UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD813566

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; APR 1967. Other requests shall be referred to Department of the Army, Fort Detrick, Attn: Technical Releases Branch, Frederick, MD 21701.

AUTHORITY

Army Biological Defense Research Lab ltr dtd 28 Sep 1971





THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the Commanding Officer', Fort Detrick, ATTN: Technical Releases Branch, Technical Information Division, Fort Detric:, Frederick, Maryland, 21701. However, DDC is authorized to reproduce the publication for United States Government purposes.

a de la constante de

DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICES

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this publication from DDC.

Foreign announcement and dissemination of this publication by DDC is not authorized.

Release or announcement to the public is not authorized.

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

Destroy this publication when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The findings in this publication are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

• <u>•</u> • • •

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY Fort Detrick Frederick, Maryland 21701

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT 387

STERILIZATION OF LIQUIDS BY FILTRATION AND CERTIFICATION OF PROBABILITY

Dorothy M. Portner Charles R. Phillips Robert K. Hoffman

Physical Defense Division COMMODITY DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING LABORATORY

Project 1B622401A072

April 1967

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. F.M. Wadley and J.C. Atkinson for the statistical analysis of the data. This work was sponsored by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through an interagency agreement with the Department of the Army.

ABSTRACT

Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass funnel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy <u>Serratia marcescens</u> suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated riltrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system.

1. INTRODUCTION

This study was performed under an intersgency agreement with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to determine if filtration of liquid suspensions is a sufficiently dependable sterilization technique to meet the restrictions of current international agreements. These agreements, promulgated under the auspices of the International Committee on Space Research, set acceptable sterilization standards for spacecraft that might intercept Mars or other planets. The standards recognize that sterilization is an absolute term and that one can never be certain of its accomplishment. The goals are therefore expressed in terms of probabilities. The current agreement¹ requires that no spacecraft be launched unless the nation responsible can guarantee that the probability of its placing a viable microorganism on the surface of Mars is less than $1 \ge 10^{-4}$.

It is currently planned to use dry heat to sterilize the assembled spacecraft. However, certain exobiological experiments now in the planning stage will utilize heat-labile biological fluids that will require sterilization by some other manner before they are added to the spacecraft that has been heat-treated. The most common laboratory method for sterilizing such liquids is filtration. The question then arises whether this technique would require a prohibitive amount of pretesting to demonstrate that the probability of sterility of the biological fluid finally used in the experiment meets the prescribed standards. It is assumed that an investigator would carefully select the type of equipment best adapted to the biological fluid needed for his experiment and that the setup would be pretested to permit calculating the probability of sterility of the final product. This paper attempts to show how such a determination could be made after only a limited amount of pretesting.

Four of the most common types of equipment used for filtration sterilization were given a predetermined number of tests, and the data were then examined for statistical reliability. It should be emphasized that the aim of the experiment was not to determine the reliability of any particular manufacturer's product. It was merely to show, hopefully, that with careful selection of equipment and a limited amount of pretesting filtration could be utilized as a sterilization technique when, because of the sensitivity of the product, this was the preferred method.

Surprisingly, there is little in the literature about the probability that these filters will give a bacteria-free filtrate. Indeed, much of the published work is concerned with differential filtration to separate bacteria from viruses or bacteriophage.⁸⁻⁴ For a discussion of various precautions, the effect of such factors as the type and pH of the fluid and the porosity and electrical charge or adsorption of the filters, see Sykes⁵ or Morton.⁶ The literature gives the general impression that failures of filters to give bacteria-free filtrates are by no means uncommon.

The types of hydrosol filters used in these tests were the distomaceous cyl.nder, fritted glass funnel, asbestos pad, and the membrane filter. For each test, two 25-ml samples of a heavy bacterial suspension were passed through the filters. One whole filtrate was tested for the presence of microorganisms by adding it to broth to give a qualitative plus or minus result. The second filtrate was tested by plating the entire 25 ml in a large petri dish with nutrient agar for a quantitative colony count. A heavy bacterial suspension was used to challenge each filter in order to obtain significant penetration data.

11. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. HYDROSOL FILTRATION UNITS

For this comparative study all equipment and supplies were new and were used as they came from the manufacturers. Two of the hydrosol filters, the diatomaceous cylinder and the fritted glass funnel, are designed for reuse, but before each test they must be cleaned and sterilized. The other two, asbestos pads and membrane filters, are discarded after one use, but the holders are reusable. The manufacturers' brief descriptions of the filtration units shown from left to right in Figure 1 are given below:

1) Diatomaceous cylinder (diameter 5/8 inches and length $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches) with a normal or medium perosity connected to a matching glass mantle by washers and a lock nut.

2) Büchner glass funnel with a fritted disc (60-ml capacity) of ultrafine porosity (about 1.2 μ).

3) Asbestos filter (35-mm diameter) with a very fine porosity fitted in a gravity or vacuum silver-plated bronze holder with a center nut (30-ml capacity).

4) Membrane filter (47-mm diameter) with a porosity of about 0.2 μ (obtained from three manufacturers) used in each of two glass holders (300-ml capacity).

Manufacturer A holder: a glass funnel and a base with a coarse fritted glass support held together with an anodized aluminum spring-action clamp.

Manufacturer B holder: a glass funnel and a base with a stainless steel resistance-welded screen support held together with three stainless steel clips attached to the base.

The manufacturers' descriptions of some filters omit specific pore size. Because of irregularities in pore structure, it is impossible to determine true pore size.

Each filtration unit was attached by a rubber stopper to the barrel of a 20-ml syringe fastened to a 20-gauge needle (Fig. 2). The entire assembly was wrapped in paper, sterilized by exposure to ethylene oxide gas for 24 hours, and allowed to stand for a minimum of 2 days before use (to lose any absorbed ethylene oxide). In this way there was no requirement for assembling separate sterile items just before filtration, thus avoiding chances of inadvertent contamination.

B. TEST DESIGN

Table 1 lists the number and types of filters tested, the total number of times each was used, the number of holders tested, and the number of times each holder was used. Each run consisted of testing, in a random order, 12 filtration units; this included two diatomaceous cyliders, two fritted glass funnels, two asbestos pads, and two each of membranes A, B, and C. Thus, 24 runs were required for the total 288 tests conducted. For each of these runs a new bacterial suspension was employed.

C. TEST PROCEDURE

The bacterial suspension used for each run was prepared by adding Serratia marcescens cells washed from a 24-hour agar culture slant to about 750 ml of tap water previously boiled to remove the chlorine. The viable count did not change appreciably when the test organism was suspended in boiled tap water for the 3-hour test period, but there was a 5-log decrease in count in distilled water. Each filtration unit was mounted in an upright position (Fig. 2). Two 25-ml samples of bacterial suspension. each containing about 10 million cells, were filtered into separate sterile rubber-stoppered bottles by reducing the pressure to approximately 10 cm mercury through a 20-gauge needle inserted into each bottle before it was sterilized. One bottle containing 25 ml of double-strength trypticase soy broth without dextrose was used to test the filtrate qualitatively for bacterial growth. The other bottle was empty and was used to collect 25 ml of filtrate for quantitative viable count. A random order was used to determine which sample would be cultured in broth and which would be assayed in agar.



6

Figure 1. Filtration Units Tested. See text for descriptions.



Figure 2. Filtration Unit Mounted.

100

No. of Lot of Lo

TABLE 1. SCHEMA OF HYDROSOL FILTRATION TESTS

							Total
	Filtration Unit		Number of Filters	Filters	Number	Number of Holders	Number of Tests
	Filter	Holder	Total	Used	Total	Used	
	1) Distomaceous cylinder	Glass mantle <u>a</u> /	4	12	4	12	48
3)	2) Fritted glass	Büchner funne 12/	4	12	4	12	48
ନ	3) Asbestos pad	Bronze	48	1	4	12	48
(4	4) Membrane A	Glass AD/ Glass BC/	24 24		44	\$	24 24
	Membrane B	Glass A <u>b</u> / Glass BC/	24 24		44	\$	24 24
	Membrane C	Glass Ab/ Glass Bc/	24 24		44	و و	24 24
i _	Holder always tested with the same filter. The same function Manufacturer A were used to test all membranes.	tested with holders from	the same 1 Manufactu	filter. irer A wei	te used	to test all	membranes.

The same four holders from Manufacturer A were used to test all memoranes. The same four holders from Manufacturer B were used to test all membranes. ن م.

For the quantitative assay, the entire 25 ml of filtrate was removed from the bottle with a needle and syringe and placed in a large petri dish. A pour plate was prepared with trypticase soy agar. All plates were incubated at 32 C for 24 to 72 hours before counting colonies. The number of colonies per plate and the degree of coalescence determined the incubation period. The filtrate in the broth was incubated at 32 C for 7 days before checking for bacterial growth. Control counts were also done on each bacterial suspension both before the filtrations began and again after their completion.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of this study are shown in Tables 2 through 7. Raw data are presented rather than means so that the peculiarities that occurred can be clearly shown. It is readily apparent that all four types of hydrosol filters could and did produce sterile filtrates. However, contaminated filtrates were also obtained with each type of filter unit with the exception of membrane A used in the four holders from Manufacturer A (Table 5).

The efficiency of the four diatomaceous cylinders tested varied greatly (Table 2). Most of the filtrates were contaminated when filter 1 or 4 was used, but with filters 2 or 3, sterile filtrates were obtained in all but two instances. Here is clear evidence that it can not be assumed that equipment as received will perform satisfactorily.

The results with fritted glass (Table 3) and the asbestos pads (Table 4) were generally excellent. Bacterial growth occurred only seven times. Four times, however, the organism was not <u>S. marcescens</u>. This occurred twice in the first four filtrations with asbestos pad holder 1. Because of the exceptionally long filtration time, this holder was examined and found to have a minute hole that allowed air to enter behind the asbestos pad itself. The filtrate thus could become recontaminated with an airborne organism or organisms after filtration. No such contamination occurred after the hole was repaired between the fourth and fifth filtration. No obvious flaw was evident with the two fritted glass funnels where this same phenomenon occurred, nor on the one occasion when this showed up with one of the membrane filter combinations (Table 6). Extreme care was taken when designing and conducting these tests to use aseptic techniques so that outside contamination would not occur. Even so, in these few instances an unexplained contaminant appeared. It is suspected chat these contaminations, too, occurred after filtration, possibly because of faulty equipment, an air leak in the system, or even a break in the aseptic technique.

TABLE 2. NUMBER OF <u>S</u>. <u>MARCESCENS</u> ORGANISMS PENETRATING DIATOMACEOUS FULTERS

Org. per 25 ml 25 ml Org. per 25 ml 25 ml Org. per 25 ml 25 ml Filtrate 1 m EOCIN 25 ml sec. Filtrate m EOCIN 25 ml sec. 27	l		Filter 1			Filter 2			Filter 3			Filter 4	
3 + 86 0 - 136 1 - 72 17 - >10,000 + 85 C - 52 0 - 57 6 - 230 + 94 0 - 56 0 - 56 7 6 - 2,900 + 94 0 - 59 0 - 56 7 6 - 7 2,900 + 102 0 - 53 0 - 73 7 1 + + 2,900 + 102 0 - 56 70 0 - - 7 1 + + + + + 10 0 - 10 1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 10 1 + +<	est No.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate		Time, ^b / sec.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	25 ml Filtrate in Broth <u>a</u> /	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	25 ml Filtrate in Broth ^a /	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	25 ml Filtrate in Broth <u>a</u> /	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.
>10,000 + 85 C - 5 0 - 57 6 - 2300 + 94 0 - 56 0 - 60 16 + 2,300 + 94 0 - 56 0 - 56 0 - 2,300 + 92 0 - 56 920 - - 56 70 0 - - 920 + 102 0 - 58 2 - 72 1 + + + 920 + 130 0 - 58 2 2 1 1 + + + - - 56 10 0 -<	-	9	+	86	0	1	136	-	•	72	17	1	8
230 i 94 0 - 56 0 - 60 16 i 2,300 + 94 0 - 60 0 - 56 0 - 2,900 + 92 0 - 59 0 - 62 920 + 920 + 102 0 - 58 2 0 0 - 1 + + 920 + 102 0 - 58 2 0 0 - 1 +	7	>10,000	+	85	c	•	52	0		57	Q	١	8
2,300 + 94 0 - 60 0 - 56 0 - 2,900 + 95 0 - 59 0 - 62 920 + 920 + 102 0 - 58 2 - 72 1 + 130 + 123 0 - 58 2 66 >10,000 - + 300 + 130 0 - 63 0 + + + ζ' + 17 0 - 62 0 - 63 0 + 2,500 + 142 0 - 57 0 - 72 1 + 100 + 144 0 - 59 0 + + 12 + 172 0 - 72 0 7 0 + 12 + 144 0 - 54 0 0 + +	m	230	۰ ۳	94	0	ı	56	0	•	60	16	+	16
2,900 + 95 0 - 62 920 + 920 + 102 0 - 58 2 - 72 1 + 130 + 123 0 - 57 0 - 66 >10,000 - 300 + 130 0 - 60 0 - 63 0 + 2/50 + 14 0 - 57 0 - 68 15 + 100 + 142 0 - 57 0 - 72 0 + 125 + 144 0 - 59 0 - 72 0 + 120 + 172 0 - 64 0 - 73 16 + 122 + 172 0 - 64 0 - 73 16 + ************************************	4	2,300	+	96	0	·	60	0		56	0	۱	\$
920 + 102 0 - 58 2 - 72 1 + 130 + 123 0 - 57 0 - 66 >10,000 - + 300 + 130 0 - 60 0 - 63 0 + $2'$ + 130 0 - 63 0 + + $2'$ + 142 0 - 57 0 - 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 144 0 - 64 0 - 72 16 + 72 + 172 0 - 64 0 - 73 16 + $4.$ $3.$ $3.$ $10.$ $10.$ $10.$ $10.$ $10.$ $10.$ $10.$ $10.$ $10.$ $10.$ $2,500$ $4.$ $10.$ $0.$ $2.$ $0.$ $7.$ $72.$ $0.$ $7.$ $7.$ </td <td>5</td> <td>2,900</td> <td>+</td> <td>95</td> <td>0</td> <td>·</td> <td>59</td> <td>0</td> <td>·</td> <td>62</td> <td>920</td> <td>+</td> <td>96</td>	5	2,900	+	95	0	·	59	0	·	62	920	+	96
130 + 123 0 - 57 0 - 66 >10,000 - + 300 + 130 0 - 60 0 - 63 0 + \mathcal{L}' + 77 0 - 62 0 - 68 15 + 2,500 + 142 0 - 57 0 - 72 0 + 100 + 144 0 - 64 0 - 72 0 + 72 + 172 0 - 64 0 - 75 16 + \star - 172 0 - 64 0 - 75 16 + \star - 59 0 - 75 16 + + \star - 54 0 - 75 16 + + \star - 59 0 - 75 16 + + <td>œ.</td> <td>920</td> <td>+</td> <td>102</td> <td>0</td> <td>,</td> <td>58</td> <td>2</td> <td>•</td> <td>72</td> <td>1</td> <td>+</td> <td>93</td>	œ.	920	+	102	0	,	58	2	•	72	1	+	93
300 + 130 0 - 60 0 - 63 0 + 2' + 77 0 - 62 0 - 68 15 + 2,500 + 142 0 - 57 0 - 72 0 + 100 + 144 0 - 59 0 - 72 0 + 72 + 172 0 - 64 0 - 75 16 + + 3. #resecting present; -, no bacterial growth. - 64 0 - 75 16 + + 3. Mercescene forential growth. - 64 0 - 75 16 + Semple lost. - 10 - 75 16 + - 15 16 +	1	130	+	123	0	ŀ	57	o	•	66	>10,000	ı	. 102
e' + 77 0 - 62 0 - 68 15 + 2,500 + 142 0 - 57 0 - 72 0 + 100 + 144 0 - 59 0 - 72 0 + 72 + 172 0 - 64 0 - 75 16 + + 172 0 - 64 0 - 75 16 + + 3 mercenes protertal growth. - 64 0 - 75 16 + 5 16 bettertal growth. - 54 0 - 75 16 + 5 16 bettertal growth. - 16 0 - 55 16 + 5 16 bettertal growth. - 10 - 75 16 + 5 16 bettertal growth. - 75 16 +	80	300	+	130	0	ı	99	0	ı	63	0	+	108
2,500 + 142 0 - 57 0 + 100 + 144 0 - 59 0 - 72 0 + 72 + 172 0 - 64 0 - 75 16 + +, 3. mercescene present; -, no bacterial growth. 64 0 - 75 16 + Semple lost. 5 10 million cells) at 10 cm mercury pressure. 5 16 +	6)]	+	11	0	•	62	0	١	68	15	+	105
100 + 144 0 - 59 0 - 72 0 + 72 + 172 0 - 64 0 - 75 16 + +, S. mercescene present; -, no bacterial growth. . 64 0 - 75 16 + Semple fost. . . bacterial growth. . . 75 16 +	2	2,500	+	142	0	•	57	0	ı	72	0	+	113
72 + 172 0 - 64 0 - 75 16 + + <u>S. mercescena</u> present; -, no bacterial growth. Average time required to filter 25 ml of bacterial suspension (about 10 million cells) at 10 cm mercury pressure. Semple lost.	11	100	+	144	0	١	59	0	ł	72	0	+	108
	12	72	+	172	0	•	64	0	•	75	16	+	113
		P. S. merce iverage time lample lost		at; -, no to filter	bacterial 25 ml of b	growth. acterial sus	pension (about 10 m	iillion cells) at 10 c	m mercury	pressure.	1

TABLE 3. NUMBER OF S. MARCESCENS ORGANISMS FENETRATING FRITTED CLASS FUNNELS

Org. per 25 ml Filtrate 0 0	25 ml Filtrate		101								
000	in Brotha/	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.	Urg. per 25 ml Filtrate	25 ml Filtrate in Broth <u>a</u> /	Time,b/ sec.	UTG. Per 25 ml Filtrate	25 ml Filtrate in Broth <u>a</u> /	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	25 ml Filtrate in Broth ⁸ /	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.
0 0	•	390	0	B	192	0	ſ	282	0	•	248
0	ı	260	0	ı	196	0	ı	211	0	۱	254
	ı	256	0	ı	207	0	•	225	0	ı	254
0	H	280	0	ı	200	0	١	270	0	+I	272
0	ı	268	0	ı	203	0	•	224	0	I	255
0	,	298	0	I	204	0	I	241	0	,	267
0	۱	256	0	ı	212	0	ı	253	o	ı	273
0	ı	272	0	ı	208	0	ı	237	0	ı	260
0	ı	260	0	ŀ	208	0	ı	231	0	+	271
0	ı	270	0	·	220	0	•	225	0	ı	262
0	ı	238	0	ł	222	0	ı	230	0	ł	256
0	•	244	0	1	204	0	•	234	0	۱	224

TABLE 4. NUMBER OF S. MARCESCENS ORGANISMS PENETRATING ASBESTOS PADS IN FOUR BRONZE HOLDERS

		T Japrou			HOTOR 7			HOIDEL 3			HOIDEL 4	
Test No.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	e aj	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	25 ml Filtrate in Broth <u>ê</u> /	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	25 æl Filtrate in Broth <mark>a</mark> /	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	25 ml Filtrate in Broth ^â /	Time, <u>b</u> / sec.
-	0	+1	10125/	0	·	113	0	,	140	0	•	165
~	0	٠	10295/	0		131	0	ł	144	0	ı	142
e	0	+1	1255£/	0		193	0	ŧ	230	0	·	159
4	0	•	980 <u>c</u> /	0	٠	149	0	ı	160	0	•	164
ŝ	0	•	203	0	•	159	0	ı	203	0	·	174
¢	o	•	. 152	0	ł	180	0	·	194	1	4	160
2	0	٠	170	0	٠	152	0	1	186	c	6	185
80	0	١	154	0	•	134	0	ı	166	0	ı	143
6	0	ł	150	0	ı	141	0	ı	187	0	٠	166
10	1	•	167	0	ı	162	0	ł	179	0	·	172
11	0	•	192	0	٠	166	0	•	152	0	·	148
12	0	۰	182	٥	•	159	0	,	190	0	١	134
> > +++ ن ف ه	t, bacterial growth t Average time required A minute hole was dis	15 -	not <u>S</u> . o filter vered and	ut not <u>S</u> . marcescens; I to filter 25 ml of b icovered and repaired	ut not <u>S. marceacens</u> ; -, no bacterial growth. I to filter 25 ml of bacterial suspension (about 10 million cells) at 10 cm mercury pressure icovered and repaired after test 4.	rial grow pension (th. (about 10 m	filion cells	s) at 10 c	n mercury	pressure.	

With the membrane filter, the holder appeared to be at least as critical as the filters (Tables 5, 6 and 7). All three types of membrane filters performed well in the four holders obtained from Manufacturer A; all three performed poorly at first in the four holders from Manufacturer B, but the performance improved when three binder clips were used in addition to the clips attached to the base of the holder. In the latter holder, membrane A appeared to be better than membrane B, which in turn was better than membrane C. The same distinction among membranes from different manufacturers is harder to make in the better holders. Manufacturer B's membranes in Manufacturer A's holders performed almost as well as Manufacturer A's membranes in Manufacturer A's holders, and were less efficient only in their own holders.

Most of the time the results obtained for each two 25-ml samples of filtrate were comparable whether assayed by pour plate method or cultured in broth. They were both negative in 197 tests, both positive in 69. This is to be expected because a whole 25-ml sample was used for the pour plate as well as for the broth culture, and the sensitivity of the two methods should be comparable. In the six instances in which there were contaminated broth samples but sterile pour plates, growth in the broth presumably was caused by the presence of only a few microorganisms, none of which showed up by chance alone in the pour plate. This explanation is also valid for the times when only a few S. marcescens colonies were detected in the pour plate and the comparable broth sample was sterile. The five times that sterile broth samples were obtained but significant counts of 17, 90, or more than 10,000 S. marcescens colonies appeared on the pour plate are much more difficult to explain. In each of these five puzzling instances, however, the second filtrate, by chance, was the one used for the agar plates. The chance of microorganisms slowly working their way through a filter, as more and more contaminated fluid is filtered, was mentioned briefly by both Morton⁸ and Sykes⁵ and may be the answer here. In reality, 50 ml is probably considerably more than will be used in a spacecraft experiment.

TABLE 5. NUMBER OF <u>5</u>. <u>MARCESCENS</u> ORGANISMS PENETRATING MEMBRANE A IN TWO TYPES OF HOLDERS

		X	Mfs. A Holder		X	Mfg. B Holder2/	
Holder No.	Test No.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	23	Time, <u>c</u> / sec.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	E E	Time, <u>c</u> / sec.
-	-	0	•	25	11		24
L		. 0	•	25	>10,000	+	22
	1 67	. 0	•	28	0	+	22
	• •	d/	d/	26	0	٠	21
	- 67	ia	4.	26	0	•	20
	• • •	0	ı	24	0	1	22
~	-	c	8	26	1	+	24
t	1 N	• •	•	200	240	+	21
	1 67		ı	27	96	+	20
	4	. 0	٠	27	0	٠	20
	- 10	0	•	28	0	٩	21
	9	0	٩	8	99	+	21
~	g ad	0	•	21	0	۰	22
•	2	0	•	23	710	+	24
	์ ก	0	ŧ	22	22	+	23
	4	0	•	22	>10,000	+	28
	5	0	•	22	0	•	22
	9	0		26	•	۲	24
4	-1	0	ı	46	1	+	20
	~	0	ŧ	27	6	•	22
	n	0	•	27	0	+	22
	4	0	•	24	0	•	25
	5	0	•	28	0	•	24
	9	0	۰	25	0	١	22
. Yot	Yor ME.	B holders in tests 4,	5. and	6, three	binder clips	three binder clips were used in addition to	dition to

For MES. B molders in tests 4, 2, and 0, three binder clips were used in addition to the clips attached to the base of the holder. +, 2. <u>matcascens</u> present; -, no bacterial growth. Average time required to filter 25 ml of bacterial suspension (about 10 million cells) at 10 cm mercury pressure. ;

ن م

Sample lost. ŗ,

TABLE 6. NUMBER OF <u>S</u>. <u>MARCESCENS</u> ORGANISMS PENETRATING MEMBRANE B IN TWO TYPES OF HOLDERS

			Mfs. A Holder		X	Mfg. B Holdere/	
Hol N	Holder Test No. No.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate		Time, <u>c/</u> sec.	Org. per 25 ml Filtrate	25 ml Filtrate in Brothb/	Time, C/ sec.
-	~	•	1	3	2,200	+	37
	2	0	•	32	2,300	+	31
	'n	0	•	38	260	+	36
	4	0	•	36	009	+	32
	ŝ	0		25	0	•	27
	9	0	r	30	0	ı	38
ſ	~	c		44	1_500	4	44
4	• •		4	8	000(-		5
	4 ~	, c	4 0		2,300	- 4	35
	• 4	0	•	33	>10,000	• +	3
	-	0	·	9 E	1,200	•	28
	9	0	t	28	0	•	24
~	1	e	+	33	3,500	+	42
•	0	0		ŝ	>10,000	+	42
	3	0	•	20	920	+	42
	4	0	•	27	0	ı	42
	ŝ	0	•	22	13	+	26
	9	0	ŀ	38	1	+	28
4	-	0	·	36	390	+	44
		0	•	36	210	+	42
	ŝ	0	•	56	53	+	44
	4	0	•	31	0	•	44
	ŝ	0		27	0	•	28
	9	0	r	25	400	+	9
:	For Mfg			r	binder clips	three binder clips were used in addition	lition
						an dur derena la	ł
		no becterial growin;	1 + 7. BELCERCEUE	ue bresent;	C) I, DECTURA	I, DECTETIEL BIOWEN DUE NOT	

3. marcescent. Average time required to filter 25 ml of bacterial suspension (about 10 million cells) at 10 cm mercury pressure.

> . .

TABLE 7. NUMBER OF S. MARCESCENS ORGANISMS PENETRATING MEMBRANE C IN TWO TYPES OF MOLDERS

Holder No.	er Teat . No.	Mfg. Org. per 25 2 ml Filtrate	8. A Holder 25 ml Filtrate in Brothb/	Time <u>c</u> / sec.	Mfg. Org. per 25 2 ml Filtrate	<u>g. B Holdera/</u> 25 ml Filtrate in Broth <mark>b</mark> /	te Time, L
-	1		+	46	>10.000	+	42
1	0	ŝ	+	48	>10,000	+	40
	ŝ	0		48	>10,000	+	42
	4	0		48	0	•	42
	· u	Ċ	,	9	>10 000	+	44
	9	00	ı	52	>10,000	• +	4
2	1	0	•	57	>10,000	+	41
•	•	0	•	65	>10,000	• +	42
		0	•	05	>10.000	+	38
	4	0	ı	52	0	• 1	44
	ŝ	0		52	>10.000	+	40
	Ŷ	0	1	48	>10,000	+	38
ŝ	1	>10,000	+	44	>10,000	+	42
	7	0	ı	4	>10.000	+	47
	e	0	•	4	>10,000	+	46
	4	0	·	44	>10,000	+	45
	ŝ	0	•	47	>10,000	+	45
	9	0	ł	43	>10,000	+	54
4	1	0	·	54	>10,000	+	48
	7	0	•	101	>10,000	+	43
	3	0	•	56	>10,000	+	42
	4	0	•	44	>10,000	+	43
	ŝ	0	•	46	17	+	42
	Q	0	1	52	500	+	4
	For Mfg.		pue	three	binder clips were	were used in addition	addition
. ۔ م	., no bacterial	growth	to the base of the	ine nolder. ing present.			

15

Another general observation is that contamination, when it occurred, was generally low except in a few instances where more than 10,000 colonies were found; most of these were with membrane C used in Manufacturer B's holder (Table 7). Although the numbers may appear high, the challenge was approximately 1×10^7 organisms. The measurable leakages were all under 0.01% and most were far lower. The data also indicated that sterile filtrates could consistently be obtained with all four types of filters in certain instances. It should be remembered that in these tests the filters were challenged with very large numbers of microorganisms; the fluids actually used in space tests will probably contain only a few if any microorganisms before filtration.

There is no clear-cut evidence from the data that any one of these filtration techniques is much more efficient than the others. Each system gave some sterile filtrates and, with each, there was failure to sterilize. Thus, when choosing the filter, holder, and auxiliary apparatus, one can take into consideration other factors such as the rate of filtration and the characteristics of the biological fluid to be filtered. Some types of filters shown to be satisfactory for an aqueous bacterial suspension may be rejected because of technical "ifficulties occurring when a specific biological fluid is filtered.

After selecting a technique, the specific items of equipment must be pretested under conditions more stringent than those that will occur in the actual experiment in order to give assurance that the system is satisfactory. The data accumulated on pretested apparatus will then provide the basis of determining whether the biological fluid filtered for any critical experiment would meet the established statistical requirements for sterility.

As an example, the raw data given here were examined to determine what expression of confidence could be given for a final sterile filtrate. The analysis shows that the problem requires two separate treatments: one for variation in the same filter used numerous times (the diatomaceous and fritted glass filters), the other for the variation of the same filter holder used numerous times but each time with a different disposable filter but one from the same manufacturer's lot (the asbestos and membrane filters). In the latter instance the question of variation within lots enters, which could result in a very large number of trials; however, experience indicates that the probability of drawing a poor disposable filter from a good lot is small.

For the better reusable filters, such as the distomaceous filter 2 or fritted glass funnels 2 and 3, sterile filtrates were obtained in all 12 trials with a test dose of 20,000,000 cells per trial or 240,000,000 in toto. Assuming a large series of trials with 240,000,000 organisms each, with the logical supposition of a Poisson distribution of organisms parsing, it may be shown that a mean (M) of three will occasionally yield a sample result of zero. Means of four or more will very rarely give zero. This may be shown either by solving the Poisson expression e^{-m} (1, M, M²/2!, M³/3!, etc.)

as described by Fisher⁷ or by using published tables. It may thus be inferred that the true mean passing for 240,000,000 cells was not over three; this may be taken as a tentative maximum. Some of the other good filters with very small numbers passing fall cl se to this estimate. With 80 organisms in the material to be filtered and a maximum of one chance in 80,000,000 organisms passing, this indicates one chance in 1,000,000 of any passing. For the selected reusable filters, a reasonable statistical assurance of sterility can be given based only upon the assumption that microorganisms are filtered out in the same ratio in low- as in high-titer suspensions, and that the filter was not mechanically or otherwise damaged between its last test and the time it was put to actual use.

The same type of calculation applies for the pretested holder with a disposable filter from a lot shown to contain good filters, but an additional assumption must be made that the lot will not contain any defective filters. Considerably more testing will be required to provide assurance that a disposable filter selected from a lot previously shown to be highly efficient will itself be as efficient as the others.

Confidence can be increased if two or more filters are used in succession. If, for example, there is one chance in 1,000 of getting a defective filter out of any lot, there will be one chance in a million of getting two defective ones in succession, and one chance in a billion of three defective ones in succession.

Because double filtration presents no great experimental problem, it can be recommended that for critical exobiological experiments, where it is highly important that the liquid biological detection medium be sterile, a tested and proved system be preselected utilizing two or more filtrations. In such an experimental setup, a sufficiently high probability of sterility should be apparent to meet the restraints of this international agreement, without requiring a prohibitive number of pretests.

1		 TIDED	TE ANE
1	1246		
- {		 	

LITERATURE CITED

- Hall, L.B. 1966. Sterilizing space probes. Int. Sci. Technol. No. 52, April 50-61.
- 2. Cliver, D.O. 1965. Factors in the membrane filtration of enteroviruses. Appl. Microbiol. 13:417-425.
- 3. Conn, H.J.; Dimmick, I. 1947. Filters suitable for separating soil bacteria from bacteriophage. J. Bacteriol. 52:489-491.
- 4. de Viciente Jordana, R. 1959. Study on adsorption of bacteriophage by filters. Appl. Microbiol. 7:239-247.
- Sykes, G. 1965. Sterilization by filtration, Chapter 7, p. 188-201. <u>In</u> Disinfection and sterilization, 2nd ed. J.B. Lippincott Company, Philadelphia, Pa.
- 6. Morton, H.E. 1938. Bacterial filters and filtration technics. Amer. J. Clin. Pathol. 8:185-206.
- 7. Fisher, R.A. 1963. Statistical methods for research workers, p. 54-57. Hafner Publishing Co., Inc., New York.

Security Classification DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - RED Control of the Army Control of the Army Port definition of other advanced mean in an it is entities when it a control in point is classified Department of the Army Port Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 Iteration of the Army Port Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 DECEMPTIVE NOTE: Control of Army DECEMPTIVE NOTE: Control of Army Port of Army OPERATION OF LIQUIDS BY FILTRATION AND CERTIFICATION OF PROBABILITY DECEMPTIVE NOTE: Control of Army Port of Army Port of Army Port of Army Port of Army Port of Army Port of Army Port of Army Port of Army Port of Army Port of Army Port of Army <	Unclassified			
Generative data and indication must be an intermediate the for the second input of the formation of the formatis the materated in the formation of the formation of the formati				
GNUGATING ACTIVITY (Compares and and the array per section of the Array are per section of the Array per section of				the overall report is classified)
Department of the Army It shout Automatics Part Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 Import Detrict OEECNIFTICE NOTE (Type of report and inclusive data) OEECNIFTICE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive data) Authomatics It could be added and inclusive data) Authomatics Not or states Port Detrict Dorothy M. Phillips, Charles R. It could be added and inclusive data) April 1967 It could be added and inclusive data) Authomatics It could be added and inclusive data) April 1967 It could be added and inclusive data) Authomatics It could be added and and and and and be added and and and and and and and and and an				DAT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
argent virte STERLIZATION OF LIQUIDS BY FILTRATION AND CERTIFICATION OF PROBABILITY DEECRIFYIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive data) AUTHORY) Case data and machine data and	Department of the Army			
STERILIZATION OF LIQUIDS BY FILTRATION AND CERTIFICATION OF PROBABILITY DEECHIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) AUTHOR(3) (Last name. Builds) POTIFOR, DOTOCHY M. Phillips, Charles R. Moffman, Robert K. THEORY DATE April 1967 22 Technical Manuscript 387 . PROSECT NO. 1B6224014072 . PROSECT AND NOTES PROSECT NO. 1B6224014072 . PROSECT AND NOTES PROSECT NOT TYPE OF A AND NOTES PROSECT NOTES PROSECT NOTES PROSECT NOTES PR	Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland	, 21 701	ZB GROU	
Authom(d) (Lasi mass. Mailed) Portner, Dorothy M. Phillips, Charles R. Noffman, Robert K. METOMY DAYE April 1967 a contract on grawn wo. A secure recomposition of the publication of the secure of the secur		RATION AND CERTIF	ICATION OF	F PROBABILITY
Portner, Dorothy M. Phillips, Charles R. Hoffman, Robert K. HEFORT DATE April 1967 - CONTRACT ON GRANT NO. PROJECT NO. 1B622401A072 - CONTRACT ON GRANT NO. PROJECT NO. 1B622401A072 - CONTRACT ON GRANT NO. PROJECT NO. 1B622401A072 - CONTRACT ON GRANT NO. Proteins announcement soft of this publication from DDC. Poreign announcement to the public is not authorized. Port Dynamic Contract of this publication by DDC is not authorized. Proteign announcement to the public is not authorized. I supplement of the army Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 Department of the army Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 A SETTRACT Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a beavy Settrating Mucreascous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pad steed generally gave sterile filtrates. Bowever, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's wolder, consistently gave sterile filtrates when tested in storiler munifacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in mother munifacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter ot to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD	DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive	delos)		
Portner, Dorothy M. Phillips, Charles R. Hoffman, Robert K. HEFOMT DATE April 1967 C. Contract on GRANT NO. A PROJECT NO. 1B622401A072 C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	ALITHOR(S) (Lest neme, first neme, initial)			
Hoffman, Robert K. APTI 1967 A pril 1967 CONTRACT ON GRANT ND. A CONTRACT ON GRANT ND. A CONTRACT ON GRANT ND. A PROJECT NO. 1B622401A072 C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.				
April 1967 2 7 a contract on grant no. 22 7 a contract on grant no. 3 0 a reduct on grant no. 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
April 1967 22 7 • CONTRACT ON GRANT NO. • PROJECT NO. 1B622401A072 • CANTAGE ON GRANT NO. • PROJECT NO. 1B622401A072 • CANTAGE ALL POINT NO(3) (Any other numbers may be seelened • Contage Second Cantage ALL POINT NO(3) (Any other numbers may be seelened and proved system. • CANTAGE ALL POINT NO(3) (Any other numbers may be seelened and proved system. • CANTAGE ALL POINT NO(3) (Any other numbers may be seelened and proved system. • Cantage ALL POINT NO(3) (Any other numbers may be se				
CONTRACT ON GRANT NO. A PROJECT NO. 18622401A072 Contract on grant too. A project no. 18622401A072 Contract aground to the public of the publication from DDC. Technical Manuscript 387 Contract aground to the public is not authorized. Contract aground to the public is not authorized to the aground to the public is not authorized to the aground to the public is not authorized to the four distomaceous earth filters is and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in one manufacture's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in one manufacture's holder is contaminated filtrates when tested in a previously tested and proved system. Contains the filtrate is a previously tested and proved system. Contains the filtrate is not previously tested and proved system. Contains the filtrate is is a previously tested and proved system. Contains the filtrate is is a previously tested and proved system. Contaground to			OF PAGES	-
Technical Manuscript 387 Technical Manuscri			S REPORT NU	
A VARLABILITY/LIMITATION MOTICES Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this publication by DDC is not authorized Release or announcement and dissemination of this publication by DDC is not authorized Release or announcement to the public is not authorized. It SUPPLEMENTARY MOTES Tore types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass fumel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy Serratia marcescens suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass fumels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in another usually the filter to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD '.' 1473 Unclassified	A. CONTRACT OF GRANTING.			
A VAILABLUTY/LIMITATION HOTICES Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this publication from DDC. Foreign announcement and dissemination of this publication by DDC is not authorized. It supplements to the public is not authorized. It supplements to the public is not authorized. It supplements of the army Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 a ABSTRACT Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass funnel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy Serratia marcescens suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. Bowever, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD '.' 1473 Unclassified	A PROJECT NO. 18622401A072	Techni	cal Manuso	cript 387
A VAILABILITY/LIMITATION MOTICS Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this publication from DDC. Foreign announcement and dissemination of this publication by DDC is not authorized. Release or announcement to the public is not authorized. It supplement of the army Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 To ASSTRACT Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass fumel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy <u>Serratia marcescens</u> suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. Bowever, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates are the two other types of membranes usually gave scentae filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD '.' 1473 Unclassified	c.	SA. OTHER REP Bis report)	ORT NO(S) (An	y other numbers that may be easigned
Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this publication from DDC. Foreign snnouncement and dissemination of this publication by DDC is not authorized. Release or announcement to the public is not authorized. It sumplications multiply and the public is not authorized. It sumplies that any mores Release or announcement to the public is not authorized. It sumplies that any mores It sumplies that any more and the public is not authorized. It sumplies that any more and the public is not authorized. It sumplies that any more and the public is not authorized. It sumplies that any more and the public is not authorized. It sumplies that any more and the public is not authorized and the public is not authorized. It sumplies that any more and the public is not authorized and filter and filter and filter and filter and filter and the answer and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy Serratia marcescene suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four filtred glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's won holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates when tested in an enufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD				
Foreign announcement and dissemination of this publication by DDC is not authorized. Release or announcement to the public is not authorized. It SUPPLEMENTARY HOTES It Supplement of the army Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 It AMENTACT Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass funnel) and two disposable (asbestos pada and membrane filter), were challenged with a beavy Serratia marcescens suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD				
Release or announcement to the public is not authorized. I SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES I SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES I SPONSOMING MILITARY ACTIVITY Department of the Army Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 S ASSTRACT Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass funnel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy <u>Serratia marceacene</u> suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD				
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SUPPLEMENTARY ACTIVITY Department of the Army Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 33. ASSTRACT Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass funnel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy Serratia marcescens suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. Bowever, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests				by DDC 18 not authorize
Department of the Army Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 3 ASSTRACT Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass funnel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy <u>Serratia marcescens</u> suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD '.'ont. 1473 Unclassified				
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland, 21701 Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass funnel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a beavy <u>Serratia marcescens</u> suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD '.'	1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
Four types of hydrosol filters, two reusable (diatomaceous cylinder and fritted glass funnel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy <u>Serratia marcescens</u> suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD ' 1473 Unclassified		•		•
fritted glass funnel) and two disposable (asbestos pad and membrane filter), were challenged with a heavy <u>Serratia marcescens</u> suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'Jame 1473 Unclassified		ra, two reusable (diatomace	ous cylinder and
were challenged with a heavy <u>Serratia marcescens</u> suspension to assess their ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'one. 1473 Unclassified		•		-
ability to produce sterile filtrates. Two of the four diatomaceous earth filters, the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'one 1473 Unclassified	were challenged with a heavy Ser	atia marcescens s	uspension	to assess their
the four fritted glass funnels, and all the asbestos pads tested generally gave sterile filtrates. However, only one type of filter, one of the membranes in its manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'One 1473 Unclassified	ability to produce sterile filtra	tes. Two of the	four diat	omaceous earth filters,
manufacturer's own holder, consistently gave sterile filtrates. The two other types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'One 1473 Unclassified	the four fritted glass funnels, a	and all the asbest	os pads to	ested generally gave
types of membranes usually gave sterile filtrates if tested in one manufacturer's holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'One 1473 Unclassified	sterile filtrates. However, only	y one type of filt	er, one o	f the membranes in its
holder but all types invariably gave contaminated filtrates when tested in another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'One 1473 Unclassified	manufacturer's own holder, consis	stently gave steri	le filtra	tes. The two other
<pre>another manufacturer's holder. Contaminated filtrates were generally attributed to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system.</pre> 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Pilters Tests DD 'One 1473 Unclassified				
to a poor reusable filter or to a faulty holder used with a disposable filter. If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'One 1473 Unclassified				
If a high degree of certainty is required for sterile heat-labile filtrate, it is suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'Janu 1473 Unclassified	to a poor reusable filter or to a	faulty holder us	ed with a	disposable filter.
suggested that the liquid be passed through two or more filters in a previously tested and proved system. 14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD 'One 1473 Unclassified	If a high degree of certainty is	required for ster	ile heat-	labile filtrate, it is
14. Key Words Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD Forme 1473 Unclassified	suggested that the liquid be pass	sed through two or	more fil	ters in a previously
Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD Jone 1473 Unclassified	tested and proved system.			
Sterilization Liquids Filters Tests DD Johns 1473 Unclassified				
Liquids Filters Tests DD John 1473 Unclassified	14. Key Words			
Pilters Tests DD Jone 1473 Unclassified				
Tests DD Jone 1473 Unclassified	•			
DD Jane 1473 Unclassified				
Security Clausification	DD			
				Security Classification

and they are extended to

•