

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

# THESIS

PROMOTION FACTORS FOR ENLISTED INFANTRY MARINES

by

Micah A. Steinpfad

June 2017

Thesis Advisor: Second Reader: Robert Koyak Chad Seagren

Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

REPORT	Form No	Approved OMB 5. 0704-0188				
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.						
1. AGENCY USE ONLY       2. REPORT DATE       3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED         (Leave blank)       June 2017       Master's thesis						
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDIN	G NUMBERS		
PROMOTION FACTORS FC	DR ENLISTED INFANTRY MARIN	NES				
<ul> <li>7. PERFORMING ORGANI Naval Postgraduate Schoo Monterey, CA 93943-500</li> </ul>	ZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRI bl 0	ESS(ES)	8. PERFOR ORGANIZA NUMBER	RMING ATION REPORT		
9. SPONSORING /MONITO ADDRESS(ES) N/A	RING AGENCY NAME(S) AND		10. SPONSO MONITOR REPORT N	ORING / ING AGENCY IUMBER		
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NO</b> official policy or position of th EP5-A.	<b>TES</b> The views expressed in this the Department of Defense or the U.S	esis are those of the second sec	he author and B number NF	do not reflect the PS. 2017.00011-IR-		
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT       12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE         Approved for public release       Distribution is unlimited						
13. ABSTRACT (maximum 200 words)						
The Marine Corps dedicates itself to ensuring quality retention and promotion. To accomplish this, we must analyze the effects of policy and the quality of Marines currently serving. This thesis considers data from 97,013 enlisted infantry Marines who entered the Marine Corps from 2001 to 2016 to determine which factors contribute toward promotion for the ranks of lance corporal, corporal, sergeant and staff sergeant. To assess which ranks proportionally promote more high-quality Marines, we compare two performance evaluation methods: proficiency and conduct marks and reporting senior and reviewing officer values.						
Our analysis of the data shows that the most important factors for promotion are conduct scores, reporting senior relative values, the number of deployments, combat fitness test (CFT) and physical fitness test (PFT) scores and zero adverse fitness reports. From the two performance evaluation methods we find that the Marine Corps promotes proportionally more high-quality Marines as reflected in fitness reports than with proficiency and conduct marks.						
Promoting and retaining the highest-performing Marines will ensure they are fully prepared to meet current and future challenges to national security. We must develop new methods for retaining more top- quality Marines to ensure their greatest probability of success.						
<b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Marine Corps, enlisted, promo trees, classification and regress reporting senior, reviewing off	14. SUBJECT TERMS15. NUMBER OFMarine Corps, enlisted, promotion, infantry, predictors, performance, fitness reports, rpart, trees, classification and regression tree, proportion, probability, quality, proficiency, conduct, reporting senior, reviewing officer15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12316. PRICE CODE					
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU						

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18

## Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.

# PROMOTION FACTORS FOR ENLISTED INFANTRY MARINES

Micah A. Steinpfad Major, United States Marine Corps B.S., United States Naval Academy, 2005

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

## MASTER OF SCIENCE IN OPERATIONS RESEARCH

from the

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL June 2017

Approved by:

Robert Koyak Thesis Advisor

Chad Seagren Second Reader

Patricia A. Jacobs Chair, Department of Operations Research

# ABSTRACT

The Marine Corps dedicates itself to ensuring quality retention and promotion. To accomplish this, we must analyze the effects of policy and the quality of Marines currently serving. This thesis considers data from 97,013 enlisted infantry Marines who entered the Marine Corps from 2001 to 2016 to determine which factors contribute toward promotion for the ranks of lance corporal, corporal, sergeant and staff sergeant. To assess which ranks proportionally promote more high-quality Marines, we compare two performance evaluation methods: proficiency and conduct marks and reporting senior and reviewing officer values.

Our analysis of the data shows that the most important factors for promotion are conduct scores, reporting senior relative values, the number of deployments, combat fitness test (CFT) and physical fitness test (PFT) scores and zero adverse fitness reports. From the two performance evaluation methods we find that the Marine Corps promotes proportionally more high-quality Marines as reflected in fitness reports than with proficiency and conduct marks.

Promoting and retaining the highest-performing Marines will ensure they are fully prepared to meet current and future challenges to national security. We must develop new methods for retaining more top-quality Marines to ensure their greatest probability of success.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUC	ΓΙΟΝ	1
	А.	BACK	GROUND	1
		1.	Backbone of the Marine Corps	1
		2.	<b>Ranks Associated with Proficiency and Conduct Marking</b>	g1
		3.	Proficiency and Conduct Marking	2
		4.	Ranks Associated with the Fitness Report Assessment	4
		5.	Fitness Report Evaluation Process	5
		6.	Master Brief Sheet and its Use on a Promotion Board	7
	B.	PURP	OSE OF THE THESIS	7
	C.	RESE	ARCH QUESTIONS	8
		1.	Primary Research Question	8
		2.	Secondary Research Questions	8
	D.	SCOP	E AND LIMITATIONS	8
		1.	Scope	8
		2.	Limitations	9
	Е.	ORGA	ANIZATION OF THE THESIS	9
II.	LIT	ERATUR	RE REVIEW	11
	A.	INTRO	ODUCTION	11
	B.	CENT	ER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES STUDY	11
	C.	GARZ	ZA STUDY	11
	D.	HOFF	MAN STUDY	12
	E.	LARG	ER STUDY	12
	F.	SUMN	/IARY	12
III.	DAT	A DESC	RIPTION	15
	A.	DATA	COLLECTION PROCESS	15
	B.	DATA	COMPOSITION	15
	C.	DATA	DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	16
	D.	GENE	CRAL RESTRICTIONS APPLIED TO EACH DATA SET	Г16
	E.	PRED	ICTOR VARIABLE DEVELOPMENT	17
	F.	METH	HOD	29
		1.	Interpreting the Tree Diagram	30
		2.	Classification Rate	31
		3.	Method Overview	32
IV.	RES	ULTS		33

	А.	LANCE CORPORALS (WITH PEBD 2001 TO 2011)	)33
		1. Classification and Regression Tree Prediction	with All
		Variables	
		2. Classification and Regression Tree without Pe Variables	rformance 35
		3. Proportion of Lance Corporals Promoted by	
	р	CODPODAL (WITH DEDD 2001 TO 2010)	
	В.	CORPORAL (WITH PEBD 2001 TO 2010)	
		1. Classification and Regression Tree Prediction	with All 40
		2 Classification and Regression Tree without Pe	
		2. Classification and Regression free without re Variables	
		3. Proportion of Corporals Promoted by Perform Ouadrant	nance 
	C.	SERGEANT (WITH PEBD 2001 TO 2007)	
		1. Classification and Regression Tree Prediction	with All
		2 Classification and Degraggion Tree without De	
		2. Classification and Regression Tree without Fe	48 A
		3. Proportion of Sergeants Promoted by Perform Ouadrant	ance 
	D.	STAFF SERGEANT (WITH PEBD 2001 TO 2004)	
	2.	1. Classification and Regression Tree Prediction	with All
		Variables	
		2. Classification and Regression Tree without Pe	rformance
		Variables	53
		<b>3. Proportion of Staff Sergeants Promoted by Pe</b>	rformance
		Quadrant	55
	Е.	RESULTS SUMMARY	57
V.	CON	CLUSIONS	59
	А.	OVERVIEW	59
	В.	CONCLUSIONS	59
		1. Research Objectives	60
		2. Research Questions	60
	C.	RECOMMENDATIONS	61
	D.	FUTURE WORK	62
APP	ENDIX	A. MARINE CORPS FITNESS REPORT TEMPLATI	E63
APP	ENDIX	B. MASTER BRIEF SHEET EXAMPLE	69

APPENDIX C. REPORTING SENIOR RELATIVE VALUE CHART.	71
APPENDIX D. ADDITIONAL CLASSIFICATION TREES	73
APPENDIX E. PROMOTION TABLES BY RANK	89
LIST OF REFERENCES	93
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	97

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Reviewing Officer "Christmas Tree." Source: USMC (2000)	6
Figure 2.	Histograms of Enlisted Infantry Marines' PFT Scores	20
Figure 3.	Histograms of Enlisted Infantry Marines' CFT Scores	21
Figure 4.	Histograms of Proficiency and Conduct Scores by Rank	22
Figure 5.	Histograms of Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer Values	23
Figure 6.	Histograms of General Technical Scores by Rank	24
Figure 7.	Histograms of Rifle Scores by Rank	25
Figure 8.	Histograms of Total Deployments by Rank	26
Figure 9.	Histograms of Negative and Neutral Waivers by Rank	27
Figure 10.	Histograms of Body Mass Index for Sergeants and Staff Sergeants	28
Figure 11.	Example Classification and Regression Tree	31
Figure 12.	Classification and Regression Tree with All Predictors Included for Promotion from Lance Corporal to Corporal (2001 to 2011)	34
Figure 13.	Classification and Regression Tree with Proficiency and Conduct Scores Removed as Predictors for Promotion from Lance Corporal to Corporal (2001 to 2011)	36
Figure 14.	Lance Corporal Promotion Proportion by Proficiency Quadrant	39
Figure 15.	Classification and Regression Tree with All Predictors Included for Promotion from Corporal to Sergeant (2001 to 2010)	41
Figure 16.	Classification and Regression Tree with Proficiency and Conduct Scores Removed as Predictors for Promotion from Corporal to Sergeant (2001 to 2010)	43
Figure 17.	Corporal Promotion Proportion Proficiency Trends by Quadrant and Year	45
Figure 18.	Classification and Regression Tree with All Predictors Included for Promotion from Sergeant to Staff Sergeant (2001 to 2007)	47

Figure 19.	Classification and Regression Tree with Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer Values Removed as Predictors for Promotion from Sergeant to Staff Sergeant (2001 to 2007)
Figure 20.	Sergeant Promotion Proportion Trends by Reporting Senior Quadrants
Figure 21.	Sergeant Promotion Proportions Trends by Reviewing Officer Quadrants
Figure 22.	Classification and Regression Tree with All Predictors Included for Promotion from Staff Sergeant to Gunnery Sergeant (2001 to 2004)53
Figure 23.	Classification and Regression Tree with Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer Values Removed as Predictors for Promotion from Staff Sergeant to Gunnery Sergeant (2001 to 2004)
Figure 24.	Staff Sergeant Promotion Trends by Reporting Senior Quadrants56
Figure 25.	Staff Sergeant Promotion Trends by Reviewing Officer Quadrants56
Figure 26.	USMC Promotion Proportions by Performance and Rank
Figure 27.	Marine Corps Fitness Report Template. Source: USMC (2015a)67
Figure 28.	Marine Corps Master Brief Sheet Example. Source: USMC (2013)69
Figure 29.	Relative Value Chart. Source: USMC (2015a)71
Figure 30.	CART for Lance Corporals with All Predictors73
Figure 31.	CART for Lance Corporals without Performance Evaluation Predictors
Figure 32.	CART for Lance Corporals without Performance or Deployment Predictors
Figure 33.	CART for Lance Corporals without CFT Scores76
Figure 34.	CART for Corporals with All Predictors77
Figure 35.	CART for Corporals without Performance Evaluation Predictors78
Figure 36.	CART for Corporals without Performance or Deployment Predictors79
Figure 37.	CART for Corporals without CFT Predictors80
Figure 38.	CART for Sergeants with All Predictors81

Figure 39.	CART for Sergeants without Performance Evaluation Predictors	82
Figure 40.	CART for Sergeants without Performance Evaluation or Deployment Predictors	83
Figure 41.	CART for Sergeants without CFT Predictors	84
Figure 42.	CART for Staff Sergeants with All Predictors	85
Figure 43.	CART for Sergeants without Performance Evaluation Predictors	86
Figure 44.	CART for Staff Sergeants without Performance Evaluation or Deployment Predictors	87
Figure 45.	CART for Staff Sergeants without CFT Predictors	88

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Standards of Proficiency. Source: USMC (2000)	3
Table 2.	Standards of Conduct. Source: USMC (2000)	4
Table 3.	USMC Enlisted Grade Structure. Source: USMC (2000)	5
Table 4.	Variables Used for Preparing Predictor Categories	15
Table 5.	Analyses Data Composition by PEBD and Rank	17
Table 6.	Five Categories of Awards Used for Classification	18
Table 7.	Enlisted Infantry Award Categories by Rank	19
Table 8.	Weight Control for Lance Corporals and Corporals	27
Table 9.	Binary Response Variable for Promotion Beyond Current Rank	28
Table 10.	Analyses Variables Used to Predict Promotion	29
Table 11.	Test Set Estimated Correct Classification for Lance Corporal Retention and Promotion	37
Table 12.	Proportion of Lance Corporals Promoted Annually by Quadrant	38
Table 13.	Test Set Estimated Correct Classification for Corporal Retention and Promotion	44
Table 14.	Proportion of Corporals Promoted Annually by Quadrant	45
Table 15.	Test Set Estimated Correct Classification for Sergeant Retention and Promotion	50
Table 16.	Proportion of Sergeants Promoted Annually by Quadrant	50
Table 17.	Test Set Estimated Correct Classification for Staff Sergeant Retention and Promotion	55
Table 18.	Staff Sergeant Promotion Proportions by Quadrant	55
Table 19.	Important Factors for Marines that Promote and Fail to Promote by Rank	57
Table 20.	Lance Corporal Proficiency and Conduct Range Proportions by PEBD Year	89

Table 21.	Corporal Proficiency and Conduct Range Proportions by PEBD Year	90
Table 22.	Sergeant Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer Value Proportions by PEBD Year	91
Table 23.	Staff Sergeant Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer Value Proportions by PEBD Year	92

# LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1stSgt	first sergeant
CART	classification and regression tree
CFT	combat fitness test
CPL	corporal
FITREP	fitness report
GySgt	gunnery sergeant
GT	general technical
IRAM	individual records administration manual
IST	initial strength test
LCpl	lance corporal
MBS	master brief sheet
MGySgt	master gunnery sergeant
MMSB	Manpower Management Support Branch
MOS	military occupational specialty
MSgt	master sergeant
PEBD	pay entry base date
PES	performance evaluation system
PFC	private first class
PFT	physical fitness test
PII	personally identifiable information
PVT	private
RO	reviewing officer
ROCV	reviewing officer cumulative value
RS	reporting senior
RSRV	reporting senior relative value
Sgt	sergeant
SgtMaj	sergeant major
SSgt	staff sergeant
TFDW	Total Force Data Warehouse
USMC	United States Marine Corps xvii

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Promoting and retaining high-quality Marines is a priority for the Marine Corps. However, due to periods of growth during two major conflicts, quality has given way to quantity to fulfill the needs of the Marine Corps. As conflicts draw down, the Marine Corps shifts from promoting and retaining quantity to high-quality Marines. Throughout this thesis, we use classification trees to determine which factors influence probabilities of promotion for enlisted infantry Marines across four ranks: lance corporal to corporal, corporal to sergeant, sergeant to staff sergeant, and staff sergeant to gunnery sergeant. We then identify the proportion of Marines promoted by performance levels (quadrants) and the probability of promoting from each quadrant. Finally, the thesis compares two performance evaluation methods (proficiency and conduct marks vs. fitness report values) to determine which method proportionally results in the promotion of more top performing Marines.

From Table ES-1, we observe the three important factors that influence probabilities for Marines promoting and not promoting (indicated by the level at which the factor splits into two groups or branches). The dominant categories are performance evaluation (proficiency and conduct marks, reporting senior and reviewing officer values), the number of deployments, physical fitness (combat fitness test [CFT] and physical fitness test [PFT] scores), adverse fitness reports and the number of personal awards. For the ranks of lance corporal and staff sergeant, we observe median conduct marks and reporting senior relative values, respectively, to be the most important factors throughout the classification trees. While for corporals and sergeants, we observe that the number of deployments are the most important factors. Across all of the ranks we observe median CFT scores branch between 283 and 290 while median PFT scores branch from 239 to 265. For the ranks of lance corporal and corporal, the median proficiency and conduct scores both branch at the 4.3 mark. For sergeant and staff sergeant fitness reports, we observe that the median reporting senior relative values branch at 90 (in a range from 80 to 100) and median reviewing officer cumulative values branch at values between .17 and 0.44 (range -5 to 4). Both of these indicate belowaverage and above-average groups. We also observe that adverse fitness reports significantly decrease probabilities for promotion.

Conclusions: Most Important Factors for Promotion									
Rank		Lance Corporal		Corporal		Sergeant		Staff Sergeant	
(# Marines)		(31312)	)	(26840)		(7893)		(773)	
Greatest	81%	Conduct	> 4.3	49% Deployment	> .5	43% Deployments	> .5	62% RSRV	> 90
Probability for	86%	PFT	> 240	95% Conduct	> 4.3	58% RSRV	> 90	69% CFT	> 290
Promoting	96%	Deploymer	nts > .5			62% Adverse	< .5	78% Deployments	> 4
Least	53%	Conduct	< 4.3	24% Deployments	< .5	3% Deployments	< .5	18% RSRV	< 90
Probability for	36%	Conduct	< 4.2	15% Conduct	<4.5	21% RSRV	< 90	8% CFT	< 292
Promoting	20%	PFT	< 263	11% PFT	< 265	5% Adverse	> .5	2% Adverse	>.5

 Table ES-1.
 Important Factors that Contribute to Promotion by Rank

We then group each Marine by rank into four performance levels (quadrants) by using proficiency, conduct, reporting senior relative values and reviewing officer cumulative values. From these we calculate the proportion of Marines promoted from each performance quadrant. As observed in Figure ES-2, we note that the Marine Corps promotes a low proportion of enlisted infantry Marines from the top performing quadrants when using proficiency and conduct for performance evaluation. Conversely, the Marine Corps promotes proportionally more top-performing Marines when using reporting senior relative values and reviewing officer cumulative values for performance evaluation.



Figure ES-2. Proportions of Enlisted Infantry Marines Promoted

Next we calculate estimated probabilities from our models to classify each Marine as either a promoting or not promoting for each rank. We first randomly select 10% of Marines (the test set) and save them for later. We then run the classification model with the 90% of Marines (training set) to create the model. Then we determine a threshold between the Marines that promote and do not promote. We then compute truth tables using our test set to determine the level at which our model accurately classified the Marines in the test set. We do this with two models for each rank (with performance and without performance factors) to determine the necessity of having performance included.

#### A. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

To ensure the Marine Corps retains and promotes the highest-quality Marines, it is necessary to identify them from among the top-performing quadrants. We observe that the proportion of top-performing Marines getting out of the Marine Corps is higher than reasonably expected. We believe that a reasonable proportional split for quality retention and promotion is achieved through a quadrant breakdown of 50%, 30%, 18% and 2% of Marines from high, mid-high, mid-low and low- performing quadrants respectively. Putting 80% of Marines above average and allowing 20% to retain and promote from the below-average Marines. Through our analysis, we discover that it is not possible to split the data evenly into quadrants, indicating that the range of 0 to 5 is not being utilized fully and that precise guidance will create more usable and distinguishable performance categories.

From the models, we observe important branching from the year of entry as a result of wartime structure growth. This indicates probabilistic dominance created from widening the promotions zones (a result of degrading every factor for all Marines in favor of increasing the number of eligible Marines). We believe that a better method of retention is to identify top-performing Marines and incrementally influencing their retention options when needed. Further, we believe that the Marine Corps should identify Marines that require less oversight (by using lifetime data for each Marine) to retain and promote those that consistently demonstrate the ability to self-develop.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My deepest gratitude goes to my wife and children for their patience and support while working on this thesis. Secondly, I would like to thank my mother for her consistent hand in guiding me through life. I am eternally grateful to the Marine Corps for allowing me the opportunity to come to Monterey and develop a new mindset and different worldview. To the enlisted Marines that I have served, thank you for all your hard work. For her diligent effort and assistance in getting the IRB approval, I would like to thank Rikki Nguyen. To Captain Taylor Williams, Captain William Wathen and Captain Emily Monaghan, thank you for helping me get started and pointed in the right direction. I would also like to thank Mr. Tim Johnson and Mr. Chris Blais from the Total Force Data Warehouse and Ms. Doreen Marucci from the Performance Evaluation Section for supplying the data needed to conduct this thesis.

I would also like to thank my advisor, Professor Koyak, and second reader, Major Chad Seagren, for their guidance, steady tempo and considerable patience during this process. A special thanks also goes to the Naval Postgraduate School staff for their education and development in preparing me for continued support to the United States Marine Corps.

Lastly I would like to thank my cohort for their consistent and reliable help throughout my time at NPS and in compiling my thesis. Tony, your selflessness and moral foundation have helped keep me focused on what matters (Marines). Phil, your example as an outstanding father, Marine and human being will carry with me throughout life. Bobby, thank you for being a reliable friend, study partner and sounding board for my work here. Sam, you literally got me through my refresher quarter and you are my operations research sounding board. Scott, your teamwork and mission accomplishment approach have been a godsend. Elle, thank you for never being too busy to help with computer programing, debugging or new program in R. Kat. Zach and Jimmy, you have all shown considerable patience over the last two years and I thank you for all your help; I consider you all great friends. Dare, your selfless contribution to our cohort will always be remembered. To the rest of the cohort, thank you for all your help.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Marines have historically possessed an innate drive to succeed, to excel in all that they do, including winning in combat. We will sustain this trait and ensure this drive to succeed, excel, and win continues to define our Corps by maintaining a force of the highest quality, which is smart, resilient, fit, disciplined, and able to overcome adversity. Recruiting and retaining quality men and women of character in today's Corps is our friendly center of gravity and our highest priority.

—Robert B. Neller (2016)

#### A. BACKGROUND

#### **1. Backbone of the Marine Corps**

The backbone of the United States Marine Corps (USMC) is the infantry battalion. The primary mission of the infantry battalion is "to locate, close with, and destroy the enemy by fire and maneuver or to repel his assault by fire and close combat" (United States Marine Corps [USMC] 2000). An infantry battalion is composed primarily of enlisted infantry Marines. Within an infantry battalion there are five companies: a Headquarters and Service Company, a Weapons Company and three Infantry Line Companies. Within each line company there are about 176 enlisted Marines ranging in ranks from private (PVT) to first sergeant (1stSgt). Each enlisted Marine's performance is evaluated routinely and recorded. In addition to performance evaluations Marines complete training in accordance with their military occupational specialty (MOS). Within the infantry there are multiple MOSs. During initial training an infantry Marine holds the 0300 MOS (basic infantryman). Upon completion of the relevant initial training, a Marine will check into his first infantry battalion and possess one of the following MOSs: 0311-infantryman, 0317-scout sniper, 0331-machinegunner, 0341-mortarman, 0351-infantry assaultmen, or 0352 antitank missilemen.

#### 2. Ranks Associated with Proficiency and Conduct Marking

In the USMC each enlisted Marine has both a rank and a paygrade. The ranks range from private to sergeant major. An enlisted Marine first enters the Marine Corps at the rank of private and after attending boot camp a Marine will typically promote to private first class (PFC). Within their first year in an infantry battalion a Marine will likely be promoted to the rank of lance corporal (LCpl). With a minimum time in grade of twelve months a lance corporal can promote to corporal (Cpl). Ideally a Marine will have deployed at least one time within a battalion before their first enlistment has expired.

An enlistment is the contractual period for which a person signs with the Marine Corps committing him or her to service. A typical first enlistment period is four to six years depending on the needs of the Marine Corps. Within a year of the enlistment period expiring a Marine is eligible to submit a reenlistment package to request a second enlistment.

During the entirety of the first enlistment, but prior to promoting to sergeant (Sgt), a Marine receives a proficiency and conduct assessment. Once a Marine meets minimum time in service of at least 24 months and time in grade as a corporal of at least 12 months, the Marine is eligible for promotion to the rank of sergeant.

#### 3. Proficiency and Conduct Marking

An enlisted Marine from the rank of private through corporal receives a proficiency and conduct assessment semi-annually on 31 January and 31 July. Each assessment score ranges from 0.0 to 5.0 in accordance with Tables 1 and 2 taken from the Individual Records Administration Manual (IRAM) (USMC 2000).

## a. **Proficiency Assessment**

Proficiency marks are provided by the commander to evaluate "the whole Marine concept" which includes attributes such as "mission accomplishment, leadership, intellect and wisdom, individual character, physical fitness, personal appearance and completion of professional military education" (USMC 2000). The proficiency mark is a portion of the evaluation score that factors into a Marine's composite score for the ranks lance corporal and corporal. A Marine receives a percentage of points based on his or her proficiency score, with higher scores indicating better performance.

MARK	CORRESPONDING ADJECTIVE RATING	STANDARDS OF PROFICIENCY
0.0 to 1.9	Unacceptable	Does unacceptable work in most duties, generally undependable; needs considerable assistance and close supervision on even the simplest assignment.
2.0 to 2.9	Unsatisfactory	Does acceptable work in some of the duties but cannot be depended upon. Needs assistance and close supervision on all but the simplest assignments.
3.0 to 3.9	Below Average	Handles routine matters acceptably but needs close supervision when performing duties not of a routine nature.
4.0 to 4.4	Average	Can be depended upon to discharge regular dutics thoroughly and competently but usually needs assistance in dealing with problems not of a routine nature.
4.5 to 4.8	Excellent	Does excellent work in all regular duties, but needs assistance in dealing with extremely difficult or unusual assignments.
4.9 to 5.0	Outstanding	Does superior work in all duties. Even extremely difficult or unusual assignments can be given with full confidence that they will be handled in a thoroughly competent manner.

Table 1. Standards of Proficiency. Source: USMC (2000).

#### b. Conduct Assessment

Conduct marks are also provided by the commander to evaluate a Marine's "conformance to accepted usage and custom and positive contributions to the Marine Corps" (USMC 2000). Conduct markings also include the commander's assessment of a Marine's "general bearing, attitude, interest, reliability, courtesy, cooperation, obedience, adaptability" (USMC 2000). The conduct mark is an additional factor that comprises a Marine's composite score.

MARK	CORRESPONDING ADJECTIVE RATING	STANDARDS OF CONDUCT	
0.0 to 1.9	Unacceptable	Habitual offender. Conviction by general, special, or more than one summary court-martial. Give a mark of "0" upon declaration of desertion. Ordered to confinement pursuant to sentence of court-martial. Two or more punitive reductions in grade.	
2.0 to 2.9	Unsatisfactory	No special court-martial. Not more than one summary court-martial. Not more than two nonjudicial punishments. Punitive reduction in grade.	
3.0 to 3.9	Below Average	No court-martial. Not more than one nonjudicial punishment. No favorable impression of the qualities listed in paragraph 4007.6a. Failure to make satisfactory progress while assigned to the weight control or military appearance program. Conduct such as not to impair appreciably one's usefulness or the efficiency of the command, but conduct not sufficient to merit an honorable discharge.	
4.0 to 4.4	Average	No offenses. No unfavorable impressions as to attitude, interests, cooperation, obedience, after-effects of intemperance, courtesy and consideration, and observance of regulations.	
4.5 to 4.8	Excellent	No offense. Positive favorable impressions of the qualities listed in paragraph 4007.6a. Demonstrates reliability, good influence, sobriety, obedience, and industry.	
4.9 to 5.0	Outstanding	No offenses. Exhibits to an outstanding degree the qualities listed in paragraph 4007.6a. Observes spirit as well as letter of orders and regulations. Demonstrates positive effect on others by example and persuasion.	

Table 2. Standards of Conduct. Source: USMC (2000).

# 4. Ranks Associated with the Fitness Report Assessment

Once a Marine promotes to the rank of sergeant, that Marine is subject to the performance evaluation system (PES) which uses the fitness report (FITREP) for performance evaluation. To achieve the rank of sergeant a Marine typically has at least four years-time in service, two deployments and is the early stages of their second

enlistment. In an infantry battalion a sergeant generally holds the billet of squad leader and is in charge of the daily mentoring, development, and leadership of the thirteen Marines in his squad. The next opportunity for promotion is to the rank of staff sergeant (SSgt). A staff sergeant typically has eight to twelve years of experience in the Marines Corps and holds the billet of platoon sergeant, who advises the platoon commander and supervises the development of approximately 40 Marines within the infantry platoon. After about two deployments in an infantry battalion a staff sergeant will receive professional military education at a formal school house and be ready to promote to the rank of gunnery sergeant (GySgt). Typically, a gunnery sergeant has twelve to sixteen years of service and advises the company commander on the tactical employment of unit. The next opportunity to promote is to either master sergeant (MSgt) or first sergeant (1stSgt). The highest ranks in an infantry battalion are a master gunnery sergeant (MGySgt) promoted from master sergeant or a sergeant major (SgtMaj) promoted from the first sergeant rank. For the ranks of sergeant and above performance is evaluated using fitness reports in the performance evaluation system (PES). From Table 3 we observe the full rank structure in seniority descending order.

Grade		Pay Grade
Sergeant Major	(SgtMaj)	E-9
Master Gunnery Sergeant	(MGySgt)	· E-9
First Sergeant	(1stSgt)	E-8
Master Sergeant	(MSgt)	E-8
Gunnery Sergeant	(GySgt)	E-7
Staff Sergeant	(SSgt)	E-6
Sergeant	(Sgt)	E-5
Corporal	(Cpl)	E-4
Lance Corporal	(LCpl)	E-3
Private First Class	(PFC)	E-2
Private	(Pvt)	E-1

Table 3. USMC Enlisted Grade Structure. Source: USMC (2000).

#### 5. Fitness Report Evaluation Process

The fitness report is a performance evaluation that each Marine above the rank of sergeant receives annually or during periods of significant change. Typically, a Marine will receive an observed fitness report for periods greater than three months by their immediate supervisor, referred to as the reporting senior (RS). In addition to the RS evaluation, each Marine also receives evaluation on the same fitness report from a reviewing officer (RO). For example, a sergeant whose billet is that of squad leader will have an RS that is the platoon commander and an RO who is the company commander. If either the RS or RO have insufficient observation time (less than three months) the fitness report will still be completed but marked as not observed due to insufficient observation time for that evaluator.

The fitness report is composed of the following sections: administrative, billet description, billet accomplishments, mission accomplishment, individual character, leadership, intellect and wisdom, fulfillment of evaluation, RS directed comments, certification, RO comments and an RO ordinal tree "Christmas Tree" assessment. In addition to the directed comments from the RS and RO each fitness report creates an RS relative cumulative value (RSRV) and an RO cumulative value (ROCV). The RSRV ranges from 80 to 100. It is broken into thirds on the master brief sheet (MBS) to show across all reports where each Marine falls out against their peers as being above, with, or below others in standing. The ROCV uses the RO "Christmas Tree" which has values one to eight with one being unsatisfactory and eight being eminently qualified. Figure 1 shows a blank RO "Christmas Tree" used for computing the ROCV. A Marine's overall ROCV will also break into thirds; above, with or below their peers. The ideal Marine is above peers from his or her RS and above peers from his or her RO.



Figure 1. Reviewing Officer "Christmas Tree." Source: USMC (2000).

#### 6. Master Brief Sheet and its Use on a Promotion Board

The master brief sheet is a document that captures each Marine's performance profile and includes all fitness reports, RSRV, ROCV and how each Marine compares to his or her peers. The breakdown of where a Marine falls out against their peers allows members of the promotion board to quickly brief the Marine's performance in the twominute window allocated for each Marine. Additional information that will briefed is combat fitness test (CFT) score, physical fitness test (PFT) score, number of awards, number of deployments, leadership billets, rifle qualification, primary military education completed, additional schools attended, adverse information, and any additional material the Marine may have submitted to the board. Ultimately the master brief sheet allows a member of a promotion board to quickly assess the performance of a Marine by categorizing their performance quickly.

#### **B. PURPOSE OF THE THESIS**

An enlisted Marine is evaluated under two different performance evaluation systems. Although the performance evaluation system is necessary and efficient it is important for individual Marines to know and fully understand what their chances of promoting are prior to a promotion board. For the first few years Marines are evaluated by receiving proficiency and conduct marks which contribute toward a composite score. After promoting to sergeant a Marine receives fitness reports in accordance with the performance evaluation system and when eligible will be briefed on a promotion board. The Marine Corps tracks information on each Marine for promotion and retention purposes.

The purpose of this thesis is to provide the Marine Corps an alternate perspective for viewing quality (i.e., the proportion of quality Marines from each of four quadrants). We also seek to provide enlisted infantry Marines and their leadership an understanding of how individual factors affect the likelihood of retention and promotion. Classification and regression trees can identify important factors and their associated levels that contribute to increasing probabilities of promotion for each rank. Gaining a deeper understanding of factor influence will show the results that policy has developed. Understanding factor importance will also provide enlisted infantry Marines with the information they should know about what the Marine Corps requires of them in order to promote at each rank.

#### C. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

## 1. Primary Research Question

What variables are important factors in predicting promotion for enlisted infantry Marines to corporal, sergeant, staff sergeant and gunnery sergeant?

#### 2. Secondary Research Questions

What proportion of Marines does the Marine Corps promote from the bottom, lower-middle, upper-middle and top fourths by rank and year with respect to proficiency and conduct scores and reporting senior relative values and reviewing officer cumulative values?

How do proficiency and conduct evaluations compare to the reporting senior relative values and reviewing officer cumulative values as predictors for retention and promotion.

#### D. SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

#### 1. Scope

The scope of this research includes a review of existing performance and promotion directives, a statistical review of the data from the Total Force Data Warehouse (TFDW) and the Manpower Management Support Branch (MMSB), a detailed description of the data handling method used, the method used for factor prediction, the results of the classification trees and recommendations based off the results. We use four ranks for the base of the study; lance corporal, corporal, sergeant and staff sergeant. The outcome variable is promotion to subsequent rank.

#### 2. Limitations

The period of research spans the years 2001 to 2016 and during that time some policies have changed; namely the CFT was initiated en masse in 2009. Since half of the data collected has missing values for the dates prior to 2009 the method for interpretation uses tree analysis. Existing regression models do not handle missing data very well, due to this limitation some observations were discarded.

# E. ORGANIZATION OF THE THESIS

This thesis is organized into five chapters. Chapter I provides a background overview and the purpose of this thesis. Chapter II is a literature review which focuses on methods used for classifying promotions using performance measures. Chapter III discusses the data collection and cleaning process and the analysis methods used throughout the study. Chapter IV details the results from the study by rank. Chapter V outlines conclusions, recommendations and future work.
# II. LITERATURE REVIEW

#### A. INTRODUCTION

While a number of papers have been written about officer promotion predictors and retention in the United States Marine Corps, few have been done for the enlisted side. A Center for Naval Analyses (CNA) study (Clemens et al. 2012) takes a wide view of officer retention and their associated significant prediction factors toward promotion. Garza (2014) identifies individual factors that become significant predictors during the career designation boards for junior officers. Hoffman (2008) specifically looks at predictors for promotion of field grade officers. Larger (2016) provides a succinct overview of promotion methods used across the military and through industry which lays a foundation for viewing proportional performance promotions. We discuss each of these studies separately in the following sections. It should be noted that none of these studies have considered analysis for the USMC enlisted community.

# B. CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES STUDY

The study of Clemens et al. (2012) on the Marine Corps' officer fitness report system analyzes the fitness report system to answer questions about fitness report inflation, changes to the process due to experience, the significance of the reporting senior and reviewing officer values over time, verbal and numeric marking comparisons and processes for improvement. The report finds that the individual fitness report marks and verbal comments are consistent to one another. It also concludes that those promoted are generally consistent with higher reporting senior and reviewing officer marks.

# C. GARZA STUDY

Garza (2014) applies a probit regression model to predict the probability of a Marine being career designated. He finds that a Marine's reviewing officer relative value average is consistently the most significant predictor of career designation. He also finds that the number of combat deployments did not produce significant prediction results toward career designation. His analysis considers 6,732 officers from the 2010 career designation board. The prediction model used 96 explanatory variables pulled from three separate Marine Corps databases.

## D. HOFFMAN STUDY

Hoffman's (2008) thesis considers factors that predict an officer's probability for promotion to major, lieutenant colonel, and colonel in the Marine Corps. The author uses data from the Total Force Data Warehouse (TFDW) and the Manpower Management Support Branch (MMSB) which results in 56 prediction variables across three ranks. The author analyzes data from 1,435 officers that were ready for promotion ("in zone") during fiscal year 2008. Hoffman finds that the results vary among the three ranks. For major the significant predictors for promotion are marital status, PFT, water qualification, reporting senior value, reviewing officer value, personal awards and billet assignments. Significant predictors for lieutenant colonel promotion include the same predictors for promotion to major as well as commissioning source. For promotion to colonel duration of time as a major, commissioning program, reporting senior relative value average, reviewing officer average and standard deviation and billet assignment proved significant.

## E. LARGER STUDY

Lager's (2016) study of junior enlisted Marines has an interesting literature review that covers multiple promotion methods. His review considers internal labor markets with "Firm-Specific Human Capital" (specifically "limited entry and exit points" and "wage allocation") and identifies a promotion method used for corporate organizations known as the "tournament model." This model promotes individuals on a competitive premise from amongst performance tiers. While the "tournament model" appeals to an objective performance based promotion process it can also reduce cooperation amongst individuals. This particular model reflects the promotion process observed in the Marine Corps. For a full survey of this literature see Larger 2016.

## F. SUMMARY

While there has been substantial work on identifying prediction variables for Marine Corps officer promotion, analyses of promotion of enlisted Marines are sparse. Binary regression models are commonly used for identifying significant factors toward promotion. The common significant predictors from the above mentioned studies are fitness report scores, combat fitness test scores, physical fitness test scores, number of combat deployments, type of billet assignments and awards. Analysis across multiple cohorts has been conducted for officer ranks but not for those of the enlisted community. We combine the efforts and methods used in predicting officer promotion to analyze similar conditions for the enlisted infantry community. We then compare proportional performance promotions using a tournament style model.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **III. DATA DESCRIPTION**

#### A. DATA COLLECTION PROCESS

We retrieved the data for the thesis research from the Total Force Data Warehouse (TFDW) and Manpower Management Support Branch (MMSB). The data retrieval process required approval from the Naval Postgraduate School's Institutional Review Board and the USMC Institutional Review Board to preserve personally identifiable information (PII). To further protect each Marine's identity TFDW generated an individual randomized number, which is used to synchronize the two data sources. We protect the data on a secure network with limited access.

## **B. DATA COMPOSITION**

We use data from only enlisted infantry Marines entering the Marine Corps from 2001 to 2016. The data consists of records from 97,013 male Marines (historically an allmale force) and contains 172 variables. We segment the data by rank with a focus on promotion from lance corporal to corporal, corporal to sergeant, sergeant to staff sergeant and staff sergeant to gunnery sergeant. Table 4 lists the predictor variable that are used in this study.

Variables	Brief Description of Variables
ID	Randomly generated identification number used to combine data from two systems
Current Rank	Ranks used for analyses (Lance Corporal, Corporal, Sergeant, Staff Sergeant)
Pay Entry Base Date	Date a Marine began enlistment into USMC
Next Rank	Next rank a Marine earned
Next Rank Date	Date the Marine picked up the next rank
Proficiency Value	Semi annual Proficiency grade for Marines below rank of Sergeant
Conduct Value	Semi annual Conduct grade for Marines below rank of Sergeant
Reporting Senior Relative Value	Marines FITREP Value from their immediate Supervisor
Reviewing Officer Cumulative Value	Marines FITREP Value from their secondary Supervisor
Physical Fitness Test Scores	Annual physical test: 3 mile run, pull-ups, and sit-ups in two minutes
Combat Fitness Test Score	Annual physical test: Manuever Under Fire, Movement to Contact, Ammo Can Lift
Rifle Qualification Score	Annual rifle qualification (Marksman, Sharpshooter, Expert, UNK)
End of Active Service (EAS) date	Date a Marine terminated contract with the Marine Corps
Awards	Type and Number of Awards a Marine has earned
Waivers	Waivers required for each Marine upon entry into USMC
Deployment	The number of deployments a Marine has participated in
GT scores	General Technical score from the ASVAB

Table 4. Variables Used for Preparing Predictor Categories

## C. DATA DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

To prepare the data for use in estimating classification models we create four data sets, aligned to the four promotion steps of interest, with each Marine represented as one record. Because each Marine has multiple values for some factors it is necessary to consolidate them into a single value. For instance, each Marine has multiple PFT scores because it is an annually graded event.

With performance and physical fitness values across multiple occasions the median is used to summarize a Marine's overall value. The median is often preferred to the mean as it is less prone to the effects of outliers. For example, if a Marine has a series of strong fitness reports and one negative report, the median is less affected by the negative report than the mean. This method is applied to the performance and fitness values of all Marines.

#### D. GENERAL RESTRICTIONS APPLIED TO EACH DATA SET

For each rank considered (lance corporal, corporal, sergeant and staff sergeant) we study only those Marines that have been in the Marine Corps long enough to be a candidate for promotion. For instance, a sergeant with only one year in grade has not had enough time to be eligible for promotion. To prevent these Marines from skewing the data we exclude them from the analysis. A result of this process is that the lance corporal data set includes those Marines that entered the Marine Corps from 2001 to 2011, corporals from 2001 to 2010, sergeants from 2001 to 2007 and staff sergeants from 2001 to 2004.

It also is necessary to ensure that data inclusion is restricted to events that led up to each promotion board but not future information. We do this to prevent entries such as fitness reports values from a Marine's time as a staff sergeant from being included in the prediction model for promotion to sergeant. With the data partitioned by rank, and only applicable entries included for those with enough time in grade, we then prepare the variables for use in our classification model.

## E. PREDICTOR VARIABLE DEVELOPMENT

All predictor variables are calculated using software from the R statistical programing language (R Core Team 2016) and we use the following R packages for data cleaning: **plyr** (Wickham 2011), **dplyr** (Wickham et al. 2016), and **tidyr** (Wickham 2011). We use these three packages for data cleaning purposes, specifically removing duplicate data entries, and for providing median outputs from multiple observations.

We extract the year of entry from each Marines Pay Entry Base Date (PEBD) and we use it as a variable to account for force structure changes in the Marine Corps from 2001 to 2011. Table 5 shows the number of enlisted Marines by rank and year using their PEBD. Table 5 shows a decreasing trend in the number of Marines by rank. This is a result of the data collection process which includes only Marines joining the Marine Corps after 2001. The final years listed by rank are a sample vice the entire rank population due to data trimming in the later years to ensure that each Marine has had the opportunity to be eligible to promote. We exclude analysis from Marines that entered after 2011 as a result of promotion ineligibility.

<b>Total Marines in Analyses by PEBD Year and Rank</b>										
PEBD Year	LCPL	CPL	<u>SGT</u>	SSGT						
2001	2446	2976	1207	364						
2002	4081	3890	1592	269						
2003	4572	3930	1872	119						
2004	3758	3508	1796	45						
2005	3398	2910	982							
2006	1912	2060	308							
2007	2835	2798	110							
2008	3281	2839								
2009	3019	1530								
2010	1668	355								
2011	341									

Table 5. Analyses Data Composition by PEBD and Rank

Next we consolidate the awards variable from more than 40 types of awards into five categories. We create five individual category variables according to whether a Marine received a purple heart, high personal award, personal award, combat distinguishing device, or combat action ribbon. A purple heart is typically received for being wounded in combat. The high personal award category is the composition of all awards at the level of bronze star and above. Personal awards are mostly those awards at commendation and achievement level. Table 6 shows the breakdown of each of these awards. The combat distinguishing device is earned typically for valorous action and the combat action ribbon is earned for executing duties during a period of physical combat.

It is possible for a Marine to receive more than one of these awards. Each of the five category variables records the number of the respective awards received. For example, a Marine with two personal awards receives a value of "2" under the P\_AWD variable.

"HP_AWD'	' <u>High Personal Award</u>
	Navy Cross
	Distinguished Service Medal
	Silver Star
	Navy and Marine Corps Medal
	Bronze Star
	Defense Meritorious Service
	Air Medal
"P_AWD"	Personal Award
	Meritorious Service Medal
	Joint Commendation
	Service Commendation Medal
	Joint Achievement Medal
	Service Achievement Medal
"PH"	Purple Heart
	<u></u>
"CAR"	Combat Action Ribbon
"V"	Combat Distinguishing Device

Table 6. Five Categories of Awards Used for Classification

A quick statistical analysis of the number of awards earned by rank, as detailed in Table 7, reveals an interesting finding at the rank of lance corporal. When comparing the number of personal awards against the number of purple hearts received we find that the ratio for lance corporal is 23% vice the 4% for staff sergeant. While purple hearts become less frequent with increasing rank, personal awards become more frequent. We also observe that staff sergeant "High Personal Awards" are received four times more often than for any other rank.

Enlisted Infantry Awards by Rank											
(Total Marines in Data Set)	Lance Corporal (31312)		Corp	oral (26840)	Serg	eant (7893)	Staff Sergeant(773)				
Award Category	Number Percent		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
Purple Heart	4904	16%	3572	13%	324	4%	38	5%			
Personal Award	21214	68%	19521	73%	5520	70%	948	123%			
High Personal Award	322	1%	316	1%	110	1%	33	4%			
Combat Distguishinig Device	4942	16%	4511	17%	1161	15%	159	21%			
Combat Action Ribbon	40636	130%	28794	107%	1855	24%	203	26%			
Irple Heart / Personal Award 23%				18%		6%	4%				

Table 7. Enlisted Infantry Award Categories by Rank

The initial strength test (IST) score is derived from the IST test taken by each candidate recruit upon enlistment into the Marine Corps. It is composed of a 1.5 mile timed run portion, timed sit-ups and the number of pull-ups conducted. Scoring is different for male and female recruits but this study uses purely male standards as only male Marines were allowed in the infantry until 2016. In the absence of an IST score system the PFT scoring method is used. To get 100 points on the male PFT for the run portion a Marine must run at a pace of six minutes per mile for three miles. To achieve a maximum score in sit-ups a Marine must do 100 sit-ups in two minutes. To get a perfect score for pull-ups a male Marine must complete 20 pull-ups (USMC 2008a). From these standards and in the absence of an IST score calculator, each Marines score is normalized by penalizing run times over six minutes per mile. This is done by giving each Marine candidate a score of 100 for the run, subtracting total seconds over six minutes and multiplying by one third (three seconds per point), similar to the PFT run time reduction of six seconds per point over 18 minutes for three miles. Each pull-up is scored five

points with a maximum score of 100 and each sit-up is scored one point with a maximum score of 100 points.

In our analysis the PFT variable is taken to be the median of all PFT scores for each Marine conducted prior to the next rank. The individual scores consist of a 3-mile run, maximum pull-ups and maximum sit-ups in two minutes. Each Marine is required to conduct a scored PFT annually. Figure 2 shows histograms of PFT scores for male Marines by rank. Each histogram reflects a left-skewed distribution, likely a result of the maximum score cut off at 300 points.



Figure 2. Histograms of Enlisted Infantry Marines' PFT Scores

The Combat Fitness Test variable is taken to be the median of all CFT scores conducted prior to promoting to the next rank. The purpose of the CFT is to assess overall ability to execute combat related tasks. It is "designed to evaluate strength, stamina, agility and coordination as well as overall anaerobic capacity" (USMC 2009). The test consists of three portions: maneuver under fire, movement to contact, and an ammo can lift. The maximum number of points for the CFT is 300. Each Marine is required to conduct a scored CFT annually. Because the CFT was implemented in July 2009 nearly half of the Marines in the data set (all entering from 2001 to 2009) do not have CFT scores prior to that date. Figure 3 shows four histograms of CFT scores by rank. The long left tail indicates that high CFT scores are frequent across every rank. This also indicates that the maximum score cut off at 300 points is masking the capabilities of those Marines with additional combat fitness capacity.



Figure 3. Histograms of Enlisted Infantry Marines' CFT Scores

The performance variables for the ranks of lance corporal and corporal consist of the median values of all proficiency marks and conduct marks that a Marine had received prior to the next promotion rank. As a lance corporal and corporal, each Marine receives a proficiency and conduct mark from their chain of command. Figure 4 shows the discrete nature of the proficiency and conduct marks. We also observe a shift in the averages to the right between the ranks of lance corporal and corporal. We further note that there is a visual similarity between the proficiency and conduct marks for each rank.



Figure 4. Histograms of Proficiency and Conduct Scores by Rank

At the sergeant and higher ranks a Marine receives a fitness report annually from their reporting senior (immediate commander) and no longer receives proficiency and conduct marks. The Median\_RSRV variable is the median reporting senior relative value that we calculate for each Marine prior to their next promotion date or upon departure from the Marine Corps. Additionally, each Marine receives a performance evaluation from their reviewing officer (RO) who is the reporting senior's immediate commander. The Median\_ROCV variable is the median calculation of all reviewing officer cumulative values that we calculate for each Marine prior to their next promotion rank or upon departure from the Marine Corps. From the top portion of Figure 5 we observe relative normality (except in the tails) using Median\_RSRV for the ranks of sergeant and staff sergeant. From the lower plots of the Median\_ROCV we note long left tails, indicating the RO marking very low when low marks are provided. This would indicate a tendency for the reviewing officer to keep a tight profile centered on their average vice using the full scale allotted as used in the RSRV.



Figure 5. Histograms of Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer Values

The GT\_Total variable represents the individual general technical (GT) score each Marine received after taking the ASVAB test upon enlistment. This score does not change for a given Marine in any of our analyses. Although a Marine may elect to retake this portion of the ASVAB, the first score taken is used in our thesis research. From Figure 6 we observe that all ranks have a similar distribution of GT scores.



Figure 6. Histograms of General Technical Scores by Rank

The QUAL\_SC variable represents an assessment for each Marine's rifle scores. To compress each Marine's multiple annual rifle scores into a single value, each score per year is assigned a value from zero to three corresponding to each of the following categories: "required did not take" is assigned a value of 0, a "marksman" level shooter is assigned a value of 1, a "sharpshooter" is assigned a value of 2 and an "expert" qualification is assigned a value of 3. Then the median of all annual rifle scores (prior to

the next rank) for each Marine is calculated and used as a Marine's rifle score. Figure 7 shows the rifle scores for all enlisted infantry Marines for this analyses. Higher rifle scores are seen as rank increases.



Figure 7. Histograms of Rifle Scores by Rank

The number of deployments is captured by the "RANK\_DEP" variable which represents the total number of times each Marine deployed prior to promoting to the next rank or getting out of the Marine Corps. This variable does not distinguish between combat deployments and regular deployments. From Figure 8 it is clear that the longer a Marine serves the greater the number of deployments the Marine has completed. We also observe a high number of lance corporals, corporals, and sergeants have zero deployments which is not the situation for staff sergeants.



Figure 8. Histograms of Total Deployments by Rank

The waiver variables are consolidated from 11 categories into two count variables: negative waivers (Neg\_Waivers) and neutral waivers (Neut\_Waivers). Neg\_Waivers includes those related to drugs, mental, law, hostile country of origin, education, medical and the number of dependents. Neut\_Waivers includes those related to administrative reasons, age, and prior service. These variables are determined at the point of enlistment and remain constant throughout a Marine's career. From Figure 9 we observe that the presence of negative and neutral waivers received upon entry into the Marine Corps decreases as Marines promote to higher ranks.



Figure 9. Histograms of Negative and Neutral Waivers by Rank

The weight control variable (WC) represents the number of times a Marine is officially entered into the weight control program. If a Marine has ever been on weight control that individual is given a value of one; otherwise, a value of zero. Entries were controlled across the rank subsets by including only weight control entries prior to promotion to the next rank date or departure date from the Marine Corps. From Table 8 we observe that the number of Marines on weight control decreases by half when comparing lance corporals to corporals.

 Table 8. Weight Control for Lance Corporals and Corporals

Weight Control								
Number of Times on Weight Control	0	1						
Lance Corporal (31312 Marines)	30553	759						
Corporal (26840 Marines)	26514	326						

For the ranks of sergeant and staff sergeant, who receive fitness reports which contain height and weight parameters, we calculate each male Marine's body mass index (BMI) with the following formula (Hartley 2017):

# $BodyMassIndex = Weight(pounds) / Height(inches)^2 * 703.$

We then take the median of all BMI scores in rank to obtain an overall BMI score. From Figure 10 we observe that the BMI shifts slightly to the right indicating an increase in

body mass with age and rank. We also find the mean BMI for sergeant is 25.6 while staff sergeant is 25.9.



Figure 10. Histograms of Body Mass Index for Sergeants and Staff Sergeants

We now turn attention to the response variable which is a binary response on whether a Marine promotes beyond a given rank. We define this variable to isolate the question of who was retained and then promoted. From Table 9 we observe the response variable by rank, the total number of Marines further broken down by promoted and did not promote, and the associated percent of Marines that promoted. It is interesting that the staff sergeant sample shows a 51% promotion rate compared to a 29% promotion rate for sergeants. With the response variable computed we collect all factors that will be used for each analyses into Table 11. We note that there are 21 prediction variables available for our response variable and the classification model.

Table 9. Binary Response Variable for Promotion Beyond Current Rank

<b>Binary Response Variable: Promotion by Rank</b>									
Promoted Beyond	0: (Did Not Promote)	1: (Did Promote)	% Promote						
Lance Corporal (31312 Marines)	9,692	21,620	69%						
Corporal (26840 Marines)	16,622	10,218	38%						
Sergeant (7893)	5,601	2,292	29%						
Staff Sergeant (773)	382	391	51%						

Final Variables Used for the Analyses								
Original Attribute	Analysis Variables	Brief Description of Variables						
ID	ID	Randomly generated identification number used to combine data from two systems						
Prom_FR	Promote From	Response variable signifying whether a Marine promoted or executed EAS						
PEBD	Pay Entry Base Date	Date a Marine began enlistment into USMC						
RANK_PROFIC	Proficiency Value	Semi annual proficiency grade for Marines below rank of sergeant						
RANK_CONDUCT	Conduct Value	Semi annual conduct grade for Marines below rank of sergeant						
Median_RSRV	Reporting Senior Relative Value	Marine's fitness report value from their immediate supervisor						
Median_ROCV	Reviewing Officer Cumulative Value	Marines fitness report value from their secondary supervisor						
PFT_Median	Physical Fitness Test Scores	Annual physical test: 3 mile run, pull-ups, and sit-ups in two minutes						
CFT_Median	Combat Fitness Test Score	Annual physical test: Manuever Under Fire, Movement to Contact, Ammo Can Lift						
QUAL_SC	Rifle Qualification Score	Annual rifle qualification (Marksman, Sharpshooter, Expert, UNK)						
P_Award	Personal Award	Acheivement, Commendation, Meritorious Service Medals						
HP_Award	High Personal Award	Awards Bronze Star and above						
PH	Purple Heart	Awarded for wounded or killed in action						
V	Combat Distinguishing Device	Awarded for valorous action, accomondates other awards						
CAR	Combat Action Ribbon	Awarded for executing ones duty in a combat environment						
Neg_Waiver	Negative Waivers	Drugs, mental, law, hostile country origin, education, medical and # of dependents						
Neut_Waiver	Neutral Waivers	Waivers required for each Marine upon entry into USMC						
RANK_DEP	Deployment	The number of deployments a Marine has participated in						
RANK_ADV	Adverse	The number of adverse fitness reports recieved						
WC	Weight Control	Number of times a lance corporal and corporal are put on the weight control program						
BMI	Body Mass Index	Weight normalization for sergeant and staff sergeant using height and weight						
GT scores	GT scores	General Technical score from the ASVAB						

Table 10.Analyses Variables Used to Predict Promotion

## F. METHOD

We use Classification and Regression Trees (CART), as implemented by the **rpart** package from R (Therneau et al. 2015), to develop models to predict promotion based on attributes of a Marine. This method is adapted from Sam Buttrey's lecture titled "Advanced Data Analysis Part II: Trees" in August 2016 at the Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey California. It is used because of its resilience to outliers, ability to process missing values, resilience to monotonic transformation of variables, and ease of understanding.

The objective of the CART modeling process is to predict the values of a response variable from a collection of predictor variables. We also aim to gain insight into the influence of the individual predictor variables. For a classification tree, the response variable has categorical values, and for a regression tree the response variable has continuous values (Rao 2013). CART uses a series of yes/no questions based on splits on a single predictor variable at a time to build up a decision tree structure. For the purposes of preventing overfitting and improving readability, the resulting trees typically are pruned using a local minimum cross validation error criterion (e.g., variance between groups).

We also seek to determine a measure of the quality of Marines that are promoted. First, we separate the Marines in a given rank into four performance groups of approximately equal size. For the ranks of lance corporal and corporal the performance groups are based on cut points using proficiency and conduct values. By taking the resulting number from each group and dividing by the total population size we get the proportion belonging to each group given that they were promoted. It is important to note that promoted is defined as Marines with a next rank promote date and rank listed in the TFDW data base. We do not investigate individual promotion board timelines, offers for reenlistment, or extenuating situations. Rather we gain general understanding from the effect of the promotion process by observing only the proportion of those Marines the Marine Corps retains, stay in the Marine Corps and actually promote. These proportions are further broken down by year to analyze the effects of upsizing and downsizing the Marine Corps over the years studied.

#### **1.** Interpreting the Tree Diagram

We illustrate the interpretation of a CART prediction tree diagram using Figure 11, which is obtained by applying functions from the **RColorBrewer** (Neuwirth 2014) and **Rattle** (Williams 2011) packages in R to the CART output. Observe that each split in the tree states the proportion of Marines that promote, and the number and percentage of Marines in each node determined by the splitting variable. Beginning at the top of the tree, approximately half (.50) of the total sample of 681 staff sergeants (100% of sample) promote. The first split uses the median reporting senior relative values (Median\_RSRV) which divides the sample into two groups: those that are less than 90 (branching left) and those that are greater than or equal to 90 (branching right). For the 191 Marines in the "yes" branch, which comprises 28% of all staff sergeants in the analysis, approximately 18% promote to gunnery sergeant. Following the right branch from Median\_RSRV we note: combat fitness scores (CFT\_Median) above 290, number of deployments for a staff sergeant (SSGT\_DEP) or greater than 3.5 (four deployment or more) and adverse report (SSGT\_ADV) less than .5 (a Marine has never received an adverse fitness report) all give the staff sergeant rank the highest probability for

promoting (83%). This classification applies to 242 (36%) of staff sergeants promoted in the classification tree.

1 0.51 n=681 100% yes Median\_RSRV < 90 [no] 2 3 0.18 0.63 n=191 28% n=490 729 CFT Median < 292 CFT\_Median < 290 6 Ē 0.43 0.7 54 n=122 18% 368 Median ROCV < -0.037 SSGT DEP < 3.5 15 0.79 =256 38 SSGT\_ADV >= 0.5 4 5 12 13 14 30 31 0.066 0.33 0.053 0.49 0.21 0.5 0.83 n=85 12% n=19 3% n=103 15% n=112 16% n=242 369 n=106 16% n=14 2%

Staff Sergeant Promotion Pruned Tree: All Predictors

The proportions of promotion in each node are the numbers on the first line in each box. The numbers and percentages of observations in each node are shown on the second line in each box.

Figure 11. Example Classification and Regression Tree

#### 2. Classification Rate

The next step is to evaluate the prediction success of the model using a confusion matrix. For this analysis we randomly select approximately 10% of Marines from the data and set them aside as a test set. We fit a CART model using the remaining 90% of the data and measure how well it classifies the test set. The **predict** function applied to the

output of this model is a vector of estimated probabilities of promotion for all observations in the data set. A Marine is classified as promoted if the estimated probability is greater than or equal to a preset threshold, and is classified as not promoted otherwise. The classification accuracy of a threshold is given by two proportions: of those who do promote, the proportion that are predicted to promote; and of those who do not promote, the proportion that are predicted to not promote. We take the minimum of these two proportions as a measure of the accuracy of the classification rule using a particular threshold, and we seek to maximize this measure through the choice of a threshold. We consider threshold values in the range of zero to one in increments of .01 for this optimization.

#### 3. Method Overview

After we create and interpret the classification tree for each rank we remove the performance variables and create another classification tree. We then compare the two trees (with performance and without performance variables) and the classification rates for both trees. Based off the classification rate comparisons we assess which model correctly classifies a higher proportion of Marines as either promote or not and we do this for each by rank. We then assess the value gained through the inclusion of performance evaluation.

## IV. RESULTS

The purpose of this thesis is to analyze the effects that the prediction variables have on Marines that are retained by the Marine Corps, continue to serve and actually promote. We use classification and regression trees to visually show the effect that certain factors have toward those that promote and do not promote. This chapter summarizes the result from the analyses, and it describes how much the performance evaluation process contributes to predicting promotion. Each analysis is interpreted initially by rank and the evaluation system used and then by comparison across all ranks. We conclude with a summary analysis from all four ranks.

#### A. LANCE CORPORALS (WITH PEBD 2001 TO 2011)

#### 1. Classification and Regression Tree Prediction with All Variables

Using 90% of the lance corporal data as a training set we construct the classification and regression tree presented in Figure 12 which reflects either being promoted and retained or not. From this tree we note a 69% promotion rate for the rank of lance corporal to corporal for Marines with PEBD years from 2001 to 2011. Starting at the top of the tree, observe that the first split occurs at LCPL\_CONDUCT: values less than 4.3 break to the left and values greater than or equal to 4.3 break to the right. Those with conduct scores less than 4.3 have an overall promotion rate of 53% and those with conduct scores at or above 4.3 have an overall promotion rate of 81%. We find that for an infantry lance corporal to have the greatest chance of promotion he would need a PFT\_Median score greater than 240, proficiency and conduct median scores above 4.3, CFT Median scores above 284, PEBD from 2005 to 2009 and to have had at least one deployment. Figure 12 also shows that Marines with conduct marks below 4.2 and a high PFT or CFT score still have greater than a 24% probability of promoting while a PFT score split above 236 accounts for half the lance corporal sample. To have the greatest probability for promotion a lance corporal needs to have a conduct score average above 4.3, a median PFT score above 240, and accessed during a period of force increase (e.g.

2005 to 2009). The lowest probability on the tree is 24% indicating a one if four chance of promoting for the worst performers.



Lance Corporal Promotion Pruned Tree: All Predictors

Pruned tree for prediction of promotion for the rank of lance corporal with all predictor values included indicating important predictor splits. Tree branches occur at conduct (LCPL\_CONDUCT), PFT scores (PFT\_Median), and PEBD.

Figure 12. Classification and Regression Tree with All Predictors Included for Promotion from Lance Corporal to Corporal (2001 to 2011)

#### 2. Classification and Regression Tree without Performance Variables

To explore how performance evaluation influences the promotion process we remove those variables (LCPL\_PROFIC and LCPL\_CONDUCT) and reproduce the tree diagram as in Figure 13. We observe that the first split in the tree is the PFT\_Median score of less than 240 with a probability of promotion of 56% and greater than 240 with a probability of promotion of 56% and greater than 240 with a probability of promotion of 56%. For the greatest probability of promotion, a Marine must then deploy at least once and have a high GT score. For those Marine with low PFT scores, the Rifle Score become important between 2.1 and 2.2 indicating Marksman with at least one Expert qualification, recall (Sharpshooter =1, Marksman = 2 and Expert = 3). Finally, we observe a split at the GT\_Score of 108 after the number of deployments.



Lance Corporal Promotion Pruned Tree: Without Proficiency or Conduct

Pruned tree for prediction of promotion for the rank of lance corporal with proficiency and conduct predictor values removed indicating important predictor splits. Tree branches occur at PFT scores (PFT\_Median), CFT scores (CFT\_Median), the number of deployments (LCPL\_DEP), and rifle qualification score (QUAL\_SC).

Figure 13. Classification and Regression Tree with Proficiency and Conduct Scores Removed as Predictors for Promotion from Lance Corporal to Corporal (2001 to 2011) Next we determine the effectiveness of the two different classification trees to assess the importance of including performance variables. The classification trees are produced using 90% of the lance corporal data with 10% held in reserve to determine how well the model accurately predicts promotion. Using the estimated probabilities, a threshold for classification into promoted and not-promoted groups is determined to maximize the smaller of the two correct classification rates (for those that promote and for those that do not promote). We then calculate estimated correct classification probabilities using data from the test set. From Table 11 we observe that the estimated correct classification probabilities are 66% for Marines that promote and 64% for Marines that do not promote. After we remove the performance we find that the model accurately classifies Marines that promote with a probability of 70% and Marines that did not promote with a probability of 50%. We then compare the two model classification rates and note that the exclusion of proficiency and conduct marks reduces the correct classification rate of those who do not promote to a substantial degree.

Table 11.Test Set Estimated Correct Classification for Lance<br/>Corporal Retention and Promotion

Lance Corporal (E-3): Proficiency and Conduct System									
	All Pre	edictors	Proficiency/Conduct Removed						
	Promote	Not Promote	Promote	Not Promote					
Optimal Threshold Value	>.7	≤ .7	>.68	≤.68					
Estimated Correct Prediction Probability	0.66	0.64	0.70	0.50					

#### **3.** Proportion of Lance Corporals Promoted by Performance Quadrant

Are the highest performing Lance Corporals advancing to the next rank? To answer this question, we first take the sample of lance corporals and split them by their performance scores (proficiency and conduct) into four categories (quadrants) of approximately equal size and determine the proportion of Marines that promote from each of the four quadrants. Recall that we only consider Marines that remain in the Marine Corps long enough to promote to the next rank.

We next observe what proportion of lance corporals are promoted by quadrant for the years 2001 to 2011. From Table 12 we observe the average proficiency quadrant promotion rates across all years. The proportion of Marines promoted from the LOW performer quadrant is 12%, MID-LOW 38%, MID-HIGH 27%, and 23% of Marines promoted come from the HIGH performers. We note the quadrant splits for low performing Marines have median proficiency and conduct scores between 0 and 4.29 and account for 11% to 17% of lance corporals. The next quadrant (MID-LOW) performers have proficiency and conduct values from 4.29 to 4.35 and represent 28% to 43% of lance corporals. MID-HIGH performing Marines are those with median proficiency and conduct scores between 4.35 and 4.40 and consist of 24% to 30% of lance corporals. The top (HIGH) performing Marines have scores from 4.4 to 5.0 and account for 17% to 28% of the population. We note that the marginal separation between the top three quadrants spans 4.29 to 5.0.

Table 12.	Proportion of Lance Corporals Promoted Annually
	by Quadrant

Lance Corporal Conduct Range P(Quadrant Prom) by Year													
Quadrant	Conduct Range	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Average
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.17	14%
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.32	0.28	0.34	0.36	0.39	0.35	0.36	0.41	0.43	0.39	0.36	36%
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.28	0.29	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.26	27%
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.27	0.34	0.28	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.20	23%
Lance Corporal Proficiency Range P(Quadrant Prom) by Year													
		F	/1 cal 1 1 0	oncient	- <b>J L L L L L L L L L L</b>		uaurai		,	cui			
Quadrant	<b>Proficiency Range</b>	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Average
Quadrant LOW	Proficiency Range 0.00 to 4.29	<b>2001</b> 0.10	<b>2002</b> 0.08	<b>2003</b> 0.10	<b>2004</b> 0.11	<b>2005</b> 0.12	<b>2006</b> 0.10	<b>2007</b> 0.12	<b>2008</b> 0.13	<b>2009</b> 0.17	<b>2010</b> 0.15	<b>2011</b> 0.15	Average 12%
Quadrant LOW MID-LOW	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35	<b>2001</b> 0.10 0.33	<b>2002</b> 0.08 0.30	<b>2003</b> 0.10 0.36	<b>2004</b> 0.11 0.38	<b>2005</b> 0.12 0.39	<b>2006</b> 0.10 0.39	<b>2007</b> 0.12 0.31	<b>2008</b> 0.13 0.41	<b>2009</b> 0.17 0.44	<b>2010</b> 0.15 0.43	<b>2011</b> 0.15 0.41	Average 12% 38%
Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40	<b>2001</b> 0.10 0.33 0.30	2002 0.08 0.30 0.28	<b>2003</b> 0.10 0.36 0.26	2004 0.11 0.38 0.26	<b>2005</b> 0.12 0.39 0.27	<b>2006</b> 0.10 0.39 0.27	<b>2007</b> 0.12 0.31 0.27	2008 0.13 0.41 0.26	2009 0.17 0.44 0.24	<b>2010</b> 0.15 0.43 0.25	<b>2011</b> 0.15 0.41 0.25	Average 12% 38% 27%

For the Marine Corps to promote and retain as many high quality Marines as possible we expect to see the greatest proportion from the HIGH performers and less from each subsequent quadrant. We would expect to see 50%, 30%, 18%, and 2% as a reasonable estimate for the proportion of Marines promoted HIGH performance quadrants and down. But it appears that performance evaluation include is viewed as coming from the top three quadrants with policy restricting only the lowest performing Marines. To better visualize the proportion of lance corporals promoted by performance quadrant and year we plot the data. From Figure 14 we see that there are trends over time for each quadrant. The LOW performance quadrants have an increasing trend over time while the HIGH performing quadrants have a decreasing trend over time. Instead of observing a reasonable estimate (50, 30, 18, 2 breakdown), we observe of a 20, 25, 35, 10 breakdown which trends to a 17, 25, 43, 15 breakdown of high to low performance retention and promotion model. These results indicate a model that is losing a large proportion of its top performing and above average Marines.



Figure 14. Lance Corporal Promotion Proportion by Proficiency Quadrant

#### B. CORPORAL (WITH PEBD 2001 TO 2010)

#### 1. Classification and Regression Tree Prediction with All Variables

For the corporal rank we estimate the probability of being promoted to be 19,601 divided by 28,312 or 38% during the time frame of our study. From the top of the tree in Figure 15 we see that the number of CPL\_DEP (deployments) is the most important predictor of promotion. Corporals with no deployments encompass roughly 45% of this group and have a 24% estimated probability of promotion. The best chance that a corporal with no deployments can achieve is 60% and requires a CPL\_CONDUCT score above 4.5 and a PFT\_Median score above 249. Those corporals with at least one deployment (55% of corporals) split to the right and have a probability of promoting of 50% but can increase their chances of promotion up to 94% by having entered the Marine Corps in 2005 and having a CPL\_CONDUCT score greater than 4.3. Those with at least one deployment, PEBD of 2001 to 2004 and a CFT above 288 have a 71% estimated probability of promoting.

From observation we generalize that PFT scores above 249, CFT\_Median scores above 280, P\_AWD (achievement or commendation medal) of at least one, with a median QUAL\_SC (rifle score) above the marksman level increase a Marine's overall probability of promotion. For the lance corporal rank LCPL\_CONDUCT is at the top of the tree while for corporals the CPL\_DEP (number of deployments) is the first split.



**Corporal Promotion Pruned Tree: All Predictors** 

Pruned tree for prediction of promotion for the rank of corporal with all predictor values included indicating important predictor splits. Tree branches occur at the number of deployments (CPL\_DEP), PEBD, conduct values (CPL\_CONDUCT), PFT scores (PFT\_Median), rifle qualification score (QUAL\_SC), and the number of personal awards (P\_AWD).

Figure 15. Classification and Regression Tree with All Predictors Included for Promotion from Corporal to Sergeant (2001 to 2010)

#### 2. Classification and Regression Tree without Performance Variables

From Figure 16 we observe that after removing the performance related prediction variables (CPL\_PROFIC and CPL\_CONDUCT) that CPL\_DEP (deployments) remain the initial split. Those without a deployment have a 24% chance of promoting which increases if their PFT\_Median is greater than 266, have a QUAL\_SC (rifle scores) above 2.2, a PEBD of 2001 or 2005, and at least one P\_AWD can get their probability of promotion up to 61%. When we observe the effect of having an award for the rank of corporal, we note a 13–16% probabilistic difference for Marines promoting. A high CFT and PFT score improves the probability of promotion in almost all cases. Finally, as observed with the lance corporal rank the GT score split at 108 indicates some necessity for general technical skill at that level. For those Marines with at least one deployment we observe that the greatest probability, 95%, comes from a PEBD of 2005 and a PFT\_Median score above 222.

With PEBD appearing frequently in the classification tree, it is clear that policies of the Marine Corps taken over time should be taken into account for predicting promotion. What those policies would be in the future cannot be foreseen which suggest that the model should be re-estimated periodically over time.



**Corporal Promotion Pruned Tree: Without Proficiency or Conduct** 

Pruned tree for prediction of promotion for the rank of corporal tree with proficiency and conduct predictor values removed indicating important predictor splits. Tree branches occur at the number of deployments (CPL\_DEP), PEBD, PFT scores (PFT\_Median), CFT scores (CFT\_Median), rifle qualification score (QUAL\_SC), and the number of personal awards (P\_AWD).

Figure 16. Classification and Regression Tree with Proficiency and Conduct Scores Removed as Predictors for Promotion from Corporal to Sergeant (2001 to 2010) We next compare the prediction skill of the two classification trees using the test set for corporals. We use the **predict** command in R to estimate the probabilities of being promoted from the training set using a classification tree. Using the estimated probabilities and threshold for classification as in Table 13 we calculate the estimated correct classification probabilities using the test set. For the full model (with performance variables) we estimate a 60% correct classification probability for those that promote and a 79% correct classification probability for those that do not promote. For the model without performance variables we estimate a correct classification rate of 59% for those that promote and a 77% correct classification rate for those that do not promote. From this we note that the inclusion of proficiency and conduct improves variable classification accuracy but only slightly.

Table 13.Test Set Estimated Correct Classification for Corporal<br/>Retention and Promotion

Corporal (E-4): Proficiency and Conduct System									
	All Pre	dictors	Proficiency/Conduct Removed						
	Promote	Not Promote	Promote	Not Promote					
Optimal Threshold Value	>.34	≤.34	>.31	≤.31					
Estimated Correct Prediction Probability	0.60	0.79	0.59	0.77					

### 3. Proportion of Corporals Promoted by Performance Quadrant

Next we ask what proportion of high performing corporals promote and advance to the next rank? From Table 14 we note that the estimated probabilities are similar to those for the lance corporals. For HIGH performance we notice a decrease in the proportion of corporals promoted while the LOW performer quadrant appears to be steadily increasing with time. This indicates that during the years the Marine Corps needed more Marines the proficiency and conduct marks had less value toward promotion. We make a mental note that corporals promoting to sergeant have roughly a 20, 35, 35, 10 proportional breakdown from high to low performance. We observe that over time there is a slight trend effect so we plot the data for corporals to pronounce the effect.

Corporal Conduct Range P(Quadrant Prom) by Year												
Quadrant	Conduct Range	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Average
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.13	0.07	13%
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.27	0.24	0.31	0.33	0.36	0.35	0.39	0.38	0.38	0.34	34%
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.34	0.37	0.31	0.35	0.34	35%
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.26	0.30	0.21	0.16	0.13	0.17	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.25	19%
	Corp	oral P	roficie	ency R	ange	P(Qua	adrant	Prom	) by Y	ear		
Quadrant	Proficiency Range	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Average
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.17	0.13	0.03	11%
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.25	0.26	0.31	0.34	0.39	0.36	0.40	0.38	0.37	0.32	34%
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.30	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.33	0.36	0.36	0.32	0.36	0.40	36%
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.25	0.28	0.21	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.25	18%

Table 14.Proportion of Corporals Promoted Annually by Quadrant

From Figure 17 we note the data appears to be proportional large in the middle two quadrants. The notable drop in proportion of Marines promoted from the bottom quadrant in 2010 indicates a significant push toward retaining and promoting top performing Marines. This (25, 40, 32, 3) breakdown while a better then and previous rank, does not achieve the reasonable estimate (50, 30, 18, 2) high to low performance breakdown.



Figure 17. Corporal Promotion Proportion Proficiency Trends by Quadrant and Year

## C. SERGEANT (WITH PEBD 2001 TO 2007)

#### 1. Classification and Regression Tree Prediction with All Variables

We now observe the impact of fitness reports on enlisted infantry promotions for the rank of sergeant. From the CART we see that from the 7,057 sergeants observed that 2,059 promote, which is roughly 29% of the total. From Figure 18 we observe the first split for sergeants at SGT\_DEP (number of deployments) corresponding to a zero and one or more. From the 36% of sergeants that did not deploy only 3% promoted. For those that did deploy their Median\_RSRV (reporting senior) values split at 90%, indicating above or below average Marines. Those with above-average Median\_RSRVs are able to increase their probability of promoting to 58% while those below average scores decrease to 22%. We note that 5% of all Sergeants are both ranked above average by their reporting senior and get adverse fitness reports and 8% of all sergeants get both below average marks and receive at least one adverse fitness report. We also note that CFT Median scores above 285 will increase probabilities of promotion. PEBD has an important effect throughout especially on those that entered service from 2001 to 2002, (81% of whom promoted). The greatest probability of promotion combination comes from a sergeant with at least one deployment, median\_ RSRV above 90, no adverse fitness reports, and PEBD between 2001 to 2002.
#### Sergeant Promotion Pruned Tree: All Predictors



Pruned tree for prediction of promotion for the rank of sergeant with all predictor values included indicating important predictor splits. Tree branches occur at the number of deployments (SGT\_DEP), reporting senior values (Median\_RSRV), adverse fitness reports (SGT\_ADV), PEBD, CFT scores (CFT\_Median), PFT scores (PFT\_Median), and reviewing officer values (Median\_ROCV).

Figure 18. Classification and Regression Tree with All Predictors Included for Promotion from Sergeant to Staff Sergeant (2001 to 2007)

#### 2. Classification and Regression Tree without Performance Variables

Upon removing the reporting senior and reviewing officer factors we compute the prediction model for sergeants. From the top of the tree in Figure 19 we observe SGT\_DEP as the initial split with 36% of the sergeant sample with zero deployments and a 3% probability of promotion. For those with at least one deployment (64% of the sample) the next split is to adverse fitness reports. Those sergeants that deploy at least once and get at least one adverse fitness report (13% of sergeants) have a 12% probability of promotion. This find is astonishing, to gain a 9% increase a sergeant needs to deploy and can perform to the degree that earns an adverse report. Those sergeants joining the Marine Corps from 2001 or 2002 have the greatest probability of promotion (81%) if they also have at least one award and PFT\_Median scores above 239. With the absence of reporting senior and reviewing officer scores the number of deployments, physical training events scores, PEBD and personal awards demonstrate their importance.



Sergeant Promotion Pruned Tree: Without Reporting Senior or Reviewing Officer

Pruned tree for prediction of promotion for the rank of sergeant with reporting senior and reviewing officer values removed indicating important predictor splits. Tree branches occur at the number of deployments (SGT\_DEP), adverse fitness reports (SGT\_ADV), PEBD, PFT scores (PFT\_Median), CFT scores (CFT\_Median), and the number of personal awards (P\_AWD).

## Figure 19. Classification and Regression Tree with Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer Values Removed as Predictors for Promotion from Sergeant to Staff Sergeant (2001 to 2007)

Comparing the two models as in Table 15 we find that both have the same classification probabilities. Both correctly classify those Marines that promote with a probability of 80% and those that do not promote with a probability of 77%. From this we conclude the inclusion of the reporting senior and reviewing officer values do not improve the correct classification rate.

Sergeant	(E-5): Fitness R	eport System		
	All Pre	edictors	RS/RO Valu	es Removed
	Promote	Not Promote	Promote	Not Promote
Optimal Threshold Value	>.26	≤.26	>.29	≤.29
Estimated Correct Prediction Probability	0.80	0.77	0.80	0.77

# Table 15.Test Set Estimated Correct Classification for Sergeant<br/>Retention and Promotion

## **3.** Proportion of Sergeants Promoted by Performance Quadrant

From Table 16 we observe that the quadrants for reporting senior reviewing officer values from the performance evaluation system. We note that that the values represent a more reasonable high to low performance breakdown, roughly (40, 35, 20, 5). While not quite precisely the (50, 30, 18, 2) breakdown, they are very close. The flexibility allotted to the Marine Corps through the competitive nature of the promotion process for sergeants to staff sergeants is a significant force shaping mechanism and appears to be successful.

Table 16.Proportion of Sergeants Promoted Annually by Quadrant

	Ser	geant Re	porting S	Senior P(	Quadran	t Prom)	by Year		
Quadrant	RSRV Range	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	Average
LOW	00.00 to 88.09	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.09	0.06	7%
MID-LOW	88.09 to 90.4	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.19	0.23	0.23	0.22	22%
MID-HIGH	90.40 to 92.75	0.35	0.32	0.35	0.34	0.30	0.30	0.33	33%
HIGH	92.75 to 100	0.33	0.38	0.39	0.44	0.39	0.38	0.39	39%
	Serg	geant Rev	viewing (	Officer P	(Quadrai	nt Prom)	by Year		
Quadrant	Serg	2001	viewing ( 2002	<b>Officer P</b> ( 2003	<b>Quadran</b> 2004	nt Prom) 2005	<b>by Year</b> 2006	2007	Average
Quadrant LOW	Serg ROCV Range -5.0 to26	2001 0.09	2002 0.07	<b>Dfficer P(</b> 2003 0.06	<b>Quadra</b> 2004 0.05	<b>1 (Prom)</b> 2005 0.07	by Year 2006 0.09	2007 0.07	Average 7%
Quadrant LOW MID-LOW	Serg ROCV Range -5.0 to26 26 to .25	2001 0.09 0.23	2002 0.07 0.24	Dfficer P(           2003           0.06           0.21	<b>Quadrat</b> 2004 0.05 0.19	11 Prom) 2005 0.07 0.23	by Year 2006 0.09 0.24	2007 0.07 0.22	Average 7% 22%
Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH	Serg ROCV Range -5.0 to26 26 to .25 .25 to .75	2001 0.09 0.23 0.31	viewing ( 2002 0.07 0.24 0.32	Officer P(           2003           0.06           0.21           0.31	Quadrau 2004 0.05 0.19 0.33	11 Prom) 2005 0.07 0.23 0.31	by Year 2006 0.09 0.24 0.31	2007 0.07 0.22 0.31	Average 7% 22% 31%

To further develop intuition about proportionality promotions from each quadrant we observe Figure 20 (reporting senior) and Figure 21 (reviewing officer). From these we find that the fitness report system is proportionally promoting sergeants according to increased performance as expected. From the reporting senior HIGH quadrant, we observe values above 35% for each year and from the LOW quadrant we see averages as low as 5%. These proportions are more in line with expectations than those observed for the lance corporal and corporal ranks. When we consider all Marines ranked below

average by their reporting senior, we observe an overall proportion of 29% for sergeants promoted. While the promotion system appears to be promoting quality better than the corporal and below ranks, there is still 29% of proportional opportunity to promote from the above average sergeants.



Figure 20. Sergeant Promotion Proportion Trends by Reporting Senior Quadrants



Figure 21. Sergeant Promotion Proportions Trends by Reviewing Officer Quadrants

## D. STAFF SERGEANT (WITH PEBD 2001 TO 2004)

### 1. Classification and Regression Tree Prediction with All Variables

From the 693 staff sergeants observed 358 promote resulting in a probability of promotion of 50%. From Figure 22 we see that the first split is at the Median\_RSRV value of 90 indicating average and below average Marines using the reporting senior values. Those staff sergeants with Median\_RSRV scores less than 90 (28% proportionally) have a 18% probability of promoting. Those Median\_RSRV scores greater than 90, CFT\_Medians greater than 290, more than 4 SSGT\_DEP (deployments) and zero SSGT\_ADV (adverse fitness reports) have a probability of promotion of 83% and account for 36% of the population. This singular track is of particular interest because this is the highest quality Marine produced from the study. To provide guidance to a young Marine about the best things they can do to improve their chances of promotion we would state: The Marine Corps historically promotes staff sergeants that are above average on all fitness reports, get a high CFT score each year, do not receive any adverse fitness reports and deploy at least once at every rank.



Staff Sergeant Promotion Pruned Tree: All Predictors

Staff Sergeant promotion tree with all predictors included indicating important promotion predictor splits. Notice the top predictors are reporting senior relative values, CFT scores, reviewing officer cumulative value, number of deployments and adverse fitness reports.

Figure 22. Classification and Regression Tree with All Predictors Included for Promotion from Staff Sergeant to Gunnery Sergeant (2001 to 2004)

#### 2. Classification and Regression Tree without Performance Variables

To interpret the effect that performance variables have on predicting promotion we remove them from the model and re-run the CART. From Figure 23 we observe that the first split is on CFT\_Median greater than or equal to 290. Those staff sergeants with at less than a 290 CFT\_Median and at least one adverse fitness reports (6% proportionally) have 2% probability of promoting. Those Marines above a 290 CFT\_Median, zero adverse fitness reports, four deployments, and two or more awards comprise 37% of sergeants promoted and have an 80% probability of promotion.

#### Staff Sergeant Promotion Pruned Tree: Without Reporting Senior or Reviewing Officer



Pruned tree for prediction of promotion for the rank of staff sergeant with reporting senior and reviewing officer values removed indicating important predictor splits. Tree branches occur at CFT scores (CFT\_Median), adverse fitness reports (SGT\_ADV), the number of deployments (SSGT\_DEP), the number of personal awards (P\_AWD), GT score (GT\_TOTAL) and PFT scores (PFT\_Median).

## Figure 23. Classification and Regression Tree with Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer Values Removed as Predictors for Promotion from Staff Sergeant to Gunnery Sergeant (2001 to 2004)

When comparing the two prediction models for staff sergeants we find that removing the performance variables improves the predictability of the model as observed in Table 17. The model with reporting senior and reviewing officer values correctly predicts promotion with a 63% probability while predicting those Marines that do not promote with a 68% probability. Conversely after removing the performance variables from the model prediction improves to a 68% probability of correctly predicting promotion and 70% for those that do not promote. From these we find that the inclusion of the performance variables does not improve the prediction model when determining whether a Marine will promote or not.

Table 17.Test Set Estimated Correct Classification for Staff Sergeant<br/>Retention and Promotion

Staff Serger	ant (E-6): Fitnes	s Report System		
	All Pre	dictors	RS/RO Valu	es Removed
	Promote	Not Promote	Promote	Not Promote
Optimal Threshold Value	>.47	≤.47	>.56	≤.56
Estimated Correct Prediction Probability	0.63	0.68	0.68	0.70

#### 3. Proportion of Staff Sergeants Promoted by Performance Quadrant

From Table 18 we note that nearly two thirds of the those promoted come from the top two MID-HIGH and HIGH quadrants. The proportion of staff sergeants that promoted to gunnery sergeant promote to a 30, 35, 25, 10 breakdown from high to low performance quadrants. The staff sergeant promotion process appears to be less effective observed in the previous rank (sergeants: 40, 35, 20, 5 breakdown). From this we note that the staff sergeant to gunnery sergeant promotion process does not promote proportionally as well as the sergeant to staff sergeant promotion process.

Staff Se	ergeant Repo	orting Sei	nior P(Q	uadrant	Prom) k	oy Year
Quadrant	RSRV Range	2001	2002	2003	2004	Average
LOW	00.00 to 90.51	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.05	9%
MID-LOW	90.51 to 92.7	0.23	0.22	0.27	0.32	26%
MID-HIGH	92.70 to 96.1	0.36	0.35	0.31	0.36	35%
HIGH	96.10 to 100	0.33	0.35	0.29	0.27	31%
Staff Se	rgeant Revie	ewing Of	ficer P(Q	uadrant	Prom)	by Year
Staff Se Quadrant	rgeant Revie ROCV Range	ewing Of 2001	<b>ficer P(Q</b> 2002	uadrant 2003	<b>Prom</b> ) 2004	by Year Average
Staff Se Quadrant LOW	ROCV Range -5.0 to17	2001 0.08	<b>ficer P(Q</b> 2002 0.08	2003 0.13	<b>Prom</b> ) 2004	by Year Average 10%
Staff Se Quadrant LOW MID-LOW	ROCV Range           -5.0 to17          17 to .5	2001 0.08 0.24	<b>ficer P(Q</b> 2002 0.08 0.23	<b>uadrant</b> 2003 0.13 0.27	Prom) 2004 0.09 0.36	by Year Average 10% 28%
Staff Se Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH	rgeant Revie ROCV Range -5.0 to17 17 to .5 .5 to 1.0	2001 0.08 0.24 0.33	<b>ficer P(Q</b> 2002 0.08 0.23 0.31	<b>uadrant</b> 2003 0.13 0.27 0.29	Prom) 2004 0.09 0.36 0.27	by Year Average 10% 28% 30%

Table 18.Staff Sergeant Promotion Proportions by Quadrant

From Figures 24 and 25 we observe the proportions of staff sergeants promoted from 2001 to 2004. From the LOW and MID-LOW quadrants, we note an increase over time while the opposite is true for the MID-HIGH and HIGH performing quadrants. The decreasing trend indicates a higher attrition of top performing Marines while more below overage Marines promote.



Figure 24. Staff Sergeant Promotion Trends by Reporting Senior Quadrants



Figure 25. Staff Sergeant Promotion Trends by Reviewing Officer Quadrants

## E. RESULTS SUMMARY

To gain an overall assessment for each rank we identify the greatest contributing factors for the highest and lowest probabilities associated with retention and promotion. From Table 19 we observe that most frequent factors across all ranks are performance evaluation, deployments, negative paperwork and physical fitness. Next we summarize the effect that policy is having for each rank. For lance corporals to have the highest probabilities for retaining and promoting they should have conduct scores above 4.3, PFT scores over 240 and at least one deployment. Corporals should have conduct scores above 4.3 and at least one deployment. Sergeants should have at least one deployment, above average reporting senior values, and zero adverse fitness reports.

Table 19.Important Factors for Marines that Promote and Fail to<br/>Promote by Rank

			Conc	lusions: Most Impo	ortant Fa	actors for Promotio	n		
Rank		Lance Cor	poral	Corporal	Sergeant		Staff Sergeant		
(# Marines)		(31312	)	(26840)		(7893)		(773)	
Greatest	81%	Conduct	> 4.3	49% Deployment	> .5	43% Deployments	> .5	62% RSRV	> 90
Probability for	86%	PFT	> 240	95% Conduct	> 4.3	58% RSRV	> 90	69% CFT	> 290
Promoting	96%	Deploymen	nts > .5			62% Adverse	< .5	78% Deployments	> 4
Least	53%	Conduct	< 4.3	24% Deployments	< .5	3% Deployments	< .5	18% RSRV	< 90
Probability for	36%	Conduct	< 4.2	15% Conduct	<4.5	21% RSRV	< 90	8% CFT	< 292
Promoting	20%	PFT	< 263	11% PFT	< 265	5% Adverse	> .5	2% Adverse	>.5

We next summarize the performance quadrants by rank and the two performance metrics from each performance system. From Figure 25 we note that the bottom quadrant accounts for 6% to 13% of Marines across the ranks and evaluation systems, the lowermiddle performing Marines account for 22% to 36% of Marines, upper-middle 26% to 36% and top performing Marines account for 18% to 39% of Marines promoted. The inconsistency of the above specified ranges indicates disparity between the two systems. From the left side of the figure we observe more LOW and MID-LOW performance while to the right we note more MID-HIGH and HIGH performance. Those ranks associated with fitness reports proportionally promote 14% more from the top performing Marines then those using proficiency and conduct system. This tells us that the proportion of high quality Marines is better represented using fitness report scores than using proficiency and conduct scores. From this we conclude that the Marine Corps relies heavily on identifying quality from reporting senior and reviewing officer values and less so for proficiency and conduct scores.



Figure 26. USMC Promotion Proportions by Performance and Rank

## V. CONCLUSIONS

#### A. OVERVIEW

Our thesis identifies important factors that explain differences in the probabilities of promotion for enlisted infantry Marines across four ranks: lance corporal to corporal, corporal to sergeant, sergeant to staff sergeant, and staff sergeant to gunnery sergeant. From the classification and regression trees we find that performance evaluation, number of deployments, physical fitness, adverse fitness reports and awards play an important role in determining the likelihood of retaining and promoting. We then remove performance evaluation parameters and identify where the resulting prediction model improves or degrades in the probability of correctly predicting promotion. It was found that the performance evaluation parameters only improve lance corporal predictions.

From the data we determine the proportion of high quality Marines that promote across four performance quadrants derived from proficiency and conduct values, reporting senior relative values and reviewing officer cumulative values. From these we consider trends for each quadrant by rank and performance metric and compare these to what we expect them to be. We then compute the probability of promoting from each performance quadrant by rank.

## **B.** CONCLUSIONS

Throughout our comparison of the two methods used for performance evaluation we find that proportionally more high quality Marines are promoted using fitness reports and the promotion board process than the proficiency and conduct and composite score process. The low proportions of high quality Marines promoted as a result of proficiency and conduct process presents an issue. Neither the proficiency and conduct method or the fitness report scores method for promoting result in retaining and promoting 100% from the top two quadrants. Perhaps the goal for retention and promotion should fall closer to a (50, 30, 18, 2) proportional high to low performance breakdown. The closest rank that achieves the proportional split is sergeants.

## 1. Research Objectives

The main objective of this thesis is to develop an understanding about which factors influence probabilities of promotion for enlisted infantry Marines. Additionally, the thesis identifies the proportion of Marines promoted from performance quadrants and the probability of promoting from each quadrant. Finally, the thesis compares the two performance evaluation models and the results they proportionally produce across performance quadrants.

### 2. Research Questions

In Chapter I a set of research questions are presented, which our findings address as follows:

What factors influence the promotion process by rank? We find that the primary prediction variables for each rank are the performance variables (proficiency and conduct marks, and reporting senior, reviewing officer values) and physical fitness (CFT and PFT scores). For the proficiency and conduct system scores we observe the value of 4.3 as a natural split. For CFT scores we observe splits between 283 to 290 across the ranks while splits for PFT scores range mostly from 239 to 265 across the ranks. For lance corporals and corporals, we observe that high rifle scores, the number of deployments, GT scores and awards contribute toward promotion. For the ranks of sergeant and staff sergeant we observe fitness reports, awards and deployments to be the prevailing promotion prediction factors. Fitness reports generally split just above average with reporting senior relative values splitting between median scores of 89 and 90 (range -5 to 4). We observe adverse fitness reports decrease probabilities for promotion for both ranks observed. The most important prediction factor for promotion to sergeant and staff sergeant is having at least one deployment even with an adverse fitness report.

What proportion of Marines are promoted from the bottom, lower-middle, upper-middle and top performance evaluation quadrants by rank? The Marine Corps promotes 5% to 15% from the lowest performing quadrant of Marines across all ranks. The opportunity to retain and promote more from the top 50% and top 25% performance evaluated Marines from proficiency, conduct, reporting senior and reviewing officer values exists.

How do the two performance evaluation systems compare? From proficiency/ conduct scores and reporting senior/reviewing officer scores, we observe that the proficiency and conduct method promotes more bottom performing and less top performing Marines then the reporting senior and reviewing officer scores. Despite this the classification and regression trees reveal similarities across the two evaluation methods, such as the number of deployments and the importance of the performance evaluation variables. While the performance inclusion changes the ability to correctly predict those that retain and promote or not by rank it is clear that performance parameters are present in all classification and regression trees and therefore important.

## C. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our conclusions we believe that the Marine Corps promotes a low proportion of enlisted infantry Marines with above average proficiency and conduct marks. Proportionally, the fitness report and board process promotes more high quality Marines. Consider altering the proficiency and conduct system to achieve the effects that the fitness report system has on proportional retention and promotion. While fitness reports for 160 thousand Marines may be difficult for officers to complete, an approach involving non-commissioned officers may provide relief. In order to achieve proportional understanding it is necessary to first determine what proportion from each quadrant is reasonable. A policy that promotes 50%, 30%, 18% and 2% of Marines from high, midhigh, mid-low and low performing quadrants respectively does seem reasonable. Comparing other military occupational specialties proportional levels will provide a solid baseline. To get close to the aforementioned breakdown it is necessary to understand why high quality junior enlisted infantry Marines get out of the Marine Corps and then to focus retention efforts toward retaining these high quality Marines.

From the classification and regression trees we observe the effects that Marine Corps policy has over time. This insight allows the Marine Corps to validate if the policy is having the effect desired. Deployments identify as important factors for promotion across the ranks. This may not be causation and requires further analysis to determine the necessity that having at least one deployment has on promotions.

Throughout the analysis we identify interesting ways of interpreting each Marines data. For personal awards we observe a proportionally low amount for the lower ranking Marines while purple hearts are proportionally high. The Marines taking the risk should be recognized the most. Policy governing awards should better reflect the outstanding work and risk that junior Marines provide. For proficiency and conduct marks we note the discrete nature of the values used which if expanded would allow better performance classification for Marines. This could be accomplished through refined guidance in the IRAM. We also note the effect of increasing promotion zones and the proportion of Marines promoted based on the PEBD factor. Incentivizing higher quality Marines through monetary means, quality of life measures, key desired billets, early moves, or performance based rewards all provide alternate means for retaining and promoting more high quality Marines and should be considered. Lastly we note the utility of using the accumulated data method. By assessing a Marine based off all of their data we create a more accurate representation of their quality. The whole Marine concept recognizes each Marines self-development consistency, which could lead to less personal management and should be integrated as necessary.

## **D. FUTURE WORK**

The factors identified as important, should have their levels interpreted and studied. The distribution of each factor needs to be analyzed for requirement validation to ensure Marines are being evaluated in a manner that contributes to desired areas of improvement. From these findings it is necessary to define quality and determine the ideal proportion of quality desired and required. It should also include analysis for determining better means for increasing force structure during times of war (vice widening the zones for promotion and therefore reducing standards, a larger reserve pool, or by influencing retention for high quality Marines).

# APPENDIX A. MARINE CORPS FITNESS REPORT TEMPLATE

USMC FITNESS REPORT (1610) NAVMC 10835 (Rev. 7-11) (EF) PREVIOUS EDITIONS WILL NOT BE USED	COMMAND	ANT'S GUIDAN	ICE	DO NOT STAPLE THIS FORM
FOUO - Privacy sensitive when filled in. The completed fitness report is the most Marine's performance and is the Comma	important information co andant's primary tool for i	imponent in manpower the selection of perso	r management. I nnel for promotio	it is the primary means of evaluating a on, augmentation, resident schooling.
command, and duty assignments. There duty is the commitment of each Reportin accurate marking and timely reporting. E Important to both the individual and the	fore, the completion of the g Senior and Reviewing very officer serves a rol darine Corps, initiationary	his report is one of an Officer to ensure the i le in the scrupulous m v markings only serve	officer's most cri ntegrity of the sy aintenance of thi to dilute the actu	Itical responsibilities. Inherent in this stem by giving close attention to is evaluation system, utimately ial value of each report. Reviewing
Officers will not concur with inflated repo	vrta.			
A. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION 1. Marine Reported On:				
a. Last Name	o. First Name c. MI	d. SSN e	. Grade f	DOR g. PMOS h. BILMOS
2. Organization: a. MCC b. RUC c. Unit Descriptio	n			
3. Occasion and Period Covered: a. OCC b. From To	c. Type	ignment ( descriptive	title ):	
5. Special Case: a. Adverse b. Not Observed c. Extende	6. Marine Subject O	t. v. b. Derogatory, c. l	Disciplinary 7.	. Recommended For Promotion: a. Yes b. No c. N/A
	Material	Material	Action	
8. Special Information:		9. Duty Prefe a. Code t	rence: Descriptive Tit	le .
a. QUAL d. HT(in.)	g. Reserve	1et		
b. PFT e. WT	h. Status	2nd		
c. CFT f. Body Fat	I. Future Use	3rd		
10. Reporting Senior:				
a. Last Name	b. Init c. Service d.	. SSN 0.	Grade f. Dut	ty Assignment
11 Bailandra Officer				
a. Last Name	b. Init c. Service d.	SSN e.	Grade f. Dut	ty Assignment
B. BILLET DESCRIPTION				
C. BILLET ACCOMPLISHMENTS				

1. M a.	arine Reported On: Last Name		b. First Name c. MI d.	SSN	2. Occar a. OCC	ion and Period Cov b. From	vered: To		
D.	MISSION ACCOMPLIS	ΗМ	ENT						
1. PE and in Indica	ERFORMANCE. Results achieve formally assigned, were carried itors are time and resource mar	ed du d out nager	ring the reporting period. How well those duties Reflects a Marine's aptitude, competence, and rent, task prioritization, and tenacity to achieve	com posit	erent to a Marine's billet, mitment to the unit's sur ive ends consistently.	plus all additional dut coess above personal r	ties, form reward.	ally	
ADV	Meets requirements of billet	Ť	Consistently produces quality results while		Results far surpass en	pectations. Recognize	es and		N/O
	and additional duties.		measurably improving unit performance. Habitually makes effective use of time and		exploits new resources	creates opportunities	S.		
	competence meet		resources; improves billet procedures and	I .	beyond unit. Impact si	gnificant; innovative			
	maintain status quo.		billet expectations.	I .	in quality and efficience	y.	gains		
A	B	<u>-</u> c	D	Ē		F		G	н
2 PF	ROFICIENCY Demonstrates for	their	al knowledge and practical skill in the execution	of th	e Marine's overall duties	Combines training	education		
exper	ience. Translates skills into act	tions	which contribute to accomplishing tasks and mi	issio	ns. Imparts knowledge	to others. Grade deper	ndent.		
ADV	Competent. Possesses the		Demonstrates mastery of all required skills.		True expert in field. K	nowledge and skills im	npact		N/O
	knowledge commensurate		Expertise, education and experience consistently enhance mission	I .	education and experier	ce into forward thinki	ing.		
	With grade and experience.		accomplishment. Innovative troubleshooter	I 1	innovative actions. Ma mission accomplishme	kes immeasurable imp nt. Peerless teacher	pact on		
	basic functions related to		skills to subordinates.	I .	selflessly imparts expe	rtise to subordinates,	peers,		
-	mission accomplishment.	-		<u> </u>	and seniors.	E		-	
<u> </u>	ů	ň	6	Ē		É.		ň	<b>–</b>
			Ц			Ц		Ц	Ц
JUS	TIFICATION:								
I <b>F</b> . 1	INDIVIDUAL CHARAC	TEE							
	INDIVIDUAL CHARAC								
1. CO	OURAGE. Moral or physical str	ength	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety.	Pers	onal acceptance of resp	onsibility and account	tability, pla	acing	1
1. CO conso save	OURAGE. Moral or physical stru- cience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de	ength rega	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty.	Pers	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm	onsibility and account or death to accomplis	tability, pla ih the miss	acing	or
1. CO conso save	OURAGE. Moral or physical str ience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength	ength rega espite	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty.	Pers deci	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm	onsibility and account or death to accomplis	tability, pla th the miss	acing	ar N/O
1. CC conso save o ADV	OURAGE. Moral or physical stru- cience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with	ength rega	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions, Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or	Pers	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom thers in the face of my	tability, pla th the miss ne oral	acing	a or N/O
1. CO conso save o ADV	DURAGE. Moral or physical stru- cience over competing interests others. The will to persevere do Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- tibility commensurate with scope of duties and semerisnes. Willing to face	ength rega rspite	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdiess of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by	Pers	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or life-threate under the most advers	onsibility and account or death to accomplise d capacity to overcom thers in the face of mo- ning danger. Demonst e conditions. Settless.	tability, pla ih the miss ne oral trated	acing	ar N/O
1. CC consc save ( ADV	DURAGE. Moral or physical str- cience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges	ength rega rspite	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdfess of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous	Pers	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire o dilemma or life-threate under the most advers Always places conscie	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom thers in the face of mo ning danger. Demonst e conditions. Selfless. nee over competing in c personal. concerne	tability, pla th the miss oral trated	sion	or N/O
1. CO conso save o ADV	DURAGE. Moral or physical stru- ience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength- adia acceptance of respon- sbillity commensuration scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission accomplishment.	ength rega	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or axiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities.	Pers	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or life-threate under the most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical	onsibility and account or death to accomplise d capacity to overcom thers in the face of me ning danger. Demonst e conditions. Settless. noc over competing in or personal conseque	tability, pla the mission oral trated interests inces.	acing	or N/O
1. CO conso save o ADV	DURAGE. Moral or physical str ience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission becomplishment. B	ength rega rspite	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits travery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D	Persideci	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Obstacles and inspire dilemma or life-threate under the most adverse Always places conscie regardless of physical	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom thers in the face of me ning danger. Demonst e conditions. Selfless. noce over competing in or personal conseque F	tability, pla the miss oral trated interests ences.	G	ar N/O
ADV	UIRAGE. Moral or physical str isence over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in a complexity of the strength accomplishment. B	c	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdfess of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability with the stranger, field difficult of ability with the stranger of the difficult of ability with the stranger of the stranger ability of the stranger ab	E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and interpreted dilemma or life-threader dilemma or life-threader Always places conscie regardless of physical	onsibility and account or death to accomplis ad capacity to overcom others in the face of mo ning danger. Demonst conditions. Settless. noe over competing in or personal conseque F	tability, pla the mission oral trated interests inces.	G	N/O
ADV	DURAGE. Moral or physical str isence over competing interests tothers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission becompositivent. B EFECTURENESS LINNER STRESS	c	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdfess of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or arxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities.	E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire dilemma or line Arteate under the most advers Adverge places conscie regardless of physical efficients of physical and	onsibility and account or death to accomplis ad capacity to overcom where in the face of m img danger. Demonst conditions. Settless. Settless. F Competing in the face of the property of the settless of the settless of the settless of the property of the settless of the settless of the settless of the property of the settless of the settless of the settless of the property of the settless of the settless of the settless of the property of the settless of the settless of the settless of the settless of the property of the settless of the	tability, pk the mist oral trated interests inces.	G G	N/O
ADV	URAGE. Moral or physical str ience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission accomolishment. B EFFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES e apprografiae for the situation,	C S. Th while	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning aleading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling of displaying steady purpose of action, enabling of	E Control of the test	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line threate under the most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical ditions of physical and inspire others while or	onsibility and account or death to accomplis ad capacity to overcom thers in the face of m img danger. Demonst e conditions. Settless. To or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M for mental pressure.	tability, plo the miss oral trated interests inces.	G G G G G	N/O H
ADV	URAGE. Moral or physical str ience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- schulter of musers aud experience. Willing to face in pursuit of mission accomolishment. B E FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS to appropriate for the situation, tions. Physical and emotional	C C S. Th while	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning steady purpose of action, enabling th, resilience and endurance are elements.	E Corrector	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire dilemma or line threate under the most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical ditions of physical and inspire others while or	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom thers in the face of m img danger. Demonst e conditions. SetRess. To or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M or mental pressure. M	tability. pla the miss oral trated interests noes.	G G G G C C	н п-
ADV	DURAGE. Moral or physical stri ience over competing interests tothers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission becompositivent. B FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS re appropriate for the situation. Exhibits discipline and	C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, lear, difficulty or aboreity and uncertainty. Not deterned by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling of th, resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of	E Control of the test of test	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of obstacles and inspire of different most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical diffions of physical and inspire others while of Demonstrates seldom	onsibility and account or death to accomplis ad capacity to overcom others in the face of mu been in the face of mu become competing in or personal conseque F	tability. pla the mission real trated interests mores.	G Cor	H m-
ADV ADV	DURAGE. Moral or physical stru- ience over competing interests thers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission pursuit of mission becompositivent. B FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS re appropriate for the situation. B FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS re appropriate for the situation. Exhibits discipline and stability under pressure. Judgment and effective	C C S. Th while	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or arxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde disploying steady purpose of action, enabling efficients. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and wilipower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through	E Der corr Der to	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line Arheate under the most advers Adwarg places conscie regardless of physical additions of physical and o inspire others while oc Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation	onsibility and account or death to accomplis ad capacity to overcom thers in the face of m img danger. Demonst conditions. Settless. F F or mental pressure. M intinuing to lead under matabad pressure. of ding circumstances.	tability. pic the mission real trated interests inces.	G G G Cor	H N/O H m-
ADV ADV	URAGE. Moral or physical str ience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission lecomolishment. B EFFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS re appropriate for the situation, born. Physical and emotional schibitis under pressure. Judgment and effective problem-solving skills are evident.	C S. The stren	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling of th. resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and wilipower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuition, problem-solving states and endurance are selements.	E Concerto	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line threate under the most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical and the state of the state regardless of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom under the nost deman Statelities physical or di Unevening institution of di	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom thers in the face of m img danger. Demonst e conditions. Settless. F or mental pressure. M mental pressure. M matched presence of f ding circumstances, through the resolute 1.	tability, pla the mission oral trated interests inces.	G G G G G	H N/O H m-
ADV	URAGE. Moral or physical stri ience over competing interests others. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission accomolishment. B FECTIVENESS UNDER STRES FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS Trability under pressure. Judgment and effective problem-solving skills are evident.	C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Builded by consciences in all actions, Proven ability to devectome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling div, resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through bails, and leadership. Composure reassures others.	E Correction	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery a diamma or life threats and the short of the threats and the short of the threats and the short of the short and the short of the short of the short of the short and the short of the short of the short and the short of the short of the short and the short of the short of the short of the short of the short and the short of th	onsibility and account or death to accomplis despacify to overcom theres in the table of mo- theres in the table of mo- sent sectors and the sectors of personal conseque personal conseque f f or mental pressure. M intrinuing to lead under matabade presence of in- matabade presence of in- matabade presence of in- matabade presence of the matabade presence of the matabad	tability, pla the mission oral trated interests inces.	G g cor	N/O H D N/O
A Conscisave of ADV	URAGE. Moral or physical straight over competing interests to there. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission becompositioned. The straight of the strength of the strength of the straight of the straighto	C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits travery in the bace of anxiety. Exhibits travery in the bace of ymorally difficult situations or hazardous morally difficult situations or hazardous presponsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling of th, resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuition, problem-solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others.	E E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of different the most advers. Always places conscie regardless of physical additions of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis ad capacity to overcom where in the face of mu img danger. Demonst one over competing in or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M matched pressure of f ding circumstances. Intrough the resolute a rection, focus and per F	tability, pla the misi- ne oral trated interests mores.	G G G G G	и/о н п л/о
ADV	URAGE. Moral or physical stri ience over competing interests thers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission accomplishment. B FEECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS re appropriate for the situation, tions. Physical and emotional Exhibits discipline and stability under pressure. Judgment and effective problem-solving skills are evident. B	C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or arxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde disploying steady purpose of action, enabling dynessity. The stead of actions, enabling dynessity and wilpower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos throwing skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D	E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line Atheate under the most advers Adverge places conscie regardless of physical additions of physical and o inspire others while oc Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis ad capacity to overcom there in the face of m ing danger. Demonst conditions. Settless. F F or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M intinuing to lead under matched pressure of f ding circumstances. Frection, focus and person F	tability, pla the misi- ne oral interests inces.	G G G	н п. п. п.
ADV A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	URAGE. Moral or physical straience over competing interests tothers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission becomolishment.  B  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS e appropriate for the situation, bons. Physical and emotional and stability under pressure. Judgment and effective problem-solving skills are evident. B  ITI/ATIVE. Action in the absence	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde disploying steady purpose of action, enabling of th. resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and wilipower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuition, problem-solving shifts, and leadership. Composure reassures others.	E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line threate under the most advers regardless of physical aditions of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom thers in the face of me img danger. Demonst c conditions. Settless. F or mental pressure. M mental pressure. M matching pressure. M ding circumstances. through the resolute rection, focus and per F ention, focus and per F matching pressure. M	tability. pli h the misi near oral interests inces. Baintaining radverse mind and sonal gin a task i	G G G G G G G G and	
A Consolitation of the second	DURAGE. Moral or physical stri ience over competing interests tothers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in possibility and the strateging experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in possibility and the strateging properties of the situation. Exhibits discipline and stability under pressure. Judgment and effective problem-solving skills are evident. B IIITATIVE Action in the absence through energetically on one	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions, Proven abardy, Eshbitis bravger, live difficult of adversity, and uncertainty. Not deterned by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling th, resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuitor, Composure reassures others. D pacific direction. Seeing what needs to be done accord. Being creative, proactive and decisive	E E E E E E and	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of under the most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom others in the face of mu there in the face of mu schedulers, settless, nee over competing in or personal conseque F conseque F conseque f conseque f cons	tability. pl; h the misi ne oral interests inces.	G G G G G G G G and	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	URAGE. Moral or physical stri sence over competing interests tothers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission becompositive and the situation. B FECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS re appropriate for the situation. Insr. Physical and emotional Exhibits discipline and stability under pressure. Judgment and effective problem-solving skills are evident. B ITIATIVE. Action in the absence through energetically on one:	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits travery in the bac of anxiety. Exhibits travery in the bac of ymorally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling th, resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuiton, problem-solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D pecific direction. Seeing what needs to be dom accord. Being creative, proactive and decisive Self-projivated and action-oriented. Foresight and energy consistently transform	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line threase Always places conscie regardless of physical ditions of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis ind capacity to overcom there in the face of mu provide the second second or personal conseque F or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M matched presence of f ding circumstances. Through the resolute a rection, focus and per F matched presence of f more second second second for the second second second second second second for the second second second second second second second for the second second second second second second second for the second second second second second second second second for the second second second second second second second for the second second second second second second second for the second second second second second second second second for the second	tability. pla h the misi ne oral interests inces. Isintaining adverse mind and sonal gin a task a	G G G G G G G G G G	
ADV A Consistence ADV A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	URAGE. Moral or physical stri sence over competing interests thers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission accomolishment. B FEECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS re appropriate for the situation. B FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS re appropriate for the situation. Stress Physical and emotional Exhibits discipline and stability under pressure. Judgment and effective problem-solving skills are evident. B ITIATIVE. Action in the absence of specific direction. Acts	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or arxiety. Exhibits travery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally follities structures for hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde disploying steady purpose of action, enabling eff, restilence and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and wilpower during periods of up to a specific of intuition of intuition to belien solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others.	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line Atheate under the most advers Advergt Baces regardless of physical additions of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom thers in the face of m ing danger. Demonst conditions. Settless. F D mental pressure. M Intinuing to lead under matched pressure. M Interview of the settless matched pressure of f ding circumstances. F D mental pressure of the matched pressure of the	tability. pl ish the mission regal trated hterests inces. Isintaining r adverse mind and and sonal gin a task :	G G G G G G G G G G G	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	URAGE. Moral or physical straience over competing interests tience over competing interests tothers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission becomolishment. B FEECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS re appropriate for the situation, bors. Physical and emotional i Exhibits discipline and stability under pressure. Judgment and effective problem-solving skills are evident. B ITHATIVE. Action in the absence of through energetically on one: bake action in the absence of specific direct, willingness to take action in the absence of specific direct, acts commensurate will grade,	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde disploying statage purpose of action, enabling of the resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuition, problem-solving sollies. D pecific direction. Seeing what needs to be done accord. Being creative, proactive and decisive Foresight and energy consistently transform opportunity into action. Develops and pursues creative, innovative solutions. Acts without prompting. Self-stater.	E E E A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery a diamma or life-threats diamma or life-threats and the threats and the second second actions of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates teldom Under the most deman Stabilizes any situation acting without promptio presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis in the factor of more there in the factor of more there in the factor of more of the factor of the factor of personal conseque is a selfless. The over competing in or personal conseque is a selfless. The over competing in or personal conseque is a selfless. The institution of the factor of the institution of the more and the institution of the more and the institution of the oracetive. Displays of surroundings and objective original, any stakes decisive, e	tability, pip ish the miss oral trated interests inces. Baintaining r adverse mind and and and gin a task i tission far- treterive	G G G G G G G G G G G	
ADV A Consistence ADV A Consistence ADV A Consistence ADV A Consistence ADV	URAGE. Moral or physical stri ience over competing interests thers. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission leconositisment. B FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES re appropriate for the situation, form. Physical and emotional form. Physical and emotional fibrits discipling and tability underfine suc. Judgment and effective proplem-solving skills are evident. B UTIATIVE Action in the absence of specific durection. Acts commensurate with grade, training and experience.	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling of the application of intuitors, enabling of diversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuitors, problem-solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D pecific direction. Seeing what needs to be dom accord. Being greative, proactive and decisive Self-motivated and action-oriented. Foresight and energy consistently transform opportunity into action. Develops and pursues cancer and and action. Acts without prompting. Self-starter.	E E E I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of under the most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical ditions of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom Stabilizes any situation Stabilizes any situation is solve the set deman Stabilizes any situation presence. accing without prompti performing opportunity Highly motivated and presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis departure of the second second of the second second second magnetized sectors and second second second second second second second second matched presence of the matched presence of the matched presence of the sector, focus and per- fing circumstances, in through barress, is restion, focus and per- fing in the second se	tability, plath the mission are considered to the second interests incoses.	G G G and	
ADV A Consistence ADV A Consistence ADV A Consistence ADV A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	DURAGE. Moral or physical straight over competing interests to there. The will to persevere de Demonstrates inner strength and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission becompositioned. The straight of the straight	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Enhibits bravery in the face of provide difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities.	E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line threate dilemma or line threate Always places conscie regardless of physical ditions of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis in deapacity to overcom there in the face of m ing danger. Demonst conditions. Settless, or personal conseque F b or mental pressure. M minimum to lead under matched presence of ding circumstances. Intrough the resolute i rection, focus and per F net brough the resolute i rection, focus and per f b action. The instinct to beg mo action. proactive. Displays of surroundings and ability to anticipate m ability anticipate m ability anticipate m ability to anticipate m ability anticipate m ability anticipate m ability anticipate m ability to anticipate m ability anticipate m	tability, pi ish the misi record frated interests inces. Asintaining adverse mind and sonal gin a task i far- effective	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
ADV A Consistence ADV A Consistence ADV A Consistence ADV A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	DURAGE. Moral or physical struicence over competing interests theres. The will to persevere de      Demonstrates inner strength      and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with      scope of duties and      experience. Willing to face      moral or physical challenges      in pursuit of mission      B     FEECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS.      re appropriate for the situation.      for the situation.      Some surger of the situation.      B     FEECTIVENESS discipline and      stability under pressure.      Judgment and effective      problem-solving skills are      evident.     B     INTATIVE. Action in the absence of      specific direction. Acts      sogmensurate willingness to      take action in the absence of      specific direction. Acts      sogmensurate willingnest      training and experience.     B		to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits bravery in the tace of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde disploying steady purpose of action, enabling of disploying steady purpose of action disploying skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D pecific direction. Seeing what needs to be done accord. Being creative, proactive and decisive settout prompting. Self-starter.		onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line Arteate under the most advers Adverge places conside regardless of physical additions of physical and o inspire other's while or Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis depacity to overcom there in the face of me conditions. Settless. F or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M entinuing to lead under matched presence of ding circumstances. F me through the resolute - rection, focus and per F mo resolute - rection, focus and per flo action. F mo action. Consective. Displays of sumoundings and displays of sumoundings and action. F	tability, pip the mission or al trated interests mores. Baintaining radverse mind and and and and gin a task tission far- trective	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
ADV A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	URAGE. Moral or physical strisence over competing interests tothers. The will to persevere de  Demonstrates inner strength  and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with  scope of duties and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  in pursue with  scope strength of the situation.  B  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS  e appropriate for the situation.  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS  e appropriate for the situation.  B  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS  e appropriate and effective  proplem-solving skills are  wident.  B  ITTATIVE Action in the abasence  of specific direction. Acts  commensurate with grade.  training and experience.  B  TIFICATION:	c C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions, Proven ability ventous danger, feat difficulty or ability ventous danger, feat difficulty or adversity and its danger, feat difficulty or morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D difficult situations or hazardous displaying steady purpose of action, enabling difficulty demonstrates maturity, mental adversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuitor, problem-solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D procific direction. Seeing what needs to be don accord. Being creative, proactive and decisive Self-motivated and action-oriented. Self-protivated and action-oriented. Self-indivated and action-oriented. Self-indivated and action-oriented. Self-indivated and action-oriented. D D D Distaus ventor, into action. Develops and pursues curve of the solutions. Acts without prompting. Self-starter.	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of under the most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation stabilizes any situation presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom others in the face of mu there in the face of mu econdition. Settless. nee over competing in or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M Intimuing to lead under matched presence of through the resolute is through the resolute is through the resolute is through the resolute is proactive. Displays of surroundings and ability to anticipation.	tability, pi the mission or al trated interests incess Maintaining adverse mind and sonal gin a task i sission far- effective	G G G G G G G G G G G G	
A Consistent ADV	URRAGE. Moral or physical strisence over competing interests them ill to persevere de  Demonstrates inner strength  and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with  scope of duties and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  in pursuit of mission  laccomplement.  B  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES:  re appropriate for the situation,  itoms. Physical and emotional  Exhibits discipline and  stability under pressure.  Judgment and effective  problem-solving skills are  evident.  B  ITHATIVE. Action in the absence of  specific direction. Acts  commensurate with grade,  training and experience.  B  THECATION:	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Enhlits travery in the bac et morally difficult situations or hazardous morally difficult situations or hazardous displaying steady purpose of action, enabling displaying steady purpose of action, enabling difficult dimover during periods of adversity. Provides orger to chaos through the application of intuition, problem-solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D encoint direction. Develops and pursues creative, proactive and decisive Self-notivated and action-oriented without prompting. Self-starter. D	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line threase dilemma or line threase Always places conscie regardless of physical additions of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis in the face of mu there in the face of mu conserves. Being in or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M mutuhed pressure. M mutuhed presence of f ding circumstances. Through the resolute a rection, focus and per F matched presence of f mutuhed presence of f ding circumstances. F mutuhed presence of f mutuhed presence of f ding circumstances. The institute to beg of surroundings and ability to anticipate m disployments to beg differences and the second ability to anticipate m ability to anticipate m abilit	tability, pl ish the miss real frated interests inces. Islintaining adverse mind and sonal gin a task i far- ffective	G G G G G G G G G G G G	
ADV A Consistence ADV ADV A Consistence ADV ADV A Consistence ADV	URRAGE. Moral or physical strisence over competing interests tothers. The will to persevere de  Demonstrates inner strength  and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with  scope of duties and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  in pursuit of mission  accomplishment.  B  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS  te appropriate for the situation,  tooms. Physical and emotional  Exhibits discipline and  stability under pressure.  Judgment and effective  problem-solving skills are  evident.  B  ITTATIVE. Action in the absence of  specific direction. Acts  commensurate willingness to  take action in the absence of  specific direction. Acts  commensurate willingness to  take action in the absence of  specific direction. Acts  commensurate willingness to  take action in the absence of  specific direction. Acts  commensurate willingness to  take action in the absence of  specific direction. Acts  commensurate willingness to  take action in the absence of  specific direction. Acts  commensurate willingness  take action in the absence of  specific direction. Acts  commensurate  B  Internet  B Interne	C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by mesonsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde disploying steady purpose of action, enabling eth, restilence and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and Piposeer during periods of disploying steady purpose of action, enabling skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D pecific direction. Seeing what needs to be dom accord. Being creative, procetive and decisive Self-motivated and action-oriented proportianty in action. Consistently transform opportunity no action. Seeing what needs to be dom without prompting. Self-starter.	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line Athene under the most adverse Adverse regardless of physical ditions of physical and inspire others while oc Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis depacity to overcom there in the face of m img danger. Demonst conditions. Settless. F Commental pressure. M Intinuing to lead under matched pressure of f ding circumstances. F Commental pressure of f ding circumstances. F Commental pressure of the matched pressure of the matched pressure of the matched pressure of the ding circumstances. F Commental pressure of the matched pressure of the ding circumstances. F Commental pressure of the matched pressure of the matched pressure of the ding circumstances. F Commental pressure of the pressure of the set	tability, pip the mission or al trated interests mores. Baintaining radverse mind and and and gin a task i tission far- iffective	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
A Consister ADV	URAGE. Moral or physical strisence over competing interests there. The will to persevere de  Demonstrates inner strength  and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with  scope of duties and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  incomplete the situation.  B  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRESS  incomplete the situation  incomplete the sit	C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by consciences in all actions, Proven abaley, Eshibits braveroj in the face of adversity, and uncertainty. Not determed by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D displaying steady purpose of action, enabling inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling th, resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of adversity, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D pecific direction. Seeing what needs to be don accound. Being creative, proactive and decisive Self-motivated and action-oriented self-motivated and schon-oriented self-motivated and schon-oriented schon schon sch	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm uncommon bevery a obtained and inspure default set of the obtained and the obtained and the obtained and the obtained and the and the obtained and stabilizes any situation of default and deman Stabilizes any situation presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis departure of the second second or personal conseque e conditions. Selfless. nee over competing in or personal conseque F commental pressure. M minimum to lead under matched presence of matched presence	tability, pi th the miss oral trated interests inces. Baintaining r adverse mind and and and and gin a task : far- effective	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
A Consister ADV	URRAGE. Moral or physical strisence over competing interests to there. The will to persevere de  Demonstrates inner strength  and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with  scope of duties and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  in pursuit of mission  laccomolishment.  B  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES:  re appropriate for the situation.  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES:  re appropriate or the situation  on  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES:  re appropriate for the situation.  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES:  re appropriate or the situation.  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES:  B  THATIVE. Action in the absence of  specific direction. Acts  commensurate with grade,  training and experience.  B  THATIVE STRESS STRESS STRESS STRESS STRESS STRESS  STIFICATION:	c C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, lear, difficulty or ability to overcome danger, lear, difficulty or ability to overcome danger, lear, difficulty or ability of difficult situations or hazardous morally difficult situations or hazardous prosponsibilities. D difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuition, problem-solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D ecclific direction. Seeing what needs to be dom accord. Being creative, proactive and decisive Self-motivated and action-oriented. Foresight and energy consistently transform opportunity into action. Develops and pursues could be application. Acts without prompting. Self-starter.	E E	onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of distances and the second obstacles and inspire of distances and the second and the most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical and inspire others while of Demonstrates seldom under the most demain under the most demain stabilize places demonstrates tablice places and the bablice places and the bablice places and the presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis in the face of mu there in the face of mu ing danger. Benness ing over competing in or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M Intinuing to lead under matched presence of r fing circumstances. Intercompleting in matched presence of r fing circumstances. The instinct to beg matched presence of r fing circumstances. The instinct to beg of surroundings and ability to anticipate a for surroundings and ability to anticipate plant to anticipate a stakes decisive.	tability, plath the mission or all trated interests incomes. Maintaining adverse mind and sonal gin a task in the sonal gin a task in the sonal sonal is the sonal sonal sonal is the sonal sona	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
A Constant of ADV A Constant o	DURAGE. Moral or physical straight over competing interests the site over competing interests to there. The will to persevere de loemonstrates inner strength and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with scope of duties and experience. Willing to face moral or physical challenges in pursuit of mission becompositions. Physical and emotional to the situation, it is to the situation, it is to the situation. The situation of the situation of the situation of the situation of the situation. B logical and emotional and effective profilem-solving skills are evident.     B logical and effective profile direction. Acts commensurate with grade, training and experience.     B logical straining and experience.     B logical straining and experience.     B logical straining and experience.	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety. Exhibits travery in the face of adventify efficial isolations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling the application of intuiton, problem-solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D execting creative, provides to be done adversity. Provides orgier to chaos through the application of intuiton, problem-solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D execting creative, procetive and decisive Self-enoivated and action-oriented. Foresight and energy consistently transform opportunity into action. Develops and pursues creative, innovative solutions. Acts without prompting. Self-starter.		onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of dilemma or line threate dilemma or line threate Always places conscie regardless of physical ditions of physical and inspire other's while or Demonstrates seldom under the most deman Stabilizes any situation timely application of di presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis depart to accomplis there in the face of mining danger. Demonst conditions. Settless, or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M minimumg to lead under matched presence of r ding circumstances. Through the resolute is rection, focus and per F or through the resolute is rection, focus and per for action. The instinct to beg mo action.	tability, pi ish the misi me oral trated interests inces. Asintaining adverse mind and sonal gin a task i far- effective	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
ADV A Consister ADV	URAGE. Moral or physical strisence over competing interests these The will to persevere de  Demonstrates inner strength. and acceptance of responsibility commensurate with  scope of duties and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  bornal provides and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  bornal provides and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  bornal provides and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  bornal provides and  the situation  bornal provides and  born	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Quided by consciences in all actions. Proven anxiety. Exhibits bravery in the face of adversity and uncertainty. Not deterred by morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling of the resilience and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of adversity, and endurance are elements. Consistently demonstrates maturity, mental agility and willpower during periods of adversity. Provides order to chaos through the application of intuitors, problem-solving states and leadership. Composure reassures others. D secific direction. Seeing what needs to be done accord. Being creative, proactive and decisive Self-novided and action-oriented Pornsight and energy consistently ransform opportunity into action. Develops and pursues creative, innovative solutions. Acts without prompting. Self-starter.		onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery a diamma or life threats of the threats and	onsibility and account or death to accomplis of capacity to overcom there in the table of my there in the table of my econditions. Selfless. nee over competing in or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M Intimuing to lead under matabade presence of in- my the pressure of the selfless of the pressure of the selfless of the pressure of the selfless of surroundings and of selfless of the selfless of surroundings and of selfless of selfless of surroundings and of selfless of selfless of surroundings and tablity to anticipate m (by formulate original, ways takes decisive, e	tability, pic the mission or al trated interests inces.	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	URAGE. Moral or physical strisence over competing interests the UI to persevere de  Demonstrates inner strength  and acceptance of respon- sibility commensurate with  scope of duties and  experience. Willing to face  moral or physical challenges  in pursuit of mission  lacconsolishment.  B  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES re appropriate for the situation,  the situation,  to be situation  be  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES re appropriate for the situation,  to be situation  be  FFECTIVENESS UNDER STRES re appropriate and effective  proplem-solving skills are  wident.  B  ITIATIVE Action in the absence of  specific duraction in the absence  of specific duraction,  and experience.  B  TIFICATION:  MC 10825 (Dev. 7.11) (STE)		to overcome danger, fear, difficulty or anxiety, rdless of consequences. Conscious, overriding uncertainty. Guided by conscience in all actions. Proven ability to cureorome danger, fear, difficulty or about the cureor of the cureor of the cureor morally difficult situations or hazardous responsibilities. D inking, functioning and leading effectively unde displaying steady purpose of action, enabling of the application of intuitor, enabling of the application of intuitor, problem-solving skills, and leadership. Composure reassures others. D pecific direction. Seeing what needs to be dom accord. Being greative, proactive and decisive Self-motivated and action-oriented. Self-protivated and action-oriented without prompting. Self-starter.		onal acceptance of resp sion to risk bodily harm Uncommon bravery ar obstacles and inspire of under the most advers Always places conscie regardless of physical and inspire others while or Demonstrates seldom Under the most deman Stabilizes any situation Stabilizes any situation isoforming opportunity i Highly motivated and presence.	onsibility and account or death to accomplis d capacity to overcom where in the face of m modifies. Settless nee over competing in or personal conseque F or mental pressure. M Intimuing to lead under matched presence of fing circumstances. through the resolute is rection, focus and pers flo action. F m The instinct to beg flo action. F f formulates decisive.	tability, pl tability, pl the mission rate interests incess. Itaintaining adverse mind and and and sonal gin a task i ission far- effective	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	

1. Ma a.	arine Reported On: Last Name		ь	. First Nan	ne	c. MI	d.	\$\$	N	2. Occa a. OCC	sion and Period C b. From	overed: To		
-														
F. I	EADERSHIP													
1. LE subor maxin	ADING SUBORDINATES. The in dinates. Using authority, persu- nizing subordinates' performance	nsep asior æ.	arable relati and persor	onship betwe nality to influ	ence sub	r and led ordinates	to ac	appi com	ication of lead plish assigne	d tasks.	inciples to provide d Sustaining motivatio	n and mor	d mo ale w	tivate hile
ADV	Engaged; provides instructions and directs execution, Seeks to accomplish mission in ways that sustain motivation and morale. Actions contribute to unit effectiveness.		Achieves a direction and subordinati standards of through co motivation and sustair mission re and cando	a highly effec nd delegation expected. En nstructive si and enhance is teams tha equirements, r among sub	tive balan . Effectiv y defineat thances upervision es morale t success encourag ordinates	ce betw vely task performa n. Foste Builds fully me ges initia	een s noe rs et tive		Promotes or subordinates direction and of performan individual in subordinatio subo	reativity a s by striki d delegati itiative. E itiative. E n, loyalty s to overc Personal tivation ar nent even es.	nd energy among ng the ideal balance on. Achieves highes ubordinates by enco- ngenders willing and trust that allow ome their perceived isadership fosters hi nd morale, ensuring in the most difficult	of t levels uraging ghest mission		N/O
<b>A</b>	8	c		D	1			Ē			F		G	н
2 00	VELOPING SUBORDINATES. C	om	nitment to tr	ain, educate	and chai	ienge all	Marin	esne	spandless of n	ace, religi	on, ethnic backgrout	nd, or gend	HT.	
coach	rship. Cultivating professional ing. Creating an atmosphere to	and lerar	t of mistake	velopment of is in the court	rse of lear	ning.	werop	ing t	eam players a	and esprit	de corps. Ability to	combine te	achir	g and
ADV	Maintains an environment that allows personal and professional development. Ensures subordinates participate in all mandated development programs.		Develops to include profession Challenges perceived morale and environme to learn the prepares s responsibil	and instituter PME, that em al developme s subordinate potential ther d effectivenes nt where all 1 rough trial an ubordinates 1 lities and dut	s innovati phasize p ent of sub s to exor reby enha s. Create Marines a d error. A d error. A for increa- ies.	ve progr versonal bordinate red their ancing ui rs an re confic s a men ased	ams, and is. nit ient tor,		Widely reco coach and le serve with th grow person and unit per results due t building tale developmen unit.	gnized an eader. An his Marine ally and p formance to MRO's nts. Attit t is infect	d emulated as a teac y Marine would desit because they know rofessionally. Subo far surpassed expec mentorship and team ude toward subordin ous, extending beyo	ther, they will rdinate ted ted te ate ate and the		N/O
<u>^</u>	в	c		D				E			F		G	н
H				leadership:	NOW WELL	Manne	Serves	L	a role model i	or all othe	TS Personal action		<u> </u>	
the hi	ghest standards of conduct, ethi	cal b	ehavior, fitn	ess, and app	earance.	Bearing.	deme	anor	and self-disc	sipline are	elements.		-	
ADV	Maintains Marine Corps standards for appearance, weight, and uniform wear. Sustains required level of physical fitness. Adheres to the tenets of the Marine Corps core values.		Personal o highest Ma bearing and exceptional in wide-ran profession improveme	onduct on ar rine Corps st d appearance I. Actively se ging areas. I al example e nt efforts.	andards ( charac eks self-i Dedication ncourage	of integrater is integrater is integrater is integrater is integrater is integrated and integrat	ity. and self-		Model Marin conduct, beh inspiration to Remarkable others.	e, frequer avior, and subordir dedication	ntly emulated. Exem d actions are tone-se nates, peers, and ser n to improving self a	plary tting. An tiors. nd		N/O
^	B	c		D	1			E			F		G	н
4. E	SURING WELL-BEING OF SUB	UHU DHU	NATES. G	nune intere	st in the w	The im	of M	arine	s. Efforts en	hance sub	ordinates' ability to	concentration the belie	afloc that	ĸ
Marin ADV	the state care of their own. Deals confidently with issues perfinent to subordinate welfare and recognizes suitable courses of action that support subordinates' well-being. Applies available resources, allowing subordinates to effectively concentrate on the mission.		Instills and responsibil themselves systems for ability to co accomplish to accompli- to accompli	for reinforce ity among ju and their su development r subordinate ontribute to u ument. Effort e welfare imp ish its missio	s a sense nior Marin bordinate t of and u es which i s which i s which i s to enha prove the on.	of ies for is. Activ ses supp improve in unit's al	ely xort their bility		Noticeably e resulting in a effectiveness to provide su available. Pro unit member correcting po- hinder subor recognized fi produce resu- tamily atmos Martnes alwa	nhances i measura s. Maximi ibordinato oactive ap s to "take stential pr dinates" e dinates e di	subordinates well-bo ble increases in unit zes unit and base re- swith the best supp proach serves to en care of their own, " procession they oblems before they oblems before they flectiveness. Widely uses and policies tha uid morale. Builds of its motto Mission fluction.	sing, sources kort ergisze hereby can y t strong rst		N/O
<u>^</u>	в	c		D	1			E			F		G	н
5.0	OMMUNICATION SKILLS. The e	fficie	nt transmis	sion and reco	eipt of the	ughts a	ide.	as th	at enable and	enhance	leadership. Equal in	nportance g	iven	to
comp	ing, speaking, writing, and critic lex ideas in a form easily under	stoo	ading skills d by everyor	ne. Allows su	ubordinat	one to p es to ask	quest	e pro tions	porems and si s, raise issues	and con	provide concise guid erns and venture op	sance, and e inions. Co	ntrib	utes
ADV	Skilled in receiving and conveying information. Communicates effectively in performance of duties.		Clearly art verbally an forms is ac timely. Cor ensuring u Encourage of others.	iculates thou d in writing, ourate, intell mmunicates nderstanding s and consid	ghts and Commun igent, con with clarit of intent ers the co	ideas, ication in icise, and ty and ve or purps ontributio	n all d rve, ose. ons		Highly deve Adept in con highest qual skills which understandir or size of the intuitive serv	loped fac nposing w ity. Comit engender ng irrespe e group av se of whe	lity in verbal commu infen documents of bines presence and v confidence and ach citve of the setting, dtressed. Displays a n and how to listen.	nication. the verbal ieve situation, in		N/O
A	B	C		D	1			E			F		G	н
JUS	TIFICATION: MC 10835 (Rev. 7-11) (EF)			EOR OF	FICIAL	ISE OF		Prin	Joy Cancilli	re when	Elled in	PAG	<u> </u>	)F 5
	and 10000 (1001. 1-11) (EP)			FOR OF	FICIAL U	JSE ON	LY - I	riv	acy sensitiv	re when	nilled in.	FAG	230	n, 0,



1. Marine Reported On: a. Last Name	b. First Name	6. M	d SSN	2	Occasio	n and Period Co	vered: To
	1						
I. DIRECTED AND ADDITIONAL	COMMENTS						
I. DIRECTED AND ADDITIONAL  J. CERTIFICATION  1. I CERTIFY that to the best of my know belief all entries made hereon are true an prejudice or partiality and that I have pro copy of this report to the Marine Reporte  2. I ACKNOWLEDGE the adverse nature  I have no statement to make	Wedge and d without vided a signed on.	(Signa	ture of Repor	ting Senior	)	(Date in YYY)	MMDD format)
I have no statement to make	-	(Signatur	e of Marine P	enorted On		(Date in YYY	YMMDD format)
K. REVIEWING OFFICER COMM	ENTS	Laidurator	e of marine N	eponed On	4		
1. OBSERVATION: Sufficient	Insufficient		2. EVALUAT	TION:	Conc	ur Do	Not Concur
3. COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT:	DESCR	RIPTION			. cc	MPARATIVE A	SSESSMENT
potential by placing an "X" in the appropriate box. In marking the	THE EMINENTLY	Y QUALIFIE	D MARINE			ð	
comparison, consider all Marines of this grade whose professional abilities are known to you personally.	ONE O	OF THE FEV	V D MARINES			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8
	ONE OF THE MAI	NY HIGHLY	QUALIFIED	1 1	8	0000000 000000	55 55
	MAJORITY	OF THIS G	RADE	ΙH	80	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	***
	A QUAL	IFIED MAR	INE			æ	_
	UNSA	TISFACTOR	RY				
4. REVIEWING OFFICER COMMENTS:	Amplify your compara	tive assessm	ent mark; eval	uate potential	for continu	ued professional d	levelopment to
<ol> <li>I CERTIFY that to the best of my know belief all entries made hereon are true an prejudice or partiality.</li> </ol>	wledge and nd without	(Signa	ture of Review	wing Office	r)	(Date in YY)	(YMMDD format)
6. I ACKNOWLEDGE the adverse nature	of this report and						
I have no statement to make	_	181-00-0		1		(Date in YY)	(YMMDD format)
L. ADDENDUM PAGE		(Signatur	e of Marine R	reported Or	ų		
ADDENDU	PAGE ATTACHED		VES				
NAVINC 10835 (Day 7.11) (EE)	A AGE AT MUNED	- L					PAGE 5 OF 5
NAVARC 10835 (Rev. 7-11) (EF)	FOR OFFIC	IAL USE (	NLY - Privac	y sensitive	when fille	d in.	1105 3 01 3

Figure 27. Marine Corps Fitness Report Template. Source: USMC (2015a).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## APPENDIX B. MASTER BRIEF SHEET EXAMPLE

****** ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION (ORIGINATES FROM MCTFS - CONTACT YOUR ADMIN SECTION FOR CORRECTIONS) ******           NAME         SSN         GRADE         KANK         LCN         DOR         Tig         CURRENT DUTY ASSIGNMENT         BILLET DESCRIPTION           MARINE, JOHN S.         123456789         O4         MAJ         123456781         19990501         5%r.11mo.         US Central Command         J-3 Future Opc Officer           KEY DATE SUMMARY         AWARDS         MILITARY OCCUPATIONAL SPECIAL ITES         TRAINING SUMMARY           DEAF         19990702         MM         1         PMOS         0302         Infantry Officer         AMOS4         ACQ         PISTOL         M7340         1999           PEBD         199905201         NA         1         AMOS1         0602         Communications Officer         AOOS4         PFT         A/29         2003           AFADBD         199905201         MILITARY         EUUCATION SUMMARY         2003         TAN         2003         TAN         2003           0500         050115         CIVILIAN         MILITARY         EUUCATION SUMMARY         PME         2003	DN         DC1B           or         20030717           tY         LANGUAGES           280915         1994           French         Density
NAME         SN         GRADE         RANK         LCN         DOR         IIG         CURRENT DUTY ASSIGNMENT         BILLET DESCRIPTION           MARINE, JOHN S.         123456789         O4         MAJ         12345678         1990901         5//r.11mo.         US Central Command         J-3 Future Opc Officer           KEY UATE SUMMARY         AWARDS         MILITARY OCCUPATIONAL SPECIAL TIES         TRAINING SUMMARY           DEAF         19980702         MM         1         PMOS         0302         Infantry Officer         AMOS4         ACQ         PISTOL         FISTOL         M/340         1989           PEBD         19980520         NA         1         AMOS2         Communications Officer         ACQ         JOINT         BMOS         9910         Unrestricted Officer         ACMAP         7.AN         2003           FADBD         198905201         AMOS1         AMOS2         AMOS2         Communications Officer         BMOS         9910         Unrestricted Officer         MCMAP         7.AN         2003           FADBD         1989050115         CIVILIAN         MILITARY         EUCATION SUMMARY         PME         2003	DN         DC1B           er         20030717           (Y         LANGUAGES           980915         1994           9200215         1092           9200215         1092
MARINE, JOHN S.         123456789         O4         MAJ         12245678         19990501         Syr. 11mo.         US Central Command         J.3 Future Ops Officer           KEY DATE SUMMARY         AWARDS         MML         12245678         19990501         Syr. 11mo.         US Central Command         J.3 Future Ops Officer           BEAF         19890702         MM         1         PMOS         0302         Infantry Officer         AMO 04.         PEAF         19800500         PISTOL         PISTOL         PISTOL         PISTOL         PISTOL         PISTOL         AMO 32.         PISTOL         PISTOL         PISTOL         A/3.40         1980         PISTOL         PISTOL         PISTOL         PISTOL         A/3.40         1980         PISTOL         PISTOL         PISTOL         A/3.40         1980         2003         PISTOL         PISTOL         PISTOL         A/3.40         1980         2003         PISTOL         PISTOL         A/3.40         1980         2003         TAN         2003         2003         TAN	Comparison         Decision           er         20030717           CY         LANGUAGES           980915         1994           980915         1994           French         Sensitive
KEY DATE SUMMARY         AWARDS         MILITARY OCCUPATIONAL SPECIALTIES         TRAINING SUMMARY           DEAF         19890702         MM         1         PMOS         0302         Infantry Officer         AMOS4         RIFLE         PIFLE         PIFLE         PISOL         M// 40         1989           TIS         15/r. 11mo.         NC         2         AMOS3         OB022         Communications Officer         ACQ         PISTOL         M//340         1989           PEBD         19890520         AMOS3         OB022         Communications Officer         ACQ         PISTOL         M//340         1989           AFADBD         19890520         AMOS3         OB022         Communications Officer         BMOS         9910         Unrestricted Officer         M// A02         A289         2003           AFADBD         19890520         OSCD         198205115         EDUCATION SUMMARY         EDUCATION SUMMARY         PME	LANGUAGES           980915         1994           French           980915         1000
DEAF         19890702 15%         MM         1         PMOS         0.302 AMOS1         Infantry Officer         AMOS4 ACQ         AMOS4 ACQ         RIFLE         E/340         1986           PEBD         19890520         NA         1         Infantry Officer         AMOS1         ACQ         ACQ         AO(NT         PSTOL         PFTOL         PF	980915 1994 French
DEAR         19990/20         NIC         1         AMO S1         0002         Initiatity Onice in an initiatity Onice inite initiatity Onice inite initiatity Onice inite ini	290015 4000 Despish
ITS         15%         15%         11%         A         2         AMOS2         AMOS2         JOINT         JOINT         PFT         A/289         2003           AFADBD         19890520         19890520         AMOS3         BMOS3         BMOS         9910         Unrestricted Officer         PFT         A/289         2003           OSCD         19890510         EDUCATION SUMMARY         EDUCATION SUMMARY         PME	SOUS IS I IMME I SHAHNEN I
PEDD         Isseducid         AMOS3         BMOS         9910         Unrestricted Officer         MCMAP         TAN         2003           AADDD         19900520         EDUCATION SUMMARY         EDUCATION SUMMARY         PME	130922
APADBD 19990520 EDUCATION SUMMARY OSCD 1995015 CIVILIAN MILITARY PME	030815
USCO 1990/150 CIVILIAN MILITARY PME	
1000 COMM 10000531 1990 BA, Biology 1993 Winter Mountain Leader 2002 Command & Staff Non-Res	
DOR LOC 1986031 1986 Associates Deg 1993 Summer Mountain Leader 1997 AWS Ph II	
1962 H 07 1962 H 07 1967 Allourine 1995 AWS H 1 1995 AWS H 1 1995 AWS H 1 1995 AWS H 1 1 1995 AWS H 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Incape 19890520 1990 Information 1990 Information	
TAS 1989 Basic School	
****** PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SUMMARY ******	
ADMINISTRATIVE SUMMARY REPORTING SENIOR MARKINGS REVIEWING OF	OFFICER MARKINGS
Grade OCC From Months Billet Description Reporting Senior Per Pro Cou Eff Ini Lea Dev Set Ene Co PME Deo Jud Eval Reviewing Officer RO ma	narks - same grade at processing
BMOS Type To Com Adv Command Promote Reports RPT Avg RS Avg Rs High RPT at High RV at Proc Cum RV Obser Concur RO ma	narks - same grade cumulative
Capt     GC     19980801     9     Company Commander     LtCol Stickler     C     C     B     B     C     C     H     Col Spredlode     0/1     0/1	0/2 1/3 3/4 2/5 1/6 0/7 0/8
0302 N 19990503 X 1st Battalion 2d Marines Yes 14 of 17 2.53 2.25 2.82 1 94.60 96.00 Suff Yes 0/1 1.	1/2 9/3 12/4 23/5 11/6 1/7 0/8
	0/2 4/2 2/4 2/5 2/6 0/7 0/8
0002 C 13330601 ISPORTUOI 50 MULLINE 162 8 01 8 5100 5130 1 00410	0/2 2/3 //4 //5 5/6 2/7 0/8
Maj CH 19990801 6 Operations Officer LtCol Highmark D F E C E E D D D D D D E E H Col Fairmark 0r1 0	0/2 1/3 3/4 2/5 1/6 0/7 0/8
0302 C 20000115 15t Battalion 2d Marines Yes 11 of 16 4.46 5.95 6.38 2 83.70 81.89 Suff No 1/4 1	1/2 2/3 4/4 17/5 12/6 7/7 1/8
Maj         TR         20000120         3         BN Executive Officer         LtCol Solo         B         B         C	1/2 1/3 3/4 3/5 1/6 0/7 0/8
	1/2 2/3 4/4 17/5 12/6 7/7 1/8
0302 N 20000414 1st Battalion 2d Marines Yes 1 of 1 2.30 2.30 2.30 1 N/A N/A Suff Yes 1/1 1.	
0302 N 2000414 1st Battalion 2d Marines Yes 1 of 1 2.30 2.30 2.30 1 N/A N/A Suff Yes 1/1 1	
0302         N         20000414         1st Battalion 2d Marines         Yes         1 of 1         2.30         2.30         1         N/A         N/A         Suff         Yes         1/1         1           Maj         CH         20000415         12         Commanding Officer         Col Inflatorio         F         F         F         F         E         E         D         D         E         BGen Lowbranch         1/1         1	0/2 3/3 3/4 18/5 20/6 12/7 0/8
0302         N         2000414         1st Battalion 2d Marines         Yes         1 of 1         2.30         2.30         2.30         1         N/A         N/A         Suff         Yes         1/1         1           Maj         CH         2000415         12         Commanding Officer         Col Inflatorio         F         F         F         F         E         E         D         D         E         B         B         B         Lowbranch         1/1         0.0         2/1         5.21         5.12         5.57         1         93.68         Suff         No         2/1         0         2/1         0         2/1         0         2/1         0         2/1         0         2/1         0         2/1         0         2/1         0         2/1         0         2/1         0         2/1         0	0/2 3/3 3/4 18/5 20/6 12/7 0/8 0/2 5/3 7/4 24/5 26/6 16/7 1/8
0302         N         2000414         1st Battalion 2d Marines         Yes         1 of 1         2.30         2.30         1         N/A         N/A         Suff         Yes         1/1         1           Maj         CH         2000415         12         Commanding Officer         Col Inflatorio         F         F         F         F         E         E         D         D         E         E         BGen Lowbranch         1/1         0           9910         N         20010507         X         MCRS Pittsburg         Yes         21 of 21         5.21         5.12         5.57         1         93.68         93.68         Suff         No         2/1         0         1         1         1         1         0         0         2         1         0         1         1         0         0         0         1         1         0	0/2 3/3 3/4 18/5 20/6 12/7 0/8 0/2 5/3 7/4 24/5 26/6 16/7 1/8
0302         N         2000414         1st Battalion 2d Marines         Yes         1 of 1         2.30         2.30         2.30         1         N/A         N/A         Suff         Yes         1/1         1           Maj         CH         2000415         12         Commanding Officer         Col Inflatorio         F         F         F         F         E         E         D         D         E         E         BGen Lowbranch         1/1         0           9910         N         20010507         X         MCRS Pittsburg         Yes         21 of 21         5.21         5.57         1         93.68         93.68         Suff         No         2/1         0           Maj         CH         20010507         X         MCRS Pittsburg         Yes         21 of 21         5.21         5.57         1         93.68         93.68         Suff         No         2/1         0           Maj         CH         20010507         X         MCRS Pittsburg         Yes         21 of 21         5.21         5.57         1         93.68         Suff         No         2/1 of 21         0	0/2 3/3 3/4 18/5 20/6 12/7 0/8 0/2 5/3 7/4 24/5 26/6 16/7 1/8 1/2 0/3 7/4 38/5 17/6 4/7 0/8
0302         N         2000414         1st Battalion 2d Marines         Yes         1 of 1         2.30         2.30         2.30         1         N/A         N/A         Suff         Yes         1/1         1           Maj         CH         2000415         12         Commanding Officer         Col Inflatorio         F         F         F         F         E         E         D         D         E         E         BGen Lowbranch         1/1         0         2/1         0         2/3         1         N/A         N/A         Suff         Yes         1/1         1           Maj         CH         2000007         X         MCRS Pittsburg         Yes         21 of 21         5.21         5.12         5.57         1         93.68         93.68         Suff         No         2/1         0           Maj         CH         20010505         14         Commanding Officer         Col Eeplus         F         E         E         E         E         E         BGen Toptree         0/1         1         1/1         0/2         0/1         1/1         0/2         0/1         1/1         0/2         0/1         1/1         0/2         0/1         1/1         <	0/2 3/3 3/4 18/5 20/6 12/7 0/8 0/2 5/3 7/4 24/5 26/6 16/7 1/8 1/2 0/3 7/4 38/5 17/6 4/7 0/8 1/2 0/3 9/4 46/5 18/6 5/7 1/8
0302         N         2000414         1st Battalion 2d Marines         Yes         1 of 1         2.30         2.30         1         N/A         N/A         Suff         Yes         1/1         1           Maj         CH         2000415         12         Commanding Officer         Col Inflatorio         F         F         F         F         E         E         D         D         E         E         BGen Lowbranch         1/1         0           9910         N         20010507         X         MCRS Pittsburg         Yes         21 of 21         5.21         5.12         5.57         1         93.68         93.68         Suff         No         2/1 o           Maj         CH         20010507         X         MCRS Pittsburg         Col Eeplus         F         E         E         E         E         E         E         E         E         BGen Toptree         0/1         1/1         0/1         0/1         1/2           9910         N         20020702         MCRS Pittsburg         Yes         5 of 8         5.14         5.33         5.86         1         83.87         86.44         Suff         Yes         0/1         1/2 <t< td=""><td>0/2         3/3         3/4         18/5         20/6         12/7         0/8           0/2         5/3         7/4         24/5         26/6         16/7         1/8           1/2         0/3         7/4         38/5         17/6         4/7         0/8           1/2         0/3         9/4         46/5         19/6         5/7         1/8</td></t<>	0/2         3/3         3/4         18/5         20/6         12/7         0/8           0/2         5/3         7/4         24/5         26/6         16/7         1/8           1/2         0/3         7/4         38/5         17/6         4/7         0/8           1/2         0/3         9/4         46/5         19/6         5/7         1/8

Figure 28. Marine Corps Master Brief Sheet Example. Source: USMC (2013).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## **APPENDIX C. REPORTING SENIOR RELATIVE VALUE CHART**

*"Grading the Grader"* RV is the Fitrep system's way of compensating for grading differences between Reporting Seniors. Like weighted points in a GPA, RV ensures that all Fitreps are fair and consistent no matter how "tough" or "easy" the individual grader is.



**Example Relative Value Chart:** 

Figure 29. Relative Value Chart. Source: USMC (2015a).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## APPENDIX D. ADDITIONAL CLASSIFICATION TREES



Figure 30. CART for Lance Corporals with All Predictors



Figure 31. CART for Lance Corporals without Performance Evaluation Predictors



Figure 32. CART for Lance Corporals without Performance or Deployment Predictors



Figure 33. CART for Lance Corporals without CFT Scores



Figure 34. CART for Corporals with All Predictors



Figure 35. CART for Corporals without Performance Evaluation Predictors



Figure 36. CART for Corporals without Performance or Deployment Predictors



Figure 37. CART for Corporals without CFT Predictors



Figure 38. CART for Sergeants with All Predictors



Figure 39. CART for Sergeants without Performance Evaluation Predictors


Figure 40. CART for Sergeants without Performance Evaluation or Deployment Predictors



Figure 41. CART for Sergeants without CFT Predictors



Figure 42. CART for Staff Sergeants with All Predictors



Figure 43. CART for Sergeants without Performance Evaluation Predictors



Figure 44. CART for Staff Sergeants without Performance Evaluation or Deployment Predictors



Figure 45. CART for Staff Sergeants without CFT Predictors

#### APPENDIX E. PROMOTION TABLES BY RANK

# Table 20.Lance Corporal Proficiency and Conduct Range<br/>Proportions by PEBD Year

Lance Corpor	al Conduct Range	Quadrants and	Probabilites of Pr	omotion (2001)	Lance Corporal	Proficiency Range	Quadrants ar	nd Probabilites of F	romotion (2001)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.23	0.13	0.41	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.17	0.10	0.42
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.32	0.32	0.73	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.35	0.33	0.69
MID-HIGH	4.55 to 4.40	0.24	0.28	0.82	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.25	0.30	0.80
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.21	0.27	0.91	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.21	0.26	0.88
Lanas Comon	al Conduct Dongo	Quadranta and	Duohabilitaa of Du	amotion (2002)	Lance Comerci	Duoficionar Dongo	Quadranta ar	d Duchabilitas of D	momention (2002)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom(Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(PromiQuadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.19	0.11	0.40	LOW	0.00 to $4.29$	0.15	0.08	0.43
MID-LOW	4 29 to 4 35	0.30	0.28	0.70	MID-LOW	4 29 to 4 35	0.32	0.30	0.70
MID-HIGH	4 35 to 4 40	0.24	0.27	0.83	MID-HIGH	4 35 to 4 40	0.26	0.28	0.80
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.27	0.34	0.92	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.27	0.33	0.93
Lance Corpor	al Conduct Range	Quadrants and	l Probabilites of Pr	omotion (2003)	Lance Corporal	Proficiency Range	Quadrants ar	nd Probabilites of F	romotion (2003)
Ouadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Ouadrant Prom)	P(Prom Ouadrant)	Ouadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Ouadrant Prom)	P(Prom/Ouadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.19	0.12	0.43	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.16	0.10	0.48
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.33	0.34	0.70	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.37	0.36	0.76
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.24	0.26	0.80	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.24	0.26	0.83
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.24	0.28	0.93	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.23	0.27	0.90
Lance Corpora	al Conduct Range	Quadrants and	l Probabilites of Pr	omotion (2004)	Lance Corporal	Proficiency Range	Quadrants ar	nd Probabilites of F	romotion (2004)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.20	0.14	0.50	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.17	0.11	0.62
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.36	0.36	0.75	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.38	0.38	0.70
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.24	0.26	0.84	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.24	0.26	0.84
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.20	0.24	0.91	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.21	0.25	0.87
	10 1 22	0.1.	D 1 195 6-			n e ·	0.1.	10 1 100	
Lance Corpor	al Conduct Range	Quadrants and	Probabilites of Pr	omotion (2005)	Lance Corporal	Proficiency Range	Quadrants ar	d Probabilites of P	romotion (2005)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.20	0.12	0.40	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.18	0.12	0.42
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.55	0.39	0.39	0.64	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.40	0.39	0.62
UICH	4.33 to 4.40	0.23	0.23	0.70	UICH	4.33 to 4.40	0.23	0.27	0.83
mon	4.40 10 5.00	0.18	0.24	0.85	mon	4.40 10 5.00	0.17	0.22	0.85
Lance Cornor	al Conduct Range	Quadrants and	Probabilites of Pr	omotion (2006)	Lance Corporal	Proficiency Range	Quadrants ar	nd Probabilites of F	romotion (2006)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(PromOuadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.22	0.12	0.39	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.18	0.10	0.31
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.37	0.35	0.56	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.41	0.39	0.56
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.24	0.28	0.72	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.24	0.27	0.68
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.17	0.25	0.86	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.17	0.24	0.83
Lance Corpora	al Conduct Range	Quadrants and	Probabilites of Pr	omotion (2007)	Lance Corporal	Proficiency Range	Quadrants an	nd Probabilites of F	romotion (2007)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.23	0.14	0.37	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.19	0.12	0.37
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.37	0.36	0.58	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.25	0.31	0.59
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.25	0.29	0.70	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.41	0.27	0.65
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.15	0.20	0.80	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.15	0.20	0.81
Lana Caman	-1 C	0	D	(2008)	Lana Camani	D	Our durate as	J D., L	(2008)
Cance Corpora	Conduct Range	Quadrants and	Probabilities of Pr	D(Durani Que durant)	Lance Corporal	Proficiency Range	Quadrants an	D Probabilités of P	P(Drawi(Quedrawt))
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.23	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom/Quadrant)	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.21	P(Quadrant/Prom)	P(PromQuadrant)
MID-LOW	4 29 to 4 35	0.23	0.14	0.40	MID-LOW	4 29 to 4 35	0.43	0.41	0.40
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.42	0.25	0.77	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.22	0.26	0.76
HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.14	0.20	0.90	HIGH	4.0 to 5.00	0.14	0.19	0.86
			0.20	0.70					
Lance Corpor	al Conduct Range	Ouadrants and	l Probabilites of Pr	omotion (2009)	Lance Corporal	Proficiency Range	Ouadrants ar	nd Probabilites of F	romotion (2009)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.25	0.16	0.39	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.26	0.17	0.40
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.42	0.43	0.65	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.43	0.44	0.65
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.20	0.24	0.77	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.20	0.24	0.73
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.12	0.17	0.86	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.11	0.15	0.84
Lance Corpor	al Conduct Range	Quadrants and	l Probabilites of Pr	omotion (2010)	Lance Corporal	Proficiency Range	Quadrants ar	nd Probabilites of F	romotion (2010)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.24	0.17	0.54	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.22	0.15	0.52
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.40	0.39	0.72	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.43	0.43	0.74
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.22	0.27	0.90	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.21	0.25	0.87
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.14	0.17	0.97	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.14	0.17	0.89
Lance Corpor	al Conduct Range	Quadrante on	Probabilites of Pr	omotion (2011)	Lance Corneral	Proficiency Range	Quadrante or	nd Probabilites of E	romotion (2011)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant/Prom)	P(Prom(Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom(Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4 29	0.22	0.17	0.58	LOW	0.00 to 4 29	0.18	0.15	0.60
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.38	0.36	0.71	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.42	0.41	0.73
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.23	0.26	0.84	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.23	0.25	0.79
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.17	0.20	0.89	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.17	0.19	0.89

### Table 21.Corporal Proficiency and Conduct Range Proportions by<br/>PEBD Year

Corpora	l Conduct Range Qua	adrants and Pr	obabilites of Promoti	on (2001)	Corporal I	Proficiency Range Qu	adrants and F	robabilites of Promo	tion (2001)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.18	0.12	0.24	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.16	0.10	0.24
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.33	0.27	0.30	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.35	0.25	0.26
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.32	0.36	0.41	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.33	0.30	0.44
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.16	0.26	0.59	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.17	0.25	0.55
					-				
Corporal	l Conduct Range Qua	adrants and Pr	obabilites of Promoti	on (2002)	Corporal I	Proficiency Range Qu	adrants and F	robabilites of Promo	tion (2002)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.16	0.09	0.22	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.14	0.08	0.21
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.32	0.24	0.29	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.33	0.26	0.30
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.34	0.37	0.42	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.35	0.38	0.42
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.18	0.30	0.64	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.17	0.28	0.64
Corpora	l Conduct Range Oua	adrants and Pr	obabilites of Promoti	on (2003)	Corporal I	Proficiency Range Ou	adrants and F	robabilites of Promo	tion (2003)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.20	0.11	0.25	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.17	0.09	0.24
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.34	0.31	0.41	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.36	0.31	0.40
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.32	0.37	0.53	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.33	0.39	0.55
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.14	0.21	0.71	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.14	0.21	0.69
Corpora	l Conduct Range Oua	adrants and Pr	obabilites of Promoti	on (2004)	Corporal I	Proficiency Range Ou	adrants and F	robabilites of Promo	tion (2004)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.21	0.13	0.31	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.19	0.12	0.30
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.37	0.33	0.43	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.39	0.34	0.42
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.31	0.37	0.58	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.32	0.38	0.57
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.11	0.16	0.72	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.10	0.16	0.77
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Corpora	l Conduct Range Oua	adrants and Pr	obabilites of Promoti	on (2005)	Corporal I	Proficiency Range Ou	adrants and F	robabilites of Promo	tion (2005)
Ouadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Ouadrant Prom)	P(Prom Ouadrant)	Ouadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Ouadrant Prom)	P(Prom/Ouadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.21	0.15	0.29	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.19	0.14	0.29
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.39	0.36	0.36	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.41	0.39	0.37
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.30	0.36	0.47	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.29	0.33	0.45
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.09	0.13	0.55	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.10	0.14	0.54
L									
Corpora	l Conduct Range Qua	adrants and Pr	obabilites of Promoti	on (2006)	Corporal I	Proficiency Range Qu	adrants and F	robabilites of Promo	tion (2006)
Ouadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Ouadrant Prom)	P(Prom Ouadrant)	Ouadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Ouadrant Prom)	P(Prom/Ouadrant)
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.21	0.14	0.22	LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.19	0.12	0.19
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.39	0.35	0.29	MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.40	0.36	0.29
MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.29	0.34	0.38	MID-HIGH	4.35 to 4.40	0.29	0.36	0.39
HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.11	0.17	0.48	HIGH	4.40 to 5.00	0.12	0.16	0.43
			,		-				
Corporal	l Conduct Range Qua	adrants and Pr	obabilites of Promoti	on (2007)	Corporal I	Proficiency Range Qu	adrants and F	robabilites of Promo	tion (2007)
Quadrant	Conduct Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Over diment		-		
LOW	0.00 to 4.29	0.00		I (I IOIII Qualantin)	Quadrant	Proficiency Range	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
MED LOW	0.00 10	0.22	0.14	0.17	LOW	Proficiency Range 0.00 to 4.29	0.21	P(Quadrant Prom) 0.12	P(Prom Quadrant) 0.16
MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35	0.22	0.14 0.39	0.17	LOW MID-LOW	Proficiency Range 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35	Proportion 0.21 0.42	P(Quadrant Prom) 0.12 0.40	P(Prom Quadrant) 0.16 0.26
MID-LOW MID-HIGH	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40	0.22 0.42 0.28	0.14 0.39 0.37	0.17 0.26 0.37	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH	Proficiency Range 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28	P(Quadrant Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36	P(PromQuadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.09	P(Quadrant Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12	P(Prom Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.09	P(Quadrant Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12	P(Prom Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 <b>Dn (2008)</b>	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Question	Proportion 0.21 0.42 0.28 0.09 adrants and F	P(Quadrant Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 Probabilites of Promo	P(Prom)Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008)
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant Prom)	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 on (2008) P(Prom[Quadrant)	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal 1 Quadrant	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Qt           Proficiency Range	Proportion 0.21 0.42 0.28 0.09 adrants and F Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant)
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 Conduct Range Qua Conduct Range 0.00 to 4.29	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant Prom) 0.18	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 on (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal 1 Quadrant LOW	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Qu           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.09           madrants and F           Proportion           0.27	P(Quadrant Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant Prom) 0.17	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Quadrant LOW MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 Conduct Range Qua Conduct Range 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0babilites of Promoti P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.18 0.38	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 on (2008) P(Prom/Quadrant) 0.18 0.28	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal I Quatrant LOW MID-LOW	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Qu           Proficiency Range Qu           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35	Proportion 0.21 0.42 0.28 0.09 madrants and F Proportion 0.27 0.42	P(Quadrant/Prom)           0.12           0.40           0.36           0.12           robabilities of Promo           P(Quadrant/Prom)           0.17           0.38	P(Prom)Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008) P(Prom)Quadrant) 0.19 0.26
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Conduct Range           Quartic Conduct Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0tabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 0.36 0.18 0.18 0.28 0.40	Corporal I Quadrant MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal I Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.20 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40	Proportion 0.21 0.42 0.28 0.09 adrants and F Proportion 0.27 0.42 0.24	P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>I Conduct Range Que</b> 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 <b>adrants and Pr</b> Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 <b>obabilites of Promoti</b> <b>P(Quadrant[Prom)</b> 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 <b>P(Pron(Quadrant)</b> 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.46	Claudran LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal 1 Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00	Proportion 0.21 0.42 0.28 0.09 adrants and F Proportion 0.27 0.42 0.24 0.24 0.07	P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52
MID-LIGH MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Qua</b> <b>Conduct Range</b> 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.23	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 0 (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.40 0.46	Cluaran LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Quadran LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Qu           Proficiency Range Qu           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.09           adrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.27           0.42           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.24           0.07	P(QuadrantProm) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(QuadrantProm) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant)) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Qua</b> 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Qua</b>	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08 adrants and Pr	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0babilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 0babilites of Promoti	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.40 0.46 0.46	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Qu           Proficiency Range Qu           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           Proficiency Range Qu           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Qu	Proportion 0.21 0.42 0.28 0.09 Indirants and F Proportion 0.27 0.42 0.24 0.24 0.07 Indirants and F Indirants and F	P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 robabilites of Promo	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 tion (2009)
MID-LIGH MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08 adrants and Pr	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0habilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 0.13 0.13	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.40 0.46 0.46 0.46	Comporal 1 UOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal 1 Corporal 1 Quadrant Corporal 1 Quadrant	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           9.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Que           Proficiency Range Que           Proficiency Range Que           Proficiency Range Que	Proportion 0.21 0.42 0.28 0.09 Proportion 0.27 0.42 0.24 0.24 0.07 madrants and F Proportion	PQuadrant/Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom)	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 tion (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant)
MID-HIGH MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.23	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.13	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 0 (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.46 0.46 0 (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18	Claudrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Uadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Uadrant LOW	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Quert           Proficiency Range Quert           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Quert           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range Quert           Proficiency Range Quert           Proficiency Range Quert           Proficiency Range Quert           0.00 to 4.29	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.09           adrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.27           0.42           0.27           0.42           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.07           uadrants and F           Proportion           0.22	P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 Probabilites of Promo P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.13	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 tion (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Quadrant LOW MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>I Conduct Range Qua</b> <b>Conduct Range</b> 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>I Conduct Range Qua</b> <b>Conduct Range</b> 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.23 0.41	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0babilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 0babilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.13 0.38	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.40 0.46 on (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.30	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.20 to 4.35           4.20 to 4.35           4.20 to 4.35	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.09           tadrants and F           Proportion           0.24           0.24           0.24           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.07           tadrants and F           Proportion           0.22           0.41	P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.13 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.13 0.37	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 100 (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.52 100 (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.29
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.23 0.23 0.23	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0habilites of Promoti P(Quadrant Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.40 0.46 on (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.40 0.41	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.09           Badrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.07           Badrants and F           Proportion           0.22           0.41           0.29	P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.13 0.37 0.36	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 tion (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 tion (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.29 0.40
MID-LIGW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Que</b> <b>Conduct Range</b> 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Que</b> <b>Conduct Range Que</b> <b>Conduct Range Que</b> 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 <b>adrants and Pr</b> <b>Proportion</b> 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08 <b>adrants and Pr</b> <b>Proportion</b> 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.28 0.08	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.13 0.35 0.14	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 <b>on (2008)</b> <b>P(Prom(Quadrant)</b> 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.46 <b>on (2009)</b> <b>P(Prom(Quadrant)</b> 0.18 0.30 0.41 0.56	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Uadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 5.00	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.09           adrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.07           adrants and F           Proportion           0.22           0.41           0.29           0.08	P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.13 P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.13 0.37 0.36 0.14	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.26 0.39 0.52 tion (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.29 0.40 0.57
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Qua</b> <b>Conduct Range</b> 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Qua</b> <b>Conduct Range Conduct Range <b>Conduct Range Conduct Range C</b></b>	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 <b>adrants and Pr</b> <b>Proportion</b> 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08 <b>adrants and Pr</b> <b>Proportion</b> 0.23 0.08 <b>adrants and Pr</b> <b>Proportion</b> 0.23 0.41 0.28 0.08	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0babilites of Promoti P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 0babilites of Promoti P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.13 0.38 0.35 0.14	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 P(Pron(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.40 0.46 on (2009) P(Pron(Quadrant) 0.18 0.30 0.41 0.56	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           0.40 to 4.20           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.20 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           0.00 to 4.29           0.00 to 4.29           4.20 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.20 to 5.00	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.09           tadrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.28           0.09           tadrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.07           tadrants and F           Proportion           0.22           0.41           0.29           0.08	P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.13 0.37 0.36 0.37 0.36 0.14	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 tion (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.29 0.40 0.57
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00	0.22 0.42 0.28 0.08 <b>adrants and Pr</b> <b>Proportion</b> 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08 <b>adrants and Pr</b> <b>Proportion</b> 0.23 0.41 0.28 0.08	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0habilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 0habilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.13 0.38 0.35 0.35 0.14	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.46 0.46 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.40 0.46 0.18 0.40 0.46 0.18 0.40 0.46 0.18 0.40 0.56 0.10 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.40 0.18 0.18 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.10 0.18 0.10 0.18 0.10 0.18 0.10 0.18 0.10 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.19 0.18 0.30 0.41 0.56 0.10 0.10 0.56	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal 1 MID-HIGH HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           9.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.30 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 5.00	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.29           adrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.07           adrants and F           Proportion           0.22           0.41           0.29           0.08           adrants and F	P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.13 0.37 0.36 0.37 0.36 0.14 robabilites of Promo	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 v(2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 v(2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.29 0.40 0.57 v(2010)
MID-LIGM MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b> 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b> 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b>	0.22 0.42 0.42 0.28 0.08  drants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.40 0.23 0.41 Proportion 0.23 0.41 0.28 0.08  drants and Pr Proportion	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0habilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 0habilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.13 0.38 0.35 0.35 0.14	0.17         0.26           0.37         0.36           0         18           0.28         0.18           0.18         0.28           0.40         0.46           on (2009)         P(Prom(Quadrant))           0.18         0.30           0.41         0.56           on (2010)         P(Prom(Quadrant))	LOW MID-LOW MID-HGH HIGH Uadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HGH HIGH Corporal 1 Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           70ficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range	Proportion           0.21           0.23           0.24           0.28           0.09           adrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.07           adrants and F           Proportion           0.22           0.41           0.29           0.08           adrants and F           Proportion	P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 P(Quadrant(Prom) 0.13 0.36 0.14 Probabilites of Promo	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 tion (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.29 0.40 0.57 tion (2010) P(Prom(Quadrant)
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH UOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH LOW	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Qua</b> <b>Conduct Range Qua</b> 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Qua</b> <b>Conduct Range Qua</b> 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Qua</b> <b>Conduct Range Conduct Range Qua <b>Conduct Range Conduct Range Condu</b></b>	0.22 0.42 0.42 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.23 0.41 0.28 0.08 adrants and Pr Proportion 0.10	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant Prom) 0.13 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant Prom) 0.13 0.38 0.35 0.14 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant Prom) 0.07	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 P(Pron(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.40 0.46 P(Pron(Quadrant) 0.18 0.30 0.41 0.56 p(Pron(Quadrant) 0.56	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           1.35 to 4.40           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           0.00 to 4.29	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.09           adrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.28           0.09           adrants and F           Proportion           0.22           0.41           0.29           0.08           adrants and F           Proportion           0.09	P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.14 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.03	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 100 (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 100 (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.29 0.40 0.57 100 (2010) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.28
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH UOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 1 Conduct Range Que Conduct Range Que 0.00 to 4.29 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00	0.22 0.42 0.42 0.28 0.08 0.08 0.99 0.40 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.08 0.23 0.24 0.28 0.08 0.25 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 0babilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 0babilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.13 0.38 0.35 0.14 0babilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.07 0.34	0.17 0.26 0.37 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.28 0.40 0.28 0.40 0.46 on (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.30 0.41 0.56 on (2010) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.54	LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH HIGH LOW MID-LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal I Quadrant LOW MID-HIGH HIGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           9.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           0.425           0.420 to 4.35           4.29 to 4.35           4.29 to 4.35	Proportion           0.21           0.42           0.28           0.29           adrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.07           adrants and F           Proportion           0.22           0.41           0.29           0.08           adrants and F           Proportion           0.07	P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.36 0.14 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.03 0.32	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 vertion (2008) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 tion (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.40 0.57 tion (2010) P(Prom(Quadrant)) 0.28 0.51
MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-HOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-LOW MID-HIGH HIGH Corporal Quadrant LOW MID-HIGH	4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Que</b> Conduct Range <b>Que</b> 4.29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40 4.40 to 5.00 <b>Conduct Range Que</b> <b>Conduct Range</b> <b>0</b> .00 to 4.29 <b>1</b> .29 to 4.35 4.35 to 4.40	0.22 0.42 0.42 0.28 0.08  drants and Pr Proportion 0.29 0.40 0.23 0.40 0.23 0.40 0.23 0.41 0.23 0.41 0.28 0.08  drants and Pr Proportion 0.10 0.34 0.35	0.14 0.39 0.37 0.10 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.18 0.38 0.31 0.13 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.13 0.38 0.35 0.14 obabilites of Promoti P(Quadrant[Prom) 0.07 0.34 0.34	0.17         0.26           0.37         0.36           on (2008)         P(Prom)(Quadrant)           0.18         0.28           0.40         0.46           on (2009)         P(Prom)(Quadrant)           0.18         0.30           0.41         0.56           on (2010)         P(Prom)(Quadrant)           0.41         0.56           0.41         0.54           0.53         0.53	LOW MID-LOW MID-HGH HIGH LOW MID-HGH HIGH Corporal 1 Quadrant LOW MID-HGH HIGH Corporal 1 Quadrant LOW MID-HGH	Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           70ficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40           70ficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           70ficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.35 to 4.40           4.40 to 5.00           Proficiency Range           0.00 to 4.29           4.29 to 4.35           4.29 to 4.35           4.29 to 4.35           4.35 to 4.40	Proportion           0.21           0.23           0.24           0.28           0.09           adrants and F           Proportion           0.27           0.42           0.24           0.07           0.22           0.41           0.29           0.08           adrants and F           Proportion           0.29           0.08           adrants and F           Proportion           0.29           0.08	P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.12 0.40 0.36 0.12 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.17 0.38 0.32 0.13 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.13 0.36 0.14 robabilites of Promo P(Quadrant/Prom) 0.36 0.14	P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.16 0.26 0.36 0.36 0.36 P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.19 0.26 0.39 0.52 tion (2009) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.18 0.29 0.40 0.57 tion (2010) P(Prom(Quadrant) 0.28 0.51 0.51 0.57

## Table 22.Sergeant Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer Value<br/>Proportions by PEBD Year

Sergeant	Reporting Senior Qu	adrants and	Probabilites of Pro	motion (2001)	Sergeant R	eviewing Officer (	Juadrants ar	d Probabilites of P	romotion (2001)
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	00.00 to 88.2	0.25	0.09	0.11	LOW	-5.0 to45	0.25	0.09	0.13
MID-LOW	88.20 to 90.55	0.25	0.22	0.34	MID-LOW	45 to .1	0.25	0.23	0.35
MID-HIGH	90.55 to 92.8	0.25	0.35	0.47	MID-HIGH	.1 to .66	0.25	0.31	0.47
HIGH	92.80 to 100	0.25	0.33	0.60	HIGH	.66 to 5.0	0.25	0.37	0.57
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Sergeant	Reporting Senior Qu	adrants and	Probabilites of Pro	motion (2002)	Sergeant R	eviewing Officer (	)uadrants ar	d Probabilites of P	romotion (2002)
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(PromQuadrant)
LOW	00.00 to 88	0.25	0.07	0.09	LOW	-5.0 to45	0.25	0.07	0.09
MID-LOW	88.00 to 90.55	0.25	0.23	0.28	MID-LOW	45 to .1	0.25	0.24	0.29
MID-HIGH	90.55 to 93	0.25	0.32	0.39	MID-HIGH	.1 to .629	0.25	0.32	0.40
HIGH	93.00 to 100	0.25	0.38	0.46	HIGH	.629 to 5.0	0.25	0.37	0.46
Sergeant	Reporting Senior Qu	adrants and	Probabilites of Pro	motion (2003)	Sergeant R	eviewing Officer (	Quadrants ar	d Probabilites of P	romotion (2003)
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	00.00 to 88.34	0.25	0.04	0.04	LOW	-5.0 to42	0.25	0.06	0.06
MID-LOW	88.34 to 90.65	0.25	0.22	0.22	MID-LOW	42 to .14	0.25	0.21	0.21
MID-HIGH	90.65 to 93.05	0.25	0.35	0.35	MID-HIGH	.14 to .7	0.25	0.31	0.31
HIGH	93.05 to 100	0.25	0.39	0.39	HIGH	.7 to 5.0	0.25	0.42	0.42
Sergeant	Reporting Senior Qu	adrants and	Probabilites of Pro	motion (2004)	Sergeant R	eviewing Officer (	Quadrants ar	d Probabilites of P	romotion (2004)
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	00.00 to 88.09	0.25	0.03	0.03	LOW	-5.0 to462	0.25	0.05	0.04
MID-LOW	88.09 to 90.4	0.25	0.19	0.17	MID-LOW	462 to .128	0.25	0.19	0.17
MID-HIGH	90.40 to 92.75	0.25	0.34	0.30	MID-HIGH	.128 to .7	0.25	0.33	0.30
HIGH	92.75 to 100	0.25	0.44	0.39	HIGH	.7 to 5.0	0.25	0.43	0.38
Sergeant	Reporting Senior Qu	adrants and	Probabilites of Pro	motion (2005)	Sergeant R	Leviewing Officer (	Juadrants ar	d Probabilites of P	romotion (2005)
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	00.00 to 89	0.25	0.08	0.10	LOW	-5.0 to26	0.25	0.07	0.09
MID-LOW	89.00 to 91	0.25	0.23	0.30	MID-LOW	26 to .25	0.25	0.23	0.30
MID-HIGH	91.00 to 93.4	0.25	0.30	0.39	MID-HIGH	.25 to .75	0.25	0.31	0.40
HIGH	93.40 to 100	0.25	0.39	0.50	HIGH	./5 to 5.0	0.25	0.39	0.50
Sergeant	Reporting Senior Ou	adrants and	Probabilites of Pro	motion (2006)	Sergeant R	eviewing Officer (	)uadrants ar	d Probabilites of P	romotion (2006)
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(PromOuadrant)
LOW	00.00 to 89.3	0.25	0.09	0.14	LOW	-5.0 to - 26	0.25	0.09	0.14
MID-LOW	89.30 to 91.55	0.25	0.23	0.37	MID-LOW	26 to36	0.25	0.24	0.38
MID-HIGH	91.55 to 94.15	0.25	0.30	0.48	MID-HIGH	.36 to .87	0.25	0.31	0.50
HIGH	94.15 to 100	0.25	0.38	0.60	HIGH	.87 to 5.0	0.25	0.36	0.58
						10.10 010			
Sergeant	Reporting Senior Qu	adrants and	Probabilites of Pro	motion (2007)	Sergeant R	eviewing Officer (	Quadrants ar	d Probabilites of P	romotion (2007)
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)	Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)
LOW	00.00 to 88.35	0.25	0.06	0.08	LOW	-5.0 to414	0.25	0.07	0.08
MID-LOW	88.35 to 90.66	0.25	0.22	0.25	MID-LOW	414 to .16	0.25	0.22	0.26
MID-HIGH	90.66 to 93.05	0.25	0.33	0.38	MID-HIGH	.16 to .7	0.25	0.31	0.36
HIGH	93.05 to 100	0.25	0.39	0.45	HIGH	.7 to 5.0	0.25	0.40	0.46

## Table 23.Staff Sergeant Reporting Senior and Reviewing Officer<br/>Value Proportions by PEBD Year

Staff Sergeant Reporting Senior Quadrants and Probabilites of Promotion (2001)								
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)				
LOW	00.00 to 88.80	0.25	0.08	0.16				
MID-LOW	88.80 to 91.55	0.25	0.23	0.49				
MID-HIGH	91.55 to 94.10	0.25	0.36	0.76				
HIGH	94 10 to 100	0.25	0.33	0.7				

Staff Sergeant Reporting Senior Quadrants and Probabilites of Promotion (2002)								
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)				
LOW	00.00 to 89.45	0.24	0.08	0.16				
MID-LOW	89.45 to 92.10	0.26	0.22	0.4				
MID-HIGH	92.10 to 94.60	0.26	0.35	0.65				
HIGH	94.60 to 100	0.24	0.35	0.65				

Staff Sergeant Reporting Senior Quadrants and Probabilites of Promotion (2003)							
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)			
LOW	00.00 to 90.20	0.25	0.13	0.27			
MID-LOW	90.20 to 92.65	0.25	0.27	0.58			
MID-HIGH	92.65 to 95.00	0.25	0.31	0.64			
HIGH	95.00 to 100	0.25	0.29	0.61			

Staff Sergeant Reviewing Officer Quadrants and Probabilites of Promotion (2001)								
Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)				
LOW	-5.0 to252	0.25	0.08	0.18				
MID-LOW	252 to .29	0.25	0.24	0.51				
MID-HIGH	.29 to .75	0.25	0.33	0.69				
HIGH	.75 to 5.0	0.25	0.35	0.74				

Staff Sergeant Reviewing Officer Quadrants and Probabilites of Promotion (2002)								
Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)				
LOW	-5.0 to155	0.25	0.08	0.16				
MID-LOW	155 to .425	0.25	0.23	0.42				
MID-HIGH	.425 to .85	0.25	0.31	0.57				
HIGH	85 to 50	0.25	0.38	0.71				

Staff Sergeant Reviewing Officer Quadrants and Probabilites of Promotion (2003)								
Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)				
LOW	-5.0 to05	0.25	0.13	0.27				
MID-LOW	05 to .45	0.25	0.27	0.58				
MID-HIGH	.45 to .97	0.25	0.29	0.61				
HIGH	.97 to 5.0	0.25	0.31	0.64				

Staff Sergeant Reporting Senior Quadrants and Probabilites of Promotion (2004)								
Quadrant	Reporting Senior	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)				
LOW	00.00 to 90.51	0.25	0.05	0.09				
MID-LOW	90.51 to 92.70	0.25	0.32	0.65				
MID-HIGH	92.70 to 96.10	0.25	0.36	0.74				
HIGH	96.10 to 100	0.25	0.27	0.56				

Staff Sergeant Reviewing Officer Quadrants and Probabilites of Promotion (2004)								
Quadrant	Reviewing Officer	Proportion	P(Quadrant Prom)	P(Prom Quadrant)				
LOW	-5.0 to17	0.25	0.09	0.18				
MID-LOW	17 to .5	0.25	0.36	0.74				
MID-HIGH	.5 to 1.0	0.25	0.27	0.56				
HIGH	1.0 to 5.0	0.25	0.27	0.56				

#### LIST OF REFERENCES

- Clemens, Adam, Lauren Malone, Shannon Phillips, Gary Lee, Cathy Hiatt, and Theresa Kimble, 2012. "An Evaluation of the Fitness Report System For Marine Officers." CNA Study. DRM-2012-U-001003-Final. http://www.dtic.mil/docs/citations/AD1014581.
- Friedman, Jerome, Trevor Hastie, and Rob Tibshirani, 2010. "Regularization Paths For Generalized Linear Models Via Coordinate Descent." *Journal of Statistical Software* 33(1), 1–22. http://www.jstatsoft.org/v33/i01/.
- Garza, Raul. 2014. "United States Marine Corps Career Designation Board: Significant Factors in Predicting Selection." MBA professional report. http://calhoun.nps.edu/handle/10945/41381.
- Gonzalez, Michael. 2011. "Analysis of Promotion Rates to Lieutenant Colonel and Selection for Command for USMC Aviation Supply and Maintenance Officers." MBA professional report. http://oai.dtic.mil/oai/ oai?verb=getRecord&metadataPrefix=html&identifier=ADA556263.
- Hartley, Simon. 2017. "The BMI Formula." What Health. http://www.whathealth.com/ bmi/formula.html.
- Hoffman, Joel. 2008. "Significant Factors in Predicting Promotion to Major, Lieutenant Colonel, and Colonel in the United States Marine Corps." MBA professional report. http://oai.dtic.mil/oai/ oai?verb=getRecord&metadataPrefix=html&identifier=ADA479928.
- Hovey, Erik. 2005. "Fuzzy Math: Do Current Relative Values Tell An Accurate Story?" Contemporary issue paper. http://oai.dtic.mil/oai/ oai?verb=getRecord&metadataPrefix=html&identifier=ADA505526.
- Jobst, Mark and Jeffrey Palmer. 2005. "An Analysis of the USMC FITREP: Contemporary Or Inflexible?" (MBA professional report). http://calhoun.nps.edu/ handle/10945/2210.
- Larger, Richard. 2016. "Effectiveness of the Marine Corps' Junior Enlisted Performance Evaluation System: An Evaluation of Proficiency and Conduct Marks." MBA professional report. http://calhoun.nps.edu/handle/10945/53006.
- Lazear, Edward, and Michael Gibbs. 2015. *Personnel Economics in Practice* (3rd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.

- Neller, Robert. 2016. "37th Commandant of the Marine Corps: Commandant's Planning Guidance." United States Marine Corps. http://www.hqmc.marines.mil/Portals/ 142/Docs/CMC% 20FRAGO% 2001% 2019JAN16.pdf.
- Neuwirth, Erich. 2014. RColorBrewer: ColorBrewer Palettes. R package version 1.1-2. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=RColorBrewer.
- R Core Team 2016. R: A Language and Environment For Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.Rproject.org/.
- Rao, Venky. 2013. Introduction to Classification and Regression Trees (CART). Data Science Central. http://www.datasciencecentral.com/profiles/blogs/introduction-to-classification-regression-trees-cart.
- Reynolds, Jacob. 2011. "Effect of being an aviator on promotion to O-5 in the USMC" MBA professional report. http://calhoun.nps.edu/handle/10945/5790.
- Ripley, B. 2016. Tree: Classification and regression trees. R package version 1.0-37. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tree.pdf.
- Therneau, Terry, Beth Atkinson, and Brian Ripley. 2015. Rpart: Recursive Partitioning and Regression Trees. R Package Version 4.1-10. https://CRAN.R-project.org/ package=rpart.
- United States Marine Corps. 2000. Marine Corps Individual Records Administration Manual (MCO P1070.12K w/ CH 1). Washington, DC: Department of the Navy. http://www.trngcmd.marines.mil/Portals/207/Docs/TBS/ B3K4070% 20Individual% 20Records% 20Administration% 20Manual.pdf?ver=20 15-03-26-102229-447.
- United States Marine Corps. 2008a. *Marine Corps Physical Fitness Program* (MCO 6100.13 w/ CH 1). Washington, DC: Department of the Navy. http://www.marines.mil/Portals/59/Publications/ MCO%206100.13%20W\_CH%201.pdf.
- United States Marine Corps. 2008b. "Proficiency, Conduct Marks and Composite Score Computation." http://www.marines.mil/News/Messages/Messages-Display/ Article/886712/proficiency-conduct-marks-and-composite-score-computation/.
- United States Marine Corps. 2013. *Infantry Training and Readiness Manual* (NAVMC 3500.44B). Washington, DC: Department of the Navy.http://www.marines.mil/Portals/59/Publications/NAVMC%203500.44B.pdf.
- United States Marine Corps. 2015a. *Fitness Reports B3K3738 Student Handout*. http://www.trngcmd.marines.mil/Portals/207/Docs/TBS/ B3K3738%20Fitness%20Report.pdf?ver=2015-03-26-102052-153.

- United States Marine Corps. 2015b. Organization of the United States Marine Corps (MCRP 5–12D). Washington, DC: United States Marine Corps. http://www.marines.mil/Portals/59/Publications/MCRP%205-12D.pdf.
- United States Marine Corps. 2015c. *Performance Evaluation System, MCO 1610.7.* http://www.marines.mil/Portals/59/Publications/MCO%201610.7.pdf.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2011. The Split-Apply-Combine Strategy For Data Analysis. *Journal* of Statistical Software, 40(1), 1–29. http://www.jstatsoft.org/v40/i01/.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2016. tidyr: *Easily tidy data with `spread()` and `gather()` functions*. R package version 0.6.0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidyr.
- Wickham, Hadley, and R Francois. 2016. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. R package version 0.5.0. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr.

Williams, Graham. 2011. Data Mining with Rattle and R: The Art of Excavating Data for Knowledge Discovery, use R!, Springer NY: Dordrecht Heidelberg London. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=mDs7OXj03V0C&oi= fnd&pg=PR3&dq=Data+mining+with+Rattle+and+R:+The+art+of+excavating+d ata+for+knowledge+discovery&ots=m\_V8lghS4k&sig=bDeOkjhKvN0nagWR8v kseU9H98Y#v=onepage&q=Data% 20mining% 20with% 20Rattle% 20and% 20R% 3A% 20The% 20art% 20of% 20excavating% 20data% 20for% 20knowledge% 20disco very&f=false. THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### **INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST**

- 1. Defense Technical Information Center Ft. Belvoir, Virginia
- 2. Dudley Knox Library Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California