
AD-A034 038

CALIFORNIA UNIV LOS ANGELES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT F/G 9/2
MAINTENANCE QUESTIONNAIRE AND LIST OF RESPONDENTS. (U)
NOV 76 B P LIENTZ, E B SWANSON, G E TOMPKINS NO0014-75-C-0266
NL

1 OF 1
A0340360

A0340360

BOSTON AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P





Maintenance Questionnaire and List of Respondents*

Sac 1473


B. P. Lientz, E. B. Swanson, and G. Tompkins Graduate School of Management University of California, Los Angeles

Abstract

This paper contains the maintenance questionnaire and list of respondent organizations for a maintenance survey conducted in 1976.

Nov. 1976

*This work was partially supported by the Information Systems Program, Office of Naval Research under contract NOOO 14-75-C-0266, project no. NR 049-345.



1. Introduction and Summary


There has been an increasing concern with the operational phase of the life cycle of software systems. This phase is concerned with the maintenance and enhancement of computer based information systems.

Maintenance is the process of having the system meet the requirements specified in development. Enhancements are changes made to the system to meet new requirements.

To analyze these processes a questionnaire was constructed, field tested, and finalized. A copy appears in section 2. The questionnaire, which is divided into two parts: one dealing with the systems data processing and programming department and the other with a specific application system being maintained and enhanced. The questionnaire includes items of both technical and managerial interest.

The questionnaire was distributed to 120 organizations. Responses were collected for 69 applications. The organizations responding are also hister listed in section 3.

The analysis of results and existing literature will appear in separate papers.



Questionnaire on Application Software Maintenance
 The actual questionnaire begins on the next page.

A QUESTICNNAIRE ON APPLICATION SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE

INTECDUCTION

The purpose of the questionnaire is to assess some characteristics of application software maintenance, as it is performed by Systems & Programming departments of various types and sizes. The term maintenance includes enhancements.

The questionnaire consists of two parts. The first contains questions on the Systems & Programming department. The second, questions on a particular application system maintained by the department.

The questionnaire should be completed by the manager of the Systems & Programming department, with the assistance of his criter staff.

Some of the questions call for facts which may not be readily determined within the Systems & Programming department. These questions are followed by a set of three queries requesting the basis of the answer given, vis-a-vis:

Check the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
Reasonally accurate, based on good data___
A rough estimate, based on minimal data___
An estimate, not based on any data___

Space for "remarks" is also frequently included. Where you feel that your answer can be usefully clarified, please enter your remarks accordingly. It is imperative that every question be answered.

Your assistance and cooperation is greatly appreciated.

A QUESTIONNAIRE

CN

APPLICATION SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE

FART I

Questions on the Systems & Programming Department

July 1976

To what industry does the organization served by your 1. belong? (Check the one which most closely department applies.) Manufacturing industries: a. Data Processing Equipment 1___1 Instruments/Electrical 1___1 1---1 Chemical/Allied Froducts c. Printing/Publishing 1___1 d. Food/Topacco 1___1 e. Primary/Fabricated Metal 1___1 t. 1___1 Transportation Equipment g. Petroleum/Ccal/Rubber h. 1___1 i. Paper/Paper Picducts 1___1 Textiles/Apparel 1___1 j. Other (Please indicate) Non-manutacturing industries: Insurance 1___1 1---1 b. Banking/Credit Agency EDP Services 1___1 C. d. Education 1___1 Government 1___1 f. Public Utility 1___1 Investment 1___1 Mining/Construction 1___1 Transportation 1___1 1. Consultants 1. 1___1

Other (Please indicate)

| 2. | Shat | is | the | annua. | l budy | et of | your | compan | y for | data |
|----|---------|------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| | process | sing | equi | psent | rental, | mainte | enance, | and | amortiz | zation |
| | expense | ? | (Check | the | categor | y which | appli | es.) | | |

| a. | \$2,000,000 or more | 11 |
|----|-------------------------|----|
| b. | Less than \$2,000,000, | |
| | but \$1,500,000 or more | 11 |
| c. | less than \$1,500,000, | |
| | but \$1,000,000 cr more | |
| d. | Less than \$1,000,000, | |
| | but \$500,000 or more | 11 |
| e. | Less than \$500,000, | |
| | tut \$250,000 or more | 11 |
| f. | Less than \$250,000. | |
| | but \$125,000 or more | 11 |
| | | |
| g. | Less than \$125,000 | 11 |

- 3. What is the total number of personnel in your Systems & Programming department?
- 4. Of the total number of personnel, how many are employed as systems analysts and/or programmers?

5. Of the total number of systems analysts and programmers, how many work in each of the following categories:

| | Program- ming but not systems analysis | Systems analysis, but not program- ming | Both program- ming and systems analysis |
|---|--|---|---|
| New application system development, but not application system maintenance | | 3 | |
| Application system maintenance but not new application system development | | | |
| Both new application system development and application system maintenance | | | |
| Neither new application system development nor application system maintenance (e. g. systems programming) | | | |

| ٥. | Within your | department, | are | formal lin | nes o | f authority | and |
|----|---------------|----------------|-------|------------|-------|-------------|-----|
| | restonsibili | ty drawn sc as | tc | separate | the | management | cf |
| | programming : | from the manag | e men | t of other | acti | vities? | |

Yes: |__| No: |__|

Remarks:

7. Within your Systems & Programming department, are formal lines of authority and responsibility drawn so as to separate the management of application system maintenance from the management of other activities?

Yes: |__| No: |__|

| 8. | In terms of the total person-hours worked annually by your |
|----|--|
| | programming and systems analysis personnel, what percentage |
| | is spent in each of the following activities: |
| | Application system maintenance: |
| | New application system development: |
| | Other: |
| | TOTAL: 100% |
| | Remarks: |
| | |
| | |
| 9. | In your judgment, how important are the problems which arise |
| | in application system maintenance when compared to those of |
| | new application system development? (Check one of the |
| | fcllowing.) |
| | a. Maintenance problems by far the more important |
| | b. Maintenance problems schewhat more important |
| | c. Maintenance and new system development problems of equal importance |
| | d. New system development problems somewhat more important |
| | e. New system development problems by far the more important |
| | |

10. Suppose that the programming and systems analysis capacity of your department could be increased by 10% (in terms of the number or equivalent persons available). Assuming that needed skills are reflected in the increase, how would you allocate this additional capacity?

| % | system maintenance: | cation | Appl |
|------|------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| % | n system development: | pplicati | Neu |
| % | Other Activities: | | |
| 10% | TOTAL: | | |
| | ty could be increased | | |
| se r | ger percentage increas | this ia | ow would you allocat |
| % | system maintenance: | cation | Appl |
| \$ | n system development: | pplicati | New |
| x | Other Activities: | | |

TOTAL:

25%

of your department was to be decreased by 10% (in terms of the number of equivalent persons available). Assuming that you could determine where the cut-back was to be made, how would you allocate the reduction?

| % | maintenance: | system | lication | App. |
|-----|---------------|------------|-------------|------|
| x | development: | ion system | application | New |
| x | r Activities: | Othe | | |
| 105 | TOTAL: | | | |

Suppose instead that the capacity was to be decreased by 25%. How would you allocate this larger percentage reduction?

| Application | system | maintenance: | 5 |
|---------------|-----------|---------------|---|
| New applicati | on system | development: | |
| | Othe | r Activities: | 7 |

TOTAL:

25%

12. Relative to the tasks at hand, how would you evaluate your current level of programming and systems analysis staffing, on the whole? (Check one of the following.)

| a. | Substantially understaffed: | 11 |
|----|---------------------------------------|----|
| b. | Somewhat understaffed: | 11 |
| c. | Neither understaffed nor overstaffed: | 11 |
| d. | Somewhat overstaffed: | 11 |
| e. | Substantially cverstaffed: | 11 |

A QUESTIONNAIRE

ON

APPLICATION SCFTWARE MAINTENANCE

FART II

The following questions are addressed to the maintenance of a particular application system by your department

July 1976

1. Please identify an application system maintained by your department which: (i) has been operational one year or longer; (ii) represents a significant investment of time and effort by your department; and (iii) is considered by management to be of fundamental importance to the organization.

2. what organizational units are the primary users of the application system? (A "primary user" is defined here as a unit which receives outputs from, or provides inputs to the application system.)

| | he total number of personnel in the primary user |
|-----------------|---|
| units , take | en together? |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Check the applicable statement: |
| | 1 The above answer is: |
| | Reasonably accurate, based on good data |
| | A rough estimate, based on minimal data An estimate, not based on any data_ |
| | Landscape and pased on any data |
| | |
| | |
| 4. Of the total | l number of personnel in the primary user units |
| 4. Of the total | l number of personnel in the primary user units, |
| what percent | tage is actively engaged in receiving the outputs |
| or providing | g the inputs? |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Check the arricable statement: |
| | The above answer is: |
| | Reasonably accurate, based on good data |
| | A rough estimate, based on minimal data An estimate, not based on any data |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 5. On what date | e (month and year) did the application system |
| become opera | ational? |
| | Year |
| | HOOMET CONTROL IN THE CONTROL OF TH |
| | Month |
| | Month |
| Remarks: | Month |
| | |

6. What is the total number of programs in the application system maintained? (The term "program" is associated here with a plock of source language statements compiled or assembled as a unit.)

Check the arrlicable statement:

| The above answer is: | Reasonably accurate, based on good data___ | A rough estimate, based on minimal data___ | An estimate, not based on any data___

7. What is the total number of source language statements (excluding comments) included in the application system maintained?

Check the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
| Reasonably accurate, based on good data__ |
| A rough estimate, based on minimal data__ |
| An estimate, not based on any data__ |

| 8. | Ot | the | tota | 1 nu | uber | ot | scul | ce | lang | uage | sta | ten | ents |
|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|
| | mai | ntain | ed, | what | perce | en tage | is | writ | ten | in | each | of | the |
| | fol | lowin | g lan | guage | s? | | | | | | | | |

| COBOL | K |
|---------------------------|------|
| FOFTRAN | % |
| Assembler | \$ |
| PL/1 | \$ |
| RPG | x |
| Others (Please indicate): | |
| | % |
| | s |
| | % |
| TOT A L | 100% |

Check the arrlicable statement:

The above answer is:
| Reasonably accurate, based on good data__ |
| A rough estimate, based on minimal data__ |
| An estimate, not based on any data__ |

9. Of the total number of source language statements maintained, what percentage originated in each of the following time periods?

| 1975 - 76 | x |
|----------------|------|
| 1973 - 74 | \$ |
| 1971 - 72 | % |
| 1969 - 70 | * |
| 1967 - 68 | x |
| 1966 or earlie | er% |
| TOTAL | 100% |

Check the arricable statement:

The above answer is:
Reasonably accurate, based on good data____
A rough estimate, based on minimal data____
An estimate, not based on any data____

10. Of the total number of source language statements maintained, what percentage is associated with programs which carry out online processing?

Check the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
Reasonably accurate, based on good data___ |
A rough estimate, based on minimal data__ |
An estimate, not based on any data__ |

11. What is the total number of machine language instructions (object lines of code) of the application system maintained?

Check the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
Reasonably accurate, based on good data___
A rough estimate, based on minimal data___
An estimate, not based on any data___

12. How many computer systems - hardware - are employed in the operational processing of the application system maintained?

If more than one, please specify their operational processing function in terms of the application system maintained:

| 13. | Please identify the following characteristics of each |
|-----|--|
| | computer system - hardware and software - employed in the |
| | operational processing of the application system: |
| | Manufacturer(s) |
| | Model(s) |
| | Month/year when model(s) became operational |
| | Operating System Software |
| | |
| 14. | Is distributed processing employed in the processing of the |
| | application system? (The term "distributed processing" |
| | implies segmenting data bases and distributing application |
| | software processing among computers at different locations.) |
| | Yəs: No: |
| 15. | Is a data base management system employed in the processing |
| | of the application system? |
| | Yes: No: |
| | If so, which? |
| | |

16. How many individual data files make up the data tase associated with the application system? (The term "data base" is defined here simply as the set of master files associated with a system.)

Remarks:

17. What is the average size of the data base, measured in total number of bytes (or the equivalent)?

I Check the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
| keasonably accurate, based on good data__ |
| A rough estimate, based on minimal data__ |
| An estimate, not based on any data__ |

18. Or the total number of bytes contained in the data base, what percentage is the result of an update made during each of the following time periods, on the average?

| The | ncs | rece | ent day | | × |
|-----|-----|------|---------|----------|------|
| Not | the | nost | recent | day, | |
| but | the | most | recent | week: | |
| Nct | the | most | recent | week, | |
| | | | recent | | % |
| Not | the | most | recent | month. | |
| | | | | quarter: | × |
| Not | the | most | recent | quarter, | |
| | | | recent | | \$ |
| | | | | | |
| Not | the | most | recent | year: | K |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | TOTAL | 100% |

Check the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
Reasonably accurate, based on good data_____
A rough estimate, based on minimal data_____
An estimate, not based on any data_____

19. How many pre-defined user reports are associated with the application system maintained?

I Chack the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
Reasonably accurate, based on good data____
A rough estimate, based on minimal data____
An estimate, not based on any data____

20. Of the pre-defined user reports, how many are issued in each of the following forms:

| Visual | display | CI | printed, | on demand | |
|--------|---------|-----|----------|---------------|--|
| Visual | display | cr | printed, | daily | |
| Visual | display | cr | printed, | weekly | |
| Visual | display | cr | printed, | monthly | |
| Visual | display | cr | printed, | quarterly | |
| Visual | display | cr | printed, | semi-annually | |
| visual | display | CI | printed, | annually | |
| Others | (Please | ind | licate): | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

I Check the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
| keasonably accurate, based on good data__ |
| A rough estimate, based on minimal data__ |
| An estimate, not based on any data__ |

| 21. | Which of the foll | lowi | ng tocls a | nd ted | chniques w | ere emp | loyed in |
|-----|-------------------|------|------------------------|--------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | the development o | of t | he applic | ation | system? | (Chec | k those |
| | which apply.) | | | | | | |
| | | a. | Decision | table | es | | 11 |
| | | b. | Data bas | e di | ctionary | | 11 |
| | | c. | Test dat | a ger | nerators | | 11 |
| | | d. | Structure | d pr | ogramming | | 11 |
| | | e. | | | tion System) | | jn |
| | | f. | Chief Fro | gramm | er Team | | 11 |
| | | g. | On-line | Progra | amming | | 11 |
| | | h. | Automated | tlo | wcharting | | البيا |
| | | i. | | | y plus Pro Aid Techr | | 11 |
| | | j. | Structure | d Wa | lk-thru | | 11 |
| | | | Others (| Fleas | e indicate | e): | |
| | | k. | | | | | 11 |
| | | 1. | | | | | 11 |
| | | m. | | | | | 11 |
| 22. | | | | | | | expended |
| | annually on maint | tena | nce of the | appl | ication sy | ystem? | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | [- | hac | k the arrl | icabl | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | īr. | i |
| | | | above answorably acc | | | qood d | lata I |
| | I A | A ro | ugh estima stimate, | te, b | ased on mi | inimal d | |
| | | | | | | 1 | |

| 23. | Of the tota | l number of | person-hours | s now | expended | annually | cn |
|-----|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------|----------|----------|----|
| | maintenance | of the app | lication sys | tem, | what pe | rcentage | is |
| | expended in | each of the | e following | proble | m areas: | | |

| a. | Emergency program fixes | \$ |
|----|--|------|
| b. | Routine debugging | % |
| c. | Accommodation of changes to data inputs and tiles | s |
| d. | Accommodation of changes to hardware and system software | x |
| e. | Enhancements for users | x |
| t. | Improvement of program documentation | % |
| 9. | Recoding for efficiency in computation | % |
| | Others (Please indicate): | |
| h. | | % |
| i. | n | x |
| j. | | × |
| | TOTAL | 100% |

I Check the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
Reasonably accurate, based on good data____
A rough estimate, based on minimal data____
An estimate, not based on any data____

24. Of the total number of person-hours now expended annually on maintenance of the application system, what percentage is spent on maintenance of programs which perform online processing?

Check the arrlicable statement:

The above answer is:
Reasonably accurate, based on good data____
A rough estimate, based on minimal data____
An estimate, not based on any data____

25. Of the total number of person-hours now expended annually on maintenance of the application system, what percentage is spent in communication with the user?

Check the applicable statement:

The above answer is:
Reasonably accurate, based on good data___ |
A rough estimate, based on minimal data__ |
An estimate, not based on any data__ |

26. What is the total number of individuals currently assigned (in whole or in part) to maintenance or the application system?

| 27. | Of the total num | mber of individuals currently assigned to | the |
|-----|------------------|--|------|
| | maintenance of t | the application system, how many began t | heir |
| | assignment in ea | ach of the tollowing time periods? | |
| | | 1975 - 76 | |
| | | 1973 - 74 | |
| | | 1971 - 72 | |
| | | 1969 - 70 | |
| | | 1967 - 68 | |
| | | 1966 or earlier | |
| | Remarks: | | |
| | | | |
| 28. | Of the total num | mber of individuals currently assigned to | the |
| | maintenance of t | the application system, how many are assi | gned |
| | to each of the f | following tasks? | |
| | a. F | Programming exclusively: | |
| | b. S | Systems analysis exclusively: | |
| | c. E | Both programming and systems analysis: | |
| | | Neither programming nor systems analysis (e.g. librarian): | |
| | | | |

| 29. | Of the total number of individuals currently assigned to the |
|-----|--|
| | maintenance of the application system, how many have each of |
| | the following levels of on-the-jch programming experience? |
| | a. Five years or more: |
| | b. Less than five years, but three or more: |
| | c. Less than three years, but one or more: |
| | d. Less than one year, but some: |
| | e. None of the above: |
| | Remarks: |
| | |
| | |
| 30. | Are all changes made to the application system programs |
| | logged and documented according to an established |
| | organizational procedure? |
| | Yes: No: |
| | How many changes were recorded during the past twelve |
| | wonths? |
| | |

| 31. | Are all user requests for changes to the application system |
|-----|---|
| | logged and documented according to an established |
| | organizational procedure? |
| | |
| | Yes: No: |
| | How many requests were recorded during the past twelve |
| | months? |
| | |
| | Of the requests recorded during the past twelve months, how |
| | many have now been satisfied by changes made to the |
| | application system? |
| | |
| | Remarks: |
| | |
| | |
| 32. | Are all troubles encountered in the operational processing |
| | of the application system programs logged and documented |
| | according to an established organizational procedure? |
| | Yes: No: |
| | How many trouble reports were recorded during the past |
| | twelve months? |
| | TOUTO MOREITS |

| 33. | ls a formal aud: | it of the | application | system made |
|-----|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| | periodically, according | ny to an | established o | organizational |
| | procedure? | | | |
| | | | Yes: | No: |
| | If so, how frequently, | , on the ave | rage? | |
| | | | | |
| 34. | Is the documentation | asscciated | with the appli | .cation system |
| | programs maintained by | y a program | or system libr | arian? |
| | | | Yes: | No: |

| 35. | Is an accounting procedure employed to accumulate the |
|-----|--|
| | personnel costs in your department associated with operating |
| | and maintaining the application system? |
| | Yes: No: |
| | If so, what was the total personnel cost (in dollars) |
| | accumulated during the past twelve months? |
| | |
| | Remarks: |
| | |
| | |
| 36. | Is an accounting procedure employed to accumulate the |
| | equipment costs in your department associated with operating |
| | and maintaining the application system? |
| | Yes: No: |
| | If so, what was the total equipment cost (in dollars) |
| | accumulated during the past twelve months? |
| | |
| | |

| ba | ck (in | whole or in | part) to | the use | r? | | |
|----|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----|
| | | | | Ye | s: | No: | 1 |
| I£ | so, cn | what basis | is the c | charge ma | de? (Che | ck one.) | |
| | a. | Equipment | utiliza | ation e | xclusivel | y: | 1 |
| | b. | Personnel | utiliza | ation e | xclusivel | у: | 1 |
| | c. | Both equip | mert and | Personne | l utiliza | tion: | 11 |
| | d. | Neither eq | uipment n | nor perso | nnel util | ization: | 1 |

38. In your judgment, to what extent have the following been (cr are) problems in maintaining the application system you have described?

| | | None At | A11 |
|----|---|---------------|-------------|
| | | Somewhat Mino | |
| | | inor Problem | j |
| | Sone | hat Major | ii |
| | Hajor Pr | coblem | 1 1 |
| | | 15 4 3 | 2 1 |
| a. | Turnover of maintenance personnel | _ | _ |
| b. | quality of application system documentation | _ | _ _ |
| C. | Changes made to system hardware and software | _ | _ _ |
| d. | User demand for enhancements and extensions to application system | _ | _ _ |
| e. | Skills or maintenance programming personnel | - _ | _ |
| í. | quality of original programming of application system | | _ _ |
| g. | Number of maintenance programming personnel available | _ _ | _ _ |
| h. | Competing demands for maintenance programming personnel time | · _ | _ |
| i. | Lack of user interest in application system | _ | _ |
| j. | Application system run failures | | _ _ |
| k. | Lack of user understanding of application system | _ | _ _ |

| | | | | At | | All i | 111 | |
|-----------|---|-----------|--------|-------|-----|-------|-------|---|
| | | | Sca | Mino | r | | | |
| | | M i | inor | Probl | en | | | |
| | | Sonevi | hat Ma | jor | 1 | | | |
| | | Major Pro | oblem | 1 | - 1 | | | |
| | | | 1 5 | 1 4 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 1 1 | 1 |
| | | | 1 | .11 | | | 11 | 1 |
| 1. | Storage requirements of application system progr | ams | 1 | . | _ | | | 1 |
| m. | Processing time requirem | | 1 | ! ! | 1 | | !! | |
| | application system progr | ans | ! | .11 | 1- | | اا | |
| n. | Notivation of maintenanc programming personnel | € | 1 | . | !_ | | i i | 1 |
| ٥. | Forecasting of maintenan gramming personnel requi | | 1 | .11 | _ | | | 1 |
| ۴٠ | Maintenance programming productivity | | 1 | . | | | | 1 |
| 4. | System hardware & softwareliability | re | 1 | . | _ | | | |
| г. | Data integrity in applic system | ation | ! | . | _ | | | 1 |
| s. | Unrealistic user expecta | tions | 1 | . | _ | | | |
| t. | Adherence to programming standards in maintenance | | 1 | . | _ | | | 1 |
| u. | Management support of | | ! | 1.1 | . ! | | !! | 1 |
| | application system | | ١ | | !- | | · | |
| v. | Adequacy of application | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | !! | 1 |
| | system design specificat | ions | 1 | .11 | !- | | | 1 |
| w. | Budgetary pressures | | 1 | . | _ | | | |
| х. | Meeting scheduled cossit | wents | 1 | | _ | | | 1 |

| | | | | N OI | ie . | At | A11 |
|------------------------|-------|--------|----|--------|------|-------|-----|
| | | | | | it M | inor | |
| | | Mino | | Pro | olem | | 1 |
| | Sc | newhat | Ма | jor |] | | 1 |
| | Major | Probl | | | 1 | | 1 |
| | | | 5 | 4 | | 3 2 | 1 |
| Others (Please indicat | e): | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | ! ! | | _1_ | _ _ |
| | | 4 | | | 1 | | 1 |

3. Responding Organizations

Below is a list of the organizations that responded to the questionnaire.

- 1. American Automobile Association
- 2. Architects Limited
- 3. Atlantic Richfield Company
- 4. Avco Financial Services
- 5. Bankamericard Systems
- 6. Bank of America
- 7. Baskins and Robbins
- 8. Bell Industries
- 9. B.F. Goodrich Company
- 10. Brazilian Systems, Inc.
- 11. Burroughs--Management Services
- 12. Burroughs Corporation--Western Application Development Center
- 13. Byron Jackson Pump Division
- 14. City of Los Angeles
- 15. Coast Community College District
- 16. Firestone Tire and Rubber Company
- 17. Ford Motor Company
- 18. Franklin Computer Services
- 19. General Motors Corporation
- 20. Getty 0il Corporation
- 21. Gibraltar Savings and Loan Association
- 22. Glendale Federal Savings and Loan Association

- 23. Great Western Savings
- 24. Hawaiian Telephone
- 25. H.C. and D., Limited
- 26. Honeywell Information Systems
- 27. Hughes Aircraft
- 28. Hughes Airwest
- 29. Hughes Markets, Incorporated
- 30. Island Insurance
- 31. Knape and Vogt Manufacturing Company
- 32. Knudsen Corporation
- 33. Lever Brothers
- 34. Litton Industries
- 35. Lloyd's Bank of California
- 36. Los Angeles City Schools
- 37. Los Angeles County
- 38. Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
- 39. Los Angeles Times
- 40. Market Basket
- 41. May Company
- 42. NCR
- 43. Northrop Corporation
- 44. Occidental Life Insurance
- 45. Pennsylvania Life Insurance
- 46. Pertec
- 47. Petrobas
- 48. Price Waterhouse and Company

- 49. Revell Incorporated
- 50. San Fernando Board of Realtors
- 51. Security Pacific National Bank
- 52. Sistema and Computacao
- 53. Southern California Edison
- 54. Southern California Gas Company
- 55. State of California Department of Motor Vehicles
- 56. Sunkist Growers
- 57. Thrifty Stores
- 58. Tobias Kotzin
- 59. Transamerica
- 60. UCLA Administrative Center
- 61. UCLA Medical School
- 62. Union Oil Company
- 63. University of California
- 64. United California Bank
- 65. Van de Kamps
- 66. Veterans Administration
- 67. Walt Disney Productions
- 68. Western Airlines
- 69. Xerox Computer Services

4. Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their appreciation to the responding organizations and in particular to the Atlantic Richfield Company for data processing support.

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|--|--|
| Technical Report | . 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| Maintenance Questionnaire and List of Respondents. | Technical pet. |
| B.P. Lientz, E. Bowanson G. E. Tompkins | NOMO 14-75-C-0266 |
| Graduate School of Management University of California, Los Angeles | NR 049-345 |
| Information Systems Program Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Va. | 11/76 (I) Not 76 |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAKE & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office) | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) unclassified 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE |
| distribution of this document is unlimited | |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different in | rom Report) |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | |
| 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number | 0 |
| maintenance, survey, enhancements | |
| This paper contains the maintenance questionnaire organizations for a maintenance survey conducted | e and list of respondent |
| | |