

NAVY EXPERIMENTAL DIVING UNIT

REPORT 2-77

ANALYSIS OF MULTIVARIATE DATA: A COMPUTER PROGRAM

Distribution Unlimited



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public released Distribution Unlimited



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY NAVY EXPERIMENTAL DIVING UNIT PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32407

IN REPLY REFER TO:

NEDU-2-77

NAVY EXPERIMENTAL DIVING UNIT

REPORT 2-77

ANALYSIS OF MULTIVARIATE DATA: A COMPUTER PROGRAM. By: Robert C./Carter, Jr 20p. 25 Mar 977 Final rept.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Submitted by:

Robert (

ROBERT C. CARTER, Jr. LT, MSC, USN Experimental Psychologist

Reviewed by:

W. H. SPAUR CAPT, MC, USN Senior Medical Officer

Approved by:

253650

BARTHOLOMEW Α.

CDR, USN Commanding Officer

UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NEDU REPORT 2-77		
4. TITLE (and Subtitie) ANALYSTS OF MULTTVARTATE DA	ATA:	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
A COMPUTER PROGRAM		FINAL
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7 4117408/41		S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#)
ROBERT C. CARTER, LT, MSC,	USN	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Navy Experimental Diving Unit		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Panama City, Florida 32407		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
		25 March 1977
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED
		154. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		L
Approved for public releas	e; distributi	on unlimited.
Approved for public releas		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered i	n Block 20, if different from	m Report)
14 SUDDI EMENTADY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and	I identify by block number)	
Multivariate Data Analysis		
Computer Program		
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on several aide if management	identify by block sumber)	
A computer program is pres analyses of variance. The	ented which c method used	alculates multivariate in the program is m is illustrated.
The method is appropriate	when several	variables are measured
in each of several samples	, and it is h	ypothesized that the
average of each variable i	s the same in	all samples.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE S/N 0102-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED



INTRODUCTION

This report describes a computer program called MANOVA which performs multivariate analysis of variance. Multivariate analysis of variance is a technique for finding differences between samples when more than one variable is measured in each sample. This program will evaluate as many as 10 samples and 10 variables.

144

FOITURINIS

MANOVA deals with independent and dependent variables, so it is important to distinguish between them. Independent variables in an experiment are those which an experimenter controls; they are the treatments. Dependent variables are those which are allowed to take any values in response to the treatments. The dependent variables are the criteria by which the experimenter judges whether or not his treatments have had an effect. MANOVA is designed to be used primarily when there are multiple dependent variables. However, MANOVA also may be applied in some univariate analyses (Kirk, 1968, p. 143).

An example of an experiment in which MANOVA may be used is comparison of three scuba regulators. The independent variable might be regulator type, and the dependent variables could be maximum ergometric work produced by a diver using each regulator, and work of breathing from each regulator. Both of these dependent variables would be measured at each value of the independent variable.

-1-

After the data are gathered, the experimenter must decide whether the observed differences between regulators are due to chance or are due to real differences. MANOVA, using both of the dependent variables, will detect real differences which may not be detected by statistical tests which use only one of the dependent variables.

USER INTERFACE

PROGRAM OUTPUT

MANOVA generates several statistics including a chi-square and its degrees of freedom. These two statistics may be used to decide whether observed differences between samples are due to chance.

The number of degrees of freedeom is determined by the number of dependent and independent variables. The magnitude of chi-square depends upon the data. In general, the larger chi-square is, assuming a particular number of degrees of freedom, the smaller is the probability (p) that the observed differences between the samples are due to chance. A common criterion for decision that the difference is not due to chance is that p (.05. To determine whether or not this criterion is met by a particular set of data one may use MANOVA to generate a chi-square, and then consult a chi-square table, such as the one in Appendix A. If the obtained value exceeds the tabulated value then it may be assumed that a real difference is reflected in the samples. The preceding may be all the knowledge that some readers require to use MANOVA.

-2-

MANOVA also produces the mean values of the dependent variables in each treatment, and the grand mean of each dependent variable. In addition, the variances, covariances, and correlations of these variables are tabulated. If the covariance matrices of the dependent variables are not the same in all of the samples, the program will alert the user. Equality of the sample covariance matrices is an assumption of MANOVA.

PROGRAM INPUT

MANOVA is designed to interact with the user. A sample dialogue is shown in Appendix B. It is assumed that the user is working through a computer terminal, on a real-time basis. However, the program could be modified to read data from a storage device.

When MANOVA is on line, the printer will display:

"Write the number of treatment levels" The user should respond by typing the number of treatments (or equivalently, the number of levels of the independent variables, or the number of samples). This number can be as large as 10. A carriage return should follow the number. The program will respond with:

"Write the number of variables"

-3-

The user should answer by typing the number of dependent variables, followed by a carriage return. This number, too, may be as large as 10. Next, the program will ask the user to:

"Write the number of observations in Treatment 1"

The user should indicate the number of multivariate data points (maximum 25) in the first treatment. In our regulator test example, if 20 readings were taken of work of breathing and and maximum ergometric work using the first regulator, then the user would type 20 in response to the program's query.

The program will then ask the user to enter the appropriate number of data for each variable in Treatment 1. The user should respond by typing the data, one at a time, following each by a carriage return.

When all the data for each variable have been entered, the program will ask if any data have been entered in error:

"Any errors? Y/N"

The user should type Y for yes, or N for no. If anything but N is typed, the program will guide the user through a data correction routine.

This same data entry paradigm is followed for each treatment level, until all the data has been entered. The program will begin automatically displaying its output after the last datum is typed.

-4-

DATA ANALYSIS STRATEGY

MANOVA can be used as a tool for implementation of a multivariate data analysis strategy. This strategy is outlined in Figure 1. We will follow this strategy as it might be employed in our regulator evaluation experiment.

First, MANOVA is used to test whether the two variables (work of breathing and maximum ergometric work) are affected by the three treatments (regulators). If the chi-square generated this test is statistically significant according to App then we know that at least one of the regulators is different from the others as measured by at least one of the variables.

We would next like to know <u>which</u> regulators are different from the others, and which variables are sensitive to that difference. MANOVA may be used to test each pair of regulators. There are three pairs of regulators (1&2, 2&3, 1&3) so three MANOVA tests would be required. We may find, for instance, that regulator #1 is different from regulators #2 and #3, but that #2 and #3 are alike in our experiment. The magnitude and direction of the differences among the regulators may be found by perusal of the mean values produced by MANOVA.

-5-

Figure 1. DATA ANALYSIS STRATEGY



If we are further interested in which variables are sensitive to the difference between regulators, we could perform univariate tests, such as t-tests or analyses of variance, using each variable singly. Those variables which produce significant tests are the most sensitive ones. This kind of analysis helps an experimenter to decide which variables to measure in the future. Additional insight into the relationship between the dependent variables may be obtained from study of the tables of covariances and correlations produced by MANOVA.

THEORY OF THE METHOD

MANOVA calculates a chi-square statistic. Chi-square is the distribution of the square of a variable which has a normal distribution. Hence, if $\frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sqrt{n}}$ is normally distributed, then $\frac{(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{\frac{s^2}{n}}$ has a chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom. If there are k samples, then $\underbrace{\overset{k}{\sum}}_{i=1}^{i} \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{\frac{s^2}{2}}$ has

a chi-square distribution with k degrees of freedom. If μ , the population mean, is estimated by \bar{x} ., the sample grand mean, $k = (\bar{x} - \bar{x})^2$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{i}{\sum_{n=1}^{k}}$ has a chi-square distribution with k-l

degrees of freedom.

In the multivariate case there are p independent variables. \bar{x}_{i} and \bar{x} . (the treatment mean of the ith treatment and the grand mean) are p-dimensional vectors, S is a pxp pooled covariance matrix, and n_{i} is the number of observations in the ith sample. By analogy with the univariate case, $\tilde{x}_{i}(\bar{x}_{i} - \bar{x}_{i}) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{S} \sum_{i=1}^{1} (\bar{x}_{i} - \bar{x}_{i}) \right)$ has a chi-square distribution with p (k-1) degrees of freedom. This method of computing a multivariate analysis of variance is equivalent to Hotelling's T² test (Morrison, 1967) when there are two samples, and the method is valid as a univariate test as well. It is the multivariate analysis of variance method recommended by Howe (1972).

THE COMPUTER PROGRAM

The computer program, MANOVA, is shown in Appendix C. It is written in Fortran IV for use on a Digital Electronics Corporation PDP-12 computer with OS-8. However, the program should run with only minor modifications on any other computer having Fortran IV.

Figure 2 is the program flow diagram. The diagram shows the sequence of major operations peformed by MANOVA. The first step is to define the parameters of the experimental design, including the number of treatments (k). Following this, the program interacts with the user to acquire data for each of the k treatments. This data is stored in a matrix. After data are entered for each treatment, the user is given the opportunity to correct any erroneous datum in that treatment. Treatment means, treatment covariance matrices and running sums which will be used to calculate the grand means are produced after data entry is completed for each treatment. All of the means are printed after data for the last treatment is entered.

Equivalence of the convariance matrices within each treatment is tested using a generalization of Bartlett's

-9-



-10-

test (Morrison, 1967, p. 152). The results of the test are printed. The covariance matrices are then combined to produce a pooled covariance matrix which is used in all subsequent calculations involving covariance. The covariance and correlation matrices are then printed.

Finally, the chi-square for differences between treatments is calculated. The pooled covariance matrix is divided by the number of observations in each treatment, and the resulting matrices are inverted using subroutine MINV (International Business Machines Corporation, IBM Scientific Subroutines, 1970). Each of these inverted matrices are pre and post-multiplied by the vector of differences between the grand means and the treatment means. These bilinear forms are added together to form the chi-square. This statistic and its number of degrees of freedom are printed.

APPENDIX A

TABLE OF MINIMUM CHI-SQUARE VALUES

(p **≤** .05)

Degrees of Freedom	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Chi-Square	3.84	5.99	7.82	9.49	11.07	12.59	14.07
Degrees of Freedom	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Chi-Square	15.51	16.92	18.31	19.68	21.03	22.36	23.69
Degrees of Freedom	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Chi-Square	25.00	26.30	27.59	28.87	30.14	31.41	32.67
Degrees of Freedom	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Chi-Square	33 .92	35.17	36.42	37.65	38.89	40.11	41.34
Degrees of Freedom	29	30	40	50	60	70	90
Chi-Square	42.56	43.77	55.76	67.51	79.08	90.53	113.15

APPENDIX B

R FRIS WRITE THE NUMBER OF TREATMENT LEVELS WRITE THE NUMBER OF VARIABLES WRITE THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN TREATMENT 1 TYPE 2 DATA FOR TREATMENT 1 . VARIABLE 1 ANY ERRORS? Y/N N TYPE 2 DATA FOR TREATMENT 1 , VARIABLE 2 2 3 ANY ERRORS? Y/N N WRITE THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN TREATMENT 2 2 TYPE 2 DATA FOR TREATMENT 2 , VARIABLE 1 2 ANY ERRORS? Y/N N TYPE 2 DATA FOR TREATMENT 2 , VARIABLE 2 ANY ERRORS? YZN N VARIABLE 1) TREATMENT 1: MEAN VARIABLE 1, TREATMENT 2: MEAN VARIABLE 2, TREATMENT 1: MEAN VARIABLE 2, TREATMENT 2: MEAN 2. 50000, GRAND MEAN 2. 25000 2. 00000, GRAND MEAN 2. 50000, GRAND MEAN 2.25000 2. 00000, GRAND MEAN 2.25000 CORRELATION 1. 000008 ROW 1 , COLUMN 1 COVARIANCE 0.2500 2 COVARIANCE 2 COVARIANCE RON 1 , COLUMN 2 , COVARIANCE 0.2500 , CORRELATION RON 2 , COLUMN 2 , COVARIANCE 0.2500 , CORRELATION TEST FOR DIFFERENCES IN THE TREATMENT MEANS VISIDS CHI-SQUARE CORRELATION 1. 0000008 CORRELATION 1. 000008 1.000 WITH DEGREES OF FREEDOM 2

í

1

-13-

REST AVAILABLE COPY

APPENDIX C

-

	L		
-	c .		THIS IS & STATISTICAL ANALYSIS PROCEAM
	C		THIS IS A STATISTICAL HARCYSTS PROGRAM. IT ALCOWS THE USER TO INPUT AND
	С		ANALYZE DATA WITH SEVERAL (UF TO 10) VARIABLES,
	C		AND ONE TREATMENT WITH SEVERAL EVELS AN EXAMPLE
-	-		OF SICH BATA BICHT OF THE COLUMN CO. VEGET BATE
	-		OF BOCH DATH HIGHT BE THE VENTFALES. PERKY RHIE.
	C		RESPIRATION RATE, AND BREATHING RESISTANCE WITH A PARTICULAR
	0		DIVING APPARATUS MEASURED AT SEVERAL LEVELS OF THE
-	~		TREATMENT NERTU THE OBACCOM UNIT TEST HUSTUED DEPTH
	6		TREMIMENTS DEFTH. THE PROORMM WILL TEST WHETHER DEFTH
	C		AFFECTS THE VALUES OF THE VARIABLES, TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE
	C		INTERRELATIONSHIPS RETWEEN THE VARIABLES HAVE FUN!
-	•		Turrence a si
			INTEGER P/2/L
			DIMENSION N(10), GMEAN(10), TMEAN(10, 10), COV(10, 10, 10)
		C	CC0V(100) ISCPOT(10) ISCPOT(10) CCC0V(100)
-		-	3 CC07(100) 3 ISCRITTION 15 KRT(10) CCC07(100)
		L	VYEC(10), VEC(10), X(10, 10, 25), S(0V(10, 10)
	100		FORMAT(' WRITE THE NUMBER OF TREATMENT LEVELS')
	101		ECONST(11)
-	101		
	102		FORMAT(/ WRITE THE NUMBER OF VARIABLES?)
	103		FORMAT(12)
	101		PROMOTE & UNITE THE AUMORD OF OPERAUSTIONS IN TOFOTHERT & 14 S
-	164		FORMATC WRITE THE NORBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN TREATMENT (11)
	105		FORMAT(12)
	106		FORMATY & TYPE 4, 12, 2 DATE FOR TREATMENT 4, 11, 4 , VARIABLE 4, 12)
	100		
-	107		FORMATCE 10. 0)
	108		FORMAT(1 ANY ERRORS? Y/N1)
	109		FORMAT(A1)
	105		FORMATINE THE SECURIOS NUMBER OF THE EPROMERIC RATHE IN
-	110		FURNITY - TYPE THE SEQUENCE NUMBER OF THE ERRONEOUS DATUM IN
		С	THIS TREATMENT AND', / THE CORRECT DATUM. SEPARATE THE
		C	SEQUENCE NUMBER AND THE CORRECTION BY A COMMA 4.4.4
		~	
-		L	FOR EXHIPPE: MYPING 277.9 WOOLD MEHN 777
		C	REPLACE THE SECOND DATUM IN THIS TREATMENT BY 7.9.1)
	111		FORMAT(12, F10, 0)
	440		FORMATY A THE TECH FOR FOUND THE OF THE TREATMENT CONSELNATE MOTORPEC
-	112		FORMATIC THE TEST FOR ECONCITY OF THE TREMTMENT COVARTANCE MATRICES
		C	YIELDS AN F STATISTIC 4774/OF 47FS. 374 WITH 1 DEGREE OF FREEDOM
		C	IN THE DENOMINATOR (AND (. E4 0. (DEGREES OF EREFORM IN
		-	The Devolution of the state of
-		C	THE NUMERATOR. ()
	113		FORMAT(/ THE TEST FOR EQUALITY OF THE TREATMENT COVARIANCE MATRICES
		•	VIELDS A4. 2.4 CHI-SOUGHE STATISTIC OF 4. FO 4.4 WITH 4
			TILLES A JAY CAT-SCORE STATISTIC OF JTO. 47 WITH
-		C	F4. 0, CDEGREES OF FREEDOM ()
	114		FORMAT(1 TEST FOR DIFFERENCES IN THE TREATMENT MEANS VIELDS
		C	CHI-SOUGHE 1. 59 7. 4.4 WITH DECREES OF EREFORM 1. 17)
		•	Chr Saonke Sto. 3575 With Deakees of Theebon Sts7
-	115		FORMAT(ROW / 12, , COLUMN / 12, , COVARIANCE / F10. 4,
		C	CORRELATION (FI0.7)
	127		FORMATY & VARIABLE 4.12.4. TREATMENT 4.12.4. MEAN 4.546 5
	46.5	-	
-		C	GRAND MEAN (F16.5)
	116		FORMAT(F12.8)
	r		
	-		
-	L.		INFUT DRTR
	C		
			UE (TE (4, 100)
-			READ(4) 101) K
			WRITE(4, 102)
			READ(4.103) P
-			Inter 20
			GMEAN(1)=0
			DO 201 J=1.K
-			WKIE(4)104) J
			READ(4, 105) N(J)
			NN:=NN+N(T)
-			00 200 1=1,P
	41		IF(J. EQ. 1. AND. I. NE, P) GMEAN(1+1)=0

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	WRITE(4, 196) N(J), J. I
	DO 200 7-1 N(T)
	00 200 2-13 4(0)
	REHD(4,107) X(1,J,Z)
	GMEAN(I) = GMEAN(I) + X(I, J, Z)
200	CONTINUE
200	Continue
	WRITE(4,103)
C	
c	CORRECT EPRONEOUS DATUM
5	CONRECT ERRONEOUS PHION
C	
	READ(4, 109) KEY
	CALL CRET(KEY 1. VEY)
	CHEC COETANETS IS NETS
	IF (KEY, EQ. 14) GU TO 203
	WRJ7E(4,110)
	PEAD(4, 111)7 EPP
	REDUCTO 11172 ERR
	GHEHNY ID #GMEHNY ID #X(1, J, Z) #ERR
	X(I, J, Z)=ERR
207	IE(J E0 1) 60 TO 218
	INEHN(I,J)=GREHN(I)
	00 202 L=1. J-1
202	TMEAN(11)=TMEAN(11)-TMEAN(1)
	GO 10 205
218	TMEAN(1, J)=GMEAN(1)
295	CONTINUE
200	CONTINUE
201	CONTINUE
	00 219 I=1,P
	GMEAN(1)=GMEAN(1)ZNN
	DO 219 J=1,K
	TMEAN(I, J)≄TMEAN(I, J)/N(J)
219	WRITE(4, 123) 1. J. TMEAN(1. J), GMEAN(1)
~	
4	
C	CALCULATE COVARIANCE MATRIX FOR EACH TREATMENT
r	
	DU 207 J=1;K -
	DO 206 KAY=1, P
-	COV(1, KBV, J) = 0
	DU 206 KH=1,P
	IF(KA NE, P) COV(KA+1,KAY,J)=0
	DO 285 7=1.H(J)
	CONTRACTOR AND AND AND AN AND AN ANTAL AND
200	CUV(RH, RHY, J)=CUV(RH, RHY, J)+(X(RH, J) Z)- MEHN(RH, J))*
	C (X(KAY, J, Z)~TMEAN(KAY, J))
207	CONTINUE
201	Continue
C	
С	TEST EQUALITY OF TREATMENT COVARIANCES
C	
•	11711-0
	N1N-0
	DET=0
	DO 219 L=1.K
	DO 200 1-1 P
	DO 209 I=1, P
	II = (J - 1) * P + I
000	CCOU(II)=COU(I I I)
203	CC07(11)-C07(1)0(E)
	NP=P
	CALL MINV(CCOV, NP, D, ISCRAT, ISKRAT)
	15/0 50 0 0000) CO TO 242
	1F(D, Ed. 6, 60667 do 10 213
	DET=DET+N(LIP)*ALOG(ABS(D))
210	NIN=NIN+1/N(LIP)
	00 211 J -1 V
	D0 211 L-1) K
	DU 211 J=1, P
	IF(L, EQ, 1) CCCOV((J-1)*P+1)=0
	DD 211 1=1.P
	11=(J-1)*P+1
	CCCOV(II)=CCCOV(II)+COV(I, J,L)*N(L)/NN
211	1E(1 NE P AND L ER 1) CCC09/11+1)=0
	CHEL MINY COLOWY NEY DY ISCHIET ISKRHET
	EM=NN+ALOG(ABS(D))-DET
	CIN=(1-(2*F**2+3*P-1)/(6*(P+1)*(K-1)))*(NIN-1/NN)
	CIN=(1-(2*F**2+3*P-1)/(6*(P+1)*(K-1)))*(NIN-1/NN)
	CIN=(1-(2*F**2+3*P-1)/(6*(P+1)*(K-1)))*(NIN-1/NN)

BEST_AVAILABLE COPY

-

CHISCU=EM+CIN DF=(K-1)*P*(P+1)/2 IF (NN/K. GT. 20. AND. K. GT. 4, AND. P. GT. 4) GO TO 212 WRITE(4, 112) CHISQU, DF GO TO 213 WRITE(4, 113) CHISQU, DF 212 ¢ cc CREATE POOLED ESTIMATE OF COVARIANCE MATRIX 213 DO 214 L=1,K DO 214 J=1, P IF(L. EQ. 1) SCOV(1, J)=0 DO 214 I=1, P IF(I.NE.P. AND.L.EQ.1) SCOV(I+1, J)=0 FED=NN-K 214 SCOV(1, J)=SCOV(1, J)+COV(1, J, L)/FED 00 220 I=1, P DO 220 J=1,P CORREL=SCOV(1, J)/SQRT(SCOV(1, 1)*SCOV(J, J)) 220 WRITE(4, 115) I, J, SCOV(1, J), CORREL CHI=0 C CC CREATE INVERTED COVARIANCE MATRIX FOR EACH TREATMENT 00 216 L=1, K ZIP=N(L) DO 215 J=1,P DO 215 I=1,P VEC(I)=TMEAN(I,L)-GMEAN(I) VVEC(1)=0 11=(J-1)*P+1 CCCOV(11)=SCOV(1, J)/ZIP 215 CALL MINV(CCCOV, NP, D, ISCRAT, ISKRAT) DO 217 J=1, P DO 217 1=1, P C cc SUM CHI SQUARES 217 VVEC(J)=VVEC(J)+VEC(I)*CCCOV((J-1)*P+I) DO 216 I=1, P CHI=CHI +VVEC(I)*VEC(I) 216 CONTINUE IF=P*(K-1) WRITE(4, 114) CHI, IF END #

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

-

-

-

-

-

REFERENCES

Howe, W. G., Statistician, General Electric Company, Box 1072, Schenectaty, N.Y. 12301, Unpublished lecture notes, 1972.

International Business Machines Corporation, Scientific Subroutine Package (GH20-0205-4), Technical Publications Department, 112 East Post Road, White Plains, New York 10601, 1970.

Kirk, R. E., Experimental Design: Procedures for The Behavioral Sciences, Brooks Cole Publishing Company, Belmont, Calif., 1968.

Morrison, D. F., Multivariate Statistical Methods, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1967.