



SECORITY CERSSIFICATION	OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entere	(d)	
(18) (19 REPORT	DOCUMENTATION PAG	E	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
ADD CORON O TH	2. GO	VT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
ARU 13(29.2-ELX			- TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COLORE
DESIGN OF BANDST	OP DIGITAL FILTERS FO	DR	Reprint
REJECTING WEATHE	R OR CHAFF CLUTTER IN	MTI RADARS	. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
			A CONTRACT OF GRANT NUMBER(s)
Ronald C Houts	1	(5)	
Brian P. Holt		ST.	DAAG29_76-G-\$212 New
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZA	TION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
University of Al	abama		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE	NAME AND ADDRESS		1977
Post Office Box	12211		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING IGENCY	AME & ADDRESS(II different from	Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	(12)		Unclassified
J	1ºp.1		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEM	ENT (of this Report)		
Approved for pu	blic release; distrib	ution unlimit	DDC DPOPULL DEC 21 1977
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEM	ENT (of the abstract entered in Bio	ock 20, il dillerent fro	m Report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOT	ES		
The findings in Department of the documents.	this report are not he Army position, unl	to be constru ess so design	ed as an official ated by other authorized
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on Digital filters Radar Bandstop filters	Attenuation	ntily by block number)	
Radar clutter			
D. ABSTRACT (Continue on	reverse side if necessary and iden	tify by block number)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A tech stop d wideba The cl	nnique is described for des digital filters which effec and clutter in a digital MT lutter return can be caused modeled with a Gaussian p	igning FIR band- tively attenuate I radar processor by rain or chaff ower-density	

77CHO 1233-6 REG 111

2112	White Section
300	Bufi Section 🔲
MANHOUNCED	
INSTIFICATIO	
DY DISTRIBUTI	N/AVAILABILITY CODES
DY DISTRIBUTII DIST.	NAVAILADILITY CODES AVAIL, and/or CPECIAL
DY Distributio Dist,	R/AVAILABILITY GODES AVAIL and/or DPEDIAL
DY DISTRIDUTH DIST.	R/AVAILABILITY GODES AVAIL and/or SPEDIAL
DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY GODES

PROCEEDINGS OF SOUTHEASTCON '77

REGION 3 CONFERENCE



"Imaginative Engineering Thru Education and Experience"

Williamsburg, Va. April 4, 5, 6, 1977 Hospitality House

THE INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, INC.

DESIGN OF BANDSTOP DIGITAL FILTERS FOR * REJECTING WEATHER OR CHAFF CLUTTER IN MTI RADARS

Ronald C. Houts and Brian P. Holt

Department of Electrical Engineering The University of Alabama

Abstract

A technique is described for designing FIR bandstop digital filters which effectively attenuate wideband clutter in a digital MTI radar processor. The clutter return can be caused by rain or chaff and is modeled with a Gaussian power-density spectrum. The bandstop filter is designed to minimize the transition region for fixed filter length, specified stopband width, stopband attenuation and passband ripple.

*This research was supported by Army Research Office Grant No. DAAG29-76-G-0212.

Background

The primary interference to a radar signal return is called clutter. This clutter is typically much stronger than the narrowband doppler-shifted target return and may be caused by ground reflections, rainstorms or deliberate interference such as chaff. The ground clutter is effectively removed by a simple two or three tap digital filter in the moving-target indicator (MTI) radar signal processor. The degree to which the signal/ clutter ratio is enhanced by the MTI filter is called the improvement factor (I). The standard definition of I assumes that the signal gain is computed by averaging over the entire filter response from dc to the pulse repetition frequency (1/T) where T is the pulse repetition or filter sampling interval. In point of fact, the frequency response of the two or three pulse canceller (TPC) is quite dependent upon frequency and provides a true gain over a restricted portion of the region and severe loss over much of the remaining response. However, the average signal gain is close to 0 dB; hence, I is really a measure of clutter loss (C) which can be more than 50 dB for a TPC and ground clutter. A study of the tradeoff between ground clutter rejection and usable passband with more sophisticated filters (N > 3) has been reported by Houts & Burlage [1].

Unfortunately, wideband clutter rejection requires a more sophisticated filter design because the average velocity (v_c) of the clutter spectrum is not zero and has a standard deviation (σ_v) which is much greater than the ground clutter value. Typically [2], for ground clutter $\sigma_v < 0.25$ m/s

with $v_c = 0$, whereas for rain 1.0 < σ_v < 3.5 m/s and chaff has 1.0 < σ_v < 2 m/s with v_c < 40 m/s.

The considerable spread in σ_v is due to two facts. First, σ_v is primarily composed of two independent components, a turbulence component (σ_t) and a shear component (σ_s) which are sum-squared to form σ_v^2 ; second, σ_s is a function of range, whereas σ_t is ≈ 1.0 m/s independent of range. Translated to C-band radar ($f_t = 5.5$ GHz) this implies the clutter spectrum has a center frequency (f_c) between dc and 1.5 kHz and a standard deviation (σ) between 37 Hz and 128 Hz.

In the presentations which follow, the frequency response will be normalized with respect to the sampling rate (1/T). Since finite-impulse response (FIR) digital filters have an amplitude response |H(f)| which is symmetric with respect to 1/2T, a normalized frequency, F = fT ranging between 0 and 0.5 is sufficient to completely describe the filter response.

FIR Filter Design

The optimum, in a Chebyshev sense (minimized maximum error), FIR digital filter algorithm of McClellan <u>et. al.</u> [3] serves as the basis for designing a bandstop digital MTI filter. Intuitively, the ideal bandstop filter would be designed by specifying the desired stopband center frequency (f_s), bandwidth (B_s) and attenuation

(A_s) required to achieve the desired amount of

clutter rejection (C). A practical filter must also consider the number of multipliers or taps (N), passband ripple (R_p) and provide two

transition regions (B_t) between the stopband and

passband intervals. Unfortunately, the aforementioned design algorithm hereafter referred to as MPAR utilizes a relative error weighting parameter (W) in lieu of specifying values for R_n

and A. Consequently, the design of a bandstop

filter which achieves the desired clutter loss is largely a matter of trial and error as demonstrated in Table 1.

		TABLE I		
	MPAR	DESIGN RES	SULTS	
(N =	15. B	T = 0.06.	f T =	0.10

RIIN	BT	W		Δ	
	^b t ¹	•	^p	n's	_
1	0.03	1	3.1	15.0	
2	0.03	10	7.2	28.1	
3	0.03	20	7.8	33.5	
4	0.05	20	2.5	43.0	
5	0.04	20	4.5	38.0	
6	0.04	10	4.2	32.5	

The other filter design parameters are assigned the following values: N = 23, $B_s T = 0.06$, $f_s T = 0.1$ with a design goal of C = 25 dB which is to be achieved using $A_s \approx 30$ dB and $R_p \approx 5$ dB centered at 0 dB (unit gain). The value of R_p is selected to provide adequate target detectability throughout the passband. It is apparent that adjustments in B_t or W cause changes in both R_p and A_s and require several trials before achieving

acceptable performance. Rabiner [4] has reported algorithms for iterating one particular MPAR design parameter until a desired error in the passband (D_) or stopband (D_) is achieved. These

errors can be used to define A and R by

$$A_{s} = -20 \log (D_{s})$$
 (1)

and

$$R_{p} = 20 \log \left[(1 + D_{p}) / (1 - D_{p}) \right]$$
(2)

Although originally designed for lowpass filters, Houts and Burlage [1] successfully adapted the technique for the design of highpass filters to suppress ground clutter using B_t as the variable.

The present extension of this philosophy to bandstop or bandpass filters is straightforward [5]. Because of the inclusion of a null at dc or 1/2Tfor even values of N, the application to bandstop filters is only practical for odd values of N and positive coefficient symmetry [6]. Although in the work which follows N is held constant, it should be recognized that increasing values of N provide larger A_s or smaller values of B_t or R_p, all desirable features for a filter. The response for a typical 23-tap bandstop filter designed using the aforementioned set of design parameters is shown in Figure 1 along with an ideal approximation to this filter which has uniform stopband attenuation and linear transition band response.

Clutter Rejection-Idealized Filter

A desired clutter rejection can be accomplished using some combination of the parameters A_s , B_s and B_t assuming the stopband is located at the clutter center, i.e., $f_s = f_c$. What is not clear is the best choice for each parameter. The tradeoff is readily determined for the idealized filter shown in Figure 1. The effect of increasing



Figure 1. Frequency Response for FIR Bandstop Filter.

 B_s for $B_t = 1.5\sigma$ is shown in Figure 2 for various choices of A_s . It follows that C = 25 dB can't



Figure 2. Effect of Stopband Attenuation on C-B Tradeoff.

be achieved for $A_g < 25$ dB and that it requires $B_g \simeq 4\sigma$ for $A_g = 30$ dB vs. 3σ for 100 dB. Of course a real filter design would require a much larger value of N for 100 dB attenuation than it would for 30 dB in order to retain $B_t = 1.5\sigma$. The effect of changing B_t is shown in Figure 3 for $A_g = 30$ dB. Again, it follows that C = 25 dB can be achieved either with $B_t = 2\sigma$, $B_g = 3.1\sigma$ or



Figure 3. Effect of Transition Bandwidth on C-B_s Tradeoff.

 $B_t = 1\sigma$, $B_s = 4.5\sigma$, however, the total unusable bandwidth (B) defined by

 $B = 2[B_{e} + 2B_{+}]$ (3)

is 14.2 σ in the first case and only 13.0 σ in the second case. It is also evident that the wider transition widths (small N) play a significant role in clutter loss when the stopband is narrow ($B_s < 4\sigma$) and have a negligible effect when $B_s > 6\sigma$. The effect on clutter loss (C) of off-setting the clutter from the center of the stopband ($f_c \neq f_s$) is shown in Figure 4. The normalized frequency offset is defined as

$$\Delta f_{c} = |f_{s} - f_{c}|/f_{s} \times 100\%.$$
 (4)

A ten percent frequency offset reduces C by 3 dB while a 20 percent offset costs 8 dB. Since it would be impractical to continuously match the filter to the changing average clutter velocity (v_c) it follows that the filter must be designed

with more clutter rejection than needed under ideal conditions to protect against a change in $v_{\rm c}$, e.g., a 10 dB margin permits a 25 percent

change in v_c . Due to the symmetry of the filter and clutter spectrum the direction of velocity

change is immaterial.

Clutter Rejection - FIR Filter

A series of 15 and 23-tap FIR bandstop filters were constructed using the transition bandwidth (B_r) as a variable parameter adjusted to meet the

specifications $A_s = 30 \text{ dB}$ and $R_p = 5 \text{ dB}$. A 15-tap



Figure 4. Effect of Frequency Offset on Clutter Loss.

filter was designed with $f_s T = 0.10$ and clutter rejection data is presented in Table 2 assuming Gaussian clutter with $\sigma T = 0.01$ or 0.02. The improvement is relatively constant for small $B_T (<0.04)$ which can be explained by noting that B_t is decreasing with increasing B_s in such a manner that the unusable bandwidth (B), defined by Equation (3), remains roughly constant. Furthermore, little additional clutter rejection is possible once $B_s > 4\sigma$.

TABLE 2 15-TAP FILTER RESULTS (A = 30 dB P = 5 dB f T = 0.10)

	(A = 50 a.	p, $k = 5 db$, $1 f$	- 0.10)
B _s T	^B t ^T	$C(\sigma T = 0.01)$	$C(\sigma T = 0.02)$
0.00	0.052	22.3	13.6
0.01	0.048	23.3	13.8
0.02	0.043	25.6	14.4
0.04	0.068	27.1	21.5

Data for clutter rejection of a 23-tap filter is presented in Table 3 for $f_{a}T = 0.15$ and

 σT = 0.02 or 0.03. Again C is less for the larger value of σ . What might appear surprising at first is to note that with σT = 0.02, C is larger for a 15-tap filter than for a comparable 23-tap design. The explanation is that B_t is

roughly 50% larger for the 15-tap design, hence a larger portion of the clutter spectrum experiences some attenuation. Naturally this is achieved at the expense of additional unusable bandwidth.

TABLE 3 23-TAP FILTER RESULTS ($A_s = 30 \text{ dB}, R_p = 5 \text{ dB}, f_s T = 0.15$)			ULTS $f_s T = 0.15$)
BT	B _t T	$C(\sigma T = 0.02)$	$C(\sigma T = 0.03)$
0.00	0.030	6.9	3.7
0.02	0.029	10.3	5.8
0.04	0.040	18.5	11.1
0.06	0.036	22.4	13.2
0.08	0.031	26.4	15.0

The tradeoff between B and C is demonstrated in Figure 5 which clearly shows that a particular level of clutter rejection requires larger B for broader clutter spectra. The 23-tap data adheres closely to the theoretical results obtained from an ideal filter with $B_t = 1.5\sigma$ and variable B.

This is somewhat expected due to the similarity of the frequency responses shown in Figure 1. It is evident that $B_{\rm t} > 1.5\sigma$ for the 15-tap data pre-

sented in Table 2. Closer adherence between the ideal and actual filter clutter rejection could be obtained by varying N until $B_t = 1.5\sigma$, a result

which was closely approximated by much of the 23tap data shown in Table 3. Essentially identical





 B_t results were obtained for a 23-tap filter designed with $f_sT = 0.1$. The effect of offsetting the clutter center frequency (f_c) from the center of the stopband was studied for two different 23-tap filters ($B_t \approx 1.5\sigma$ and $B_s = 4\sigma$). For each study the clutter-loss data were equivalent to the theoretical results shown in Figure 4.

Conclusions

It is possible to achieve reasonable wideband clutter rejection with an FIR bandstop digital filter which is optimal in the Chebyshev sense. An idealized filter can be used to examine the clutter-unusable bandwidth tradeoff and select reasonable design values for A_s , B_s and B_t . If desired, the value of B_t can be adjusted by

changing the number of taps. The amount of clutter rejection using 23-tap filters compares favorably with results for the idealized filter using similar stopband characteristics. Increased clutter rejection can be achieved by increasing the stopband width or stopband attenuation thus requiring larger N to maintain constant B. Additional investigations are required to select the center frequencies and numbers of bandstop filters required to effectively cover the wide range of possible clutter velocities. Although the filter design algorithm is not tied to the Gaussian power-density assumption, the idealized filter clutter-bandwidth tradeoff curves from which the filter design parameters are selected are dependent upon this assumption and would require recomputation for another clutter spectrum.

References

- Houts, R.C., and Burlage, D.W., "Design Procedure for Improving the Usable Bandwidth of an MTI Radar Signal Processor," <u>Confer-</u> <u>ence Record</u>, 1976 IEEE ICASSP, April 1976, pp. 745-748.
- [2] Nathanson, F.E., and Reilly, J.P., "Clutter Statistics Which Affect Radar Performance Analysis," <u>Supplement to IEEE Trans. Aero-</u> <u>space and Electronic Systems</u>, November 1967, pp. 386-398.
- [3] McClellan, J.H., Parks, T.W., and Rabiner, L.R., "A Computer Program for Designing Optimum FIR Linear Phase Digital Filters," IEEE Trans. Audio and Electroacoustics, December 1973, pp. 506-526.
- December 1973, pp. 506-526. [4] Rabiner, L.R., "Approximate Design Relationships for Low-Pass FIR Digital Filters," <u>IEEE Trans. Audio and Electroacoustics</u>, October 1973, pp. 456-460. [5] Holt, B.P., "Multiband FIR Digital Filter
- [5] Holt, B.P., "Multiband FIR Digital Filter Design for Wideband Clutter Suppression in MTI Radar Signal Processors," M.S. thesis, Univ. of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Ala., 1977.
- [6] Rabiner, L.R., McClellan, J.H., and Parks, T.W., "FIR Digital Filter Design Techniques Using Weighted Chebyshev Approximation," <u>Proc. IEEE</u>, April 1975, pp. 595-610.

