

DEPARTMENT OF MATERIALS SCIENCE
A PORTABLE RESIDUAL STRESS ANALYZER. by M. R./James and J. B./Cohen M. R./James and J. B./Cohen
Distribution of this Document         ISTRIBUTION         ISTRIBUTION
260 810 18

# A PORTABLE RESIDUAL STRESS ANALYZER

M. R. James and J. B. Cohen Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201

## ABSTRACT

A portable device for stress measurements based on a position sensitive detector and using a miniature air-cooled X-ray tube was built to demonstrate the potential of such a system. The measuring apparatus, weighing 11 kg, could be held by one person with the electronics housed in a separate cabinet. A variety of steel samples, having from 0 to -700 MPa were tested by comparing measurements made on a diffractometer to those made with the portable device. The device proved to be accurate and accomplished the entire measurement using two + -1 - $\oplus$  tilts to a statistical error of less than  $\pm 40$  MPa in <u>under</u> 20 seconds on all samples. (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The portability of the apparatus and rapid measurement time suggest applications for the X-ray stress measurement never possible before, such as on-sight inspection during fabrication or in-field measurements.

9710	White Section
0.10	Buil Section
IN SAMO SHEE	• •
TIFICATIO	N
ct	AN ANALLARIE ITY CODES
CY DISTRIBUT	ION/AVAILABILITY COBES
CIY DISTRIBUT Dist.	ION/AVAILABILITY CODES AVAIL. and/or Special
DISTRIBUT Distribut Ofsi.	ION/AVAILABILITY COBES AVAIL BID/Gr SPECIAL

PSL

CHAPTER 7

### 7.1 INTRODUCTION

The design of any mechanical system is based around those features it is desired to optimize. In the case of residual stress analysis by X-ray diffraction methods, an accurate, rapid and portable device is needed if the technique is to be more widely used in industry. <sup>(101)</sup> Dedicated apparatus for measuring the surface residual stress have been commercially available, but are limited in flexibility and precision. Commercially available apparatus is reviewed in Sec. 7.2 and the use of an energy dispersive X-ray system for residual stress analysis is discussed. These instruments are not portable and the time of analysis are not fast enough to be considered for use on production lines or for quality control.

A design based on a position sensitive detector is described. In order to obtain the best design, a theoretical consideration is made of the relationship between the time of analysis and the total accuracy by taking into account the factors that influence each quantity. The time of analysis is strictly determined by counting statistics while the accuracy is related both to the statistics and to systematic errors in the measurement. The major design factor involving both of these quantities is the sample to detector distance, R; the counting precision improves at smaller R while the systematic errors increase.

A prototype of the portable apparatus was built and shown to be capable of measuring the residual stress to a total accuracy better than  $\pm$  40 MPa in 4-20 sec. on all types of steel samples. The instrument uses

Chs. 1-3 constitute TR No. 14, Ch. 4 is TR No. 16, Ch. 5 is TR No. 15, and Ch. 6 is TR No. 17.

the two tilt method, stationary-slit geometry and incorporates a position sensitive detector with an air-cooled X-ray tube. The actual hand held goniometer weighs only 11 kg and a reduction to 7 kg is outlined. There are two modest cabinets the size of a potentiometer, on e for the X-ray generator and one for the circuitry for data analysis.

## 7.2 PRIOR INSTRUMENTATION

Development of dedicated instrumentation in the field of X-ray stress analysis have usually been based on modifications and innovations associated with or built around a diffractometer. The Fastress<sup>(98)</sup> unit utilizes two X-ray tubes and two pair of detectors to locate the peak, one pair each at  $\psi=0^{\circ}$  and at  $\psi=45^{\circ}$ . Both peak positions are found by matching the intensity in each detector with its mate, which is positioned on the other side of the profile. The midpoint between the two detectors is used for the peak location. Both pairs of detectors are calibrated in terms of angular position so the peak shift can be electronically determined, assuming the diffraction profile is symmetric and the detector efficiencies are matched. Reproducibility is about  $\pm 20$  MPa in a 3 minute test on hardened steel samples. The device is semi-portable in that the measuring head (incorporating the X-ray tube, detectors and 20 motion), electronics and power supply may be rolled on a cart in the laboratory.

Another dedicated unit, the Shimadzu X-Ray Diffraction stress analyzer,  $^{(99)}$  uses normal scanning of the profile to locate the peak. Two peaks at different  $\psi$  inclinations may be scanned at once using two X-ray tubes and two detectors mounted on one goniometer head. The device is capable of the  $\sin^2\psi$  analysis but uses a separate calculator to determine the stress.

Simultaneous with this study on the position sensitive detector, Campagnie General de Radiologie, Paris, France, manufactured a new instrument for the measurement of residual stress. Their device incorporates two PSD's as shown in Fig. 7.1. The detectors are proportional counters using the rise time method of position determination similar to that used in this study. The adjacent ends B and C of the detectors are conductively connected together and the outer ends A and D are connected to two rise time measuring circuits. Figure 7.2 schematically depicts the output of the device stored on a MCA. In Fig. 7.2a the output for a stress free specimen is shown. In Fig. 7.2b a sample having a stress will give rise to a shift in the position of each profile. The change is measured relative to an unstressed specimen and calibration must be carried out by analyzing a second specimen subjected to a known amount of stress. While this procecure is accurate it is time consuming, so the inventor suggests that the single exposure method can also be used. The stress component is measured from a single inclination by determining the Bragg angle at two positions on the diffraction ring. If the incident X-ray beam is directed toward the specimen surface at a fixed angle  $\beta$  from the surface normal, as seen in Fig. 7.1, the two Bragg angles will be shifted because the interplanar spacings of the diffracting crystallites are different due to the residual stress. The diffraction angles can be related to the stress.

The stress constant for the single exposure method than the two tilt method. For example, for the Fe 211 peak the stress constant for the two tilt technique, using a tilt of 60° is one half that for the single exposure method with  $\beta=35^\circ$ .



FIGURE 7.1 Embodiment of apparatus utilizing the single exposure technique. From reference 102.



FIGURE 7.2 Illustration of the operation of the single exposure apparatus. a) Stress-free sample

b) Sample with compressive stress.

This means that the counting errors become more important for the single exposure method requiring longer data collection times. The manufacturer claims complete residual stress measurements may be made in 15 minutes. The instrument uses a water cooled X-ray tube requiring a heavy generator and the electronics are not miniaturized.

Another possible design for a X-ray stress analyzer could be based around the new solid state detectors (SSD). Because of its good energy resolution (~ 170 eV) a SSD has been used mostly for energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence studies. The energy dispersive analysis involves determining the elemental constituents of a specimen by analyzing the energy of the photons diffracted from the sample surface. At one incidence angle, X-rays of different wavelengths and therefore different energies are diffracted by each element which can be separated by a SSD. This also makes it possible to use a SSD for 'energy powder patterns' described by Giessen and Gordon. <sup>(103)</sup> Photons of different wavelengths are diffracted in a fixed direction from crystalline samples exposed to a continuum of radiation. The energies of these photons can be separated using a SSD to provide a particular energy pattern for each sample.

This ability to obtain the energy peaks without having to scan the detector provides a simple method of residual stress analysis with a SSD. If an X-ray tube is used with its continuous spectrum of radiation, certain energies will satisfy Bragg's condition of diffraction from a polycrystalline specimen and the d spacing of each diffracting plane can be determined from the peaks in energy.

Rewriting Bragg's law in terms of energy:

 $U = hc/\lambda$ 

8

and

so that 
$$U \operatorname{dsin}\theta = \operatorname{hc}/\lambda = 6195(\operatorname{eV})(\mathring{A})$$
 (7.1)

where U is in eV, d in Å and h and c are Plank's constant and the speed of light respectively. By measuring the peaks in energy at  $\psi=0^{\circ}$  and at an inclination,  $\psi=\psi^{\circ}$ , the energy shift can be determined. Residual stress changes the d spacing of the crystallites at  $\psi=0$  and at  $\psi=\psi^{\circ}$ which results in photons of different energies being diffracted at each tilt. To determine a typical magnitude of the energy shift, the stress can be determined by the two tilt method as follows:

$$\sigma_{\varphi} = \left(\frac{E}{1+\nu}\right) \frac{1}{\sin^2 \psi} \frac{d_{\varphi, \psi}^{-d_{o}}}{d_{o}}$$
(7.2)

Substituting in Eq. 7.1

$$\sigma_{\varphi} = \left(\frac{E}{1+\nu}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sin^2 \psi}\right) \left(\frac{U_o^{-U}\varphi,\psi}{U_o}\right)$$
(7.3)

Using elastic constants for steel of E = 207 GPa (30.02 x  $10^6$  psi) and v = .33 and a tilt of  $\psi = 45^\circ$  the resultant energy shift for a stress of -70 MPa (-10152 psi) is  $(U_0 - U_{\phi,\psi}/U_{\phi,\psi}) = 2.22 \times 10^{-4}$ . For X-rays in the range of 6 keV this implies an energy shift of 1.3 eV, a very small shift to determine with a SSD having a resolution at half width of a

5.94 keV X-ray peak of 170 eV. If it is assumed the peak shift can be determined to 5 eV, still a liberal estimate,  $U_0$  must be near 25 keV. Such energies can be obtained using the white radiation of an X-ray tube. It is convenient that the diffraction angle need not be known thereby eliminating experimental errors.<sup>\*</sup> However, such a technique must suffer drastically in intensity. Cole<sup>(104)</sup> has derived an expression for the total diffracted power from a flat powder sample as:

$$P' = P(\lambda_{hkl}) \left(\frac{e^4}{m^2 c^4}\right) \frac{m}{16\pi R v_a} \frac{\lambda^4 F^2}{2\mu} \left(\frac{1+\cos^2 2\theta}{2\sin^3 \theta}\right)$$
(7.4)

where P' is the power per unit length of the diffracted cone, m, the multiplicity of the powder line, R, the sample-to-detector distance, and  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are characteristic of the diffracted photon. Since if there are no absorption edges,  $\mu$  depends on  $\lambda^3$ , (105) the diffracted power falls off roughly as  $\lambda$ . Also in the structure factor, F, the term involving the Debye-Waller factor ( $e^{-\beta \sin^2 \theta/\lambda^2}$ ) falls off as  $e^{-1/\lambda^2}$ . For energies of 25 keV ( $\lambda \sim .5$  A) the total diffracted power will be very small due to the short wavelength. In summary, while the use of a SSD for stress measurements using the energy dispersive mode is possible, the diffracted intensity will be quite low resulting in long counting times.

The best system would seem to be based on a PSD, but avoiding the pitfalls of the single exposure method.

The  $\psi$ -axis missetting is still important because Eq. 7.3 is based on fixed sin $\theta$ .

7.3 CALCULATION OF OPTIMUM PARAMETERS FOR THE DESIGN OF A PORTABLE RESIDUAL STRESS ANALYZING SYSTEM

The precision of the measurement of stress is dependent on the counting statistical accuracy and the systematic experimental errors. The statistical accuracy, being a function of the total number of counts, is dependent on the intensity of the detected photons and the time of data collection. The systematic errors include the resolution and calibration of the detector system and instrumental errors such as  $\psi$ -axis missetting and specimen positioning. The relationship between each factor and the sample-to-detector distance has been considered in order to optimize the distance for a minimum time of analysis.

7.3.1 Relation Between Statistical Accuracy and the Sample-to-Detector Distance

The counting statistical error in stress is

$$\sigma^{2}(2\theta_{p}) = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{j} \left(\frac{\partial 2\theta_{p}}{\partial I_{j}}\right)^{2} I_{j}^{2}$$
(7.5)

where C is the fixed counts accumulated at each observation point, j. The summed term depends on the intensity, step size between data points and breadth of the peak. For each individual profile, these quantities are independent of the preset count allowing Eq. 7.5 to be rewritten as

$$\sigma^2 (2^{0}p) = Q_1 / C$$
 (7.6)

where  $Q_1$  is a constant representing the summed term in Eq. 7.5. This quantity can be determined from an initial step scan across the diffraction profile.

The fixed counts, C, can be written in terms of the diffracted power,  $I_j$ , in cps and the time,  $t_j$ , of data accumulation at each observation point j:

$$C = I_{i} \cdot t_{i} \tag{7.7}$$

Then:

$$\sigma^{2}(2\theta p) = Q_{1}/(I_{j} \cdot t_{j}) \propto 1/I \cdot T$$
 (7.8)

where I is the average power and T is the total time of data accumulation.

The power is a function of R, the sample to detector distance due to the absorption in air and the 1/R dependence of the power itself at each 20 position. The path length in air is 2R so the absorption factor is given by

$$\frac{I}{I_{o}} = \exp[-(\mu/p) \cdot \rho \cdot 2R]$$
(7.9)

where

$$\mu/\rho = \sum_{i} (\mu/\rho)_{i} \mathbf{w}_{i}$$

and  $w_i$  is the weight fraction of the i<sup>th</sup> element. Assuming the density of air to be 78% N<sub>2</sub>, 21% O<sub>2</sub> and 1% Ar and using values for the absorption coefficients for Cr<sub>Ka</sub> radiation from reference 105, the effect of air absorption for Cr<sub>Ka</sub> radiation is:

$$I \alpha e^{-.07R}$$
 (7.10)

where R is in cm.

For a powder sample the intensity from each atom is proportional to  $R^{-2}$  but the power at each angle is proportional to this times  $R^2$ . In addition, the power per unit length of the powder diffraction cone is equal to the total power divided by  $2\pi R \sin 2\theta$ . (107) In sum the power at each angle in a peak in a powder pattern is proportional to 1/R, therefore:

12

$$I \propto \frac{1}{R} e^{-.07R}$$
 (7.11)

where the effect of air absorption has been included. The error in peak location as a function of R is given by Eq. 7.11 and Eq. 7.8 as:

$$\sigma^{2}(2\theta p) \propto \frac{R}{T \exp[-.07R]}$$
(7.12)

As R increases the error in peak location increases if T is kept constant.

If it is assumed that each peak is determined to the same precision, the error in stress for the two tilt technique can be written as

$$\sigma(\sigma_{\varphi}) \propto \left[\sigma^{2}(2\theta_{p})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(7.13)

$$\sigma(\sigma_{\varphi}) = Q_3 \left[ \frac{R}{T \exp(-.07r)} \right]^2$$
 (7.14)

or

where Eq. 7.12 has been substituted into Eq. 7.13. The proportionality

constant  $Q_3$  depends on all the proportionality factors in Eq. 7.6 through Eq. 7.13 and must be experimentally determined for each sample. Consequently,  $Q_3$  was determined from data for the position sensitive detector

for three samples measured using the two tilt technique. These three samples cover the range of peak breadths and  $Q_3$  is tabulated in Table 7.1

7.3.2 Relations Between Systematic Errors and the Sample-to-Detector Distance

In any residual stress measurement utilizing X-ray diffraction systematic errors arise due to misalignment of the equipment. The most important errors are sample displacement and  $\psi$ -axis missetting which are both dependent on R. Another error inherent with the PSD arises due to calibration of the detector. The PSD is calibrated at a specific sample to detector distance so that slight displacements of the sample will cause the calibration constant to be slightly incorrect. The formulae for each systematic error is derived assuming the two tilt method is to be used with a stress constant, K, of 600 MPa<sup>(100)</sup>(87020 psi) for a  $\psi$  tilt of 45°.

(A) Error due to Sample Displacement

Denoting the error in the sample to detector distance as  $\Delta X$ .

two tilt method, value for steel using the CrK $\alpha$  211 peak) the error can be simplified to:

For the

$$\sigma_{SD}(\sigma_{\varphi}) = -K \cdot \Delta X \cdot \frac{360}{\pi} \frac{\cos\theta}{R} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sin\theta}{\sin(\theta + \psi)} \right] = Q_4 \cdot \Delta X/R \quad (7.15)$$

TADTE	7 1	
TABLE	/.1	

PROPORTIONALITY FACTOR  $Q_3$  FOR THREE STEEL SAMPLES (R=14.55cm)

Sample	Breadth (°29)	Time (sec)	Stress* MPa (psi)	Error <sup>*</sup> MPa (psi)	Q3 1 MPa·sec <sup>2</sup>
1090-1	.45	100	31.4 (4550)	± 4.5 (± 650)	7.09
1045-3	3.4	200	-718.4 (-104200)	±6.87 (±1070)	16.44
1045-2	6.0	200	-412.3 (-59,800)	±9.69 (±1469)	21.66

\*Obtained from Table 3.12.

where  $Q_{i}$  = 2377 MPa for the typical values given above.

(B) **u**-Axis Missetting

For a portable device the detector and X-ray tube must be inclined to accomplish the  $\psi$  tilt. Inherent in the design of the device, the  $\psi$ -axis or pivot point of the instrument must coincide with the 20 axis; that is, the primary beam must intersect the axis of rotation. If the construction of the device is accurate enough the X-ray tube and detector will always pivot about the  $\psi$ -axis and there will be no  $\psi$ -axis missetting.

# (C) Calibration Constant

The calibration constant in converting posi. Along the PSD into degrees 20 is dependent on the sample-to-detector distance. This error can be derived as follows. The angular range covered by the PSD is given by:

$$2\theta = \frac{180}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(D/R)$$
 (7.16)

where D represents the length of the detector slit. This is the angular range in degrees covered by the detector at a distance R from the sample. The calibration constant depends on the number of channels in the MCA in which the data across the length of the PSD is stored. Setting this number to 512, a typical storage division used in the MCA, the calibration constant can be written as:

$$K(R) = \frac{2\theta}{512} = .112 \tan^{-1}(D/R) \approx degrees per channel$$
 (7.17)

The quantity K(R) is determined for a fixed R using a calibration sample.

If the specimen being analyzed is displaced by  $\Delta X$ , the calculated peak shift will be in error because K(R) will be slightly incorrect. For the two tilt method using a stress constant of 600 MPa/°2 $_{\theta}$  the calibration constant error,  $\sigma_{\rm cc}(\sigma_{\rm p})$ , can be written as:

$$\sigma_{cc}(\sigma_{cc}) = 600 * \Delta N [K(R) - K(R + \Delta X)]$$
(7.18)

where  $\Delta N$  represents the peak shift in channels in the PSD due to the stress in the sample. In terms of  $^{\circ}2\theta$ ,  $\Delta N = \Delta 2^{\theta}/K(R)$  so eq. 7.18 becomes:

$$\sigma_{cc}(\sigma_{\varphi}) = 600 \star \Delta 2\theta \frac{K(R) - K(R + \Delta X)}{K(R)}$$
(7.19)

For a  $1^{\circ}$  peak shift and a sample displacement of .5mm, this error is only 2 MPa (290 psi) for a value of R = 14.55cm and D = 10cm.

The systematic errors that are a function of R must be combined. It was shown that the psi axis missetting error could be eliminated in construction and that the calibration error was very small, therefore only the sample displacement error need be considered in determing the optimum sample-todetector distance.

7.3.3 Calculation of Optimum Sample to Detector Distance

The factors influencing the accuracy of the residual stress measurement which are a function of R have been derived. These include the counting statistical error, given by Eq. 7.14, and the sample displacement, given by Eq. 7.15. The sample displacement error  $\Delta X$  in Eq. 7.15, is a nonrandom error, actually a correctable bias in each measurement if it is measured. If, however, it can be said that on the average the apparatus is positioned within  $\pm \Delta X$  of the correct position, this error can be treated as a random quantity having a normal distribution and can be combined with the statistical error in the following manner:

$$\sigma(\sigma_{0}) = \left[ Q_{3}^{2} \left[ \frac{R}{Te^{-.07R}} \right] + Q_{4}^{2} \left( \frac{\Delta X}{R} \right)^{2} \right]^{\frac{\pi}{2}}$$
(7.20)

where  $\sigma(\sigma_{\varphi})$  is the total error in the measurement and  $\Delta X$  and R are in cm. The value of Q<sub>4</sub> is 2377 MPa tor  $\psi$ =45° and  $\theta$ =78°.

The time versus sample-to-detector distance is plotted in Fig. 7.3 and Fig. 7.4 for the 1045-2 sample representing a very broad diffraction profile (6 °20 at half maximum). Fig. 7.3 represents the solution to Eq. 7.19 for one standard error of  $\pm 20$  MPa ( $\pm 2900$  psi). When the sample displacement is zero, the instrumental error is non-existent so the time for a fixed error decreases with R. For only a .05cm error in positioning, however, the minimum time is 35 sec at R=8cm. Fig. 7.4 represents the solution for an error of  $\pm 40$  MPa ( $\pm 5800$ psi) and for a positioning error of .05cm the minimum time is quite small, 4 sec with a value of R of 5cm. For an error of 0.1cm in positioning the optimum sample-to-detector distance is 9cm giving a time of analysis of 10 sec.

From this treatment one can judge how accurately the positioning must be and obtain an estimate of the minimum sample to detector distance for a predetermined accuracy. Even for rapid measurements, positioning errors of 1mm should be possible to obtain on a flat surface so for an error of  $\pm 40$  MPa, the sample-to-detector distance could be as short as 8cm. This analysis is based on the sample having the broadest profile obtained in





this study. For sharper profiled samples the distance could be shortened. The basic intent of this analysis was to obtain an idea of the best sample-to-detector distance which is shown to be about 8cm, depending on the type of samples and the precision of the measurement. However, it is obvious from Fig. 7.3 and Fig. 7.4 that sample displacement errors play a larger role than absorption of the X-ray beam in air because at short R distances, the displacement prohibits the measurement to the desired accuracy while if the distance were increased to twice the optimum, say 16cm, the counting times are not significantly different.

# 7.4 DESIGN OF A PORTABLE X-RAY STRESS ANALYSIS SYSTEM

A design for a rapid and portable X-ray stress analyzer using the two tilt method is presented. The two tilt method has been shown to be as accurate as the  $\sin^2\psi$  method when a PSD is used for data accumulation

provided d vs  $\sin^2 \psi$  is linear.

# 7.4.1 Components

A 50kV - 2mA miniature X-ray tube and solid state power supply was borrowed from Watkins-Johnson Co., Palo Alto, California. The X-ray tube, schematically depicted in Fig. 7.5 weighs only 2.3 kg and is air cooled enhancing the tubes use on a portable device. The X-ray tube, described in ref. 108, utilizes a non-intercepting grid electron gun to focus the electron beam so that less power is necessary (and less heat generated) to produce an equivalent X-ray flux as in a conventional sealed X-ray tube. The X-ray tube uses a spot focus and the target is mounted such that the target normal is 24° from the electron beam giving a take-off angle of 24°. Using the 1045-3 specimen and similar sample-to-detector distances, the integrated intensity across the PSD was compared using a Picker Cr X-ray tube (50kv-11mA) and the miniature Cr X-ray tube (50kv-2mA). The diffracted

The target is tilted about an axis parallel to the diffraction plane, rather than around an axis perpendicular to this plane, as in a normal tube.



FIGURE 7.5 Outline drawing of the air-cooled X-ray tube.

intensity from the miniature tube was 1.2 times greater for the Picker X-ray tube.

To make the design more compact, a new packaging arrangement for the PSD was borrowed from Tennelec Inc., Oak Ridge, Tennessee. The detector was 20x13x4.5cm with an active length of 10cm used to detect photons. The detector electronics are identical to those described in ONR TR No. 11. The computer program, STRSPD, described in ONR TR No. 16 was used to transfer data from the MCA to the computer and to calculate the stress.

7.4.2 Design

The relationship between the sample-to-detector distance, R, and the time of analysis was shown in Sec 7.3 not to be critical except at small values of R. The distance, therefore, must be regulated by the size of the components such as the X-ray tube and detector.

As shown in Fig. 7.6 the size of the X-ray tube dictates the minimum sample-to-detector distance necessary to obtain a back reflection diffraction angle of  $160^{\circ}2\theta$ , in the vicinity of the usual angle for stress measurements. The PSD must be located directly behind the X-ray tube as shown in Fig. 7.6 so that large  $2\theta$  angles can be reached. The sample-to-detector distance is the sum of the minimum distance,  $R_1$  in Fig. 7.6, that can be used to obtain the high angle and the distance,  $R_2$ , that the PSD is located from the point of tangency of the X-ray tube to the beam, point 0. As a result, the sample-to-detector distance is 21cm in this mock-up.

The **need** to measure the residual stresses on bulk samples requires the  $\psi$  tilt to be made by rotating the instrument instead of the usual practice of inclining the specimen. To accomplish this, the X-ray tube and detector were mounted on a dovetail track allowing a motion of





 $45^{\circ}$ . Fig. 7.7 depicts the dovetail track with the X-ray tube and detector mounted. A .8cm thick plexiglass shield was included as a safety precaution to absorb fluorescent radiation.

Positioning of the device was accomplished using a three point bumper system. As seen in Fig. 7.8, the three fingers were mounted so that at  $\psi = 0$  the primary beam was 12° off the normal to a flat sample surface at  $\psi = 0$  constituting an expected diffraction angle of 156°20, a typical value for Fe but also suitable for Al because a range of 10° can be seen in the detector. The X-ray tube was positioned so the primary beam intersects the sample at the center of rotation, 0.

Supports for mounting and carrying the device are shown in Fig. 7.9 and Fig. 7.10. Fig. 7.9 shows the detector being used on its stand at  $\psi = 45^{\circ}$ . The entire head assembly weighed 11 kg and could be supported by one person as shown in Fig. 7.10.

Fig. 7.11 shows the diffraction profile from two samples as it appeared on the MCA cathode ray screen. The 1090-1 sample having a sharp profile is shown in Fig. 7.11a. The background on the high angle side of the peak is blocked by the X-ray tube but since only the intensity within the top 15% of the profile is used no error is introduced. The same effect is seen in Fig. 7.11b for the 1045-2 having a broad profile.

## 7.5 EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

The portable residual stress device was designed to work on large flat surfaces. In order to determine the accuracy of the device, four steel samples previously measured on the diffractometer were analyzed. The samples were mounted on a large steel plate with the surface of each



Front view of the portable residual stress analyzer. The positioning bumpers (A) are located on the stationary or female member of the circular dovetail bracket (B). The X-ray tube (C) and detector (D) are mounted on the moveable dovetail bracket (E). A distance indicator (F) is used to set the correct sample-to-detector distance when using small samples. FIGURE 7.7



FIGURE 7.8 Schematic drawing depicting movement of the head during a  $45^{\circ}$  tilt. A represents the positioning bumpers with  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  lying on a horizantal plane and  $A_2$  below this plane fixing the primary beam perpendicular to the sample surface.



Portable residual stress analyzer located at  $\psi=45^{\circ}$ . The three bumpers  $(A_1, A_2, A_3)$  position the apparatus on the flat plate (B). The device can be manually held using the saw handle (C) for support or mounted on the stand (D) and rolled into position. FIGURE 7.9





(b)

- FIGURE 7.11 Typical diffraction pattern obtained using the portable residual stress analyzer. a) 1090-1 sample,  $\psi=0^{\circ}$ ,211 peak,  $CrK_{\alpha}$ , 10 sec.

  - b) 1045-2 sample, 211 peak,  $CrK_{\alpha}$ . Both  $0^{\circ}$  and  $45^{\circ}$   $\psi$  tilts are given to show the peak shift, 10 sec/tilt.

sample being flush with the surface of the plate, as seen in Fig. 7.10. This was accomplished by milling a recess equal to the thickness of each sample into the plate. The portable device could then be butted up to the plate and each sample measured.

The results for the four samples are given in Table 7.2. Column 2 tabulates the total time of the measurement for each sample. Column 3 tabulates the average stress found on each sample using the diffractometer.

Column 4 gives the average stress

over five measurements using the portable analyzer with the <u>observed</u> error of one standard deviation tabulated in Column 5 and the average counting statistical error in Column 6.

In all cases, the stress was within two standard errors (as given by the counting statistics) of the value measured on the diffractometer, with the average value being quite close. The time of analysis indicates the device is capable of very rapid measurements. A total measurement time of 20 seconds (for both tilts) gives acceptable errors of  $\pm$  36.7 MPa ( $\pm$ 5315 psi)  $\overset{*}{\times}$  on the broadest profiled sample. As seen in Fig. 7.4, for a sample-todetector distance of 21cm, the counting time is 24 sec for an error of  $\pm$  40 MPa ( $\pm$ 5800 psi), quite close to the time above.

A crude test of Eq. 7.2. was made using the portable residual stress unit. The X-ray tube and PSD were mounted on a dovetail track which moved perpendicular to the specimen. The sample-to-detector distance could be varied in this manner by 5cm. Since the pivot axis of the dovetail track (the  $\psi$  axis) remained fixed, this motion did not introduce any  $\psi$ -axis missetting or sample displacement. These large movements did require the detector to be recalibrated, however.

For the 1090-1 sample having a sharp profile a total measurement time of four seconds gave a statistical counting error of  $\pm$  34 MPa.

REPLICATE	MEASUREMENT	USING	PORTABLE	RES IDUAL	STRESS	ANALYZER
		(5 mea	surement	5)		

TABLE 7.2

And the state of the

NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.

Sample	Time (sec)	o * Diffractometer MPa (psi)	<c<sub>tp&gt; MPa (psi)</c<sub>	Observed error 5 tests MPa (psi)	Statistical error 5 tests MPa (psi)
1090-1	10	+31.6 (+4580)	-11.6 (-1682)	±25.4 (±3684)	±19.5 (±2828)
1045-3	20	-699.2 (-101410)	-703.4 (-102016	±28.3 (±4100)	+29.4 (+4264)
1045-2	20	-397.1 (-57596)	-396.4 (-57491)	<u>+</u> 42.7 ( <u>+</u> 6193)	±36.7 (±5315)

\*Average value of stress obtained using a normal diffractometer. From Table 3.8. When the detector was moved forward by 2.5cm (a sample-to-detector distance of 18.5cm), a total counting time of 16 seconds gave an average error of  $\pm$  38.6 MPa ( $\pm$ 5600 psi) over three repeated stress measurements. When displacing the detector away from the sample by 2.5cm (a sample-todetector distance of 235cm), a counting time of 30 seconds gave an average error of  $\pm$  41.6 MPa ( $\pm$ 5950 psi). These values compare quite closely with those given by Fig. 7.4. for the proper sample-to-detector distance.

It was also possible to test the sample displacement error. The 1045-2 sample was moved forward by 1mm and 2mm using spacers and three repeated stress measurements made at each sample displacement setting for a total counting time of 40 seconds. The average value of the measured stress was -407.2 MPa (-59060 psi) and -420.8 MPa (-61035 psi) at 1mm and 2mm displacements respectively. From Eq. 3.6 in Chapter 3, the expected error for a 1mm displacement (R=21 cm) is approximately -10 MPa (-1400 psi) using a stress constant of 600 MPa (87020 psi). These values of stress are reasonable compared to Table 7.2 and indicate that small displacements of the sample introduce only minor errors.

In summary, the design presented for a portable residual stress analyzer is capable of complete measurement on any sample in under 20 sec. This could be improved upon by decreasing the size of the X-ray tube so that a shorter sample-to-detector distance could be used. However, measurement times on the order of 20 sec. to a statistical accuracy of  $\pm 40$  MPa or less must be considered adequate for most applications.

To make the apparatus truely an in-field unit, the electronics and computer system must be miniaturized. From discussions with the Chemistry Electronics Shop at Northwestern University, it is felt that all the PSD

electronics and a hardware system for data storage and manipulation could be packaged in a box about 50x50x20cm. This box plus the solid state power supply for the X-ray tube (50x50x15cm) would constitute a fixed cabinet including the tube power supply weighing about 35 kg and a portable head weighing 7 kg. Fig. 7.12. shows the size of the cabinet incorporating the miniaturized electronics. The only controls necessary are an 'on-off' switch and a high voltage control for the PSD. A trigger to open the X-ray beam for a preset time could be mounted on the hand-held device.

Pressurization of the PSD without gas flow was tested by mounting a high pressure shut off valve at the inlet and outlet gas connections on the PSD. It was found that this particular detector would not remain pressurized. As soon as the two values were closed, the detector pressure, measured between the detector and the shut-off valve, began to fall. Extensive tests confirmed that the pressure loss was in the detector and not in the gas line connections. The manufacturer of the detector insists, how-\*\* ever, that the instrument can be made to hold pressure for at least 12 hours and hopefully, one lasting two or three days is possible. This would enable an operator to pressurize the detector at the beginning of a day and a gas-flow system would be unnecessary. The instrument would then be fully transportable and the measurement could be made by one person holding the 'head'. It is felt that this type of portable instrument can provide the necessary stimulus to enable the X-ray measurement of residual stress to be widely used in industry both as a laboratory and an in-field tool.

Although the prototype weighed 11 kg, such components as the dovetail track and X-ray tube shielding could be severly lightened.

The original PSD an 18 hour period. was tested and lost only 5 psi over



FIGURE 7.12 Proposed cabinet for the miniturized electronics of the portable residual stress analyzer. The only external controls necessary are the 'on-off' switch and a high voltage control. The peak shape and stress would be displayed on a CRT.

#### REFERENCES

- E. W. Weinman, J. E. Hunter, and D. D. McCormack, Metal Progress, <u>96 No. 1</u>, 88 (1969).
- K. Kamachi, X-Ray Study on Strength and Deformation of Metals, The Society of Materials Science, Japan (1971), p. 95.
- 100. Residual Stress Measurement by X-Ray Diffraction-SAE J 784a, 2nd Edition, Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc. (1971).
- 101. Nondestructive Evaluation of Residual Stress, Proceedings of a Workshop, Air Force Materials Laboratory, NTIAC-76, Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas (1976) p. 153.
- 102. United States Patent 3,934,138. (1976).
- 103. W. C. Giessen and G. C. Gordon, Science, 159, 973 (1968).
- 104. H. Cole, J. Apply. Cryst. 3, 405, (1970).

- J. B. Cohen, <u>Diffraction Methods in Materials Science</u>, MacMillan Co., New York (1966) P. 255.
- 106. International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, Vol. II, Kynock Press, Birmingham, England (1968).
- 107. B. E. Warren, <u>X-Ray Diffaction</u>, Addison-Wesley Publ. Co., Reading, MA., (1969).

DOCUME	IT CONTRACT FIELD		
Security classification of title, had at about	NI CUNIKOL DATA - R	a D	overall equation of a structure
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	in more the antoration must be	Za. REPORT S	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION
		Unclas	sified
I. B. Cohen, Northwestern Universit	ty. Evanston. IL	26. GROUP	
REPORT TITLE		L	
A PORTABLE RESIDUAL STRESS ANALYZE	R		
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates	s)		
Technical Report No. #19			
AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)			
M. R. James and J. B. Cohen			
NOOD1/ 75 0 0590	78. TOTAL NO. C	FPAGES	70. NO. OF REFS
NUUU14-73-U-U38U NK U31-733	35		10
E2/5 KES	Va. ORIGINATOR	S REPORT NUM	DE K(3)
PROJECT NO.	Tachaical	Poport No	= 19
	rechnical	Report No	
	95. OTHER REPO	RT NO(S) (Any o	ther numbers that may be assigned
	this report)		
1.	None		
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING	MILITARY ACT	VITY
	Office of	Nevel Pose	arch Metallurgy Branc
	office of	navai kese	aren netaritigy brane
ABSTRACT			
AUSTRACT			
A portable device for stress n	measurements based	on a posit	ion sensitive
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube	on a posit was built	ion sensitive to demonstrate the
A portable device for stress r detector and using a miniature air potential of such a system. The me	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus,	on a posit was built weighing	ion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca	ion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety
A portable device for stress of detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The mo- held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp	ion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety aring measurements
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device	ion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety aring measurements . The device
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The me held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u	ion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety aring measurements . The device using two \u03c0 tilts
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $h \pm 40$ MPa in under	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second	ion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety paring measurements . The device sing two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples.
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $n \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus	ion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety paring measurements . The device sing two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $h \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas	ion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety varing measurements . The device using two \$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplis to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $n \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety varing measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never a or in-field
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $h \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety varing measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never or in-field
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $h \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety aring measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never or in-field
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $n \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety varing measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never a or in-field
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $h \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety varing measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never a or in-field
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $n \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety paring measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid purement never a or in-field
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea n ± 40 MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety paring measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. . and rapid urement never a or in-field
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $h \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety paring measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never or in-field
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea n ± 40 MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety varing measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never a or in-field
A portable device for stress of detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma- held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those of proved to be accurate and accomplis to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea n ± 40 MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety varing measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never or in-field
A portable device for stress of detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those of proved to be accurate and accomplis to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea n ± 40 MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety aring measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never or in-field
A portable device for stress a detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those a proved to be accurate and accomplia to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements.	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea $n \pm 40$ MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety varing measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never or in-field
A portable device for stress is detector and using a miniature air- potential of such a system. The ma- held by one person with the electro of steel samples, having from 0 to made on a diffractometer to those is proved to be accurate and accomplis to a statistical error of less than (In some cases in 4 seconds.) The measurement time suggest application possible before, such as on-sight is measurements. D FORM 1473 (PAGE 1)	measurements based -cooled X-ray tube easuring apparatus, onics housed in a s -700 MPa were test made with the porta shed the entire mea n ± 40 MPa in <u>under</u> portability of the ons for the X-ray s inspection during f	on a posit was built weighing eparate ca ed by comp ble device surement u 20 second apparatus tress meas abrication	tion sensitive to demonstrate the ll kg, could be binet. A variety varing measurements . The device using two $\psi$ tilts s on all samples. and rapid urement never or in-field

