



NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER 2. JOVT ACCESSION	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
ASL-CR-78-0040-1	
I. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
ANALYCIC OF COLAD FLUX DATA	Final
EOD CTDATCOM UTTA	Technical Report
FOR STRATCOM VITA	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)
Keith Montierth	DEA18-76-C-0040
Larry L. Jensen	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Space Science Laboratory	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Center for Research in Aeronomy	DA Task 1L161102B53A
Utah State University; Logan, Utah 84322	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
US Army Electronics Research	May 1978
and Development Command	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Adelphi, MD 20783	18
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office	e) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory	UNCLASSIFIED
White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002	
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different	tirom Report)
	UUL F
	LUL F
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	UUL F
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa	UUL F
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number of the second state of th	ber)
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number of the second station of	ber)
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number of the second station of	ber)
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number of the second structure of the second s	bor)
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number of the second seco	bor)
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number of the second seco	ber)
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number of the second statistical second second	ber) re developed and a single ns using data obtained with
 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number of the second s	ber) re developed and a single ns using data obtained with he UV solar flux between
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number of the second state of th	ber) re developed and a single ns using data obtained with he UV solar flux between
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number of the second state of	ber) re developed and a single ns using data obtained with he UV solar flux between
 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number of the second state of the second sta	ber) The developed and a single ns using data obtained with the UV solar flux between
 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block number of the second state of the second sta	ber) The developed and a single ns using data obtained with the UV solar flux between
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa S. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number of the second state of	ber) Te developed and a single ns using data obtained with he UV solar flux between
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Ultraviolet radiation Balloon-borne Spectrometer Scattering Solar flux Ozone ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Solar flux Ozone ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Solar flux Ozone ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number Spectrometer flux model compared to observed condition a balloon-borne spectrometer which responds to the 2000 Å and 3200 Å. ABSTRACT (AT3) EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE 	ber) re developed and a single ns using data obtained with he UV solar flux between
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number of the second sec	ber) re developed and a single ns using data obtained with he UV solar flux between LASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data End
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Contract Monitor: Jagir S. Randhawa KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number of the second sec	ber) re developed and a single ns using data obtained with he UV solar flux between CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Ent



LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS Scientists and Engineers

Kay D. Baker - Principal Investigator

Ronney Harris Larry L. Jensen L. Rex Megill Keith Montierth Alan Shaw

NTIS	WDire.	Section	9
DDC	B.11 S	ection	ď
UNANNOUNC"D			
JUSTI ICATION			
BY DISTRIBUTION/A	VAP ABI	ITY COD	ES
BY DISTRIBUTION/A Dis	VAI' ABI	ITY CON SPH	ES CHAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	11
List of Contributors	111
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	v
INTRODUCTION	1
RESULTS	2
REFERENCES	11
APPENDIX A-Radiative Transfer Model	12

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.

Page No.

1	Spectrometer look geometry	5
2	Sample UV scatter data	6
3	Sample UV scatter data with theoretical curve and ozone overburden ($\phi = 45^{\circ}$)	7
4	Sample UV scatter data with theoretical curve and ozone overburden ($\phi = 90^\circ$)	8
5	Sample UV scatter data with theoretical curve and ozone overburden ($\phi = 75^{\circ}$)	9
6	Dependence of the shape of the scatter flux curve on total ozone.	10
A-1	Geometry used for integration	15

v

INTRODUCTION

Samplings of the UV solar flux have been accomplished using an instrument designed to make continuous scans over a range of 1200 $^{\circ}$ A.¹ A complete description of the instrument and its theory of operation were given in an earlier report [Barlow and Jensen, 1976].

1

A total of four balloon flights have been made with the instrument. Results of the first two flights are discussed in the report by Jensen and Montierth (1976). The fourth flight of the instrument was made on the STRATCOM-VIII-a balloon during September 1977. Only the analysis of the data obtained during the third flight (September 1976) will be considered in this paper.

¹angstrom $(\overset{0}{A}) = 10^{-10}$ meters.

RESULTS

The UV scatter experiment flown on STRATCOM-VII-a yielded a considerable amount of information on the structure of the UV scatter flux at altitudes up to 39 km for the wavelength region from 2000 Å to 3200 Å. Figure 1 gives the look geometry for the instrument. Figure 2 shows a sample scan of the output after the data is decoded, calibrated and assembled into a final data file.

The method of analysis used to obtain the ozone overburden, dissociation rates and comparison of the theoretical single scatter flux to the observed data is essentially the same as the procedure outlined in the Interim Report [Jensen and Montierth, 1976]. The procedure followed to calculate the ozone overburden values has been changed, however. The method used in the earlier effort to obtain the ozone overburden was to consider the following expression:

$$\frac{I_{calc}(\lambda 1)}{I_{calc}(\lambda 2)} + M = \frac{I_{mea}(\lambda 1)}{I_{mea}(\lambda 2)}$$
(1)

where the radiative transfer model described in the report referenced above and outlined in appendix A is used to produce the flux value at each wavelength and gives the ratio on the left side of expression #1. The total ozone is then varied to force the value of M to become arbitrarily small. This ozone profile used in the model to produce the comparison is then integrated to obtain the ozone overburden. This procedure is repeated for a number of ratios within a scan of data and a weighted average taken of the overburden. Use of this technique results in some uncertainties as the levels of total ozone obtained by forming ratios using different wavelength points within a single data scan produce variations of a factor of 2 or 3. This difference in the ozone overburden is possibly caused by the difficulty in resolving the differences between respective data resulting in the vacillating levels of the ratios, and thus the total ozone content.

The procedure presently used to obtain the ozone overburden values is

to extract the data from a scan where single scatter theory can be expected to hold. The reduced set of data points is matched as closely as possible to the corresponding theoretical curve using the sum of the squareddifferences between the theoretical and measured values as the fit criterion. The shape of the theoretical curve is changed by adjusting the ozone level used in the radiative transfer model and then normalizing the output with the measured data at a point. Using only the shape of the flux curve for obtaining the ozone overburden results in values which are not dependent on an absolute instrument calibration, but which require only good measurement of relative values. Figures 3, 4, and 5 show measured data with calculated flux values. The ozone overburden is also shown on each figure. Figure 6 shows the sensitivity of the shape of the scatter flux curve to modification of the ozone overburden. Illustrated are the theoretical curve shown in Figure 5 and curves with ±20% ozone superimposed and normalized to the same point.

This method of generating the ozone overburden provides good results at present, and can certainly be improved upon as the effort continues. Resolving such difficulties as how the shape of the ozone profile in addition to the total content affects the calculated values, specifically at large zenith angles, will enable us to improve upon the ozone overburden values obtained.

The dissociation rates are calculated by evaluating the terms in the following expression:

$$J_{\text{Total}} = J_a + \sum_{i=0}^n J_i$$

where:

 $J_{a} = ALBEDO TERM$ $J_{o} = \int I(\lambda)\sigma(\lambda) d\lambda$ $J_{1} = \int \int I(\lambda w)\sigma(\lambda) dw$

3

(2)

 J_a is the term due to albedo, J_o is the term due to direct solar flux, J_1 is the term due to primary scattering, and other terms due to higher order scatter. Because the data obtained does not provide sufficient samplings at enough different pointing directions to perform the integration on the J_1 term, the model is used to interpolate the flux values between points where data exist. Using the values of the terms as presently defined gives the following result:

 $\frac{J_{1}}{J_{1} + J_{0}} \qquad x \ 100\% \ \% \ 10\%$

There is some uncertainty in this value (between $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ 6% - 14%) and an effort is being made to resolve it.













REFERENCES

- Barlow, A.R., and L.L. Jensen, Solar Ultraviolet Spectrometer, Final Report, 38 pp., Contract No. DAAD07-75-C-0107, Space Science Laboratory, Utah State University, Logan, January 1976.
- Jensen, L.L. and K. Montierth, Analysis and Evaluation of Solar Flux Data and Recommended Modifications for the Solar Spectrometer, Interim Technical Report, 20 pp., Contract No. DAEA18-76-C-0040, May 1976.

APPENDIX A

RADIATIVE TRANSFER MODEL

The radiative transfer model incorporates primary rayleigh scatter, absorption terms due to O_3 and O_2 and the attenuation of the signal along paths S_1 and S_2 due to the scattering of light out of these paths (see Figure A-1 for the integration geometry and Figure 1 for the look geometry of the instrument). The number of photons the instrument sees can be formulated in the following fashion:

$$\mathbf{I}_{\text{instrument}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}'(\mathbf{s},\lambda) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{s},\lambda) \sigma_{\omega\lambda} \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s}) \Delta \omega' d\mathbf{V}$$

where

$$-\int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma_{0_3}[0_3] + \sigma_{0_2}[0_2] + \sigma_{R}[M] ds_2$$

I'(s, λ) = I_w(λ)e ^s

and

$$-\int_{0}^{0} \sigma_{0_{3}}[0_{3}] + \sigma_{0_{2}}[0_{2}] + \sigma_{R}[M] ds_{2}$$

A(s, λ) = e 0

$$\sigma_{\rm R} = \int \sigma_{\omega\lambda} \, d\omega$$

Where $\sigma_{\omega\lambda}$ is the angular rayleigh scattering cross section and has the form $\sigma_{\omega\lambda} = (1 + \cos^2 \omega) \sigma_{R(\lambda)}$.

By consideration of Figure A-1 we can also obtain the following relationships:

$$dV = D^2 ds_1 \Delta \omega$$
$$\Delta \omega' = \frac{A}{D^2}$$

Thus the number of photons which the instrument sees reduces to

the following expression:

$$I_{ins} = \int_{0} I'(s,\lambda)A(s,\lambda)\sigma_{\omega\lambda} n(s)A\Delta\omega ds_1$$

The computer model developed evaluates the above expression taking into consideration the instrument look geometry shown in Figure 1.

A U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1977 777-093/50

