

2016 Major Automated Information System Annual Report



Key Management Infrastructure Increment 2 (KMI Inc 2)

Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval (DAMIR)

Table of Contents

Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MAIS Programs	3
Program Information	4
Responsible Office	4
References	4
Program Description	5
Business Case	6
Program Status	8
Schedule	9
Performance	10
Cost	13

Common Acronyms and Abbreviations for MAIS Programs

Acq O&M - Acquisition-Related Operations and Maintenance

ADM - Acquisition Decision Memorandum

AoA - Analysis of Alternatives

ATO - Authority To Operate

APB - Acquisition Program Baseline

BY - Base Year

CAE - Component Acquisition Executive

CDD - Capability Development Document

CPD - Capability Production Document

DAE - Defense Acquisition Executive

DoD - Department of Defense

DoDAF - DoD Architecture Framework

FD - Full Deployment

FDD - Full Deployment Decision

FY - Fiscal Year

IA - Information Assurance

IATO - Interim Authority to Operate

ICD - Initial Capability Document

IEA - Information Enterprise Architecture

IOC - Initial Operational Capability

IP - Internet Protocol

IT - Information Technology

KPP - Key Performance Parameter

\$M - Millions of Dollars

MAIS - Major Automated Information System

MAIS OE - MAIS Original Estimate

MAR - MAIS Annual Report

MDA - Milestone Decision Authority

MDD - Materiel Development Decision

MILCON - Military Construction

MS - Milestone

N/A - Not Applicable

O&S - Operating and Support

OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense

PB - President's Budget

RDT&E - Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

SAE - Service Acquisition Executive

TBD - To Be Determined

TY - Then Year

U.S.C- United States Code

USD(AT&L) - Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, & Logistics

Program Information

Program Name

Key Management Infrastructure Increment 2 (KMI Inc 2)

DoD Component

DoD

The acquiring DoD Component is the National Security Agency (NSA).

Responsible Office

Program Manager

Ms. Kimberly Marino 9800 Savage Road I23K, Suite 6591

Fort Meade, MD 20755-6591

Tort Medde, MD 20700 0001

kamarin@nsa.gov

Phone: 240-373-5700

Fax:

DSN Phone: DSN Fax:

Date Assigned: April 6, 2015

References

MAIS Original Estimate

October 31, 2012

Approved APB

Approved Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) dated January 15, 2013

Program Description

Key Management Infrastructure (KMI) is a unified, scalable, interoperable, and trusted infrastructure that provides net-centric key management services to systems that rely on cryptography, serving Department of Defense (DoD) and the broader cryptographic community. KMI builds on the foundation for a new automated infrastructure to deliver key management products and services to support the Warfighter's Net-Centric Environment.

KMI was designated an Acquisition Category IAM Major Automated Information System program on December 2, 2004. The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration (ASD NII) was designated as the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA). The MDA approved a combined Milestone A/B decision on April 16, 2007 and authorized the program to enter the System Development & Demonstration phase for Capability Increment 2 (CI-2). CI-2 was divided into Spiral 1 and Spiral 2. On January 11, 2012, ASD NII was disestablished by order of the Secretary of Defense and the DoD Chief Information Officer was assigned as the KMI MDA. General Dynamics C4 Systems Inc.completed development of Spiral 1 in December 2012. The Spiral 2 development contract was awarded on July 31, 2012 to Science Applications International Corporation. The MDA approved a Milestone C for Spiral 1 Production and Deployment on October 28, 2011, and approved increasing the Low Rate Initial Production Contract quantities to 400 on September 22, 2012. On December 11, 2015, the MDA approved a limited fielding decision for KMI Spiral 2, Spin 1 capabilities to replace legacy system cryptographic accounts worldwide.

To support the Cryptographic Modernization (CM) Mission Area Needs Statement (MNS) objectives and the Global Information Grid (GIG) Information Assurance (IA) strategy, development of the DoD KMI is a critical foundation element for ensuring an adequate security posture for national security systems by providing transparent cryptographic capabilities consistent with operational imperatives and mission environments. As a critical enabler to CM MNS objectives and the GIG IA strategy, the DoD KMI will be realized by the steady rollout of spirals to deliver time-phased capability increments toward end-state IA objectives consistent with the overarching GIG and CM capability requirements. The focus of KMI CI-2 is to build the foundation for the future management of Type 1 and Type 2 key material in a general-purpose networking environment. KMI CI-2 provides Type 1 and 2 key management services and cryptographic products to human users and devices (hereinafter referred to as "supported" or "security-enabled") to enable secure communications. The objectives for KMI CI-2 are: (1) Establish a secure net presence for KMI for Type 1 and Type 2 Key Management; (2) Enable customer transition from the Electronic Key Management System to KMI; (3) Provide web-based key ordering and distribution, enrollment, accounting, compromise recovery, etc. for all key types; and (4) Provide Over-the-Network-Keying to deliver software for KMI-Aware End Cryptographic Units and the KMI Client Node.

Business Case

Business Case Analysis, including the Analysis of Alternatives: Key functional requirements for this program (which were articulated in the KMI Capability Development Document (approved by Joint Requirements Oversight Council Memorandum (JROCM) 247-05 on November 14, 2005) and revalidated in the KMI Capabilities Production Document (CPD) (approved by JROCM 014-12 on February 9, 2012)) are to build the foundation for the future management of key material to support Net-Centric Warfighter operations while also providing enhanced key management capabilities to support legacy strategic and operational Warfighter requirements. Additionally, KMI Capability Increment 2 (CI-2) enables operational commanders to have broader flexibility to coordinate protection of national strategic information, informationbased processes, and information system assets within their respective theaters of operation. Guidance for the Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) was issued December 21, 2004. The ensuing AoA considered the legacy Electronic Key Management System (EKMS), a modified EKMS system, a CI-2/CI-3 developmental alternative, and a transformational alternative. The AoA resulted in a recommendation to proceed with the Incremental CI-2/CI-3 alternative. An Economic Analysis (EA) was then performed on the selected alternative. Approval from the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) was received in an Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM), "KMI Milestone B System Development and Demonstration Decision," April 16, 2007. A Milestone C decision was approved by the MDA on October 28, 2011 via a signed ADM. A revised EA will be developed to support the Full Deployment Decision for the program. CI-2. Spiral 2 introduces new mission capabilities/functionalities, to include Over the Net Keying, key provisioning support for Advanced Extremely High Frequency system, F-22 system and Mobile User Objective System. Spiral 2 was procured using an Agile Software Development methodology with capability delivered yearly with a subset of capability enhancements. By planning for more focused, shorter development and swift delivery of capabilities cycles, the Spiral 2 program is able to greatly reduce the timeframe for deploying critical mission requirements to the warfighter.

Firm, Fixed-Price Feasibility: The determination of the development/integration contract type was based on cost and technical risk associated with satisfying the requirement. The MDA has selected a cost-type contract because development tasks are sufficiently complex and technically challenging that it is impossible to precisely estimate the cost of satisfying the requirements, and it is not practicable to reduce cost and technical risk to a level that would permit the use of a fixed-price contract. KMI is a National Security System that has critical cryptographic and information assurance requirements that must be traded against the system performance requirements during the execution of the development contracts making it difficult to develop relevant measurable performance metrics. Additionally the Spiral 2 contract will be a Cost Plus Award Fee/Incentive Fee contract.

Independent Cost Estimate: In January 2012, the Senior Official determined that the program experienced a Critical Change, and the independent cost estimate completed as part of the program evaluation resulted in the Department of Defense Chief Information Officer restructuring the program by increasing the duration of program development by 12 months resulting in a Full Deployment Decision in April 2017 and by increasing the program costs by \$49 million of Research, Development, Test and Evaluation funding across the Future Years Defense Program. Additionally, an ADM included directives to increase stakeholder interaction, create a structured framework of user involvement, and strengthen the use of metrics to develop forecasts and track performance.

A revised cost estimate was conducted by the NSA independent cost team to support the Milestone C decision. The revised cost estimate includes the new threshold and objective requirements outlined in the CPD, which resulted in a critical change for the program. The Director Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation completed an independent cost evaluation of the program during the Critical Change process which was used to inform the updated Acquisition Program Baseline.

Certification of Business Case Alignment; Explanation: I certify that all technical and business requirements have been reviewed and validated to ensure alignment with the business case. This certification is based on my review of the KMI business case including the CPD, AoA, and EA described above.

Business Case Certification:

Name: Ms. Jennifer S. Walsmith

Organization: National Security Agency for KMI Inc 2

CAC CN=WALSMITH.JENNIFER.S.9000020748,CSS,OU=NSA,OU=PKI,OU=DOD,O=U.S.

Subject: GOVERNMENT,C=US Date: 4/23/2013 10:44 AM

Business Case Changes

No significant change to the Business Case and Certification.

Significant Change: The program is projecting a 10-month schedule delay for the achievement of the Full Deployment Decision. Per 10 U.S.C. Chapter 144A, the Senior Official will notify Congress of the Significant Change.

Program Status

Significant Change: The program is projecting a 10-month schedule delay for the achievement of the Full Deployment Decision. Per 10 U.S.C. Chapter 144A, the Senior Official will notify Congress of the Significant Change.

Schedule

Schedule Events					
Events	Original Estimate Objective	Current Estimate (Or Actual)			
Full Rate Production (KMI Client HW) ¹	Jun 2013	Jun 2013			
KMI CI-2 Full Deployment Decision ²	Apr 2017	Feb 2018			
KMI CI-2 Full Deployment	TBD	TBD			

Memo

1/ Full Rate Production (KMI Client HW) provides authorization for fielding of the KMI Client HW with Spiral 1 Software functionality to operational locations in support of operational missions.

2/ KMI CI-2 Full Deployment Decision is based upon the KMI program's readiness to deliver all capabilities in the Capability Production Document.

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CI-2 - Capability Increment 2

HW - Hardware

KMI - Key Management Infrastructure

Performance

Performance Characteristics Current **Original Estimate Estimate** Objective/Threshold (Or Actual) Deliver Cryptographic Products: Pull (user initiated) Product Delivery KMI interfaces shall support pull product delivery. KMI interfaces shall support pull product delivery. Threshold met The pull product delivery shall be validated for The pull product delivery shall be validated for during Spiral 1 integrity thus ensuring the product requested is integrity thus ensuring the product requested is IOT&E and the product received. • 100% of products the product received. • 100% of products FOT&E. requested were received with data integrity. requested were received with data integrity. Connected Networks: Network Identification KMI products and services shall be provided to KMI products and services shall be provided to Spiral 1 KMI clients via the following networks: Spiral 1: a. KMI clients via the following networks: Spiral 1: Threshold met SIPRNET (tactical & strategic); b. NIPRNET SIPRNET (both tactical and strategic). Spiral 2: a. during Spiral 1 (tactical & strategic); c. Internet; d. PSTN; and e. SIPRNET (both tactical and strategic); b. IOT&E and JWICS. Spiral 2: a. SIPRNET (tactical & NIPRNET (both tactical and strategic); c. Internet; FOT&E. Spiral strategic); b. NIPRNET (tactical & strategic); c. d. PSTN. 2 Threshold Internet; d. PSTN; e. JWICS requirement will be tested during Spiral 2 FOT&E. Connected Networks: KMI-Aware Device Identification KMI products and services shall be provided to KMI products and services shall be provided to Spiral 1 KMI-Aware devices via the following networks: KMI-Aware devices via the following networks: Threshold met Spiral 1: SIPRNET (tactical and strategic) for Spiral 1: SIPRNET (tactical and strategic) for during Spiral 1 infrastructure KMI Aware devices. Spiral 2: infrastructure KMI Aware devices. Spiral 2: IOT&E and SIPRNET (tactical and strategic); NIPRNET SIPRNET (tactical and strategic); NIPRNET FOT&E. Spiral (tactical and strategic); Public Internet; and (tactical and strategic); and Public Internet. 2 Threshold JWICS. requirement will be tested during Spiral 2 FOT&E. **CI-2 Net Readiness** KMI must fully support execution of all critical KMI must fully support execution of joint critical Threshold met operational activities and information exchanges operational activities and information exchanges during Spiral 1 identified in the DoD Enterprise Architecture and identified in the DoD Enterprise Architecture and IOT&E and solution architectures based on integrated solution architectures based on integrated FOT&E. DoDAF content, and must satisfy the technical DoDAF content, and must satisfy the technical requirements for transition to Net-Centric military requirements for transition to Net-Centric military operations to include: 1. Solution architecture operations to include: 1. Solution architecture products compliant with DoD Enterprise products compliant with DoD Enterprise Architecture based on integrated DoDAF content, Architecture based on integrated DoDAF content, including specified operationally effective including specified operationally effective information exchanges; 2. Compliant with Netinformation and exchanges; 2. Compliant with Centric Data Strategy and Net-Centric Services Net-Centric Data Strategy and Net-Centric Strategy, and the principles and rules identified in Services Strategy, and the principles and rules

10

the DoD IEA, excepting tactical and non-IP communications; 3. Compliant with GIG Technical Guidance to include IT Standards identified in the TV-1 and implementation guidance of GESPs necessary to meet all operational requirements specified in the DoD Enterprise Architecture and solutions architecture views; 4. Information Assurance requirements including availability, integrity, authentication, confidentiality, and non-repudiation, and issuance of an ATO by the DAA; and 5. Supportability requirements to include SAASM, Spectrum and JTRS requirements.

identified in the DoD IEA, excepting tactical and non-IP communications; 3. Compliant with GIG Technical Guidance to include IT Standards identified in the TV-1 and implementation guidance of GESPs necessary to meet all operational requirements specified in the DoD Enterprise Architecture and solutions architecture views; 4. IA requirements including availability, integrity, authentication, confidentiality, and non-repudiation, and issuance of an IATO or ATO by the DAA; and 5. Supportability requirements to include SAASM, Spectrum and JTRS requirements.

Interoperability: Maintain Generated Security Objects

The KMI shall maintain the following KMI Generated Security Objects: KMI Manager public key certificates, KMI User public key certificates, KMI Device public key certificates, KMI CRLs, and KMI CKLs 1. The KMI shall establish and maintain an archive of the security objects it generates in order to facilitate historical access to information protected by the security-enabled applications it supports. 2. The storage of information in the KMI archive shall meet the requirements of existing Records Management laws, rules, and guidelines. 3. The KMI shall provide guidelines and procedures for access to. and use of the security objects stored in the archive. 4. The KMI archive shall comply with IDM | archive. requirements for semantic tagging, search accuracy, and relevance of information returned from a search, as identified in the GIG-IA ICD. 5. IDM semantic tagging and search accuracy and relevance requirements shall be applied to that portion of the KMI archive that is accessible online for search and retrieval of information, as appropriate.

The KMI shall maintain the following KMI Generated Security Objects: KMI Manager public key certificates, KMI User public key certificates, KMI Device public key certificates, KMI CRLs, and KMI CKLs 1. The KMI shall establish and maintain an archive of the security objects it generates in order to facilitate historical access to information protected by the security-enabled applications it supports. 2. The storage of information in the KMI archive shall meet the requirements of existing Records Management laws, rules, and guidelines. 3. The KMI shall provide guidelines and procedures for access to, and use of the security objects stored in the archive.

Threshold met during Spiral 1 IOT&E and FOT&E.

CI-2 Logistics and Readiness: Operational Availability

The overall KMI system shall have an Ao of 0.9999 (not including external communications interruptions).

The overall KMI system shall have an Ao of 0.9980 (not including external communications interruptions).

Threshold met during Spiral 1 IOT&E.

Survivability

KMI Client Node shall protect key products and other sensitive data stored at the client from unauthorized access impacting the survivability of other warfighter systems.

KMI Client Node shall protect key products and other sensitive data stored at the client from unauthorized access impacting the survivability of other warfighter systems.

Threshold met during Spiral 1 IOT&E and FOT&E.

Memo

The KMI Key Performance Parameters are defined in the August 12, 2011 KMI Capabilities Production Document.

UNCLASSIFIED 11

Acronyms and Abbreviations

Ao - Operational Availability

CI-2 - Capability Increment Two

CKLs - Compromised Key Lists

CRLs - Certificate Revocation Lists

DAA - Designated Accrediting Authority

FOT&E - Follow-On Test & Evaluation

GESPs - GIG Enterprise Service Profiles

GIG - Global Information Grid

IDM - Information Dissemination Management

IOT&E - Initial Operational Test & Evaluation

JTRS - Joint Tactical Radio System

JWICS - Joint Worldwide Intelligence Communications System

KMI - Key Management Infrastructure

NIPRNET - Non-Secure INternet Protocol Router Network

PSTN - Public Switch Telephone Network

SAASM - Selective Availability Anti-Spoofing Module

SIPRNET - Secret Internet Protocol Router Network

TV-1 - Technology View 1

Cost

KMI Inc 2							
	BY 2005 \$M		TY	TY \$M			
Appropriation Category	Original Estimate	Current Estimate Or Actual	Original Estimate	Current Estimate Or Actual			
Acquisition Cost							
RDT&E	459.2	467.1	529.3	539.3			
Procurement	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
MILCON	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Acq O&M	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total Acquisition Cost	459.2	467.1	529.3	539.3			
Operating and Support (O&S) Cost							
Total Operating and Support (O&S) Cost	115.6	118.7	149.9	163.4			
Total Life-Cycle Cost							
Total Life-Cycle Cost	574.8	585.8	679.2	702.7			

Cost Notes

- This report and the Budget Year IT-1 Exhibit cover different time periods thus the costs will not match.
 Then Year dollars are included for information purposes only; cost variances will be reported against Base Year dollars.
 The O&S costs reflect all work performed during that phase, regardless of the type or source of funding.