

18 ARO 11691. 4-MS 1.1 62 FAST FRACTURE RESISTANCE OF GLASSY POLYMERS AND FIBER COMPOSITES -20 LEVELI AD A 0 5 6 5 0 12 FINAL REPORT. JAN 75-30 Jun 18 by M. F./Kanninen and A. R. /Rosenfield 11/30 Jun 78 AD NO. June 30, 1978 U. S. Army Research Office Project No. P-11691-MS Grant Nos. DAHC04-75-G-0080, and DAAG29-77-G-Ø119 DC BATTELLE Columbus Laboratories REMAR JUL 18 1978 TOLLA B Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 78 07 00 086 CL 7080



FAST FRACTURE RESISTANCE OF GLASSY POLYMERS AND FIBER COMPOSITES

FINAL REPORT

by

M. F. Kanninen and A. R. Rosenfield

June 30, 1978

U. S. Army Research Office

Project No. P-11691-MS Grant Nos. DAHC04-75-G-0080 and DAAG29-77-G-0119

> BATTELLE Columbus Laboratories



STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The ultimate strength of all materials is connected with their ability to resist crack propagation. Fiber reinforced polymers, because of their light weight and their high corrosion and fatigue resistance, are highly useful for a wide range of military and other applications. Consequently, quantitative predictions of the fracture strength of these materials under service conditions -- particularly under impact loading -is of some importance. However, such procedures have not yet been developed.

Fiber composites offer much higher fracture resistance for crack propagation across the fibers than does an unreinforced matrix. The reason is simply that the energy required for fiber breakage, pull-out, fiber/ matrix debonding, etc. is so high. However, because composites can fracture in other ways (e.g. by matrix splitting parallel to the fibers), this apparently enhanced fracture resistance may not represent the actual fracture strength of a fiber reinforced composite material in service conditions. Clearly, a basic fracture predictive capability that can take account of the various different ways in which a fiber composite can fail is required for realistic assessments of the behavior of these materials. Such a capability will also enable their performance to be

8 07 00 096

optimized. For example, recent work on fiber reinforced kinetic energy projectiles shows that their enhanced performance is due to a <u>combination</u> of (1) high failure resistance in the axial direction, and (2) low resistance to shear crack propagation.

This work is addressed to the determination of the resistance to a fast running crack initiated under impact loading in a fiber reinforced polymer material. The work is based on a dynamic fracture mechanics approach developed on a previous Army Research Office Grant (P-9538-MC) which focused on high-strength steel. This approach produced controlled crack initiation using static loading of blunt-notch double-cantilever beam (DCB) specimens. The present program applies this procedure to fiberreinforced composites and extends it to impact loading. The major objective is to develop dynamic fracture mechanics procedures capable to describing crack initiation, propagation, and arrest in fiber reinforced composite materials. The second objective of the research is to elucidate the principles involved in the dynamic fracture of fiber-composites, thereby providing a basis for both optimizing the design of these materials and for evaluating actual military components.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- (1) The mathematical model for the double cantilever beam fracture specimen developed for steel under static loading has been extended to treat dynamic crack propagation and arrest in fiber reinforced polymers by including orthotropic constitutive behavior and crack growth initiation under impact loading.
- (2) Low-volume-fraction wire-reinforced polymer composites have been developed for use as model materials. Measurements of the individual matrix fracture, wire

See M. F. Kanninen and G. T. Hahn, "Strategy for Future Research on the Analysis of Kinetic Energy Penetration", Battelle's Columbus Laboratories Report to the Defence Advanced Research Projects Agency, January 31, 1978, which was based in part on results obtained in this project.

fracture, and wire pull-out components of the propagating crack fracture energy have been made for this material.

- (3) A drop tower was constructed to produce impact loading on notched DCB specimens. The tower, which has a capacity of 135 J, was used to measure crack velocity and crack arrest behavior as a function of wire packing density and of wire geometry (i.e. longitudinal versus cross-ply).
- (4) Comparisons between the predicted and the observed crack arresting capability of wire-reinforced polymers have been made by means of the mathematical models. Of most importance, it has been learned that the dynamic fracture toughness of the composite is not equal to a single summation of the energies in the individual failure events.
- (5) A direct way of determining dynamic fracture toughness values (i.e. by forcing the model to follow the experimental crack length-time record) was devised for comparison with the conventional indirect method (i.e. performing computations with assumed fracture toughness values to match experimental results). It was learned that the effectiveness of the direct method calls for a degree of precision in the experiment that is not readily obtainable with current techniques.

SUMMARIES OF PUBLICATIONS

Publication Number 1

M. F. Kanninen, "A Critical Appraisal of Solution Techniques in Dynamic Fracture Mechanics", Proceedings of the Conference on Numerical Methods in Fracture Mechanics, A. R. Luxmoore, and D.R.J. Owen, editors, University College of Swansea, Swansea, U.K., 1977, pp 612-633.

<u>Summary</u>. Dynamic fracture mechanics consists of all fracture mechanics problems in which inertia forces must be included in the equations of motion for the cracked body. A logical way of classifying the problems addressed in the subject is in terms of the initial/boundary conditions that are appropriate. The bulk of current work is on one of two distinct problem types. These are the initiation of crack growth under impact loading and rapid crack propagation under fixed loading. (It is now clear that crack arrest is not a separate category but is properly treated as the termination of a dynamic crack growth analysis.) The principle aim of this paper is to assess the usefulness of various numerical techniques in terms of their appropriateness for the solution of these physical problems.

Mathematically, while closed form solutions can be obtained in some specialized cases, most solutions in dynamic fracture mechanics are obtained by either the finite difference or the finite element method. Currently, finite elements are better suited for initiation of growth calculations while finite differences can better cope with crack propagation and arrest. Emphasis in the paper is also put upon the use of simple models which can circumvent large-scale numerical analyses by exploiting the geometric conditions in special applications. Finally, it is pointed out that extensions of linear elastic treatments -- which form the bulk of current efforts in dynamic fracture mechanics -- are needed to treat elasticplastic and viscoelastic material behavior.

Publication Number 2

P. C. Gehlen, C. Popelar, and M. F. Kanninen, "Dynamic Crack Propagation in the DCB Specimen: A Comparison of Theory and Experiment", accepted for publication in the International Journal of Fracture, to appear in 1978.

<u>Summary</u>. The formulation of the problem of a rapidly propagating crack in a double cantilever beam specimen is re-examined using Reissner's variational principle. The governing equations are first solved to obtain the static compliance which is in good agreement with measured values. The equations of motion in conjunction with the energy criterion for a running crack are solved using a finite difference method. Predicted crack growth versus time, crack speed versus crack length, and dynamic stress intensity factors versus crack length are all found to be in very good agreement with their measured counterparts for a polymer. Of most importance for future work, it is found that the most effective one-dimensional model for the DCB specimen is a combination of a Timeshenko beam and a Winkler foundation.

Publication Number 3

M. F. Kanninen, A. R. Rosenfield, P. M. McGuire and C. R. Barnes, "The Determination of Dynamic Fracture Toughness Values and Evaluation of Crack Arrester Concpets Using the DCB Test Specimen" (in preparation), to be presented at the symposium on <u>Crack Arrest Methodology and Applications</u>, November 6-7, 1978, Philadelphia, and published in an ASTM Special Technical Publication.

<u>Summary</u>. The double cantilever beam (DCB) test specimen is wellsuited for the study of dynamic crack propagation and arrest events. Experimentally, rapid crack growth can be initiated and arrested within the confines of the specimen. In addition, it is possible to analyze these events through the use of a relatively simple analysis model. This paper describes the use of combined experimentation and analysis to determine dynamic fracture toughness values for a polymeric material using the DCB specimen. Comparisons are made between results obtained by crack growth initiation from a blunted crack and from impacting loading of a sharp crack. Particular emphasis is put on the difficulties involved in direct computation of toughness values through simulation of the experimentally determined crack growth versus time data. Some computational results illustrating the basic concepts involved in the design of crack arrester systems are also given.

PARTICIPATING SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL

- M. F. Kanninen
- A. R. Rosenfield
- P. M. McGuire
- W. I. Griffith
- C. R. Barnes
- C. Popelar

No advanced degrees awarded.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2	GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
TITLE (and Subtitle)		FINAL
FAST FRACTURE RESISTANCE OF GLASSY POLYMERS AND FIBER COMPOSITES		01-01-75/06-30-78
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		NA
AUTHOR(3)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
M. F. Kanninen		DAHC04-75-G00804
A. R. Rosenfield		DAAG29-77-G0119
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Battelle Columbus Laboratories		
Columbus, OH 43201		P-11691-MS
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Research Office		30 June 78
Box 12211		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Research Triangle Park. NC 27709		6
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controll	rom controlling Office)	is. secontry censs. (or this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		L
Approved for Public Release:		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		- Prom'
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited	Block 20, it different tro	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in	Block 20, it different fro.	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in	Block 20, it different tro	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in	Block 20, it different tro	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in 	Block 20, it different fro	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in 	Block 20, it different fro	m Report)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in	Block 20, it different fro	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in 	Block 20, it different fro	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in	Block 20, it different fro	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in	Block 20, if different fro identify by block number)	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstrect entered in	Block 20, it different fro. identify by block number)	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and CRACK PROPAGATION CRACK ARREST GLASSY POLYMERS FIBER COMPOSITES	Block 20, if different fro	m Report)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	Block 20, it different tro	m Report)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	Block 20, it different fro identify by block number) dentify by block number)	m Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited Distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and CRACK PROPAGATION CRACK ARREST GLASSY POLYMERS FIBER COMPOSITES IMPACT LOADING ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and i A summary is given of experiments	Block 20, it different fro identify by block number) dentify by block number) and analysis it	nvolving rapid crack propaga
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited Distribution Statement (of the obstract entered in 	Block 20, it different fro identify by block number) dentify by block number) and analysis in d polymer compo	m Report)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in	Block 20, it different tro identify by block number) dentify by block number) and analysis it d polymer compo	nvolving rapid crack propaga sites.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in 	Block 20, it different fro identify by block number) dentify by block number) and analysis in d polymer compo	nvolving rapid crack propaga sites.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obsitect entered in SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and CRACK PROPAGATION CRACK ARREST GLASSY POLYMERS FIBER COMPOSITES IMPACT LOADING ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and i A summary is given of experiments tion and arrest in wire-reinforced	Block 20, if different fro identify by block number) dentify by block number) and analysis in d polymer compo	nvolving rapid crack propaga sites.

UNCLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)