

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BRANCH DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT OTTAWA

DREO REPORT NO. 760 DREO R 760

LITHIUM BATTERY SYSTEMS: EXAMINATION OF THE IODINE PENTOXIDE CATHODE

by J.R. Coleman and G.D. Nagy





PROJECT NO. 54-80-08

FILE COPY

Distribution State and A Approved for Public release; Distribution Unitrafted

JUNE 1977 OTTAWA

CAUTION

This information is furnished with the express understanding that proprietary and patent rights will be protected.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE CANADA

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT OTTAWA

REPORT NO. 760 DREO'-R-764

LITHIUM BATTERY SYSTEMS: EXAMINATION OF THE IODINE PENTOXIDE CATHODE

by

J.R. Coleman and G.D. Nagy

Primary Power Sources Section Electrical Power Sources Division

19 John R. /Coleman Gerard D./Nagy

1

DDC RUBBUR JUL 19 1977 SURIV

PROJECT NO. 54-80-08

77-125

S	NTIS DOC UNANNOUNCED	White Section W Buff Section
Y CISTRIDUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	Ting	
OSTEIDUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	r	

RECEIVED JUND 1977

PUBLISHED JUNE 1977 OTTAWA

404 576

ABSTRACT

The electrochemical system lithium-iodine pentoxide, attractive because of its high theoretical energy density, was investigated, employing as electrolyte a variety of salts dissolved in polar organic solvents. Iodine pentoxide blended with graphite and pressed on an expanded silver grid served as cathode; the anodes were made by pressing lithium ribbon onto an expanded nickel grid.

Of the salt-solvent combinations tried, potassium perchlorate dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide was most satisfactory; even with this combination the fraction of the theoretical energy density realized amounted at most to about 12%. Chemical investigations showed that iodine pentoxide in dimethylsulfoxide may enter into several kinds of reactions, all of which lead to its conversion to the electrochemically inactive iodate ion. One sixth or 17% of the pentoxide appeared to be the most that can in fact be discharged. This fact, coupled with its poor activated stand properties and moderate drain capability make it improbable that the system could be developed into a practical battery.

RÉSUMÉ

On a examiné le système électrochimique au lithium et au pentoxyde d'iode qui est intéressant à cause de sa grande densité d'énergie théorique. On a utilisé comme électrolyte une variété de sels dissous dans des solvants organiques polaires. La cathode est formée de pentoxyde d'iode mélangé à du graphite, pressé sur une grille allongée en argent, l'anode est composée en pressant une feuille de lithium sur une grille allongée en nickel.

De toutes les combinaisons de sel et de solvant étudiées, la plus satisfaisante était le perchlorate de potassium dissous dans le diméthylsulfoxyde; même là, le pourcentage maximal de densité d'énergie théorique n'était que de 12 p.c. Les expériences ont montré que la solution $I_2O_5/DMSO$ peut entrer dans diverses sortes de réactions chimiques qui mènent toutes à sa conversion en ion iodé neutre. Il semble impossible d'obtenir une

NON-CLASSIFIE

NON-CLASSIFIÉ

décharge de plus de 1/6 ou 17 p.c. du pentoxyde. Sa conservation inférieure de charge après activation et sa capacité de décharge moyenne rendent improbable l'utilisation pratique de ce système de batterie.

3

Na everente le aratima électrotivéges au librite et petrote d'ime pai det térépresent à pause de ca-gtarie densité d'éarople tearitue un e utilisé comme électroipte van variéré en sale d'arame dans des solvent orgeniques pointras. La connois est formes de pontorgée d'inde sécret à du graphiet preséé det une grille silongee en argent, l'haodo set conteste du graphiet une faulile de lichtre au une grille allengée en state:

(ii)

NON-CLASSIFIE

INTRODUCTION

The work described below was carried out during a period of widespread interest in non-aqueous battery systems, and was suspended when efforts • in this field suffered a decline a few years ago. More recently there has been a renewal of interest in the subject, stimulated by development of the lithium-sulfur dioxide (1) and lithium-thionyl chloride (2,3) batteries, which operate at high efficiency, so that the predicted high energy densities advanced as justification for these investigations were for the first time being approached. In the wake of these major developments fresh interest was shown in specialized non-aqueous systems for moderate drain applications. Among these are batteries based on cupric sulfide (4) molybdic oxide (5-7), carbon and graphite fluorides (8,9) and vanadium pentoxide (10). The chemical reactions occurring in these latter systems are complex and for the most part not understood; and with the proposed resumption of lithium battery work at DREO it seemed desirable to record our experiments directed to understanding the cathodic reactions at the iodine pentoxide electrode.

The starting point of this investigation of iodine pentoxide was a report by Knapp (11), who described a number of cathode-anode-organic solventelectrolyte combinations, and concluded that several acid anhydrides showed promise as cathodic depolarizers. Briefly, the cathodes he employed fell into one of several classes: acid anhydrides, salts and reducible metal oxides (HgSO₄, AgO), organic depolarizers, and sulfur. These materials were blended with graphite or other conductive carbon and compressed on a silver or nickel grid. The most suitable anode was lithium ribbon, also compressed on a metal grid. The electrolyte system consisted of one of the following organic solvents: dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), propylene carbonate (PC) or acetonitrile, containing potassium perchlorate (KClO₄), lithium bromide (LiBr), magnesium perchlorate (Mg(ClO₄)₂) or potassium thiocyanate (KSCN) as the solute. The most satisfactory combinations were described in a patent application (12) and include the following components:

TABLE I

Non-Aqueous Cell Combinations Described by Knapp

Cathode:	CrO ₃ , I ₂ O ₅ , V ₂ O ₅ , MoO ₃ , m-dinitrobenzene
Solvent:	DMSO, PC, DMSO-PC mixtures
Electrolyte:	KC104, LiBr, Mg(C104)2), KSCN
Anode:	Li Metal

Based on open-circuit voltage measurements in DMSO-KC104 (eg. 3.85V for the Li/I₂O₅ combination) and stoichiometric amounts of materials, energy densities are quite large. For the four acid anhydrides above, coupled with a lithium anode, these figures are 1558, 1251, 1490 and 733 watt-hours per pound, respectively.

Several problems were pointed out by Knapp:

(i) The coulombic efficiency of utilization actually observed for these depolarizers to an arbitrary cell cut-off voltage of 1.5 volts fell far short of the theoretical. Thus for I_2O_5 and V_2O_5 the coulombic efficiency was apparently* 1.7% and 2.8% at the moderate current density of 2.5 mA/cm²; the energy efficiency, because the average operating voltage is only about 2/3 the open circuit voltage, was lower still. Thus only a very small fraction of the depolarizer was used before the voltage fell to an unacceptable value.

(ii) The energy densities calculated above assumed reduction to the valence states of I^0 for I_2O_5 , Cr^{++} for CrO_3 , V^{++} for V_2O_5 and Mo^{+++} for MoO_3 ; in fact, however, no investigations of the actual reduction products were made. Also, in an aqueous or protonic solvent, such reactions as the following could formally occur on reduction of an oxygen-containing cathodic constituent:

 $Ag0 + H_20 + 2e \rightarrow Ag^0 + 20H^ I0_3^- + 6H^+ + 5e \qquad {}^{1}_{2}I_2 + 3H_20$

but in aprotic solvents such mechanisms were not possible, and nothing was known of the fate of the oxygen in such species as I_2O_5 and V_2O_5 , or indeed of any aspect whatever of the reduction mechanisms.

More recently, advances have been made with several of these cathode systems. V_2O_5 has been employed in a reserve type of battery under development by Honeywell (10), and this material and MoO₃ have been reinvestigated by several workers. Dampier (6), and Campanella and Pistoia (5) have obtained quite reasonable coulombic efficiencies, employing MoO₃; the latter workers have thrown some light on the mechanism of MoO₃ reduction, which is more complex than a simple reduction to Mo₂O₅ (i.e. Mo⁺⁵) or MoO₂ (Mo⁺⁴). Campanella and Pistoia pointed out that the working potential of the system Li/PC-AlCl₄/MoO₃ is higher than the calculated open circuit potentials assuming such products as Li₂O, MoO₂ and Mo₂O₅, and suggest that complexing with the electrolyte occurs. Dey (13) working with PC-LiClO₄ as the solventelectrolyte system, reported efficiencies for the Li/MoO₃ and Li/V₂O₅

*In reference (11) the weight of cathode material used is not stated; these figures are calculated by combining information from references (11) and (12), and though probably correct are a little suspect. In any case, as confirmed in the present work, only a few percent of the material is utilized to a practical end-voltage.

3

couples of 70-80% and 60-70% respectively, assuming the following reactions:

$MoO_3 + 2Li \rightarrow MoO_2 + Li_2O$

and

$$V_2O_5 + 4Li \rightarrow V_2O_3 + 2Li_2O$$

but presented no evidence that these were in fact the reactions that occurred.

As the chemistry of I_2O_5 is more familiar than that of the other acid anhydrides, and the number of stable oxidation states is small, it was decided to investigate some of the problems mentioned above, using this depolarizer.

EXPERIMENTAL

The procedures followed closely those of Knapp in most details. The cathodic mixes contained I_2O_5 and up to 40% by weight of a commercial graphite*, blended in a paint shaker with glass beads. Care had to be taken to avoid too prolonged shaking, as frictional heat initiates an exothermic decomposition of I_2O_5 . An amount of 1.2 to 2.0 g of the blended dry mix was spread on both sides of an expanded nickel or silver grid, 3.17 cm x 3.17 cm (10.0 cm²), with an attached tab, and wrapped in an envelope of No. 2 Whatman filter paper. Plates assembled in this manner were then pressed at 1300 to 7700 psi and stored in a desiccator until discharge.

Lithium ribbon was pressed at 2600 psi on expanded nickel grids cut the same size as the cathode grids, degreased in benzene. The lithium anodes were scraped with a scalpel just before insertion in the cell. The weight of lithium taken, about 0.36 g, is considerably greater than the stoichiometrically required amount, to ensure that the cell is cathode limited.

Electrolyte solutions were usually prepared by mixing anhydrous salts and solvents in the ratio of 1 mole to 1000 ml. These are referred to here as one molar, although this is only approximately true. In a few experiments using KC104 in DMSO, electrolyte concentrations were varied over a

*Micro 850, a product of Asbury Graphite Mills, Asbury, N.J.

tenfold range (see for example, Table X); and a number of saturated solutions of less than one molar were used (Table II).

A variety of experiments were conducted to determine the fate of the oxygen in the I_2O_5 . These will be described in the appropriate section.

Discharges were generally carried out with one cathode sandwiched between two anodes in a rectangular cell requiring about 25 ml electrolyte to cover the plates. Constant current was maintained by a manually adjusted external resistor, and the cell voltage was measured with a General Radio 1230A electrometer. Control experiments showed that neither exhaustive drying of the system nor addition of small amounts of water made much difference in the results, and runs were carried out in open cells.

A number of variations were made in this basic procedure for specific purposes: larger cells were used to study the effect of electrolyte volume on efficiency; zinc was used in place of lithium in several experiments; and additions of several materials were made to the cathode in an attempt to increase utilization.

A three-electrode cell with an auxiliary cathode or anode to serve as reference was used in certain polarization studies. Details of the experimental procedures are given in the Polarization Experiments section.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

VARIATION IN DEPOLARIZER AND ELECTROLYTE

It was decided first to check on various electrolyte combinations to find the most suitable before attempting to make changes in the cathode itself. For this purpose cathodes were made containing 2 g of a $10:1 I_2 0_5$: graphite mix and were pressed at 7700 psi, a pressure that ensured good mechanical stability.

Table II summarizes discharges for I_2O_5 cathodes in various solventsolute combinations. For comparison, a number of cathodes similarly made, but containing I_2 in place of I_2O_5 , were made and discharged (runs J, K, L).

Curves 1 and 2 of Figure 1 show typical discharge curves for I_2O_5 and I_2 (runs A and J of Table II). For curve 1, the coulombic efficiency to 2.0 volts is calculated as 2.8%, assuming a 5-electron change per iodine atom $(I^{+5} \rightarrow I^{\circ})$ or a 10-electron change per molecule, so that the equivalent weight of I_2O_5 is 33.38. The problem of low utilization referred to by Knapp is apparent. The general behaviour closely resembled that reported by Knapp.

TABLE II

1

a sol at the

Variations in Depolarizer and Electrolyte

	Electrolyte	2.0 Volts	Utilization	Remarks
I205	DMSO-KC104	67	2.8	
:		151	4.3	In run B, I_20_5 pulverized in dry box before blending with graphite; in all other runs, I_20_5 used as received.
	DMSO-LiBr	22	0.6	
	PC-KSCN	9	0.17	
:	PC sat'd KClO4	8	9	
=	PC-LiBr	9	92	
=	DMSO-KSCN	50	1.4	
:	DMF [±] 0.8M KC104	32	16.0	
•	DMF-LiBr	~2	92	
I2	DMSO-KC104	162	17.6	
12	PC sat'd KClO4	150	16.3	260 minutes to 1.95V = 28.2% efficiency.
12	DMSO-LiBr	84	9.1	
I205	DMSO-0.5M KNO3	66	2.7	
=	DMSO-LICI	₽ .	0	1.
=	DMSO-0.25M LICI	4	0	
=	DMSO-KI	14	0.6	
=	DMSO-0.5M KI	8	0.4	

* DMF = N,N dimethylformamide.

5

IED



「「「「「「」」」

The run time to 2.0 volts of the iodine cathode (curve 2) is significantly longer than that of curve 1, and considering the much higher equivalent weight of iodine (126.9), the superiority in utilization is greater still (17.6% compared to 2.8%).

From an examination of runs (A)-(G) it is seen that PC as a solvent functions very poorly compared to DMSO with KC104, LiBr or KSCN as electrolyte. Runs (H) and (I) compared with runs (A)-(G) show that DMF is in general better than PC, but not as good as DMSO. With all solvents, KC104 is apparently the preferred electrolyte. Run (B) demonstrates incidentally the improvement achievable by increasing the state of subdivision of the I_2O_5 .

From runs (J)-(L) it is seen that I_2 outperforms I_2O_5 in the solventsolute combinations tried; furthermore its performance is not nearly so sensitive to the nature of solvent or electrolyte.

The direct use of iodine as a depolarizer (14) presents difficulties by reason of its volatility and solubility in organic solvents. The reaction of iodate and iodide to liberate iodine is well known, and it was thought that iodine might be generated from I_2O_5 and iodide if a soluble iodide such as KI were introduced either in the electrolyte or the cathode. A number of variations of this idea were attempted, but in all cases performance was worse than when the KI was omitted.

It was observed early in the work that I_2O_5 dissolved slowly in DMSO on stirring to at least 10% by weight, forming a sticky viscous fluid, and also that after a few hours a reaction began between solvent and solute with the liberation of I_2 . In propylene carbonate, however, the solubility is only about 8×10^{-2} g/litre, and no liberation of iodine was observed.

These facts suggested at first that the relatively good (though for Practical purposes unsatisfactory) performance of I_2O_5 with DMSO as solvent compared to PC was due in part to the fact that it was soluble in the former and could react to produce I_2 , which is itself the electrochemically active species. However, the discharge reaction is primarily electrochemical reduction of I_2O_5 . If an I_2O_5 cathode is placed in DMSO-KClO₄ electrolyte and left on open circuit, no visible change is noted for some time; when discharge begins, an immediate orange-brown stain (I_2) is seen in the filterpaper envelope.

Curve 3 of Figure 1 ($KIO_3/DMSO-KCIO_4/Li$) shows that KIO_3 is electrochemically quite inactive in this organic solvent. This was thought to be due to the insolubility of KIO_3 . Cathodes were prepared with more soluble iodates, NH_4IO_3 and $(CH_3)_4NIO_3$. However, these proved useless in DMSO-KCIO₄ electrolyte.

Potassium periodate (KIO_4) seemed attractive as a possible depolarizer because of the higher oxidation state of iodine (+7).

The cell

KIO₄-C/DMSO, LiBr or KClO₄/Li

7

gave a very short discharge. Moreover, while KIO₄ dissolved initially in DMSO, a white precipitate identified as KIO_3 shortly came out, and it appeared probable that the reaction

 $KIO_4 + DMSO \rightarrow KIO_3 + DMSO_2$ (dimethylsulfone)

had occurred. The ease of oxidation of DMSO by various inorganic oxidants has been noted (15).

VARIATIONS IN CATHODE PREPARATION AND DISCHARGE CONDITIONS

The best performance reported in Table II was shown in runs A and B, using a cathode made of 2.0g of $10:1 I_2 O_5$:graphite compressed at 7700 psi on a silver grid, and discharged in DMSO-KC10₄.

This section records the results of a systematic study of all variables in the preparation and discharge of cathodes, in part to extend knowledge over a wider range of operating conditions, and in part to improve the still exceedingly low efficiency of utilization. In the tables that follow, the column "No." indicates the number of duplicate runs carried out with each set of conditions. Except in Table X the electrolyte is 1 molar KC104 in DMSO.

COMPACTING PRESSURE

Table III shows the improvement in performance that results as the compacting pressure is reduced from 7700 psi. This improvement is presumably due to increased ease of cathode penetration by the solvent. A practical lower limit is imposed, of course, by the minimum pressure required to make the cathode material adhere firmly to the grid.

I205-GRAPHITE RATIO

In previous work, a $10:1 I_2 O_5$:graphite ratio had been regularly employed. Using now the lower compacting pressure (1300 psi), this ratio was varied downward, and a marked increase in utilization observed (Table III and IV). In the second study (Table V) the weight of mix was varied so as to

TABLE III

...

1

Effect of Compression on Cathode Performance

Mix Composition I ₂ O ₅ :C	Compression psi	Amount of mix, g.	No.	% Coulombic Efficiency
10:1	7700	2	8	1.4
10:1	2600	2	3	1.7
10:1	1300	2	5	2.0
5:1	7700	2	6	3.0
5:1	1300	2	4	4.2

TABLE IV

Effect of Varying Cathode Compositions. Weight of Mix Constant at 2g.

Mix Composition	Compression psi	Wt of Active Material, g.	No.	% Coulombic Efficiency
10:1	1300	1.81	5	2.0
5:1	"	1.67	4	4.2
3:1		1.5	3	7.0
1.5:1	•	1.2	4	8.1

TABLE V

Effect of Varying Cathode Composition. Weight of Active Material 1.6g.

Mix Composition	Compression psi	No.	% Coulombic Efficiency
5:1	1300	4	3.4
3:1	annen dens fa n oren annen	6	6.4
1.5:1	1	4	8.1

UNCLASSIFIED

9

maintain a constant weight of active material, and a similar improvement noted. There is evidently an advantage in increasing the amount of conductive carbon at least up to the point at which the weight and volume of electrochemically inactive material becomes a handicap. The most generally suitable conditions of preparation, and those used in the rest of the work unless otherwise stated, are a 3:1 I_2O_5 :graphite ratio and a compression of 1300 psi.

LOADING

The effect of varying the cathode loading (i.e. the amount of mix) is contrary to what would be expected, for the first two mix ratios of Table VI, in that thinner cathodes gave lower utilization. The reason for this is not known with certainty. It is possible that with lightly loaded cathodes, one is compressing only the grid and not the powder in the grid interstices. The third mix ratio (1.5:1) has a larger amount of the bulky, low density graphite, so that compaction might be effective down to lower loading weights; and the fact that in this case performance improves with lower loading lends some support to the suggestion made above.

TABLE VI

Mix Composition	Compression psi	Mix wt g	No.	% Coulombic Efficiency
5:1	1300	2	4	4.2
5:1	"	1.44	4	3.4
3:1	"	2	3	7.0
3:1	"	1.6	6	6.4
1.5:1	"	2	4	8.1
1.5:1	" 9.80	1.2	4	10.0

Effect of Cathode Loading

Little Martine A the the set of the second second and the second se

GRID

Grids of expanded copper, nickel and several sizes of silver were used. Adhesion to copper and nickel appeared not to be as satisfactory as to silver. In addition, when copper grids were used the open circuit voltage fell from 3.7 to 3.0 volts after 20 minutes. It is recognized that adhesion

of cathode material may be related to the stiffness or springiness of the grid, as well as to its chemical nature, but in any case silver is evidently the best material.

ACTIVATED STAND

Cathodes were made, employing silver grids and 1.6 grams of 3:1 I₂O₅:graphite compressed at 1300 psi. These were immersed in the electrolyte for varying periods of time before beginning discharge, with the results noted in Table VII. Wet stand is detrimental to cell performance, suggesting the occurrence of a non-electrochemical reaction between cathode material and electrolyte. It has already been noted that I_2O_5 dissolves slowly in DMSO with the formation of iodine; but the effect is more complex than this would imply, since at first sight iodine might be expected to enhance the reaction. Possibly the formation of a very viscous solution in and near the electrode reduces ionic mobility and so diminishes performance.

TABLE VII

Effect of Activated Stand

Hours Stand	No.	% Coulombic Efficiency
0	7	5.6
1	3	5.2
2	3	5.2
4	3	4.2

Mix Composition: 3:1 I₂O₅:C Dry Mix: 1.6g 1300 psi

TEMPERATURE

1

DMSO freezes at 18°C; hence, discharges conducted at room temperature, as heretofore, are near the lower extreme of the liquid range. One might expect some improvement in runout at higher temperature because of the resulting increase in electrolyte conductivity. However, as Table VIII indicates, performance is, if anything, somewhat worse. Various explanations for this can be devised, but again nothing certain is known.

thody muscial bay be related to the stillersh of appingness of the

TABLE VIII

Effect of Temperature

Mix Composition	Compression psi	No.	0.C.V.	% Coulombic Efficiency	Comparison With Room Temperature Performance
			(a) 33°C		for variable particles and an annex in Table VT
10:1	7700	2	3.90	1.5	Higher O.C.V. No difference in % utilization.
			(b) 52.5°C		
5:1	1300	2	3.80	2.2	Higher O.C.V. % utilization about 50% lower.
3:1 189	1300	1	3.85	3.6	Higher O.C.V. % utilization about 45% lower.

CURRENT DENSITY

Employing again cathodes made as in Table VII, discharges were conducted over a sixfold range of current density, with the results shown in Table IX. It is apparent that at greater than a modest current drain cathode performance suffers.

TABLE IX

Effect of Varying Current Density Mix Composition: 3:1 I₂O₅:C Dry Mix 1.6g 1300 psi I C.D. % Coulombic mA/cm² mA No. Efficiency 12.5 1.38 1 12.1 2.76 25 6 6.4 75 8.23 2 3.8

ELECTROLYTE CONCENTRATION

17

In the experiments reported in Table X, the electrolyte $(KC10_4)$ concentration was varied from 1M to 0.1M. It can be seen that 1M KC10₄ is the best electrolyte. The rather sharp drop in efficiency with concentration was not expected. Some decrease would be expected because of the increased IR drop in the solution and hence lower run-out voltages. However, the shapes of the curves were very similar to curve 1 of Figure 1 and the 2.0V end-point was again in the steep portion of the curve. The efficiency is related to the amount of KC10₄ present, since decreasing the volume of electrolyte by one half had the same effect as decreasing the concentration by one half. Increasing the amount of electrolyte above 25 ml had little effect. The reason for these concentration effects is not known.

TABLE X

Mix Composition: 3:1 I205:C Dry Mix 1.6g 1300 psi Molarity Minutes to % Coulombic 2.0 Volt Efficiency 1 147 6.4 0.5 98 4.3 0.25 65 2.9 0.1 23 1.0

Effect of Varying KCl04 Molarity in DMSO

OTHER CATHODE TREATMENTS

Cathodes were made with naphthalene incorporated in the mix, in the hope that solution of the naphthalene by DMSO would open up a larger surface area for reaction. This hope, however, was disappointed. Several variations of the technique were tried, but without success. Attempts were made to secure a more rapid and complete penetration of cathode by electrolyte through a vacuum impregnation process, but again no improvement in runout resulted.

ATTEMPTS TO DETERMINE THE FATE OF THE OXYGEN ON REDUCTION OF 1205

It was assumed at the beginning, in the simplest fashion, that reduction of I_2O_5 would occur by some such mechanism as:

 $I_2O_5 + 10e \rightarrow I_2 + 5\{0^{=}\}$

This is supported by the observation that I_2 is formed as soon as current flows in the cell.

The species written as $\{0^{-}\}\$ is almost certainly not a simple oxide ion; however, some equivalent species, perhaps complexed with solvent, must appear in any reduction process. It was conjectured that a species such as $\{0^{-}\}\$ might form an insoluble metallic oxide by a reaction such as

 $\{0^{=}\} + 2M^{+} \rightarrow M_{2}0$ $\{0^{=}\} + M^{++} \rightarrow M0$

or

Since both ZnO and Li_2O (prepared by dehydration of LiOH) were found to be insoluble in DMSO, the oxides of these metals might be detected in the cathode by X-ray diffraction after extensive discharge of cells containing Li or Zn anodes and I_2O_5 -carbon cathodes.

(a) The cell Li/DMSO, LiBr/I₂O₅-C was left on short circuit for several days; after this lapse of time, from the averaged current passed, one might expect appreciable amounts of Li₂O, if indeed it did form by the mechanism assumed above. The cathode was removed, washed with DMSO to remove LiBr, then with dry ether, and dried in vacuo. X-ray diffraction disclosed LiIO₃ (\vee 90%) as the major component, but with no evidence of Li₂O, or of LiOH which might arise from the oxide by reaction with moisture during handling.

(b) Discharge of the cell Li/DMSO, $KC10_4/I_20_5$ -C similarly gave KI0₃ and LiI0₃ as major and minor components of the cathode, but again no trace of Li₂0 or K₂0, or of the corresponding hydroxides.

(c) The cell Zn/DMSO, $KC10_4$, 0.3M $ZnC1_2/I_20_5$ -C was discharged. In this cell the two lithium anodes were replaced by two sheets of zinc of the same size. On spontaneous discharge both current and voltage were very low and

an excessive period of time would have been required before an appreciable cathodic reduction could occur. Attempts to drive the cell externally at 20-30 mA resulted in zinc deposition on the cathode. The ready oxidation of finely divided zinc on exposure to air would vitiate any attempt to demonstrate the presence of ZnO from a hypothetical reaction $Zn^{++} + \{0^{=}\} \rightarrow ZnO$. A very low forced discharge at 6 mA was conducted for several days, without zinc formation; however, examination of the cathode revealed $Zn(IO_3)_2$ instead of the expected ZnO.

17

10

These experiments show that no metal oxides were formed; only iodates were detected. Also it is known from Figure 1 that KIO_3 is electrochemically inactive and the same was demonstrated for LiIO₃. The question then arises how the iodates were formed.

The following series of experiments demonstrate that iodates producing in the above experiments could be formed non-electrochemically by the reaction of I_2O_5 and cell constituents.

(1) $1.5g I_2O_5$ and $.075g Li_2O$ were shaken together several days in 10 ml DMSO. This 20:1 weight ratio corresponded to a 1.8:1 molecular ratio for the reaction:

$Li_20 + I_20_5 \rightarrow LiI0_3$

The white residue remaining at the end of shaking, after washing with DMSO and ether, was found to be a mixture of only $LiIO_3$ and I_2O_5 , suggesting that the reaction above had gone quantitatively, converting all the Li_2O to $LiIO_3$ and leaving unreacted the excess of I_2O_5 over the 1:1 molar ratio.

(2) I_2O_5 shaken with 1M KClO₄ or saturated LiBr in DMSO gave a white deposit approximately 95% KIO₃ and 100% LiIO₃ respectively, with no sign of I_2O_5 remaining, while I_2O_5 shaken with DMSO alone left a sticky residue of unreacted starting material.

(3) I_2O_5 (1g) and ZnCl₂ (0.3g) reacted in DMSO to give a white precipitate of Zn(IO_3)₂.

There is then evidence that potassium, lithium, and zinc compounds, both soluble salts and insoluble oxides, react with I_2O_5 in DMSO to form the corresponding iodates, which are not reducible electrochemically. I_2O_5 and Li_2O might be expected to react, as these compounds are an acidic and a basic anhydride; the nature of the reaction of I_2O_5 with a neutral salt such as KClO₄ is not understood. In any case, the experiments above explain why the search for metallic oxides as reaction products of the hypothetical $\{0^{\text{m}}\}$ was unsuccessful.

Considering the electrochemical reaction, further consequence of the work appeared; namely that even if an electrochemical reduction did proceed as written previously:

$$I_2O_5 + 10e \rightarrow I_2 + 5\{0^-$$

this could be followed by the rapid successive reactions:

$$5{0^{=}} + 10 \text{ Li}^{+} \rightarrow 5\text{Li}_{2}0$$

and

 $5 \text{ Li}_20 + 5 \text{ I}_20_5 \rightarrow 10 \text{ LiI0}_3$

with the result that for each molecule of I_2O_5 reduced electrochemically, five will be consumed in the subsequent acid-base neutralization to produce insoluble and unreactive LiIO₃, so that the greatest realizable coulombic efficiency is at one step reduced to 1/6 of the theoretical, or 17%. Similar considerations would presumably hold if the electrolyte contained K⁺ instead of Li⁺.

These arguments would still hold even if the initial reaction did not proceed as far as I_2 . Thus one might have a reaction formally expressible as:

$$I_2O_5 + 2e \rightarrow I_2O_4 + \{0^-\}$$

(a great number of I_2-0_2 compounds have been reported, including I_20_4). In this case the same sequence of reactions would follow, starting from $\{0^{=}\}$, again consuming I_20_5 , and with the same final result, that electrochemical utilization of I_20_5 would be well below theoretical.

Apart from this, K^+ and Li⁺, as shown above, enter into direct nonelectrochemical reaction with I_2O_5 to form unreactive iodates which in addition to consuming I_2O_5 can also block the surface, resulting in further diminution in utilization.

These various reactions can account for the very low efficiency of I_2O_5 discharge, and appear to impose a fundamental limitation on the output of the I_2O_5 cathode. This also suggests that the 12% utilization at low drain reported in Table IX is approaching three-quarters of the maximum utilization that could be expected in any circumstances.

Apart from these limitations resulting from successive reactions, it is evident that the initial electrochemical step is itself complex; also, a number of unusual phenomena - the extreme specificity as to solvent and electrolyte, effects of varying temperature and stand time, the great dependence of performance on concentration of $KC10_4$ - must find an explanation in the solution of I_20_5 in DMSO and a subsequent presumably non-electrochemical reaction between solvent and solute.

Our finding that KIO₃ is electrochemically inactive is at variance with more recent non-aqueous battery work, employing as depolarizer silver iodate, AgIO₃ (16). The electrolyte was butyrolactone-LiAlCl₄. The authors report good utilization (58%) based on a six-electron reduction, $IO_3 \rightarrow I^-$. Both LiI and Li₂O were detected by X-ray analysis. The overall reaction is apparently representable as

$7\text{Li} + \text{AgIO}_3 \rightarrow \text{LiI} + 3\text{Li}_20 + \text{Ag}^+ + e^-$

(as a complex formed with the electrolyte, probably of the form $AgCl_2^-$). The reason for this difference may lie in the capacity of the silver ion to complex and so be solubilized. The question could presumably be settled by discharge experiments with $AgIO_3$ in the media used in this work, DMSO-KClO₄ or DMF-KClO₄.

POLARIZATION EXPERIMENTS

These experiments had the object of separating out the factors that contribute to polarization, i.e. any effect that reduces the working voltage of a cell below the open circuit value, and so lessens the energy density.

CONCENTRATION OVERPOTENTIAL

Instation setting

17

Effects associated with concentration overpotential are the result of a concentration gradient developed in the cell during discharge, and should be reduced or eliminated by stirring the electrolyte. Stirring had in fact no effect on performance, suggesting, contrary to Knapp's results, that concentration overpotential does not play a significant role. Further work is needed to explain this discrepancy.

17

RESISTIVE OVERPOTENTIAL

With the cell geometry and discharge conditions used in this work, this amounted to roughly 0.3 volts, as indicated by the instantaneous fall and rise in cell voltage on applying load and on reverting to open circuit conditions.

ATTRIBUTION OF OVERPOTENTIAL TO THE RESPECTIVE ELECTRODES

These experiments were carried out with the three-electrode cell shown schematically in Figure 2. A is a common electrode, which in one arrangement is a lithium anode. The outer electrodes B and C are then I_2O_5 cathodes. A current of 25 mA was passed between A and B (the "working" cathode) so that the cell A-B progressively discharged, while C, the "reference" cathode was kept on open circuit. The voltages of the couples A-B and A-C were followed during discharge. Periodically, current was passed for brief intervals through the couple A-C, A-B then reverting to open circuit. From the rises and falls in the voltage of the two couples information could be derived about the polarization of both cathode and anode at any time during the discharge. A corresponding experiment was carried out with another arrangement in which the common electrode A was now an I_2O_5 cathode, B and C being "working" and "reference" lithium anodes.

Examples of the curves obtained are shown in Figure 3, with a common lithium anode, and Figure 4 with a common I_2O_5 cathode. The upper curve in each figure shows the potential of the couple A-C (reference-common) and the lower curve the couple A-B (working-common). These are referred to as V(A-C) and V(A-B) respectively. The spikes show the voltage displacements during the brief switching periods, when B and C electrodes reverse their roles. The initial open circuit voltage for the couples A-B and A-C are, of course, identical. These are indicated by the large filled circles on the ordinate axis.

The lower curves V(A-B) in Figure 3 and 4 represent cell voltage during discharge; they follow the same general course and would coincide if the cells were identical. They both exhibit an immediate initial drop of 0.4 volts from open circuit on first applying current and a steady decline thereafter.

Examining first Figure 3 (common lithium anode) one observes that on establishing the current across A-B, V(A-C) falls about 0.1 volts at once and then remains unchanged throughout discharge. This is evidently ascribable entirely to the common lithium anode and is probably an activation overpotential. Hence the progressive fall in V(A-B) as discharge proceeds must





20



be due to the I_2O_5 cathode. This is supported by the successively larger recoveries in V(A-B) during discharge when the working I_2O_5 cathode is exchanged for the (nearly undischarged) reference I_2O_5 cathode.

Figure 4 reinforces these conclusions. On applying current, V(A-C), i.e. I_2O_5 common-lithium reference, is initially unchanged. Hence the activation overpotential at the I_2O_5 cathode must be almost zero. As this has been shown to amount to 0.1 volts for the anode, the rest of the initial drop in V(A-B) must be attributed to resistive drop in the electrolyte -about 0.3 volts under the conditions of this discharge. Both V(A-B) and V(A-C) decline during discharge, their courses being almost parallel and separated by about 0.4 volts, the sum of the electrolyte resistive drop and the activation overpotential at the working anode. As might be expected, then, exchanging the working and reference anodes B and C causes the two curves V(A-B) and V(A-C) to exchange position.

In summary, there is an immediate potential drop of about 0.1 volt associated with the lithium anode, that remains constant with time, and another also constant IR drop across the electrolyte; the remaining polarization which is responsible for the voltage decline during cell discharge is associated entirely with the I_2O_5 cathode.

CONCLUSION

The system $I_2O_5-C/DMSO-KCIO_4/Li$ is potentially of considerable interest as an electrochemical cell. The reactions that occur during discharge are quite involved, and certain of them impose a practical ceiling on the cathode capacity that can be realized which is only one sixth of the amount theoretically available. This limitation, coupled with certain other undesirable features such as short activated stand and inability to supply more than modest current drain, militates against the development of the system into a practical battery.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Mr. P. Miasek and Ms. C. Anderson for skilled technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- D. Linden, N. Wilburn and E. Brooks, "Power Sources 4", ed. D.H. Collins, p. 483, Oriel Press, Newcastle upon Tyne (1973).
- J.J. Auborn, K.W. French, S.I. Lieberman, V.K. Shah and A. Heller, J. Electrochem. Soc., <u>120</u>, 1613 (1973).
- 3. W.K. Behl, J.A. Christopulos, M. Ramirez and S. Gilman, J. Electrochem. Soc., <u>120</u>, 1619 (1973).
- 4. J.P. Gabano, V. Dechenaux, G. Gerbier and J. Jammet, J. Electrochem. Soc., 119, 459 (1972).
- 5. L. Campanella and G. Pistoia, J. Electrochem. Soc., 118, 1905 (1971).
- 6. F.W. Dampier, J. Electrochem. Soc., <u>121</u>, 656 (1974).

3

1

1

- 7. N. Margalit, J. Electrochem. Soc., 121, 1460 (1974).
- 8. K. Braeuer and K.R. Moyes, U.S. Patent 3,514,337, May 1970.
- 9. M. Fukuda and T. Iijima, Paper No. 45, Proceedings of the 9th International Power Sources Symposium, Brighton, September 1974.
- 10. R.J. Horning, Proceedings 10th IECEC, August 1975, p. 424.
- H.R. Knapp, Technical Report ECOM 2632, U.S. Army Electronics Command, Fort Monmouth, N.J., October 1965.
- 12. H.R. Knapp, U.S. Patent Appl. 534,287, filed March 4, 1966.
- A.N. Dey, Abstract No. 54, Electrochemical Society Meeting, Boston, October 1973.
- 14. C.R. Schlaikjer and A.N. Dey, Abstract No. 60, Electrochemical Society Meeting, Boston, October 1973.
- 15. "Dimethyl Sulfoxide", Crown Zellerbach Corporation, Chemical Products Division, Technical Bulletin (1962).
- 16. V. Filippeschi and G. Pistoia, J. Power Sources, 1, 99 (1976/77).

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D ISecurity classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when ORIGINATING ACTIVITY Detrence Research Establishment Ottawa National Defence Headquarters Document Title DOCUMENT TITLE ITHIUM BATTERY SYSTEMS: EXAMINATION OF THE IODINE PENT DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) REPORT AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial) COLUMENT DATE MAY 1977 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 23 PROJECT OR GRANT NO S4-80-08 CONTRACT NO. Sh. OTHER DOCUMENT NO DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	Securi	ity Classification
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when 2a. DOCUM ORIGINATING ACTIVITY Establishment Ottawa 2a. DOCUM Defence Research Establishment Ottawa 2b. GROUP National Defence Headquarters 2b. GROUP DOCUMENT TITLE 2b. GROUP ITHIUM BATTERY SYSTEMS: EXAMINATION OF THE IODINE PENT DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) REPORT AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial) COLEMAN, John R. and NAGY, Gerard D. DOCUMENT DATE MAY 1977 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 7b. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NO. 54-80-08 DREO REPORT NO CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY		
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY Defence Research Establishment Ottawa 2a. DOCUMA National Defence Headquarters DOCUMENT INCE 2b. GROUP DOCUMENT TITLE 2b. GROUP ITHIUM BATTERY SYSTEMS: EXAMINATION OF THE IODINE PENT DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) REPORT AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial) COLEMAN, John R. and NAGY, Gerard D. DOCUMENT DATE MAY 1977 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 2b. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUME 54-80-08 CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	when the ov	verall document is classified
National Defence Headquarters 2b. GROUP Document Title 2b. GROUP ITHIUM BATTERY SYSTEMS: EXAMINATION OF THE IODINE PENI DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) REPORT AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial) COLEMAN, John R. and NAGY, Gerard D. DOCUMENT DATE MAY 1977 PROJECT OR GRANT NO. 9a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUME 54-80-08 DREO REPORT NO CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO assigned this document) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	CUMENT S	SECURITY CLASSIFICATIO
DOCUMENT TITLE ITHIUM BATTERY SYSTEMS: EXAMINATION OF THE IODINE PENT DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) REPORT AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial) COLEMAN, John R. and NAGY, Gerard D. DOCUMENT DATE MAY 1977 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 23 PROJECT OR GRANT NO 9a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUME 54-80-08 CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO assigned this document) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	OUP	N/A
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) REPORT AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial) COLEMAN, John R. and NAGY, Gerard D. DOCUMENT DATE MAY 1977 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 23 PROJECT OR GRANT NO 54-80-08 CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO assigned this document) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	ENTOXI	DE CATHODE (U)
AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, middle initial) COLEMAN, John R. and NAGY, Gerard D. DOCUMENT DATE MAY 1977 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 23 PROJECT OR GRANT NO. 54-80-08 CONTRACT NO. 54-80-08 CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO assigned this document) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY		
DOCUMENT DATE MAY 1977 7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES PROJECT OR GRANT NO. 9a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUME 54-80-08 DREO REPORT NO CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO assigned this document) assigned this document) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY		
PROJECT OR GRANT NO 9a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUME DREO REPORT NO CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO assigned this document) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	GES 7b	NO. OF REFS
54-80-08 DREO REPORT NO CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO assigned this document) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	CUMENT N	UMBER(S)
CONTRACT NO. 9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO assigned this document) DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	NO. 76	60 🗸
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	9b. OTHER DOCUMENT NO.(S) (Any other numbers that m assigned this document)	
UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY	ant news y	
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING ACTIVITY		
	VITY	
ABSTRACT		

cause of its high theoretical energy density, was investigated, employing as electrolyte a variety of salts dissolved in polar organic solvents. Iodine pentoxide blended with graphite and pressed on an expanded silver grid served as cathode; the anodes were made by pressing lithium ribbon onto an expanded nickel grid.

Of the salt-solvent combinations tried, potassium perchlorate dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide was most satisfactory; even with this combination the fraction of the theoretical energy density realized amounted at most to about 12%. Chemical investigations showed that iodine pentoxide in dimethylsulfoxide may enter into several kinds of reactions, all of which lead to its conversion to the electrochemically inactive iodate ion. One sixth or 17% of the pentoxide appeared to be the most that can in fact be discharged. This fact, coupled with its poor activated stand properties and moderate drain capability make it improbable that the system could be developed into a practical battery.

UNCLASSIFIED

DSIS 72-508

1

1

1

			UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification
	KEY V	NORD	S TRANSPORT
			to an
	LITHIUM BATTERIES		
	LITHIUM ANODES		
	IODINE PENTOXIDE CATHODES		
	PRIMARY BATTERIES		
1000	Gat. for tateen Gase		80-03-ac
	INSTRUC	CTION	IS DEPENDENT OF
1.	ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the organization issuing the document.	9b.	OTHER DOCUMENT NUMBER(S): If the document has been assigned any other document numbers (either by the originator
2a.	DOCUMENT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the document including special warning	10.	or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s). DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Enter any limitations on
26	terms whenever applicable. GROUP: Enter security reclassification group number. The three		further dissemination of the document, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:
2	groups are defined in Appendix 'M' of the DRB Security Regulations.		(1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this document from their defence documentation center."
	capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a sufficiently descriptive title cannot be selected without classifi-		(2) "Announcement and dissemination of this document is not authorized without prior approval from originating activity."
	abbreviation in parentheses immediately following the title.	11.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory
4.	technical report, technical note or technical letter. If appropri- ate, enter the type of document, e.g. interim, progress,	12.	notes. SPONSORING ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental
	summary, annual or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.		project office or laboratory sponsoring the research and development. Include address.
5.	AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the document. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.	13.	ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the document itself. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified documents be unclassi-
6.	DOCUMENT DATE: Enter the date (month, year) of Establishment approval for publication of the document.		field. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the security classification of the information in the paragraph (unless the document itself is unclassified)
7a.	TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.		represented as (TS), (S), (C), (R), or (U). The length of the abstract should be limited to 20 single-spaced standard typewritten lines; 7½ inches long.
7Ь	NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the document.	14.	KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a document and could be helpful
8a.	PROJECT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable research and development project or grant number under which the document was written.		in cataloging the document. Key words should be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will
86	CONTRACT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number under which the document was written.		or tonowed by an indication of technical context.
	ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER(S): Enter the official document number by which the document will be		