the injector seal, added last, were shown in Fig. A-4.

		askiburg
		stidents
	Matti	
Lamphlack shield		ediniers)
		othigang



Fig. A-14. Furnace assembly to the drive shaft level.

## APPENDIX B

# INSTRUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS AND DESCRIPTION

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section																									Page
I	REQ	UIRE	MENTS		•		•									•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	94
11	DES	CRIP	TION				•																		94
	A.	Gen	eral			•	•		•	•				•	•		•	•		•		•		•	94
	в.	Tra	nsduc	er	iı	nst	al	114	at	io	ns	a	nd	s	ig	na	1								
		con	ditic	nii	ng	se	ect	ic	m	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	94
		1.	Pres	sui	re	me	as	sul	rer	net	nte	3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	94
		2.	Temp	era	atu	ire	n	nea	ISI	ire	eme	ent		•	•	•				•		•	•	•	96
		3.	Flow	7 me	a	sur	en	ner	nte		•		•		•	•	•								108
		4.	Powe	r t	nea	ISU	ire	eme	ent			•										•	•		114
		5.	Data	1 10	ogg	ger	••				•			•					•		•	•			11/4
		6.	Mage	ti	- 1	tap	e	re	eco	ore	der	r													119

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

# Figure

and the second of the second o

B-1	Parameter locations	97
B-2	Data acquisitions system block diagram	98
B-3	Chemical vapor deposition furnace instrumentaion (I) .	99
B-4	Chemical vapor deposition furnace instrumentaion (II).	.100
B-5	Water-cooled pressure port	101
B-6	Pitot tube for total and static pressure measurements.	102
B-7	Pitot tube position measurement technique	103
B-8	Thermocouple and turbine flowmeter installation	104
B-9	Furnace surface temperature measurement	106
B-10	Furnace exhaust gas temperature measurement	106
B-11	Thermocouple thermal radiation shield	107
B-12	Typical optical pyrometer installation	109
B-13	Optical pyrometer and sight tube installation	110
B-14	Process N <sub>2</sub> flowmeter installation	112
B-15	Annulus N. flowmeter installation	113

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (continued)

Figure		Page
B-16	Process gas control system	115
B-17	Process and purge gas control system	116
B-18	Furnace power measurement installation	117
B-19	Data logger and tape recorder installation	118
Table		
B-I	Measurement list	95

The life detail the data. These data vers thes terrorded on negretic take by a serve-track increasural take recorder. Tomary traperature assessments, firm pressure measurements, seven flor benaurosents, two power resourceents and the time of the day were recorded. Two linear distances and one angular position were recorded mountly. Figure 1-1 show the measurement locations as the formate, figure 5-7 is a block display of the MAE. Tigures 5-3 and 5-4 above the formate, rescaled by instruments in the transmerses of the the formate, rescaled by instruments of the second second the formate rescaled by instruments introduction and the second second the formate rescale of the second second second second second second the formate rescale of the second second second second second second the formate rescale of the second second second second second second the formate rescale of the second second second second second second the formate second second second second second second second second the formate and the completic instruments and informate second second

1. Prossure measurements. Total and starts presentes were seminare using convenienced booded attain gauge and variable rejectance type presents transducers. Parameter P-1 was measured just operasm of the injection mortle by a bound strato-punce type pressure transducer. Its output was 5 for for 116 his buil-acats fromt. The output was connected to a values divider to reduce the state.

P-1 and P-2 are starte premetry measurements and the injector, respectively. meeting of the coarting contener, 51 and 254 mm from the injector, respectively. The pressure isolds the furnace and transferred through impulse lines to the pressure transfusers rounted shult a corst from the furnace. The impulse lines emetated of a Press-dis carbon rube threaded on one and and strawed into the wall of the coartist casister. A form into the nonnected one of the transducer pressure ports to the carbon rube and into the nonnected one of the transducer connection between the line and the carbon rube was uster-cooled. Fig. 1-3. The connection between the line and the carbon tabe was uster-cooled. Fig. 1-3. The schere transducer preserve port was left area to the stronglare which and and a gauge-pressure measurement, as the furnace schedet size and the strong of the stronglare to the strong of the strong the size and the strong of the stronglare which are the furnace which as the strong of the stronglare to the strong of the strong of the strong of the strong of the stronglare which are the furnace which and the strong of the stro

#### I. REQUIREMENTS

The data acquisition system (DAS) had to measure and record approximately 35 channels of analog data. The end-to-end inaccuracy of each channel was to be  $\pm$  2% maximum. The frequency response of each parameter was < 1 Hz, and the resolution had to be at least one part in 1000. The Measurement List (Table B-I) specifies the parameters to be measured, their ranges, and the transducer or method used.

#### **II. DESCRIPTION**

#### A. General.

The DAS consisted of a data logger that multiplexed the 35 analog channels and formatted the data. These data were then recorded on magnetic tape by a seven-track incremental tape recorder. Twenty temperature measurements, five pressure measurements, seven flow measurements, two power measurements and the time of the day were recorded. Two linear distances and one angular position were recorded manually. Figure B-1 shows the measurement locations on the furnace. Figure B-2 is a block diagram of the DAS. Figures B-3 and B-4 show the furnace room and the completly instrumented furnace.

## B. Transducer Installations and Signal Conditioning Section.

1. Pressure measurements. Total and static pressures were measured using conventional bonded strain gauge and variable reluctance type pressure transducers. Parameter P-3 was measured just upstream of the injection nozzle by a bonded strain-guage type pressure transducer. Its output was 5 Vdc for 138 kPa full-scale input. The output was connected to a voltage divider to reduce the 5 Vdc to 3 Vdc to be compatible with the 3 Vdc full-scale range of the data logger.

P-1 and P-2 are static pressure measurements made at the wall in the upper section of the coating canister, 51 and 254 mm from the injector, respectively. The pressure inside the furnace was transferred through impulse lines to the pressure transducers mounted about a meter from the furnace. The impulse lines consisted of a 19-mm-dia carbon tube threaded on one end and screwed into the wall of the coating canister. A 6-mm line then connected one of the transducer pressure ports to the carbon tube extending through the furnace wall. The connection between the line and the carbon tube was water-cooled, Fig. B-5. The other transducer pressure port was left open to the atmosphere which made this a gauge-pressure measurement, as the furnace exhaust also was open to the atmos-

					TRAN 3D JCER		
PARA-		NAN CE	RESOLUTION	ACCURACY	TYPE	NATURATURER AND PART HURBER	5.4
T-1	Temperature of the CP watt of the conting conister	1310 to 1910K	0.5 K		Optical pyrometer	S <sup>2</sup> Therandot, TD-73	1121
1-2	Temperature of the OD wall of the coating canister just up- stream of the substrate	1435 60 20152	0.5 K	•••	Optical pyrometer	Millitron, Therm-O-Scope	177
T-3	Temperature of outside sur- face of cenister	1250 to 2590K	0.5 K		Optical pyrometer	Micro-Optical	744
T-4	Temperature of backside of substrate	273 to 2200K	0.1 K	••	W/MRe T/C	ARI, T-97832D(AE)91360	
1-5	Temperature of backside of substrate	1279 to 3592K	0.5 K	+1	Optical pyrometer	Millitron, Therm-O-Scope	325
<b>T-6</b>	Temperature of outside surface of calister	1834 to 3000K	0.5 K	**	Optical pyrometer	Millitros, Therm-O-Scope	368
1-7	Temperature of combing side of substrate	1300 to 2582K	0.5 K	**	Optical pyrometer	Millitron, Therm-O-Scope	463
1-8	Texperature of outside surface of cenister	1620 to 2480K	0.5 K		Optical pyrometer	B Thermodot TD-9FK	152
1-9	Temperature of wall, exhaust durt	1325 to 2780K	0.5 K	**	Optical pyrometer	Hillibren, Therm-O-Scope	174
T-10	Temperature of outside surface of furnace	275 to 675K	0.06 K		Type T T/C	The static and Lot	
T-11	Temperature of outside surface of furnace	273 to 673K	0.05 K		Type T 1/C		
T-12	Temperature of outside surface of furnace	273 to 673K	0.06 K		Type T T/0	neot from the f <del>il</del>	
1-13	Temperature of estaide surface of susceptor	1137 to 4710K	0.5 E	• • • •	Optical pyrometer	IRCON, 300	3343
2-19	Temperature of outside surface of susceptor	1200 to 3310K	0.5 K		Optical pyrometer	Micro-Optical	745
T-15	Temperature of exhaust gas	273 to 2200K	0.1 K		W/MRe T/C	ARI, T-998 180(AE) 98360	
1-16	Temperature of top of coating canister	273 10 6738	0.06 K		Type T T/C	ARI, 1-918-32 FT90	
1-17	Temperature Inlet cooling water	273 to 310K	0.06 K		Type T T/C	Theres Electric, 7180-304-0-12-0	DL
T-18	Temperature, room ambient	273 to 325K	0.06 K		Type T T/C	Thermo Electric, T180-304-0-12-0	DL
9-19	Temperature cooling water discharge	273 to 373K	0.06 K	+ 2	Type T T/C	Thermo Electric, T180-304-0-12-0	DL
7-20	Temperature cooling water, coil discharge	273 to 373K	0.06 K		Type T T/C	Thermo Electric, 7180-304-0-12-0	DL
P-1	Pressure of gas in upper section of costing cenister	-25 to +25paf4	0.01pef	* 1.5	Variable reluctance	Tavis, P-1088	2348
14	Pressure of gas in upper section of coating emister	-25 to +25pefd	1eq10.0	* 1.5	Variable reluctance	Tavis, P-1088	2349
~	Pressure upstress of injector	0 to 20peig	0.01pe1	. 1.5	Bonded strain gage	814.0mtrols 818-85-010-03	23525
*	Prossure, Static, Profile between injector and substrate	-85 to +85paft	0.01pef	a 1.5	Variable reluctance	Terie, P-108	2366
M	Pressure, Total, Profile between injector and substrate	-75 to +75pert	0.01pef	a 1.5	Variable reluctance	Tevis, P-1088	2365
F-1	Mass flow rate of process Ng	0 to 1416 SLM	0.181.PM		Thermal	Hastings Raydist AHL-SOG(L-SOF W/H-3H)	361
7-4	Mass flow rote of mnulus Ng	0 to 20,000ecem	5.0.00m		Thereal	Hastings Raydist ALL-SOKOK	2172
7-5	Mass flow rate of CR	0 to 10 SLM	0.0018LPN		Thermal	Tylan CP-348	
	Hase flow rate of HES	0 to 900	0.001		Thermal	Ty1m 07-348	
	Mass flow rate of cooline H.O.	0 10 340.681.84	0.01SLM	• 1	Turbine	Flow Technology, FT-SONFO-LB	20034

TABLE S-I

95

all water a water a wither

_		TABLE 3-I (contd.)						
MULA-					THAN SOUCH			
						RATURACTURER AND PART HUMAN	14	
14	Here flow roke, its		0.00181M		Thermal	Tyles, or-348		
1-1	Flow rate ratio, 188/10	0 to 305	0.001		Calculated	Tyles, GP-348		
W-1	Total lokin power to furnace	0 to 12510	0.10	+ 1.5	Transformer	LASL		
8-5	Apparent power (EXI)	0 40 12510	0.10	* 1.5	Transformer	LAIL		
Time	Test Time	0 to 365 days	1 800.	A 0.01 Bee.	DAS elock	Dorie Seimtifie		
P-1	Distance of P-4 & P-5 from Injector	0 to 11.55 Inches	0.01 inch	2 0.05 inch	Linear Rule	the solution of solutions of		
M	Distance of T-15 from inner well		0.01 tack	1 0.05 tack	Linear Bule			
#1	Angle of P-4 & P-5 from furnase center line	80 degrees	0.25 degree	2 0.5 degree	Protractor			

phere. A 5:3 voltage divider was used on the transducer output signal, to scale its output to the full-scale input that the data logger required.

The static and total pressure measurements, P-4 and P-5, respectively, were measured axially from 25 to 289 mm from the injector face. At each 102-mm increment from the injector, the Pitot tube was swung through an arc of  $\pm$  80 degrees, dwelling at every 5 degrees to obtain data. The configuration of the tantalum tubing Pitot tube is depicted in Fig. B-6. Figure B-7 shows the connections of the impulse lines from the pressure transducers to the Pitot tube. It also depicts the measurement setup for parameters D-1 and  $\emptyset$ -1. The 12-mm-thick clear plastic sheet had a line scribed on both sides to eliminate errors associated with parallax when measuring the angular position of the Pitot tube. The pressure transducers' reference pressure ports were left open to the atmosphere to yield the same results as those described above for P-1 and P-2. Again, the 5-Vdc full-scale output signal was connected to a 5:3 voltage divider before being connected to the data logger.

2. Temperature measurements. Temperature was measured using copper versus Constantan (Type T) and W-5% Re vs W-26% Re thermocouples, total radiation pyrometers, and two-color pyrometers.

The low-temperature measurements (273-673 K) were measured using Type T thermocouples (TC) with ungrounded junctions. The TCs were 3 mm dia with a stainless steel sheath. Parameters measured with this TC configuration were T-17, T-18, T-19, and T-20. Figure B-8 shows a typical installation.



Fig. B-1. Parameter location.














Parameters T-10, T-11, and T-12 were measured by use of a bare wire junction placed on the surface of the Fiberfax insulation. This junction was bonded to the surface with a Fiberfrax base cement and insulated from the atmospheric cooling and heating affects by silicon rubber. Each measurement device consisted of three junctions wired in parallel and placed in a horizontal plane around the furnace. The three junctions were spaced approximately 120 degrees apart. This configuration was used to obtain an approximate average of the furnace surface temperature in three parallel planes. Figure B-9 shows a typical junction installation.

The remaining type-T TC measurement was T-16. This unit was a 6.35-mm-dia stainless steel sheath TC inserted into a tantalum thermowell. This TC was used to extend the range of T-4 to room ambient. The tantalum thermowell was used to capture and contain the stainless steel and MgO insulation when it melted, as the temperature at this location was expected to exceed 1800 K.

The reference junction for the Type-T TCs was contained within the data logger. Premium grade, shielded TC extension wire was used to connect the TCs to the data logger.

The parameters T-4 and T-15 were measured using ungrounded, W-5% Re vs W-26% Re TCs. The TC sheath was 3-mm dia tantalum, and compacted beryllia (BeO) was used to connect the units to a room temperature reference junction. The TC that measured T-4 was wrapped in 42 turns of a 0.025-mm-thick tantalum foil, and this assembly was inserted into a tantalum thermowell, 12.7 mm in diameter and 0.38 mm thick. This completed assembly was to protect the TC from being contaminated with carbon for approximately 100 h at 2035 K.

The TC that measured T-15 was inserted into a 0.38-mm-thick tantalum sheath that had been installed in the furnace. Pressure fittings were used on the furnace exterior to seal the installation from an exhaust gas leak. Figure B-10 shows the water-cooled port and TC installation. A shield was installed inside the furnace to minimize thermal radiation errors. The TC was inserted inside the 12.7-mm-diam tantalum tube shown in Fig. B-11. During installation, the shield was rotated and locked in place so that the slots in it were placed at approximately 45 degrees to facilitate gas flow through the shield.

The total radiation pyrometers were used to measure parameters T-1, T-3, T-8, T-13, and T-14. These pyrometers respond to a particular band or "window" within the radiation spectrum resulting from the thermal excitation of matter.





Most of the units used responded to a relatively narrow bandwidth within the ir spectrum; the particular wavelength depended upon the temperature range of the instrument.

Each pyrometer "looked" through a clear fused quartz window, and into a graphite tube to the surface whose temperatue was to be measured. The window mount was water-cooled, and the graphite tube was constantly purged with dry nitrogen gas. The purging was necessary to keep the window clean and to insure that the optical path to the target was free of solid and gaseous matter that would interfere with an accurate temperature measurement (nitrogen is transparent at these wavelengths). Typical installations are shown in Figs. B-12 and B-13. The signals from several of the instruments had to be amplified before being presented to the data logger for range matching..

Two-color pyrometers were used to "look" through a gas with varying composition to the surface to be measured. As mentioned earlier, any radiationattenuating material in the optical path between the radiant source and detector would affect the readings.

It is theoretically possible to eliminate these errors by using the principle of ratio pyrometry. In this type of measurement, the ratio of the radiant powers in two wavebands is measured. Wein's Law may be operated on to demonstrate that the ratio of the power in two wavebands emmited by a heated object is a function of the temperature only. Such a ratio characterizes the temperature distribution regardless of geometry, emmittance (if the material is a gray body), and transmittance. If the two wavebands are suitable, a linear relationship between the ratio and temperature over a wide range of temperatures can be obtained. These pyrometers were mounted like the total radiation units. Figure B-12 shows a typical installation for measurements T-2, T-5, T-6, T-7, and T-9.

<u>3. Flow measurements</u>. Flow rates and mass flow rates were measured using turbine type type flowmeters, respectively. The parameters encompass a range extending from 3 g/min to 10 ft<sup>3</sup>/min. All the flowmeters were installed so that a minimal length of straight pipe with constant diameter was connected to the inlet and outlet of the flowmeters. The length of this straight section was at least five times and three times the flowmeter diameter for the flowmeter inlet and outlet, respectively. All the output signals were 5 Vdc full scale. They were reduced to 3 Vdc full scale by a 5:3 voltage divider before being applied to the data logger's input.



Fig. 8-12. Typical optical pyrometer installation.



Parameters F-1 and F-2 were measured by a thermal-type mass flow-meter. The units measured true mass flow without corrections or compensations for the temperature and pressure of the gas. They operate on the thermal principle which depends on the mass flow of the gas and its heat capacity to change the temperature along a heated conduit. This temperature change is measured by a built-in arrangement of thermocouples and does not require any sensing elements or projections into the flow stream. Figures B-14 and B-15 show the F-1 and F-2 installation, respectively.

The parameters F-3, F-4, and F-6 were measured by use of a similiar principle. As stated earlier, the temperature rise of a gas is a function of the amount of heat added, the mass-flow rate, and gas properties. These mass-flow meters incorporate two resistance type temperature sensors wound adjacent to each other on the outside of a sensor tube. They form part of a bridge circuit and have 40-mW power dissipation each. When there is no flow in the tube, both sensors are at the same temperature, the bridge is balanced, and the output signal is zero. When there is flow in the tube, the upstream sensor is cooled and the downstream sensor is heated, which produces a signal from the bridge proportional to flow. This signal is then amplified and linearized.

To facilitate accurate control of these parameters, controllers were used to set and regulate their mass flow rate. The controller for the methane  $(CH_{i})$ was a standard proportional controller, whereas that for the methyl trichlorosilane (MTS) was unique. The MTS mass flow rate is controlled by controlling the mass flow rate of gaseous helium. This is accomplished as follows: helium carrier gas is injected into the bottom of a tank of liquid MTS. A controller using the above thermal principle measures and controls the helium mass flow rate as a function of the demand for MTS. As the carrier gas bubbles through the liquid MTS, it vaporizes the liquid and the combination (He and MTS) passes through a second thermal flowmeter. This second unit has one self-heated element positioned in a cavity through which the carrier gas flows and another in a cavity through which the mix flows. These elements conduct heat through the gases to the base. The temperature difference between the element and the base is proportional to the thermal conductivity of the gas. The thermal conductivity of the mixed gases depends on the ratio of source to carrier. By comparing the temperature of the element on the carrier side to that of the element of the mixture side, and with proper amplification and linerazation, a 0- to 5-Vdc output signal is obtained for zero to full-scale ratio. Another circuit electron-





ically multiplies carrier times ratio (source/carrier) to give a 0- to 5-Vdc source output for zero to full-scale source (MTS) mass flow rate. Figures B-16 and B-17 show the system that measures and controls these parameters.

The flow rate of the furnace cooling water (F-5) was measured using a standard turbine type flowmeter, shown in Fig. B-8.

4. Power measurements. Two power parameters, true power (EI  $\cos \emptyset$ ) and apparent power (EI), were recorded. The 10000-Hz voltage and current signals were obtained through isolation voltage and current transformers. The current signal was applied to a 0.1-ohm precision resistor positioned adjacent to the transformer (Fig. B-18) to eliminate signal error due to transformer loading and a drop associated with lead wire resistance. The resulting voltage signal was then applied to a signal conditioner located approximately 30 m away adjacent to the data logger. The two voltage signals (one from the voltage transformer and the other from the precision resistor) were scaled using high-input impedance amplifiers and electronically multiplied together by a four quadrant multiplier. The resulting dc signal was scaled, filtered, and applied to the data logger as power (EI  $\cos \emptyset$ ). The signal out of the multiplier was also ac coupled to a RMS dc converter whose output was scaled to achieve the apparent power signal.

<u>5. Data logger.</u> The data logger used was a digital multipoint recorder, capable of measuring and formatting 40 channels of analog data. System operation is controlled by an eight-bit parallel microprocessor with ROM and PROM microprograms.

The basic unit, a complete system within itself, included 40 points of input terminations, reference junction compensation, solid state (FET) multiplexing, microvolt-level analog to digital conversion with a digital display in engineering units, printout on a built-in strip printer, an internal alarm system, and a built-in electronic timer to initiate selective periodic logging cycles for unattended operation.

Front panel controls are provided in the form of pushbuttons and thumbwheel switches as shown in Fig. B-19. The upper series of six pushbuttons operates the time-of-day clock, peripheral devices, the alarm system, and the internal printer. The lower series of eight pushbuttons provides the mode selection and scan interval controls. FIRST POINT and LAST POINT thumbwheel switches, each with three decades, select the low and high scan points and set the time-of-day clock.







Fig. B-19. Data logger and tape recorder installation.



The unit has a full 16-bit digitizer capable of 10- or 100-1N resolution or 0.2 K thermocouple resolution, depending on the range the operator selects. An all-digital method of linearization for near perfect match to NBS thermocouple tables eliminates analog shaping circuit drift.

The digital display provides point address, magnitude (with negative polarity indication), time-of-day (hours, minutes and seconds), and units of measurement readout. In addition, an "I/O" neon light shines to indicate that an error has been detected in a peripheral instrument. The display lights when the power switch is activated and flashes to inform the operator of power on or power interrupt, alarm, I/O failure, or low tape conditions.

An internal interface circuit card is used to couple the data logger to peripheral equipment such as the seven-track incremental magnetic tape recorder.

The output of this card includes the digitized data, an operator-selected test number, time-of-day (days, hours, minutes, seconds), and peripheral control signals.

The internal printer has a typical recording rate of two points per second. It prints out the same information that is provided to the other peripheral equipment.

<u>6. Magnetic tape recorder</u>. The digital data from the data logger were recorded on magnetic tape by the incremental magnetic tape recorder. The unit uses half-inch-wide tape and records in an IBM seven-channel BCD code. In a seven-track system, six of the tracks are data channels and the seventh is the parity channel. Even parity was used for the BCD coding.

APPENDI	xc	

CALIBRATION DOCUMENTATION

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	to aller hes . (shoone)																	Page	
	PYROMETERS					•		•				•				•	· nT	121	
	A. Configuration		•		•							•				•	•ho	121	
	B. Procedure	•		•	•		•				•			•	•	•	•	124	
	C. Calibration data .						•					•					•,#	124	
11	PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS .													•				124	
111	THERMOCOUPLES				•		•	•		•			•		•		1.000	129	
IV	POWER TRANSDUCER						•										10	129	
v	DATA ACQUISTION SYSTEM						•					•	•	•			• 40	129	
V	DATA ACQUISTION SYSTEM	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	129	

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

igure		
C-1	Configuration of calibration setup	L22
Table		
C-I	Pyrometer calibration data	123
C-11	Data reduction formulas for Test Number Series 15600 - Date 3-16-76	125
C-111	Data reduction formulas for Test Number Series 15800 - Date 5-5-76	127
C-IV	DAS calibration for Test Number Series 15600 Date 3-16, 3-17, 3-18-76	130
C-V	DAS calibration for Test Number Series 15800 Date 5-5 and 5-6-76	131

### I. PYROMETERS

### A. Configuration

The pyrometers were calibrated with a radiation source whose temperature could be changed readily and measured independently.

The temperature of the radiation source was accurately determined with a Micro Optical Pyrometer, M-5399, that had been calibrated by National Bureau of Standards Test No. 182836.

The radiation source was an inductively heated graphite crucible mounted in an eddy current concentrator. Figure C-1 shows the configuration of the calibration system. The graphite crucible and eddy current concentratior are mounted in a quartz mantle connected to a vacuum system. This arrangement permits operation of the radiation source in either a vacuum or inert gas.

The thin-walled graphite crucible (38 mm o.d., 5 mm thick, and 57 mm high) and lid were machined from ATJ graphite. The interior of the crucible was lined with Carbocel, a porous graphite, to minimize temperature gradients and to increase the surface area of the blackbody cavity in the bottom section of the crucible. The maximum target diameter required for the various pyrometers calibrated was 8 mm. Correspondingly, the sight hole into the blackbody cavity was 9.5 mm and the hole in the crucible lid was 10 mm.

The optical path from the blackbody cavity passes through a polished quartz window and is then reflected at a right angle by a polished quartz prism to the pyrometers. The intensity of the light beam is decreased slightly by reflection as it passes through each quartz surface. The decrease in light intensity may be treated as an absorbtion coefficient (independent of window or prism thickness) and is calculated from the relation

$$A = 1/T_{o} - 1/T_{s} (K^{-1})$$
(C-1)

where  $T_0$  is the temperature, K, observed through the window (or prism) and  $T_s$ , is the temperature, K, of the source. For the reference pyrometer, M-5399, A is  $2.6 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$  for both the prism and window. If there is a series of windows or prisms in the optical path, i.e., n windows, the total absorption coefficient,  $A_t$ , is equal to nA. A will vary with the pyrometer's sensitivity at the wavelength absorbed by the quartz. Values determined for each pyrometer calibrated are given in Table C-I. Further, quartz windows for the ARC deposition furnace were fabricated from the same quartz stock used for the calibration.



Fig. C-1. Configuration of calibration setup.

TABLE C-I

PYROMETER CALIBRACION DACA

Pyrometer	Serial Number	Range or Scale	A-10° (K <sup>-1</sup> )	Calibration Adjustment Voltage(mV)	Calibration Equation Tengerature (K) vs Output(mV)	Std. Dev. Mar(K)	Celib. Range (K-10-3)
Milletron, Two-color	114	5000°R	2.3	29.0	T = 1156.3 + 27.746(mv) - 0.01378(mv)2	57	1.3-2.3
Milletron, Two-color	FI	2000°C	2.4	100.2	$T = I437.8 + 4.9802(mv) + 0.02765(mv)^2$ $T = 2205.6 + 9.3917(mv) + 0.06059(mv)^2$	500	1.6-2.2
Milletron, Two-color	×	2000°C	0.80	100.4	$T = 1294.9 + 9.6259(mV) + 0.00445(mV)^2$ $T = 2296.1 + 12.572(mV) + 0.02569(mV)^2$	24	1.6-2.3
Milletron, Two-color	368	5000°C	0.62	1 70 • •	T = 1833.9 + 2.3323(mV) + 0.00212(mV) <sup>2</sup>	6	1.8-2.4
Milletron, Two-color	F63	3000.0	0.51	232.0	T = 1317.3 + 4.0598(mv) + 0.00059(mv) <sup>2</sup>	ก	1.6-2.4
Thermodot, TD-9PH	at 1	2600°P	4°.0	8.8 X X	$T = 1260.9 + 20.08(mV) + 3.462(mV)^2$ $T = 1523.0 + 129.18(mV) - 14.798(mV)^2$ $+ 1.4661(mV)^3$	* 1	1.3-1.8
Thermodot, TD-7B	1211	4.000t	13.4	0.01	T = 1310.1 + 19.785(mv)	4	6-1-9-1
Theraodot,	6751	2200°C	12.6	565.0	T = 1320.3 + 1.6368(mV)	4	1.6-2.2
Ircon, Model 300	3343	HI	14.8	44.5 44.5	$T = 1202.8 + 17.828(mV) - 0.05936(mV)^2$ $T = 1235.2 + 61.633(mV) - 0.59756(mV)^2$	-* vn	1.6-2.0
Micro- Optical	744	v/o new filter Mitter	t. 4.3 4.3		T = 1.625.10 <sup>4</sup> /L 30.02 - 20.01 ]/ <sup>4</sup> 01.729.1 = T [(Ym)al - 9612.8 ]/ <sup>4</sup> 01.746.1 = T	e e	1.5-2.2 1.6-2.4
Micro- Optical	242	w/o neu filter w/meut.	t. 4.3 4.3	•	Т = 1.627°10°/[11.072 - 1m(шV)] Т = 1.721°10°/[9.6848 - 1m(шV)]	~ ~	1.8-2.2

### B. Procedure

Specified preoperation, operation and focusing procedures for each pyrometer were followed before calibration. Pyrometer voltage outputs were measured with a Hewlett-Packard 3490 A multimeter. The black body crucible was brought up to temperature and allowed to equilibrate for  $\frac{1}{2}$  h, and the calibration was started. The voltage output and meter readings were recorded for the pyrometer being calibrated; the prism was then rotated to the reference pyrometer and the temperature of the radiation source was determined and recorded. The prism was then rotated back to the first pyrometer, and the voltage and meter readings were again recorded. The temperature was raised sequentially (20-40 K) allowing 10 min for thermal equilization before repeating the above calibration stemps, to approximately 2300 K and then sequenced back down to the starting temperature.

About every third calibration point, an additional quartz window was placed in the optical path to the pyrometer being calibrated and the change in meter reading and voltage output were recorded. This information permitted determination of the absorption for the window.

C. Calibration data

The data, mV output vs temperature for one quartz window in the optical path, was least-squares fitted for several of the pyrometers to an equation of the form

$$T(K) = A + B(mV) + C(mV)^{2} + ...$$
 (C-2)

and the standard deviation,  $\sigma_{\rm T}$ , was used to determine what degree of polynomial adequately represented the data. For pyrometers, S/N 744 and S/N 745, the data was least-squares fitted to an equation of the form

$$T(K) = A/(B - \ln(mV))$$

(C-3)

The results are presented in Table C-I

### II PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS

The pressure transducers were calibrated by their respective manufacturers. The calibration data were used for the end-to-end calibration of the data acquisition system (DAS). The calibration was accomplished by disconnecting the electrical connector at the transducer and substituting a short circuit and then a dc voltage for the transducer. The calibration equation for each pressure channel is given in Tables C-II and C-III for the nitrogen flow tests and coating tests, respectively.

## TABLE C-II

DATA REDUCTION FORMULAS FOR TEST NUMBER SERIES 15600 - DATE 3-16-76

Ch.	Parameter	Range	Units	Data Reduction Formula	Range
00	Ref. Cal.		Volts	Y = V	
01	P-1	±25	psfd	Y = 16.667(V) - 25	
02	P-2	±25	psfd	Y = 16.667(V) - 25	
03	P-3	0/20	psig	Y = 6.6667(V)	
04	P-4	±25	psfd	Y = 16.667(V) - 25	
05	P-5	<b>±</b> 75	psfd	Y = 50(V) - 75	
06	F-1	0/50	SCFM	Y = 16.667(V)	
07	F-2	0/20,000	SCCM	Y = (20,000/3)V	
08					
09	T-15	273/2200	•к	$Y = 303.5 + 6.7632 \times 10^{2}(V) - 1.0423 \times 10^{2}(V^{2}) + 3.0118 \times 10^{1}(V^{3})$	
10	<b>T-</b> 9	1163/2780	ĸ	Y = 1163.6 + 27.045 X 10 <sup>3</sup> (V)	
11	<b>T-5</b>	1279/2300	ĸ	$X = 1279.0 + 10.192 \times 10^{3}(V)$	Low
11	<b>T-5</b>	2295/3592	ĸ	Y = 2295.0 + 12.942 X 10 <sup>3</sup> (V)	High
12	т-7	1300/2582	к	$Y = 1299.7 + 4.2755 \times 10^{3}(V)$	
13					
14	<b>T-13</b>	1235/2590	к	$Y = 1235.2 + 6.5241 \times 109(V) - 6.6957 \times 103(V2)$	I
15	т-6	1834/3000	ĸ	$Y = 1833.9 + 2.3323 \times 10^{3} (V) + 2.1196 \times 10^{3} (V^{2})$	
16	T-1	1310/1910	к	Y = 1310.1 + 39.783 X 10 <sup>3</sup> (V)	Mid
17	т-8	1260/1700	ĸ	$Y = 1260.9 + 5.8412 \times 10^{2} (v) + 2.9308 \times 10^{3} (v^{2})$	2600°F Full Scale

		TABI	E C-II	(contd.)	Instrument
Ch.	Parameter	Range	Units	Data Reduction Formula	Range
17	т-8	1620/2480	к	$Y = 1520.3 + 1.1015 \times 10^{3} (v + 5.7107 \times 10^{3} (v^{2})$	) 4000°F Full Scale
18	T-3	1250/2590	к	$Y = 1.6446 \times 10^{4} / \left[ 8.5189 - 1n(\sqrt{3.428} \times 10^{-2}) \right]$	
19		- (1) [20,03			
20		6.6607(1)		1-3 0/80 Fel	
21		- (v) 180.81		10ag (254	
22	T-17	273/310	к	$Y = 0.5556(^{\circ}F) + 255.22$	
07	T-10	273/373	ĸ	"	
27	1-19		r v	1000_000_00_0 S-1	
24	T-18	2(3/325	K		
25	<b>T-1</b> 0	273/673	К	star ensisten N	
26	T-11	273/673	к	"	
27	T-12	273/673	К	u	
28	т-16	273/673	К	3 0875/20 <b>n</b> 0 0-1	
29	т-20	273/373	К	Y = 0.5556(°F) + 255.22	
30	F-5	0/340.65	SLPM	x = 113.55(y)	
	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0/117 19	with the second		
51	w-1	0/11/.10	KW	Y = 39.06(V)	
32	<b>T-1</b> 4	1200/3310	К	$Y = 1.7209 \times 10^{4} [9.6848 - \ln(\sqrt{3.381} \times 10^{-2})]$	
33	T-4	273/2200	К	$Y = 303.5 + 6.7632 \times 10^{2} (v)$ 1.0423 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (v <sup>2</sup> ) + 3.0118 × 10 <sup>1</sup> (v <sup>3</sup> )	. ц

\*

Riaja

## TABLE C-III

DATA REDUCTION FORMULAS FOR TEST NUMBER SERIES 15800 - DATE 5-5-76

Ch.	Parameter	Range	Units	Data Reduction Formula	Range
00	Ref. Cal.		Volts	Y = V	
01	F-6	0/6	SLPM	Y = 2(V)	
02	F-7	0/30	\$ s/c	Y = 10(V)	
03	P-3	0/20	psig	Y = 20/3(V)	
04	T-14	1200/3310	ĸ	$Y = 1.7209 \times 10^{4} / [9.6848 - \ln(V/3.381 \times 10^{-2})]$	
05	F-2	0/20,000	SCCM	Y = 20,000(V)/3	
06	F-1	0/1416	SLPM	Y = 472(V)	
07	F-4	0/9	GPM	Y = -3(V)	
08	F-3	0/10	SLPM	¥ = 3.3333(V)	
09	T-15	273/2200	ĸ	$Y = 303.5 + 6.7632 \times 10^{2} (V) - 1.0423 \times 10^{2} (V^{2}) + 3.0118 \times 10^{1} (V^{3})$	6
10					
11	т-7	1300/2582	к	Y = 4.2755 X 10 <sup>3</sup> (V) + 1299.7	
12	T-5	1279/2300	К	$Y = 10.192 \times 10^3 (V) + 1279.0$	) Low
13	T-2	1435/2015	ĸ	$Y = 2.5328 \times 10^{5} (v^{2}) + 1.5073 \times 10^{4} (v) + 1437.8$	Low
14	T-13	1137/4710	ĸ	$Y = -1.5475 \times 10^{3} (v^{2}) + 2.6232 \times 10^{3} (v) + 1137.32$	H
	T-13	1137/4710	ĸ	$Y = -5.7271 \times 10^{3} (v^{2})^{+}$ 6.6981 x 10 <sup>3</sup> (v) + 1298.5	I
15	т-6	1834/3000	ĸ	$Y = 2.1196 \times 10^{3} (V^{2}) + 2.3323 \times 10^{3} (V) + 1833.9$	

		<u>T</u> .	ABLE C-I	II(contd.)	Instrument
Ch.	Parameter	Range	Units	Data Reduction Formula	Range
16	T-1	<u>Feducijon P</u>	к	(Low range not calib. yet.)	Low
	<b>T-1</b>	1310/1910	К	Y = 39.783 X 10 <sup>3</sup> (V) + 1310.1	Mid
17	т-8	1620/2480	<b>К</b>	$Y = 5.7107 \times 10^{3} (v^{2}) + 1.1015 \times 10^{3} (v) + 1620.3$	4000°F Full Scale
18	T-3	1250/2590	К	$Y = 1.6446 \times 10^4 / [8.5189 - ln(V/3.428 \times 10^{-2})]$	
19	<b>T-</b> 9	1125/1880	К	$Y = 1.5157 \times 10^{4}(V) + 1125.48$	
20		(v) sp/		7-1 0/1116 E1-1	
21		(7)64	- Y	P-4 0/9 074	
22	T-17	273/310	K	Y = 0.5556(°F) + 255.22	
23	T-19	273/373	к	1-15 \$\$\$73/2200 1	
24	<b>T-18</b>	273/325	к	"	
25	T-10	273/673	K		
26	T-11	273/673	К	2 2825\004	
27	T-12	273/673	к	Y = 0.5556(°F) + 255.22	
28	+ ( <sup>5</sup> y)	for a loca.9			
29	T-20	273/373	ĸ	$Y = 0.5556(^{\circ}F) + 255.22$	
30	F-5	0/340.65	SLPM	Y = 113.55(V)	
31	W-1	0/125	KW	Y = 41.667(V)	
30	W-2	0/125	KW	Y = 41.550(Y)	
		0/20)	1.54		

and a second second

128

### III THERMOCOUPLES

The thermocouples (TCs) were calibrated by their respective manufacturers. The calibration data were used to calibrate the signal conditioners and, subsequently, for the end-to-end calibration of the DAS. The DAS for the W-5% Re vs W-26% Re channels was calibrated by the boltage substitution method described above. Voltage substitution was used for the type-T TCs also, but only as an end-to-end wiring check. Ambient temperature readings of the units were compared for a single-point calibrtion check. The calibration equation (data reduction equation) for each TC is listed in Tables C-II and C-III for the test series 15600 and 15800, respectively.

THIS PAGE IS SEEN DUALITY STRACT CARELE

DOG OI GANACHARDE X 100 HARTE

IV POWER TRANSDUCER

The voltage and current transformers were calibrated at LASL to verify their accuracy. The signal conditioning was calibrated at LASL by varying the input voltage, current, and phase angle. No end-to-end calibration was performed on these channels (W-1 and W-2) at ARC owing to the lack of test equipment. The data reduction equations are shown in Tables C-II and C-III.

### V DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM

An end-to-end pretest calibration was conducted on the DAS as described above. In addition, the calibrate switches on the pyrometers were activated and these channels were verified. The above calibration data were recorded on magnetic tape for the first tests (mitrogen flow tests), and the data were reduced and verified before we proceeded with the test. The calibration data were hand-recorded but not recorded on magnetic tape for the deposition tests. A post-test calibration was performed on the DAS to the degree possible, limited only by test and hardware constraints. Tables C-IV and C-V outline the pre- and post-test calibration data dor the two series of tests.

In addition to the calibration performed above, a pseudo pre- and post-test calibration was conducted just before and after the tests. This calibration consisted of recording on magnetic tape the calibration point for the pyrometers, zeros for some channels and ambient conditions for others. A minimimum of 10 data points per channel was recorded. Becaused of the need to maintain gas and cooling water flow rates on the furnace for approximately 24 h subsequent to the test, no pot-test calibration data were obtained for some channels.

# THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE

### TABLE C-IV

DAS CALIRMATION FOR THET NUMBER BERIER 15600 - DATE 3-16, 3-17, 3-18-76

			Pre-Test	Calibration			Post-Test	Calibration			
Parameter	DAS Ch.	Cal. Voltage Input	DAS Input	Simulated Input	Heter	Cal. Voltage Input	DAS Input	Simulated Duput	Meter	Instr.	Remarks
Nof. Cb.	00		0.5002 V		•••		0.5002				DAS Per. Cal.
P-1	-	4.000 V	2. 3000 V		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		adding.				voltage
F-2	07	4.000 V	0.4001 V	16110 8019							
	10	4.001 V	P. 1008 V	070. 68 BL.M							
10.000	Serie S	1					1000	A STATES	NICE Y		
	01		1.000 V	eoto per							
1-4		5.000 V		epto per							
~	03	4.000 V	8.3997 V	10.0 per							
		4.000 V	2.4000 V	20.0 per							
~,	05	•.000 V	5.400 Y	eo.o per							
r-1	16	•10.01 W	10.01 mV		783°C	•18.93 w	18.93 mV		***	Low	thit miscall-
T-1	16	*10.01 mV	10.01 mV	1708.3 K	1385 °C	•18.93 mV	18.93 mV			MId	hrs. 3/11/76
T-1	16	•10.01 mV	10.01 mV		3273°C	*18.93 mV	18.93 mV		***	Righ	
1-5	IJ	•1.30 mV	1.30 mV		5050 °C	•1.30 av	1.30 mV		5050°C	Low	Parameter not
1-5	v	•100.00 m	100.00 ===-	•••	5000.G	*100.00 mV	Va 00.001		5000,C	Het	DAS
1-3	18	Ambient	0.91 W	•••	***	•••	***				
L-2	16	6.74 mV	222.10 W	estert k		-					
L-4	33	Short	0.0046 V	296.89 K	***	***	***				
4-4	33	8.90	0.8309 V	810.77 K		***					
T-3	n	*100.20 mV	100.20 mV	23.0.2 K	5000.6	*100.5 mV	100.5 mV	2300.2 K	5005°C	Low	
T-5	11	•0.50 W	0.50 mV	2301.5 K	5,000g	*0.4 mV	0.4 mV	2301.5 4	2005 *0	Mah	
r-6	IJ	*150.2 **	130.2	2232.0 K	3980 °F	*20 mV	20 mV	•••		***	Cal. circuit momally on
T-7	15	*231.0 mV	231.0 mV	2287.8 K	2000°C	\$231.0 m	231.0 mV	2287.8 K	5000.G		Test test tat.
8-7	17	•8.30 mV	287.4 mV	1670.9 K	2500°P		***	***	***	26N'F	
T-8	17	*8.30 mV	287.4 mV	2408.6 K	3750°F	****	290.2 mV	2403.6 K	3730°r	4000*7	
T-8	17	Short	0.63 .	1620.3 K		***	***		***	4000°F	
T-9		•••	9 <b>***</b> (193	•••	***	***	•••			•••	Parameter not recorded on
T-10	23	Ambient	64.8'7		***	Amblent	323.6'7	***	***		Deci
r-11	26	Amblant	60.9'7		***	Ambient	331.27		***		
4-15	27	Ambient	59.27	•••		Ambient	302.4 T				
1-13	14	***	***								Cal. Sv. In-
7-14	×	Ambient	0.0031 V		***						operation
T-14	2	7.06 mV	0.2336 V	2020 X	***						
T-15	09	Short	0.0030 V	296.9 K							
T-15	09	8.90 m	0.8283 V	809.3 K	***						
T-15	58	Ambiant	56.9*F	***	***	Amblent	583.7 T		***		
T-17	82	Amblent	48.4*7		***	Ambient	47.3'F		***		the second
T-18	54	Ambient	64.8'F	***	***	Ambient	67.4 T	***	***		
T-19	23	Ambient	48.6'7	***	***	Ambimt	51.5'7	***	-		
F-20	29	Ambient	49.67	-		Ambient	54.7'F		***		
W-1	31	Ambtent	0.0343 ¥	0.0 10	***	Ambient	0.87223 V	0.0 10			

1

"Calibration voltage from internal calibration standard of instrumet.

130

BICADITOANT TILIAUD IDRE DI SDAT BIHT

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICARLE

A STREET, STRE

時に

100

			Fre-Test	Calibration			Post-Test	Calibration			
Deserter	DAR Ch.	Cal. Voltage	DAS Doput	Simulated Input	Heter	Cal. Voltage	DAS.	Simulated Dans	Heter	Destr.	Benerite
Ref. Cb.	00		0.5005				0.5005				DAS Not. Cal
											Voltage
F-1	06	Short	-0.0002 V	0.0 SLIN							
F-1	06	4.006 V	2.4006 V	1132.8 SLIW							
1-5	05	Short	-0.0001 V	0.0 SCCN							
7-2	05	4.002 V	2.3997 V	1600							
F-3	08	Short	-0.002 V	0.0 SLIN							
7-3	08	4.000 V	2.4009 V	8.0 SI.M							
P-4	or	Short	-0.0002 V	0.0 874							
F-4	07	4.000 V	2.4001 V	7.2 gpm							
7-5	yo	Short	• \$000.0-	0.0 SLPM							
F-5	30	4.000 V	2.4004 V	572.48 SLPM							
r-6	01	Short	-0.0001 V	0.0 SLPN							
F-6	01	4.000 V	2.4000 V	4.8 SLPN							
P-7	02	Short	-0.0001 V	0.05							
8-7	02	3.998 v	2.3992 V	24.05							
*3	03	Short	-0.00024	0.0 pet							
P-3	03	\$ 000.4	2.3984 V	16.0 pei							
T-1	16	•10.04 W	10.04 W	1709.5 K	1385°C	*9.939 aV	9.959 mV	1705.5 K	1385°C	MId	
1-2	13	*33.04 eV	35.04 eV	2212.3 K	\$000°C	*33.23 eV	33.23 aV	2212.3 K	\$000°C	Low	
1-2	13	*0.60 mV	0.60 mV		2000°C					H.sh	
1-3	18	Short .	1.03 aV	1250.0 K							
T-3	18	5.00 eV	172.60 aV	2382.6 K							
T-5	12	•100.2 mV	100.2 W	2300.2 K	2000°C	*100.37 eV	100.37 mV	8300-8 K	\$000°C	Low	
1-6	15	*150.2 aV	150.2 mV	2232.0 K	3980°r						Cal. circuit
1-7	11	*231.0 mV	231.0 mV	2287.8 K	2°0008	*231.62 mV	231.62 aV	2287.8 1	2000°C		Post Test Ca
T-6	17	Short	1.20 mV	1620.0 x						4000°F	
T-8	17	8.0 mV	274.40 aV	2352.5 K		-8.44	290.0 mV	2420 K	3750°F	4000°r	
T-9	19		-9.3 mV	984.5 K	2000*R						
T-9	19	*29.6 aV	89.6 aV	1574.1 K	5420"R	*42.49 aV	42.49 mV	1769.5 K	3420		
T-10	25	9.4	426.0"r								
T-10	25	Ambient	66.9°r			Ambient	280.6"7				
T-11	26	9.4	426.0"F								
T-11	26	Ambiant	65.8°F			Amblent	293.6"7				
7-12	*	9.4	426.0"F								
7-12		Ambtent	65.1"7			Ambient	298.6"7				
	-		6								
	-	Amblent	05.17			Ambient	298.6"7				
1-13	1.	Short	0.43 aV	1137 K							
7-13	1.	29.9 NV	282.74	1755.3 K		*44.5 BV	420.3 mV		90%	×	Cal. voltage of 420.3 sv over-ranged
											DAS

#### TABLE C-V

DAS CALLENATION FOR TEST NUMBER SERIES 15800 - DATE 5-5 & 5-6-76

131

• Calibration voltage from internal calibration standard of instrument. ••System check only. Not accurate volta to '7 calibration.

## THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE

### TABLE C-V (contd.)

			No-Test	Callbration			Post-Test	Callbration			
Personter	DAS Ch.	Cal. Voltage	DAS Japat	Simulated Deput	Notor Reading	Cal. Voltage	DAS Darvit	Simulated Duput	Notor Pending	Inetr.	Penarke
T-14	04	Short	V 1900.0	1200 K							
T-14	04	0.040 V	1.3546 V	2870.9 K							
T-15	09	Short.	0.0024 V	296.9 K							
T-15	09	29.9 mV	2.7667 V	2014.7 K							
T-17	22	9.2 MV	423.4"7								
T-17	22	Ambient	60.5 Y			Ambient	60.5'7				
T-18	24	9.4.1	426.07								
T-18	24	Ambient	66.9 <b>*</b> F			Ambient	74.4"				
T-19	23	9.444	426.0°F	••							
T-19	23	Ambient	60.7*7			Ambient	63.5 T				
7-20	29	9.4µV	426.0"F								
T-20	29	Ambient	61.2°F			Ambient	65.5'T				
W-1	31	Short	0.000 v	0.0 10							
W-1	u	Ambient	-0.0045 V	0.0 10		Ambient	-0.0135 V	0.0 101			
N-0											
	-	enters	0.000	0.0 M							
A-8	22	Ambiant	0.0004 V	0.0 10		Ambient	0.0004 V	0.0 101			

"System check only. Not accurate volts to "F calibration.

contributes by the set of a private set for an experience of the presence in the set of the set of

LAN BREES	0	)	
-----------	---	---	--

II. MITROGEN FLOW TESTS

### APPENDIX D

This appendix contains the test procedures, the test logs, and graphs of raduced data from **NOITATNAMUDOD**, **ATAD**, **DNA**, **BRUGEDORS TEST**. Included also is a discussion of data anomalies.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	s tests commenced on March 16, 1976 at 1640 h after several	Page
I. I.	GENERAL	134
II	NITROGEN FLOW TESTS	134
tog mele	A. Procedure for nitrogen flow tests	134
	B. Nitrogen flow test log	134
	C. Nitrogen flow test data	134
	D. Discussion of N <sub>2</sub> flow test data	134
III	DEPOSITION TESTS	164
0-1, The	A. Deposition test procedure	164
	B. Deposition test log	164
	C. Deposition test data	164
	D. Discussion of deposition test data	164

## LIST OF, ILLUSTRATIONS

## that the reader may correlate these events with the data prevented here, eldaT

- coppy of rede recounter in richt rede i i i i i i i		•	133	
D-II Test log - N, flow tests, I. D. 15601		83. •.	146	
D-III Reduced data for the nitrogen flow tests	•		148	
D-IV Copy of Test Procedure - Deposition Tests		•	165	
D-V Test log-Coating test series 158000	•	•	183	
D-VI Reduced data for the coating tests	•		187	
D-VII Deposition test time log	12:00	ron	202	

the critical on file at tASL. It is included because it outlines pertinent event

accurate; howaver, power in excess of 25 kW applied to the furnace caused a signal-to-noise ratio of Approximately 0.1. This problem was resolved before the deposition teams.

Parameters T-2 and T-9 were not recorded on the DAS because of excessive common mode voltage on their signals. These parameters were recorded manually at 15-min intervals throughout this test. The signal conditioner for these test parameters drifted approximately <u>t</u> deg/h. A circuit was designed to reduce the

### I. GENERAL

This appendix contains the test procedures, the test logs, and graphs of reduced data from the nitrogen flow and deposition tests. Included also is a discussion of data anomalies.

College Provident Report of the

### **II. NITROGEN FLOW TESTS**

These tests commenced on March 16, 1976 at 1440 h after several hours of system configuration verification and pretest checkout. The test identification number is 15601. The test procedure, which is part of the data package, is given here. These tests were concluded on March 18, 1976 at 0300 h.

The procedure was modified as the test proceded and data were being analyzed and compared with the objectives. It was found unnecessary to perform paragraphs 5.3, 5.4, 7.2, and 8.2 of the test procedure.

### A. Procedure for Nitrogen Flow Tests

The procedure for the nitrogen flow tests is presented in Table D-I. The procedure is that on file at LASL.

### B. Nitrogen Flow Test Log.

The enclosed test log for test 15601 (Table D-II) is a typewritten copy of the original on file at LASL. It is included because it outlines pertinent events that occured during the test and their time of occurrence. It is included so that the reader may correlate these events with the data presented here.

### C. Nitrogen Flow Test Data.

The data in Table D-III cover that part of the test in which power was applied to the furnace. It was not convenient to present the data on other parts of the test in this format; so parts of the data appear in Sec. III of this report. All data were recorded on magnetic tape which is on file at LASL. D. Discussion of N<sub>2</sub> Flow Tests Data.

Part of the data from the flowmeter which measured cooling water flow rate (F-5) is erroneous. The data obtained before applying power to the furnace are accurate; however, power in excess of 25 kW applied to the furnace caused a signal-to-noise ratio of approximately 0.1. This problem was resolved before the deposition tests.

Parameters T-2 and T-9 were not recorded on the DAS because of excessive common mode voltage on their signals. These parameters were recorded manually at 15-min intervals throughout this test. The signal conditioner for these two parameters drifted approximately  $\pm$  deg/h. A circuit was designed to reduce the
## TABLE D-I I-C ALBAT

ALEXANDRA VIRONA	1.	I toost as	whenced 12	A 1			
	Toan	LASL Pr	ocedures	<u>M</u>		Par 1.0	, + <u>1</u>
Dy by by by by	Cony 2	01. 86	copies.	Che 2-9	by	Checked by	
1:0 Assembly	4			r-W	18.		
1.1 Fixtures	assembled as	per SK694	-001A: Ch	Furnace Casa	.32		
following	items for p	oper loca	tion, align	r location (†	Injecto	1.2	
in place	assure that	correct t	vods abdant	age .xorqqa xa	Lampble:	1.3	
	10	mpensate f ces)	rfrax to co epth (3 pla	towards tibe. Messured d	setty.		
The d SHIRLD	THAN - TY	5	tions to:	sater concer	colino.	N.C	
.2	5 de contro	5		(-T	1.0.1		
	and the set of the	1		S-T	S.		
		3		0-1	έ.		
	1-5			en - 2012	5. 5		
	1-D	$\  \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_1} - \mathbf{x} \ _{1} = \  \mathbf{x} \ _{1}^{2}$	··· · · · · · · ·	11. 3.7	13.	1 1 1 1	
	1-/			5-T	а.	·	
	1-8			8-T	×.		
	1-9			0-1	8.		
	1-10			21.13	2.		
	1-11			AT-1	.10		
.12	1-12			31-1	11.		
.13	1-13			-9	Sf.		
.14	1-14	from for	- wall (F.	11 insertion )	ÊĹ.	······································	
.15	1-15 2	15/ 1	C . C	1103	.14		
.10	1-10	19.32	trok ti	Indector	115		
.17	1-17		to wall	S.F. Qublet P	187.		
-18	1-18	Tic IT.	5 Lepth	= 1.9.0"	.17		
.19	1-19	calli	t V. " From	mil Ta	81.		
.20	P-1 /	Const	·	Support Mate	91.		
.21		spure	bioli	S.F. Inlet 10	OS.		
	D_2			Furnace Lid	.21		
.23		.l6 inter-	T	nes to ftens	Fain 1	1.5 1	
	P-6	8 1-5	ed by T-19	d and monitor	13.200 uo:	, <u> </u>	
.25			ns for:	gas connectio	22950 T	1 -0-1	
.20	5.0			Process	1.6.1		
				Annulus R	2.		
.20			(fons4	chy. ( .o. Tylen	- 5.		
125			tions9	ne (to Tylan	- P.	·	
Marrie #1 .	DAS OPErs	tor	#30	4 4.85/			

# THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE

### TABLE D-I (continued)

ATLA: TIC RESEARCH CORPORATION

Proc 2 15 11

LASL Procedures (continued)

				Checked	Checked
	.30	F-5		Cuth	100
	.31	W-1		1	
	.32	Furnace Case Pressure Gag	e		
1.2	Injecto	r location (10.8 inches fr	om subs.)	in the last	
1.3	Lampbla upwards settlin	ck approx. 8 inches above towards fiberfrax to comp g. Measured depth (3 place	susc. Slope ensate for s)	n pîrte tir	T
1.4	Cooling	water connections to:	5 5/16 + N	ETT TO L.A	. SHIELD
	1.4.1	T-1	5716-0	ander 1	.
	.2	T-2	6" toutor	the second	
	.3	T-3	04	that and	
	.4	T-5		AUT A	1
	.5	T-6		C	
	.6	1-7		ALT. A	1
	.7	T-8		Section C.	1
	.8	T-9		otar at	
	.9	T-13		rear un	
	.10	T-14		Carl Vic	
	.11:	T-15		ren et	
	.12	P-1		Mar Ar	
	.13	P-2		26.7 281.	
	.14	Coll		MLT ST.	
	.15	Injector		V 648 . 81.	
	.16	S.P. Outlet Manifold		81.31 32	
	.17	Furnace Exhaust Tube Asser	nbly	01.02 - 01.	
	.18	Bus Bar		05.1 Bt	
	.19	Support Plate		1.1.18	1
	.20	S.P. Inlet Manifold			
	.21	Furnace Lid		and the .	1.
1.5	Drain 14 connecte	ines to items 1.4.1 - 1.4.1 and monitored by T-19 &	16 inter- F-5	24 19-6	
1.6	Process	gas connections for:			T
	1.6.1	No Process		Contraction of the second	
	.2	No Annulus			
	.3 -	CH, (:o Tylan Panel)		C. C	1
	.4 -	He (to Tylan Panel)		A CONTRACTOR	T
		and the second sec	the state of the s	Service and	All of the

## TABLE D-I (continued)

ATLANT

ALTIC REBEARCH COMPORTION	Pro	3 ./ 11
LASL Procedures (continued)		
	Checked	Checked
1.7 Purge gas connections to:	· · · · ·	
1.7.1 1-1	run	332
.2 T-2	1.	
.3 T-3		
.4 T-5		
.5 T-6		
.6 T-7		
.7 T-8		
.8 T-9		
.9 T-13		
.10 T-14		
.11 T-15		
.12 P-1.	T	
.13 P-2		
.14 P-1 & P-2 Annulus		
.15 Furnace Case		Series at
1.8 Furnace power connections		<u>A</u>
1.9 Capacitor connections made and recorded "CAL" 2.0 Pre-Heatup Check Out All Labol and mount tore	in e te	
2.2 - CHI Supply 1.12 ofter 1/2 Ha perform: 10 complet	×	
2.3 MIS Supply Canta Scans	10)	
2.4 All Cooling Water Valves Upen	cun	
2.4.1 WY-1		
.2 WV-2		
.3 WY-3		
.4 NY-4		
.5 NY-5		
.6 NY-6		
.7 WY-7	·	
.8 WY-8		
2.5 LNECK COOLING water sight flow indicators	6.00	
2.3.1 1-1	in	
.2 T-2		-+

TABLE D-I (continued)

ATLAUTIC RESEARCH COR	POPATION	Pm 4 2	8 11
The second	LASL Procedures (continued)		
		Checked	Checked
		by	by
2.5.3	T-3	am	2:
.4	T-5	A DECEMBER OF	S.C.
.5 .	T-6	tel Cale	
.6	T-7		
.7	T-8	8-1 K	
.8	T-9	del de .	
.9	T-13	8-3 E.	
.10	T-14	Car b.	
.11	T-15	del de	
.12	P-1	Coll By	
.13	P-2	14 2.	· ·
.14	Bus Bar	4-T. 48.	
.15	Support Plate	1.1	
.16	Coil	1-9 3.	
.17	Exhaust Tube	Set of the	
.18	Furnace Lid	1-8 11	
the peak gipo min .19	Injector	HUT ST.	
2.6 Coil wa	ter flow rate measurement For continuous scors, of channels. Not Close NV-8	to time and	F-5 + + 1
	Mannually record flow rate of F-5 DAS	1 = 20 195	gem )
	Note DAS time - Take 10 con tinvers	all chi	mels .
23.04	Open WV-8		
.5	Manually record flow rate of F-5 - 23 6	w	i i
.6	Note DAS time - Take 10 continuous se	en, all clown	els
2.7 ARC ins	trument panel should be set up as follows:	2.4.7 17	/
2.7.1	All flowrator valves closed	-178 5.	ie
.2	NV-1 open	-ire E.	200
.3	NP-1 set at 50 psig	-101 - 14	
.4	NV-2 open	111 2.	
.5	NV-3 open	-15 8.	C 2
.6	NP-2 set at 50 psig	- W T.	SE
-7-	HV-1-open-	-W. 1.	
.8	-NV-2 open to desired rotometer	Pleas tents	2.5
.9	MP-1 set at 50 psig	1-1 1.3.5	

## TABLE D-I (continued)

S.YEADI

	ARCH CORPORATION	Page 5	611
a bear	LASL Procedures (continued)		
		Checked by	Checked by
2.8	Initiate heatup gas flow rates at gas panel by adjusting flowrator valves to achieve following indicated rates:	ia Plac Pri Adact	0.0.0 E
	2.8.1 : N2 Case - 150 SCFH (4002)	Charles 1	200
	.2 No Annulus 24% (4001) Fz	- Julia	200
	.3 N2 Process - 200 SCFH Fi	÷	300
	(.4 N2 P-1 - 35% 0		e.
and and	.5 . N2 P-2 - 35% 0		<u>-4</u>
15 SCFH	.6 N2 P-1 & P-2 Annulus - 10%		ee
a full scall	.7 N2 T-1 - 5% 20%	Parts age	1.200
on Fisher Porter	-8 N T-2 - 18% 50		معبيت
Units	.9 N2 T-3 - 35% 100		
	-10 No T-5 - 10% 50		ce-
	.11 No T-6 - 35% 100		6.1.1
	.12 No T-7 - 100%		11.1_
	.13 No T-8 - 35% 160		en
	.14 No T-9 - 5% 50		le
	.15 No T-13 - 5% 20		il
	.16 No T-14 - 5% 20	•	-C
and an other	.17 No T-15 - 100%	truind c.	u.
	-18-CH4-0-		

Note: Items 2.8.4 through 2.8.17 require flowmeter tube No. 1/8 - 20 - p - 3/37 with SS float.

TABLE D-I (continued)

ATLANTIC RESEARCH CORPORATION ALEXANC

Page 6 06 11

3.0 Cold Flow Profile Tests

3.1	Adjust	N2 process	rate to 500	SCFH (ARC	flowmeter).)	Note: Compare
C	F-1 wit	h ARC Flore	eter. If F	1 rate is	greater, set	flow-using-Fyt.

3.1.1 'Start reading with probe at 0° position and 2 inches below injector tip.

.2 Rotate probe from side to side stopping to take pressure readings every 5 degrees. This may be accomplished by stopping the

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} DAS \text{ as the probe is rotated and starting it whenever the} \\ OI-OC \end{array}\right)$  desired position is reached. Take **such** reading for approxi-

 $\left( \begin{array}{c} DAs \ chundle \end{array} \right)$  axial position of each reading, and both pressure transducer of 405 outputs of  $\rho 4 \notin \rho 5$ .

.3 Repeat this procedure at 2 inch increments from the injector

to full extent of probe. (depress) exial .4 Record left and right extent, of travel at each position to determine location of canister extension inside wall and as an indication of the amount of eccentricity of the probe from the centerline position.

3.2 Adjust No process rate to 1000 SCFH (ARC flowmeter) and duplicate procedure of 3.1. 1400

3.3 Adjust No process rate to TEB SCFH (ARC flowmeter) and duplicate procedure of 3.1.

9:00 m	- set	Up	Heire Gund	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1000 scfH	P:	1.14	Heine
	1400 sef #	P.	2.13	2.3 Ps;
	500 s c1H	RE		

TABLE D-I (continued)

TLANTIC RESEARCH CORPORATION AL TRANSPORA VIRGINIA

Page 7 . f 11

4.0 Transient Heating Tests

4.1 During heatup hand record all available data in run logs A and B at 15 minute intervals. (Continue this procedure throughout remainder of run.) DAS Record on Inia intervals of all channels through 33) 4.1.1 All gas flow rates same as in Section 2.8.

4.2 Heat furnace at 50 kW for 30 minutes. Start at 9:31

wate faised 4.3 After 30 minutes adjust power to 90 kW.

- 26/31 7" 16 1: 10:00
- 4.3.1 Adjust settings on control panel as smoothly and rapidly as possible, within limitations of equipment.
  - .2 If an intended power setting is overshot, do not readjust-in other words, do not juggle the controls in attempting to exactly duplicate a printed test condition.

.3 The number of changes in power are to be minimized.

.4 Except in cases where a safety hazard is involved, do not adjust power settings in response to measured parameters (temperatures) which might have been established for normal

2.15 chund 12 (Miletron SN-463) shall be 171. 6 : 2.4 my coating runs. = 10

- 4.4 After substrate inner surface temperature has reached 1738°C (F-7):

4.4.1 Record DAS time and elapsed time. \_ Discontinue DAS record

.2 Adjust N<sub>2</sub> process rate to 500 SCFH.

.3 Adjust power level to maintain 1738°C.

- 4.5 ARC pyrometer calibration (to be conducted after temperature has stabilized).
  - Inder is 4.5.1 Remove 2-color pyrometer head from SP-7. 1740\*
    - 3123 .2 Read temperature with ARC optical pyrometer S/N 1792643 with H 3120 XN sight port cover in place. 1150 N
    - .3 Repeat 4.5.2 with sight port cover off. 3145 XH
    - Reinstall 2-color pyrometer head, and record temperature with 1742 2 .4 sight port cover removed.
    - Replace sight port cover and record temperature with 2-color 1750 'c .5 pyrometer.

Ne.

TABLE D-I (continued)

ATLANTIC RESEARCH CORPORATION ALEXANDRA VIRGINA

Page 8 of 11

5.0 N2 Flow Tests

6.1 No. Flow Test 1

- 6.1.1 Adjust N<sub>2</sub> process rate to 500 SCFH. 5.2 Stabilize substrate inner surface temperature at 1738 °C for at least one hour with no power adjustment required. greater than + 2 kW/15 minutes.
  - .3 Record time stabilization is achieved and start DAS, -5 min interval.
  - A Maintain power level for 1 hour with no changes to allow temperature to stabilize. If substrate inner surface temperature drifts more than 25°C, repeat steps 6.1.2, 6.1.3, and 6.1.4. \*

6.2.1 Adjust No process rate to 1000 SCFH.

- .2 Maintain all other parameters including power level.
- .3 Maintain 1000 SCFH for 1 hour or longer to achieve stable temperature.
- \$.3 No Flow Test 3
  - 6.3.1 Adjust No process rate to 1400 SCFH. Note T-8 meton. Sw tik t.
    - .2 Maintain all other parameters including power level. Note 1 m
    - .3 Maintain 1400SCFH for 1 hour or tonger to achieve stable
  - Ctemperature. \*
- 5 F.4 N2 Flow Test 4

  - 6.4.1 Adjust N2 process rate to 1000 SCFH. Reset T-8 meter to .2 Maintain all other parameters including power level.
    - .3 Maintain these parameters for T hour or longer to achieve stable temperature and then proceed to power-level Test 1. \*

\* Exit gas temperature Profile. Procedure on next roge.

TABLE D-I (continued)

Page 9-6 11

# Exit 62 Temperature Protile

Varify T-15 thermiscouple at maximum insertion . (This is where it should normally be parked.) set DAS for continuous scan of Channels 09 through 12. (T-15, T-9. T-5, and T-7). Take continuous scans , for 30 seconds laparex 10' sets of data) Hand Record DMS time, thermocouple T-15 position and T-15 outpout millivolts (DMs channel 09). Withdraw the T-15 thermocouple incl and repeat the 30 second DAS seam and the hand recording of time, position and millivolts. Discontinue recording on the DAS while the proke is hims moved. continue the process, each time moving the T-15 thermocouple in 1 with increments watil the diameter of the exit tube (~ 5 inches) has been trawfreed. At the end of the traverse, return the TIS thermocouple to its fully inserted "parking" position and re-set the DAS for 5 min. scans of all channels (00 through 33.

#### TABLE D-I (continued)

ATLANTIC REBEARCH CORPORATION ALEXANDERA VIEGNA

Page 10 06 11

7.0 Power Level Tests (Maintain N<sub>2</sub> Flow Test 4 Gas Flow Rates)

7.1 Power Level Test 1.

7.1.1 . Increase coil power level by 15 kW.

.2 Maintain this power level for 1 hour or longer to achieve stable temperature. 💥

7.2 Power Level Test 2.

7.2.1 Decrease coil power level by 15 kW. .2 Maintain this power level for 1 hour or longer to achieve stable temperature.

\* Exit Gas Temperature Probile, Providure on B9.

TABLE D-I (continued)

ATLANTIC REBEARCH CORPORATION ALEXANDRA VINC

Pose 11 08 11

8.0 Test Termination

8.1 Turn off furnace power. )

8.1.1 Record DAS time and elapsed time in run logs.

.2 . Maintain gas flow rates of previous test (Section 7.0).

.3 Continue hand recording of data, and continue DAS recording of data, at 5 min. intervals all channels (00 - 33)

8.2 After 1 hour, adjust process gas flow rate to 200 SCFH.

8.2.1 Record DAS time and elapsed time in run logs. Continue hand recording of data and continue DAS recording .2 of data.

#### 8.3 After 1 hour, terminate run.

Discontinue hand recording of data and turn off DAS. 8.3.1

sat all applicable pyrometers to calibrate" 1.3.2 mo de. Take 10 scans on DAS of all channels (0-23). Using the take recorder control, add a file 2 ap , grewind the tape. Verify that it has been labled with the date, test number 15601 and tope sequence number. Turn off the DAS and take deck. 8.3.2. Cover all sight ports with cardboard 8.3.3 Turn off all instruments 8.3.4. Cover all pyrometer hends with plastic

## TABLE D-II TEST LOG - Ng FLOW TESTS, I.D. 15601

100

1990

DAS Time	Remarks
22:04:50	F-5 disconnected.
22:05 25	F-5 reconnected.
22:38:00	Water pressure dropped suddenly to approximately 12 psi, then returned to 26.
23:18:34	T-1 (Channel 16); switch from low to medium scale.
23:18:15	Water pressure fluctuation 27 to 20 to 27 psi in 5 sec- onds.
23:34:20	Switched range from low to medium; T-8, ch. 17. DAS showed momentary overrange. Shut furnace power off to check F-5 meter effect.
23:54:50	At end of transient heat test, increased N <sub>2</sub> process to 500 SCFH. F-5 decreased from 52 to 22 when power off. When power reapplied, F-5 increased to 33 again.
24:00:00	Low intensity light on T-7. Glass clouded. Slid glass to clear. Low intensity light out. T-7 = $1720^{\circ}C/DAS$ off.
24:10:00	Low intensity light back on T-7; readjusted focus; light out. Temperature reading did not change.
02:00:00	Prior to temperature recording, adjusted T-9 pyrometer head. Temperature reading now seems reasonable and low intensity light not on. Small adjustments in head align- ment no longer cause gross indicated temperature changes.
03:15:00	Paragraph 4.5 of the Test Procedures: ARC pyrometer cal- ibration.
	Temperature using 2 color with glass cover in place - $1740^{\circ}C$ Temperature using ARC optical pyrometer with glass cover in place - $3123^{\circ}F(H) - 3123^{\circ}F(XH)$
	Temperature using ARC optical pyrometer without glass cover in place - 3150°F (H) - 3145°F (XH) Temperature using 2 color optical pyrometer without glass cover in place - 1742°C Temperature using 2 color optical pyrometer with glass cover in place - 1750°C Pressure determination at P-1 while sight port glass removed: pressure 0 or less (2 psi).
04:15:00	While taking exhaust temperature scans cannot maintain T-15 N <sub>2</sub> flow rate. Thermocouple seems to be blocking flow. After shoving T/C back in, maximum achievable rate is $65\%$ .

146

### - TABLE D-II (continued)

#### DAS Time Remarks 04:53:45 Tried to make traverse of exit gas temperatures with T-15. Wouldn't budge. Left in place approximately " from wall for remainder of run. 05:04:30 Increased process N2 flow to 1000 SCFH. Calibrated T-2; was reading about 30°C low. 09:38:35 09:50:00 Calibrated remaining instruments. 14:29:45 Increased power from 45 Kw to 60 Kw in 5 seconds. Hot spot in fiberfrax - 180 position- center of furnace. 17:00:00 Tapped with wood stick to cause carbon block to fall 17:20:00 into void. 17:40:00 Hot spot near T-3 and T-11A (0°). 18:45:00 Intermittant low intensity indication on T-7. 19:00:00 Power circuit back on line. 19:10:00 T-5 in calibrate position for this scan. Next scan it will be switched to high scale (19:15:00 scan). 20:15:00 Shielding F-5 with dustpan, aluminum foil, foil in place for 20:15:00 scan. 20:25:00 Low intensity signal (intermittant) on T-5. 21:10:00 F-5 disconnected to check mag. pickup signal. 22:05:00 Dismounted T-13 pyrometer head (IRCON). Read temperature using LAN pyrometer 3715°F (2319 K). (Conversion from scale reading on Range I is 2093 K.) 22:17:00 T-1 switched to high scale. Read T-13 with L&N pyrometer - 3745°F (2336 K). 23:04:00 23:30:30 Turned power off. F-5: 21 GPM, water pressure 27 t psig. 23:32:00 T-5 switched to low scale. 23:35:20

23:36:25 T-2 switched to low scale.

#### TABLE D-III

#### REDUCED DATA FOR THE NITROGEN FLOW TESTS

The reduced test data are plotted versus normalized time in minutes. A second reference scale at the bottom of each page gives the corresponding DAS or real time in hours. The label on each figure identifies the parameter plotted (see Figs. A-6 and B-1). The parameters are arranged in alphabetical and numerical order.

Melding 7-5 with dust on, similar fail, fail as pis

Low inconsist sizes (incertainband) in Tes.

. Lavais discipted to check way, picking a manage

Dimounted T-13 pyrometer head (DROM). Bood temperature uning LAN gyrometer 3715°F (2319 K). (Converter Drom momis reading on Dense I is 2005 K.)

T-1 switchied to Make coals.

Read T-15 with Like proceeder . STAT'S (2996 c).

. The respect the rest

Febr 21 CHA, water pressure 27 5 tates.

.stass wol of badotiva C-T

siace wai of humaning S-T





DAS TIME OR REAL TIME (HRS)



DAS TIME OR REAL TIME (HRS)



DAS TIME OR REAL TIME (HRS)





DAS TIME OR REAL TIME (HRS)



(29H) DAS TIME OR REAL TIME (HRS)



DAS TIME OR REAL TIME (HRS)





DAS TIME OR REAL TIME (HRS)



DAS TIME OR REAL TIME (HRS)





DAS TIME OR REAL TIME (HRS)

common mode voltage on the signal, and these parameters were recorded on the DAS during the deposition tests. The units were calibrated and adjusted hourly as required during the deposition tests in an attempt to alleviate the error associated with signal drift.

The data shown here for the total radiation and ir type pyrometers reflect a correction of the 0.85 surface emissivity of HLM graphite. The surface emissivity used for this graphite was 0.85. To increase the flexibility of data handling, the data reduction equations for these pyrometers do not incorporate the correction for surface emissivity. Therefore, one can look at the upper and lower temperature limits, depending upon the physical configuration of the measurement and the measuring instrument (see Sec. III B).

These pyrometers were calibrated on a near-perfect blackbody with a "sight tube" whose length-to-diameter ratio was approximately seven; therefore, no emissivity correction factor is necessary for the equations derived from the calibration data. The sight ports (graphite tubes) on the ARC furnace had a length-to-diameter ratio of approximately 36. If this ratio is larger than five and the sight tube bottoms out on the surface to be measured, no correction for surface emissivity is required. The above discussion pertains only to the total radiation and ir pyrometers, as this correction is not required for the twocolor pyrometers. Therefore, the two-color units were used to "look" beyond the sight port tubes to the surface to be measured, the substrate surface.

Each data dpoint of the figures in Table III is illustrated by a plus sign. These data points are then connected together by a straight line. Very few data points were taken on most of the figures from the time 23:56:55 to 04:05:00. Paragraphs 4.4.3 through 5.1.2 of the test procedure were being performed during this period.

The pyrometer that measured temperature T-1 has not been calibrated on the "low" or "high" ranges. Therefore, the reduced data taken before 23:18:34 on March 16 and after 22:17:00 on March 17 are incorrect. These data will be reduced correctly if needed for the furnace analysis.

The total radiation pyrometer that measured T-3 was over-ranged from 20:20:01 to 23:31:00 on day 077. The furnace temperature at this location exceeded the calibrated range of this channel.

The tungsten vs tungsten-rhenium thermocouple that measured T-4 over-ranged at 22:47:00 on day 076 and ultimately failed. At 06:45 on day 077, the output signal from T-4 came back on scale, and at 09:55 it over-ranged again. Also, 162 at these exact times the recorded output of T-1, T-5, T-6, and T-7 changed. These output signals increased when T-4's signal was within the DAS range and decreased again as T-4 over-ranged.

The errors associated with T-5, T-6, and T-7 during this period are plus 40, 30, and 15 K respectively. The T-1 data are completely erroneous during this period, except, possibly, for the points at 08:55:00 and 09:20:00 h.

As indicated above, the T-1 data were affected during this same period. The negative-going spike at 08:55 on T-1 corresponds to the time when T-4 momentarily over-ranged. The temperature recorded for T-1 at this time was 1537 K. The parameters T-5, T-6, T-7, and T-8 also were affected at this time. Their data showed temperature drops of 16, 3. 7, and 16 K, respectively.

These data indicate that T-4 was intermittently shorted to the furnace. This short circuit was either providing a ground loop or connecting the voltage on the furnace (substrate) to the DAS, exceeding its common mode voltage specification. The data on almost all of the channels are noisier than normal which suggests the existance of such a system problem.

The positive-going spike in the data plots of parameters T-5, T-6, T-7, and T-8 at 09:50 h on day 077 occurs because the pyrometers that measured these parameters were placed in the "CAL" position for a single DAS scan. The pyrometer measuring T-5 showed a "34 K calibration error." However, when this pyrometer was placed in the "CAL" position at 19:10:01 on day 077, it repeated its original calibration of 2304 K. This fact gives weight to the argument that the data offset between 06:45 h and 09:50 h is approximately 4 K in error.

During the above calibration check of the pyrometers, we found that the calibration circuit for T-6 had failed. The calibration signal for T-7 overranged, indicating a positive shift in its output signal. The pyrometer measuring T-8 repeated its calibration point within 1 K.

After the test, the pyrometer heads for parameters T-5 and T-6 were found to be reversed. The pyrometers were connected to the respective signal conditioners and DAS channels correctly. However, the units were "looking" at the wrong parameter. The reduced data show his discrepancy but the correct data were used for the computer model study.

The data for furnace power, W-1, are sporadic after approximately 14:00 on day 077. The signal conditioning for the power measurement was being trouble shot and repaired at this time. The data are correct except for the large deviations away from the 50-kW level.

#### III DEPOSITION TESTS

The test identification numbers for the deposition test are in the series 15800. These tests started at 13:32:08 on day 126 (May 5, 1976) and concluded at 19:24:28 on day 127 (May 6, 1976). The procedures for these tests are included because they are part of the data package.

and the second

A. Deposition Test Procedure.

The deposition test procedure is presented in Table D-IV. It is a copy of that on file at LASL.

B. Deposition Test Log. .

The enclosed test log (Table D-V) for test series 15800 is a typed copy of the original which is on file at LASL. It is included as part of the data package since it outlines pertinent events which occurred during the test and their time occurrence. It is enclosed so that the reader may correlate these events with the data graphs presented in this appendix.

C. Deposition Test Data.

The data in the following figures (Table VI) depict that portion of the test in which power was applied to the furnace. It was not convenient to present the data taken during other parts of the test in this format; therefore, portions of the data appear in Sec. III of this report. All of the data was recorded on magnetic tape which is on file at LASL.

#### D. Discussion of Deposition Tests Data.

Each data point on the figures is illustrated by a plus sign. These data points are then connected together by a straight line. The small arrows on the abscissa depict a different test number. To facilitate the interpretation of the data, the test I. D. numbers are identified below.

	Test I.D. Number	Test Description
1)	15800	Furnace Heat Up
2)	15801	Effect of MTS on Substrate Temp T-7
3	15802	Coating Run Number 1
(4)	15803	Effect of CH4 on Substrate Temp T-7
(5)	15804	Coating Run Number 2
6	15805	Coating Run Number 3 Setup
()	15818	Effect of MTS on Substrate Temp T-7

#### THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC THIS PAGE IS TH

#### TABLE D-IV COPY OF TEST PROCEDURE - DEPOSITION TESTS

Paue	of	18

LAB COPY LASL PROCEDURES Checked Checked by by 1.0 Assembly 1.1 Fixtures assembled as per SK6944-001A. Check following items for proper location, alignment, and assure that correct transducers are in place. 1.1.1 T-1 .2 T-2 .3 T-3 .4 T-5 .5 T-6 .6 T-7 .7 T-8 .8 T-9 .9 T-10 .10 T-11 .11 T-12 .12 T-13

	in	
	<u> </u>	
	en	
	cn	
	en	
	en	
	cn	
	en	
	en	
	cn	
	Ch	

.13 T-14 .14 T-15 .15 T-17 .16 T-18 .17 T-19 .18 T-20 .19 P-3 .20 F-1 .21 F-2 .22 F-3

# THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE

TABLE D-IV (continued)

and the second sec

				Page 2 of 18
	1.1.23	F-4	_	NA
	.24	F-5	en	(U
	.25	W-1	en	()
	.26	Furnace Case Pressure Gages.	cn	1(1)
1.2	Injecto	r location (10.8 inches from subs.)	en	XU
1.3	Lampbla Slope u pensate places)	ck approx. 8 inches above susc. pwards towards fiberfrax to com- for settling. Measure depth (3 $6^{2}$ $6^{2}$ $7^{2}$	en	KO
1.4	Cooling	water connections to:		
	1.4.1	T-1	en	10
	.2	T-2	en	10
	.3	T-3	in	NU
	.4	T-5	en	KU
	.5	T-6	m	NU
	.6	T-7	cn	12
	.7	T-8	rn	Lil
	.8	T-9	en	N
	.9	T-13	en	12
	.10	T-14	en	W
	.11	T-15	rn	10
	.12	P-1	rn	KU
	.13	P-2	en	N
	.14	Coil	CA	1.1
	.15	Injector	en	KU
	.16	S. P. Outlet Manifold	en	KU
	.17	Furnace Exhaust Tube Assembly	en	1)
	.18	Bus Bar	th	RO .
	.19	Support Plate	en	<u>AU</u> .

Page 3 of 19

TABLE D-IV (continued)

KU 1.4.20 S. P. Inlet Manifold en cn. .21 Furnace Lid 1.5 Drain lines to items 1.4.1 - 1.4.16 interen connected and monitored by T-19 and F-5. 1.6 Process gas connections for: 1.6.1 N2 Process en en .2 N<sub>2</sub> Annulus en .3 CH<sub>4</sub> (to Tylan Panel) cn .4 He (to Tylan Panel) en .5 MTS .6 Pressure to pneumatic valves on Tylan (1) cn unit 1.7 Initial MTS System Purge: 1.7.1 All valves closed (SV-1 through KU cn SV-11) .2 Adjust N<sub>2</sub> pressure to 25 psi to XD Valve # SV-10 cn Disconnect MTS fill line at MTS .3 (1) cn Valve E Set Valve # SV-7 to "PURGE" .4 Valve # SV-11 to "OPEN" Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" MTS purge rotameter to "FULL SCALE" Purge with N<sub>2</sub> for 15 minutes in KV .5 Set Valve # SV-7 to "OFF" Valve # SV-8 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" .6 20 'n in .7 Purge with N2 for 15 minutes Set Valve # SV-11 to "CLOSED" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" .8 n en. .9 Purge with N<sub>2</sub> for 15 minutes 10 .10 Connect MTS fill line to MTS Valve E while N, is flowing. Tighten fitting with wrench and leak test. 20

## TABLE D-IV (continued)

•

Page 4 of 18

1.7.11	Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL"		
	Valve # SV-8 to "PURGE"	10	ifu
	Valve # SV-7 to OVERILOW	<u>m</u>	Xu
.12	Connect vacuum pump to overflow line	en	10
.13	Set Valve # SV-11 to "OPEN"	en	KU
.14	Pump until tank is evacuated	en	<u>/()</u>
.15	Set Valve # SV-11 to "CLOSED"		1(1)
	Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE"	rn	10
.16	Flow N <sub>2</sub> until tank is pressurized	en	LU
.17	Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL"	en	10
.18	Return to Step 1.7.13 until Steps		
	1.7.13 through 1.7.18 have been	Pn	(1)
		<u></u>	AV
.19	Disconnect vacuum pump	en	10
.20	Depressurize bubbler tank, Set		
1	Valve # SV-7 to "OFF" and Valve #		10
	SV-11 to "OPEN"	rn	20
.21	After tank has depressurized, set		
	Valve # SV-11 to "CLOSED"		
	Valve # SV-9 to "OFF"		<i>c</i> .
	Valve # SV-10 to "CLOSED"	Pn	KD
			110
.22	Adjust He pressure to 25 psig to		
	25 pain to Value # SV-42 Adjust CV		1.
	pressure to 2 psig.	tr	IN
	40		
.23	Set Valve # SV-4 to "HELIUM"		
	Valve # SV-2 to "OPEN"		
	Valve # SV-6 to "BYPASS"		1.1
	Valve # SV-5 to "BYPASS"	in	KU
.24	Flow Helium for 5 minutes	in	K
.25	Set Valve # SV-4 to "NITROGEN" and		4.7
	set process N2 bleed to 100 SCFH	en	
.26	Set Valve # SV-3 to "OPEN"	en	Ku
.27	Purge with CH4 for 5 minutes	en	KU
.28	Set Valve # SV-3 to "CLOSED"	en	10

168

# THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE

TABLE D-IV (continued)

Page 5 of 18

1.7.29 Continue Nitrogen purge for 15 minutes (M) .30 Set Valve & SV-4 to "OFF" Valve & SV-5 to "OFF" Valve & SV-5 to "OFF" Valve & SV-6 to 'OFF" Valve & SV-1A & SV-1B to "CLOSED" Process Webled to 0 SCFH 1.8 Fill MTS bubbler tank 1.8.1 Set Valve E to "OFF" .2 Close all valves on MTS drum (on porch) .3 Open Helium tank valve (ou porch) .4 Adjust the pressure to 8 psi maximum .5 Set Valve A to "HELIUM" .6 Set Valve B to "OPEN" .7 Set Valve B to "OPEN" .8 Set Valve D to "MTS frum .9 Adjust N <sub>2</sub> pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi .10 Set Valve J SV-10 to "OTEN" Valve & SV-2 to "PURCE" Valve & SV-2					
.30 Set Valve # SV-4 to "OPF" Valve # SV-2 to "CLOSED" Valve # SV-6 to "OPF" Valve # SV-6 to "OFF" Valve # SV-6 to "OFF" ALL Process H_poleed to 0 SCFH 1.8 Fill HTS bubbler tank 1.8.1 Set Valve E to "OFF" .2 Close all valves on MTS drum (on porch) .3 Open Helium tank valve (on porch) .4 Adjust the pressure to 8 psi maximum OPFN Helium valve .5 Set Valve A to "HELINA" .6 Set Valve A to "IELINA" .7 Set Valve A to "OFEN" .9 Adjust N_p pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi .10 Set Valve & SV-10 to "OFEN" Valve # SV-7 to "OVERTION" Valve # SV-7 to "OVERTION" Valve # SV-8 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-8 to "OFEN" ALL .11 After bubbler has pressurized to 10 psi: Set Valve # SV-9 to "OFEN" Valve # SV-9 to "OFEN" ALL .12 After bubbler has depressurized: Set Valve # SV-9 to "OFEN" Valve # SV-9 to "OFEN" Valve # SV-9 to "OFEN" ALL .12 After bubbler has depressurized: Set Valve # SV-9 to "OFEN" Valve # SV-9 to "DINCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" V		1.7.29	Continue Nitrogen purge for 15 minute	es cn	IU
Process Nybleed to 0 SCFH       En       AU         1.8 Fill MTS bubbler tank       1.8.1 Set Valve E to "OFF"       En       AU         .2 Close all valves on MTS drum (on porch)       En       AU         .3 Open Helium tank valve (ou porch)       En       AU         .4 Adjust the pressure to 8 psi maximum       En       AU         .6 Set Valve A to "HELIUM"       En       AU         .6 Set Valve C down toward MTS drum       En       AU         .7 Set Valve C down toward MTS drum       En       AU         .8 Set Valve D to "NTS FILL"       En       AU         .9 Adjust N <sub>2</sub> pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi       En       AU         .10 Set Valve f SV-10 to "OFEN"       AU       AU         .10 Set Valve f SV-10 to "OFEN"       AU       AU         .11 After bubbler has pressurized to 10 psi:       Set Valve f SV-9 to "PURCE"       AU         .12 After bubbler has depressurized:       Set Valve f SV-9 to "PILL"       AU         .12 After bubbler has depressurized:       Set Valve f SV-9 to "PURCE"       AU         .13 When MTS liquid flows from overflow       tube:       Sulve f SV-9 to "PILL"         .12 After bubbler has depressurized:       Set Valve f SV-9 to "PURCE"       AU         .13 When MTS liquid flows from overflow       Sul		.30	Set Valve # SV-4 to "OFF" Valve # SV-2 to "CLOSED" Valve # SV-5 to "OFF" Valve # SV-6 to "OFF" Valve # SV-1A & SV-1B to "CLOSED"		<i>(</i> , 1
<ul> <li>1.8.1 Set Valve E to "OFF" AN AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A</li></ul>	1.8	Fill MT	Process N <sub>2</sub> bleed to 0 SCFH S bubbler tank	en	40
<ul> <li>Close all valves on MTS drum (on porch)</li> <li>Open Helium tank valve (on porch)</li> <li>Adjust the pressure to 8 psi maximum</li> <li>Adjust the pressure to 8 psi maximum</li> <li>Adjust the pressure to 8 psi maximum</li> <li>Set Valve A to "HELIN"</li> <li>Set Valve B to "OPEN"</li> <li>Set Valve C down toward MTS drum</li> <li>Set Valve C down toward MTS drum</li> <li>Set Valve D to "MTS FILL"</li> <li>Adjust N<sub>2</sub> pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi</li> <li>Set Valve f SV-10 to "OPEN"</li> <li>Valve f SV-7 to "OVERFLOW"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>Walve f SV-9 to "OPER"</li> <li>After bubbler has pressurized to 10 psi:</li> <li>Set Valve f SV-10 to "OPEN"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "OPEN"</li> <li>All</li> <li>After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>Set Valve f SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "PIRCE"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "PIRCE"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "PIRCE"</li> <li>After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>Set Valve f SV-9 to "PIRCE"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "PILL"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "PILCE"</li> <li>Valve f SV-9 to "PIRCE"</li> <li>Valve f SV-</li></ul>		1.8.1	Set Valve E to "OFF"	en	AU
<ul> <li>.3 Open Helium tank valve (on porch)</li> <li>.4 Adjust the pressure to 8 psi maximum</li> <li>.5 Set Valve A to "HELIUM"</li> <li>.6 Set Valve B to "OPEN"</li> <li>.7 Set Valve C down toward MTS drum</li> <li>.8 Set Valve D to "MTS FILL"</li> <li>.9 Adjust N<sub>2</sub> pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi</li> <li>.10 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN"</li> <li>.10 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN"</li> <li>.11 After bubbler has pressurized to 10 psi:</li> <li>.12 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN"</li> <li>.13 When MTS HILL"</li> <li>.13 When MTS Hild flows from overflow</li> <li>.14 Suive # SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>.15 Set Valve # SV-9 to "OPEN"</li> <li>.16 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN"</li> <li>.17 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN"</li> <li>.18 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN"</li> <li>.19 Adjust N<sub>2</sub> pressure to "FULL SCALE"</li> <li>.10 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN"</li> <li>.11 After bubbler has pressurized to 10 psi:</li> <li>.21 Set Valve # SV-10 to "FILL"</li> <li>.22 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>.32 Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>.33 When MTS liquid flows from overflow</li> <li>.41 tube:</li> <li>.41 Suive # SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>.41 Valve # SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>.41 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>.41 Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>.41 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>.41 Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>.41 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>.41 Set Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>.41 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>.41 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>.41 Set Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>.41 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>.41 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>.42 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>.43 When MTS liquid flows from overflow</li> <li>.44 After bubbler has depressed valve # SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>.44 SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>.44 SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>.44 SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>.44 Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE"<td></td><td>.2</td><td>Close all valves on MTS drum (on porch)</td><td>en</td><td>10</td></li></ul>		.2	Close all valves on MTS drum (on porch)	en	10
<ul> <li>Adjust the pressure to 8 psi maximum in a second second</li></ul>		.3	Open Helium tank valve (on porch)	on	10
<ul> <li>.5 Set Valve A to "HELIUM"</li> <li>.6 Set Valve B to "OPEN"</li> <li>.7 Set Valve C down toward MTS drum</li> <li>.8 Set Valve D to "MTS FILL"</li> <li>.9 Adjust N<sub>2</sub> pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi</li> <li>.10 Set Valve # SV-7 to "OVERFLOW"</li> <li>Valve # SV-7 to "OVERFLOW"</li> <li>Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>MTS purge rotameter to "FULL SCALE"</li> <li>.11 After bubbler has pressurized to 10 psi:</li> <li>Set Valve # SV-9 to "OFF"</li> <li>Valve # SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>May a star to "OFF"</li> <li>Valve # SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>Va</li></ul>		.4	Adjust the pressure to 8 psi maximum OPEN Helium Value	m	<u> </u>
.6 Set Valve B to "OPEN" .7 Set Valve C down toward MTS drum .8 Set Valve D to "NTS FILL" .9 Adjust N <sub>2</sub> pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi CM .10 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" Valve # SV-7 to "OVERFLOW" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" NTS purge rotameter to "FULL SCALE" M .11 After bubbler has pressurized to 10 psi: Set Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Close MTS purge rotameter valve .12 After bubbler has depressurized: Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" MIS purge rotameter to minimum flow required for purge		.5	Set Valve A to "HELIUM"	en	44
<ul> <li>.7 Set Valve C down toward MTS drum</li></ul>		.6	Set Valve B to "OPEN"	en	AU
<ul> <li>.8 Set Valve D to "MTS FILL"</li> <li>.9 Adjust N<sub>2</sub> pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi cm III</li> <li>.10 Set Valve \$ SV-10 to "OPEN" Valve \$ SV-7 to "OVERFLOW" Valve \$ SV-9 to "PURCE" Walve \$ SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>.11 After bubbler has pressurized to 10 psi:</li> <li>Set Valve \$ SV-8 to "OFF" Valve \$ SV-8 to "OFF"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-8 to "OFF"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-8 to "OFF"</li> <li>Hood blower to "ON" Close MTS purge rotameter valve</li> <li>.12 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>Set Valve \$ SV-9 to "FILL" Valve \$ SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-8 to "OVER"</li> <li>.13 When MTS liquid flows from overflow tube:</li> <li>Set Valve \$ SV-8 to "PURCE"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-8 to "PURCE"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-8 to "PURCE"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-9 to "FILL"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-9 to "PURCE"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-8 to "PURCE"</li> <li>Valve \$ SV-7 to "PURC</li></ul>		.7	Set Valve C down toward MTS drum	en	14
.9 Adjust N <sub>2</sub> pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi .10 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" Valve # SV-7 to "OVERFLOW" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-8 to "PURCE" MTS purge rotameter to "FULL SCALE" MTS purge rotameter to 10 psi: Set Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Hood blower to "ON" Close MTS purge rotameter valve .12 After bubbler has depressurized: Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "PURCE" Set Valve C to "OFF" Valve # SV-8 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Set Valve # SV-		.8	Set Valve D to "MTS FILL"	en	-4
.10 Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" Valve # SV-7 to "OVERFLOW" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" MTS purge rotameter to "FULL SCALE" A .11 After bubbler has pressurized to 10 psi: Set Valve # SV-10 to "CLOSED" Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Valve # SV-8 to "OFF" Valve # SV-8 to "OFF" Hood blower to "ON" Close MTS purge rotameter valve .12 After bubbler has depressurized: Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" MTS purge rotameter to minimum flow required for purge		.9	Adjust N <sub>2</sub> pressure to SV-10 to 10 psi	en	10
Set Valve # SV-10 to "CLOSED" Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Valve # SV-8 to "OFF" Valve # SV-11 to "OPEN" Hood blower to "ON" Close MTS purge rotameter valve M Close MTS purge rotameter valve M Close MTS purge rotameter valve M .12 After bubbler has depressurized: Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" Valve E to "BUBBLER" .13 When MTS liquid flows from overflow tube: Set Valve C to "OFF" Close All VALVES or MTS dev Set Valve C to "OFF" Close VALVE E Valve # SV-8 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-7 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-7 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" MTS purge rotameter to minimum flow required for purge M		.10	Set Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" Valve # SV-7 to "OVERFLOW" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-8 to "PURGE" MTS purge rotameter to "FULL SCAL After bubbler has pressurized to 10 p	e" <u>``</u> si:	Ŵ
Valve # SV-8 to "OFF" Valve # SV-8 to "OFF" Valve # SV-8 to "OFF" Hood blower to "ON" Close MTS purge rotameter valve <u>M</u> 12 After bubbler has depressurized: Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" Valve E to "BUBBLER" <u>M</u> 13 When MTS liquid flows from overflow tube: Set Valve C to "OFF" <u>CLOSE</u> All VALVES or MTS dev Set Valve & SV-8 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" MTS purge rotameter to minimum flow required for purge <u>M</u>		6	Set Valve # SV-10 to "CLOSED"		
<ul> <li>.12 After bubbler has depressurized:</li> <li>Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" Valve E to "BUBBLER"</li> <li>.13 When MTS liquid flows from overflow tube:</li> <li>Set Valve C to "OFF" CLOSE All VALVES or MTS dev Set Valve # SV-8 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-8 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURCE" Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" MTS purge rotameter to minimum flow required for purge</li> </ul>		$\zeta$	Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Valve # SV-11 to "OPEN" Hood blower to "ON" Close NTS purge rotameter valve	m	KO_
Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" Valve E to "BUBBLER" .13 When MTS liquid flows from overflow tube: Set Valve C to "OFF" Valve # SV-8 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-7 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" MTS purge rotameter to minimum flow required for purge		.12	After bubbler has depressurized:		
.13 When MTS liquid flows from overflow tube: Set Valve C to "OFF" CLOSE ALL VALVES OF MTS dec Valve & SV-8 to "PURGE" Valve & SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve & SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve & SV-7 to "PURGE" Valve & SV-10 to "OPEN" MTS purge rotameter to minimum flow required for purge M			Set Valve # SV-9 to "FILL" Valve # SV-8 to "FILL" Valve E to "BUBBLER"	m	KU
Set Valve C to "OFF" - CHOSE VALVE E Valve # SV-8 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-7 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" MTS purge rotameter to minimum flow required for purge M		.13	When MTS liquid flows from overflow tube:	IL VALVES	OF MIS OF
			Set Valve C to "OFF"CLOSE A Valve # SV-8 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-9 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-7 to "PURGE" Valve # SV-10 to "OPEN" MIS purge rotameter to minimum flow required for purge	In the second	KU

<

### THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE THOM COPY FURNISHED TO DDO THIS PAR

## TABLE D-IV (continued)

Page 6 of 18

(U)

en

1.8.14 Continue purging overflow line until free of MTS

.15 Set Valve # SV-10 to "CLOSED" Valve # SV-9 to "OFF" Valve # SV-8 to "OFF" Valve # SV-7 to "OFF" Velve # SV-11 to "CLOSED"

1.9 Purge gas connections to:

		1.9.1	T-1	en	·LU
		.2	T-2	en	KU
		.3	T-3	en	icu
		.4	T-5	en	10
		.5	T-6	en	KU
		.6	T-7	cn	10
		.7	т-8	en	KU
		.8	T-9	en	$\overline{)}$
		.9	T-13	en	
		.10	T-14	on	1.1
	•	.11	T-15	cn	
		.12	Furnace Case	en	
	1.10	Furnac	e power connections	en	.)
	1.11	Capaci	tor connections made and recorded	cn	).
	1.12	Turn o suppli (DAS p	n all instrumentation and power es. Place pyrometers in "Cal" mode. rocedure 1.1)	en	
acitor	1.13	Label 2.1 an	and mount tape. (See DAS procedures d 1.2.) Set run number to 1500.	REF	
y = round 1.14 0 = Not round be		After one hour perform 10 complete data scans. (See DAS procedure 1.4.) Insert one file gap on mag tape (Das procedure 2.2.1).		KUF	
00000	40	×	\$0000		
0000000		*	\$ \$ \$ \$ 0 \$		
0000000		0	\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 0 \$\$		

170

Capacito onnerti 4:10 0 = N
TABLE D-IV (continued)

St An & want

2.0

1071

Page 7 of 18

A CONTRACTOR OF THE

Pre-Heatup Check Out		
2.1 N2 Supply 59.5 in H20 = 111,270 caft	cn	(0
2.2 CH Supply 4 boths prover = 323 caft	cn	KU
2.3 NTS Supply - brokher Filled	cn	KU
2.4 All Cooling Water Valves Open		
2.4.1 WV-1	en	<u> </u>
.2 WV-2	en	N
.3 WV-3	tn	120
.4 WV-4	en	<u> </u>
.5 WV-5	en	<u>( 111</u> )
.6 WV-6	on	<u>· · · )</u>
.7 WV-7	en	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}$
.8 WV-8	-	Ç.
2.5 Check cooling water sight flow indicators		
2.5.1 T-1	en	1)
.2 T-2	en	XU
.3 'T-3	cn	1.2
.4 T-5	en	1.1.1
.5 T-6	en	
.6 T-7 beaule envior server the	m	
.7 T-8	on	·- ··
.8 T-9	en	I India
.9 T-13	en	10
.10 T-14	on	11)
.11 T-15	en	
.12 P-1	en	1
.13 P-2	on	,
	-	

171

K

2.7

TABLE D-IV (continued)

Page ? of 18

-

CARD -

2.5.14	Bus Bar ( INCICATOR BROKES	) en	N
.15	Support Plate	en	12
.16	Coil	m	
.17	Exhaust Tube	en	
.18	Furnace Lid	en	
.19	Injector	en	

2.6 Coll water flow rate measurement

2.6.1	Ten continuous scans, all channels; (DAS procedure 1.4) and manually ±/ record DAS time and F-5. F-5 • 24	m	KU
.2	Close WV-8 14:10:03	en	KU
.3	Manually record flow rate of F-5 ±.5	in	10
.4	Note DAS time. Take 10 continuous scans all channels. (DAS procedure 1.4)	tr	KU
.5	Open WV-8 14:13:55	en	KU
.6	Manually record flow rate of F-5	in	KU
.7	Note DAS time. Take 10 continuous scans, all channels. (DAS procedure 1.4) Insert one file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1).	and l	KU
ARC in	strument panel should be set up as follo	// 88:	
2.7.1	All rotameter valves closed	m	1.J
.2	NV-1 open	en	12.
.3	NP-1 set at 50 psig	a	
.4	NV-2 open	in	
.5	NV-3 open	en	
.6	NP-2 set at 50 psig	en	
.7	MV-1 open	in	
.8	MV-2 open to desired rotameter	in	
.9	MP-1 set at # peig 40	er	$ \rightarrow $

#### TABLE D-IV (continued)

2.8

Page 9 of 18

2.7.10	Tylan control switches SV-1, SV-2 and SV-3 to "OFF"	m	Ku
.11	Open value to $N_2$ supply for Tylan unit. Set regulator to 75 psig.	cn	KO
.12	Open value to He supply for Tylan unit. Set regulator to 25 psig. $VALVE SU-4 \approx f = 5210m$	en	100 KO
by ad_ a the fol	sting rotameter valves to achieve lowing indicated rates:	1	
2.8.1	N <sub>2</sub> Case - 150 SCFH (4002)	en	XU.
.2	N <sub>2</sub> Annulus 24% (4001) (Parameter F2).	m	KU
.3	N2 Process Bleed - 50 SCFH	en	10
.4	N <sub>2</sub> Process - 200 SCFA <sup>-</sup> (Parámeter F1).	IN	XU
.5	N <sub>2</sub> T-1 - 20X	cn	KU
.6	N <sub>2</sub> T-2 - 50%	in	KU
.7	N <sub>2</sub> T-3 - 100%	in	11
.8	N <sub>2</sub> T-5 - 50%	in	11
	N <sub>2</sub> T-6 - 100%	cr	
.10	N <sub>2</sub> T-7 - 100X	<u>c</u>	
.11	N <sub>2</sub> T-8 - 100X	in	
.12	N <sub>2</sub> T-9 - 20X	in	1
.13	N <sub>2</sub> T-13 - 20%	in	1
.14	N <sub>2</sub> T-14 - 20%	cr	44
.15	N <sub>2</sub> T-15 - 100%	cr	1
.16	CH4 - 010 } Tylan Control Panel	<u>Cn</u>	KU
.17	MTS - 010 )	a	10
.18	Tylan switches SV-1, SV-2 and SV-3 to "OFF"	<u>m</u>	10
.19	Set Valves SV-5 and SV-6 to "BUBBLER"	Cr.	

#### TABLE D-IV (continued)

Page 10 of 18

NOTE: Items 2.8.4 through 2.8.15 require flowmeter tube No. 1/8 - 20 - p 3/37 with SS float.

3.0 Furnace Heat Up:

3.1 During heatup print-out and hand record all available data in run logs A & B at 15-minute intervals. DAS record on 1-minute intervals of channels 00-32. (DAS procedure 1.4). Insert one file gap on mag tape at each pyrometer range change (DAS procedure 2.2.1). Nanually record DAS time.

	3,1.1	All gas flow rates same as in Section 2.8	CB	KU
3.2	Heat f	urnace at 50 KW for 30 minutes	CB	KO
3.3	After	30 minutes adjust power to 90 KW	CB	KU
	3.3.1	Adjust settings on control panel as smoothly and rapidly as possible, within limitations of equipment.		KU
	.2	If an intended power setting is overshot, do not readjustin other words, do not juggle the controls in an attempt to exactly duplicate a printed test condition.		10
3.4	After has re	substrate inner surface temperature ached 1738 <u>+</u> 10° C (T-7):		
	3.4.1	Record DAS time and elapsed time	16:52:00	10
	.2	Change DAS recording to 5 minute intervals (DAS procedure 1.4)		NU
	.3	Adjust N <sub>2</sub> process rate to 940 SCFH		XU
	.4	Adjust power level to maintain 1738 + 10° C (T-7)		KU
	.5	Stabilize substrate inner surface temperature at 1738 + 10° C for at least one hour with no power adjust- ment required greater than + 2 kW/15 minutes. During stabilization, measu	ire	
		exhaust temperature profile.		KU
	.6	Record time stabilization is achieved DAS Time at Stab 20:15	·	KU
Coat	ing Run	18 :		
4.1	Effect	of MTS on substrate temperature (T-7)		

4.1.1 Discontinue DAS scan (DAS procedure 1.4.1). Insert 1 file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1).

4.0

#### TABLE D-IV (continued)

			Page 11 of 18
4.1.1.1	Realign prism		
4.1.2	Change Test ID number to 1501 (DAS procedure 1.2).		
.3	Set DAS to scan channels 06 - 12 (DAS procedure 1.4) (T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15).		
.4	Initiate DAS for continuous scan of above channels and take a minimum of		
	10 complete scans prior to proceeding to next step. Hand record parameters, T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15 (DAS procedure 1.4)		
.5	Record substrate temperature (T-7) with ARC L6N Pyrometer and prism. Record DAS time. 21. 28. 1/		
.6	Remove prism 21:18:20		
.7	Set MTS flow controller to 4 g/min. Tyle- Verner - 4H4 O		
.8	Turn Tylan switch SV-1 to "ON". Record DAS time. 21:42:59		
.9	After MTS flow rate is stable, hold for 2 min. Hand record parameters, T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15.		
٠ .10	Turn Tylan switch SV-1 to "OFF". Record DAS time. 21:45:42		N
11	After 2 min hand record parameters T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15		K
.12	Set MTS flow at 6.5 g/min. Tyle. vermer = 7.200		1/2
6.13	Turn Tylan switch SV-1 to "ON". Record DAS time 21:5/:26		NO
14	After flow rate is stable for 2 min, hand record parameters, T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15		
.15 Tylan Tylan .16	Set CH <sub>4</sub> flow at $5.664$ lpm redout 3.46 FP FOTAMETER (2.5 m 2.480 (1.3) Turn Tylan switch SV-3 to "ON". Record DAS time $31:58:20$	 Sr A	
1.17	After CH <sub>4</sub> flow rate is stable for 2 min, hand record parameters, T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15		

#### TABLE D-IV (continued)

Page 12 of 18

And and

✓ 4.1.18	Record substrate temperature (T-7) with ARC L&N Pyrometer and prism. Record DAS time. 22:07:27	10 <sup>3</sup>	
.19	Remove prism 22:09:09		
.20	Discontinue DAS scan (DAS procedure 1.4.1). Insert 1 file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1).		
4.2 Coating	Run No. 1 Control Temp establisha	d at 17	252
<ul><li>✓ 4.2.1</li></ul>	Change Test ID number to 15%02 (DAS procedure 1.2)		
.2	Set DAS to scan channels 00 - 32 (DAS procedure 1.4)		
٢.3	Set DAS to scan at 5-minute intervals. Record DAS time. (DAS procedure 1.4)	22:15:00	
.4	Hand record and print out all data at this time & at 15-min intervals over the next 4 hours. (DAS procedure $1.4.4$ )	·	
<b>_</b> .5	Adjust power as required to maintain T-7 at value measured in 4.1.17. Record DAS time at each adjustment of power.		
0.215 .6	After 4 hours, discontinue DAS scan. (DAS procedure 1.4.1) Insert 1 file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1).		
4.3 Effect	of CH <sub>4</sub> on substrate temperature		
4.3.1	Change Test ID number to 19703 (DAS procedure 1.2)		
.2	Set DAS to scan channels 06 - 12 (T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15) (DAS procedure 1.4).		
.3	Initiate DAS for continuous scan of above channels and take a minimum of 10 complete scans prior to proceeding to next step. Hand record parameters T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15. (DAS		
.15 .4	Turn Tylan switch SV-1 to "OFF".		
02	Record DAS time.	017727	
att	14		

176

Page 13 of 18

TABLE D-IV (continued)

wait 2 minutes : 227 4.3.5 Record substrate temperature (T-7) with ARC L&N pyrometer and prism. 02:25:27 Record DAS time. 3080 °F C22644 1 .6 Remove prism. 02127:5 After 15 minutes from 4.3.4, set Tylan switch SV-3 to "OFF". Record .7 2240 0237:24 DAS time. Ward 2 min. drs: Record substrate temperature (T-7) with ARC L&N pyrometer and prism. 1.0242 .8 Record DAS time. 30%2 \*F 11002 02:39:46 .9 Remove prism. 02: 40:57 .10 Discontinue DAS scan (DAS procedure 1.4.1). Insert 1 file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1). 4.4 Coating Run No. 2 4.4.1 Change Test ID number to 15204 (DAS procedure 1.2) .2 Set DAS to scan channels 00 - 32 (DAS procedure 1.4) Set DAS to scan st 5-minute Intervals. (DAS procedure 1.4.6) Record DAS time. .3 024344 02:43:30 .4 Set MTS flow at 4.3 g/min Tylen vernier 4.770 1 .5 Set Tylan switch SV-1 to "ON" Record CAS forC 02 4514 .6 After the MTS flow rate is stable for 2 minutes, hand record parameters T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15. V.1 Set CH, flow at 5.664 lpm FD Doment 17.5 100 Tylan Vernier - 3.480 (113 Arma ang · .8 Set Tylan switch SV-3 to "ON". Record 02:51:19. DAS time. -.9 After CH<sub>4</sub> is stable for 2 minutes, hand record parameters T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15. 1.7 . . . 015600 Set DAS to scan at 5 Min. intervals Hand record and print-out all data at 1.10 15-minute intervals over the next 4 hours (DAS procedure 1.4.4).

TABLE D-IV (continued)

. .

Page 14 of 18

		- 1720'0	_
4.4.11	Adjust power as required to maintain T-7 at value recorded in 4.4.9. Hand record DAS time at each adjustment of power.		
6 0700.12	After 4 hours set Tylan switch SV-1 to "OFF". Record DAS time.	0705.00	
13. 5 .13 V	After 15 minutes from 4.4.12 set Tylan switch SV-3 to "OFF". Record DAS time.	0720.00	
.14	Discontinue DAS scan.(DAS procedure 1.4.1). Insert 1 file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1).		
4.5 Coating	Run No. 3 set up.		
4.5.1	Change Test ID number to 1565 1580/ (DAS procedure 1.2)		
.2	Set DAS to scan at Aminute intervals and initiate scan. Record DAS time. (DAS procedure 1.4)	07:25:00	
1.3	Set N, process flow rate to 1465 SCFH. Record DAS time.	0730:00	
1.5	Adjust power to maintain $1738 \pm 10^{\circ}$ C (T-7). Record DAS time at each adjustment of power .		
15	Stabilize substrate inner surface tem- perature at 1738 + 10° C (T-7) for at least one hour with power adjustments required no greater than + $\pm$ kW/15 min	11:30:00	10
1.5	Record time stabilization is schieved.	12:30:00	1
.7	Discontinue DAS scan (DAS procedure 1.4.1). Insert 1 file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1)		
4.6 Kepeat	Change Test ID number to the (DAS procedure 1.2).		
2.2	Set DAS to scan channels 06 - 12. (T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15) (DAS		
	procedure 1.4).		

And the second s

TABLE D-IV (continued)

5

5

LI

2

21

2

2

Fage 15 of 18

4.6.3 Initiate DAS for continuous Scan of above channels and take a minimum of 10 complete scans prior to proceeding to next step. Hand record parameters T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15. (DAS procedure 1.4) 2.4 Record substrate temperature (T-7) with ARC L&N pyrometer and prism. 12:38:09 Record DAS time. 12:38:37 1.5 Remove prism. Los Set MTS flow rate to 4 g/min. Tylan vernier - 4. 440 Set Tylan switch SV-1 to "ON". Record DAS time. +2-40:53 KU 12:40:37 After MTS flow rate is stable, hold for 2 min. Hand record parameters T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15. Record RAS 12: 43:52 .8 ۷ .9 Set Tylan switch SV-1 to "OFF". Record DAS time. 12:47:08 4.10 After 2 min hand record parameters T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15. Re ... AA5 12:47:12 LATT Set MTS flow at 5.42 g/min. Tylan vernier - 6.010 .12 Set Tylan switch SV-1 to "ON". KU Record DAS time. 12:51:48 CHS After flow rate is stable for 2 min, hand record parameters, T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15. Record 0.45 the 12:56:33 14 Set CH<sub>4</sub> flow rate at 6.496 lpm *Tyle-* reduct 6.04 *FP Robustr - 19.9 Tyle-* Vernier 6.090 *(Actual 17.6 sra-)* 
 43 Set Tylan Switch SV-3 to "ON". KU Record DAS time. 12:58:09 After CH, flow rate is stable for 2 min, hand record parameters T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15. Record Add: 4.... 13:01:18 17 Record substrate temperature (T-7) with ARC L&N pyrometer and prism. Record DAS time. 13:04:02 4 LAS Remove prism. 13:04:47

#### TABLE D-IV (continued)

Page 16 of 18

\_\_\_\_\_

11			
4.6.19	Discontinue DAS scan (DAS procedure 1.4.1). Insert 1 file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1).	200	-
.7 Coating	g Run No. 3 15828		
4.7.1	Change Test ID number to the (DAS procedure 1.2).		
L.2	Set DAS to scan channels 00 - 32 (DAS procedure 1.4).		
6.3	Set DAS to scan at 5-minute intervals. Record DAS time. (DAS procedure 1.4.6)	13:10:00	
4	Hand record and print-out all data at this time & at 15-minute intervals over the next 4 hours (DAS procedure 1.4.4).		
.5	Adjust power as required to maintain T-7 at value measured in 4.6.16. Record DAS time at each adjustment of power.		
6.	After 4 hours, discontinue DAS scan (DAS procedure 1.4.1). Insert 1 file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1).		
4.8 Repeat	of 4.3 with increased flow:	-+ 10	~
L 4.8.1	Change Test ID number to 1970s and (DAS procedure 1.2). 19728	Tes' 15839	2
4.2	Set DAS to scan channels 06 - 12 (T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15) (DAS pro- cedure 1.4). 17::2:35	17:41:00	
٢.3	Initiate DAS for continuous scan of above channels and take a minimum of 10 complete scans prior to proceeding to next step. Hand record parameters T-5, T-7, F-3, F-4, F-1, T-15. (DAS procedure 1.4).		
4	Set Tylan switch SV-1 to "OFF". Record DAS time. 17:24:30	2:27 -	_
is	Record substrate temperature (T-7) with ARC L&N pyrometer and prism. Record DAS time.		
1.9	Remove prism.		-

TABLE D-IV (continued)

The second second

.

Page 17 of 18

		4.8.7	After 15 minutes from 4.8.4, set Tylan	10. 10.00	
			switch SV-5 to OFF. Record DAS time.	17:58:33	
		.8	Record substrate temperature (T-7) with ARC L&N pyrometer and prism. Record DAS time. 17:58:56	and Est	
		.9	Remove prism. 17:59:30		
		.10	Discontinue DAS scan.(DAS procedure 1.4.1). Insert 1 file gap on mag tape (DAS procedure 2.2.1).		
5.0	Test	Terminat	tion:		
	5.1	Change 1	Fast ID number to 1999 Set DAS		
		to scan	channels 00 - 32 . Set DAS to scan		
		at 5-min Hand red cedures	nute intervals. Record DAS time. $1\frac{4}{5}$ . cord all data at this time. (DAS pro- 1.2, 1.4).	18	:06:00
	5.2	Turn of	furnace power.		
		5.2.1	Record DAS time and elapsed time in run logs.	18:10:25	
		.2	Maintain N <sub>2</sub> gas flow rates of previous conditions <sup>2</sup> (Section 4.8).		
		.3	Continue hand recording and print-out all data at 15-minute intervals over		
	5.3	After 1	hour, adjust process N <sub>2</sub> to 200 SCFH.		
		5.3.1	Record DAS time and elapsed time in run logs.	19:20:00	
		.2	Discontinue hand recording of data.		
		.3	Set all applicable pyrometers to "calibrate" mode. Take 10 scans of DAS of channels 00 - 32 . Using the tape recorder control, add 2 file gapt and rewind the tape. Verify that it has been labeled with the date, test numbers and tape sequence number.		
			procedure 1.4 and 2.2.1).		

181.

TABLE D-IV (continued)

Page 18 of 18

	.4	Cover all sight ports with cardboard	
	.5	Turn off all instruments event 24 VOC Rower S-FAN.	
	.6	Cover all pyrometer heads with plastic bags	
5.5	Purge T	ylan MTS System:	
	-	OPEN BUBBLER PURCE NE ROTAMETER	
	5.5.1	Set Valve SV-5 to "BYPASS"	
	.2	Set Valve SV-6 to "BYPASS"	
		and an and the second second second second	
	.3	Set Valve 1A and 1B to "OPEN"	
		Set Value SV-4 to "NITPOCEN"	
		Ser A ANDA AVER N. ANTAMETA D LOOK	
	.5	Set Value SV-2 to "OPEN"	
		the state of a contain	
	.6	Purge with the for 1 hour	
FINISH			
1.0	.7	Set Valve SV-4 to "OFF"	14
		and from the second state of a pacenter of	
	.8	Set Valve SV-1A and SV-1B to "CLOSED"	
			with S. P
	.9	Set Valve SV-2 to CLOSED	
	10	Can Walna CU T an Hoppil	
	.10	Set valve SV-3 to OFF"	
	.11	Set Valve SV-6 to "OFF"	
		··································	The second s

o pelo but gagéronet bund nucleoù i. Ave alvouant etnoveri in anti lie "falel arbenong déli root ivez Avi i entre ingin ingin (una i est)e t.

5.3.1 Machie 200 than and Slaperd time 4 for Tages

ting in the second s

(ii) applicable gerundfart is in themes i ada, 'aka 15 serme is gain af themesis 0.6 - 11, 'bing the same seconds 0.6 - 12, 'bing the same second of the second of 0.5 and same second. (bits for second of the second of

TABLE D-V

TEST LOG - COATING TEST SERIES 15800

DAS Time	Remarks
14:10:03	F-5 (WV-8 open) - $26 \pm 1$ . F-5 (WV-8 closed - $22 \pm .5$ .
14:13:55 16:05/16:15	F-5 (WV-8 open - $26.5 \pm .5$ . Adjusting pyrometers T-5 and T-2 for alignment. Noticed that iris does not converge in center of sight port.
16:32:30	Changed from low to medium range on T-1.
16:45/17:00	Adjusting alignment of T-2 (Channel 13).
17:00/17:15	Adjusting alignment of T-5 (channel 12) and T-7 (channel 11).
17:12:40 17:22:00	Start stabilization; T-7 reads 1738 <sup>0</sup> C. Increase process N <sub>2</sub> to 940 SCFH.
18:10 18:36:00	Recalibrate T-2 (channel 13) and T-9 (channel 19). T-15 T/C found to be approx. 0.5 inch out of fitting. Inserted it fully for start of temp profile test.
19:18	Calib T-2 and T-9 (Adjusted T-2 down approx $60^{\circ}$ ).
19:20	Adjusting position of pyrometer T-9 (channel 19).
20:02	Calib T-2 and T-9 (adjusted T-2 up 35 <sup>0</sup> ). ch's 13 and 19.
20:15 21:02	Temp stabilized at 1738 <sup>°</sup> C. Calib T-2 and T-9 (adj T-2 down approx 80 <sup>°</sup> C).
22:02:31	Stopped cont scan and took all ch scan. Started cont scan of ch 06-12.
22:05	Inadvertantly turned Tylan unit off for approx 1 sec.
22:18	T-8 meter reading has been very low for approx. 30 min. Calib unit and unit now looks ok.
22:22	Calib T-8 again - unit looks ok.
22:27	Calib T-2 and T-9 (Adjusted T-2 down approx 60°)
23:02	Calib T2 and T9: T-2, $20^{\circ}$ low, adjusted up. T-9 zero, adjusted down $20^{\circ}$ .
23:20	Removed case from T-13 pyrometer head in an attempt to free stuck filter. T-13 off during this period.

THIS FLOW IS NEED TO DOWN

#### TABLE D-V (continued)

DAS Time	Remarks	
24:02	T-2 Calib 40 <sup>0</sup> low, adjust up; T-9 zero ok.	
23:30	Started to trouble shoot T-13. Data is bad run to now. (Realigned); data should be go 0130 hrs.	from start of od after about
01:02	T-2 calib 60 <sup>0</sup> high, adjust down; T-9 zero o	k. ceretari
02:02	T2 calib 20 <sup>0</sup> low, adjust up; T-9 zero ok.	
02:15	No file gap after this record.	
03:06	T-2 40 <sup>°</sup> low, adjusted up; $T-9$ zero ok.	
03:19	Increased power from 50 + to 51 kw.	
03:52	Increased power from 50 + to 51 kw.	
04:02	T-2 20 <sup>0</sup> low, adjusted up; T-9 zero ok.	
04:18	Increased power from 50 to 52 kw.	
04:48	Increased power from 52- to 52 kw.	
05:02	T-2 $50^{\circ}$ high, adjusted down; T-9 zero ok.	
05:19	Increased power from 52 to 52 + kw.	
05:49	Decreased power from 52 + to 52 kw.	
06:02	T-2 $30^{\circ}$ low, adjusted up; T-9 zero ok.	
06:03	Decreased power from 58 to 52 kw.	
06:33:30	Decreased power from 52 to 52- kw.	
7:02	T-2 40 <sup>0</sup> high, adjust down; T-9 zero ok.	
07:33	Increased power from 52 to 55 kw.	
07:45	Increased power from 55 to 58 kw.	
07:30	Test ID XXXXX dropped the 4 bit (15801).	
07:58	Increased power from 58 to 62 kw.	
08:02	$T-2 \ 10^{\circ}$ low, adjusted up; $T-9$ zero ok.	
08:29	Increased power from 60 to 63 kw.	



#### TABLE D-V (continued)

DAS Time	Remarks		
08:30	Test ID XXXXX now ok (15805	<b>.</b>	
09:03	Adj T-2 and T-9 (adjust T-2 (T-9 high approx 10°R).	down approx 40 <sup>0</sup> C)	
09:06:50	Increased power from 63 to	65 kw.	
09:32:00	Decreased power from 64 to	63 kw.	
10:06	Cal T-2 and T-9 (no adjust	necessary).	
10:18	Decreased power from 63 to	62 kw.	
10:32	Decreased power from 62 + t	o 62 kw.	
10:25	Increased case flow for 150 into hole in RTV at case to	) to 200 (stuffed lo ube inlet).	ocum blanket
10:51	Decreased power from 62 to	61 kw.	
11:02 11:12	CAL T-2 and T-9 (T-9 approx N <sub>2</sub> case increased to 230.	20 <sup>0</sup> R high on zero)	
11:15	Cal T-2 (10 <sup>°</sup> R high).		
11:30	Begin stabilization for run	no 3.	
11:33	Increased power from 61 to	62 kw.	
12:06	Cal T-2 and T-9; (T-2 appro	x 40 <sup>°</sup> C low).	
13:08	Cal T-2 and T-9; (T-9 appro	ox 60 <sup>0</sup> R low at zero)	; adjusted.
13:16	Increase power from 61 + to	62 kw.	
13:24	Increase power from 62 to 6	3 kw.	
13:36	Increase power from 63 to 6	4 kw.	
14:07	Cal T-2 and T-9; (T-9 appro	x 10 <sup>0</sup> R high on zero	).
14:19	Increase power from 64 to 6	5 kw.	
14:51	Decrease power from 65 to 6	4 kw.	
15:07	Cal T-2 and T-9; both units	20° low; adjusted	
15:36	Decrease per from 64 to 63	kw.	

#### TABLE D-V (continued)

DAS Time	Remarks		
15:50	Reduced CH <sub>4</sub> rate	from 20 to 19.9 SCFH (F&P f1	ow meter).
16:02	Cal T-2 and T-9;	(T-2 15 <sup>0</sup> high - adjusted).	
17:02	Cal T-2 and T-9;	(no adjust).	
17:36:39	Turned SV-1 back inadvertently.	on. Was thought to have been	turned off
18:50	Changed range on	T-13 from I to H.	eg/st
18:53	Cal T-2 and T-9;	$(T-2 \ 30^{\circ} 1 \text{ow} - \text{adjusted})$ .	/ ot;ot

(A370 0.01) 7-1 TV

Increased power from 61 to 62 km.

al 1-2 and 1-9; (1-2 supres '0"C low).

earth Les word is an issuer of the working and the second second the

increase power from 61 + 60 62 law.

ing 19 of 70 mers leaved according

Increase power from 63 to 64 to.

tot T-2 and T-F. (T-S approx 10"% high on acro).

Indrease power from 54 to 55 to.

Denresse pouer from 65 to 64 key.

Cal T-7 and T-0, both units 20" low; adjunted

.ud to at Ad may! san sanatust

186

#### TABLE D-VJ

#### REDUCED DATA FOR THE COATING TESTS

The reduced test data are plotted versus normalized time in minutes. A second reference scale at the bottom of each page gives the corresponding DAS or real time in hours. The label on each figure identifies the parameter plotted (see Figs. A-6 and B-1). The parameters are arranged in alphabetical and numerical order. At the bottom of each page there is a circled test number that is referred to in the text.



NEMS WHET





























 8
 15828

 9
 15838

 10
 15839

 11
 15889

Coating Run Number 3 Effect of CH<sub>4</sub> on Substrate Temp T-7 Effect of CH<sub>4</sub> on Substrate Temp (rerun<u>)</u> Test Termination

The following time log (Table D-VII) will assist in the interpretation of of events in Table D-VI.

#### TABLE D-VII

#### DEPOSITION TESTS TIME LOG

DAS Time	Event	Remarks
14:45:11	Turned furnace power on (50 Kw)	DAS scan all channels at
15:15:00	Increased furnace power to 90 KW	I minute intervars
16:52:00	Substrate temp at 1738 <sup>©</sup> C	Changed DAS recording to 5 minute intervals
17:22:00	Increased process nitrogen to 940 SCFH	
18:50:31	Start exhaust temp profile	DAS continuous scan of channels 06 through 12
19:01:00		DAS scan all channels
19:08:26	End exhaust temp profile	DAS continuous scan of channes1 06 through 12
21:30;00	End test 15800	Discontinued DAS scan
21:35:45	Start test 15801	Continuous DAS scan of channels O6 through 12
21:38:11	Read ARC L&N pyrometer	T-7 data erroneous
21:38:20	200 1400 1600 1800 2000 2200 240	
21:42:39	Turn MTS on (4 gpm)	
21:45:42	Turn MTS off	
21:51:26	Turn MTS on (6.5 gpm)	
21:58:20	Turn CH <sub>4</sub> on (3.48 lpm)	

202

and the second second

#### TABLE D-VII (continued)

DAS Time	Event	Remarks	
22:07:27	Read APC LEN surgestor	616CP ages ore	-7 data arroneous
22:08:09	Read ARC Law pyrometer		-/ uata erroneous
22:08:47	End test 15801	Di	iscontinued DAS scan
22:15:00	Start test 15802	D/ at	AS scan all channels 5 minute intervals
02:15:00	End test 15802		
02:16:08	Start test 15803	Ca	ontinuous DAS scan of mannels 06 through 12
02:22:52	Turn MTS off	a we's in parint of	84:12:51
02:25:27			12:56:00
02:27:15	Read ARC L&N pyrometer	T	-7 data erroneous
02:37:24	Turn CH <sub>4</sub> off	C	ontinuous DAS scans of hannels 06 through 12
02:39:46	Read ARC L&N pyrometer	T	-7 data erroneous
02:40:59			
02:41:28	End test 158003 Start test 158004	C.	ontinuous DAS scan of
02:45:14	MTS on (4.3 gpm)	a	11 channels
02:51:19	CH4 on (3.45 lpm)		
03:00:00			AS scan all channels t 5 minute intervals
07:05:00	MTS turned off		
07:20:00	CH4 turned off - End of	test 158004	
07:25:00	Start test 158005	Mair teet 15039	AS scan all channels t 5 minute intervals
07:30:00	N <sub>2</sub> set to 1465 SCFH		
11:30:00	Start T-7 stabilization	period	
12:30:00	Complete T-7 stabilizat	ion period	
12:30:00	End test 158005		

.

203

With service with the service

#### TABLE D-VII (continued)

DAS Time	Event	Re	marks	
12:36:16	Start test 15818		Continuou of channe 12	us DAS scans els O6 through
12:38:09	Read ARC L&N pyrometer		T-7 data	erroneous
12:40:37	MTS turned on (4 gpm)			
12:47:08	MTS turned off	s teat 15803		
12:58:08	CH <sub>4</sub> turned on (6.04 lpm	a)	Continuou channels	us DAS scans of O6 through 12
13:02:00			Three DAS channels	5 scans all
13:03:23			Continuos channels	us DAS scans of O6 through 12
13:04:02 13:04:47	Read ARC L&N		T-7 data	erroneous
13:05:44 \	End test 15818			
13:10:00	Start test 15828		DAS scan 5 minute	all channels at intervals
17:15:00	End test 15828			
17:20:01	Start test 15838		Continuo channels	us DAS scans of 06 through 12
17:39:43	Abort test			
17:41:01	Start test 15839	126 test 198005	Continu	nous DAS scans of as 06 through 12
17:42:27	MTS Turned off			
17:43:20	ton period			
17:44:06	Read ARC L&N pyromete	Slidese T-T espig	T-7 dat	a erroneous

	TABLE D-VII (continued)		
DAS Time	Event	Remarks	
17:58:33	Turn CH <sub>4</sub> off		
17:58:56	<b>B</b>		
17:59:30	<b>Read AKC LAN pyrometer</b>	T-7 data erroneous	
18:00:33	End of test 15839	· ·	
18:06:00	Start test 15889	DAS scan all channels at 5 minute intervals	
18:10:25	Turn furnace power off		
19:20:00	Set N <sub>2</sub> at 200 SCFH		
19:22;02	Place all pyrometers in "Cal" position	Continuous DAS scan of all channels	
19:24:28	End of test 15889		

The data depicted for paramter T-1 is incorrect prior to 16:32:30 on day 126. This is due to the fact that the pyrometer had not been calibrated in the "low range." Should this data become necessary for analysis of the furnace, the pyrometer will be calibrated and the data reduced.

Subsequent to the test, the pyrometer heads for parameters T-5 and T-6 were discovered to be reversed. The pyrometers were connected to the respective signal conditioners and DAS channels correctly. However, the units were "looking" at the wrong parameter. The reduced data depicts this discrepancy but the correct data was used for the computer model study.

The data from the pyrometer which measured T-13 is erroneous throughout the test. The unit could not be focused properly on the target. Some of the figures show a single data point spike on day 126 at times 15:10:00, and 15:19:00, and 18:53:09. On day 127 a data spike appears on some of the figures at 02:45:50. These spikes represent erroneous data.