

Thermal Simulations of a Silicon Carbide Super Gate Turnoff (SGTO) with Pulsed Power Cycles

by Gregory K. Ovrebo

ARL-MR-0827 August 2012

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Adelphi, MD 20783-1197

ARL-MR-0827 August 2012

Thermal Simulations of a Silicon Carbide Super Gate Turnoff (SGTO) with Pulsed Power Cycles

Gregory K. Ovrebo Sensors and Electron Devices Directorate, ARL

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
data needed, and completing and reviewing the collect burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Head	tion is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing tion information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other as quarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-01s) to their provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to can the ABOVE ADDRESS.	spect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the 88), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
August 2012	Progress	April 2010 to June 2011
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	<u> </u>	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
Thermal Simulations of a Silicor	n Carbide Super Gate Turn-off (SGTO) with	
Pulsed Power Cycles		5b. GRANT NUMBER
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
Gregory K. Ovrebo		
Glegory II. Oviceo		5e. TASK NUMBER
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
U.S. Army Research Laboratory		REPORT NUMBER
ATTN: RDRL-SED-P		ARL-MR-0827
2800 Powder Mill Road		
Adelphi MD 20783-1197		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENC	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STAT	EMENT	
Approved for public release; dist	ribution unlimited.	
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
14. ABSTRACT		
We have performed computer sig	mulations of thermal effects in a silicon carbide (SiC) super gate turn-off (SGTO) thyristor
	es. We used two power pulses of different width	, , ,
	ement analysis to calculate temperatures in and ar	
power pulse and in the period be	•	C 11
15. SUBJECT TERMS		
	l, temperature, simulation, finite element, silicon	carbide
, I		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON

19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)

Gregory K. Ovrebo

(301) 394-0814

17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

UU

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:

b. ABSTRACT

Unclassified

c. THIS PAGE

Unclassified

a. REPORT

Unclassified

18. NUMBER OF PAGES

24

Contents

Lis	t of I	Figures	iv
1.	Intı	roduction	1
2.	Mo	del Preparation	1
3.	The	ermal Simulations	3
	3.1	Wide Pulse Simulations	3
	3.2	Narrow Pulse Simulations	8
4.	Cor	nclusions	13
Dic	trihu	ution List	15

List of Figures

Figure 1. Three-dimensional model of the SiC SGTO package and die
Figure 2. Simplified model of the SiC SGTO without the plastic package2
Figure 3. Mesh used in thermal simulation of the SiC SGTO.
Figure 4. The 1-ms-wide power pulse specified as an input on the SiC dies
Figure 5. Change in average temperature in the SiC die at a 1% duty cycle of the 1-ms pulse4
Figure 6. Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of the 12 th pulse, 1% duty cycle4
Figure 7. Temperatures on the SGTO after cooling for 99 ms after pulse 12, 1% duty cycle5
Figure 8. Average temperature change in the SiC die over 20 cycles of the 1-ms pulse in a 5% duty cycle
Figure 9. Temperatures on the SiC SGTO at the end of the 20 th pulse of the 5% duty cycle, 1-ms pulse
Figure 10. Temperatures on the SiC SGTO at the end of the 20 th cycle of the 5% duty cycle, 1-ms pulse.
Figure 11. Average temperature change in the SiC dies during the 25% duty cycle, 1 ms pulse
Figure 12. Temperatures on the SGTO module after pulse 20, 25% duty cycle, 1-ms pulse7
Figure 13. Temperatures on the SGTO module at the end of cycle 20, 25% duty cycle, 1-ms pulse.
Figure 14. Plot of the narrow power pulse (0.165 ms wide) used as heat input to the second series of thermal simulations.
Figure 15. Temperature change in the SiC dies during the 1% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse9
Figure 16. Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of pulse 25, 1% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse.
Figure 17. Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of cycle 25, 1% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse.
Figure 18. Temperature increases in the SiC dies during the 5% duty cycle, 0.165-ms power pulse
Figure 19. Temperature contour plot at end of pulse 25, 5% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse11
Figure 20. Temperature contour plot at end of cycle 25, 5% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse12
Figure 21. Temperature increases in the SiC dies during 25% duty cycle, 0.165-ms power pulse.

_	Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of pulse 25, 25% duty cycle, 0.165-ms	13
Figure 23.	Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of cycle 25, 25% duty cycle, 0.165-ms	

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. Introduction

The U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) is involved in the development of devices for high-temperature and high-power applications in future Army systems. Silicon carbide (SiC), because of its wide bandgap and enhanced material properties, allows devices to operate at higher power levels and higher temperatures than silicon devices. One such SiC device is a super gate turn-off (SGTO) thyristor designed by Cree, Inc., and the Silicon Power Corporation, which has been evaluated by the Power Conditioning Branch of ARL.

As an adjunct to laboratory evaluations, we have performed simulations of the thermal response of this SGTO to periodic short, high-power pulses. We have constructed a three-dimensional (3-D) model of the device, incorporating the temperature-dependent physical properties of the materials, and calculated the changes in temperature over time as we apply power pulses of two different widths and peak power levels to the SiC die. The power pulses were repeated in duty cycles of 1%, 5%, and 25%. We plot graphs of temperatures in the active portion of the die calculated during the simulation of 20 or 30 power cycles and show contour plots of the model's surface temperature at selected time intervals in the simulation.

2. Model Preparation

Figure 1 shows a view of our 3-D model of the SiC SGTO, including the plastic package around the die. This model was created with SolidWorks 3-D computer-aided design (CAD) software. The package holds four SiC dies, shown in this figure with copper pads on the top surface.




Figure 1. Three-dimensional model of the SiC SGTO package and die.

Unfortunately, the full 3-D model is awkward to deal with in finite element analysis. When the model is broken down into a mesh, we have to spend a great deal of time and memory computing the status of parts of the package that have little influence on the temperature of the dies. We can simplify matters by ignoring the plastic case in our model and performing the simulation on the baseplate and SiC dies alone, with little loss of accuracy in calculated temperature distributions. The simplified model used in our simulations is shown in figure 2.




Figure 2. Simplified model of the SiC SGTO without the plastic package.

Figure 3 shows the mesh of the model used in our simulations. Note that we applied a finer mesh to the die and underlying layers than to the baseplate. This assures us that the thin layers in the device are properly included in the thermal transfer calculations; with a coarser mesh, these material layers might not register properly. It also increases the spatial resolution of our calculated temperature distributions.




Figure 3. Mesh used in thermal simulation of the SiC SGTO.

3. Thermal Simulations

We performed thermal simulations using two power pulses of different durations and peak power levels. The first pulse was 1 ms wide with a peak power level of 36 kW. The second pulse was 0.165 ms wide with a peak power level of 129 kW. Each pulse was simulated in duty cycles of 1%, 5%, and 25%. The power levels specified were the total power applied to all four dies combined. The power pulse was described in our simulation by a text file of power levels and corresponding times, which became our heat input in SolidWorks Simulation. Each cycle of the simulation was broken down into separate heating and cooling phases, and each phase divided into about 10 equal time steps. The temperature distribution in the meshed model was calculated at each time step.

3.1 Wide Pulse Simulations

Figure 4 shows a graph of the 1-ms-wide power pulse used as a power input in our first simulation of thermal effects in the SiC SGTO. A peak power of 9 kW was applied to each of the four dies in the SGTO. The forward voltage drop was 10 V and the peak current was 3600 A in the four dies combined. As a boundary condition, the initial temperature of the SGTO was defined to be 20 °C. To imitate the effect of mounting the SGTO on a coldplate, the bottom surface of the baseplate was defined to be a constant 20 °C.




Figure 4. The 1-ms-wide power pulse specified as an input on the SiC dies.

This power pulse was first used to simulate a 1% duty cycle. Figure 5 shows a plot of the calculated change in average temperature in the SiC die over the first 12 cycles. One can see that at this low rate of repetition, the devices reach a maximum temperature after just a few cycles.




Figure 5. Change in average temperature in the SiC die at a 1% duty cycle of the 1-ms pulse.

Figure 6 is a contour plot of temperatures on the surface of the SGTO at the end of pulse number 12 (t = 1101 ms). Figure 7 shows surface temperatures 99 ms later, at the end of the 12^{th} cycle (t = 1200 ms).




Figure 6. Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of the 12th pulse, 1% duty cycle.




Figure 7. Temperatures on the SGTO after cooling for 99 ms after pulse 12, 1% duty cycle.

We next looked at the 1-ms pulse when used in a 5% duty cycle. Figure 8 shows a graph of the average temperature change in the active part of the SiC dies over 20 cycles. At the end of 20 cycles, we can see equilibrium is established, where the peak temperature has reached a maximum value in each cycle.




Figure 8. Average temperature change in the SiC die over 20 cycles of the 1-ms pulse in a 5% duty cycle.

Figure 9 shows a contour plot of temperatures on the surface of the SiC SGTO at the end of the 20^{th} pulse in the 5% duty cycle, where temperatures in the device are at their maximum. Figure

10 shows temperatures on the SGTO at the end of cycle 20, after it has cooled for 19 ms after the 20th pulse.




Figure 9. Temperatures on the SiC SGTO at the end of the 20th pulse of the 5% duty cycle, 1-ms pulse.




Figure 10. Temperatures on the SiC SGTO at the end of the 20^{th} cycle of the 5% duty cycle, 1-ms pulse.

Figure 11 is a graph of the temperature change in the active part of the die during a 25% duty cycle. After 20 cycles, the temperature during the pulse has not yet reached equilibrium.




Figure 11. Average temperature change in the SiC dies during the 25% duty cycle, 1 ms pulse.

Figure 12 shows the temperature contours on the SiC SGTO immediately after the 20^{th} pulse; figure 13 shows the temperatures on the SGTO 3 ms later, at the end of cycle 20.




Figure 12. Temperatures on the SGTO module after pulse 20, 25% duty cycle, 1-ms pulse.




Figure 13. Temperatures on the SGTO module at the end of cycle 20, 25% duty cycle, 1-ms pulse.

3.2 Narrow Pulse Simulations

The next series of thermal simulations used a narrower pulse with a higher peak power than our first simulation. The 0.165-ms-wide pulse shown in figure 14, with a peak power of 32 kW, was applied to each of the four SGTO dies in the package. The maximum forward voltage drop is 16 V and the peak current is 8250 A in the four dies combined. Each marker in the graph represents a point in the number file used as a thermal input in our SolidWorks simulation. As in the first simulation, we defined an initial temperature of 20 °C and a constant baseplate temperature of 20 °C.




Figure 14. Plot of the narrow power pulse (0.165 ms wide) used as heat input to the second series of thermal simulations.

Figure 15 is a graph of the temperature changes in the SiC dies during the first 25 cycles of the 1% duty cycle. At this low rate of repetition, the peak temperature in each cycle has reached a maximum.




Figure 15. Temperature change in the SiC dies during the 1% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse.

Figure 16 shows the distribution of temperatures on the surface of the SGTO at the end of pulse 25, when temperatures are near a maximum. Figure 17 shows temperatures on the SGTO 16.3 ms later, at the end of the "off" part of cycle 25.




Figure 16. Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of pulse 25, 1% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse.




Figure 17. Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of cycle 25, 1% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse.

Figure 18 is a graph of temperature changes in the SiC dies over 40 cycles with the narrow power pulse at a 5% duty cycle. Temperatures in the SiC thyristors exceed 200 °C after 40 cycles, and the temperatures are still climbing, although the curve shows signs of flattening.




Figure 18. Temperature increases in the SiC dies during the 5% duty cycle, 0.165-ms power pulse.

Figure 19 is a plot of temperatures on the SGTO module at the end of pulse 25. Figure 20 shows temperatures on the module 3.1 ms later, at the end of cycle 25.




Figure 19. Temperature contour plot at end of pulse 25, 5% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse.




Figure 20. Temperature contour plot at end of cycle 25, 5% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse.

Figure 21 is a graph of temperature changes in the active part of the SiC dies during the 25% duty cycle of the 0.165-ms pulse. Thirty cycles are shown, during which temperatures have risen to levels at which the devices will sustain damage.




Figure 21. Temperature increases in the SiC dies during 25% duty cycle, 0.165-ms power pulse.

Figure 22 is a temperature contour plot of the SGTO at the end of the 25th pulse. Figure 23 shows temperatures on the module about 0.5 ms later, at the end of the 25th cycle.




Figure 22. Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of pulse 25, 25% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse.




Figure 23. Temperatures on the SGTO at the end of cycle 25, 25% duty cycle, 0.165-ms pulse.

4. Conclusions

We performed calculations of thermal effects in a SiC SGTO driven by cyclical high power pulses. When the wide pulse (1 ms wide) and narrow pulse (0.165 ms wide) are repeated in a 1% duty cycle, the SGTO reaches a thermal equilibrium within 10 cycles. The peak average temperature increase calculated in the die is approximately 60 °C.

When the duty cycle of the wide pulse increases to 5%, the SGTO die reaches equilibrium within 20 cycles; the maximum temperature increase is 130 °C. When the narrow pulse is repeated at a 5% duty cycle, the SGTO did not reach equilibrium within the 40 cycles of our simulation, although the temperature curve did show a decreasing slope. The temperature in the SiC die had increased almost 200 °C above the initial temperature; extended operation at this duty cycle might result in impaired device function or damage.

When the SGTO was simulated at a 25% duty cycle, peak temperatures in the SGTO rose more than 300 °C within 30 cycles and showed little sign of moderating. At those temperature levels, solder attaches would melt, SiC dies would be damaged, and the SGTOs would not survive.

By performing time-dependent simulations of thermal effects in 3-D models incorporating the physical properties of SiC and other materials, we are able to make predictions about the temperature levels in an SGTO under various operating conditions without subjecting the devices to the stresses of laboratory testing. We avoid damage to devices, which, in their developmental stages, may be somewhere between scarce and irreplaceable.

NO. OF

COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 ADMNSTR

ELEC DEFNS TECHL INFO CTR

ATTN DTIC OCP

8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944

FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1 US ARMY RSRCH DEV AND ENGRG CMND ARMAMENT RSRCH DEV & ENGRG CTR ARMAMENT ENGRG & TECHNLGY CTR ATTN AMSRD AAR AEF T J MATTS

BLDG 305

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD 21005-5001

1 US ARMY INFO SYS ENGRG CMND ATTN AMSEL IE TD A RIVERA FT HUACHUCA AZ 85613-5300

1 US GOVERNMENT PRINT OFF DEPOSITORY RECEIVING SECTION ATTN MAIL STOP IDAD J TATE 732 NORTH CAPITOL ST NW WASHINGTON DC 20402

18 US ARMY RSRCH LAB

ATTN IMNE ALC HRR MAIL & RECORDS MGMT

ATTN RDRL CIO LL TECHL LIB

ATTN RDRL CIO LT TECHL PUB

ATTN RDRL SED P A OGUNNIYI

ATTN RDRL SED P B GEIL

ATTN RDRL SED P C SCOZZIE

ATTN RDRL SED P G OVREBO (10 COPIES)

ATTN RDRL SED P H O'BRIEN ATTN RDRL SED P W TIPTON

ADELPHI, MD 20783-1197

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.