UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD883327

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Administrative/Operational Use; APR 1971. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Weapons Lab., Kirtland AFB, NM 87117.

AUTHORITY

AFWL ltr 1 May 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED



FWL-TR-71-23

MEASUREMENT OF PRESSURE, IMPULSE, AND DETONATION VELOCITY FOR DETASHEET L EXPLOSIVE

J. D. Colton

Stanford Research Institute

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. AFWL-TR-71-23

April 1971

AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY Air Force Systems Command Kirtland Air Force Base New Mexico

MAY 10 1971 B

Each transmittal of this document outside the agencies of the U.S. Government must have prior approval of AFWL (SRR) , Kirtland AFB, NM, 87117.--

AIR FORCE WEAPONS LABORATORY Air Force Systëms Command Kirtland Air Force Base New Mexico 87117

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever, and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report is made available for study with the understanding that proprietary interests in and relating thereto will not be impaired. In case of apparent conflict or any other questions between the Government's rights and those of others, notify the Judge Advocate, Air Force Systems Command, Andrews Air Force Base, Washington, DC 20331.

DO NOT RETURN THIS COPY. RETAIN OR DESTROY.

GESSION for GESTI WHITE SECTION C ODC BUFF SECTION C URARNOUNCED C JUS.IFICATION BY DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES DIST. AVAIL and/or SPECIAL

MEASUREMENT OF PRESSURE, IMPULSE, AND DETONATION VELOCITY FOR DETASHEET L EXPLOSIVE

J. D. Colton

Stanford Research Institute

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. AFWL-TR-71-23

Each transmittal of this document outside the agencies of the U. S. Government must have prior approval of AFWL (SRR), Kirtland AFB, NM 87117. Distribution is limited because, of the technology discussed in the report.

.

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, California, under Contract F29601-69-C-0080. The research was performed under Program Element 61102H, Project 5710, Subtask AA122.

Inclusive dates of research were November 1970 through January 1971. The report was submitted 26 March 1971 by the Air Force Weapons Laboratory Project Officer, Captain David K. Miller (SRR), who along with James H. Suttle and Captain Ronald D. Schappaugh provided technical direction.

Detasheet D and Detasheet L are trade names. Their use does not imply recommendation by the Air Force or Stanford Research Institute over equivalent products made by other manufacturers.

The contractor report number is PYU 7850.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

David E. Miller

DAVID K. MILLER Captain, USAF Project Officer

Thisle May

DURWARD D. YOUNG, JR. Lt Colonel, USAF Chief, Radiation Effects Branch

Jany & Page

HARRY F. RIZZO Lt Colonel, USAF Chief, Radiation Division

ABSTRACT

(Distribution Limitation Statement No. 3)

Tests were conducted to measure the impulse and pressure characteristics of Detasheet L explosive. Results of these tests show a maximum detonation velocity of 7.6 mm/#sec, a minimum detonation thickness of 6 mils, a peak pressure in direct contact with silica phenolic (simulated by doped epoxy) of about 80 kbar, and a specific impulse of 755 \pm 15 taps/mil. The minimum impulse generated by a continuous sheet of Detasheet L explosive is about 4500 taps compared with about 7800 taps (12 mils) for Detasheet D. The L explosive is considerably more difficult to roll into thin sheets (takes more passes) than Detasheet D explosive. However, this difficulty is associated with the stiffer binding material in the L explosive, which gives the compensating advantage that even in very thin sheets the L explosive has little tendency to stretch during handling. Thus, Detasheet L has its greatest advantage in very thin, dimensionally stable sheets for low impulse testing. It can also be used in thicker sheets for higher impulse testing, but at these levels the D explosive has the advantage of being easier to roll.

and and show when

This page intentionally left blank.

CONTENTS

Section			Page
I	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.	Background and Objectives	1
	2.	Program	2
II	2. INTR 1. 2. RESU 1. 2. 3. CONC	ULTS	3
	1.	Detonation Measurements	3
	2.	Pressure Measurements	4
	3.	Impulse Measurements	9
III	CON	CLUSION	12

v

REFERENCES

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Detonation Velocity of Detasheet L Explosive Versus Explosive Thickness	5
2	Pressure Pulses from Detasheet L Explosive in Contact with Doped Epoxy and with Solid Neoprene Between the Explosive and the Epoxy	7
3	Peak Pressure Versus Attenuator Thickness for Detasheet L and Detasheet D Explosives	10

TABLES

<u>Table</u>

Ι	Detonation Velocity of Detasheet L Explosive	4
II	Peak Pressures from Detasheet L Explosive	6
III	Impulses from Detasheet L Explosive	11

vi

SECTION I

INTRODUCTI ON

1. BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Sheet explosive has been used extensively to simulate radiation loads on the surface of reentry vehicles because it conveniently provides loads over the large curved area of a full reentry vehicle with no practical limit to the maximum impulse. However, the sheet explosive in most common use, Detasheet D (manufactured by Du Pont), has a minimum impulse corresponding to the minimum thickness of explosive required to propagate detonation. For Detasheet D this minimum thickness is about 12 mils; the corresponding impulse for the explosive in contact with typical heat shield materials is about 7800 taps. Since some simulation tests at threshold damage levels require a lower impulse, a stripped explosive loading configuration has been used, as described in reference 1. Unfortunately, this technique introduces nonuniformities in the load and is susceptible to inaccuracies caused by stretching of the strips during handling. A new explosive, Detasheet L (also manufactured by Du Pont), provides a lower minimum impulse from a continuous sheet because it can be detonated at smaller thicknesses. The objective of the present program is to evaluate this new explosive for use in simulation tests.

The physical characteristics of Detasheet L explosive are different than those of Detasheet D explosive. Detasheet L is tougher and stronger than Detasheet D and has a resilient quality which reduces its susceptibility to wrinkling during the rolling process and to stretching during handling. However, the time required to roll Detasheet L to a specified thickness is about three times that required for Detasheet D. The density of Detasheet L, measured at several thicknesses by the water displacement method, was found to be 1.56 gm/cm³ compared to 1.40 gm/cm³ for Detasheet D. Detasheet L is light gray in color.

Although measurements were made only on Detasheet L explosive in this program, existing data on Detasheet D (Ref. 1) are presented in this report for comparison.

2. PRCGRAM

The characteristics of explosives of most interest in nuclear effects simulation work are detonation velocity, minimum detonation thickness, peak pressure, and impulse. Extensive measurements of these quantities have been made previously for Detasheet D (Ref. 1). In this program measurements of these quantities well made for Detasheet L. Since Detasheet D is satisfactory for simulation at thicknesses greater than 12 mils (7800 taps), Detasheet L will be used for simulation primarily when lower impulse levels, and therefore smaller explosive thicknesses, are required. Most of the tests on Detasheet L reported here were performed near its minimum detonation thickness.

SECTION II

RESULTS

1. DETONATION MEASUREMENTS

a. Experimental Technique

Detonation velocities were measured with a rotating mirror smear camera by photographing the explosive detonation front as it traveled 3.25 inches down a l-inch-wide sheet of explosive. With this method the detonation front is viewed through a slit while the image of the slit is being swept accoss the film by the rotating mirror. The experimental procedure is described in more detail in reference 2.

b. Results

Results of the measurements of detonation velocity are given in Table I and shown graphically in Figure 1. They show that the maximum detonation velocity for Detasheet L is about 7.6 mm/µsec. The maximum detonation velocity for Detasheet D is 7.3 mm/µsec.

The minimum thickness for detonation of Detasheet L explosive was found to be 6 mils. Although detonation was complete for all tests performed with explosive greater than 6 mils thick, complete detonation of the Detasheet L explosive was unreliable with 5.5-mil-thick explosive, and 5-mil-thick explosive failed to detonate.

For tests of Detasheet L of thickness less than 20 mils, a 15-milthick Detasheet D lead-in was used to initiate detonation. Three tests were performed with 0.1-inch-wide strips of 6-mil-thick explosive. The explosive detonated every time, even abound a 90-degree bend in the strip. Seven tests were performed with continuous sheets of 6-mil-thick explosive. In two of these tests the Detasheet D lead-in failed to initiate detonation at the edge of the Detasheet L. However, in the other five tests, detonation was complete.

Table I

Explosive Detonation Thickness Shot Velocity No. (mils) (mm/µsec) 7,19 S-9 6 7,25 S-8 S-7 7.41 8 7.42 S-6 7.43 S-4 10 S-15 7.53 S-13 25 7.56 S-14 7.62 S-10 125 7.68 7.58 S-11 7 58 7.63 S-12

DETONATION VELOCITY OF DETASHEET L EXPLOSIVE

2. PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS

a. Experimental Techniques

Pressure measurements were made with piezoresistive gages employing a sensing element made of manganin wire (originally 3 mils in diameter) flattened to 1 mil in thickness and 7 mils in width. This shape was selected to minimize the rise time of the gage. The wire was in a π -pattern configuration embedded in epoxy doped with glass beads to match the shock impedance of silica phenolic as described in reference 1. In most tests, the standoff distance between the loaded surface and the wire was 10 mils. The experimental procedure for measuring pressure is reported in detail in reference 1.

Rise time for a running detonation depends upon both the traversal time across the width b of the gage and the reverberation equilibration time through the thickness h. Optimum performance for a given gage crosssectional area is obtained by making these times approximately equal, i.e. by making b/h = 7.





b. <u>Results</u>

Results of the pressure measurements are summarized in Table II. Typical pressure records from tests of Detasheet D explosive are shown in Figure 2. The peak pressure generated by the thickest (168 mils) Detasheet L explosive tested in direct contact (shown in Figure 2(a)) was 79.1 kbar as compared with about 70 kbar for Detasheet D. These are the highest pressures obtainable with either explosive under a running detonation in contact with typical heat shield materials.

Table II

Shot No.	Explosive Thickness (mils)	Solid Neoprone Thickness (inch)	Gage Setback (mils)	Recorded Pesk Pressure (kbar)	Extrapolated Peak Pressure (kbar)	Comments
P-2	25		10	60.0		
p_3	25		10	62.1		
D-12	85		10	74.8		
P-1	85		10			Very noisy signal: no continuous
			10			trace.
P=15	168		40	80.9		
P-17	168		40	79.1		
P-14	168		20			Gage broke: unreliable record.
P-13	168		10			Gage broke: unreliable record.
P-6	10	1/16	10	10.5	15.3	
P-18	10	1/16	10	10.3		Too steep to extrapolate.
P-5	10	1/16	10	7.8		Explosive not cemented to gage.
P-8	10	1/8	10	9.2	10.5	
P-16	10	1/8	10	8.4	10.1	
P-7	10	1/8	10	6.7		Explosive not cemented to gage.
P-4	20	1/16	10	25.8	31.8	
P-9	20	1/16	10	23.2	27.2	
P-11	20	1/8	10	17.1	20.2	
P-10	20	1/8	10	16.9	19.2	
P-19	20	1/8	10	15.2		

PEAK PRESSURES FROM DETASHEET L EXPLOSIVE

Although the pressure obtained using 200-mil-thick Detasheet D explosive was constant at the peak value of about 0.5 μ sec (Ref. 1), the pressure obtained with 168-mil-thick Detasheet L explosive, after reaching a peak, decreased slightly to an approximately constant plateau for about 0.4 μ sec after the pulse arrived at the gage, as shown in Figure 2(a). This difference in recorded wave shape generated by the two types of explosive was attributed to the differences in gage construction, since theoretically the pulse shapes must be similar. To verify this, two of the flattened wire gages were tested using 100-mil-thick Detasheet D explosive. Records from these tests show pulse shapes comparable to the pulse shapes observed



(a) SHOT NO. P-17

168 mils EXPLOSIVE IN DIRECT CONTACT 100 mv/cm, 0.5 μ sec/cm, v = 1.35 v P = 79.1 kbar



(c) SHOT NO. P-G

10 mils EXPLOSIVE OVER 1/16 mch NEOPRENE 30 mv/cm, 0.5 μ sec/cm, v = 2.825 v P = 15.3 kbar (EXTRAPOLATED)



(b) SHOT NO. P-2

25 m/s EXPLOSIVE IN DIRECT CONTACT 200 mv/cm, 0.5 μ sec/cm, v = 2.755 v P = 60.0 kbar (ACTUAL ~80 kbar)



(d) SHOT NO. P-8

10 mils EXPLOSIVE OVER 1/8 mch NEOPRENE 30 mv/cm, 0.5 μ sec/cm, v = 2.830 v P = 10.5 kbar (EXTRAPOLATED)



(e) SHOT NO. P-9

20 mils EXPLOSIVE OVER 1/16 inch NEOPRENE 100 mv/cm, 0.5 μ sec/cm, v = 2.705 v P = 27.2 kbar (EXTRAPOLATED)



(f) SHOT NO. P-11

20 mils EXPLOSIVE OVER 1/8 inch NEOPRENE 50 mv/cm, 0.5 μ sec/cm, v = 2.879 v P = 20.2 kbar (EXTRAPOLATED)

GP 7850 10

FIGURE 2 PRESSURE PULSES FROM DETASHEET L EXPLOSIVE IN CONTACT WITH DOPED EPOXY AND WITH SOLID NEOPRENE BETWEEN THE EXPLOSIVE AND THE EPOXY

with flat-wire gages tested with thick Detasheet L explosive. The peak pressures were 69.0 and 69.7 kbar compared with about 70 kbar previously recorded with round-wire gages. Therefore it is concluded that the peak pressure recorded by the flattened wire gages is correct, but the pressure drop following the peak is caused by the flat-wire construction.

Measurements with the explosive in direct contact with the doped epoxy were also made at the minimum explosive thickness estimated to allow the gage to reach equilibrium at the peak pressure. On the basis of the thickness and the width of the gage, this explosive thickness was estimated to be 25 mils. Results of this test gave a peak pressure of 60.0 kbar, as shown in Figure 2(b). Hence the recorded peak pressure is below the true peak pressure. The shape of the recorded pulse strongly suggests that the gage could not follow the true pressure (compare Figs. 2(a) and (b)). Best estimates of peak pressure for thin explosive sheets are therefore made on the basis of the theoretical result that peak pressure is proportional to the square of the detonation velocity (Ref. 4). Thus, from the velocity data in Table I, at thicknesses above about 10 to 20 mils peak pressure is virtually independent of thickness. In the extreme case, at 6 mils, the data in Tables I and II give a peak pressure P = $(7.22/7.60)^2$ (80.5) = 72.7 kbar.

Pressure records using solid neoprene attenuators are shown in Figures 2(c) through 2(f). The peak pressures shown in the records are slightly inconsistent, as seen in Table II, and the errors appear to be random. This is attributed to the size of the glass beads in the epoxy being comparable to the size of the manganin wire. This effect was not apparent in tests with the thicker explosive in direct contact with the epoxy because the time at peak pressure was longer than the equilibrium time of the gage. Since the glass beads are not uniform in size, the equilibrium time for each gage depends on its distribution of bead sizes near the wire, resulting in a random error in recorded peak pressure. To estimate the actual peak pressures for the tests performed with attenuators, the pressure records were linearly extrapolated back to the arrival time of the pressure pulse, as described in reference 1. The results give peak pressures that are consistent with each other. These

pressures are higher than extrapolated pressures for similar measurements with Detasheet D. Peak pressures for 10- and 20-mil-thick Detasheet L explosives attenuated by both 1/16- and 1/8-inch-thick solid neoprene are shown graphically in Figure 3. For comparison, results are also shown for Detasheet D.

3. IMPULSE MEASUREMENTS

a. Experimental Technique

Impulse was determined by measuring the velocity imparted to 4-inchdiameter target plates by explosive loading. The targets were made of 0.375-inch-thick Grade 79 Micarta^{**} bonded with Epon 934^{**} to).1-inch-thick 6061-T6 aluminum. This is the same configuration used in a previous program (Ref. 3) to determine the impulse from Detasheet D. Target velocity was measured by taking radiographs of the target at two accurately known times. The technique is described in detail in reference 4.

In all but two tests the explosive was in direct contact with the Micarta. Under 20-mil-thick explosive in direct contact, the Micarta was found to delaminate causing difficulty in measuring the total momentum of the target. To reduce this difficulty, a 1/16-inch-thick sheet of solid neoprene rubber was placed between the explosive and the target. Results from similar tests on a previous program (Ref. 3) show that an attenuator of this areal density does not alter the momentum imparted to the target within the scatter of the experimental error.

In some tests not all target pieces were visible in both radiographs. For these cases velocity was determined by assuming that the target had been accelerated to peak velocity during the double transit time of a plane wave through the thickness of the target (~ 10 μ sec) and that the target was in its initial position up to that time. Impulses calculated using this initial time and position were found to be consistent with impulses calculated when all target pieces were visible in both radiographs.

*Trademark of Westinghouse.

"Trademark of Shell Chemical Company.



1

4 =





b. <u>Results</u>

Results of the impulse measurements for explosive thicknesses of 6, 10, and 20 mils are shown in Table III. The results show that the specific impulse for Detasheet L is about 755 taps/mil at all impulse levels, compared with about 650 taps/mil for Detasheet D. However, since Detasheet L will detonate at a thickness of 6 mils, the minimum impulse obtainable from a continuous sheet is about 6 x 755 = 4530 taps compared with the minimum impulse obtainable with Detasheet D of about 7800 taps.

Table III

Loading			Impulse					
Shot No.	Explosive Thickness (mils)	Solid Neoprene (inch)	I Micarta (taps)	I alum (taps)	I total (taps)	I _o (taps/mil)	I _o (ave) (taps/mil)	
M-13 M-14	6.5		1400 1760	3,550 3,330 2,960	4,950 5,090	762 782 740	765(3)	
M-6 M-7	10 10		2080 1940	5,570 5,420	7,650 7,360	765 ⁸ 736	745(3)	
M-15 M-16	10 20 20	1/16	2120 4140 4480	5,390 10,810 10,630	7,410 14,950 15,110	741 747 ^b 756 ^a	760(3)	

IMPULSES FROM DETASHEET L EXPLOSIVE

^aMicarta pieces were not all visible in radiograph 1. b

^bAluminum base was not visible in radiograph 2.

in our in said water 200

SECTION III

CONCLUSION

The minimum impulse obtainable from a continuous sheet of Detasheet L explosive is about 4500 taps compared with about 7800 taps from Detasheet D. The detonation velocity, pressure, and specific impulse of Detasheet L are only slightly higher than those of Detasheet D. The L explosive is considerably more difficult to roll into thin sheets (takes more passes) than Detasheet D explosive. However, this difficulty is associated with the stiffer binding material in the L explosive, which gives the compensating advantage that even in very thin sheets the L explosive has little tendency to stretch during handling. Thus, Detasheet L has its greatest advantage in very thin, dimensionally stable sheets for low impulse testing. It can also be used in thicker sheets for higher impulse testing, but at these levels the D explosive has the advantage of being easier to roll.

REFERENCES

- Lindberg, H. E., Colton, J. D., <u>Sheet Explosive Simulation for Combined</u> <u>Shock and Structural Response</u>, AFWL-TR-69-124, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB, NM, September 1970.
- 2. Cook, M. A., The Science of High Explosives, Reinhold, 1958.
- 3. Lindberg, H. E., Sliter, G. E., <u>Response of Reentry-Vehicle-Type Shells to</u> <u>Transient Surface Pressures</u>, AFWL-TR-68-56, Air Force Weapons Laboratory, Kirtland AFB, NM, June 1969.
- Abrahamson, G. R., (U) <u>Techniques for Simulating X-Ray Impulses on Reentry</u> <u>Vehicles</u>, Air Force Special Weapons Center, Kirtland AFB, NM, March 1961. (Secret-RD)

- 3 Barris

This page intentionally left blank.

£

ŝ

£

DOCUMENT	T CONTROL DATA	- R & D	
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and	indexing annotation must	be entered when the overall report is classified)	
. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
Stanford Research Institute			
Menlo Park, California 94025		2b. GROUP	
REPORT TITLE		······································	
MEASUREMENT OF PRESSURE, IMPULSE, A EXPLOSIVE	ND DETONATION V	VELOCITY FOR DETASHEET L	•
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) November 1970 through January 1971			
AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle Initial, last name)			
J. D. Colton			
April 1971	78. TOTAL NO	0. OF PAGES 76. NO. OF REFS	
I. CONTRACT OF GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINAT	OR'S REPORT NUMBER(5)	
F24601-69-C-0080		71.00	
5710	AFWL-TR	-/1-23	
Subtask AA122	95. OTHER R	EPOR" NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be ase	gne
	Contrac	tar report no PVH 7850	
A DEFLEMENTANT NOTES	AF	WL (SRR) rtland AFB, NM 87117	
	pth	COMPAN	
I explosive. Results of these tests mm/µsec, a minimum detonation thickn with silica phenolic (simulated by of impulse of 755 ± 15 taps/mil.) The r Detasheet L explosive is about 4500 Detasheet D. The L explosive is con sheets (takes more passes) than Deta associated with the stiffer binding compensating advantage that even in tendency to stretch during handling. in very thin, dimensionally stable a	s show a maximum ness of 6 mils, doped epoxy) of minimum impulse taps compared was naiderably more asheet D explose material in the very thin shee Thus, Detashes sheets for low impulse testing	m detonation velocity of 7.6 a peak pressure in direct con about 80 kbar, and a specific generated by a continuous she with about 7800 taps (12 mils) difficult to roll into thin ive. However, this difficulty e L explosive, which gives the ts the L explosive has little eet L has its greatest advanta impulse testing. It can also but at these levels the D exp	sin ta et fo 1 b 1 1 o
used in thicker sheets for higher in sive has the advantage of being east	ier to roll.	·	
Used in thicker sheets for higher in sive has the advantage of being east D FORM 1473	ier to roll.	UNCLASSIFIED	

1

\$

and the second second

KEY	KEY WORDS			LINKB		LINK C	
		ROLE	w T	ROLE	WT_	ROLE	wт
					1		
Prolonders shark should be					÷.		
Sheet explosive	on		1				ĺ
Impulse measurement			1				
Manganin pressure-time me	easurement						
		2	- CC				· ·
			-				
			<				÷.
							·
				}			
			•		•		
					·	· ·	
					•		
							·
9				ACCT		And the second	a di sa sa
		UNCLASSIFIED					
			occurity	C10551110	ation		
			*		and the second	ring: Andrewski, and A service	Claure Statistics