





AD NO.



DELET - TR-78-10

AUGER SPECTRA OF SILICON DIOXIDE SURFACE DEFECT CENTERS

Klaus Schwidtal

Electronics Technology & Devices Laboratory



May 1978

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

# **ERADCOM**

**US ARMY ELECTRONICS RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT COMMAND** FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703 10119

# NOTICES

## Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

### Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

7

	READ INSTRUCTIONS
ALPORT NUMBER	I NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
DELET-TR-78-10	
TITLE (and Subtitio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
AUGER SPECTRA OF SILICON DIOXIDE SURFACE	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(.)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(.)
Klaus Schwidtal	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Electronic Materials Research Division US Army Electronics Technology & Devices Lab (ERADCOM), Fort Monmouth, N.J. 07703 DELET-EDS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61102A 16 1161102AH47 C7 02
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Electronics Research & Development Comma Fort Monmouth, N.J. 07703 DELET-EDS	May D78 12 10
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Off	(ce) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Unclassified
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report) Approved for public release; distribution unli	Imited.
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unli</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different</li> </ol>	Imited.
<ol> <li>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unli</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if different</li> </ol>	Imited.
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unli 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different 19. SUDDI EMENTARY NOTES.	Imited.
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unli</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a supplementary notes</li> <li>Paper was presented at the International Topical SiO<sub>2</sub> and Its Interfaces, Yorktown Heights, N.Y., To be published in the Proceedings.</li> </ul>	Imited. Int from Report) Conference on the Physics of 22-24 March 1978.
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unlit</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 difference</li> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>Paper was presented at the International Topical SiO<sub>2</sub> and Its Interfaces, Yorktown Heights, N.Y., To be published in the Proceedings.</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block nu</li> </ul>	Imited. Int from Report) Conference on the Physics of 22-24 March 1978. mbber)
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unlit</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a second in Block 20, if differe</li></ul>	Imited. Int from Report) Conference on the Physics of 22-24 March 1978. mber)
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unli</li> <li>17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if difference</li> <li>18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</li> <li>Paper was presented at the International Topical SiO2 and Its Interfaces, Yorktown Heights, N.Y., To be published in the Proceedings.</li> <li>19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block nu</li> <li>Auger electron spectroscopy Silicon dioxide Surfaces Defect centers</li> </ul>	Imited. nt from Report) Conference on the Physics of 22-24 March 1978. mber)
<ul> <li>16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)</li> <li>Approved for public release; distribution unliphing of the state of the s</li></ul>	mited. Int from Report) Conference on the Physics of 22-24 March 1978. mber) n reveal the absolute energy Si dangling bond electrons in ed, and the Auger electron dis- liculated for a stoichiometric e surface with unpaired, danglin an additional LVD transition ed electron. The theoretical

TR

#### UNCLASSIFIED

## SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

## 20. Abstract (cont'd)

an electron radiation damaged quartz surface. Good agreement with the theoretical model is obtained, if D is assumed to lie 7.2 eV above the valence band edge.

UNCLASSIFIED

SCURITY CLASSIFICATION OF

AGE(When Data Entered)

1.1 - 1 - ... I - . . dit

#### CONTENTS

		rage
1	NTRODUCTION	1
т	HEORETICAL MODEL	2
E	XPERIMENTAL EXAMPLE	4
R	EFERENCES	5
	FIGURES	
1	. Energy-level diagram showing a $\rm L_{23}VD$ Auger transition at left, and three $\rm L_{23}V_1V_1$ transitions.	2
2	. TOP: The theoretical $L_{23}VV$ (full line) and $L_{23}VD$ (dashed line) Auger transition bands. BOTTOM: Experimental second-	3

line) Auger transition bands. BOTTOM: Experimental secondary electron distribution N(E) from a pristine SiO<sub>2</sub> surface (full line), and the difference between N(E) from an electron radiation damaged SiO<sub>x</sub> surface, and N(E) from the pristine SiO<sub>2</sub> surface.

ACCESSION I	or White Section D
DOC UNANNOUN	Buff Section
BY	CEDINIAVANABILITY CODES
DISTRIO	/ SPICIAL
\n	

1

AUGER SPECTRA OF SiO<sub>2</sub> SURFACE DEFECT CENTERS

Klaus Schwidtal

US Army Electronics Technology and Devices Laboratory (ERADCOM), Fort Monmouth, N.J. 07703

#### ABSTRACT

It is shown that Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) can reveal the absolute energy level, with respect to the valence band edge, of Si dangling bond electrons in SiO<sub>2</sub>. A theoretical model is proposed, and the Auger electron distribution N<sub>A</sub>(E) for the L<sub>23</sub>VV transition band is calculated for a stoichiometric SiO<sub>2</sub> surface, and for a SiO<sub>x</sub> surface with unpaired, dangling bond electrons. The latter is characterized by an additional L<sub>23</sub>VD transition band, where D is the energy level of the unpaired electron. The theoretical N<sub>A</sub>(E) curves are compared with experimental N(E) curves for a pristine, and for an electron radiation damaged quartz surface. Good agreement with the theoretical model is obtained, if D is assumed to lie 7.2 eV above the valence band edge.

#### INTRODUCTION

Further advances in military integrated circuit development require in-depth comprehension of many aspects of interface defects. Certain atomic-scale defects near the Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> interface unacceptably degrade the performance and reliability of MOS devices. This has become even more critical as IC devices move into the submicron regime. Improved techniques for identifying the chemical and electronic nature of these defects are a necessary prerequisite for eliminating them.

Radiation-induced intrinsic defect centers in  $SiO_2$ , or on its surfaces or interfaces, have been extensively studied by optical and electron spin resonance (ESR) techniques (for a review, see Refs. (1) and (2). This paper proposes a theoretical model and presents preliminary experimental evidence on how these defect centers can be observed by AES.

The best known intrinsic defect is the  $E_1(2)$ . (or  $E_s$  center when it is a surface defect (3)), which is an O<sup>-</sup> vacancy and is characterized by an unpaired electron, strongly localized in a nonbounding sp<sup>3</sup> orbital on a silicon bonded to just three oxygens. The unpaired electron or defect electron is less tightly bound to the Si atom than the other valence electrons, and its energy level in the energy-level scheme lies in the upper part of the band gap (2).

AES should be uniquely useful in revealing the absolute energy level of localized, occupied surface defect states. Because of the strong localization of the  $E'_1$  or  $E'_s$  center unpaired electron, this electron can be expected to participate in Auger transitions of the respective Si atom. Auger transitions involving valence electrons reflect the whole valence band. They do not just yield the energy difference between two levels of the proper separation without information on the absolute energy level of either, as most other spectroscopies do. Therefore, comparing a core-band-band Auger transition region with and without a defect state should reveal the absolute energy position of that defect state with respect to the valence band.





#### THEORETICAL MODEL

For Si and SiO<sub>2</sub>, the  $L_{23}VV$  transition is the Auger process of highest probability. Such a solid-state Auger process is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The kinetic energy of the three Auger electrons resulting from the three  $L_{23}VV$  Auger transitions indicated in Fig. 1 is always

$$E_{A} = 2 E_{V} - E_{L_{23}} - E_{VAC}$$

The Auger electron distribution  $N(E_A)$  is obtoned by integrating over  $\Delta$ :

$$N(E_{A}) = \int_{\Omega} W_{A}(E,\Delta) \cdot n(E+\Delta) \cdot n(E-\Delta) \cdot d\Delta$$

where  $W_A(E,\Delta)$  is the matrix element for the Auger transition. The only complete one-body calculation (i.e., including matrix elements) of a core-valence-valence Auger line shape reported so far are those by Feibelman et al (4) for the L<sub>23</sub>VV transition band of a Si (111) surface. They find that in this case

$$W_{A}(E,\Delta) \cdot n(E+\Delta) \cdot n(E-\Delta) \simeq n_{p}(E+\Delta) \cdot n_{p}(E-\Delta),$$

where  $n_p(E)$  is the p-like component of the density of states (DOS). N(E<sub>A</sub>) is then a self-fold of  $n_p(E)$ . Assuming that the same relation also holds, at least approximately, for SiO<sub>2</sub> (and justifying this assumption from the agreement with experimental results), the Si K $\beta$  x-ray emission band from SiO<sub>2</sub> (5) was chosen for  $n_p(E)$ .  $n_p(E)$  is shown as the (local) density of states in Fig. 1.  $N(E_A)$  obtained from self-folding  $n_p(E)$  is shown in Fig. 2, together with an experimentally obtained  $N(E_A)$  for a pristine SiO<sub>2</sub> surface. (Actually, it is  $N(E_A)$  superimposed on a background current of "true" secondary electrons.) The reasonably good agreement with the theoretical  $N(E_A)$  justifies the assumptions made in calculating  $N(E_A)$ .



Fig. 2. TOP: The theoretical L<sub>23</sub>VV (full line) and L<sub>23</sub>VD (dashed line) Auger transition bands. BOTTOM: Experimental secondary electron distribution N(E) from a pristine SiO<sub>2</sub> surface (full line), and the difference between N(E) from an electron radiation damaged SiO<sub>x</sub> surface, and N(E) from the pristine SiO<sub>2</sub> surface.

3

If a defect level D due to an unpaired electron is included, an additional  $L_{23}VD$  transition band is obtained. We assume that, at least in first approximation, n(E) for the defect-containing  $SiO_x$  will be the same as for stoichiometric  $SiO_2$ . This assumption is essential for our model, because it allows us to treat the  $L_{23}VV$  spectrum of  $SiO_x$  as a superposition of the  $L_{23}VV$  band of stoichiometric  $SiO_2$ , and the  $L_{23}VD$  band. Conversely, it will allow us to experimentally obtain the  $L_{23}VD$  band as the difference between the Auger spectra of  $SiO_x$  and  $SiO_2$ .

Figure 2 shows the L<sub>23</sub>VV and L<sub>23</sub>VD transition bands as separate components. D is assumed to be uniquely valued, and to lie 7.2 eV above the valence band edge, to make it consistent with the experimental results to be described later. We again consider only the p-like component of n(E). With the assumptions made, the shape of the L<sub>23</sub>VD transition band will be identical to the shape of  $n_p(E)$ .

The experimentally collected Auger electron current distribution  $I_A(E)$  is

$$I_{A}(E) \propto \int_{0}^{\infty} N_{A}(E,x) e^{-x/d} dx$$

where x is the spatial coordinate perpendicular to the sample surface, x=0 describes the sample surface, and d is called "escape depth." For the SiO2 L23VV transition considered here, the escape depth is only d  $\approx$  4 Å (7). We should therefore expect to experimentally observe mostly surface defects.

#### EXPERIMENTAL EXAMPLE

Oxygen deficiency of SiO<sub>2</sub> surfaces can easily be created by electron irradiation. This effect has become known primarily as an annoyance in electron excited AES of SiO<sub>2</sub> surfaces (6). The observed decrease in the oxygen Auger signal is accompanied by changes in the  $L_{23}VV$  Auger signal, the most pronounced of which is the appearance of a "Si peak" in the derivative mode (6,7).

Mitchell and Denure (8) have studied electron irradiation induced defect centers in SiO<sub>2</sub> thin films using cathodoluminescence spectra. They found that electron irradiation greatly enhances an optical emission peak ("C band") at  $^{\circ}$  4500 Å (2.8 eV), which the authors believe to be due to broken Si-O bonds (8). The growth law reported for this peak is the same as that reported for the AES "Si peak" (7), suggesting that both signals arise from the same defect center. Electron radiation damaged SiO<sub>2</sub> surfaces should therefore be a good example for testing our theoretical model.

The experimental Auger electron distribution curve shown in Fig. 2 was obtained from a quartz surface in the "as received" state by taking the Auger spectrum as fast as possible. (The spectrum was actually taken in the derivative mode, and integrated to obtain N(E).) The sample was then electron irradiated until the oxygen signal had decreased by 10%, and the Auger spectrum was taken again as fast as possible. The difference between the two N(E), which should correspond to the L23VD transition band, is shown as  $\Delta N(E)$  in Fig. 2. The peak P is a plasmon loss peak. Its energy and intensity are characteristic for a SiO<sub>2</sub> matrix. The maxima of  $\Delta N(E)$  and the theoretical L<sub>23</sub>VD transition band line up if D is assumed to lie 7.2 eV above the valence band edge, as shown in Fig. 1. This D level supplements the "C band" from cathodoluminescence (8) to exactly the SiO<sub>2</sub> band gap. We take this as an indication of the validity of our model, and interpret the C band as arising from transitions from the bottom of the conduction band to the D level.

The principal  $\Delta N(E)$  peak is somewhat broader than the theoretical peak. However, several simplifying assumptions were made in the model. One possible contribution to the broadening would be a finite width of the D level (assumed to be uniquely valued in the model) caused by a difference in the bonding strength for the unpaired electron between the outermost atomic layer and the next following atom layers.

A possible alternative interpretation for  $\Delta N(E)$  would be Si islands in SiO<sub>2</sub> (6), because the absorption edges of the L<sub>23</sub>VD transition band and the Si L<sub>23</sub>VV transition band coincide approximately (and this information only is reflected in the maximum negative peak of the derivative mode spectrum). However, this should also be reflected in the plasmon loss spectrum, which we found to reflect strictly a SiO<sub>2</sub> matrix.

In summary, we find good agreement between the experimental example and the theoretical model, within the present limits of our general theoretical understanding of Auger transition line shapes.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Lell, N.J. Kreidl and J.R. Hensler, Radiation effects in quartz, silica and glasses, Progr. Ceram. Sci. 4, 1 (1966).

2. K.L. Yip and W.B. Fowler, Electronic structure of El centers in SiO<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. B11, 2327 (1975).

3. G. Hochstrasser and J.F. Antonini, Surface states of pristine silica surfaces, Surface Science 32, 644 (1972).

4. P.J. Feibelman, E.J. McGuire and K.C. Pandey, Tight-binding calculation of a core-valence-valence Auger line shape: Si(111), Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1154 (1976).

5. G. Wiech, Röntgenspektroskopische Untersuchung der Struktur des Valenzbandes von Si, SiC und SiO<sub>2</sub>, <u>Z. Phys.</u> 207, 428 (1967).

6. J.S. Johannessen, W.E. Spicer and Y.E. Strausser, An Auger analysis of the SiO<sub>2</sub>-Si interface, J. Appl. Phys. 47, 3028 (1976).

7. B. Carrière and B. Lang, A study of the charging and dissociation of S10, surfaces by AES, Surface Science 64, 209 (1977).

8. J.P. Mitchell and D.G. Denure, A study of SiO<sub>2</sub> layers on Si using cathodoluminescence spectra, Solid-State Electron. 16, 825 (1973).

HISA-FM-643-78