1.	AD-A	56 232	MECI A NI MAY	HANICAL UMERICA 78 J MTI-	TECHN SOLU A MCCO 78TR52	OLOGY TION FOR	INC LAT	HAM N	Y ZED ELL	IPTICA	L CONTA N00014-	F	/G 20/: LAETO 083	11(U)	1-1-
		1 OF 2						-	aniine (A	The second secon			*
			And the second s							æ.					
								1							2
÷				Эф,	1	Ø			and the second s						
											남화 남남왕				S riterio
										1.1.1				./	





Mechanical Technology Incorporated

Research and Development Division 968 Albany-Shaker Road Latham, New York 12110 (518) 785-2211

1-----

1

1

]

]

]

 \Box

MTI 78TR52

A NUMERICAL SOLUTION FOR A GENERALIZED ELLIPTICAL CONTACT OF LAYERED ELASTIC SOLIDS

> Prepared By: J.A. McCormick

Prepared For: Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217

May 1978



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY INCORPORATED 968 Albany-Shaker Road Latham, New York 12110

78 07 06 034

	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
MTI-78TR52	(9)
4. TITLE (and Saturfile)	5 TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
A Numerical Solution for a Generalized	Final Report - 1978
Elliptical Contact of Layered Elastic	
Solids.	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)	B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S)
Taba A McConnetab	5 1 10001/ 72 6 6692
John A. McCormick	100014-72-0-0083
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
968 Albany-Shaker Rd.	
Latham, New York 12110	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	11 12 BEPORT DATE
Office of Naval Research	May 1078
Arlington, Virginia 22217	13 NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(il different from Controlling Offic	e) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of the report)
	Unclassified
	Unclassified
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen	t from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen	t from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	t from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	t from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	t from Report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	t from Report)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num 	t from Report) ber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact 	t from Report) ber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact Layered Elastic Solids 	t from Report) iber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact Layered Elastic Solids Elastomeric Compliant Bearing 	t from Report)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact Layered Elastic Solids Elastomeric Compliant Bearing 	t from Report) ber)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact Layered Elastic Solids Elastomeric Compliant Bearing 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbers 	t from Report) ber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation in the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered is a state of the abstract entered entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered enter	t from Report) (ber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact Layered Elastic Solids Elastomeric Compliant Bearing 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block numbers) 	t from Report) (ber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact Layered Elastic Solids Elastomeric Compliant Bearing 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and identify by block numb 	t from Report) (ber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation in the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered is a state of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered is a state of the abstract entered entered in Block 20, if differentiation is a state of the abstract entered ente	t from Report) (ber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact Layered Elastic Solids Elastomeric Compliant Bearing 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block numb 	t from Report) (ber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact Layered Elastic Solids Elastomeric Compliant Bearing 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block numb 	t from Report) (ber)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differen 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block num Elastic Contact Layered Elastic Solids Elastomeric Compliant Bearing 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb 	t from Report) (ber)

..

CONTENTS

I

I

I

and the second

-

ter al

I

I

[]

I

Π

Ι

		Page
	LIST OF FIGURES	vi
	ABSTRACT	viii
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	SOLUTION FOR SURFACE DISPLACEMENT DUE TO CONCENTRATED NORMAL FORCE	6
	2.1 Formulation of Boundary Value Problem	6 9
3.	ANALYTICAL FORMULATION OF INTEGRAL EQUATION FOR CONTACT PROBLEM	18
	 3.1 Surface Displacement Due to Non-Axisymmetric Pressure Distribution	18 18
4.	NUMERICAL FORMULATION	28
	4.1 Discretized Integral Equation and Method of Solution4.2 Evaluation of Influence Coefficients for Discretized	28 40
	Integral Equation	
	4.2.1 Formulation in Terms of Integral Functions 4.2.2 Evaluation of Integral Functions	40 47
	4.3 Computer Programs	54
5.	NUMERICAL RESULTS	55
	5.1 Test for Numerical Accuracy	55 61
6.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	88
	REFERENCES	91
	APPENDIX I - ANALYTICAL EVALUATION OF BASIC INTEGRALS	92
	APPENDIX II - SURFACE DISPLACEMENT DUE TO AXISYMMETRIC ELLIPSOIDAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION	97
	APPENDIX III - DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "HLIPRG"	99

LIST OF TABLES

hanned housed

Π

Π

.

.

	Pa	ge
Table 2-1	Simultaneous Equations in Constants for Hankel Transform Solution	4
Table 4-1	Influence Coefficients for Equation 4.9	7
Table 5-1	Comparison Between Numerical and Exact Solutions for Hertz Contact	7
Table 5-2	Comparison Between Numerical and Exact Solutions for Surface Displacements Due to Axisymmetric Ellipsoidal Pressure Distribution	9
Table 5-3	Comparison of Numerical Solutions for Axisymmetric Layered Contact with Results of Chen and Engel [4] 6	0

v

THE	White Seatting f	-
36	Butt Serting	5
BARNOUN		
USTIFICAT	101	_
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•
DISTRIBUT DISTRIBUT Dist.	TION / AVAILABILITY CODES AVAIL and/or SPECIAL	-
PISTRIBUT	TION/AVAILAŠILITY CODES AVAIL sud/or special	

BEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILM

CONTENTS (cont.)

APPENDIX IV - DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "PSOLV	".			Page 102
APPENDIX V - LISTING OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "PSOLV"				105
APPENDIX VI - LISTING OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "HIJPRG" .				129



.

iv

-

I

I

LIST OF FIGURES

I

1

Π

E

		Dee
Figure 2-1	Configuration for Concentrated Force Problem	Page 7
Figure 3-1	Typical Contact Pressure Distribution	19
Figure 3-2	Contact Between Elliptical Paraboloid and Layered Halfspace	20
Figure 4-1	Discretization of Contact Zone	29
Figure 4-2	Element of Linear Pressure Variation	30
Figure 4-3	Discretized Pressure Distribution	31
Figure 4-4	Elemental Region of Integration for Discretized Integral Equation	33
Figure 4-5	Classes of u-v Regions of Integration for Hankel Inver- sion Integrals	50
Figure 5-1	Axisymmetric Pressure Profiles, Material Combination (i)	62
Figure 5-2	Axisymmetric Pressure Profiles, Material Combination (ii)	63
Figure 5-3	Axisymmetric Pressure Profiles, Material Combination (iii)	64
Figure 5-4	Axisymmetric Pressure Profile Shapes, Material Combina- tion (i)	65
Figure 5-5	Axisymmetric Pressure Profile Shapes, Material Combina- tion (ii)	66
Figure 5-6	Axisymmetric Pressure Profile Shapes, Material Combina- tion (iii)	67
Figure 5-7	Major Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (i)	69
Figure 5-8	Major Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (ii)	70
Figure 5-9	Major Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (iii)	71
Figure 5-10	Minor Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (i)	72

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

]]

1

[]

1

Ţ

Figure 5-11	Minor Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva-	rage
	ture Ratio, Material Combination (ii)	73
Figure 5-12	Minor Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (iii)	74
Figure 5-13	Approach versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (i)	75
Figure 5-14	Approach versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (ii)	76
Figure 5-15	Approach versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (iii)	77
Figure 5-16	Control Pressure versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (i)	78
Figure 5-17	Central Pressure versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (ii)	79
Figure 5-18	Control Pressure versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (iii)	80
Figure 5-19	Ellipticity Ratio versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (i)	82
Figure 5-20	Ellipticity Ratio versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (ii)	83
Figure 5-21	Ellipticity Ratio versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curva- ture Ratio, Material Combination (iii)	84
Figure 5-22	Loading of Surface Layer by Edge of Crowned Disc	86
Figure I-1	Regions of Integration	93

viii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

-

1

1

1

This report was submitted by the author to the graduate faculty of Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute as a thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mechanics. Special thanks go out to Dr. Jed Walowit and Professor Frederick F. Ling for their generous technical guidance throughout the duration of the study. The assistance of Miss Tenley James of Mechanical Technology Incorporated in all facets of computer programming and operation was particularly valuable.

The investigation was performed for the office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-72-C-0083, with Mr. Stanley Doroff as project monitor.

ABSTRACT

A numerical method is developed to compute the pressure distribution and normal approach in a generalized elliptical contact between layered linearly elastic solids. The computed quantities are obtained as an approximate solution to an integral equation formulated for the most general case of Hertz's assumptions, i.e., the frictionless contact between arbitrary surfaces whose undeformed normal separation can be approximated by the separation between an elliptical paraboloid and the tangent plane at its vertex. The numerical method is based on a discretized representation of the unknown pressure distribution. Values of pressure are defined at points on a twodimensional rectilinear grid and a linear function approximates the pressure distribution between adjacent points. Expression of the integral equation at each grid point yields a system of linear equations in the discrete pressures, with coefficients formed from integrals of the kernel function over right triangular regions between adjacent points. The kernel, given by a Hankel transform inversion, is integrated numerically by Gaussian quadrature.

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

The method is applied to the contact between a homogeneous solid and a layered solid consisting of an isotropic surface layer of uniform thickness in perfect adhesian to an isotropic substrate. Comparisons with available solutions for the limiting cases of Hertz contact between homogeneous solids and axisymmetric contact of layered solids establish the accuracy of the numerical method. Solutions obtained for three sets of material properties over ranges of the contact zone ellipticity ratio and major halfwidth to layer

xi

thickness ratio are given as plots which show the major and minor halfwidths, approach, and central pressure as functions of layer thickness at fixed load and fixed principle curvature ratio of the parabolic separation. As the layer thickness decreases, the contact zone ellipticity ratio is found to increase for a high-modulus layer bonded to a low-modulus substrate and decrease for a low-modulus layer bonded to a high-modulus substrate. -

NOMENCLATURE

- a = major contact zone halfwidth (in.).
- a = major contact zone halfwidth for axisymmetric Hertz contact, defined in Equation 3.27 (in.).
- b = minor contact zone halfwidth (in.).
- d = normal approach (in.).

1

1

1

1

[]

Û

1

- d = normal approach for axisymmetric Hertz contact, defined in Equation 3.25 (in.).
- $E_1 = \text{elastic modulus of layer (lb/in.}^2)$.

 E_2 = elastic modulus of substrate (lb/in.²).

 E_2 = elastic modulus of homogeneous solid (lb/in.²).

F = magnitude of concentrated force (1b).

g_i (i = 1, ..., 6) = constants for Hankel transform solution, defined in Table 2-1.

G = Hankel transform of stress function, defined in Equation 2.6.

h = layer thickness (in.).

 J_{o} = Bessel function of the first kind of order zero.

 J_1 = Bessel function of the first kind of order one.

m(i) (i = 1, ..., n) = grid point number in n direction locating contact zone boundary.

n = number of grid points along major halfwidth.

N = total number of grid points in contact zone.

 $p = contact pressure (1b/in.^2)$.

 p^{o} = pressure at center of contact (1b/in.²).

 $p_0^{o} = \frac{\text{pressure at center of contact for axisymmetric Hertz contact, defined in Equation 3.26 (lb/in²).$

r = radial coordinate (in.).

R = major radius of curvature of separation profile (in.).

 $R_v = minor radius of curvature of separation profile (in.).$

u_r = radial (tangential) displacement (in.).

u = axial (normal) displacement (in.).

u = normal displacement at surface (in.).

 u_0 = dimensionless surface displacement (= $2R_x u_0/a^2$).

W = contact load (1b).

 \overline{W} = dimensionless contact load, defined in Equation 3.14.

x = coordinate parallel to major halfwidth (in.).

X = Love's stress function.

X = modified stress function (= X/h³).

y = coordinate parallel to minor halfwidth (in.).

z = axial (normal) coordinate

 α = major halfwidth to layer thickness ratio (= a/h).

 β = elastic property ratio between layer and homogeneous solid, defined in Equation 3.6.

 Γ = dimensionless normal approach, defined in Equation 3.9.

$$\varepsilon$$
 = curvature ratio (= R₁/R₁)¹.

 ζ = dimensionless coordinate (= z/h).

 r_1 = dimensionless coordinate (= y/a).

 λ = dimensionless coordinate (= r/a).

 v_1 = Poisson's ratio of layer.

 v_2 = Poisson's ratio of substrate.

 v_{3} = Poisson's ratio of homogeneous solid.

 ξ = dimensionless coordinate (= x/a).

 ρ = ellipticity ratio (= a/b).

 $\bar{\rho}$ = dimensionless coordinate (= r/h).

 τ_{rr} = radial normal stress (lb/in.²).

1 I 1 I 1 Û

 $τ_{zz} = axial normal stress (lb/in.²).$ $τ_{rz} = shear stress (lb/in.²).$ τ_{θθ} = circumferential normal stress (lb/in.²).φ = dimensionless pressure, defined in Equation 3.9.φ^o = dimensionless pressure at center of contact.φ_{i,j} (i = 1, ..., n; j = 1, ..., m(i)) = values of dimensionless pressureat grid points.ω = Hankel transform variable.Δξ = grid spacing in ξ direction (=1/(n-1)).

 $\Delta \eta$ = grid spacing in η direction (= $\Delta \xi/\rho$).

PART 1

INTRODUCTION

Hertz's classical solution [1-3]* for the contact stresses between deformable solids is frequently applied in the engineering analysis of load bearing mechanical elements such as ball and roller bearings, cams, and gears. Under Hertz's basic assumptions, the equations of linear elasticity apply, friction is absent, and each solid is treated as a halfspace under normal surface loading. In the contact zone, the relative normal surface displacement between the solids is prescribed by their deformation into contact. The contact pressure distribution, which is unknown, depends on this relative displacement through a singular integral equation whose kernel is the surface displacement of a halfspace due to a concentrated normal force. When the contact is between rounded surfaces over a small enough region, the undeformed normal separation can be approximated by a quadratic function; i.e., the separation is that between a plane and an elliptical paraboloid. The relative displacement profile in the contact zone is thus parabolic. For homogeneous isotropic solids, the pressure profile that satisfies the resulting integral equation was shown by Hertz [1-3] to be a semi-ellipsoid whose major to minor axis ratio in the surface of contact depends purely on the shape of the elliptical paraboloid defining the undeformed separation. The problem may be formulated in the same manner when one of the solids consists of an isotropic surface layer backed by an isotropic substrate of a different material. However, the integral equation will not have a simple analytical solution because the concentrated force solution for a layered halfspace is given by a Fourier or Hankel integral that requires numerical evaluation.

Current interest in the contact problem for layered solids follows from a need for analytical prediction of stresses and displacements in coated bearing elements. In some applications, a hard (high modulus) coating such as a ceramic may be used to increase the surface durability of an element; in others, a soft (low modulus) coating such as an

*Numbers in brackets denote references listed at the end of the report.

elastomer can protect elements that experience impact. Water-lubricated marine bearings and gas-lubricated directional control gyroscopes are subjects of current research that fall into the latter category. Both applications involve a bearing surface consisting of a thin compliant elastomeric layer bonded to a relatively rigid substrate. Contact pressure distributions must be determined before one can compute the subsurface stresses developed in the layer under concentrated contact. When there is a large enough difference in elastic properties between layer and substrate, the true contact pressures can differ significantly from those predicted by the Hertz solution.

To date, any layered contact solutions available in the literature are limited to plane strain and axisymmetry [4-8]. For plane strain, the undeformed separation is that between a plane and an infinitely long parabolic cylinder; the kernel is the solution for a line load. For axisymmetry, the undeformed separation is that between a plane and a paraboloid of revolution; the kernel is the solution for a ring load. Except for differences in the mathematical form of the kernel, the integral equations for the two cases are the same. The unknown pressure is one-dimensional and the same general strategies can be used to obtain approximate numerical solutions to both problems. There are no solutions in the literature for the general case of three-dimensional contact for layered solids, in which the undeformed separation is that between a plane and an elliptical paraboloid. Here the kernel is the solution for a point load, and the unknown pressure is two-dimensional. The aim of the present study is to solve this problem numerically by extending to two dimensions a method that has been used for plane and axisymmetric problems.

The literature up to 1972 on plane and axisymmetric layered contact is listed by Chen and Engel [4]. Of the works listed, only that of Tu [5] has direct bearing on the method used in the present study. In obtaining a numerical solution to the axisymmetric contact of a plate pressed between two spheres, Tu represents the contact pressure distribution by values defined on a discrete set of concentric circles with the pressure varying linearly in radius between adjacent circles. The analogous treatment for plane problems was recently put forth by Gupta and Walowit [6] who treat the plane strain contact between a homogeneous elastic solid and a layered elastic solid. The plane contact pressure distribution

H

is represented by values defined at a discrete set of points with the pressure varying linearly between adjacent points. In both cases, the exact displacement at each discrete point due to the approximate pressure distribution is obtained in terms of Fourier integrals and matched to the prescribed contact displacement to produce a set of linear equations in the unknown discrete pressure values.

Chen and Engel [4], in treating the axisymmetric contact between a rigid paraboloid and a layered elastic solid, represent the unknown pressure distribution p(r) as a truncated series of base pressures: $p_i(r) = A_i(1-r^2/a^2)^{i-1/2}$ (where A_i are undetermined coefficients and a is the contact radius). With exact surface displacements due to each base function computed from Fourier integrals, the coefficients A, are determined by matching computed displacements to prescribed contact displacements according to an integral-least square or collocation criterion. Methods similar to this one are applied in many of the earlier works cited by Chen and Engel. In some instances, the form of the base pressures follows from a power series approximation of the kernel function. Here the calculated surface displacement due to the approximate pressure distribution is also approximate. Wu and Ling [7] use this approach to obtain approximate solutions for the axisymmetric contact between a rigid paraboloid and an elastic plate resting on a rigid foundation.

Methods that involve series approximations of the kernel are difficult to implement when the layer is very thin relative to the contact zone dimension. The number of terms needed for convergence increases rapidly as the layer thickness decreases [5]. Also, in the case of a hard layer on a soft substrate, the pressure profile shape can depart significantly from the elliptical shape of the Hertz solution. Pressure profiles given in References [4] and [6] for this case show a peak near the edge of contact that becomes sharper as the layer thickness decreases. An unwieldy number of base functions is presumably required to represent such a profile accurately. The discrete pressure methods of Tu [5] and of Gupta and Walowit [6] do not suffer from these limitations though they do require more computational labor than methods based on series approximations. The kernel evaluation is exact and pressure irregularities can be handled by increasing the number of discrete points in troublesome regions.

In the present study, a natural extension of the above discrete pressure methods is applied to the general three-dimensional contact between a layered elastic solid and a homogeneous elastic solid. The layered solid consists of a single isotropic layer in perfect adherence to an isotropic substrate. Since the unknown pressure distribution depends on two space variables, it is represented by values defined at discrete points on a two-dimensional grid. Following Tu [5] and Gupta and Walowit [6], adjacent grid points delineate elements of linearly varying pressure, but this time in two dimensions. The basic contact zone division is a right triangle; the elemental pressure distribution is a plane. The point load solution for the kernel comes from a Hankel transform analysis similar to that of Lamb, Terezawa, and Sneddon [8]. As in the one-dimensional methods, the exact evaluation of the kernel makes possible the exact computation of surface displacements due to the approximate pressure distribution. The procedure of matching computed displacements to prescribed contact displacements at each grid point is then employed to get the desired system of linear equations in the unknown pressures. The contact zone boundary prescribed in setting up the problem is approximate since by definition it follows the grid lines that bound the outermost pressure elements. Moreover, the true boundary is an unknown in the problem because it depends on the mathematical form of the pressure distribution. Since the approximate boundary has to be specified to calculate the approximate pressure distribution, the best approximate boundary for a given grid size is determined by an iterative process.

4

Numerical solutions are presented for three distinct material combinations over ranges of the contact zone halfwidth to layer thickness ratio and the contact zone aspect or ellipticity ratio. A discrete boundary prescribed to represent an ellipse gave satisfactory results in all cases. Checks on the accuracy of the method include comparison of computed results with the Hertz solution [1-3] and numerical results of Chen and Engel [4]. The solutions are presented graphically in dimensionless form with major and minor halfwidths, relative approach, and central pressure shown at a fixed load as functions of layer thickness and ratio of the principal radii of curvature of the parabolic separation profile. The analytical foundations of the study are presented in Parts 2 and 3. Part 2 covers the Hankel transform analysis leading to the point load solution; Part 3 gives the derivation of the integral equation in dimensionless form. Details of the numerical solution procedure for the integral equation are given in Part 4, and Part 5 contains the numerical results. A simple example at the end of Part 5 demonstrates the application of the numerical results to an engineering problem.

5

[]

0

0

[

0

[]

0

0

[]

1

1

[]

PART 2

SOLUTION FOR SURFACE DISPLACEMENT DUE TO CONCENTRATED NORMAL FORCE

2.1 Formulation of Boundary Value Problem

Consider the layered elastic halfspace shown in Figure 2-1 consisting of an isotropic layer of thickness h with Young's Modulus and Poisson's Ratio E_1, v_1 , bonded uniformly to an infinite isotropic substrate of properties E_2, v_2 . The halfspace is described by the Cartesian coordinates x, y, z, and cylindrical coordinates r, θ , z, with the x-y and r- θ planes coinciding with the free surface, and with the z axis directed into the halfspace. In this section, an expression will be derived for the normal displacement at any point on the surface due to a concentrated force F acting normally inward at the origin. This solution will form the kernel of the integral equation for the contact problem to be dealt with subsequently.

In cylindrical coordinates r, z, begin with Love's stress function X for axisymmetric problems [2] satisfying

v ⁴ x	$= \nabla^2 (\nabla^2 \mathbf{X})$	= 0			
, 2	$= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial d^2} +$	$\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow +$	∂^2	}	(2.1)
	dr ²	r ðr	∂z^2	٦	

with stress components expressible in terms of X:

2

rr	=	$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\sqrt{\nabla^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \right) X$	
τ _{θθ}	=	$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ($v\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$) X	
τzz	=	$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} [(2 - v)\nabla^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}] X$	(2.2)
rz	=	$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \left[(1 - v) \nabla^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right] \mathbf{x}$	

 $\tau_{\mathbf{r}\theta} = \tau_{\theta \mathbf{z}} = 0$

and displacement components:

$$u_{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{1+\nu}{E} \quad \frac{\partial^{2} X}{\partial \mathbf{r} \partial z}$$
$$u_{\mathbf{z}} = -\frac{1+\nu}{E} \quad [2(1-\nu)\nabla^{2} - \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}] X$$

1 ĪÌ

(2.3)



It can be verified by direct substitution that Equations 2.3 satisfy the displacement form of the static equilibrium equations of isotropic linear elasticity when X satisfies Equations 2.1. By successive application of the strain-displacement and stress-strain relations, Equations 2.2 are obtained from Equations 2.3. B

0

1

The solution for a concentrated normal force will be obtained as the limit of the solution for an arbitrary axisymmetric compressive normal loading as the area over which it acts approaches zero while the total load remains finite. For the analysis which follows, it will be convenient to work with the coordinates z_1 , originating at z = 0 and z_2 originating at z = h, both in the z direction. Also, let the superscripts (1) and (2) denote quantities in the layer and substrate, respectively. The boundary conditions on the top surface for a normal loading p(r) with zero shear loading can now be expressed as:

For the case of perfect bonding which this analysis will be concerned with, the continuity conditions at the layer-substrate interface are:

$$\tau_{zz}^{(1)} (z_1 = h) = \tau_{zz}^{(2)} (z_2 = 0)$$

$$\tau_{rz}^{(1)} (z_1 = h) = \tau_{rz}^{(2)} (z_2 = 0)$$

$$u_r^{(1)} (z_1 = h) = u_r^{(2)} (z_2 = 0)$$

$$u_z^{(1)} (z_1 = h) = u_z^{(2)} (z_2 = 0)$$
(2.5)

In addition, the stress components must satisfy

 $\tau_{zz} \rightarrow 0 \qquad \text{as} \qquad z \rightarrow \infty$ $\tau_{rz} \rightarrow 0 \qquad \text{as} \qquad z, r \rightarrow \infty$ $\tau_{rr} \rightarrow 0 \qquad \text{as} \qquad r \rightarrow \infty$

in order that all surface tractions vanish at ∞.

8

τ

τ

2.2 Formal Solution by Integral Transforms

In many cases, partial differential equations can be reduced to ordinary ones through the use of integral transforms. For axisymmetric problems where the radial variable ranges from 0 to ∞ , the Hankel Transform is generally applied. The formulation used here is similar to those of Lamb, Terezawa, and Sneddon [8] in obtaining solutions for halfspace and plate problems with axial symmetry.

9

Let f(r) be a function which satisfies the sufficient conditions for representation by the Hankel Integral Formula [8]. Its Hankel Transform and inverse transform are given by

$$F(\omega) = \int_{O}^{\infty} rf(r) J_{O}(\omega r) dr$$

o
$$f(r) = \int_{O}^{\infty} \omega F(\omega) J_{O}(\omega r) d\omega$$

 $2 2 2^{2}$

1.

[]

1

[]

1

-

T

T

Before taking the Hankel Transforms of Equations 2.1 through 2.5, it will be helpful to rewrite them in terms of the dimensionless coordinates $\bar{\rho} = r/h$, $\zeta = z/h$, and the stress function $\hat{X} = X/h^3$ which has the dimension of stress. Equations 2.1 become

$$\nabla^{4} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \nabla^{2} (\nabla^{2} \hat{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$$

$$\nabla^{2} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \rho^{2}} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^{2}}$$

$$(2.1a)$$

with the stresses and displacement from Equations 2.2 and 2.3

$$\tau_{rr} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\sqrt{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho^2} \right) \mathbf{X}$$

$$\tau_{\theta\theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left(\sqrt{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{2}{\partial \rho} \right) \mathbf{\hat{X}}$$

$$\tau_{zz} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left[(2 - \sqrt{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta^2} \right] \mathbf{\hat{X}}$$

$$\tau_{rz} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[(1 - \sqrt{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta^2} \right] \mathbf{\hat{X}}$$

(2.2a)

The boundary and continuity conditions from Equations 2.4 and 2.5 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{zz}^{(1)} & (\zeta_{1} = 0) = -p(\overline{p}) \\ \tau_{rz}^{(1)} & (\zeta_{1} = 0) = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} (2.4a) \\ \tau_{zz}^{(1)} & (\zeta_{1} = 1) = \tau_{zz}^{(2)} & (\zeta_{2} = 0) \\ \tau_{rz}^{(1)} & (\zeta_{1} = 1) = \tau_{rz}^{(2)} & (\zeta_{2} = 0) \\ \tau_{rz}^{(1)} & (\zeta_{1} = 1) = \tau_{rz}^{(2)} & (\zeta_{2} = 0) \\ u_{r}^{(1)} & (\zeta_{1} = 1) = u_{r}^{(2)} & (\zeta_{2} = 0) \\ u_{z}^{(1)} & (\zeta_{1} = 1) = u_{z}^{(2)} & (\zeta_{2} = 0) \end{aligned}$$

with ζ_1 and ζ_2 defined analogously to z_1 and z_2 .

Denoting the Hankel Transform of $X(\rho,\zeta)$ by

$$G(\omega,\zeta) = \int \rho \hat{X}(\rho,\zeta) J_{\rho}(\rho\omega) d\rho$$

and taking the transform of Equations 2.1a, one obtains

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\zeta^2}-\omega^2\right)^2\mathrm{G}=0$$

which has the general solution

$$G = (A + B\zeta)e^{-\omega\zeta} + (C + D\zeta)e^{\omega\zeta}$$
(2.6)

where the constant coefficients, A, B, C, and D, will generally depend on ω . Taking the Hankel transforms of Equations 2.2a and 2.3a, and then inverting, one obtains the following expressions for the stresses and displacements in terms of G.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathbf{rr}} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega J_{0}(\overline{\rho}\omega) \left[v \frac{d^{3}G}{d\zeta^{3}} + (1-v) \omega^{2} \frac{dG}{d\zeta} \right] d\omega - \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} J_{1}(\overline{\rho}\omega) \frac{dG}{d\zeta} d\omega \\ \tau_{\theta\theta} &v \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega J_{0}(\overline{\rho}\omega) \left[\frac{d^{3}G}{d\zeta^{3}} - \omega^{2} \frac{dG}{d\zeta} \right] d\omega + \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} J_{1}(\overline{\rho}\omega) \frac{dG}{d\zeta} d\omega \\ \tau_{zz} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega J_{0}(\overline{\rho}\omega) \left[(1-v) \frac{d^{3}G}{d\zeta^{3}} - (2-v) \omega^{2} \frac{dG}{d\zeta} \right] d\omega \end{aligned}$$
(2.7)
$$\tau_{\mathbf{rz}} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} J_{1}(\overline{\rho}\omega) \left[v \frac{d^{2}G}{d\zeta^{2}} + (1-v) \omega^{2} G \right] d\omega \\ u_{\mathbf{r}} &= \frac{h(1+v)}{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} J_{1}(\overline{\rho}\omega) \frac{dG}{d\zeta} d\omega \\ u_{z} &= \frac{h(1+v)}{E} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega J_{0}(\overline{\rho}\omega) \left[(1-2v) \frac{d^{2}G}{d\zeta^{2}} - 2(1-v) \omega^{2} G \right] d\omega \end{aligned}$$

0

[]

E

0

0

0

[]

0

Π

[]

The function G will take the following forms in the layer and substrate, respectively:

$$G^{(1)} = (A_1 + B_1 \zeta_1) e^{-\omega \zeta_1} + (C_1 + D_1 \zeta_1) e^{\omega \zeta_1} , \quad 0 \le \zeta_1 \le 1$$

$$G^{(2)} = (A_2 + B_2 \zeta_2) e^{-\omega \zeta_2} + (C_2 + D_2 \zeta_2) e^{\omega \zeta_2} , \quad 0 \le \zeta_2 \le \infty$$

$$(2.8)$$

In order for the tractions to vanish as $\zeta_2 \neq \infty$, $C_2 = D_2 = 0$. The remaining six constants are obtained from the two boundary and four continuity conditions in Equations 2.4a and 2.5a. Using Equations 2.7 to express these conditions in terms of G gives:

$$\left[(1-\nu_{1}) \frac{d^{3}G^{(1)}}{d\zeta_{1}^{3}} - (2-\nu_{1}) \omega^{2} \frac{dG^{(1)}}{d\zeta_{1}} \right]_{\zeta_{1}=0} = -P(\omega)$$

$$\left[\nu_{1} \frac{d^{2}G^{(1)}}{d\zeta_{1}^{2}} + (1-\nu_{1}) \omega^{2}G^{(1)} \right]_{\zeta_{1}=0} = 0$$

$$(2.9)$$

$$[(1-v_1) \frac{d^3 g^{(1)}}{d\zeta_1^3} - (2-v_1)\omega^2 \frac{d G^{(1)}}{d\zeta_1}]_{\zeta_1=1} = [(1-v_2) \frac{d^3 g^{(2)}}{d\zeta_2^3} - (2-v_2)\omega^2 \frac{d G^{(2)}}{d\zeta_2}]_{\zeta_2=0}$$

$$\left[\nu_{1}\frac{d^{2}G^{(1)}}{d\zeta_{1}^{2}} + (1-\nu_{1})\omega^{2}G^{(1)}\right]_{\zeta_{1}=1} = \left[\nu_{2}\frac{d^{2}G^{(2)}}{d\zeta_{2}^{2}} + (1-\nu_{2})\omega^{2}G^{(2)}\right]_{\zeta_{2}=0}$$

$$\frac{1+\nu_1}{E_1} \left\{ \frac{dG^{(1)}}{d\zeta_1} \right\}_{\zeta_1=1} = \frac{1+\nu_2}{E_2} \left[\frac{dG^{(2)}}{d\zeta_2} \right]_{\zeta_2=0}$$
(2.9)
(Cont'd.)

3

Π

0

$$\frac{1+\nu_{1}}{E_{1}} \left[(1-2\nu_{1}) \frac{d^{2}G^{(1)}}{d\zeta_{1}^{2}} - 2(1-\nu_{1})\omega^{2}G^{(1)} \right]_{\zeta_{1}=1} = \frac{1+\nu_{2}}{E_{2}} \left[(1-2\nu_{2}) \frac{d^{2}G^{(2)}}{d\zeta_{2}^{2}} - 2(1-\nu_{2})\omega^{2}G^{(2)} \right]_{\zeta_{2}=0}$$

where $P(\omega)$ is the Hankel transform of the normal loading $p(\rho)$:

$$P(\omega) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{\rho p(\rho)} J_{0}(\overline{\rho}\omega) d\overline{\rho}$$
(2.10)

Substituting from Equations 2.8 into Equations 2.9 yields six simultaneous equations in the six unknown constants A_1 , B_1 , C_1 , D_1 , A_2 , and B_2 . It is convenient to express the unknown constants as the quantities:

$$g_{1} = -A_{1} [\omega^{3}/P(\omega)]$$

$$g_{2} = -B_{1} [\omega^{2}/P(\omega)]$$

$$g_{3} = -C_{1} [\omega^{3}/P(\omega)]$$

$$g_{4} = -D_{1} [\omega^{2}/P(\omega)]$$

$$g_{5} = -A_{2} [\omega^{3}/P(\omega)]$$

$$g_{6} = -B_{2} [\omega^{2}/P(\omega)]$$
(2.11)

which turn out to depend only on ω , v_1, v_2 , and E_1/E_2 . Table 2-1 gives the resulting simultaneous equations in g_1 through g_6 in matrix form.

At this point, Equations 2.7, 2.8, 2.10, and 2.11, and Table 2-1 could be applied to compute the stresses and displacements at any point in the halfspace due to the normal surface loading $p(\bar{\rho})$. However, the only quantity needed to formulate the contact problem is the normal surface displacement $u_z^{(1)}$ ($\zeta_1 = 0$) which will be denoted by u_o . Substituting from the first of Equations 2.8 into the sixth of Equations 2.7, setting $\zeta_1 = 0$, and using Equations 2.11 and the second equation in Table 2-1 to simplify the result, the following expression is obtained:

$$u_{o}(\overline{\rho}) = \frac{2h(1-v_{1}^{2})}{E_{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} [g_{2}(\omega)-g_{4}(\omega)]P(\omega)J_{o}(\overline{\rho}\omega)d\omega \qquad (2.12)$$

To obtain the specific form of $P(\omega)$ for a concentrated normal force F at the origin, begin with the following loading:

$$p(\overline{\rho}) = \begin{cases} F/\pi a^2 & \overline{\rho} \le a/h \\ 0 & \overline{\rho} > a/h \end{cases}$$

Equation 2.10 gives

$$P(\omega) = \frac{F}{\pi h^2} - \frac{J_1(a\omega/h)}{(a\omega/h)}$$

for the Hankel transform of this normal loading. The limit of this expression as $a \rightarrow 0$ is

$$P(\omega) = \frac{F}{2\pi h^2}$$

the Hankel transform of a normal loading consisting of a concentrated force of magnitude F at the origin. Setting F = 1, write Equation 2.12 for this particular $P(\omega)$. The desired end result, the surface displacement due to a unit concentrated force, is now obtained. Denoting it by δ_{α} , one has:

0 0	g ₁ 1
0 0	g ₂ 0
$e^{2\omega}$ $-e^{\omega}$ $-n_2$	e^{ω} $g_3 = 0$
$)e^{2\omega} -e^{\omega} 2v_2$	e ^ω g ₄ 0
$e^{2\omega}$ γe^{ω} $-\gamma$	e ^ω g ₅ 0
$)e^{2\omega} -\gamma e^{\omega} -2$	$g_{n_2}e^{\omega}$ g_6 0
	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ e^{2\omega} & -e^{\omega} & -n_2 \\ e^{2\omega} & -e^{\omega} & 2\nu_2 \\ e^{2\omega} & \gamma e^{\omega} & -\gamma \\ e^{2\omega} & \gamma e^{\omega} & -\gamma \end{array}$

 $n_1 = 1 - 2v_1$

 $n_2 = 1 - 2v_2$

 $\gamma = \frac{E_1}{E_2} \left(\frac{1 + v_2}{1 + v_1} \right)$

 TABLE 2-1

 SIMULTANEOUS EQUATIONS IN CONSTANTS FOR HANKEL TRANSFORM SOLUTION

0

1

1

$$\delta_{o}(\overline{\rho}) = \frac{1 - v_{1}^{2}}{\pi E_{1}h} \int_{0}^{\infty} [g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega)] J_{o}(\overline{\rho}s) d\omega \qquad (2.13)$$

To obtain algebraic solutions for g_2 and g_4 from the 6 x 6 system in Table 2-1, first solve the third and fourth equations for $e^{\omega}g_5$ and $e^{\omega}g_6$ and use the resulting expressions to eliminate g_5 and g_6 from the fifth and sixth equations. Then solve the first and second equations for g_1 and g_3 , and use the resulting expressions to eliminate g_1 and g_3 from the modified fifth and sixth equations. The resultant form of the fifth and sixth equations may now be solved for g_2 and g_4 to yield:

20

$$g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) = \frac{k_{1}(\ell_{21} + \ell_{22}) - k_{2}(\ell_{11} + \ell_{12})}{\ell_{11}\ell_{22} - \ell_{12}\ell_{21}}$$
(2.14)

where

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{11} &= 3 - 4\nu_{1} - \gamma + (1+\gamma)e^{-2} \\ \ell_{12} &= (1+\overline{\gamma})2\omega e^{2\omega} \\ \ell_{21} &= (1-\gamma)(3-4\nu_{1}-2\omega) + 2\gamma(2\nu_{2}-2\nu_{1}) + (1+\overline{\gamma})e^{2\omega} \\ \ell_{22} &= 1 - \gamma + e^{2\omega} \left[2 + 2\gamma(2\nu_{2}n_{1}+2\nu_{1}n_{2}+4\nu_{1}-1) - (1+\overline{\gamma})(4\nu_{1}-1-2\omega)\right] \\ \ell_{1} &= (1+\overline{\gamma})e^{2\omega} \\ k_{2} &= (1-\gamma) + (1+\overline{\gamma})e^{2\omega} \end{aligned}$$
(2.15)

with

 $n_{1} = 1 - 2v_{1} ; \qquad n_{2} = 1 - 2v_{2}$ $\gamma = \frac{E_{1}}{E_{2}} \left(\frac{1 + v_{2}}{1 + v_{1}} \right) ; \qquad \overline{\gamma} = \gamma (3 - 4v_{2})$ (2.16)

It is easily seen that for large ω :

$$g_2(\omega) - g_4(\omega) = 1 + 0(\omega^2 e^{-2\omega})$$
 (2.17)

This result permits recasting of the improper integral in Equation 2.13 to facilitate numerical evaluation. Add and subtract $J_0(\bar{\rho}\omega)$ inside the integral and recall the basic result

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}(\overline{\rho}\omega) d\omega = \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}$$

to obtain

$$\delta_{o}(\overline{\rho}) = \frac{1 - v_{1}^{2}}{\pi E_{1}h} \begin{bmatrix} \infty \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1] J_{o}(\overline{\rho}\omega) d\omega + \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.18)

The $1/\overline{\rho}$ term is the surface displacement for a homogeneous halfspace of properties E_1 , v_1 , and the integral contains the effect of layering. (Note from Equations 2.15 through 2.17 that $g_2(\omega)$ $g_4(\omega) - 1 = 0$ for all ω when $E_1/E_2 = 1$ and $v_1 = v_2$.) It is clear from Equation 2.17 that the improper integral in Equation 2.18 converges like $\omega^2 e^{-2\omega}$. Thus, numerical evaluation to high accuracy is possible using an upper limit ω_0 of moderate magnitude. The error is given by:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} [g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1] J_{0}(\overline{\rho}\omega) d\omega = 0 (\omega_{0}^{2} e^{-2\omega_{0}})$$
(2.19)

In this study, ω_0 was assigned a value of 10, leaving an error of the order of 10^{-7} .

In terms of the dimensionless Cartesian coordinates:

$$\xi = x/a$$

$$r_1 = y/a$$
(2.20)

where a will be a characteristic length for the contact problem, Equation 2.14 may be expressed as

$$\delta_{0}(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1-\nu_{1}^{2}}{\pi E_{1}a} \alpha K'(\alpha\xi,\alpha\eta)$$
 (2.21)

where

$$K'(\xi,\eta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} [g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1] J_{0}(\omega\sqrt{\xi^{2} + \eta^{2}}) d\omega + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi^{2} + \eta^{2}}} (2.22)$$

and

 $\alpha = a/h$

.23)

1

)

For notational simplicity, define:

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}}'(\xi,\eta) = \alpha \mathbf{K}'(\alpha\xi,\alpha\eta) \tag{2.24}$$

to give δ the form:

0

1

1

1

$$\delta_{0}(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1 - v_{1}^{2}}{\pi E_{1} a} \quad \vec{K}'(\xi,\eta)$$
(2.25)

Equation 2.25 gives the normal surface displacement at ξ,η due to a unit concentrated normal force at the origin. For the same force positioned at ξ',η' , the displacement at ξ,η is simply:

$$\delta_{0}(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1 - v_{1}^{2}}{\pi E_{1}a} \quad \overline{K}' \quad (\xi - \xi', \eta - \eta') \quad (2.26)$$

This relation will be used in Section 3.1 to obtain the displacement due to a non-axisymmetric pressure distribution, with the function \overline{K} ' forming a portion of the kernal of the integral equation for the contact problem.

It is important to note from Equations 2.20 and 2.23 that the function \overline{K} ' is singular when its arguments, the ξ and η positions of the point in question with respect to the position of the concentrated force, vanish. The singularity is isolated to the inverse square root term which was subtracted from the Hankel inversion integral in order to form an integrand which would die out exponentially for large ω . The integral, as a result, is fully convergent for all values of the arguments of \overline{K} '. Letting R be the distance between the concentrated force and the point in question, the singular term is 1/R. This is an integrable singularity in the sense that the singularity vanishes when Equation 2.24 is integrated to obtain the displacement due to a continuous pressure distribution over a finite region.

PART 3

ANALYTICAL FORMULATION OF INTEGRAL EQUATION FOR CONTACT PROBLEM

1

3.1 Surface Displacement Due to Non-Axisymmetric Pressure Distribution

To formulate the contact problem, an expression is needed for the normal surface displacements of a layered halfspace due to a pressure distribution such as that shown in Figure 3-1. The pressure is symmetric about the x and y axes and is bounded by a closed curve of the same symmetry with vertices at $(\pm a, 0)$ and $(o, \pm b)$. The pressure vanishes everywhere on and outside the bounding curve.

Using the dimensionless coordinates $\xi = x/a$ and $\eta = y/a$ defined previously and letting $\eta = \pm f(\xi)$, (with $f(-\xi) = f(\xi)$, f(0) = b/a, and $f(\pm 1) = 0$), denote the upper and lower portions of the bounding curve. Equation 2.26 can be used to set-up the following integral expression for the normal surface displacement $u_0(\xi,\eta)$ due to the pressure distribution in Figure 3-1:

$$u_{0}(\xi,\eta) = \frac{a(1-v_{1}^{2})}{\pi E_{1}} \frac{1}{\int} \frac{f(\xi')}{\int} p(\xi',\eta')\overline{K}'(\xi-\xi',\eta-\eta')d\eta'd\xi' \qquad (3.1)$$

which is the continuous sum over the pressure distribution of the displacements due to concentrated forces at (ξ',n') of magnitude $p(\xi',n')a^2dn'd\xi'$. As discussed at the end of Section 2.2, $u_0(\xi,n)$ is bounded and continuous everywhere despite the singularity of \overline{K}' at $(\xi,n) = (\xi',n')$. Of course the pressure $p(\xi',n')$ must be continuous and sufficiently smooth.

3.2 Derivation of Integral Equation

Under the classical Hertzian assumptions [1-3], the problem of contact between two elastic solids can be reduced to a consideration of the contact between an elliptical paraboloid and a halfspace. Figure 3-2 shows the situation of interest here in which the paraboloid is a homogeneous solid of properties E_3, v_3 , and the halfspace is the layered solid analyzed in Part 2. The load direction, parabolic axis of the paraboloid, and z axis of the layered halfspace are coincident. The x and y axes on the surface of the layered halfspace coincide,




respectively, with the major and minor elliptical axes of the paraboloid. In the unstressed state, the two solids are in point contact at the origin with the initial normal separation given by

$$U_{i} = \frac{x^{2}}{2R_{x}} + \frac{y^{2}}{2R_{y}}$$

where R_x and R_y are the radii of curvature at the vertex of the undeformed paraboloid in the x-z and y-z planes, respectively. When the solids are pressed together under a load W, the separation at any point x,y is decreased by the normal approach between the two solids and increased by the sum of their local normally inward surface displacements. Thus, the final separation under load is

$$U_f = U_i - d + u_o^I + u_o^{II}$$

0

[]

0

0

0

[]

1

where d is the normal approach; u_0^{I} and u_0^{II} are the surface displacements of the halfspace and paraboloid, respectively. The two solids will be in contact over a finite region centered at the origin in which $U_f = 0$, forcing the surface displacement to satisfy:

$$u_{o}^{I} + u_{o}^{II} = d - \frac{x^{2}}{2R_{x}} - \frac{y^{2}}{2R_{y}}$$
 (3.2)

The load W is transmitted through the contact zone by a pressure distribution p(x,y) as shown in Figure 3-1 which acts normally inward on the surface of each solid, vanishing on and outside the contact zone boundary. As in the statement of the classical Hertz problem, no shear stress is transmitted between the contacting solids.

Equation 3.1 holds exactly as written for u_0^I , where $p(\xi,\eta)$ is the contact pressure distribution; $\eta = \pm f(\xi)$ is the contact zone boundary, and a and b are the major and minor contact zone halfwidths. The corresponding surface displacement of the homogeneous solid is given by:

$$u_{0}^{II}(\xi,\eta) = \frac{a(1-v_{3}^{2})}{\pi E_{3}} \int_{-1}^{1} f(\xi') \frac{p(\xi',\eta')}{\sqrt{(\xi-\xi')^{2} + (\eta-\eta')^{2}}} d\eta' d\xi'$$
(3.3)

Adding Equation 3.3 to Equation 3.1 for u_0^{I} yields:

$$u_{0}^{I}(\xi,\eta) + u_{0}^{II}(\xi,\eta) = \frac{a(1-\nu_{1}^{2})}{\pi E_{1}} \int f(\xi') p(\xi',\eta')\overline{K}(\xi-\xi',\eta-\eta') d\eta' d\xi'$$
(3.4)

where the function \overline{K} is defined in terms of a basic kernal function $K(\xi,\eta)$:

$$K(\xi,n) = \int_{0}^{\infty} [g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1] J_{0}(\omega \sqrt{\xi^{2} + n^{2}}) d\omega + \frac{1 + \beta}{\sqrt{\xi^{2} + n^{2}}}$$
(3.5)

with

$$= \frac{E_1}{E_3} \left(\frac{1 - v_3^2}{1 - v_1^2} \right)$$
(3.6)

according to:

β

$$K(\xi,\eta) = \alpha K(\alpha\xi,\alpha\eta) \tag{3.7}$$

Approximating the infinite integral in ω as discussed in Section 2.2, the form of $K(\xi, \eta)$ to be used in the subsequent numerical analysis is:

$$K(\xi,\eta) \approx \int_{0}^{\omega_{0}} [g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1] J_{0}(\omega \sqrt{\xi^{2} + \eta^{2}}) d\omega + \frac{1 + \beta}{\sqrt{\xi^{2} + \eta^{2}}}$$
(3.8)

with an error of order $\omega_0^2 e^{-2\omega_0}$ associated with this approximation.

By combining Equation 3.1 with Equation 3.4 and defining the dimensionless quantities:

$$\Gamma = 2R_{x}d/a^{2}$$

$$\varepsilon = R_{x}/R_{y}$$

$$\phi = \frac{2R_{x}(1-v_{1}^{2})p}{\pi E_{1}a}$$

(3.9)

17

Π

1

one obtains the integral equation

$$\Gamma - \xi^{2} - \epsilon \eta^{2} = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{f(\xi')} \phi(\xi', \eta') \overline{K}(\xi - \xi', \eta - \eta') d\eta' d\xi'$$
(3.10)

which holds for all ξ and η within the contact zone. Outside the contact zone and along its boundary, $\phi(\xi,\eta) = 0$.

Taking advantage of the symmetry of the problem, Equation 3.10 can be written as an integral over the first quadrant of the contact zone:

$$\Gamma - \xi^{2} - \epsilon \eta^{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{f(\xi')} \phi(\xi', \eta') Q(\xi, \eta; \xi', \eta') d\eta' d\xi'$$
(3.11)

where

$$Q(\xi,\eta;\xi',\eta') = \overline{K} (\xi-\xi',\eta-\eta') + \overline{K} (\xi+\xi',\eta-\eta') + \overline{K} (\xi-\xi',\eta+\eta') + \overline{K} (\xi+\xi',\eta+\eta')$$
(3.12)

A dimensionless contact load \overline{W} may be obtained from the integral of $\phi(\xi,\eta)$ over the contact zone:

$$\overline{W} = 4 \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{f(\xi)} \phi(\xi, \eta) d\eta d\xi$$
(3.13)

Taking the expression for the actual contact load W:

and writing p, x, and y in terms of ϕ , ξ , and η , respectively, gives the definition of \overline{W} as:

$$\overline{W} = \frac{2R_{x}(1-v_{1}^{2})W}{\pi E_{1}a^{3}}$$
(3.14)

This study will be concerned with numerically solving a discretized form of Equation 3.11 to obtain approximate distributions of $\phi(\xi, \eta)$ along with Γ , ε , and \overline{W} for various layered contact configurations. Before proceeding with the numerical formulation, it is of some value to consider the classical Hertzian solution as a closed form analytical solution to Equation 3.11 and making use of that solution to define some dimensionless variables more amenable to direct physical interpretation than ϕ , \overline{W} , and Γ .

The problem at hand reduces to the classical Hertzian contact problem for homogeneous elastic solids when one sets $E_1/E_2 = 1$ and $v_1 = v_2$ in Equations 2.14 through 2.16 for $[g_2(\omega) - g_4(\omega)]$. It is easily verified that under these conditions $g_2(\omega) - g_4(\omega) = 1$ for all ω , so that the Hankel inversion integral in Equation 3.5 for K(ξ , η) vanishes identically, causing Equation 3.10 to reduce to:

$$\Gamma - \xi^{2} - \epsilon \eta^{2} = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(\xi')}{f} \frac{(1+\beta) \phi(\xi', \eta') d\eta' d\xi'}{\sqrt{(\xi-\xi')^{2} + (\eta-\eta')^{2}}}$$
(3.15)

R

]]

[]

1

]]

1

1

This is the integral equation solved analytically by Hertz [1], with the well known result consisting of an ellipsoidal pressure distribution and elliptical contact zone boundary:

$$\phi(\xi,\eta) = \phi^{\circ} \sqrt{1 - \xi^{2} - \rho^{2} \eta^{2}}$$

$$f(\xi) = \frac{1}{\rho} \sqrt{1 - \xi^{2}}$$
(3.16)

where

$$\rho \equiv a/b \tag{3.17}$$

Due to the ellipsoidal form of ϕ :

$$\overline{W} = \frac{2\pi\phi^{0}}{3\rho}$$
(3.18)

The constants ε , Γ , and ϕ^{0} are given in terms of complete elliptic integrals whose arguments depend on ρ :

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\rho^2 E(\mu) - K(\mu)}{K(\mu) - E(\mu)}$$
(3.19)

$$\Gamma = \frac{\mu K(\mu)}{K(\mu) - E(\mu)}$$
(3.20)

$$\phi^{O} = \frac{\rho \mu}{\pi (1+\beta) [K(\mu) - E(\mu)]}$$
(3.21)

where

$$\mu \equiv \frac{\rho^2 - 1}{\rho^2} , \quad \rho \ge 1$$
(3.22)

 $K(\mu)$ and $E(\mu)$ are complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, respectively, defined by:

$$K(\mu) = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} (1 - \mu \sin^{2}\theta)^{-1/2} d\theta$$

$$E(\mu) = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} (1 - \mu \sin^{2}\theta)^{1/2} d\theta$$
(3.23)

Tables and polynomial approximations of these functions are found in Reference [9].

For the axisymmetric case, the contact zone is circular and ρ = 1. The constants reduce to:

$$\varepsilon = 1$$

$$\Gamma = 2$$

$$\phi^{O} = \frac{4}{\pi^{2}(1+\beta)}$$

$$\overline{W} = \frac{8}{3\pi(1+\beta)}$$

In most physical situations to which contact theory can usefully be applied, the load W and curvature ratio ε are known. Typically, one wishes to determine the normal pressures p(x,y), major and minor halfwidths a,b, and approach d. Denoting by $p_0(x,y)$, a_0 , and d_0 , the

(3.24)

values of these quantities for the case of an axisymmetric Hertzian contact, where the layered halfspace shown in Figure 3-2 is homogeneous in the layer properties E_1 , v_1 , the second, third, and fourth of Equation 3.24 may be equated, respectively, to the first and third of Equation 3.9 and Equation 3.14 to give:

$$d_{0} = \frac{a_{0}^{2}}{R_{x}}$$
 (3.25)

$$p_{o}^{0} \equiv p_{o}(0,0) = \frac{2a_{o}E_{1}}{\pi R_{x}(1+\beta)(1-v_{1}^{2})}$$
(3.26)

$$a_{o} = \left[\frac{3R_{x}W(1+\beta)(1-\nu_{1}^{2})}{4E_{1}}\right]^{1/3}$$
(3.27)

These three equations can be combined, respectively, with the first and third of Equation 3.9 and Equation 3.14 to yield the dimensionless quantities:

$$d/d_{o} = \frac{1}{2} (a/a_{o})^{2} \Gamma$$
 (3.28)

$$p/p_{0}^{0} = \frac{1}{4} \pi^{2} (1+\beta) (a/a_{0}) \phi$$
(3.29)

$$a/a_{o} = 2 \left[3\pi (1+\beta)\overline{W} \right]^{-1/3}$$
(3.30)

In addition, a dimensionless minor halfwidth and layer thickness are clearly given by:

$$b/a_{o} = \frac{a/a_{o}}{\rho}$$
(3.31)

$$a_{o}/h = \frac{\alpha}{a/a_{o}}$$
(3.32)

Through the direct mathematical solution to Equations 3.11 and 3.13, one obtains the dependent variables of the problem: $\phi(\xi,\eta)$, \overline{W} , Γ , and ε , as functions of the independent variables: E_1/E_2 , E_1/E_3 , v_1 , v_2 , v_3 , α , and ρ . However, since the quantities a_0 , d_0 , and p_0^0 are functions of known physical variables through Equations 3.25 through 3.27, Equations 3.28 through 3.32 provide a useful physical interpretation of this solution, whereby the dependent variables are taken as p/p_0^0 , a/a_0 ,

b/a_o, and d/d_o, with the independent variables E_1/E_2 , E_1/E_3 , v_1 , v_2 , v_3 , a_0/h , and ε .

When the solution is viewed in this manner, all of the independent variables are functions of generally known physical parameters: W, h, R_x , R_y , E_1 , E_2 , E_3 , v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 . The dependent variables consist of the unknowns: p, a, b, and d, each scaled on a function of the known physical parameters. Unfortunately, a_0 /h and ε , while independent variables physically, are dependent mathematically. Thus, to obtain a solution for particular values of a_0 /h and ε , one must perform an interpolation of solutions to Equation 3.11 over ranges of the mathematically independent variables α and ρ .

Equations 3.18 through 3.21 may be combined with Equations 3.28 through 3.31 to yield for elliptical Hertzian contact:

3

$$\frac{a}{a_{o}} = \left[\frac{4}{\pi} \left(\frac{K(\mu) - E(\mu)}{\mu}\right)\right]^{1/2}$$
$$\frac{d}{d_{o}} = -\frac{\mu K(\mu)}{8} \left(\frac{a}{a_{o}}\right)^{-1}$$
$$\frac{p_{o}^{o}}{p_{o}^{o}} = \rho \left(\frac{a}{a_{o}}\right)^{-2}$$

(3.33)

For this case, Equation 3.19, together with Equations 3.22 and 3.33, must be solved iteratively to determine ρ given a value of ε . The desired quantities are then determined directly from Equations 3.33 and 3.31.

PART 4

NUMERICAL FORMULATION

4.1 Discretized Integral Equation and Method of Solution

Equation 3.11, which is the integral equation to be solved for the contact pressure distribution $\phi(\xi,\eta)$, is discretized by dividing the first quadrant of the contact zone into a rectangular mesh as shown in Figure 4-1 with n-1 uniform divisions between $\xi = 0$ and $\xi = 1$, and the same number of uniform divisions between $\eta = 0$ and $\eta = b/a$. The mesh point positions are denoted by (ξ_i, η_j) , i = 1...n; j = 1...m(i), with $\eta_{m(i)}$ locating the mesh point at the discretized boundary for each ξ_i . The quantities $\Delta \xi$ and $\Delta \eta$ denote the respective lengths of the ξ and η divisions, with:

$$\Delta \xi = \frac{1}{n-1}$$

$$\Delta \eta = (b/a) \Delta \xi$$

The contact pressure distribution is modeled by assigning a value of ϕ at each mesh point:

(4.1)

with ϕ varying linearly in ξ and η between adjacent mesh points. This linear variation is achieved over each rectangular element bounded by adjacent horizontal and vertical mesh lines by dividing the element along a diagonal into two right triangular segments over which the distribution of ϕ is planar. This is shown in Figure 4-2 for a single element, and Figure 4-3 shows an example of a complete pressure distribution comprised of such elements. Referring to the orientation of Figure 4-1, the dividing diagonals connect the mesh points at the upper-left and lower-right corners of each element. Alternatively, dividing diagonals connecting mesh points at the lowerleft and upper-right corners of each element could just as easily have been used, but were ruled out because they appeared less convenient for modeling a contact zone boundary of the general shape shown in Figure 4-1.



Fig. 4-1 Discretization of Contact Zone





The discretized form of Equation 3.11 may now be written for the sum of the normal displacements of the two solids at each contact zone mesh point by expressing the area integral over the contact zone as the sum of the area integrals over the component elements of linear pressure variation. Figure 4-4 shows the (i,j) elemental rectangular region of integration. The linear pressure distributions over the right-triangular subdivisions labeled "L" and "U" are:

$$\phi_{i,j}^{L} (\xi',n') = \{\phi_{i,j}[\xi'_{i+1}-\xi'+\rho(n'-n'_{j})] + \phi_{i,j+1}\rho(n'-n'_{j})\} / \Delta \xi$$

$$+ \phi_{i+1,j}(\xi'-\xi'_{i}) + \phi_{i,j+1}\rho(n'-n'_{j})\} / \Delta \xi$$

$$\phi_{i,j}^{U} (\xi',n') = \{\phi_{i+1,j+1}[\xi'-\xi'_{i} + \rho(n'_{j+1}-n')] + \phi_{i,j+1}(\xi'_{i+1} - \xi')\} / \Delta \xi$$

$$+ \phi_{i+1,j}\rho(n'_{j+1}-n') + \phi_{i,j+1}(\xi'_{i+1} - \xi')\} / \Delta \xi$$

$$(4.2)$$

where

$$\rho \equiv \Delta \xi / \Delta \eta = \mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b} \tag{4.3}$$

with the resultant discretized integral equation written for mesh points (ξ_1, η_j) :

$$\begin{split} \Gamma &= \xi_{I}^{2} - \varepsilon n_{J}^{2} \\ &= \frac{n-1}{2} \frac{m(i)-1}{i=1} \frac{n'j+1}{j} \frac{\xi'i^{+\rho}(n'j+1^{-n'})}{\int Q(\xi_{I},n_{J};\xi',n')\phi_{i,j}^{L}(\xi',n')\xi'dn'} \\ &= \frac{\chi}{2} \frac{\chi}{2} \frac{\chi}{2} \frac{\xi'i^{+1}}{i} \frac{\pi'j}{j} \frac{\xi'i^{+1}}{i} \frac{\chi}{2} \frac{\chi}{2$$

I=1,...,n; J=1,...,m(I)



Defining the quantities:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{L}^{L} \\ \beta_{L}^{L} \\ \gamma' \end{cases} = \frac{1}{\Delta \xi} \int_{\eta' j}^{\eta' j+1} \int_{\eta' j}^{\xi' + \rho(\eta' j+1-\eta')} Q(\xi_{I}, \eta_{J}; \xi', \eta') \begin{cases} 1 \\ \xi' \\ \nu' \end{cases} d\xi' d\eta'$$

0

3

1

T

I

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^{U} \\ \beta^{U} \\ \gamma^{U} \end{cases} = \frac{1}{\Delta \xi} \begin{array}{c} n' j+1 \quad \xi' i+1 \\ f \quad f \quad f \quad f \quad Q(\xi_{I}, n_{J}; \xi', n') \\ n' j \quad \xi' i+p(n' j+1-n') \end{array} Q(\xi_{I}, n_{J}; \xi', n') \quad \begin{cases} 1 \\ \xi' \\ n' \end{cases} d\xi' dn'$$

which will be evaluated by numerical integration, Equations 4.4 take the form:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma &- \xi_{I}^{2} - \varepsilon \eta_{J}^{2} = \\ & \stackrel{n-1}{\underset{i=1}{\overset{m(i)-1}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{\sum}{}}}} \{ \phi_{i,j} [\xi'_{i+1} \alpha_{I,J;i,j}^{L} - \beta_{I,J;i,j}^{L} - \rho(\gamma_{I,J;i,j}^{L} - \eta'_{j} \alpha_{I,J;i,j}^{L})] \\ &+ \phi_{i+1,j} [\beta_{I,J;i,j}^{L} - \xi'_{i} \alpha_{I,J;i,j}^{L} + \rho(\eta'_{j+1} \alpha_{I,J;i,j}^{U} - \gamma_{I,J;i,j}^{U})] \\ &+ \phi_{i,j+1} [\rho(\gamma_{I,J;i,j}^{L} - \eta'_{j} \alpha_{I,J;i,j}^{L}) + \xi'_{i+1} \alpha_{I,J;i,j}^{U} - \beta_{I,J;i,j}^{U}] \\ &+ \phi_{i+1,j+1} [\beta_{I,J;i,j}^{U} - \xi'_{i} \alpha_{I,J;i,j}^{U} - \rho(\eta'_{j+1} \alpha_{I,J;i,j}^{U} - \gamma_{I,J;i,j}^{U})] \} \end{split}$$

I=1,...,n; J=1,...,m(I)

By adjusting the summation indices in the second, third, and fourth lines of this expression, one obtains:

$$\Gamma - \xi_{I}^{2} - \varepsilon n_{J}^{2} =$$

$$\frac{n-1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\Sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{\Sigma} \phi_{i,j} C_{I,J;i,j}^{(1)} + \frac{n}{\sum_{i=2}^{\Sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_{i,j} C_{I,J;i,j}^{(2)}}{\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m(i-1)} \phi_{i,j} C_{I,J;i,j}^{(2)}}$$

$$\frac{n-1}{\sum_{i=1}^{\Sigma} \sum_{j=2}^{\Sigma} \phi_{i,j} C_{I,J;i,j}^{(3)} + \frac{n}{\sum_{i=2}^{\Sigma} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \phi_{i,j} C_{I,J;i,j}^{(4)}}{\sum_{i=2}^{\Sigma} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \phi_{i,j} C_{I,J;i,j}^{(4)}}$$

f=1,...,n; J=1,...,m(I)

where

0

-

1

1

Π

$$c_{I,J;i,j}^{(1)} = (\xi'_{i+1} + \rho\eta'_{j}) \alpha_{I,J;i,j}^{L} - \beta_{I,J;i,j}^{L} - \rho\gamma_{I,J;i,j}^{L}$$

$$c_{I,J;i,j}^{(2)} = -\xi'_{i-1}\alpha_{I,J;i-1,j}^{L} + \beta_{I,J;i-1,j}^{L} + \rho(\eta'_{j+1} \alpha_{I,J;i-1,j}^{U} - \gamma_{I,J;i-1,j}^{U})$$

$$c_{I,J;i,j}^{(3)} = \xi'_{i+1} \alpha_{I,J;i,j-1}^{U} - \beta_{I,J;i,j-1}^{U} - \rho(\eta'_{j-1}\alpha_{I,J;i,j-1}^{L} - \gamma_{I,J;i,j-1}^{U})$$

$$c_{I,J;i,j}^{(4)} = -(\xi'_{i-1} + \rho\eta'_{j}) \alpha_{I,J;i-1,j-1}^{U} + \beta_{I,J;i-1,j-1}^{U} + \beta_{I,J;i-1,j-1}^{U} + \beta_{I,J;i-1,j-1}^{U} + \beta_{I,J;i-1,j-1}^{U})$$

It is assumed that the boundary contour $\eta = f(\xi)$ is monotonically decreasing over $0 \le \xi \le 1$ so that the discretized boundary will always satisfy:

$$m(1) = m(2) = n$$

 $m(i+1) < m(i)$ $i=2,...,n-1$ (4.8)

Applying this condition while combining the four double summations in Equation 4.6, one obtains:

$$\Gamma - \xi_{I}^{2} - \varepsilon \eta_{J}^{2} = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1}}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j=1}}^{m(i)} C_{I,J;i,j} \phi_{i,j}$$
(4)

I=1,...,n; J=1,...,m(I)

with the influence coefficients $C_{I,J;i,j}$ given by Table 4-1 in terms of the quantities $C_{I,J;i,j}^{(1)}$ defined by Equation 4.7. The subscripts I,J;i,j are left off for conciseness in Table 4-1.

Equation 4.9 constitutes N simultaneous linear equations in the N discrete pressures $\phi_{1,1}$ where

 $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(i)$ (4.10)

For given values of the elastic properties E_1/E_2 , E_1/E_3 , v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 , geometric ratios a/h and a/b, and contact zone mesh parameter n, the integrals in Equation 4.5 are computed and tabulated for all mesh coordinates:

 $(\xi_{I}, \eta_{J}; \xi'_{i}, \eta'_{j}) \begin{cases} I=1, \dots, n & ; J=1, \dots, n \\ i=1, \dots, n-1 & ; j=1, \dots, n-1 \end{cases}$

using procedures to be presented in Section 4.2. Then, from Equation 4.7 and Table 4-1, the set of influence coefficients $C_{I,J;i,j}$ may be obtained for contact zones of any discretized boundary shape satisfying the conditions given by Equation 4.8.

For computational purposes, the following notation is adopted for Equation 4.9:

$$\sum_{\substack{\substack{\delta \\ p \\ q=1}}} \sum_{p;q} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \sum_{p;q} \varphi_{q}$$
 p=1,...,N (4.11)

with $\delta_{\mathbf{p}} = \Gamma - \xi_{\mathbf{I}}^2 - \varepsilon n_{\mathbf{J}}^2$

area partitions

(4.12)

36

(4.9)

i	j	C	Restrictions
1	1 2,,n-1 n	$c^{(1)}$ $c^{(1)} + c^{(3)}$ $c^{(3)}$	
2,,n-1	1 2,,m(i+1)-1 m(i+1)	$c^{(1)} + c^{(2)}$ $c^{(1)} + c^{(2)}$ $c^{(3)} + c^{(4)}$ $c^{(2)} + c^{(3)} +$	m(i+1)>2 m(i+1) <m(i)< th=""></m(i)<>
	m(i+1) m(i+1)+1,, m(i)-1	$c^{(4)}$ $c^{(3)} + c^{(4)}$ $c^{(2)} + c^{(4)}$	m(i+1)=m(i) m(i+1) <m(i)-1< td=""></m(i)-1<>
n	m(i) 1 2,,m(n)-1 m(n)	$ c^{(4)} \\ c^{(2)} \\ c^{(2)} + c^{(4)} \\ c^{(4)} $	m(i+1) <m(i) m(n)>2</m(i)

TABLE 4-1INFLUENCE COEFFICIENTS FOR EQUATION 4.9

[]

Π

$$C_{\mathbf{p};\mathbf{q}} = C_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{J};\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$$

$$\phi_{\mathbf{q}} = \phi_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}$$

$$(4.12)$$

$$(Cont'.d)$$

(4.13)

where the indices p and q replace, respectively, the pairs of indices (I,J) and (i,j) according to:

$$p = \begin{cases} J & I=1; J=1,...,n \\ J+\Sigma & m(k) & I=2,...,n \\ k=1 & \end{cases}$$
I=1; J=1,...,n

 $q = \begin{cases} j & i=1; \ j=1,...,n \\ j + \sum m(k) & i=2,...,n \\ k=1 \end{cases}$ i=1, ..., m(i)

Since any discretized boundary allowed by Equation 4.8 contains the vertices ($\xi = 0$, $\eta = b/a$) and ($\xi = 1$, $\eta = 0$), the pressure is implicitly constrained to vanish at these two points. The criteria for satisfactory selection of the boundary shape between the vertices is that the discretized pressure distribution obtained as a solution to Equation 4.11 vanish along the correct boundary. Clearly, this boundary selection is an iterative process.

For consistency with the above-mentioned constraint, the vertex pressures ϕ_n and $\phi_{n-m(n)+1}$ must be set to zero in each of Equations 4.11. With some minor rearrangement, this leaves the following system of N linear equations in the N-2 unknown pressures along with the unknown parameters Γ and ε :

$$\overline{\delta}_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}=1}^{N} \overline{C}_{\mathbf{p};\mathbf{q}} \overline{\phi}_{\mathbf{q}} \qquad \mathbf{p}=1,\ldots,\mathbf{N}$$
(4.14)

with

$$\overline{\delta}_{p} = -\xi_{I}^{2} \qquad p=1,\ldots,N \qquad (4.15)$$

$$\bar{\phi}_{q} = \begin{cases} \Gamma & q = n \\ \epsilon & q = N-m(n)+1 \\ \phi_{q} & all \text{ other } q \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{C}_{p;q} (p=1,\ldots,N) = \begin{cases} -1 & q = n \\ n'_{J}^{2} & q = N-m(n)+1 \\ C_{p;q} & all \text{ other } q \end{cases}$$

In selecting the discretized boundary to approximate a continuous curve as shown in Figure 4-1, the pressures at mesh points outside the continuous curve are set to zero and the members of Equation 4.14 prescribing the contact surface displacement conditions at these points are effectively discarded. Letting such a point be denoted by:

$$(i_0, j_0) = (I_0, J_0) = p_0 = q_0$$

this is accomplished by setting:

 $\overline{C}_{p_{O}}; q = \begin{cases} 1 & q = p_{O} \\ 0 & \text{all other } q \end{cases}$

$$\delta p_0 = 0$$

1

[]

which replaces the p_oth member of Equation 4.14 with the equation $\overline{\phi}_{p_o} = 0.$

The resulting system of Equation 4.14 is solved for the N ϕ_q 's using a standard Gaussian elimination technique, and the solution is inspected for approximate satisfaction of the condition $\phi = 0$ along the selected boundary contour.

The dimensionless load \overline{W} , defined by Equation 3.14, is obtained from the discretized distribution of ϕ by forming the summation over the contact zone of the volumes of each rectangular pressure element such as shown in Figure 4-2. Letting $w_{i,j}$ denote the volume of such an element:

39

(4.15) (Cont'd.)

$$\overline{W} = 4 \begin{array}{cc} n-1 & m(i)-1 \\ \Sigma & \Sigma & W \\ i=1 & j=1 \end{array} \quad i,j$$

where it is clear from Figure 4-2 and basic concepts of solid geometry that

$$w_{i,j} = \frac{1}{6} \Delta \eta \Delta \xi \ (\phi_{i,j} + 2\phi_{i+1,j} + 2\phi_{i,j+1} + \phi_{i+1,j+1})$$

Substituting this expression for $w_{i,j}$ into Equation 4.16, one obtains the relation which may be used directly to compute \overline{W} from the solution to Equation 4.14:

$$\overline{W} = \frac{2}{3} \Delta \eta \Delta \xi \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1 \\$$

with Equation 4.13 used to convert from the solution notation ϕ_q to $\phi_{i,j}$. With ε , Γ , \overline{W} , and the ϕ distribution available from this discretized solution, Equations 3.28 through 3.32 are used to obtain the quantities: a_o/h , a/a_o , b/a_o , d/d_o , and p/p_o^o .

4.2 Evaluation of Influence Coefficients for Discretized Integral Equation

4.2.1 Formulation in Terms of Integral Functions

The integrals appearing in Equation 4.5 of the function,

$$Q(\xi_{I}, \eta_{J}; \xi', \eta') = \overline{K}(\xi_{I} - \xi', \eta_{J} - \eta') + \overline{K}(\xi_{I} + \xi', \eta_{J} - \eta')$$
$$+ \overline{K}(\xi_{I} + \xi', \eta_{I} - \eta') + \overline{K}(\xi_{I} + \xi', \eta_{I} + \eta')$$

defined by Equation 3.12, must be evaluated numerically in order to determine the influence coefficients $C_{I,J;i,j}$ from Equation 4.7 and Table 4-1. Equation 4.5 can be broken down into the integral functions,

$$\begin{cases} \overline{H} \\ \overline{I} \\ \overline{J} \end{cases} (\xi, \eta) = \begin{cases} \eta & \xi \\ f & f \end{cases} \overline{K}(u, v) \begin{cases} 1 \\ u \\ v \end{cases} dudv$$

(4.2.1)

(4.16)

$$\begin{cases} \overline{H}^{*} \\ \overline{I}^{*} \\ \overline{J}^{*} \\ \overline{J}^{*} \end{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \xi, \eta, \pm \rho \end{pmatrix} = \int_{J}^{\eta} \frac{\xi \pm}{J} \rho V \\ \int_{J}^{\eta} \overline{K}(u, v) \begin{cases} 1 \\ u \\ v \\ v \end{cases} dudv \qquad (4.2.1) \\ (Cont'd.) \end{cases}$$

which are naturally amenable to systematic numerical computation and tabulation. To express Equation 4.5 in terms of these integrals, first substitute the above expression for Q into the first three of Equation 4.5 and change the variables of integration in each term such that they are the same as the arguments of \overline{K} to obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^{L} \\ \beta^{L} \\ \gamma^{L} \end{cases}_{I,J;i,j} = \frac{1}{\Delta\xi} \frac{n_{J} - n'_{j+1}}{n_{J} - n'_{j}} \frac{[\xi_{I} - \xi'_{i} + \rho(n_{J} - n'_{j+1})] - \rho v}{\xi_{I} - \xi'_{i}} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} -1 \\ \xi_{I} - u \\ n_{J} - v \end{cases} dudv$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Delta\xi} \frac{n_{J} - n'_{j+1}}{n_{J} - n'_{j}} \frac{[\xi_{I} + \xi'_{i} - \rho(n_{J} - n'_{j+1})] + \rho v}{\xi_{I} + \xi'_{i}} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 \\ \xi_{I} - u \\ v - n_{J} \end{cases} dudv$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Delta\xi} \frac{n_{J} + n'_{j+1}}{n_{J} + n'_{j}} \frac{[\xi_{I} - \xi'_{i} - \rho(n_{J} + n'_{j+1})] + \rho v}{\xi_{I} - \xi'_{i}} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} -1 \\ \xi_{I} - u \\ v - n_{J} \end{cases} dudv$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Delta\xi} \frac{n_{J} + n'_{j+1}}{n_{J} + n'_{j}} \frac{[\xi_{I} - \xi'_{i} - \rho(n_{J} + n'_{j+1})] + \rho v}{\xi_{I} - \xi'_{i}} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} -1 \\ u - \xi_{I} \\ n_{J} - v \end{cases} dudv$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Delta\xi} \frac{n_{J} + n'_{j+1}}{n_{J} + n'_{j}} \frac{[\xi_{I} + \xi'_{i} + \rho(n_{J} + n'_{j+1})] - \rho v}{\xi_{I} - \xi'_{i}}} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 \\ u - \xi_{I} \\ u - \xi_{I} \\ v - n_{J} \end{cases} dudv$$

It should be clear that this expression can be applied to the latter three of Equation 4.5 by changing the sign of each integral and replacing ξ'_{i} with ξ'_{i+1} in the lower limit of the u integration for each term. Now separate Equation 4.2.2 into integrals over rectangular regions denoted as:

$$\begin{cases} A \\ B \\ G \\ G \\ \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{array}{c} n_{J} - n'_{j+1} & \xi_{I} - \xi'_{I} \\ n_{J} - n'_{j} & o \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} I \\ \overline{K}(u, v) \\ \xi_{I} - u \\ n_{J} - v \\ \end{array} dudv \\ (4.2.3) \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_{J} - n'_{j+1} & \xi_{I} + \xi'_{I} \\ - & f & f \\ n_{J} - n'_{j} & o \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \overline{K}(u, v) \\ \xi_{I} - u \\ \overline{L}(v, v) \\ \xi_{I} - u \\ \overline{L}(v, v) \\$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} {}^{n}J^{+n'}j^{+1} & {}^{\xi}I^{-\xi'}i \\ {}^{f} & {}^{f} & {}^{f} & \overline{K} & (u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} -1 \\ u^{-\xi}I \\ n^{-\xi}I \end{cases} & dudv \\ {}^{n}J^{+n'}j^{+1} & {}^{\xi}I^{+\xi'}i \\ {}^{f} & {}^{f} & {}^{f} & \overline{K} & (u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 \\ u^{-\xi}I \\ u^{-\xi}I \end{cases} & dudv \\ v^{-\eta}I^{+\eta'}j & o \end{cases}$$

(4.2.3) (Cont'd.)

and integrals over trapezoidal regions denoted as:

$$\begin{cases} A^{*}_{B^{*}} \\ B^{*}_{G^{*}} \\ G^{*} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} n_{J}^{-n'} j+1 & [\xi_{I}^{-\xi'} i^{+\rho} (n_{J}^{-n'} j+1)]^{-\rho v} \\ n_{J}^{-n'} j & 0 \end{cases} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 \\ \xi_{I}^{-u} \\ n_{J}^{-v} \end{cases} dudv$$

$$+ \begin{cases} n_{J}^{-n'} j+1 & [\xi_{I}^{+\xi'} i^{-\rho} (n_{J}^{-n'} j+1)]^{+\rho v} \\ n_{J}^{-n'} j & 0 \end{cases} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} -1 \\ \xi_{I}^{-u} \\ v-n_{J} \end{cases} dudv$$

$$+ \begin{cases} n_{J}^{+n'} j+1 & [\xi_{I}^{-\xi'} i^{-\rho} (n_{J}^{+n'} j+1)]^{+\rho v} \\ n_{J}^{+n'} j & 0 \end{cases} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} -1 \\ \xi_{I}^{-u} \\ v-n_{J} \end{cases} dudv$$

$$+ \begin{cases} n_{J}^{+n'} j+1 & [\xi_{I}^{-\xi'} i^{-\rho} (n_{J}^{+n'} j+1)]^{+\rho v} \\ n_{J}^{+n'} j & 0 \end{cases} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} -1 \\ u-\xi_{I} \\ n_{J}^{-v} \end{cases} dudv$$

$$+ \begin{cases} n_{J}^{+n'} j+1 & [\xi_{I}^{+\xi'} i^{+\rho} (n_{J}^{+n'} j+1)]^{-\rho v} \\ n_{J}^{+n'} j & 0 \end{cases} \overline{K}(u,v) \cdot \begin{cases} 1 \\ u-\xi_{I} \\ v-n_{J} \end{cases} dudv$$

to obtain the following convenient representation of all six of Equation 4.5:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^{L} \\ \beta^{L} \\ \gamma^{L} \\ \gamma^{L} \\ \gamma^{U} \\ 1, J; i, j \end{cases} = \frac{1}{\Delta \xi} \cdot \begin{cases} A \\ B \\ G \\ B \\ G \\ G \\ I, J; i+1, j \end{cases} + \frac{1}{\Delta \xi} \cdot \begin{cases} A^{\star} \\ B^{\star} \\ G^{\star} \\ I, J; i, j \end{cases}$$
(4.2.5)

Equation 4.2.3 for A, B, and G can be written in terms of the integral functions \overline{H} , \overline{I} , and \overline{J} to yield:

$$\begin{cases} \overset{A}{B} \\ \overset{B}{C} \\ \overset{I}{I}, J; \overset{I}{i}, \overset{J}{I} \end{cases} + - \begin{cases} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \varepsilon_{I} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \eta_{J} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \eta_{J} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ & \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\varepsilon_{I} - \varepsilon'_{I}, \eta_{J} - \eta'_{J} \right) \\ & - \begin{cases} \overset{-\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \varepsilon_{I} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{H}} \\ \eta_{J} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\varepsilon_{I} + \varepsilon'_{I}, \eta_{J} - \eta'_{J} + 1 \right) \\ & + \begin{cases} \overset{-\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \varepsilon_{I} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{H}} \\ \eta_{J} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\varepsilon_{I} - \varepsilon'_{I}, \eta_{J} + \eta'_{J} \right) \\ & \\ & - \begin{cases} \overset{-\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \overline{1} - \varepsilon_{I} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{H}} \\ \eta_{J} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{H}} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\varepsilon_{I} - \varepsilon'_{I}, \eta_{J} + \eta'_{J} + 1 \right) \\ & + \begin{cases} \overset{-\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \overset{-\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \eta_{J} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{H}} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\varepsilon_{I} - \varepsilon'_{I}, \eta_{J} + \eta'_{J} \right) \\ & - \begin{cases} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \overline{1} - \varepsilon_{I} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{H}} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\varepsilon_{I} + \varepsilon'_{I}, \eta_{J} + \eta'_{J} + 1 \right) \\ & + \begin{cases} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \overset{-\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\varepsilon_{I} + \varepsilon'_{I}, \eta_{J} + \eta'_{J} \right) \\ & - \begin{cases} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \end{array} \\ \left(\varepsilon_{I} + \varepsilon'_{I}, \eta_{J} + \eta'_{J} + 1 \right) \\ & + \end{cases} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \overset{\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \overset{-\overline{H}}{\overline{I}} \\ \end{array} \\ & & (\varepsilon_{I} + \varepsilon'_{I}, \eta_{J} + \eta'_{J} \right) \\ & \\ \end{array}$$

Similarly A*, B*, and G* may be expressed in terms of \overline{H}^* , \overline{I}^* , and \overline{J}^* :

with

$$\xi^{(1)} = \xi_{I} - \xi'_{i} + \rho(n_{J} - n'_{j+1})$$

$$\xi^{(2)} = \xi_{I} + \xi'_{i} - \rho(n_{J} - n'_{j+1})$$

$$\xi^{(3)} = \xi_{I} - \xi'_{i} - \rho(n_{J} + n'_{j+1})$$

$$\xi^{(4)} = \xi_{I} + \xi'_{i} + \rho(n_{J} + n'_{j+1})$$

Equation 4.2.5 together with Equations 4.2.6 and 4.2.7 give the desired expression of the quantities α^{L} , β^{L} , γ^{L} , α^{U} , β^{U} , and γ^{U} in terms of \overline{H} , \overline{I} , \overline{J} , $\overline{H^{*}}$, $\overline{I^{*}}$, and $\overline{J^{*}}$.

Recalling from Equation 3.7 that $\overline{K}(\xi,\eta) = \alpha K(\alpha\xi,\alpha\eta)$ with $\alpha = a/h$ and K(u,v) the kernel function given by Equation 3.5, it is seen that \overline{H} , \overline{I} , \overline{J} , \overline{H}^* , \overline{I}^* , and \overline{J}^* are obtainable from computations of the basic integral functions:

$$\begin{cases} H \\ I \\ J \\ J \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & s \\ f & f \\ o & o \\ & 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ u \\ v \\ v \\ \end{bmatrix} dudv$$

$$\begin{cases} H^{*} \\ I^{*} \\ J^{*} \\ J^{*} \\ \end{bmatrix} (s,t,\underline{+}\rho) = \begin{cases} t & s \underline{+}\rho v \\ f & f \\ o \\ o \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ u \\ v \\ v \\ \end{bmatrix} dudv$$

with

 $\overline{H}(\xi, \eta) = (1/\alpha) H (\alpha\xi, \alpha\eta)$ $\overline{I}(\xi, \eta) = (1/\alpha^2) I (\alpha\xi, \alpha\eta)$ $\overline{J}(\xi, \eta) = (1/\alpha^2) J (\alpha\xi, \alpha\eta)$ $\overline{H}^*(\xi, \eta, \pm \rho) = (1/\alpha) H^* (\alpha\xi, \alpha\eta, \pm \rho)$ $\overline{I}^*(\xi, \eta, \pm \rho) = (1/\alpha^2) I^* (\alpha\xi, \alpha\eta, \pm \rho)$ $\overline{J}^*(\xi, \eta, \pm \rho) = (1/\alpha^2) J^* (\alpha\xi, \alpha\eta, \pm \rho)$

(4.2.8)

(4.2.9)

(4.2.10)

From Equation 3.5, it is clear that:

$$K(u,v) = K(-u,v) = K(u,-v) = K(-u,-v)$$

and as a consequence of this symmetry:

$$H(s,t) = Sgn(st) H (|s|,|t|)$$

$$I(s,t) = Sgn(t) I (|s|,|t|)$$

$$J(s,t) = Sgn(s) J (|s|,|t|)$$

$$H^{*}(s,t,\pm\rho) = Sgn(st) H^{*} [|s|,|t|,\pm\rho Sgn(st)]$$

$$I^{*}(s,t,\pm\rho) = Sgn(t) I^{*} [|s|,|t|,\pm\rho Sgn(st)]$$

$$J^{*}(s,t,\pm\rho) = Sgn(s) J^{*} [|s|,|t|,\pm\rho Sgn(st)]$$

where

 \Box

Π

Sgn(x) =
$$\begin{cases} -1 & x < 0 \\ +1 & x \ge 0 \end{cases}$$
 (4.2.12)

so that the basic integral functions defined by Equation 4.2.9 need only be computed for positive values of s and t. By combining Equations 4.2.10 and 4.2.11, one obtains the relations:

$$\overline{H}(\xi, \eta) = (1/\alpha) \operatorname{Sgn} (\xi\eta) H (\alpha|\xi|, \alpha|\eta|)$$

$$\overline{I}(\xi, \eta) = (1/\alpha^2) \operatorname{Sgn} (\eta) J (\alpha|\xi|, \alpha|\eta|)$$

$$\overline{J}(\xi, \eta) = (1/\alpha^2) \operatorname{Sgn} (\xi) J (\alpha|\xi|, \alpha|\eta|)$$

$$\overline{H}^*(\xi, \eta, \pm \rho) = (1/\alpha) \operatorname{Sgn} (\xi\eta) H^* [\alpha|\xi|, \alpha|\eta|, \pm \rho \operatorname{Sgn} (\xi\eta)]$$

$$\overline{I}^*(\xi, \eta, \pm \rho) = (1/\alpha^2) \operatorname{Sgn} (\eta) I^* [\alpha|\xi|, \alpha|\eta|, \pm \rho \operatorname{Sgn} (\xi\eta)]$$

$$\overline{J}^*(\xi, \eta, \pm \rho) = (1/\alpha^2) \operatorname{Sgn} (\xi) J^* [\alpha|\xi|, \alpha|\eta|, \pm \rho \operatorname{Sgn} (\xi\eta)]$$

which will be used to obtain the values of \overline{H} , \overline{I} , \overline{J} , \overline{H}^* , \overline{I}^* , and \overline{J}^* required in Equations 4.2.6 and 4.2.7 from computed values of H, I, J, H*, I*, and J*.

Equations 4.2.6, 4.2.7, and 4.2.8, together with Equation 4.2.13, must now be closely examined to determine all arguments s,t for which H, I, J, H*, I*, and J* must be evaluated in order to provide the values of \overline{H} , \overline{I} , \overline{J} , \overline{H} *, \overline{I} *, and \overline{J} * at all arguments ξ , n called for in Equations 4.2.6 and 4.2.7. First, note that the quantities $(A,B,G)_{I,J;i,j}$ given by Equation 4.2.6 and $(A^*,B^*,G^*)_{I,J;i,j}$ given by Equation 4.2.7 must be obtained for all the integer subscripts I=1,...,n; J=1,...,n; i=1,...,n-1; j=1,...,n-1, in order to obtain all of the influence coefficients $C_{I,J;i,j}$ from Equation 4.2.5, Equation 4.7, and Table 4-1. Thus, the mesh coordinates ξ_{I},η_{J} ; ξ'_{I},η'_{J} , will take on their full range of values:

 $\xi_{I} = (I-1)\Delta\xi$ I=1,...,n $\eta_{J} = (J-1)\Delta\eta$ J=1,...,n $\xi'_{i} = (i-1)\Delta\xi$ i=1,...,n $\eta'_{j} = (j-1)\Delta\eta$ j=1,...,n

in forming the arguments for which H, I, J, H*, I*, and J* will be needed in Equations 4.2.6 and 4.2.7. Clearly, for any values of [H, I, J] (ξ ,n) and $[H^*, I^*, J^*]$ (ξ , n, + ρ) required in Equations 4.2.6 and 4.2.7, the argument ξ will be a positive or negative integer multiple of $\Delta\xi$ and the argument η will be a positive or negative integer multiple of $\Delta \eta$. For the corresponding values of [H, I, J] (s,t) and $[H^*, I^*, J^*]$ (s,t, +p) called for in Equation 4.2.13, the arguments s and t will be positive integer multiples of $\alpha\Delta\xi$ and adn, respectively. Computations of [H, I, J] (s,t) and [H*, I*, J*] (s,t, +p) are thus required over particular ranges of s at intervals of $\alpha\Delta\xi$ and t at intervals of $\alpha\Delta\eta$. By noting the extreme values of the arguments of H, I, J, H*, I*, and J* in Equations 4.2.6 and 4.2.7 over the full range of values of the mesh coordinates, and using Equation 4.2.13 to determine the corresponding extreme values of the arguments of H, I, J, H*, I*, and J*, one can establish the following ranges on s and t for computation at respective intervals of $\alpha\Delta\xi$ and aAn:

 $\begin{array}{l} [\mathrm{H},\mathrm{I},\mathrm{J}] \ (\mathrm{s},\mathrm{t}) \end{array} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \mathrm{s} \leq 2(\mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{a} \Delta \xi \\ 0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq 2(\mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{a} \Delta \eta \end{array} \right. \\ \left[\mathrm{H}^{*},\mathrm{I}^{*},\mathrm{J}^{*} \right] \ (\mathrm{s},\mathrm{t},\mathrm{+}\rho) \end{array} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \mathrm{s} \leq 2(\mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{a} \Delta \xi - \mathrm{p} \mathrm{t} \\ 0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq (\mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{a} \Delta \eta \end{array} \right. \end{array}$

(4.2.14)

$$[H^*, I^*, J^*] (s, t, -\rho) \begin{cases} 0 \leq s \leq 4(n-1)\alpha\Delta\xi \\ 0 \leq t \leq (n-1)\alpha\Delta\eta & (4.2.14) \\ 0 \leq t \leq (n-1)\alpha\Delta\xi \leq s \leq 4(n-1)\alpha\Delta\xi \\ n\alpha\Delta\eta \leq t \leq 2(n-1)\alpha\Delta\eta & (4.2.14) \end{cases}$$

47

By utilizing the computational methods derived in Section 4.2.2, the desired arrays of values of [H,I,J] (s,t) and [H*,I*,J*] (s,t, \pm) are obtained over these ranges. These numerical arrays, together with Equations 4.2.13, 4.2.6, 4.2.7, 4.2.5, and 4.7, and Table 4-1, provide for the complete determination of the array of influence coefficients $C_{I,J;i,j}$ in the discretized integral equation given by Equation 4.9.

4.2.2 Evaluation of Integral Functions

Substituting from Equation 3.8 for the approximate form of K(u,v) in the integral functions defined by Equation 4.2.9 and separately defining the integrals of the Hankel inversion integral and inverse square root parts of K(u,v), one obtains:

$$\begin{cases} H \\ I \\ J \end{cases} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) \approx \begin{cases} H_1 \\ I_1 \\ J_1 \end{cases} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) + (1+\beta) \approx \begin{cases} H_0 \\ I_0 \\ J_0 \end{cases} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) \end{cases} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$$

$$\begin{cases} H^* \\ I^* \\ J^* \end{cases} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \underline{+} \rho) \approx \begin{cases} H_1^* \\ I^* \\ J^* \end{cases} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \underline{+} \rho) + (1+\beta) \approx \begin{cases} H_0^* \\ I_0 \\ J_0 \end{cases} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$$

$$(4.2.15)$$

$$(4.2.15)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} {}^{n_{1}}\\ {}^{I_{1}}\\ {}^{J_{1}}\\ {}^{J_{1}} \end{cases} (s,t) = \int [g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1] \int \int J_{0}(\omega \sqrt{u^{2} + v^{2}}) \begin{cases} 1\\ u\\ v \end{cases} du dv d\omega$$

(4.2.16)



and,

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_{o} \\ I_{o} \\ J_{o} \\ J_{o} \end{bmatrix} (s,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} (u^{2}+v^{2})^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u \\ v \end{bmatrix} dudv$$

(4.2.17)

1

1

T

$$\begin{cases} H_{o}^{*} \\ I_{o}^{*} \\ J_{o}^{*} \\ J_{o}^{*} \end{cases} (s,t,\underline{+}\rho) = \int_{\sigma}^{t} \int_{f}^{s+\rho v} (u^{2}+v^{2})^{-1/2} \begin{cases} 1 \\ u \\ v \end{cases} dudv$$

where in Equation 4.2.16, the natural order of integration has been switched by moving the ω integration to the outside.

The u-v double integration in Equation 4.2.17 is performed analytically by following the procedure described in Appendix I. Defining the quantities:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}$$

$$R^{\circ} = \sqrt{s^2 + t^2}$$

$$s^{\pm} = s \pm \rho t$$

$$R^{\pm} = \sqrt{s^{\pm} + t^2}$$

$$(4.2.18)$$

$$(4.2.18)$$

the resulting closed-form expressions in s and t take the form:

$$H_{o}(s,t) = s \log \frac{R^{\circ} + t}{s} + t \log \frac{R^{\circ} + s}{t}$$

$$I_{o}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2} s^{2} \log \frac{R^{\circ} + t}{s} + \frac{1}{2} t(R^{\circ} - t)$$

$$J_{o}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2} s(R^{\circ} - s) + \frac{1}{2} t^{2} \log \frac{R^{\circ} + s}{t}$$

$$H_{o}^{*}(s,t,\pm\rho) = \frac{s}{o} \log \frac{(\sigma \pm \rho)(\sigma t + R^{\pm})}{\sigma s^{\pm} \pm \rho R^{\pm}}$$

$$+ Sgn(s^{\pm})t \log \frac{R^{\pm} \pm |s^{\pm}|}{t}$$
(4.2.19)

$$I_{0}^{*}(s,t,\pm\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \left[\frac{\pm\rho(R^{\pm}-s)}{s} + \frac{1}{\sigma}\log\frac{(\sigma\pm\rho)(\sigma t + R^{\pm})}{\sigma s^{\pm} \pm R^{\pm}}\right]$$

+ $\frac{1}{2}t(R^{\pm}-t)$ (4.2.19)
(Cont'd.)
$$J_{0}^{*}(s,t,\pm\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \left[\frac{R^{\pm}-s}{s} \pm \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\log\frac{(\sigma\pm\rho)(\sigma t + R^{\pm})}{\sigma s^{\pm} \pm R^{\pm}}\right]$$

+ $Sgn(s^{\pm}) \frac{1}{2}t^{2}\log\frac{R^{\pm}+|s^{\pm}|}{t}$

The u-v-w triple integration must be carried out numerically in evaluating the functions defined by Equation 4.2.16. It is seen that each s,t argument pair within the ranges given by Equation 4.2.14 defines a region of u-v integration of one of six types shown in Figure 4-5. Decomposing these regions in the manner shown, a numerical scheme is derived for evaluating the functions at intervals of $\alpha\Delta\xi$ in s and $\alpha\Delta\eta$ in t. For Region I, this decomposition allows $[H_1, I_1, J_1]$ (s,t) to be expressed in the form:

$$\begin{cases} H_1 \\ I_1 \\ J_1 \\ \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \omega \\ o \\ (s,t) = \int \left[g_2(\omega) - g_4(\omega) - 1 \right] & \int \int \int J_0(\omega \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}) \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ u \\ v \\ \end{matrix} \right\} du dv d\omega$$

$$+ \begin{cases} H_1 \\ I_1 \\ J_1 \end{cases} \quad (s, t - \alpha \Delta \eta) \quad \begin{cases} H_1 \\ I_1 \\ J_1 \end{cases} \quad (s - \alpha \Delta \xi, t) - \begin{cases} H_1 \\ I_1 \\ J_1 \end{cases} \quad (s - \alpha \Delta \xi, t - \alpha \Delta \eta)$$

Considering Regions II through V, $[H_1^*, I_1^*, J_1^*]$ (s,t,- ρ) can be expressed in a similar manner for the various ranges of s:

$$\frac{s = \rho t}{\begin{cases} H_1^* \\ I_1^* \\ J_1^* \end{cases}} (\rho t, t, -\rho) =$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \overset{\omega}{}_{O} & t & \rho t - \rho v \\ f & [g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1] & f & f & J_{O}(\omega \sqrt{u^{2} + v^{2}}) \\ o & t - \alpha \Delta \eta & o \end{array} \begin{cases} 1 \\ u \\ v \\ v \end{cases} \quad du dv d\omega$

(4.2.20)

(4.2.21)





1

1

1

11

T









Fig. 4-3 Classes of u-9 Regions of Integration for Hankel Inversion Integrals

$$+ \begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ 1 \end{cases} \quad (\rhot, t-\alpha\Delta\eta, -\rho) \qquad (4.2.21) \\ (Cont'd.) \end{cases}$$

s > pt

Π

Π

Π

Π

$$\begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ I \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{cases} (s,t,-\rho) = \int_{0}^{\omega} \left[g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1 \right] \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} J_{0}(\omega \sqrt{u^{2} + v^{2}}) \begin{cases} 1 \\ u \\ v \end{cases} du dv d\omega$$

$$+ \begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ I \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{cases} (s, t-\alpha\Delta\eta, -\rho) + \begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ I \\ I \\ I \\ I \end{cases} (s-\alpha\Delta\xi, t, -\rho) - \begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ I \\ I \\ I \\ I \end{cases} (s-\alpha\Delta\xi, t-\alpha\Delta\eta, -\rho)$$

$$(4.2.22)$$

$$\frac{\begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{cases}}{\left(\rho t - \alpha \Delta \xi, t, -\rho\right)} = \\
- \int_{0}^{\omega} \left[g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1\right] \int_{1}^{t} \int_{1}^{0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \left(\omega \sqrt{u^{2} + v^{2}}\right) \left\{ u_{v}^{1} \right\} du dv d\omega \quad (4.2.23) \\
+ \left\{ \frac{H_{1}^{*}}{I_{1}^{*}} \right\} \left(\rho t - \alpha \Delta \xi, t - \alpha \Delta \eta, -\rho\right)$$

 $s < \rho t - \alpha \Delta \xi$

$$\begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{cases} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, -\rho) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} W_{0} \\ - f \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{g}_{2}(\omega) - \mathbf{g}_{4}(\omega) - 1] \begin{cases} t \\ f \\ - \sigma \Delta \eta \end{bmatrix} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} - \alpha \Delta \eta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} (\omega \sqrt{u^{2} + v^{2}}) \left\{ u \\ v \end{bmatrix} du dv d\omega \\ \frac{1}{v} du dv d\omega \end{cases}$$

$$(4.2.24)$$

$$+ \begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{cases} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} - \alpha \Delta \eta, -\rho) + \begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{cases} (\mathbf{s} + \alpha \Delta \xi, \mathbf{t}, -\rho) - \begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{cases} (\mathbf{s} + \alpha \Delta \xi, \mathbf{t} - \alpha \Delta \eta, -\rho) \end{cases}$$

Finally, for Region VI:

$$\begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{2}^{*} \\ -1 \end{cases} (s,t,+\rho) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{0} \\ J_{2}^{*} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (s,t,+\rho) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{0} \\ J_{2}^{*} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (s,t,-\alpha\Delta\eta,+\rho) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{2}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{pmatrix} (s,t-\alpha\Delta\eta,+\rho) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{pmatrix} (s-\alpha\Delta\xi,t,+\rho) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{pmatrix} (s-\alpha\Delta\xi,t,-\alpha\Delta\eta,+\rho) = \\ \begin{pmatrix} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}$$

In addition, note the following from inspection of Equation 4.2.16:

$$\begin{cases} H_{1} \\ I_{1} \\ J_{1} \end{cases} (s,0) = \begin{cases} H_{1} \\ I_{1} \\ J_{1} \end{cases} (0,t) = \begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1} \\ J_{1}^{*} \end{cases} (s,0,\pm\rho) = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$
(4.2.26)

$$\begin{cases} H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ J_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \end{cases} (0, t, +\rho) = \begin{cases} -H_{1}^{*} \\ I_{1}^{*} \\ -J_{1}^{*} \\ I \end{cases} (0, t, -\rho)$$
(4.2.27)

1

Equations 4.2.20 through 4.2.27 are applied directly in evaluating the functions at successive increments of $\alpha\Delta\xi$ in s and $\alpha\Delta\eta$ in t between the limiting values given in Equation 4.2.14. Each function evaluation entails a numerical quadrature over the shaded segment of one of the regions shown in Figure 4-5, with quadrature over the remainder of the region having occurred for function evaluations at preceding values of s and t.

Since the integrands requiring numerical quadrature are smoothly varying continuous functions of ω , u, and v, standard single-variable Gaussian quadratures are used to integrate in each variable in the order shown. To avoid any numerical difficulties arising from the oscillation of J_o, the ω region (0 to ω_{o}) is divided into uniform

intervals, roughly equal in length to a period of $J_0(R\omega)$ where R is an estimated mean value of $\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ over the u-v region. A ten-point quadrature in ω is then used to integrate over each interval. At each quadrature abscissa in ω , $[g_2(\omega)-g_4(\omega)]$ is obtained from Equations 2.14 through 2.16, and the u-v integration is performed with a quadrature in u providing the value of the integrand at each abscissa of a quadrature in v. Polynomial approximations given in Reference [9] are used to compute J_0 to eight-place accuracy in the u quadrature.

It is seen from Equations 4.2.20 through 4.2.25 that the u-v limits of integration span regions of at most $\alpha\Delta\xi$ and $\alpha\Delta\eta$, respectively. For all values of α , $\Delta\xi$, and $\Delta\eta$ considered in this study, and, with $\omega_{0} = 10$, the argument of J₀ always varied less than a period between the u-v limits. Consequently, convergence to seven places was attainable by dividing the ω region as described above and using 5-point quadratures over the full u and v regions. Treating the oscillation of J₀ as approximately sinusoidal, the number of ω divisions, n_d, was determined from the formula:

$$u_{\rm d} = \frac{\omega_{\rm o} \overline{\rm R}}{2 \pi}$$

with the constraint, $n_d \leq 6$. Tables of abscissas and weight factors used for the 5- and 10-point quadratures are given in Reference [9].

It should be noted that for fixed $\Delta\xi$ and Δn , with $\Delta\xi = 1/(n-1)$ and $\Delta n = \Delta\xi/\rho$ from Equation 4.1, the magnitude of each value of s and t for which the integral functions are to be evaluated is proportional to the ratio $\alpha = a/h$. Thus, each \overline{R} and consequently each n_d is also proportional to α ; and, since the number of abscissa points in ω between the limits 0 and ω_o is 10 n_d , the computing time required to evaluate each triple integral is similarly proportional to α . This is an important consideration in planning a parametric study of the effect of α on the behavior of the contact zone.

Once all the values of H_1 , I_1 , J_1 , H_1^* , I_1^* , and J_1^* have been computed and tabulated using the above methods, they are combined according to Equation 4.2.15 with the corresponding values of H_0 , I_0 , J_0 , H_0^* , I_0^* , and J_0^* computed directly from Equations 4.2.19 and 4.2.18, to give the desired array of values of the integral functions H, I, J, H^* , I^* , and J^* needed to form the quantities considered in Section 4.2.1 above.

At this point, it is worth noting that the elastic properties of the homogeneous solid, E_1/E_3 and v_3 , enter the numerically formulated contact problem only in Equation 4.2.15 through the parameter β defined by Equation 3.6. The elastic properties of the layered solid, E_1/E_2 , v_1 , and v_2 , determine the functions H_1 , I_1 , J_1 , H_1^* , I_1^* , and J_1^* through $g_2(\omega)-g_4(\omega)$ given by Equations 2.14 through 2.16.

4.3 Computer Programs

Two FORTRAN programs, HIJPRG and PSØLV, implement the computational scheme derived in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. Both programs are written for a CDC 6600 computing system with the NOS Operating System. Input and output descriptions and listings are given in Appendices III through VI.

In HIJPRG, the arrays of integral functions H_1 , I_1 , J_1 , H_1^* , I_1^* , and J_1^* , computed by numerical quadrature are obtained for prescribed values of E_1/E_2 , v_1 , v_2 , α , ρ , and n as described in Section 4.2.2. In PSØLV, the influence coefficients $C_{I,J;i,j}$ are generated from these arrays through Equations 4.2.19, 4.2.15, 4.2.13, 4.2.6, 4.2.7, 4.2.5, and 4.7 and Table 4-1. With Equation 4.9 expressed in the notation of Equation 4.14, a standard matrix inversion subroutine yields the dimensionless contact pressure distribution $\phi_{i,j}$, approach Γ , curvature ratio ε , and, through Equation 4.17, load W. Finally, the quantities a_0/h , a/a_0 , b/a_0 , d/d_0 , and p^0/p_0^0 are obtained from Equations 3.28 through 3.32. The analysis contained in PSØLV pertains to prescribed values of E_1/E_3 , v_3 , boundary shape m(i) I=1,...,n, and boundary points (i,j) of zero pressure.

PART 5

NUMERICAL RESULTS

5.1 Test for Numerical Accuracy

With the integral functions H_1 , I_1 , J_1 , H_1^* , I_1^* , and J_1^* computed to seven-decimal-place accuracy, the numerical formulation is grounded on the essentially exact computation of surface displacements due to the discretized pressure distribution. The error in the solution is due to the truncation error in approximating the true continuous pressure distribution, an error that in principle can be made as small as desired by using a fine enough mesh to construct the approximate distribution. To keep computer time and storage at moderate levels in the present study, the mesh was limited to ten divisions along the major and minor halfwidths (n=11). The truncation error associated with this mesh will now be examined by comparing numerical predictions for the limiting cases of elliptical Hertz contact of homogeneous solids and axisymmetric contact of layered solids with available exact solutions. These comparisons serve as an overall check on the validity of the numerical formulation.

As discussed in Section 3.2, the formulation for layered contact reduces to the Hertz problem for homogeneous solids when one prescribes $E_1/E_2 = 1$ and $v_1 = v_2$. This reduction occurs in the numerical formulation through Equations 4.2.15 and 4.2.16, where the integral functions H_1 , I_1 , J_1 , H_1^* , I_1^* , and J_1^* vanish identically for all arguments. With n=11, the elliptical boundary for any ellipticity ratio ρ is prescribed by:

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
m(i)	11	11	11	11	11	10	10	9	8	7	5

This is the boundary exhibited by the example contact zone mesh shown in Figure 4-1. The pressure is set to zero at the following boundary mesh points (ξ_i, η_i) which fall outside the true elliptical contour:

$$(i, j) = (2, 11) (3, 11) (4, 11) (5, 11) (6, 10) (7, 10) (7, 9) (8, 9) (9, 8) (9, 7) (10, 7) (10, 6) (11, 5) (11, 4) (11, 3) (11, 2) 55$$
With $E_1/E_3 = 0$ completing the definition of this approximate Hertz problem, numerical solutions were obtained at ellipticity ratios of 1, 2, 5, and 10. Table 5-1 shows the resulting values of ε , Γ , ϕ° , \overline{W} , a/a, p°/p°, and d/d along with the exact values given by Equations 3.18 through 3.23 and 3.33. Note the improved agreement in a/a_0 and d/d relative to the corresponding quantities \overline{W} and Γ . This is explained in part by the proportionality of a/a_0 to $\overline{W}^{-1/3}$ through Equation 3.30.

A second comparison is provided by an essentially exact computation of surface displacements for a layered solid due to an axisymmetric ellipsoidal pressure distribution. In terms of the dimensionless variables $\tilde{u}_{0} = u_{0}R/a^{2}$ for surface displacement and $\lambda = r/a =$ $\sqrt{\epsilon^2}$ + r

$$1 + \eta^{-1}$$
 for radius, Equation II.1 of Appendix II takes the form:

$$u_{0}(\lambda) = \frac{\pi^{2} \phi^{0}}{4} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{8\alpha}{\pi} & \int g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) - 1 \end{bmatrix} J_{0}(\alpha \lambda \omega) (\sin \alpha \omega - \alpha \omega \cos \alpha \omega) \frac{ds}{(\alpha \omega)^{3}} (5.1)$$
$$+ 2 - \lambda^{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda \leq 1$$

for the surface displacement due to pressure distribution:

$$\phi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \phi^{\circ} \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} & \lambda \leq 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

For a given value of λ , the integral in Equation 5.1 is evaluated to 7-place accuracy by dividing the truncated region of integration (0 to 10) into a suitable number of intervals as discussed in Section 4.2.2 and using a 10-point Gaussian quadrature to integrate numerically over each interval. This exact computation of $u_{0}^{\prime}(\lambda)$ is compared with the result obtained by computing the right-hand side of Equation 4.9 for:

 $\phi_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \phi^{\circ} & 1 - \xi_i^2 - \eta_j^2 \\ & & \xi_i^2 + \eta_j^2 \leq 1 \end{cases}$ $\xi_i^2 + \eta_i^2 \ge 1$

with influence coefficients obtained for $\rho = 1$, $\beta = 0$, and the m(i)'s given above for a general elliptical boundary. Computations were performed for the case of an incompressible layer ($v_1 = 0.5$) on a rigid substrate ($E_1/E_2 = 0$) with $\alpha = 1, 2$, and 4. ϕ° was assigned a value

ρ	1	2	5	10					
ε	1.000	2.855	11.95	37.05	Computed				
	1.000	2.843	11.83	36.54	Exact				
	0.0	+0.4	+1.0	+1.4	% Error				
Г	1.96	1.68	1.46	1.36	Computed				
	2.00	1.71	1.47	1.37	Exact				
	-2.0	-1.8	-0.7	-0.7	% Error				
φ ^ο	0.402	0.502	0.777	1.180	Computed				
	0.405	0.505	0.777	1.176	Exact				
	-0.7	-0.6	0.0	+0.3	% Error				
พิ	0.825	0.515	0.320	0.243	Computed				
	0.849	0.529	0.326	0.246	Exact				
	-2.8	-2.6	-1.8	-1.2	% Error				
a/a _o	1.010	1.181	1.385	1.517	Computed				
	1.000	1.171	1.376	1.511	Exact				
	+1.0	+0.9	+0.7	+0.4	% Error				
p°/p°	1.002	1.464	2.656	4.42	Computed				
	1.000	1.459	2.640	4.38	Exact				
	+0.2	+0.3	+0.6	+0.9	% Error				
d/d _o	1.000	1.173	1.396	1.560	Computed				
	1.000	1.173	1.395	1.557	Exact				
	0.0	0.0	+0.1	+0.2	% Error				

Π

Π

TABLE 5-1 COMPARISON BETWEEN NUMERICAL AND EXACT SOLUTIONS FOR HERTZ CONTACT

of $4/\pi^2$ to normalize the coefficient of Equation 5.1. Table 5-2 shows the resulting exact and numerically computed values of $\dot{\tilde{u}}_{0}(\lambda)$ for λ between 0 and 1 in increments of 0.2. As expected from the nature of the discretized pressure distribution, agreement is good near the center ($\lambda = 0$) and relatively poor at the edge ($\lambda = 1$) where the gradients of the actual pressure distribution are high and not adequately represented by the discretized model. The difficulty at the edge is obvious from an inspection of Figure 4-3 which illustrates the discretized model of an ellipsoidal pressure distribution for n=11.

The final comparison is provided by the solutions reported by Chen and Engel [4] for axisymmetric layered contact. As discussed in Part 1, these are approximate solutions to a one-dimensional integral equation. Nevertheless, the accuracy level verified by the authors is high enough that the solutions can be assumed exact for purposes of this comparison. The reported solutions consist of tabulated values of a dimensionless load $p^* = 3\pi \overline{W}/8$ and approach $\delta^* = \Gamma/2$ over ranges of α and E_1/E_2 with $E_1/E_3 = 0$ and $v_1 = v_3 = 1/3$. Values of \overline{W} and Γ computed by the present method for $\alpha = 1$, 5, $E_1/E_2 = 0.1$, 10 are shown in Table 5-3 along with the corresponding reported values. Also shown in this table are the values of a/a_0 and d/d_0 obtained from \overline{W} and Γ through Equations 3.30 and 3.28. Relative error magnitudes are notably similar to those shown in Table 5-1 for contact of homogeneous solids. Again note the small errors in a/a_0 and d/d_0 relative to those in \overline{W} and Γ .

The comparisons with the Hertz solution and the axisymmetric analysis of Chen and Engel show an error of roughly 1 percent in the solution quantities of primary physical importance: curvature ratio ε , major halfwidth a/a_0 , approach d/d_0 , and central pressure p^0/p_0^0 . The edge discrepancies in the comparison between exact and computed displacement profiles are representative of local errors that can be expected in computed pressures near the edge of the contact zone. Thus, the present formulation with n=11, while providing a fairly accurate computation of ε , a/a_0 , d/d_0 , and p^0/p_0^0 , does not given an accurate prediction of either the pressure profile shape near the boundary or the shape of the boundary contour. A finer mesh would be required to accurately determine these shapes.

TABLE 5-2

COMPARISON BETWEEN NUMERICAL AND EXACT SOLUTIONS FOR SURFACE DISPLACEMENTS DUE TO AXISYMMETRIC ELLIPSOIDAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION

a	0	1	2	4	
$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{0}(\lambda=0)$	-	0.755	0.264	0.0579	Computed
U	2.00	0.755	0.263	0.0574	Exact
	-	0.0	+0.4	+0.9	% Error
λ=0.2	-	0.732	0.261	0.0583	Computed
	1.96	0.732	0.260	0.0578	Exact
	-	0.0	+0.4	+0.9	% Error
$\lambda = 0.4$	_	0.659	0.247	0.0593	Computed
	1.84	0.660	0.246	0.0587	Exact
	-	-0.2	0.4	+1.0	% Error
λ=0.6	-	0.532	0.212	0.0591	Computed
	1.64	0.535	0.212	0.0583	Exact
	-	-0.6	0.0	+1.4	% Error
λ=0.8	_	0.342	0.130	0.0453	Computed
	1.36	0.348	0.133	0.0456	Exact
	-	-1.7	-2.3	-0.7	% Error
λ=1.0		0.077	-0.030	-0.0356	Computed
	1.00	0.090	-0.020	-0.0286	Exact
	-	-14	+50	+24.5	% Error

Elastic Properties: $E_1/E_2 = 0$ $v_1 = 0.5$

Π

 \square

Π

Π

I.

Π

Pressure Distribution: $\phi = \begin{cases} (4/\pi^2) & \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} & \lambda \leq 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \geq 1 \end{cases}$

TABLE 5-3

COMPARISON OF NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS FOR AXISYMMETRIC LAYERED CONTACT WITH RESULTS OF CHEN AND ENGEL [4]

 $E_{1}/E_{3} = 0$

 $v_1 = v_2 = 1/3$

Contraction of the local data and the						
α	E1/E2	พิ	Г	a/a _o	d/d	
1	0.1	1.012 1.045 -3.2	1.349 1.374 -1.8	0.943 0.933 +1.1	0.600 0.598 +0.4	Computed Chen and Engel* % Error
5	0.1	2.539 2.615 -2.9	1.312 1.334 -1.7	0.694 0.687 +1.0	0.316 0.315 +0.2	Computed Chen and Engel % Error
1	10	0.490 0.500 -2.1	4.564 4.645 -1.7	1.201 1.193 +0.7	3.293 3.305 -0.3	Computed Chen and Engel % Error
5	10	0.144 0.149 -3.3	2.658 2.720 -2.3	1.807 1.787 +1.1	4.337 4.343 -0.1	Computed Chen and Engel % Error

*Data from Reference [4].

[]

B

[]

Π

1

1

I

5.2 Numerical Solutions

Numerical solutions over ranges of α and ρ have been obtained for three sets of elastic property ratios in order to determine the influence of layer thickness and curvature ratio on the major and minor halfwidths, approach, and central pressure for a given load. The elastic property ratios pertain to (i) contact between a rigid solid and an incompressible layer backed by a rigid substrate, (ii) contact between a rigid solid and a high modulus layer backed by a low modulus substrate, and (iii) contact between a low modulus solid and a high modulus layer backed by a low modulus substrate. The following values represent these configurations:

	E1/E2	E1/E3	v ₁	^v 2	^v 3	
(i)	0	0	0.5	-	-	
(ii)	10	0	0.25	0.25	-	
(iii)	10	10	0.25	0.25	0.25	

Computations were performed with values of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 assigned to α and with values assigned to ρ in increments of 0.5 from 1.0 to the first value resulting in a computed curvature ratio ε of 10 or above. In all cases, a discretized elliptical boundary, specified according to the values of m(i) and points of zero pressure given in Section 5-1 for n=11, resulted in a qualitatively well behaved pressure distribution in the sense that pressures fell to zero at the boundary without any unexpected irregularities. In other words, any departure of the boundary from the ellipse of the Hertz solution could not be distinguished due to the boundary truncation error associated with the n=11 mesh.

Figures 5-1, 5-2, and 5-3 show the computed axisymmetric ($\rho = \epsilon = 1$) pressure profiles for the three material combinations. The limiting cases of $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = \infty$ correspond to the Hertz solutions for an infinitely thick layer and a vanishing layer. The variation of these pressure profiles with α represents the variation with layer thickness of the load required to maintain a fixed contact zone radius a. When each profile is normalized by its center value, the profile shapes shown in Figures 5-4, 5-5, and 5-6 are obtained. Those in Figure 5-4



-

]]

]]

11

1

T

Fig. 5-1 Axisymmetric Pressure Profiles, Material Combination (i).



Π

Π

0

 \square

Í

Π

[]

Π

[]

Π

Fig. 5-2 Axisymmetric Pressure Profiles, Material Combination (ii)



T





Fig. 5-4 Axisymmetric Pressure Profile Shapes, Material Combination (i).



11

1

I

Fig. 5-5 Axisymmetric Pressure Profile Shapes, Material Combination (ii)



Fig. 5-6 Axisymmetric Pressure Profile Shapes, Material Combination (iii)

for material combination (i) appear to approach a parabolic shape with increasing α . For material combination (iii) in Figure 5-6, very little change from the Hertz profile shape is evident. This is because the relative surface displacement is dominated by the low modulus homogeneous solid. The profile shapes in Figure 5-5 for material combination (ii) show a substantial departure from the Hertz ellipse. These shapes, which show a peak pressure near the edge of contact, also appear in the results of Chen and Engel [4] and of Gupta and Walowit [6].

Through interpolation of the arrays of values of ε , a_0/h , a/a_0 , b/a_0 , d/d_0 , and p^0/p_0^0 computed for the three material combinations at the above set of values of α and ρ , curves are generated to display a/a_0 , b/a_0 , d/d_0 , and p^0/p_0^0 as functions of a_0/h at fixed values of ε between 1 and 10. Figures 5-7, 5-8, and 5-9 show the a/a_0 curves for the three material combinations; Figures 5-10, 5-11, and 5-12 show the b/a_0 curves; Figures 5-13, 5-14, and 5-15 show the d/d_0 curves; and Figures 5-16, 5-17, and 5-18 show the p^0/p_0^0 curves. Since a_0 , d_0 , and p_0^0 defined by Equations 3.27, 3.25, and 3.26 are constants that depend on the load W, these curves directly display the dependence of a, b, d, and p_0^0 on h and ε .

The range of a_0/h from zero to the value at which a given curve terminates covers the range from $\alpha = 0$ to $\alpha = 5$ for that curve. The value of the starting point of each curve $(a_0/h = \alpha = 0)$ is obtained from the Hertz solution for a layer of infinite thickness. In the limit $a_0/h \rightarrow \infty$ which means a vanishing layer, material combination (i) is representing a contact between two rigid solids; for any curvature ratio the contact zone is becoming a point with major and minor halfwidths and approach vanishing and the central pressure becoming infinite. In the same limit for material combinations (ii) and (iii), the layered solid is becoming a homogeneous solid of the elastic substrate material. The values of a/a_0 , b/a_0 , d/d_0 , and p^0/p_0^0 from the Hertz solution for the substrate material are shown as limiting values approached by the curves for material combinations (ii) and (iii).



[]

1

Π

Π

1

Fig. 5-7 Major Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (i).



I

-

-

Π

1

]]

1

I

I

Fig. 5-8 Major Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (ii)



Fig. 5-9 Major Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (iii)



Fig. 5-10 Minor Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (i).

]]

]



1

R

[]

Fig. 5-11 Minor Halfwidth versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (ii)



[]







1

1

]]

]]

[]

1

I

I

I





U





Fig. 5-16 Central Pressure versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (i).



[]

Π





Ī

1

Fig. 5-18 Central Pressure versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (iii)

Elementary physical reasoning explains most of the trends shown by these curves. For material combination (i) at a given curvature ratio, a decreasing layer thickness reflects a general stiffening of the system since the substrate is rigid. Thus, at fixed load, the approach decreases (Figure 5-13); the major and minor halfwidths decrease (Figures 5-7 and 5-10) causing the contact area to decrease and the central pressure to increase (Figure 5-16). The opposite trend is shown by material combinations (ii) and (iii). The layer is of higher modulus than the substrate so the system becomes less stiff with decreasing layer thickness. This is consistent with an increasing approach (Figures 5-14 and 5-15), increasing major and minor halfwidths (Figures 5-8, 5-9, 5-11, and 5-12) and, thus, an increasing contact area and a decreasing central pressure (Figures 5-17 and 5-18). The approach, major and minor halfwidths, and central pressure are seen to be less sensitive to layer thickness for material combination (iii) than they are for material combination (ii). Since material combination (iii) contains a low modulus homogeneous solid, a given change in layer thickness makes less of a difference in the overall stiffness of the system than it does for material combination (ii) where the homogeneous solid is rigid. In other words, the relative surface displacement for material combination (iii) is dominated by the low modulus homogeneous solid, while the relative surface displacement for material combination (ii) consists only of the surface displacement of the layered solid.

Figures 5-19, 5-20, and 5-21 show curves of the ellipticity ratio (a/b) versus a_0/h for the three material combinations. These curves are simply obtained as the ratio of values given by the a/a_0 and b/a_0 curves. For a given curvature ratio, material combination (i) shows a steady decrease in a/b with decreasing layer thickness; the contact zone tends to become more circular with decreasing layer thickness. This reflects the tendency of the low modulus layer material to be displaced in a direction parallel to the minor axis of the rigid elliptical paraboloid that is penetrating the layer. For material combinations (ii) and (iii), a/b has the same value for an infinitely thick layer ($a_0/h = 0$) as for a vanishing layer ($a_0/h = \infty$) since

	2 OF 2 AD A058232					<u>i</u> <u>i</u>				a and a second		
<u>در المراجع</u>		i Julian y				1		-	Lunung			1
4		لسل	Lewil.	1	<u>u</u>		 hund	whent	. Ali Lapan	diable in	home	
hands		Luc			END Date Filmed 8 -78 DDC							
	d)											





Fig. 5-19 Ellipticity Ratio versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (i).



Fig. 5-20 Ellipticity Ratio versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (ii).



Fig. 5-21 Ellipticity Ratio versus Layer Thickness at Fixed Curvature Ratio, Material Combination (iii).

a/b depends only on curvature ratio in the Hertz solution. Between the two extremes, a/b increases to a maximum value and then decreases with decreasing layer thickness. Material combination (iii) shows very little rise in a/b as expected from its relative insensitivity to layer thickness. The rise is prominent for material combination (ii) with a/b at a particular ε reaching a maximum value that is less than the value of ε but at lower ε very close to it. As the maximum value of a/b is approached, the contact zone boundary contour is tending to coincide with a curve bounding a cross-section of the rigid paraboloid.

As a simple example to demonstrate the application of these results to an engineering problem, consider the loading of an elastomer surface layer ($v_1 = 0.5$) by the edge of a crowned disc as shown in Figure 5-22. The undeformed separation profile near the contact zone will be an elliptical paraboloid of principal radii of curvature R and R where x and R where x is the crown radius and R is the disc radius. Let the elastomer have a low enough elastic modulus that the substrate it is bonded to and the disc can be regarded as rigid. Then the data for material combination (i) is applicable. Consider the following case:

Disc Radius:	$R_y = 2$ inches
Crown Radius:	$R_x = 6$ inches
Elastomer:	$E_1 = 1000 \text{ lb/in.}^2$
Layer Thickness:	h = 0.10 inches
Load:	$W = 20 \ 1b$

One wishes to determine the major and minor halfwidths a, b, approach d, and central pressure p^{0} . First, from Equations 3.27, 3.25, and 3.26:

 $a_{o} = 0.407$ inches $d_{o} = 0.028$ inches $p_{o}^{0} = 57.6$ lb/in.²

-

and the second s

T

T



Fig. 5-22 Loading of Surface Layer by Edge of Crowned Disc

With $\varepsilon = 3$ and $a_0/h = 4.07$, a/a_0 , b/a_0 , d/d_0 , and p^0/p_0^0 can be read directly from Figures 5-7, 5-10, 5-13, and 5-16, respectively. One finds:

$$a/a_{o} = 0.745$$

 $b/a_{o} = 0.447$
 $d/d_{o} = 0.25$
 $p^{o}/p_{o}^{o} = 3.9$

with the result:

a = 0.303 inches b = 0.182 inches d = 0.007 inches $p^{0} = 220 \ 1b/in.^{2}$

PART 6

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a numerical method is developed to compute the pressure distribution and normal approach in a generalized elliptical contact between layered solids. The computed quantities are obtained as an approximate numerical solution to an integral equation formulated for the most general case of Hertz's assumptions, that is, the frictionless contact between arbitrary surfaces whose undeformed normal separation can be approximated by the separation between an elliptical paraboloid and the tangent plane at its vertex. The method is applied to the contact between a homogeneous solid and a layered solid consisting of an isotropic surface layer in perfect adhesion to an isotropic substrate. While plane strain and axisymmetric solutions for this case have been reported in the literature [4-8], no solutions have been reported for the general three-dimensional problem dealt with here.

With the kernel function given by a Hankel transform inversion integral, the integral equation must be treated by some approximate method to obtain solutions in a useful numerical form. The method used here combines a discretized representation of the unknown pressure distribution with an essentially exact numerical evaluation of the kernel function. Values of pressure are defined at points on a rectilinear grid representing the contact zone and a linear function approximates the continuous distribution of pressure between adjacent points. By expressing the integral equation at each grid point for this discretized distribution, one arrives at a system of simultaneous linear equations in the grid point pressures with coefficients formed from integrals of the kernel over right triangular regions between adjacent points. The approach and the ratio of the principal radii of curvature of the parabolic separation also appear as unknowns.

The key numerical task in this analysis is the evaluation of a large array of integrals of the kernel function required to form the coefficients of the unknown pressures. Integration in three variables, the two space variables of the integral equation and the Hankel transform variable ω , is performed by Gaussian quadrature with a resultant accuracy of around six decimal places. Once the coefficients are computed, the system of equations for the grid point pressures, approach, and curvature ratio is solved by Gaussian elimination. In physical terms, the solution permits one to determine the major and minor halfwidths, pressures, and approach for a given load, layer thickness, and curvature ratio. The dimensionless load, obtained as the integral of the solution pressure distribution, is equivalent to a dimensionless major halfwidth.

In setting up the contact zone grid for a particular problem, the major to minor halfwidth ratio or ellipticity ratio is defined. The shape of the contact zone boundary contour between the vertices at the major and minor halfwidths must also be defined even though the true shape is an unknown in the problem. In general, the true shape, which is an ellipse in the Hertz solution for homogeneous solids, must be determined iteratively as the shape along which the solution pressure profile vanishes. With a grid of ten uniform divisions along the major and minor halfwidths, a discrete representation of an elliptical contour gave satisfactory results for all cases considered in the study.

Accuracy of the numerical method with the above-mentioned grid was checked at limiting cases by comparison of predicted results with available solutions. Comparisons include the Hertz solution for homogeneous solids [1-3] and numerical results of Chen and Engel [4] for axisymmetric layered solids. The major and minor halfwidths, central pressure, and approach computed by the present method agree to within one percent with the comparison values.

Numerical results generated over a range of ellipticity ratios and major halfwidth to layer thickness ratios are shown for three material combinations as curves that give the dimensionless major and minor halfwidths, approach, and central pressure as a function of layer
thickness at fixed curvature ratio. The load appears in scale factors for the dimensionless variables. At a given load and curvature ratio, the ellipticity ratio of the contact zone is shown to decrease with decreasing layer thickness for a low modulus layer bonded to a high modulus substrate and increase with decreasing layer thickness for a high modulus !ayer bonded to a low modulus substrate. Pressure profile shapes that differ substantially from the ellipsoid of the Hertz solution appear in the case of a high modulus layer bonded to a low modulus substrate.

The same method can be used to compute the subsurface stresses in a layered halfspace under an arbitrary surface loading. The computation of subsurface stresses under a loading consisting of a computed contact pressure distribution would be of value as a means of determining safe operating loads for surface-coated bearing elements in concentrated contact. In addition, the method can easily be applied to other contact configurations such as the contact between two layered solids, layered solids with interface conditions that involve slip and friction, and solids that contain more than one layer. The inversion integral for the kernel function would have a different form in each case; everything else would be the same.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hertz, H., "Miscellaneous Papers," translated by D.E. Jones and G.A. Schott, pp. 146-162, 163-183, MacMillan, New York, 1896.
- Love, A.E.H., "A Treatise on the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity," Fourth Edition, Cambridge, London, 1934.
- 3. Timoshenko, S., and Goodier, J.N., "Theory of Elasticity," Second Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1951.
- Chen, W.T., and Engel, P.A., "Impact and Contact Stress Analysis in Multilayer Media," International Journal of Solids and Structures, Vol 8, No. 11, Nov. 1972, pp. 1257-1281.
- Tu, Y., "A Numerical Solution for an Axially Symmetric Contact Problem," Journal of Applied Mechanics, Vol. 35, Trans. ASME, Vol. 89, Series E, No. 2, June 1967, pp. 283-286.
- Gupta, P.K., and Walowit, J.A., "Contact Stresses between an Elastic Cylinder and a Layered Elastic Solid," Journal of Lubrication Technology, Trans. ASME, Vol. 96, Series F, No. 2, April 1974, pp. 250-257.
- Wu, J.J., and Ling, F.F., "A Method for Micro-Hardness Analysis of an Elastoplastic Material," Developments in Mechanics, Vol. 6, 1971, pp. 359-372.
- 8. Sneddon, I.N., "Fourier Transforms," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1951.
- 9. "Handbook of Mathematical Functions," edited by M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, Dover, New York, 1970.

APPENDIX I ANALYTICAL EVALUATION OF BASIC INTEGRALS

The integral functions defined by Equation 4.2.17:

$$\begin{cases} H_{o} \\ I_{o} \\ J_{o} \\ J_{o} \end{cases}$$
 (s,t) = $\int_{\sigma} \int_{\sigma} \int_{\sigma} (u^{2} + v^{2})^{-1/2} \left\{ u_{v} \\ v \\ v \\ \end{array} \right\}$ dudv (I.1)

$$\begin{cases} H^{\star}_{O} \\ I^{\star}_{O} \\ J^{\star}_{O} \\ J^{\star}_{O} \end{cases} (s,t,+p) = \int_{S}^{t} \int_{S}^{S+pv} (u^{2}+v^{2})^{-1/2} \begin{cases} 1 \\ u \\ v \end{cases} dudv \qquad (I.2)$$

are evaluated by carrying out the double integration in polar coordinates (r, θ) with:

$$u = r\cos\theta$$
$$v = r\sin\theta$$
$$r = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$$
$$\theta = \tan^{-1}(v/u)$$

and the differential element dudy replaced with rdrd θ . Figure I-1 shows the types of regions which must be considered with (a) applying to Equation I.1, (b) and (c) to Equation I.2 for a slope of $-\rho$, and (d) to Equation I.2 for a slope of $+\rho$. In polar coordinates, the integrals over these regions take the following form:

$$\begin{cases} H_{o} \\ I_{o} \\ J_{o} \end{cases} (s,t) = \begin{bmatrix} \tan^{-1}(t/s) & s/\cos\theta & \pi/2 & t/\sin\theta \\ f & f & + & f & f \\ 0 & 0 & \tan^{-1}(t/s) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} 1 \\ r\cos\theta \\ r\sin\theta \\ r\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} drd\theta$$

1

$$\begin{cases} \frac{H^{*}}{O} \\ I^{*}_{O} \\ J^{*}_{O} \\ J^{*}_{O} \\ \end{bmatrix} (s,t,-\rho) = \begin{bmatrix} \tan^{-1}[t/(s-\rho t)] & s/(\cos\theta+\rho\sin\theta) \\ f & f \\ o & o \\ \\ & + \begin{array}{c} \pi/2 & t/\sin\theta \\ f & f \\ tan^{-1}[t/(s-\rho t)] & o \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} 1 \\ r\cos\theta \\ r\sin\theta \\ \end{cases} drd\theta$$



.

Next, the straightforward r integration is carried out and the remaining θ integrals are adjusted where necessary to give all of the lower limits a value of zero. This results in:

$$\begin{cases} H_{o} \\ I_{o} \\ J_{o} \\ J_{o} \\ \end{cases} (s,t) = \begin{cases} s \\ s^{2}/2 \\ s^{2}/2 \\ s^{2}/2 \\ \end{cases} tan^{-1}(t/s) \begin{cases} 1/\cos\theta \\ 1/\cos\theta \\ \sin\theta/\cos^{2}\theta \\ sin\theta/\cos^{2}\theta \\ \end{cases} d\theta$$

$$(I.3)$$

$$+ \begin{cases} t \\ t^{2}/2 \\ t^$$

s > pt

$$\begin{cases} H_{0}^{*} \\ I_{0}^{*} \\ J_{0}^{*} \\ 0 \end{cases} (s,t,-\rho) = \begin{cases} s \\ s^{2}/2 \\ s^{2}/2 \\ s^{2}/2 \end{cases}^{t} \tan^{-1}[t/(s-\rho t)] \begin{cases} 1/(\cos\theta+\rho\sin\theta) \\ \cos\theta/(\cos\theta+\rho\sin\theta)^{2} \\ \sin\theta/(\cos\theta+\rho\sin\theta)^{2} \end{cases} d\theta \\ \sin\theta/(\cos\theta+\rho\sin\theta)^{2} \end{cases} d\theta \\ + \begin{cases} t \\ t^{2}/2 \\ t^{2}/2 \\ t^{2}/2 \\ t^{2}/2 \end{cases}^{\pi/2-tan^{-1}[t/(s-\rho t)]} \begin{cases} 1/(\cos\theta+\rho\sin\theta) \\ \sin\theta/(\cos\theta+\rho\sin\theta)^{2} \\ \sin\theta/(\cos\theta+\rho\sin\theta)^{2} \\ \sin\theta/(\cos\theta+\rho\sin\theta)^{2} \end{cases} d\theta$$
(I.4)

1

I

$$\frac{\mathbf{s} \leq \rho \mathbf{t}}{\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{H}^{\star}_{0} \\ \mathsf{I}^{\star}_{0} \\ \mathsf{J}^{\star}_{0} \end{array}\right\}} (\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, -\rho) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_{2/2} \\ \mathbf{s}_{2/2}^{\star} \\ \mathbf{s}_{2}^{\star}/2 \\ \mathbf{s}_{2}^{\star}/2 \end{cases}} \frac{\pi - \tan^{-1} [t/(\rho \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{s})]}{f} \\ \int_{0}^{1/(\cos\theta + \rho \sin\theta)^{2}} d\theta \\ \sin\theta/(\cos\theta + \rho \sin\theta)^{2} \\ \sin\theta/(\cos\theta + \rho \sin\theta)^{2} \\ \sin\theta/(\cos\theta + \rho \sin\theta)^{2} \\ \mathrm{d}\theta \\ \frac{1}{1/\cos\theta} \\ - \left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{t}_{2/2}^{\star} \\ \mathsf{t}_{2/2}^{\star} \\ \mathsf{t}_{2/2}^{\star} \\ \mathsf{d}_{2}^{\star} \\ \mathsf{d}_{2$$

The above expressions contain the integrals of five basic functions of θ , which can be evaluated by elementary techniques to yield the following:

$$\int_{0}^{\Phi} \frac{d\theta}{\cos\theta} = \log \frac{1 + \sin\phi}{\cos\phi}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\Phi} \frac{\sin d\theta}{\cos^{2}\theta} = \frac{1 - \cos\phi}{\cos\phi}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\Phi} \frac{d\theta}{\cos^{2}\theta} = \frac{1 - \cos\phi}{\cos\phi}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\Phi} \frac{d\theta}{\cos\theta + \rho\sin\theta} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \log \frac{(\sigma + \rho) (\sigma \sin\phi + 1)}{\sigma \cos\phi + \rho}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\Phi} \frac{\cos d\theta}{(\cos\theta + \rho \sin\theta)^{2}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \left[\frac{+\rho}{\cos\phi + \rho \sin\phi} + \rho + \int_{0}^{\Phi} \frac{d\theta}{\cos\theta + \rho \sin\theta} \right]$$

$$\int_{0}^{\Phi} \frac{\sin d\theta}{(\cos\theta + \rho \sin\theta)^{2}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{\cos\phi + \rho \sin\phi} - 1 + \rho \int_{0}^{\Phi} \frac{d\theta}{\cos\theta + \rho \sin\theta} \right]$$

where $\sigma \equiv \sqrt{1 + \rho^2}$

.

Π

 \Box

When the appropriate limits of integration in Equations I.3 through I.6 are substituted for ϕ in these integrals, the final closed form expressions for Equations I.1 and I.2 are obtained. Defining the quantities:

$$R^{\circ} = \sqrt{s^{2} + t^{2}}$$

$$s^{+}_{-} = s + \rho t$$

$$R^{+}_{-} = \sqrt{s^{+2}_{-} + t^{2}}$$

the resulting expressions take the form:

$$H_{o}(s,t) = s \log \frac{R^{o} + t}{s} + t \log \frac{R^{o} + s}{t}$$

$$I_{o}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2} s^{2} \log \frac{R^{o} + t}{s} + \frac{1}{2} t(R^{o} - t)$$

$$J_{o}(s,t) = \frac{1}{2} s(R^{o} - s) + \frac{1}{2} t^{2} \log \frac{R^{o} + s}{t}$$

$$H_{o}^{*}(s,t,\pm\rho) = \frac{s}{\sigma} \log \frac{(\sigma \pm \rho) (\sigma t + R^{\pm})}{\sigma s^{\pm} + \rho R^{\pm}}$$

$$+ Sgn(s^{\pm}) t \log \frac{R^{\pm} + |s^{\pm}|}{t}$$

$$I_{o}^{*}(s,t,\pm\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \left[\frac{\pm \rho (R^{\pm} - s)}{s} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \log \frac{(\sigma \pm \rho) (\sigma t + R^{\pm})}{\sigma s^{\pm} \pm R^{\pm}}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} t (R^{\pm} - t)$$

$$J_{o}^{*}(s,t,\pm\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \left[\frac{R^{\pm} - s}{s} \pm \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \log \frac{(\sigma \pm \rho) (\sigma t + R^{\pm})}{\sigma s^{\pm} \pm R^{\pm}}\right]$$

$$+ Sgn(s^{\pm}) \frac{1}{2} t^{2} \log \frac{R^{\pm} + |s^{\pm}|}{t}$$

1

I

where

 $\operatorname{Sgn}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \mathbf{x} \geq 0 \\ -1 & \mathbf{x} < 0 \end{cases}$

APPENDIX II SURFACE DISPLACEMENT DUE TO AXISYMMETRIC ELLIPSOIDAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION

Equation 2.12 with Equation 2.10 gives the surface displacement $u_0(\bar{\rho})$ of a layered halfspace due to an arbitrary axisymmetric pressure distribution $p(\bar{\rho})$ where $\bar{\rho} = r/h$ is a dimensionless radial coordinate. Let the pressure be distributed over a region of radius a and define the dimensionless radial coordinate $\lambda = r/a$. Written in terms of λ , Equations 2.12 and 2.10 appear as:

$$u_{o}(\lambda) = \frac{2h(1-v_{1}^{2})}{E_{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} [g_{2}(\omega)-g_{4}(\omega)]P(\omega)J_{o}(\alpha\lambda\omega)d\omega$$

$$P(\omega) = \alpha^2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \lambda p(\lambda) J_{0}(\alpha \lambda \omega) d\lambda$$

11

Π

[]

T

T

Now consider the ellipsoidal pressure distribution:

$$p(\lambda) = \begin{cases} p^{\circ} & \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} & \lambda \leq 1 \\ 0 & \Lambda > 1 \end{cases}$$

for which $P(\omega)$ can be shown to take the form:

$$P(\omega) = \alpha^2 p^0 \frac{\sin \alpha \omega - \alpha \omega \cos \alpha \omega}{(\alpha \omega)^3}$$

permitting $u_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ to be determined from:

$$I_{0}(\lambda) = \frac{2ap^{0}(1-v_{1}^{2})}{E_{1}} \alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} [g_{2}(\omega)-g_{4}(\omega)]J_{0}(\alpha\lambda\omega)(\sin\alpha\omega - \alpha\omega\cos\alpha\omega) \frac{d\omega}{(\alpha\omega)^{3}}$$

To write this expression in terms of the dimensionless pressure ϕ defined in Equation 3.9, define the dimensionless displacement:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} u = u_{o} \left(\frac{2R_{x}}{a}\right)$$

Į

which is the scaling used implicitly in deriving Equation 3.10. With

$$\phi^{\circ} = \frac{2R_{\mathbf{x}}(1-v_1^2)p^{\circ}}{\pi E_1 a}$$

from Equation 3.9, $u_0(\lambda)$ can be written as:

$$\begin{array}{l} & \stackrel{\circ}{}_{0} \left(\lambda \right) = 2\pi\phi^{\circ}\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[g_{2}(\omega) - g_{4}(\omega) \right] J_{0}(\alpha\lambda\omega) (\sin\alpha\omega - \alpha\omega\cos\alpha\omega) \frac{d\omega}{(\alpha\omega)^{3}} \end{array}$$

By limiting this expression to $\lambda \leq 1$, the result

$$\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}(\alpha\lambda\omega) (\sin\alpha\omega - \alpha\omega\cos\alpha\omega) \frac{d\omega}{(\alpha\omega)^{3}} = \frac{\pi}{8} (2-\lambda^{2}) , \quad \lambda \leq 1$$

may be used to obtain

Ţ

As discussed in Section 2.2., the improper integral in this expression may be given a finite upper limit ω_0 for numerical evaluation with

an associated error of order $\omega_{o}^{2} e^{-2\omega_{o}}$.

APPENDIX III

DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "HIJPRG"

This program computes and writes into a direct access file the arrays of integral functions H_1 , I_1 , J_1 , H_1^* , I_1^* , and J_1^* according to the analysis given in Section 4.2.2. The program uses the CDC checkpoint/ restart facility to permit the execution of longer runs in a series of job steps. The parameter TCHECK, defined in statement No. 10 of HIJPRG, sets the maximum number of central processor seconds for a single job step. In this version, TCHECK = 480. The system clock reading is compared with TCHECK at various points throughout HIJPRG. If TCHECK is exceeded, a checkpoint dump is taken and execution stops. Execution continues from that point when the restart job is submitted. The user should consult the CDC FORTRAN and NOS Operating System Manuals for specific instructions on direct access file handling and the checkpoint/restart facility.

Input Description

1

Punched card input is submitted as follows:

Card 1	FØRMAT (I10)
ICASE =	Integer identification number for direct access file containing output data. For ICASE = 0, output is not written on file.
Card 2	FØRMAT (5F10.2)
$PØIS1 = v_1$	
$PØIS2 = v_2$	
$E1E2 = E_1/$	[′] [′] [′] ²
Card 3	FØRMAT (2F10.2, 110)
AH = α =	a/h
$RH\phi = \rho =$	a/b
NDIV = n -	1 (NDIV cannot exceed 10 in present versions of HIJPRG and PSØLV)

Output Description

Printer output is given for the computed arrays of integral functions:

1

[]

1

[]

IJ

17

[]

[]

17

17

17

12

1

$$XH(I,J) = H_1[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta]$$

$$XI(I,J) = I_1[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta]$$

$$XJ(I,J) = J_1[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta]$$

$$I=1,...,2(NDIV) + 1$$

$$J=1,...,2(NDIV) + 1$$

$$XHM(I,J) = H_{1}^{*} [(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, -\rho]$$
$$XIM(I,J) = I_{1}^{*} [(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, -\rho]$$
$$XJM(I,J) = J_{1}^{*} [(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, -\rho]$$

 $\bar{1}=1,\ldots,4$ (NDIV) + 1 J=1,...,2(NDIV) + 1

 $\begin{aligned} XHP(I,J) &= H_{1}^{*} \left[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, + \rho \right] \\ XIP(I,J) &= I_{1}^{*} \left[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, + \rho \right] \\ XJP(I,J) &= J_{1}^{*} \left[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, + \rho \right] \\ &= 1, \dots, 2(NDIV) + 1 \\ J=1, \dots, NDIV + 1 \end{aligned}$

In the output listing for each array, "I" designates the number of a line or group of lines. The quantities appear sequentially in "J" in each line or group of lines.

APPENDIX IV

DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "PSOLV"

This program reads the direct access file created by HIJPRG that contains the arrays of H_1 , I_1 , J_1 , H_1^* , I_1^* , J_1^* and completes the computations described in Part 4. First, with read-in values of E_1/E_3 and v_3 , it computes the arrays of H, I, J, H*, I*, and J* according to Equations 4.2.15 and 4.2.19 from the arrays of H_1 , I_1 , J_1 , H_1^* , I_1^* , and J_1^* given by the file. Then, with a read-in contact zone boundary description, the computations contained in Equations 4.2.13, 4.2.6, 4.2.7, 4.2.5, and 4.7 and Table 4-1 are performed to generate the matrix of influence coefficients $C_{I,J;i,j}$. In the notation of Equation 4.14, the matrix inversion subroutine MATIN is used to compute the contact pressure distribution $\phi_{i,j}$, approach Γ , curvature ratio ε , and, through Equation 4.17, load W. The quantities a_0/h , a/a_0 , b/a_0 , d/d_0 , and p/p^0 are computed from Equations 3.28 through 3.32.

The user should consult the CDC NOS Operating System Manuals for specific instructions on handling the direct access file created by HIJPRG.

Input Description

I

T

Π

Punched card input is submitted as follows:

Card 1 FØRMAT (110, 2F10.3)

JCASE = Integer identification number for direct access file from HIJPRG. This must be the same as the value of ICASE specified in executing HIJPRG.

$$E1E3 = E_1/E_3$$

 $PØIS3 = v_3$

Card 2 FØRMAT (110)

ITER =

Integer identification number used to identify particular selection of contact zone boundary.

Card 3 FØRMAT (1615)

(NBDY(I), I=1, NDIV)

NBDY(I) = Number of grid point along minor halfwidth at which contact zone terminates for Ith division along major halfwidth.

> m(1) = NBDY(1)m(1) = NBDY(1-1) I=2,...,NDIV + 1

Restrictions:

NBDY(1) = NDIV + 1 NBDY(1) < NBDY(1-1) , I=2,...,NDIV

- Card 4 FØRMAT (110)
 - NPZERO = Total number of grid points along boundary at which zero pressure is to be prescribed (not including points at ends of major and minor halfwidths where pressure is automatically set to zero).
- Card 5 FØRMAT (2014)

(MZERO(I), I=1, NPZERO)

MZERO(I) = Vertical grid line (position along major halfwidth) locating Ith zero pressure boundary point.

Card 6 FØRMAT (2014)

(NZERO(I), I=1, NPZERO)

NZERO(I) = Horizontal grid line (position along minor halfwidth) locating Ith zero pressure boundary point.

Output Description

Printer output is given for the following quantities:

 $\begin{aligned} XH(I,J) &= H[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta] \\ XI(I,J) &= I[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta] \\ XJ(I,J) &= J[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta] \\ &= I_1, \dots, 2(NDIV) + 1 \\ &= J_1, \dots, 2(NDIV) + 1 \end{aligned}$

 $XHM(I,J) = H*[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, -\rho]$ $XIM(I,J) = I*[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, -\rho]$ $XJM(I,J) = J*[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, -\rho]$ I=1,...,4(NDIV) + 1 J=1,...,2(NDIV) + 1

$$XHP(I, J) = H*[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, + \rho]$$

$$XIP(I, J) = I*[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, + \rho]$$

$$XJP(I, J) = J*[(I-1)\Delta\xi, (J-1)\Delta\eta, + \rho]$$

$$I=1, \dots, 2(NDIV) + 1$$

$$J=1, \dots, NDIV + 1$$

In the output listing for each array, "I" designates the number of a line or group of lines. The quantities appear sequentially in "J" in each line or group of lines.

Computed Contact Parameters:

Constant of

towned to

I

-

Ι

Π

[]

Π

RXRY =
$$\varepsilon$$

GAM = Γ
PHIO = ϕ^{O}
WBAR = \overline{W}
ACHH = a_{O}/h
AACH = a/a_{O}
BACH = b/a_{O}
DDCH = d/d_{O}
POPOCH = p^{O}/p^{O}

Hertz solution for infinite layer thickness with same values of ρ , $E_1/E_3,$ and ν_3 :

RXRYH = ε GAMH = Γ PHIOH = ϕ^{O} WBARH = \overline{W}

Pressure Distribution:

PHI(XI(M),ETA(N))	=	^ф м. N	M=1,,n
			N=1,,m(M)

Pressure Distribution for Hertz Solution:

PHIH(XI(M),ETA(N)) = $\phi_{M,N}$ M=1,...,n N=1,...,m(M)

The pressure distributions are listed as two-dimensional arrays. The line number is designated by M and the values on each line are given sequentially in N.

1

APPENDIX V

Π

[]

[]

LISTING OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "PSOLV"

outPut. 121) • CVEC (121 • 2) •	• / 16 • 10) ₩						
JT.OUTPUT.FILÌ.FIAPES=INPUT.TAPE6=).NMAX(11),PHÍHTŽ(121).CMAT(121.1).NXERQ(16) 1.ANDETA.RHOİ.TUPI STA.RHO 31.31).XI(31.31).XJ(31.31)	0(31.16)*X[P(31.15)*XJP(31.16)*X 	ETE3.POIS3 E.MREC 60 TO 3 0 4	с•NREC,EIEZ。POIST。POIS2 SE_NREC,NDTV,AH,AHO 53=*2)/(1,-POIS1=*2) 53**2//(1,-POIS1=*2) 53**2//(1,-BARH,PHI0H,WBARH)	5E • NREC	↓) 56.NREC。(XH(I,J),J=J0,JLAST) 56.NREC	EE.NREC.(XI((1.J).J=J0.JLAST) SE.NREC 5E.NREC (XJ(1.J).J=J0.JLAST) 5E.NREC.(XJ(1.J).J=J0.JLAST)	SE • NREC
PROGRAM PSOLV(ÍNPU 1 TAPE7=F1L1) DIMENSION NBDY(10) DIMENSION NBDY(10) 1 DIMENSION NBDY(10) 1 DIMENSION 1 DIMENSION	COMMON/BLKABGS/XHP IXIM(61,31),XJM(61, COMMON/BLKPR1/NH,N COMMON/BLKPX/P0151 COMMON/BLKMA1/CMA1 COMMON/BLKMA1/CMA1 COMLON/BLKT/SIG 55 CALL SECOND(CPSEC) WRITE (6,810)(CPSEC)	TCHECK=480. NCK=0 NCK=0 NCK=0 NF=7 NF=7 NF=7 NF=7 NF=7 NF=7 NF=7 NF=7	READ (NF.3000) ICASE READ (NF.3001) ICASE BETALE (E3.11POIS CALL HTZCON(RH0.RE DX1=1./FLOAT(ND1V) DETA-DX1/RH0 DETA-DX1/RH0 ANDX1=AH+DETA RH01=RH0 RH01=RH0	TUP1=8. 47 AN(1.) NH=2*NDIV+1 NHU=4*NDIV+1 NHV=NH NPU=NH NPU=NDIV+1 NPU=NDIV+	JLASTEWING(J0+5.0H JLASTEWING(J0+5.0H JLASTEWING(J0+5.0H JLASTEWING(J0+5.0H) PO 302 J0=1.0H JLASTEWING(J0+5.0H	302 READ (NF.3000) ICAS READ (NF.3000) ICAS D0 304 151,NH D0 304 J051,NH JLASTEMINO(J055,N-1 304 READ (NF.3000) ICAS	READ (NF.3000) ICAS DO 306 1=1.NPU

- - -

10. MAX FURING LOACE SAME TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK TANK						
000 000 <td></td> <td>JLAST=HINO(JO+5.NPV)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		JLAST=HINO(JO+5.NPV)				
00 308 Jarinev 100 308 Jarinev 101 data manual jonsterans 101 data manual jonsteran	306	READ (NF.3000) JCASE.NREC. (XHP(I.J).J=J0.JLAST READ (NF.3000) JCASE.NREC	-			
10. def comme constrained of the first state of the		Udv 1=1 800 00				
300 #EXD (NF-3000) [CSEC-MEEC (X1P(1:J.).J=J0.JAST) 0 310 Jan; MPC - 3000) [CSEC-MEEC (X1P(1:J.).J=J0.JAST) 0 312 Jan; MPC - 3000) [CSEC-MEEC (X1P(1:J.).J=J0.JAST) 0 312 Jan; MPC - 3000) [CSEC-MEEC (X1P(1:J.).J=J0.JAST) 0 313 Jan; MPC - 3000) [CSEC-MEEC (X1P(1:J.).J=J0.JAST) 0 314 Jan; MPC - 3000) [CSEC-MEEC (X1P(1:J.).J=J0.JAST) 0 3		JLAST=MINO (J0+5,NPV)				
310 Tative Toronto Transmission of the transmi	308	READ (NF.3000) JCASE.NREC. (XIP(1.J). J=J0. JLAST				
10 10 Journal Antimetric Control of the control of		00 310 1=1.NPU				
<pre>30 ### 1000 [CASE WREG. (X.M.([1.J)J.m.J0LAST)</pre>		00 310 J0=1.NPV.6				
0.312 1:1:1:1:WU 0.312 1:1:1:1:WU 0.312 1:1:1:1:WU 0.312 1:1:1:1:WU 0.312 1:1:1:1:WU 0.312 1:1:1:1:WU 0.314 1:1:1:1:1:WU 0.314 0:1:1:1:1:1:VU 0.314 0:1:1:1:1:VU 0.314 0:1:1:1:1:VU 0.314 0:1:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:1:1:VU 0.315 0:1:1:1:1:1:VU 0.316 0:1:1:1:1:1:VU 0.317 0:1:1:1:1:1:VU 0.325 0:1:1:1:1:VU 0.317 0:1:1:1:1:1:VU 0.317 0:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1	310	READ (NF. 3000) TCASE.NREC. (X JP (1) . J= J0. JLAST				
00 J12 Jaj JWW. 00 J12 Jaj JWW. 00 J12 Jaj JWW. 00 J11 Jaj JWW. 00 J11 Jaj JWW. 01 J11 Jaj JWW. 11 J12 Jaj JWW. 12 JAJ Jaj JWW. 13 Marine JWW. 14 JAJ JAJ JWW. 14 JAJ JAJ JWW. 15 Marine JWW. 16 Marine JWW. 17 Marine JWW. 17 Marine JWW. 17 Marine JWW. 16 Marine JWW. 17 Marine JWW. 18 Marine JWW. 18 Marine JWW. 18 Marine JWW. 19 M		READ (NF. 3000) ICASE NREC				
<pre>312 destructionstrumt action into constructions action into construc</pre>		UMN, 1=1 210 00				
112 READ (KF = 3000) [CARE-AMREC (XMM(1.J).J=J0.JLAST) 00 114 J0.J. 01 114 J0.J. 01 114 J0.J. 114 READ (KF = 3000) [CARE-AMREC (XTM(1.J).J=J0.JLAST) 01 114 J0.J. 01 115 J0.J. 01 115 J0.J. 01 115 J0.J. 01 115 J0.J. 01 115 J0.J. 01 115 J0.J. 115 READ (KF = 3000) [CARE-AMREC (XTM(1.J).J=J0.JLAST) 01 115 J0.J. 01 115 J0.J. 116 READ (KF = 3000) [CARE-AMREC (XTM(1.J).J=J0.JLAST) 01 115 J0.J. 01 115 J0.J. 116 READ (KF = 3000) [CARE-AMREC (XTM(1.J).J=J0.JLAST) 01 115 J0.J. 116 READ (KF = 3000) [CARE-AMREC (XTM(1.J).J=J0.JLAST) 01 115 J0.J. 116 READ (KF = 3000) [CARE-AMREC (XTM(1.J).J=J0.JLAST) 116 READ (KF = 3000) [CARE-AMREC (XTM(1.J.).J=J0.JLAST) 116 READ (KF = 3000) [CARE-AMREC (XTM(2.J.).Z=J0.JLAST) 116 R		JLAST=MIND(J0+5.NMV)			•	
314 Tar Jonus 314 Tar Jonus 315 Tar Jonus 316 Tar Jonus 317 Tar Jonus 318 Tar Jonus 319 Tar Jonus 311 Tar Jonus 312 Tar Jonus 313 Tar Jonus 314 Tar Jonus 315 Jan Jonus 316 Tar Jonus 317 Jan Jonus 318 Tar Jonus 319 Tar Jonus 316 Tar Jonus 317 Tar Jonus 318 Tar Jonus 319 Tar Jonus 310 Tar Jonus 311 Tar Jonus 312 Tar Jonus 313 Tar Jonus 314 Tar Jonus 315 Tar Jonus 316 Tar Jonus 317 Tar Jonus 318 Tar Jonus 319 Tar Jonus 3110	312	READ (NF.3000) 1CASE .NREC. (XHM (1. J) . J= J0. JLAST		~		
<pre>314 Justimentum 124 Testing Justimentum 125 Testing Justimentum 126 Testing Justimentum 127 Testing Justimentum 128 Testing Justimentum</pre>		READ (NF. 3000) ICASE, NREC				
<pre>31. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *</pre>		DMN.IEI 416 DD				
014 FED (WF-3000)[CASE-NMEC (XIM(1.J).J=J0.JLAST) 013 115 T1 (MU 013 115 T1 (MU 115 T1		JLAST=MIND (J0+5+NWV)				
316 Tel THMU CASE-ANEC 70 316 Tel THMU CASE-ANEC 70 316 Tel THMU CASE-ANEC. (XJM(1.J).JueJ0.JLAST) 715 FEINO 1075.ANEC 710 1015.ANEC. (XJM(1.J).JueJ0.JLAST) 710 FEINO FEINO 710 FEINO FEINO 710 FEINO FEINO 710 FEINO FEINO 710 FEINO FEINO 710 FEINO FEINO 710 FEINO	314	READ (NF. 3000) TCASE .NREC . (XIM(I.J) . J-J0. JLAST				
13. Laffanturg (Jost, Haw 4. Laffanturg (Jost, Haw 5. 13. Laffanturg (Jost, Haw 1.)J.JOLAST) 14. Laffanturg 15. Lasting (Jost, Haw 1.)J.JOLAST) 15. Lasting (Jost, Haw 1.)J.J.JOLAST) 15. Lasting (Jost, Haw 1.)J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.J.		READ (NF.3000) [CASE.NREC				
13. JackTentron(Jorssamer) 13. JackTentron(Jorssamer) 14:50=11: Amoendo 15:50=11: Amoendo 15:50=11: Amoendo 15:50=11: Amoendo 15:50=10: Amoe		00 316 J0=1.0MV.6				
316 READ NOT ALL AND ALLAST AND A		JLAST=HIN0(J0+5.NHV)				
FraimeErai. SideSobrit.eHoreHot. SideSobrit.eHoreHot. SideSobrit.eHoreHot. SideSobrit.eHoreHot. SideSobrit.eHoreHot. SideSobrit.ehoreHo	316	READ (NF+3000) [CASE+NREC+(XJM(I+J)+J=J0+JLAST				
sigesconfritintoento) sigesconfritintoento) sigesconfritintoento) sigesconfritintoento) subsconstored auge (into-1tuto)/sig auge (into-1tuto)/sig aug		RETALERETA+1.				
Sessification 10=1(06(S1G-GHMO) 120=3(10(S1G-GHMO)=(S1G)-1)/RHO)/S1G 1404+(RHO-1:-ATHOP=1(S1G)-1)/RHO)/S1G 1404+(RHO-1:-ATHOP=1(S1G)-1)/SSG 1404+(RHO-1:-ATHOP=1(S1G)-1)/SSG 1404+(RHO-1:-ATHOP=1(S1G)-1)/SSG 1404+(RHO-1:-ATHOP=1(S1G)-1)/SSG 1404+(RHO-1)/SHSGOHEFTA1=XUG 1404+(S1G)-2004 1		SIG=SQRT(1.+RH0+RH0)				
103=516-1 100		SS0=SIG+SIG				
#UJ=4/06/(516+RHO)+(516+1.)/RHO)/516 #UJ=4/06/(516+RHO)+(516+1.)/RHO)/516 #UJ=4/06/(516+RHO)+(516+1.)/RHO)/516 #UJ=4/06/(516+RHO)+(516+1.)/RHO)/516 #UJ=4/06/(516+RHO)+(516+1.)/RHO)/516 #UG=1.+TUJ)/S10+110/(1.0)/RHO+TUJ)/S50 #UG=1.+TUJ)/S10+110/(1.0)/RHO+TUJ)/S10 #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHS0+BETA1*XJO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHS0+BETA1*XO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHS0+BETA1*XO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHS0+BETA1*XO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHSO+BETA1*XO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHSO+BETA1*XO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHSO+BETA1*XO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHSO+BETA1*XO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHSO+BETA1*XO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHSO+BETA1*XO #U[1,0])=XH(1,0)/AHSO+BETA1*XO #U[1,0		I 10=4L06(SI6+KHU) T20=516-1.				
Auge: (RHO-1:-:FAUG:TAU3)/SSO TAUS: (1, /RHO-1:.:FAUG:TAU3)/SSO RHEG: RHO RHE RHO		TAU3=AL 06 (SIG+RH0) + (SIG+1.) /RH0) /SIG				
TAUSFIL: TAUSFIL: <td< td=""><td></td><td>TAU4= (RH0-1 .+ TAU3) /550</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		TAU4= (RH0-1 .+ TAU3) /550				
00 8 1=2.00 00 8 1=2.00 00 8 1=2.00 00 8 1=2.00 x1(1.0)=x1(1.0)/2000.0x10.0x10 x1(1.0)=x2(11.0)/2000.00 x1(1.0)=x2.000 x1(1.0)=x2.000 x1(1.0)=x2.000 x1(1.0)=x2.000 x1(1.0)=x2.000 x1(1.0)=x200.00 x10		TAUS=(1./RH0-1.+RH0*TAU3)/SS0				
0 8 1=2.WH CALL HIJOIT.J.XH0.XI0.XJ0) XII(T.J)=XHI(T.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=XI0 XII(T.J)=XJ(T.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=XI0 0 9 J=2.WW 0 9 J=2.WW 0 9 J=2.HW CV2=CV1.2C XHM(J.J) XHHSQ.HETA1=CV1=TAJ3 CV2=CV1.2C XHM(J.J)=XHM(J.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)=XHM(J.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)=XTM(J.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HIZA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HIZA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HIZA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HIZA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HIZA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HIZA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ.HIZA1=CV2=TAU3						
CALL H1JO(1:.J):XH0:XJO.XJO XH(1:.J)=XH(1:.J):XHSO:RETA1=XHO XJ(1:.J)=XJ(1:.J):XHSO:RETA1=XHO D0 9 J=2.NHV D0 9 J=2.NHV V=DETAFLOAT(J=T) CV1=RHO+V CV2=CV1=CV1/C XHM(J-J)=XHM(J-J):XHSO:RETA1=CV1=TAU3 XHM(J-J)=XHM(J-J):XHSO:RETA1=CV2=TAU3 XHM(J-J)=XHM(J-J):XHSO:RETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J-J)=XHM(J-J):XHSO:RETA1=CV2=TAU3 XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(J-J)=XIM(00 8 1=2.NH				
<pre>XH(1:.J) = XH(1:.J) / AH-8ETA1 = XH0 X1(1:.J) = X1(1:.J) / AH-80 = RTA1 = XI0 D0 9 (J=2:NMV V=0ETA=FLOAT (J-1) V=0ETA=FLOAT (J-1) CV1=RH0=V CV2=CV1 = CV1/C CV2=CV1 = CV1/C X1M(1.J) = XHM(J.J) / AHSO-8ETA1=CV1=TAJ3 X1M(1.J) = X1M(1.J) / AHSO-8ETA1=CV1=TAJ3 X1M(1.J) = X1M(1.J) / AHSO-8ETA1=CV2=TAU4 X1M(1.J) / AHSO-8ETA1=CV2=TAU4 X1M(1.J) = X1M(1.J) / AHSO-8ETA1=CV2=TAU4 X1M(1.J) / AHSO-8ETA1=CV2=TAU4 X1M(1.J</pre>		CALL HIJO(1, J. XHO.XI0.XJ0)				
<pre># X1(1:J)=X1(1:J)/AHSO*BETA1=X10 0 0 1 -2 = x101 0 0 1 -2 = x101 0 0 1 -2 = x101 0 0 1 -2 = x104 V=0ETA=FLOAT(J-1) CV1=RH0=V CV2=CV1=CV1=TAU3 CV2=CV1=CV1=TAU3 CV2=CV1=CV1=TAU3 X1M(J-J)=X1M(J-J)/AHSO+BETA1=CV1=TAU3 X1M(J-J)=X1M(J-J)/AHSO+BETA1=CV1=TAU3 X1M(J-J)=X1M(J-J)/AHSO+BETA1=CV2=TAU4 X1M(J-J)/AHSO+BETA1=CV2=TAU5 X1M(J-J)=X1M(J-J)/AHSO+BETA1=CV2=TAU5 X1M(J-J)=X1M(J-J)/AHSO+BETA1=CV2+TAU5 X1M(J-J)=X1M(J-J)/AHSO+BETA1=CV2+TAU5 X1M(J-J)/AHSO+BETA1=CV2+TAU5 X1M(J-J)/AHSO+AETA1=CV2+TAU5 X1M(</pre>		XH([.J)=XH([.J)/AH+8ETA]=XH0				
009 J=2.NWV VeOETAFLOAT (J-I) V=OETAFLOAT (J-I) CV1=RH0*V CV2=CV1*CV1/C2 XIM(J,J)=XIM(J,J)/AHSO:BETA1*CV1*TAU3 XIM(J,J)=XIM(J,J)/AHSO:BETA1*CV2*TAU4	•	XI (1) = XI (1) /AHSG+8ETAI=XIO				
V=0FTA*FLOAT(J-ī) CV1=RH0*V CV2=CV1*CV1/C2 XIM(J.J)=XXM(J.J)/AH+8ETA1*CV1*TAU3 XIM(J.J)=XXM(J.J)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU4 XIM(J.J)=XJM(J.J)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.J)=XJM(J.J)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.J)/AHYAG+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.T)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.J)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.T)/AHSQ+8ETA1*CV2*TAU5 XIM(J.T)/AHSQ+8TA1*TAU5 XIM(J.T)/AHSQ+8TA	C	AU(1+J)=AJ(1+J)/AHSU+BEIAL*AJU				
CV1=RHO*V CV2=CV1*CV1*CV1*CV1*TAU3 XHM(J.J)=XXHM(J.J)/AHSO+BETA1*CV1*TAU3 XIM(J.J)=XXHM(J.J)/AHSO+BETA1*CV2*TAU4 XJM(J.J)=XJM(J.J)/AHSO+BETA1*CV2*TAU4 XJM(J.J)=XJM(J.J)/AHSO+BETA1*CV2*TAU4 J1=J*1 D0 9 I=J1.NMU D0 9 I=J1.NMU USD2=U4U72 VSOP2=U4U72.		V=DETA+FLOAT(J-I)				
CV2=CV1*CV1/2. XIM(J.J)=XIM(J.J)/AH+BETA1*CV1*TAU3 XIM(J.J)=XIM(J.J)/AHSO+BETA1*CV2*TAU4 XIM(J.J)=XJM(J.J)/AHSO+BETA1*CV2*TAU5 J1=J*1 D0 9 I=J1.NMU W=DX1*FLOAT(T-1) Call T12345(U.V.RNEG.T1.T2.T3.T4.T5) USD2=U4/72. VSOP2=V*V72.		CV1=RH0+V				
XIM(J.J)=XIM(J.J)/AM-06FTAI=CVI=TAU3 XIM(J.J)=XJM(J.J)/AHSO+BFTAI=CV2*FAU4 XIM(J.J)=XJM(J.J)/AHSO+BFTAI=CV2*FAU4 J1=J+1 D0 9 I=J1.NMU U=DXI*FLOAT(T-1) CALL T12945(U.V.RNEG.T1.T2.T3.T4.T5) USD2=UU2/2 VSOP2=U=V72		CV2=CV1+CV1/2.				
XJM(J.J.)=XJM(J.J)/AHSO+RETA1=CV2=TAUS J1=J+1 D0 9 I=J1.NMU U=DXI=FLOAT(I-1) Call T12345(U.V.RNEG.T1.T2.T3.T4.T5) USO2=UUV2. VSO7=V=V72.		XHM (J. J) = XHM (J. J) /AH+8E TAI "CVI #TAU3 ************************************				
J1=J+1 D0 9 I=J1.NMU U=DXI+FLOAT(T-1) Call T1245(U.V.RNEG.T1.T2.T3.T4.T5) USDZ=U-U/2. VSD7=V+V/2.		XJM (J+J) =XJM (J+J) /AHS0+BETA1+CV2+TAUS				
DO 9 I=JI.NMU U=DXI=FLOAT(I-I) Call Ti2345(U+V+RNEG+T1+T2+T3+T4+T5) USC2=U+U+2. VSCD=V+V/2.		J1=J+1				
U=DX1+FLOAT(T-1) Call T12345(U+V+RNEG+T1+T2+T3+T4+T5) USC2=U+V/2. VSCO2=V+V/2.		UMN 1 = 1 6 00				
		U=DXI +FLOAT([-]) CALL T12345/U V. DNEC T1 T2 T3 T4 TEV				
		LALL 112345 (U.V. KNEG. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15)				
		202=V*V/2				

		And and a second
m		
PAGE		
6.25.48		
1.13.		
78/0		
6+452		
FTN 4.		
M PSOLV 74/74 0PT=1	<pre>Ktwoeusozertervsozert XHueusozertervsozert XHu(1.)) =Xuw(11.))XHNSOSHFTA1=XUMO D)YUNDIY+1 D) 10 J2-J2 XHU(1.)) =XUW(11.))XHNSOSHFTA1=XUMO D)YUNDIY+1 D) 10 J2-J2 XHU(1.)) =XUM(11.))XHNSOSHFTA1=V*110 XHU(1.)) =XUM(11.))XHNSOSHFTA1=V*110 XHU(1.)) =XUM(11.))XHNSOSHFTA1=V*110 VANCTAFLOAT(1-1) D) 11 J=2.0 D) 12 J=2.0</pre>	
PROGRA		-
	si 5 6 8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	

PAGE	
3. 16.25.48	
78/02/13	r R
	Ĭ,J)• I,Max
E	((ELLIPS)
	DIV) PZERO) VPZERO] VPZERO]
	((!).1=1NC ((!).1=1NC ((!).1=1NC ((!).1=1NC ((!).1=1NC ((!).1=1NC (N.11)CF (N.11)CF (N.11)CF (M.N.11) 1(M.N.1NC 3(M.N.1NC 3(M.N.1NC 2(M.N.1NC)
Vià opi-	2054) [TER 20564) [TER 2050) (NEER 2051) (NZER 2051) (NZER 2051) (NZER 2051) (NZER 2051) (NZER 2051) (NZER 2051) (NZER 2060)
	MRITE (6 WRITE (6 WRI
PROGRAM P	
Π.	

V 74/74 OPT=1 D0 29 J=WAKR1.MAKL1 JCOL=JCOL.1

111 PAGE 1 78/02/13. 16.25.48 FORMATIH ,1618) Formati////.44H Parameters Scaled on Circular Hertž Contact) FormatiHo.11x.4Hachh.11x.4Haach.11x.4Hbach.11x.4Hbdch.9X.6HpOPOCH FORMAŢ(////.28H ČOMPUTED CONTACT PARAMETERS) FormaŢ(140,11x,444RXRY.12x,340am,11x,44PH10.11x,444RAR) FormaŢ(////.51H ĉontact parameters for mertz prorlem With Same RHO 444441 (X1(M), ETA(N)) M=ROW NO. X1=(M-1)/NDIV) FORMAT(14 .51X.294N=COL NO. ETA=(N-1)/NDIV/RHO.///) FORMAT(141.//.424 NORMALIZED PRESSURES FOR HERTZ PROBLEM 1 45444414(X1(M), FTA(N)) M=ROW NO. X1=(M-1)/NDIV) FTN 4.6.452 FORMAT (1H0.10X.SHRXRYH.11X.4HGAMH.10X.5HPHI0H.10X.5HWBARH ORMAT(1H .62X.29HN=COL NO. ETA=(N-1)/NDIV/RHO.///) FORMATCIN .5F15.5) FORMATCIN1.///.32H COMPUTED NORMAI IZED PRESSURE : . ORMAT (1H1./.9H ČASE NO..16) ORMAT (7/7.23H BOUNDARY ITERATION NO..16) ORMAT (7/7.5X.7HNBDY(1)) JSTOP=JSTOP+NMAX(1) WRITE(6.1010)(CVEC(J+1).J=JSTART,JSTOP) WRITE(6.1020) WRITE(6.2110) WRITE(6.2111) WRITE (6+2090) ACHH+AACH+BACH+DDCH+P0P0CH WRITE (6+2084) WRITE (6.1010) (PHTHTZ(J) . J=JSTART . STOP) WRITE (6.2090) RXRVH.GAMH.PHIOH.VBARH VRITE (6.2100) VRITE (6.2101) FORMAT (1H1.5X. AHCASE NO.. 15 DRMAT (1H0.7HCPSEC =.F10.2) FORMAT (214.1P6E19.10) JSTOP=0 D0 44 I=1 +NDTM JSTART=JSTOP+1 JSTOP=JSTOP+NMAX5I) 0PT=1 DRMAT(IH .11F11.6) WRITE (6. 3010) ICASE WRITE (6. 3030) CALL SECOND (CPSEC) WRITE (6.810) CPSEC FORMAT (314.2F12.8) 0RMAT (110.2F10.3) WRITE (6. 3020) NREC WRITE (6. 3030) JSTOP=0 D0 42 1=1.NDIM JSTART=JSTOP+1 #RITE (6.1020) WRITE (6.2086) ORMAT (1615) OPHAT (2014) 74/74 OPMAT (/) STOP LUD TOP PROGRAM PSOLV • 4 2080 2082 2086 2000 42 2110 2111 3000 4 2101 •••• • • • • ... : . 1. . . . 290 310. 14. -516 ••• * . * 562 300 305 320 325 000 335 1.4 . 340 • • . 1.

.

.

0PT=1 74/74 PROGRAM PSOLV

12

345

FTN 4.6.452

PAGE 78/02/13. 16.25.48

-

3010 FORMAT(//.5X.?7HDATA FILE CONTAINS CASE NO..15) 3020 FDRMAT(//.5X.3DHDATA FILE BEGINS AT RECORD NO..15) 3030 FDRMAT(//.5X.12HNO EXECUTION) 3040 FDRMAT(//.1HO.43HCOORDINATES OF ZFROED BOUNDARY PRESSURES I . 1 33HX1=(H-1)/MDIY, ETA=(N-1)/MDIY/RHO) 3050 FORMAT(2H NA.37.3014) 3050 FORMAT(2H NA.37.3014) 3060 FORMAT(2H NA.37.3014) 3070 FORMAT(2H NA.37.3014) 3060 FORMAT(2H NA.37.3014) 3060 FORMAT(2H NA.37.3014) 3060 FORMAT(2H NA.27.30112) 4000 FORMAT(//.1H .1DX.2MH0.8X.4MHE12) 4000 FORMAT(//.1H .1DX.2MH0.8X.4MHE12) 4010 FORMAT(//.1H .1DX.2MH0.8X.4MHE12) 4010 FORMAT(//.1H .1DX.2MH0.8X.4MHE12) 4010 FORMAT(//.1H .1DX.2MH0.8X.4MHE12) 4010 FORMAT(//.1H .2F12.2.112) 4010 FORMAT(//.1H .2F12.2.112)

350

. •

355

٠,

112

1

Π

[]

11

13. . 19. . .

.....

•2

. 1 113 PAGE 78/02/13. 16.25.48 1 FTN 4.6.452 SURROUTINE HTZCON(RHO.RETA.RXRY.GAM.PHIO.WBAR) P1=4.*ATAN(1.) RHOSQ=RHO*RHO X=1.-1./RHOSQ X= 74/74 OPT=1 SURROUTINE HIZCON - ~ 11 ···· 15 5 10 • * .

.

....

	•	1
114		1
_		11
¥⊎ ▼		1
•		
.25.48		
9		
78/02		
• •		П
4.6.45		B
FTN 9009233		
9576.1*(
442594X		
.096663 1451196 7+X1*(.		Π
ALOG(X1		Π
4/74 N CELIN 1.1.60 		П
T1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
		U
FUNCTIO		1
- . 2		П
the second s	the transfer with a second	1

115 PAGE 78/02/13. 16.25.48 FUNCTION CELINIZ(X) if(x.Eq.1.)GO TO 1 X1=1.*X A=1.*X1*(.4432514)463*X1*(.06260601220*X1*(.04757383546*X1* 01736506451))) 1 .01736506451))) PB=X1*(.2499836310*X1*(.09200180037*X1*(.04069697526*X1* PB=X4*(.2499836310*X1*(.09200180037*X1*(.04069697526*X1* 1 .00526449639))) CELINTZ=PA-PB*ALNG(X1) RETURN I CELINTZ=1. RETURN END FTN 4.6.452 1+174 APT+1 FUNCTION CELINIZ

. ..

÷

....

• •

•

1

Π

[]

1

1

-

1.

.

: 1 10

·...

1.

-	
PAGE	,
6.25.48	
18/02/13. 1	HAT10002 HAT10002 HAT10002 HAT10002 HAT10005 HAT10013 HAT10013 HAT10013 HAT10013 HAT10028 HAT10028 HAT10028 HAT10028 HAT10028 HAT10028 HAT10028 HAT10028 HAT10058
74/74 0PT=1 FIN 4.6+452	UBDUTTIKE MATTIN (N1.+1.) FETERM. D) UPPLICATION STATEMENT D) UPPLICATION STATEMENT ON COMPANYING SOLUTION OF LINEAR EQUATION UPPLICATION STATEMENT DEMON/JELATION STATEMENT HENSION ALIZI-IZUJ-8(121-2).1NDFX(121.3) UDIVALENCE (IROW-JROW). (ICOLUM-JCOLUM). (AMAX. T. SWAP) UDIVALENCE (IROW-JROW). (ICOLUM-JCOLUM). (AMAX. T. SWAP) UDIVALENCE (IROW-JROW). (ICOLUM-JCOLUM). (AMAX. T. SWAP) UDIVALENCE TERM. I SO JHAN TIALITATION UNITALI UNITALITATION UNITALITATION UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALI UNITALITATION UNITALI UNIT
NE MATIN	
URROUTI	

PAGF 78/07/13. 16.25.48 HAT10069 HAT10070 HAT10071 HAT10072 HAT10072 HAT10072 HAT10072 HAT10079 HAT10082 HAT10089 HAT10089 HAT10089 HAT10089 HAT10089 HAT10089 HAT10099 HAT10099 HAT10099 HAT10099 FTN 4.6.452 30 n0 450 L=1.N 55 at(1:0.)=4(1.0.)-a(1COLUM.L).T 55 at(1:0.) 550. 550. 460 660 b0 500 L=1.M 600 b0 710 L=1.M 600 continue 600 continue 600 continue 700 ff (INDEX(L.1)-INDEX(L.2)) 630. 710. 630 10 L=N-1-1 10 L=N-1-1 60 continue 60 continue 60 submetx(L.2) 60 continue 60 submetx(L.2) 60 continue 60 continue 70 a(k.JCOLUM)=SWAP 70 continue 10 continue 10 continue 10 continue 10 continue 10 continue 1=140 74/74 740 RETURN 740 RETURN 715 10 =2 60 TO 740 SURPOUTINE MATIN 120 65 99 10 33 .

N

1

1

Province of

1

ł

1

SUAROUTINE PPIOUT 74/74 OPT=1

50

1

1

FTN 4.6+452

78/02/13. 16.25.48

2

PAGF

1001 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.7HXH(1.J).///) 1003 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.7HXH(1.J).///) 1003 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXHP(1.J).///) 1004 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXHP(1.J).///) 1005 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXHP(1.J).///) 1006 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1009 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1009 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1009 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1009 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1009 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1009 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1010 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1010 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1010 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1010 FORMAT(1H1.//.5X.8HXLM(1.J).///) 1020 FORMAT(1H1.//.28HD152.7) 1020 FORMAT(1H1.//.28HD151.7X.5HPD152.7X.5HPD153.7X.5HPD1

65

13

.

.

20

119

.



]]

[]

121 PAGE -78/02/13. 16.25.48 , FTN 4.6.452 FUNCTION CF2(M.N.T.J) COMMON/BLKCF/DXI.DFTA.PHO XI=DXIFFLOAT(I-2) FTA=DETAFFLOAT(1-2) CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-J.JAALARL.XX) CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-J.JAATAR.RSTAP.GSTAR) CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.N.I-1-STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(M.STAR)/DXI CALL ARG(0PT=1 74/74 FUNCTION CF2 t^{α_i} 5 10 -.



123 -PAGE 78/02/13. 16.25.48 Π H Π FTN 4.6.452 [] FUNCTION CF4 (M.N.I.) COMMON/RLKCF/DX1.DETA.RHO XI=DX1*FLOAT(1-2) FTA=DFTA*FLOAT(1-2) CALL ARG(M.N.I.)-1.AA.RR.GG) CALL GG(GGTAR)/DXI GAMU=(GG(GGTAR)/DXI CAMU=(GG(GGTAR)/DXI CAMU-(GG(GGTAR)/DXI CAMU-(GG(GGTAR)/DXI CATURN RETURN RETURN Π Constituent of 0PT=1 74/74 [] FUNCTION CF4 1 10 5 -

SURPOLITINE AB 1 15 15 20 30 30 40	36 74/74 SURROUTINE 8 SURROUTINE 8 SURROUTINE 8 COMMON/RLKCF 6 DIMEENSION 16 MDJ=N-J 16 IGX (1) = HMJ 16 IGX (2) = HMJ 16 IGX (2) = HMJ 16 IGX (2) = HMJ 16 IGX (1) = HMJ 16 IGX (1) = HMJ 16 IGX (1) = HMJ 16 IGY (2) = HMJ 16 IGY (1) = HMJ 16 IGY (1) = HMJ 16 IGY (1) = HMJ 17 IGY (2) = HMJ 16 IGY (2) = HMJ 16 IGY (1) = HJ 17 IGY (1) = HJ 17 IGY (1) = HJ IGY (1) =	<pre>^PT=1 PECHAN-TI-J-AA-RR.GG) POX1.DETA.RH0 POX1.DETA.RH0 POX1.ST(3).7H(3).7H(3).7J(3).31) PX(3).1GY(3).7H(3).7J(3).31) PX(3).7H(3).7J(3).</pre>	4.6.452	78/02/13. 16.25.48	A A	-
5 45 50	H6=7H(6) H7=2H(7) H7=7H(7) A8=-H1+H2+H3- A8=-H1+H2+H3- A8=-K1 K1H4-7J(1) G6=ETMH+7J(1) G6=ETMH+7J(1) FND	9-н4 •н5-н6-н7 •н8 ЛАТ (м-1) • (-н1 •н2-н3 •н4 •н5-н6 •н7-н8) ЛАТ (м-1) • (-н1 •н2-н3-н4 •н5-н6 •н7-н6 Лат (N-1) • (-н1 •н2-н3-н4 •н5-н6 •н7-н6 71 (2) • 21 (3) -71 (4) -21 (5) -21 (5) -72 (5) -23 (3) •73 (4) -2 (5) -2 (5) -2	(8) (1)+21(8) (1)+21(8)			

= - = <

÷ · · ·

-

1

 \square

[]

Π

1

.

....

-

.1

1			* 	- ÷ ·				,			•
									1	25	
L											
0	-										
0	PAGE										
Π	8										
0	16.25.4										
U	2/13.										
L	18/0										
	0	÷									
	4.6.45	M(61,3 (8)									
Π	FIN	16) • XH									
		3.65746 (15) 4 (3) • 7.JS									
		R. RSTAF 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1									
		J. ASTA .XIP(3							0•156Y	0.1567	
		(H•N•T• TA•RHn (31•16) 31) (GMU(R)							•156K	0 XUS I . + 1 SGK	
	=140	MGSTAR /DX1.00 65/XHP JM(61.1 X0(8).1	1-0 MN 1-0 MN 1-0 MN	1.1.47 1.1.47 1.1.47				1.K0) 156K0=1 .NY) 56K0-1	14N-10X	1144.10 1144.10	
Π	74/76	ITINE A IPLKCF IBLKAB IBLKAB 31).X		- I MHE (IIIII	I-CHN= CHN= LHU= LHN= LHN= LHN=	=NPJ-2 =NPJ-1 =1.8	(K) [1516N(516N(1516N(156N(116N) 156V (160)		=X1P(X) =X1P(X) =X1P(X) =X1P(X)	223
	AA	SURPOU COMMON COMMON COMMON XIM (A1	MP1=M+ NMJ=N- NPJ=N+ NPJ=N+ 16k0(1 16k0(2 16k0(2 16k0(2)	16K0 (5 16K0 (6 16K0 (7 16K0 (8 16K0 (8 16KU (1	16401(5 16401(5 16401(5 16401(5	164(1) 164(1) 164(2) 164(3) 164(4) 164(5)	167 (5) 167 (7) 167 (8) 167 (8) 167 (8) 167 (8) 167 (8)	NY=16Y 156K0= 156K0= 156Y=1 156Y=1 156Y=1 K0a=K0 NYA=NY MU=16M	NY]=NY IF (MU) ZHS (K) ZHS (K) ZHS (K) ZHS (K) ZHS (K)	20100 242 (x) 715 (x) 715 (x) 715 (x) 715 (x) 715 (x)	HZ=222H
	L ABGS	ſ							~	• -	
U	ROUTIN										
Π	UUS	- 5	01	13	50	S. 6	35	40	45	05	55
Г	• •	• • • • •					4	- j			
							1				
---------	---	-----	---	--	--	--	---				
	126						-				
~											
PAGF											
-											
9.25.46			•								
11. 10							Ц				
18/02							Π				
	÷ ÷						Π				
.6.452	(88) (88) (9215) (9215)						T				
FTN 4	1) S[Z+ () 1) S[Z- () 1+2SH-9) H+2SH-9										
	5) SFZ-1 5) SIZ+1 5) SIZ+1 5) SFZ-1 5) SFZ-1						1				
	-215(4) -215(4) -215(4) -215(4)						1				
	(E) STZ						1				
	- (2) SC - (2) SL - (2) SL - (2) SL - (2) SL - (2) SL - (2) SL						T				
1=140	2+(1)5((1-M)1) (1-M)1) (1-N)1)5 (1-N)1)5 (1)5(1				
·174	(5) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7						-				
1 10	55=7H5 55=7H5 57=75 57=75 57=75 57=75 57=75 57=75 57=75 57=75 57=75 575 5	ND					1				
ARGSTA	ITTEXTE S	a C					1				
MINE							1				
Oduns	с v	•					-				
	e 0	-					-				

127 -PAGE 78/02/13. 16.25.48 FTN 4.6.452 SUBROUTINE HIJOTT.J.XH0.X10.XJ0) COMMON/RLKCF/DX1.DET4.XXX I=DX1*FLOAT(1-1) V=DFTA*FLOAT(J-1) USO=UUU VSO=V*V R=SORT(USQ+VSQ) XLOG1=ALOG((R+V)/U) XLOG7=ALOG((R+V)/U) XLOG7=ALOG((R+V)/V) XLOG7((R+V)/V) XLOG7=ALOG((R+V)/V) XLOG7=ALOG((R+V)/V) XLOG7=ALOG((R+V)/V) XLOG7=ALOG((R+V)/V) XLOG7=ACO7 XLOG7=ACO7 XLOG7=ACO7 XLOG7=ACO7 XLOG7=ACO7 XLOG7=ACO7 XLOG7=ACO7 XLOG7 XLO7 XLO7 XLO7 XLO7 XLO7 XLO7 XLO7 X 1=140 41/41 SUPROUTINE HIJO ł 10 -5

A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T

+

		•
	128	1
		B
1		П
PAGE		n
6.25.4A		
/13. 16		
78/02		
4.6.452		
FTN		
	ţ	
	47	
		9
	1)//)//)//////////////////////////////	
-T40	712345 7516.5 780.1	
74/74	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Π
345	COMME 111 101 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 11	П
INE TIZ		П
UPROUT		11
0	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
	the second s	T

I

APPENDIX VI

LISTING OF COMPUTER PROGRAM "HIJPRG"

0

Π

Ι

Ι

ľ

T

I

I

I

T

	12	0	-	•	~	- ,	-			~		~				-				1		`					•						·		•	
	13	0																																		
			•																																	
-	TPUT.		(16.18																																	
	PE6=01		WHX .																																	
	IPUT . T/		191.16)	110																													-			
	NPES=IN	GAM 6AM	4CX . (4. RHO .																																
	1111	TUNUZ	1.16)	NPV. A						-																							D-XH	1 1 X - (2	
	TPUT.F	TUNUT .	6) • XIF	V.NPU			EIEZ			+ DOT 61																						-	1-1-13		00 10	
	PUT.00	116.16	1.16. (16.	AN . UMN	.480./		PO152																									0-10-H	HX+().	1ו (7•	TCHECK	
	PRG (1N	ROP/XN	HX/S98	RI/NH	HECK/0	I CPSEC	POISI	151	1	2 PUTC	T (NDIV	0-	ETA			-						>:			,							0-0-N-	I-I)HX	1-1) IX	P) .LT.	
	TH HIJ		N/8LKA	N/BLKP	NCK . TC	(6.810	5.900)	-2 . PO		-TUNU	/FLOA	HALIXO	Q+HA=A	OHA		+Alon.		1+110	HN. [-]			HN. [=]	- 0= (-	.0-(1.	-0=(F.	-1 . NP	·0-(/··			HN. 2=0	I=2.NH	DHTLICH	HO= (r	-10-11	COND (C	
	PROGR	COMMO	COMMO XIM (6	COMMO	DATA	WRITE READ (READ (TUNUT	I=INX	XN2=1	1-1×0	DE TA-	AHDET	RHO1=	NH-2		N=DUN	N=VUN	88	· L) HX	ELCX	00	E DO	TIMEX	L WCX	9 00	I) dHX	I) drx	IPR=1	No 2	00 2		*HCI.	×I ·I ·I ×	IF (SE	
	-		-																		1				e			9								

ECKNS00 TO 20 ECKNS00 TO 20 ECKNS00 TO 20 ECKNS00 TO 20 ECKNS00 TO 20 ECKNS00 TO 21 ECKNS00 TO 21 ECKNS00 TO 21 ECKNS00 TO 21 ECKNS00 TO 21 ECKNS00 TO 21 ECKNS00 TO 22 ECKNS00 TO 22 EC	
--	--

- -

	PROGRAM	141 DBRG 74/	74 OPT=1		FT	N 4.6+452	78/02/13	. 13.47.03	PAGE	e		•
115		[PR=3										(
110		00 7 J=2.	NPV VAN									132
		*IP(I)	THUN THE									
120		I-L-N										
		ILAST=NPU	-N LI AST									-,
		1-1-1										
125		CALL DHIJ	1-1) HX+HQ	-11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11	(1-C.1-1) HX							~
		I (C. I) dIX	I-I) dIX+Id	-(1-1) -1) -1X+(C+	XIP([-], J-]) X ID(1-], [-])							
		IF (SECOND	(CP) .LT.TC	HECK) 60 10 7			,					
130		CALL PRIO	UT (IPR) PTX (NCK)									
1		IF (SECOND	(CP) .6E.TC	HECK) STOP								
		CALL PRIO	UT (IPR)									
135		IF (ICASE.	E0.0) STOP									
1		NREC=1										-
		WRITE (NF	3000) ICASE	.NREC								
		WRITE (NF	3000) ICASE	.NREC.ELE2.POIS	1.P0152							
140		NREC=3			-							,
		WRITE (NF .	3001) ICASE	.NREC.NDIV.AH.R	P							
		WRITE (NF	3000) ICASE	.NREC								2
		=1 000 00	HN									
2		NREC=NREC.	0.11N. [.									.(
		JLAST-HIN	(HN 5+01)0									
		300 WHITE (NF -	30001 ICASE		1270.JLAST							1
150		WRITE (NF .	JOOD ICASE	.NREC								
		DO 302 10	I -NH-6									. `
		NRECENDEC										
155		JLAST-MIN	0 (JO + 5 + NH)	. ([-1] [X] -]) .	(121 10L=L							.1.
		NREC=NREC.										
		NO 104 14	3000) ICASE	•NREC								-,
		DO 304 JO	9.HN.									
160		NRECENREC	· I note that									1
		304 WRITE INF .	3000) ICASE		J=J0.JLAST)							
		NREC=NREC	•]	MOFC								
165		DO 306 1=1	NPU UNI									
		NREC=NREC	-1 .NPV.6									,
		JLAST=MIN	NUN-2+0110									
170		306 WRITE (NF .	30001 ICASE	.NREC. (XHP (1.J)	.J.JO.JLAST)							`
		HITE INF .	3000) ICASE	•NREC								-
	1		3				0				1	-

and a sale and

F	•	6	· -, -,=	- 	,	• 75 -		~ ~	٦	-	7
L ()											133
L											
	4										
	PAGE										
Г	6										
Г	13.47.0										
ц П	12/13.							•			
L	18/0										
U	N										
	4.6.45										
I	FTN	51)	5		115	57)	i				
П		-J0.JLA									
1					r						
81 87		. (XIP			. (XHM (. (XIM		(2.			
1	_	V) E.NREC	E.NREC	E.NREC	V) E,NREC	V) E,MREC		F10	101		
I	0.07=	PU	101 1CAS	0) 1CAS	0+5+NH 0) ICAS	NHV.6	NMV.6	HCPSEC	P6E19.		
T	41141	B 1=1.6 NREC+1	0 1=1.70	NREC+1 (NF+300		MEC+1	6 1011 6 1011 6 1011	T(110)	1(314.5		
T	RG	DO 30 DO 30 NRECT	NREC-	WRITE DO 31	JLAST JLAST WRITE WRITE	NREC=	DO 31	STOP STOP FORMA FORMA	FORMA FORMA END		
	Idr IH	308			312	314			3001		
I	ROGRAM										
I	ā	75	09	92		56	8	50	2		
		-	-	-	-	-	N	N	~		

•(16)•XJP(31,01) •(16)•XJP(31,16)•XHM(61,01)•	ASE ASE						
00/11/16 PR10UT (1PR1NT) 00//9LKABG/XH(31,31,31),XI (31,31 10//9LKABGS/XHP(31,16),XIP(31,31,31)	MANUELEPERTANNANUANAVANDUANPV MANAELEPERTANATANAVANUAANPUANPV MANAELEPERTANISIAPOISIAELEZAIC TE (6.1030) TE (6.1040) ICASE TE (6.2010) ELZAPOISIAPOIS2	TE (6.2030) TE (6.2040) AH.RHO.NDIV TE (6.1001) 100 I=1.NH TE (6.1010) (XH(1.J).J=1.NH) TE (6.1020)	102 181,002 102 181,002 112 16,1010) (XI((1,J),J=1,0NH) 116 (6,1003) 104 181,0NH 104 181,0NH)	ГТЕ (6.1020) (IPRINT_EQ.1)60 T0 10 ITE (6.1007) 112 T=1_NMU TTE (6.1010) (ХНМ(I.J),J=1.NMV) TTE (6.1008)	114 1=1,000 TE(6.1010)(XIM(1.J).Je1.000) TE(6.1020) TE(6.1009) 116 1=1,000 116 1=1,000 TE(6.1020) TE(6.1020) TE(6.1020) TE(6.1020)	TTE (6,1004) 106 T=1,NPU TTE (6,1010) (XHP (1,J),J=1,NPV) TTE (6,1005) 108 T=1,NPU TTE (6,1005) TTE (6,1020) TTE (6,1020) TTE (6,1020)	TE (6.1010) (XJP (1.J), J=1,NPV) TE (6.1020) L SECOND (CPSEC) TE (6.810) CPSEC URN MAT (1H0, 7MCPSEC = F10,2) MAT (1H1,///5X,8HCASE NO, 16) MAT (1H1,///5X,7HXI (1.J),///) MAT (1H1,///5X,7HXI (1.J),///)

PAGE 78/02/13. 13.47.03 003 FORMAT(1H).///.5X.7HXJ(1.J).///) 004 FORMAT(1H).///.5X.8HXP(1.J).///) 005 FORMAT(1H).///.5X.8HXJP(1.J).///) 007 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HXJP(1.J).///) 009 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HXJM(1.J).///) 009 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HXJM(1.J).///) 010 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HXJM(1.J).///) 010 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HXJM(1.J).///) 010 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HXJM(1.J).///) 010 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HCARER INTEGRAL PART OF INFLUENCE FUNCTIONS) 020 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HCASE NO..16) 020 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HCASE NO..16) 020 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HCASE NO..16) 030 FORMAT(1H).//.5X.8HCASE NO..16) 040 FORMAT(1H).//.512.2.112) 040 FORMAT(1H).2F12.2.112) 040 FORMAT(1H).2F12.2.112) 040 FORMAT(1H).2F12.2.112) FTN 4.6.452 OPT=1 4L/4L SUBROUTINE PRIDUT 2010

- ,-

(

.

2

10

65

90

C 136	~	~	- *		-	• •		*		-		7		•)		1.		ŗ.		2		-		`		`			
. SMAX																																
PT IN.NDMAX	IZ . GAM																															
DH.DI.0U	NUT TUNU																															
*1. AHDET	1. XN2. TU															DXI									NA+VU+VI	NIHON=SO	(\$0					
DHIJ (H	PROP/XN 0AT (H)	13.2						nA+0								HA+UV+C	A.A							-01	HU+XHI		LOATIN			SON		
MON/BLK	MON/BLK	VU-AHDE	NO-AHDX		10 4	XOHA-0U	L=RHO	U=RHO X=UU+RHO	TO 4 M-N) 7.5	.0.	NU-PHO	OHA-=D	TO 4	NO-AHDX	UHA	U=-RHO	T0 4 W-N-119	VL *RHO	1 RHO	U=0.	TO 4	UD ANDY	L-RHO	X=RH0+VI	X=SORT (NDS.LT.	S=SMAX/	.0.		10 15-1		
5000		1	13	OHA	AND G	2 11	HU	AHU	3 15	5 UL	D Ha	CHA	09	9 UL-	RHO	AND	7 15	8 4	EHO BHO	BHO	50	9 UL-	H	AHO	A RMA	IFC	DEL	HO		20	100	

1	• • • •	Ċ,	· ·	``	~ ~	• •	: :	- · ·	•	 137	
		e states Statestics			nin Ling Sin Ling Ling Ling						
1							· · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1	~										
	PAGE										
1	•										
1	0.32.4				1						
	14. 1										
L	78/02										
[\$+452			7							
	FTN 4.			• ([NNN							
				UNU2-T							
U				64M+(T 2+2.+T							
				s) +2+ (S + 1 NX+ [LN 1 - TUS							
		-	-	NUI-TU XNI+TU TUNUI-	(1	•					
	I	2+15-1	TUNU2) S GAMB+H	TUNU2	H.XI.X						
U	4 06	FLOAT (32. 32. MB) •E2 HI TUNU1-	•64M*) +FOFS US (S+X AC+XH AC+XI	AC+XJ 001 001					
U	1141	0. 1 1=0. 5.45* 2.*5	-64M+	2. * (1.		DQJ+5F H+052 I+052 J+052 RN					
	7	001= 55 (S 54 (S	GAMB GAMB H1= H2=1		SFAC CALL CALL	1 00J= 0H=0 01=0 0 0J=0 RETU					
П	NE DHI										
0	BROUTI										
1	su	60	Ş	20	75	09					

.

	138								
PAGE									
78/02/13, 13,47,03									
FTN 4.6+452	14693101,,9061798459/ 1889,,4786286705,								
UBGAUS 74/74 0PT=1	SUBROUTINE DUBGANS(S.TH.TI.TJ) Common/Blklim/VL.VU.UL0.UU0.RMOL.RHOU DIMENSTON X0(S).WG1(5) Data X0/9061794595384693101.0538 Data WG1/236926885147862867055688888	NG=5 VSUM=VU+VL DV2=DV/2. T1=0. T1=0.	ŢJ=0. 1 [v=1,00 v=(vSUM+x0(IV)+DV)/2. VSO=V=V VSO=V=V VU=UU0÷RMOU=V	USUMEUU+UL DU=UU-UL VFAC=WGT(IV)+DU/2. DTH=0.	011=0, 00 2 10=1,00 U=(USUM+X0(1U)+0U)/2. ARG=S=SQRT(U=U+YSQ) TERM=WGT(1U)+FCTJ0(ARG)	2 DIMBUTATERM 2 DT1=DT1+U=TERM TH=TH=VFAC=DTH T1=T1=VFAC=DTH=V TH=TH=DV2	TI=TI=QV2 TJ=TJ=DV2 RETURN END		
SUBROUTINE DI	- 5	2	SI	20	33	ŝ	ĸ		

PAGE 1					139 - -	
18/02/13. 13.47.03						
	MHIN.NDMAX.SMAX 176794095683,4333953941, 195394167940956838650633667. 195394167940956838650633667. 1.21908636252692667193.	•				
	ĎATA N/BLKGAUS/U0(10),WGT(10),ND U0/-,9739065285,-,865063366 488743390,.1488743390,.4333 39065285/ 39065285/ 55242247,.295524224726926 667134431/ NDMIN,NDMAX,SMAX/6,30,10,/					

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	140		
PAGE			
78/02/13. 13.47.03	5		
FTN 4.6+452	.9512E-4+Y*(.137237E-2+ Y*(.262573E-2+Y*) 3163866+Y*(.0444479+Y*		
_	7E-6+Y*(-,55274E-2+Y*(- E-3*Y)))) 04166397+Y*(-,3954E-4+ 9333E-3+,13558E-3*Y)))) 9997+Y*(1,2656208+Y*(-,		
74/74 001=	TION FCTJ0(X) /x /x 9788456+Ye(-,7 9788456+Ye(-,7 280555-3+Ye(-,7 280555-3+Ye(-,2 2812555-3+Ye(-,2 281255-3+Ye(-,2 2812(X) 281		
CTION FCTJO	N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	END.	

10

5

15