





ALUMINIDE COATING OF IRON

R. W. Heckel, M. Yamada, C. Ouchi and A. J. Hickl

Technical Report No. 2 Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-76-C-0198

May 1977

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

Carnegie-Mellon University Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

OC FILE COPY

.

Aluminide Coating of Iron

R. W. Heckel,* M. Yamada,** C. Ouchi,** and A. J. Hickl***

Abstract

The kinetics of phase layer growth during the aluminization of Fe and during subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) were investigated. Pack processing was employed for aluminization; the variables studied were temperature, time, amount of Al powder in the pack, and the Al powder particle size. Homogenization was studied as a function of temperature, time, and extent of prior aluminization treatment. A predictive model was developed to describe the changes in coating microstructure as a function of processing parameters.



*Department of Metallurgical Engineering, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan **Nippon Kokan K. K., Technical Research Center, Kawasaki, Japan

***Stellite Division, Cabot Corporation, Kokomo, Indiana

(Research performed at Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA)

Introduction

Aluminization of iron and iron-base alloys is of importance in the protection of these materials from high-temperature oxidation and corrosion, including sulfur bearing environments (1-5). Substrates for aluminization have ranged from plain carbon steel to stainless steel. Although a variety of processes can be used to diffuse Al into the surface of steel, the most readily adapted method is to place the article to be diffusion coated into a pack containing powdered Al (or an Al alloy), an activator such as NH_4Cl , and Al_2O_3 (which disperses the Al and activator and remains inert during the aluminization). At elevated temperatures, the activator reacts with the Al and provides for gas-phase transport through the pack to the surface of the steel. The details of the pack process have been studied extensively (6-8).

Heat treatment of aluminized coatings can provide an additional degree of control over the microstructure of the coating. Thus, aluminization by the pack process can be used as a rapid method for increasing the Al content of the near surface region; subsequent homogenization heat treatment in an inert environment can be employed to adjust the coating microstructure to provide the optimum phase layer thicknesses and to select the specific surface phase necessary for the intended application. If Al neither enters or leaves the surface during the homogenization treatment, the mass of Al in the material remains constant during this process. Homogenization treatment of aluminide coatings on Ni has already been studied (9).

The aluminization and homogenization processes are represented schematically in terms of Al concentration-distance profiles in Figure 1. The formation of the η (FeAl₂) and ζ (Fe₂Al₅) phase layers were shown in the present study to form on the surface of the Fe during aluminization. The η phase has a range of compositions* from $C_{\eta\zeta} = 0.70$ to $C_{\eta\theta} = 0.72$; the ζ phase *All compositions will be referred to as atomic fractions. ranges in composition from $C_{\zeta\eta} = 0.67$ to $C_{\zeta\alpha} = 0.65$ (10). The α phase referred to in Figure 1 ranges from $C_{\alpha\zeta} = 0.52$ to zero (pure Fe) and therefore denotes the Al-enriched α solid solution of Fe.* The aluminization process proceeds by movement of the η/ζ and ζ/α interfaces into the Fe and thickening of the α layer. Since the mass of the system increases, the surface of the material must also move outward. The linear concentration gradient approximation shown in Figure 1 facilitates the determination of the amount of Al added to the system from a knowledge of the phase layer thicknesses, X_i 's:

$$M = X_{\eta}\left(\frac{c_{\eta\theta}+c_{\eta\zeta}}{2}\right) + X_{\zeta}\left(\frac{c_{\zeta\eta}+c_{\zeta\alpha}}{2}\right) + X_{\alpha}\left(\frac{c_{\alpha\zeta}}{2}\right)$$
(1)

M has units of length and increases with time during the aluminization process.

Aluminization and/or homogenization above 910° C will also result in the formation of the γ phase in the Fe substrate. However, the low solubility of Al in the γ phase and the low interdiffusion coefficient in this phase resulted in a negligible effect on the kinetics measured in the present investigation. Thus, no further consideration will be given to γ -phase formation in this paper.

The homogenization process as shown in Figure 1 proceeds in three sequential stages all of which can be characterized by a constant value of M resulting from the prior aluminization treatment. Since the flux of Al into the surface is zero during homogenization (i.e., M = constant), the η phase decreases in thickness during Stage I as the ζ and α increase in thickness. Stage II begins when $X_{\eta} = 0$ and proceeds with decreasing X_{ζ} and increasing X_{α} . Stage III begins when $X_{\zeta} = 0$ and proceeds with increasing X_{α} and decreasing surface concentration of Al. Thus, proper control of the homogenization process allows for the selection of (a) the most suitable surface phase (η , ζ , or α) for the intended application, (b) the optimum phase layer thicknesses, and *The composition range up to 0.52 includes the β_2 ordered solid solution as well as the random solid solution (10).

2

(c) the optimum surface concentration of Al.

Studies on the aluminization and subsequent homogenization of phase layers on Ni have shown that phase layer growth is controlled by long range lattice diffusion through the phase layers (9). Since this parabolic behavior should also apply to Fe substrates, phase layer growth rates should be propositional to the reciprocal of the layer thickness:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X_{1}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{K_{1}}{X_{4}} \tag{2}$$

where X_i is the thickness of the ith phase and K_i is the parabolic rate constant for the growth of the ith phase. For aluminization, Eq. (2) integrates to:

 $x_{i}^{2} = 2 K_{i} t$ (3)

For homogenization, Eq. (2) integrates to

$$x_{i}^{2} - x_{io}^{2} = 2 K_{i}(t-t_{o})$$
 (4)

where X_{io} is the initial thickness of the ith phase and t_o is the homogenization time at the beginning of the homogenization stage of interest. For example, for Stage I, $X_{\zeta o}$ is the thickness of the ζ phase after aluminization and t_o = 0. The foregoing analysis applies only to those phases which grow during homogenization; the decrease in X_{η} and X_{ζ} during Stages I and II, respectively, should <u>not</u> proceed parabolically, since, except for a short initial transient period, diffusion should not take place in these phases as they decrease in thickness.

The purpose of the present investigation was threefold:

- a. to provide additional understanding of the process of aluminide coating of Fe,
- b. to measure the kinetics of phase growth during aluminization and homogenization,

3

c. to formulate a predictive model capable of defining the effects of processing variables on coating microstructure.

Experimental Procedure

Ferrovac E Fe was used as the substrate material in this study; impurities were all less than 60 weight ppm except for Ni (210) and Cr (100). Aluminization of Fe specimens was carried out in 30 to 50 cm³ covered Fe crucibles. The pack contained 3 weight percent NH_4Cl as an activator; the Al powder in the pack was varied from 10 to 35 weight percent and three mesh sizes were studied (-20, -100, and -325). Homogenization treatments were carried out in an argon atmosphere. Coating microstructures were studied by light microscopy to obtain layer thicknesses,* x-ray diffraction to identify phases, and electron microprobe techniques to identify phases and to obtain concentration distance profiles (11).

Experimental Results

Typical thickening data for the n, ζ , and α phases during aluminization are presented in Figure 2. No evidence of the θ (FeAl₃) phase was found and no significant incubation period was observed. Thus, all data were found to conform with Eq. (3). The thickening of the ζ and α phases was found to be independent of pack conditions; the n phase growth rate increased with increasing Al concentration in the pack and decreasing Al powder particle size. The n phase growth rate appeared to reach a maximum at about 35 percent Al and -100 mesh powder; presumably, these conditions resulted in a saturated n-phase composition ($C_{\eta\theta}$) at the surface of the specimen. The parabolic rate constants for the aluminization study (slopes of lines in Figure 2) are given in Table I.

Typical thickening data for the ζ and α phases during homogenization are *An average of 40 to 80 measurements for each determination.

TABLE I

Experimentally determined parabolic rate constants (from $X^2 = 2Kt$) for aluminization.

	Pack Con	ditions		K. $((\mu m)^2/sec)$	
Temperature (°C)	Weight Percent Al	Al Mesh Size	<u>к</u> _п	<u>κ</u> ζ	<u>κ</u> α
780	35	-100	1.4	*	0.0045
830	10	-100	0.71	0.000088	0.012
830	15	- 20	0.64	0.000088	0.0094
830	15	-100	1.5	0.000088	0.012
830	15	-325	1.5	0.000088	0.0094
830	25	-100	2.2	0.000088	0.012
830	35	-100	2.5	0.000088	0.012
880	35	-100	5.0	0.00080	0.043
925	35	-100	7.7	0.0050	0.10
1000	35	-100	10.7	0.062	0.59

*ζ layer too thin to be measured

÷

presented in Figure 3. The growth is parabolic, in accord with Eq. (4), with no significant incubation period; the transition in boundary conditions from aluminization to homogenization thus appears to take place rapidly. The parabolic rate constant data for homogenization (slopes of lines in Figure 3) are given in Table II. The relative insensitivity of K_{α} to the stage of homogenization indicates that the value obtained during Stage I may be used in Stages II and III.

Concentration-distance profiles obtained by electron microprobe analysis of specimens given homogenization treatments (all three stages) are presented in Figure 4. These curves are seen to be in general agreement with the schematic curves presented in Figure 1. However, it is significant that the α phase profiles are not linear, and, in fact show evidence of a variation in the interdiffusion coefficient with composition in both the β_2 phase (concentrations greater than 0.25) and random solid solution α phase (concentrations less than 0.25) which is consistent with published data (12).

Discussion

A predictive model for describing the growth and shrinkage rates of the η , ζ , and α phases during aluminization and homogenization can be formulated from the data of the present study (Tables I and II) combined with Equations 1, 3, and 4. Equations 3 and 4 define the thicknesses of growing phases directly; Eq. (1) may be used to obtain the thicknesses of the shrinking phases. Furthermore, the changing surface concentration during Stage III may be approximated as:

where C is the surface concentration in Stage III, by equating M to the area under the linear gradient (Figure 1).

5

(5)

TABLE II

Ł

and the second

Experimentally determined parabolic rate constants (from $x^2-x_o^2 = 2K$ (t-t_o)) for homogenization.

Temperature (°C)	Homogenization Stage	<u>κ</u> ζ ^{((μm)2}	<u>/sec)</u> <u>K</u> a
780		0.0050	0.019
830	and a state of the state of	0.022	0.061
895	a sector in a sector of the	0.055	0.23
925	ente allo s I o based as b	0.11	0.37
950		0.16	0.53
1000	serve to I erectively	0.38	1.6
950	II		0.66*
950	III		0.57*

*Aluminized at 830°C for 3.6 x 10^3 sec with 15 w/o -100 mesh Al in pack, giving M = 8 x 10^{-5} m. Data valid for Stage II (1.2 x $10^4 < t < 2.9 \times 10^4$ sec) and for Stage III up to 9.4 x 10^4 sec.

The critical experiment to test this model was performed by homogenizing three sets of samples given different aluminizing treatments (780°C, 1700 sec, 35 w/o -100 mesh Al; 830°C, 3100 sec, 10 w/o -100 mesh Al; 830°C, 3500 sec, 15 w/o -20 mesh Al), but all having $M = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ m. A comparison of the model predictions (solid lines) and the three sets of homogenization data are presented in Figure 5. Clearly, variations between the homogenization behavior of the three sets of data are negligible, thus validating the use of the M parameter to describe the initial condition for homogenization. Furthermore, the experimental data agree with the model predictions for both the thicknesses of the phase layers and the times for the transitions between the homogenization during stage III is somewhat less than the model prediction (Eq. (5)). The reason for this discrepancy arises from the fact that the actual surface concentration is less than what would be predicted from a linear gradient (see probe data in Figure 4).

Conclusions

The effects of processing parameters on the microstructure of aluminide coatings on pure Fe can be reliably predicted from a knowledge of parabolic growth rate constants. The key to this modeling procedure is to define the initial condition for homogenization in terms of the total amount of Al entering the system as a result of aluminization, M. The value of M may be readily obtained from the phase layer thicknesses or from electron microprobe data. The procedures employed in the present investigation should be applicable to the diffusion coating of other substrate materials.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the support of the Metallurgy Branch of the

6

Office of Naval Research in this research. In addition, the authors acknowledge many fruitful discussions with H. C. Bhedwar during the course of the research and the preparation of the manuscript.

References

1.	s.	L.	Case,	Steel	Processing,	1950,	р.	435.
----	----	----	-------	-------	-------------	-------	----	------

- 2. J. H. Nicholls, Metallurgia, vol. 75, 1967, p. 57.
- 3. Metals Handbook, vol. 2, American Society for Metals, 1964, p. 489.
- 4. P. G. Gabe, ISI IDM Meeting on Physical Metallurgy Aspects of Surface Coatings, London, May 1973, p. 127.
- 5. W. A. McGill and M. J. Weinbaum, Metal Protection and Performance, July 1972, p. 28.
- G. W. Goward, D. H. Boone and C. S. Giggins, Transactions Quarterly ASM, vol. 60, 1967, p. 228.
- 7. S. R. Levine and R. M. Caves, Journal of Electrochemical Society, vol. 121, 1974, p. 1051.
- R. Sivakumar and L. L. Seigle, Metallurgical Transactions, vol. 7A, 1976, p. 1073.
- A. J. Hickl and R. W. Heckel, Metallurgical Transactions, vol. 6A, 1975, p. 431.
- 10. M. Hansen, Consititution of Binary Alloys, McGraw-Hill, 1958, p. 90.
- D. Laguitton, R. Rousseau and F. Claise, Analytical Chemistry, vol. 47, 1975, p. 2174.
- K. Hirano and A. Hishinuma, Transactions of Japan Institute of Metals, vol. 32, 1968, p. 516.



Distance from Surface

Figure 2. Growth data for the η , ζ , and α phase layers during aluminization of Fe. The pack contained 35 weight percent of -100 mesh Al powder.

Figure 3. Growth data for the ζ and α phase layers during the Stage I homogenization process.

Figure 4. Electron microprobe data for four concentration-distance profiles during the homogenization of specimens previously aluminized to $M = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ m. One curve shown for Stage I, one for Stage II, and two for Stage III.

Figure 5. Comparison of model predictions and experimental data for homogenization for three different aluminization treatments all having $M = 5 \times 10^{-5} m$.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSIC	N NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
Tophnical Depart No. 2	4/TR-21
TITLE (and Subula)	S TYPE OF REPORT & REPION COVER
	9 Technical Report
6) Aluminida Costing of Iron	
Aldminide coaring of from,	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Richard W. Heckel	
C. Ouchi	/ NOD014-76-C-0198
M. /Yamada	Children -
Anthony I Hickl	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TAS
Carnegie-Mellon University	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Schenley Park	(12)17D.
Pittsburgh	
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Metallurgy Branch	May 2977
Office of Naval R search	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Arlington, Virginia 22217	16
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Of	fice) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
Metallurgy Branch	Unclassified
UTTICE OF Naval Research	15- DECLASSIELCATION/DOWNGBADING
Arlington, Virginia 2221/	SCHEDULE
Unlimited	
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ	ent from Report)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited	ent from Roport)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited	ent from Report)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited	ont from Report)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ent from Roport)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ent from Report)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abotract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	ent from Roport)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	cent from Report)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block n Aluminide Coatings Aluminization	ent frem Report) uurber)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block n Aluminide Coatings Aluminization Iron Homogenization	ent from Report) umber)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va	umber)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide if necessary and identify by block n Aluminide Coatings Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va	umber)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, 11 differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block n Aluminide Coatings Aluminization Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va	umber)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Aluminization Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block in	rent from Report) umber) umber)
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block in The kinetics of phase layer growth during the	umber) umber) ariables
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block in The kinetics of phase layer growth during the subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) we	umber) umber) ariables umber) e aluminization of Fe and durin ere investigated. Pack Process
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide II necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide II necessary and identify by block in The kinetics of phase layer growth during the subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) we ing was employed for aluminization; the varia	umber) umber) ariables amber) e aluminization of Fe and durin ere investigated. Pack Process ables studied were temperature,
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse eide II necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse eide II necessary and identify by block in The kinetics of phase layer growth during the subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) we ing was employed for aluminization; the varia time, amount of AL powder in the pack, and th	umber) umber) ariables amber) e aluminization of Fe and durin ere investigated. Pack Process ables studied were temperature, and A powder particle size.
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Aluminization Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde II necessary and identify by block in The kinetics of phase layer growth during the subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) we ing was employed for aluminization; the variat time, amount of Al powder in the pack, and th Homogenization was studied as a function of the subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) we	umber) umber) ariables umber) e aluminization of Fe and durin ere investigated. Pack Process ubles studied were temperature, be Al powder particle size. cemperature, time and extent of
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Aluminization Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde 11 necessary and identify by block in The kinetics of phase layer growth during the subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) we ing was employed for aluminization; the variatime, amount of AL powder in the pack, and th Homogenization was studied as a function of the prior aluminization treatment. A predictive	umber) umber) ariables umber) e aluminization of Fe and durin ere investigated. Pack Process ables studied were temperature, be Al powder particle size. cemperature, time and extent of model was developed to describ
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, 11 differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Aluminization Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde 11 necessary and identify by block in The kinetics of phase layer growth during the subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) we ing was employed for aluminization; the variatime, amount of Al powder in the pack, and th Homogenization was studied as a function of the prior aluminization treatment. A predictive the changes in coating microstructure as a fur-	umber) umber) ariables antiminization of Fe and durin ere investigated. Pack Process ables studied were temperature, be Al powder particle size. comperature, time and extent of model was developed to describ anction of processing parameter
Unlimited OISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Aluminization Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olds if necessary and identify by block in The kinetics of phase layer growth during the subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) we ing was employed for aluminization; the variation time, amount of Al powder in the pack, and th Homogenization was studied as a function of the prior aluminization treatment. A predictive the changes in coating microstructure as a fur-	umber) ariables meter) e aluminization of Fe and durin ere investigated. Pack Process ables studied were temperature, be Al powder particle size. cemperature, time and extent of model was developed to describ inction of processing parameter
Unlimited DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block 20, if differ Unlimited SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block in Aluminide Coatings Aluminization Iron Homogenization Diffusion Kinetics Pack Process Va ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse olde if necessary and identify by block in the kinetics of phase layer growth during the subsequent heat treatment (homogenization) we ing was employed for aluminization; the variation time, amount of Al powder in the pack, and the Homogenization was studied as a function of the prior aluminization treatment. A predictive the changes in coating microstructure as a fur- subsequent 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 15 OBSOLETE	umber) umber) ariables amber) e aluminization of Fe and durin ere investigated. Pack Process ables studied were temperature, be Al powder particle size. cemperature, time and extent of model was developed to describ unction of processing parameter Unclassified