AD-A049	980 MA QU JA	ANTIFIC N 78 G OR1	RIETTA ATION O F GUTH	AEROSP F PRIN	ACE O	RLANDO RCUIT B RA	FLA DARD (DC-TR-	РСВ) СС 77 -433	NNECTO	R RELIA	76 9/1 BIET 9439 NL	c (U)
OF AD AO495	I 80	-toto -toto			diperiment sectores sectores sectores sectores fille	gentuine-			ensilinar Millionen		Ŵ	
			stagent				1					
	I minimu	And the second s				Na Bin Star Star Star Star Star Star Star Star	•				Ī	
	A second	 Markani, S. S.	END DATE FILMED 3 -78									
			000									
					۸							
			ţ									

RADC-TR-77-433 Final Technical Report January 1978

QUANTIFICATION OF PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD (PCB) CONNECTOR RELIABILITY

George F. Guth

Martin Marietta Corporation



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

....

Carles Sol

ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Air Force Systems Command Griffiss Air Force Base, New York 13441 This report has been reviewed by the RADC Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.

RADC-TR-77-433 has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED: John & The Cormicle

JOHN E. McCORMICK Project Engineer

APPROVED:

JOSEPH J. NARESKY Chief, Reliability & Compatibility Division

FOR THE COMMANDER:

The P. Huss

JOHN P. HUSS Acting Chief, Plans Office

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify RADC (RBRM) Griffiss AFS NY 13441. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return this copy. Retain or destroy.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION P	AGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 3. RE	CIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
RADC TR-77-433		
 TITLE (and Subtitle) 	S. TY	al Pechnical Period Cover
QUANTIFICATION OF PRINTED CIRCUIT B	OARD (PCB) 9 Sep	Frier 76 - September
CONNECTOR BELIABILITY		TED TO ONO. REPORT NUMBE
	14 ORI	A B34
	F30	602-76-C-0439 men
George F./Guth	15	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. P	ROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TA
Martin Marietta Corporation/Orlando	Division	REA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
P.O. Box 5837	233	80203
Orlando FL 32855	12 8	
I. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	11 Jan	78
Rome Air Development Center (RBRM)	13. N	MARK OF PAGE
Griffiss AFB NY 13441	from Controlling Office) 15 5	CURITY CLASS, (a) this report)
WONTONING AGENET NAME & ADDRESSIT GITTERENT	UNC	LASSIFIED
Same		
	15#.	CHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	N/A	an a
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in	n Block 20, il different from Repo	1)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same	n Block 20, il dillerent from Repo	1)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	n Block 20, il different from Repo	1)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES PADC Project Encineers John E. McC	n Block 20, il different from Repo	t)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. Supplementary notes RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo	Block 20, il different from Repo	1)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo	p Block 20, il different from Repo prmick (RBRM)	1)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board 	DENTICK (RBRM)	•)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F 	ormick (RBRM) Grailure Rates	1)
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, H Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, H 	brmick (RBRM) identify by block number) Failure Rates Reliability Models	1)
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, For Connectors, Printed Circuit, For Connectors, Printed Circuit, For Connectors, Print	ormick (RBRM) Fidentify by block number) Failure Rates Reliability Models Reliability Predictio	n
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Connectors) 	n Block 20, Il different from Repo ormick (RBRM) Identify by block number) Failure Rates Reliability Models Reliability Predictio Identify by block number)	n
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same Supplementary notes RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, I Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Martin Marietta Corporation conducted for a stomation 	brmick (RBRM) ridentify by block number) Pailure Rates Reliability Models Reliability Prediction Identify by block number) ed a 12-month program	m n to develop a failure
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same Supplementary notes RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, I Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Martin Marietta Corporation conductor rate mathematical model for printed military electronic equipment and constants. 	br Block 20, il different from Repo prmick (RBRM) identify by block number) Failure Rates Reliability Models Reliability Prediction identify by block number) ed a 12-month program circuit board connect	n to develop a failure tors as used in itrements of MIL-C-210
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same Supplementary notes RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Martin Marietta Corporation conductor rate mathematical model for printed military electronic equipment and co and MIL-C-55302. This model is provide the second content of the second co	ormick (RBRM) Videntify by block number) Videntify by block number) Videntify by block number) Videntify by block number) ed a 12-month program circuit board conner proforming to the requirided in the format	n n to develop a failure tors as used in uirements of MIL-C-210 of a subsection to
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Martin Marietta Corporation conducter rate mathematical model for printed military electronic equipment and co and MIL-C-55302. This model is provide the section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B, and find the section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B. 	ormick (RBRM) Identify by block number) Failure Rates Reliability Models Reliability Prediction identify by block number) ed a 12-month program circuit board conner onforming to the required includes the model,	on to develop a failure tors as used in irements of MIL-C-210 of a subsection to instructions for its u
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McConnectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, I Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed, F Connec	brmick (RBRM) remick	on to develop a failure stors as used in direments of MIL-C-210 of a subsection to instructions for its u shours of operating fi
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McConstruction 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Martin Marietta Corporation conductor rate mathematical model for printed military electronic equipment and constant MIL-C-55302. This model is provided the section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B, and f and limitations in its use. More the data were collected from industrial analyzed manually and sorted by compared the section of the sec	Demick 20, il different from Repo Dormick (RBRM) Identify by block number) Failure Rates Reliability Models Reliability Prediction identify by block number) ed a 12-month program circuit board connect onforming to the required includes the model, an 736 million part- and Government data puter.	on to develop a failure stors as used in direments of MIL-C-210 of a subsection to instructions for its u hours of operating fi sources. Data was
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McConnectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary and Martin Marietta Corporation conductor rate mathematical model for printed military electronic equipment and co and MIL-C-55302. This model is provided and limitations in its use. More the data were collected from industrial analyzed manually and sorted by common provided in the second seco	Demick (RBRM) Demick	on to develop a failure tors as used in direments of MIL-C-210 of a subsection to instructions for its u chours of operating fi sources. Data was
 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Same Supplementary notes RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Martin Marietta Corporation conducter rate mathematical model for printed military electronic equipment and co and MIL-C-55302. This model is provided Section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B, and f and limitations in its use. More th data were collected from industrial analyzed manually and sorted by common D FORM 1473 EDITION OF I NOV 65 IS OBSOLUTED 	Demick (RBRM) Tidentify by block number) Pailure Rates Reliability Models Reliability Prediction identify by block number) ed a 12-month program circuit board conner onforming to the required includes the model, ian 736 million part- and Government data puter. ETE UNCLASS SECURIT CLASSIFIC	on to develop a failure tors as used in direments of MIL-C-210 of a subsection to instructions for its u chours of operating fi sources. Data was SIFIED ATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data
 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and Martin Marietta Corporation conducter rate mathematical model for printed military electronic equipment and con and MIL-C-55302. This model is provide and MIL-C-55302. This model is provide and limitations in its use. More the data were collected from industrial analyzed manually and sorted by common D 10 ANT 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLUTION. 	Drmick (RBRM) Fidentify by block number) Failure Rates Reliability Models Reliability Prediction identify by block number) ed a 12-month program circuit board connect onforming to the required includes the model, includes the mode	on to develop a failure etors as used in direments of MIL-C-210 of a subsection to instructions for its u hours of operating fi sources. Data was <u>SIFIED</u> ATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date 1
 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Same 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: John E. McCo 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Connectors, Printed Circuit Board Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Connectors, Printed Circuit Board, F Reliability Information 10. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and Martin Marietta Corporation conductor rate mathematical model for printed military electronic equipment and co and MIL-C-55302. This model is provide Section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B, and f and limitations in its use. More the data were collected from industrial analyzed manually and sorted by common provents is obsoluted. 	Drmick (RBRM) Fidentify by block number) Failure Rates Reliability Models Reliability Prediction Identify by block number) ed a 12-month program circuit board conner prided in the format of includes the model, an 736 million part- and Government data puter. ETE UNCLASS SECURIT CLASSIFIC	on to develop a failure tors as used in direments of MIL-C-210 of a subsection to instructions for its u chours of operating fi sources. Data was <u>SIFIED</u> ATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data 1

UNCLASSIFIED

Th

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

(PI sub K)

Conclusions are summarized in the revised base failure rates and mathematical models described. Failure rates show a significant decrease from the present rates described in MIL-HDBK-217B. The mathematical model is described with a multiplying cyclic parameter $(\Pi_{\rm K})$ which varies in accordance with mating/unmating cycles of each connector.



SUMMARY

The reliability of printed circuit board (PCB) electrical connectors was studied from September 1976 to September 1977. Major objectives of the study were to quantify reliability and develop failure rate mathematical models for PCB connectors for inclusion in MIL-HDBK-217B. Connectors studied were specified in MIL-C-21097 (one-piece connector) and MIL-C-55302 (two-piece connector).

The study was initiated by mailing a survey questionnaire to industrial and Government facilities, followed by telephone contact with questionnaire respondents and personal visits to facilities having the most favorable data response. Simultaneously, in-house equipment data and library data were reviewed. All data collected were programmed into a computer for sorting and were then analyzed manually.

The collected PCB connector data were grouped, analyzed, and tested for homogeneity before being combined. A 60 percent confidence limit was calculated for all data under evaluation. Complete component type listings were assembled on data used to generate the operating failure rates for MIL-HDBK-217B.

More than 736 million part hours of operating data were collected in this study. The data cover the PCB connectors in ground-fixed, navalsheltered, and airborne environments. A failure rate mathematical model and revised base failure rates were also developed for the PCB connectors.

NTIS DDS VRANNOUNCE IUSTIFICATIO	White Section D Buff Section D
Y DISTRIBUTIO	AVAILABILITY CODES
41	TRACE BEST SPECIAL

PREFACE

This Final Technical Report on Quantification of Printed Circuit Board Connector Reliability was prepared for Rome Air Development Center, Griffiss Air Force Base, New York by the Product Support Engineering Laboratory of the Martin Marietta Corporation, Orlando Division, under Contract F30602-76-C-0439. The major objectives of the study were to quantify the reliability of printed circuit board connectors through collection and analysis of operational field data, and to develop a failure rate mathematical model to be included as a new subsection in MIL-HDBK-217B.

The contract was issued on 27 September 1976 by Rome Air Development Center (RADC). Mr. John McCormick (RBRM) was the RADC Project Engineer. The period of contract performance was 27 September 1976 to 27 September 1977.

Technical consultation and assistance in the acquisition of data was provided by Messrs. Edwin Kimball, Donald Cottrell, William Maynard, Edward French, Thomas Kirejczyk, Thomas Gagnier, and Bradley Olson. In addition, other Martin Marietta study team members were Messrs. Aaron Penkacik, Robert Whalen, and Thomas Young, and Mmes. Lynn Westling, Lynn Mercer, and Betty Jean Thomas. TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
	SUMMARY	. 1
	PREFACE	. 3
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	. 7
11.	DATA COLLECTION	. 9
	2.1 Literature Review	. 9
	2.2 Data Source Contacts	. 9
111.	PCB CONNECTORS	11
	3.1 One-Piece PCB Connectors	. 11
	3.2 Two-Piece PCB Connectors	. 12
	3.3 Comparison of One- and Two-Piece Connectors	13
	3.4 Failure Mechanisms of PCB Connectors	. 14
IV.	DATA ANALYSIS	. 15
	4.1 Statistical Methods, Assumptions, and Ground Rules	15
	4.2 Part Classes and Failure Rates	. 16
v.	FAILURE RATE MODELS	. 19
	5.1 PCB Connector Base Failure Rate (λ_1) Evaluation	. 19
	5.2 PCB Connector Cycling Factor (π_{ν}) Evaluation	. 21
	5.3 PCB Connector Pin Density Factor (π_n) Evaluation	23
	5.4 Reevaluation of λ_{1} Due to Model Changes	24
	5.5 PCB Connector Environmental Factor (π_{p}) .	26
	5.6 PCB Connector Rate Mathematical Model	28
VI.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	31
	6.1 Conclusions	31
	6.2 Recommendations	31
	REFERENCES	33
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	35
	APPENDICES	
	A. Data Sources	• 37
	B. Revised Inputs to Section 2.11. MIL-HDBK-217B	39

5

EVALUATION

This effort supports RADC TPO R-5-B, Reliability. Appendix B of the report, which includes a prediction model and updated PCB connector base failure rates, has been submitted to RADC/RBRT, the Preparing Activity (PA) for MIL-HDBK-217B, Reliability Prediction of Electronic Equipment, for inclusion in the next revision of the Handbook. Use of this revised and updated model and updated base failure rates will greatly improve the accuracy of PCB connector reliability predictions, bringing them in line with the actual reliability of PCB connectors being used in today's

weapons systems.

Ethe Cormicle

JOHN E. McCORMICK Solid State Applications Section Reliability Branch

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

MIL-HDBK-217B, "Reliability Prediction of Electronic Equipment," provides a single mathematical model for calculating the failure rate of all connector types, including both one- and two-piece printed circuit board (PCB) connectors. The many differences of PCB connectors compared to multi-pin connectors (circular, rack, panel, etc.) cause concern over adequacy of the present model.

The purpose of contract number F30602-76-C-0439 was to formulate a mathematical model that could provide the capability to predict failure rate for both one- and two-piece PCB connectors. This model has been constructed and validated. It will allow reliability assessment of PCB connectors based on pin complexity, application, stresses, operational environment, and other significant factors. This report details results of the contractual effort by discussing the data collected for the PCB connectors, the methodology for data analysis and modeling, and gives the assumptions and procedures followed for constructing PCB connector reliability prediction models suitable for incorporation into a subsection of Section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B.

SECTION II

DATA COLLECTION

2.1 Literature Review

Data for operating failure rates have been collected from contractors, institutions, and Government agencies. A comprehensive literature review was also made to obtain information and pertinent data on PCB connectors. Martin Marietta's Technical Information Center (TIC) was researched for up-to-date information on PCB connectors. A bibliography, constructed using key words, was reviewed for applicability. Data sources used in this computer search included Martin Marietta in-house documents and documents listed by other documentation centers such as the Defense Documentation Center (DDC), NASA Scientific and Aerospace Reports (STAR), and National Technical Information Services (NTIS).

2.2 Data Source Contacts

The first action upon contract initiation was generation of a list of potential data sources. This list was developed from sources used in previous study contracts and from Government-Industry Data Exchange Program (GIDEP) memberships. Other suggested sources resulted from consultations with RADC. A total of 560 companies or agencies were on the mailing list for the data survey letter. Of these, answers were received from about 260 companies. Every survey sheet returned was carefully scrutinized to determine whether available data would be useful to this study. Each respondent to the survey was contacted by telephone to further detail the amount and type of reliability information that might be available. Where possible, data were mailed directly to Martin Marietta Corporation. In areas where a large amount of data retrieval was potentially available, personal visits were arranged to visit the data sources, review the operational data, reduce the data where necessary, and return the pertinent data to Martin Marietta for further analysis. A total of 47 data sources were visited, with trips to the Northeast, Midwest, Los Angeles, San Francisco, the Southwest. The trips resulted in accumulation of the majority of data.

A summary of data sources contributing to this study program appears in Appendix A.

SECTION III

PCB CONNECTORS

PCB connector failure mode and mechanism data and design note information were obtained from visits to component users, as well as from literature available for study. The objective of this study was to identify problem areas, and where possible, suggest methods to improve reliability in PCB connectors.

3.1 One-Piece PCB Connectors

The one-piece PCB connector, also known as a card edge connector, conforms to MIL-C-21097 (Military Specification, General Specification for Connectors, Electrical, Printed Wiring Board, General Purpose). It is a receptacle containing stamped or formed contacts designed to be used with a plug that consists of printed contact tabs that are a part of the printed wiring board conductor pattern (Figure 1). This type of connector is the most popular rigid-board connector type.



Figure 1. One-Piece Connectors

The edge-mounted connector is subdivided into three types:

- Type A Single-Circuit Connector (opposing contacts parallel connected within the connector)
- Type AD Double-Circuit Connector (opposing contacts electrically isolated from each other)
- Type C (connector assembly consisting of a male adapter mating with a connector receptacle).

Contact spacing ranges from 0.05 inch on AD-type connectors to 2 inches on C-type connectors. Board thickness designations are from 1/8 inch to 1/16 inch boards.

One-piece connectors are polarized with a keyway in the board and a key in the receptacle, or by molding card guides of different lengths on each end. The only significant restriction in the use of the one-piece connector is contact density. As the packaging of electronic equipment becomes more and more dense and the reliability of integrated circuits increases, more and more of these components are placed on a printed circuit card. This density requires a large number of connections between the PC card and connector. As the number of connections increases, the force of inserting the card into the connector becomes excessive. MIL-C-21097 allows a maximum engagement force of one pound per individual contact. A 50-contact connector requires up to 50 pounds of force to engage the connector.

Several new concepts have been advanced by connector designers, including the use of chamfers and bevels on the edge of the card to spread out the push-in force. Several designs for Zero Insertion Force (ZIF) connectors have been advanced. One technique is to enter the board at an angle, and when the board is straightened and locked in, the contacts are forced against the board connectors to make firm connections. Another approach is using cam action on the connector. Connector contacts would be pried apart by a cam prior to insertion of the PC board. After the board has been inserted, the cam is released to provide firm contact between connector contacts and PCB circuits. Several other design considerations for increasing the contact density capability of the one-piece connector have been documented, pointing the way for improvement of onepiece connector design.

3.2 Two-Piece PCB Connectors

The two-piece PCB connector conforms to MIL-C-55302 (Military Specification, Connectors, Printed Circuit Subassembly and Accessories). This type of connector usually consists of one part (the plug) soldered to the PCB and the mating part (the receptacle) mounted on the chassis or another board (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Two-Piece Connector

The two-piece connector is subdivided into two principal types:

- Contact spacing ranging from 0.075 inch to 0.200 inch, and pin densities varying from 7 to 180 per connector.
- Polarization of the connector using guide pins of greater length than the contacts or offsetting rows of contacts to eliminate symmetry.
- 3.3 Comparison of One- and Two-Piece Connectors

At the present time, the one-piece connector is not approved for use in airborne Air Force equipment. MIL-E-5400 prohibits the use of the one-piece connector in airborne equipment. A major factor affecting the reliability of the one-piece connector is divided responsibility in manufacture. A detailed specification, (MIL-C-21097) controls the production of the receptacle. This part is made by a connector manufacturer to an established quality control program. On the other hand, PCB's are produced in another area and are made specifically to a customer's order. It is very difficult to maintain rigid quality control over the product, which is needed to assure a reliable connector component.

The two-piece connector, using mating pairs of plugs and receptacles, is produced by the same manufacturer to the same established quality control program. Thus, both portions of the mated connector are maintained at a quality control level that can assure a more reliable connection.

Two-piece connectors are more expensive than one-piece designs due to higher initial costs and higher assembly costs. The plug must be secured to the PCB using auxiliary fastening devices to secure the plug to the board. This method prevents the dip-soldered contact connection from needing to provide both electrical continuity and mechanical support against torsionalshear forces during mating. Two-piece connectors presently maintain an advantage in contact density capability. With several rows of contacts, it is possible to include more circuitry in the same surface area.

3.4 Failure Mechanisms of PCB Connectors

The most frequent failure modes of PCB connectors are associated with mating and unmating of the connectors. The one-piece connector may sustain damage to the contact tabs during a mating cycle. As the connector is inserted or removed, extreme stresses on the tabs may destroy a contact connection. Another failure mode is associated with insertion and withdrawal forces. Excessive force needed to insert or withdraw the connector can damage the connector contacts.

Moisture condensation trapped in the one-piece connector receptacle can result in corrosion or leakage problems. Corrosion necessarily leads to a high resistance or open circuit.

The two-piece connector can fail due to a bent pin caused by misalignment of pins prior to insertion.

Each of these failure modes can be reduced or eliminated by proper design, use, and application of the connector. The new ZIF designs will eliminate many of the problems associated with insertion and withdrawal forces.

SECTION IV

DATA ANALYSIS

4.1 Statistical Methods, Assumptions, and Ground Rules

Data have been collected on two types of PCB connectors conforming to MIL-C-55302 and MIL-C-21097. The data have been analyzed and summarized in the form of a failure rate for PCB connectors. Several basic ground rules and assumptions were used in this analysis and defined the statistical tests used in combining the data. The methods used for calculating failure rates at a given confidence level are presented in this section, along with numerical examples for statistical tests and calculation of failure rates.

All failure rates were calculated at the upper single-sided 60 percent confidence level. Before calculating the failure rates, component data were identified as either time- or failure-truncated. As far as could be determined, no failure-truncated data were received. All data were consequently assumed to be time-truncated. The upper confidence level failure rate was calculated by using the component part hours and the 40 percent chi-squared value at 2r + 2 degrees of freedom. If the data had been failure-truncated, the value would be obtained at 2r degrees of freedom. The general equation used for calculating the failure rate was obtained from Reference 1:

 $\frac{\chi^2 (\alpha, 2r + 2)}{2T} = Upper single-sided confidence level,$

where r = the number of failures which determines the degree of freedom coordinate used in determining chi-square (χ^2)

- 2r + 2 = Total degrees of freedom
 - α = Acceptable risk of error (40 percent in this study)

 $1 - \alpha$ = Confidence level (60 percent in this study)

T = Total number of component part hours.

As an example, one failure during 88.339×10^6 part hours of groundfixed operation were used in calculating the failure rate at the upper single-sided 60 percent confidence level on one-piece PCB connectors (MIL-C-21097). A table from Reference 1 was used as the source for the chisquared value, with these results:

Failure rate (60 percent confidence) = $\frac{\chi^2}{2T} (0.40, 4) = \frac{4.04}{176.678 \times 10^6}$

Failure rate (60 percent confidence) = 0.022 failures/10⁶ part hours.

1. Hald, A., "Statistical Tables and Formulas," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1952.

4.2 Part Classes and Failure Rates

To revise Section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B through development of a subsection on PCB connectors conforming to MIL-C-55302 and MIL-C-21097, field operational data and information on printed circuit board connectors were collected, studied, analyzed, and categorized by specific connector type and environmental application. Results are presented in Table 1. No PCB connectors were tested to obtain data. Instead, a rather extensive data survey and collection effort was undertaken to locate and obtain necessary data. The connectors studied were typical of those used in performing interconnection functions in military ground, airborne, satellite, ground mobile, and shipboard applications.

TA	B	LE	1

				Operating Failure Rates		
Part Type	Environment	Failures	Part-Hours (x10 ⁻⁶)	Point Estimate	60% Confidence	
Connector (MIL-C-21097)	Ground Fixed	1	88.339	0.0113 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.022 × 10 ⁻⁶	
Connector (MIL-C-55302)	Ground Fixed	1	23.154	0.043×10^{-6}	0.087×10^{-6}	
Connector (MIL-C-55302)	Naval Sheltered	1	538.522	0.0018 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.0038 × 10 ⁻⁶	
Connector (MIL-C-55302)	Airborne Uninhabited _T	1	33.79	0.029 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.0598×10^{-6}	
Connector (MIL-C-55302)	Airborne Inhabited _T	1	5.872	0.17 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.344 x 10 ⁻⁶	
Connector (MIL-C-21097)	Ground Mobile	0	36.74		0.025×10^{-6}	
Connector (MIL-C-55302)	Space Flight	0	10.4	artici mont a	0.087 × 10 ⁻⁶	

Summary of Operating Data Collected by Component Type and Environment

The data listed are in the form of failures per million hours and were calculated at the point estimate where failures had occurred and at the 60 percent upper confidence level for all categories.

Failure rates were not calculated when less than 1.0 million part hours of data were collected. The environmental abbreviations are the same as in MIL-HDBK-217B, except for airborne values, where an additional letter designation has been added. The subscript "T" on the airborne abbreviations designates data generated in subsonic type aircraft, such as transport and cargo planes, while the subscript "F" refers to supersonic aircraft such as fighters and interceptors. Component failure is defined as the inability of the part to properly perform its intended function, resulting in its repair or replacement. Whenever detailed failure information was available, all secondary failures, premature removals, and procedural and personnel errors were censored. Since most data obtained only listed quantity of failures and experience with no elaboration of failure modes and mechanisms, much of these data depend on the source's ability to properly categorize their equipment failures. As a result of direct contact with most of the sources, the majority of data contributed to this study appear to have been properly screened by the contributors.

SECTION V

FAILURE RATE MODELS

Failure rate models for PCB connectors as described in Section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B were reviewed with respect to the operating failure rates derived from field data collected during the study. Many variations were found to exist between failure rates derived from Section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B and those derived from the operating field data. In all cases, the operating field failure rates were lower than those of MIL-HDBK-217B. Examination of the data indicated the source of the variation to be the base failure rate $\lambda_{\rm b}$. An analysis was then conducted to update $\lambda_{\rm b}$ with the most recent data.

5.1 PCB Connector Base Failure Rate $(\lambda_{\rm b})$ Evaluation

Failure rates were calculated for PCB connectors in each environment for which sufficient data had been collected. The operating failure rates for each set of data were calculated at point estimates (where failures had occurred) and at the upper 60 percent confidence level in every case. Results of these calculations are listed in Table 1. Failure rates calculated at the 60 percent confidence level were used for all further comparisons and computations.

The present mathematical model for predicted failure rate of a PCB connector, as shown in Section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B, is:

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{p}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{h}} (\pi_{\mathbf{F}} \times \pi_{\mathbf{p}}) + N\lambda_{\mathrm{cvc}}$$

where λ_{b}

Using this equation and substituting parameters from operating field data, a typical failure rate was calculated for a MIL-C-55302 PCB connector used in a ground fixed environment with a cycling rate of 5 per 1000 hours. Ambient temperature was 45°C. The number of active pins used in this set of connectors is 98.

From MIL-HDBK-217B:

 $\pi_{E} = 4.0 \text{ (for ground fixed environment)} \\ \pi_{P} = 23.5 \text{ (for 98 active pins)} \\ \lambda_{b} = 0.015 \text{ x } 10^{-6} \text{ (for type B insert material at 50^{\circ}C)} \\ \lambda_{cyc}^{b} = 0 \text{ (for cycling rates <40 cycles/1000 hours)}$

Substituting in the equation, λ_p is determined to be:

 $\lambda_{p} = 0.015 \times 10^{-6} (4 \times 23.5) + 98(0) = 1.41 \times 10^{-6}$ failures/hour.

This value for λ_p is the predicted failure rate for the given PCB connector.

Failure rates were calculated in the same manner for each of the categories of connectors listed in Table 1. Each of the predicted failure rates is shown in Table 2. In each case, comparing the predicted failure rate to the observed failure rate showed that the observed field failure rate was less than the predicted failure rate from MIL-HDBK-217B. These comparisons are shown in Table 3, which indicates improvement in failure rates ranging from 4.7 to 132.

TABLE 2

n an de service de la serv La service de la service de	Predicted Failure Rate (Failures/10 ⁶ Hours)			
Environment	MIL-C-21097	MIL-C-55302		
Ground Fixed	0.399	1.41		
Naval Sheltered		0.0501		
Airborne Uninhabited _T	Section Section 4	1.49		
Airborne Inhabited _T		1.62		
Ground Mobile	1.226			

MIL-HDBK-217B Predicted Failure Rates

TABLE 3

Predicted/Observed Failure Rate Ratio

	Predicted/Observed Failure Rate Ratio			
Environment	MIL-C-21097	MIL-C-55302		
Ground Fixed	18.13	16.2		
Naval Sheltered		132.0		
Airborne Uninhabited _T		25.0		
Airborne Inhabited _T		4.7		
Ground Mobile	49			

The demonstrated improvement in reliability of each set of connectors implied the base failure rate has improved by some factor. Using the ground fixed environment as a normalizing value, the initial reduction factor was selected to be 16. Thus, the scaling factor A in the base failure rate equation, $\lambda_{\rm b} = Ae^{\rm x}$ was reduced from 6.9 to 0.431.

5.2 PCB Connector Cycling Factor (π_{ν}) Evaluation

PCB connectors are subjected to stress and wear with each mating or unmating of the connector. These conditions relate directly to the failure rate of the connector.

In the present mathematical model for PCB connectors in Section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B, the failure rate due to mating and unmating of a PCB connector is added to the connector failure rate and is dependent on the cycling rate and number of active pins in the connector. This cycling failure rate is described as:

 $\lambda_{\rm cyc} = 0.001 \ e^{(f/100)}$

where f is the cycling rate in cycles/1000 hours (Table 4). This factor is ignored for connectors experiencing cycling rates \leq 40 cycles/1000 hours.

Evaluation of cycling data (Reference 2) on all types of connectors showed a definite relationship between cycles of mating and unmating and the type of environmental usage of the connector in the space flight environment, an assumption of one connection was made, and a multiplier factor for cycling of PCB connectors was developed. This was called π_K . The base factor π_K for space flight was set to 1. Table 5 indicates the frequency of mating/unmating cycles determined from the evaluation of cycling data. The frequency of cycling connectors increases from 0 in space flight to once every 20 operating hours for airborne equipment. Evaluation of the predicted failure rates (reduced by 16) indicates a range of from 1.0 to 4.0 for π_{K} . This was determined from observation of the cycling rate of the connectors and the effects on the predicted failure rate. Table 6 lists the π_K factors derived in terms of mating cycles/1000 hours. The new factor includes all cycling rates with none ignored. From 0 mating cycles to one every 20,000 operating hours, the factor π_K is 1.0 and does not affect the base failure rate. Between one cycle every 20,000 operating hours and one cycle every 2000 hours, π_K becomes 1.5 and increases the base failure rate. Between one cycle every 2000 hours and one cycle every 200 hours, the factor increases to 2. $\pi_{\rm K}$ becomes 3.0 from one cycle every 200 hours to one cycle every 20 hours. For frequencies above one cycle every 20 hours, the π_K factor is 4.0.

^{2.} Plein, K. M., Funk, J. R., and James, L. E. "Reliability Study Circular Electrical Connectors," Hughes Aircraft Company, June 1973.

TABLE 4

Cycling Failure Rate Versus Cycling Rate from Existing MIL-HDBK-217B

f	λc	f	λc
10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180 190 200 210 220 230 240 250	0.0011 0.0012 0.0013 0.0015 0.0016 0.0018 0.0020 0.0022 0.0025 0.0027 0.0030 0.0033 0.0037 0.0041 0.0045 0.0055 0.0060 0.0055 0.0060 0.0067 0.0074 0.0082 0.0090 0.0100 0.0110 0.0122	260 270 280 290 300 310 320 330 340 350 360 370 380 390 400 410 420 430 440 450 460 440 450 460 470 480 490 500	0.0135 0.0149 0.0164 0.0182 0.0201 0.0222 0.0245 0.0271 0.0300 0.0331 0.0366 0.0404 0.0447 0.0494 0.0447 0.0494 0.0546 0.0603 0.0667 0.0737 0.0815 0.0900 0.0995 0.1099 0.1215 0.1343 0.1484

941-30362-2122-13940

Note: $\lambda_{c} = 0.001 e^{(1/100)}$ where λ_{c} is failures/ million hours and f is

cycling rate in cycles/ 1000 hours.

Over the second of

1 James, L. R. "Reliability Study Circuler: Aircraft Company, Jone 1973.

TABLE 5

Connector Mating Frequency in Several Environments

Hours/Mating
No Mating/Unmating
2000
200
20

TABLE	6
-------	---

Derived TK Factors

Mating Cycles (Matings/1000 Hours)	^π K
0 - 0.05	1
0.05 - 0.5	1.5
0.5 - 5.0	2.0
5.0 - 50.0	3.0
>50	4.0

5.3 PCB Connector Pin Density Factor (π_p) Evaluation

 π_P is determined in MIL-HDBK-217B as a factor increasing exponentially due to the increase in active pins in a connector. π_P modifies the base failure rate. The equation to determine π_P is:

$$u_{\rm p} = e^{\left(\frac{\rm N-1}{\rm N}\right)^{\rm q}}$$

where: $N_0 = 10$

q = 0.51064N = number of active pins.

 π_P was evaluated with respect to its contribution to the total failure rate prediction and found not to be substantially changed. The value of π_P in the base model is valid and remains unchanged.

5.4 Reevaluation of λ_{b} Due to Model Changes

In Section 5.1, the value of the constant A was reduced by a factor of 16 to bring the predicted failure rate model in line with observed values. The model calculated at that time used an additive cycling modifier, N λ_{CYC} to determine the effects of the mating and unmating the connector. Since the mg factor to be used in the new model is multiplicative, it directly affects the base failure rate of the connector:

 $\lambda_{\mathbf{p}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{b}} \left(\pi_{\mathbf{E}} \mathbf{x} \pi_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{x} \pi_{\mathbf{K}} \right)$

An evaluation of the same group of data calculated in Section 5.1 results in a new failure rate:

 $\pi_{E} = 4.0$ $\pi_{P} = 23.5$ $\pi_{K} = 2.0$ $\lambda_{b} = 0.00094 \times 10^{-6}$ $\lambda_{p} = 0.176 \times 10^{-6} \text{ failures/hour}$

Results of calculations for each group of connectors using the new failure rate mathematical model are shown in Table 7. Observation of the data indicates the predicted to observed failure rates are high by at least a factor of two. Reduction of the base failure rate by this factor results in an overall reduction in the constant A of 32. A then becomes 0.216. Base failure rates are shown in Table 8 as compared to present base failure rates in MIL-HDBK-217B. Table 9 lists the comparison of observed failure rates with the predicted failure rates derived with the new model.

TABLE 7

Failure Rate Comparison with Uncorrected Model

Environment	Observed Failure Rate	Proposed Model
Ground Fixed (MIL-C-21097)	0.022	0.050
Ground Fixed (MIL-C-55302)	0.087	0.176
Naval Sheltered (MIL-C-55302)	0.0038	0.112
Airborne Uninhabited (MIL-C-55302)	0.0598	0.33
Airborne Inhabited (MIL-C-55302)	0.344	0.366
Ground Mobile (MIL-C-21097)	0.025	0.154

TA	BI	E	8

Temp (^O C)	Present MIL-HDBK-217B	Proposed
0	0.004	0.000125
10	0.005	0.00016
20	0.007	0.0002
30	0.009	0.0003
40	0.012	0.0004
50	0.015	0.0005
60	0.019	0.0006
70	0.024	0.0008
80	0.030	0.0009
90	0.037	0.0012
100	0.046	0.0014
110	0.058	0.0018
120	0.072	0.0022
130	0.089	0.0028
140	0.111	0.0034
150	0.139	0.004
160	0.175	0.005
170	0.221	0.007
180	0.281	0.009
190	0.359	0.011
200	0.463	0.014

Base Failure Rate

TA	RI	F	0
IN	D	LL	2

Model Improvement by Factor Reduction

Environment	Observed Failure Rate	Proposed Mode1
Ground Fixed (MIL-C-21097)	0.022	0.025
Ground Fixed (MIL-C-55302)	0.087	0.088
Naval Sheltered (MIL-C-55302)	0.0038	0.056
Airborne Uninhabited (MIL-C-55302)	0.0598	0.166
Airborne Inhabited (MIL-C-55302)	0.344	0.183
Ground Mobile (MIL-C-21097)	0.025	0.077

5.5 PCB Connector Environmental Factor (π_p)

Examination of the failure rates determined in the new model, using the ground fixed environment as reference, shows adjustments in the environmental factors are now required to bring some factors into line. Airborne uninhabit-ed failure rates exhibit a 3 to 1 increase from observed to predicted. The environmental factor of 10 is too high and must be reduced by a factor of 2. Airborne inhabited rates exhibit a 2 to 1 decrease from the observed to predicted, indicating the environmental factor should be increased. Airborne inhabited $\pi_{\rm E}$ was set equal to airborne uninhabited $\pi_{\rm E}$, indicating all airborne environmental factor was reduced from 8 to 5 and the naval sheltered factor was reduced from 4 to 2.

The present environmental table in MIL-HDBK-217B lists an environmental factor for lower quality connectors in comparison to military type connectors. Present values showed a quality factor of 1/10 in the ground benign environment, reducing to a factor of 1/2 for the most severe environment (missile launch). Environmental factors for ground benign environments have little effect on either type connector, while factors during missile launch greatly affect those lower quality connectors. Therefore, the π_E factors for lower quality connectors have been revised for each environment to reflect more accurately the severity of the environment with regard to the connector. Table 11 lists these revisions.

The aircraft environment was expanded to four categories to separate supersonic aircraft from other types. It is generally accepted that equipment on supersonic aircraft are exposed to higher levels of shock, vibration, and acoustic noise, and to a more severe operating temperature range than equipment on other aircraft. Mission duration is usually much for shorter for supersonic aircraft. Is this study program, only data from the subsonic aircraft equipment were collected. From other studies (References 3 and 4), analyses of data have been made, and a factor of 2:1

TABLE 10

5.6 PCB Connector Failure P soula them and south A

Environment	^π E Proposed	^π E Present	The new failu $\lambda_n = \lambda_1$ (n. x
Airborne Inhabited _T	5	4	2 0 4
Airborne Uninhabited _T	5	10	Evaluation of fail category of connec
Ground Mobile	5	8	
Naval Sheltered	2	4	e Ground 118

TAB	LE	11
	_	

Environmental	Factors
---------------	---------

	^π E	
Environment	MIL SPEC	Lower Quality
G _B	1.0	1.5
SF	1.0	1.5
GF	4.0	8.0
NS	4.0	8.0
AI	5.0	15.0
AU	5.0	15.0
GM	5.0	15.0
NU	9.0	19.0
AIT	10.0	30.0
AUT	10.0	30.0
ML	15.0	30.0

- 3. Kern, G. A., and Drnas, I. M., "Operational Influences on Reliability" page 5-4, Hughes Aircraft Company, RADC-TR-76-366, December, 1976.
- Pearce, M. B. and Rise, G. D., "Technique for Developing Equipment Failure Rate K Factors" page 13, Boeing Aerospace Company, December 1973.

for supersonic versus subsonic environmental stress was developed. This value was determined to be a good general factor to differentiate between subsonic and supersonic aircraft. The term supersonic aircraft includes fighters and interceptors, while the subsonic category encompasses transport, heavy bomber, cargo, and patrol aircraft.

5.6 PCB Connector Failure Rate Mathematical Model

The new failure rate mathematical model has been determined to be:

 $\lambda_{\mathbf{p}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{b}} (\pi_{\mathbf{E}} \times \pi_{\mathbf{p}} \times \pi_{\mathbf{K}})$

Evaluation of failure rates using the new model and base failure rate for each category of connectors from Section 5.1 results in:

• Ground fixed (MIL-C-21097)

 $\lambda_{p} = \lambda_{b} (\pi_{E} \times \pi_{p} \times \pi_{K}) = 0.00033 (4.0 \times 9.5 \times 2.0)$ $\lambda_{p} = 0.025 \times 10^{-6} \text{ failures/hour}$

• Ground fixed (MIL-C-55302)

 $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.00047 \ (4.0 \ {\rm x} \ 23.5 \ {\rm x} \ 2.0)$ $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.088 \ {\rm x} \ 10^{-6} \ {\rm failures/hour}$

• Naval sheltered (MIL-C-55302)

 $\lambda_{p} = 0.0004 (2.0 \times 23.5 \times 1.5)$ $\lambda_{p} = 0.0287 \times 10^{-6} \text{ failures/hour}$

• Airborne uninhabited (MIL-C-55302)

 $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.0004 \ (5 \ {\rm x} \ 10.42 \ {\rm x} \ 4.0)$ $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.083 \ {\rm x} \ 10^{-6} \ {\rm failures/hour}$

• Airborne inhabited (MIL-C-55302)

$$A_{\rm p} = 0.00047 \ (5 \ {\rm x} \ 24.32 \ {\rm x} \ 4.0)$$

 $A_{\rm p} = 0.229 \ {\rm x} \ 10^{-6} \ {\rm failures/hour}$

• Ground mobile (MIL-C-21097)

 $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.00033 \ (5 \ {\rm x} \ 14.6 \ {\rm x} \ 2.0)$ $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.048 \ {\rm x} \ 10^{-6} \ {\rm failures/hour}$

These failure rates are summarized in Table 12.

TABLE	12
TUDDI	1 60

	$\lambda_{\rm P}$ (Failure Rate/10 ⁶ Hours)	
Environment	Observed	Mode1
Airborne Uninhabited _T	0.0598	0.083
Airborne Inhabited _T	0.344 *	0.229
Ground Mobile	0.025	0.048
Naval Sheltered	0.0038	0.028
Ground Fixed (MIL-C-55302)	0.087	0.088
Ground Fixed (MIL-C-21097)	0.022	0.025

Proposed Model Failure Rates

SECTION VI

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions

In concluding the Quantification of Printed Circuit Board (PCB) Connector Reliability Program, Contract F30602-76-C-0439, more than 736 million parthours have been collected from all sources. This data base has been used to prepare a failure rate mathematical model for a new Section 2.11.1 of MIL-HDBK-217B.

Some areas of data categories are not well defined. Data contributors are generally reluctant to incur large expenditures to further refine data and information that they provide free of charge. They are also hesitant to allow visitors unrestricted access to their detailed records. In many instances, records were not maintained in areas such as mating/unmating cycles of PCB connectors. The basic assumptions made have been that the collected data reflect average failure rates for parts over the general spectrum specified for and used in most military equipment.

PCB connector failure rate prediction models were defined and validated in six areas of interest. Appendix B presents these models and explains their use.

The data collected during this study were compared to the existing information in Section 2.11 of MIL-HDBK-217B. Significant increases in the average reliability have been noted for both one-piece and two-piece connectors. These data indicate that reliability growth has been taking place, and the state-of-the-art is still improving.

6.2 Recommendations

Two recommendations are submitted for consideration:

- 1 With the improvement in PCB connector design and the need for larger PCB connector pin capability, Section 2.11.1 of MIL-HDBK-217B should be updated every three years. Data in the next several years should reflect changes in the state-of-the-art on a timely basis.
- 2 Continuing efforts to collect PCB connector reliability data should be investigated. In this study, military systems contractors were found to be growing more reluctant to furnish uncontracted data free of charge. This is due to costs incurred by them to recover or reconstruct past data. Many contractors are only tracking failures to the line replaceable unit (LRU) level instead of the component level, thus reducing the amount of component data available.

31/32

REFERENCES

- Hald, A., "Statistical Tables and Formulas," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1952.
- Plein, K. M., Funk, J. R., and James, L. E. "Reliability Study Circular Electrical Connectors," Hughes Aircraft Company, June 1973.
- 3. Kern, G.A, and Drnas, I.M., "Operational Influences on Reliability" page 5-4, Hughes Aircraft Company, RADC-TR-76-366, December, 1976
- Pearce, M.B. and Rise, G.D., "Technique for Developing Equipment Failure Rate K Factors" page 13, Boeing Aerospace Company, December 1973

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ball, M., Hardre, F. H., and Struckus, E. J., "Increase Connector Contact Reliability," <u>The Electronic Engineer</u>, IBM Corporation, Owego, N.Y., March, 1968.
- Bock, E. M., and Whitley, J. H., "Fretting Corrosion in Electric Contacts," <u>Twentieth Annual Holm Seminar on Electrical Contacts</u>," Amp Incorporated, Harrisburg, PA, October, 1974.
- Bowen, T., Harwood, R., and Yeager, M., "Engineering a Reliable Card-Edge Connector," <u>Sixth Annual Connector Symposium</u>, Amp Incorporated, Harrisburg PA, October, 1973.
- 4. Byrne, W. J., "Edge Connector Failure Mechanism," <u>Reliability Physics</u> Symposium, Memorex Corporation, Santa Clara, California, April 5, 1972.
- 5. Cobaugh, R., and Taylor, A., "A New Zero-Insection-Force Card-Edge Connector," Amp Incorporated, Harrisburg, PA.
- Dodge, C. A., "Printed Circuit Connector Reliability," <u>Reliable Electrical</u> <u>Connections</u>, p. 162, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California, December, 1958.
- "Easy-In, Easy-Out Boards and Tapes Exploit Unique Connection Principles," Product Engineering, December, 1976.
- "Electrical Connection Vital in PCB Systems," <u>Design Engineering</u>, January, 1977.
- 9. Harwood, R., "Connectors Bridging the Speed Gap," Amp Incorporated, Harrisburg, PA.
- Holm, Dr. Else, "Introductory Lecture on Contact Resistance," <u>Engineering</u> Seminar on Electrical Contacts, August, 1964.
- 11. Lane, Weldon V., "An Analysis of the Two Part Printed Circuit Connector Versus The One Part Printed Circuit Connector for Military Applications."
- 12. Nicholson, E. H., "Connector Manufacture, a High Technology," <u>Electronic</u> and Power, Pye Connectors, Ltd., Biggleswade, England, February, 1977.
- Ritchie, L., "A New Board-to-Board Interconnect System Engineered for Economy, Reliability and Versatility," <u>Nep Con '76 West</u>, Amp Incorporated, Harrisburg, PA, February, 1976.
- Russakoff, R., and Snowball, R., "Measurement of Contact Resistance," <u>The Review of Scientific Instruments</u>, Volume 38 No. 3, Burndy Corporation, Norwalk, Connecticut, November, 1966.

- Russell, C. A., "How Environmental Pollutants Diminish Contact Reliability," <u>Insulation/Circuits</u>, page 43, Bell Telephone Laboratories, Holmdel, N.J., September, 1976.
- Schwartz, B. R., "Connectors and Connective Devices," <u>Handbook of Components for Electronics</u>, Chapter 11, RCA Corporation, Moorestown, N.J., 1977.
- 17. Smith, George, "Allocating and Predicting Cabling/Connector Failure Rates for a Complex System," Boeing Aerospace Company, Seattle, Washington.
- Snowball, R., Williamson, J. B. P., and Hack, R. C., "Ingress-Limited Corrosion of Contacting Surfaces," <u>IEEE Transactions on Parts, Materials</u> <u>and Packaging</u>, Vol. PMP-3, No. 3, Burndy Corporation, Norwalk, Conn., September, 1967.
- 19. Wagar, H. N., "Impact of the Contact On Electrical Systems," Address of the Fifth Recipient of the Ragnar Holm Scientific Achievement Award, 1976.
- 20. Whitley, J. H., "How to Choose the Right Electrical Connection," Amp Incorporated, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.
- Whitley, J. H., "Contacts and Dry Circuits," Amp Incorporated, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, October, 1963.
- Whitley, J. H., "A Rational Selection of Alternate Materials for Electrical Connector Contacts," <u>24th Electronic Components Conference</u>, Amp Incorporated, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, May, 1974.
- Whitley, J. H., "Connector Requirements and Technology," <u>International</u> <u>Colloquium on Automative Electronic Technology</u>, Amp Incorporated, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, October, 1974.

APPENDIX A DATA SOURCES

APPENDIX A

DATA SOURCES

Aerojet Azusa, California

Autonetics Anaheim, California

General Electric Corporation Syracuse, New York

Harris Corporation Melbourne, Florida

Lear Seigler Grand Rapids, Michigan

Litton Industries Van Nuys, California

Reliability Analysis Center Rome, New York

Spectra Physics Santa Clara, California

Sperry Univac St. Paul, Minnesota

Sperry Systems Management Great Neck, New York APPENDIX B

REVISED INPUTS TO SECTION 2.11, MIL-HDBK-217B

Specification	Description		
MIL-C-21097 MIL-C-55302	One-Piece Connector Two-Piece Connector		
Part Failure	Rate Model (λ_p)		
The failure n	The failure rate, λ_p , is for a mating pair of connectors and is:		
$\lambda_{p} = \lambda_{b} (\Pi_{E} \times \Pi_{P} \times \Pi_{K}) \text{ failures/10}^{6} \text{ hours}$			
where the fac	tors are:		
П _Е Та	ble 2.11.1-4		
п _р Та	ble 2.11.1-5		
П _К Та	ble 2.11.1-6		

Table 2.11.1-1. Prediction Procedure for PCB Connectors

Base failure rate model (λ_b) $\lambda_b = Ae^x$ where $x = (\frac{N_T}{T+273}) + (\frac{T+273}{T_o}^P)$ e = 2.718, natural logarithm $T = operating temperature (^{\circ}C)$ T = ambient + temperature rise (Table 2.11.1-2) A = 0.216 $T_o = 423$ P = 4.66 $N_T = -2073.6$ λ_b values are shown in Table 2.11.1-3.

Amperes/Contact	26 GA	22 GA	20 GA
1	1.4	0.99	0.6
2	5.0	3.6	2.3
3	10.5	7.6	4.9
4	17.9	12.9	8.31
5	27.1	19.4	12.6

Table 2.11.1-2. Connector Temperature Rise (^oC) Versus Contact Current and Contact Size

 $\Delta T = 1.38 (i)^{1.85}$ for 26 GA $\Delta T = 0.989 (i)^{1.85}$ for 22 GA $\Delta T = 0.64 (i)^{1.85}$ for 20 GA

Note 1: $\Delta T = {}^{O}C$ temperature rise i = amperes per contact

Note 2: The operating temperature of the connector is usually assumed to be the sum of the ambient temperature surrounding the connector plus the temperature rise generated in the contact.

Temperature ([°] C)	λ _b
0	0.00013
10	0.00016
20	0.00021
30	0.00028
40	0.00037
50	0.00047
60	0.0006
70	0.0008
80	0.0009
90	0.0011
100	0.0014
110	0.0018
120	0.0022
130	0.0028
140	0.0035
150	0.0043
160	0.0055
170	0.007
180	0.0088
190	0.011
200	0.014

Table 2.11.1-3. Operating Temperature Versus Base Failure Rate (λ_b) in Failures/Million Hours

	π _E	
Environment	MIL SPEC	Lower Quality
GB	1.0	1.5
S _F	1.0	1.5
G _F	4.0	8.0
NS	4.0	8.0
AIT	5.0	10.0
A _{UT}	5.0	10.0
G _M	5.0	10.0
N _{II}	9.0	19.0
A _{IF}	10.0	20.0
A	10.0	20.0
ML	15.0	30.0

Table 2.11.1-4. $\pi_{\rm E}$ Based on Environmental Service

N	^π P	N	π P
1	1.00	65	13.20
2	1.36	70	14.60
3	1.55	75	16.10
4	1.72	80	17.69
5	1.87	85	19.39
6	2.02	90	21.19
7	2.16	95	23.10
8	2.30	100	25.13
9	2.44	105	27.28
10	2.58	110	29.56
11	2.72	115	31.98
12	2.86	120	34.53
13	3.00	125	37.22
14	3.14	130	40.07
15	3.28	135	43.08
16	3.42	140	46.25
17	3.57	145	49.60
18	3.71	150	53.12
19	3.86	155	56.83
20	4.00	160	60.74
25	4.78	165	64.85
30	5.60	170	69.17
35	6.46	175	73.70
40	7.42	180	78.47
45	8.42	185	83.47
50	9.50	190	88.72
55	10.65	195	94.23
60	11.89	200	100.00

Table 2.11.1-5. Values of Failure Rate Modifier, $\pi_{\rm P},$ for Number of Active Pins in a Connector

 \mathbb{I}_p is a function of the number of active pins

$$\pi_{\rm P} = e\left(\frac{\rm N-1}{\rm N_{\rm o}}\right)^{\rm q}$$

where $N_0 = 10$

q = 0.51064

N = number of active pins

Table	2.11.1-6.	Cycling	Rate	Factor	^π κ

Cycling Frequency (Matings/1000 Hours)	πK
0 - 0.05	1.0
0.05 - 0.5	1.5
0.5 - 5.0	2.0
5.0 - 50.0	3.0
>50.0	4.0

A cycle is defined as the mating and unmating of a connector.

EXAMPLE

Connector with low cycling rates

Given: A two-piece printed circuit board connector (MIL-C-55302) with 50 active pins will be utilized in a ground fixed environment in which the connector is expected to be connected and disconnected once every 300 hours of operation. Pin size is 22 gage. Ambient temperature will be 25° C, and the expected load current will be 2.0 amperes.

Find: The failure rate of the connector.

<u>Step 1.</u> Calculate the operating temperature by adding the temperature rise in the connector to the ambient temperature, 25°C.

From Table 2.11.1-2, ΔT for 22 gage when 2.0 amperes are flowing = $3.6^{\circ}C$.

Operating temperature = ambient + heat rise. Operating temperature = $25^{\circ}C + 3.6^{\circ}C = 28.6^{\circ}C$.

Step 2. From Table 2.11.1-3, λ_b is determined to be 0.00027 for 28.6°.

Step 3. From Table 2.11.1-4, $\pi_{\rm E}$ for ground environment is 4.0.

- Step 4. From Table 2.11.1-5, π_p for 50 pins is determined to be 9.5.
- Step 5. From Table 2.11.1-6, π_{K} for 3.0 matings/1000 hours is determined to be 2.0.
- Step 6. The failure rate of the connector is determined by substituting the values determined into the failure rate equation:

 $\lambda_{\mathbf{P}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{b}} (\pi_{\mathbf{E}} \times \pi_{\mathbf{P}} \times \pi_{\mathbf{K}})$

 $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.00027 \ (4 \ {\rm x} \ 9.5 \ {\rm x} \ 2)$

 $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.02 \text{ failures/10}^6 \text{ hours.}$

EXAMPLE

Connector with high cycling rate

Given: A two-piece printed circuit board connector with 96 active pins will be utilized in an airborne inhabited environment in a high performance aircraft in which the connector is expected to be connected and disconnected every 20 operating hours. Pin size is 22 gage. Ambient temperature will be 45° C, and the expected load current will be 2.5 amperes.

Find: The failure rate of the connector.

<u>Step 1</u>. Calculate the operating temperature by adding the temperature rise in the connector to the ambient temperature, 45°C.

From Table 2.11.1-2, ΔT for 22 gage when 2.5 amperes are flowing = 5.6°.

Operating temperature = ambient temperature + heat rise. Operating temperature = 45° C + 5.6° C = 50.6° C.

- Step 2. From Table 2.11.1-3, λ_b is determined to be 0.00048 for 50.6°C.
- <u>Step 3.</u> From Table 2.11.1-4, π_E for airborne inhabited, high performance is 10.0.
- Step 4. From Table 2.11.1-5, Tp for 96 active pins is 23.5.
- Step 5. From Table 2.11.1-6, π_{K} for 50 cycles/1000 hours is 4.0.
- <u>Step 6</u>. The failure rate of the connector is determined by substituting the values determined in the failure rate equation:

 $\lambda_{\rm p} = \lambda_{\rm b} \ (\pi_{\rm E} \ge \pi_{\rm p} \ge \pi_{\rm K})$ $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.00048 \ (10.0 \ge 23.5 \ge 4.0)$ $\lambda_{\rm p} = 0.451 \ge {\rm failures}/10^6 {\rm hours.}$