

AD NO. AD A 0 5 6 7 8 1 DC FILE COPY OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Contract N00014-76-C-0408 LEVEN

Project NR 092-555

1 -- 0

Technical Report No. 3 CHEMISTRY OF SILANE COUPLING REACTIONS I. REACTION OF TRIMETHYLMETHOXYSILANE AND TRIETHYLSILANOL STUDIED BY GAS LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

by

P. Dreyfuss

Institute of Polymer Science The University of Akron Akron, Ohio 44325

July, 1978

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

78 07 17 011

DC

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered) READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE 2. GOVT ACCESSION NOT T RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER REPORT NUMBE TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Chemistry of Silane Coupling Reactions, I Technical Repet. Reaction of Trimethylmethoxysilane and . PERFORMING ONG. REFORT NUMBER Triethylsilanol Studied by GLC . AUTHORA CONTRACT OR GRANI NUMBER(+) N00014-76-C-0408 P./Dreyfuss PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute of Polymer Science The University of Akron NR 092-555 Akron, Ohio 44325 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS REPORT DATE Jul 78 Office of Naval Research Power Program Arlington. Virginia 22217 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(I different from Controlling Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. Unclassified 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, If different from Report) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Submitted for publication in Macromolecules 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Silanes, Siloxanes, Coupling Agents 20. ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The conditions under which reaction will occur and the products of reaction between trimethylmethoxysilane and a model for a glass surface, triethylsilanol, were studied by gas liquid chromatography. Reaction occurred faster and under milder conditions than anticipated. Immediately after mixing at room temperature, methanol and the unsymmetrical disiloxane, 1,1,1triethvl-3.3.3-trimethvldisiloxane. were formed: water formed DD 1 141 72 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS Q S/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

#### SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

soon thereafter. Simultaneously; the concentration of trimethylmethoxysilane decreased dramatically and the concentration of triethylsilanol decreased to a degree comparable to the amount of unsymmetrical disiloxane formed. The symmetrical disiloxanes were also present and their concentrations were monitored. After about two hours an apparent equilibrium was attained with the unsymmetrical disiloxane as about 60% of the product, but at very long times, 5-10 days, the reaction mixture contained more than 90% 1,1,1-triethy1-3,3,3-trimethyldisiloxane. These reactions occurred readily either neat or in acetone, benzene, benzene-octane, or octane as solvent. Addition of acetic acid, n-propylamine, or phenyl-\$-naphthylamine as possible catalysts may have increased the rate of redistribution slightly but did not alter the type or amount of products significantly. These studies of model reactions give new insight into the function of silane coupling agents in reactions on glass surfaces and suggest a three stage reaction mechanism in which water is a byproduct and not a primary catalyst.

NTIS RDC	White Section
UNARNOURCE JUSTIFICATIO	ED D
BY	
<b>I</b> STRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY CODES
Dist. AVAI	AVAILABILITY CODES

5/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

a dage auticar, trachvisiland

#### Abstract

The conditions under which reaction will occur and the products of reaction between trimethylmethoxysilane and a model for a glass surface, triethylsilanol, were studied by gas liquid chromatography. Reaction occurred faster and under milder conditions than anticipated. Immediately after mixing at room temperature, methanol and the unsymmetrical disiloxane, 1,1,1-triethy1-3,3,3-trimethyldisiloxane, were formed; water formed soon thereafter. Simultaneously, the concentration of trimethylmethoxysilane decreased dramatically and the concentration of triethylsilanol decreased to a degree comparable to the amount of unsymmetrical disiloxane formed. The symmetrical disiloxanes were also present and their concentrations were monitored. After about two hours an apparent equilibrium was attained with the unsymmetrical disiloxane as about 60% of the product, but at very long times, 5-10 days, the reaction mixture contained more than 90% 1,1,1-triethy1-3,3,3-trimethyldisiloxane. These reactions occurred readily either neat or in acetone, benzene, benzeneoctane, or octane as solvent. Addition of acetic acid, npropylamine, or phenyl-\$-naphthylamine as possible catalysts

may have increased the rate of redistribution slightly but did not alter the type or amount or products significantly. These studies of model reactions give new insight into the function of silane coupling agents in reactions on glass surfaces and suggest a three stage reaction mechanism in which water is a byproduct and not a primary catalyst.

## Introduction

Silane coupling agents are especially important for promoting bonding of various adhesives to glass and to metal. The formation of a siloxane bond between the silanol groups of glass and a silane coupling agent has previously been demonstrated by infrared and near infrared analysis (1-3). In principal, infrared analysis is a good way of following the progress of this condensation reaction; in practice, it is far from ideal because the concentration of the silanol groups is low and because infrared analysis does not distinguish between pure compounds and mixtures of siloxanes that probably are formed during these reactions. We were interested in forming siloxane bonds between glass and a silane under very mild conditions and needed detailed information about conditions under which these bonds can be formed and about their stability after formation. We therefore undertook a study by gas chromatography of reactions between a model for a glass surface, triethylsilanol (Et, SiOH), and two model silanes, trimethylmethoxysilane (Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe) and dimethylmethoxysilane terminated poly(butadiene) [(PB) Me2 SiOMe]. These studies form Parts I and II of this series, respectively. The method has proven very useful for following these reactions. In

previous reports the preparation of siloxanes from silanols and their redistribution (linearization) in the presence of other siloxanes were carried out at high temperature, in polar solvents, and/or were catalyzed by acids or alkalis (1-8). Our studies show that the reactions occur just as readily at room temperature, in nonpolar media, and without catalyst. A revised three stage mechanism for the reaction has emerged.

### Experimental Section

### a) Materials

Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe from PCR was fractionated under nitrogen through a 5 inch Vigreux column (b.p.  $55.5-57^{\circ}$ C). Gas liquid chromatography (GLC) indicated that the main fraction consisted of 86% Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe and 14% hexamethyldisiloxane [(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O], the same as the undistilled product. Since fractionation did not lead to pure Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe or the sample immediately reacted to form some disiloxane, the apparently stable mixture was used. Its nmr spectrum was consistent with this composition. Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH from PCR was used as received. GLC indicated that the sample contained 88% Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH and 12% hexaethyldisiloxane [(Et<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O]. The nmr spectrum was consistent with this composition. Tetraethylsilane (Et<sub>4</sub>Si) from PCR, used as received, was greater than 99% pure by GLC. <u>n</u>-Octane (C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub>) and benzene (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)

were refluxed under nitrogen over  $CaH_2$  for 2 hrs and then fractionated. Only the constant boiling center cut was used. Reagent grade acetone (AcMe), glacial acetic acid (AcOH), and phenyl- $\beta$ -naphthylamine (PBNA), duPont's Neozone A, were used as received. <u>n</u>-Propylamine (PrNH<sub>2</sub>) was distilled under nitrogen just before use (b.p.  $48^{\circ}$ C).

b) Reactions of Silanes

All reactions for GLC were carried out in 2 dram vials with polyethylene snap caps. With monomers the order of addition to the vial was always  $Et_3SiOH$  or  $Et_4Si$ ,  $C_8H_{18}$ ,  $C_6H_6$  or AcMe, PrNH<sub>2</sub> or AcOH or PBNA, and Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe.

c) Chromatography

Most gas liquid chromatograms were obtained using a Hewlett Packard 5750 Chromatograph fitted with an SE30 column, a flame ionization detector, and a Perkin-Elmer Model 194B Printing Integrator. The usual program was 4 min at  $60^{\circ}$ C followed by heating at  $60^{\circ}$ /min to  $160^{\circ}$ C, and then 8 min at  $160^{\circ}$ C. The injection port temperature was  $220^{\circ}$ C. Some variations are described along with results. A few gas liquid chromatograms, notably in experiment 6, Table I, were obtained using a Hewlett Packard 5710A Chromatograph similarly equipped except that data was taken in real time by a computer and subsequently analyzed

Table I

Quantities Used for Gas Liquid Chromatography Studies<sup>a</sup>

Expt. No.	Et, Si <sup>b</sup>	Et <sub>3</sub> SioH <sup>b</sup>	Me <sub>3</sub> SiOMe <sup>b</sup>	SiOMe	C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>18</sub> C	C6H6C	AcMe	AcOH	PrNH2	PBNA
1	•	96	83		1	1	1	ı	1	•
2	•	96	83		45	1	1	•	•	•
e	•	96	83		35	96	ı	1	•	•
4	1	96	83		35	96	1	0.45	1	•
S	T	96	83		35	96	ı	1	2.2	•
6d	•	96	83		35	35	ı	0.45	1	1
7	1	96	83		35	35	ı	ı	1	0.19
8	1	50	200		35	96	1	1	1	0.19
. 6	1	96	83		35	35	ı	•	1	1
10	113	ı	83		35	35	ı	1	1	•
11	113	1	83		35	35	ı	1	ı	0.19
12	•	96	83		ı	35	1	0.45	ı	•
13	•	96	166		ı	35	1	0.45	ı	1
14	•	96	332		1	35	1	0.45	ı	1
15	1	96	83		1	1	35	0.45		'

<sup>a</sup>All quantities are given in  $\mu$ l unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>b</sup>96 µl Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH = 83 µl Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe = 113 µl Et<sub>3</sub>Si = 6.0 x 10<sup>-4</sup> moles assuming reactants are pure. As stated above the Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH contained 88% Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH and 12% (Et<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O and the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe consisted of 86% Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe and 14% (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O by gas liquid chromatography. Since the disiloxanes were also reactive and their densities were not very different from those of the parent compounds, the measured volumes used were calculated as if the compounds were pure. See Table II.

<sup>C</sup>Concentrations were chosen so that usually  $C_8 H_{1.8}$  but sometimes  $C_6 H_6$  could be used as internal standard.

<sup>d</sup>This experiment was followed on both gas chromatographs described in the experimental section.

by computer. In this way we were able to eliminate subjectivity in analysis of the data and to show that the GLC results, although constant for any given program, depended somewhat on the program used.

Molecular weights of monomers were obtained on a Chemalytics MC-2 Chromatogram with 10% DC 410 columns and gas density detectors using a programmed heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$  C/min from 25 to 250°C. Sulfur hexafluoride and carbon dioxide were used as carrier gases.

d) nmr

A Varian T-60 nmr spectrometer was used to record nmr spectra of neat compounds.

### Results and Discussion

a) Formation of Methanol

One of the most striking features of all the reactions carried out between Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH and Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe (Table I, Experiments 1-9, 12-15) was the appearance within 10-15 sec of a cloudy solution which very soon separated into a clear solution with a small, more dense phase (a drop) in the bottom of the vial. Retention time, peak enhancement, and molecular weight determination indicated that this lower phase was mainly MeOH.

Deliberately added MeOH was immisible in the mixtures and became part of the lower phase. The volume of this phase was approximately equal to the volume of MeOH that would be expected to be formed in a given reaction according to equation (1) in the conclusions.

b) Formation of water

At first it seemed strange that MeOH should appear in a more dense phase in these mixtures, especially when the densities of the various compounds possibly present in the mixtures are compared as in Table II. Repeating Experiment 2, Table I in the presence of white anhydrous copper sulfate, or adding the anhydrous copper sulfate to a similar reaction mixture after the appearance of the second phase, resulted in a bluish-green drop. When the copper sulfate was added at the beginning of the reaction, a yellow color appeared while the solution was cloudy and before the appearance of the green droplet. Neither neat C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> nor neat Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH had any effect on the color of the copper sulfate. Neat MeasiOMe gave the copper sulfate powder a yellow color, which turned green only on the addition of a trace of water. We concluded that some water was formed and that the relatively more polar MeOH was pulled out of the solution by that water even in the presence of acetone (Table I, Experiment 15). Water does not show

# Table II

Properties of Materials in Reaction Mixtures of

# Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH

	_			
Observed	Theoretical	đ4 0	Ref	
105.5	104.2	0.7560	9	
78.3	78.1	0.879	10	
162.5	162.2	0.7636	9	
113.9	114.2	0.703	10	
139.6	138.3	0.8645	9	
205.7	204.2	0.8443	9	
246.0	246.2	0.8110	9	
35	32.0	0.792	10	
59.3	58.1	0.791	10	
-	-	0.7658	9	
-	-	0.9982	10	
	Observed 105.5 78.3 162.5 113.9 139.6 205.7 246.0 35 59.3 -	Observed      Theoretical        105.5      104.2        78.3      78.1        162.5      162.2        113.9      114.2        139.6      138.3        205.7      204.2        246.0      246.2        35      32.0        59.3      58.1        -      -	ObservedTheoretical $d_4^{20}$ 105.5104.20.756078.378.10.879162.5162.20.7636113.9114.20.703139.6138.30.8645205.7204.20.8443246.0246.20.81103532.00.79259.358.10.7910.76580.9982	

in gas liquid chromatograms if flame ionization detectors are used, so it is not surprising that we did not see water in our gas liquid chromatograms. Thus the very rapid appearance of MeOH is the first evidence that contrary to expectations based on the literature (1-8), the reaction between Et,SiOH and silanes is very fast even at room temperature and needs no catalyst. Proof that the Et,SiOH is necessary for the formation of the second phase is given by the experiments between Et,Si and the silanes (Table I, Experiments 10,11), since no MeOH or water that could be detected by GLC or by anhydrous copper sulfate was formed in these reactions.

c) Known Materials

A typical gas liquid chromatogram of the upper phase from Experiment 8, Table I is shown in Figure 1. In contrast to the lower phase, which was principally MeOH, this phase contains seven different components. Four of them, two reactants and two solvents, were charged and the peaks associated with them were readily identified by retention time and peak enhancement and were confirmed by molecular weight determination (See Table II). The first, second, fourth, and fifth peaks to appear were thus shown to be those of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub>, and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH, respectively.

d) Impurities in the Starting Materials

As stated in Section a of the Experimental Part the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH each contained slightly more than 10% of an impurity. Their nmr spectra and well-established silane chemistry indicate that these impurities should be the corresponding symmetrical disiloxanes,  $(Me_3Si)_2O$  and  $(Et_3Si)_2O$ . Retention time and molecular weights of the materials responsible for the third and seventh peaks in Figure 1 were consistent with this interpretation. (See Table II and Figure 1)

e) Products

The only peak that cannot be identified on the basis of the materials charged is the sixth peak. The most probable product from the reaction of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH is 1,1,1triethyl-3,3,3-trimethyldisiloxane (Et<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub>). Both retention time and molecular weight indicate that the sixth peak can be associated with Et<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub>. Significantly a compound of molecular weight 90.2 corresponding to trimethylsilanol (Me<sub>3</sub>SiOH) was not found among the products of our reactions, although we looked for it repeatedly. Once or twice a trace of material with molecular weight 86-91 was found in the tracings from the Mc-2 Chromatogram,

but for all practical purposes, Me<sub>3</sub>SiOH was absent. Its absence argues against a mechanism involving hydrolysis of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe to the corresponding silanol before condensation with Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH.

f) The Role of Water

Further insight into the role of water in reactions of a silane and a silanol was obtained from an experiment in which water (10  $\mu$ l) was added to a mixture of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe (150  $\mu$ l) and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH (50  $\mu$ l). The effect of the water was to convert nearly all of both starting materials to their corresponding symmetrical disiloxanes and to increase the unsymmetrical disiloxane only slightly. Since, as will be seen below, the amount of (Et<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O hardly changed and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH decreased slowly during the first 24 hrs. of our reactions, we believe that our reactions were initiated in anhydrous conditions and that the water that formed did not have an important effect.

g) Effect of GLC Conditions

Tables III and IV show that GLC conditions have an important effect on the results obtained. The same time program was used throughout the study, but temperatures were varied. The data in Table III were obtained with a maximum column temperature of  $100^{\circ}$ C and those in Table IV with a maximum temperature of  $160^{\circ}$ C. The  $(Et_3 Si)_2$ O was eluted only

with the higher column temperature. Table IV shows that if the initial column temperature is higher than  $70^{\circ}$ C,  $(Me_3Si)_2O$  and  $C_8H_{18}$  are not resolved. Since originally we intended to use the  $C_8H_{18}$  as the internal standard, this is a serious deficiency. Both Tables show some changes in composition that do not appear to be systematic or vary somewhat under identical conditions even when the data in Table IV was taken and analyzed by computer. The quantitative results clearly are very sensitive to GLC conditions. We concluded that reactions among silanes, disiloxanes, and silanols are very labile and restricted our analysis to gross changes.

h) Effect of Time

Changes in the concentrations of the materials present in each reaction described in Table I were followed as a function of time. Some typical results are given in Tables III and IV and in Figures 3 and 4.

Changes at very short times, <u>15-30 sec after mixing</u>, are most readily perceived by a comparison of the 10 sec results for mixtures containing  $Et_4Si$  and  $Et_3SiOH$  and shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. These samples were injected into the gas chromatograph as quickly after the addition of the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe

as the mixture could be sampled. In the mixture containing Et, Si, no reactions were expected and none were observed. The Me, SiOMe was charged in large excess over the (Me, Si) 20 (88/14 molar ratio) and the ratio changed only slightly even after 24 hrs. (Compare experiments 10 and 11 in Table III). No new products were formed. In contrast, when Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH was used instead of Et, Si, most of the Me, SiOMe disappeared immediately. Notice the large decrease in the Me, SiOMe peak and the large increase in the (Me3Si) 20 peak in Figure 4 compared to Figure 3. Notice also that some of the new product, Et, SiOSiMe, , was formed . As can be seen by comparing the 0 sec. and 10 sec. data for Experiment 9, Table III and the 0 sec. and 2 min data for Experiment 7, Table III, there was a simultaneous decrease in the Et, SiOH concentration. This decrease corresponded closely to the amount of Et, SiOSiMe, formed. From similar experiments with higher maximum column temperatures where the (Et, Si) 20 was eluted, we learned that at this stage there was no change in the (Et, Si) 20 concentration.

From the above observations we can conclude that under our conditions

a) The silanol is required to catalyze the rapid conversion of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe to disiloxanes.

- b) The Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe is converted partially to Et<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub> and partially to (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O. Very little Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe remains.
- c) The Et,SiOH reacts with the Me,SiOMe or some intermediate derived from the Me,SiOMe but very little with itself. Since much Et,SiOH remains unreacted at these short times, it appears that the Me,SiOMe is as likely to react with itself as with the Et,SiOH.

There were no significant changes in concentrations of components of mixtures containing  $Et_4Si$  at longer times and we will not discuss them further. In mixtures containing  $Et_3SiOH$ , during the next two to three hrs, the concentrations of  $(Me_3Si)_2O$  and  $Et_3SiOH$  continued to decrease in favor of that of  $Et_3SiOSiMe_3$ , which increased until apparent equilibrium concentrations were achieved. The concentration of  $(Et_3Si)_2O$ was fairly constant during this period.

Studies at much longer reaction times, <u>1-10 days</u>, revealed a slower reaction resulting in significant decreases in the concentrations of the symmetrical disiloxanes,  $(Me_3Si)_2O$  and  $(Et_3Si)_2O$ , plus the virtual disappearance of  $Et_3SiOH$  and yielding about 90% conversion to the unsymmetrical disiloxane,  $Et_3SiOSiMe_3$ . Table III

Effect of Time on Amounts of Materials in Reactions of Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH (or Et<sub>4</sub>Si) and Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe<sup>a</sup>

Et, Si	•	1	•	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1.61 <sup>e</sup>	1.62	1.62	1.44	1.61 <sup>e</sup>	1.54	1.62	1.47	he cuc's
Et <sub>3</sub> SiOSiMe <sub>3</sub>	1	1.16	1.80	1.93	1.78	2.89	ı	1.15	1.32	1.76	2.83	ı	•	1	1	1	•	•	1	to octane. T
Et <sub>3</sub> SiOH	1.70 <sup>d</sup>	1.37	0.595	0.225	0.245	Trace	1.70 <sup>d</sup>	1.00	0.668	0.282	0.078	1	1	1	1	1	•	1	1	trea ratios
(Me <sub>3</sub> Si) <sub>2</sub> O	1	0.575	0.322	0.227	0.358	Trace	1	0.506	0.552	0.288	Trace	1	0.079	0.248	0.203	1	0.027	0.248	0.102	xpressed as a
Me <sub>3</sub> SiOMe	0.660 <sup>C</sup>	0.137	0.052	0.123	0.086	0.201	0.660 <sup>C</sup>	0.167	1	0.092	•	0.660 <sup>c</sup>	0.667	0.617	0.502	0.660 <sup>C</sup>	0.519	0.617	0.468	se and are e
Time	0 sec	10 sec	30 min	2.6 hr	24 hr	10 days	0 sec	2 min	28 min	24 hr	10 day	0 sec	10 sec	67 min	24 hr	0 sec	3.5 min	20 min	24 hr	upper pha
Catalyst	ı						PBNA					1				PBNA				are for the
Expt. No. <sup>b</sup>	6						7					10				11				<sup>a</sup> All amounts

were run on the Hewlett Packard 5750 Chromatograph with the usual program except that the maximum temperature was 100°C.

<sup>b</sup>See Table I.

<sup>C</sup>Taken from the calibration curve of area Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe/area C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> versus volume Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe/ volume C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub>.

<sup>d</sup>Taken from the ratio of area Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH/area C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> in the reaction mixture before addition of the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe.

<sup>e</sup>Taken from the ratio of area Et<sub>4</sub>Si/area C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> in the reaction mixture before addition of the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe.

i) Effect of Relative Concentration of Reactants

The results of a series of experiments (Nos. 12-14 in Table I) in which the ratio of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe to Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH was varied from 1:1 to 2:1 to 4:1 are given in Table V. In no case was the Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH completely converted to Et<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub>. Instead the amount of unsymmetrical product formed after 24 hrs was relatively constant and the amount of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe increased. There was a notable decrease in the amount of  $(Et_3Si)_2O$  and a corresponding increase in the amount of  $Et_4SiOH$  as the relative amount of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe was increased but the total amount of Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH and  $(Et_3Si)_2O$  was fairly constant. Thus it is not possible to increase the rate of conversion of silanol to unsymmetrical disiloxane by using a large excess of silane.

j) Effect of Catalyst

In experiments 3-7 of Table I and in Figure 4 the effectiveness of AcOH, PrNH<sub>2</sub>, PBNA and nothing as catalysts for the coupling of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH was compared. The course of the reaction in each case was qualitatively the same as described above in section c. There was very little difference in the initial rate of formation of the disiloxane mixture. Possibly PrNH<sub>2</sub> and AcOH did increase the rate of subsequent

Table IV

(Et<sub>3</sub> Si)<sub>2</sub>0 0.49 0.58 0.72 0.71 0.72 0.85 0.85 Effect of Time and GLC Conditions on Materials in Reactions of Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH and Me<sub>3</sub>SiOM<sup>d</sup> Me<sub>3</sub>Si>0 Et<sub>3</sub>Si>0 3.61 2.30 1.99 2.30 2.88 3.02 2.81 Et<sub>3</sub> SiOH 0.22 0.05 0.16 0.07 0.05 0.05 0.15  $(Me_3Si)_2O$ + C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> 0.83 0.69 0.86 0.79 0.79 0.69 0.83 0.447 C<sub>8</sub> H<sub>18</sub> 0.523 0.603 1 1 1 (Me<sub>3</sub> Si)<sub>2</sub>0 0.247 0.313 0.253 I 1 1 1 Me<sub>3</sub> SiOMe 0.072 0.059 0.050 0.049 0.050 0.052 0.053 Initial Column Temp (°C)<sup>b</sup> 80 80 80 80 20 09 60 (Days) Time -N 5 5 5 5 5

were run on the Hewlett Packard 5710A Chromatograph. Under some conditions this chromatograph did not <sup>a</sup>All amounts are for the upper phase and are expressed as area ratios to benzene. The GLC's resolve the (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O and C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub> peaks. All data is derived from experiment No. 6, Table I where HOAC was used as catalyst. The maximum column temperature was 160°C.

b80°C is the normal initial temperature for the 5710A Chromatograph.

### Table V

Effect of Varying Ratio of Me<sub>3</sub>SiMe/Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH on Products<sup>a</sup>

Et, Si Exptb Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe/Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH No (Et3 Si) 20 Me,Si-1:1° 14 0.012 0.223 0.061 1.46 0.560 2:10 15 0.333 0.776 0.420 1.68 0.199 4:1<sup>C</sup> 16 0.836 1.11 0.614 1.13 0.0

<sup>a</sup> The standard GLC conditions were used. The concentration of products are expressed as area ratios to  $C_6H_6$ . The data is for the upper phase in each case.

<sup>b</sup> See Table I.

<sup>C</sup>In C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. No C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> was added.

redistribution of the disiloxane mixture to form the unsymmetrical disiloxane but the reaction was relatively slow in all cases and proceeded nearly to completion at very long times even in the absence of catalyst.

k) Effect of Solvent

Previous workers have used either neat silanes or solutions of silanes in polar solvents such as ethanol or acetone to carry out coupling reactions with silica or glass surfaces (1-8). Since ultimately we were interested in carrying out coupling reactions between silanated poly(butadiene) and glass, polar solvents are unacceptable because they do not dissolve the poly(butadiene). Therefore we compared reactions of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe and EtaSiOH neat and in acetone, benzene, octane, and benzene-octane mixtures (experiments 15, 12, 2, 6 and 4 in Table I, respectively). Methanol formed as a separate phase in every case and the same products were observed in each case. After 24 hrs., compared to benzene, the change to acetone resulted in increased amounts of unreacted Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe (12% compared to 0.5%) and of unreacted Et, SiOH (3.0% compared to 2.0%). The amount of unsymmetrical disiloxane was also decreased from 63% for benzene to 47% for acetone. At least at the concentrations used, benzene was a better solvent for the coupling reaction.

### Conclusions

Our studies with model compounds indicate that silane coupling agents should interact with glass surfaces to form primary chemical bonds. The reactions can occur at room temperature, without catalyst, neat or in a variety of solvents ranging from acetone with a dielectric constant of 20.7 to octane with a dielectric constant of 1.95. The reactions do not go to completion even at very long times and there appears to be a dynamic equilibrium among the siloxanes that are formed, although the unsymmetrical disiloxane is formed in the largest quantity.

The mechanism by which the interaction occurs is less certain. Water does not seem to be a necessary and primary catalyst that acts by hydrolyzing the silane to a silanol, which then condenses with existing silanol groups as has been suggested previously (1). Any mechanism that is written must account for the following experimental observations:

a) Immediately after mixing Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe/(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O (86/14 molar ratio) and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH/(Et<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O (88/12 molar ratio), MeOH, (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O, and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub> are formed. Water forms rapidly also but the slightly delayed change in the color of anhydrous copper sulfate suggests it is a secondary product. Most but not all of the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe is converted to products. Much Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH persists and

the amount of (Et<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O hardly changes.

b) During the next 2-24 hrs. the concentration of  $Et_3 SiOSiMe_3$ increases while  $(Et_3 Si)_2 O$  remains fairly constant. The concentrations of  $(Me_3 Si)_2 O$  and  $Et_3 SiOH$  decrease.

c) From 1-10 days the concentrations of the remaining  $(Me_3Si)_2O$  and  $(Et_3Si)_2O$  decrease gradually until about 90% of the possible  $Et_3SiOSiMe_3$  is formed after 10 days. Detectable amounts of  $Me_3SiOMe_2$ ,  $(Me_3Si)_2O$ ,  $Et_3SiOH$  and  $(Et_3Si)_2O$  persist even after 10 days.

Our data suggests that a three stage reaction occurs. Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH is sufficiently acidic to react directly with Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe in a very fast <u>first stage</u> reaction that results in the formation of methanol and the unsymmetrical disiloxane:

Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe + Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH  $\longrightarrow$  MeOH + Et<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub> (1) The MeOH that forms then equilibrates with the (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O present in the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe to regenerate Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe and to form trimethylsilanol, Me<sub>3</sub>SiOH, which is unstable with respect to (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O and HOH (4,13):

$$(Me_{3}Si)_{2}O + MeOH \xrightarrow{} Me_{3}SiOH + Me_{3}SiOMe$$
(2)  
2 Me\_{3}SiOH  $\longrightarrow$  (Me\_{3}Si)\_{2}O + HOH (3)

These two reactions account for the persistance of  $Me_3SiOMe$ and  $(Me_3Si)_2O$  in our reaction mixtures and for the formation

of some water, which combines with the methanol to form a separate lower phase. The first stage is complete in 15-30 seconds.

Reactions of Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH analogous to equations (2) and (3) probably also occur but they are much less important than with Me<sub>3</sub>SiOH because Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH is known to react in this way only 1/600 as fast as Me<sub>3</sub>SiOH under similar conditions (11). The reactions do provide a mechanism for the persistence of Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH and (Et<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O at long times. Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH probably reacts faster with Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe and (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>O than it does with itself.

During the <u>second stage</u> additional unsymmetrical disiloxane is formed by reaction of  $(Me_3Si)_2 O$  with Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH

Me<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub> + Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH  $\longrightarrow$  Et<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub> + Me<sub>3</sub>SiOH (4) Again the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOH that forms is removed rapidly by the reactions shown in equations (2) and (3). The net result of reactions (3) and (4) is to convert one mole of  $(Me_3Si)_2O$  and 2 moles of Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH to 2 moles of Et<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub> and one mole of HOH. This stage takes 2-3 hours and accounts for the observed simultaneous disappearance of  $(Me_3Si)_2O$  and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH.

The much slower <u>third stage</u> begins after most of the Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH is gone and is the redistribution (linearization) reaction of the symmetrical disiloxanes that gives the unsymmetrical

disiloxane as the product

 $(Me_3Si)_2O + (Et_3Si)_2O \longrightarrow 2Et_3SiOSiMe_3$ 

(5)

Catalysts are not necessary for the first stage reaction but they may speed up the second and third stage reactions.

# Acknowledgement

This work forms part of a program of research on the adhesion of elastomers supported by a research grant from the Office of Naval Research. We are indebted to A. N. Gent and M. P. Dreyfuss for helpful comments and suggestions throughout this work.

## References

- 1. R. L. Kaas and J. L. Kardos, Polym. Eng. Sci. 11, 11 (1971).
- 2. A. N. Gent and E. C. Hsu, Macromolecules, 7, 933 (1974).
- 3. H. Inoue and S. Kohama, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 19, 1939 (1975).
- C. Eaborn, <u>Organosilicon Compounds</u>, Academic Press, Inc., Butterworths Scientific Publications, London, 1960.
- T. W. Greenlee, <u>Adhesion Science and Technology</u>, 9A L.-H.
  Lee, Ed., 1975, p. 339
- W. Noll, <u>Chemistry and Technology of Silicones</u>, Academic Press, N.Y., 1968.

- A.Lee Smith, <u>Analysis of Silicones</u>, J. Wiley and Sons, N.Y., 1974.
- A. Ahagon and A. N. Gent, J. Polym. Sci.: Polym. Phys.
  Ed., <u>13</u>, 1285 (1975).
- V. Bauant, V. Chvalovsky, J. Rathowsky, <u>Organosilicon</u> <u>Compounds</u>, Parts 1 and 2, Academic Press, N.Y., 1965.
- J. A. Dean, Ed., <u>Lange's Handbook of Chemistry</u>, 11th Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., N.Y., 1973.
- 11. W. T. Grubb, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 76, 3408 (1954).

### Figure Legends

- Figure 1--Typical gas liquid chromatogram of the upper phase of a reaction mixture containing Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe (200 µl) and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH (50 µl) recorded 5 min after adding the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe. (See Experiment 8, Table I.
- Figure 2--Gas liquid chromatogram of mixture of Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe (83  $\mu$ l) and Et<sub>4</sub>Si (111  $\mu$ l) recorded at 10 sec and 24 hr after adding Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe. The C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> peaks are omitted for simplicity. (See Experiment 10, Tables I and III.)
- Figure 3--Gas liquid chromatogram of the upper phase of a reaction mixture containing Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe (83 µl) and Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH (96 µl) recorded 10 sec, 30 min, and 2.58 hr after adding Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe. The C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> peaks are omitted throughout and the Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe peaks are omitted at 30 min and 2.58 hr for simplicity. (See Experiment 9, Tables I and III.)
- Figure 4--Variation of concentration of Et<sub>3</sub>SiOSiMe<sub>3</sub> with time and catalyst in reactions of Et<sub>3</sub>SiOH and Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe. O-O no catalyst, C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> solvent; x---x, no catalyst, C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> solvent; D---O AcOH, C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> solvent; Δ---Δ PrNH<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>18</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> solvent;



.







## DISTRIBUTION LIST



PC

Naval Research Laboratory Code 6100 Washington, DC 20375 1

1

1

1

1

1

3

1

1

1

Naval Air Systems Command Code 440 Washington, DC 20360 Attn: Dr. H. Rosenwasser

Naval Sea Systems Command SEA-0331 Washington, DC 20362 Attn: Mr. J. Murrin

Naval Sea Systems Command SEA-0332 Washington, DC 20362 Attn: Dr. A. Amster

Naval Surface Weapons Center Research and Technology Dept.-WR Silver Spring, MD 20910

Naval Weapons Center Research Department Code 60 China Lake, CA 93555

Naval Weapons Center Code 608 China Lake, CA 93555 Attn: Ronald L. Derr

Air Force Office of Scientific Research Directorate of Aerospace Sciences Bolling Air Force Base Washington, BC 20332

Air Force Office of Scientific Research Directorate of Chemical Sciences Bolling Air Force Base Washington, DC 20332

Air Force Office of Scientific Research Directorate of Physics Bolling Air Force Base Mashington, DC 20332

-2-

Arnold Adicoff, Code 6058 (1) Naval Weapons Center Research Dept. China Lake, CA 93555

(1)

(1)

(N)

(1)

(1)

(1)

B. J. Alley AMSMI-RKC US AMC Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Dr. S. John Bennett Thiokol Corp. Brigham City, UT 84302

Robert A. Biggers AFRPL/MKPB Edwards, CA 93523

John E. Branigan AFRPL/RPRP Edwards, CA 93523

G. W. Burdette Code 753 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555

Dr. E. A. Burns TRW Systems Bldg 0-1 Room/2020 One Space Park Redondo Beach, CA 90278

C. D. Chandler Hercules, Inc. Radford Army Ammunicion Plant Radford, VA 2414

John E. Christian (1) Hercules/ABL P.O. Box 210 Cuberland, MD 21502

Albert Z. Conner (1) Hercules, Inc. Research Center Wilmington, DE 19899 R. C. Corley (1) AFRPL/RCS Edwards, CA 93523 D. S. Davis (1) Thiokol/Wasatch Division P.O. Box 524 Brigham City, UT 84302 John H. DeRyke (1)Chemical Systems Div/United Technologies P.O. Box 358 Sunnyvale, CA 94088 James Dietz MS 243 (1) Thiokol Corp/Wasatch Division P.O. Box 524 Brigham City, UT 84302 (1) A. J. DiMilo Propellant R&D Aerojet General Corp. P.O. Box 15847 Sacramento, CA 95813 R J. DuBots (1) Hercules Inc/Bacchus Works P.O. Box 98 - MS 8131 Magna, UT 84044 Hiram W. N. Dykes (1)AMSMI-RKC US AMC 35809 Redstone Arsenal, AL Dr. W. David English (1) TRW Systems Bldg 0-1, Room 2020 One Space Park Redondo Beach, CA 90278 (1) D. M. French Code 6012 Naval Ordnance Station Indian Head, MD 20640 W. A. Gogis, Fleet Support (1) Naval Ordnance Station Indian Head, MD 20640

...

(1) (1) Larry H. Gordon MS 500-209 H. E. Marsh, 125/159 Jet Propulsion Laboratory NASA/Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road 4800 Oak Grove Drive . . Pasadena, CA 91103 Cleveland, OH 44135 (1)(1)James D. Martin Phillip H. Graham Atlantic Research Corp. Atlantic Research Corp. 5390 Cherokee Avenue 7511 Wellington Road Gainesville, VA 22065 Alexandria, VA 22314 (1)(1)United Aircraft Corp/UTS P. J. Klaas J. C. Matthews, Quality Control Lab. 1050 E. Arques Ave. Aerojet Solid Propulsion Co. P.O. Box 13400 Sunnyvale, CA 94088 Sacramento, CA 95813 (1) (1)John O. McCary David Knoop 0111 Corp - P.O. Box 222 St. Marks, FL 32355 Olin-Energy Systems Div. Badger Army Ammunition Plant 53913 Baraboo, WI (1) Fred H. Meyers, Jr. MAAA (1)AFML, ASSB Joseph A. Kohlbeck, 114B Hercules, Inc. MS 8115 WPAFB, OH 45433 Bacchus Works, P. O. Box 98 (1) Noy R. Miller Magna, UT 84044 Hercules/ABL (1) P.0. Box 210 Cumberland, MD 21502 J. L. Koury AFRPL/MKMB Edwards, CA 93523 (1) A. H. Munker Esso Research & Engineering (1)Seymour Laber P.O. Box 8 Dept. of the Army Picatinny Arsenal, SMUPA-ADEP-2 07036 Linden, NJ Dover, NJ 07801 (1) J. T. Nakamura (1) R. D. Law AFRPL/DYCA 93523 Thiokol/Wasatch Division Edwards, CA Box 524 (1)Brigham City, UT 84302 William Oetjen Thiokol Corp/Quality Dept (1) Huntsville, AL 35807 Dr. A. R. Lawrence Code RM (1) Naval Ordnance Station J. M. Robinson Autonetics - Dept 646-20, Bldg. 69 Indian Head, MD/ 20640 3370 Miraloma Ave. Nathan M. Liszt (1) Anaheim, CA 92803 SARPA-FR-G-R 81dg. 1625 Picatinny Arsenal (1)W. Roe RPMCB Feltman Res. Lab. AFRPL Dover, NJ 07801 Edwards, CA 93523

Dr. R. E. Rogers (1) Thiokol Corp/Development Section Huntsville, AL 35807

T. P. Rudy. R & AT United Technology Center P.O. Box 358 Sunnyvale, CA 94088

W. Selig (1) Lawrence Radiation Lab. - L-404 P.O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550

E. M. Steger Susquehanna Corp. Atlantic Research Group Chem. & Phys. Prop. Lab. P.O. Box 38 Gainesville, VA 22065

Donald H. Stewart, Code 4539 Properties Analysis Branch Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555

Anthony Taschler Picatinny Arsenal Products Assurance Dir. SMUPA-QA-A-P Dover, NJ 07801

R. J. Thiede Olin-Energy Systems Div. Badger Army Ammunition Plant Baraboo, WI 53913

Lewis R. Toth CIT-JPL 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91103

J. Tuono Code 50210 Naval Ordnance Station Indian Head, MD 20640

Norman VanderHyde AFRPL/MKPC Edwards, CA 93523

(1) U.S. Army Research Office Chemistry Division P.O. Box 12211 27709 Research Triangle Park, NC U.S. Army Research Office (1)**Physics Division** P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 Dr. T. J. Reinhart, Jr. Chief Composite and Fibrous Materials Branch Nonmetallic Materials Division Air Borce Materials Laboratory (AFSC) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base,

(1)

Ohio 45433

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)

(1)